Noradrenaline and microglia maintain plasticity–rigidity balance to

safeguard rapid emotional learning in the prefrontal cortex

Mio Tajiri[1, 3], Hidefumi Omi[1, 3], Airi Inoue[1], Hayato Etani[1], Takeshi Sawada[1], Yusuke Iino[1],
Sho Yagishita[1, 2]*

[1] Laboratory of Structural Physiology, Center for Disease Biology and Integrative
Medicine, Graduate School of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan
[2] International Research Center for Neurointelligence (WPI-IRCN), UTIAS, The
University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan
[3] These authors contributed equally to this work.

15 * Corresponding author: yagishita-tky@umin.ac.jp

Abstract

Balancing plasticity and rigidity is essential for brain function. While the neocortex typically shifts toward rigidity during development to preserve circuit integrity, certain forms of socially acquired emotional learning involve rapid remodeling in the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC), raising the question of how such flexibility is achieved without compromising pre-existing representations. We found that activity-dependent dendritic spine enlargement is actively suppressed by microglia via a humoral factor in the adolescent mPFC. Noradrenaline lifted this suppression through cAMP signaling in microglia, permitting spine enlargement. Disruption of this noradrenaline-dependent pathway in the mPFC impaired the rapid acquisition of socially learned fear. Conversely, localized microglial ablation enhanced learning efficiency but disrupted emotional boundaries, inducing social fear learning to alter pain sensitivity. These findings demonstrate that noradrenaline and microglia regulate the plasticity–rigidity balance within an optimal range in the mPFC, enabling rapid social learning while safeguarding the functional segregation of emotional circuits.

Main

Synaptic plasticity enables the brain to learn from new experiences [1,2], but excessive plasticity can destabilize established neural circuits—a trade-off known as the plasticity–rigidity dilemma [3,4]. To manage this, plasticity must be tightly regulated in a region- and development-specific manner. In the neocortex, this balance shifts toward rigidity during maturation, favoring stable long-term memory storage at the expense of flexibility. This shift is reflected in the developmental decline in plasticity following the juvenile-to-adolescent transition [5–9], and in reliance on slower circuit-modification processes such as systems consolidation in the mature brain [3,4,10]. Yet, certain forms of socially acquired emotional learning occur within a single day and involve rapid remodeling of synaptic weights and neural activity in the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) [11,12]. The cellular mechanisms that enable such rapid learning while preserving the integrity of existing emotional circuits in the mature mPFC remain poorly understood.

The developmental decline of neocortical long-term potentiation (LTP) [5–9], a key form of synaptic plasticity, has traditionally been attributed to neuron-intrinsic changes [8,13,14]. However, the capacity for rapid synaptic remodeling in the mPFC requires core plasticity machinery to remain accessible under specific conditions. Increasing evidence instead points to a regulatory role for glial cells—particularly microglia—in shaping synaptic development [15,16], raising the possibility that they might contribute to the developmental attenuation of neocortical LTP. Moreover, recent studies show that noradrenaline (NA), a

classical neuromodulator known to promote plasticity and learning in the neocortex [17–23],

also acts directly on microglia [24,25], suggesting a convergence of plasticity-related

signals onto this cell type.

In this study, we tested whether dendritic spine enlargement, or structural LTP (sLTP)

[26,27], in the mPFC declined during the transition from juvenile to adolescent stages, and

identified a role for microglia in mediating this developmental suppression. Using

two-photon glutamate uncaging, we induced sLTP by stimulating individual dendritic

spines, which promotes glutamate receptor recruitment for synaptic potentiation [28–30].

We then examined whether NA can relieve microglial suppression of sLTP and whether

this mechanism is engaged during the rapid learning through vicarious fear. Finally, we

asked whether this plasticity-suppressing mechanism contributes to preserving

functional integrity of emotional circuits during learning.

Microglial suppression of spine enlargement during development

We first characterized neocortical sLTP in adolescent and juvenile mice in the presence

and absence of microglia. To ablate microglia, we locally injected clodronate into the

mPFC, confirming nearly 90% ablation across a wide area of the mPFC 1–2 days after

injection (Supplementary Figure 1A−C)[31]. To visualize microglia in acute slices, we

generated double transgenic mice carrying a microglia-specific Cre driver allele,

Tmem119-CreERT2, that maintains endogenous transmembrane protein 119

(Tmem119) gene expression via a P2A sequence [32], and an allele of a Cre-dependent

tdTomato reporter (Ai14), achieving more than 99% coverage in the mPFC (Figure 1A, Supplementary Figure 1D−G).

Coronal acute slices containing the mPFC were prepared from adolescent (5 to 7 weeks) and juvenile (2 to 3 weeks of age) mice. Layer 5 pyramidal neurons in the mPFC were subjected to whole-cell recordings and perfused with Alexa 488 to visualize dendritic spines using two-photon microscopy (980 nm) (Figure 1B−D, Supplementary Figure 1H,I). Small spines, located on the first to third branches of the apical dendrites (20 to 50 μm below the surface of the slice), were selected for plasticity induction. Caged glutamate (4 mM CDNI-Glu) was locally applied via a pressure pipette, and a two-photon laser was directed to the tip of the spine head (720 nm, 0.6 ms, 4−6 mW, adjusted depending on the depth of the dendrite). Uncaging stimulation of 3 or 4 spines, which elicited excitatory postsynaptic potential, was followed by action potentials within 10 ms, induced by current injections according to the STDP stimulation protocol (10 pairings at 10 Hz in a 10-s interval, repeated 15 times, Figure 1E, Supplementary Figure 1J) [33,34].

The STDP stimulation caused a transient increase in the spine volume, which returned to its initial level by 50 minutes in adolescent mice (Figure 1F; n = 5 dendrites, including 19 spines from 4 mice) but was sustained by 50 minutes in juvenile mice (2−3 weeks of age) (Figure 1G; n = 7 dendrites, including 28 spines from 3 mice). Ablation of microglia restored sustained sLTP in adolescent mice to levels resembling those in juveniles, while having little effect in the juvenile group (Figure 1H−K; clodronate in adolescent, n

= 7 dendrites, including 27 spines from 5 mice; clodronate in juvenile, n = 6 dendrites, including 20 spines from 3 mice). The neighboring spines remained stable, indicating that microglial ablation preserved stimulation-specific sLTP (Figure 1H, I). Another microglia-ablating compound, PLX3397 [35], replicated the effect of clodronate in adolescent mice (Supplementary Figure 1K−M; 6 dendrites, including 23 spines from 3 mice).

Plotting the volume changes against age reveals an age-dependent decline in sLTP in the presence of microglia (Figure 1L; w/o clodronate, data shown in Figure 1F,G, and additional data from mice aged 3−5 weeks; n = 16 dendrites, including 64 spines from 12 mice in total), but this decline was not observed in the absence of microglia (w/ clodronate, data shown in Figure 1H,I; n = 13 dendrites, including 47 spines from 8 mice in total). Given the established correlation between dendritic spine volume and glutamate sensitivity in the neocortex [36,37], these findings are consistent with previously shown developmental decline in LTP [5,7,8] during the transition from juvenile to adolescent. Our data further suggest that microglia acquire a suppressive role during this developmental window, whereas pyramidal neurons retain an intrinsic capacity for sLTP.

The absence of sLTP in adolescents might depend on stimulation strength. To investigate this, we performed a plasticity induction experiment in magnesium-free extracellular solution (Supplementary Figure 2A), which induces a strong Ca^{2+} influx upon glutamate uncaging without action potentials, a widely adopted method for

inducing sLTP in the hippocampus [28–30]. Even under this magnesium-free condition,

glutamate uncaging (0.6 ms pulse, 5 Hz, 300 times) did not induce sLTP in slices from

adolescent mice (Supplementary Figure 2B,C; 7 dendrites, including 32 spines from 5

mice), but sLTP was induced in microglia-ablated slices (Supplementary Figure 2B,D,E;

5 dendrites, including 18 spines from 4 mice), replicating the results obtained with STDP

stimulation (Figure 1J).

The same magnesium-free protocol was sufficient to induce sLTP in the spines of

spiny-projection neurons in the nucleus accumbens, which is located in the same

section as the mPFC in adolescent mice (Supplementary Figure 2F,G; 5 dendrites,

including 19 spines from 4 mice). Given that the STDP stimulation protocol in the

nucleus accumbens requires G_s stimulation through dopamine or adenosine for sLTP

induction [33,34], the sufficiency of the magnesium-free condition for sLTP supports that

this stimulation protocol is stronger than the STDP protocol. In contrast to the mPFC of

adolescent mice, microglial ablation did not further promote sLTP in the nucleus

accumbens (Figure 1M, Supplementary Figure 2F,H; 5 dendrites, including 19 spines

from 4 mice), indicating regional differences in the microglial suppression mechanism.

Acute slice preparation might have altered microglial status [38]. A previous study on

microglia in acute slices reported a gradual increase in tissue ATP levels over 5 hours

after slice preparation, which caused morphological changes in microglia through

receptors such as $P2Y_{12}$ receptors [38]. Because we observed microglia ablation effects

only in the mPFC from adolescent mice, it is possible that microglia in the adolescent

PFC are more susceptible to these ATP-related alterations than those in the nucleus accumbens or those in the juvenile PFC. We thus reanalysed the results with STDP stimulation only by splitting the data into the first 2.5 hours and the later. However, we found no significant difference between these two periods (Supplementary Figure 3A). Additionally, the inhibition of $P2Y_{12}$ receptors did not induce plasticity with STDP alone (Supplementary Figure 3B; 7 dendrites, including 27 spines from 5 mice). These data indicate that the known alterations in microglia due to slice preparation cannot solely account for the suppressive effect in the adolescent mPFC.

Together, these results indicate that during the transition from juvenile to adolescent stages, microglia in the mPFC acquire a suppressive function that limits sLTP induction across stimulation paradigms, while pyramidal neurons retain their capacity for plasticity.

Downstream signaling of microglial suppression

Having established that microglia suppress sLTP during adolescence, we next asked how this suppression is implemented at the cellular and molecular level, which may involve direct contact of microglia on spines or the release of humoral factors [39–48]. If suppression requires physical contact, STDP stimulation should recruit microglial processes around stimulated spines. In acute slices from Tmem119-CreERT2; Ai14 (Figure 2A), where more than 99% of microglia express tdTomato (Supplementary Figure 1F), microglial protrusions were dynamically recruited to the site of adenosine triphosphate (ATP) application via a pipette within 10 minutes (Figure 2B,C), as

previously reported [49]. When dendritic spines were simultaneously visualized with

microglia, less than 25% of dendritic spines were located near microglial processes

before stimulation (Supplementary Figure 4A−C). Unlike ATP application, STDP

stimulation did not recruit the microglial processes to the stimulated spines based on the

analyses of intensity (Figure 2C,D) or contact counts (Supplementary Figure 4C). Our

results therefore did not support a mechanism involving physical contact.

We thus tested whether humoral factors—tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) and

interleukin-1β (IL-1β) —previously suggested to suppress LTP in the hippocampus [42–44]

and preferentially expressed in the microglia in the neocortex [50–54], would also suppress

sLTP in the mPFC. In microglia-ablated slices (Figure 2E), the presence of TNF-α (10

nM, bath) [55] but not IL-1β (1 ng/ml, bath) [43] suppressed STDP-induced sLTP (Figure

2E−G, Supplementary Figure 4E,F; TNF-α, n = 6 dendrites, including 21 spines from 4

mice; IL-1β, n = 6 dendrites, including 21 spines from 4 mice). TNF-α can signal through

a soluble form released after cleavage by TNF-α converting enzymes (TACE) or A

disintegrin and metalloprotease 17 (ADAM17) [56,57]. Inhibition of TACE/ADAM17 by

TAPI-0 (1 μM, bath) allowed sLTP in the presence of microglia (Figure 2H,

Supplementary Figure 5G; w/o TAPI-0, n = 8 dendrites, including 31 spines from 4 mice;

w/ TAPI-0, n = 6 dendrites, including 17 spines from 5 mice). Another class of TNF-α

inhibitor, pomalidomide (100 μM, bath) [58,59] also supported the involvement of TNF-α

signaling (Supplementary Figure 5H; n = 6 dendrites, including 21 spines from 4 mice).

Furthermore, the presence of TNF-α suppressed sLTP even in the juvenile mPFC

(Figure 2I, Supplementary Figure 4I,J; n = 7 dendrites, including 26 spines from 5 mice).

Given that microglia are a major source of TNF-α [52–54], these results suggest that

microglia suppress sLTP through the humoral action of TNF-α in adolescent mice,

possibly reflecting developmental changes in microglial properties [60].

