Functional impact of pathogenic Runt domain mutations in *Runx2 in vivo*: Insights into the skeletal and dental anomalies of cleidocranial dysplasia

Saki Ogawa[1,2,*], Shinnosuke Higuchi[1,*], Yuki Yoshimoto[1,*,§], Mari Hoshino[1,3], Shigenori Miura[1], Atsuko Hamada[3], Hitomi Watanabe[4], Tetsushi Sakuma[5], Kadi Hu[1], Shun Ogata[1,2], Kenta Uchibe[6], Katsumi Fujimoto[1], Takashi Yamamoto[7], Tetsuji Okamoto[3], Ryo Kunimatsu[2], Yusuke Sotomaru[8], Kotaro Tanimoto[2], Gen Kondoh[4], Denitsa Docheva[9], and Chisa Shukunami[1]†

[1]Department of Molecular Biology and Biochemistry, Graduate School of Biomedical & Health Sciences, Hiroshima University, Hiroshima 734-8553, Japan

[2]Department of Orthodontics and Craniofacial Developmental Biology, Graduate School of Biomedical and Health Sciences, Hiroshima University, Hiroshima 734-8553, Japan

[3]Department of Oral Oncology, Graduate School of Biomedical & Health Sciences, Hiroshima University, Hiroshima 734-8553, Japan

[4]Laboratory of Integrative Biological Science, Institute for Life and Medical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8507, Japan

[5]Graduate School of Agriculture, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

[6]Department of Maxillofacial Anatomy and Neuroscience, Graduate School of Biomedical & Health Sciences, Hiroshima University, Hiroshima 734-8553, Japan

[7]Division of Integrated Sciences for Life, Graduate School of Integrated Sciences for Life, Hiroshima University, Higashi-Hiroshima, Hiroshima 739-8526, Japan

[8]Natural Science Center for Basic Research and Development, Hiroshima University, Hiroshima 734-8553, Japan

25 [9]Department of Musculoskeletal Tissue Regeneration, Orthopaedic Hospital König-Ludwig-

26 Haus, Julius-Maximilians-University Würzburg, 97074 Würzburg, Germany

28 [§]**Present address:** Department of Molecular Craniofacial Embryology and Oral Histology,

29 Graduate School of Medical and Dental Sciences, Institute of Science Tokyo, Tokyo 113-

30 8510, Japan

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

†Address all correspondence to Chisa Shukunami, DDS, Ph.D.

Department of Molecular Biology and Biochemistry, Graduate School of Biomedical &

Health Sciences, Hiroshima University, Hiroshima 734-8553, Japan

Tel: +81-82-257-5628

shukunam@hiroshima-u.ac.jp

ABSTRACT

Runt-related transcription factor 2 (RUNX2) is a key transcription factor for skeletogenesis, and mutations in its gene cause cleidocranial dysplasia (CCD), an autosomal dominant skeletal disorder. The evolutionarily conserved 128-amino acid Runt homology domain (RHD) of human RUNX2 is essential for DNA binding and heterodimerization, and serves as a mutation hotspot associated with severe CCD phenotypes. To elucidate the functional impact of pathogenic RHD mutations *in vivo*, we generated two novel mouse lines: one carrying a missense mutation, c.695G>A (p.R232Q) (*Runx2*$^{m/+}$), corresponding to the human *RUNX2* c.674G>A (p.R225Q), and the other harboring a frameshift mutation, c.697_698delGA (p.E233TfsTer9) (*Runx2*$^{\Delta 2/+}$), causing a premature stop codon. Homozygous *Runx2*$^{m/m}$ and *Runx2*$^{\Delta 2/\Delta 2}$ mice exhibited a complete loss of membranous ossification, whereas heterozygous *Runx2*$^{m/+}$ and *Runx2*$^{\Delta 2/+}$ mice displayed typical CCD-like skeletal features, including an open anterior fontanelle and clavicle hypoplasia. Unexpectedly, heterozygotes carrying pathogenic mutations in RHD developed an accessory root-like protrusion at the furcation of three-rooted maxillary first molars, representing a previously unrecognized dental phenotype during root development. Dual luciferase assays revealed impaired transactivation of the p.R232Q mutant Runx2 on the osteocalcin enhancer/promoter. Wild-type Runx2 was robustly expressed in osteoblasts and hypertrophic chondrocytes during bone formation, but the mutant Runx2 exhibited reduced expression in hypertrophic chondrocytes and partially impaired nuclear localization, resulting in arrested osteoblast and chondrocyte maturation. Our mutant mouse model provides a valuable *in vivo* platform to study CCD pathogenesis, mechanisms of tooth root furcation, and therapeutic interventions targeting dysfunctional RHD.

INTRODUCTION

Cleidocranial dysplasia (CCD; OMIM #119600) is a rare autosomal dominant skeletal and dental disorder caused by haploinsufficiency of the *Runt-related transcription factor 2* (*RUNX2*)[1,2]. CCD affects one in a million people worldwide, with no gender or ethnic differences, although mild cases may be underdiagnosed[3]. Clinical features include clavicular hypoplasia or aplasia, midfacial hypoplasia, delayed closure of cranial sutures, Wormian bones, and a persistent anterior fontanelle closure, often with short stature and dental anomalies[1]. Prolonged retention of deciduous teeth and multiple unerupted supernumerary permanent teeth are frequently encountered in dental clinics[3-5], requiring long-term multidisciplinary care, including oral and maxillofacial surgery and orthodontics, to manage function, aesthetics, and patient burden.

The human *RUNX2* and mouse *Runx2* genes comprise 8 exons, spanning approximately 218 kb (hg38, NM_001024630.4) and 206 kb. (mm39, NM001271627.2), respectively[6,7]. Homozygous *Runx2*-deficient mice die shortly after birth from respiratory failure, exhibiting a complete lack of ossification and arrested embryonic tooth development, while heterozygous mice exhibit persistent open anterior fontanelle, clavicle hypoplasia, and other skeletal abnormalities resembling human CCD[2,8]. RUNX2/Runx2 is a key transcription factor in skeletal development, regulating mesenchymal commitment to the osteoblastic lineage, chondrocyte and osteoblast proliferation, chondrocyte maturation, and transdifferentiation of hypertrophic chondrocytes into osteoblasts[9,10]. Intramembranous ossification strongly depends on *Runx2* and requires higher levels of its expression compared to endochondral ossification[6]. In $Runx2^{+/-}$ mice, as in human CCD, delayed eruption of incisors and maxillary first molars occurs due to impaired osteoclast recruitment in the alveolar bone, although neither impacted nor supernumerary teeth are present[11].

RUNX2 contains several functional domains, including a glutamine alanine-rich domain

(QA), a highly conserved Runt homology domain (RHD), a proline serine threonine domain

(PST), and a C-terminal VWRPY motif, and harbors a nuclear transition signal (NLS) at the C-

terminus of the RHD and a nuclear matrix targeting signal (NMTS)[12]. More than 200 *RUNX2*

mutations have been reported in CCD patients, including frameshift, missense, nonsense, and

splice-site variants[7,13]. While nonsense and frameshift mutations are distributed throughout the

gene, most missense mutations cluster within the 128-amino acid RHD, which is critical for

DNA binding and heterodimerization with core-binding factor subunit β (Cbfb)[7,14,15]. Many

pathogenic mutations associated with CCD, especially those linked to severe dental anomalies,

are missense or nonsense mutations affecting the RHD[7]. However, the mechanisms by which

these mutations cause severe CCD have long remained unclear, owing to the absence of *in vivo*

models with RHD-specific mutations and the limitations of cellular systems that cannot fully

recapitulate the disease complexity.

