**Denitrification genes in SAR11 and other ubiquitous lineages of marine bacteria isolated**

**from the northern Benguela Upwelling System**

Robert M. Morris[1*], Timothy E. Mattes[2], Kunmanee Bubphamanee[3], Mike C. Sadler[1], Luke A.

Calderaro[4], Jen Karolewski[4], Karen L. Casciotti[4], Olivia U. Mason[5]

[1]School of Oceanography, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

[2]Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, 4105 Seamans Center, University of Iowa,

Iowa City, IA, 52242, USA

[3]Department of Earth and Space Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

[4]School of Sustainability, Oceans, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

[5]Department of Earth, Ocean and Atmospheric Science, Florida State University, Tallahassee,

FL, USA

*Robert Morris, School of Oceanography, University of Washington, Seattle, WA USA, 206-

221-7228, morrisrm@uw.edu

**Abstract**

Denitrification is a microbial process that leads to nitrogen loss from marine oxygen

minimum zones (OMZs). The complete metabolic pathway for denitrification reduces nitrate to

dinitrogen gas in four sequential steps. Many anaerobic and facultatively anaerobic bacteria are

capable of the initial step of nitrate reduction to nitrite. Far fewer reduce nitrite to nitric oxide,

nitrous oxide, or dinitrogen gas. In this study, we cultured and sequenced the complete genomes

of 24 bacteria isolated from low dissolved oxygen waters (DO = 24 µM) in the northern

Benguela Upwelling System (nBUS) OMZ to identify facultatively anaerobic bacteria with the

genetic potential to contribute to denitrification. Most of the isolates obtained from the nBUS

have denitrification genes (83%). They include several new species in the order *Pelagibacterales*

(SAR11), as well as representatives from a previously uncultured family of *Arenicellales*

(UBA868), a previously uncultured genus of *Paracoccaceae*, and a previously undescribed

genus of *Porticoccaceae*. All ten nBUS SAR11 genomes encode a copper-containing nitrite

reductase (*nirK*) and key components of a cbb3-type cytochrome c oxidase (*cco*), suggesting that

they respire oxygen under low DO concentrations and have the potential to contribute to nitrogen

loss by respiring nitrite to nitric oxide.

**Key words**

Marine, OMZ, Bacteria, Denitrification, SAR11, UBA868

**Significance Statement**

Vast genomic diversity has confounded sequencing efforts to identity the potential for marine bacteria to contribute to denitrification in marine oxygen minimum zones (OMZs). To identify facultatively anaerobic microbes with the genetic potential to contribute to marine nitrogen loss, we cultured and sequenced the complete genomes of bacteria from low-oxygen waters of the northern Benguela Upwelling System. Complete genomes allowed for a comprehensive analysis of denitrification. Most bacterial isolates, including all ten SAR11, have the genetic potential to contribute to denitrification. This suggests that some of the most abundant lineages of marine bacteria in the oceans are adapted to anoxic conditions in OMZs and may have a more direct role in marine nitrogen loss than previously suspected.

## Introduction

In marine oxygen minimum zones (OMZs), the concentrations of dissolved oxygen (DO) can fall to less than 10 nanomoles/L (Bertagnolli and Stewart, 2018). Although they account for only 1% of the ocean by volume, microbial processes in OMZ catalyze 30-50% of marine nitrogen loss (Codispoti, 2010; Lam and Kuypers, 2011). This outsized impact on the marine nitrogen budget is due largely to the anaerobic microbial processes of denitrification and anaerobic ammonia oxidation (anammox) that occur in these low oxygen waters (Kuypers et al., 2005; Lam et al., 2009; Ward et al., 2009).

Denitrification is the stepwise reduction of nitrate ($NO_3^-$) to nitrite ($NO_2^-$), nitric oxide (NO), nitrous oxide ($N_2O$), and di-nitrogen gas ($N_2$) (Zumft, 1997). Each step in the denitrification pathway requires a specific enzyme, and it is common for microbes to possess some, but not all, of the required enzymes for denitrification (Pold et al., 2025; Zhang et al., 2023). While many anaerobic or facultatively anaerobic bacteria can respire nitrate, fewer have the genetic potential to reduce nitrite to a gas (NO, $N_2O$, or $N_2$). This incomplete denitrification can lead to the accumulation of intermediates in anoxic waters and fuel competition between microbes specializing in components of the denitrification pathway (Sun et al., 2024). Low oxygen waters with these microbes can be either a source or sink of $N_2O$, Depending on environmental conditions and interactions among microbial community members.