Microglia-mediated actions of NA on spine enlargement

Since sLTP could be restored in adolescent slices by microglial ablation, we next tested

whether endogenous neuromodulatory signals could relieve this suppression under

physiological conditions. NA was a strong candidate, given its established role in

modulating neocortical synaptic plasticity [17,18,20] and its direct effects on microglial

function [24,25]. We thus locally delivered NA (50 μM) via a pressure pipette along with the

caged-glutamate starting 3−5 minutes before the onset of STDP stimulation to mimic

the transient release of NA seen *in vivo* [61] (Figure 3A−G). We found that the presence of

NA during STDP stimulation enhanced sustained sLTP in the stimulated spines up to 50

minutes (Figure 3B,C,G; STDP only, n = 9 dendrites, including 36 spines from 6 mice;

STDP with NA, n = 7 dendrites, including 22 spines from 6 mice; P = 0.028, post hoc

Steel's test against STDP after Kruskal–Wallis test against the following experiments

shown in Figure 3C−G, Supplementary Figure 5A−H). In contrast, the presence of

dopamine (50 μM, puff), another catecholamine that affects spine dynamics *in vivo* [62],

did not promote sLTP, unlike in the nucleus accumbens [34] (Figure 3G, Supplementary Figure 5A; n = 6 dendrites, including 24 spines from 4 mice; P = 1.00).

Next, we characterized downstream signaling of NA by pharmacologically perturbing G_s-coupled β adrenergic receptors (ARs), which stimulate cAMP production, and phosphodiesterase (PDE), which degrades cAMP, given that the β-ARs-cAMP-PKA pathway generally facilitates LTP [63,64]. RNA-seq data provide comprehensive information on the cellular distribution of β-ARs in the neocortex [50,65], and show that $β_1$- and $β_3$-ARs are primarily localized to neurons and astrocytes, while $β_2$-ARs are predominantly expressed in microglia. Bath application of ICI118,551 (1 μM, $β_2$ inverse agonist) blocked NA-enhanced sLTP (Figure 3D,G, Supplementary Figure 5B, n = 6 dendrites, including 23 spines from 3 mice; P = 1.00), whereas the bath application of metoprolol (1 μM, $β_1$ inverse agonist) did not (Figure 3D,G, Supplementary Figure 5C, n = 7 dendrites including 28 spines from 5 mice; P = 0.038). Furthermore, puff application of clenbuterol (10 μM, a $β_2$ agonist) was able to reproduce the effect of NA on sLTP (Figure 3E, Supplementary Figure 5D, n = 7 dendrites, including 23 spines from 7 mice; P = 0.028). Among the PDE families, PDE3b is preferentially expressed in microglia [66], while PDE4 subtypes are expressed in pyramidal neurons [50]. We hypothesized that the presence of a PDE inhibitor could substitute for the effects of G_s-coupled receptor stimulation. STDP alone caused sLTP in the presence of cilostamide (1 μM bath, PDE3 inhibitor) (Figure 3F,G, Supplementary Figure 5E; n = 7 dendrites, including 27 spines from 5 mice; P = 0.028). In contrast, rolipram (1 μM bath, PDE4 inhibitor), at a concentration known to enhance hippocampal LTP [67], did not facilitate sLTP (Figure

3F,G, Supplementary Figure 5F; n = 6 dendrites, including 24 spines from 3 mice; P = 0.84). These pharmacological results are consistent with a hypothesis that NA acted via β_2-ARs on microglia to promote sLTP.

Further pharmacological experiments confirmed that the NA-dependent sLTP was abolished in the presence of D-AP5 (50 µM, bath application; NMDA receptor antagonist) (Figure 3G, Supplementary Figure 5G; n = 7 dendrites, including 27 spines from 6 mice; P = 0.99), or PKI (10 µM, a PKA inhibitor, in the intracellular solution) (Figure 3G, Supplementary Figure 5H; n = 6 dendrites, including 23 spines from 4 mice; P = 0.98). We then tested whether expressing the CaMKII inhibitory peptide (autocamtide-2-related inhibitory peptide, AIP) prevents sLTP[33,68]. We delivered AIP through an AAV vector under CaMKII promoter (Figure 3H). NA-dependent sLTP was observed in neurons expressing the control vector (Figure 3I, Supplementary Figure 5I; n = 6 dendrites, including 24 spines from 6 mice) but was blocked in AIP-expressing neurons (Figure 3I, Supplementary Figure 5J, n = 7 dendrites, including 23 spines from 4 mice). These data indicate that the essential signaling mechanisms for sLTP are similar to those described in the hippocampus and striatum [33,34,69].

Using microglia-specific chemogenetic inhibition of cAMP signaling, we further addressed whether microglia mediate the action of NA (Figure 3J). We used double-transgenic mice expressing hM4Di, a $G_{i/o}$-coupled receptor that suppresses cAMP production in a Cre-dependent manner (R26-LSL-hM4Di-mCitrine)[70] under the control of Tmem119-CreERT2 (Figure 3K). This combination has been employed in

recent studies to modulate microglial functions [71]. The R26-LSL-hM4Di-mCitrine line is known to exhibit leak expression, which was observed in 3% of cells in the mPFC (Supplementary Figure 6A−C). After repeated intraperitoneal tamoxifen injections (Supplementary Figure 6D), we confirmed the expression of the chemogenetic probe in microglia in fixed slices, along with leak expression in other cells (Supplementary Figure 6E,F).

In acute slices, we recorded from pyramidal neurons without detectable mCitrine fluorescence and applied a potent hM4Di actuator, deschloroclozapine (DCZ, 100 nM)[72–74] in the bath. We found that the presence of Cre prevented sLTP induction by STDP stimulation with NA (Figure 3M−O; without Cre, n = 6 dendrites, including 24 spines from 3 mice; with Cre, n = 6 dendrites, including 24 spines from 4 mice). Importantly, STDP-induced sLTP was fully preserved in non-Cre slices from the same double-transgenic mice (Figure 3C vs. Figure 3M), indicating that leak expression and potential off-target effects of DCZ did not impair plasticity. Thus, these results indicate that microglial cAMP signaling mediates the NA enhancement effects on spine enlargement.

Microglial ablation experiments suggested that TNF-α suppresses plasticity downstream of microglia (Figure 2G, Figure 3J), indicating that TNF-α may interfere with NA's effects on plasticity. Supporting this, TNF-α application reduced NA-enabled sLTP induced by STDP (Figure 3P, Supplementary Figure 6G, w/o TNF-α, n = 6 dendrites, 24 spines from 5 mice; w/ TNF-α, n = 8 dendrites, 31 spines from 5 mice).

Collectively, our *ex vivo* experiments demonstrate that, although microglia adopt a suppressive role in plasticity following brain maturation, NA can counteract this effect via β_2-ARs and cAMP signaling within microglia, thereby enabling sLTP in the mPFC.

NA-dependent plasticity signaling in rapid social learning

We next tested whether the NA-dependent plasticity pathway contributes to the rapid acquisition of social learning using the observational fear learning (OFL) paradigm [11,75–77]. Pairs of male adolescent mice (6–7 weeks old) were co-housed for 4 days. On day 1, one mouse (the observer) received two foot shocks (0.4 mA, 2 s) in a conditioning chamber (Figure 4A). On day 2, the cage mate (the demonstrator) was placed in the same chamber and exposed to 24 tone–shock pairings (6 kHz tone, 5 s; 0.7 mA shock, 2 s). Tone and shock presentations elicited transient freezing in observers regardless of demonstrator presence, likely due to novelty, but sustained freezing occurred only when observers witnessed their cage mate being shocked (Figure 4B, Supplementary Figure 7A; with demonstrator, n = 8; without, n = 6). On day 3, memory was tested by presenting the tone alone (6 kHz, 60 s, 3 trials). Observer mice exhibited robust freezing during tone presentation, but only if they had previously witnessed the demonstrator being shocked (Figure 4C, Supplementary Figure 7B). Although female mice also acquired OFL, their freezing responses on day 2 were weaker (Supplementary Figure 7C–F, n = 6), and because day 2 freezing was used as

an internal reference for subsequent experiments, females were excluded from further

testing.

We then assessed NA dynamics in the mPFC using the GPCR-based fluorescent

sensor GRAB-NE$_{2m}$ [78]. An AAV expressing GRAB-NE$_{2m}$ under the synapsin promoter

was injected into the right mPFC, and fluorescence was recorded via an implanted

optical fiber (Figure 4D). As a positive control, direct foot shock elicited a transient

fluorescence increase that peaked within seconds and decayed over tens of seconds

(Supplementary Figure 7G,H; n = 5 mice), consistent with prior reports [79]. During OFL,

GRAB-NE$_{2m}$ signals in observer mice increased transiently during the 240-second shock

period delivered to demonstrator mice on day 2 (Figure 4E, Supplementary Figure 7I, n

= 6 mice), consistent with noradrenergic axon activity patterns [61]. In contrast, no signal

increase was observed on day 3 during cue-driven recall (Supplementary Figure 7J–L).

These results indicate that demonstrator distress evokes a sustained, minute-scale NA

response in the mPFC, resembling the timing of NA application in slice experiments

(Figure 3A,C). Although GRAB-NE$_{2m}$ was expressed in neurons, this volume-transmitted

NA signal likely reflects levels accessible to microglia.

To test the role of β_2-ARs in the acquisition of OFL, we bilaterally infused ICI118,551 or

ACSF as a vehicle control into the mPFC on day 2 through cannulas implanted in

advance (Figure 4F). The infusion of ICI118,551 did not affect the expression of the

freezing response during conditioning but subsequently inhibited freezing on day 3

(Figure 4G,H, Supplementary Figure 7M,N, Vehicle, n = 7 mice; ICI118,551, n = 6 mice).

Because freezing during conditioning typically correlates with memory strength [80], this
dissociation suggests that β_2-AR blockade selectively impaired memory formation rather
than the expression of fear. Combined with previous reports that non-selective β-AR
antagonists suppress vicarious fear responses [61], these findings suggest a preferential
role for β_2-AR in the mPFC for memory formation, while β_1-AR may contribute more
directly to fear expression.

Given that AIP prevented sLTP induction, we expressed AIP bilaterally in the mPFC as
well as anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) (Figure 4I, Supplementary Figure 8A), which did
not affect conditioning on day 2 (Figure 4J, Supplementary Figure 8B, mCherry, n = 10
mice; AIP, n = 8 mice) but impaired freezing on day 3 test (Figure 4K, Supplementary
Figure 8C), similar to the β_2 blocker experiment.

These results indicate that the NA-dependent plasticity pathway in the mPFC is required
for the rapid formation of social fear learning in adolescent male mice.

313 Microglial role in rapid social learning

Based on our slice experiments (Figure 1J), we predicted that microglial ablation in the
mPFC would enhance the acquisition of observational fear learning (OFL). Clodronate
was unilaterally injected into the right mPFC on day 0 via a glass pipette, with spread
extending into the anterior ACC by the following day (Figure 5A–C, Supplementary
Figure 9A). To avoid ceiling effects during OFL, demonstrator mice received
lower-intensity foot shocks (0.2 mA) with fewer repetitions (12 trials) on day 2 (Figure

5A). Under this subthreshold protocol, control observer mice showed freezing during conditioning (Figure 5D, Supplementary Figure 9B) but responded only modestly to the tone during testing on day 3 (Figure 5E, Supplementary Figure 9C). Microglial ablation did not affect freezing during conditioning (Figure 5D, Supplementary Figure 9B; Vehicle, n = 7 mice; Clodronate, n = 6 mice) but significantly enhanced freezing on day 3 (Figure 5E, Supplementary Figure 9C). These results support the hypothesis that microglial ablation facilitates learning rather than simply enhancing threat sensitivity.

We next asked whether enhanced plasticity in the absence of microglia might lead to the recruitment of task irrelevant emotion-related circuits. Pain is an emotional function regulated in part by the mPFC, including the ACC, where synaptic potentiation modulates sensitivity [81,82]. Notably, some neurons in this region encode overlapping representations of pain and vicarious fear [83], suggesting that precise plasticity control is required to update vicarious fear memories without interfering with pain-related processing. We therefore hypothesized that OFL in the absence of microglia could alter pain sensitivity in a learning-dependent manner. To assess pain sensitivity, we used the thermal place preference (TPP) test [84], in which mice were allowed to freely explore two plates maintained at different temperatures. Avoidance of the warmer plate serves as a readout of thermal nociception. Control mice showed a modest tendency to avoid 40 °C, no discrimination between identical 30 °C plates, and consistent avoidance of the 50 °C plate (Supplementary Figure 9D; n = 8 mice).

