Title

Evidence for the acquisition of a proteorhodopsin-like rhodopsin by a chrysophyte-infecting

giant virus

Authors

Petra Byl*[1, 2, 3], Christopher R. Schvarcz[1, 2], Julie Thomy[1, 2], Qian Li[1, 2], Cori B. Williams[1], Kurt

LaButti[3], Frederik Schulz[3], Kyle F. Edwards[2], Grieg F. Steward*[1, 2]

Affiliations

[1]Daniel K. Inouye Center for Microbial Oceanography: Research and Education, School of

Ocean and Earth Science and Technology (SOEST), University of Hawai'I at Mānoa, 1950 East-

West Road, Honolulu, HI 96822.

[2]Department of Oceanography, School of Ocean and Earth Science and Technology (SOEST),

University of Hawai'i at Mānoa, 1000 Pope Road, Honolulu, HI 96822.

[3]DOE Joint Genome Institute, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, 1 Cyclotron Road,

Berkeley, CA 94720.

*Corresponding author(s): pkbyl@hawaii.edu; grieg@hawaii.edu

Abstract

Chrysophytes are widespread nanoflagellate protists in aquatic ecosystems with diverse trophic

strategies ranging from phototrophy to phagotrophy. Molecular evidence has suggested that giant

viruses infect chrysophytes, and here, we report the first isolation of a chrysophyte-infecting

virus, Chrysophyceae clade H virus SA1 (ChrysoHV). Both host and virus were isolated from

surface waters in the subtropical North Pacific. The ChrysoHV capsid (291 ± 42 nm diameter) is

associated with a loose, sac-like membrane that extends its effective diameter (716 ± 115 nm),

and presents a long, thin tail extending 1,202 (± 243) nm in length. This morphology has not

been previously observed in other virus isolates or environmental surveys. The sequenced,

assembled genome is 1.19 Mbp, and phylogenetic analysis places ChrysoHV as the third

cultivated member of the *Aliimimivirinae* subfamily in the *Mimiviridae* family of giant viruses.

ChrysoHV is the first cultivated member of *Aliimimivirinae* to encode a rhodopsin, and the

closest related rhodopsin sequences from cultivated organisms derive from bacteria in the genus

Pelagibacter. Therefore, the rhodopsin homolog in ChrysoHV might be representative of a

previously undescribed lineage of virus rhodopsin, laterally transferred from the chrysophyte's

putative bacterial prey. In addition, the ChrysoHV genome contains nine genes, mostly related to

nutrient uptake, whose closest known homologs come from *Prochlorococcus*. This highly

abundant cyanobacterium is known to be consumed by the chrysophyte host, providing further

evidence for prey-virus gene transfer in the evolution of ChrysoHV.

Key words

Nucleocytoviricota, horizontal gene transfer (HGT), phytoplankton, mixotrophy, SAR11,

Pelagibacter, *Prochlorococcus*

Main Text

Introduction

Giant viruses are large double-stranded DNA viruses in the phylum *Nucleocytoviricota* [1],

and while they are diverse and globally abundant [2–4], a relatively small fraction of their known

diversity is represented by cultivated isolates [5]. Giant viruses display extensive genomic

repertoires, including viral homologs of cellular genes [6], which may augment or compensate

for host functions compromised during infection [7–9] or interfere with host defenses [10].

Chrysophytes are single-celled or colonial protists in the class Chrysophyceae, and they

are globally abundant in freshwater and marine ecosystems [11]. Chrysophyte taxa include

phago-mixotrophic forms that perform photosynthesis and also ingest prey, heterotrophic forms

that do not perform photosynthesis, and potentially non-phagotrophic phototrophs [12].

Chrysophytes are known to be predators of bacteria [13, 14], and isolates from Chrysophyceae

clade H have been shown to be voracious consumers of the cyanobacterium *Prochlorococcus*,

the most abundant phototroph in the ocean and a dominant primary producer in oligotrophic

waters [15]. Although molecular evidence suggests that chrysophytes are infected by viruses in

the *Nucleocytoviricota* phylum [2, 16–18], to date there are no isolated chrysophyte viruses. Here

we report the isolation of a giant virus infecting a clade H chrysophyte, ChrysoHV.

Microbial rhodopsins are light-activated ion pumps that may supplement a given

organism's energetic demands for growth and survival [19], and they are among the most

commonly found viral homologs of cellular genes [3, 4, 20]. Viral rhodopsin homologs have

been experimentally confirmed to convert light energy into electrochemical potential across

multiple clades: viral rhodopsin groups I and II [7, 21, 22], channelrhodopsin [8], and

heliorhodopsin [10]. Furthermore, these viral rhodopsin homologs are globally distributed across

aquatic habitats, with their relative abundance decreasing over depth with the attenuation of

sunlight [7, 23].

Although giant virus rhodopsin homologs are widespread and potentially functional, only

a few rhodopsin-encoding giant viruses have been isolated and remain in culture with their native

host [9, 10, 24]. In this study we identify a previously undescribed lineage of viral rhodopsin,

descended from a bacterial proteorhodopsin, encoded by ChrysoHV. The chrysophyte-virus pair

we characterize presents an ecologically relevant system for studying viral homologs of cellular

genes and other aspects of viral ecology and evolution.

Materials and Methods

Isolation and cultivation

The six mixotrophic protists used in this study include two chrysophytes (UHM3500 and

UHM3501), a bolidophyte (UHM3600), and three dictyochophytes (UHM3020, UHM3053, and

UHM3071), all of which were isolated from the epipelagic zone (5-100m) of Station ALOHA

through serial dilutions of whole seawater samples enriched with *Prochlorococcus*. Additional

details on this isolation method are described in Li *et al*. (2022) [15]. The mixotrophs were

cultivated as xenic, unialgal cultures on K medium minus dissolved, inorganic nitrogen sources

(NaNO$_3$ and NH$_4$Cl). Cultures were instead amended with cyanobacterial prey (*Prochlorococcus*

MIT9301) for their nitrogen source [15, 25]. In addition, there were two heterotrophic flagellates

used in this study, chrysophytes (UHM3530 and UHM3560) were isolated from seawater

collected from the mixed layer (5-50 m) at Station ALOHA in 2022 and 2024 through serial

dilutions of seawater samples. The heterotrophs were cultivated on filtered seawater amended

with quinoa to stimulate ambient bacteria as their food source.

