Cis*-regulation of gene expression between sexes and life stages in *Rumex hastatulus

Meng Yuan[1,†], Bianca M. Sacchi[1], Baharul I. Choudhury[1,2], Spencer C. H. Barrett[1], John R.

Stinchcombe[1,*], Stephen I. Wright[1,*]

[1]Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, CA

[2]Department of Biology, Queen's University, Kingston, ON, Canada

*These authors made equal contributions.

†Corresponding author: E-mail: my.yuan@mail.utoronto.ca

Abstract

The potential for conflict between sexes and life stages while sharing predominantly the same

genome has important evolutionary consequences. In dioecious flowering plants, genes

beneficial for the haploid pollen stage may reduce the fitness of diploid offspring of both males

and females. Such antagonistic pleiotropy between sexes and life stages can in some cases

maintain genetic variation. However, we still lack understanding of the extent of shared genetic

architecture for gene expression between the sexes or life stages in plants, a key component for

predicting the scope for conflict. We performed expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL)

mapping to test if standing variation affects sexes and life stages differently using a population

sample of the dioecious outcrossing plant *Rumex hastatulus*. We compared effect sizes and allele

frequencies of *cis*-eQTLs in male and female leaf tissues and pollen, and tested for genotype-by-

sex interactions for gene expression. We found stronger shared genetic architecture between

sexes than between the life stages. In addition, comparisons of the site frequency spectra for *cis*-

eQTLs to a null distribution found no evidence for a genome-wide pattern consistent with

purifying selection. Our results suggest that any conflict over optimal gene expression between

pollen and leaves may be easily resolved due to their distinct genetic architectures, whereas there

is more scope for conflict between the sexes in gene expression for leaves. Our study highlights

the use of eQTL mapping to infer the scope of shared genetic architecture and for investigating

the evolution of conflict between sexes and life stages in dioecious species.

Keywords: *cis*-regulatory variation, eQTL, selective pressure, antagonistic pleiotropy

Author Summary

Conflict may arise when different sexes or life stages have contrasting 'evolutionary interests'.

The degree of shared genetic basis between sexes or life stages in a phenotypic trait such as gene

expression can indicate whether there is the scope for ongoing conflict. We identified cis-

regulatory variation using expression quantitative loci (eQTL) mapping in leaf and pollen tissues

of a dioecious plant, and tested the scope of conflict between sexes and life stages. We found

more shared eQTLs and stronger positive correlation in their effects on expression between sexes

than life stages, suggesting more shared genetic basis and higher potential for ongoing conflict

between sexes than life stages. In addition, we tested selective pressures on cis-regulatory

variation using allele frequencies and found similar patterns of selective pressures on eQTLs

between sexes and life stages with an overabundance of rare alleles. By comparing to a null

distribution, the excessive rare alleles in eQTLs did not suggest a genome-wide signal of

purifying selection.

Introduction

Evolutionary conflict may arise when different sexes or life stages of an organism have different

fitness optima while sharing a common genome [1–4]. For example, sexual conflict occurs when

males and females have different fitness optima involving reproduction and this can drive sexual

dimorphism in phenotypic traits (reviewed in [2]). In plants, the diploid and haploid life stages

are distinct in their morphology, development, and genetics despite sharing extensive overlap in

gene expression, creating potential for genetic trade-offs [3,5]. Both sexual and life-stage conflict

have been suggested to generate balancing selection and stable genetic variation under some

conditions [6,7]. However, we lack comprehensive understanding of the traits and genetic

architecture underlying the conflict between sexes or life stages. Here, we use expression

quantitative trait locus (eQTL) mapping to identify genome-wide *cis*-regulatory variation and

investigate the extent of shared genetic architecture between sexes and life stages and the scope

for sexual and life-stage conflict in a dioecious plant species.

Current empirical examples of life-stage conflict often involve both sexes (i.e., a conflict both

between the sexes and life stages). For example, alleles beneficial for haploid gametophytic

competition were shown to reduce the fitness of female diploid offspring in the hermaphroditic

annuals *Clarkia* [8] and *Collinsia* [9]. In *C. heterophylla*, pollen donors that induce early stigma

receptivity, thus increasing paternity, reduced seed set [9–11]. However, sexual selection and

sexual conflict in sessile plants involving one or both life stages still remain understudied

compared to the extensive evidence from numerous animal species [12,13]. The diverse

reproductive systems in plants provide a unique opportunity to study sexual conflict, from

conflict between female and male function in hermaphrodites [14] to sexual dimorphisms in

dioecious species and the resolution of intralocus sexual conflict [15]. Additionally, because of

the considerable scope for both sexual and life-stage conflict in plants, whether there is more

scope for one type of conflict than the other, or whether one type of conflict is more resolved

than the other, remains unanswered.

The scope for conflict will depend on the degree of shared genetic architecture between sexes or

life stages. For example, high genetic correlation in a trait between sexes may constrain the

responses to antagonistic selection and the evolution of sexual dimorphism [16–18]. Gene

expression is an important molecular trait underlying phenotypic variation, and sexual

dimorphism in gene expression is likely a key driver of the phenotypic divergence between sexes

[19]. Genetic covariances between sexes have been inferred through gene expression studies in

Drosophila melanogaster suggesting considerable scope for ongoing conflict [20–22]. Similarly,

whether genetic correlations in traits across life stages commonly constrain their independent

evolution under opposing selection is an important unresolved question.

Expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL) mapping is useful for identifying loci underlying

regulatory variation and testing the genome-wide importance of selection [23–25]. *Cis*-

regulatory variation has a relatively large effect on gene expression, making it easier to map *cis*-

eQTLs in association studies [26,27]. Selective pressure on eQTLs can be inferred from their

distribution of allele frequencies; an excess of rare alleles will indicate purifying selection that

removes deleterious mutations, whereas an excess of common alleles indicates balancing

selection maintaining genetic variation. In the leaf tissues of *Capsella grandiflora*, trans-eQTLs

were found to be under stronger purifying selection than *cis*-eQTLs [25,28]. In contrast, in floral

tissues of *Mimulus guttatus*, trans-eQTLs showed signatures of purifying selection whereas *cis*-

eQTLs showed signatures of balancing selection based on their allele frequencies [29]. These

studies from two species suggest eQTLs can act differently in different contexts, suggesting the

possibility of differential selection on genes expressed in different sexes or life stages.

In addition to testing the genome-wide importance of purifying versus balancing selection on

regulatory variation, eQTLs can be used to test whether standing variation affects sexes or life

stages concordantly. A study on *cis*-eQTLs in 44 human tissues between sexes found that despite

a moderate number of genes showing sex-biased expression, *cis*-eQTLs showing Genotype ×

Sex interaction were much rarer [30]. In *Drosophila melanogaster* somatic tissues, more *cis*-

regulatory variation was found in unbiased and moderately biased than strongly biased genes

between sexes. This finding suggested that the former genes experience potential ongoing sexual

conflict in regulatory variation [31,32]. Despite the importance of understanding the scope of

genome-wide conflict, we still lack tests on the extent of shared genetic architecture between

sexes or life stages, especially in dioecious plant species where both types of conflict in one

system can be compared.

In this study, we tested *cis*-regulation in gene expression through *cis*-eQTL mapping in the

dioecious, wind-pollinated and obligately outcrossing annual *Rumex hastatulus* (Polygonaceae).

