Coral genetic structure in the Western Indian Ocean mirrors ocean circulation and thermal stress

Annie S. Guillaume[1] *, Stéphane Joost[1], Sarvanen Curpen[2], Danishta Dumur Neelayya[2], Luxmibye Harree-Somah[2], Oocheetsing Sadasing[2], Luca Saponari[3], Charlotte Dale[4], Léo Barret[5], Nina Andrews[6], Sanjeev Kumar Leckraz[7], Ronnie François[7], Vasisht Seetapah[8], Vinayaganidhi Munusami[8], Suraj Bacha Gian[9], Reshad Jhangeer-Khan[10], Terence Mahoune[11], Pramod Kumar Chumun[12], Manuel Poretti[13], Véronique Berteaux-Lecellier[14], Gael Lecellier[15], Oliver Selmoni[16-18] *

Affiliations:
[1] Geospatial Molecular Epidemiology group (GEOME), Laboratory for Biological Geochemistry (LGB), Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Station 2, CH-1015 Lausanne, CH

[2] Mauritius Oceanography Institute, Albion, Rivière Noire District, MU

[3] Nature Seychelles, Roche Caiman, Roche Caiman, SC

[4] James Cook University, Townsville City, QLD, AU

[5] Bee Ecological Consulting, Victoria, Mahé, SC

[6] Marine Conservation Society Seychelles, Beau Vallon, SC

[7] Albion Fisheries Research Centre, Albion, Petite Rivière, Mauritius

[8] Eco-Sud, Blue Bay, Mauritius

[9] Reef Conservation

[10] Shoals Rodrigues

[11] Seychelles Parks and Gardens Authority

[12] United Nations Development Programme Mauritius and Seychelles, Port Louis, Mauritius

[13] University of Melbourne, School of Biological Sciences, Melbourne, VIC, AU

[14] EMR9001 SantEco - UMR250 ENTROPIE (IRD-CNRS-UR-UNC), Promenade Roger-Laroque, Noumea Cedex, New Caledonia, FR

[15] Institut des Sciences Exactes et Appliquées (ISEA) EA7484-UNC, 145, Avenue James Cook, BP R4 98 851, Nouméa, New Caledonia, FR

[16] Department of Embryology, Carnegie Institution for Science, Baltimore, MD, USA

[17] Department of Plant Biology, Carnegie Institution for Science, Stanford, CA, USA

[18] Department of Geography, University of Zurich, CH

* Corresponding authors:
Dr Annie S. Guillaume: annie.guillaume@alumni.epfl.ch
Dr Oliver Selmoni: oliver.selmoni@geo.uzh.ch

Abstract

Global warming and rising sea temperatures are pushing many reef-building coral species towards extinction. As thermal tolerance in corals is partially heritable, identifying genes under thermal selection is critical for targeted biodiversity management. However, it remains unclear how large breaks in connectivity (>100 km of open sea) affect the spread of adaptive alleles for different coral species in discontinuous reef networks such as the West Indian Ocean (WIO). To address this, we applied a seascape genomics approach to model (i) population connectivity and (ii) thermal adaptive potentials for two keystone coral species, *Acropora muricata* and *Pocillopora damicornis*, across the WIO. For both species, corals from the Seychelles were predominantly genetically isolated from corals in Rodrigues and Mauritius, putatively an effect of regional oceanographic barriers. Furthermore, sea currents during reproductive periods better predicted genetic connectivity than did Euclidean distances for both species, highlighting that connectivity models can serve as proxies to understand dispersal potential depending on reproductive strategies. Spatial patterns of neutral genetic variation were best explained by sea surface temperature variability and mean degree heating weeks. When used in genotype–environment association (GEA) analyses, we identified hundreds of loci under putative thermal selection from linked to known heat stress responses. In *A. muricata*, five Sacsin genes—co-chaperones of the Hsp70 heat-shock protein involved in thermal stress response—were identified, alongside genes related to immune defence, antioxidant response, signalling, and protein folding. In contrast, only the centromere protein V, involved in mitosis, was enriched in *P. damicornis*. By integrating patterns of gene flow with molecular adaptations to estimate species-specific adaptive potentials, we found that large sea distances and strong oceanographic barriers inhibit the genetic exchange of adapted genotypes across the WIO, providing valuable insights to guide local and regional biodiversity management in this region.

25   **Keywords**: Adaptive potential, Conservation management, Genotype–Environment Associations

26   (GEA), Population connectivity, Seascape genomics, Thermal adaptation

28   1. Introduction

Covering less than 1% of the ocean floor, coral reefs are biodiversity hotspots hosting

approximately a third of all marine species (Moberg and Folke, 1999). These dynamic

ecosystems provide valuable habitat for a variety of taxa, shaping food chains and

biogeochemical cycles while also providing important ecosystem services for humans, including

food, medicine, coastal protection, and tourism (Costanza et al., 2014; Hughes et al., 2017a;

Moberg and Folke, 1999; Roff et al., 2016). The foundation of these ecosystems are reef-building

scleractinian corals, whose calcareous skeletons construct the reef's physical structure (Hughes

et al., 2017a; Moberg and Folke, 1999). Coral reefs are under threat of intensifying

anthropogenic-induced stressors, particularly from global warming and elevated sea

temperatures (Bozec et al., 2025; Hughes et al., 2020, 2017b; Ortiz et al., 2021; Otto, 2018;

Virgen-Urcelay and Donner, 2023). Under such stressful conditions, corals can lose their

symbiotic algae in a reversible process known as coral bleaching (Helgoe et al., 2024; Hoegh-

Guldberg et al., 2007). As corals rely on their symbionts for metabolic inputs, persistent

bleaching can result in widespread coral deaths (Sully et al., 2022). An estimated 14% of the

world's coral cover has already been lost between 2009 and 2018 (Souter et al., 2021), and with

bleaching-induced coral mortality on the rise (Bozec et al., 2025; Hughes et al., 2020, 2017b;

Virgen-Urcelay and Donner, 2023) there is concern for the impact of climate change on coral reef

structures, biodiversity, functioning and productivity globally (Graham et al., 2015; Hughes et al.,

2019; Morais et al., 2022; Sully et al., 2022).

Despite increased frequency and intensity of mass bleaching events, corals appear to be

adapting to repeated exposure of elevated temperatures (e.g., Bozec et al., 2025; Drury and

Lirman, 2021; Louis et al., 2016; Palumbi et al., 2014; Schoepf et al., 2015). For example,

increased thermal tolerance of coral communities has been observed around Palau in the Pacific

Ocean in recent years following multiple acute bleaching events across the last few decades

(Bruno et al., 2001; Lachs et al., 2023). While these trends could be attributed to acclimatisation,

shifts in community structure or changes in the holobiont associations (Gouezo et al., 2019),

contemporary within-population variation in coral heat tolerance indicates the possibility of an

underlying genetic adaptation to elevated temperatures (Lachs et al., 2023; Mumby and Van

Woesik, 2014). Indeed, studies using a variety of experimental methods (summarised in Selmoni

et al., 2024) found that within-species thermal variation is heritable, with evidence arising from

the Pacific Ocean (e.g., Bay and Palumbi, 2014; Selmoni et al., 2021, 2020a), the Great Barrier

Reef of eastern Australia (e.g., Cooke et al., 2020; Dixon et al., 2015; Elder et al., 2022; Fuller et al.,

2020; Jin et al., 2016; Lundgren et al., 2013; Quigley et al., 2020), the East Indian Ocean along the

west Australian coast (e.g., Thomas et al., 2022, 2017), the Persian Gulf in the Middle East (e.g.,

Howells et al., 2021, 2016; Kirk et al., 2018; Smith et al., 2022), and the Caribbean Sea (e.g.,

Drury and Lirman, 2021; Dziedzic et al., 2019). As the heritability of thermal variation implies

the existence of alleles that can promote tolerance to anticipated increased thermal regimes,

identifying candidate genes and populations adapted to thermal stresses can provide valuable

information for improving spatial mapping of reef vulnerability to inform reef restoration

efforts (Selmoni et al., 2024).

Seascape genomics provides a promising framework for investigating adaptation to

environmental stressors in *in-situ* populations located across diverse geographic and

environmental ranges (Riginos et al., 2016; Selmoni et al., 2021, 2020a). Within this framework,

neutral population structuring and connectivity across large spatial extents can provide key

insights for understanding how sea currents might affect the spread of adaptive alleles within

and between coral populations (De Mita et al, 2013; Lotterhos and Whitlock, 2015; Matz et al,

2020; Selkoe et al., 2016; Selmoni et al., 2020a; Weersing and Toonen, 2009). Seascape

genomics also provides a framework for correlating whole genome variation with environmental

factors using genotype–environment associations (GEA). In this way, genes putatively involved

in adaptation are identified while simultaneously providing insights into the environmental

conditions that might be driving genetic differences (Rellstab et al., 2015). Significant

associations from GEA correlations suggest candidate loci under selection, which, if reference

genomes are available, can be used to highlight markers near or within a gene of interest to

infer molecular functions under selection (Storfer et al., 2018). This is important, as long-term

coral cover is expected to benefit from genetic influxes of thermally-adapted coral recruits

originating from reefs experiencing temperatures 0.5°C higher than the local average (Matz et

al., 2020). To assist the long-term adaptive capacities of coral populations, it is imperative to

understand connectivity between reefs and the genes involved in adaptation.

While strides are being made to understand coral thermal adaptations and thermal heritability

globally, research gaps remain. First, research is predominantly concentrated around reef

systems in the Indo-Pacific region, notably the Great Barrier Reef in Australia (summarised in

Selmoni et al., 2024). For instance, early GEA methods successfully detected candidate genes

under thermal selection in the Great Barrier Reef (Lundgren et al., 2013). However, large

stretches of coral reef regions remain understudied despite coral bleaching responses varying

within and among oceans, attributable to differences in regional thermal regimes, evolutionary

histories, and past disturbances (Shlesinger and van Woesik, 2023). Notably, coral reefs in the

West Indian Ocean (WIO) have been largely overlooked (Carr et al., 2025), despite hosting

approximately 5% of global coral reefs affected by recurrent short- and long-term bleaching

events (Shlesinger and van Woesik, 2023; van Woesik and Kratochwill, 2022). With most WIO

ecosystems labelled as vulnerable (e.g., Seychelles) to critically endangered (e.g., Mauritius

Islands) due to human activities and global warming (Obura et al., 2022), more research is

necessary in this region for informed biodiversity management. Second, research typically

focuses either on contiguous reef systems or at local isolated reefs (Selmoni et al., 2024).

Though marine environments are highly connected in general, where local stressors can have

regional-level effects on community structures (Green et al., 1987), it remains unclear of how

breaks in connectivity (>100 km of open sea) affect the spread of adaptive alleles between reefs

of a network. Last, research into coral thermal adaptation is typically conducted on a single study

species at a time (Selmoni et al., 2024; but see Selmoni et al., 2021). Diversity of morphologies

and habitat preferences results in differential responses of corals to selection pressures and

stressful events (Guest et al., 2012; Johnston et al., 2021; Yuen et al., 2023). For example, corals

with branched morphologies are generally more sensitive to heat stress than those with

massive morphologies (Loya et al., 2001). As evolutionary trajectories are species-specific, it

seems that patterns of genomic diversity observed in one species cannot necessarily be

extrapolated to others, yet conservation strategies must consider the needs of multiple species

simultaneously (Voolstra et al., 2023).

Despite a growing body of research investigating coral connectivity between islands of the WIO

(e.g., Carr et al., 2025; Gélin et al., 2018; Kusumoto et al., 2020; Oury et al., 2024; Vogt-Vincent et

al., 2024), studies have yet to incorporate genetic data for investigating region-wide connectivity

between Seychelles and the Mascarene Islands, with little investigation into the adaptive

potentials of corals across the WIO region. Here, we aim to address these research gaps to better

understand coral adaptive potential across large, non-contiguous reef networks. Specifically, we

investigate (i) the genetic connectivity of two keystone coral species with contrasting

reproductive strategies across the WIO, and (ii) their molecular adaptations to thermal

conditions. To this end, we applied a seascape genomics framework to detect coral reefs that

putatively host thermal stress-adapted coral genotypes, to ultimately provide information that

will support local stakeholders in developing regional biodiversity management plans in the WIO

(Donovan et al., 2021; Goetze et al., 2021; Pittman et al., 2021; Stefanoudis et al., 2023).

2. Materials and Methods

We focus on two common WIO reef-building coral species, *Acropora muricata* and *Pocillopora*

damicornis, sampled at reefs around the Seychelles in the northern WIO and Mauritius and

Rodrigues of the Mascarene Islands group in the southern WIO (**Fig. 1a**). We first characterised

the seascape conditions around each island using open-access satellite and geomorphic data,

from which 15 reefs with contrasting environmental conditions were selected for coral sampling

and genotyping. Our framework follows a seascape genomics approach (Selmoni et al., 2020a)

to (i) map the connectivity of populations across the WIO region and (ii) identify putatively

adaptive coral genotypes using genotype–environment association (GEA) analyses (**Suppl. Fig.**

1). By coupling these analyses, we then infer the spatial distribution of WIO reefs that

potentially carry genotypes underpinning local adaptation to thermal stress for informed reef

management planning. All analyses were performed in the R environment (R Core Team, 2024).

2.1 Study species

We selected *A. muricata* and *P. damicornis* for the present study as two common reef-building

corals of the WIO that represent keystone species with high ecological relevance (Bridge et al.,

2023; Cunning et al., 2018). Both species show evidence of population recovery after severe

bleaching events (Bruno et al., 2001; Lachs et al., 2023), which could be attributed to thermal

tolerance (Ainsworth et al., 2016; Carr et al., 2025; Gouezo et al., 2019; Humanes et al., 2022),

such that they are interesting subjects for investigating adaptation to thermal stressors.

Furthermore, these species have distinct life history strategies that warrant independent

investigations of thermal tolerance and connectivity. *A. muricata* is a predominately broadcast

spawning coral, releasing gametes into the water column in synchronised events at the

beginning of the Austral summer (October to December) when sea temperatures increase

(Wijayanti et al., 2019). *P. damicornis* has mixed reproduction, with sexual reproduction via

year-round broadcast spawning (Gélin et al., 2018, 2017; Schmidt-Roach et al., 2012; Smith et al.,

2019; Ward, 1992).

2.2 Sampling strategy

Fifteen reefs were selected for sampling across three regions of the WIO: six sites around

Mauritius, six sites around the Seychelles (three each at Mahé and Praslin) and three sites

around Rodrigues (**Fig. 1a; Suppl. Table 1**). Reefs were selected following the methods of

Selmoni et al. (2020b) to maximise environmental contrast for improved power in seascape

genomic analyses, while simultaneously considering logistical constraints in collaboration with

local stakeholders, including site accessibility and weather conditions during sampling. Full

details are provided in the Supplementary Material (**Suppl. Methods: Selection of sample**

sites).