The Chrysophyceae clade H virus SA1 (ChrysoHV) was isolated by challenging

Chrysophyceae clade H sp. UHM3500 with unfiltered seawater collected from 25 m depth at

Station ALOHA on 04 May 2019. This is the first chrysophyte-infecting virus isolate from

Station ALOHA, thus the strain designation SA1. The lytic agent detected in the challenge was

rendered clonal by repeated serial dilutions to extinction [26]. After discovering that ChrysoHV

could infect chrysophytes UHM3500 and UHM3501 (Supplementary Fig. S1), two

Chrysophyceae clade H representatives with distinct grazing capabilities and slightly different

18S rRNA identities [15], the virus was maintained through monthly challenges at a 300 µL:25

mL volumetric ratio of virus: chrysophyte on both UHM3500 and UHM3501, followed by

storage of whole lysate at 4°C, 3-4 days post-infection (DPI). Experiments were performed at

~200-220 $\mu E\ m^{-2}\ s^{-1}$ irradiance on a 12:12 cycle to mimic light conditions in the upper mixed

layer of the North Pacific Subtropical Gyre at Station ALOHA [27].

Host range tests and flow cytometry

We challenged in-house Ochrophyta protists, both mixotrophs and heterotrophs, with raw

ChrysoHV lysate, then tracked the population dynamics of the protists and viruses over time

using flow cytometry. Due to differences in growth patterns, the mixotrophic algal protists were

tracked every two days up to ten DPI, and the heterotrophic protists were tracked every day up to

five DPI. The experimental design included triplicate, 25 mL protist cultures for both negative

controls and infection treatments. To prepare the infection treatment, 500 µL of raw lysate (3-6 ×

10^5 virus-like particles mL^{-1} final concentration) was added to exponential or early stationary

phase protist cultures. Sample collection and storage for flow cytometry counts were based on

the protocol in Li *et al*. (2021) [28]. The samples were fixed with ice-cold glutaraldehyde at

0.5% final concentration, incubated for 15 min at 4°C, and then flash frozen in liquid nitrogen.

117   The samples were stored at -80°C up to four days, then thawed at room temperature and

processed within the same day on a CytoFlex-S (Beckman Coulter Life Sciences, Indianapolis,

IN, USA) in the Biological Electron Microscope Facility (BEMF) at the University of Hawaiʻi at

Mānoa. The samples were split into two fractions, with 200 μL allocated towards cell

abundances and loaded directly into a 96-well plate, and 5 μL allocated toward virus abundances.

These latter 5 μL fractions were diluted into 195 μL solutions of TE (pH 8.0) with 2.5X SYBR

Green I nucleic acid stain (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and

incubated at 80°C for 20 min in darkness based on the protocol of Brussaard (2004) [29], then

loaded onto a 96-well plate. The cell counts were run on auto-record for one minute at a flow

rate of 20 μL min^{-1} for the algae and 30 μL min^{-1} for the heterotrophs. The mixotroph

populations were distinguished through chlorophyll fluorescence and 488 nm, 90° side scatter

(SSC), whereas the heterotrophs were detected using SYBR Green fluorescence and 488 nm, 90°

SSC [30]. The viral-like particle (VLP) population was identified through SYBR Green

fluorescence and 405 nm, 90° violet side scatter (VSSC), enhancing marine virus detection [31].

The samples were run on auto-record for one minute at a flow rate of 10 μL min^{-1}. Using the

shapefile output, both algae and virus populations were delineated through a custom Python

script using the following packages: fcsparser (v0.2.8), pandas (v2.2.2), matplotlib (v3.7.1),

while the FlowJo (v.10.10.0) software was used to delineate the heterotrophic protist population.

Electron microscopy

136   Transmission electron microscope (TEM) micrographs of viral particles were prepared

from raw, unfixed lysate and negatively stained with 2% uranyl acetate for 45 sec on 200 mesh

copper grids coated with carbon-stabilized formvar support that had been rendered hydrophilic

by glow discharge [32]. Images were captured with a Hitachi HT7700 transmission electron

microscope (Tokyo, Japan) at the Biological Electron Microscope Facility (BEMF) at the

University of Hawaiʻi at Mānoa. Particle dimensions were measured with ImageJ from Fiji

(v2.16.0/1.54p) [33], including: capsid diameter (n = 38), envelope (n = 34), tail (n = 17), and

tail fibers (n = 6).

DNA extraction, sequencing, assembly, and annotation

To prepare sufficient viral biomass for the DNA sequencing, we extracted DNA from 610

mL of raw lysate (6.4×10^6 VLP/mL), after allowing the infection (MOI: 1) of *Chrysophyceae*

clade H sp. UHM3501 to clear for four days. The lysate was concentrated and pooled through

serial centrifugations (6X) in a 100 kDA Centricon Plus centrifugal filter (MilliporeSigma,

Burlington, MA, USA) at $3,500 \times g$ at 20°C for 15 minutes. Approximately 200 ng of DNA was

extracted from the concentrate using the ZymoBiomics Quick-DNA High Molecular Weight

MagBead Kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA, USA), flash frozen in liquid nitrogen, stored at -

20°C, then shipped on dry ice to the US Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute (JGI).

There, the DNA was sequenced using PacBio Multiplexed 6-10 kb Low Input parameters.

A PacBio Low Input (DNA) library was constructed and sequenced using the PacBio

SEQUELIIe platform (Pacific Biosciences, Menlo Park, CA USA), which generated 3,267,906

reads totaling 31,033,080,767 bp. Reads were deduplicated using pbmarkdup (v1.0.3,

pbdmarkup) [34]. BBDuk (v38.99) [35] was used to remove reads that contained PacBio control

sequences and PCR adapters, and IceCreamFinder (v38.99) [36] was used to remove reads

without SMRTbell sequences. The final filtered fastq contained 3,257,268 reads totaling

30,921,351,264 bp with mean read length of 9,493 bp.