We repeated OFL using the standard protocol to compare animals with and without

microglial ablation in the right mPFC (Figure 5F). Freezing levels on both day 2 and day

3 were comparable between groups, consistent with a ceiling effect under these

conditions (Figure 5G, H, Supplementary Figure 9E–G; Vehicle, $n = 7$ mice; Clodronate,

$n = 7$ mice). We then assessed thermal sensitivity using the TPP test (30 °C vs. 40 °C)

and found that vehicle-injected mice did not differ from naïve controls ("No task"),

indicating that OFL alone did not alter thermal nociception (Figure 5I). In contrast, mice

that underwent OFL in the absence of microglia (clodronate-injected) showed

significantly increased thermal aversion (Figure 5I). This effect was absent in

clodronate-injected mice exposed to the task chamber without a demonstrator (Figure

5G–I, Supplementary Figure 9F, G; Clodronate Dem (−), $n = 7$ mice). These findings

indicate that, in the absence of microglia, social fear learning can aberrantly enhance

pain sensitivity, inducing experience-dependent cross-talk between emotional circuits.

To determine whether enhanced thermal aversion reflects a unique requirement for

prefrontal synaptic plasticity during social learning, we performed auditory fear

conditioning using direct fear experience. Mice received four tone–shock pairings on

day 1 (Supplementary Figure 8D), followed by tone presentations on day 2. In contrast

to its effect on OFL, CaMKII inhibition via AIP expression in the mPFC did not impair the

rapid acquisition of fear conditioning from direct experience (Supplementary Figure 8E,

F; mCherry, $n = 7$ mice; AIP, $n = 5$ mice), consistent with previous findings of delayed

synaptic remodeling in the mPFC [10]. Likewise, microglial ablation in the right mPFC did

not affect conditioning or subsequent thermal sensitivity (Figure 5K, Supplementary

Figure 9H, I; Vehicle, n = 7 mice; Clodronate, n = 8 mice). These findings highlight a

selective requirement for prefrontal plasticity mechanisms in supporting rapid learning

from social—but not direct—fear experiences.

365 Discussion

We found that microglia suppress sLTP in the mPFC during the transition from juvenile

to adolescent stages. Although microglial ablation restored plasticity to juvenile levels

and enhanced social fear learning, it also caused vicarious fear learning to spill over into

heightened pain sensitivity, suggesting that the rigidity control by microglia safeguarding

the functional separation of emotional circuits. Under physiological conditions, NA

facilitates plasticity by counteracting the microglial mechanisms via β_2-adrenergic

receptors and cAMP signaling, enabling rapid social learning without affecting pain

sensitivity. These findings demonstrate that NA and microglia jointly regulate prefrontal

synaptic plasticity within an optimal range, balancing learning efficiency with the

preservation of emotional boundaries.

Using two-photon glutamate uncaging, we isolated the postsynaptic component of

neocortical synaptic plasticity and confirmed its developmental decline during the

transition from juvenile to adolescent stages, consistent with previous reports [5–9]. In

contrast to earlier models attributing this decline to neuron-intrinsic mechanisms [7,8,13,14],

our results show that microglia play a critical role, likely through the humoral release of

TNF-α, while pyramidal neurons retain their plastic potential at least until 7 weeks of age

(Figure 1I). Although we cannot fully exclude the possibility that microglial suppression

of sLTP reflects artefacts during slice preparation, behavioral learning experiments with

β_2-ARs inhibition and microglial ablation are consistent with the microglial suppression

model. Elevated plasticity during the juvenile period may promote adaptive circuit tuning

[1,14], but also risks destabilizing mature networks, as predicted by modeling studies [3,4]. By

ablating microglia, we directly tested this hypothesis, demonstrating that microglia

protect circuits from excessive experience-driven modifications in the mature mPFC.

Unlike inhibitory mechanisms that irreversibly suppress plasticity after the critical period

closure [85], microglial suppression is unique in that it can be reversed by a single

physiological signal of NA.

NA enhances synaptic plasticity in the adolescent mPFC by counteracting

microglia-dependent suppression, extending prior findings on the role of

NA/β-AR/cAMP/PKA signaling in promoting neocortical LTP [17–20,86,87]. Consistent with

earlier work showing that cAMP/PKA signaling in neurons is essential for LTP [88] and

sLTP [34,89], we found that NA-dependent neocortical sLTP required PKA activity in

pyramidal neurons, as shown by sLTP inhibition when the PKA inhibitor PKI was

included in the recording pipette (Figure 3G). However, neuronal PKA activation alone

was insufficient to induce sLTP in the mature mPFC, which additionally required

β_2-ARs/cAMP signaling in microglia to relieve suppression. Given that TNF-α mediates

this suppression, NA may act to suppress TNF-α release, consistent with its known

immunomodulatory effects in monocytes [90,91] and macrophages [92,93].

The plasticity pathway involving NA, β_2-ARs, and CaMKII in the mPFC is necessary for the rapid establishment of social learning, supporting the hypothesis that the mPFC undergoes active synaptic remodeling during observational learning rather than merely relaying social information. In contrast, this pathway was dispensable for learning from direct experience, consistent with prior reports showing that mPFC synaptic potentiation emerges only days after direct conditioning [10]. NA levels rise in the mPFC during both observation and direct shock (Figure 4E, Supplementary Figure 7H), indicating that NA release alone does not account for the selective engagement of plasticity during social learning. Instead, we propose that distinct patterns of glutamatergic activity during social observation uniquely recruit mPFC plasticity. Supporting this, microglial ablation enhanced pain sensitivity only after social—but not direct—fear learning (Figure 5I, K), suggesting that excessive plasticity altered representations beyond the social domain. Given that mPFC neurons often exhibit mixed selectivity [94], with overlapping representations of vicarious fear and pain-related signals [83], plasticity must be tightly constrained. NA–microglia interactions may thus gate synaptic modifications within an optimal range, enabling selective updating for social emotional memory while preserving the integrity of other affective representations.

A similar NA–microglia-dependent plasticity pathway may operate in other cortical regions. Rapid spine remodeling has been observed in motor and sensory cortices [95,96], where sLTP is limited under glutamatergic stimulation alone [97,98], suggesting a possible role for NA-mediated gating. The sustained elevation of NA over minutes during learning (Figure 4E) contrasts with the phasic, subsecond dynamics of striatal dopamine

involved in reinforcement learning [33,34], indicating that neocortical learning may rely on a distinct computational algorithm. While microglia suppressed plasticity and learning in the mPFC, microglia in the hippocampus have been shown to facilitate fear conditioning driven by direct experience [99,100], further highlighting region-specific roles of microglia in plasticity and learning.

In conclusion, microglia safeguard emotional circuitry while enabling rapid social learning by finely regulating synaptic plasticity in the mature mPFC. Incorporating this microglial gating mechanism into computational models of learning may offer new insights into how neural circuits balance plasticity with rigidity [4]. The transition from juvenile to adolescent stages represents a critical period for the emergence of psychiatric disorders [101], during which microglia have been increasingly implicated in pathophysiology [102]. Defining how microglia regulate prefrontal plasticity and emotional learning in pathological contexts may offer a foundation for understanding the cellular bases of psychiatric vulnerability.

Acknowledgement

We thank Y. Li for the GRAB sensor plasmid; K. Hagihara, R. Hira, H. Kasai, A. Kumar, T. Masuda, J. Nagai, T. Okuyama, K. F. Tanaka, and A. Uematsu for helpful discussions; and M. Asaumi, A. Abe, R. Miyashita, A. Kurabayashi, and A. Nishikawa for technical assistance. This work was supported by Brain/MINDS (19dm0207069 to S.Y.) and Multidisciplinary Frontier Brain and Neuroscience Discoveries (Brain/MINDS 2.0) (JP23wm0625001, JP24wm0625308, JP24wm0625101 to S.Y.) from AMED;

449 Author contribution

450 S.Y. conceptualized the study. M.T. performed and A.I. and Y.I. assisted slice

451 experiments. H.O. performed and T.S. assisted behavioral experiments. H.E. produced

452 AAV vectors. All the authors interpreted the data. S.Y., M.T and H.O. drafted and all the

453 authors edited the manuscript.

454 Declaration of interests

455 The authors declare no competing interests.

Methods

Animals

C57BL/6J mice were purchased from Sankyo lab service. Tmem119-CreERT2 mice [C57BL/6-Tmem119 (Cre/ERT2) Gfng/J; stock #031820][32], Ai14 mice [B6.Cg-Gt (ROSA) 26Sortm14 (CAG-tdTomato) Hze/J; stock #007914] and R26-LSL-hM4Di-mCitrine mice [B6.129-Gt (ROSA) 26Sortm1 (CAG-CHRM4*,-mCitrine) Ute/J; stock #026219][70] were obtained from the Jackson Laboratory. Mice were housed on a 12-hour/12-hour light/dark cycle with *ad libitum* access to food and water. All animal experiments were approved by the Animal Experimental Committee of the Faculty of Medicine at the University of Tokyo.

Plasmids and adeno-associated viruses (AAV)

For AAV production, we prepared the following constructs using PCR and Infusion (Takara): pAAV-CaMKII(0.3)-FAS-NLS-mCherry-W and pAAV-CaMKII(0.3)-FAS-NLS-mCherry-P2A-AIP-W, where FAS is loxP-FAS that has no effect in this study, NLS is nuclear localisation sequence, AIP autocamtide 2-related inhibitory peptide (KKALRRQEAVDAL), and P2A a self-cleaving peptide or obtained a plasmid from Addgene (pAAV-hSyn-GRAB-NE2m-W, #208686)[78]. AAVs were produced and their titres were measured as described previously [103]. Briefly, AAV expression vector, pHelper (Stratagene) and either pRepCap AAV5 (Applied Viromics) or pUCmini-iCAP-PHP.eB (#103005, Addgene) were transfected into HEK293 cells

(AAV293, Stratagene). After three days, cells were harvested and AAV were purified

twice using ultracentrifuge with iodixanol. The titres for AAV (genome copies, GC) were

estimated using quantitative PCR.

Surgery

For AAV injection, mice were anesthetized with isoflurane (1-3%) and placed in a

stereotaxic frame (Narishige). AAVs were bilaterally (AIP experiments) or unilaterally

(photometry experiments) infused into the mPFC (AP +1.8 mm, ML ±0.3 mm, DV +2.4

mm for slice experiments; AP +1.1 mm, ML ±0.3 mm, DV +2.0 mm for behavioral

experiments) and using a glass needle at a rate of 100 nl/min. Either of following AAVs

was infused 1 µl per hemisphere:

• AAV2/5: CaMKII(0.3)-FAS-NLS-mCherry-W (2.0×10^{13} GC/ml)

• AAV2/5: CaMKII(0.3)-FAS-NLS-mCherry-P2A-AIP-W (2.0×10^{13} GC/ml)

• AAV2/PHP.eB: hSyn-GRAB-NE$_{2m}$-W (2.0×10^{13} GC/ml)

The glass needle was withdrawn 5 minutes after the end of the infusion. For photometry

experiments, the optical cannula (NA 0.5, 200 µm in diameter, 3.0 mm in length, RWD)

was placed in the same coordinate to the injection. The mice were allowed to recover in

their home cages for at least 2 weeks before slice or behavioral experiments.

For slice experiments with microglia ablation [31], 1 µl of 7 mg/ml clodronate (HY-B0657A,

MCE) or clophosome (F70101C-N, Funakoshi) was injected following the same protocol

used for virus injections. As vehicle controls, either ACSF or liposome (F70101-N,

Funakoshi) was used. Since no significant difference was observed between the effects

of clodronate and clophosome, the results were pooled for analysis.

For behavioral experiments with microglia ablation, 0.5 µl of 3 mg/ml clodronate was

injected into the right mPFC (AP +1.1 mm, ML +0.3 mm, DV +2.0 mm).

For drug cannula placement for behavioral experiments, a double cannula (26-gauge,

Plastic One or RWD) was placed to target the bilateral mPFC (AP +1.1 mm, ML ±0.3

mm, DV +2.0 mm).

Acute slice preparation

Acute coronal slices (280 µm thick) containing the mPFC were obtained from 2- to 7-

week-old female and male mice. Mice were anesthetized using isoflurane and then

perfused transcardially by ice-cold solution (220 mM sucrose, 3 mM KCl, 8 mM $MgCl_2$,

1.25 mM NaH_2PO_4, 26 mM $NaHCO_3$, and 25 mM glucose) and were quickly

decapitated. Brains were removed and sliced with a microtome (VT1200, Leica). The

slices were incubated at 34°C for 30 minutes and then at room temperature in ACSF

(125 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM KCl, 1 mM $CaCl_2$, 2 mM $MgCl_2$, 1.25 mM NaH_2PO_4, 26 mM

$NaHCO_3$, 20 mM glucose, and 200 µM Trolox (238813, Merck) which was bubbled with

95% O_2 and 5% CO_2. Then, the slices were transferred to a recording chamber and

perfused with the ACSF solution described above, except using 2 mM $CaCl_2$ and 1 mM

MgCl$_2$, or 2 mM CaCl$_2$ and 0 mM MgCl$_2$ for magnesium-free experiments. All

physiological experiments were performed at 30–32 °C.

