1

Evolutionary Genomics Guides Scalable Coral Probiotics for Climate Resilience

Mei Xie[1]†, Nan Xiang[1]†, Tianhua Liao[1]†, Chun Ting Cheung[1], Chi Hin Lee[1], Wai Yin Tse[1], Kwong Kit Tsang[1], Kaitlyn E. Ho[1], Qian He[1], Melanie Dörr[2], Hannah Manns[2], Pan Li[1], Zhihao Xian[1], Xiaojun Wang[1], Danli Luo[1], Róisín Hayden[3,4], Emily Chei[3,4], Zhongyue Wan[3,4], Philip Thompson[3,4], Joseph Brennan[3,4], Raquel S. Peixoto[5], Guoxin Cui[5], Shelby E. McIlroy[1,3,4], Apple Pui Yi Chui[1], Christian R. Voolstra[2], Haiwei Luo[1,6,7,8]*

[1]Simon F. S. Li Marine Science Laboratory, School of Life Sciences, The Chinese University of Hong Kong; Shatin, Hong Kong SAR.
[2]Department of Biology, University of Konstanz; Konstanz, Germany.
[3]The Swire Institute of Marine Science, The University of Hong Kong; Cape D'Aguilar Road, Shek O, Hong Kong SAR
[4]School of Biological Sciences, The University of Hong Kong; Pok Fu Lam, Hong Kong SAR.
[5]Division of Biological and Environmental Science and Engineering (BESE), Red Sea Research Center, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST); Thuwal, Saudi Arabia.
[6]State Key Laboratory of Agrobiotechnology, The Chinese University of Hong Kong; Shatin, Hong Kong SAR.
[7]Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, The Chinese University of Hong Kong; Shatin, Hong Kong SAR.
[8]Institute of Environment, Energy and Sustainability, The Chinese University of Hong Kong; Shatin, Hong Kong SAR.

†These authors contributed equally to this work.

*Corresponding author:
E-mail: haiweiluo@cuhk.edu.hk

Abstract

Coral reefs, critically endangered by climate warming, urgently require innovative, scalable interventions. Probiotics offer promise, but stability and effectiveness need improvement. We introduce an evolutionary genomic framework for selecting so-called next-generation probiotics using host adaptation signatures. Targeting bacteria transitioning irreversibly to host dependency, we identify coral-sourced *Ruegeria* MC10, exhibiting insertion sequence proliferation and essential gene pseudogenization. In a proof-of-principle, coral model cnidarians showed increased thermal tolerance. Subsequent, real-world application of one-month nursery inoculations enabled *Acropora pruinosa* corals to retain MC10 for the monitored period (eight months) post-outplanting, including persistence through a natural bleaching event, with sustained coloration. MC10 colonized the gastrodermis, exhibited host flexibility, and minimally disrupted native microbiomes. This work pioneers a scalable, evolution-guided strategy for microbial-driven reef restoration, applicable to wildlife at large.

Coral reefs sustain nearly 30% of marine biodiversity (*1*) and support the livelihoods of over one
billion people through ecosystem services and coastal protection (*2*). Yet these vital ecosystems
are collapsing under climate change: since the 1950s, half of global live coral cover has vanished,
and even under the 1.5 °C Paris target, 70-90% of reefs face extinction by 2050 (*3*). Central to
this crisis is the breakdown of the coral-algal symbiosis during thermal stress, which triggers
bleaching and starvation (*4*). The accelerating frequency of mass bleaching events, including the
catastrophic 2014-2017 (*5*) and 2023-2024 (*6*) global events, has left reefs with insufficient
recovery intervals, necessitating urgent interventions to enhance resilience.
The targeted manipulation of microbial communities is considered a promising strategy to
enhance the resilience of endangered wildlife (*7*), but uncertainty regarding establishment of
long-term relationships remains (*8*). Microbial therapies, particularly probiotics (i.e., beneficial
microbes administered to improve host health), offer promise for mitigating coral bleaching by
modulating holobiont health. Laboratory studies demonstrate that probiotic inoculations can
enhance thermal tolerance, disease resistance, and calcification (*9-11*), while field trials confirm
their safe delivery with limited evidence for off-target effects (*12*).
To address the issue of long-term residency of bacterial inoculants, we introduce so-called
next-generation probiotics selected through evolutionary principles. By targeting beneficial
bacteria undergoing irreversible transitions from free-living to host-dependent symbiosis, we
identify microbes pre-adapted for stable colonization. We focus on evolutionary young
endosymbionts, which retain culturability but exhibit genomic adaptations (e.g.,
pseudogenization of core metabolic genes) indicative of host dependency, assumed to align with
more persistent association in the tissue. Through screening of 1,212 bacterial isolates from
corals, we identified a novel population taxonomically affiliated with *Ruegeria*, a genus ranked
among the most prevalent coral-associated taxa (*13, 14*) with a coral-sourced isolate recently
shown to be a coral probiotic (*15*), that exhibits genomic hallmarks of host adaptation. Nursery
inoculations enable MC10 to persist in coral tissues throughout an 8-month field trial, including
through a natural bleaching event, with evidence for enhancing coral thermal resilience without
repeated applications. Unlike traditional probiotics, which are selected for transient traits,
MC10's efficacy stems from evolutionary compatibility with its host. By merging evolutionary
biology with wildlife conservation, we establish a novel framework for climate-resilient
restoration.
75
Results
Ruegeria population MC10 as a putative next-generation coral probiotic
To identify candidate probiotics, we cultured 419 *Ruegeria* isolates from five coral species
(*Acropora* cf. *samoensis*, *Acropora solitaryensis*, *Favites pentagona*, *Platygyra acuta*, and
Oulastrea crispata) across nine Hong Kong reef sites spanning diverse environmental gradients,
including dissolved inorganic nitrogen concentrations of 21-107 µM (**Fig. S1**). Most bacterial
isolates were obtained from coral mucus and skeleton (**Fig. 1A**).
Using PopCOGenT to delineate bacterial populations based on recombination barriers (*16*),
we resolved 116 genetically cohesive *Ruegeria* populations, 29 of which included ≥3 isolates
(**Fig. 1A**). Phylogenomic analysis confirmed monophyly for most populations, validating
PopCOGenT's accuracy and enabling targeted surveys of probiotic dynamics. Among these,
population MC10 emerged as a prime candidate, exhibiting genomic hallmarks of evolutionary
young endosymbionts: expansion of IS (**Fig. 1B**) and proliferation of pseudogenes (**Fig. 1C**), as