The filtered reads (31 Gb) were assembled using Flye (v2.9) [37] with the "--meta --

pacbio-hifi" argument. From this initial assembly, viral contigs were identified and grouped

through a low-complexity binning workflow, utilizing CONCOCT (v1.1.0) [38], MaxBin

(v2.2.7) [39], MetaBAT2 (v2.15-5) [40], and SemiBin2 (v1.5.1) [41]. For each binning strategy,

the viral bin was identified by assessing the presence of giant virus core marker genes described

in Aylward *et al*. (2021) [42] through GVClass (v1.0) [43] and the MetaBAT2-generated viral

bin was used in downstream analysis. Subsequently, circular consensus sequencing reads were

recruited against these identified viral contigs, and a 100 Mb subsample of these reads was

assembled using Flye without the "--meta" argument (v2.9) [37]. This iterative assembly resulted

in one high-quality viral contig, which was polished using two rounds of Racon (v1.6.0) [44] to

ensure accuracy.

Gene calling was performed with GVClass (v1.0) [43], and the 1.196 Mb viral genome

had an estimated 93.77% coding density. Gene annotation was performed with KofamScan

(v1.3.0) [45] and eggnog mapper (v2.1.12) [46] with annotations of genes of interests confirmed

with blastp [47]. tRNAs were annotated with tRNAscan-SE (v2.0.12) [48].

Phylogenetic analysis

Phylogenetic analysis of ChrysoHV with IQ-TREE (v2.2.6) [49] was based on a

concatenated alignment of seven core proteins recommended in Aylward *et al*. (2021) [42].

Query sequences were retrieved from the GVMAGs [4] and GenBank Assembly [50] databases,

and quality filtered to contain at least four out of seven core proteins determined through

hmmsearch (v3.3.2, E-value $\leq 1 \times 10^{-10}$) [51]. Additionally, the query sequences were filtered to

remove genomes with more than 10 copies of any core protein and more than four core proteins

with multiple copies. The remaining 990 query sequences were aligned with MAFFT (v7.520)

[52] and trimmed with trimAl (-gt 0.1; v1.4.1) [53], then concatenated with a custom python

script [54]. The trimmed, concatenated alignment was used for phylogenetic analysis using the

mixture model LG+I+F+G4 and ultrafast bootstrap supports (option: -bb 1000) (v2.2.6) [49].

The tree was annotated with iTOL (v6) [55].

Gene phylogeny of microbial rhodopsins with a heliorhodopsin outgroup were built with

IQ-TREE (v2.2.6) [49] using model LG+F+R10 and ultrafast bootstrap supports (option: -bb

1000) and annotated with iTOL (v6) [55]. Representatives of rhodopsin homologs from

cultivated virus isolates include: EhV-202 (heliorhodopsin) [10], PgV-12T and -16T (viral

rhodopsin I) [24], FloV-SA2 (viral rhodopsin II) [9]. Additionally, the gene phylogeny includes

synthetic construct: vPyACR_21921 (channelrhodopsin) [8], and rhodopsin homologs from

single amplified genomes (SAGs) and metagenome assembled genomes (MAGS): ChoanoV1

(viral group II) [7], cPacV (viral group II) [56], OLPV 1 and 2 (viral groups I & II) [57]. Query

rhodopsin sequences were retrieved from NCBI and the supplementary materials of Olson *et al*.

(2018) [58]. The alignment was built with MAFFT (L-INS-i; v7.520) [52] and trimmed with

trimAl (-gt 0.1; v1.4.1) [53].

The phylogeny of near-complete 18S rRNA genes from select algae isolates were built

with IQ-TREE (v2.2.6) [49] using GTR+I+G model with ultrafast bootstrap support (option: -bb

1000) and annotated with iTOL (v6) [55]. The nucleotide sequences were retrieved from NCBI,

aligned with MAFFT (L-INS-i; v7.520) [52] and trimmed with trimAl (-gt 0.1; v1.4.1) [53].

Gene distribution in the global ocean

Comparisons to PolB amino acid sequences from the Tara Oceans dataset were

performed using blastp (v2.13.0, E-value $\leq 1 \times 10^{-120}$) [47], and sequences with 90% or greater

average amino acid identity (AAI) were plotted using a custom R script with the following

packages maps (v3.4.2), mapdata (v2.3.1), and ggplot2 (v.3.5.1). The relative abundance of the

PolB genes were normalized to total *Nucleocytoviricota* PolBs as in Endo *et al*. (2020) [2].

Likewise, ChysoHV proteorhodopsin-like protein sequences from the Tara Oceans dataset with a

minimum of 80% AAI were identified through Ocean Gene Atlas [59, 60]. The relative

abundance of ChysoHV proteorhodopsin-like protein sequences were normalized to total

metagenomic reads in the given sample [59, 60].

Protein structure predictions

The conserved domain of the ChrysoHV rhodopsin structure was predicted using

ColabFold (v1.5.5) [61] and identified using Foldseek [62] against the AlphaFold Protein

Structure Databases– SwissProt [63] and CATH (v4.4) [64]. The conserved domain of the

ChrysoHV rhodopsin and HOT 75m4 Gamma-proteobacterium proteorhodopsin structures were

rendered in ChimeraX (v1.8.dev202403010247) [65].

Results and Discussion

Host range, infection dynamics, and morphology

We tested the host range of ChrysoHV against eight protist isolates from Station

ALOHA, resulting in no lysis for six of the eight isolates (Fig. 1A, C). Representatives of

Chrysophyceae clade H, UHM3500 and UHM3501, lysed when challenged with ChrysoHV.

However, a greater proportion of UHM3501 cells lysed, resulting in the production of more

virions (Fig. 1B and Fig. S1). Despite this, the number of virions produced per cell (burst size)

was similar for each host strain, ranging from 22 to 25.5. The lower mortality rate of

Chrysophyceae clade H sp. UHM3500 may be due to the presence of resistant subpopulations or

lineage-specific host immune responses. Additionally, the activation of integrated virophages is a

hypothesis that should not be ruled out, as virophages are widespread in uncultivated members of

Chrysophyceae clade H [16, 66]. Beyond differences in mortality, the virion particles produced

from the two chrysophytes shared the same notable morphological features, *i.e.*, an icosahedral

capsid (291 ± 42 nm diameter [mean ± SD]) associated with a loose membrane (716 ± 115 nm),

and a long tail (1,202 ± 243 nm length) ending in tail fibers (206 ± 72 nm length) (Fig. 2A-C).

