# CMV reshapes lymphoid immunity in aging: a single-cell atlas with predictive modeling

**Authors:** Titas Grabauskas[1,2],‡, Chris P. Verschoor[3,4] ‡, Luke Trinity[1]‡, Radu Marches[1], Asa Thibodeau[1], Djamel Nehar-Belaid[1], Giray Eryilmaz[1], Emilie Picard[3], Chia-Ling Kuo[2], Kenneth E. Schmader[5], Cathleen Colon-Emeric[5], Heather E. Whitson[5], Silke Paust[1], Adolfo García-Sastre[6-11], Jacques Banchereau[1,12]*, George A. Kuchel[2,13]*, and Duygu Ucar[1,14]*

**Affiliations:**
[1]The Jackson Laboratory for Genomic Medicine; Farmington, CT 06030, USA.
[2]University of Connecticut School of Medicine; Farmington, CT 06030, USA.
[3]Health Sciences North Research Institute; NOSM University; Sudbury, ON P3E 2H3, Canada.
[4]Department of Medicine, McMaster University; Hamilton, ON L8S 4L8 Canada
[5]Department of Medicine and Duke Center for the Study of Aging and Human Development (Aging Center), and GRECC, Durham VA Health Care System; Durham, NC 27705, USA.
[6]Department of Microbiology, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai; New York, NY 10029, USA.
[7]Global Health and Emerging Pathogens Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai; New York, NY 10029, USA.
[8]Department of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai; New York, NY 10029, USA.
[9]The Tisch Cancer Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai; New York, NY 10029, USA.
[10]Department of Pathology, Molecular and Cell-Based Medicine, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai; New York, NY 10029, USA.
[11]The Icahn Genomics Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai; New York, NY 10029, USA.
[12]Immunoledge LLC; Montclair, NJ 07042, USA.
[13]UConn Center on Aging, University of Connecticut School of Medicine; Farmington, CT 06032, USA.
[14]Institute for Systems Genomics, University of Connecticut Health Center; Farmington, CT 06032, USA.
‡ These authors contributed equally to this work.
* Corresponding authors: duygu.ucar@jax.org, jacques.banchereau@gmail.com, kuchel@uchc.edu

**Abstract:** Cytomegalovirus (CMV), a common herpesvirus, establishes lifelong latency and increases in prevalence with age; yet its systemic impact on the aging immune system remains incompletely understood. We profiled circulating immune cells from healthy older adults (median age: 73) who were CMV(+) or CMV(-) using single-cell RNA-sequencing and validated key findings by flow cytometry. CMV(+) individuals exhibited significant expansion of adaptive immune cells: CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$ TEMRA T, GZMK$^+$ CD8$^+$ T, γδ T, and atypical B cells. Among innate immune cells, monocytes and dendritic cells remained largely unchanged while *KLRC2$^+$* (adaptive) NK cells increased and CD56$^{dim}$ NK cells decreased. To facilitate CMV assessment in datasets with unknown CM serostatus, we developed *CMVerify*, a machine learning classifier that accurately predicts CMV serostatus from single-cell data across platforms and age groups (97%

accuracy). These findings reveal extensive CMV-associated immune remodeling in older adults and underscore the importance of incorporating CMV status in studies of immune aging.

**Main Text:**

The immune system undergoes significant changes with aging, characterized by a decline in naïve cell populations, expansion of memory and terminally differentiated T and B cells, and increased pro-inflammatory activity[1,2]. These changes contribute to weakened immune responses and increased susceptibility to disease[1,3,4]. However, immune aging varies significantly between individuals[5], contributing to differences in immune health, vaccine efficacy, and therapeutic responses among older adults of the same chronological age[6,7]. Factors contributing to this heterogeneity include sex, genetics, obesity, environmental exposures, and chronic latent infections such as Cytomegalovirus (CMV)[8–12].

CMV, a DNA herpesvirus transmitted through bodily fluids[13], establishes lifelong latency in hematopoietic stem cells and myeloid progenitor cell reservoirs[14]. It is one of the most prevalent chronic infections globally, with seropositivity increasing with age[15]. In the United States, 60% to 90% of adults aged 60 and older are CMV-positive (hereinafter referred to as CMV(+), with CMV(-) denoting CMV-negative)[15]. Although largely asymptomatic, in healthy adults[16], latent CMV has been linked to more severe outcomes of SARS-CoV-2[17] and tuberculosis[18] infections. Conversely, in melanoma patients, CMV seropositivity was associated with improved survival following anti-PD-1 checkpoint blockade[19]. Despite its high prevalence and clinical impact, CMV serostatus is often overlooked in immune aging studies, representing a significant but underappreciated confounder.

In addition to health outcomes, latent CMV infection has also been associated with alterations in T and NK cell subsets[1,20,21]. In T cells, CMV has been linked with the expansion of terminally differentiated $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T cells —a phenomenon termed as "memory inflation."[22] CMV-

specific CD8^{+} T cell clones can constitute up to 32% of total CD8^{+} T cell pool[23], potentially limiting responses to novel antigens. CMV is also associated with the accumulation of unconventional γδ T lymphocytes[24–26], particularly circulating Vδ1 γδ T cells[24]. In NK cells, CMV has been shown to correlate with expansion of adaptive NK cells[27,28] expressing NKG2C, which recognizes CMV-derived peptides to restrict viral spread[29]. Despite these individual observations, a systematic exploration of CMV's effects on the circulating immune system of older adults remains lacking.

To address this gap, we profiled peripheral blood from healthy older adults (60–92 years old), stratified by CMV serostatus. Our systems immunology approach uncovered extensive remodeling of circulating immune cells, findings that were independently validated in a younger adult cohort. This study presents the first single-cell transcriptional atlas of latent CMV infection in older adults, defining a robust immune signature. Motivated by the strength of this signal—and to enable CMV reporting in both newly generated and previously published single-cell datasets—we developed *CMVerify*, a highly accurate machine learning model that predicts CMV serostatus. *CMVerify* is easy to use and freely available as an open-source Python package (pypi.org/project/CMVerify/).

**Study design: older adult cohorts stratified by CMV serostatus**

We recruited two cohorts of healthy older adults during 2017-2018 from communities surrounding Hartford, Connecticut, USA, and Sudbury, Ontario, Canada. The Discovery Cohort comprised 36 healthy older adults (n=17 CMV(+), n=19 CMV(-)), whose peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were profiled using single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNAseq) and flow cytometry (Fig. 1a). The Validation Cohort included 63 older adults (n=40 CMV(+), n=23 CMV(-)) whose PBMCs were profiled using flow cytometry alone (Fig. 1a). Participants were free from confounding

autoimmune diseases, were not undergoing treatments that might influence immune function and composition (e.g., immunotherapy) and were not recently vaccinated before blood collection. CMV serostatus was determined by detecting the CMV IgG antibodies in serum using ELISA.

The median age of the Discovery Cohort was 71 years old, including men (n=17) and women (n=19). CMV(+) and CMV(-) groups were comparable in age (p=0.90), body mass index (BMI; median 27.8, p=0.51), and frailty index[30] (median 0.085, p=0.50) (Fig. 1b, Extended Data Fig. 1a, and Supplementary Table 1). Other characteristics, including race, cancer history, and medication use, were also evenly distributed between the two groups (Supplementary Table 1).

The Validation Cohort had a median age of 75 years, including men (n=24) and women (n=39). Similar to the Discovery Cohort, the CMV(+) and CMV(-) groups were comparable in age (p=0.11), BMI (median 27.1, p=0.93), and frailty index[30] (median 0.09, p=0.88) (Fig. 1b and Supplementary Table 1). Race and cancer history were also evenly distributed between the groups (Supplementary Table 1).

**Expansion of memory CD8$^+$ T and γδ T cell subsets is associated with CMV positivity**

PBMCs from the Discovery Cohort were profiled using scRNAseq, capturing 144,324 cells (n=68,642 CMV(+), n=75,682 CMV(-)) after quality control (Fig. 1c,d, and Extended Data Fig. 1b). CMV(+) individuals had higher frequency of CD8$^+$ T cells compared to CMV(-) individuals (25% vs 11% of PBMCs; p=0.0012; Fig. 1e,f), confirmed by flow cytometry in the Validation Cohort (p<0.001; Fig. 1g). Absolute CD8$^+$ T cell counts were 1.78-fold higher in CMV(+) individuals (p=0.04; Fig. 1h), and the CD4$^+$/CD8$^+$ T cell ratio was lower in CMV(+) older adults in both cohorts (Discovery: p=0.006; Validation: p<0.001) (Fig. 1i).