quantified from closed genome assemblies (**Fig. S2**). Observed frameshift mutations disrupted
key tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle genes, including aconitate hydratase (*ACO*), isocitrate
dehydrogenase (*IDH1*), succinyl-CoA synthetase (*sucC*), malate dehydrogenase (*mdh*), and
succinate dehydrogenase (*sdhA*) (**Fig. S3**). While 5′-terminal mutations likely abolished gene
function, 3′-terminal disruptions may permit residual activity (**Fig. S3** & **Fig. S4**). Notably,
pseudogenized loci lacked functional paralogs, suggesting heightened metabolic reliance on the
coral host. Strikingly, only 8 of 15 closed MC10 genomes retained a complete TCA cycle, with
similar heterogeneity observed in glycolysis, amino acid biosynthesis, and vitamin synthesis
pathways (**Fig. S5**). While population MC10 exemplifies the hallmark features for next-
generation probiotics, within population functional heterogeneity presents a potential source of
variation in the efficacy of probiotic candidate lineages.
Host dependency may come at the cost of free-living capacity. To test this, we compared
the growth patterns of the strain MC10-B4 (from MC10) in culture to its closely related *Ruegeria*
strain MC15-BG7 (from MC15) sourced from the same *O. crispata* colony and a free-living fast-
growing model strain *Ruegeria pomeroyi* DSS-3 isolated from coastal water (*17*) (**Fig. S6**).
Consistent with its host-adapted genomic architecture, MC10-B4 exhibited reduced maximum
growth rates (μ_{max} = 0.15 h⁻¹) in culture compared to its congenic relative MC15-BG7 (μ_{max} =
0.27 h⁻¹), but comparable to DSS-3 (μ_{max} = 0.12 h⁻¹). However, MC10-B4 achieved a 1.4-fold
higher stationary-phase biomass yield (7.4 × 10⬜ CFU/mL) than MC15-BG7 (5.2 × 10⬜
CFU/mL) and a 7.7-fold increase over the DSS-3 (9.6 × 10⬜ CFU/mL) despite similar optical
densities.
Phylogenetically, MC10's closest relative, MC46 (**Fig. 1A**), shares 99.45% 16S rRNA
identity though diverges more genomically (average nucleotide identity or ANI: 86.88 ±
0.04%; **Fig. S7**), lacking IS expansion or pseudogenization (**Fig. 1B & 1C**). This contrast
underscores MC10's recent origin.
114
Ruegeria MC10 is ubiquitously associated with diverse corals
To assess *Ruegeria* MC10's ecological distribution and coral host associations, we performed
amplicon sequencing of two population-resolving marker genes, *parC* (encoding a
topoisomerase IV subunit) and *ATP5B* (encoding an ATP synthase subunit). These markers were
selected from over 700 conserved single-copy *Ruegeria* gene families and validated for their
ability to resolve PopCOGenT-defined populations into monophyletic groups (**Fig. S8**). Custom
primers achieved high recovery rates (64.8% for *parC*; 74.3% for *ATP5B*; **Table S1**), enabling
targeted surveys across corals.
Phylogenetic analysis of amplicon sequences revealed MC10's presence in the five
surveyed coral species (*O. crispata*, *Acropora solitaryensis*, *Plesiastrea* sp., *Porites* sp., and *P.
acuta*) sampled from multiple reef sites in Hong Kong, as well as in seawater and sediment (**Fig.
2**). MC10's occurrence varied among individuals of the same coral species, typically constituting
<2% of *Ruegeria* communities, where detected. Notably, *parC*- and *ATP5B*-based abundance
estimates showed no linear correlation, particularly at proportions below 0.5% (**Fig. S9**), likely
reflecting methodological challenges in quantifying low-abundance populations.
Attempts to quantify MC10's absolute abundance via qPCR were unsuccessful (**Fig. S10**),
though its recurrent detection across phylogenetically distant corals and abiotic habitats
underscores its ecological versatility. Despite methodological limitations for quantification,
MC10's broad distribution and transient environmental persistence support its classification as a

low-risk probiotic candidate, minimizing concerns of ecological disruption in restoration efforts.
135
Ruegeria MC10 increases thermal tolerance of a model cnidarian
To validate MC10's probiotic potential, we employed short-term acute thermal assays using the
Coral Bleaching Automated Stress System (CBASS) (*18, 19*), exposing Aiptasia (sensu
Exaipatasia diaphna, a sea anemone model for coral-algal symbiosis) (*20*) to MC10-B4 at a pre-
screened concentration of 10^6 CFU/ml (**Fig. S11**) with subsequent subjection to thermal stress
(**Fig. S12**). The CBASS trial involved a 3-hour temperature ramp from 30 °C to target levels
(34 °C, 36 °C, or 39 °C) under light, followed by a 3-hour hold, a 1-hour ramp-down to 30 °C in
darkness, and an 11-hour overnight reprieve period (*21*). Dark-acclimated photosynthetic
efficiency (F_v/F_m) was used to measure response to heat stress at two time points: T7 (7th hour,
immediately post-stress) to assess acute thermal tolerance, and T18 (18th hour, post-recovery) to
evaluate thermal recovery (*21*). Thermal tolerance was quantified by means of the Effective
Dose 50 (ED50), which signifies the temperature at which a 50% decline in F_v/F_m relative to
unstressed controls is observed (*22*). MC10-inoculated Aiptasia exhibited significantly higher
ED50 standardized thermal tolerance values than controls: a 1 °C increase at T7 ($P = 0.001$, one-
way ANOVA) and a 0.6 °C increase at T18 ($P = 0.013$), demonstrating enhanced acute stress
tolerance and recovery capacity, respectively (**Fig. 3A & 3B, Table S2**). Fluorescence in situ
hybridization (FISH) assays with an MC10-specific probe confirmed presence of MC10-B4 in
inoculated Aiptasia tissues (**Fig. S13**). These results, generated using Aiptasia's conserved
symbiosis mechanisms, validate MC10's cross-host efficacy.
155
Real-world application demonstrates MC10's probiotic capacity through sustained thermal
tolerance in reef corals
To evaluate *Ruegeria* MC10's probiotic capacity in natural settings, we conducted an 8-month
field trial with *Acropora pruinosa*, initially designed to compare two evolutionarily distinct
strains: MC10-B4 (exhibiting host-adapted genomic signatures) and its phylogenetically closely
related (**Fig. 1**) congener MC15-BG7 (lacking such signatures), both isolated from the same *O.*
crispata colony. Following a one-month laboratory inoculation regime (January 2024, **t0**) using
genetically identical coral fragments to control for host variability, amplicon sequencing revealed
stark contrasts in colonization success. MC10-B4 achieved significantly higher abundance in
inoculated corals (median 18.331% of *Ruegeria* communities; 0.003% of total bacteria),
significantly higher than the placebo group treated with autoclaved 0.22 μm-filtered seawater
(AFSW) (2.410% of *Ruegeria* communities and < 0.001% of total bacteria; $P = 0.002$ and $P =$
0.007, respectively; one-way ANOVA; **Fig. 3C, Table S3**). In contrast, corals inoculated with
MC15-BG7 showed no significant increase in MC15 abundance (median 3.242% of *Ruegeria*
communities and < 0.001% of total bacteria) compared to the placebo group (0.251% of
Ruegeria communities and < 0.001% of total bacteria; $P = 0.136$ and 0.281, respectively; one-
way ANOVA; **Fig. 3D, Table S3**). Given MC10-B4's superior colonization, we prioritized these
colonies for outplanting to Knob Reef, Hong Kong (**Fig. S14**).
The outplanted corals were monitored through September 2024 without further probiotic
intervention, with measurements taken at five intervals: **t1** (1 month post-outplanting, February),
t3 (April), **t6** (July), **t7** (August), and **t8** (September). Crucially, a natural thermal stress event
occurred during **t6-t8**, with degree heating weeks (DHW) reaching 8.8-18 °C-weeks (**Fig. S15**),
surpassing the 4-8 DHW bleaching threshold. During the 8-month monitoring period, MC10-B4-