Several observations in *R. hastatulus* indicate the potential for antagonism between sex and life

stages, especially during pollen competition. Female biased sex ratios are observed in *R.*

hastatulus, likely due to greater competitive ability of female-determining pollen following Y-

degeneration [33,34], and gametophytic selection is suggested to play a role in sex chromosome

evolution in this species [35–37]. Sexual dimorphism related to wind pollination in both

vegetative and reproductive traits occur in *R. hastatulus*, e.g., males are taller and produce more

inflorescences during peak flowering, presumably to maximize access to female flowers [31].

The potential conflict between sexes and life stages in *R. hastatulus* makes it a promising

experimental system to test the direction of selection on gene expression between sexes and life

stages and also to compare the scopes for sexual versus life-stage conflict.

We tested differential gene expression between sexes and life stages, and mapped *cis*-eQTLs

separately in leaf and pollen tissues to compare their effect sizes and allele frequencies. We

found significantly fewer differentially expressed genes between sexes than between life stages,

and most of the highly sex-biased genes were on the sex chromosomes. There was a greater

overlap in eGenes and eQTLs between sexes than life stages. Effect sizes of eQTLs were

positively correlated between sexes and life stages, with a much stronger correlation between

sexes, indicating more shared genetic architecture between sexes. Consistent with this result, we

found limited Genotype × Sex interaction in gene expression. There were a large number of

eQTLs driving the divergent expression between life stages. Lastly, we found similar allele

frequency distributions of eQTLs among tissues, suggesting similar selective pressures in eQTLs

between sexes and life stages but no excess of rare alleles among eQTLs in any tissues when

compared to a null distribution.

Results

Differential expression between sexes and life stages

Using leaf tissue to represent the diploid stage and pollen the haploid stage, we performed

differential gene expression analyses to identify sex-biased genes in leaves and life stage-biased

genes between pollen and male leaf tissues (S2 Table). Among the 37,659 annotated genes

across the genome, we first filtered for genes with evidence of expression in at least one of the

two tissues being compared, resulting in 19,494 genes in the between-sex comparison and 21,337

genes in the between-life-stage comparison. In a principal component analysis (PCA) of RNA

read counts, pollen and male leaf samples were separated by PC1 that explained 99% variance

both before and after excluding sex-specific regions on sex chromosomes (S1 Fig). Male and

female leaf samples were separated by PC1 which explained 52% of the variance when sex-

specific regions were included but were not separated based on autosomal and pseudoautosomal

(PAR) genes (S1 Fig). Consistent with the PCA results, there were over 10-fold more

differentially expressed (DE) genes between life stages (16,649) than between sexes (1,496)

(adjusted $p < 0.05$, fold change > 2, S2 Table).

We tested for enrichment of DE genes on sex chromosomes and the overlap between sex-biased

and life stage-biased genes. Sex-biased genes were highly enriched on sex chromosomes (S2

Table), with 378 out of 405 female-biased genes on the X-specific regions and 1,026 out of 1,091

male-biased genes on the Y-specific regions (adjusted $p < 0.05$, fold change > 2). Among 6,702

pollen-biased genes, there was an excess of over-expression of these genes in male leaf

compared to female leaf (30 on autosomes and PAR, 1 on X, 269 on Y) tissue compared to

female-biased genes (7 on autosomes and PAR, 63 on X, 3 on Y) (Fisher's exact test, $p =$

0.0028). Although it was a relatively small subset of pollen-based genes, the larger overlap

between male leaf-biased and pollen-biased genes was mostly driven by the Y-specific regions.

This finding implies that selection for higher gametophytic expression in pollen could have
pleiotropic effects on expression in male leaves (and *vice versa*), which could lead to
antagonistic or synergistic pleiotropy for gene expression depending on the direction of selection
on expression between life stages.

A previous study in two *Rumex* species found an enrichment of pollen-biased genes on sex
chromosomes relative to autosomes, suggesting a role of male gametophytic selection in Y
chromosome evolution [37]. With a new PacBio genome assembly containing X and Y phased
assemblies [38], we attempted to replicate these results with our gene expression data in *R.*
hastatulus. In accord with the earlier results, we found a significant enrichment of pollen-biased
genes on the Y chromosome compared to the autosomes or the X chromosome (S2 Fig, Fisher's
exact test, $p = 0.007$ for autosomes, $p = 0.001$ for X) specifically for highly pollen-biased genes
(fold change > 4). Among moderately pollen-biased genes (fold change > 2), we found a
significant enrichment of pollen-biased genes on the Y chromosome only when compared to the
X chromosome (S2 Fig, Fisher's exact test, $p = 0.031$ for X, $p > 0.1$ for autosomes).

eQTLs between sexes and life stages
To test whether *cis*-eQTLs affect expression concordantly between sexes or life stages, we
performed *cis*-eQTL mapping separately in male leaf, female leaf and pollen. We obtained
4,509,904 and 3,288,995 SNPs on autosomes from 74 female and 69 male leaf DNA samples,
respectively, after removing variants with low minor allele frequency or strong Hardy–Weinberg
deviations. Based on Tracy-Widom tests, we found 5 and 6 significant PCs for female and male
samples explaining 6.53% and 7.7% of genetic variation, respectively (TW statistic ≥ 1.068, $p \leq$
0.0441 for females; TW statistic ≥ 2.288, $p \leq 0.00634$ for males); we included the significant
PCs as covariates in eQTL mapping.

We identified *cis*-eQTLs and genes whose expression was influenced by eQTLs ("eGenes") in
male leaf, female leaf and pollen (Table 1, S3 Fig). We found more shared eGenes and eQTLs
between male and female leaf than between male leaf and pollen (**Fig 1a-b**). The number of
eQTLs per eGene ranged from 1 to 126 in pollen, 1 to 170 in male leaf, and 1 to 268 in female
leaf, with a median of 2 eQTLs per eGene in both male leaf and pollen, and 3 in female leaf. We
found eQTLs were located closer to their associated eGenes than the nearby SNPs tested in all

tissues (median distance to the transcription start site: 7718 - 7893 bp for eQTLs vs. 8982 - 9254

bp for nearby SNPs). There were similar numbers of eQTLs upstream and downstream of the

transcription start site (S4 Fig). We found a strong negative correlation between minor allele

frequencies and effect sizes of eQTLs in all tissues when selecting the most significant eQTL

(top eQTL) per eGene (Spearman correlation, r = -0.60 ~ -0.65, $p < 10^{-16}$) and when selecting a

random eQTL per eGene (r = -0.71 ~ -0.75, $p < 10^{-16}$) (S5 Fig). There was a weak but significant

negative correlation between effect sizes of eQTLs and their distance to the transcription start

site in all tissues when selecting the top eQTL (Spearman correlation, r = -0.04 ~ -0.09, $p <$

0.041) and also when selecting a random eQTL (r = -0.06 ~ -0.11, $p < 0.001$).

Table 1. eQTL mapping in male leaf, female leaf and pollen on autosomes. FDR = 0.1.

	Number of samples	Number of phenotypes tested	Number of nearby SNPs tested	Number of eGenes	Number of eQTLs	Number of eQTLs affecting multiple genes	Number of secondary eQTLs
Male leaf	69	14,777	1,272,993	2,421	16,674	486	367
Female leaf	74	14,564	1,687,298	3,442	34,238	905	722
Pollen	69	13,785	1,182,102	1,475	9,456	201	159

Fig 1. Venn diagrams of eGenes (a) and eQTLs (b) between sexes and life stages on

autosomes of *Rumex hastatulus*. FDR = 0.1.