Clustering of CD8^{+} T cells (n=24,983) (Fig. 2a and Extended Data Fig. 2a) identified seven

subsets: (i) naïve (*CCR7^{+}*, *SELL^{+}*, *LEF1+*), (ii) central memory (CM; *CCR7^{+}*), (iii) mucosal-

associated invariant T (MAIT; *ZBTB16^{+}*, *KLRB1^{+}*), (iv) GZMK^{+} CD8^{+} (*CCR7^{-}*, *GZMK^{+}*), (v)

TEMRA (*GZMB^{+}*, *KLRD1^{+}*), (vi) *GZMK^{+} γδ* (*TRDC^{+}, GZMK^{+}*), and (vii) *GZMB^{+} γδ* (*TRDC^{+},

*GZMB^{+}*) cells. All subsets, except MAIT cells, were significantly altered with CMV positivity.

GZMK^{+} CD8^{+} ($p < 0.001$), TEMRA ($p < 0.001$), and GZMB^{+} γδ ($p < 0.001$) cells were the most

expanded subsets in CMV(+) older adults (Fig. 2b,c and Extended Data Fig. 2b).

CD8+ TEMRA cells exhibited a 9.5-fold expansion in CMV(+) compared CMV(-) adults (7.2%

vs 0.8% of PBMCs; $p < 0.001$; Fig. 2c), which was confirmed by flow cytometry in the Validation

Cohort ($p < 0.001$; Fig. 2d). Absolute CD8^{+} TEMRA counts supported these results ($p = 0.001$; Fig.

2e). γδ T cells, which recognize antigens independently of MHC presentation,[31] were also more

frequent in CMV(+) adults, particularly the GZMB[+] γδ subset which showed a 5.8-fold increase

(3.7% vs 0.6%; $p < 0.001$; Fig. 2c and Extended Data Fig. 2b). Increase in γδ T cell frequency was

confirmed in the Validation Cohort by flow cytometry ($p = 0.05$; Fig. 2f). GZMK^{+} CD8^{+} T cells

were also expanded in CMV(+) adults (6% vs 1.6% of PBMCs; $p < 0.001$; Fig 2c).

To assess whether these CMV-associated alterations were specific to older adults, we re-analyzed

publicly available scRNAseq datasets from younger adults (Younger Adult Cohort; n=47, 25-35

years old; n=45, 55-65 years old; Extended Data Fig. 2c) with known CMV serostatus (CMV(+)

n=42; CMV(-) n=50)[32] (Extended Data Fig. 2b). CD8^{+} TEMRA frequency, GZMB^{+} γδ T cells,

GZMK^{+} CD8^{+} T cells were also higher in CMV(+) younger adults ($p < 0.001$; Fig. 2g).

In-depth analyses of each CD8$^+$ subset revealed very few differentially expressed genes (DEGs;

FDR<0.05) between CMV(+) and CMV(-) older individuals (Supplementary Table 2). To further

explore cell-intrinsic differences, we calculated single-cell scores for cytotoxicity and lymphoid

innateness—a gradient that evaluates transcriptional similarity of T cells to innate lymphocytes

(Supplementary Table 3)[33,34]. These scores were largely comparable between CMV(+) and CMV(-

) donors across all subsets, except for GZMK$^+$ CD8$^+$ T cells that displayed an increased

cytotoxicity score for CMV(+) individuals (Extended Data Fig. 2e, f).

Together, CMV seropositivity is associated with substantial compositional remodeling of the

CD8$^+$ T cell compartment with minor cell-intrinsic alterations in older adults.

**CD4$^+$ TEMRA T cells are expanded in CMV(+) older adults**

CD4$^+$ T cells (55,927) (Fig. 2h and Extended Data Fig. 3a) were clustered into four subsets: (i)

naïve (*CCR7$^+$*); (ii) T-regulatory cells (Tregs; *FOXP3$^+$*, *TIGIT$^+$*), (iii) effector memory (EM;

*S100A4$^+$*, *GZMB$^-$*), and (iv) TEMRA cells (*GZMB$^+$*, *GNLY$^+$*). CMV(+) individuals exhibited a

lower frequency of naïve CD4$^+$ T cells (p=0.04) and higher frequency of CD4$^+$ TEMRA cells

(p=<0.001; Fig. 2j and Extended Data Fig. 3b). CD4$^+$ TEMRA cells were 6.4-fold higher in

CMV(+) individuals (2.15% vs 0.34% of PBMCs), confirmed by flow cytometry (p=0.009; Figure

2k) in the Validation Cohort. Absolute counts of CD4$^+$ TEMRA cells were also higher in CMV(+)

(p=0.08; Fig. 2l). The Younger Adult Cohort displayed similar expansion of CD4$^+$ TEMRA cells

(p<0.001; Fig. 2m and Extended Data Fig. 3c). DEG analysis yielded few (n=3) significant genes

(Supplementary Table 2), with *CCL5* upregulated in Tregs from CMV(+) older adults.

Further clustering of the CD4$^+$ memory compartment (EM; n=19,691; Extended Data Fig. 3d)

revealed five memory subsets (i) T-helper 1-like (Th1-like; *IFNG-AS1$^+$*, *GZMK$^+$*), (ii) T-helper

17-like (Th17-like; *RORC$^+$*, *CCR6$^+$*, *CTSH$^+$*), (iii) T follicular helper-like (Tfh-like; *CXCR5$^+$*) (iv)

T-helper 2-like (Th2-like; *GATA3$^+$* ), and (v) T-helper 10-like (Th10-like; *CCR10$^+$*)[35]. None of

these memory subsets remodeled with CMV seropositivity in our cohort (Extended Data Fig. 3e,f)

or in the Younger Adult Cohort (Extended Data Fig 3g,h).

Thus, CMV seropositivity in older adults is associated with higher frequency of CD4$^+$ TEMRA

cells without alterations of other memory CD4$^+$ T cell subsets.

**Atypical B cells (ABCs) are expanded in CMV(+) older adults**

B cells (n=12,573; Fig. 3a and Extended Data Fig. 4a) clustered into five subsets: (i) naïve (*IL4R$^+$*,

*IGHM$^+$*), (ii) transitional (*CD9$^+$*, *MME$^+$*), (iii) ABC (*CD27$^-$*, *ITGAX*/CD11c$^+$, *TBX21*/Tbet$^+$), (iv)

memory (*CD27$^+$*, *IGHG1$^+$*, *IGHA1$^+$*), and (v) plasmablasts (PB; *JCHAIN$^+$*, *MZB1$^+$*). CMV(+)

individuals had higher frequencies of ABCs, with a 3.4-fold increase (0.27% vs 0.9%; p=0.01; Fig.

3b,c and Extended Data Fig.4b). This expansion was also observed in 55–65-year-old CMV(+)

individuals from the Younger Adult Cohort (p=0.04; Fig. 3d and Extended Data Fig. 4c). No DEGs

were found between CMV(+) and CMV(-) older adults in any B cell subset (Supplementary Table

2).

Therefore, CMV seropositivity is linked with expansion of ABCs without affecting other B cell

subsets.

**CD56$^{dim}$NK cells are reduced and NKG2C$^+$ NK cells are expanded in CMV(+) older adults**

NK cells (n=17,222) (Fig. 3e,f) clustered into three subsets: (i) CD56$^{dim}$ NK cells (*SPON2$^+$*,

*FCGR3A$^+$*, *GZMB$^+$*), (ii) CD56$^{bright}$ NK cells (*NCAM1*/CD56 $^+$, *GZMK$^+$*, *XCL1$^+$*), and (iii)

KLRC2$^+$ NK cells (*KLRC2$^+$*/NKG2C), which closely resembled adaptive NK cells (Extended Data

Fig. 4d)[36,37].

CD56$^{dim}$ NK cell frequency was lower in CMV(+) individuals in both our older adult cohort (6.6%

vs 12.2% of PBMCs; p=0.02; Fig. 3g,h) and the Younger Adult Cohort (p<0.001; Fig. 3j and

Extended Data Fig. 4e). Conversely, KLRC2$^+$ NK cell frequency was higher by 2.3-fold in

CMV(+) older adults, (2% vs. 0.8%; p=0.011, Fig. 3h), which was confirmed by flow cytometry

in the Validation Cohort (p<0.001; Fig. 3i and Extended Data Fig. 4f). KLRC2$^+$ NK cells were

also more frequent in CMV(+) individuals within the Younger Adult Cohort (p<0.001; Fig. 3j and

Extended Data Fig. 4e). No DEGs were found between CMV(+) and CMV(-) older adults in NK

subsets (Supplementary Table 2).