The field sampling campaign took place with the assistance of scientists and technical staff of the

Mauritius Oceanography Institute (MOI) in January and February 2022 for Mauritius and

Seychelles, and in May 2022 for Rodrigues. This sampling campaign was performed within the

framework of the Coral Reef Restoration Project of the United Nations Development

Programme Mauritius (PIMS 5736, sampling permit in Rodrigues SPA 30) and the Government

of Seychelles (sampling permit A0157). Colonies identified as *A. muricata* and *P. damicornis* were

sampled for genotyping within a radius of 250m from the coordinates of the sample site at

depths between 2-10m, with up to 30 colonies sampled per site per species. Each sample

consisted of a 2cm branch collected with pliers and bagged underwater, before being

transferred to 80% ethanol and stored at -20°C. A total of 345 *A. muricata* and 403 *P. damicornis*

colonies were sampled for DNA extraction and single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP)

genotyping (**Suppl. Table 1**).

2.3 Environmental characterisation

The seascapes at the 15 study reefs were characterised using 17 environmental and

geomorphic variables that might be exerting selection pressures on corals. These variables were

accessed from two publicly available online archives. Environmental variables pertaining to

climatic conditions and proximity to anthropogenic activities covering the whole study extent

were extracted from the Reef Environment Centralized Information System (RECIFS; Selmoni et

al., 2023). These variables were accessed at 5 km^2 spatial resolutions and described as month-

by-month environmental variation covering a temporal range of a minimum of 20 years up to

December 2021 (i.e., when sampling took place; full details on variables are summarised in

Suppl. Table S2). Temporal mean and standard deviations were then calculated for degree

heating week (DHW; Skirving et al, 2020), sea surface temperature (SST; Skirving et al., 2020),

chlorophyll (CHL) and suspended particulate matter (SPM), where CHL and SPM were obtained

from the 'OCEANCOLOUR_GLO_BGC_L4_MY_009_104' dataset (accessed on 03-04-2014; E.U.

Copernicus Marine Service Information (CMEMS), 2024). In addition to RECIFS environmental

variables, we retrieved a geomorphic characterisation of the WIO reefs from the Allen Coral

Atlas (ACA; Allen Coral Atlas, 2022). Available at 10m resolution, this variable was processed to

calculate the proportion of reef slope, reef flat, back reef and reef plateau at each sampling site

(250m radius around the sample site coordinates). A Spearman's pairwise non-parametric rank

correlation threshold of ρ<|0.8| was applied to retain uncorrelated variables for downstream

analyses (**Table 1**), where a principal component analysis (PCA) on scaled and centred

environmental variable values was used to characterise the study sites (**Fig. 1b**).

2.4 DNA extraction and SNP genotyping

DNA extraction and SNP genotyping followed methods of Selmoni et al. (2021). Briefly, DNA was

extracted using a DNeasy Blood and Tissue 96 kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's

instructions. DNA samples were sent to Diversity Arrays Technology (Canberra, Australia) for

quality check screening and genotype-by-sequencing using the DArT-sequencing method

(DArT-seq), using restriction enzymes *Pst*I and *Hpa*II (Gawroński et al., 2016; Kilian et al., 2012;

Selmoni et al., 2021). Sequencing read processing was performed by Diversity Arrays

Technology using proprietary DArT analytical pipelines (Sansaloni et al., 2011). To minimise

technical bias and potential batch effects, targeted *A. muricata* and *P. damicornis* samples were

kept separated and randomly distributed across the respective batches (e.g., 96-well plates,

sequencing lanes) throughout the workflow (DNA purification, library preparation and

sequencing). Raw sequence data have been deposited in the NCBI BioProject database under

accession number PRJNA1277000.

2.5 SNP filtering

The raw DArT-seq loci were aligned to each species' reference genomes using BLAST to retain

only the SNPs associated with the coral hosts (filtering thresholds: >70% percentage identity,

>80% overlap identity, and >50 bitscore). The reference genome for *A. muricata* was the

chromosome-level assembly of *Acropora millepora* (v2.1; GCF_013753865.1; Fuller et al., 2020),

and the reference genome for *P. damicornis* was the scaffold-level assembly of *P. damicornis* (v1;

GCF_003704095.1; Cunning et al., 2018).

SNP filtering was performed for each species separately. First, we filtered out individuals

sharing highly similar genotypes (Pearson pairwise correlations >0.95), retaining one individual

in cases where genetic similarity was found. We then removed SNPs and individuals with high

missing rates (>80%) before applying a filter to exclude rare alleles (minor allele frequency; MAF

<5%). As both species potentially include cryptic species (Gélin et al., 2018, 2017; Grupstra et

al., 2024; Johnston et al., 2017; Oury et al., 2024; Riginos et al., 2024), we used a principal

coordinate analysis (PCoA) of the genotype matrix to cluster individuals based on genomic

distance, before removing clusters with an F_{ST} difference >0.3 with the other clusters. These

individuals will hereafter be referred to as 'cryptic' individuals. We genetically confirmed the

species identity of retained *Pocillopora* samples as *P. damicornis* using DArT sequences within

the ITS-2 and 18S ribosomal DNA regions (**Suppl. Table S3**). We repeated the missingness and

MAF filtering steps on the retained individuals. Last, we assessed linkage disequilibrium (LD)

amongst SNPs using functions from the *dartR* R package (Mijangos et al., 2022) to create an LD

map of the genome, with a maximum pairwise limit of 15 kbp (the distance of estimated LD

decay to $r^2<0.05$, based on whole genome sequence data of *A. millipora*; Fuller et al, 2020)

before pruning SNPs with an LD >0.2. As we found minimal effect of LD pruning on population

structure (assessed using a PCoA), nor evidence of SNPs clumping in a PCA (assessed using

PCAdapt; Luu et al, 2017; Privé et al., 2020), we did not prune for LD and retained all loci for

downstream analyses.

2.6 Neutral genetic structure

We assessed the neutral genetic structure of each species using two complementary methods: i)

Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) and ii) Sparse Nonnegative Matrix Factorization (sNMF).

We first produced a neutral genomic dataset, where we identified and removed outlier loci using

a genome scan of the filtered genotype matrix via the *pcadapt* R package (v4.3.5; Luu et al,

2017; Privé et al., 2020). In short, loci were attributed p-values based on their Mahalanobis

distances along the retained axes of a PCA of the genotype matrix (2 PCs for *A. muricata* and 3

PCs for *P. damicornis*). We calculated the false discovery rate (FDR; Storey and Tibshirani, 2003)

to correct p-values for multiple testing using the *qvalue* R package (2.36.0; Storey et al 2024),

with a q-value <0.05 threshold to identify and remove outlier loci for the neutral genotype

matrix.

We assessed contemporary population structure using a Gower PCoA clustering mixture model

through the *dartR* R package (v2.9.7; Gruber et al., 2018; Mijangos et al., 2022) based on a

distance matrix from allele frequency differences amongst individuals. We retained 2 PCo axes

for *A. muricata* and 3 PCo axes for *P. damicornis* before allocating individuals to population

groups using a hierarchical cluster analysis. We assessed ancestral population structure using

sNMF algorithms with the *LEA* R package (v3.16.0; Frichot and François, 2015). Using a cross-

entropy validation for K=1–10 over five repetitions with an alpha=100, we identified the

number of ancestral populations to retain, using the K values resulting in the lowest average

cross entropy to compute the sNMF. Membership assignment of each individual to the K

ancestral populations was represented as proportions in a barplot. We calculated genetic

structure between the sample sites using *F*-statistics (Wright, 1969, 1978) through the *dartR* R

package (Gruber et al, 2018; Mijangos et al, 2022), obtaining observed (H_O) and expected (H_E)

heterozygosity levels to calculate the inbreeding coefficient (F_{IS}), alongside pairwise F_{ST} between

sample sites.

2.7 Connectivity analysis

We ran a connectivity analysis to investigate how physical distances between reefs correspond

to genetic separation between colonies, calculated using the pairwise F_{ST} index (Section 2.6).

Physical distance between reefs were derived from sea current data, following methods of

Selmoni et al. (2020a). Briefly, we retrieved maps describing monthly average direction and

strength of surface sea currents throughout the WIO. These maps are available at a spatial

resolution of 0.083° across 30 years as satellite-derived reconstructions of sea currents (from

the 'GLOBAL_REANALYSIS_PHY_001_030_104' dataset, accessed on 03-04-2014; E.U.

Copernicus Marine Service Information (CMEMS), 2024), which are publicly available via

RECIFS (Selmoni et al., 2023). For each pixel, we calculated the cumulative speed towards each of

the eight neighbouring pixels and divided this by the total speed to obtain a probability of

transition in each direction (the conductance). We then calculated the dispersal costs as the

inverse of the square conductance to obtain transition matrices using the *gdistance* R package

(v1.6.4, van Etten, 2017). Finally, we calculated the shortest sea distance (least-cost path)

between pairs of sites in both directions from the transition matrix to obtain an asymmetrical

square matrix of shortest sea distance.

To determine the best predictor of genetic distance between reefs, we built a set of linear

connectivity models to estimate F_{ST} as a function of the shortest in-water sea distance between

sample sites. We built one model per month, and one based on annual average sea currents (13

models total). We also investigated the predictive performance of Euclidean geographic distances

as an independent comparison model calculated from cartesian coordinates of sampled reefs.

Models were built using a log-log relationship between F_{ST} and physical distance (i.e., sea

distance and geographic distance). Their predictive powers were assessed using a leave-one-

reef-out jackknife resampling approach, where model performance was assessed using

coefficients of determination (R^2). Predictions of genetic connectivity among sites were obtained

by translating the unit of sea distance into a unit of genetic separation (F_{ST}) through a linear

model, using the connectivity predictor that best explained F_{ST} values.

2.8 Genotype–environment associations (GEA)

We performed GEAs using multivariate redundancy analyses (RDA) at the population-level

following methods of Capblancq and Forester (2021). The genotype response matrices were

coded as allele frequencies, where missing data was imputed from the median of the locus-

specific allele frequency across all populations (missingness per site for *A. muricata*: S04 with

0.05%, S05 with 0.04%, S07 with 0.14%; and for *P. damicornis*: S04 with 0.01%, S09 with

0.06%). The environmental variables used in the explanatory matrix were selected from the 10

uncorrelated variables (**Table 1**) using an autonomised forward and backward stepwise model

selection procedure with 10,000 permutations through the *ordistep* function of the *vegan*

package. We first ran a preliminary RDA to identify environmental variables explaining neutral

genomic variation. This procedure selected the standard deviation of monthly sea surface

temperature (sSST) and average monthly maximal DHW (mDHW) as the variables that best

explained neutral genetic variation independently for both species. To detect putative genomic

regions under selection, we performed a multivariate GEA using a partial RDA on the entire

genotype matrix and included reef-level population structure as a conditional variable to control

for neutral genetic variation. Controlling for neutral genetic variation was done to reduce false-

positive detections at the expense of losing power to detect true outlier loci along neutral

gradients (Excoffier et al., 2009; Lotterhos, 2023). The values representing population structure

were obtained from the axes of a PCA of centred and scaled neutral allele frequencies using the

rda function of the *vegan* R package, retaining the first K PCs that explained more than the mean

explained variance.

Outlier loci were identified from RDA loadings (Capblancq et al, 2018). After retaining all

constrained RDA axes (K=2 for both species), we evaluated the significance of each SNP based

on the extremeness of its Mahalanobis distance value compared to the distribution of the other

SNPs in the RDA space. The Mahalanobis distances were computed using the *dist_ogk* function

of the *bigutilsr* R package (v.0.3.4; Privé, 2021), corrected for genomic inflation factor (François

et al, 2016) and distributed along a chi-squared distribution with K degrees of freedom to assign

a p-value to each SNP (Luu et al., 2017). We applied an FDR threshold of q-value<0.05 to identify

outlier loci.

2.9 Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis

Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analyses were used to assess the putative molecular function(s)

of significant outlier SNPs (i.e., those with q-value <0.05) detected with the two heat-related

variables (sSST and mDHW) for both species, following Selmoni et al. (2021). To facilitate

comparability of annotation between species, we re-annotated genes in both reference

genomes. For this, we retrieved transcript sequences of each gene, then ran a similarity search

(blastx) against a manually curated database of protein sequences and annotations

(Uniprot/swissprot, metazoan entries as accessed on November 2022; Boeckmann et al, 2003).

Protein annotations were assigned to the coral genes when the E-score of the similarity search

was <10^{-6}. We then identified genes located within ±10 kbp of significant SNPs from the GEA

analysis (hereafter "significant genes"; Selmoni et al, 2021). We evaluated gene-set enrichment

of significant genes using the *SetRank* R package (version 1.0; Simillion et al., 2017), where

genes are ranked based on ascending q-values from the GEA from which overrepresented GO

terms associated with significant genes are identified. GO terms were deemed significant when

they had *SetRank* p-values<0.01 and adjusted p-values<0.05 (i.e., corrected for multiple testing

and overlap between gene-set categories).

2.10 Adaptive Potential Index (API)

The potential of corals to adapt to temperature increases depends on (i) the thermal history at

the reef (and therefore local adaptation of populations) and (ii) the arrival of propagules from

neighbouring heat-adapted reefs (Matz et al., 2020; Selmoni et al., 2020a). Building on previous

adaptation indices, including the Population Adaptive Index (Bonin et al., 2007) and the

Landscape Adaptive Index (Zhang et al., 2019), we calculated a spatially explicit 'Adaptive

Potential Index' (API) by combining results from the GEA (Section 2.7) and the connectivity

analyses (Section 2.9). To summarise potential for local adaptation, first we calculated an

'Adaptive Score' to link putatively adapted alleles with the thermal history of reefs across the

WIO (Capblancq et al., 2020). For this, we ran an RDA associating the outlier loci from the GEA

(Section 2.7) with the thermal explanatory variables (i.e., sSST and mDWH). The environmental

scores from these RDA axes were extracted and normalised to a scale of 0-1 to obtain an

Adaptive Score (Steane et al., 2014). The Adaptive Score was then projected across the WIO

reefs using thermal history data for each reef of the region. Second, we calculated the 'Inbound

Connectivity Index' (ICI) and 'Outbound Connectivity Index' (OCI) for each reef, which represent

the total neighbouring reef areas located downstream or upstream of a target cell, respectively,

limited to a sea distance equivalent to the species' F_{ST} ≤0.1 (Section 2.9). Finally, we calculated

the Adaptive Potential Index for each reef cell as the total area of inbound connected reefs with

an Adaptive Score >0.8.

