1

Differential functions of upstream and intronic HOT regions shape *dlg-1* transcription and *C. elegans* development

Cristina Tocchini[1,*], Fanny Eggeler[1], Palmer Bassett[1], and Susan E. Mango[1,*]

1 Biozentrum, University of Basel, 4056 Basel, Switzerland.

* Co-corresponding authors:

c.tocchini@unibas.ch

susan.mango@unibas.ch

Abstract

High occupancy target (HOT) regions, genomic sites bound by many transcription factors, are

found across eukaryotes, but their physiological roles remain unclear. We characterized two

HOT regions in the *Caenorhabditis elegans dlg-1* locus containing transcription start sites: one

upstream the coding sequence and one in the first intron. The upstream region is essential for

proper transcription and proper animal development, while the intronic region modestly

enhances transcription in epidermal cells. Our findings reveal the functions of HOT regions in

animal development, expanding the repertoire of gene regulation strategies in *C. elegans*.

Background

Precise regulation of gene expression is crucial for orchestrating complex developmental processes. Transcriptional control relies on a series of regulatory elements located within promoters or in distant genomic regions containing enhancers or repressors (1). In *Caenorhabditis elegans*, transcriptional regulation mainly relies on regulatory sequences within promoters, in the close proximity to a gene's coding sequence (CDS). High occupancy target (HOT) regions are genomic sites bound by unusually large numbers of transcription factors (2,3). HOT regions have been identified across the *C. elegans* genome and may coincide with enhancer elements, promoters and transcription start sites (TSS) that commonly activate transcription ubiquitously (2,4,5). The contribution of HOT regions to transcriptional regulation in a physiological context remains poorly understood.

Here, we dissect the regulatory landscape of the *dlg-1/Discs large* locus during embryonic morphogenesis (6,7). Previously published genomic data revealed multiple candidate regulatory elements for the *dlg-1* gene (2,5,8). We focused our attention on two HOT regions marked by strong peaks of RNA Polymerase II (PolII) revealed by chromatin immuno-precipitation and sequencing (ChIP-seq), and containing TSS (5,9,10): one located upstream the CDS, and one in the first intron. Notably, the upstream HOT region is located more than four kilo-bases (Kb) away the CDS, and the one in the first intron contains enhancer sites, too. Long distances between TSS and CDS are likely allowed by widespread trans-splicing in *C. elegans*. Approximately 70% of genes, including *dlg-1*, receive an SL1 spliced leader that removes the 5′ portion of the primary transcript, thereby decoupling TSS position from mature 5′ UTR structure (11).

By analyzing single-copy integrated transcriptional reporters and CRISPR-generated deletion strains, we clarified the roles of the two HOT regions in the *dlg-1* locus during development. Specifically, a subdomain within the conserved region in the upstream HOT region is sufficient for wild-type transcriptional levels in all *dlg-1*-positive cells, and is required for normal development. The HOT region in the first intron possesses weak transcriptional capabilities that are restricted to epidermal cells, and are not essential for development. Together, our findings describe the physiological relevance of HOT regions containing TSS and/or enhancer sites and how they control general and selective gene expression in *C. elegans*. This study provides a physiological example of regulatory strategies used in *C. elegans* transcriptional regulation.