The discovery that SAR11, one the most abundant lineage of bacteria in the oceans (Morris et al., 2002), have and express genes to reduce nitrate to nitrite greatly expanded their known range beyond the oxygenated ocean and raised their perceived importance in anoxic waters of marine OMZs (Tsementzi et al., 2016; Ruiz-Perez et al., 2021). Evidence that *Pelagibacterales* (SAR11) respire nitrate and produce nitrite in the Eastern Tropical North

Pacific (ETNP) OMZ also linked their activities to the dominant nitrogen loss processes in the

oceans: denitrification and anammox. However, less is known about the genetic potential and

distribution of facultatively anaerobic SAR11 in other OMZs.

The Benguela Upwelling System (BUS) is an important and relatively understudied OMZ

in the South Atlantic Ocean. Several studies have documented significant production of nitrous

oxide within the low-oxygen waters of the BUS (Frame et al., 2014; Arévalo-Martinez et al.,

2019; Sabbaghzadeh et al., 2021; Dangl et al., 2025), but the microorganisms responsible for this

nitrogen loss, particularly through denitrification, are still largely unknown (Dangl et al., 2025).

Sulfur oxidizing γ-proteobacteria from the family *Thioglobaceae* (SUP05) have the potential to

respire nitrogen oxides (reviewed in Morris and Speitz, 2022) and often dominate marine

microbial communities in the BUS (Lavik et al., 2009). Many other heterotrophic bacteria that

are facultatively anaerobic can also respire nitrogen oxides. To identify the potential for bacteria

to contribute to denitrification in the BUS, we cultured and sequenced the complete genomes of

24 bacteria from low dissolved oxygen waters (24 µM) in the northern BUS (nBUS) OMZ.

**Results and Discussion**

*Diversity of Denitrification Genes in Bacteria from the nBUS*

Marine bacteria isolated from the nBUS include diverse members of the

*Alphaproteobacteria*, *Gammaproteobacteria*, and *Bacteroidia* (**Figure 1**). The isolates have

circular chromosomes with genomes that range in size from 1.3 to 4.6 Mbp and have GC

contents between 29.6 to 52.5% (**Supplementary Table S1, Supplementary Material**). Most

(83%) have the genetic potential to carry out some septs in the denitrification pathway. Only one

isolate, *Pseudopelagicola* nBUS_20, has a full set of genes for complete denitrification,

suggesting that it has the potential to reduce $NO_3^-$ to $N_2$ (**Figure 1, Supplementary Table S2,**

**Supplementary Material**). The complete denitrification pathway in nBUS_20 includes both

periplasmic *napAB* and respiratory *narGHI* dissimilatory nitrate reductase genes, as well as a

*nirS* nitrite reductase, *norC* nitric oxide reductase, and *nosZ* nitrous oxide reductase. The genome

of the only *Bacteroidia* isolate, *Tenacibaculum* nBUS_03, codes for a single denitrification gene,

NorC nitric oxide reductase.

**Figure 1**. Genetic potential for denitrification in the genomes of bacteria isolated from the

nBUS. Key denitrification genes include those for nitrate reductases (*napAB* and *narGHI*), nitrite

reductase (*nirK*), nitric oxide reductase (*norC*), and nitrous oxide reductase (*nosZ*). Asterisks

indicate the presence of assimilatory *nasC* and *nirBD* genes. Genes correspond to KEGG

orthology numbers are listed under gene_id in Tables S2 and S4: *napAB* (K02567, K02568);

*narGHI* (K00370, K00371, K00374); *nirKBD* (K00368, K00362, K00363); *norC* (K02305);

*nosZ* (K00376); and *nasC* (K00372).

108  Genes to respire only nitrate and nitrite were identified in one genome and to respire only

109 nitrate were identified in seven genomes. Isolate nBUS_48 is from a previously uncultured

110 family in the order *Arenicellales*, UBA868 (Baltar et al., 2023), which encodes *narGHI* and *nirK*

111 dissimilatory nitrate and nitrite reductase genes. The *Vibrio* nBUS_14 genome encodes *napAB*

112 dissimilatory nitrate reductase genes, as well as key components of assimilatory nitrate and

113 nitrite reduction pathways, including the cytoplasmic *nasC* and *nirBD* genes, respectively.