3. Results

3.1 Site characteristics and genomic filtering

Across the 15 reefs selected for coral sampling, six sites were sampled in the Seychelles (three

each at Mahé and Praslin), six in Mauritius and three in Rodrigues (**Fig. 1a**). These reefs

represent contrasting environmental conditions (**Fig. 1b**), as characterised by 10 uncorrelated

environmental variables (**Table 1; Suppl. Fig. S2**). The northern-most sampled reefs were those

from the Seychelles (represented in red), comprising predominantly of back reefs located near

deep water with less thermal stress (i.e., low sSST and low mDHW), generally further away from

human activity (i.e.., cropland, human population and boat activity). Most Mauritian reefs

(represented in blue) are characterised by reef flats near land and human activity (i.e., cropland

and boats) that experience more variable thermal conditions. The exception is the more isolated

northern-most Mauritian site on Ile Plate (S12 in dark blue), which is associated with deeper

waters and more stable temperatures. Finally, the three reefs at Rodrigues (represented in

green) are isolated reef flats located near deeper water that experience hotter (higher mDHW)

and more variable SST conditions (sSST).

Between 8–29 individuals per species were sampled for genotyping at each site (**Fig. 1a;**

Suppl. Table S1). The DArT-seq analytical pipeline resulted in 73,253 bi-allelic SNPs genotyped

for 345 *A. muricata* individuals and 65,708 SNPs for 403 *P. damicornis* individuals (values of

SNPs and individuals retained at each step are summarised in **Suppl. Table S4**). We removed

48 *A. muricata* and 261 *P. damicornis* individuals identified as clones, followed by 49 *A.*

muricata and 8 *P. damicornis* individuals with too much missing data and low MAF, and a

further 43 *A. muricata* and 14 *P. damicornis* individuals identified as cryptic individuals (i.e.,

$F_{ST}>0.3$ between PCoA clusters). We used pairwise F_{ST} and PCoA biplots to confirm that these

cryptic individuals were removed from the dataset (**Suppl. Fig. S3**). After filtering for rare

variants, missing values, clones and cryptic individuals, our final genotype matrix comprised of

205 *A. muricata* individuals with 5,757 SNPs and 120 *P. damicornis* individuals with 12,953

SNPs. This resulted in 4–7 *A. muricata* individuals retained from 14 sites (no individuals were

left at Curieuse Reef (S06) in Seychelles), and 3–14 *P. damicornis* individuals retained across all

15 sites (**Suppl. Table S1**).

3.2 Neutral genetic structure

Outlier loci were identified and removed using a genome scan via the *pcadapt* R package to

produce a neutral genotype matrix for assessing population structure across the WIO. For the

genome scan, we retained K=2 PCs for *A. muricata* and K=3 PCs for *P. damicornis*, identifying 74

and 138 outlier SNPs (q-value<0.05), respectively. The final neutral genotype matrices

comprised of 5,683 SNPs for *A. muricata* and 12,815 SNPs for *P. damicornis* (**Suppl. Table S4**).

We found strong population structuring for *A. muricata*, where PCoA and sNMF models indicate

the presence of three genetic clusters strongly associated with geographic distributions (**Fig.**

2a). Furthermore, we find evidence of high gene flow between reefs within regions contrasted

with stronger genetic differentiation between regions. Indeed, the first two PCoA axes (capturing

almost 20% of neutral genetic variation) assigned individuals into groups closely reflecting

sampled regions **(Fig. 2ai)**, with sNMF models confirming that individuals are genetically similar

within regions with low gene flow between regions (**Fig. 2aii-iii)**. These patterns are

statistically supported by AMOVAs, where genomic variance is significantly higher between

regions than expected by chance (p<0.01; **Suppl. Fig. S4a**). In contrast, variance between sites

within regions and variance between subregions were not significant. Low pairwise F_{ST} values

(i.e., $F_{ST} \leq 0.01$) further substantiates substantial gene flow with limited genetic differentiation

between reefs of the same region (**Table 2**). In contrast, pairwise F_{ST} values between certain

regions exceeded 0.20, signalling restricted gene flow and increased genetic divergence between

more distant regions (**Table 2**); larger F_{ST} values (i.e., $F_{ST} \geq 0.20$) were between reefs at the

Seychelles with Rodrigues, as well as between site S04 on the western side of Mahé (SEY) with

reefs around the main islands of Mauritius. Last, high inbreeding coefficients (F_{IS}>0.20) were

observed between reefs of the Seychelles, Rodrigues, and the northern and southern reefs of

Mauritius (**Suppl. Table S5a**), further pointing towards restricted gene flow between reefs of

different regions.

Four genetic clusters were identified for *P. damicornis* across the three geographic regions using

PCoA (first two axes capturing 23% of neutral genetic variance) and sNMF. The genetic clusters

roughly matched geographic location, with evidence of some gene flow between geographic

regions (**Fig. 2b**). AMOVA analyses indicate that genomic variance is significantly higher

between sites ($p<0.01$) and between regions (p=0.03) than expected by chance, while variance

between subregions were not significant (**Suppl. Fig. S4b**). There appears to be strong genetic

similarities between individuals in the northern and southern Mauritius reefs with individuals in

Rodrigues, as well as with some individuals in the northern Seychelles (Praslin) reefs (yellow

clusters in **Fig. 2b**). Within regions, reefs had varying levels of genetic differentiation, with

pairwise F_{ST} values between -0.01 and 0.16. Most individual genetic similarity was between the

Rodrigues reefs, while the largest differences were between the Mauritius island reefs (**Table 2**).

Between regions, the largest differences were between the eastern and western reefs of

Mauritius with the Seychelles ($F_{ST} \geq 0.15$), while the most similar regions are between those of

the Mascarene Islands (i.e., Mauritius and Rodrigues; $F_{ST}<0.15$). Finally, most sites had evidence

of panmixia, though higher than expected homozygosity ($F_{IS} \geq 0.20$) was observed at the

eastern-most reef of the Seychelles (S07), as well as at the northern (S12) and southern (S01)

Mauritius reefs (**Suppl. Table S5b**).

3.3 Connectivity Analysis

Genetic connectivity between *A. muricata* populations was well explained by sea currents and

geographic distance, with a median R^2 of 0.80 (range of median R^2 0.67–0.87) across all

predictors following a leave-one-reef-out jackknife analysis (**Fig. 3ai**). The best predictor of F_{ST}

was mean sea current in December with a median R^2 of 0.87 (IQR 0.86–0.87; **Fig. 3ai**). Genetic

connectivity between *P. damicornis* populations was also well explained by sea currents, albeit to

a lesser degree than for *A. muricata*. Monthly sea currents all had similar strengths to predict

patterns of genetic connectivity of *P. damicornis* across the WIO, with associations producing a

median R^2 of 0.36 (range of median R^2 0.26–0.38; **Fig. 3bi**). Geographic distance again

explained less genetic connectivity than sea currents, with a median R^2 of 0.26 (IQR 0.25–0.40).

We therefore chose to model the genetic connectivity of *P. damicornis* using the average annual

sea current, which explained 36% of the F_{ST} variation (median R^2 of 0.36; IQR 0.35–0.39; **Fig.**

3bi).

The species-specific log_{10}–log_{10} relationships between F_{ST} and optimised sea distance (based on

December currents for *A. muricata* and annual currents for *P. damicornis*) indicate a stronger

relationship by approximately 3.5 times for *A. muricata* than for *P. damicornis*, with respective

regression coefficients of 0.885 (**Fig. 3aii**) and 0.238 (**Fig. 3bii**). These results reiterate patterns

of neutral gene flow observed between regions for both species (see Section 3.2), where *A.*

muricata individuals were more genetically similar between closer reefs and more genetically

dissimilar with further reefs, while the weaker relationship for *P. damicornis* highlights that

physical distance does not necessarily correspond with genetic similarity amongst individuals.

3.4 Genotype–Environment Associations to identify loci under selection

The two thermal stress variables, sSST and mDHW, best explained reef-level neutral genetic

variation for both *A. muricata* and *P. damicornis*, as determined using an automated forward

and backward variable selection method. As a preliminary partial RDA revealed confounding

effects of neutral genetic structure and thermal stress, we performed GEA analyses while

accounting for neutral structure using 3 PCs for *A. muricata* (explaining 68.4% variance) and 4

PCs for *P. damicornis* (explaining 64.2% variance) to reduce false positive outlier loci.

For *A. muricata*, the first and second pRDA axes respectively explained 21.0% and 5.8% of

genetic variation (**Fig. 4ai**). Both axes were negatively associated with sSST and mDHW, with

the second axis showing a stronger association with mDHW. A total of 380 outlier loci were

identified (*q*-value<0.05) throughout the genome (**Fig. 3aii**). SetRank analyses revealed that

significant genes (i.e., those within ±10 kbp of significant SNPs) were associated with seven

enriched GO terms (molecular functions) and encode five proteins: glycine receptor subunit

alpha-3 (GO:0022852), putative beta-hexosaminidase (GO:0030247, GO:0004563), protein

SGT1 homolog (GO:0051087), Sacsin (GO:0070628, GO:0030544) and Glutathione S-

transferase omega-1 (GO: 0045174) (**Fig. 4aiii**). Interestingly, six genes significantly

associated with temperature were annotated as Sacsin (DNAJC29) and SGT1 homolog proteins,

which have the molecular function "chaperone binding activity" (of these, five genes were also

associated with "Hsp70 protein binding activity"). The other significant genes included those

associated with glycine-gated chloride ion channel activity (two genes), polysaccharide binding

activity (specifically with beta-N-acetylhexosaminidase activity; four genes), and glutathione

dehydrogenase activity (two genes).

In *P. damicornis*, the first pRDA axis explained 17.8% of genetic variation and was negatively

associated with sSST, while the second partial RDA axis explained 16.9% of genetic variation

and was negatively associated with mDHW (**Fig. 4bi**). A total of 292 outlier loci were identified

(**Fig. 3bii).** SetRank enrichment analyses identified only carbon-sulfur lyase activity

(GO:0016846) as an enriched molecular function by four significant genes that are associated

with centromere protein V (**Fig. 4biii**).

3.5 Adaptive Potential Index (API) across the West Indian Ocean

We combined network-level connectivity models with spatial distributions of putatively heat-

adapted genotypes to produce an Adaptive Potential Index (API) across the WIO for identifying

donor reefs with the potential to disperse thermal-adapted genotypes to neighbouring reefs

(**Table 3**). For a given pixel, the API represents the surface area (in km^2) of neighbouring reefs

that (1) have a thermal history linked to the presence of candidate adaptive loci (Adaptive Score

≥0.8) and (2) are within a sea distance indicative of inbound connectivity (i.e., a distance that

corresponds to an F_{ST} ≤0.1 upstream of the target reef). For both species, Adaptive Scores (AS)

and API were region-specific, with higher values in more southern reefs at Mauritius and

Rodrigues and lower values at reefs near the equator at the Seychelles (**Fig. 3iii; Table 3; Supp**

Fig. S5).

For *A. muricata* (**Fig. 3aiii; Table 3**), the reefs around Mauritius hosted moderately heat

adapted corals (AS between 0.43–0.74), further receiving adapted propagules from an estimated

181–187 km^2 of other reefs. While *A. muricata* at the Rodrigues reefs had slightly reduced API

values (136 km^2) due to fewer heat adapted propagules up-current, these corals had the highest

Adaptive Scores (AS ≥0.80) of the studied reefs, supplying propagules to almost 700 km^2 of reefs

downstream (OCI). In the northern WIO, *A. muricata* corals of the Seychelles had both lower

thermal Adaptive Scores (AS <0.16) and the lowest API with heat adapted propagules coming

from an estimated 41 km^2 of reefs (API), despite these reefs being connected to approximately

1550 km^2 of reefs upstream (ICI) and >935 km^2 downstream (OCI).

Similar AS estimates of coral adaptation to thermal stress and patterns of API were observed for

P. damicornis, where connectivity measurements based on weaker F_{ST} relationships with sea

currents resulted in lower values for IBI, OCI and API (**Fig. 3biii; Table 3**). The reefs at

Mauritius and Rodrigues appear to host the most heat adapted corals (AS between 0.41–0.81),

respectively receiving heat adapted propagules from an estimated 102 km^2 and 94 km^2 of reef

(API) and providing adapted propagules to approximately 300 km^2 and 450 km^2 of reef (OCI).

In the Seychelles, *P. damicornis* corals had very low adaptive scores (AS <0.17) and were

expected to receive no adapted propagules (API of 0 km^2) from the 811–870 km^2 of inbound

connected reefs.

4. Discussion

4.1 Life-history traits and asymmetric ocean currents drive population structure across the WIO

Coupling genomic information with environmental data revealed the importance of coral life-history strategies and asymmetrical ocean currents in driving spatial patterns of population structure across the WIO. The population structure uncovered in this study corroborates connectivity simulations and mapped genetic structuring of various other coral species across the WIO (e.g., Gélin et al., 2018; Kusumoto et al., 2020; Oury et al., 2024; Vogt-Vincent et al., 2024).

A. muricata showed strong patterns of local genetic mixing with minimal between-region gene flow across the WIO, mirroring expectations for a synchronous broadcast spawning coral species (Miller and Ayre, 2008). Indeed, high gene flow between reefs of a region within a relatively short distance has been found for numerous Acroporidae around the world (e.g., Adam et al., 2022; Fuller et al., 2020; Lukoschek et al., 2016; Nakajima et al., 2010; Selmoni et al., 2021). Genetic mixing is promoted by synchronous release of gametes (Wijayanti et al., 2019), while a free-swimming larval stage allows propagule dispersal to neighbouring reefs (Tabitha et al., 2021). Some gene flow occurs between southern reefs of the Mascarene Islands (Mauritius and Rodrigues) in a predominately westward direction along ocean currents (Vogt-Vincent et al., 2024). However, corals in the Seychelles appear to be genetically isolated, where gene flow in the Austral summer during *A. muricata* spawning is blocked by the strong oceanographic barrier of the South Equatorial Countercurrent (at approximate latitude of 10° S; Phillips et al., 2021).

Similar, albeit more complex, population structuring was found for *P. damicornis* with three region-specific genetic clusters and a fourth dispersed genetic cluster (yellow group in **Fig. 2b**). The general pattern of gene flow between the Mascarene Islands reflects that observed for the *A. muricata* populations and that of previous investigations into *P. damicornis* Type β dispersal

across the southern WIO (Gélin et al., 2018). The genetic dissimilarity observed for our *P.*

damicornis between the northern and southern regions can again be explained by strong ocean

currents (Phillips et al., 2021). The fourth genetic cluster poses an interesting complexity,

potentially attributed to contemporary gene flow, remnants of a common ancestral population,

and/or the inclusion of cryptic species or ecotypes in our analyses. Contemporary region-wide

gene flow might be facilitated by a well provisioned larval stage with up to 14 weeks viability

(Gélin et al., 2018, 2017; Richmond, 1987), where propagules can survive long distances,

travelling passively by ocean currents (Phillips et al., 2021), rafting (Nikula et al., 2013), or in

boat ballast water or hull fouling (Gollasch, 2008). Alternatively, this anomalous genetic cluster

could represent genetic remnants of a common ancestral population (Oury et al., 2024) that

expanded across the WIO following the penultimate glacial period (ca. 100,000 years ago) under

altered sea current conditions (Colleoni et al., 2016; Herbert et al., 2010). Evidence of ancestral

genetic make-up could be preserved by high rates of selfing and asexual reproduction of *P.*

damicornis (indeed, 65% of our samples were clones). Finally, the genetic cluster could simply

represent the inclusion of hybridised individuals, either between closely related cryptic species

occurring in sympatry (Carr et al., 2025; Combosch and Vollmer, 2015; Gélin et al., 2018; Oury et

al., 2024) or between colonies of genetically divergent and locally adapted ecotypes (e.g., shallow

lagoon ecotypes mating with outer reef slope ecotypes; Oury et al., 2024).