Results and discussion

We focused our analysis of transcriptional regulation on the *dlg-1* locus, which is expressed in diverse tissues (6,7). The *dlg-1* promoter has been originally defined as a seven-kilobase (Kb) sequence that stretches from the CDS of the gene upstream on the minus DNA strand, *dpyd-1*, to the SL1 (believed to be also the TSS) of the *dlg-1* gene on the plus DNA strand (12) (Figure 1A and S1A). More recently, different promoters and TSS have been identified in the *dlg-1* locus (2,5,8). In analyzing the seven-Kb sequence upstream the *dlg-1* CDS, we identified a genomic region highly conserved among the *Caenorhabditis* species (Figure S1A). This region is bound by several transcription factors (TFs) suggestive of a high occupancy target (HOT) region (2,3) (Figure S1B). Large-scale studies aiming to identify HOT regions indeed picked up this genomic site as a HOT region and promoter of *dlg-1* (2,5,10). This HOT site also contains polymerase II peaks during embryogenesis (Figure S1C), confirming its role as a promoter (9). Controversially, *C. elegans* sequences that dictate correct expression levels and patterning are usually located within a few hundred base-pairs (bp) from a gene's CDS (3,13–15). The conserved region is positioned approximately four Kb from the CDS of *dlg-1* and is overlapping and bordered by chromatin marks typically found in enhancers. Specifically, it is enriched in acetylated lysine 27 (H3K27ac) and mono- and di-methylated lysine 4 of histone H3 (H3K4me1/2), and depleted from tri-methylated lysine 4 of histone H3 (H3K4me3) (Figure S1D) (5,16). Indeed, this genomic region has been predicted an enhancer site (5). Cohesins have been shown to organize the DNA in a way that active enhancers make contacts in the 3D space forming "fountains" detectable in Hi-C data (17,18). Although the presence of a fountain at this locus remains to be determined during embryogenesis, ARC-C data showed the putative HOT region interacts with other DNA sequences, including the first intron of *dlg-1* (1).

We hypothesized the upstream HOT region is the main driver of *dlg-1* transcriptional regulation. To test this idea, we generated three single-copy, integrated transcriptional reporters carrying a histone H2B sequence fused to an mNeon-Green (mNG) fluorescent protein under the transcriptional control of the following *dlg-1* upstream regions: a full-length sequence (FL) and two deletion sequences spanning from the beginning (uHOT) or the end (Short) of the HOT region until the *dlg-1* CDS (Figure 1B,C). Live imaging and quantitation analyses showed minor and insignificant differences between the FL and the uHOT reporters regarding cell-type specificity (Figure 1B) and levels of expression (FL mean = 1.00, STDEV = 0.26; uHOT mean = 0.93, STDEV = 0.22; Figure 1C), and recapitulated that of the endogenous *dlg-1* gene (6,7). The Short reporter, containing nearly four Kb upstream the CDS and containing three other *dlg-1* promoters (5), showed a dramatic reduction in expression levels compared to FL and uHOT (Short mean = 0.29, STDEV = 0.08; Figure 1B,C). This reduction was observed in most of the cells where endogenous *dlg-1* is normally expressed (Figure 1B

and S2). Only developing epidermal cells were still transcriptionally active, as shown previously
with an extrachromosomal *dlg-1* transcriptional reporter with truncated upstream region from
the CDS (19). smFISH experiments showed that the transcriptional control mediated by the
upstream HOT region was specific to *dlg-1*, as the nearby *dpyd-1* locus was expressed
sporadically (Figure S2). Together, these analyses demonstrate that the upstream HOT region
is necessary to (i) increase majorly *dlg-1* expression in developing epidermal cells, and (ii)
allow *dlg-1* expression in a broader range of cell types, such as neuronal and pharyngeal
precursors. We conclude that the upstream HOT region constitutes a key regulatory sequence
for correct expression levels and patterning of *dlg-1*.

To understand the sufficiency and determine which portion of the upstream HOT region
was important for its function in gene expression strength and patterning, we selected four
small regions within and surrounding the upstream conserved region (uHOT1 = 406 bp; uHOT2
= 185 bp; uHOT3 = 332 bp; uHOT4 = 374 bp) (Figure 1A,D,E). Each region was paired with
the minimal promoter *Δpes-10* (MINp) (Figure 1D), commonly used to assess the functionality
of candidate regulatory sequences in the context of transcription (20) and generated four
transcriptional reporters. As previously described, we performed live imaging and fluorescence
quantitation on these reporters to assess the levels and pattern of expression dictated by each
sequence. Among the four synthetic promoters designed, only uHOT2 and uHOT3 were
permissive for transcription (FL mean = 1.00, STDEV = 0.19; uHOT1 mean = 0.02, STDEV =
0.05; uHOT2 mean = 0.10, STDEV = 0.08; uHOT3 mean = 0.94, STDEV = 0.35; uHOT4 mean
= -0.01, STDEV = 0.02; Figure 1D,E). The uHOT2 reporter showed scattered and variable
expression that differed significantly in expression levels compared to the FL. The uHOT3
reporter showed patterning (Figure 1D) and expression levels (Figure 1E) comparable to the
FL reporter. These data suggest that a small conserved sequence of 330 bp, which lies more
than four Kb from the CDS, is a strong driver and main promoter of *dlg-1* transcription during
embryonic morphogenesis.