inoculated corals exhibited enhanced thermal tolerance, with significantly reduced signs of visual
bleaching at the peak of thermal stress relative to placebo-treated group (CoralWatch Coral
Health Chart coloration scores at **t6**: 3.3 ± 0.5 vs. 2.5 ± 0.4, $P < 0.001$; **t7**: 3.2 ± 0.4 vs. 2.4 ± 0.4,
$P < 0.001$; two-way ANOVA, Tukey's HSD; **Fig. 3E, Table S4**). Although partial recovery
occurred in the subsequent month, neither MC10-B4-inoculated corals nor placebo-treated group
fully regained pre-bleaching coloration levels by **t8**, indicating lingering stress effects.
Concurrently, F_v/F_m' from corals inoculated with MC10-B4 varied by treatment at some
timepoints ($P < 0.001$, two-way ANOVA, interaction effect), with probiotic-inoculated corals
maintaining significantly higher F_v/F_m' (**t6**: $P < 0.001$; **t7**: $P < 0.001$; two-way ANOVA, Tukey's
HSD; **Fig. 3F, Table S5**).
FISH assays with an MC10-specific probe at **t0**, **t6**, and **t8** confirmed MC10's persistent
presence in coral tissues, particularly the gastrodermis (**Fig. 3G**), with additional nonsense probe
confirming the hybridization specificity (**Fig. 3G**). MC10's low abundance within *Ruegeria*
communities (median 0.23%) and *Ruegeria*'s minor representation in coral microbiomes
(median 0.02%) precluded precise quantification, falling below the 0.5% detection threshold for
reliable amplicon sequence variant (ASV) resolution (*23*).
To monitor microbiome dynamics in outplanted corals, we performed *parC* and 16S rRNA
gene amplicon sequencing at **t0, t1, t3, t6**, and **t8** (**t7** samples unavailable; see Supplemental
Methods). Analysis of β-diversity (compositional differences between communities) revealed
that bacterial communities in MC10-B4-inoculated corals became progressively more similar to
those in placebo-treated corals over time (**Fig. 4A**; ANOSIM). This convergence may reflect
environmental acclimatization or dispersal-based homogenization at the reef site (groups
separated by only 40 meters). Despite this community-level similarity, differential enrichment of
individual taxa could be observed. During the bleaching phase (**t6**), ASV-based genus-level
analysis identified significant enrichment of several Bacteroidetes genera (*Cryomorpha*,
Spirosoma, *Sunxiuqinia*, *Gelatiniphilus*, *Aureispira*, and *Flavobacterium*) and depletion of
multiple Roseobacteraceae genera (*Sulfitobacter*, *Roseovarius*, *Pseudoruegeria*, *Donghicola*,
Aliiroseovarius, and *Phaeobacter*) in MC10-B4-inoculated corals compared to the placebo group
(FDR-corrected $P < 0.05$; ANCOM-BC (**Fig. 4B**). Crucially, most of these taxa showed no
enrichment or depletion during pre-bleaching (**t3**) or post-bleaching (**t8**) phases (**Fig. S16**),
indicating that MC10 may exert a microbial community restructuring effect during natural
thermal stress.
This trial demonstrates that nursery-integrated probiotic treatments can enhance coral
resilience to thermal stress without the need for post-outplanting intervention. MC10's sustained
retention, even at low abundances, aligns with its evolutionary adaptations (e.g.,
pseudogenization-driven host dependency) and underscores its potential as a scalable tool for
reef restoration.
216
Discussion
The accelerating loss of biodiversity under climate change demands innovative, scalable
strategies to enhance resilience across ecosystems. While probiotics have shown promise in
diverse systems, their scalability is universally hampered by transient colonization. Here, we
advance a generalizable solution: probiotics selected through evolutionary principles, targeting
bacteria undergoing irreversible transitions from free-living to host-dependent symbiosis. Using
coral reefs as a model system, we identify *Ruegeria* MC10, a coral-associated bacterial

population exhibiting genomic hallmarks of host adaptation. An 8-month field trial demonstrates
that nursery MC10 inoculations confer sustained retention in corals through a natural bleaching
event, enhancing thermal resilience without the need for repeated applications. This
evolutionary-guided framework bridges microbiome engineering and conservation, offering a
blueprint for scalable probiotics across taxa.
229
Evolutionary innovation in probiotic selection
Traditional probiotics, for marine organisms like corals, farmed shellfish and fish, as well as for
terrestrial wildlife and farmed species, are selected for transient functional traits such as
pathogen inhibition or nutrient provisioning (*7, 24, 25*). However, uncertainty regarding their
ability to persist long-term in host tissues limits scalability (*26*). Here, we introduce a lifestyle-
oriented strategy rooted in evolutionary genomics, shifting the paradigm from what probiotics
do to how they evolve, their evolutionary trajectory. By targeting bacterial symbionts undergoing
irreversible transitions from free-living to host-dependent symbiosis, we exploit their inherent
genomic trajectory toward stable host association, arguably due to their putatively important role
in holobiont functioning. This adaptation comes at a measurable and detectable genomic cost, as
relaxed purifying selection during the lifestyle transition leads to the accumulation of mildly
deleterious mutations, including IS expansion and pseudogene proliferation (*27*). These genomic
hallmarks, such as frameshift mutations or IS-driven disruptions in essential genes, reflect
reduced selective pressure to maintain free-living metabolic pathways, driving metabolic
dependency that favors sustained colonization (*27, 28*). *Ruegeria* MC10 exemplifies this novel
approach, exhibiting hallmark signatures of these evolutionary young endosymbionts.
This strategy draws parallels with terrestrial, recently host-dependent endosymbionts, such
as *Sodalis glossinidius* in Tsetse flies, which exhibit similar genomic erosion during early host
adaptation (*27, 29*). However, while such terrestrial symbionts have not yet been repurposed as
probiotics for economically or ecologically critical animals, our work demonstrates the
feasibility of this approach in marine systems. MC10's success, retained in coral tissues for eight
months post-inoculation, validates evolutionary principles as a blueprint for probiotic design
across ecosystems. For example, host-dependent symbionts in oysters, shrimp, or even terrestrial
livestock could be harnessed to improve stress tolerance. Notably, at current we are naïve
regarding the measurable host beneficial effect of such isolates. However, given our success here,
one may argue it might be a rather more frequent than less occurrence.
By prioritizing evolutionary compatibility over inferred functional trait screening, this
framework addresses a universal limitation in microbiome engineering: the uncertainty regarding
long-term establishment of administered microbes (*30*). For corals, MC10's persistence reduces
reliance on repeated applications, a critical advance for large-scale restoration. Beyond reefs, this
approach could transform probiotics for aquaculture, agriculture, and wildlife restoration, where
long-term microbial colonization is essential. The integration of evolutionary theory into
conservation practice marks a paradigm shift, enabling scalable solutions that align microbial
interventions with natural host-microbe coevolution.
264
Facultative endosymbionts as host-generalist probiotics
Ruegeria MC10 is a facultative endosymbiont, given its variable presence among colonies of the
same coral species and its presence as a free-living bacterium. Facultative endosymbionts
undergoing early host adaptation exhibit a unique duality: genomic erosion drives metabolic