**Monocytes and dendritic cells are comparable between CMV(+) and CMV(-) older adults**

Monocytes (n=30,132) (Fig. 4a and Extended Data Fig. 5a) clustered into four subsets: (i) CD14$^+$

monocytes (*CD14$^+$*, *LYZ$^+$*, *S100A8$^+$*, *S100A9$^+$*), (ii) ISG-high CD14$^+$ monocytes (*CD14$^+$*, *IFI44L$^+$*,

*MX1$^+$*), (iii) intermediate monocytes (*FCGR3A$^+$*, *CD14$^+$*, *HLA-DRB1$^+$*), and (iv) CD16$^+$ monocytes

(*FCGR3A$^+$*, *FCER1G$^+$*). No differences in monocyte subset frequencies or DEGs were observed

between CMV(+) and CMV(-) individuals (Fig. 4b, Extended Data Fig. 5b, and Supplementary

Table 2). Monocyte subset was also not altered with CMV in the Younger Adult Cohort (Extended

Data Fig. 5c).

Dendritic cells (DCs) (Fig. 4c) clustered into five subsets (i) monocyte-derived dendritic cells

(Mono-DC; *S100A8*+, *CD14*+, *CLEC10A*+), (ii) type-2 classical dendritic cells (cDC2; *CLEC10A*+,

*CD1C*+), (iii) type-1 classical dendritic cells (cDC1; *CLEC9A*+), (iv) AXL+ dendritic cells (AXL+

DC; *AXL*+), and (v) plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs; *IRF7*+, *JCHAIN*+). Among these subsets, pDCs

showed a trend toward lower frequency in CMV(+) individuals (0.35% vs 0.6% of PBMCs)

(p=0.07; Fig. 4, d,e and Extended Data Fig. 5d). A modest but significant reduction in pDC

frequency was also observed in the Younger Adult Cohort (0.35% vs 0.41%; p=0.03; Fig. 4f and

Extended Data Fig. 5e). No DEGs were found between CMV(+) and CMV(-) older adults in DC

subsets (Supplementary Table 2).

Overall, our older adult cohorts revealed pronounced CMV-associated remodeling of lymphoid

immune cell subsets, with minimal alterations in myeloid cells (Fig. 4g and Supplementary Table

4). To estimate the contribution of clinical factors to lymphocyte frequency variance in the

Discovery Cohort, we conducted Principal Variance Component Analysis[38], which identified

CMV serostatus as the dominant contributor (43%), followed by BMI (11%) (Extended Data Fig.

5g). Finally, leveraging longitudinal samples from the Younger Adult Cohort, revealed that CMV-

associated immune signature remains stable over three years (Fig. 4h and Extended Data Fig. 5f),

underscoring the persistent nature of latent CMV-driven immune remodeling.

***CMVerify*—a novel tool that accurately predicts CMV serostatus from scRNAseq data**

Given the increasing prevalence of latent CMV with age and its association with extensive immune

remodeling, we developed a machine learning model—*CMVerify*—to predict latent CMV

serostatus from existing single-cell transcriptomics datasets. *CMVerify* leverages a Random Forest

classification model that uses PBMC subtype frequencies as input (i.e., data features) to predict latent CMV serostatus (i.e., classification output) (Fig. 5a).

*CMVerify* was trained on the Younger Adult Cohort (n=92 total; n=42 CMV(+); n=50 CMV(-); Extended Data Fig. 2c) using leave-one-out cross-validation, achieving 96.7% training accuracy. Top predictive features included CD4$^+$ TEMRA, CD8$^+$ TEMRA, KLRC2$^+$ NK cells, and GZMB$^+$ γδ T cells (Extended Data Fig. 6a,b), consistent with our CMV-associated immune signature. We first validated *CMVerify* in our Discovery Cohort, where it correctly predicted CMV serostatus in 26 out of 27 individuals (Fig. 5b and Extended Data Fig. 6c). We then further tested *CMVerify* on two independent older adult cohorts with different chemistries using available CMV IgG and PBMC scRNAseq data: Cohort 1 (n=19, age 62-90) profiled using 10X 3' scRNAseq, Cohort 2: (n=20, age 64-88) profiled using 10X 5' scRNAseq. Across these datasets, *CMVerify* achieved 97% average accuracy, 98% positive predictive value (PPV), and 96% negative predictive value (NPV) (Fig. 5b, Extended Data Fig. 6c).

To evaluate longitudinal performance, *CMVerify* was applied to 77 individuals from the Younger Adult Cohort, each with PBMC scRNAseq data across 9 timepoints. The model maintained 97% accuracy, correctly classifying 670 of 693 longitudinal samples (Fig. 5c and Extended Data Fig 6d). Misclassifications primarily stemmed from two donors with unusually low CD4$^+$ TEMRA frequencies (Extended Data Fig 6e). Remarkably, in a donor who seroconverted over the study (35-year-old male, ID: BR1049), *CMVerify* successfully tracked the transition, shifting its prediction from CMV(-) to CMV(+) in parallel with rising CMV IgG titers (Fig. 5c,d). This transition was accompanied by rapid and dramatic changes in PBMC composition—including a 90.99-fold increase in CD4$^+$ TEMRAs, 8.74-fold increase in CD8$^+$ TEMRAs, and 3.37-fold

increase in KLRC2^{+} NK cells (Fig. 5e and Extended Data Fig. 6f) — demonstrating the sensitivity

of *CMVerify* to biological shifts following CMV infection.

Together, these results establish *CMVerify* as a robust, cross-platform tool for predicting CMV

serostatus from human scRNAseq PBMC datasets. The publicly available Python package

(pypi.org/project/CMVerify/) facilitates CMV predictions in both new and existing human studies

that leverage scRNAseq profiling in PBMCs, enabling better separation of CMV- and age-driven

immune changes.

**Discussion**

Our systematic comparison of the circulating leukocytes from CMV(+) and CMV(-) older adults

demonstrates that latent CMV infection is associated with extensive remodeling of the lymphoid

cells, primarily linked to cytotoxicity, while having limited effects on myeloid cells. Key findings

include the CMV-associated expansion of CD4^{+} and CD8^{+} TEMRA cells, GZMK^{+} CD8^{+} T cells,

cytotoxic GZMB^{+} γδ T cells, NKG2C^{+} NK cells, and atypical B cells (ABCs), alongside a decline

in NK CD56^{dim}.

The dramatic expansion of CD4^{+} and CD8^{+} TEMRA cells in CMV(+) individuals corroborates

prior findings[22,32,39], but our study advances this by showing that GZMK^{+} CD8^{+} T cells also expand

with CMV positivity in both older and younger adult cohorts. While these cells have previously

shown to expand with age[2], our data highlight that expansion of these populations is not solely age

driven.

Our study identified GZMB$^+$ γδ T cells as one of the subsets most strongly associated with CMV seropositivity in both older and younger adults, advancing prior reports of Vδ1$^+$ γδ T cell expansion[24]. CMV's ability to evade conventional T cell responses *via* MHC class I downregulation[40] may promote MHC-independent recognition by γδ T cells to eliminate infected cells[41]. Furthermore, NKG2C$^+$ NK cells, crucial for targeting CMV-infected cells through activating receptors[29,42], were significantly expanded in CMV(+) older adults, extending observations in younger cohorts[27,28,43]. In contrast, the reduction in CD56$^{dim}$ NK cells across both younger and older CMV(+) cohorts suggests broader remodeling of the NK cell compartment with latent CMV infection.

ABCs, associated with chronic viral infections in mice[44] and autoimmune conditions in humans[45], were increased with CMV both in our older adult cohort and in the independent Younger Adult Cohort. Although they expand with primary CMV infection during pregnancy[46], how they accumulate and influence the immune system function with latent CMV is unknown. CMV-induced chronic activation and differentiation through extra-follicular pathways[45,47] may drive ABC expansion, potentially mediated by IFN-γ from expanded TEMRA and NK cells[48]. ABCs might produce CMV-specific antibodies, potentially contributing to the control of latent infection.

Although CMV serostatus can be readily assessed from plasma, many genomic studies fail to account for this important confounder. Tools that can predict latent CMV infection in the absence of serum immunoglobulin data (typically, CMV IgG) or access to extra blood samples are therefore highly valuable. Existing methods can leverage cytometry[49] or T cell receptor sequencing data[50] to predict CMV serostatus with high accuracy. However, no such tool exists for scRNAseq datasets, despite their increasing use in human immune aging studies. To address this gap, we

developed *CMVerify*, a machine learning tool that enables accurate CMV serostatus prediction from single-cell transcriptomic data from PBMCs. Trained on younger adult data, *CMVerify* successfully generalized to three independent older adult cohorts, underscoring the age-independent nature of CMV-associated immune remodeling. By enabling CMV inference without the need for plasma samples or additional assays (e.g., ELISA), *CMVerify* provides the field with a practical and scalable solution to disentangle CMV-associated versus age-related immune changes in existing and future single-cell datasets.