Recently, several disease-specific induced pluripotent stem (iPS) cell lines carrying

mutations such as p.R225Q, p.S124W, p.R391X, and p.Q67X have been established from

patients[16-18]. iPS cells harboring nonsense mutations p.Q67X in the QA domain and p.R391X

in the NTMS domain exhibit reduced osteogenic differentiation capacity[16]. Transplantation of

osteoblasts induced from p.Q67X iPS cells into rat calvarial defects led to limited bone

regeneration, which was rescued by genetic correction of the *RUNX2* mutation[16]. While

disease-specific iPS cells are useful for modeling altered differentiation potential and cellular

behavior *in vitro* but fail to recapitulate morphogenetic processes during development.

Furthermore, iPS cells from CCD patients with heterozygous *RUNX2* mutations do not allow

comprehensive evaluation of mutant protein function. Despite extensive studies on RUNX2

and CCD, the *in vivo* impact of RHD mutations is still not well characterized.

In this study, we generated two novel mouse models carrying RHD mutations: a missense

mutation (c.695G>A; p.R232Q) and a frameshift mutation (c.697_698delGA; p.E233TfsTer9).

These models serve as a robust platform for investigating CCD pathogenesis and uncover

previously unrecognized abnormalities in tooth root furcation. By enabling detailed functional

analysis of RHD mutations in a disease-relevant context, they provide new insights into CCD

pathogenesis and may contribute to the development of targeted therapies.

RESULTS

Establishment of CCD mouse models harboring *Runx2* missense or frameshift mutations

The mouse *Runx2* gene, located on chromosome 17 and composed of 8 exons, is transcribed

from two distinct promoters: distal P1 and proximal P2, generating isoforms with different N-

terminal amino acid sequences[7] (Fig. 1a, Fig. S1a). The 128-amino-acid RHD crucial for DNA

binding, is encoded by exons 2 to 4, and the NLS by exons 4 and 5 (Fig. 1b). CCD patients with

a heterozygous p.R225Q mutation in human *RUNX2* show multiple supernumerary teeth and

membranous bone dysplasia[17,19-21]. In mouse *Runx2*, this mutation corresponds to p.R232Q

owing to seven additional amino acids at the N-terminus. We introduced the c.695G>A

(p.R232Q) mutation into the RHD of *Runx2*. To introduce the mutation via homology-directed

repair (HDR), we used Platinum TALENs and a single-stranded oligodeoxynucleotide (ssODN)

containing the c.695G>A substitution and a silent ApaI site for genotyping (Fig. 1a).

Microinjection of Platinum TALEN mRNAs and a designed ssODN into fertilized eggs

generated 51 mice, including 14 with non-homologous end joining induced indels and 2 carried

the HDR-mediated knock-in allele (Table S1). Lines carrying the missense (*m*) or the double-

nucleotide deletion (*Δ2*) mutation were established from founder mice (Fig. 1c, Fig. S1a, b). In

Δ2 mutants, deletion of nucleotides 697G and 698A caused a frameshift mutation, generating a

premature stop codon at 241 (Fig. S1b, Fig. 1c). For genotyping, SmaI digestion yielded 115

bp and 80 bp fragments for the wild-type allele, while mutant alleles produced an undigested

195 bp fragment, and ApaI digestion generated 113 bp and 82 bp fragments specific to the

missense mutant allele (Fig. S2). Direct sequencing confirmed that $Runx2^{m/+}$ carried both the

c.690T>G silent andc.695G>A missense mutations, while $Runx2^{\Delta2/+}$ harbored a two-nucleotide

deletion causing a premature stop codon. (Fig. 1d). Thus, we successfully established two

distinct CCD model mice: one with a clinically relevant RHD missense mutation associated

with severe CCD and another with a frameshift mutation.

Defective bone formation in homologous $Runx2$ RHD-mutant mice

At embryonic day 18.5 (E18.5), $Runx2^{+/+}$, $Runx2^{m/+}$, and $Runx2^{\Delta2/+}$ embryos were similar in

size and morphology, while $Runx2^{m/m}$ and $Runx2^{\Delta2/\Delta2}$ embryos were smaller with limb

shortening, facial underdevelopment, and calvarial defects (Fig. S3a-e). Skeletal development

at E18.5 was compared among wild-type, heterozygous, and homozygous $Runx2$ RHD mutants

(Fig. 2a-j). In $Runx2^{+/+}$ embryos, intramembranous ossification progressed in the calvaria and

clavicles, and endochondral ossification in the axial and appendicular skeletons (Fig. 2a, f, g).

In $Runx2^{m/+}$ or $Runx2^{\Delta2/+}$ embryos, intramembranous ossification occurred but was impaired,

causing clavicle hypoplasia and delayed fontanelle closure (Fig. 2b, c, h, i). In $Runx2^{m/m}$ or

$Runx2^{\Delta2/\Delta2}$ embryos, intramembranous ossification was absent, causing skull and clavicle

defects, and endochondral ossification was impaired, with long bones remaining mostly

cartilaginous and mineralization limited to the forearms and the lower legs (Fig. 2d, e, j).

Forelimb calcification was more delayed in $Runx2^{m/m}$ than in $Runx2^{\Delta2/\Delta2}$ embryos (Fig. 2d, e).

Histological sections of the forearm from $Runx2^{+/+}$, $Runx2^{m/+}$, $Runx2^{m/m}$ and $Runx2^{\Delta2/\Delta2}$

embryos at E18.5 were stained with toluidine blue (TB), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), and

Alizarin Red (AR) (Fig. 3a-c). In *Runx2$^{+/+}$* and *Runx2$^{m/+}$* embryos, primary ossification canters

formed in the diaphysis, with metachromatic cartilage present in the metaphysis and epiphysis.

In *Runx2$^{m/m}$* and *Runx2$^{Δ2/Δ2}$* embryos, the entire bone primordium consisted exclusively of

cartilage (Fig. 3a). ALP activity was high from the diaphysis to the metaphysis in *Runx2$^{+/+}$* and

Runx2$^{m/+}$ embryos, but was restricted to the hypertrophic/calcified cartilage in the center of the

ulna and radius of *Runx2$^{m/m}$* and *Runx2$^{Δ2/Δ2}$* embryos (Fig. 3b). Although the calcified area was

smaller than the ALP$^+$ area in all genotypes, in *Runx2$^{m/m}$* and *Runx2$^{Δ2/Δ2}$* embryos, both regions

were markedly smaller than those in *Runx2$^{+/+}$* and *Runx2$^{m/+}$* embryos and were confined to the

central diaphysis where hypertrophic chondrocytes were present (Fig. 3c). Notably, calcified

cartilage was smaller in *Runx2$^{m/m}$* than in *Runx2$^{Δ2/Δ2}$* embryos, suggesting delayed cartilage

maturation (Fig. 3a-c).

To assess chondrogenic and osteogenic marker expression, the forearm of *Runx2$^{+/+}$*,

Runx2$^{m/+}$ and *Runx2$^{m/m}$* embryos at E18.5 were analyzed by *in situ* hybridization (Fig. 3d-j).

Early chondrogenic markers *Col2a1* and *Acan* were expressed in proliferating and mature

chondrocytes in *Runx2$^{+/+}$*, *Runx2$^{m/+,}$* and *Runx2$^{m/m}$* embryos (Fig. 3d, e). *Ihh* was expressed in

prehypertrophic chondrocytes in *Runx2$^{+/+}$* and *Runx2$^{m/+}$* embryos, whereas its expression was

only faintly detected in the central diaphysis of *Runx2$^{m/m}$* embryos (Fig. 3f). *Col10a1* was

expressed in hypertrophic chondrocytes of the metaphysis in *Runx2$^{+/+}$* and *Runx2$^{m/+}$* embryos,

but only weakly detected in hypertrophic chondrocytes in the central diaphysis in *Runx2$^{m/m}$*

embryos (Fig. 3g). In *Runx2$^{+/+}$* and *Runx2$^{m/+}$* embryos, *Runx2* and *Spp1* were expressed in

osteoblasts, osteocytes, and chondrocytes (Fig. 3h, i). In *Runx2$^{m/m}$* embryos, *Runx2* was faintly

expressed in chondrocytes and perichondrial cells, while *Spp1* was detected in a small

population of hypertrophic chondrocytes in the diaphysis (Fig. 3h, i). *Col1a1* was detected in

osteoblasts, osteocytes, cells of the periosteum and perichondrium in *Runx2$^{+/+}$* and *Runx2$^{m/+}$*

embryos, but only faintly in the perichondrium of $Runx2^{m/m}$ embryos (Fig. 3j).