dependency while retaining ecological flexibility. This paradox enables broad host ranges, as
exemplified by *Sodalis glossinidius*, which persists in Tsetse flies and other insects despite
pseudogenized metabolic pathways. Similarly, *Ruegeria* MC10's host versatility may arise from
mechanisms that exploit universal host interfaces rather than lineage-specific adaptations. For
instance, MC10's pseudogenized TCA cycle necessitates scavenging host-derived metabolites
such as succinate or fumarate, pathways conserved across eukaryotes. This strategy aligns with
resource tracking, a mechanism highlighted by Lajoie and Parfrey (*31*), where microbial
symbionts exploit conserved host resources (e.g., vitamins, metabolites) to colonize diverse hosts
without specialization.
MC10's colonization of the coral gastrodermis likely involves eukaryotic receptors (e.g.,
integrins or lectins), akin to *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum*, which colonizes *Drosophila* spp. and
many metazoan species through a conserved colonization island, allowing for wide use as a
human and animal probiotic (*32*). This touches upon the concept of ecological fitting, where pre-
existing traits enable symbionts to colonize novel hosts without coevolution, a key driver of host
generalization in facultative symbioses (*31*). Additionally, MC10's transient environmental
persistence reflects weak host selection pressures. As emphasized by Lajoie and Parfrey (*31*),
when hosts impose minimal filtering (e.g., due to low symbiont abundance or metabolic
byproduct exploitation), symbionts face reduced costs of adaptation to novel hosts, enabling
niche expansion. MC10's low relative abundance in coral microbiomes likely minimizes its
burden on the host, weakening selection for specialization and favoring persistence across taxa.
Scalability hinges on this generality. Unlike obligate symbionts restricted to single hosts
(*29*), facultative endosymbionts like MC10 exploit conserved molecular gateways, enabling
nursery-based priming of diverse corals.
292
Ruegeria MC10's growth dynamics balance evolutionary trade-offs and scalable cultivation
Growth rate comparisons between MC10 and its conventional *Ruegeria* relatives reveal that
MC10 exhibits reduced proliferation under laboratory conditions, a phenotype potentially linked
to pseudogenization of core metabolic pathways. Disrupted TCA cycle genes likely constrain
ATP synthesis, while pseudogenized amino acid biosynthesis pathways increase reliance on
externally sourced metabolites, collectively imposing energetic inefficiencies. Despite these
constraints, MC10 retains culturability, potentially through mechanisms like ribosomal
frameshifting, where translational machinery bypasses frameshift mutations, or codon
reassignment, where alternative decoding of stop codons permits partial gene expression. For
example, *Salmonella enterica* translates 101 of 106 pseudogenes (*33*), though the specific
mechanisms driving translation in this species remain untested. In general, such processes often
produce misfolded proteins due to destabilized residue interactions, leading to energetic
frustration and reduced functionality (*34*). These molecular inefficiencies compound
physiological deficits (e.g., reduced ATP yield), creating a dual burden that favors MC10's
dependency on coral hosts for metabolic compensation. Thus, while pseudogene translation may
sustain transient culturability, its inherent instability reinforces evolutionary trajectories toward
host reliance, aligning genomic decay with ecological dependency.
Critically, MC10-B4's growth kinetics (μ_{max} = 0.15 h⁻¹) align with established probiotics
like *Lactobacillus* spp. (0.12-0.23 h⁻¹) and marine probiotic *Phaeobacter inhibens* (0.16-0.18
h⁻¹). Its stationary-phase yields (7.4 × 10⁹ CFU/mL) approach commercial probiotic standards
(typically ≥ 10⁹ CFU/dose in pharmaceutical applications (*35*)), validating scalability for reef

restoration. Industrial fermentation processes achieve high yields through tailored nutrient-rich
media (e.g., whey-supplemented formulations for *Lactobacillus*) and advanced fermentation
engineering (e.g., fed-batch cultivation), overcoming growth limitations in fastidious strains (*36*).
For MC10-B4, tailored media formulations, such as coral-mimicking nutrient formulations,
could further enhance biomass production by compensating for pseudogenization-driven
metabolic deficiencies. This industrial compatibility, coupled with MC10-B4's high cell density
output, positions it as a tractable candidate for large-scale marine probiotic production.
321
Functional mechanisms underlying Ruegeria MC10's probiotic potential
Ruegeria MC10's benefits under thermal stress, including reduced algal photosynthetic
efficiency loss and reduced bleaching, align with functional roles observed in terrestrial
facultative endosymbionts. For example, *Serratia symbiotica* buffers its insect hosts against heat
stress via metabolite exchange (*37*). Though MC10's exact mechanisms remain unresolved, its
retention in gastrodermis and pseudogenized metabolism suggest analogous stress-mitigating
roles, potentially through stabilizing algal symbiont function or modulating host physiology. A
key debate centers on whether probiotics act as nutritional sources to support host energetic
demands (*7, 26, 38*). MC10's sustained colonization over eight months argues against digestion-
driven benefits, as degraded cells would not persist.
332
Ecological flexibility and safety
While *Ruegeria* MC10's ecological flexibility, demonstrated by its cross-species efficacy in
enhancing thermal tolerance across Hexacorallia, could raise concerns about off-target
colonization, multiple factors converge that argue for its ecological safety. MC10 occurs
naturally at low abundances (0.5-2% of coral-associated *Ruegeria* communities, where detected)
across diverse hosts including faviid (*O. crispata*), acroporid (*A. solitaryensis*), and poritid
(*Porites* sp.) corals, as well as in seawater and sediment. This widespread but sparse distribution
may be due to constrained environmental fitness resulting from pseudogenized metabolism (e.g.,
disrupted TCA cycle), which may intrinsically limit competitive proliferation outside host tissues.
Our results also suggest that microbiome restructuring is fine-scale and restricted to context-
specific depletion of certain Roseobacteraceae genera during bleaching events, which may
suggest transient niche restructuring. Of note, MC10's evolutionary trajectory toward host
dependency manifests in tissue-specific localization (gastrodermis) and ultralow persistence
abundances (<0.005% total bacteria), reducing environmental shedding risks. Collectively, these
attributes, encompassing natural rarity, self-limiting metabolic constraints, and host-adapted
persistence, position MC10 as a coral "core microbiome" taxon: a low-abundance generalist that
supports holobiont function without ex hospite ecosystem disruption, making it ideally suited for
multi-species restoration (*39*).
351
Microbiome dynamics and stability
A central goal of probiotic intervention aligns with microbial transfer therapy (MTT) in human
medical settings: introducing beneficial taxa to reset dysbiotic microbiomes and enhance
resilience through community restructuring (*14, 40*). By leveraging *Ruegeria* MC10 as a
keystone inoculant, we achieved microbiome modulation without long-term destabilization,
evidenced by transient β-diversity differences that peaked post-inoculation but converged with
placebo-treated corals over eight months. Crucially, during bleaching stress (**t6**), MC10-B4