In summary, our findings highlight profound CMV-associated remodeling of lymphoid cells in older adults, accompanied by limited changes in myeloid cells. These alterations should be taken into consideration in immune aging and profiling studies.

**Limitations**

Our study has several limitations. First, the cohort predominantly consisted of Caucasian individuals; race/ethnicity might influence the observed associations. Second, the cross-sectional design of our study limits causal inferences regarding CMV's role in immune aging. Although we demonstrated that CMV-associated changes remain stable over a two-year period, the longer-term effects of CMV require further investigation. Additionally, the *CMVerify* model is intended for use in healthy adult populations and is not recommended for other age groups, e.g., infants. Despite these limitations, our study provides valuable and novel insights into the systemic effects of latent CMV infection on immune aging.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cohort Description**

*Discovery Cohort*. The Discovery Cohort comprised individuals recruited from two separate studies:

1. **UConn Center on Aging Bacterial Pneumonia Vaccine Study**: Twenty healthy older adults aged 60 years and older were recruited at the University of Connecticut Center on Aging during the 2017 and 2018 seasons (IRB number: 16-071J; R01AG052608; R01AI142086). Participants did not have confounding treatments or conditions that would influence immune function and composition (e.g., immunotherapy, immunosuppressive disorders, and prednisone in doses greater than 10 mg/day) [51].

2. **Immune Response to High-Dose vs. Standard Dose Influenza Vaccine at the University of Connecticut Health Center (UCHC)**: Sixteen healthy older adults aged 65 years and older were recruited at UCHC and Health Sciences North Research Institute (HSNRI) in 2017 to study resilience to influenza infections. Exclusion criteria for these participants included known immunosuppressive disorders or medications, including prednisone in doses greater than 10 mg/day.

*Validation Cohort*. The Validation Cohort included 63 individuals recruited for the Immune Response to High-Dose vs. Standard Dose Influenza Vaccine study at UCHC and HSNRI in 2018. This cohort consisted of healthy older adults aged 65 years and older. Exclusion criteria were the same as in the Discovery Cohort and included known immunosuppressive disorders or medications, such as prednisone in doses greater than 10 mg/day.

**Participant Characteristics**. The CMV(+) and CMV(-) groups in both the Discovery and Validation Cohorts had comparable distributions in age, sex, body mass index (BMI), and frailty index (see Supplementary Table 1). All individuals in the Discovery Cohort (n=36) and the Validation Cohort (n=63) were recruited from the communities of Sudbury, Ontario, Canada, and Hartford, Connecticut, USA.

**Ethics Approval**. The study protocols were approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Connecticut Health Center (UConn Health IRB #20-130-J-1 or JAX IRB #2019-006-JGM) and the Health Sciences North Research Ethics Board (#985). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to inclusion in the study.

**Sample Collection**. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were isolated by Lymphoprep (StemCell Technologies) gradient centrifugation within 1h after collection of blood samples by Lymphoprep (StemCell Technologies) gradient centrifugation whereas serum was isolated from the blood collected in red top collection tubes (BD Vacutainer). The isolated PBMCs were resuspended in a cryoprotective solution containing 90% FBS and 10% DMSO, then transferred to liquid nitrogen for long-term storage whereas serum was stored at -80°C. Further details on the processing of previously published blood samples (Discovery Cohort, n=20; Validation Cohort, n=63) can be found in the original publications [26,51,52].

**Cytomegalovirus Serostatus.** CMV serostatus was determined by ELISA using the CMV IgG ELISA kits (Aviva Systems Biology and Genesis Diagnostics Ltd.). Serum samples were thawed

at room temperature, diluted, and analyzed according to manufacturer's recommendations. Calculation of the results was done based on the appropriate controls included in the kit.

**Single-Cell RNA-Sequencing**. In the Discovery Cohort, we generated a total of 27 baseline PBMC scRNAseq samples from older adults as part of two separate studies. All of these samples were generated at the Jackson Laboratory Single Cell Biology Lab using the same pipeline. Briefly, cells were washed and resuspended in PBS containing 0.04% BSA and immediately processed as follows. Cell viability was assessed on a Countess FL II automated cell counter (Thermofisher) using Trypan Blue staining, and up to 12,000 cells from each suspension were loaded onto one lane of a 10x Genomics Chip G. Single cell capture, barcoding, and library preparation were performed using the 10x Genomics Chromium Controller platform version 3.1 NEXTGEM chemistry and according to the manufacturer's protocol (CG000315, 10x Genomics). cDNA and libraries were assessed for quality via Tapestation 4200 (Agilent) and Qubit fluorometer (ThermoFisher), quantified by KAPA qPCR, and sequenced on a NovaSeq 6000 (Illumina) S4 v1.5 200 flow cell using a 28-10-10-90 asymmetric read configuration targeting a read depth of 50,000 per cell.

**scRNAseq data analyses.** Illumina base call files for all libraries were converted to FASTQs using bcl2fastq v2.20.0.422 (Illumina, CA, USA) and FASTQ files associated with baseline gene expression libraries were aligned to the GRCh38 reference assembly with v32 annotations from GENCODE (10x Genomics GRCh38 reference 2020-A) using the version 6.1.1 Cell Ranger count pipeline (10x Genomics, CA, USA), as previously described[51]. The resulting gene-cell expression matrices, were then corrected for ambient RNA contamination using SoupX[53]. The corrected gene-cell expression matrices were then used for downstream analysis. We used the Scrublet[54] package

in Python to estimate the doublet score for each sample with an estimated doublet set to 0.1. Doublets were manually confirmed to be doublets via expression of PBMC lineage markers before being removed from downstream analyses. Cells with (i) genes that were not detected in at least 3 cells, (ii) mitochondrial reads greater than 25%, and (iii) fewer than 200 features were excluded. Filtered gene expression matrices were merged and processed using the Scanpy toolkit (version 1.9.1)[55]. Read counts were log normalized to the total number of reads in each individual cell to count-per-million (CPM) using the "normalized_total" and "log1p" functions. Genes with the highest normalized dispersion were identified using the "highly_variable_genes" function. Gene expression matrices were scaled using "scale" function and Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was performed using the "pca" function. Harmony[56] was applied using the "harmony_integrate" function, from Scanpy, to correct batch effects across samples. For the baseline samples, 100 Principal Components (PCs) were used to calculate nearest neighbors via the "neighbors" function which were used to perform uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP) *via* the "umap" function. Initial clusters were determined using the "leiden" function (resolution=0.8) for all PBMCs. Multiple rounds of marker identification, cell type annotation, and manual inspection and doublet removal, were performed to annotate PBMC subsets, resulting in 36 annotated PBMC subsets from 144,324 cells across 27 samples.

**Publicly available scRNA data**. We obtained the publicly available dataset (Younger Adult Cohort) from https://apps.allenimmunology.org/aifi/insights/dynamics-imm-health-age/downloads/ which included samples from n=49 healthy young adults (25-35 years) and n=47 healthy older adults (55-65 years old)[32].

Our compositional analysis focused on the Flu Year 1 Day 0 baseline time point (Figures 2G, 2M,

2SC, 3D, 3J and 4F), which included n=1,539,411 cells. This dataset was filtered—by the original

authors—for doublets using Scrublet and further refined by removing cells with more than 5,000

genes or fewer than 200 genes, and mitochondrial threshold over 10%. The only additional

threshold we imposed for automated quality control was to remove genes detected in less than 3

cells, which left 32,069 genes detected. Cells were clustered and annotated using the same process

we applied to the Discovery Cohort. In Figure 2H we also include annotations following the above

procedure for Flu Year 2 Day 0 (Yr 2 V1) and Year 3 Stand-Alone (Yr 3 V1) timepoints. Finally,

in Figure 5E for the seroconverter we annotated cells from all available timepoints.

*CMVerify* **model training.** Baseline samples (Flu Year 1 Day 0) from the Younger Adult Cohort

(n=92)[32] were used for training *CMVerify*. To enable broad adoption, the input to our machine

learning pipeline was donor-level PBMC frequencies of the 72 cell types, labeled with the

standardized AIFI_L3 CellTypist model[32,57]. PBMC frequencies were scaled using

"StandardScaler" as provided by the scikit-learn package[58] to transform frequencies to have zero

mean and unit variance. A random forest classifier was used for model training. Optimal

parameters were selected using grid search and leave-one-out cross-validation over all possible

combinations of the following hyperparameters:

| Parameter | Grid Search Options (selected) |
|---|---|
| number of estimators | 50, **100**, 200 |
| max depth | **None**, 10, 20, 30 |
| minimum samples to split internal node | 2, **5**, 10 |
| minimum samples required at a leaf | 1, 2, **4** |
| number of features to consider when looking for best split | **square root (number of features)**, $\log_2$ (number of features) |
| sampling with replacement | True, **False** |

445    **Table 2**: Parameters tested and selected (in bold) for *CMVerify* training.