Genomic characterization

The ChrysoHV genome was assembled as a putatively linear DNA sequence of 1.19 Mbp

encoding 1,138 predicted genes with a G+C content of 23.42% (Fig. 2B). The ChrysoHV

genome encodes genes of diverse putative function, including the ribosomal proteins eL40 and

S27a, making it the second isolated eukaryote-infecting virus known to encode ribosomal

proteins, and the first to encode S27a (Supplementary Table S1) [9]. ChrysoHV also encodes

electron transport proteins such as cytochrome b5, widespread in prokaryotes, eukaryotes, and

giant viruses (Supplementary Table S1) [67], along with succinate dehydrogenase flavoprotein

and iron-sulfur subunits (Supplementary Table S1). Additionally, the virus encodes seven ABC

transporters— including one specific to phosphate transport (XVM28869.1) and two AmtB

ammonium transporters. Of these ammonium transporters, one (XVM28992.1) has 55% AAI to

Prochlorococcus and *Synechococcus* homologs (Supplementary Table S1). Likewise, ChrysoHV

encodes three porins with 44-66% AAI to *Prochlorococcus* iron and carbohydrate porins

(XVM28452.1, XVM28569.1, XVM28570.1), two urea ABC transporter substrate-binding

proteins with 81-90% AAI to a *Prochlorococcus* homolog (XVM29005.1, XVM29006.1), a

semiSWEET sugar transporter with 44% AAI to a *Prochlorococcus* homolog (XVM28124.1), a

sulfotransferase family protein with 55% AAI to a *Prochlorococcus* homolog (XVM28964.1),

and a N-acetylmuramoyl-L-alanine amidase for cell wall remodeling with 39% AAI to a

Prochlorococcus homolog (XVM29061.1) (Supplementary Table S1). The presence of a large

number of genes whose closest known relatives are *Prochlorococcus* homologs suggests

extensive horizontal gene transfer (HGT) from cyanobacterial prey of the chrysophyte to a giant

virus that infects it. In addition, the high frequency of nutrient transport proteins suggests that the

viral infection strategy involves modification of host nutrient uptake, or potentially viral mimicry

of the host's prey via cell surface proteins.

The ChrysoHV genome also includes a region of light-sensitive proteins, encompassing a

proteorhodopsin-like protein surrounded by two photolyases and followed by a heliorhodopsin

(Fig. 2D, Fig. 3C, Supplementary Table S1). The positioning and shared orientation of the

photolyases and heliorhodopsin around the proteorhodopsin-like protein implies that they may be

part of the same operon and function like the heliorhodopsin in *Trichococcus flocculiformis* [68].

It was proposed that this protein could bind to nearby photolyases, enhancing DNA repair [68].

Comparison to Nucleocytovirica representatives

ChrysoHV is the third cultivated isolate in the *Aliimimivirinae* subfamily of *Mimiviridae*

(Fig. 2E); other known isolates include CroV BV-PW1 [69], infecting a heterotrophic

nanoflagellate, and CV-XW01 [70], infecting a green alga. In this subfamily only two other

representatives, cPacV-1605 and GVMAG-M-3300013131-43, encode rhodopsins, which belong

to the viral rhodopsin group I clade (Fig. 2A). However, 40 other *Aliimimivirinae* representatives

encode at least one photolyase homolog (Fig. 2E), either the (6-4) photolyase or DASH-type

cryptochrome. Only ChrysoHV and cPacV-1605 encode one microbial rhodopsin with multiple

heliorhodopsins, and photolyase homologs, but cPacV's rhodopsin is placed within the Viral

Rhodopsin Group II lineage (Fig. 3A). Furthermore, instead of being nested within a light

sensitive gene neighborhood, the position of the viral rhodopsin in cPacV-1605 (locus tag:

CPAV1605_207, GenBank ID: VVU94485.1) is further away from the photolyases (locus tag:

CPAV1605_133, CPAV1605_136; GenBank ID: VVU94411.1, VVU94414.1) and

heliorhodopsins (locus tag: CPAV1605_533, CPAV1605_1309; GenBank ID: VVU95557.1,

VVU94808.1) [57]. ChrysoHV is the only cultivated giant virus to encode the proteorhodopsin

lineage of rhodopsin along with two heliorhodopsins (Fig 2E, Fig. 3A). Given the mismatched

distribution of rhodopsin and photolyase homologs within the *Aliimimivirinae* subfamily, the

presence of multiple, diverse rhodopsins in ChrysoHV may represent a unique adaptation to high

light in the surface waters of the subtropical ocean where it was isolated.

Sequence characterization of a distinct lineage of proteorhodopsin

Phylogenetic analysis of ChrysoHV's rhodopsin placed it within the proteorhodopsin

clade (Fig. 3A). These results suggest that ChrysoHV may have acquired the proteorhodopsin-

like rhodopsin from *Pelagibacter*, which is a member of the highly abundant and widespread

SAR11 clade of bacteria. Like *Prochlorococcus*, it is probably a prey organism of the

chrysophyte host. The sequence alignment of transmembrane domain helix-III (TM3) indicates

that the ChrysoHV rhodopsin is spectrally tuned to blue-light (glutamine, Q; Fig. 3A), which is

the dominant spectral tuning amino acid in proteorhodopsins from Station ALOHA, the isolation

site of ChrysoHV [58]. The two most closely related rhodopsin sequences were recovered from

metagenomes from the Black Sea at 50 m depth (SAMN27960419) and the North Pacific at 5 m

depth in the 0.22-3μm size fraction (SAMEA2623059). These rhodopsins may also absorb blue

light (Fig. 3A) and share a poly-N (asparagine) tract at the start of the rhodopsin coding

sequence.

While ChrysoHV lacks the conserved DTE proton pumping motif found in most

proteorhodopsins, it possesses an ETL motif (Fig. 3A), which has been detected in

proteorhodopsins from deep water at Station ALOHA (Fig. 3A) [58]. A comparison of the

predicted structure of the conserved domain of the ChrysoHV rhodopsin against existing

functional characterizations revealed that it was most similar to the uncultured gamma-

proteobacterium clone HOT 75m4 rhodopsin (Fig. 3B). This rhodopsin was confirmed to pump

protons (H^+) [71]. Although the AAI was not the highest (35.9%), the HOT 75m4

proteorhodopsin was also retrieved from Station ALOHA [71].