Structural plasticity in slices

Two-photon imaging of dendritic spines was performed using an upright microscope

(BX61WI, Olympus) equipped with an FV1200 laser-scanning system (Olympus) with

GaAsP photomultiplier tubes (Hamamatsu Photonics) and a water-immersion objective

lens (NA 1.0, LUMPlanFI/IR, ×60, Olympus). The system included two mode-locked,

femtosecond-pulse Ti:sapphire lasers (MaiTai, Spectra Physics). One was set at a

wavelength of 720 nm for the uncaging of glutamate and the other was set at 980 nm

for imaging. Fluorescence intensities of green and red fluorophores were detected

through 500−550 nm (F03-525/50, Semrock) and 600−680 nm (ET642/80m, Chroma)

filters, respectively after a dichroic mirror (T585lpxr, Chroma).

For whole-cell recordings, the patch pipettes (5−8 MΩ) were filled with a solution

containing 120 mM potassium gluconate, 20 mM KCl, 10 mM disodium

phosphocreatine, 4 mM ATP (magnesium salt), 0.3 mM GTP (sodium salt), 10 mM

HEPES, 50 μM Alexa 488 hydrazide (A10436, Thermo Fisher Scientific) or Alexa 594

hydrazide (A10438, Thermo Fisher Scientific) and 5 μM β-actin (APHL99,

Cytoskeleton), whose pH and osmolarity were adjusted to 7.25 and 275−280 mOsm by

KOH and sucrose, respectively. We did not include Ca^{2+} buffer in the internal solution.

For pharmacological experiments, protein kinase A inhibitor (10 μM, 6211, Tocris) was

included in the pipette. The cells were voltage-clamped at −70 mV except during

stimulation. Cells were discarded if spontaneous action potentials were observed during

the stimulating period.

CDNI-glutamate (4 mM, Nard Institute) was locally puffed from a pipette. In experiments

with L-noradrenaline hydrochloride (50 μM, #74480, Merck), dopamine hydrochloride

(50 μM, D5662, Fujifilm) and clenbuterol (10 μM, C2691, TCI), the reagents were also

included in the puff pipette solution. For glutamate uncaging, a 720-nm laser was set to

4−6 mW, depending on the depth to compensate for the decline in stimulation efficiency.

Excitatory postsynaptic currents (EPSCs) were recorded by stimulating 3 to 4 spines for

0.6 ms for each while cells were clamped at -70 mV. After confirming the successful

induction of EPSCs, structural plasticity was induced by a spike-timing-dependent

plasticity (STDP) protocol as previously described [33,34]. Briefly, one burst was composed

of three back-propagating action potentials (bAPs, at 100 Hz) preceded by a single

EPSP (Δt = +10 ms) induced by two-photon uncaging of CDNI-glutamate. One train of

STDP consisted of ten bursts repeated at 10 Hz. Fifteen trains of stimulation were

repeated at 0.1 Hz. APs were induced by injection of a depolarizing current of 1.5−2.0

nA for 2 ms. Dendrites containing spines within 100 μm of the soma and 20−50 μm in

depth were subjected to plasticity induction. For each experiment, three to four spines

were stimulated.

In experiments with D-AP5 (50 μM, #0106, Tocris Bioscience), ICI118,551 (1 μM,

ab120808, Abcam), metoprolol (1 μM, M5391, Merck), cilostamide (1 μM, S5806,

Selleck), rolipram (1 μM, #180-01411, Fujifilm), deschloroclozapine (DCZ, 100 nM,

#7193, Tocris)[72–74], TAPI-0 (1 μM, 579050, Calbiochem), pomalidomide (100 μM, P2074,

TCI), mouse recombinant tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α, 10 nM, 207-13463, Fujifilm),

or mouse recombinant interleukin-1β (IL-1β, 1 ng/ml, 094-04681, Fujifilm), slices were

constantly perfused with the reagent throughout the series of imaging.

In acute slices following clodronate injection, regions lacking microglia were identified by

the absence of fluorescence in transgenic mice expressing tdTomato in microglia. In

some experiments, clodronate or vehicle was injected into wild-type mice. Even in these

wild-type mice, the ablation site was reliably identified by a needle trace, and cells were

recorded within 200 μm of the trace. The site was later confirmed histologically through

immunostaining.

Observational fear learning

Before performing observational fear learning, mice were co-caged for 4 days in their

home cage. On day 1, an observer mouse was placed in a conditioning chamber with a

stainless-steel rod floor (30 cm × 30 cm, Melquest) for 5 minutes, during which two

uncued footshocks (0.4 mA, 2 s each, 1-minute interval; shock generator, No.

SG1000-S, Melquest) were delivered. The mice were then returned to their home cage.

On day 2, the mice were placed in a different chamber (17 cm × 35 cm, Melquest),

which was divided into two sections: one with a plastic floor for the observer mouse, and

the other with a metal rod floor for a naive cagemate (the demonstrator). After 5 minutes

of habituation, the demonstrator mouse received 24 successive cued footshocks (tone:

6 kHz, 80−85 dB, 5 s; footshock: 0.7 mA, 2 s, 10-second interval). The observer mouse was then returned to its home cage with its cagemate.

On day 3, memory was assessed by placing the observer mice back into the observation chamber in the same context and presenting three cues (6 kHz tone; 80−85 dB; 60 s; with intervals of 165 s and 177 s). For subthreshold conditioning, 12 cued weak foot shocks (0.2 mA, 2 s each) were presented to the observer mice on day 2.

The stimulus presentation was controlled by triggers generated through a DAQ board (PCIe-6223, National Instruments) using custom-written software. The entire session was recorded with a camera (CS235MU, Thorlab) at 20 fps, synchronized with the stimulus presentation through the DAQ board.

For experiments involving drug infusion, the double cannula was connected to a syringe (1801RN, Hamilton) via a tube containing either the β_2 blocker ICI118,551 (200 μM in ACSF) or ACSF alone, while the mice were under anesthesia (1% isoflurane, Fujifilm). The drug was infused at a rate of 0.1 μl/min, with a total volume of 1 μl, controlled by a microsyringe pump (Legato 111, KD Scientific). Mice were allowed to recover for at least 30 minutes before undergoing conditioning.

For experiments involving clodronate, mice were euthanized a few days after the memory test, and the patterns of ablation were assessed by immunohistochemistry.

Auditory conditioning with direct fear experience

On day 1, mice were placed in the conditioning chamber (metal rod floor and plexiglass chamber, stripe wall) for 3 minutes and subsequently received pairs of cues (6kHz tone, 20 s) and footshocks (0.7 mA, 1 s at the offset of tone) for 4 times with 60−79 s pseudo-randomized intervals. On day 2, mice were placed in another context (dot wall) and the cues were presented 4 times with 60−77 s pseudo-randomized intervals. The entire session was recorded with a camera (CS235MU, Thorlab) at 20 fps.

Thermal place preference test

Thermal preference behavior was assessed using a two-zone apparatus consisting of two adjoining aluminum plates (100 mm × 100 mm each), whose temperatures were independently controlled. The plates formed a continuous flat floor joined by a copper bridge and enclosed by clear acrylic walls (400 mm high), creating a test arena measuring 220 mm × 100 mm. Mice were recorded at 20 frames per second using a side-mounted video camera.

Prior to testing, mice were habituated to the behavioral room for 10 minutes. Each mouse was then placed into the apparatus, with one plate set to a neutral 30 °C and the other to 40 °C. The side assignment of the warmer plate was counterbalanced across animals. Mice were recorded for 10 minutes, and the final 5 minutes were used to calculate place preference [84]. Mice that urinated during the session were excluded from analysis.

Fiber photometry

A 470 nm LED (M470F3, Thorlabs) and 405nm LED (M405F1, Thorlabs) were pulsed (2 ms at 20 Hz, 10 to 15 µW) with shifting 20 ms in order to separate the excitation timing. The excitation light was passed through an excitation filter (ET405/20x; PCR47030x, Chroma Technology), dichroic mirrors (DMLP425, Thorlab; ZT488rdc, Chroma Technology), an objective lens (Olympus, UPlanFLN 20x), then through a rotary joint (RJ-1, Thorlabs) and finally into a low-autofluorescence patch cord (custom made, Thorlabs) connected to the optical implant in the mouse. Emission light was collected through the same patch cord, then passed back through the cube with the dichroic mirror and a fluorescence filter (ET525/50m, Chroma Technology), and then detected by a GaAsP detector (PMT2101/M, Thorlabs). Voltages from the photomultiplier were bandpass filtered (1k Hz) and collected through the DAQ board used for the behavioral experiments.

Drug administration

For adolescent mice, Tamoxifen (HY-13757A, MedChemExpress, 100 mg/kg body weight) dissolved in corn oil was administered via intraperitoneal injection five times, with 48-hour intervals between doses. Mice were monitored for at least one week before the experiments commenced. For juvenile mice, 20 µg of tamoxifen dissolved in sunflower oil was administered via intragastric injection at P3-5.

Histology

Mice were anesthetized with isoflurane, perfused using 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA),

and decapitated. Brains were post-fixed by 4% PFA overnight and then coronally

sectioned at 50 μm using a vibratome (VT-1000, Leica). For immunostaining, slices

were incubated in PBS-T (0.2% triton-X in PBS) containing 5% normal goat serum

(NGS) at room temperature for 1 h. Slices were then incubated with primary antibodies

of rabbit anti-Iba1 antibody (1:1000, #019-19741, Fujifilm) in PBS-T containing 5% NGS

for 48 h at 4°C. After washing with PBS-T, slices were incubated with secondary

antibodies conjugated with Alexa 488 or Alexa 594 (goat anti-rabbit IgG antibody, 1:500,

A-11008 or A-11012, Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 2 h at room temperature. For nuclear

staining, TO-PRO-3 (1:2000, T3605, Thermo Fisher Scientific) was incubated

simultaneously with the secondary antibodies. The slices were mounted in antifade

reagents (H-1000, Vectashield, Vector Laboratories). The slices were subjected to

imaging by confocal microscopy (TCS−SP5, Leica) or fluorescent microscopy with

structured illumination (BZX-810, Keyence).

Contours were traced by referring to the Allen Mouse Brain Atlas

(https://mouse.brain-map.org/static/atlas).

Data analysis

For spine volume analysis, three-dimensional reconstructions of dendritic morphology

were generated by the summation of z-stacked images separated by 0.5 μm. The

fluorescence intensity of dendritic spines was normalized by the entire fluorescence of an imaging area. Spine-head volumes were estimated from the total fluorescence intensity. Neighboring spines were defined as spines within 3 μm of the stimulated spines. The baseline value for spine volume was calculated as the mean of the spine volumes at 3 time points before uncaging. To calculate volume change, the baseline was subtracted from the spine volume at each time point. Averaged volume changes at 40 and 50 minutes after STDP stimulation were calculated and were further averaged over spines in the same dendrite for statistical analyses.

For microglia contact analysis, microglial morphology was assessed by summing z-stacked images taken at 1 μm intervals across 5 slices, centered on the plane of maximal intensity for each dendritic spine. At each time point, the presence of microglial processes was recorded if the average signal within a region of interest (ROI) with a 4 μm diameter around the stimulated spine exceeded 3 standard deviations above the background signal of a nearby area.

For image analysis in behavioral experiments assessing the spread of AIP expression or microglial ablation, z-stacked images were processed with a Gaussian filter, and autofluorescence signals from the neocortex were subtracted. Images from all mice were subsequently registered and averaged. For the analysis of AIP expression, the images were binarized prior to averaging. In the AIP experiment for observational fear learning, two mice exhibiting no AIP expression and two mice with the center of AIP

expression deviating 0.6 mm anterior to the target were excluded from the analyses. No

mice were excluded in the microglial ablation experiment.

For freezing analysis from behavioral data, mouse morphology was detected in each

video frame using custom-written scripts with OpenCV in Python. Frame-by-frame

differences were then calculated and thresholded to identify freezing responses.

For photometry data, signals from 470 nm and 405 nm excitation were subtracted from

autofluorescent reference values. The signal was median-filtered and down-sampled to

2 Hz. Ratio of signal was calculated for each session.

For thermal preference analysis from behavioral data, mouse location was annotated in

each video frame using napari in Python. Frame ratios for occupying the lower

temperature chamber were then calculated.