We found a second region within the first long intron of *dlg-1* was also enriched for TF
binding sites (3) (Figure S1B) and H3K4me1/2 chromatin modifications (13) (Figure S1D).
Indeed the first intron has been reported to be a HOT region and contain promoter and
enhancer sites (5). Long first introns have been shown to frequently contain regulatory regions
used for transcription, such as enhancers (21–23). The enhancers located in introns can drive
gene expression in patterns that are distinct from, yet complementary to, those found in the
gene's promoter (13). To test if the first intron contributed to *dlg-1* transcriptional control, we
generated two single-copy integrated lines where the first intron was either paired with a
minimal promoter *Δpes-10* (INT1) or inserted in the FL transgene within the H2B sequence,
acting as an actual intron (FL-INT1) (Figure 1F). The reporter INT1 was created to test the
transcriptional abilities of intron 1 alone, whereas the reporter FL-INT1 was engineered to

determine if the first intron was changing the transcriptional capabilities of the upstream region
(Figure 1F,G). We found that the fluorescence expression driven by the INT1 reporter was
confined to developing epidermal cells (Figure 1F, S3) and minimal (13% of the FL; Mean FL
= 1.00, STDEV FL = 0.19; Mean INT1 = 0.13, STDEV INT1 = 0.02; Figure 1G and S3),
suggesting that this sequence plays a marginal role in *dlg-1* transcription. Interestingly,
quantitation analyses on the FL-INT1 reporter showed a slight, but significant increase (+15%)
in fluorescence intensity compared to the reference FL reporter in the tested cells (Mean INT1
= 1.15, STDEV INT1 = 0.22; Figure 1G). This result supports the idea of enhancers providing
additive effect to gene transcription. We conclude that *dlg-1* intron 1 complements the main
promoter, albeit weakly, in a subset of cells.

To clarify the impact of the two HOT regions during normal development, we generated
two CRISPR lines derived from a strain with *dlg-1* tagged endogenously with a GFP sequence
at the end of its CDS. The first line had the upstream HOT region removed (Δ-uHOT).
Specifically, it spanned from bp 5,475,070 to 5,475,709 on chromosome X and removed HOT2
and HOT2 sequences. The second line had the first intron of *dlg-1* (spanning from bp
5,479,783-5,480,664 on chromosome X) substituted with a short synthetic intron of fifty-one
bp (synt-INT1). We chose this synthetic intron as it is commonly employed in fluorescent
reporter sequences to optimize their translation in *C. elegans*. Live imaging analyses showed
a marked reduction to 46% (STDEV = 19) of DLG-1-GFP levels in Δ-uHOT compared to wild-
type (wt) at the junction of embryonic epithelial cells - seam cells (Figure 2A,B and S4A).
Furthermore, Δ-uHOT junctions presented gaps along their perimeter in these and other
epithelial cells (Figure S4A,B). Single molecule fluorescent in situ hybridization (smFISH)
experiments on fixed samples revealed these defects to be likely caused by a general
reduction in *dlg-1* mRNA levels in all embryonic cells where *dlg-1* is normally expressed (Figure
2C and S1B). Such reductions in mRNA and protein levels determined severe although not
fully penetrant developmental defects. Such defects include: reduced body (two days after L4
stage: wt mean = 1.19 mm; Δ-uHOT mean = 0.79 mm - Figure S4C,D) and brood sizes (wt
mean = 164; Δ-uHOT mean = 28 - Figure S4E), and embryonic lethality or larval arrest (L1
stage) (survival to adult stage wt = 99.9%; Δ-uHOT = 3.9% - Figure S4F). To note, embryos
managed to finish embryogenesis but die immediately before or shortly after hatching. This
phenotype differs from both full knock-out of *dlg-1* where embryos survive until shortly after
mid-embryogenesis (2-fold stage) (12) and its knock-down where animals develop normally,
only at a slower pace (24). These results show that deletion of the upstream HOT region
causes a reduction in *dlg-1* mRNA and consequently DLG-1-GFP protein levels in embryonic
cells where *dlg-1* is normally expressed, leading to defects in junctional integrity and overall
development. We conclude that the upstream HOT region is required for correct junction
formation, epithelial integrity and normal animal development. Live imaging analyses on the