Regardless of the mechanism, population structure is rarely a simple function of reproductive

mode or larval type (Miller and Ayre, 2008; Prata et al., 2024; Severance and Karl, 2006). While

genetic exchange seems to occur across the southern WIO from Rodrigues towards the other

Mascarene Island reefs, and potentially beyond to Madagascar and the African east coast, the

South Equatorial Countercurrent barrier is undoubtedly inhibiting gene flow between southern

to northern WIO reefs near the equator (e.g., Seychelles). This can have important

consequences for adapted genotype exchange across the WIO, with impacts on management

strategies to be implemented in different regions (Phillips et al, 2021; Vogt-Vincent et al, 2024).

More wide-spread genetic sampling of corals is required to draw precise conclusions of

population structure across the WIO region.

4.2 Sea currents as a proxy for genetic connectivity and dispersal

Using sea currents to determine asymmetric distance between reefs was a better predictor of

population connectivity than Euclidean geographic distance for both coral species, supporting

the growing body of literature calculating gene flow for marine organisms (e.g, Benestan et al,

2016; Cooke et al, 2016; Hernawan et al, 2023; Krueck et al, 2020; Prata et al, 2024; Snead et

al., 2023; Villastrigo et al., 2023; White et al., 2010). For synchronous broadcast spawning corals,

we might expect that genetic distance would be best predicted using sea currents from a

particular time of the year. Indeed, connectivity of *A. muricata* was best predicted by sea currents

in December, reflecting timing of known mass spawning for this species (Wijayanti et al., 2019).

When no month's sea currents clearly explain genetic distance, such as for *P. damicornis*, it may

be that the species has asynchronous spawning and potentially complex reproductive modes.

The correlation (r^2) and regression coefficients (m) of the connectivity models can also provide

information about the pattern and strength of sea currents used to disperse the propagules

across a reef network. A strong correlation coefficient seems to indicate more local recruitment

of propagules, such as for *A. muricata* (r^2 =0.87), while weaker correlation coefficients indicate

decoupling of gene flow from physical geographic distance, such as for *P. damicornis* (r^2 =0.37).

The regression coefficient provides a rough estimate of length of larval stage, where the 3.5

times steeper regression coefficient of *A. muricata* vs *P. damicornis* (m =0.88 vs. m =0.24)

mirrors the much shorter larval stage (3 weeks vs 14 weeks; Richmond, 1987; Tabitha et al,

2021). By using metrics derived from the connectivity model, hypotheses of life history

strategies can be obtained for non-model coral species to facilitate the development of

appropriate management strategies.

4.3 Thermal stress drives signals of local adaptation

Historical thermal variation was found to correlate with non-neutral genetic diversity of two

coral species across the WIO. Multivariate genotype–environment associations (GEAs) suggest

local adaptation to thermal stress, with 380 and 292 outlier SNPs for *A. muricata* and *P.*

damicornis, respectively, significantly associated with standard deviations in sea surface

temperatures (sSST ; Skirving et al, 2020) and mean degree heating weeks (mDHW; Skirving et

al., 2020). In both species, corals from Rodrigues exhibited the strongest signals of thermal

adaptation, likely due to the higher and more fluctuating temperatures of its lagoon and reef flat

environments. In contrast, corals from the Seychelles showed the weakest adaptive signals, as

their backreef and reef slope habitats are influenced by cooler upwelling waters. These findings

reflect recent evidence of mean SST as a strong predictor of global genetic diversity in *P.*

damicornis, with highest nucleotide diversity at reefs experiencing higher temperatures (Carr et

al., 2025).

Extreme and variable marine thermal conditions can trigger coral bleaching when SST

fluctuations exceed seasonal averages (Hughes et al., 2017b; Liu et al., 2003), a pattern observed

across the Indian Ocean (Shlesinger and van Woesik, 2023). Recurrent mass-bleaching events

over decades exert strong selective pressures (Hughes et al., 2017b; Skirving et al., 2020), likely

driving molecular signatures of thermal adaptation and tolerance in affected populations (Lachs

et al., 2023). SST variation and mean DHW capture both the duration and intensity of thermal

anomalies (Humanes et al., 2022; Liu et al., 2003; Skirving et al., 2020; van Hooidonk et al,

2016), which may explain the high number of outlier loci associated with heat stress detected

here. While environmental data were extracted at 5 km resolutions, we acknowledge that reef

systems can exhibit substantial microhabitat variation at much finer spatial scales (e.g., <100m),

potentially influencing highly localised patterns of coral adaptation (e.g., Bay and Palumbi, 2014;

Bozec et al, 2025). To mitigate this effect, we standardised sampling depth between sites and

used variables from the Allen Coral Atlas (at 10m resolution) to refine the ecological

characterisation of each sample site. Nonetheless, the potential for undetected fine-scale

environmental heterogeneity remains a limitation here.

4.4 Candidate molecular targets of heat stress

Genes near SNPs significantly associated with historical heat stress exposure (sSST and

mDHW) had molecular functions that were previously observed in coral thermal responses.

Interestingly, we found significant enrichment for heat shock proteins and molecular

chaperones in *A. muricata*, driven by the presence of five significant genes encoding the Sacsin

protein. Sacsin is a co-chaperone for the heat-shock protein Hsp70 (Ménade et al, 2018; Parfitt

et al, 2009) and is significantly up-regulated when *Acropora* and *Pocillopora* species are

exposed to high temperatures in lab conditions (Cunning et al., 2018; Cunning and Baker, 2014;

Hemond et al., 2014; Mayfield et al., 2018). Sacsin has previously been identified as a key

candidate protein involved in thermal responses of *A. millepora* in the Great Barrier Reef (Fuller

et al, 2020), while another seascape genomic study on *A. millepora* in New Caledonia found

significant enrichment of chaperone binding proteins associated with bleaching alert frequency

(Selmoni et al., 2021).

Three other molecular functions were significantly enriched for *A. muricata* in association with

thermal stress. The glutathione S-transferase omega-1 (EC 2.5.1.18) enzyme was detected with

two significant genes associated with sSST and mDHW. This enzyme is upregulated in some

coral species following long-term (60 day) heat stress exposure (Dias et al, 2019). This enzyme

plays a key role in coral cellular antioxidant and immune defence responses (Morrow et al,

2012), likely responding to reactive oxygen species induced by enhanced metabolic rates

(Burdon et al., 1990; Richier et al., 2006). We also found enrichment for the lysosomal enzyme

beta-hexosaminidase (EC 3.2.1.52) from four genes. This is an important factor involved in a

range of functions, including glycosylation of proteins, cellular signalling, protein folding, and

mycobacterium defence (Koo et al., 2008; Lundgren et al., 2013; Sproles et al., 2019). As

stressed corals have increased susceptibility to disease (Littman et al., 2010; Mydlarz et al.,

2010; Thurber et al., 2009), an adaptive increase in immune responses due to increased

temperatures might be expected (Lundgren et al., 2013). Last, the glycine receptor (subunit

alpha-3) protein associated with glycine-gated chloride ion channel activity was detected by

two genes, which is involved in synaptic signalling of the central nervous system of vertebrates

(Ceder et al., 2024) that might alter mobility and movement for feeding in heat-adapted corals.

Among heat-associated SNPs in *P. damicornis*, only one molecular function was significantly

enriched: carbon-sulphur lyase activity. To our knowledge, this function has not been previously

associated with heat stress and may represent a false signal. Given that previous studies on

Pocillopora spp. identified candidate genes involved in immunity, cellular homeostasis,

metabolism, and signalling responses to environmental stress (Lundgren et al., 2013; Mayfield et

al., 2018; Poquita-Du et al., 2024; Selmoni et al., 2021), we expected a greater number of

significant functional associations. The limited detection of enriched functions may be

attributed to the strong population structure observed, which we attempted to control for by

including neutral genetic structure in our RDA analysis. In this way, we targeted shared genomic

pathways involved in thermal stress responses amongst diverged individuals, where we cannot

rule out the retention cryptic or hybrid individuals in our dataset that inhabit different niches

and express different responses to stressors (Johnston et al., 2017). Alternatively, a lack of signal

may be attributed to the high proportion of unique, predominantly unannotated genes in *P.*

damicornis, which comprise up to a quarter of its genome (Cunning et al., 2018).

We stress caution in over-interpreting results of GEA and GO term analyses. These models

generate hypotheses to facilitate understandings of environmental influence on genotypes

(Lasky et al., 2023; Lotterhos, 2023; Selmoni et al., 2024). Candidate genes should therefore be

treated as preliminary results requiring experimental or in-field validations (reviewed in

Selmoni et al., 2024). Furthermore, numerous analytical factors can affect GEA results. Here, we

traded GEA power with reduced false positive rates when we corrected for population structure

(Forester et al., 2018; Lotterhos, 2023). GEAs also have reduced power to detect quantitative

trait nucleotides (Lotterhos, 2023); because polygenic variation is expected to drive coral

thermal adaptation (Rose et al., 2018), important genomic regions under selection are likely

missed. Additional methods, such as genome-wide association studies (GWAS) or quantitative

trait locus (QTL) mapping, could help strengthen conclusions drawn from seascape genomic

studies (Lasky et al., 2023; Lotterhos, 2023), as could the inclusion of other genomic variants

that are expected to play a major role in facilitating adaptation (e.g., structural variants; Mérot et

al., 2020; Pokrovac and Pezer, 2022; Wellenreuther et al., 2019). Nevertheless, our results

provide valuable hypotheses of genomic regions conserved across populations in response to

thermal pressures across a discontinuous reef system to guide future coral research and assist

regional coral reef management.

4.5 Local-level reef management is recommended across the West Indian Ocean

Coral reef ecosystems across the WIO are vulnerable to collapse under projected climatic

conditions (Obura et al., 2022). As local scale stressors can affect regional level community

structure (Green et al., 1987), we echo recommendations for coordinated local level

management across the WIO to maintain overall coral reef health (Obura et al., 2022;

Stefanoudis et al., 2023). We found that the large distances between reefs reduced the

importance of regional gene flow for facilitating thermal adaptation. Indeed, the reef regions

investigated represent two independent ecoregions (Obura, 2012; Spalding et al., 2007).

Furthermore, coral propagule dispersal simulations across the WIO estimates that there are

over 100 generational steps of separation (about 500 years) between the Mascarene Islands

and the Seychelles (Vogt-Vincent et al., 2024). As congruent spatial pattens of the adaptive

potential index (API) were observed for both species despite differences in life-history traits,

demography and adaptation, we recommend similar management strategies for both species.

Understandings of source locations of thermally adapted corals and connectivity with other reefs

in the region can help guide conservation planning (Bozec et al., 2025; Selmoni et al., 2024,

2020a). For instance, reefs hosting corals with higher adaptive potentials could be placed in a

marine protected area (Abelson et al., 2016; De Clippele et al., 2023), while reefs with low

adaptive potentials might require assisted gene flow from adapted reefs (Baums, 2008;

Hagedorn et al., 2021; Van Oppen et al., 2015). The Mascarene Islands are important sources of

heat adapted corals due to exposure to higher temperatures with large daily fluctuations across

reef lagoons and flats. As the Mascarene Islands are located up-current of Madagascar and the

east African coast along the South Equatorial Current (Phillips et al., 2021; Vogt-Vincent et al.,

2024), these reefs may serve as important sources of thermal resilience for west WIO

populations (Matz et al., 2020; Oury et al., 2024). In contrast, the Seychelles Archipelago appears

to host fewer heat adapted corals, likely due to proximity with deep oceans and upwelling that

bring cooler and more consistent water temperatures (Shlesinger and van Woesik, 2023). With

their relative isolation, coral reefs of the Seychelles may require assisted gene flow, importing

propagules from heat adapted reefs such as east African reefs that have already experienced

recurrent mass bleaching (Elma et al., 2023).

Going forward, insights obtained from these seascape genomic analyses can be integrated into

management frameworks that must be co-developed with local stakeholders (Stefanoudis et al.,

2023). This is important to facilitate collaboration between local managers across the regional

network to standardise research and management methods, share resources and undertake

research that is directly relevant to making decisions for the overall health of the coral reef

ecosystem in the WIO. Extrapolations of genetic-based adaptive indices to unsampled regions

can be biased, particularly if predictor variables covary differently in training vs extrapolated

reefs (Yates et al., 2018), or if genetic variation is not well distributed across the environmental

landscape (Capblancq and Forester, 2021). Future work will need to include seascape genomic

analyses across the larger WIO network prior to making more definitive conclusions and

preparing management strategies.

4.6 Conclusions

Local thermal conditions seem to drive thermal tolerance across reefs of the West Indian Ocean.

We found strong molecular signals of heat stress adaptation in corals from thermally variable

environments, such as at the Rodrigues and Mauritius Islands. The genetic variants potentially

implicated in thermal adaptation were associated with genes coding for proteins implemented

in heat stress response, notably the heat-shock protein co-chaperone Sacsin. However, large sea

distances and strong oceanographic barriers inhibit the genetic exchange of adapted genotypes

across the WIO, calling for local-level management of reefs within a framework of a larger

regional management plan. Further research into phenotypic expressions of hypothesised

target genes will need to be carried out to validate adaptive potentials of corals during stressed

conditions. Insights from this research contribute to a growing understanding of coral

adaptation to thermal stress that can inform conservation strategies aimed at preserving

thermally tolerant genotypes across the WIO in the face of ongoing climate change.

Acknowledgements

This study was conducted with funding provided under the Coral Restoration Project (PIMS

5736) by the Adaptation Fund and implemented by the United Nations Development

Programme Mauritius and Seychelles. We gratefully acknowledge the contributions of the

following institutions to field sampling efforts: Albion Fisheries Research Center, Eco-Sud, Reef

Conservation, Marine Conservation Society Seychelles, Mauritius Oceanographic Institute,

Nature Seychelles, Seychelles Parks and Gardens Authority, and Shoals Rodrigues. We also thank

the United Nations Development Programme Mauritius and Seychelles for their support in

coordinating activities throughout the project.

Data availability statement

Data for this study will soon be made available on Dryad.