Statistical tests

We used the Kruskal–Wallis test with post hoc Steel's test or Wilcoxon signed-rank test

with Bonferroni correction after Friedman test for multiple comparisons, the

Mann–Whitney U test, or the Wilcoxon signed-rank test, depending on the experimental

design. Results were considered significant if $P < 0.05$ in two-sided tests. Sample sizes

were determined based on previous experiments [33,34].

References

1. Feldman, D.E. (2009). Synaptic mechanisms for plasticity in neocortex. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. *32*, 33–55. 10.1146/annurev.neuro.051508.135516.

2. Buonomano, D.V., and Merzenich, M.M. (1998). Cortical plasticity: from synapses to maps. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. *21*, 149–186. 10.1146/annurev.neuro.21.1.149.

3. McClelland, J.L., McNaughton, B.L., and O'Reilly, R.C. (1995). Why there are complementary learning systems in the hippocampus and neocortex: Insights from the successes and failures of connectionist models of learning and memory. Psychol. Rev. *102*, 419–457. 10.1037/0033-295X.102.3.419.

4. Benna, M.K., and Fusi, S. (2016). Computational principles of synaptic memory consolidation. Nat. Neurosci. *19*, 1697–1706. 10.1038/nn.4401.

5. Kirkwood, A., Lee, H.K., and Bear, M.F. (1995). Co-regulation of long-term potentiation and experience-dependent synaptic plasticity in visual cortex by age and experience. Nature *375*, 328–331. 10.1038/375328a0.

6. Teyler, T.J., Perkins, A.T., and Harris, K.M. (1989). The development of long-term potentiation in hippocampus and neocortex. Neuropsychologia *27*, 31–39. 10.1016/0028-3932(89)90088-2.

7. Edagawa, Y., Saito, H., and Abe, K. (2001). Endogenous serotonin contributes to a developmental decrease in long-term potentiation in the rat visual cortex. J. Neurosci. *21*, 1532–1537. 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.21-05-01532.2001.

8. Yoshimura, Y., Ohmura, T., and Komatsu, Y. (2003). Two forms of synaptic plasticity with distinct dependence on age, experience, and NMDA receptor subtype in rat visual cortex. J. Neurosci. *23*, 6557–6566. 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.23-16-06557.2003.

9. Jiang, B., Treviño, M., and Kirkwood, A. (2007). Sequential development of long-term potentiation and depression in different layers of the mouse visual cortex. J. Neurosci. *27*, 9648–9652. 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2655-07.2007.

10. Kitamura, T., Ogawa, S.K., Roy, D.S., Okuyama, T., Morrissey, M.D., Smith, L.M., Redondo, R.L., and Tonegawa, S. (2017). Engrams and circuits crucial for systems consolidation of a memory. Science *356*, 73–78. 10.1126/science.aam6808.

11. Silverstein, S.E., O'Sullivan, R., Bukalo, O., Pati, D., Schaffer, J.A., Limoges, A., Zsembik, L., Yoshida, T., O'Malley, J.J., Paletzki, R.F., et al. (2024). A distinct cortical code for socially learned threat. Nature *626*, 1066–1072. 10.1038/s41586-023-07008-1.

12. Loureiro, M., Achargui, R., Flakowski, J., Van Zessen, R., Stefanelli, T., Pascoli, V., and Lüscher, C. (2019). Social transmission of food safety depends on synaptic plasticity in the prefrontal cortex. Science *364*, 991–995. 10.1126/science.aaw5842.

13. Yashiro, K., and Philpot, B.D. (2008). Regulation of NMDA receptor subunit expression and its implications for LTD, LTP, and metaplasticity. Neuropharmacology *55*, 1081–1094. 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2008.07.046.

14. Lohmann, C., and Kessels, H.W. (2014). The developmental stages of synaptic plasticity. J Physiol (Lond) *592*, 13–31. 10.1113/jphysiol.2012.235119.

15. Hammond, T.R., Robinton, D., and Stevens, B. (2018). Microglia and the brain: complementary partners in development and disease. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. *34*, 523–544. 10.1146/annurev-cellbio-100616-060509.

16. Parkhurst, C.N., Yang, G., Ninan, I., Savas, J.N., Yates, J.R., Lafaille, J.J., Hempstead, B.L., Littman, D.R., and Gan, W.-B. (2013). Microglia promote learning-dependent synapse formation through brain-derived neurotrophic factor. Cell *155*, 1596–1609. 10.1016/j.cell.2013.11.030.

17. Zhou, H.-C., Sun, Y.-Y., Cai, W., He, X.-T., Yi, F., Li, B.-M., and Zhang, X.-H. (2013). Activation of β2-adrenoceptor enhances synaptic potentiation and behavioral memory via cAMP-PKA signaling in the medial prefrontal cortex of rats. Learn. Mem. *20*, 274–284. 10.1101/lm.030411.113.

18. Seol, G.H., Ziburkus, J., Huang, S., Song, L., Kim, I.T., Takamiya, K., Huganir, R.L., Lee, H.-K., and Kirkwood, A. (2007). Neuromodulators control the polarity of spike-timing-dependent synaptic plasticity. Neuron *55*, 919–929. 10.1016/j.neuron.2007.08.013.

19. Flores, O., Pérez, H., Valladares, L., Morgan, C., Gatica, A., Burgos, H., Olivares, R., and Hernández, A. (2011). Hidden prenatal malnutrition in the rat: role of β1-adrenoceptors on synaptic plasticity in the frontal cortex. J. Neurochem. *119*, 314–323. 10.1111/j.1471-4159.2011.07429.x.

20. Sáez-Briones, P., Soto-Moyano, R., Burgos, H., Castillo, A., Valladares, L., Morgan, C., Pérez, H., Barra, R., Constandil, L., Laurido, C., et al. (2015). β2-adrenoceptor stimulation restores frontal cortex plasticity and improves visuospatial performance in hidden-prenatally-malnourished young-adult rats. Neurobiol. Learn. Mem. *119*, 1–9. 10.1016/j.nlm.2014.11.003.

21. Pettigrew, J.D., and Kasamatsu, T. (1978). Local perfusion of noradrenaline maintains visual cortical plasticity. Nature *271*, 761–763. 10.1038/271761a0.

22. Anlezark, G.M., Crow, T.J., and Greenway, A.P. (1973). Impaired learning and
decreased cortical norepinephrine after bilateral locus coeruleus lesions. Science
181, 682–684. 10.1126/science.181.4100.682.

23. Uematsu, A., Tan, B.Z., Ycu, E.A., Cuevas, J.S., Koivumaa, J., Junyent, F.,
Kremer, E.J., Witten, I.B., Deisseroth, K., and Johansen, J.P. (2017). Modular
organization of the brainstem noradrenaline system coordinates opposing learning
states. Nat. Neurosci. *20*, 1602–1611. 10.1038/nn.4642.

24. Stowell, R.D., Sipe, G.O., Dawes, R.P., Batchelor, H.N., Lordy, K.A., Whitelaw,
B.S., Stoessel, M.B., Bidlack, J.M., Brown, E., Sur, M., et al. (2019). Noradrenergic
signaling in the wakeful state inhibits microglial surveillance and synaptic plasticity
in the mouse visual cortex. Nat. Neurosci. *22*, 1782–1792.
10.1038/s41593-019-0514-0.

25. Liu, Y.U., Ying, Y., Li, Y., Eyo, U.B., Chen, T., Zheng, J., Umpierre, A.D., Zhu, J.,
Bosco, D.B., Dong, H., et al. (2019). Neuronal network activity controls microglial
process surveillance in awake mice via norepinephrine signaling. Nat. Neurosci.
22, 1771–1781. 10.1038/s41593-019-0511-3.

26. Herring, B.E., and Nicoll, R.A. (2016). Long-Term Potentiation: From CaMKII to
AMPA Receptor Trafficking. Annu. Rev. Physiol. *78*, 351–365.
10.1146/annurev-physiol-021014-071753.

27. Kasai, H., Ziv, N.E., Okazaki, H., Yagishita, S., and Toyoizumi, T. (2021). Spine
dynamics in the brain, mental disorders and artificial neural networks. Nat. Rev.
Neurosci. *22*, 407–422. 10.1038/s41583-021-00467-3.

28. Matsuzaki, M., Honkura, N., Ellis-Davies, G.C.R., and Kasai, H. (2004). Structural
basis of long-term potentiation in single dendritic spines. Nature *429*, 761–766.
10.1038/nature02617.

29. Harvey, C.D., Yasuda, R., Zhong, H., and Svoboda, K. (2008). The spread of Ras
activity triggered by activation of a single dendritic spine. Science *321*, 136–140.
10.1126/science.1159675.

30. Bosch, M., Castro, J., Saneyoshi, T., Matsuno, H., Sur, M., and Hayashi, Y. (2014).
Structural and molecular remodeling of dendritic spine substructures during
long-term potentiation. Neuron *82*, 444–459. 10.1016/j.neuron.2014.03.021.

31. Ji, K., Akgul, G., Wollmuth, L.P., and Tsirka, S.E. (2013). Microglia actively
regulate the number of functional synapses. PLoS ONE *8*, e56293.
10.1371/journal.pone.0056293.

32. Kaiser, T., and Feng, G. (2019). Tmem119-EGFP and Tmem119-CreERT2

Transgenic Mice for Labeling and Manipulating Microglia. eNeuro *6*.
10.1523/ENEURO.0448-18.2019.

33. Iino, Y., Sawada, T., Yamaguchi, K., Tajiri, M., Ishii, S., Kasai, H., and Yagishita, S.
(2020). Dopamine D2 receptors in discrimination learning and spine enlargement.
Nature *579*, 555–560. 10.1038/s41586-020-2115-1.

34. Yagishita, S., Hayashi-Takagi, A., Ellis-Davies, G.C.R., Urakubo, H., Ishii, S., and
Kasai, H. (2014). A critical time window for dopamine actions on the structural
plasticity of dendritic spines. Science *345*, 1616–1620. 10.1126/science.1255514.

35. Jin, W.-N., Shi, S.X.-Y., Li, Z., Li, M., Wood, K., Gonzales, R.J., and Liu, Q. (2017).
Depletion of microglia exacerbates postischemic inflammation and brain injury. J.
Cereb. Blood Flow Metab. *37*, 2224–2236. 10.1177/0271678X17694185.

36. Noguchi, J., Nagaoka, A., Watanabe, S., Ellis-Davies, G.C.R., Kitamura, K., Kano,
M., Matsuzaki, M., and Kasai, H. (2011). In vivo two-photon uncaging of glutamate
revealing the structure-function relationships of dendritic spines in the neocortex of
adult mice. J Physiol (Lond) *589*, 2447–2457. 10.1113/jphysiol.2011.207100.

37. Holler, S., Köstinger, G., Martin, K.A.C., Schuhknecht, G.F.P., and Stratford, K.J.
(2021). Structure and function of a neocortical synapse. Nature *591*, 111–116.
10.1038/s41586-020-03134-2.

38. Berki, P., Cserép, C., Környei, Z., Pósfai, B., Szabadits, E., Domonkos, A.,
Kellermayer, A., Nyerges, M., Wei, X., Mody, I., et al. (2024). Microglia contribute
to neuronal synchrony despite endogenous ATP-related phenotypic transformation
in acute mouse brain slices. Nat. Commun. *15*, 5402.
10.1038/s41467-024-49773-1.

39. Tremblay, M.-È., Lowery, R.L., and Majewska, A.K. (2010). Microglial interactions
with synapses are modulated by visual experience. PLoS Biol. *8*, e1000527.
10.1371/journal.pbio.1000527.

40. Escoubas, C.C., and Molofsky, A.V. (2024). Microglia as integrators of
brain-associated molecular patterns. Trends Immunol. *45*, 358–370.
10.1016/j.it.2024.03.009.

41. Cornell, J., Salinas, S., Huang, H.-Y., and Zhou, M. (2022). Microglia regulation of
synaptic plasticity and learning and memory. Neural Regen. Res. *17*, 705–716.
10.4103/1673-5374.322423.

42. Ren, S., Yao, W., Tambini, M.D., Yin, T., Norris, K.A., and D'Adamio, L. (2020).
Microglia TREM2R47H Alzheimer-linked variant enhances excitatory transmission
and reduces LTP via increased TNF-α levels. eLife *9*. 10.7554/eLife.57513.