synt-INT1 strain compared to wild-type (wt) did not reveal any differences at protein level (wt

mean = 1.00, STDEV = 0.31; synt-INT1 mean = 1.03, STDEV = 0.30 - Figure 2D) or distribution

of DLG-1-GFP in embryonic seam cells (Figure 2E), where intron 1 is permissive of

transcription (Figure 1F and S3). Likewise, smFISH experiments did not show differences at

level and distribution of *dlg-1* mRNA (Figure 2F). In line with these findings, synt-INT1 showed

no evident phenotypes at any stage of development compared to wt. These data show that the

replacement of the long intron 1 of *dlg-1* with a short synthetic intron does not alter mRNA or

protein levels or distribution in embryonic seam cells. These results suggest that intron 1 is not

required for *dlg-1* expression or function in cells where it however is permissive of transcription.

In summary, we described the roles of two key regulatory HOT regions in the *dlg-1*

locus in modulating gene expression and development in the *C. elegans* embryo: a conserved

portion of an upstream HOT region located over four Kb upstream of the CDS (HOT3), and

another HOT region included in first long intron. HOT regions in *C. elegans* have been

predominantly associated with promoters and were often considered non-functional or simply

reflective of accessible chromatin. Our data provide clear functional evidence that the

upstream HOT region can instead act as main driver of transcription and key for normal

development. Specifically, we found that a 330 bp fragment within HOT3 is sufficient to drive

strong and spatially patterned expression in embryonic cells, closely resembling the

endogenous *dlg-1* expression profile. Deletion of the key regulatory sequence in the upstream

HOT region determines a partial loss-of-function phenotype, mainly causing late embryonic

lethality and L1 arrest. Nevertheless, such phenotypes are probably not the sole result of

reduction in *dlg-1* mRNA, and consequently DLG-1 protein levels, as they are more severe

than in other known mutants in which levels are affected, but the CDS of *dlg-1* is not (24). The

upstream HOT region interacts with other genomic regions (1) and its depletion might affect

gene expression of other genes beyond *dlg-1* itself. We also showed that the first intron acts

additively with the upstream region to boost gene expression exclusively in developing

epidermal cells in the context of transcriptional reporters. Despite that, exchanging the intron

1 with a synthetic one in the endogenous locus has not effect at the protein level, suggesting

additional (co- or post-transcriptional) regulations to be in place to coordinate the translational

output. The presence of shared TF binding both HOT/enhancer regions, HOT3 and the first

intron of *dlg-1*, suggests that regulatory elements far upstream the CDS and intronic regions

can function cooperatively. This discovery challenges previous reports that HOT regions are

functionally isolated modules, and instead suggest a possible cooperative transcriptional

regulation logic.

Conclusions

Together, our findings illustrate how non-canonical regulatory elements (distal and intronic HOT regions) are integrated to fine-tune gene expression in a cell-type-specific manner during development. These results help to clarify the functional potential of HOT regions in *C. elegans* and expand our understanding of how promoter and enhancers contribute to precise spatiotemporal control of gene expression in compact genomes like the one of *C. elegans.*

Methods

Nematode culture

C. elegans strains were maintained as previously described (25) at 20°C. Embryos were imaged at the comma stage. For a detailed list of transgenic lines used in this study, see Table S1.