These findings demonstrate that RHD mutations disrupt both intramembranous and

endochondral ossification, emphasizing the essential role of the RHD in skeletal development.

Functional impairment of Runx2 caused by the p.R232Q mutation in the RHD

Wild-type and p.R232Q mutant Runx2 proteins were analyzed by Western blotting using

whole-cell extracts from HEK293T cells transfected with each construct (Fig. 4a).

Immunoblotting with an anti-RUNX2 antibody recognizing a peptide around Arg (R) at 267 of

human RUNX2 confirmed the expression of both wild-type and mutant Runx2, with no

apparent differences, although a sight size shift was observed due to the FLAG tag (Fig. 4a, Fig.

S4a). Next, Western blotting with the same anti-RUNX2 antibody was performed on forelimb

extracts from $Runx2^{+/+}$, $Runx2^{m/+}$, and $Runx2^{m/m}$ embryos at E18.5 to assess Runx2 expression

(Fig. 4b, Fig. S4b). The reported molecular weights are approximately 57 kDa for isoform 1

(MASNS) and 56 kDa for isoform 2 (MRIPV)[22]. Both wild-type and p.R232Q mutant Runx2

showed similar sizes in HEK293T whole-cell extracts transfected with each construct (Fig. 4a,

Fig. S4a) and in tissue extracts from $Runx2^{+/+}$, $Runx2^{m/+}$ and $Runx2^{m/m}$ embryos, although the

expression level was markedly reduced in $Runx2^{m/m}$ embryos compared to $Runx2^{+/+}$ embryos

(Fig 4b, Fig. S4b).

The *p6OSE2-luc* vector contains six tandem repeats of osteoblast-specific element 2 (OSE2,

AACCACA) from the mouse osteocalcin promoter, a Runx2 binding site, followed by a

minimal promoter driving firefly luciferase expression, allowing quantification of Runx2-

dependent transcription[23,24]. To evaluate the effect of RHD mutations, HEK293T cells were

transfected with wild-type or mutant Runx2 (p.R232Q, p.R232W, or p.R200X) (Table S2),

along with *p6OSE2-luc* and the *pGL4.74[hRlux/TK]* internal control, and dual luciferase assays

were performed (Fig. 4c). Wild-type Runx2 showed a 6.7-fold increase in transcriptional activity compared to the empty vector, whereas the p.R232Q, p.R232W, or p.R200X mutants exhibited activity comparable to the empty vector, indicating that missense and nonsense mutations in the RHD abolish Runx2-mediated activation via OSE2 (Fig. 4c).

The p.R232Q mutation lies near the NLS in the C-terminal region of the RHD[25], potentially affecting Runx2 nuclear translocation. To examine the impact of the mutation on intracellular localizations, immunostaining was performed on sections of the ulna sections from *Runx2*^{+/+} and *Runx2*[m/m] embryo at E18.5 using anti-Col10 and anti-Runx2 antibodies (Fig. 5a-d). Consistent with the results of *in situ* hybridization (Fig. 3g, h), In *Runx2*^{+/+} embryos, Col10 accumulated abundantly in the metaphysis and in cartilage remnants of the primary spongiosa (Fig. 5a), while Runx2^{+} cells were distributed from the metaphysis to the diaphysis (Fig. 5b). In *Runx2*[m/m] mice, Col10^{+} hypertrophic chondrocytes were confined to the central diaphysis (Fig. 5c), and Runx2^{+} cells were more widely distributed but with markedly weaker signal intensity (Fig. 5d). In hypertrophic chondrocytes of *Runx2*^{+/+} embryos, Runx2 was predominantly localized in the nucleus (Fig. 5e, f). In contrast, many cells in *Runx2*[m/m] embryos exhibited partial nuclear translocation, but cytoplasmic localization was also observed, and overall nuclear localization of Runx2 was significantly reduced (Fig. 5g, h). Quantification of the nuclear-to-total Runx2 fluorescence intensity ratio revealed a significant decrease in *Runx2*[m/m] embryos compared to *Runx2*^{+/+} embryos (Fig. 5i, Fig. S5).

These results indicate that the p.R232Q mutation in the RHD impairs Runx2 function in skeletal development by reducing its expression, transcriptional activation capacity, and nuclear localization.

Postnatal bone abnormalities recapitulating human CCD in *Runx2*[m/+] mice

Runx2^{m/+} mice showed smaller body size and length at 1 month of (Fig. 6a) and 18.3% lower

body weight at 4 months compared to *Runx2^{+/+}* mice (Fig. 6b). Three-dimensional (3D)

rendering images from micro-CT (μCT) scans revealed significantly smaller clavicles in

Runx2^{m/+} mice (Fig. 6c), and skeletal preparations at 3 months confirmed markedly hypoplastic

clavicles and scapulae compared to *Runx2^{+/+}* mice (Fig. 6d).

To investigate postnatal changes in cranial sutures over time, skulls of *Runx2^{+/+}* and

Runx2^{m/+} mice were analyzed at 1, 2, and 3 months of age using μCT, and 3D rendered images

were examined (Fig. 6e). At 1 month, while cranial sutures of *Runx2^{+/+}* mice were nearly closed,

those in *Runx2^{m/+}* mice remained open, showing delayed closure and Wormian bones at the

lambdoid suture (Fig. 6e). At 2 and 3 months, the sutures in *Runx2^{m/+}* mice remained open with

persistent closure defects and Wormian bones, indicating impaired cranial ossification (Fig. 6e).

Consistently, skeletal preparations at 6 months showed that *Runx2^{m/+}* mice had an open anterior

fontanelle, impaired suture closure, a short nasal apex, and zygomatic arch dysplasia, whereas

Runx2^{+/+} mice had fully closed cranial sutures (Fig. 6f).

Taken together, these findings demonstrate that *Runx2^{m/+}* mice carrying a clinically relevant

RHD missense mutation develop postnatal features of severe CCD.

Formation of accessory root-like protrusions in *Runx2^{m/+}* mice

CCD patients often exhibit delayed eruption and supernumerary teeth[1], whereas supernumerary

teeth are absent in heterozygous *Runx2*-deficient mice[14]. Similarly, no supernumerary teeth

were observed in *Runx2^{m/+}* mice; however, 3D rendering of μCT images revealed a previously

unreported accessory root-like protrusion between the three roots of the maxillary first molars

(Fig. 7a-d).

In mice, the maxillary first molars develop two buccal roots (mesial and distal) and one

palatal root, with root development coordinated through the independent growth of each root

from the furcation area via Hartwig's epithelial root sheath (HERS)[26]. The furcation process of

the mouse maxillary first molar begins with the formation of the distal buccal and palatal root,

followed by separation of the mesial buccal root and palatal roots, mediated through the fusion

of epithelial tongue-like processes formed by HERS[26]. To examine the development of the small

root-like protrusion, 3D μCT images of the maxillary first molars of $Runx2^{m/+}$ mice were

analyzed at 2, 3, 4, and 8 weeks (Fig. 7e-l). At 2 weeks, crown formation was complete, but

calcification at the root furcation area was incomplete, and three roots were not yet fully

separated (Fig. 7e, f). By 4 weeks, roots were clearly separated and elongated rapidly, with a

small protrusion forming near the furcation between the distal buccal and palatal roots (Fig. 7g-

j). At 8 weeks, root elongation continued, but the protrusion size remained unchanged (Fig. 7

k, l). Figure 7m illustrates the phenotype observed in this study alongside previous findings in

wild-type mice[26-28], and shows that in $Runx2^{m/+}$ mice, the epithelial processes fail to close

properly during root furcation, causing a small protrusion.