43. Hoshino, K., Hasegawa, K., Kamiya, H., and Morimoto, Y. (2017).
Synapse-specific effects of IL-1β on long-term potentiation in the mouse
hippocampus. Biomed. Res. *38*, 183–188. 10.2220/biomedres.38.183.

44. Rogers, J.T., Morganti, J.M., Bachstetter, A.D., Hudson, C.E., Peters, M.M.,
Grimmig, B.A., Weeber, E.J., Bickford, P.C., and Gemma, C. (2011). CX3CR1
deficiency leads to impairment of hippocampal cognitive function and synaptic
plasticity. J. Neurosci. *31*, 16241–16250. 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3667-11.2011.

45. Liu, T., Lu, J., Lukasiewicz, K., Pan, B., and Zuo, Y. (2021). Stress induces
microglia-associated synaptic circuit alterations in the dorsomedial prefrontal
cortex. Neurobiol. Stress, 100342. 10.1016/j.ynstr.2021.100342.

46. Weinhard, L., di Bartolomei, G., Bolasco, G., Machado, P., Schieber, N.L.,
Neniskyte, U., Exiga, M., Vadisiute, A., Raggioli, A., Schertel, A., et al. (2018).
Microglia remodel synapses by presynaptic trogocytosis and spine head filopodia
induction. Nat. Commun. *9*, 1228. 10.1038/s41467-018-03566-5.

47. Wake, H., Moorhouse, A.J., Jinno, S., Kohsaka, S., and Nabekura, J. (2009).
Resting microglia directly monitor the functional state of synapses in vivo and
determine the fate of ischemic terminals. J. Neurosci. *29*, 3974–3980.
10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4363-08.2009.

48. Miyamoto, A., Wake, H., Ishikawa, A.W., Eto, K., Shibata, K., Murakoshi, H.,
Koizumi, S., Moorhouse, A.J., Yoshimura, Y., and Nabekura, J. (2016). Microglia
contact induces synapse formation in developing somatosensory cortex. Nat.
Commun. *7*, 12540. 10.1038/ncomms12540.

49. Haynes, S.E., Hollopeter, G., Yang, G., Kurpius, D., Dailey, M.E., Gan, W.-B., and
Julius, D. (2006). The P2Y12 receptor regulates microglial activation by
extracellular nucleotides. Nat. Neurosci. *9*, 1512–1519. 10.1038/nn1805.

50. Tasic, B., Yao, Z., Graybuck, L.T., Smith, K.A., Nguyen, T.N., Bertagnolli, D.,
Goldy, J., Garren, E., Economo, M.N., Viswanathan, S., et al. (2018). Shared and
distinct transcriptomic cell types across neocortical areas. Nature *563*, 72–78.
10.1038/s41586-018-0654-5.

51. Davies, C.A., Loddick, S.A., Toulmond, S., Stroemer, R.P., Hunt, J., and Rothwell,
N.J. (1999). The progression and topographic distribution of interleukin-1beta
expression after permanent middle cerebral artery occlusion in the rat. J. Cereb.
Blood Flow Metab. *19*, 87–98. 10.1097/00004647-199901000-00010.

52. Nie, X., Kitaoka, S., Tanaka, K., Segi-Nishida, E., Imoto, Y., Ogawa, A., Nakano,
F., Tomohiro, A., Nakayama, K., Taniguchi, M., et al. (2018). The Innate Immune
Receptors TLR2/4 Mediate Repeated Social Defeat Stress-Induced Social

Avoidance through Prefrontal Microglial Activation. Neuron *99*, 464-479.e7.

10.1016/j.neuron.2018.06.035.

53.   Zhang, Y., Chen, K., Sloan, S.A., Bennett, M.L., Scholze, A.R., O'Keeffe, S.,

Phatnani, H.P., Guarnieri, P., Caneda, C., Ruderisch, N., et al. (2014). An

RNA-sequencing transcriptome and splicing database of glia, neurons, and

vascular cells of the cerebral cortex. J. Neurosci. *34*, 11929–11947.

10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1860-14.2014.

54.   Elmore, M.R.P., Najafi, A.R., Koike, M.A., Dagher, N.N., Spangenberg, E.E., Rice,

R.A., Kitazawa, M., Matusow, B., Nguyen, H., West, B.L., et al. (2014).

Colony-stimulating factor 1 receptor signaling is necessary for microglia viability,

unmasking a microglia progenitor cell in the adult brain. Neuron *82*, 380–397.

10.1016/j.neuron.2014.02.040.

55.   Beattie, E.C., Stellwagen, D., Morishita, W., Bresnahan, J.C., Ha, B.K., Von

Zastrow, M., Beattie, M.S., and Malenka, R.C. (2002). Control of synaptic strength

by glial TNFalpha. Science *295*, 2282–2285. 10.1126/science.1067859.

56.   Parameswaran, N., and Patial, S. (2010). Tumor necrosis factor-α signaling in

macrophages. Crit. Rev. Eukaryot. Gene Expr. *20*, 87–103.

10.1615/critreveukargeneexpr.v20.i2.10.

57.   Zunke, F., and Rose-John, S. (2017). The shedding protease ADAM17:

Physiology and pathophysiology. Biochim. Biophys. Acta Mol. Cell Res. *1864*,

2059–2070. 10.1016/j.bbamcr.2017.07.001.

58.   Wang, J.-Y., Huang, Y.-N., Chiu, C.-C., Tweedie, D., Luo, W., Pick, C.G., Chou,

S.-Y., Luo, Y., Hoffer, B.J., Greig, N.H., et al. (2016). Pomalidomide mitigates

neuronal loss, neuroinflammation, and behavioral impairments induced by

traumatic brain injury in rat. J. Neuroinflammation *13*, 168.

10.1186/s12974-016-0631-6.

59.   Shortt, J., Hsu, A.K., and Johnstone, R.W. (2013). Thalidomide-analogue biology:

immunological, molecular and epigenetic targets in cancer therapy. Oncogene *32*,

4191–4202. 10.1038/onc.2012.599.

60.   Masuda, T., Sankowski, R., Staszewski, O., Böttcher, C., Amann, L., Sagar,

Scheiwe, C., Nessler, S., Kunz, P., van Loo, G., et al. (2019). Spatial and temporal

heterogeneity of mouse and human microglia at single-cell resolution. Nature *566*,

388–392. 10.1038/s41586-019-0924-x.

61.   Kim, J.-H., Choi, D.-E., and Shin, H.-S. (2025). The lateralized LC-NAergic system

distinguishes vicarious versus direct fear in mice. Nat. Commun. *16*, 2364.

10.1038/s41467-025-57701-0.

62. Guo, L., Xiong, H., Kim, J.-I., Wu, Y.-W., Lalchandani, R.R., Cui, Y., Shu, Y., Xu, T.,
and Ding, J.B. (2015). Dynamic rewiring of neural circuits in the motor cortex in
mouse models of Parkinson's disease. Nat. Neurosci. *18*, 1299–1309.
10.1038/nn.4082.

63. Hu, H., Real, E., Takamiya, K., Kang, M.-G., Ledoux, J., Huganir, R.L., and
Malinow, R. (2007). Emotion enhances learning via norepinephrine regulation of
AMPA-receptor trafficking. Cell *131*, 160–173. 10.1016/j.cell.2007.09.017.

64. Winder, D.G., Martin, K.C., Muzzio, I.A., Rohrer, D., Chruscinski, A., Kobilka, B.,
and Kandel, E.R. (1999). ERK plays a regulatory role in induction of LTP by theta
frequency stimulation and its modulation by beta-adrenergic receptors. Neuron *24*,
715–726. 10.1016/s0896-6273(00)81124-1.

65. Murugan, M., Jang, H.J., Park, M., Miller, E.M., Cox, J., Taliaferro, J.P., Parker,
N.F., Bhave, V., Hur, H., Liang, Y., et al. (2017). Combined Social and Spatial
Coding in a Descending Projection from the Prefrontal Cortex. Cell *171*,
1663-1677.e16. 10.1016/j.cell.2017.11.002.

66. Bernier, L.-P., Bohlen, C.J., York, E.M., Choi, H.B., Kamyabi, A., Dissing-Olesen,
L., Hefendehl, J.K., Collins, H.Y., Stevens, B., Barres, B.A., et al. (2019).
Nanoscale Surveillance of the Brain by Microglia via cAMP-Regulated Filopodia.
Cell Rep. *27*, 2895-2908.e4. 10.1016/j.celrep.2019.05.010.

67. Vecsey, C.G., Baillie, G.S., Jaganath, D., Havekes, R., Daniels, A., Wimmer, M.,
Huang, T., Brown, K.M., Li, X.-Y., Descalzi, G., et al. (2009). Sleep deprivation
impairs cAMP signalling in the hippocampus. Nature *461*, 1122–1125.
10.1038/nature08488.

68. Yamaguchi, K., Maeda, Y., Sawada, T., Iino, Y., Tajiri, M., Nakazato, R., Ishii, S.,
Kasai, H., and Yagishita, S. (2022). A behavioural correlate of the synaptic
eligibility trace in the nucleus accumbens. Sci. Rep. *12*, 1921.
10.1038/s41598-022-05637-6.

69. Tanaka, J.-I., Horiike, Y., Matsuzaki, M., Miyazaki, T., Ellis-Davies, G.C.R., and
Kasai, H. (2008). Protein synthesis and neurotrophin-dependent structural
plasticity of single dendritic spines. Science *319*, 1683–1687.
10.1126/science.1152864.

70. Zhu, H., Aryal, D.K., Olsen, R.H.J., Urban, D.J., Swearingen, A., Forbes, S., Roth,
B.L., and Hochgeschwender, U. (2016). Cre-dependent DREADD (Designer
Receptors Exclusively Activated by Designer Drugs) mice. Genesis *54*, 439–446.

10.1002/dvg.22949.

71.   Ma, C., Li, B., Silverman, D., Ding, X., Li, A., Xiao, C., Huang, G., Worden, K., Muroy, S., Chen, W., et al. (2024). Microglia regulate sleep through calcium-dependent modulation of norepinephrine transmission. Nat. Neurosci. *27*, 249–258. 10.1038/s41593-023-01548-5.

72.   Nagai, Y., Miyakawa, N., Takuwa, H., Hori, Y., Oyama, K., Ji, B., Takahashi, M., Huang, X.-P., Slocum, S.T., DiBerto, J.F., et al. (2020). Deschloroclozapine, a potent and selective chemogenetic actuator enables rapid neuronal and behavioral modulations in mice and monkeys. Nat. Neurosci. *23*, 1157–1167. 10.1038/s41593-020-0661-3.

73.   Yun, S., Yang, B., Anair, J.D., Martin, M.M., Fleps, S.W., Pamukcu, A., Yeh, N.-H., Contractor, A., Kennedy, A., and Parker, J.G. (2023). Antipsychotic drug efficacy correlates with the modulation of D1 rather than D2 receptor-expressing striatal projection neurons. Nat. Neurosci. *26*, 1417–1428. 10.1038/s41593-023-01390-9.

74.   Han, K.A., Yoon, T.-H., Kim, J., Lee, J., Lee, J.Y., Jang, G., Um, J.W., Kim, J.K., and Ko, J. (2024). Specification of neural circuit architecture shaped by context-dependent patterned LAR-RPTP microexons. Nat. Commun. *15*, 1624. 10.1038/s41467-024-45695-0.

75.   Allsop, S.A., Wichmann, R., Mills, F., Burgos-Robles, A., Chang, C.-J., Felix-Ortiz, A.C., Vienne, A., Beyeler, A., Izadmehr, E.M., Glober, G., et al. (2018). Corticoamygdala transfer of socially derived information gates observational learning. Cell *173*, 1329-1342.e18. 10.1016/j.cell.2018.04.004.

76.   Jeon, D., Kim, S., Chetana, M., Jo, D., Ruley, H.E., Lin, S.-Y., Rabah, D., Kinet, J.-P., and Shin, H.-S. (2010). Observational fear learning involves affective pain system and Cav1.2 Ca2+ channels in ACC. Nat. Neurosci. *13*, 482–488. 10.1038/nn.2504.

77.   Olsson, A., Knapska, E., and Lindström, B. (2020). The neural and computational systems of social learning. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. *21*, 197–212. 10.1038/s41583-020-0276-4.

78.   Feng, J., Dong, H., Lischinsky, J.E., Zhou, J., Deng, F., Zhuang, C., Miao, X., Wang, H., Li, G., Cai, R., et al. (2024). Monitoring norepinephrine release in vivo using next-generation GRABNE sensors. Neuron *112*, 1930-1942.e6. 10.1016/j.neuron.2024.03.001.