Generation of transgenic lines

Transgenes carrying the different promoters analyzed in this study (amplified from N2 lysate) were fused to an H2B sequence (amplified from N2 lysate) paired to an mNG sequence through a flexible linker (amplified from LP598 lysate), and a *tbb-2* 3'UTR (amplified from N2 lysate). These sequences were assembled (NEBuilder® HiFi DNA Assembly Cloning Kit, New England BioLabs, cat#E5520) in the pCFJ150 vector and inserted into the *ttTi5605* locus on chromosome II of the mosSCI strain EG6699 (26). NEB® 10-beta Competent *E. coli* (High Efficiency) (NEB, cat#C3019) competent cells were used for transformation. Full plasmid sequencing from Microsynth was used to confirm the sequences were correct. Plasmids were purified with MIDI preps (NucleoBond Xtra Midi Plus (Macherey - Nagel, cat#740412.10) and single-copy integrated lines were generated following the mosSCI protocol (26). For a detailed list of plasmids used in this study, see Table S1.

Upstream HOT site deletion and synthetic intron 1 replacement lines were obtained using the CRISPR/Cas9 technique, following a previously described protocol (27). For a complete list of reagents (crRNA, repair oligos, and genotyping/sequencing primers), see Table S1.

smFISH

smFISH experiments were performed as previously described (7,24,28). For a full list of smFISH probes, see Table S1.

A widefield microscope FEI "MORE" with total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF), equipped with a Hamamatsu ORCA flash 4.0 cooled sCMOS camera, and a Live Acquisition 2.5 software were used for capturing smFISH images. Images were deconvolved with the Huygens software and processed in OMERO (https://www.openmicroscopy.org/omero/). All figures were assembled in Adobe Illustrator (https://www.adobe.com/).

Live imaging: microscopy, quantitation, and analysis

Live imaging was performed on embryos at the comma stage. Embryos were washed from
plates with 1 ml of water, collected into Eppendorf tubes, and spun-down ("short") for 5 seconds
in a table centrifuge. After removing the supernatant, embryos were resuspended in M9 buffer
and transferred onto poly-L-lysine-coated slides (Thermo Scientific, cat#ER-308B-CE24). A
coverslip was placed on the sample and embryos were imaged immediately.

A widefield ZEISS Axio Imager M2 equipped with a ZEISS Colibri LED light source, a
Hamamatsu ORCA flash 4.0 camera, and ZEN 2.6 software (blue edition) was used for
capturing images for live imaging of *C. elegans* embryos.

Fluorescence signal quantitation of nuclear (from H2B-mNG) and junctional (from DLG-
1-GFP) signals in the different transgenic lines was performed using Fiji, where the maximum
intensity was quantified.

Statistical analyses were performed using R software (R Core Team, 2021;
https://www.R-project.org/).   The   ggplot2   package   (Wickham,   2016;
https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org) was used to generate bar plots with error bars (standard
deviation) and dot plots with box plots. Each dot represents the normalized value to the
corresponding seam cell nucleus or junction. Statistical differences were determined using a
t-test. The clustered column chart was created with Microsoft Excel.