ChrysoHV does not encode β-carotene, a precursor to retinal, the light-absorbing

chromophore in proteorhodopsin, and the salt bridge between K102 and E228 most likely

inhibits retinal binding. Further investigation is needed to confirm whether the function of the

ChrysoHV proteorhodopsin-like protein is light-independent [72]. This loss of retinal-binding

could explain the long branch of the ChrysoHV PR-like rhodopsins (Fig. 3A). Understanding

how the rhodopsin may function without retinal-binding could be important to interpreting the

function of viral rhodopsins detected below the photic zone [73, 74].

Global ocean distribution of closely related ChrysoHV phylotypes and proteorhodopsins

Analysis on the distribution of ChrysoHV phylotypes (≥ 90% PolB AAI) from the TARA

Oceans dataset [2] revealed their prevalence in subtropical surface ocean sites in the Pacific and

Indian Ocean as well as the Mediterranean Sea (Fig. 4A). Notably, at TARA station 132, north of

the Hawaiian archipelago, ChrysoHV phylotypes were detected in both the mixed layer and

mesopelagic zone, suggesting connectivity between surface and deep ocean virus populations.

The abundance of the ChrysoHV proteorhodopsin-like protein (≥ 80% PolB AAI) was also

highest in the subtropical surface ocean (Fig. 4B), but detected at fewer overall sites than the

ChrysoHV PolB. Additionally, the ChrysoHV proteorhodopsin relative abundance was highest at

TARA station 132, indicating that these proteorhodopsin sequences may be derived from a

ChrysoHV phylotype.

Conclusion

In the marine environment, diverse microorganisms from proteobacteria to microalgae

utilize rhodopsins to supplement their energetic needs [58, 75]. SAR11 bacteria, of which

Pelagibacter is an abundant subclade, account for 25% of all plankton [76], and are a likely prey

source for mixotrophic algae such as *Chrysophyceae clade H* sp. UHM3500 and UHM3501. The

discovery of a proteorhodopsin-like protein encoded by a giant virus supports the conceptual

model that lateral gene transfer is driven by the co-mingling of infectious virions and ingested

prey within the same host cell [77]. The presence of nine genes whose closest known relatives

are *Prochlorococcus* homologs further suggests that prey-virus HGT has played an important

role in the evolution of ChrysoHV. By leveraging naturally co-occurring and experimentally

accessible virus-host pairs, this study lays the groundwork for future investigations into how the

virocell environment of predatory protists influences gene acquisition in giant viruses. As the

only cultivated isolate known to encode one microbial rhodopsin and two heliorhodopsins, this

system provides the opportunity for novel insights into the role of rhodopsins in giant virus

infection strategies.

Figures

Figure 1. (A) Time series of protist abundance (cells/mL) in response to the addition of raw

ChrysoHV lysate. Days pre- and post-infection are displayed on the x-axis. The algae were

isolated from Station ALOHA in the North Pacific Subtropical Gyre, and include: *Florenciella*

so. UHM3020, *Dictyochophyceae clade X* sp. UHM3054, *Rhizochromulina* sp. UHM3071,

Chrysophyceae clade H sp. UHM3500, *Chrysophyceae clade H* sp. UHM3501, *Triparma* sp.

UHM3600, *Spumella* sp. UHM3530, and *Paraphysomonas* sp. UHM3560. The control treatment

is shown in the gray line with white-fill points, and the infection treatment shown in the black

line with black-fill points. Error bars represent the standard deviation of the triplicate counts. (B)

Time series of ChrysoHV abundance (VLP/mL) propagated on permissive hosts. Error bars

represent the standard deviation of the triplicate counts. (C) ML 18S rRNA phylogeny

(GTR+I+R model) of the Ochrophyta phylum with isolates challenged in bold. The white or

black boxes by the bolded isolate names indicate whether the protist culture did not lyse (white

with "X") or lysed and produced virions (black) in response to the ChrysoHV challenge. The

node symbols indicate the bootstrap values equal to or greater than 80% (white), 90% (gray) or

100% (black). There is no node symbol for branches with less than 80% support.

Figure 2. (A) Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) negative stain micrograph of ChrysoHV

propagated on UHM3501. (B, C) TEM negative stain micrograph of ChrysoHV propagated on

UHM3500. (D) Genome plot of ChrysoHV with track placement and color showing gene lineage

annotated according to domain, inner to outer tracks showing: prokaryote (blue), eukaryote

(yellow), and virus (black). Phylogenetically-informative giant virus marker genes are

represented as black dots on the outside track and show gene name. The genes used in the

Nucleocytoviricota phylogenetic analysis are bolded. (E) Maximum Likelihood (ML) phylogeny

of the *Nucleocytoviricota* phyluminferred from a concatenated alignment of amino acid

sequences of marker genes (TopoII, RNAPL, TFIIB, VLTFB, A32, PolB, SFII) using model

LG+I+F+G4 with ultrafast bootstrap supports (-bb 1000) shown by node symbol color– white ($\geq$

80%), gray ($\geq$ 90%), and black (100%) with no node symbol for supports < 80%. The tree is

rooted with *Chitovirales* as the outgroup, and the scale bar represents one amino acid substitution

per site. The frequency of light-sensitive proteins: microbial rhodopsins, heliorhodopsins, and

photolyases present in the *Aliimimivirinae* subfamily are annotated in the grayscale matrix and

the environment the virus was collected from is shown in jade (freshwater), blue (marine), and

brown (terrestrial).

Figure 3. (A) Microbial rhodopsin ML phylogeny with heliorhodopsin as the outgroup, built

with model LG+F+R10. Select representatives of viral rhodopsin homologs and putative

chrysophyte rhodopsins are referred to in the parenthesis of their corresponding collapsed clade.

The proteorhodopsin (PR) clade is annotated with the alignment of transmembrane helix 3

(TM3) outlining the ion-pumping motif (gray) and the spectral tuning amino acid (green or blue).