association co-occurred with a functional microbiome reset characterized by enrichment of
Bacteroidetes polysaccharide degradation specialists (*Cryomorpha*, *Spirosoma*, *Sunxiuqinia*,
Gelatiniphilus, *Aureispira*, *Flavobacterium*) (*41*), ROS-tolerant taxa (*Deinococcus*,
Desertibacter, *Spirosoma*) (*42*), *Vibrio*-predatory specialist (*Aureispira*) (*43*), and known
probiotics (*Veillonella*, *Limosilactobacillus*) (*44, 45*). Concurrent depletion of multiple
Roseobacteraceae genera (*Sulfitobacter*, *Roseovarius*, *Pseudoruegeria*, *Donghicola*,
Aliiroseovarius, *Phaeobacter*) indicated competitive restructuring within this ecologically
critical family, notably including both MC10 itself and the established probiotics *Phaeobacter*
(*46*)). This taxonomic shift, largely absent pre- or post-bleaching, argues MC10 primed the
microbiome for stress-responsive optimization.
While algal symbiont switching (e.g., from sensitive *Cladocopium* to resilient *Durusdinium*)
represents a known thermal adaptation pathway in some corals (*47*), this mechanism is unlikely
to explain our results. Regional characterization confirms Hong Kong corals, including *Acropora*
spp., exclusively harbor *Cladocopium* spp. (except *O. crispata*) (*48*). This consistency across
host genotypes and sites makes algal symbiont-mediated thermal tolerance gains as an alternative
explanation for MC10-B4's efficacy in inoculated *A. pruinosa* unlikely.
375
Next-generation probiotics as a scalable solution
Coral restoration typically involves growing colony fragments in nurseries before outplanting
(*49, 50*), a framework compatible with next-generation probiotics like *Ruegeria* MC10's
integration as a nursery-applied probiotic. While the 8-month field trial demonstrated sustained
retention and stress resilience, multiyear survival data and reef-scale outcomes (e.g., biodiversity
recovery, structural complexity) remain unresolved, which are critical gaps for evaluating long-
term restoration success, an issue plaguing coral restoration initiatives at large (*50*).
Real-world scalability hinges on the evolutionary design of next-generation probiotics: host
dependency minimizes post-outplanting dispersal risks, while transient environmental viability
and cross-species efficacy enable "probiotic priming" within existing nursery workflows.
Innovations like biodegradable slow-release matrices (*24*) could further extend benefits to wild
populations during seasonal stress. By aligning mechanistic research with scalable delivery
systems, this approach bridges evolutionary theory and conservation practice, offering a
blueprint to safeguard reef ecosystems as ocean warming outpaces natural adaptation.
390

Acknowledgements: We thank all the colleagues who have supported experiments on outplant
fieldwork and FISH experiments, including Jingqi Gao and Kai Yan Lai. We would like to thank
Kristin Bär-Häge and Myriam Schmid for their support with Aiptasia animal husbandry for
CBASS acute thermal assays.

Funding: Hong Kong Research Grants Council (RGC) General Research Fund project #:
14114724 granted to H.L. Grand Challenges Scheme project #: 4720293 by the CUHK-Exeter
Joint Centre for Environmental Sustainability and Resilience (ENSURE) granted to H.L.
"Reviving Our Corals" initiative agreement #: TW2318074 by WWF Hong Kong and Coral
Academy, The Chinese University of Hong Kong granted to A.P.Y.C. German Research
Foundation (DFG) project #: 458901010 granted to C.R.V.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization: H.L. Methodology on *Ruegeria* isolation, genome
analysis, and population-level primer design: M.X., T.L., H.L. Methodology on CBASS and

coral outplant : N.X., C.R.V., C.T.C., M.X., A.P.Y.C., H.L. Code development: T.L., X.W. Investigation on coral sampling and *Ruegeria* isolation: M.X., K.E.H., D.L., S.E.M., R.H., E.C., Z.W., P.T., J.B. Investigation on CBASS: N.X., M.D., H.M., C.R.V. Investigation on coral outplant: C.T.C., M.X., N.X., A.P.Y.C., C.H.L, W.Y.T, K.K.T., Q.H., P.L. Methodology on FISH: Z.X., G.C. Data visualization and statistical analysis: N.X., S.E.M. Funding acquisition: H.L., A.P.Y.C. Project administration: H.L. Supervision: H.L. and C.R.V. Writing – original draft: H.L. Writing – review and editing: H.L., C.R.V., N.X., M.X., S.E.M., R.S.P., G.C. Writing – supplementary materials: M.X., N.X., T.L., H.L.

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

1. R. Fisher, Rebecca A. O'Leary, S. Low-Choy, K. Mengersen, N. Knowlton, Russell E. Brainard, M. J. Caley, Species richness on coral reefs and the pursuit of convergent global estimates. *Current Biology* **25**, 500-505 (2015).

2. J. N. Kittinger, E. M. Finkbeiner, E. W. Glazier, L. B. Crowder, Human dimensions of coral reef social-ecological systems. *Ecology and Society* **17**, 17 (2012).

3. IPCC, "Climate Change 2023: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change," *IPCC* (Geneva, Switzerland, 2023).

4. N. Rädecker, C. Pogoreutz, H. M. Gegner, A. Cárdenas, F. Roth, J. Bougoure, P. Guagliardo, C. Wild, M. Pernice, J.-B. Raina, A. Meibom, C. R. Voolstra, Heat stress destabilizes symbiotic nutrient cycling in corals. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **118**, e2022653118 (2021).

5. C. M. Eakin, D. Devotta, S. F. Heron, S. Connolly, G. Liu, E. Geiger, J. D. L. Cour, A. Gomez, W. Skirving, A. H. Baird, The 2014-17 global coral bleaching event: the most severe and widespread coral reef destruction. *Preprint*, (2022).

6. J. D. Reimer, R. S. Peixoto, S. W. Davies, N. Traylor-Knowles, M. L. Short, R. A. Cabral-Tena, J. A. Burt, I. Pessoa, A. T. Banaszak, R. S. Winters, T. Moore, V. Schoepf, D. Kaullysing, L. E. Calderon-Aguilera, G. Wörheide, S. Harding, V. Munbodhe, A. Mayfield, T. Ainsworth, T. Vardi, C. M. Eakin, M. S. Pratchett, C. R. Voolstra, The fourth global coral bleaching event: Where do we go from here? *Coral Reefs* **43**, 1121-1125 (2024).

7. N. Garcias-Bonet, A. Roik, B. Tierney, F. C. García, H. D. M. Villela, A. M. Dungan, K. M. Quigley, M. Sweet, G. Berg, L. Gram, D. G. Bourne, B. Ushijima, M. Sogin, L. Hoj, G. Duarte, H. Hirt, K. Smalla, A. S. Rosado, S. Carvalho, R. V. Thurber, M. Ziegler, C. E. Mason, M. J. H. van Oppen, C. R. Voolstra, R. S. Peixoto, Horizon scanning the application of probiotics for wildlife. *Trends in Microbiology* **32**, 252-269 (2023).

8. S. Čaušević, M. Dubey, M. Morales, G. Salazar, V. Sentchilo, N. Carraro, H.-J. Ruscheweyh, S. Sunagawa, J. R. van der Meer, Niche availability and competitive loss

by facilitation control proliferation of bacterial strains intended for soil microbiome
interventions. *Nature Communications* **15**, 2557 (2024).