Optimal parameters (indicated in bold in Table 1) yielded the best cross validation score of 0.967.

Feature importances were calculated based on the mean decrease in impurity where impurity is a measure of how mixed a node in a tree is with respect to target labels. Features (cell type frequencies) that best reduce the impurity and more effectively split the CMV(+) and CMV(-) samples will have the highest importance (Extended Data Fig. 6a, Table 2). Fourteen features were selected by *CMVerify* based on feature importance scores (Table 2). To evaluate how the Cell Typist labeling corresponds with our Discovery Cohort cell type labels (see Methods:scRNAseq data analyses), we mapped the top five *CMVerify* selected Cell Typist features to our own cell type annotations (Extended Data Fig. 6b).

| *Cell Type* | *Importance* |
|---|---|
| KLRF1- GZMB+ CD27- memory CD4 T cell (CD4$^+$ TEMRA) | 0.351594 |
| KLRF1- GZMB+ CD27- EM CD8 T cell (CD8$^+$ TEMRA) | 0.162693 |
| Adaptive NK cell (KLRC2$^+$ NK) | 0.137851 |
| KLRF1- effector Vd1 gdT (GZMB$^+$ γδ) | 0.092140 |
| KLRF1+ effector Vd1 gdT (GZMB$^+$ γδ) | 0.090094 |
| Proliferating NK cell | 0.033280 |
| GZMK- CD27+ EM CD8 T cell | 0.026493 |
| GZMK+ CD56dim NK cell | 0.025931 |
| GZMK- CD56dim NK cell | 0.024149 |
| KLRF1+ GZMB+ CD27- EM CD8 T cell | 0.018953 |
| ISG+ CD56dim NK cell | 0.010061 |
| GZMK+ memory CD4 Treg | 0.009613 |
| pDC | 0.009209 |
| CD8 MAIT | 0.007939 |

**Table 2**: Fourteen features were selected by *CMVerify* during training procedure, listed here in descending order of importance (for predicting CMV serostatus).

**Test datasets for *CMVerify*.** Samples from the Independent Cohort 1: 3′ Older Adult Cohort have been deposited in the database of Genotypes and Phenotypes (dbGaP) under accession number

phs003259.v1.p1 and will be made publicly available upon acceptance of the study. We have

immediately made available cell type frequencies from this cohort in our Synapse repository along

with all code needed to reproduce our results in this cohort. Samples from Independent Cohort 2:

5′ Older Adult Cohort were from an ongoing in-house study, samples and cell type frequencies

from this cohort will be made available upon completion of the study. All PBMC samples were

processed using the same pipeline, as described above. For *CMVerify* testing, all cell type

annotations were performed using AIFI_L3 CellTypist model[32,57].

**Differential expression analyses.** Raw RNA counts were aggregated across cell types using the

function "ADPBulk" from adpbulk package (GitHub: noamteyssier, 2021, adpbulk). For each cell

type, samples containing fewer than 10 cells were excluded from the differential analysis. Raw

counts were first converted to a "DGEList" object, and transformed to normalized matrices using

the "calcNormFactors" and "cpm" functions in edgeR[59]. Genes with zero normalized counts across

all samples within a given cell type were removed. Differentially expressed gene (DEG) analysis

was performed using generalized linear models, employing the default normalization method

based on the trimmed mean of M-values (TMM) and controlling for batch, sex and site of sample

collection. P-values were adjusted using Benjamini–Hochberg correction for multiple comparisons

and genes with an adjusted P-value (FDR) less than 0.1 were selected as DEGs.

**Batch correction for gene expression.** Our baseline scRNAseq samples included two scRNAseq

libraries (previously published, n=11; and unpublished, n=16) that were sequenced separately.

Batch effects were corrected as follows: For each cell type of interest, raw RNA counts were

aggregated at the donor level (pseudobulk) as described above. Next, pseudobulk matrix values

were adjusted for batch using pyComBat[60]. Finally, the mean expression of genes of interest were

calculated at the donor level using batch-corrected pseudobulk matrix.

**Gene scoring.** Cytotoxicity score for each sample was calculated by computing the mean expression of "Cytotoxic lymphocytes" (M9.1) gene set in CD8^{+} T cells [33] (n=29 genes, Supplementary Table 3). Innateness score was calculated by computing the mean expression of innateness-related genes in CD8^{+} T cells[34] (n=80 genes, Supplementary Table 3).

**Flow cytometry data generation and analyses.** The frequencies of the major cell populations in PBMCs from CMV(+) and CMV(-) elderly donors were analyzed independently in the two different cohorts recruited in Hartford, CT and Sudbury, Ontario, Canada. The samples collected in Hartford, CT were stained as follows. Fluorescent-labeled antibody cocktails for cell-surface staining panels were pre-mixed in BD Horizon Brilliant Stain Buffer (BD Biosciences) 10 minutes before staining. Antibody cocktails were added over 100 μL aliquots of anticoagulated whole blood in a 5 ml FACS tube within 60 minutes of blood collection. Samples were incubated for 15 minutes at room temperature then lysed and fixed with 2 ml of 1x FACS lysing solution (BD Biosciences) for 8 minutes at room temperature. The lysed samples were washed twice to remove the unbound antibodies, lysed RBCs, and platelets and finally resuspended in 250 μL of PBS to which 50 μL of count beads suspension (Count Bright Absolute Counting Beads, Thermo Fisher) were added for the detection of absolute cell counts. Cells were stained with fluorochrome-labeled antibodies to the following surface markers: CD3 (clone UCHT1, BioLegend), CD4 (clone RPA-T4, BD Biosciences), CD8 (clone SFC121Thy2D3, Beckman-Coulter), CD19 (clone HIB19, BD Biosciences), CD14 (clone MSE2, BD Biosciences), CD197 (clone 150503, BD Biosciences), and CD45RA (clone HI100, BD Biosciences), and CD127 (clone HIL-7R-M21, BD Biosciences). The stained cells were acquired with LSR Fortessa X-20 (BD) and analyzed with FlowJo software (BD).

Immunophenotyping for PBMCs in the Discovery Cohort (samples collected in Sudbury, Ontario, Canada) is detailed in the original publication [51]. For the Validation Cohort, PBMCs from participants were thawed and stained as previously described [26,52]. Following staining, PBMCs were analyzed on a CytoFLEX flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter, CA, USA). Analysis was performed using FlowJo v.10.1.5, following previously established protocols [26,52].

**Statistical tests.** In both the Discovery Cohort and the Validation Cohort, categorical participant characteristics including sex, race, previous cancer diagnosis, and medications used were compared using Fisher's exact test or the chi-square test, as applicable, using the SciPy package (GitHub: SciPy, 2011). Mann-Whitney U test was performed to compare CMV(+) and CMV(-) groups for continuous variables (e.g., age, BMI, and frailty), cell frequencies and gene set scores (e.g., Cytotoxicity score, Innateness score). Unless stated otherwise in figure legends, p-values in the main and supplementary figures are reported after multiple hypothesis testing correction (i.e. q) by Benjamini-Hochberg's procedure at FDR < 0.05.

**References and Notes**

1. Nikolich-Žugich, J. The twilight of immunity: emerging concepts in aging of the immune system. *Nat Immunol* **19**, 10–19 (2018).

2. Terekhova, M. *et al.* Single-cell atlas of healthy human blood unveils age-related loss of NKG2C+GZMB−CD8+ memory T cells and accumulation of type 2 memory T cells. *Immunity* **56**, 2836-2854.e9 (2023).

3. Guo, J. *et al.* Aging and aging-related diseases: from molecular mechanisms to interventions and treatments. *Sig Transduct Target Ther* **7**, 1–40 (2022).

4. Kennedy, B. K. *et al.* Geroscience: linking aging to chronic disease. *Cell* **159**, 709–713 (2014).

5. Mogilenko, D. A., Shchukina, I. & Artyomov, M. N. Immune ageing at single-cell resolution. *Nat Rev Immunol* **22**, 484–498 (2022).

6. Hou, Y. *et al.* Insights into vaccines for elderly individuals: from the impacts of immunosenescence to delivery strategies. *npj Vaccines* **9**, 1–13 (2024).

7. Bartleson, J. M. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2, COVID-19 and the aging immune system. *Nat Aging* **1**, 769–782 (2021).

8. Leng, S. X. & Margolick, J. B. Aging, sex, inflammation, frailty, and CMV and HIV infections. *Cellular Immunology* **348**, 104024 (2020).

9. Brodin, P. *et al.* Variation in the Human Immune System Is Largely Driven by Non-Heritable Influences. *Cell* **160**, 37–47 (2015).