 Figure legends

Figure 1. A small sequence within the upstream HOT region is the man driver of _dlg-1_
transcription. A. Schematic representation of the _dlg-1_ locus: black, exons (thick lines) and
introns/UTR (thin lines); cyan, the first long intron; light grey, distal-most sequence of the
upstream region to the CDS (Distal); dark purple, sequence in and around the upstream HOT
region (uHOT); dark grey, proximal-most sequence of the upstream region (Proximal). Scale
bar: 1 Kb. **B.** Upper-right side: schematic representation of the transgenic constructs used in
this figure: H2B::mNG (mNG) coding sequence containing three synthetic introns (green) and
a _tbb-2_ 3'UTR (white) were under the control of upstream regions with different lengths (white).
The fluorescent images are from live _C. elegans_ embryos (outlined by dashed lines) at the
comma stage and corresponding zoom-ins (squared boxes). The three seam cell nuclei used
for quantitation are highlighted in the zoom-ins with dashed lines. A reference nucleus to
identify the same measured nuclei in all the conditions is highlighted with an asterisk. The
transgene expressed in the exemplifying embryos are stated above each image and
accompanied with a small schematic. Scale bars: 10 µm (whole embryo) and 5 µm (zoom-in).
C. Bar plot with error bars (standard deviation) normalized to the FL. Each dot represents the
maximum fluorescent intensity of a seam cell nucleus. ns: not significant; ***: $p < 0.01$. For raw
data, see Table S1. **D,F.** Upper-right side: schematic representations of the types of transgenic
constructs used in these panels: H2B::mNG (mNG) coding sequence containing three
synthetic introns (green) and a _tbb-2_ 3'UTR (white) were under the control of portions of the
upstream HOT region (purple, D) or the first intron (cyan, F) followed by a minimal _Δpes-10_
promoter (MINp, orange). The fluorescent images are from live _C. elegans_ embryos (outlined
by dashed lines) at the comma stage and corresponding zoom-ins (squared boxes) of the three
seam cell nuclei used for quantitation (smaller dashed lines depict nuclei boundaries). A
reference cell to identify the same three seam cells measured in all the conditions in highlighted
with an asterisk. The transgenes expressed in the embryos are stated above each image and
accompanied with a small schematic. Scale bars: 10 µm (whole embryo) and 5 µm (zoom-in).
E,G. Bar plot with error bars (standard deviation) normalized to the FL. Each dot represents
the maximum fluorescent intensity of a seam cell nucleus (three nuclei per embryo). ns: not
significant; ***: $p < 0.01$. For raw data, see Table S1.

Figure 2. Deletion of the _dlg-1_ upstream HOT region, but not intron 1, determines
reduction at the mRNA and protein levels. A,D. Fluorescent images of zoom-ins on
epidermal cells of live _C. elegans_ embryos at the comma stage. The fluorescence comes from
the GFP paired to endogenous DLG-1 in wild-type (wt, upper panel) or deletion strain for the
upstream HOT region (Δ-uHOT). Scale bar: 5 µm. **B,E.** Bar plot with error bars (standard

deviation) normalized to the wt. In (**B**) comparison of wild-type (wt, gray) to the deletion strain

for the upstream HOT region (Δ, pink). In (**E**) comparison of wild-type (wt, gray) to the

substitution strain for the first intron with a synthetic one (synt, light-blue). Each dot represents

the maximum fluorescent intensity of a junction shared between two seam cells (five junctions

per embryo – posterior-most ones). ns: not significant; ***: $p < 0.01$. For raw data, see Table

S1. **C,F.** Fluorescent images of zoom-ins on epidermal cells of fixes samples of *C. elegans*

embryos at the comma stage. Upper panels, wild-type strain (wt); lower panels, deletion strain

for the upstream HOT region (Δ-uHOT, **C**) or substitution strain for the first intron with a

synthetic one (synt-INT1, **F**). Panels from left to right: smFISH signal from *dlg-1* mRNA using

probes complementary to exon region (magenta); smFISH signal from *dlg-1* pre-mRNA using

probes complementary to intron regions (cyan); antibody stain signal from GFP fused to DLG-

1 (yellow); and merges. Scale bar: 5 µm.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

All data are available in the described sources in the Methods.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

The study has been partially funded by the Forschungsfonds (Excellent Junior Researcher) from the University of Basel (U.570.1040) from CT and the Swiss National Science Foundation (310030_197713) from SEM.

Authors' contribution

Conception of the work (CT and SEM); design of the work (CT); acquisition and analysis (CT, FE and PB); interpretation of data (CT); drafted the work (CT); revised the work (SEM). All authors have read and approved the current version of this manuscript and have agreed to its submission for publication.