9. E. P. Santoro, R. M. Borges, J. L. Espinoza, M. Freire, C. S. M. A. Messias, H. D. M.
Villela, L. M. Pereira, C. L. S. Vilela, J. G. Rosado, P. M. Cardoso, P. M. Rosado, J. M.
Assis, G. A. S. Duarte, G. Perna, A. S. Rosado, A. Macrae, C. L. Dupont, K. E. Nelson,
M. J. Sweet, C. R. Voolstra, R. S. Peixoto, Coral microbiome manipulation elicits
metabolic and genetic restructuring to mitigate heat stress and evade mortality. *Science*
Advances **7**, eabg3088 (2021).

10. B. Ushijima, S. P. Gunasekera, J. L. Meyer, J. Tittl, K. A. Pitts, S. Thompson, J. M.
Sneed, Y. Ding, M. Chen, L. Jay Houk, G. S. Aeby, C. C. Häse, V. J. Paul, Chemical and
genomic characterization of a potential probiotic treatment for stony coral tissue loss
disease. *Communications Biology* **6**, 248 (2023).

11. M. Moradi, P. R. Magalhaes, R. S. Peixoto, C. C. A. C. Jonck, D. François, A. C. F.
Bellot, J. B. Teixeira, C. S. Silveira, G. Duarte, H. Evangelista, C. F. Barbosa, Probiotics
mitigate thermal stress- and pathogen-driven impacts on coral skeleton. *Frontiers in*
Marine Science **10**, (2023).

12. N. Delgadillo-Ordoñez, N. Garcias-Bonet, I. Raimundo, F. C. García, H. Villela, E. O.
Osman, E. P. Santoro, J. Curdia, J. G. D. Rosado, P. Cardoso, A. Alsaggaf, A. Barno, C.
P. Antony, C. Bocanegra, M. L. Berumen, C. R. Voolstra, F. Benzoni, S. Carvalho, R. S.
Peixoto, Probiotics reshape the coral microbiome in situ without detectable off-target
effects in the surrounding environment. *Communications Biology* **7**, 434 (2024).

13. M. J. Huggett, A. Apprill, Coral microbiome database: Integration of sequences reveals
high diversity and relatedness of coral-associated microbes. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* **11**,
372-385 (2019).

14. C. R. Voolstra, J.-B. Raina, M. Dörr, A. Cárdenas, C. Pogoreutz, C. B. Silveira, A. R.
Mohamed, D. G. Bourne, H. Luo, S. A. Amin, R. S. Peixoto, The coral microbiome in
sickness, in health and in a changing world. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **22**, 460-475
(2024).

15. M. Xu, Z. Cai, K. Cheng, G. Chen, J. Zhou, Mitigation of *Vibrio coralliilyticus*-induced
coral bleaching through bacterial dysbiosis prevention by *Ruegeria profundi*. *Applied and*
Environmental Microbiology **90**, e02274-02223 (2024).

16. P. Arevalo, D. VanInsberghe, J. Elsherbini, J. Gore, M. F. Polz, A reverse ecology
approach based on a biological definition of microbial populations. *Cell* **178**, 820-
834.e814 (2019).

17. M. A. Moran, A. Buchan, J. M. Gonzalez, J. F. Heidelberg, W. B. Whitman, R. P. Kiene,
J. R. Henriksen, G. M. King, R. Belas, C. Fuqua, L. Brinkac, M. Lewis, S. Johri, B.
Weaver, G. Pai, J. A. Eisen, E. Rahe, W. M. Sheldon, W. Ye, T. R. Miller, J. Carlton, D.
A. Rasko, I. T. Paulsen, Q. Ren, S. C. Daugherty, R. T. Deboy, R. J. Dodson, A. S.
Durkin, R. Madupu, W. C. Nelson, S. A. Sullivan, M. J. Rosovitz, D. H. Haft, J. Selengut,

N. Ward, Genome sequence of *Silicibacter pomeroyi* reveals adaptations to the marine
environment. *Nature* **432**, 910-913 (2004).

18.   N. R. Evensen, K. E. Parker, T. A. Oliver, S. R. Palumbi, C. A. Logan, J. S. Ryan, C. N.
Klepac, G. Perna, M. E. Warner, C. R. Voolstra, D. J. Barshis, The Coral Bleaching
Automated Stress System (CBASS): A low-cost, portable system for standardized
empirical assessments of coral thermal limits. *Limnology and Oceanography: Methods*
21, 421-434 (2023).

19.   C. R. Voolstra, C. Buitrago-López, G. Perna, A. Cárdenas, B. C. C. Hume, N. Rädecker,
D. J. Barshis, Standardized short-term acute heat stress assays resolve historical
differences in coral thermotolerance across microhabitat reef sites. *Global Change*
Biology **26**, 4328-4343 (2020).

20.   S. Baumgarten, O. Simakov, L. Y. Esherick, Y. J. Liew, E. M. Lehnert, C. T. Michell, Y.
Li, E. A. Hambleton, A. Guse, M. E. Oates, J. Gough, V. M. Weis, M. Aranda, J. R.
Pringle, C. R. Voolstra, The genome of *Aiptasia*, a sea anemone model for coral
symbiosis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **112**, 11893-11898 (2015).

21.   M. Dörr, J. Denger, C. S. Maier, J. V. Kirsch, H. Manns, C. R. Voolstra, Short-term heat
stress assays resolve effects of host strain, repeat stress, and bacterial inoculation on
Aiptasia thermal tolerance phenotypes. *Coral Reefs*, (2023).

22.   C. R. Voolstra, R. Alderdice, L. Colin, S. Staab, A. Apprill, J. B. Raina, Standardized
methods to assess the impacts of thermal stress on coral reef marine life. *Ann Rev Mar*
Sci **17**, 193-226 (2025).

23.   B. J. Callahan, J. Wong, C. Heiner, S. Oh, C. M. Theriot, A. S. Gulati, S. K. McGill, M.
K. Dougherty, High-throughput amplicon sequencing of the full-length 16S rRNA gene
with single-nucleotide resolution. *Nucleic Acids Research* **47**, e103-e103 (2019).

24.   R. S. Peixoto, M. Sweet, H. D. M. Villela, P. Cardoso, T. Thomas, C. R. Voolstra, L. Høj,
D. G. Bourne, Coral probiotics: premise, promise, prospects. *Annual Review of Animal*
Biosciences **9**, 265-288 (2021).

25.   A. Chauhan, R. Singh, Probiotics in aquaculture: a promising emerging alternative
approach. *Symbiosis* **77**, 99-113 (2019).

26.   T. Doering, J. Maire, M. J. H. van Oppen, L. L. Blackall, Advancing coral microbiome
manipulation to build long-term climate resilience. *Microbiology Australia* **44**, 36-40
(2023).

27.   N. A. Moran, G. R. Plague, Genomic changes following host restriction in bacteria.
Current Opinion in Genetics & Development **14**, 627-633 (2004).

28.   C. Toft, S. G. E. Andersson, Evolutionary microbial genomics: insights into bacterial host
adaptation. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **11**, 465-475 (2010).

29.   J. Perreau, N. A. Moran, Genetic innovations in animal–microbe symbioses. *Nature*
Reviews Genetics **23**, 23-39 (2022).