10. Khan, N. *et al.* Cytomegalovirus seropositivity drives the CD8 T cell repertoire toward greater clonality in healthy elderly individuals. *J Immunol* **169**, 1984–1992 (2002).

11. Nehar-Belaid, D. *et al.* Baseline immune states (BIS) associated with vaccine responsiveness and factors that shape the BIS. *Seminars in Immunology* **70**, 101842 (2023).

12. Márquez, E. J. *et al.* Sexual-dimorphism in human immune system aging. *Nat Commun* **11**, 751 (2020).

13. Cannon, M. J., Hyde, T. B. & Schmid, D. S. Review of cytomegalovirus shedding in bodily fluids and relevance to congenital cytomegalovirus infection. *Reviews in Medical Virology* **21**, 240–255 (2011).

14. Schwartz, M. & Stern-Ginossar, N. Rethinking human cytomegalovirus latency reservoir. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* **1524**, 30–36 (2023).

15. Staras, S. A. S. *et al.* Seroprevalence of Cytomegalovirus Infection in the United States, 1988–1994. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* **43**, 1143–1151 (2006).

16. Forte, E., Zhang, Z., Thorp, E. B. & Hummel, M. Cytomegalovirus Latency and Reactivation: An Intricate Interplay With the Host Immune Response. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* **10**, (2020).

17. Alanio, C. *et al.* Cytomegalovirus Latent Infection is Associated with an Increased Risk of COVID-19-Related Hospitalization. *The Journal of Infectious Diseases* **226**, 463 (2022).

18. Kua, K. P., Chongmelaxme, B. & Lee, S. W. H. Association Between Cytomegalovirus Infection and Tuberculosis Disease: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Epidemiological Studies. *The Journal of Infectious Diseases* **227**, 471–482 (2023).

19. Milotay, G. *et al.* CMV serostatus is associated with improved survival and delayed toxicity onset following anti-PD-1 checkpoint blockade. *Nat Med* 1–15 (2025) doi:10.1038/s41591-025-03647-1.

20. Pawelec, G., Derhovanessian, E., Larbi, A., Strindhall, J. & Wikby, A. Cytomegalovirus and human immunosenescence. *Reviews in Medical Virology* **19**, 47–56 (2009).

21. Campos, C. *et al.* Effect of age and CMV on NK cell subpopulations. *Experimental Gerontology* **54**, 130–137 (2014).

22. van der Heiden, M. *et al.* Differential effects of Cytomegalovirus carriage on the immune phenotype of middle-aged males and females. *Sci Rep* **6**, 26892 (2016).

23. Wallace, D. L. *et al.* Human cytomegalovirus-specific CD8+ T-cell expansions contain long-lived cells that retain functional capacity in both young and elderly subjects. *Immunology* **132**, 27–38 (2011).

24. Pitard, V. *et al.* Long-term expansion of effector/memory Vδ2− γδ T cells is a specific blood signature of CMV infection. *Blood* **112**, 1317–1324 (2008).

25. Khairallah, C., Déchanet-Merville, J. & Capone, M. γδ T Cell-Mediated Immunity to Cytomegalovirus Infection. *Front Immunol* **8**, 105 (2017).

26. Verschoor, C. P. *et al.* NK- and T-cell granzyme B and K expression correlates with age, CMV infection and influenza vaccine-induced antibody titres in older adults. *Front Aging* **3**, 1098200 (2023).

27. Rückert, T., Lareau, C. A., Mashreghi, M.-F., Ludwig, L. S. & Romagnani, C. Clonal expansion and epigenetic inheritance of long-lasting NK cell memory. *Nat Immunol* **23**, 1551–1563 (2022).

28. Schlums, H. *et al.* Cytomegalovirus Infection Drives Adaptive Epigenetic Diversification of NK Cells with

Altered Signaling and Effector Function. *Immunity* **42**, 443–456 (2015).

29. Watzl, C. Adaptive responses of innate lymphocytes. *Nat Immunol* **19**, 426–427 (2018).

30. Loeb, N. *et al.* Frailty Is Associated With Increased Hemagglutination-Inhibition Titers in a 4-Year

Randomized Trial Comparing Standard- and High-Dose Influenza Vaccination. *Open Forum Infectious*

*Diseases* **7**, ofaa148 (2020).

31. Deseke, M. & Prinz, I. Ligand recognition by the γδ TCR and discrimination between homeostasis and

stress conditions. *Cell Mol Immunol* **17**, 914–924 (2020).

32. Gong, Q. *et al.* Longitudinal Multi-omic Immune Profiling Reveals Age-Related Immune Cell Dynamics

in Healthy Adults. 2024.09.10.612119 Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.10.612119 (2024).

33. Rinchai, D. *et al.* BloodGen3Module: blood transcriptional module repertoire analysis and visualization

using R. *Bioinformatics* **37**, 2382–2389 (2021).

34. Gutierrez-Arcelus, M. *et al.* Lymphocyte innateness defined by transcriptional states reflects a balance

between proliferation and effector functions. *Nat Commun* **10**, 687 (2019).

35. Caielli, S. *et al.* A CD4+ T cell population expanded in Lupus blood provides B cell help through IL10

and succinate. *Nat Med* **25**, 75–81 (2019).

36. Vivier, E., Tomasello, E., Baratin, M., Walzer, T. & Ugolini, S. Functions of natural killer cells. *Nat*

*Immunol* **9**, 503–510 (2008).

37. Rebuffet, L. *et al.* High-dimensional single-cell analysis of human natural killer cell heterogeneity. *Nat*

*Immunol* **25**, 1474–1488 (2024).

38. Abdi, H. & Williams, L. J. Principal component analysis. *WIREs Computational Statistics* **2**, 433–459

(2010).

39. Zhang, W. *et al.* CMV Status Drives Distinct Trajectories of CD4+ T Cell Differentiation. *Front. Immunol.*

**12**, (2021).

40. Halenius, A., Gerke, C. & Hengel, H. Classical and non-classical MHC I molecule manipulation by human

cytomegalovirus: so many targets—but how many arrows in the quiver? *Cell Mol Immunol* **12**, 139–

153 (2015).

41. Hayday, A., Dechanet-Merville, J., Rossjohn, J. & Silva-Santos, B. Cancer immunotherapy by γδ T cells.

*Science* **386**, eabq7248 (2024).

42. Foley, B. *et al.* Cytomegalovirus reactivation after allogeneic transplantation promotes a lasting

increase in educated NKG2C+ natural killer cells with potent function. *Blood* **119**, 2665–2674 (2012).

43. Sun, J. C., Beilke, J. N. & Lanier, L. L. Adaptive immune features of natural killer cells. *Nature* **457**, 557–

561 (2009).

44. Barnett, B. E. *et al.* Cutting Edge: B Cell–Intrinsic T-bet Expression Is Required To Control Chronic Viral

Infection. *The Journal of Immunology* **197**, 1017–1022 (2016).

45. Jenks, S. A. *et al.* Distinct Effector B Cells Induced by Unregulated Toll-like Receptor 7 Contribute to

Pathogenic Responses in Systemic Lupus Erythematosus. *Immunity* **49**, 725-739.e6 (2018).

46. Dauby, N. *et al.* Primary Human Cytomegalovirus Infection Induces the Expansion of Virus-Specific

Activated and Atypical Memory B Cells. *The Journal of Infectious Diseases* **210**, 1275–1285 (2014).

47. Woodruff, M. C. *et al.* Dysregulated naive B cells and de novo autoreactivity in severe COVID-19.

*Nature* **611**, 139–147 (2022).

48. Zumaquero, E. *et al.* IFNγ induces epigenetic programming of human T-bethi B cells and promotes

TLR7/8 and IL-21 induced differentiation. *eLife* **8**, e41641 (2019).

49. Hu, Z., Tang, A., Singh, J., Bhattacharya, S. & Butte, A. J. A robust and interpretable end-to-end deep

learning model for cytometry data. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **117**, 21373–

21380 (2020).

50. Zhou, K. *et al.* Applying T-classifier, binary classifiers, upon high-throughput TCR sequencing output to

identify cytomegalovirus exposure history. *Sci Rep* **13**, 5024 (2023).

51. Ravichandran, S. *et al.* Distinct baseline immune characteristics associated with responses to conjugated and unconjugated pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccines in older adults. *Nat Immunol* **25**, 316–329 (2024).

52. Picard, E. *et al.* Markers of systemic inflammation are positively associated with influenza vaccine antibody responses with a possible role for ILT2(+)CD57(+) NK-cells. *Immun Ageing* **19**, 26 (2022).

53. Young, M. D. & Behjati, S. SoupX removes ambient RNA contamination from droplet-based single-cell RNA sequencing data. *GigaScience* **9**, giaa151 (2020).