114 *Psychrobium* nBUS_13, has the cytoplasmic *nasC* and *nirBD* genes. The NirBD nitrite

115 reductases have known roles in fermentative dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium

116 (DNRA) (Pandey et al., 2020), but they are generally linked to assimilatory nitrite reduction

117 (Besson et al., 2022). Other key components of assimilatory nitrate reduction (*nasB*) and

118 fermentative DNRA (*narGHI*) were not identified in these isolates, which suggests that the

119 combination of cytoplasmic systems found in nBUS_13 and nBUS_14 may represent an

120 alternative pathway for nitrate reduction to ammonium.

121  Genes for nitrate respiration were broadly distributed in the nBUS bacterial community.

122 For example, three *Alphaproteobacteria* and four *Gammaproteobacteria* were identified with the

123 genetic potential to respire nitrate (**Figure 1**). The *Alpharoteobacteria* include *Pseudopelagicola*

124 nBUS_19 and two representatives from a previously uncultured genus in the family

125 *Paracoccaceae*, nBUS_22 and nBUS_24. The *Gammaproteobacteria* include two

126 representatives from an undescribed genus in the family *Porticoccaceae* (Stingl et al., 2007),

127 nBUS_09 and nBUS_17, *Psychrobium* nBUS_13, and *Vibrio* nBUS_14.

    *A Conserved NirK-cbb3 Genomic Region in nBUS SAR11*

Ten new SAR11 species and subspecies were isolated and sequenced from the nBUS

(**Figure 2**). They are members of subclade 1a.III.IV (**Figure 2a, Supplemental Material**),

which is a lineage that was recently assigned the genus *Proprepelagibacter* (Freel et al., 2024).

Phylogenomic and whole genome average nucleotide identity (ANI) analyses indicate that the

nBUS SAR11 represent six new species and four new subspecies, with pairwise ANI values that

range from 0.85-0.98 (**Supplemental Table S3, Supplemental Material**). The most striking

feature in the nBUS SAR11 genomes is that all ten have a conserved genomic region containing

a copper-containing nitrite reductase (*nirK*) and components of cbb3-type cytochrome c oxidases

(*cco*) that are not present in the complete genomes of SAR11 cultured from oxygenated waters in

the North Pacific, North Atlantic, and Indian oceans (**Figure 2b; Supplemental Table S4,**

**Supplementary Material**). Notably, the combined percent GC for *nirK*-cbb3-type cytochrome c

oxidase genes in all ten SAR11 is 35.7%, which is higher than the average for the genomes

(30.1%). This suggests that the *nirK-cbb3* genomic region in SAR11 is a genomic island that was

likely acquired by horizontal gene transfer.

Genes for the cbb3-type cytochrome c oxidase are arranged in a canonical operon

(Decluzeau et al., 2008) that includes the catalytic subunit (*ccoN*), the cytochrome subunits

involved in electron transfer (*ccoO* and *ccoP*), and a small subunit with an unknown function

(*ccoQ*). This region also has accessory genes *ccoGHIS* (Koch et al., 2000). The four genes

between *nirK* and the *ccoN* code for a protein of unknown function, a pseudoazurin, a Fe(II)/2-

oxoglutarate oxygenase, and subunit beta of ribonucleotide reductase (R2 RNR). Of these, only

pseudoazurins have known roles contributing to denitrification (Fujita et al., 2012).

**Figure 2.** Characteristics of SAR11 isolated from the northern Benguela Upwelling System

(nBUS). A) Pairwise average nucleotide identity (ANI) analysis of nBUS SAR11 genomes and

the genus *Proprepelagibacter* (blue) and other *Pelagibacter* genera (black), and C) genomic

region with denitrification and cbb3-typ cytochrome c oxidase genes in nBUS SAR11 genomes.

Labels in panel A correspond to genera designations (Freel et al., 2024) and nBUS isolate IDs.

IDs are: *nirK*, copper containing nitrite reductase; *ccm*, cytochrome c biogenesis; and *cco*, high

affinity cytochrome c oxidase. Genes correspond to KEGG orthology numbers are listed under

gene_id in Tables S2 and S4: *nirK* (K00368, K00362, K00363); *ccoNOPQ* (K00404-K00407).