Hence, these results identify a previously unrecognized dental phenotype associated with

$Runx2$ haploinsufficiency and provide new insights into the molecular mechanism underlying

root furcation.

DISCUSSION

This study presents the first *in vivo* functional analysis of pathological $Runx2$ RHD mutations

using novel mouse lines carrying either a missense or a frameshift-induced dysfunctional RHD.

Clinically relevant $Runx2^{m/+}$ mice harboring p.R232Q corresponding human $RUNX2$ p.R225Q,

exhibited skeletal abnormalities characteristic of classic CCD. Notably, this is the first *in vivo*

evidence that homozygous RHD mutations alone can completely abolish bone formation,

resulting in a skeleton composed entirely of cartilage. Although supernumerary teeth were

absent in heterozygous mutants, 3D μCT imaging revealed a novel accessory root-like

protrusion in the maxillary first molar during furcation. These findings demonstrate the

importance of modeling specific RHD mutations and provide new insights into the skeletal and

dental pathology of CCD beyond previous studies of *Runx2*-deficient mice.

Missense substitutions account for nearly half of all mutations reported in the Human

Gene Mutation Database[29]. Missense mutation p.R225Q is one of the most frequent across

diverse populations worldwide[14,17,19,30,31]. Arginine (R) residues, such as R225 in human

RUNX2 is crucial for protein stability through hydrogen bonds and salt bridges. Substitutions

of these residues can disrupt interactions with charged molecules like DNA and other proteins,

leading to pathogenic effects[32]. *In silico* analysis predicts that R225, a highly conserved residue

across species, forms two hydrogen bonds with E226, which are lost in mutant RUNX2[31].

Consistently, the equivalent mouse mutation p.R232Q abolishes osteocalcin promoter

activation due to loss of RHD function. In homozygous mice, expression of *Col10a1*[33,34], a

direct Runx2 target, was markedly reduced. The p.R232Q Runx2 failed to sustain *Runx2*

expression in hypertrophic chondrocytes, causing maturational arrest. In *Runx2^{Δ2/Δ2}* mice, the

lack of bone formation results from loss of function, most likely due to nonsense-mediated

decay triggered by the frameshift mutation, consistent with previous reports that nonsense or

frameshift mutations also cause classic CCD[6,35,36].

Two *Runx2* knockout mouse lines have been previously reported: one generated by

disrupting the Q/A repeat domain with an *IRES-lacZ-pA* cassette[14], and another by deleting an

exon containing 41 amino acids of the RHD using a *PGK-neo* cassette[8]. In the latter line

carrying a LacZ reporter, X-gal staining showed persistent activity of the endogenous *Runx2*

promoter even in homozygotes, with blue staining in bone-forming regions[14]. However, the

prolonged half-life of LacZ hampers accurate tracking of the temporal dynamics of mutant

Runx2 expression during skeletogenesis. Indeed, *Runx2^{m/m}* embryos exhibited markedly

reduced mutant Runx2 expression, suggesting that loss of functional Runx2 impairs either the

maintenance of its transcription or protein stability. These findings shed light on the *in vivo*

regulation and dynamics of Runx2 expression that are not captured by reporter-based

approaches.

The classical NLS typically comprises 5-20 amino acids rich in basic amino acid residues

like lysine (K) and arginine (R)[37]. Runx2 contains a Myc-related 9 amino acid NLS

(PRRHRQKLD) at the RHD-PST junction, essential for its nuclear localization[25]. Previous

studies using NIH-3T3, U2OS, C3H10T1/2, and HEK293T cells showed that wild-type

RUNX2 localizes to the nucleus, whereas p.R225Q or p.R225W mutants exhibited impaired

nuclear translocation[30,38]. Fusion proteins carrying p.R225Q or p.R225W mutation fail to

efficiently accumulate in the nucleus[30], unlike the wild-type. RUNX2 carrying either the

p.K218N or p.K220I mutation (previously described as K204N and T206I, respectively), both

located near the NLS, fails to translocate to the nucleus. In contrast, the p.R225Q mutant

(previously described as R211Q) shows approximately equal distribution between the nucleus

and cytoplasm in about half of the cells[38]. Although R232 in mouse Runx2 is located near the

NLS, its mutation caused only a partial defect in nuclear localization, with approximately 70%

of the mutant Runx2 detected in the nuclei of hypertrophic chondrocytes in *Runx2^{m/m}* mice.

Thus, reduced function and expression due to RHD dysfunction, rather than impaired nuclear

localization, is likely the primary contributor to the skeletal phenotype. A missense mutation in

the RHD has been reported to exert a dominant-negative effect[39], which may explain the

observation that the mineralized area is smaller in missense mutant compared to the frameshift

mutant.

In CCD patients, supernumerary teeth most commonly develop in the incisors and

premolars[40]. In contrast, mice have a monomorphic dentition with one continuously growing

incisor and three molars, separated by a toothless diastema, lacking canines or premolars[41].

Unlike the dimorphic dentition of humans, tooth eruption in *Runx2*-deficient mice is only

slightly delayed or not significantly affected[11,42], similar to the normal eruption of deciduous

teeth in CCD patients[2,43,44]. These species-specific differences in dental architecture may

explain why the dental phenotype in mice is less pronounced than the skeletal phenotype.

We identified an accessory root-like protrusion in the maxillary first molars of *Runx2$^{m/+}$*

mice, representing a novel dental phenotype distinct from previously reported abnormalities

such as short roots or taurodontism[45]. In normal maxillary molars, horizontal differential growth

of HERS forms three tongue-like interradicular processes that fuse to divide the single cervical

opening into three parts, initiating multi-root formation[26,46]. The accessory roots likely result

from impaired fusion of these processes at the leading edge of HERS, caused by *Runx2*

haploinsufficiency (Fig. 7m). As Runx2 is critical for epithelial-mesenchymal interactions

during root development, reduced expression may disrupt spatiotemporal regulation of HERS

fusion during furcation, leading to protrusion formation. Notably, this protrusion was much

smaller than normal roots, suggesting incomplete root elongation, possibly due to aberrant

odontoblast differentiation. Given that maxillary first molars normally have three roots and the

most complex furcation among molars, their intricate morphology and spongy maxillary bone

structure may underlie susceptibility to furcation abnormalities in *Runx2$^{m/+}$* mice.

In conclusion, our *Runx2* mutant mice replicate key skeletal features of CCD and uncover

a novel dental phenotype characterized by accessory roots, likely resulting from impaired root

furcation. Although unconfirmed in humans, homozygous mutants in mice exhibited a complete

lack of bone formation. These findings highlight the critical role of the RHD in both skeletal

and multi-root development, providing new insights into CCD pathogenesis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

C57BL/6 mice were purchased from CLEA Japan, Inc. (Tokyo, Japan) and bred for experiments.

All procedures were approved by the Animal Care Committee of the Institute for Frontier Life

and Medical Sciences, Kyoto University, as well as the Committee of Animal Experimentation

and the Recombinant DNA Committee of Hiroshima University.

Generation of TALEN-mediated *Runx2* mutant mouse lines

TALEN plasmids targeting mouse *Runx2* were constructed using the Platinum Gate TALEN

Kit (Addgene, Watertown, MA) as previously described[47]. After linearization of TALEN

plasmids for *Runx2-TALEN-R* and *-L* with SmaI, mRNAs were synthesized using the

mMESSAGE mMACHINE T7 ULTRA Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) and

purified with the MEGAclear kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The TALEN mRNAs and an

ssODN carrying the p.R232Q and a silent ApaI site were microinjected into C57BL/6 oocytes.