54. Wolock, S. L., Lopez, R. & Klein, A. M. Scrublet: Computational Identification of Cell Doublets in Single-Cell Transcriptomic Data. *Cell Syst* **8**, 281-291.e9 (2019).

55. Wolf, F. A., Angerer, P. & Theis, F. J. SCANPY: large-scale single-cell gene expression data analysis. *Genome Biology* **19**, 15 (2018).

56. Korsunsky, I. *et al.* Fast, sensitive and accurate integration of single-cell data with Harmony. *Nat Methods* **16**, 1289–1296 (2019).

57. Domínguez Conde, C. *et al.* Cross-tissue immune cell analysis reveals tissue-specific features in humans. *Science* **376**, eabl5197 (2022).

58. Pedregosa, F. *et al.* Scikit-learn: Machine Learning in Python. *Journal of Machine Learning Research* **12**, 2825–2830 (2011).

59. Robinson, M. D., McCarthy, D. J. & Smyth, G. K. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 139–140 (2010).

60. Behdenna, A. *et al.* pyComBat, a Python tool for batch effects correction in high-throughput molecular data using empirical Bayes methods. *BMC Bioinformatics* **24**, 459 (2023).

**Acknowledgments:**

We gratefully acknowledge the contribution of the Single Cell Biology service, Genome Technologies service, and Cyberinfrastructure high performance computing resources at

The Jackson Laboratory (JAX) for assistance with the work described herein. We thank Olivia Bart for help with dbGAP data upload. We thank members of the Ucar lab for critical feedback during the progress of the study.

**Funding:**

This study was made possible by generous financial support of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) grants under award number:

- UH2 AG056925 (to G.K, CCE, J.B., D.U.)
- U01 AI165452 (to D.U., G.K.)
- P30AG067988 Older Americans Independence Pepper Center (to G.A.K., R.M., D.U.)
- P30AG028716 Duke Older Americans Independence Center (to K.E.S, C.C.E, H.E.W).
- NIH grant U19 AI168631 (to A.G.-S.)
- CRIPT (Center for Research on Influenza Pathogenesis and Transmission), a as NIAID-funded Center of Excellence for Influenza Research and Response (CEIRR, contract # 75N93021C00014) (to A.G.S.)
- 1T32AG062409-01A1 (to L.T.)

Other partial sources of funding include:

- JAX Cancer Center (P30 CA034196)
- American Heart Association Predoctoral Fellowship (24PRE1186316) (to T.G.)

**Author contributions:**

Conceptualization: JB, GK, DU

Methodology: JB, GK, CPV, DU

Investigation: TG, CPV, LT, AT, DN-B, RM, EP, KES, CCE, HEW, SP, AGS, CK

Visualization: TG, LT, GE

Funding acquisition: JB, GK, CCE, DU

Project administration: TG, DU

Supervision: JB, GK, DU

Writing – original draft: TG, CPV, DU, LT

Writing – review & editing: TG, CPV, DU, JB, GK, LT, AT, DN-B, RM, CK, EP, KES, CCE, HEW, SP, AGS

**Competing interests:**

**Data and materials availability:**

**FIGURE 1**

**Fig. 1| Study design and CD8⁺ T cell subset expansion associated with CMV. a,** Schematic
representation of the study design with two cohorts. *Top panel*: Discovery Cohort included n=19
CMV(-) and n=17 CMV(+) older adults. *Lower panel*: Validation Cohort included n=23 CMV(-)
and n=40 CMV(+) older adults. **b,** Distribution of age, BMI, and frailty index for CMV(-) and
CMV(+) groups in Discovery Cohort (*top panel*) and Validation Cohort (*bottom panel*). n.s.
indicates not significant. **c,** Uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP)

representing PBMCs from Discovery Cohort showing expression of subset-specific marker genes. **d,** 9 subsets (n=144,324) colored by immune cell annotations. NK, natural killer; pDC, plasmacytoid dendritic cell; PB, plasmablast; HSC, hematopoietic stem-cell. **e,** UMAP colored for CMV serostatus. **f,** *Left*: Immune cell frequencies in CMV(-) and CMV(+). Cell types with significant frequency differences between CMV(-) and CMV(+) groups are marked with an asterisk (*; P-adjusted [Benjamini-Hochberg] < 0.05). *Right*: Individual-level quantification of CD8$^+$ T cells within PBMCs in CMV(-) (n=13) and CMV(+) (n=14). **g,** CD8$^+$ T cell frequency within PBMCs quantified by flow cytometry in Validation Cohort: CMV(-) (n=23) and CMV(+) (n=40). **h,** Absolute count of CD8$^+$ T cells quantified by flow cytometry in Discovery Cohort: CMV(-) (n=8) and CMV(+) (n=8). **i,** *Left*: CD4$^+$ to CD8$^+$ T cell frequency ratios quantified *via* scRNAseq in Discovery Cohort: CMV(-) (n=13) and CMV(+) (n=14). *Right:* CD4$^+$ to CD8$^+$ T cell frequency ratios quantified by flow cytometry in Validation Cohort: CMV(-) (n=23) and CMV(+) (n=40). Box plots display the median and IQR (25–75%), with whiskers representing the upper and lower quartiles ±1.5× IQR. Each dot represents an individual sample. Mann-Whitney-U test (two-sided) was used to compare cell counts between CMV(-) and CMV(+) (**b, f, g, h, i**). All *P*-values are shown after adjusting for multiple hypothesis testing using Benjamini-Hochberg at false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05.

**FIGURE 2**

**Fig. 2| Expansion of memory CD8+ T, γδ T, and CD4+ TEMRA cell subsets associated with**

**CMV positivity. a,** *Left:* UMAP representing 7 subsets from CD8+ T cells (n= 24,983). CM,

central memory; MAIT, mucosal associated invariant T; TEMRA, terminally differentiated

effector memory. *Right:* UMAPs of CD8+ T cells showing expression of select marker genes. **b,**

UMAP color coded for CMV serostatus. **c,** *Left:* CD8+ T cell subset frequencies in CMV(-) and

CMV(+). Cell types with significant frequency differences between CMV(-) and CMV(+)

groups are marked with an asterisk (*; P-adjusted [Benjamini-Hochberg] < 0.05). *Right:* Select CD8$^+$ T cell frequencies within PBMCs quantified *via* scRNAseq in Discovery Cohort: in CMV(-) (n=13) and CMV(+) (n=14).**d,** CD8$^+$ TEMRA cell frequencies within PBMCs quantified by flow cytometry in Validation Cohort: CMV(-) (n=23) and CMV(+) (n=40). **e,** Absolute count of CD8$^+$ TEMRA cells quantified by flow cytometry in Discovery Cohort: CMV(-) (n=8) and CMV(+) (n=8). **f,** γδ T cell frequencies within PBMCs quantified by flow cytometry in Validation Cohort: CMV(-) (n=23) and CMV(+) (n=40). **g,** GZMK$^+$ CD8$^+$, GZMB$^+$ γδ, and CD8$^+$ TEMRA T cell frequencies within PBMCs quantified *via* scRNAseq in Younger Adult Cohort: CMV(+) (n=42) and CMV(-) (n=50). **h,** *Left:* UMAP representing 4 subsets from CD4$^+$ T cells (n= 55,927). Treg, CD4$^+$ T-regulatory; EM, effector memory; TEMRA, terminally differentiated effector memory. *Right:* UMAPs of CD4$^+$ T cells showing expression of select marker genes. **i,** UMAP color coded for CMV serostatus. **j,** *Left:* CD4$^+$ T cell subset frequencies in CMV(-) and CMV(+). Cell types with significant frequency differences between CMV(-) and CMV(+) groups are marked with an asterisk (*; P-adjusted [Benjamini-Hochberg] < 0.05). *Middle:* Naïve CD4$^+$ T cell frequencies within PBMCs. *Right:* CD4$^+$ TEMRA cell frequencies within PBMCs. All panels represent scRNAseq data from Discovery Cohort: CMV(-) (n=13) and CMV(+) (n=14). **k,** CD4$^+$ TEMRA frequencies within PBMCs quantified by flow cytometry in Validation Cohort: CMV(-) (n=23) and CMV(+) (n=40). **l,** Absolute count of CD4$^+$ TEMRAs quantified by flow cytometry in Discovery Cohort: CMV(-) (n=8) and CMV(+) (n=8). **m,** CD4$^+$ TEMRA T cell frequencies within PBMCs quantified *via* scRNAseq in Younger Adult Cohort: CMV(+) (n=42) and CMV(-) (n=50). Box plots display the median and IQR (25–75%), with whiskers representing the upper and lower quartiles ±1.5× IQR. Each dot represents an individual sample. Mann-Whitney-U test (two-sided) was used to compare cell counts between CMV(-) and CMV(+) (**c, d, e, f, g, j, k. l, m**). All *P*-values are shown after adjusting for multiple hypothesis testing using Benjamini-Hochberg at false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05.