In addition to the primary eQTLs in each tissue, for each eGene we examined the independence

of its eQTLs and identified secondary eQTLs (Table 1, see Methods). Secondary eQTLs were

considered as conditionally independent after accounting for the effect of the primary eQTL of

each eGene. We found primary eQTLs were significantly closer to the transcription start site

than secondary eQTLs in female leaf and male leaf tissue (t-test, p = 0.02, 0.009, 0.32 for female

leaf, male leaf, pollen, respectively). There were 40 - 43% of secondary eQTLs that had opposite

effects compared to the primary eQTL of the eGene, consisting of 0.7 - 0.9 % of all eQTLs in

each tissue (158 for male leaf, 291 for female leaf, 63 for pollen). The minor allele frequencies of

primary and secondary eQTLs were not significantly different (Mann-Whitney U-test, p = 0.12,

0.37, 0.22 for male leaf, female leaf, pollen, respectively).

We performed gene ontology enrichment of eGenes in each tissue and examined whether they

showed transcriptional or protein biosynthesis functions. Different tissues showed different

patterns of functional enrichment (S3 Table). For example, female leaf tissue showed enrichment

for protein metabolic process (GO:0019538) whereas pollen showed enrichment for mRNA

metabolic process (GO:0016071) and negative regulation of gene expression (GO:0010629).

Additionally, protein deubiquitination (GO:0016579) was enriched in both male leaf and pollen,

and regulation of RNA metabolic process (GO:0051252) was enriched in female leaf and pollen.

Shared genetic basis between sexes and life stages

To investigate the extent of shared genetic architecture in expression between sexes and life

stages, we first estimated the correlation in expression level across genes between sexes and life

stages. For comparing sexes, we estimated the correlation in expression between male and

female siblings, which is a phenotypic approximation of the genetic correlation between sexes

(r_{mf}). For comparing life stages, this represents the phenotypic correlation between traits, which

reflects both environmental and genetic variances. We found expression levels were more

positively correlated between sexes than life stages based on all genes and eGenes (**Fig 2a-b**,

Mann-Whitney U-test, $p < 10^{-16}$ in both comparisons). Even though correlations between life

stages involve the same individual (as opposed to siblings of the opposite sex, for comparing the

sexes), the stronger correlation in expression between sexes, suggests potentially more shared

genetic basis between sexes than life stages.

Fig 2. Distribution of Pearson correlation coefficients in gene expression between sexes or
life stages in all genes (a) and in eGenes (b) in *Rumex hastatulus*. The dashed line represents
the median of each group: 0.093 (Sex) 0.27 (Life-stage) in a, and 0.14 (Sex) 0.32 (Life-stage) in
b. Numbers of genes: 14992 (Sex) and 16411 (Life-stage) in a, 4493 (Sex) and 3469 (Life-stage)
in b.

Next, we tested whether *cis*-regulatory variation had concordant or discordant effects in gene
expression between sexes and life stages. We filtered for nearby SNPs tested in both sexes or life
stages that were the top eQTL for the eGene in at least one sex or life stage, resulting in 2,420
eQTLs in the sex comparison and 3,077 eQTLs in the life-stage comparison. The majority of
eQTLs showed concordant effects between both sexes and life stages, with their effect sizes
positively correlated (**Fig 3a-b**). The correlation of eQTL effect sizes was much stronger
between sexes (Spearman correlation, $r = 0.72$, $p < 10^{-16}$) than between life stages ($r = 0.35$, $p <$
10^{-16}), suggesting a stronger shared genetic architecture between sexes (**Fig 3a-b**), as would be
predicted from the phenotypic correlations in **Fig 2a-b**. There were 170 eQTLs with opposite
effects between sexes, all of which were the top eQTL in one sex but not an eQTL in the other
sex (light orange and light purple dots in **Fig 3a**). There were 974 eQTLs with opposite effects
between life stages, 19 of which were eQTLs in both life stages (S6 Fig). Functional enrichment
of eGenes with eQTLs showing opposite effects between sexes or life stages showed an
enrichment in processes relevant to transcription including negative regulation of gene
expression (GO:0010629) and regulation of DNA-templated transcription (GO:0006355), and

processes post transcription including regulation of RNA and protein metabolic processes

(GO:0051252, GO:0051246) (S4 Table).

Fig 3. Correlation of effect sizes of autosomal SNPs tested between sexes (a) and life stages

(b) in *Rumex hastatulus*. Black: top eQTL of the eGene in both sexes or life stages; darker

colors: top eQTL in the labelled sex/life stage, significant but not the top eQTL in the other

sex/life stage; lighter colors: top eQTL in the labelled sex/life stage but not significant in the

other sex/life stage. Spearman correlation coefficients: 0.72 (a), 0.35 (b).

Genotype × Sex interaction

We obtained 3,684,937 SNPs on autosomes from 143 leaf DNA samples to test for Genotype ×

Sex interactions in *cis*-regulatory variation. We found one significant PC in all leaf samples

combined that explained 2% of genetic variation (TW statistic = 1.328, p = 0.0302) and we

included it as a covariate in eQTL mapping. We tested 14,587 genes and 1,083,706 nearby SNPs

for the effect of Genotype, Sex, and Genotype × Sex interaction on expression. After the

correction for multiple testing [39], we found 5 eQTLs showing significant Genotype × Sex

interaction (adjusted p < 0.1, see Methods), all of which changed expression of their eGene in the

same direction but with different magnitudes (S7 Fig). The small number of eQTLs showing

Genotype × Sex is consistent with the previous result that eQTLs showed strongly correlated

effects between sexes (**Fig 3a**).

eQTLs for expression differences between life stages

We tested 16,218 genes and 1,404,801 nearby SNPs for *cis*-eQTLs affecting the degree of

expression differences between life stages. Specifically, we calculated the effect sizes of the

minor allele of each SNP on the differences in expression levels between male leaf tissue and

pollen. We found 9,873 eQTLs affecting the expression differences between life stages in 1,564

genes. There were more eQTLs in which the minor allele increased expression differences

(6,173) than reduced them (3,700), suggesting new mutations (typically represented by the minor

alleles) more often drive the divergence in expression between life stages than constrain it. We

found 1,464 of 1,564 genes whose expression differences between life stages were affected by

eQTLs were significant DE genes between pollen and male leaf tissue (adjust $p < 0.05$, no fold

change cutoff). Compared to all DE genes, these 1,464 genes were enriched for high expression

bias (fold change > 8) and underrepresented for low expression bias (fold change < 2) (Chi-

squared test, $p < 10^{-10}$; Pearson residuals = 3.37, -4.95, respectively), likely reflecting that highly

pollen-biased genes have more pollen-specific regulatory functions. We found rRNA processing

(GO:0006364) and regulation of RNA metabolic processes (GO:0051252) were enriched in

genes with eQTLs affecting life-stage expression differences (S5 Table). Lastly, we identified

141 conditionally independent eQTLs affecting life-stage expression differences, 54 of which

had opposite effects compared to the primary eQTL of the same gene.

We examined whether eQTLs for expression differences between life stages were also identified

as eQTLs in male leaf tissue or pollen separately. We mapped eQTLs in male leaf and pollen

testing the effects of minor alleles on expression. There were 1,051 genes whose expression

differences were significantly affected by *cis*-regulatory variation and were also tested for eQTLs

in both male leaf and pollen individually. Of the 5,946 eQTLs associated with expression

differences in these 1,051 genes, 5,027 were also identified as eQTLs in at least one life stage,

while the remaining did not pass the multiple testing filters to be eQTLs. There were 767 eQTLs

that significantly affected leaf expression, pollen expression, and the differences between them,

735 of which affected leaf and pollen expression in the same direction, consistent with the

concordance in eQTL effect sizes shown in **Fig 3b**.