None of the nBUS SAR11 genomes code for a nitric oxide reductase (NOR). However,

Cco proteins share significant homology with NORs, which has led to considerable debate over

the evolutionary origin of cbb3-type cytochrome c oxidases, especially regarding their roles in

the evolution of aerobic respiration (Ducluzeau etal., 2008; Gribaldo et al., 2009). This also

raises the possibility that some extant cbb3-type cytochrome c oxidases could be bifunctional

enzymes that reduce oxygen under oxic conditions and NO to $N_2O$ under anoxic conditions. It

has been known for some time that cbb3 heme copper oxidases can reduce NO to $N_2O$ in vivo

(Forte et al., 2002). Interestingly, two of the genes between *nirK* and cbb3-type cytochrome c

oxidase are related to proteins that bind NO. The Fe(II)/2-oxoglutarate clavamate synthase from

*Streptomyces clavuligerus* (Zhang et al., 2002) and the R2 protein of ribonucleotide reductase

from *E. coli* (Haskin et al., 1995). The R2 protein in *Escherichia coli* not only binds NO but also

produces $N_2O$ in vivo. Regardless, this OMZ adaptation may grant SAR11 the genetic potential

to grow under both microaerophilic and anoxic conditions, contributing to nitrogen loss in the

nBUS, and potentially other OMZs.

*Conclusion*

Genomic evidence obtained in this study suggests that denitrification potential in the

nBUS is distributed among a diverse community of ubiquitous and abundant lineages of marine

bacteria, including SAR11 and UBA868. No chemoautotrophic SUP05 with the genetic potential

to respire nitrogen oxides were cultured in this study. However, most of the bacteria isolated and

sequenced in this study have the genetic potential to contribute to the initial steps in

denitrification, either nitrate or nitrite reduction (**Figure 1**). Notably all organisms with genes to

respire nitrate or nitrite also have genes for cbb3-type cytochrome c oxidases, indicating the

potential to respire oxygen at low concentrations. Relatively few have the genetic potential to

contribute to more than one step in denitrification and only one isolate was identified with the

genetic potential for complete denitrification (*Pseudopelagicola* nBUS_20). Evidence that

SAR11 have genes to reduce nitrite to NO, and possibly NO to $N_2O$ under some conditions,

suggests that they could be a critical link in denitrification. Similarly, members of a ubiquitous

family of sulfur oxidizing mixotrophs in the order *Arenicellales* (Balter et al., 2023) have the

potential to respire nitrate and nitrite. This suggests that genome flexibility is a key to the success

of these globally distributed marine bacteria.

**Materials and Methods**

*High-Throughput Cultivation*

We used a high-throughput dilution to extinction approach to isolate bacteria from a low

dissolved oxygen (24 μmol/kg) sample collected on April 15, 2024 at a depth of 225 m in the

BUS (22°59'58.2"S 13°30'E), as previous described (Monaghan et al., 2020). Briefly, 1 ml of

seawater was amended with filter-sterilized glycerol to a final concentration of 10% (v/v), flash

frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80 °C. The sample was later thawed on ice and cells were

diluted to 5 and 50 cells/ml in 30 kDa filter-sterilized Puget Sound seawater and amended to 100

μM thiosulfate. Two mL of the diluted cell suspension was then added to each well of a 96 well

Teflon plate and incubated at 13 °C in the dark for four weeks. Wells were screened for growth

each week using a Guava easyCyte flow cytometer (Cytek, Fremont, CA). Bacteria in wells that

were positive for growth ($>10^5$ cells/ml) were transferred to 1 L acid-washed and autoclaved

polycarbonate bottles containing filter-sterilized seawater amended to 100 μm thiosulfate and

monitored for growth until they reached between $10^5$ and $10^6$ cells/ml. Cells were then filtered

onto 47 mm 0.2 µm isopore membrane filters (MilliporeSigma, Burlington, MA) and stored at -

80 °C.