79.   Basu, A., Yang, J.-H., Yu, A., Glaeser-Khan, S., Rondeau, J.A., Feng, J., Krystal, J.H., Li, Y., and Kaye, A.P. (2024). Frontal norepinephrine represents a threat prediction error under uncertainty. Biol. Psychiatry *96*, 256–267.

10.1016/j.biopsych.2024.01.025.

80. Terranova, J.I., Yokose, J., Osanai, H., Ogawa, S.K., and Kitamura, T. (2023). Systems consolidation induces multiple memory engrams for a flexible recall strategy in observational fear memory in male mice. Nat. Commun. *14*, 3976. 10.1038/s41467-023-39718-5.

81. Zhuo, M. (2008). Cortical excitation and chronic pain. Trends Neurosci. *31*, 199–207. 10.1016/j.tins.2008.01.003.

82. Bliss, T.V.P., Collingridge, G.L., Kaang, B.-K., and Zhuo, M. (2016). Synaptic plasticity in the anterior cingulate cortex in acute and chronic pain. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. *17*, 485–496. 10.1038/nrn.2016.68.

83. Choi, J., Lee, Y.-B., So, D., Kim, J.Y., Choi, S., Kim, S., and Keum, S. (2025). Cortical representations of affective pain shape empathic fear in male mice. Nat. Commun. *16*, 1937. 10.1038/s41467-025-57230-w.

84. Smith, M.L., Asada, N., and Malenka, R.C. (2021). Anterior cingulate inputs to nucleus accumbens control the social transfer of pain and analgesia. Science *371*, 153–159. 10.1126/science.abe3040.

85. Hensch, T.K. (2004). Critical period regulation. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. *27*, 549–579. 10.1146/annurev.neuro.27.070203.144327.

86. He, K., Huertas, M., Hong, S.Z., Tie, X., Hell, J.W., Shouval, H., and Kirkwood, A. (2015). Distinct eligibility traces for LTP and LTD in cortical synapses. Neuron *88*, 528–538. 10.1016/j.neuron.2015.09.037.

87. Inaba, M., Maruyama, T., Yoshimura, Y., Hosoi, H., and Komatsu, Y. (2009). Facilitation of low-frequency stimulation-induced long-term potentiation by endogenous noradrenaline and serotonin in developing rat visual cortex. Neurosci. Res. *64*, 191–198. 10.1016/j.neures.2009.02.014.

88. Abel, T., Nguyen, P.V., Barad, M., Deuel, T.A., Kandel, E.R., and Bourtchouladze, R. (1997). Genetic demonstration of a role for PKA in the late phase of LTP and in hippocampus-based long-term memory. Cell *88*, 615–626. 10.1016/s0092-8674(00)81904-2.

89. Govindarajan, A., Israely, I., Huang, S.-Y., and Tonegawa, S. (2011). The dendritic branch is the preferred integrative unit for protein synthesis-dependent LTP. Neuron *69*, 132–146. 10.1016/j.neuron.2010.12.008.

90. Guirao, X., Kumar, A., Katz, J., Smith, M., Lin, E., Keogh, C., Calvano, S.E., and Lowry, S.F. (1997). Catecholamines increase monocyte TNF receptors and inhibit TNF through beta 2-adrenoreceptor activation. Am. J. Physiol. *273*, E1203-8.

10.1152/ajpendo.1997.273.6.E1203.

91.   Ağaç, D., Estrada, L.D., Maples, R., Hooper, L.V., and Farrar, J.D. (2018). The
β2-adrenergic receptor controls inflammation by driving rapid IL-10 secretion.
Brain Behav. Immun. *74*, 176–185. 10.1016/j.bbi.2018.09.004.

92.   Zinyama, R.B., Bancroft, G.J., and Sigola, L.B. (2001). Adrenaline suppression of
the macrophage nitric oxide response to lipopolysaccharide is associated with
differential regulation of tumour necrosis factor-alpha and interleukin-10.
Immunology *104*, 439–446. 10.1046/j.1365-2567.2001.01332.x.

93.   Deng, J., Muthu, K., Gamelli, R., Shankar, R., and Jones, S.B. (2004). Adrenergic
modulation of splenic macrophage cytokine release in polymicrobial sepsis. Am J
Physiol, Cell Physiol *287*, C730-6. 10.1152/ajpcell.00562.2003.

94.   Tye, K.M., Miller, E.K., Taschbach, F.H., Benna, M.K., Rigotti, M., and Fusi, S.
(2024). Mixed selectivity: Cellular computations for complexity. Neuron *112*,
2289–2303. 10.1016/j.neuron.2024.04.017.

95.   El-Boustani, S., Ip, J.P.K., Breton-Provencher, V., Knott, G.W., Okuno, H., Bito, H.,
and Sur, M. (2018). Locally coordinated synaptic plasticity of visual cortex neurons
in vivo. Science *360*, 1349–1354. 10.1126/science.aao0862.

96.   Cichon, J., and Gan, W.-B. (2015). Branch-specific dendritic Ca(2+) spikes cause
persistent synaptic plasticity. Nature *520*, 180–185. 10.1038/nature14251.

97.   Noguchi, J., Nagaoka, A., Hayama, T., Ucar, H., Yagishita, S., Takahashi, N., and
Kasai, H. (2019). Bidirectional in vivo structural dendritic spine plasticity revealed
by two-photon glutamate uncaging in the mouse neocortex. Sci. Rep. *9*, 13922.
10.1038/s41598-019-50445-0.

98.   Tazerart, S., Mitchell, D.E., Miranda-Rottmann, S., and Araya, R. (2020). A
spike-timing-dependent plasticity rule for dendritic spines. Nat. Commun. *11*, 4276.
10.1038/s41467-020-17861-7.

99.   Basilico, B., Ferrucci, L., Ratano, P., Golia, M.T., Grimaldi, A., Rosito, M., Ferretti,
V., Reverte, I., Sanchini, C., Marrone, M.C., et al. (2022). Microglia control
glutamatergic synapses in the adult mouse hippocampus. Glia *70*, 173–195.
10.1002/glia.24101.

100. Nguyen, P.T., Dorman, L.C., Pan, S., Vainchtein, I.D., Han, R.T., Nakao-Inoue, H.,
Taloma, S.E., Barron, J.J., Molofsky, A.B., Kheirbek, M.A., et al. (2020). Microglial
remodeling of the extracellular matrix promotes synapse plasticity. Cell *182*,
388-403.e15. 10.1016/j.cell.2020.05.050.

101. Paus, T., Keshavan, M., and Giedd, J.N. (2008). Why do many psychiatric

disorders emerge during adolescence? Nat. Rev. Neurosci. *9*, 947–957.
10.1038/nrn2513.

102. Mondelli, V., Vernon, A.C., Turkheimer, F., Dazzan, P., and Pariante, C.M. (2017).
Brain microglia in psychiatric disorders. Lancet Psychiatry *4*, 563–572.
10.1016/S2215-0366(17)30101-3.

103. Grieger, J.C., Choi, V.W., and Samulski, R.J. (2006). Production and
characterization of adeno-associated viral vectors. Nat. Protoc. *1*, 1412–1428.
10.1038/nprot.2006.207.

Figures

Figure 1. Microglial suppression of spine enlargement.
A, Schematic of a typical experimental timeline in adolescent (5–7 weeks old) transgenic mice.
B,C, Representative images of fixed slices with confocal microscopy (left) and acute slices with 2-photon microscopy after vehicle (B) or clodronate (C) injections. Fluorescences of tdTomato for microglia (green) and Alexa 488 for neurons (white) are shown. Dotted lines indicate injection traces. Scale bars, 500 µm (left) and 20 µm (right).

D, Schematic of a brain slice containing the mPFC (top) and the experimental configuration for two-photon uncaging on dendritic spines of a pyramidal neuron (bottom). Caged-glutamate (CDNI-Glutamate, 4 mM) was applied using a puff pipette. Glu, glutamate.

E, Schematic of the spike-timing-dependent plasticity (STDP) protocol. Each train consisted of 10 bursts (3 action potentials [APs] at 100 Hz) delivered at 10 Hz. The train was repeated 15 times at 0.1 Hz.

F–I, Representative images of dendritic spines before and 50 minutes after STDP stimulation (top) and time courses of spine volume changes (bottom) in juvenile mice (2−3 weeks old) (F,H) and the adolescent mice (G, I) after vehicle (F, G) or clodronate (H, I) injections. Red arrows indicate stimulated spines. Scale bars, 1 μm.

J,K, Plots of spine volume changes. Volume changes were averaged over 40−50 minutes.

L, Plots of spine volume changes across ages. Statistical analysis was performed using Spearman's rank correlation coefficient test.

M, Schematic of clodronate injection into the nucleus accumbens (NAc) in adolescent mice (5–7 weeks old) (left) and plots of spine volume changes (right).

Error bars represent S.E.M. Mann–Whitney U test used for statistical analysis in (J,K,M).

Figure 2. Signaling of microglial suppression.

A, Schematic of the experimental timeline.

B, Representative z-stack images (30 μm thick) of acute slices with 2-photon microscopy before (left) and after (right) ATP application from pipette (top) or STDP stimulation (bottom). Fluorescences of tdTomato for microglia (green), Alexa 488 for neurons (white), and Alexa 488 with 20 mM ATP in ACSF contained in the pipette (red) are shown. Red arrows indicate stimulated spines. White dotted circles denote the regions of interest (70 μm in diameter, centered at the ATP pipette tip or the stimulated spine area) for quantitative analyses. Scale bars, 10 μm.

C,D, Time courses (C) and plots (D) of changes in intensity in the regions of interest indicated in (B).

E, Schematic of clodronate injection.

F,G, Time courses (F) and plots (G) of spine volume changes in the slices with clodronate injections in the presence of IL-1β and TNF-α. The clodronate injection condition shown in (Figure 1J) is indicated as a reference.

H,I, Plots of spine volume changes following STDP stimulation in the absence and presence of TAPI-0 (TACE/ADAM17 inhibitor) (H) or in the absence and presence of TNF-α from juvenile mice (I). No clodronate injections were performed in these experiments.

Error bars represent S.E.M. Mann–Whitney U test used for statistical analysis in (D,G,H,I).

Figure 3. Microglia-mediated noradrenaline actions on spine enlargement.
A, Schematic of the experimental configuration for puff application and two-photon uncaging on dendritic spines of a pyramidal neuron.
B,C, Representative images of dendritic spines before and 50 minutes after STDP stimulation (top) and time courses of spine volume changes after STDP stimulation (bottom) in the absence (B) and presence (C) of NA. Red arrows indicate stimulated spines. Shaded areas indicate the period of puff application. Scale bars, 1 μm.
D–F, Time courses of spine volume changes in the presence of β blockers with NA (D), β_2 agonist (E), and phosphodiesterase inhibitors (F). Gray shade and bars indicate the period of drug application.
G, Plots of spine volume changes. Volume changes were averaged over 40−50 minutes and subjected to statistical tests (Kruskal–Wallis test and post hoc Steel's test against STDP only). *$P < 0.05$.
Error bars represent S.E.M.
H, Schematics of AAV vectors to express mCherry with or without AIP.
I, Plots of spine volume changes.
J, Schematic of a signaling model.
K, Schematic of R26-LSL-hM4Di-mCitrine mice without (w/o Cre) and with (w/ Cre) Tmem119-CreERT2 allele.
L, Image of an acute slice with 2-photon microscopy from a transgenic mouse (w/ Cre). Fluorescences of mCitrine (green) and Alexa 594 (white) are shown. Scale bar, 20 μm.
M,N, Representative images of dendritic spines before and 50 minutes after STDP stimulation (top) and time courses of spine volumes (bottom) in the slices without (M) and with (N) Cre. Gray bars indicate the period of DCZ (100 nM) application. Red arrows indicate stimulated spines. Scale bars, 1 μm.
O, Plots for spine volumes shown in (M,N).
P, Plots of spine volume changes in the absence and presence of TNF-α following STDP stimulation in the presence of NA from Supplementary Figure 6G.
Error bars represent S.E.M. Statistical analysis was performed using the Mann–Whitney U test in (F,G).

Figure 4. Noradrenaline and plasticity-related signaling in observational fear learning.

A, Schematic of the experimental timeline of observational fear learning.

B, Traces (left) and plots (right) of freezing on day 2. Grey shade indicates the conditioning period. The changes in freezing during the conditioning periods compared to the baseline periods on day 2 were plotted.

C, Traces (left) and plots (right) of freezing on day 3. Averaged freezing responses over the CS presentations are shown, and the bar indicates the CS period. The changes in freezing during the CS periods compared to the baseline periods on day 3 were plotted.

D, Schematic of the virus injection and fiber photometry experiment in the mPFC (top) and representative image showing fluorescence of GRAB-NE$_{2m}$ (green) (bottom). The trace of fiber was overlaid on the image. Scale bar, 1 mm.