Acknowledgments

We thank current and previous lab members of the Mango group for scientific discussions, the Imaging Core Facility (IMCF) of the Biozentrum for technical support, and WormBase. A particular thank to Dr. Camila Pulido for reading and giving comments to the manuscript. Some strains were provided by the CGC, which is funded by the NIH Office of Research Infrastructure Programs (P40 OD010440).

References

1. Huang N, Seow WQ, Appert A, Dong Y, Stempor P, Ahringer J. Accessible Region Conformation Capture (ARC-C) gives high-resolution insights into genome architecture and regulation. Genome Res. 2022 Feb;32(2):357–66.

2. Chen RAJ, Stempor P, Down TA, Zeiser E, Feuer SK, Ahringer J. Extreme HOT regions are CpG-dense promoters in *C. elegans* and humans. Genome Res. 2014 Jul;24(7):1138–46.

3. Gerstein MB, Lu ZJ, Van Nostrand EL, Cheng C, Arshinoff BI, Liu T, et al. Integrative Analysis of the *Caenorhabditis elegans* Genome by the modENCODE Project. Science. 2010 Dec 24;330(6012):1775–87.

4. Van Nostrand EL, Kim SK. Integrative analysis of *C. elegans* modENCODE ChIP-seq data sets to infer gene regulatory interactions. Genome Res. 2013 Jun;23(6):941–53.

5. Jänes J, Dong Y, Schoof M, Serizay J, Appert A, Cerrato C, et al. Chromatin accessibility dynamics across C. elegans development and ageing. eLife. 2018 Oct 26;7:e37344.

6. Bossinger O, Klebes A, Segbert C, Theres C, Knust E. Zonula Adherens Formation in Caenorhabditis elegans Requires dlg-1, the Homologue of the Drosophila Gene discs large. Developmental Biology. 2001 Feb;230(1):29–42.

7. Tocchini C, Rohner M, Guerard L, Ray P, Von Stetina SE, Mango SE. Translation-dependent mRNA localization to *Caenorhabditis elegans* adherens junctions. Development. 2021 Dec 15;148(24):dev200027.

8. Serizay J, Dong Y, Jänes J, Chesney M, Cerrato C, Ahringer J. Distinctive regulatory architectures of germline-active and somatic genes in *C. elegans*. Genome Res. 2020 Dec;30(12):1752–65.

9. Hsu HW, Liao CP, Chiang YC, Syu RT, Pan CL. *C. elegans* flamingo FMI-1 controls dendrite self-avoidance through F-actin assembly. Development. 2020 Jan 1;dev.179168.

10. Kruesi WS, Core LJ, Waters CT, Lis JT, Meyer BJ. Condensin controls recruitment of RNA polymerase II to achieve nematode X-chromosome dosage compensation. eLife [Internet]. 2013 Jun 18 [cited 2025 Jul 25];2. Available from: https://elifesciences.org/articles/00808

11. Blumenthal T. WormBook. Trans-splicing and operons in C elegans. :1–11.

12. McMahon L, Legouis R, Vonesch JL, Labouesse M. Assembly of *C. elegans* apical junctions involves positioning and compaction by LET-413 and protein aggregation by the MAGUK protein DLG-1. Journal of Cell Science. 2001 Jun 15;114(12):2265–77.

13. Fuxman Bass JI, Tamburino AM, Mori A, Beittel N, Weirauch MT, Reece-Hoyes JS, et al. Transcription factor binding to Caenorhabditis elegans first introns reveals lack of redundancy with gene promoters. Nucleic Acids Research. 2014 Jan 1;42(1):153–62.

14. Gaudet J, Muttumu S, Horner M, Mango SE. Whole-Genome Analysis of Temporal Gene Expression during Foregut Development. Ronald H. A. Plasterk, editor. PLoS Biol. 2004 Oct 19;2(11):e352.