Injected eggs were transferred into pseudopregnant ICR females. Genotyping was performed

by PCR on genomic DNA extracted from earpieces using specific primers (Table S3). The

products were digested with SmaI and ApaI, and confirmed by direct sequencing using the

BigDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing kit and an ABI 3100 Genetic Analyzer (Applied

Biosystems, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Fragments were analyzed by agarose gel

electrophoresis or a microchip electrophoresis system for DNA/RNA analysis (MultiNA,

SHIMADZU, Kyoto, Japan).

Skeletal preparation

Whole-mount skeletal staining was performed as previously described[48,49]. Embryos or mice

were skinned, fixed in ethanol, stained with Alcian blue 8GX (Sigma-Aldrich, Merck KGaA,

Darmstadt, Germany), washed with ethanol, and cleared in 1% KOH. Samples were then

stained with 0.05% Alizarin Red S (Sigma-Aldrich) in 1% KOH, followed by additional

clearing in 1% KOH. Images were acquired using a MZ16 FA stereomicroscope equipped with

a DFC7000 T camera (Leica Microsystems (Leica), Wetzlar, Germany).

Tissue sections

For frozen sections, embryos were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in phosphate buffered

saline (PFA/PBS), infiltrated with 20% sucrose/PBS, embedded in Tissue Tek O.C.T compound

(Sakura Finetek, Tokyo, Japan), sectioned at 4-6 μm. For paraffin sections, embryos were fixed

in 4% PFA/PBS, decalcified with Morse's solution[50], dehydrated, immersed in methyl benzoate

(Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan), embedded in paraffin (Thermo Fisher Scientific), sectioned at

6 μm.

Histological staining

Frozen sections were stained with ALP substrate solution of TRAP/ALP Stain Kit (FUJIFILM

Wako Pure Chemical Corporation (FUJIFILM Wako), Osaka, Japan), or stained with 1%

alizarin red (Muto Pure Chemicals, Tokyo, Japan). For toluidine blue staining, deparaffinized

and hydrated sections were stained with 0.05% toluidine blue solution (pH 4.1; Muto Pure

Chemicals).

In situ hybridization

Digoxygenin (DIG)- labelled RNA probes for *Col2a1*, *Acan*[51], *Ihh*, *Col10a1*[52], *Runx2*[33], *Spp1*[53]

and *Col1a1*[54] were synthesized using a DIG RNA labelling kit (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd.

(Roche), Basel, Switzerland). Mouse *Col2a1* and *Ihh* cDNA were amplified by RT-PCR using

the following primers (Table S3). Paraffin sections were deparaffinized, rehydrated and treated

with 10 μg/mL Proteinase K (Roche), followed by post-fixation with 4% PFA/PBS for 10 min.

Sections were hybridized with DIG-labeled RNA probes at 65°C for 16 h, washed, and

incubated with anti-DIG Fab fragment conjugated with alkaline phosphatase (anti-DIG-AP;

Roche) and BM purple (Roche). Counterstaining was performed with eosin (Sakura Finetek).

μCT imaging

Mice were anesthetized with a combination of medetomidine, midazolam, and butorphanol (0.1

mL per 10 g body weight). Calvaria and clavicle were scanned with SkyScan1176 (Bruker,

Antwerp, Belgium; 17.5 μm resolution, 50 kV and 500 μA), and teeth were scanned with

CosmoScan GXIII (Rigaku Holdings Corporation, Tokyo, Japan; 12.9 μm resolution, 100 kV

and 120 μA). 3D rendering was performed using Amira software (Thermo Fisher Scientific,

version 2021.1).

Plasmid construction

Mouse Runx2 cDNA was amplified from *pSG5-mRunx2* and cloned into the EcoRI site of

pcDNA-FLAG[51] to generate *pcDNA3 Runx2 WT* using primers. Mutant vectors carrying the

p.R232Q, p.R232W, or p.R200X mutations (*pcDNA3 Runx2 RQ, RW,* and *RX,* respectively)

were generated by inverse-PCR with KOD Plus DNA polymerase (TOYOBO, Osaka, Japan)

using *pcDNA3 Runx2 WT* as the template. See Table S2 for primers.

Dual luciferase reporter assay

HEK293T cells (Takara Bio Inc., Shiga, Japan) were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's

medium with high glucose (Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated-FBS

(Hana-Nesco Bio Corp., Osaka, Japan), 2 mM L-glutamine (FUJIFILM Wako), and 50 mg/mL

kanamycin (Sigma-Aldrich) at 37°C in 5% CO_2. Cells were transiently transfected with

p6OSE2-luc[23] and *pGL4.10[luc2]*, *pGL4.74[hRluc/TK]* (Promega, Madison, WI), and *pcDNA3*

Runx2 WT or mutant vectors. Transfection was performed using Lipofectamine LTX Reagent

or Lipofectamine 3000 Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific). After 48 h, luciferase activities

were measured using the PicaGene Dual Sea Pansy Luminescence Kit (Toyo ink, Tokyo, Japan)

and Centro XS^3 LB 960 (Berthold Technologies, Bad Wildbad, Germany).

Western blotting

Forelimbs were frozen in liquid nitrogen, crashed using SK mill (SK-200, Tokken,Inc, Chiba,

Japan), and lysed in 8 M urea extraction buffer [8 M urea, 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 1 mM

DTT and 1 mM EDTA]. HEK293T cells were transfected with WT or mutant Runx2 vector

using Lipofectamine 3000 Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and lysed in 8 M urea extraction

buffer. Protein concentrations were determined using BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher

Scientific), and samples were mixed with 6x SDS sample buffer (Nacalai tesque, Inc., Kyoto,

Japan), boiled, and loaded onto a 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gels (e-PAGEL, ATTO, Tokyo,

Japan). Samples were separated by SDS-PAGE and transferred to PVDF membranes using

iBlot3 (Invitrogen). Membrane were blocked with 3% skim milk (FUJIFILM Wako) in Tris-

buffered saline with 0.05% Tween 20 (TBS-T), incubated with rabbit anti-Runx2 monoclonal

antibody (1:1250, Cell Signalling Technology, Danvers, MA) and mouse anti-β-actin

monoclonal antibody (1:1250, FUJIFILM Wako) overnight, then with IRDye 680RD Goat anti-

Rabbit IgG and IRDye 800CW Goat anti-Mouse IgG (1:15000, LI-COR, Lincoln, NE). Bands

were visualized using Odyssey CLx (LI-COR).

Immunostaining

Frozen sections were subjected to antigen retrieval in sodium citrate buffer (pH6.0) by

microwave heating, followed by permeabilization with 0.2% TritonX-100 (Sigma-Aldrich).

After blocking with 2% skim milk/PBS, sections were incubated with rabbit anti-Runx2

monoclonal antibody (1:1600, Cell Signaling Technology) or rabbit anti-Collagen X

monoclonal antibody (1:500, Abcam, Cambridge, UK) overnight, washed with PBS, and

incubated with Goat anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L) Highly Cross-Adsorbed Secondary antibody

conjugated with Alexa Fluor Plus 594 (1:500, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Nuclei were

counterstained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (Sigma-Aldrich). Stained sections

were mounted using VECTASHIELD Hard-Set Mounting Medium (Vector Laboratories, Inc.,

Newark, CA). Images were acquired using DMRXA microscope with DFC310 FX Camera and

Stellaris 5 confocal laser scanning microscope (Leica).