E, Traces (left) and plots (right) of GRAB-NE$_{2m}$ fluorescence on day 2.

F, Schematic of cannula injection of ICI118,551 (β_2 inverse agonist). The experimental timeline of observational fear learning is the same as (A).

G, H, Traces and plots of freezing on day 2 (G) and on day 3 (H) in mice with injections of ACSF or ICI118,551 on day 2.

I, Images showing the spread of AIP expression. Representative (top) and the average of mCherry fluorescences after binarization from all the mice used in behavioral experiments (n = 8 mice) are shown. Scale bar, 1 mm.

J, K, Traces and plots of freezing on day 2 (J) and on day 3 (K) in mice injected with mCherry or AIP.

Mann–Whitney U test for (B, C, G, H, J, K). Wilcoxon signed-rank test for (E).

Error bars and shades indicate S.E.M.

Figure 5. Microglial protection of cross-talk between emotional circuits.
A, Schematics of experimental timeline for subthreshold observational fear learning.
B, Representative image showing Iba1 immunoreactivity in clodronate injected brain. Scale bars, 1 mm.
C, Averaged images showing Iba1 immunoreactivity in the vehicle (left) and clodronate (right) injected brain. Averaged images were generated from all the brains used in behavioral experiments shown in (D) and (E). Dark color indicates areas with low Iba1 immunoreactivity. Scale bars, 1 mm.
D, E, Traces and plots of freezing on day 2 (D) and on day 3 (E) in mice with injections of vehicle or clodronate in subthreshold observational fear learning.
F, Schematic of experimental timeline for observational fear learning and subsequent thermal place preference test.

G, H, Traces and plots of freezing on day 2 (G) and on day 3 (H) in mice with injections of vehicle or clodronate in observational fear learning, and in mice with injections of clodronate without demonstrator.

I, Plots of thermal preference place after observational fear learning. Data for "No task" are the same as that shown in Supplementary Figure 9G.

J, Schematic experimental timeline for direct fear learning and subsequent thermal place preference test.

K, Plots of thermal place preference test after direct fear learning.

Error bars and shades indicate S.E.M.

Mann–Whitney U test for (D, E, K), Kruskal–Wallis test and post hoc Steel's test for (G, H, I). P = 0.13, 0.0027, and 0.0027 for Kruskal–Wallis test in (G, H, I).

Supplementary Figure 1. Ablation of microglia and STDP stimulation.
A,B, Representative images of confocal microscopy showing anti-Iba1 fluorescence in low magnification (A) and high magnification (B) in brains 2 days after vehicle injection (top) and clodronate injection (bottom). Scale bars, 500 μm (A) and 200 μm (B). Dashed lines indicate the areas shown in high magnification.
C, Plots of microglial counts in the magnified areas shown in (A) and (B) 1–2 days after the injection. n = 4 mice per each condition. Mann–Whitney U test.
D, Schematic of the experimental timeline in transgenic mice.
E, Representative confocal microscopy images showing anti-Iba1 and tdTomato fluorescences. Scale bars, 20 μm.
F, Charts of cell counts from slices of 6 mice.
G, Schematic of the experimental timeline for microglial ablation in juvenile mice.

H, Schematics of a coronal slice containing the mPFC (top), and images showing electrode positioning (middle) and immunohistochemical labeling of cortical layers with Satb2 (red) and Ctip2 (green) (bottom). Neurons in the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), prelimbic cortex (PL), or infralimbic cortex (IL) were recorded. Scale bars, 200 μm.

I, Images of a pyramidal neuron (left) and dendritic spines (right) obtained by 2-photon microscopy. Red arrows indicate typical spines stimulated by uncaging. Scale bars, 50 μm (left) and 5 μm (right).

J, Traces of membrane potential during one burst (left) and one train (right) of stimulation in STDP protocols. Action potentials (APs) were evoked by pulsed current injections (1.5−2.0 nA, 2 ms).

K, Schematic of experimental timeline. adolescent mice were fed PLX3397 containing chow (100 mg/kg of chow) for 7 days.

L, Representative images of dendrites before and 50 minutes after STDP stimulation (top) and time courses of spine volumes (bottom). Red arrows indicate stimulated spines. Scale bars, 1 μm.

M, Plots of spine volume changes.

Error bars represent S.E.M.

Supplementary Figure 2. Spine enlargement in magnesium-free condition.
A, Schematic of the experimental configuration for two-photon uncaging on dendritic spines of a pyramidal neuron under Mg^{2+}-free conditions. Whole-cell recordings were made to confirm uncaging-induced EPSCs, but action potentials were omitted during plasticity induction.
B, Schematic of clodronate or vehicle injection in adolescent mice (5−7 weeks old).
C,D, Representative images of dendrites before and 50 minutes after STDP stimulation (top) and time courses of spine volumes (bottom) in the slices with vehicle (C) and clodronate (D) injections. Scale bars, 1 µm.
E, Plots of spine volume changes.
F, Similar to (B) but injections and experiments were performed in the nucleus accumbens (NAc).
G,H, Representative images of acute slices in the NAc from Tmem119-CreERT2; Ai14 mice (top) and dendrites before and 50 minutes after STDP stimulation (middle) and time courses of spine volumes (bottom) in the slices with vehicle (G) and clodronate (H) injections. Scale bars, 20 µm (top) and 1 µm (middle).
Error bars represent S.E.M.

Red arrows indicate stimulated spines.
Statistical analysis was performed using the Mann–Whitney U test in (e).

Supplementary Figure 3. Effects of acute slice preparation.
A, Time courses of spine volumes before and after 2.5 hours of slice preparation (left) and plots of spine volume changes (right). Data from Figure 1F and Figure 3B were reanalyzed. Incubation times at the time of STDP stimulation were 2.4 ± 0.2 h and 4.5 ± 0.9 h (mean ± SD), respectively. Statistical analysis was performed using the Mann–Whitney U test.
B, Time courses of spine volumes (left) and plots of spine volume changes (right) in the presence of Clopidogrel (10 μM, P2Y$_{12}$ receptor antagonist). Gray bars indicate the periods for bath application.

Supplementary Figure 4. Microglial downstream signaling.
A, Representative images showing the absence of microglia (green) near the stimulated spine before and after STDP stimulation. The absence of contact was defined by the lack of green signal (within 3 S.D. of a reference background area) in the regions-of-interest (red dashed circle, 4 μm in diameter centered on the stimulated spine) in the 4 μm z-stack image.
B, Representative images of microglia located near the stimulated spine.
C, Plots showing the proportion of microglial contacts with stimulated spines over time.
D, Schematic of clodronate injection in adolescent mice (5−7 week old).
E,F, Representative images of dendrites before and 50 minutes after stimulation (top) and plots of spine volumes (bottom) in the slices with clodronate injections in the presence of IL-1β (1 ng/ml, bath) (E) and TNF-α (10 nM, bath) (F).
G, H, Representative images and plots of spine volume changes in the presence of the TAPI-0 (1 μM, bath) (G) and Pomalidomide (100 μM, bath, TNF-α receptor inhibitor) (H) in adolescent mice.
I, Representative images and plots of spine volume changes in the presence of TNF-α (10 nM, bath) in juvenile mice (2−3 weeks old).

Red arrows indicate stimulated spines. Scale bars, 2 μm in (A, B), 1 μm in (E, F, G, H, I). Error bars represent S.E.M.

Supplementary Figure 5. Pharmacological studies on spine enlargement in the mPFC.

A–H, Representative images of dendrites before and 50 minutes after stimulation (top) and time courses of spine volumes after STDP stimulation (bottom) in the presence of (A) dopamine (DA), (B) NA and a β_2 blocker, (C) NA and a β_1 blocker, (D) a β_2 agonist, (E) PDE3 inhibitor, (F) PDE4 inhibitor, (G) NA and AP5, and (H) NA and PKI. Shading indicates the periods of puff application for DA, NA or a β_2 agonist. Gray bars indicate the periods for bath application. Error bars represent standard error. Filled and open symbols represent spine volumes measured from stimulated spines and unstimulated neighboring spines (control), respectively. Red arrows indicate stimulated spines.

I, J, Representative images of dendrites before and 50 minutes after stimulation (top) and time courses of spine volumes (bottom) in the absence (I) and presence (J) of AIP. Scale bars, 1 μm. Error bars represent S.E.M.

Supplementary Figure 6. Chemogenetic manipulation of microglia.
A, Schematic of the experimental timeline in mice without the Cre-driver.
B, Images showing mCitrine fluorescence (top) and nuclear staining with TOPRO-3 (bottom) in mice without the Cre-driver.
C, Chart showing proportion of mCitrine expressing cells out of TOPRO-3 positive cells. Data were obtained from slices of 2 mice.
D, Schematic of experimental timeline in mice with Cre-driver.
E, Images showing mCitrine fluorescence (top) and immunostaining with anti-Iba1 antibody (bottom) in mice with Cre-driver.
F, Chart showing proportion of the mCitrine expressing cells out of anti-Iba1 positive cells (top) and anti-Iba1 positive cells out of mCitrine expressing cells (bottom). Slices from 6 mice.
G, Representative images of dendrites before and 50 minutes after STDP stimulation (top) and time courses of spine volumes (bottom) with or without TNF-α (10 nM, bath) in the presence of NA (50 μM). Red arrows indicate stimulated spines.
Scale bars, 200 μm in (B), 20 μm in (E), and 1 μm in (G).
Error bars represent S.E.M.

Supplementary Figure 7. Observational fear learning.
A, B, Plotsof freezing on day 2 of conditioning (A) and day 3 of test (B) of mice conditioned with or without demonstrator.
C−F, Traces (C,E) and plots (D,F) of freezing on day 2 of conditioning (C,D) and day 3 of test (E,F) in female mice. A pair of female mice was used for observer and demonstrator.
G, Schematic of photometry recording in response to foot shock (0.4−0.7mA, 1 s).
H, Traces of raw fluorescences (signals obtained by excitation with 405 nm LED or 470 nm LED) and ratio (470 nm/405 nm) against the onset of the foot shock. Average of 4 trials are shown. Note that the isosbestic wavelength of the probe is around 410 nm [78]

and therefore the signal with 405 nm excitation exhibited the opposite direction to that of 470 nm excitation. These mice were a separate set from those used in observational fear learning.

I,J, Traces of freezing on day 2 (I) and day 3 (J) in fiber photometry recording experiment.

K,L, Trace (K) and plots (L) of GRAB-NE$_{2m}$ fluorescence on day 3.

M,N, Plots of freezing on day 2 (M) and on day 3 (N) in ICI118,551 experiments.

Wilcoxon signed-rank test for (A, B, D, F, L, M, N).

Error bars and shades indicate S.E.M.

Supplementary Figure 8. Inhibition of CaMKII signaling in fear learning.
A, Images showing averaged intensity of AIP expression in all the mice engaged in the observational fear learning. AP indicates anteroposterior coordinates from the bregma in mm.
B, C, Plots of freezing on day 2 (B) and on day 3 (C) in AIP experiments.
D, Schematic of auditory conditioning with direct fear experience.
E, Images showing averaged intensity of AIP expression in all the mice engaged in auditory conditioning with direct fear experience.
F, Time courses of freezing on day 1 (conditioning) and on day 2 (test) (left) and plots on day 2 (right). Freezing responses during tone periods (20 s) are shown for each trial (day 1) or average of 4 trials (day 2).
Scale bars in (A, E) indicate 1 mm.
Wilcoxon signed-rank test for (B,C), Mann–Whitney U test for (F).

Supplementary Figure 9. Ablation of microglia in fear learning.
A, Images showing averaged intensity of Iba1 immunoreactivity in the brain from all the clodronate-injected mice used for subthreshold conditioning.
B,C, Plots of freezing on day 2 (B) and on day 3 (C) in subthreshold conditioning.
D, Thermal place preference with various temperatures. Times spent in the lower temperature plate during the latter 5 min out of total 10 min recording periods are shown.
E, Images of Iba1 immunoreactivity from all the mice used for conditioning and thermal place preference test.
F, G, Plots of freezing on day 2 (F) and on day 3 (G) in conditioning with clodronate injections with and without demonstrator.
H, Images of Iba1 immunoreactivity from all the mice used for conditioning with direct experience.
I, Auditory fear conditioning with direct experience in the presence and absence of

clodronate.
Scale bars in (A, E, H) indicate 1 mm. Wilcoxon signed-rank test for (B,C, F, G), Wilcoxon signed-rank test with Bonferroni correction after Friedman test ($P < 0.001$) for (D), Mann–Whitney U test for (I).