15. Zhong M, Niu W, Lu ZJ, Sarov M, Murray JI, Janette J, et al. Genome-Wide Identification of Binding Sites Defines Distinct Functions for Caenorhabditis elegans PHA-4/FOXA in Development and Environmental Response. Copenhaver GP, editor. PLoS Genet. 2010 Feb 19;6(2):e1000848.

387   16.   Spicuglia S, Vanhille L. Chromatin signatures of active enhancers. Nucleus. 2012
388   Mar;3(2):126–31.

389   17.   Isiaka BN, Semple JI, Haemmerli A, Thapliyal S, Stojanovski K, Das M, et al. Cohesin
390   forms fountains at active enhancers in *C. elegans* [Internet]. Genomics; 2023 [cited 2025
391   Jun 12]. Available from: http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2023.07.14.549011

392   18.   Kim J, Wang H, Ercan S. Cohesin organizes 3D DNA contacts surrounding active
393   enhancers in *C. elegans* [Internet]. Genomics; 2023 [cited 2025 Jun 12]. Available from:
394   http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2023.09.18.558239

395   19.   Sheffield M, Loveless T, Hardin J, Pettitt J. C. elegans Enabled Exhibits Novel
396   Interactions with N-WASP, Abl, and Cell-Cell Junctions. Current Biology. 2007
397   Oct;17(20):1791–6.

398   20.   Fire A, Harrison SW, Dixon D. A modular set of lacZ fusion vectors for studying gene
399   expression in Caenorhabditis elegans. Gene. 1990 Jan;93(2):189–98.

400   21.   Bradnam KR, Korf I. Longer First Introns Are a General Property of Eukaryotic Gene
401   Structure. Christoffels A, editor. PLoS ONE. 2008 Aug 29;3(8):e3093.

402   22.   Brabin C, Appleford PJ, Woollard A. The Caenorhabditis elegans GATA Factor ELT-1
403   Works through the Cell Proliferation Regulator BRO-1 and the Fusogen EFF-1 to Maintain
404   the Seam Stem-Like Fate. Hardin JD, editor. PLoS Genet. 2011 Aug 4;7(8):e1002200.

405   23.   Jorgensen EM, Mango SE. The art and design of genetic screens: Caenorhabditis
406   elegans. Nat Rev Genet. 2002 May 1;3(5):356–69.

407   24.   Tocchini C, Mango SE. An adapted MS2-MCP system to visualize endogenous
408   cytoplasmic mRNA with live imaging in Caenorhabditis elegans. Ketting RF, editor. PLoS
409   Biol. 2024 Mar 1;22(3):e3002526.

410   25.   Brenner S. The genetics of Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics. 1974 May;77(1):71–94.

411   26.   Frøkjær-Jensen C, Wayne Davis M, Hopkins CE, Newman BJ, Thummel JM, Olesen
412   SP, et al. Single-copy insertion of transgenes in Caenorhabditis elegans. Nat Genet. 2008
413   Nov;40(11):1375–83.

414   27.   Ghanta KS, Ishidate T, Mello CC. Microinjection for precision genome editing in
415   Caenorhabditis elegans. STAR Protocols. 2021 Sep;2(3):100748.

416   28.   Tsanov N, Samacoits A, Chouaib R, Traboulsi AM, Gostan T, Weber C, et al. smiFISH
417   and FISH-quant – a flexible single RNA detection approach with super-resolution capability.
418   Nucleic Acids Res. 2016 Dec 15;44(22):e165–e165.

Fig.1, Tocchini *et al.*

A wt

Δ-uHOT

B
Normalized DLG-1-GFP fluorescence intensities

***

B.G.: wt   Δ

C *dlg-1* mRNA   *dlg-1* pre-mRNA   DLG-1-GFP   Merge

wt

Δ-uHOT

D wt

synt-INT1

E
Normalized DLG-1-GFP fluorescence intensities

ns

B.G.: wt   synt

F *dlg-1* mRNA   *dlg-1* pre-mRNA   DLG-1-GFP   Merge

wt

synt-INT1

Fig.2, Tocchini *et al.*