Selective pressure on eQTLs

We examined the distribution of minor allele frequencies (MAF) of eQTLs to test if selective

pressure differs for eQTLs between sex or life stages. An excess of low-frequency variants

indicate purifying selection, whereas an excess of intermediate-frequency variants indicate

balancing selection [40]. We kept one eQTL per eGene to have a set of independent eQTLs for

MAF comparisons and repeated the analyses when keeping the top eQTL and a random eQTL.

In all tissues, there was an excess of rare alleles (**Fig 4a-f**). The median MAFs between tissues

were similar, with the highest median MAF in female leaf and lowest median MAF in male leaf

using both methods of eQTL selection. The differences in mean MAFs between tissues were

marginally significant between male and female leaf in top and random eQTLs (Mann-Whitney

U-test, $p = 0.041$, 0.049, respectively) and between female leaf and pollen in top eQTLs (Mann-

Whitney U-test, $p = 0.049$).

Fig 4. Distribution of MAFs of eQTLs in male leaf (a, d), female leaf (b, e), pollen (c, f) in

Rumex hastatulus. a-c: top eQTL per eGene, d-e: a randomly selected eQTL per eGene.

Binwidth = 0.01. Median MAF in each plot: 0.1017 (a), 0.1048 (b), 0.1045 (c), 0.0965 (d),

0.0984 (e), 0.0982 (f).

To investigate whether the patterns of excessive rare alleles in eQTLs suggested a signature of

genome-wide purifying selection, we compared the MAFs of true positive eQTLs to a null

distribution in each tissue (**Fig 5a-c**). We generated the null distributions of MAFs using 'false-

positive' eQTLs from permuted data by breaking the true assignment of individuals and re-

assigning their expression phenotypes. We then used the same procedure to identify false-

positive eQTLs from permuted data and true positive eQTLs from observed data (see Methods).

In all tissues we found an excess of rare alleles (MAF between 0.05 and 0.1) in the permuted

data compared to our observed data, with a small overlap in the proportions of rare alleles in

pollen (**Fig 5a-c**), suggesting that eQTLs do not show a genome-wide signature of purifying

selection.

Fig 5. Distribution of MAFs of eQTLs compared to a null distribution in male leaf (a),

female leaf (b) and pollen in *Rumex hastatulus.* (c). Black dots: true positive eQTLs (observed

data), grey dots: false positive eQTLs (permuted data).

We identified 111 eGenes with 714 eQTLs in the PAR, and 1,294 eGenes with 16,112 eQTLs in

the X-specific regions in female leaf and compared their selective pressure using MAFs. The

MAFs of eQTLs in PAR and the X-specific regions showed an excess of rare alleles similar to

the autosomes (S8 Fig). We found eQTLs in the X-specific regions had significantly lower

MAFs than in autosomes using both methods of eQTL selection (Mann-Whitney *U*-test, $p <$

0.004), and eQTLs on PAR had significantly higher MAFs than the X-specific regions only in

top eQTLs (Mann-Whitney *U*-test, $p = 0.019$). However, the null distribution of MAFs of eQTLs

for X and autosomes did not differ significantly (S9 Fig); there were not enough false-positive

eQTLs in the PAR for comparison.

We compared MAFs of eQTLs shared between life stages and those that were life-stage specific.

We found shared eQTLs had significantly higher MAFs than specific eQTLs (Mann-Whitney *U*-

test, $p < 10^{-7}$), with a median MAFs of 0.143 and 0.093, respectively. Due to a lack of shared

false positive eQTLs between life stages, this result was not confirmed by a comparison to a null

distribution. We also tested signatures of selection on eGenes that were shared between sexes or

life stages using neutral diversity statistics. We predicted that shared eGenes would have higher

π and Tajima's D than specific eGenes, due to the greater potential for conflict in shared eGenes.

We found that shared eGenes between sexes had significantly higher Tajima's D (Kruskal-Wallis

test, $p < 10^{-5}$) but not significantly higher π (Kruskal-Wallis test, $p = 0.5383$) than male- or

female-specific eGenes. There were no significant differences in π or Tajima's D among shared

and specific eGenes in either life stage (ANOVA test, $p = 0.611, 0.157$, respectively).

Additionally, we tested the signature of selection on eGenes whose expression differences

between life stages were affected by eQTLs and found these eGenes had significantly higher π

and Tajima's D than eGenes not significant for expression differences (*t*-test, $p = 0.00013$,

0.00058, respectively).

Discussion

In this study we mapped *cis*-eQTLs in leaf and pollen tissues in the dioecious plant *R. hastatulus*

to test the scope for conflict between sexes and life stages, based on shared genetic architecture.

We investigated the overlap of eQTLs between sexes and life stages and examined their effect

sizes and allele frequencies. We discovered a much larger overlap in eGenes and eQTLs between

sexes than between life stages. For SNPs tested in both sexes or life stages, there was a stronger

correlation of effect sizes between sexes, which further suggests a more shared genetic

architecture between sexes than life stages. There were more minor alleles of eQTLs driving than

constraining divergent expression between life stages. Among the eQTLs shared between life

stages and affecting their expression differences, most minor alleles changed expression in the

same direction with different magnitudes, increasing the degree of divergent expression. MAFs

of eQTLs in all tissues exhibited similar distributions with an excess of rare alleles, but this

pattern did not reflect an excess of rare variants when compared to a null distribution. Below we

discuss the implications of our results on the extent of a shared genetic basis between sex and life

stages and also the use of eQTL mapping in testing evolutionary forces shaping *cis*-regulatory

variation.

Potential for conflict between sexes and life stages

With the potential for both sexual and life-stage conflict based on theory [6,7,41], key open

questions remain on whether there are more opportunities for conflict between sexes or life

stages, and whether sexual and life-stage conflict is still an ongoing process or has already

become resolved. We investigated this question using *cis*-regulatory variation which has a strong

effect on gene expression. Our results consistently suggested significantly more shared genetic

architecture between sexes than life stages, thus more potential for ongoing sexual conflict. In

contrast, our results indicate that life-stage conflict is more resolved compared to sexual conflict.

This finding is consistent with previous work suggesting considerable scope for ongoing sexual

conflict based on intersexual genetic covariances in expression [20–22]. The alternating life

cycle of diploid and haploid life stages is shared among all land plants, allowing them to adapt to

varying environments during their lives. This fundamental feature of alternation of generations

predates the evolution of dioecy in flowering plants, which has more recently emerged from

hermaphroditic ancestors in most lineages [42]. In dioecious plants such as *R. hastatulus*, it is

likely that there has been much more time to resolve conflict between life stages than sexes,

while in contrast, sexual conflict may still be ongoing. It is also worth mentioning that standing

variation in gene regulation might have more sex-differential effects in reproductive tissues such

as flowers compared to leaves. Future studies should benefit from a more diverse sampling of

both vegetative and reproductive tissues than we used in this study [31].

With a weak positive correlation of eQTL effect sizes between life stages, there is still potential,

albeit weaker, for ongoing life-stage conflict. Our previous study tested the genomic signature of

intra-locus conflict between life stages in *R. hastatulus* but did not find support for a genome-

wide signal [43]. Future studies with more functional understanding, e.g., on alleles beneficial

for pollen competition, combined with previously identified candidate genes under balancing

selection [43] should help to uncover the genetic basis of life-stage conflict in *R. hastatulus*.