Conflict of Interest statement

The authors declare no conflict of interests

References

Abelson, A., Nelson, P.A., Edgar, G.J., Shashar, N., Reed, D.C., Belmaker, J., Krause, G., Beck, M.W.,

733   Brokovich, E., France, R., Gaines, S.D., 2016. Expanding marine protected areas to include

734   degraded coral reefs. Conserv. Biol. 30, 1182–1191. https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.12722

735   Adam, A.A.S., Thomas, L., Underwood, J., Gilmour, J., Richards, Z.T., 2022. Population

736   connectivity and genetic offset in the spawning coral *Acropora digitifera* in Western

737   Australia. Mol. Ecol. 31, 3533–3547. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.16498

738   Ainsworth, T.D., Heron, S.F., Ortiz, J.C., Mumby, P.J., Grech, A., Ogawa, D., Eakin, C.M., Leggat, W.,

739   2016. Climate change disables coral bleaching protection on the Great Barrier Reef.

740   Science (80-.). 352, 338–342. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aac7125

741   Allen Coral Atlas, 2022. Imagery, maps and monitoring of the world's tropical coral reefs

742   [WWW Document]. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3833242

743   Baums, I.B., 2008. A restoration genetics guide for coral reef conservation. Mol. Ecol. 17, 2796–

744   2811. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2008.03787.x

745   Bay, R.A., Palumbi, S.R., 2014. Multilocus adaptation associated with heat resistance in reef-

746   building corals. Curr. Biol. 24, 2952–2956. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2014.10.044

747   Benestan, L., Quinn, B.K., Maaroufi, H., Laporte, M., Clark, F.K., Greenwood, S.J., Rochette, R.,

748   Bernatchez, L., 2016. Seascape genomics provides evidence for thermal adaptation and

749   current-mediated population structure in American lobster (*Homarus americanus*). Mol.

750   Ecol. 25, 5073–5092. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13811

Boeckmann, B., Bairoch, A., Apweiler, R., Blatter, M.C., Estreicher, A., Gasteiger, E., Martin, M.J.,

Michoud, K., O'Donovan, C., Phan, I., Pilbout, S., Schneider, M., 2003. The SWISS-PROT

protein knowledgebase and its supplement TrEMBL in 2003. Nucleic Acids Res. 31, 365–

370. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkg095

Bonin, A., Nicole, F., Pompanon, F., Miaud, C., Taberlet, P., 2007. Population adaptive index: A

new method to help measure intraspecific genetic diversity and prioritize populations for

conservation. Conserv. Biol. 21, 697–708. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-

1739.2007.00685.x

Bozec, Y., Adam, A.A.S., Nava, B.A., Cresswell, A.K., Haller-Bull, V., Iwanaga, T., Lachs, L.,

Matthews, S.A., McWhorter, J.K., Anthony, K.R.N., Condie, S.A., Halloran, P.R., Ortiz, J.-C.,

Riginos, C., Mumby, P.J., 2025. A rapidly closing window for coral persistence under global

warming. bioRxiv Prepr. https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.01.23.634487

Bridge, T.C.L., Cowman, P.F., Quattrini, A.M., Bonito, V.E., Sinniger, F., Harii, S., Head, C.E.I., Hung,

J.Y., Halafihi, T., Rongo, T., Baird, A.H., 2023. A tenuis relationship: traditional taxonomy

obscures systematics and biogeography of the 'Acropora tenuis' (Scleractinia:

Acroporidae) species complex. Zool. J. Linn. Soc. 1–24.

https://doi.org/10.1093/zoolinnean/zlad062

Bruno, J., Siddon, C., Witman, J., Colin, P., Toscano, M., 2001. El Niño related coral bleaching in

Palau, Western Caroline Islands. Coral Reefs 20, 127–136.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s003380100151

Burdon, R.H., Gill, V., Evans, C.R., 1990. Active oxygen species and heat shock protein induction,

in: Schlesinger, M.J., Santoro, M.G., Garaci, E. (Eds.). Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin,

Heidelberg, pp. 19–25.

Capblancq, T., Fitzpatrick, M.C., Bay, R.A., Exposito-Alonso, M., Keller, S.R., 2020. Genomic

Prediction of (Mal)Adaptation across Current and Future Climatic Landscapes. Annu. Rev.

Ecol. Evol. Syst. 51, 245–269. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-020720-042553

Capblancq, T., Forester, B.R., 2021. Redundancy analysis: A Swiss Army Knife for landscape

genomics. Methods Ecol. Evol. 12, 2298–2309. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210x.13722

Capblancq, T., Luu, K., Blum, M.G.B., Bazin, E., 2018. Evaluation of redundancy analysis to

identify signatures of local adaptation. Mol. Ecol. Resour. 18, 1223–1233.

https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12906

Carr, M., Kratochwill, C., Daly-Engel, T., Crombie, T., van Woesik, R., 2025. Geographical

patterns of intraspecific genetic diversity reflect the adaptive potential of the coral

Pocillopora damicornis species complex. PLoS One 20, 1–13.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0316380

Ceder, M.M., Magnusson, K.A., Weman, H.M., Henriksson, K., Andréasson, L., Lindström, T.,

Wiggins, O., Lagerström, M.C., 2024. The mRNA expression profile of glycine receptor

subunits alpha 1, alpha 2, alpha 4 and beta in female and male mice. Mol. Cell. Neurosci.

131. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcn.2024.103976

Colleoni, F., Wekerle, C., Näslund, J.O., Brandefelt, J., Masina, S., 2016. Constraint on the

penultimate glacial maximum Northern Hemisphere ice topography (≈140 kyrs BP). Quat.

Sci. Rev. 137, 97–112. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2016.01.024

Combosch, D.J., Vollmer, S. V., 2015. Trans-Pacific RAD-Seq population genomics confirms

introgressive hybridization in Eastern Pacific *Pocillopora* corals. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 88,

154–162. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2015.03.022

Cooke, G.M., Schlub, T.E., Sherwin, W.B., Ord, T.J., 2016. Understanding the spatial scale of

genetic connectivity at sea: Unique insights from a land fish and a meta-analysis. PLoS One

11, 1–25. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0150991

Cooke, I., Ying, H., Forêt, S., Bongaerts, P., Strugnell, J.M., Simakov, O., Zhang, J., Field, M.A.,

Rodriguez-Lanetty, M., Bell, S.C., Bourne, D.G., van Oppen, M.J.H., Ragan, M.A., Miller, D.J.,

2020. Genomic signatures in the coral holobiont reveal host adaptations driven by

Holocene climate change and reef specific symbionts. Sci. Adv. 6.

https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abc6318

Costanza, R., de Groot, R., Sutton, P., van der Ploeg, S., Anderson, S.J., Kubiszewski, I., Farber, S.,

Turner, R.K., 2014. Changes in the global value of ecosystem services. Glob. Environ.

Chang. 26, 152–158. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2014.04.002

Cunning, R., Baker, A.C., 2014. Not just who, but how many: The importance of partner

abundance in reef coral symbioses. Front. Microbiol. 5, 1–10.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00400

Cunning, R., Bay, R.A., Gillette, P., Baker, A.C., Traylor-Knowles, N., 2018. Comparative analysis

of the *Pocillopora damicornis* genome highlights role of immune system in coral evolution.

Sci. Rep. 8, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-34459-8

De Clippele, L.H., Díaz, L.A., Andradi-Brown, D.A., Lazuardi, M.E., Iqbal, M., Zainudin, I.M.,

Prabuning, D., van Hooidonk, R., Hakim, A., Agung, F., Dermawan, A., Hennige, S.J., 2023.

Evaluating annual severe coral bleaching risk for marine protected areas across Indonesia.

Mar. Policy 148, 105428. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2022.105428

De Mita, S., Thuillet, A.-C., Gay, L., Ahmadi, N., Manel, S., Ronfort, J., Vigouroux, Y., 2013.

Detecting selection along environmental gradients: analysis of eight methods and their

effectiveness for outbreeding and selfing populations. Mol. Ecol. 22, 1383–1399.

https://doi.org/doi:10.1111/mec.12182

Dias, M., Ferreira, A., Gouveia, R., Madeira, C., Jogee, N., Cabral, H., Diniz, M., Vinagre, C., 2019.

Long-term exposure to increasing temperatures on scleractinian coral fragments reveals

oxidative stress. Mar. Environ. Res. 150, 104758.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2019.104758

Dixon, G., Davies, S., Aglyamova, G., Meyer, E., Bay, L., Matz, M., 2015. Genomic determinants of

coral heat tolerance across latitudes. Science (80-.). 348, 2014–2016.

Donovan, M.K., Burkepile, D.E., Kratochwill, C., Shlesinger, T., Sully, S., Oliver, T.A., Hodgson, G.,

Freiwald, J., van Woesik, R., 2021. Local conditions magnify coral loss after marine

heatwaves. Science (80-.). 372, 977–980. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abd9464

Drury, C., Lirman, D., 2021. Genotype by environment interactions in coral bleaching. Proc. R.

Soc. B Biol. Sci. 288. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2021.0177

Dziedzic, K.E., Elder, H., Tavalire, H., Meyer, E., 2019. Heritable variation in bleaching responses

and its functional genomic basis in reef-building corals (*Orbicella faveolata*). Mol. Ecol. 28,

2238–2253. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15081

E.U. Copernicus Marine Service Information (CMEMS), 2024.

OCEANCOLOUR_GLO_BGC_L4_MY_009_104. Mar. Data Store.

https://doi.org/10.48670/moi-00281 (Accessed on 03-04-2024)

Elder, H., Weis, V.M., Montalvo-Proano, J., Mocellin, V.J.L., Baird, A.H., Meyer, E., Bay, L.K., 2022.

Genetic variation in heat tolerance of the coral *Platygyra daedalea* indicates potential for

840  adaptation to ocean warming. Front. Mar. Sci. 9, 1–15.

841  https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2022.925845

842 Elma, E., Gullström, M., Yahya, S.A.S., Jouffray, J.B., East, H.K., Nyström, M., 2023. Post-bleaching

843  alterations in coral reef communities. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 186.

844  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2022.114479

845 Excoffier, L., Hofer, T., Foll, M., 2009. Detecting loci under selection in a hierarchically

846  structured population. Heredity (Edinb). 103, 285–298.

847  https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2009.74

848 Forester, B.R., Lasky, J.R., Wagner, H.H., Urban, D.L., 2018. Comparing methods for detecting

849  multilocus adaptation with multivariate genotype–environment associations. Mol. Ecol.

850  27, 2215–2233. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14584

851 François, O., Martins, H., Caye, K., Schoville, S.D., 2016. Controlling false discoveries in genome

852  scans for selection. Mol. Ecol. 25, 454–469. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13513

853 Frichot, E., François, O., 2015. LEA: An R package for landscape and ecological association

854  studies. Methods Ecol. Evol. 6, 925–929. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12382

855 Fuller, Z.L., Mocellin, V.J.L., Morris, L.A., Cantin, N., Shepherd, J., Sarre, L., Peng, J., Liao, Y.,

856  Pickrell, J., Andolfatto, P., Matz, M., Bay, L.K., Przeworski, M., 2020. Population genetics of

857  the coroal *Acropora millepora*: Toward genomic prediction of bleaching, in: Science.

858  https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aba4674

859 Gawroński, P., Pawełkowicz, M., Tofil, K., Uszyński, G., Sharifova, S., Ahluwalia, S., Tyrka, M.,

860  Wędzony, M., Kilian, A., Bolibok-Brągoszewska, H., 2016. DArT markers effectively target

861  gene space in the rye genome. Front. Plant Sci. 7, 1–13.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01600

Gélin, P., Fauvelot, C., Mehn, V., Bureau, S., Rouzé, H., Magalon, H., 2017. Superclone expansion,

long-distance clonal dispersal and local genetic structuring in the coral *Pocillopora*

damicornis type β in Reunion Island, South Western Indian Ocean. PLoS One 12, 1–19.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0169692

Gélin, P., Pirog, A., Fauvelot, C., Magalon, H., 2018. High genetic differentiation and low

connectivity in the coral *Pocillopora damicornis* type β at different spatial scales in the

Southwestern Indian Ocean and the Tropical Southwestern Pacific. Mar. Biol. 165.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-018-3428-6

Goetze, J.S., Wilson, S., Radford, B., Fisher, R., Langlois, T.J., Monk, J., Knott, N.A., Malcolm, H.,

Currey-Randall, L.M., Ierodiaconou, D., Harasti, D., Barrett, N., Babcock, R.C., Bosch, N.E.,

Brock, D., Claudet, J., Clough, J., Fairclough, D. V., Heupel, M.R., Holmes, T.H., Huveneers, C.,

Jordan, A.R., McLean, D., Meekan, M., Miller, D., Newman, S.J., Rees, M.J., Roberts, K.E.,

Saunders, B.J., Speed, C.W., Travers, M.J., Treml, E., Whitmarsh, S.K., Wakefield, C.B.,

Harvey, E.S., 2021. Increased connectivity and depth improve the effectiveness of marine

reserves. Glob. Chang. Biol. 27, 3432–3447. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15635

Gollasch, S., 2008. Is ballast water a major dispersal mechanism for marine organisms?, in:

Nentwig, W. (Ed.), Biological Invasions. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 49–57.

https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-36920-2_4

Gouezo, M., Golbuu, Y., Fabricius, K., Olsudong, D., Mereb, G., Nestor, V., Wolanski, E., Harrison,

P., Doropoulos, C., 2019. Drivers of recovery and reassembly of coral reef communities.

Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 286. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2018.2908

Graham, N.A.J., Jennings, S., MacNeil, M.A., Mouillot, D., Wilson, S.K., 2015. Predicting climate-

driven regime shifts versus rebound potential in coral reefs. Nature 518, 94–97.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14140

Green, D.G., Bradbury, R.H., Reichelt, R.E., 1987. Patterns of predictability in coral reef

community structure. Coral Reefs 6, 27–34. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00302209

Gruber, B., Unmack, P.J., Berry, O.F., Georges, A., 2018. dartr: An R package to facilitate analysis

of SNP data generated from reduced representation genome sequencing. Mol. Ecol.