Image analysis

To analyze Runx2 nuclear localization, maximum projection images were generated from

confocal images using LAS X software (Leica). Cells in the $Col10^+$ hypertrophic cartilage layer

were analyzed with ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD). Regions of

interest (ROIs) for whole-cell Runx2 [ROIs(r)] were defined from Runx2 signals using

thresholding based on the Huang algorithm[55]. Nuclear regions of interest [ROIs(d)] were

defined from DAPI images with thresholds set using the Default (IsoData) algorithm[56],

excluding ROIs smaller than 50 pixels. ROIs(r) and ROIs(d) were overlayed on Runx2 images,

and the respective Runx2 intensities in whole cells and nuclei were measured using Integrated

Density. Nuclear localization was calculated as: %Nuclear = (Runx2 intensity in nucleus/Runx2

intensity in the whole cell) ×100. Only cells with overlapping ROI(r) and ROI(d), confirmed

by visual inspection, were included. Multiple ROI(d) within one ROI(r) were summed.

Statistical analysis

Statistical significance between two groups was determined using Student's *t*-test or Mann-

Whitney U test. Comparisons among multiple groups were performed by one-way analysis of

variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test. Graphpad Prism 10

(Dotmatics, Boston, MA, version 10. 2. 3) was used.

DATA AVAILABILITY

All data associated with this study are available upon request.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Plasmids for mouse *Col10a1, Runx2* (including *pSG5-mRunx2*), and *Spp1* were generously

provided by Dr. Bjorn R Olsen (Harvard Medical School), Dr. Toshihisa Komori (Nagasaki

University), and Dr. Shinsuke Ohba (Osaka University), respectively. The *p6OSE2-luc* vector

was obtained from Dr. Karsenty (Columbia University) through Dr. Kenji Hata (Osaka

University). We thank Drs. Kosuke Hosoba and Xynyi Yu for their technical assistance. Parts

of this work was performed using the facilities at the Natural Science Center for Basic Research

and Development and the Central Laboratory, Faculty of Dentistry, Hiroshima University. This

study was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science Grants-in-Aid for

Scientific Research (Grant Numbers: 16K15780, 21KK0161, 22K10148, 25K13145); the

Frontier Development Program for Genome Editing funded by the Doctoral Program for

Worldleading Innovative and Smart Education, JST SPRING (Grant Number JPMJSP2132);

and the Cooperative Research Program of the Institute for Frontier Life and Medical Sciences,

Kyoto University, Japan.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing or financial interests.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

C.S. designed the research; S.Ogawa, S.H., Y.Y., M.H., C.S., A.H., H.W., T.S., K.H., S.Ogata,

K.F., and G.K. performed the experiments; C.S., S.Ogawa, S.H., Y.Y., A.H., S.M., and T.S.

analyzed data; C.S., S.Ogawa, S.H., and Y.Y. wrote the paper; C.S., S.M., K.U., T.O., K.T., and

D.D. provided feedback and guidance; S.M., A.H., T.S., K.F., T.O., T.Y., R.K., Y.S., and D.D.

reviewed and edited the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1   Mundlos, S. Cleidocranial dysplasia: clinical and molecular genetics. *J Med Genet*. **36**,

177-182 (1999).

2   Mundlos, S. *et al.* Mutations involving the transcription factor CBFA1 cause

cleidocranial dysplasia. *Cell*. **89**, 773-779 (1997).

3   Cooper, S. C., Flaitz, C. M., Johnston, D. A., Lee, B. & Hecht, J. T. A natural history of

cleidocranial dysplasia. *Am J Med Genet*. **104**, 1-6 (2001).

4   Jensen, B. L. & Kreiborg, S. Dental treatment strategies in cleidocranial dysplasia. *Br*

Dent J. **172**, 243-247 (1992).

5   Kreiborg, S. & Jensen, B. L. Tooth formation and eruption - lessons learnt from

cleidocranial dysplasia. *Eur J Oral Sci*. **126 Suppl 1**, 72-80 (2018).

6   Lou, Y. *et al*. A Runx2 threshold for the cleidocranial dysplasia phenotype. *Human*

Molecular Genetics. **18**, 3, 556-568 (2009).

7   Jaruga, A., Hordyjewska, E., Kandzierski, G. & Tylzanowski, P. Cleidocranial dysplasia

and RUNX2-clinical phenotype-genotype correlation. *Clin Genet*. **90**, 393-402 (2016).

8   Komori, T. *et al*. Targeted disruption of Cbfa1 results in a complete lack of bone

formation owing to maturational arrest of osteoblasts. *Cell*. **89**, 755-764 (1997).

9   Qin, X. *et al*. Runx2 is essential for the transdifferentiation of chondrocytes into

osteoblasts. *Plos Genet*. **16**, 11, e1009169 (2020).

10 Komori, T. Whole Aspect of Runx2 Functions in Skeletal Development. *Int J Mol Sci*.

23, 5776 (2022).

11 Yoda, S., Suda, N., Kitahara, Y., Komori, T. & Ohyama, K. Delayed tooth eruption and

suppressed osteoclast number in the eruption pathway of heterozygous Runx2/Cbfa1

knockout mice. *Archives of Oral Biology*. **49**, 435-442 (2004).

12 Bruderer, M., Richards, R. G., Alini, M. & Stoddart, M. J. Role and regulation of

RUNX2 in osteogenesis. *Eur Cell Mater*. **28**, 269-286 (2014).

13 Yang, L. *et al*. Whole-exome sequencing of a novel initiation codon mutation in RUNX2

in a Chinese family with cleidocranial dysplasia. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. **100**, e27746

(2021).

14 Otto, F. *et al*. Cbfa1, a candidate gene for cleidocranial dysplasia syndrome, is essential

for osteoblast differentiation and bone development. *Cell*. **89**, 765-771 (1997).

15 Yoshida, T. *et al*. Functional analysis of RUNX2 mutations in cleidocranial dysplasia:

novel insights into genotype-phenotype correlations. *Blood Cells Mol Dis*. **30**, 184-193

(2003).

16   Saito, A. *et al.* Targeted reversion of induced pluripotent stem cells from patients with

human cleidocranial dysplasia improves bone regeneration in a rat calvarial bone defect

model. *Stem Cell Res Ther*. **9**, 12 (2018).

17   Yamasaki, S. *et al.* Generation of cleidocranial dysplasia-specific human induced

pluripotent stem cells in completely serum-, feeder-, and integration-free culture. *In*

Vitro Cellular and Developmental Biology - Animal. **52**, 252-264 (2016).

18   Hamada, A. *et al.* Identification of a familial cleidocranial dysplasia with a novel

RUNX2 mutation and establishment of patient-derived induced pluripotent stem cells.

Odontology. **110**, 444-451 (2022).

19   Wu, L. Z. *et al.* Role of the RUNX2 p.R225Q mutation in cleidocranial dysplasia: A

rare presentation and an analysis of the RUNX2 protein structure. *Genetics and*

Molecular Research. **13**, 1, 1187-1194 (2014).

20   Lee, K. E. *et al.* RUNX2 mutations in cleidocranial dysplasia. *Genet Mol Res*. **12**, 4567-

4574 (2013).

21   Suda, N. *et al.* Correlation between genotype and supernumerary tooth formation in

cleidocranial dysplasia. *Orthod Craniofac Res*. **13**, 197-202 (2010).

22   Brubaker, K. D., Vessella, R. L., Brown, L. G. & Corey, E. Prostate cancer expression

of runt-domain transcription factor Runx2, a key regulator of osteoblast differentiation

and function. *Prostate*. **56**, 13-22 (2003).

23   Ducy, P., Zhang, R., Geoffroy, V., Ridall, A. L. & Karsenty, G. Osf2/Cbfa1: a

transcriptional activator of osteoblast differentiation. *Cell*. **89**, 747-754 (1997).