Plants are useful systems for comparing opportunities for sexual and life-stage conflict compared

to animals; yet studies on selection and potential conflict between sexes or life stages in plants

are still very limited [5,12,13]. Whether there are differences in the possibilities for sexual and

life-stage conflict in plants with different sexual systems (hermaphroditic vs. dioecious) or

different types of life cycles (predominantly diploid vs. haploid) would certainly be worth further

investigation.

Selective pressure on cis-regulatory variation

Estimating selective pressures in regulatory variation using allele frequencies has resulted in mixed results supporting contrasting hypotheses about the type of selection on *cis*-eQTLs [25,29]. Our results indicated more rare than common alleles among cis-eQTLs in all tissues. However, in contrast to our prediction and previous findings in *Capsella grandiflora* [25], there was a marginally higher proportion of rare alleles in the null distribution than our observed data, suggesting a genome-wide signature of purifying selection was unlikely. With the *cis*-eQTLs in *Mimulus* floral tissues showing an excess of common alleles [29], it is unclear whether *cis*-regulatory variation is generally subject to purifying selection based on their allele frequencies. Conserved non-coding regions are often constrained due to their putative regulatory functions [44,45]; excessive rare alleles in cis-eQTLs were found in the promoter regions of yeast [46]. Notably, selection on noncoding sequences in plants is rarer than in animals [47], due to low complexity of regulatory regions on a per gene basis [48]. Investigation of the burden of rare alleles on genotypes with more extreme gene expression [49] could be a promising next step that might be more powerful than comparing genome-wide allele frequency spectra to a null distribution. Future studies should also benefit from examining the correlation between effect sizes and MAFs while controlling for confirmation bias using allele-specific eQTLs [25] and also examining trans-eQTLs as they are subject to stronger constraint than *cis*-acting variation [28].

In addition to testing selective pressures on eQTLs based on allele frequencies, we examined signatures of selection on eGenes using diversity statistics. We expected eQTLs with concordant effects between sexes or life stages to experience stronger conflict than eQTLs with discordant effects (assuming fitness effects of expression are concordant between sexes or life stages) or eQTLs exclusive to one sex or life stage. We only found that shared eGenes had higher Tajima's *D* than sex-specific eGenes. In contrast to our prediction, eGenes with eQTLs affecting their expression differences between life stages had significantly higher π and Tajima's *D* than eGenes not significant for expression differences; however, this finding might result from an ascertainment bias, as it is more likely to have higher power to detect interactions when diversity is higher. Overall, we did not find a compelling signal of past conflict between sexes or life stages in eQTLs. Detecting balancing selection due to conflict using genomic data remains a

challenging problem [50,51]. Additionally, previous work has shown that sexually concordant

selection also plays an equally important role in shaping sexual dimorphism in expression [22].

Combined with our previous results on the widespread synergistic pleiotropy between life stages

from population genomic data [43], out findings in this study suggest that concordant selection is

likely more prevalent and/or detectable than antagonistic selection in genome-wide studies.

Methods

Plant materials

We described the details of plant materials and sequencing in [43]; here we provide a brief

summary and additional relevant information. After generating seedlings using open-pollinated

seeds collected from a population in Rosebud, Texas, US [34], we paired one female and male

individual randomly at the time of flowering for crossing and collected seeds to generate F_1

plants. We planted and retained multiple individuals per family for 78 F_1 families to ensure we

had one male-female full sibling pair per family for tissue collection. We collected leaf tissue

from each male-female full-sibling pair for both RNA and DNA sequencing and collected

mature pollen grains from males for RNA sequencing. We described the DNA and RNA

isolation protocols in [43]. The DNA samples were sequenced at a depth of 10-15X. Raw

sequences of male leaf RNA and pollen RNA were described in [43], Initial sample sizes for

DNA samples and female leaf RNA samples are presented in Table S1.

DNA Seq data and variant calling

We used a phased PacBio genome assembly consisting of four autosomes, X-specific, Y-specific

and pseudoautosomal regions for our analyses [38]. We mapped the DNA reads to the genome

assembly and added read groups using bwa-mem2 [52]. We sorted the BAM, marked PCR

duplicates and indexed the final BAM using SAMTools [53]. We called variants in parallel on 2

Mb non-overlapping contigs of each chromosome for all samples using BCFtools mpileup [53],

then concatenated the VCFs of contigs for each chromosome. We removed one male sample

(24fM) due to low genomic coverage and two samples due to incorrect sex labeling (35aM,

40aF), and only kept samples with both DNA and RNA sequences from the same individual. For

males, we only kept samples with both leaf and pollen RNA sequences from the same individual.

Our sample sizes for VCF filtering were 74 for female leaf, 73 for male leaf, making a total of

147 leaf tissue samples. We performed SNP filtering as follows for female leaf, male leaf and all

leaf samples combined separately using VCFtools [54]. We kept SNPs with QUAL > 30, a mean

depth between 5 and 20, a genotype quality per sample > 30 otherwise marked as missing, and

finally a missingness < 20%. For female samples, we used the same filtering criteria for

autosomes, PAR and X-specific regions. The numbers of autosomal SNPs were 64,988,762 in

male leaf, 66,828,708 in female leaf, and 66,341,762 in all leaf samples combined. The numbers

of SNPs on X-specific regions and PAR in females were 7,745,941 and 2,164,214, respectively.

Additionally, we filtered for invariant sites on autosomes with a mean depth between 5 and 20

and a missingness < 20% for all leaf samples combined.

We removed SNPs with a minor allele frequency lower than 5% or with strong Hardy–Weinberg

deviations ($p < 10^{-6}$) on autosomes using Plink [55] to test for population structure and for the

subsequent eQTL mapping. We removed four male samples (7bM, 27eM, 53bM, 5aM) from

subsequent analyses for being outliers in principal component analyses in Plink [55]. Our final

sample sizes were 74 for female leaf, 69 for male leaf, making a total of 143 leaf samples. After

the filtering using Plink, the numbers of SNPs on autosomes were 3,288,995 in male leaf,

4,509,904 in female leaf, and 3,684,937 in all leaf samples combined; the numbers of SNPs on

X-specific regions and PAR in females were 769,059 and 96,911, respectively.

RNA Seq data and expression analyses

We mapped the RNA reads to our genome assembly in a two pass mode, sorted the BAM files

by coordinates, added read groups using STAR [56], and indexed the output BAM files using

SAMTools [53]. We generated read counts for each gene using featureCount [57]. We only kept

genes with evidence of expression for our subsequent analyses, i.e., genes with a mean raw read

count ≥ 5 in a specific tissue, or in at least one tissue for analyses involving both sexes or life

stages. We identified sex-biased genes in leaf tissues and life-stage-biased genes by comparing

pollen and male leaf tissues using DESeq2 [58]. The cutoffs for significant differentially

expressed (DE) genes were Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted $p < 0.05$ and fold change > 2 unless

stated otherwise.

We prepared the expression phenotype data for each tissue separately and for all leaf samples

combined for eQTL mapping. We normalized raw read counts by sequencing depth using

DESeq2 [58] and performed quantile normalization in R [59]. Additionally, we calculated the

difference of normalized read counts in pollen and male leaf (male leaf minus pollen) for each

gene and performed quantile normalization of its absolute value in R [59].