Resour. 18, 691–699. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12745

Grupstra, C.G.B., Gómez-Corrales, M., Fifer, J.E., Aichelman, H.E., Meyer-Kaiser, K.S., Prada, C.,

Davies, S.W., 2024. Integrating cryptic diversity into coral evolution, symbiosis and

conservation. Nat. Ecol. Evol. 8, 622–636. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-023-02319-y

Guest, J.R., Baird, A.H., Maynard, J.A., Muttaqin, E., Edwards, A.J., Campbell, S.J., Yewdall, K.,

Affendi, Y.A., Chou, L.M., 2012. Contrasting patterns of coral bleaching susceptibility in

2010 suggest an adaptive response to thermal stress. PLoS One 7, 1–8.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0033353

Hagedorn, M., Page, C.A., O'Neil, K.L., Flores, D.M., Tichy, L., Conn, T., Chamberland, V.F., Lager,

C., Zuchowicz, N., Lohr, K., Blackburn, H., Vardi, T., Moore, J., Moore, T., Baums, I.B.,

Vermeij, M.J.A., Marhaver, K.L., 2021. Assisted gene flow using cryopreserved sperm in

critically endangered coral. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 118, 1–7.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2110559118

Helgoe, J., Davy, S.K., Weis, V.M., Rodriguez-Lanetty, M., 2024. Triggers, cascades, and

endpoints: connecting the dots of coral bleaching mechanisms. Biol. Rev. 99, 715–752.

https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.13042

Hemond, E.M., Kaluziak, S.T., Vollmer, S. V., 2014. The genetics of colony form and function in

908   Caribbean Acropora corals. BMC Genomics 15, 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-

909   2164-15-1133

910   Herbert, T.D., Peterson, L.C., Lawrence, K.T., Liu, Z., 2010. Tropical ocean temperatures over the

911   past 3.5 million years. Science (80-.). 328, 1530–1534.

912   https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1185435

913   Hernawan, U.E., van Dijk, K. jent, Kendrick, G.A., Feng, M., Berry, O., Kavazos, C., McMahon, K.,

914   2023. Ocean connectivity and habitat characteristics predict population genetic structure

915   of seagrass in an extreme tropical setting. Ecol. Evol. 13, 1–14.

916   https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.10257

917   Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Mumby, P.J., Hooten, A.J., Steneck, R.S., Greenfield, P., Gomez, E., Harvell,

918   C.D., Sale, P.F., Edwards, A.J., Caldeira, K., Knowlton, N., Eakin, C.M., Iglesias-Prieto, R.,

919   Muthiga, N., Bradbury, R.H., Dubi, A., Hatziolos, M.E., 2007. Coral reefs under rapid climate

920   change and ocean acidification. Science (80-.). 318, 1737–1742.

921   https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1152509

922   Howells, E.J., Abrego, D., Liew, Y.J., Burt, J.A., Meyer, E., Aranda, M., 2021. Enhancing the heat

923   tolerance of reef-building corals to future warming. Sci. Adv. 7.

924   https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abg6070

925   Howells, E.J., Abrego, D., Meyer, E., Kirk, N.L., Burt, J.A., 2016. Host adaptation and unexpected

926   symbiont partners enable reef-building corals to tolerate extreme temperatures. Glob.

927   Chang. Biol. 22, 2702–2714. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13250

928 Hughes, T.P., Anderson, K.D., Connolly, S.R., Heron, S.F., Kerry, J.T., Lough, J.M., Baird, A.H.,

929   Baum, J.K., Berumen, M.L., Bridge, T.C., Claar, D.C., Eakin, C.M., Gilmour, J.P., Graham, N.A.J.,

930   Harrison, H., Hobbs, J.A., Hoey, A.S., Hoogenboom, M., Lowe, R.J., Mcculloch, M.T., Pandolfi,

931   J.M., Pratchett, M., Schoepf, V., 2020. Spatial and temporal patterns of mass bleaching of

932   corals in the Anthropocene 83, 80–83.

933 Hughes, T.P., Barnes, M.L., Bellwood, D.R., Cinner, J.E., Cumming, G.S., Jackson, J.B.C., Kleypas, J.,

934   Van De Leemput, I.A., Lough, J.M., Morrison, T.H., Palumbi, S.R., Van Nes, E.H., Scheffer, M.,

935   2017a. Coral reefs in the Anthropocene. Nature 546, 82–90.

936   https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22901

937 Hughes, T.P., Kerry, J.T., Álvarez-Noriega, M., Álvarez-Romero, J.G., Anderson, K.D., Baird, A.H.,

938   Babcock, R.C., Beger, M., Bellwood, D.R., Berkelmans, R., Bridge, T.C., Butler, I.R., Byrne, M.,

939   Cantin, N.E., Comeau, S., Connolly, S.R., Cumming, G.S., Dalton, S.J., Diaz-Pulido, G., Eakin,

940   C.M., Figueira, W.F., Gilmour, J.P., Harrison, H.B., Heron, S.F., Hoey, A.S., Hobbs, J.P.A.,

941   Hoogenboom, M.O., Kennedy, E. V., Kuo, C.Y., Lough, J.M., Lowe, R.J., Liu, G., McCulloch, M.T.,

942   Malcolm, H.A., McWilliam, M.J., Pandolfi, J.M., Pears, R.J., Pratchett, M.S., Schoepf, V.,

943   Simpson, T., Skirving, W.J., Sommer, B., Torda, G., Wachenfeld, D.R., Willis, B.L., Wilson, S.K.,

944   2017b. Global warming and recurrent mass bleaching of corals. Nature 543, 373–377.

945   https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21707

946 Hughes, T.P., Kerry, J.T., Connolly, S.R., Baird, A.H., Eakin, C.M., Heron, S.F., Hoey, A.S.,

947   Hoogenboom, M.O., Jacobson, M., Liu, G., Pratchett, M.S., Skirving, W., Torda, G., 2019.

948   Ecological memory modifies the cumulative impact of recurrent climate extremes. Nat.

949   Clim. Chang. 9, 40–43. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0351-2

950 Humanes, A., Lachs, L., Beauchamp, E.A., Bythell, C., Edwards, A.J., Golbuu, Y., Martinez, H.M.,

Palmowski, P., Treumann, A., Steeg, E. Van Der, Hooidonk, R. Van, Guest, J.R., 2022. Within-

population variability in coral heat tolerance indicates climate adaptation potential. Proc.

R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 289, 20220872.

Jin, Y.K., Lundgren, P., Lutz, A., Raina, J.B., Howells, E.J., Paley, A.S., Willis, B.L., Van Oppen, M.J.H.,

2016. Genetic markers for antioxidant capacity in a reef-building coral. Sci. Adv. 2.

https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1500842

Johnston, E.C., Forsman, Z.H., Flot, J.F., Schmidt-Roach, S., Pinzón, J.H., Knapp, I.S.S., Toonen, R.J.,

2017. A genomic glance through the fog of plasticity and diversification in *Pocillopora*. Sci.

Rep. 7, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-06085-3

Johnston, E.C., Wyatt, A.S.J., Leichter, J.J., Burgess, S.C., 2021. Niche differences in co-occurring

cryptic coral species (*Pocillopora* spp.). Coral Reefs. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-021-

02107-9

Kilian, A., Wenzl, P., Huttner, E., Carling, J., Xia, L., Blois, H., Caig, V., Heller-Uszynska, K., Jaccoud,

D., Hopper, C., Aschenbrenner-Kilian, M., Evers, M., Peng, K., Cayla, C., Hok, P., Uszynski, G.,

2012. Diversity arrays technology: a generic genome profiling technology on open

platforms. Methods Mol. Biol. 888, 67–89. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-61779-870-2_5

Kirk, N.L., Howells, E.J., Abrego, D., Burt, J.A., Meyer, E., 2018. Genomic and transcriptomic

signals of thermal tolerance in heat-tolerant corals (*Platygyra daedalea*) of the

Arabian/Persian Gulf. Mol. Ecol. 27, 5180–5194. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14934

Koo, I.C., Ohol, Y.M., Wu, P., Morisaki, J.H., Cox, J.S., Brown, E.J., 2008. Role for lysosomal enzyme

β-hexosaminidase in the control of mycobacteria infection. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.

105, 710–715. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0708110105

Krueck, N.C., Treml, E.A., Innes, D.J., Ovenden, J.R., 2020. Ocean currents and the population

genetic signature of fish migrations. Ecology 101, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.2967

Kusumoto, B., Costello, M.J., Kubota, Y., Shiono, T., Wei, C.L., Yasuhara, M., Chao, A., 2020. Global

distribution of coral diversity: Biodiversity knowledge gradients related to spatial

resolution. Ecol. Res. 35, 315–326. https://doi.org/10.1111/1440-1703.12096

Lachs, L., Donner, S.D., Mumby, P.J., Bythell, J.C., Humanes, A., East, H.K., Guest, J.R., 2023.

Emergent increase in coral thermal tolerance reduces mass bleaching under climate

change. Nat. Commun. 4939. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-40601-6

Lasky, J.R., Josephs, E.B., Morris, G.P., 2023. Genotype–environment associations to reveal the

molecular basis of environmental adaptation. Plant Cell 35, 125–138.

https://doi.org/10.1093/plcell/koac267

Littman, R.A., Bourne, D.G., Willis, B.L., 2010. Responses of coral-associated bacterial

communities to heat stress differ with Symbiodinium type on the same coral host. Mol.

Ecol. 19, 1978–1990. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04620.x

Liu, G., Strong, A.E., Skirving, W., 2003. Remote sensing of sea surface temperatures during

2002 barrier reef coral bleaching. Eos (Washington. DC). 84, 2002–2004.

https://doi.org/10.1029/2003EO150001

Lotterhos, K.E., 2023. The paradox of adaptive trait clines with nonclinal patterns in the

underlying genes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 120, e2220313120.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2220313120

Lotterhos, K.E., Whitlock, M.C., 2015. The relative power of genome scans to detect local

adaptation depends on sampling design and statistical method. Mol. Ecol. 24, 1031–1046.

https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13100

Louis, Y.D., Kaullysing, D., Gopeechund, A., Mattan-Moorgawa, S., Bahorun, T., Dyall, S.D.,

Bhagooli, R., 2016. In hospite Symbiodinium photophysiology and antioxidant responses

in Acropora muricata on a coast-reef scale: implications for variable bleaching patterns.

Symbiosis 68, 61–72. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13199-016-0380-4

Loya, Y., Sakai, K., Yamazato, K., Nakano, Y., Sambali, H., Van Woesik, R., 2001. Coral bleaching:

The winners and the losers. Ecol. Lett. 4, 122–131. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1461-

0248.2001.00203.x

Lukoschek, V., Riginos, C., Van Oppen, M.J.H., 2016. Congruent patterns of connectivity can

inform management for broadcast spawning corals on the Great Barrier Reef. Mol. Ecol.

25, 3065–3080. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13649

Lundgren, P., Vera, J.C., Peplow, L., Manel, S., van Oppen, M.J.H., 2013. Genotype-environment

correlations in corals from the Great Barrier Reef. BMC Genet. 14.

https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2156-14-9

Luu, K., Bazin, E., Blum, M.G.B., 2017. pcadapt: an R package to perform genome scans for

selection based on principal component analysis. Mol. Ecol. Resour. 17, 67–77.

https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12592

Matz, M. V., Treml, E.A., Haller, B.C., 2020. Estimating the potential for coral adaptation to

global warming across the Indo-West Pacific. Glob. Chang. Biol. 26, 3473–3481.

https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15060

Mayfield, A.B., Chen, Y.J., Lu, C.Y., Chen, C.S., 2018. The proteomic response of the reef coral

Pocillopora acuta to experimentally elevated temperatures. PLoS One 13, 1–24.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0192001

Ménade, M., Kozlov, G., Trempe, J.F., Pande, H., Shenker, S., Wickremasinghe, S., Li, X., Hojjat, H.,

Dicaire, M.J., Brais, B., McPherson, P.S., Wong, M.J.H., Young, J.C., Gehring, K., 2018.

Structures of ubiquitin-like (Ubl) and Hsp90-like domains of sacsin provide insight into

pathological mutations. J. Biol. Chem. 293, 12832–12842.

https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA118.003939

Mérot, C., Oomen, R.A., Tigano, A., Wellenreuther, M., 2020. A roadmap for understanding the

evolutionary significance of structural genomic variation. Trends Ecol. Evol. 35, 561–572.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2020.03.002

Mijangos, J.L., Gruber, B., Berry, O., Pacioni, C., Georges, A., 2022. dartR v2: An accessible genetic

analysis platform for conservation, ecology and agriculture. Methods Ecol. Evol. 13, 2150–

2158. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13918

Miller, K.J., Ayre, D.J., 2008. Population structure is not a simple function of reproductive mode

and larval type: Insights from tropical corals. J. Anim. Ecol. 77, 713–724.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2656.2008.01387.x

Moberg, F., Folke, C., 1999. Ecological goods and services of coral reef ecosystems. Ecol. Econ.

29, 215–233. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8009(99)00009-9

Morais, J., Morais, R., Tebbett, S.B., Bellwood, D.R., 2022. On the fate of dead coral colonies.

Funct. Ecol. 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.14182

Morrow, K.M., Ritson-Williams, R., Ross, C., Liles, M.R., Paul, V.J., 2012. Macroalgal extracts

Induce bacterial assemblage shifts and sublethal tissue stress in Caribbean corals. PLoS

One 7. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0044859

Mumby, P.J., Van Woesik, R., 2014. Consequences of ecological, evolutionary and

biogeochemical uncertainty for coral reef responses to climatic stress. Curr. Biol. 24,

R413–R423. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2014.04.029

Mydlarz, L.D., McGinty, E.S., Drew Harvell, C., 2010. What are the physiological and

immunological responses of coral to climate warming and disease? J. Exp. Biol. 213, 934–

945. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.037580

Nakajima, Y., Nishikawa, A., Iguchi, A., Sakai, K., 2010. Gene flow and genetic diversity of a

broadcast-spawning coral in northern peripheral populations. PLoS One 5, e11149.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0011149

Nikula, R., Spencer, H.G., Waters, J.M., 2013. Passive rafting is a powerful driver of transoceanic

gene flow. Biol. Lett. 9. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2012.0821

Obura, D., 2012. The diversity and biogeography of Western Indian Ocean reef-building corals.

PLoS One 7. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0045013

Obura, D., Gudka, M., Samoilys, M., Osuka, K., Mbugua, J., Keith, D.A., Porter, S., Roche, R., van

Hooidonk, R., Ahamada, S., Araman, A., Karisa, J., Komakoma, J., Madi, M., Ravinia, I.,

Razafindrainibe, H., Yahya, S., Zivane, F., 2022. Vulnerability to collapse of coral reef

ecosystems in the Western Indian Ocean. Nat. Sustain. 5, 104–113.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-021-00817-0

Ortiz, J.C., Pears, R.J., Beeden, R., Dryden, J., Wolff, N.H., Gomez Cabrera, M. del C., Mumby, P.J.,

2021. Important ecosystem function, low redundancy and high vulnerability: The trifecta

argument for protecting the Great Barrier Reef's tabular Acropora. Conserv. Lett. 14, 1–18.

https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12817

Otto, S.P., 2018. Adaptation, speciation and extinction in the Anthropocene. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol.

Sci. 285. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2018.2047

Oury, N., Mona, S., Magalon, H., 2024. Same places, same stories? Genomics reveals similar

structuring and demographic patterns for four Pocillopora coral species in the

southwestern Indian Ocean. J. Biogeogr. 51, 754–768. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.14788

Palumbi, S.R., Barshis, D.J., Traylor-Knowles, N., Bay, R.A., 2014. Mechanisms of reef coral

resistance to future climate change. Science (80-.). 344, 895–898.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1251336

Parfitt, D.A., Michael, G.J., Vermeulen, E.G.M., Prodromou, N. V., Webb, T.R., Gallo, J.M.,

Cheetham, M.E., Nicoll, W.S., Blatch, G.L., Chapple, J.P., 2009. The ataxia protein sacsin is a

functional co-chaperone that protects against polyglutamine-expanded ataxin-1. Hum.