30.   M. B. N. Albright, S. Louca, D. E. Winkler, K. L. Feeser, S.-J. Haig, K. L. Whiteson, J. B.
Emerson, J. Dunbar, Solutions in microbiome engineering: prioritizing barriers to
organism establishment. *The ISME Journal* **16**, 331-338 (2022).

31.   G. Lajoie, L. W. Parfrey, Beyond specialization: re-examining routes of host influence on
symbiont evolution. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **37**, 590-598 (2022).

32.   K. Gutiérrez-García, K. Aumiller, R. Dodge, B. Obadia, A. Deng, S. Agrawal, X. Yuan,
R. Wolff, H. Zhu, R.-C. Hsia, N. Garud, W. B. Ludington, A conserved bacterial genetic
basis for commensal-host specificity. *Science* **386**, 1117-1122 (2024).

33.   Y. Feng, Z. Wang, K.-Y. Chien, H.-L. Chen, Y.-H. Liang, X. Hua, C.-H. Chiu, "Pseudo-
pseudogenes" in bacterial genomes: Proteogenomics reveals a wide but low protein
expression of pseudogenes in *Salmonella enterica*. *Nucleic Acids Research* **50**, 5158-
5170 (2022).

34.   H. Jaafari, C. Bueno, N. P. Schafer, J. Martin, F. Morcos, P. G. Wolynes, The physical
and evolutionary energy landscapes of devolved protein sequences corresponding to
pseudogenes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **121**, e2322428121
(2024).

35.   E. Bertazzoni, D. Gianfranco, M. Tore, N. Jacques, Y. and Sanz, Probiotics and clinical
effects: is the number what counts? *Journal of Chemotherapy* **25**, 193-212 (2013).

36.   E. J. Aguirre-Ezkauriatza, J. M. Aguilar-Yáñez, A. Ramírez-Medrano, M. M. Alvarez,
Production of probiotic biomass (*Lactobacillus casei*) in goat milk whey: Comparison of
batch, continuous and fed-batch cultures. *Bioresource Technology* **101**, 2837-2844 (2010).

37.   G. Burke, O. Fiehn, N. Moran, Effects of facultative symbionts and heat stress on the
metabolome of pea aphids. *The ISME Journal* **4**, 242-252 (2009).

38.   C. A. Morgans, J. Y. Hung, D. G. Bourne, K. M. Quigley, Symbiodiniaceae probiotics
for use in bleaching recovery. *Restoration Ecology* **28**, 282-288 (2020).

39.   M. J. H. van Oppen, L. L. Blackall, Coral microbiome dynamics, functions and design in
a changing world. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **17**, 557-567 (2019).

40.   D.-W. Kang, J. B. Adams, D. M. Coleman, E. L. Pollard, J. Maldonado, S. McDonough-
Means, J. G. Caporaso, R. Krajmalnik-Brown, Long-term benefit of Microbiota Transfer
Therapy on autism symptoms and gut microbiota. *Scientific Reports* **9**, 5821 (2019).

41.   L. S. McKee, S. L. La Rosa, B. Westereng, V. G. Eijsink, P. B. Pope, J. Larsbrink,
Polysaccharide degradation by the Bacteroidetes: mechanisms and nomenclature.
Environmental Microbiology Reports **13**, 559-581 (2021).

42.   A. Pavlopoulou, G. D. Savva, M. Louka, P. G. Bagos, C. E. Vorgias, I. Michalopoulos, A.
G. Georgakilas, Unraveling the mechanisms of extreme radioresistance in prokaryotes:
Lessons from nature. *Mutation Research/Reviews in Mutation Research* **767**, 92-107
(2016).

43. Y.-W. Lien, D. Amendola, K. S. Lee, N. Bartlau, J. Xu, G. Furusawa, M. F. Polz, R.
Stocker, G. L. Weiss, M. Pilhofer, Mechanism of bacterial predation via ixotrophy.
Science **386**, eadp0614 (2024).

44. M. Ksiezarek, F. Grosso, T. G. Ribeiro, L. Peixe, Genomic diversity of genus
Limosilactobacillus. *Microb Genom* **8**, mgen000847 (2022).

45. S. M. Zhang, J. H. Hung, T. N. Yen, S. L. Huang, Mutualistic interactions of lactate-
producing lactobacilli and lactate-utilizing Veillonella dispar: Lactate and glutamate
cross-feeding for the enhanced growth and short-chain fatty acid production. *Microb*
Biotechnol **17**, e14484 (2024).

46. E. C. Sonnenschein, G. Jimenez, M. Castex, L. Gram, The *Roseobacter*-group bacterium
Phaeobacter as a safe probiotic solution for aquaculture. *Applied and Environmental*
Microbiology **87**, e02581-02520 (2021).

47. K. M. Quigley, B. Ramsby, P. Laffy, J. Harris, V. J. L. Mocellin, L. K. Bay, Symbioses
are restructured by repeated mass coral bleaching. *Science Advances* **8**, eabq8349 (2022).

48. O. S. Saad, X. Lin, T. Y. Ng, L. Li, P. Ang, S. Lin, Species richness and generalists–
specialists mosaicism of symbiodiniacean symbionts in corals from Hong Kong revealed
by high-throughput ITS sequencing. *Coral Reefs* **41**, 1-12 (2022).

49. D. J. Suggett, M. J. H. van Oppen, Horizon scan of rapidly advancing coral restoration
approaches for 21st century reef management. *Emerging Topics in Life Sciences* **6**, 125-
136 (2022).

50. L. Boström-Einarsson, R. C. Babcock, E. Bayraktarov, D. Ceccarelli, N. Cook, S. C. A.
Ferse, B. Hancock, P. Harrison, M. Hein, E. Shaver, A. Smith, D. Suggett, P. J. Stewart-
Sinclair, T. Vardi, I. M. McLeod, Coral restoration – A systematic review of current
methods, successes, failures and future directions. *PLOS ONE* **15**, e0226631 (2020).
580