Correlation in gene expression

To characterize the correlation in expression across life stages or sexes, we used Pearson

correlations and the final normalized read counts as expression level as described above. For

male leaves and pollen, we calculated the correlation of a given gene's expression level in each

tissue using 69 male individuals and repeated for 16,411 autosomal genes that had evidence of

expression (as described above) to generate a distribution of correlations. These correlations are

the same as any phenotypic correlation in behavioral or morphological traits, but for gene

expression [60]. To estimate the across-sex correlation in expression, we took advantage of the

sib-structure of our design and the fact that we had male and female sibling pairs. We used the

expression level of a gene in a male sample and its female sibling as a pair of observations,

repeated for 64 sibling pairs in our design, to estimate the correlation in expression across

siblings for a given gene. We then repeated this for 14,992 genes with evidence of expression in

the samples to generate a distribution of across-sex correlation in expression. Note that because

we only had a single male and female sample per family, rather than replicates, this is a

phenotypic approximation of the across-sex genetic correlation (r_{mf}), rather than a genetic

correlation. We repeated the analyses for genes regulated by eQTLs (eGenes, see below) in at

least one life stage or sex following the same steps.

eQTL mapping

We performed *cis*-eQTL mapping on autosomes separately for male leaf, female leaf, and pollen

tissue. For female leaf, we repeated eQTL mapping on X and PAR using the same approach as

the autosomes. For male samples, we performed eQTL mapping using the difference in leaf and

pollen expression levels as phenotypes in the same way as in leaf or pollen separately. To

control for genetic relatedness, we performed LD pruning in Plink [55] and identified genetic

principal components (PC) using EIGENSOFT smartpca [61,62]. The significance of each PC

was determined by the Tracy-Widom test [61,63]. We included the significant PCs ($p < 0.05$) as

covariates in eQTL mapping.

We mapped *cis*-eQTL using tensorQTL [64] following its guidelines, and tested pre linkage-

pruning SNPs within a 20 kb window to the transcription start site for each gene. We performed

adaptive permutations to calculate empirical and beta-approximated empirical *p*-values for each

gene [65], and generated nominal *p*-values for all SNP-gene pairs. We calculated the Storey *q*-

value and the nominal *p*-value threshold for significant associations based on the beta-

approximated empirical *p*-value for each gene using a false discovery rate (FDR) of 0.1 [66,67].

We filtered for genes that had *q*-value < 0.1 and at least one eQTL as our eGenes. We filtered for

SNPs with a nominal *p*-value smaller than the nominal *p*-value threshold of its eGene as our

eQTLs. The minimum *p*-value threshold is 0.0318 for male leaf, 0.0503 for female leaf, and

0.0166 for pollen. We identified conditionally independent eQTLs for eGenes using a forward-

backward stepwise regression model in tensorQTL [68]. We defined primary eQTLs as the top

ranked eQTL for each eGene, and any additionally ranked eQTLs as secondary or conditionally

independent eQTLs. The effect size of an eQTL was defined as the slope in the linear regression

model from tensorQTL.

We kept one eQTL for every eGene, either the most significant (top) eQTL or a randomly

selected eQTL when comparing minor allele frequencies (MAF) and when testing the

relationship between effect size and the distance to transcription start site of eQTLs. We

removed eQTLs and eGenes when an eQTL affected multiple eGenes when comparing MAFs.

We performed gene ontology enrichment of eGenes using topGO [69], significant GO terms

were selected using a *p*-value cutoff of 0.05 based on Fisher's exact tests. To test for the

enrichment of expression bias categories among genes whose expression differences between life

stages were affected by eQTLs, we grouped DE gene into four bins based on the absolute value

of log_2FoldChange ($\leq$ 1, >1 and $\leq$2, >2 and $\leq$3, >3) and performed Chi-squared test, where

positive Pearson residuals indicate enrichment and negative residuals indicate

underrepresentation.

We ran tensorQTL on all 143 leaf samples to test the linear model: Expression ~ Genotype + Sex

+ Genotype × Sex. We kept the top association for each gene based on the interaction term (-best

only), and calculated multiple-testing corrected [39] and Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted *p*-values

(pval_adj_bh) following the recommendations from tensorQTL. The cutoff for eQTLs showing

significant Genotype × Sex is pval_adj_bh < 0.1.

Null distributions of eQTL MAFs

To generate a null distribution for MAFs of eQTLs in each tissue, we performed permutations by

randomly re-assigning sample ID's in the expression phenotype file. We performed 1000

permutations in both females and males. For female leaves, we performed the same permutations

on X and PAR as the autosomes. For male samples, we performed permutations the same way in

male leaf and pollen phenotypes and identified the shared and specific eQTLs between male leaf

and pollen in each permutation. We identified false-positive eQTLs using the same *p*-value

thresholds from the previous analyses on our observed data. We removed eGenes with more than

10 eQTLs, randomly selected an eQTL from each eGene, and removed eQTLs affecting multiple

eGenes. We repeated the same procedure of calculating MAF using true positive eQTLs. The

proportions of false positive eGenes compared to true positive eGenes were 0.059 in male leaf,

0.105 in female leaf, and 0.0318 in pollen, based on the median number of false positive eGenes

in 1000 permutations.

Diversity statistics

We used the script codingSiteTypes.py

(https://github.com/simonhmartin/genomics_general/blob/master/codingSiteTypes.py, accessed

in 2020) to extract 4-fold degenerate site for calculating neutral diversity statistics. We generated

4-fold VCFs including both variant and invariant sites using all leaf samples combined. We used

pixy [70,71] to calculate nucleotide diversity (π) and Tajima's *D* for all autosomal genes. We

only kept genes with at least 50 sites in the subsequent analyses of π and Tajima's *D*. We

removed genes if they were associated with an eQTL that affected multiple eGenes.

Data availability

Raw sequencing reads are available through the NCBI SRA database under BioProject

PRJNA744278 to be released on August 1, 2025. Scripts and data used to generate the figures

are available at https://github.com/SIWLab/Rumex_eQTL.

Acknowledgement

We thank Yunchen Gong for technical assistance with the computing servers and Bill Cole and

Thomas Gludovacz for help with plant maintenance. This study was supported by NSERC

Discovery Grants awarded to SCHB, JRS and SIW.

References

1. Ewing EP. Selection at the haploid and diploid phases: cyclical variation. Genetics.
1977;87: 195–207.

2. Arnqvist G, Rowe L. Sexual conflict. Princeton University Press; 2005.

3. Haig D, Wilczek A. Sexual conflict and the alternation of haploid and diploid generations.
Philos Trans R Soc B Biol Sci. 2006;361: 335–343.

4. Schärer L, Janicke T, Ramm SA. Sexual conflict in hermaphrodites. Cold Spring Harb
Perspect Biol. 2015;7: a017673.

5. Beaudry FEG, Rifkin JL, Barrett SCH, Wright SI. Evolutionary genomics of plant
gametophytic selection. Plant Commun. 2020;1: 100115.

6. Kidwell JF, Clegg MT, Stewart FM. Regions of stable equilibria for models of differential
selection in the two sexes under random mating. Genetics. 1976;85: 171–183.

7. Immler S, Arnqvist G, Otto SP. Ploidally antagonistic selection maintains stable genetic
polymorphism: ploidally antagonistic selection. Evolution. 2012;66: 55–65.

8. Travers SE, Mazer SJ. Trade-offs between male and female reproduction associated with
allozyme variation in phosphoglucoisomerase in an annual plant (*Clarkia unguiculata*:
Onagraceae). Evolution. 2001;55: 2421–2428.

9. Lankinen A, Kiboi S. Pollen donor identity affects timing of stigma receptivity in *Collinsia*
heterophylla (Plantaginaceae): a sexual conflict during pollen competition? Am Nat.
2007;170: 854–863.

10. Madjidian JA, Lankinen Å. Sexual conflict and sexually antagonistic coevolution in an
annual plant. PLOS ONE. 2009;4: e5477.