Mol. Genet. 18, 1556–1565. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddp067

Phillips, H.E., Tandon, A., Furue, R., Hood, R., Ummenhofer, C.C., Benthuysen, J.A., Menezes, V.,

Hu, S., Webber, B., Sanchez-Franks, A., Cherian, D., Shroyer, E., Feng, M., Wijesekera, H.,

Chatterjee, A., Yu, L., Hermes, J., Murtugudde, R., Tozuka, T., Su, D., Singh, A., Centurioni, L.,

Prakash, S., Wiggert, J., 2021. Progress in understanding of Indian Ocean circulation,

variability, air-sea exchange, and impacts on biogeochemistry. Ocean Sci. 17, 1677–1751.

https://doi.org/10.5194/os-17-1677-2021

Pittman, S., Yates, K., Bouchet, P., Alvarez-Berastegui, D., Andréfouët, S., Bell, S., Berkström, C.,

Boström, C., Brown, C., Connolly, R., Devillers, R., Eggleston, D., Gilby, B., Gullström, M.,

Halpern, B., Hidalgo, M., Holstein, D., Hovel, K., Huettmann, F., Jackson, E., James, W.,

Kellner, J., Kot, C., Lecours, V., Lepczyk, C., Nagelkerken, I., Nelson, J., Olds, A., Santos, R.,

Scales, K., Schneider, D., Schilling, H., Simenstad, C., Suthers, I., Treml, E., Wedding, L.,

Yates, P., Young, M., 2021. Seascape ecology: Identifying research priorities for an

emerging ocean sustainability science. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 663, 1–29.

https://doi.org/10.3354/meps13661

Pokrovac, I., Pezer, Ž., 2022. Recent advances and current challenges in population genomics of

structural variation in animals and plants. Front. Genet. 13, 1–12.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2022.1060898

Poquita-Du, R.C., Huang, D., Todd, P.A., 2024. Genome-wide analysis to uncover how

Pocillopora acuta survives the challenging intertidal environment. Sci. Rep. 14, 1–11.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-59268-0

Prata, K.E., Bongaerts, P., Dwyer, J.M., Ishida, H., Howitt, S.M., Hereward, J.P., Crandall, E.D.,

Riginos, C., 2024. Some reef-building corals only disperse metres per generation. Proc. R.

Soc. B Biol. Sci. 291. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2023.1988

Privé, F., 2021. bigutilsr: Utility functions for large-scale data. R package version 0.3.4.

Privé, F., Luu, K., Vilhjálmsson, B.J., Blum, M.G.B., Rosenberg, M., 2020. Performing highly

efficient genome scans for local adaptation with R Package pcadapt Version 4. Mol. Biol.

Evol. 37, 2153–2154. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msaa053

Quigley, K.M., Bay, L.K., van Oppen, M.J.H., 2020. Genome-wide SNP analysis reveals an increase

in adaptive genetic variation through selective breeding of coral. Mol. Ecol. 29, 2176–

2188. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15482

R Core Team, 2024. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.

Rellstab, C., Gugerli, F., Eckert, A.J., Hancock, A.M., Holderegger, R., 2015. A practical guide to

environmental association analysis in landscape genomics. Mol. Ecol. 24, 4348–4370.

https://doi.org/doi:10.1111/mec.13322

Richier, S., Sabourault, C., Courtiade, J., Zucchini, N., Allemand, D., Furla, P., 2006. Oxidative

stress and apoptotic events during thermal stress in the symbiotic sea anemone,

Anemonia viridis. FEBS J. 273, 4186–4198. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-

4658.2006.05414.x

Richmond, R.H., 1987. Energetic relationships and biogeographical differences among

fecundity, growth and reproduction in the reef coral Pocillopora damicornis. Bull. Mar. Sci.

41, 594–604.

Riginos, C., Crandall, E.D., Liggins, L., Bongaerts, P., Treml, E.A., 2016. Navigating the currents of

seascape genomics: How spatial analyses can augment population genomic studies. Curr.

Zool. 62, 581–601. https://doi.org/10.1093/cz/zow067

Riginos, C., Popovic, I., Meziere, Z., Oliver Garcia, V., Byrne, I., Howitt, S.M., Ishida, H., Bairos-

Novak, K.R., Humanes, A., Scharfenstein, H., Richards, T., Briggs, E.J., Clark, V., Lei, C., Khan,

M., Prata, K.E., 2024. Cryptic species and hybridisation in corals: challenges and

opportunities for conservation and restoration. Peer Community J.

https://doi.org/10.24072/pcjournal.492

Roff, G., Doropoulos, C., Rogers, A., Bozec, Y.M., Krueck, N.C., Aurellado, E., Priest, M., Birrell, C.,

Mumby, P.J., 2016. The Ecological Role of Sharks on Coral Reefs. Trends Ecol. Evol. 31,

395–407. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2016.02.014

Rose, N.H., Bay, R.A., Morikawa, M.K., Palumbi, S.R., 2018. Polygenic evolution drives species

divergence and climate adaptation in corals. Evolution (N. Y). 72, 82–94.

https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.13385

Sansaloni, C., Petroli, C., Jaccoud, D., Carling, J., Detering, F., Grattapaglia, D., Kilian, A., 2011.

Diversity Arrays Technology (DArT) and next-generation sequencing combined: genome-

wide, high throughput, highly informative genotyping for molecular breeding of

Eucalyptus. BMC Proc. 5, P54. https://doi.org/10.1186/1753-6561-5-S7-P54

Schmidt-Roach, S., Miller, K.J., Woolsey, E., Gerlach, G., Baird, A.H., 2012. Broadcast Spawning by

Pocillopora Species on the Great Barrier Reef. PLoS One 7, 1–7.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0050847

Schoepf, V., Stat, M., Falter, J.L., McCulloch, M.T., 2015. Limits to the thermal tolerance of corals

adapted to a highly fluctuating, naturally extreme temperature environment. Sci. Rep. 5,

1–14. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep17639

Selkoe, K.A., D'Aloia, C.C., Crandall, E.D., Iacchei, M., Liggins, L., Puritz, J.B., Von Der Heyden, S.,

Toonen, R.J., 2016. A decade of seascape genetics: Contributions to basic and applied

marine connectivity. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 554, 1–19. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps11792

Selmoni, O., Bay, L.K., Exposito-Alonso, M., Cleves, P.A., 2024. Finding genes and pathways that

underlie coral adaptation. Trends Genet. 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2024.01.003

Selmoni, O., Lecellier, G., Berteaux-Lecellier, V., Joost, S., 2023. The Reef Environment

Centralized InFormation System (RECIFS): An integrated geo-environmental database for

coral reef research and conservation. Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr. 32, 622–632.

https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.13657

Selmoni, O., Lecellier, G., Magalon, H., Vigliola, L., Benzoni, F., Peignon, C., Joost, S., Berteaux-

Lecellier, V., 2021. Seascape genomics reveals candidate molecular targets of heat stress

adaptation in three coral species. Mol. Ecol. 30, 1892–1906.

https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15857

Selmoni, O., Rochat, E., Lecellier, G., Berteaux-Lecellier, V., Joost, S., 2020a. Seascape genomics

as a new tool to empower coral reef conservation strategies: an example on north-western

Pacific *Acropora digitifera*. Evol. Appl. 13, 1923–1938. https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12944

Selmoni, O., Vajana, E., Guillaume, A.S., Rochat, E., Joost, S., 2020b. Sampling strategy

optimization to increase statistical power in landscape genomics: a simulation-based

approach. Mol. Ecol. Resour. 20, 154–169. https://doi.org/10.1101/603829

Severance, E.G., Karl, S.A., 2006. Contrasting population genetic structures of sympatric, mass-

spawning Caribbean corals. Mar. Biol. 150, 57–68. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-006-

0332-2

Shlesinger, T., van Woesik, R., 2023. Oceanic differences in coral-bleaching responses to marine

heatwaves. Sci. Total Environ. 871, 162113.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.162113

Simillion, C., Liechti, R., Lischer, H.E.L., Ioannidis, V., Bruggmann, R., 2017. Avoiding the pitfalls

of gene set enrichment analysis with SetRank. BMC Bioinformatics 18, 1–14.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-017-1571-6

Skirving, W., Marsh, B., De La Cour, J., Liu, G., Harris, A., Maturi, E., Geiger, E., Mark Eakin, C.,

2020. Coraltemp and the coral reef watch coral bleaching heat stress product suite version

3.1. Remote Sens. 12, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12233856

Smith, E.G., Hazzouri, K.M., Choi, J.Y., Delaney, P., Al-Kharafi, M., Howells, E.J., Aranda, M., Burt,

J.A., 2022. Signatures of selection underpinning rapid coral adaptation to the world's

warmest reefs. Sci. Adv. 8, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abl7287

Smith, H.A., Moya, A., Cantin, N.E., van Oppen, M.J.H., Torda, G., 2019. Observations of

simultaneous sperm release and larval planulation suggest reproductive assurance in the

coral Pocillopora acuta. Front. Mar. Sci. 6, 1–6.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00362

Snead, A.A., Tatarenkov, A., Avise, J.C., Taylor, D.S., Turner, B.J., Marson, K., Earley, R.L., 2023.

Out to sea: ocean currents and patterns of asymmetric gene flow in an intertidal fish

species. Front. Genet. 14, 1–16. https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2023.1206543

Souter, D., Planes, S., Wicquart, J., Logan, M., Obura, D., Staub, F., 2021. Status of coral reefs of

the world: 2020 report, Global Coral Reef Monitoring Network (GCRMN) and International

Coral Reef Initiative (ICRI). https://doi.org/10.59387/WOTJ9184

Spalding, M.D., Fox, H.E., Allen, G.R., Davidson, N., Ferdaña, Z.A., Finlayson, M., Halpern, B.S.,

Jorge, M.A., Lombana, A., Lourie, S.A., Martin, K.D., McManus, E., Molnar, J., Recchia, C.A.,

Robertson, J., 2007. Marine ecoregions of the world: A bioregionalization of coastal and

shelf areas. Bioscience 57, 573–583. https://doi.org/10.1641/B570707

Sproles, A.E., Oakley, C.A., Matthews, J.L., Peng, L., Owen, J.G., Grossman, A.R., Weis, V.M., Davy,

S.K., 2019. Proteomics quantifies protein expression changes in a model cnidarian

colonised by a thermally tolerant but suboptimal symbiont. ISME J. 13, 2334–2345.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-019-0437-5

Steane, D.A., Potts, B.M., McLean, E., Prober, S.M., Stock, W.D., Vaillancourt, R.E., Byrne, M., 2014.

Genome-wide scans detect adaptation to aridity in a widespread forest tree species. Mol.

Ecol. 23, 2500–2513. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.12751

Stefanoudis, P. V., Talma, S., Fassbender, N., Swanborn, D., Ochieng, C.N., Mearns, K., Komakoma,

J.D., Otwoma, L.M., Mbije, N.E., Osuka, K.E., Samoilys, M., Shah, N., Samaai, T., Trotzuk, E.,

Tuda, A., Zivane, F., Wagner, D., Woodall, L.C., 2023. Stakeholder-derived

recommendations and actions to support deep-reef conservation in the Western Indian

Ocean. Conserv. Lett. 16, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12924

Storey, J.D., Tibshirani, R., 2003. Statistical significance for genomewide studies. Proc. Natl.

Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 100, 9440–9445. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1530509100

Storfer, A., Patton, A., Fraik, A.K., 2018. Navigating the interface between landscape genetics

and landscape genomics. Front. Genet. 9. https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2018.00068

Sully, S., Hodgson, G., Woesik, R., 2022. Present and future bright and dark spots for coral reefs

through climate change. Glob. Chang. Biol. 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16083

Tabitha, S.N., Moses, K.S., Rajan, R., 2021. Observations of synchronized spawning, larval

survival and settlement in corals of the genus Acropora in Lakshadweep, India. Curr. Sci.

121, 133–140. https://doi.org/10.18520/cs/v121/i1/133-140

Thomas, L., Kennington, W.J., Evans, R.D., Kendrick, G.A., Stat, M., 2017. Restricted gene flow

and local adaptation highlight the vulnerability of high-latitude reefs to rapid

environmental change. Glob. Chang. Biol. 23, 2197–2205.

https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13639

Thomas, L., Underwood, J.N., Rose, N.H., Fuller, Z.L., Richards, Z.T., Dugal, L., Grimaldi, C.M.,

Cooke, I.R., Palumbi, S.R., Gilmour, J.P., 2022. Spatially varying selection between habitats

drives physiological shifts and local adaptation in a broadcast spawning coral on a remote

atoll in Western Australia. Sci. Adv. 9185, 1–12.

Thurber, R.V., Willner-Hall, D., Rodriguez-Mueller, B., Desnues, C., Edwards, R.A., Angly, F.,

Dinsdale, E., Kelly, L., Rohwer, F., 2009. Metagenomic analysis of stressed coral holobionts.

Environ. Microbiol. 11, 2148–2163. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2009.01935.x

van Etten, J., 2017. R Package gdistance: Distances and Routes on Geographical Grids. J. Stat.

Softw. 76, 1–21. https://doi.org/0.18637/jss.v076.i13

van Hooidonk, R., Maynard, J., Tamelander, J., Gove, J., Ahmadia, G., Raymundo, L., Williams, G.,

Heron, S.F., Planes, S., 2016. Local-scale projections of coral reef futures and implications

of the Paris Agreement. Sci. Rep. 6, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep39666

Van Oppen, M.J.H., Oliver, J.K., Putnam, H.M., Gates, R.D., 2015. Building coral reef resilience

through assisted evolution. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 112, 2307–2313.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1422301112

van Woesik, R., Kratochwill, C., 2022. A global coral-bleaching database, 1980–2020. Sci. Data 9,

1–7. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-022-01121-y

Villastrigo, A., Orenes-Salazar, V., García-Meseguer, A.J., Mirón-Gatón, J.M., Mourre, B., Millán, A.,

Velasco, J., 2023. Oceanic currents maintain the genetic structure of non-marine coastal

taxa in the western Mediterranean Sea. npj Biodivers. 2, 1–9.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s44185-023-00028-0

Virgen-Urcelay, A., Donner, S.D., 2023. Increase in the extent of mass coral bleaching over the

past half-century, based on an updated global database. PLoS One 18, 1–17.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0281719

Vogt-Vincent, N.S., Burt, A.J., van der Ven, R.M., Johnson, H.L., 2024. Coral reef potential

connectivity in the southwest Indian Ocean. Coral Reefs 43, 1037–1051.