*High Molecular Weight DNA Extraction*

Filters with cells from cultures were placed in sterile 2 mL DNA LoBind tubes

(Eppendorf, Hamburg Germany) and cut into smaller pieces with sterile scissors. Sterile TE

buffer (200 µL) was added, and filters were frozen at -80 °C for 20 minutes and then thawed at

room temperature. High molecular weight DNA was extracted from the filters using a modified

Autogen Quick Gene DNA Tissue Kit (Autogen, Holliston, MA), modified by doubling all

recommended extraction volumes and eluting DNA in 200 µL of molecular grade water. DNA

was purified using 1X v/v DNA magnetic beads (Sergi Lab Supplies, Seattle, WA) with two

80% ethanol washes then eluted in 20 µL of molecular grade water. Purified DNA was

quantified using a Qubit dsDNA Quantitation High Sensitivity kit (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA).

*Long-read Genome Sequencing and Assembly*

High molecular weight DNA libraries for whole genome sequencing were prepared using

the SQK-RAD114 rapid library prep kit (Oxford Nanopore Technologies, Oxford, UK) and

sequenced on a Minion equipped with Flongle R10.4.1 flow cells. DNA bases were called using

the Dorado basecalling algorithm (dna_r10.4.1_e8.2_400bps_hac@v4.3.0).

Genomes were assembled from fastq files with Flye v2.9.1 (Kolmogorov et al., 2019) and

polished with Medaka 1.7.2 (github.com/nanoporetech/medaka) using the galaxy web platform

(Community, 2024). Single circular contigs were evaluated using DFAST for the initial

identification and characterization (dfast.ddbj.nig.ac.jp)(Tanizawa et al., 2018).

*Genome Annotation and phylogenomic analyses*

The final annotation was completed by NCBI using the Prokaryotic Genome Annotation

Pipeline v6.8 (Tatusova et al., 2016; Li et al., 2021). Bacterial taxonomy was determined using

GTDB-Tk v2.3.2, the de-novo workflow and the v214 reference database (Chaumeil P-A, et al.,

2022). All isolates were given the prefix "nBUS" followed by the cultivation number. SAR11

species were identified by average nucleotide identity (ANI) with Pan-genome Explorer

(Dereeper et al., 2022; Perrin and Rocha, 2021). Phylogenetic trees were constructed with

MUSCLE v3.8.31 (Edgar RC. 2004) and RAxML v8.2.11 with model GTRGAMMA

(Stamatakis A, 2014) using the ETE3 v3.1.3 phylogenetic analysis pipeline (Huerta-Cepas J, et

al., 2016). Whole genome phylogenies were constructed using the bacterial_71 single-copy core

gene collection in anvi'o v8 (Eren et al., 2021). Genomes were annotated for comparative

analysis of denitrification genes using DRAM (ver. 1.1.1) (Shaffer et al., 2020) with the KEGG

(Kanahisa et al., 2023), UniRef90 (Suzek et al., 2015), PFAM (Mistry et al., 2021), dbCAN (Yin

et al., 2012), and MEROPS (Rawlings et al., 2018) databases.

**Supplementary Material**

Supplementary material is available at *Genome Biology and Evolution* online.

**Acknowledgements**

We would like to thank the captain and crew of the R/V Marcus G. Langseth and the chief

scientist and science party for help with sample collection (MGL24-05).

**Funding**

Field sampling was funded through National Science Foundation awards to OUM and KLC

(2113936 and 2113937). Culturing and sequencing work was funded through National Science

Foundation awards to RMM (2201310 and 2333925). KB acknowledged funding from the UW

Astrobiology Research Rotation program.

**Data Availability**

Genome sequences were deposited in GenBank under Bioproject PRJNA1173757 with accession

and sequence read archive identifiers for each genome (**Table S1, Supplemental Material**).

**Literature Cited**

Arévalo-Martínez DL, Steinhoff T, Brandt P, Körtzinger A, Lamont T, Rehder G, Bange HW: N2O emissions from the northern Benguela upwelling system. *Geophysical Research Letters* 2019, 46:3317-3326. doi.org/10.1029/2018gl081648

Baltar F, Martínez-Pérez C, Amano C, Vial M, Robaina-Estévez S, Reinthaler T, Herndl GJ, Zhao Z, Logares R, Morales SE: A ubiquitous gammaproteobacterial clade dominates expression of sulfur oxidation genes across the mesopelagic ocean. *Nature microbiology* 2023, 8:1137-1148. doi.org/10.1038/s41564-023-01374-2