 Figure legends

Fig. 1 *Ruegeria* MC10's phylogenetic position and genomic signatures showing recent host
dependency. (A) Rooted maximum-likelihood phylogenomic tree comprising 419 *Ruegeria*
isolates associated with five coral species sampled from nine Hong Kong reef sites, along with
26 *Ruegeria* genomes retrieved from public databases. This tree was constructed with IQ-TREE
based on concatenated single-copy orthologous genes at the amino acid level. PopCOGenT was
used to delineate the *Ruegeria* populations (i.e., main clusters or MCs). The 19 MCs that contain
representative members additionally sequenced by Nanopore were labelled with numbers in the
tree. From the inner to outer rings: (1) denotes 29 *Ruegeria* populations which consists of at least
three isolates as defined by PopCOGenT, along with MC46, the sister group of MC10; (2) - (5)
denote the source of *Ruegeria* isolates with each corresponding to coral species, sampling site,
coral compartment, and coral colony replicate, respectively. (**B**) Stacked bars showing the
number of IS and IS family composition in 34 newly closed *Ruegeria* genomes and the reference
genome *Ruegeria pomeroyi* DSS-3. (**C**) Number of pseudogenes in 34 newly closed *Ruegeria*
genomes and the reference genome *R. pomeroyi* DSS-3. Pseudogenes were grouped by the
mechanism of formation, namely "IS disruption", "Frameshift mutations", "Nonsense mutations",
and "Singletons". "Unclassified" pseudogenes are those that cannot be assigned to any of the
three defined mechanisms.
599
Fig. 2 Relative abundance of *Ruegeria* MC10 in coral holobionts and environmental
samples from Hong Kong reefs. (**A**) The relative abundance of MC10 in *Ruegeria* communities
was quantified based on population-resolving *ATP5B* and *parC* amplicon sequencing across
natural reefs in Hong Kong. Different bar plots under the same coral genus represented for
different coral colonies (i.e., 15 colonies for *Oulastrea* sp., 11 for *Platygyra* sp., 10 colonies for
Porites sp., 4 colonies for *Acropora* sp., and 4 colonies for *Plesiastrea* sp. across five sites). Each
bar plot was displayed with the mean value and standard deviation (SD) calculated from three
compartments (i.e., mucus, tissue and skeleton) for the same coral colony. (**B**) The relative
abundance of MC10 in seawater and sediment samples across different locations. The error bar
denotes standard deviation among three replicates. CI, Crescent Island; SI, Sharp Island; WP,
Wu Pai. Sd, sediment; Sw, seawater.
611
Fig. 3 Application of *Ruegeria* MC10-B4 through laboratory and field trials. (**A**) ED50
values of Aiptasia H2 inoculated with *Ruegeria* MC10-B4 or placebo FSW after heat stress (T7)
and post-stress recovery (T18). Data were presented with the mean values and standard errors.
Significant differences ($P < 0.01$, **; $P < 0.05$, *) were indicated in bold and marked with
asterisks. (**B**) ED50 values modeled based on log-logistic regression curves of dark-adapted
photosynthetic efficiency (F_v/F_m) across experimental temperatures. F_v/F_m was measured at 7 h
and 18 h of the CBASS with one-hour dark acclimation. Treatment-specific ED50 values were
denoted as vertical lines. The relative abundance of (**C**) MC10 and (**D**) MC15 was
assessed within the *Ruegeria* community (left axis; based on *parC* gene amplicon sequencing)
and the total bacteria community (right axis; combining 16S rRNA and *parC* gene amplicon
sequencing) following twice-weekly inoculations over a month. The central line of each box
indicates the median value of the dataset. The upper error bar shows the maximum potential
variability above the median, while the lower error bar displays the minimum below it. (one-way

ANOVA, **: $P < 0.01$, n.s.: non-significant). **(E)** Coral phenotype and coloration, and **(F)** effective quantum yield (F_v/F_m') were assessed on the MC10-B4-inoculated and placebo-treated groups across five timepoints: 1, 3, 6, 7 and 8 months post-outplanting (**t1**, **t3**, **t6**, **t7**, **t8**, respectively) in reef environments (***: $P < 0.001$, n.s.: non-significant). Data were presented with the mean values and standard errors. **(G)** Localization of MC10 in coral *A. pruinosa* after one-month inoculations (**t0**, before outplanting), six months post-outplanting (**t6**, during bleaching), and eight months post-outplanting (**t8**, post-bleaching). Representative confocal images depicting the hybridization of high-resolution *parC* probe specifically targeting MC10 labeled with Cy3 (purple). The purple arrows pointed at the specific location of MC10 in the coral gastrodermis. The blue arrows pointed at the non-specific binding sites. Grey, DAPI-stained dsDNA; Green, autofluorescence of Symbiodiniaceae; Scale bars, 10 μm.

Fig. 4 Coral microbiome dynamics during field trial. (**A**) Principal Coordinates Analysis (PCoA) of coral holobiont-associated bacterial communities based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarity, comparing placebo and MC10-B4-treated groups across five timepoints: baseline (**t0**, pre-outplanting) and 1, 3, 6, and 8 months post-outplanting (**t1**, **t3**, **t6**, **t8**, respectively). Ellipses represent 95% confidence intervals for group centroids. ANOSIM was used to assess the separation of the placebo and MC10-B4-treated groups, with R values interpreted as: little to no ($R < 0.25$), weak ($0.25 \leq R < 0.5$), moderate ($0.5 \leq R < 0.75$), and strong ($R \geq 0.75$) separation. (**B**) Differentially abundant taxa between placebo and MC10-B4-treated corals at t6 (during bleaching) identified by ANCOM-BC analysis ($P < 0.05$, FDR corrected). A heatmap displaying top 20 significantly upregulated (positive log2FC) and downregulated (negative log2FC) genera, respectively. A log2-transformed read counts (log2[reads+1]) were shown to indicate the absolute fold-change magnitudes of taxa. Pseudocounts were added to handle the case of zeros in the calculation.

A

From inner to outer ring

(1) *Ruegeria* MCs (in clockwise order)

■ MC14	■ MC11s3	■ MC22
■ MC27	■ MC5	■ MC56
■ MC9	■ MC13	■ MC6
■ MC0	■ MC26	■ MC16
■ MC49	■ MC21	■ MC45
■ MC18	■ MC2s2	■ MC46
■ MC10	■ MC19	■ MC23
■ MC50	■ MC25	■ MC44
■ MC15	■ MC61	■ MC17
■ MC1	■ MC3	■ MC7

(2) Coral species

■ *Acropora* cf. *samoensis*
■ *Acropora solitaryensis*
■ *Favites pentagona*
■ *Platygyra acuta*
■ *Oulastrea crispata*

(3) Sampling site

■ Kiu Tsui Chau (KTC)
■ Ninepin Islands (NP)
■ Wong Wan Chau (WWC)
■ Chek Chau (CC)
■ Ngo Mei Chau (NMC)
■ Bluff Island (BI)
■ Lo Chau (LC)
■ Sham Wan (SW)
■ Yam Tsai Wan (YTW)

(4) Coral compartment

■ mucus
■ tissue
■ skeleton

(5) Coral colony replicate

■ 1
□ 2

tree scale: 0.1

B

IS families

■ IS200/IS605	■ IS4	■ IS30	■ IS1380	■ IS1595
■ Unknown	■ ISNCY	■ IS66	■ IS481	■ IS91
■ IS1634	■ IS630	■ IS21	■ IS256	■ IS1182
■ IS6	■ IS110	■ IS5	■ IS3	

Number of IS

C

Types of pseudogenes

■ IS disruption
■ Frameshift mutations
■ Nonsense mutations
■ Singletons
■ Unclassified

Number of pseudogene

R. pomeroyi DSS-3 (MC1), AL3 (MC1), BG7 (MC15), AL7 (MC3), AF3 (MC7), AO1 (MC44), H4 (MC19), AJ12 (MC46), AK1 (MC46), AB1 (MC10), AA12 (MC10), AC11 (MC10), AJ1 (MC10), AJ9 (MC10), B4 (MC10), E4 (MC10), B2 (MC10), B7 (MC10), D9 (MC10), E12 (MC10), F6 (MC10), F7 (MC10), B1 (MC10), E9 (MC10), BB10 (MC2s2), AM7 (MC45), BK1 (MC21), AN11 (MC26), AP11 (MC13), AH3 (MC9), G7 (MC5), A5 (MC0), AB11 (MC6), BC8 (MC11s3), BJ3 (MC14)

MC10