11. Lankinen Å, Hydbom S, Strandh M. Sexually antagonistic evolution caused by male–male
competition in the pistil. Evolution. 2017;71: 2359–2369.

12. Prasad NG, Bedhomme S. Sexual conflict in plants. J Genet. 2006;85: 161–164.

13. Moore JC, Pannell JR. Sexual selection in plants. Curr Biol CB. 2011;21: R176-182.

14. Duffy KJ, Mdlalose ZM, Johnson SD. Sexual conflict in hermaphroditic flowers of an
African aloe. Int J Plant Sci. 2021;182: 238–243.

15.   Delph LF, Arntz AM, Scotti☐Saintagne C, Scotti I. The genomic architecture of sexual
dimorphism in the dioecious plant *Silene latifolia*. Evolution. 2010;64: 2873–2886.

16.   Lande R. Sexual dimorphism, sexual selection, and adaptation in polygenic characters.
Evolution. 1980;34: 292.

17.   Poissant J, Wilson AJ, Coltman DW. Sex-specific genetic variance and the evolution of
sexual dimorphism: a systematic review of cross-sex genetic correlations. Evolution.
2010;64: 97–107.

18.   Wyman MJ, Stinchcombe JR, Rowe L. A multivariate view of the evolution of sexual
dimorphism. J Evol Biol. 2013;26: 2070–2080.

19.   Mank JE. The transcriptional architecture of phenotypic dimorphism. Nat Ecol Evol.
2017;1: 0006.

20.   Innocenti P, Morrow EH. The sexually antagonistic genes of *Drosophila melanogaster*.
Hurst LD, editor. PLoS Biol. 2010;8: e1000335.

21.   Griffin RM, Dean R, Grace JL, Rydén P, Friberg U. The shared genome is a pervasive
constraint on the evolution of sex-biased gene expression. Mol Biol Evol. 2013;30: 2168–
2176.

22.   Houle D, Cheng C. Predicting the evolution of sexual dimorphism in gene expression. Mol
Biol Evol. 2021;38: 1847–1859.

23.   Kudaravalli S, Veyrieras J-B, Stranger BE, Dermitzakis ET, Pritchard JK. Gene expression
levels are a target of recent natural selection in the human genome. Mol Biol Evol. 2009;26:
649–658.

24.   Lee YW, Gould BA, Stinchcombe JR. Identifying the genes underlying quantitative traits: a
rationale for the QTN programme. AoB PLANTS. 2014;6.

25.   Josephs EB, Lee YW, Stinchcombe JR, Wright SI. Association mapping reveals the role of
purifying selection in the maintenance of genomic variation in gene expression. Proc Natl
Acad Sci. 2015;112: 15390–15395.

26.   Josephs EB, Stinchcombe JR, Wright SI. What can genome-wide association studies tell us
about the evolutionary forces maintaining genetic variation for quantitative traits? New
Phytol. 2017;214: 21–33.

27.   Signor AS, Nuzhdin SV. The evolution of gene expression in *cis* and *trans*. Trends in
Genetics. 2018;34(7):532-44.

28.   Josephs EB, Lee YW, Wood CW, Schoen DJ, Wright SI, Stinchcombe JR. The
evolutionary forces shaping *cis*- and *trans*-regulation of gene expression within a
population of outcrossing plants. Mol Biol Evol. 2020;37: 2386–2393.

29.   Brown KE, Kelly JK. Genome-wide association mapping of transcriptome variation in

Mimulus guttatus indicates differing patterns of selection on *cis*- versus *trans*-acting
mutations. Genetics. 2021;220: iyab189.

30.   Oliva M, Muñoz-Aguirre M, Kim-Hellmuth S, Wucher V, Gewirtz ADH, Cotter DJ, et al.
The impact of sex on gene expression across human tissues. Science. 2020;369.

31.   Puixeu G, Macon A, Vicoso B. Sex-specific estimation of *cis* and *trans* regulation of gene
expression in heads and gonads of *Drosophila melanogaster*. G3 GenesGenomesGenetics.
2023;13: jkad121.

32.   Mishra P, Barrera TS, Grieshop K, Agrawal AF. *Cis*-regulatory variation in relation to sex
and sexual dimorphism in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genome Biol Evol. 2024;16: evae234.

33.   Field DL, Pickup M, Barrett SCH. Ecological context and metapopulation dynamics affect
sex-ratio variation among dioecious plant populations. Ann Bot. 2013;111: 917–923.

34.   Pickup M, Barrett SCH. The influence of demography and local mating environment on sex
ratios in a wind-pollinated dioecious plant. Ecol Evol. 2013;3: 629–639.

35.   Hough J, Hollister JD, Wang W, Barrett SCH, Wright SI. Genetic degeneration of old and
young Y chromosomes in the flowering plant *Rumex hastatulus*. Proc Natl Acad Sci.
2014;111: 7713–7718.

36.   Crowson D, Barrett SCH, Wright SI. Purifying and positive selection influence patterns of
gene loss and gene expression in the evolution of a plant sex chromosome system. Mol Biol
Evol. 2017;34: 1140–1154.

37.   Sandler G, Beaudry FEG, Barrett SCH, Wright SI. The effects of haploid selection on Y
chromosome evolution in two closely related dioecious plants. Evol Lett. 2018;2: 368–377.

38.   Sacchi BM, Yuan M, Pyne C, Choudhury BI, Gong Y, Barrett SCH, et al. Early regulatory
evolution contributes to Y chromosome degeneration in *Rumex hastatulus* [unpublished
manuscript]. 2025

39.   Davis JR, Fresard L, Knowles DA, Pala M, Bustamante CD, Battle A, et al. An efficient
multiple-testing adjustment for eQTL studies that accounts for linkage disequilibrium
between variants. Am J Hum Genet. 2016;98: 216–224.

40.   Tajima F. Statistical method for testing the neutral mutation hypothesis by DNA
polymorphism. Genetics. 1989;123: 585.

41.   Peters MAE, Weis AE. Isolation by phenology synergizes isolation by distance across a
continuous landscape. New Phytol. 2019;224: 1215–1228.

42.   Bawa KS. Evolution of dioecy in flowering plants. Annu Rev Ecol Syst. 1980;11: 15–39.

43.   Yuan M, Kollar LM, Sacchi BM, Carey SB, Choudhury BI, Jones T, et al. Testing for the
genomic footprint of conflict between life stages in an angiosperm and a moss species.
bioRxiv [Preprint]. 2025. Available from:

710   https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2024.10.04.613734v2

711 44. Casillas S, Barbadilla A, Bergman CM. Purifying selection maintains highly conserved
712   noncoding sequences in *Drosophila*. Mol Biol Evol. 2007;24: 2222–2234.

713 45. Haudry A, Platts AE, Vello E, Hoen DR, Leclercq M, Williamson RJ, et al. An atlas of over
714   90,000 conserved noncoding sequences provides insight into crucifer regulatory regions.
715   Nat Genet. 2013;45: 891–898.

716 46. Ronald J, Akey JM. The evolution of gene expression QTL in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*.
717   PLOS ONE. 2007;2: e678.

718 47. Williamson RJ, Josephs EB, Platts AE, Hazzouri KM, Haudry A, Blanchette M, et al.
719   Evidence for widespread positive and negative selection in coding and conserved
720   noncoding regions of *Capsella grandiflora*. PLoS Genet. 2014;10: e1004622.

721 48. Lockton S, Gaut BS. Plant conserved non-coding sequences and paralogue evolution.
722   Trends Genet. 2005;21: 60–65.