Bertagnolli AD, Stewart FJ: Microbial niches in marine oxygen minimum zones. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* 2018, 16:723-729. doi.org/10.1038/s41579-018-0087-z

Besson S, Almeida MG, Silveira CM: Nitrite reduction in bacteria: A comprehensive view of nitrite reductases. *Coordination Chemistry Reviews* 2022, 464:214560. doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2022.214560

Chaumeil P-A, Mussig AJ, Hugenholtz P, Parks DH: GTDB-Tk v2: memory friendly classification with the genome taxonomy database. *Bioinformatics* 2022, 38:5315-5316. doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btac672

Codispoti LA: Interesting times for marine N2O. *Science* 2010, **327:**1339-1340. doi: 10.1126/science.1184945

Community: The Galaxy platform for accessible, reproducible, and collaborative data analyses: 2024 update. *Nucleic acids research* 2024, 52:W83-W94. doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkae410

Dangl G, Frey C, Hassenrück C, Sabbaghzadeh B, Wäge-Recchioni J, Lehmann MF, Schulz-Vogt H, Fabian J, Ley M, Rehder G: Processes and microorganisms driving nitrous oxide

285   production in the Benguela Upwelling System. *Limnology and Oceanography* 2025,

286    70:850-869. doi.org/10.1002/lno.12811

287 Dereeper A, Summo M, Meyer DF: PanExplorer: a web-based tool for exploratory analysis and

288    visualization of bacterial pan-genomes. *Bioinformatics* 2022, 38:4412-4414.

289    doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btac504

290 Ducluzeau A-L, Ouchane S, Nitschke W: The cbb 3 oxidases are an ancient innovation of the

291    domain bacteria. *Molecular biology and evolution* 2008, 25:1158-1166.

292    doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msn062

293 Edgar RC: MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput.

294    *Nucleic acids research* 2004, 32:1792-1797. doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh340

295 Eren AM, Kiefl E, Shaiber A, Veseli I, Miller SE, Schechter MS, Fink I, Pan JN, Yousef M,

296    Fogarty EC: Community-led, integrated, reproducible multi-omics with anvi'o. *Nature*

297    *microbiology* 2021, 6:3-6. doi.org/10.1038/s41564-020-00834-3

298 Forte E, Urbani A, Saraste M, Sarti P, Brunori M, Giuffrè A: The cytochrome cbb3 from

299    Pseudomonas stutzeri displays nitric oxide reductase activity. *European journal of*

300    *biochemistry* 2002, 268:6486-6491. doi.org/10.1046/j.0014-2956.2001.02597.x

301 Frame CH, Deal E, Nevison CD, Casciotti KL: N2O production in the eastern South Atlantic:

302    Analysis of N2O stable isotopic and concentration data. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*

303    2014, 28:1262-1278. doi.org/10.1002/2013gb004790

304 Freel KC, Tucker SJ, Freel EB, Giovannoni SJ, Eren AM, Rappe MS: New isolate genomes and

305    global marine metagenomes resolve ecologically relevant units of SAR11. *bioRxiv*

306    2024:2024.2012. 2024.630191. doi.org/10.1101/2024.12.24.630191

Gribaldo S, Talla E, Brochier-Armanet C: Evolution of the haem copper oxidases superfamily: a rooting tale. *Trends in biochemical sciences* 2009, 34:375-381. doi.org/10.1016/j.tibs.2009.04.002

Haskin CJ, Ravi N, Lynch JB, Munck E, Que Jr L: Reaction of NO with the reduced R2 protein of ribonucleotide reductase from Escherichia coli. *Biochemistry* 1995, 34:11090-11098. doi.org/10.1021/bi00035a014

Huerta-Cepas J, Serra F, Bork P: ETE 3: reconstruction, analysis, and visualization of phylogenomic data. *Molecular biology and evolution* 2016, 33:1635-1638. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msw046

Kanehisa M, Furumichi M, Sato Y, Kawashima M, Ishiguro-Watanabe M: KEGG for taxonomy-based analysis of pathways and genomes. *Nucleic acids research* 2023, 51:D587-D592. doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkac963

Kataoka K, Yamaguchi K, Kobayashi M, Mori T, Bokui N, Suzuki S: Structure-based engineering of Alcaligenes xylosoxidans copper-containing nitrite reductase enhances intermolecular electron transfer reaction with pseudoazurin. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 2004, 279:53374-53378. doi.org/10.1074/jbc.m410198200