24   Geoffroy, V., Ducy, P. & Karsenty, G. A PEBP2 alpha/AML-1-related factor increases

osteocalcin promoter activity through its binding to an osteoblast-specific cis-acting

element. *J Biol Chem*. **270**, 30973-30979 (1995).

25   Thirunavukkarasu, K., Mahajan, M., McLarren, K. W., Stifani, S. & Karsenty, G. Two

domains unique to osteoblast-specific transcription factor Osf2/Cbfa1 contribute to its

transactivation function and its inability to heterodimerize with Cbfbeta. *Mol Cell Biol*.

18, 4197-4208 (1998).

26   Li, J., Parada, C. & Chai, Y. Cellular and molecular mechanisms of tooth root

development. *Development*. **144**, 374-384 (2017). Japanease.

27   Fujita, Y. Formation of subpulpal wall in mouse maxillary first molar. *Jpn. J. Oral Biol*.

20, 221-228 (1978).

28   Seo, H. *et al.* Regulation of root patterns in mammalian teeth. *Sci Rep*. **7**, 12714 (2017).

29   Stenson, P. D. *et al.* The Human Gene Mutation Database: towards a comprehensive

repository of inherited mutation data for medical research, genetic diagnosis and next-

generation sequencing studies. *Hum Genet*. **136**, 665-677 (2017).

30   Quack, I. *et al.* Mutation analysis of core binding factor A1 in patients with cleidocranial

dysplasia. *Am J Hum Genet*. **65**, 1268-1278 (1999).

31   López, J. T. *et al.* New Genetic Variants of RUNX2 in Mexican Families Cause

Cleidocranial Dysplasia. *Biology*. **13**, 173 (2024).

32   Pandey, P. & Alexov, E. Most Monogenic Disorders Are Caused by Mutations Altering

Protein Folding Free Energy. *Int J Mol Sci*. **25**, 1963 (2024).

33   Inada, M. *et al.* Maturational disturbance of chondrocytes in Cbfa1-deficient mice. *Dev

Dyn*. **214**, 279-290 (1999).

34   Kim, I. S., Otto, F., Zabel, B. & Mundlos, S. Regulation of chondrocyte differentiation

by Cbfa1. *Mech Dev*. **80**, 159-170 (1999).

35   Xuan, D. *et al.* Effect of cleidocranial dysplasia-related novel mutation of RUNX2 on

characteristics of dental pulp cells and tooth development. *J Cell Biochem*. **111**, 1473-

1481 (2010).

36   Huang, Y. *et al.* Novel RUNX2 frameshift mutations in Chinese patients with

cleidocranial dysplasia. *Eur J Oral Sci*. **121**, 142-147 (2013).

37   Dingwall, C. & Laskey, R. A. Nuclear targeting sequences--a consensus? *Trends*

Biochem Sci. **16**, 478-481 (1991).

38   Yoshida, T. *et al.* Functional analysis of RUNX2 mutations in Japanese patients with

cleidocranial dysplasia demonstrates novel genotype-phenotype correlations. *Am J Hum*

Genet. **71**, 724-738 (2002).

39   Puppin, C. *et al.* Functional analysis of a novel RUNX2 missense mutation found in a

family with cleidocranial dysplasia. *J Hum Genet*. **50**, 679-683 (2005).

40   Lu, Y., Wang, J., Li, L. & Zhang, X. Three-dimensional evaluation of dental

characteristics in patients with Cleidocranial dysplasia. *BMC Oral Health*. **24**, 572

(2024).

41   Peterkova, R., Lesot, H. & Peterka, M. Phylogenetic memory of developing mammalian

dentition. *J Exp Zool B Mol Dev Evol*. **306**, 234-250 (2006).

42   Zou, S. J., D'Souza, R. N., Ahlberg, T. & Bronckers, A. L. Tooth eruption and cementum

formation in the Runx2/Cbfa1 heterozygous mouse. *Arch Oral Biol*. **48**, 673-677 (2003).

43   Jensen, B. L. & Kreiborg, S. Development of the dentition in cleidocranial dysplasia. *J*

Oral Pathol Med. **19**, 89-93 (1990).

44   Seow, W. K. & Hertzberg, J. Dental development and molar root length in children with

cleidocranial dysplasia. *Pediatr Dent*. **17**, 101-105 (1995).

45   Wang, J. & Feng, J. Q. Signaling Pathways Critical for Tooth Root Formation. *J Dent*

Res. **96**, 1221-1228 (2017).

46 Kumar, G. S. in *Orban's Oral Histology and Embryology*   (ed G. S. Kumar) Ch. 3,

(Elsevier, 2019).

47 Sakuma, T. *et al.* Repeating pattern of non-RVD variations in DNA-binding modules

enhances TALEN activity. *Sci Rep*. **3**, 3379 (2013).

48 Rigueur, D. & Lyons, K. M. Whole-mount skeletal staining. *Methods Mol Biol*. **1130**,

113-121 (2014).

49 Sugimoto, Y. *et al.* Scx+/Sox9+ progenitors contribute to the establishment of the

junction between cartilage and tendon/ligament. *Development*. **140**, 2280-2288 (2013).

50 Shibata, Y., Fujita, S., Takahashi, H., Yamaguchi, A. & Koji, T. Assessment of

decalcifying protocols for detection of specific RNA by non-radioactive in situ

hybridization in calcified tissues. *Histochem Cell Biol*. **113**, 153-159 (2000).

51 Takimoto, A. *et al.* Differential transactivation of the upstream aggrecan enhancer

regulated by PAX1/9 depends on SOX9-driven transactivation. *Sci Rep*. **9**, 4605 (2019).

52 Apte, S. S., Seldin, M. F., Hayashi, M. & Olsen, B. R. Cloning of the human and mouse

type X collagen genes and mapping of the mouse type X collagen gene to chromosome

10. *Eur J Biochem*. **206**, 217-224 (1992).

53 Bastepe, M. *et al.* Stimulatory G protein directly regulates hypertrophic differentiation

of growth plate cartilage in vivo. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. **101**, 14794-14799 (2004).

54 Yoshimoto, Y. *et al.* Scleraxis is required for maturation of tissue domains for proper

integration of the musculoskeletal system. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 45010 (2017).

55 Huang, L.-K. & Wnag, M.-J. J. Image thresholding by minimizing the measures of

fuzziness. *Pattern Recognition*. **28**, 41-51 (1995).

56 Ridler, T. W. & Calvard, S. Picture Thresholding using an iterative selection method.

IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics. **8**, 630-632 (1978).

LEGENDS

Fig. 1 Generation of *Runx2* mutant mice using Platinum TALENs.

a Schematic representation of the mouse *Runx2* gene with eight exons (labeled E1-E8 below),

distal (P1) and proximal (P2) promoters for Type II (MASNSL) and Type I (MRIVD) isoforms,

and target sites of Platinum TALENs (*mRunx2-TALEN-L* and *-R*). A 10 kb scale bar is shown.

The SmaI site (red box) includes G695 (underlined). The ssODN contains target sites (green),

a T>G silent mutation at 690 creating an ApaI site (blue box), and a G>A mutation at 695

disrupting the SmaI site. **b** Domain structure of the mRunx2 Type II protein (528 aa) and its

corresponding exons. The protein comprises a QA repeat domain, RHD, NLS, PST-rich domain,

NMTS, and a C-terminal VWRPY motif. Amino acid positions are indicated above, and the

corresponding exons (E1-E8) are shown below. **c** Nucleotide sequences are shown for the wild-

type (*Wt*, 670-725), missense mutant (*m*, c.695G>A; p.R232Q, 670-725), and two-base deletion

(*Δ2*, c.697_698delGA; p.E233TfsTer9, 670-723) alleles. *Wt* is on top, *m* in the middle, and *Δ2*

at the bottom. **d** Representative electropherograms of the targeted *Runx2* region in *Runx2^{+/+}*,

Runx2^{m/+}, and *Runx2^{Δ2/+}* mice. A black arrow marks T at 690 in *Runx2^{+/+}* and *Runx2^{m/+}* mice. A

blue arrow indicates overlapping T and A peaks at 690 in *Runx2^{m/+}* mice, and a red arrow shows

overlapping A and G peaks at position 695 in *Runx2^{m/+}* mice.