Residues that differ from the most common residue at that locus are in bold (B). The predicted

structure of ChrysoHV's proteorhodopsin-like protein conserved domain (yellow) and the HOT

75m4 Gamma-proteobacterium proteorhodopsin (blue). (C) Gene neighborhood of light-

sensitive proteins in ChrysoHV, showing the microbial rhodopsin (locus tag: ChrysoHV_0101;

GenBank ID: XVM28022.1) between two photolyases (locus tag: ChrysoHV_0095,

ChyrsoHV_0104; GenBank ID: XVM28016.1, XVM28025.1) and one heliorhodopsin (locus

tag: ChrysoHV_0106, GenBank ID: XVM28027.1).

Figure 4. (A) Global distribution of phylotypes with ≥ 90% PolB AAI to ChrysoHV from the

Tara Oceans dataset. Size of the circle indicates the relative abundance of the summed

phylotypes at each given sample site to total *Nucleocytoviricota* PolB sequences per site. (B)

Global distribution of homologous proteorhodopsins with ≥ 80% AAI to the ChrysoHV

proteorhodopsins with size of the circle indicating relative abundance normalized to total

metagenomic reads per site. Sample depth is shown through color: surface (SRF: 3-7 m, orange),

deep chlorophyll maximum (DCM: 7-200 m, green), and mesopelagic (MES: 200-1,000 m,

purple).

Acknowledgements

We thank the personnel of the Hawaiʻi Ocean Time-series program (NSF award 12-60164) and

Research Vessel Kilo Moana for assistance with seawater collection. We thank the Biological

Electron Microscope Facility (BEMF) at the University of Hawaiʻi at Mānoa, especially facility

supervisors, Tina Weatherby and Dr. Orion Rivers, for their assistance with electron microscopy

procedures. A special thanks to laboratory technician Kelsey McBeain for maintenance of the

UHM library culture collection, and US DOE Joint Genome Institute Scientific Project Manager,

Kerrie Barry, for overseeing DNA library preparation, quality control, and sequencing of

ChrysoHV.

We are grateful for the thoughtful feedback provided by Dr. Karen Selph (Department of

Oceanography, University of Hawaiʻi at Mānoa), Dr. Alison Sherwood (School of Life Sciences,

University of Hawaiʻi at Mānoa), Dr. Oded Béjà (Faculty of Biology, Technion-Israel Institute of

Technology), and Dr. Andrey Rozenberg (Faculty of Biology, Technion-Israel Institute of

Technology).

Funding

This work was supported by the US National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship

Program (Grant No. 1842402 to PB), Division of Ocean Sciences (Grant No. 2129697 to GFS

and KFE), and RII Track-2 FEC (Grant No. 1736030 to GFS and KFE). The work conducted by

the US Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute (https://ror.org/04xm1d337), a Department

of Energy (DOE) Office of Science User Facility, was supported by the Office of Science of the

US DOE, operated under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231.

Author Contributions

GFS, KFE, and PB developed, designed, and acquired funding support for the project. CRS

isolated the virus and algae strains. CW and QL assisted with the collection of virus host-range

data. FS provided sequencing support and supervised the bioinformatics analyses. KL assisted

with the virus genome assembly. JT assisted with gene phylogeny workflows. CRS and PB

imaged the virus. PB wrote the manuscript with input from all authors.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Data Availability

ChrysoHV sequencing data is available through the NCBI sequence read archive SRR34002084,

and the genome assembly has been deposited to NCBI GenBank under accession ID PV764857.

The mixotrophic protists used in the study, GenBank IDs: MZ611734.1 (*Chrysophyceae clade H*

sp. UHM3500), MZ611720.1 (*Chrysophyceae clade H* sp. UHM3501), MN615710.1

(*Florenciella* sp. UHM3020), MZ611733.1 (*Dictyochophyceae clade X* sp. UHM3020),

MZ611705.1 (*Rhizochromulina* sp. UHM3071), MZ611730.1 (*Triparma* sp. UHM3600), are

available through NCMA. The virus and heterotrophic protists used in this study, GenBank IDs:

PV717318.1 (*Paraphysomonas* sp. UHM3560) and PV717319.1 (*Spumella* sp. UHM3530), are

available through the Marine Viral Ecology Laboratories at the University of Hawai'i at Mānoa

upon request to KFE and GFS. Additional data and scripts on gene alignments, phylogenies,

genome annotation, protein structural predictions, host range challenges, and biogeography are

available on the Dryad repository:

http://datadryad.org/share/8duyiXJ3riDLgLbseVaWvUBnbOPOM4SkBw9WNBaRYA4.

References

1. Aylward FO et al. Taxonomic update for giant viruses in the order Imitervirales (phylum

Nucleocytoviricota). *Arch Virol* 2023;**168**:283. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-023-05906-3

2. Endo H et al. Biogeography of marine giant viruses reveals their interplay with eukaryotes and

ecological functions. *Nat Ecol Evol* 2020;**4**:1639–1649. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-020-

01288-w

3. Moniruzzaman M et al. Dynamic genome evolution and complex virocell metabolism of

globally-distributed giant viruses. *Nat Commun* 2020;**11**:1710. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-

020-15507-2

4. Schulz F et al. Giant virus diversity and host interactions through global metagenomics. *Nature*

2020;**578**:432–436. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-1957-x

5. Bosmon T, Abergel C, Claverie J-M. 20 years of research on giant viruses. *Npj Viruses*

2025;**3**:9. https://doi.org/10.1038/s44298-025-00093-1

6. Moniruzzaman M et al. Virologs, viral mimicry, and virocell metabolism: the expanding scale

of cellular functions encoded in the complex genomes of giant viruses. *FEMS Microbiol Rev*

2023;**47**:fuad053. https://doi.org/10.1093/femsre/fuad053

7. Needham DM et al. A distinct lineage of giant viruses brings a rhodopsin photosystem to

unicellular marine predators. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2019;**116**:20574–20583.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1907517116

8. Rozenberg A et al. Lateral gene transfer of anion-conducting channelrhodopsins between green

algae and giant viruses. *Curr Biol* 2020;**30**:4910-4920.e5.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2020.09.056

9. Thomy J et al. Eukaryotic viruses encode the ribosomal protein eL40. *Npj Viruses* 2024;**2**:51.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s44298-024-00060-2