723 49. Uzunović J, Josephs EB, Stinchcombe JR, Wright SI. Transposable elements are important
724   contributors to standing variation in gene expression in *Capsella grandiflora*. Mol Biol
725   Evol. 2019;36: 1734–1745.

726 50. Flintham E, Savolainen V, Otto SP, Reuter M, Mullon C. The maintenance of genetic
727   polymorphism underlying sexually antagonistic traits. Evol Lett. 2025;9: 259–272.

728 51. Ruzicka F, Zwoinska MK, Goedert D, Kokko H, Richter X-YL, Moodie IR, et al. A century
729   of theories of balancing selection. bioRxiv [Preprint]. 2025. Available from:
730   https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2025.02.12.637871v1

731 52. Vasimuddin M, Misra S, Li H, Aluru S. Efficient architecture-aware acceleration of BWA-
732   MEM for multicore systems. 2019 IEEE International Parallel and Distributed Processing
733   Symposium (IPDPS). 2019. pp. 314–324.

734 53. Danecek P, Bonfield JK, Liddle J, Marshall J, Ohan V, Pollard MO, et al. Twelve years of
735   SAMtools and BCFtools. GigaScience. 2021;10: giab008.

736 54. Danecek P, Auton A, Abecasis G, Albers CA, Banks E, DePristo MA, et al. The variant call
737   format and VCFtools. Bioinformatics. 2011;27: 2156–2158.

738 55. Chang CC, Chow CC, Tellier LC, Vattikuti S, Purcell SM, Lee JJ. Second-generation
739   PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. GigaScience. 2015;4: s13742-
740   015-0047–8.

741 56. Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, et al. STAR: ultrafast
742   universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics. 2013;29: 15–21.

743 57. Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for
744   assigning sequence reads to genomic features. Bioinforma Oxf Engl. 2014;30: 923–930.

58. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for
RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 2014;15: 550.

59. R Core Team. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. 2022. Available
from: https://www.R-project.org/

60. Stinchcombe JR, Kelly JK. Measuring natural selection on the transcriptome. New Phytol.
In press.

61. Patterson N, Price AL, Reich D. Population structure and eigenanalysis. PLOS Genet.
2006;2: e190.

62. Price AL, Patterson NJ, Plenge RM, Weinblatt ME, Shadick NA, Reich D. Principal
components analysis corrects for stratification in genome-wide association studies. Nat
Genet. 2006;38: 904–909.

63. Sztepanacz JL, Blows MW. Accounting for sampling error in genetic eigenvalues using
random matrix theory. Genetics. 2017;206: 1271–1284.

64. Taylor-Weiner A, Aguet F, Haradhvala NJ, Gosai S, Anand S, Kim J, et al. Scaling
computational genomics to millions of individuals with GPUs. Genome Biol. 2019;20: 228.

65. Ongen H, Buil A, Brown AA, Dermitzakis ET, Delaneau O. Fast and efficient QTL mapper
for thousands of molecular phenotypes. Bioinformatics. 2016;32: 1479–1485.

66. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful
approach to multiple testing. J R Stat Soc Ser B Methodol. 1995;57: 289–300.

67. Storey JD. A direct approach to false discovery rates. J R Stat Soc Ser B Stat Methodol.
2002;64: 479–498.

68. Aguet F, Brown AA, Castel SE, Davis JR, He Y, Jo B, et al. Genetic effects on gene
expression across human tissues. Nature. 2017;550: 204–213.

69. Alexa A, Rahnenfuhrer J. topGO: enrichment analysis for Gene Ontology. R package
version 2.50.0. Available from: https://bioconductor.org/packages/topGO

70. Korunes KL, Samuk K. pixy: Unbiased estimation of nucleotide diversity and divergence in
the presence of missing data. Mol Ecol Resour. 2021;21: 1359–1368.

71. Bailey N, Stevison L, Samuk K. Correcting for bias in estimates of θ_w and Tajima's D from
missing data in Next-Generation Sequencing. Mol Ecol Resour. 2025;e14104.

Supplementary Tables

S1 Table. DNA and RNA samples of *Rumex hastatulus* used in this study.

S2 Table. Number of DE genes in *Rumex hastatulus*.

S3 Table. GO enrichment of eGenes in male leaf, female leaf, and pollen of *Rumex hastatulus*.

S4 Table. GO enrichment of eGenes with discordant eQTLs between life-stages or sexes in *Rumex hastatulus*.

S5 Table. GO enrichment of eGenes with discordant eQTLs for expression differences between life-stages of *Rumex hastatulus*.

Supplementary Figures

S1 Fig. PCA of leaf and pollen RNA samples of *Rumex hastatulus*. a-b: male vs. female leaf. c-d: pollen vs. male leaf. a,c: whole genome including X- and Y-specific regions, b,d: only autosomes and PAR.

S2 Fig. Enrichment of gametophyte-biased genes on the sex chromosomes in *Rumex hastatulus*.

Cutoff for DE genes: adjusted $p < 0.05$ and fold change > 2 (a, b), fold change > 4 (c, d).

S3 Fig. Distribution of nominal *p*-values for all nearby SNPs tested in male leaf (a), female leaf

(b), pollen (c) of *Rumex hastatulus*. Bin size = 0.01.

S4 Fig. Distance between eQTL and the transcription start site of eQTLs male leaf (ML), female

leaf (FL), pollen (MP) of *Rumex hastatulus*. a. All nearby SNPs, b. all eQTLs, c. the most

significant eQTL for each eGene, d. a randomly selected significant eQTL for each eGene.

S5 Fig. Correlation between effect sizes and MAFs of eQTLs in male leaf (a, d), female leaf (b,

e), and pollen (c, f) of *Rumex hastatulus*. Effect size is defined as the absolute value of the slope

in the linear models in eQTL mapping. a-c, linear regression, d-f: default smoothing function in

R.

S6 Fig. Examples of eQTLs showing strong discordant effects between life stages. All eQTLs

are the top eQTL of the eGene in both life stages. Gene IDs and eQTL locations: a.

TX_paternal_00005595 (1:255437493), b. TX_paternal_00008809 (1:455264496), c.

TX_paternal_00013353 (2:162241243), d. TX_paternal_00014747 (2:238366426), e.

TX_paternal_00025461 (3:13442544), f. TX_paternal_00027513 (3:75629497).

S7 Fig. Examples of eQTLs showing significant Genotype × Sex interaction in *Rumex*

hastatulus. Multiple-testing corrected and Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted *p*-values: 0.00197 (a),

0.00197 (b), 0.00317 (c), 0.06472 (d), 0.07921 (e). Gene IDs and eQTL locations: a.

TX_paternal_00002596 (1:159008371), b. TX_paternal_00010325 (2:14033315), c.

TX_paternal_00025295 (3:10654019), d. TX_paternal_00001727 (1:70371578), e.

TX_paternal_00015022 (2:250749626).

S8 Fig. The distribution of MAFs of eQTLs on autosomes (a, d), PAR (b, e) and X chromosome

(c, f) in female leaf of *Rumex hastatulus*. a-c: most significant eQTLs in each eGene, d-f:

randomly selected eQTLs in each eGene. Binwidth = 0.01. Median of MAFs: 0.097 (a), 0.109

(b), 0.0873 (c), 0.0938 (d), 0.107 (e), 0.083 (f).

S9 Fig. Distribution of MAFs of eQTLs compared to a null distribution on autosomes (a) and X-

specific regions (b) in female leaf of *Rumex hastatulus*. Black dots: true positive eQTLs

(observed data), grey dots: false positive eQTLs (permuted data).