Fig. 2 Skeletal phenotypes of *Runx2* mutant mice.

a-j Skeletal preparations of *Runx2^{+/+}* (**a, f, g**), *Runx2^{m/+}* (**b, h, i**), *Runx2^{Δ2/+}* (**c**), *Runx2^{m/m}* (**d, j**),

and *Runx2^{Δ2/Δ2}* (**e**) mice at E18.5. Lateral views of embryos (**a-e**), clavicles (**g, i**) and dorsal

views of the calvaria (**f, h, j**) are presented. A white arrow indicates weak calcification. Scale

bars, 2 mm.

Fig. 3 Histology and *in situ* hybridization of forearms in wild-type and *Runx2* mutant mice.

a-c TB, ALP, and AR staining of forearms prepared from *Runx2*$^{+/+}$, *Runx2*$^{m/+}$, *Runx2*$^{m/m}$ and

Runx2$^{Δ2/Δ2}$ embryos at E18.5. **d-j** Expression of *Col2a1* (**d**), *Acan* (**e**), *Ihh* (**f**), *Col10a1* (**g**),

Runx2 (**h**), *Spp1* (**i**), and *Col1a1* (**j**) in the forearm of *Runx2*$^{+/+}$, *Runx2*$^{m/+}$, or *Runx2*$^{m/m}$ embryos

at E18.5. Blue arrowheads in (**f**), (**g**), and (**i**) mark prehypertrophic/hypertrophic chondrocytes.

A red arrowhead in (**h**) indicates the perichondrium. Scale bars, 500 μm.

Fig. 4 Expression and transcriptional activity of wild-type and mutant Runx2.

a Expression of wild-type and missense mutant Runx2 in HEK293T cells. Cells were

transfected with an empty vector as the control, wild-type *Runx2,* or the *Runx2 RQ* mutant

construct, all using the pcDNA3 vector. **b** Expression of Runx2 in forelimb extracts from

Runx2$^{+/+}$, *Runx2*$^{m/+}$ and *Runx2*$^{m/m}$ mice at E18.5. β-Actin was used as a loading control in both

a and **b**. **c** Dual luciferase assay in HEK293T cells co-transfected with the *p6OSE2-luc* reporter

vector and either empty vector, *Runx2 WT, Runx2 RQ, RW, or RX* mutant constructs using the

pcDNA3 vector. *pGL4.74[hRluc/TK]* was also co-transfected for normalization. Values for each

of five wells were normalized to *pGL4.74[hRluc/TK]* and are shown as fold induction relative

to the empty vector. Data present one of ≧3 independent experiments (mean ± SD, n=3). ******P**

< 0.0001 vs. Runx2 WT.

Fig. 5 Altered expression and subcellular localization of mutant Runx2 in chondrocytes.

a-d Immunostaining of Col10 (**a, c**) and Runx2 (**b, d**) proteins in the ulna of *Runx2*$^{+/+}$ and

Runx2$^{m/m}$ embryos at E18.5. Nuclei are counterstained with DAPI. Yellow boxes in (**a**) and (**c**)

indicate the Col10$^+$ prehypertrophic/hypertrophic cartilage zone. The corresponding regions to

them are shown in the semi-serial sections in (**b**) and (**d**). The number of cells in the yellow

boxes of (**a**) and (**c**) indicating Col10$^+$ region differs between *Runx2$^{+/+}$* and *Runx2$^{m/m}$*. **e-h**

Confocal images of wild-type and mutant Runx2 (red) and nuclei (blue) in

prehypertrophic/hypertrophic chondrocytes. Representative localization patterns of wild-type

(**e, f**) and mutant (**g, h**) Runx2 are highlighted by rectangles and shown in insets. **i** Nuclear

localization rate of Runx2 in chondrocytes of the ulna in *Runx2$^{+/+}$* and *Runx2$^{m/m}$* embryos.

Runx2 signal intensities in the whole-cell (dashed regions in f and h) and those in nucleus were

quantified using imageJ. Data are means ± SD (*Runx2$^{+/+}$*, n = 88 cells; *Runx2$^{m/m}$*, n = 45 cells).

*****P < 0.0001* (Mann-Whitney *U*-test). Scale bars, 200 μm (a-d), 50 μm (e-h).

Fig. 6 Postnatal skeletal development in *Runx2$^{+/+}$* and *Runx2$^{m/+}$* mice.

a Gross appearance of *Runx2$^{+/+}$* and *Runx2$^{m/+}$* mice at P30. **b** Body weight of *Runx2$^{+/+}$* and

Runx2$^{m/+}$ mice at 16 weeks (n=3). **P < 0.05 vs. Runx2$^{+/+}$* (unpaired Student's *t*-test). **c** μCT-

based 3D reconstruction images of the axial and appendicular skeleton in the upper body of 3-

month-old *Runx2$^{+/+}$* and *Runx2$^{m/+}$* mice. **d** Skeletal preparations of the pectoral girdle and upper

limb in *Runx2$^{+/+}$* and *Runx2$^{m/+}$* mice at 6 months. **e** μCT-based 3D reconstruction images of the

calvaria in *Runx2$^{+/+}$* and *Runx2$^{m/+}$* mice at 1, 2, and 3 months. **f** Skeletal preparations of the

skull from *Runx2$^{+/+}$* and *Runx2$^{m/+}$* mice at 6 months. Abbreviations: sc, scapula; cl, clavicle; hu,

humerus; f, frontal bone; p, parietal bone; ip, interparietal bone; o, occipital bone. Scale bars, 5

mm.

Fig. 7 Dental phenotypes of postnatal *Runx2* missense mice.

a-d 3D μCT images of the maxilla and mandible in *Runx2$^{+/+}$* and *Runx2$^{m/+}$* mice at 3 months.

Panels (**a**) and (**c**) show whole jaw views. Panels (**b**) and (**d**) show slice images with overlayed

3D reconstructions of the maxillary first molar. Insets display enlarged views of the molars. A

red arrow in (**d**) indicates an additional root-like structure. Scale bars, 2 mm. **e-l** 3D μCT images

of the right maxillary first molars in *Runx2*$^{m/+}$ mice at 2, 3, 4, and 8 weeks. Axial (**e, g, i, k**) and

corresponding sagittal (**f, h, j, l**) views from the same individuals are shown. Orange lines in

axial panels indicate the sagittal section planes. Directional arrows indicate the palatal (P),

buccal (B), apical (A), occlusal (O), distal (D) and mesial (M) directions. Images are from the

same individuals (n=3). **m** Schematic illustration of root furcation in *Runx2*$^{+/+}$ and *Runx2*$^{m/+}$

mice. Horizontal (top) and sagittal (bottom) views are shown, with orange lines in (**e-k**)

indicating the sagittal section planes. Tissue types are presented by pattern-filled squares. The

green panel shows the fusion of three epithelial processes (two buccal and one palatal) at P9,

P11, and P12. The blue and pink panels depict the progression of root furcation at 2, 3, and 4

weeks in *Runx2*$^{+/+}$ and *Runx2*$^{m/+}$ mice, respectively.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

a

HEK293T pcDNA3

Control   Runx2 WT   Runx2 RQ

Runx2   59-62 kDa

β-actin   42 kDa

b

mouse forelimbs

$Runx2^{+/+}$ $Runx2^{m/+}$ $Runx2^{m/m}$

Runx2   57-59 kDa

β-actin   42 kDa

c

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7