10. Hososhima S et al. Proton-transporting heliorhodopsins from marine giant viruses. *eLife*

2022;**11**:e78416. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.78416

11. Del Campo J, Massana R. Emerging diversity within chrysophytes, choanoflagellates and

bicosoecids based on molecular surveys. *Protist* 2011;**162**:435–448.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.protis.2010.10.003

12. Olefeld JL et al. Genome size of chrysophytes varies with cell size and nutritional mode. *Org*

Divers Evol 2018;**18**:163–173. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13127-018-0365-7

13. Frias-Lopez J et al. Use of stable isotope-labelled cells to identify active grazers of

picocyanobacteria in ocean surface waters. *Environ Microbiol* 2009;**11**:512–525.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2008.01793.x

14. Wilken S et al. Choanoflagellates alongside diverse uncultured predatory protists consume the

abundant open-ocean cyanobacterium *Prochlorococcus*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*

2023;**120**:e2302388120. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2302388120

15. Li Q et al. Broad phylogenetic and functional diversity among mixotrophic consumers of

Prochlorococcus. *ISME J* 2022;**16**:1557–1569. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-022-01204-z

16. Castillo YM et al. Assessing the viral content of uncultured picoeukaryotes in the global-ocean

by single cell genomics. *Mol Ecol* 2019;**28**:4272–4289. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15210

17. Fromm A et al. Single-cell RNA-seq of the rare virosphere reveals the native hosts of giant

viruses in the marine environment. *Nat Microbiol* 2024;**9**:1619–1629.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-024-01669-y

18. Michoud G et al. Mapping the metagenomic diversity of the multi-kingdom glacier-fed stream

microbiome. *Nat Microbiol* 2025;**10**:217–230. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-024-01874-9

19. Pinhassi J et al. Marine Bacterial and archaeal ion-pumping rhodopsins: genetic diversity,

physiology, and ecology. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 2016;**80**:929–954.

https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.00003-16

20. Yutin N, Koonin EV. Proteorhodopsin genes in giant viruses. *Biol Direct* 2012;**7**:34.

https://doi.org/10.1186/1745-6150-7-34

21. Bratanov D et al. Unique structure and function of viral rhodopsins. *Nat Commun*

2019;**10**:4939. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12718-0

22. Zabelskii D et al. Viral rhodopsins 1 are an unique family of light-gated cation channels. *Nat*

Commun 2020;**11**:5707. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-19457-7

23. Sheam MM, Luo E. Vertical transport and spatiotemporal dynamics of giant viruses in the

North Pacific subtropical gyre. *ISME J* 2025;**19**:wraf094.

https://doi.org/10.1093/ismejo/wraf094

24. Brussaard CPD et al. Phaeocystis and its interaction with viruses. *Biogeochemistry*

2007;**83**:201–215. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-007-9096-0

25. Keller MD et al. Media for the culture of oceanic ultraphytoplankton[1,2]. *J Phycol* 1987;**23**:633–

638. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-8817.1987.tb04217.x

26. Nagasaki K, Bratbak G. Isolation of viruses infecting photosynthetic and nonphotosynthetic

protists. In: Wilhelm S, Weinbauer M, Suttle C (eds), Manual of Aquatic Viral Ecology.

American Society of Limnology and Oceanography, 2010, 92–101.

27. Letelier RM et al. Light absorption by phytoplankton in the North Pacific Subtropical Gyre.

Limnol Oceanogr 2017;**62**:1526–1540. https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.10515

28. Li Q et al. Plasticity in the grazing ecophysiology of *Florenciella* (Dichtyochophyceae), a

mixotrophic nanoflagellate that consumes *Prochlorococcus* and other bacteria. *Limnol*

Oceanogr 2021;**66**:47–60. https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.11585

29. Brussaard CPD. Optimization of procedures for counting viruses by flow cytometry. *Appl*

Environ Microbiol 2004;**70**:1506–1513. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.70.3.1506-1513.2004

30. Christaki U et al. Optimized routine flow cytometric enumeration of heterotrophic flagellates

using SYBR Green I. *Limnol Oceanogr Methods* 2011;**9**:329–339.

https://doi.org/10.4319/lom.2011.9.329

31. Zhao Y et al. Enhanced resolution of marine viruses with violet side scatter. *Cytometry A*

2023;**103**:260–268. https://doi.org/10.1002/cyto.a.24674

32. Doane FW, Anderson N. Electron Microscopy in Diagnostic Virology: A Practical Guide and

Atlas. New York: Cambridge University Press, 1987.

33. Schindelin J et al. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat Methods*

2012;**9**:676–682. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2019

34. PacificBiosciences. pbdmarkdup. https://github.com/PacificBiosciences/pbmarkdup (25

February 2025, date last accessed)

35. BioInfoTools. BBMap. https://github.com/BioInfoTools/BBMap/blob/master/sh/bbduk.sh (21

February 2021, date last accessed)

36. Kbaseapps. BBTools. https://github.com/kbaseapps/BBTools?tab=readme-ov-file (21 February

2021, date last accessed)

37. Kolmogorov M et al. Assembly of long, error-prone reads using repeat graphs. *Nat Biotechnol*

2019;**37**:540–546. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0072-8

38. Alneberg J et al. Binning metagenomic contigs by coverage and composition. *Nat Methods*

2014;**11**:1144–1146. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3103

39. Wu Y-W et al. MaxBin: an automated binning method to recover individual genomes from

metagenomes using an expectation-maximization algorithm. *Microbiome* 2014;**2**:26.

https://doi.org/10.1186/2049-2618-2-26

40. Kang DD et al. MetaBAT 2: an adaptive binning algorithm for robust and efficient genome

reconstruction from metagenome assemblies. *PeerJ* 2019;**7**:e7359.

https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.7359

41. Pan S, Zhao X-M, Coelho LP. SemiBin2: self-supervised contrastive learning leads to better

MAGs for short- and long-read sequencing. *Bioinformatics* 2023;**39**:i21–i29.

https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btad209

42. Aylward FO et al. A phylogenomic framework for charting the diversity and evolution of giant

viruses. *PLOS Biol* 2021;**19**:e3001430. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3001430