Koch H-G, Winterstein C, Saribas AS, Alben JO, Daldal F: Roles of the ccoGHIS gene products in the biogenesis of the cbb3-type cytochrome c oxidase. *Journal of molecular biology* 2000, 297:49-65. doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.2000.3555

Kolmogorov M, Yuan J, Lin Y, Pevzner PA: Assembly of long, error-prone reads using repeat graphs. *Nature biotechnology* 2019, 37:540-546. doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0072-8

Kuypers MM, Lavik G, Woebken D, Schmid M, Fuchs BM, Amann R, Jørgensen BB, Jetten MS: Massive nitrogen loss from the Benguela upwelling system through anaerobic

330 ammonium oxidation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2005, 102:6478-

331 6483. doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0502088102

332 Lam P, Kuypers MM: Microbial nitrogen cycling processes in oxygen minimum zones. *Annual*

333 *review of marine science* 2011, 3:317-345. doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-120709-

334 142814

335 Lam P, Lavik G, Jensen MM, van de Vossenberg J, Schmid M, Woebken D, Gutiérrez D,

336 Amann R, Jetten MS, Kuypers MM: Revising the nitrogen cycle in the Peruvian oxygen

337 minimum zone. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2009, 106:4752-4757.

338 doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0812444106

339 Lavik G, Stührmann T, Brüchert V, Van der Plas A, Mohrholz V, Lam P, Mussmann M, Fuchs

340 BM, Amann R, Lass U, Kuypers MM: Detoxification of sulphidic African shelf waters

341 by blooming chemolithotrophs. *Nature* 2009, 457:581-584. doi.org/10.1038/nature07588

342 Li W, O'Neill KR, Haft DH, DiCuccio M, Chetvernin V, Badretdin A, Coulouris G, Chitsaz F,

343 Derbyshire MK, Durkin AS: RefSeq: expanding the Prokaryotic Genome Annotation

344 Pipeline reach with protein family model curation. *Nucleic acids research* 2021,

345 49:D1020-D1028. doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa1105

346 Mistry J, Chuguransky S, Williams L, Qureshi M, Salazar GA, Sonnhammer EL, Tosatto SC,

347 Paladin L, Raj S, Richardson LJ: Pfam: The protein families database in 2021. *Nucleic*

348 *acids research* 2021, 49:D412-D419. doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa913

349 Monaghan E, Freel K, Rappé M, Bowman J: Isolation of SAR11 marine bacteria from

350 cryopreserved seawater. mSystems 5: e00954-20. *DOI* 2020, 10:00954-00920.

351 doi.org/10.1128/msystems.00954-20

Morris RM, Spietz RL: The Physiology and Biogeochemistry of SUP05. *Ann Rev Mar Sci* 2022,

14:261-275. doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-010419-010814

Morris RM, Rappé MS, Connon SA, Vergin KL, Siebold WA, Carlson CA, Giovannoni SJ:

SAR11 clade dominates ocean surface bacterioplankton communities. *Nature* 2002,

420:806-810. doi.org/10.1038/nature01240

Pandey C, Kumar U, Kaviraj M, Minick K, Mishra A, Singh J: DNRA: a short-circuit in

biological N-cycling to conserve nitrogen in terrestrial ecosystems. *Science of the Total*

*Environment* 2020, 738:139710. doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139710

Perrin A, Rocha EP: PanACoTA: a modular tool for massive microbial comparative genomics.

*NAR genomics and bioinformatics* 2021, 3:lqaa106. doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.11.293472

Pold G, Saghai A, Jones CM, Hallin S: Distribution of alternative routes for completing

denitrification in microbial genomes and metagenomes of global biomes. *bioRxiv*

2025**:**2025.2001. 2007.631734. doi.org/10.1101/2025.01.07.631734

Rawlings ND, Barrett AJ, Thomas PD, Huang X, Bateman A, Finn RD: The MEROPS database

of proteolytic enzymes, their substrates and inhibitors in 2017 and a comparison with

peptidases in the PANTHER database. *Nucleic acids research* 2018, 46:D624-D632.

doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx1134

Ruiz-Perez CA, Bertagnolli AD, Tsementzi D, Woyke T, Stewart FJ, Konstantinidis KT:

Description of Candidatus Mesopelagibacter carboxydoxydans and Candidatus

Anoxipelagibacter denitrificans: Nitrate-reducing SAR11 genera that dominate

mesopelagic and anoxic marine zones. *Systematic and Applied Microbiology* 2021,

44:126185. doi.org/10.1016/j.syapm.2021.126185

Sabbaghzadeh B, Arévalo-Martínez DL, Glockzin M, Otto S, Rehder G: Meridional and Cross-

Shelf Variability of N2O and CH4 in the Eastern-South Atlantic. *Journal of Geophysical*

*Research: Oceans* 2021, 126:e2020JC016878. doi.org/10.1029/2020jc016878

Shaffer M, Borton MA, McGivern BB, Zayed AA, La Rosa SL, Solden LM, Liu P, Narrowe AB,

Rodríguez-Ramos J, Bolduc B: DRAM for distilling microbial metabolism to automate

the curation of microbiome function. *Nucleic acids research* 2020, 48:8883-8900.

doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa621

Stamatakis A: RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large

phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* 2014, 30:1312-1313. doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033

Stingl U, Desiderio RA, Cho J-C, Vergin KL, Giovannoni SJ: The SAR92 clade: an abundant

coastal clade of culturable marine bacteria possessing proteorhodopsin. *Applied and*

*environmental microbiology* 2007, 73:2290-2296. doi.org/10.1128/aem.02559-06

Sun X, Buchanan PJ, Zhang IH, San Roman M, Babbin AR, Zakem EJ: Ecological dynamics

explain modular denitrification in the ocean. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*

*Sciences* 2024, 121:e2417421121. doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2417421121

Suzek BE, Wang Y, Huang H, McGarvey PB, Wu CH, Consortium U: UniRef clusters: a

comprehensive and scalable alternative for improving sequence similarity searches.

*Bioinformatics* 2015, 31:926-932. doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu739

Tanizawa Y, Fujisawa T, Nakamura Y: DFAST: a flexible prokaryotic genome annotation

pipeline for faster genome publication. *Bioinformatics* 2018, 34:1037-1039.

https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx713

Tatusova T, DiCuccio M, Badretdin A, Chetvernin V, Nawrocki EP, Zaslavsky L, Lomsadze A,

Pruitt KD, Borodovsky M, Ostell J: NCBI prokaryotic genome annotation pipeline.

*Nucleic acids research* 2016, 44:6614-6624. doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw569

Tsementzi D, Wu J, Deutsch S, Nath S, Rodriguez-R LM, Burns AS, Ranjan P, Sarode N,

Malmstrom RR, Padilla CC: SAR11 bacteria linked to ocean anoxia and nitrogen loss.

*Nature* 2016, 536:179-183. doi.org/10.1038/nature19068

Ward B, Devol A, Rich J, Chang B, Bulow S, Naik H, Pratihary A, Jayakumar A: Denitrification

as the dominant nitrogen loss process in the Arabian Sea. *Nature* 2009, 461:78-81.

doi.org/10.1038/nature08276

Yin Y, Mao X, Yang J, Chen X, Mao F, Xu Y: dbCAN: a web resource for automated

carbohydrate-active enzyme annotation. *Nucleic acids research* 2012, 40:W445-W451.

doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks479

Zhang J, Kolstad EL, Zhang W, Vogeler I, Petersen SO: Modeling coupled nitrification–

denitrification in soil with an organic hotspot. *Biogeosciences* 2023, 20:3895-3917.

doi.org/10.5194/bg-20-3895-2023

Zhang Z, Ren J-s, Harlos K, McKinnon CH, Clifton IJ, Schofield CJ: Crystal structure of a

clavaminate synthase–Fe (II)–2-oxoglutarate–substrate–NO complex: evidence for metal

centred rearrangements. *FEBS letters* 2002, 517:7-12. doi.org/10.1016/s0014-

5793(02)02520-6

Zumft WG: Cell biology and molecular basis of denitrification. *Microbiology and molecular*

*biology reviews* 1997, 61:533-616. doi.org/10.1128/mmbr.61.4.533-616.1997

**Author Notes**

Robert M. Morris and Timothy E. Mattes contributed equally to this work.