1237 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00338-024-02521-9

1238 Voolstra, C.R., Hume, B.C.C., Armstrong, E.J., Mitushasi, G., Porro, B., Oury, N., Agostini, S.,

1239 Boissin, E., Poulain, J., Carradec, Q., Paz-García, D.A., Zoccola, D., Magalon, H., Moulin, C.,

1240 Bourdin, G., Iwankow, G., Romac, S., Banaigs, B., Boss, E., Bowler, C., de Vargas, C., Douville,

1241 E., Flores, M., Furla, P., Galand, P.E., Gilson, E., Lombard, F., Pesant, S., Reynaud, S., Sullivan,

1242 M.B., Sunagawa, S., Thomas, O.P., Troublé, R., Thurber, R.V., Wincker, P., Planes, S.,

1243 Allemand, D., Forcioli, D., 2023. Disparate genetic divergence patterns in three corals

1244 across a pan-Pacific environmental gradient highlight species-specific adaptation. npj

1245 Biodivers. 2, 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1038/s44185-023-00020-8

1246 Ward, S., 1992. Evidence for broadcast spawning as well as brooding in the scleractinian coral

1247 *Pocillopora damicornis*. Mar. Biol. 112, 641–646. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00346182

1248 Weersing, K., Toonen, R.J., 2009. Population genetics, larval dispersal, and connectivity in

1249 marine systems. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 393, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps08287

1250 Wellenreuther, M., Mérot, C., Berdan, E., Bernatchez, L., 2019. Going beyond SNPs: the role of

1251 structural genomic variants in adaptive evolution and species diversification. Mol. Ecol.

1252 28, 1203–1209. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15066

1253 White, C., Selkoe, K.A., Watson, J., Siegel, D.A., Zacherl, D.C., Toonen, R.J., 2010. Ocean currents

1254 help explain population genetic structure. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 277, 1685–1694.

1255 https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2009.2214

1256 Wijayanti, D.P., Indrayanti, E., Wirasatriya, A., Haryanto, A., Haryanti, D., Sembiring, A.,

1257 Fajrianzah, T.A., Bhagooli, R., 2019. Reproductive seasonality of coral assemblages in the

1258 Karimunjawa Archipelago, Indonesia. Front. Mar. Sci. 6, 1–15.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2019.00195

Yates, K.L., Bouchet, P.J., Caley, M.J., Mengersen, K., Randin, C.F., Parnell, S., Fielding, A.H.,

Bamford, A.J., Ban, S., Barbosa, A.M., Dormann, C.F., Elith, J., Embling, C.B., Ervin, G.N.,

Fisher, R., Gould, S., Graf, R.F., Gregr, E.J., Halpin, P.N., Heikkinen, R.K., Heinänen, S., Jones,

A.R., Krishnakumar, P.K., Lauria, V., Lozano-Montes, H., Mannocci, L., Mellin, C., Mesgaran,

M.B., Moreno-Amat, E., Mormede, S., Novaczek, E., Oppel, S., Ortuño Crespo, G., Peterson,

A.T., Rapacciuolo, G., Roberts, J.J., Ross, R.E., Scales, K.L., Schoeman, D., Snelgrove, P.,

Sundblad, G., Thuiller, W., Torres, L.G., Verbruggen, H., Wang, L., Wenger, S., Whittingham,

M.J., Zharikov, Y., Zurell, D., Sequeira, A.M.M., 2018. Outstanding challenges in the

transferability of ecological models. Trends Ecol. Evol. 33, 790–802.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2018.08.001

Yuen, B., Stuart, C.E., Pittman, S.J., Green, S.J., Henderson, L.M., Wedding, L.M., 2023. Habitat

suitability models of elkhorn coral provide ecological insight to support coral reef

restoration. Restor. Ecol. 31, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1111/rec.13953

Zhang, X.X., Liu, B.G., Li, Y., Liu, Y., He, Y.X., Qian, Z.H., Li, J.X., 2019. Landscape genetics reveals

that adaptive genetic divergence in *Pinus bungeana* (Pinaceae) is driven by environmental

variables relating to ecological habitats. BMC Evol. Biol. 19, 1–13.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s12862-019-1489-x

1277

1278

1279
Fig. 1. a) Map of the study area in the West Indian Ocean (WIO; map insert, top right) indicating

the 15 sampling sites distributed around the Seychelles (Mahé: dark red, Praslin: red), and two

of the Mascarene Islands of Mauritius (blue) and Rodrigues (green). Names and site identifiers

(S#) used in this study are provided for each reef. The number of genotyped individuals retained

after quality filtering are indicated at each sampling site per species, with values first for

Acropora muricata then *Pocillopora damicornis*. **b)** Environmental characterisation of the study

reefs using 10 uncorrelated environmental variables (**Table 1**), where abbreviations are as

follows: sSST = standard deviation of sea surface temperature; mDHW = mean degree heating

week; HPO = human population density.

1289

1290

Fig. **2.** Population structure analyses of **(A)** *A. muricata* and **(B)** *P. damicornis*, assessed by a

principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) and sparse nonnegative matrix factorization (sNMF), with

K=3 and *K*=4 groups identified for each species respectively in both methods. **i)** Individual

scores on PCoA axes 1 and 2 grouped by geographic location (shapes indicate reef and colours

indicate subregion; left) and hierarchical cluster grouping of PCoA results (coloured by assigned

grouping; right). **ii)** Maps indicating the proportion of individuals at each sampled reef allocated

to *K* ancestral populations using sNMF admixture models, where pie chart size indicates the

relative number of sampled individuals at each reef and coloured boxes indicate sub-regions. **iii)**

Proportion of sampled individuals (columns) allocated to *K* ancestral populations using sNMF

admixture models, with individuals grouped by sub-region. Sub region abbreviations: PRA =

Praslin, MAH = Mahé, IPL = Ile Plate, MW = West Mauritius, ME = East Mauritius, ROD =

Rodrigues.

(A)

(B)

1304

Fig. 3. Adaptive potential indices and predictive sea currents for **(A)** *A. muricata* and **(B)** *P.*

damicornis. **i)** Connectivity model performance boxplots, measured as R^2, for models describing

the relationship between $\log_{10}$ of the genetic distance (F_{ST}) and $\log_{10}$ of the geographic distance,

where boxplots show the spread of R^2 values of connectivity models built with leave-one-reef-out

jackknife analyses, assessing the Euclidean geographic distance ('geo') and sea current averaged

over each month (01–12) and the year (13). **ii)** $\log_{10}$-$\log_{10}$ relationship between the genetic

distance (F_{ST}) and sea distance obtained from the strongest connectivity predictor (December

sea currents for *A. muricata* in **(A)**; annual sea currents for *P. damicornis* in **(B)**). The blue dotted

lines indicate the sea distance that corresponds to F_{ST}=0.1. **iii)** Adaptive Potential Index (API in

km²) across the whole WIO region, calculated by combining Inbound Connectivity and Adaptive

Scores. Larger API indicates more probability of hosting adaptive genotypes up-current of

connected reefs. Arrows indicate sea current velocity of the strongest connectivity predictor.

(A)

(B)

Fig. 4. Genotype–environment association results for **(A)** *A. muricata* and **(B)** *P. damicornis*,

using multivariate partial RDA (redundancy analyses) at the reef-level. **i)** RDA biplots projecting

loci (dots) and environmental variables (arrows, where sSST is the standard deviation of sea

surface temperature and mDHW is the mean of the degree heating weeks). Locus scores are

rescaled by a factor of 12 to improve visibility. **ii)** Manhattan plots with the distribution of

−log10(q-values) in the genomes. For the first two panels, loci that were identified as outliers (q-

value<0.05) are coloured in orange, where outlier loci found within ±10kbp of significantly

enriched genes (determined using SetRank) are labelled as diamonds and colour-coded by

protein function. **iii)** Tables summarising the significantly enriched gene ontology (GO) terms

and their associated protein names and descriptions, alongside SetRank results and the

associated genes. Protein names and GO terms are colour coded to match panels **i)** and **ii)**.

(A)

(B)

1329

1330 **Table 1.** Summary of the uncorrelated environmental variables used to characterise the

1331 seascape of 15 reefs sampled for this research.

Variable	Acronym	Source	Spatial resolution	Temporal resolution
Depth	DEP	RECIFS	5x5 km	n/a
Degree Heating Week (mean)	mDHW	RECIFS	5x5 km	Monthly max from 1985–2021
Sea Surface Temperature (standard deviation)	sSST	RECIFS	5x5 km	Monthly max from 1985–2021
Density of cropland	CROP	RECIFS	5x5 km	Measured in 2015–2019
Density of land	LAND	RECIFS	5x5 km	n/a
Human population along coastline	HPOP	RECIFS	5x5 km	Measured in 2000, 2005, 2010, 2015, 2020
Boat detection	BOAT	RECIFS	5x5 km	Measured in 2017-2021
Fraction of reef slope in 250m around reef	ReefSlope	ACA	10x10 m	n/a
Fraction of reef flat in 250m around reef	ReefFlat	ACA	10x10 m	n/a
Fraction of back reef in 250m around reef	BackReef	ACA	10x10 m	n/a

1332

 Table 2. Pairwise F_{ST} between study sites for *A. muricata* (lower triangle) and *P. damicornis* (upper triangle) based on neutral SNP genotype

1334 matrices. Sites are grouped and coloured by region and subregion. F_{ST} >0.20 are highlighted in yellow.

Pocillopora damicornis

			Seychelles						Mauritius						Rodrigues		
			PRA			MAH			IPL	MW			ME		ROD		
			S06	S05	S07	S03	S04	S09	S12	S01	S11	S13	S02	S10	S14	S15	S16
Seychelles	PRA	S06		0.02	0.11	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.15	0.14	0.12	0.17	0.15	0.16	0.13	0.15	0.16
		S05	–		0.06	0.05	0.02	0.07	0.12	0.10	0.10	0.20	0.17	0.17	0.11	0.14	0.14
		S07	–	0.00		0.16	0.09	0.15	0.08	0.05	0.10	0.23	0.21	0.20	0.07	0.13	0.10
	MAH	S03	–	0.00	0.01		0.05	0.10	0.20	0.19	0.17	0.23	0.20	0.21	0.18	0.21	0.22
		S04	–	0.00	0.01	0.00		0.08	0.14	0.12	0.12	0.20	0.17	0.17	0.11	0.14	0.14
		S09	–	0.00	-0.02	0.00	0.00		0.15	0.15	0.13	0.20	0.17	0.18	0.14	0.17	0.19
Mauritius	IPL	S12	–	0.12	0.09	0.14	0.15	0.09		-0.01	0.01	0.15	0.14	0.13	0.03	0.07	0.06
	MW	S01	–	0.18	0.15	0.20	0.21	0.15	0.00		0.04	0.18	0.16	0.16	0.04	0.08	0.06
		S11	–	0.17	0.15	0.19	0.21	0.14	0.00	0.00		0.03	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.08
		S13	–	0.16	0.13	0.18	0.20	0.12	-0.01	0.00	0.00		0.03	0.02	0.14	0.13	0.19
	ME	S02	–	0.17	0.16	0.19	0.21	0.14	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.01	0.10	0.11	0.16
		S10	–	0.17	0.14	0.18	0.20	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.01	0.00		0.12	0.12	0.17
Rodrigues	ROD	S14	–	0.19	0.17	0.19	0.22	0.15	0.10	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.10		0.01	-0.01
		S15	–	0.21	0.20	0.21	0.24	0.17	0.13	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.13	0.13	0.00		0.04
		S16	–	0.20	0.19	0.21	0.23	0.16	0.11	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.00	0.00	

Acropora muricata

Sub-region abbreviations: PRA = Praslin, MAH = Mahé, IPL = Ile Plate, MW = West Mauritius, ME = East Mauritius, ROD = Rodrigues

Table 3. Adaptive potentials and connectivity metrics for *A. muricata* and *P. damicornis* at 15 coral reefs across the West Indian Ocean. The

Adaptive Score (AS) indicates the relative level of adaptation to thermal stressors (sSST and mDHW) from 0 to 1 (low to high adaptive

values), as determined by a GEA analysis. Inbound and Outbound Connectivity indices (ICI and OCI, respectively) indicate the respective

area (in km^2) of reef up-current and down-current that fall within a sea distance corresponding to genetic connectivity of $F_{ST}<0.1$. The

Adaptive Potential Index (API) indicates the area (in km^2) of reefs upstream (ICI) that contain adapted corals (AS>0.8). See **Supp Fig. S5**

for spatial representations.

Reef information					*A. muricata*				*P. damicornis*			
Code	Region	Reef Name	LON	LAT	AS	ICI	OCI	API	AS	ICI	OCI	API
S05	SEY	Cousine	55.651	-4.351	0.122	1549.0	935.5	41.1	0.134	850.9	869.4	0.0
S06	SEY	Curieuse	55.740	-4.284	–	–	–	–	0.133	850.9	850.4	0.0
S07	SEY	Coco	55.853	-4.369	0.119	1549.0	935.5	41.1	0.132	811.3	865.5	0.0
S03	SEY	Ile Au Cerf	55.491	-4.635	0.154	1549.0	1342.5	41.1	0.163	869.4	869.4	0.0
S04	SEY	Baie Ternay	55.377	-4.640	0.156	1549.0	1386.7	41.1	0.164	850.9	887.0	0.0
S09	SEY	Anse La Mouche	55.474	-4.739	0.152	1549.0	1386.7	41.1	0.159	869.4	887.0	0.0
S12	MAU	Ile Plate	57.668	-19.881	0.576	697.8	580.3	181.2	0.550	685.6	299.4	102.3

S01	MAU	St Felix	57.465	-20.512	0.604	703.8	509.4	187.2	0.576	680.5	299.4	102.3
S11	MAU	Balaclava	57.510	-20.077	0.438	703.8	494.4	187.2	0.407	685.6	299.8	102.3
S13	MAU	Flic Flac	57.365	-20.277	0.499	703.8	456.5	187.2	0.469	685.6	300.4	102.3
S02	MAU	Belle Mare	57.781	-20.192	0.739	703.8	517.1	187.2	0.716	678.2	299.4	102.3
S10	MAU	Gd Bourg Melville	57.687	-20.010	0.598	697.8	537.7	181.2	0.572	685.6	299.4	102.3
S14	ROD	SEMPA	63.479	-19.739	0.797	150.0	698.8	135.9	0.779	150.0	449.4	93.6
S15	ROD	Gd Bassin Bretagne	63.340	-19.659	0.819	150.0	698.8	135.9	0.801	150.0	449.4	93.6
S16	ROD	80 Brisants	63.342	-19.833	0.828	150.0	697.9	135.9	0.810	150.0	449.4	93.6

1342   **Region abbreviations:** SEY = Seychelles, MAU = Mauritius, ROD = Rodrigues.