43. Pitot TM, Brůna T, Schulz F. Conservative taxonomy and quality assessment of giant virus

genomes with GVClass. *Npj Viruses* 2024;**2**:60. https://doi.org/10.1038/s44298-024-00069-7

44. Vaser R et al. Fast and accurate de novo genome assembly from long uncorrected reads.

Genome Res 2017;**27**:737–746. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.214270.116

45. Aramaki T et al. KofamKOALA: KEGG Ortholog assignment based on profile HMM and

adaptive score threshold. *Bioinformatics* 2020;**36**:2251–2252.

https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btz859

46. Cantalapiedra CP et al. eggNOG-mapper v2: functional annotation, orthology assignments, and

domain prediction at the metagenomic scale. *Mol Biol Evol* 2021;**38**:5825–5829.

https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msab293

47. Camacho C et al. BLAST+: architecture and applications. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2009;**10**:421.

https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-10-421

48. Chan PP et al. tRNAscan-SE 2.0: improved detection and functional classification of transfer

RNA genes. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2021;**49**:9077–9096. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab688

49. Minh BQ et al. IQ-TREE 2: New models and efficient methods for phylogenetic inference in

the genomic era. *Mol Biol Evol* 2020;**37**:1530–1534. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msaa015

50. Benson DA et al. GenBank. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2012;**41**:D36–D42.

https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks1195

51. Eddy SR. Accelerated profile HMM searches. *PLoS Comput Biol* 2011;**7**:e1002195.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002195

52. Katoh K, Standley DM. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:

improvements in performance and usability. *Mol Biol Evol* 2013;**30**:772–780.

https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst010

53. Capella-Gutiérrez S, Silla-Martínez JM, Gabaldón T. trimAl: a tool for automated alignment

trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. *Bioinformatics* 2009;**25**:1972–1973.

https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp348

54. NeLli-team. nsgtree. https://github.com/NeLLi-team/nsgtree (03 March 2024, date last

accessed)

55. Letunic I, Bork P. Interactive Tree of Life (iTOL) v6: recent updates to the phylogenetic tree

display and annotation tool. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2024;**52**:W78–W82.

https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkae268

56. Needham DM et al. Targeted metagenomic recovery of four divergent viruses reveals shared

and distinctive characteristics of giant viruses of marine eukaryotes. *Philos Trans R Soc B Biol*

Sci 2019;**374**:20190086. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2019.0086

57. Yau S et al. Virophage control of antarctic algal host–virus dynamics. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*

2011;**108**:6163–6168. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1018221108

58. Olson DK et al. Proteorhodopsin variability and distribution in the North Pacific Subtropical

Gyre. *ISME J* 2018;**12**:1047–1060. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-018-0074-4

59. Villar E et al. The Ocean Gene Atlas: exploring the biogeography of plankton genes online.

Nucleic Acids Res 2018;**46**:W289–W295. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky376

60. Vernette C et al. The Ocean Gene Atlas v2.0: online exploration of the biogeography and

phylogeny of plankton genes. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2022;**50**:W516–W526.

https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkac420

61. Mirdita M et al. ColabFold: making protein folding accessible to all. *Nat Methods* 2022;**19**:679–

682. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-022-01488-1

62. Van Kempen M et al. Fast and accurate protein structure search with Foldseek. *Nat Biotechnol*

2024;**42**:243–246. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-023-01773-0

63. The UniProt Consortium. UniProt: the universal protein knowledgebase. *Nucleic Acids Res*

2017;**45**:D158–D169. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw1099

64. Waman VP et al. CATH v4.4: major expansion of CATH by experimental and predicted

structural data. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2025;**53**:D348–D355. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkae1087

65. Meng EC et al. UCSF CHIMERAX: Tools for structure building and analysis. *Protein Sci*

2023;**32**. https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.4792

66. Bellas C et al. Large-scale invasion of unicellular eukaryotic genomes by integrating DNA

viruses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2023;**120**:e2300465120. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2300465120

67. Lamb DC et al. Cytochrome b5 occurrence in giant and other viruses belonging to the phylum

Nucleocytoviricota. *Npj Viruses* 2025;**3**:8. https://doi.org/10.1038/s44298-025-00091-3

68. Shim J et al. Heliorhodopsin helps photolyase to enhance the DNA repair capacity. *Microbiol*

Spectr 2022;**10**:e02215-22. https://doi.org/10.1128/spectrum.02215-22

69. Fischer MG et al. Giant virus with a remarkable complement of genes infects marine

zooplankton. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2010;**107**:19508–19513.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1007615107

70. Sheng Y et al. Isolation and identification of a large green alga virus (*Chlorella* Virus XW01) of

Mimiviridae and Its Virophage (*Chlorella* Virus Virophage SW01) by using unicellular green

algal cultures. *J Virol* 2022;**96**:e02114-21. https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.02114-21

71. Ran T et al. Cross-protomer interaction with the photoactive site in oligomeric proteorhodopsin

complexes. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* 2013;**69**:1965–1980.

https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444913017575

72. Fang J et al. Scramblase activity of proteorhodopsin confers physiological advantages to

Escherichia coli in the absence of light. *iScience* 2023;**26**:108551.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2023.108551

73. Zhang L et al. Spatiotemporal dynamics of giant viruses within a deep freshwater lake reveal a

distinct dark-water community. *ISME J* 2024;**18**:wrae182.

https://doi.org/10.1093/ismejo/wrae182

74. Pitot TM et al. Viral niche-partitioning: comparative genomics of giant viruses across

environmental gradients in a high Arctic freshwater-saltwater lake. *ISME Commun*

2025;**5**:ycae155. https://doi.org/10.1093/ismeco/ycae155

75. Strauss J et al. Plastid-localized xanthorhodopsin increases diatom biomass and ecosystem

productivity in iron-limited surface oceans. *Nat Microbiol* 2023;**8**:2050–2066.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-023-01498-5

76. Giovannoni SJ. SAR11 bacteria: the most abundant plankton in the oceans. *Annu Rev Mar Sci*

2017;**9**:231–255. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-010814-015934

77. Filée J, Siguier P, Chandler M. I am what I eat and I eat what I am: acquisition of bacterial

genes by giant viruses. *Trends Genet* 2007;**23**:10–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2006.11.002