**FIGURE 3**

**Fig. 3| ABC and adaptive NK cells were expanded while CD56$^{dim}$NK cells were reduced in CMV(+) older adults. a,** *Left:* UMAP representing 5 B cell subsets (n= 12,573). PB, Plasmablast; ABC, atypical B cell. *Right:* UMAPs of B cells showing expression of select marker genes. **b,** UMAP color coded for CMV serostatus. **c,** *Left:* B cell frequencies in CMV(-) and CMV(+). Cell types with significant frequency differences between CMV(-) and CMV(+) groups are marked with an asterisk (*; P-adjusted [Benjamini-Hochberg] < 0.05). *Right:* ABC frequencies within PBMCs All panels represent scRNAseq data from Discovery Cohort: CMV(-) (n=13) and CMV(+) (n=14). **d,** ABC frequencies within PBMCs quantified *via* scRNAseq in Younger Adult Cohort (55-65 age group): CMV(+) (n=24) and CMV(-) (n=20). **e,** UMAP representing 3 NK cell subsets (n= 17,222). **f,** UMAPs of NK cells showing expression of select marker genes. **g,** UMAP color coded for CMV serostatus. **h,** *Left:* NK cell frequencies in CMV(-) and CMV(+). Cell types with significant frequency differences between CMV(-) and CMV(+) groups are marked with an asterisk (*; P-adjusted [Benjamini-Hochberg] < 0.05). *Middle:* NK CD56$^{dim}$ frequencies within PBMCs. *Right:* KLRC2$^+$ NK cell frequencies within PBMCs. All panels represent scRNAseq data from Discovery Cohort: CMV(-) (n=13) and CMV(+) (n=14). **i,** Adaptive NK frequencies within PBMCs quantified by flow cytometry in Validation Cohort: CMV(-) (n=23) and CMV(+) (n=40). **j,** NK CD56$^{dim}$ and KLRC2$^+$ NK cell frequencies quantified *via* scRNAseq in Younger Adult Cohort: CMV(+) (n=42) and CMV(-) (n=50). Box plots display the median and IQR (25–75%), with whiskers representing the upper and lower quartiles ±1.5× IQR. Each dot represents an individual sample. For Mann-Whitney-U test (two-sided) was used to compare cell counts between CMV(-) and CMV(+) (**c, d, h, i, j**). All *P*-values are shown after adjusting for multiple hypothesis testing using Benjamini-Hochberg at false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05.

**FIGURE 4**

**Fig. 4| Monocytes and dendritic cells were comparable between CMV(+) and CMV(-) older adults. a,** *Left:* UMAP representing 4 monocyte subsets (n= 30,132). ISGhi, interferon stimulated gene-high expressing subset. *Right:* UMAPs of monocytes showing expression of select marker genes. **b,** Monocyte frequencies in CMV(-) and CMV(+). **c,** *Left:* UMAP representing 5 DC subsets (n= 2,721). DC, dendritic cell; Mono-DC, monocyte-derived dendritic cell; cDC1, conventional type 1 dendritic cells; cDC2, Conventional type 2 dendritic cells; pDC, plasmacytoid dendritic cell. *Right:* UMAPs of DCs showing expression of select marker genes.

**d,** UMAP color coded for CMV serostatus. **e,** *Left:* DC frequencies in CMV(-) and CMV(+). *Right:* pDC frequencies within PBMCs. All panels represent scRNAseq data from Discovery Cohort: CMV(-) (n=13) and CMV(+) (n=14). **f,** pDC frequencies quantified *via* scRNAseq in Younger Adult Cohort: CMV(+) (n=42) and CMV(-) (n=50). **g,** Summary volcano plot showing PBMC compositional alterations associated with CMV serostatus in Discovery Cohort. Size of bubbles represent p-adjusted value (-Log scale). **h,** Average frequencies of CMV-associated cell types over a three-year period in CMV(+) individuals from Younger Adult Cohort (n=22). For **e,** and **f,** box plots display the median and IQR (25–75%), with whiskers representing the upper and lower quartiles ±1.5× IQR. Each dot represents an individual sample. Mann-Whitney-U test (two-sided) was used to compare cell counts (**e, f, h**). All *P*-values are shown after adjusting for multiple hypothesis testing using Benjamini-Hochberg at false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05.

**FIGURE 5**

830 **Fig. 5| *CMVerify*—a novel tool that accurately predicts CMV serostatus from scRNAseq**
831 **data. a,** Schematic representation of *CMVerify* Pipeline: (i) *CMVerify* accepts a PBMC
832 scRNAseq dataset as input, (ii) applies CellTypist to automatically annotate the dataset, (iii)
833 computes PBMC frequencies at the donor level, and (iv) uses a random forest classifier to predict

CMV serostatus. **b,** *Left*: Table summarizing *CMVerify's* performance in three independent cohorts spanning different age groups and scRNAseq platforms. PPV, positive predictive value; NPV, negative predictive value; *Right*: Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curves showing performance of *CMVerify* in the independent cohorts. **c,** *CMVerify* prediction results for the longitudinal Younger Adult Cohort. Y-axis represents model predicted probability, each bubble is a unique sample corresponding with a donor timepoint connected via gray lines. Based on the Decision Boundary (y = 0.5), all bubbles below the Decision Boundary (y < 0.5) represent prediction of CMV(-), vs. bubbles above the Decision boundary (y > 0.5) represent prediction of CMV(+). Bubbles are color coded for true CMV serostatus (determined via CMV IgG ELISA). Red line connects model predicted probabilities for the seroconverter (donor ID: BR1049) across all timepoints. **d,** *Top*: *CMVerify* prediction probabilities across all timepoints in donor BR1049 identified in panel **c**. *Bottom*: CMV IgG titers for donor BR1049. Underlined timepoints (in blue) indicate presence of CMV IgG data at those timepoints. The red dotted line indicates CMV IgG titer threshold above which a sample is considered CMV(+). **e,** Contour UMAP plots show PBMC densities before and after CMV seroconversion in donor BR1049. The UMAP labeled 'Before' includes timepoints at weeks 14, 22, 23, and 34, while the UMAP labeled 'After' includes weeks 54, 55, 66, and 75. The Cell Density legend indicates the number of cells within each contour level shown in the UMAPs. **f,** CMV-driven changes in Cytotoxic T and NK cell frequencies over time in donor BR1049.

855

 **Extended Figure 1:**

856
**Extended Data Fig. 1: PBMC-level annotations and analysis. a,** Distribution of age, BMI, and
frailty index in Discovery Cohort (scRNA-seq samples only n=27). n.s. indicates not significant.
**b,** Scaled expression of select marker genes. **c,** PBMC subset frequency ratios quantified *via*
scRNAseq in CMV(-) (n=13) and CMV(+) (n=14) in Discovery Cohort. Box plots display the
median and IQR (25–75%), with whiskers representing the upper and lower quartiles ±1.5× IQR.
Each dot represents an individual sample. For a and c, Mann-Whitney-U test (two-sided) was
used to calculate *P*-values between CMV(-) and CMV(+). **c**, none of the measurements were
significant after adjusting the *P*-values for multiple hypothesis testing, using Benjamini-
Hochberg at false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05.
866
867

**Extended Figure 2:**

870
871

**Extended Data Fig. 2: Cytotoxicity and innateness scores for CD8^{+} T cell subsets. a,** Scaled expression of select marker genes in CD8^{+} T cell subsets. **b,** Select CD8^{+} T cell frequencies within PBMCs quantified *via* scRNAseq in Discovery Cohort: CMV(-) (n=13) and CMV(+) (n=14). **c,** Schematic representation of Younger Adult Cohort including two age groups (25-35 years old; 55-65 years old). **d,** Scaled expression of select marker for GZMB^{+} γδ T, GZMK^{+} T, and CD8^{+} TEMRA T cells in Younger Adult Cohort. **e,** *Left:* UMAP showing Cytotoxicity score in CD8^{+} T cell subsets. *Right:* individual-level cytotoxicity score in CD8^{+} T cells subsets. **f,** *Left:* UMAP showing Innateness score in CD8^{+} T cell subsets. *Right:* individual-level Innateness score in CD8^{+} T cells subsets. Box plots display the median and IQR (25–75%), with whiskers representing the upper and lower quartiles ±1.5× IQR. Each dot represents an individual sample. Mann-Whitney-U test (two-sided) was used to compare cell counts between CMV(-) and CMV(+) (**b, e, f**). All *P*-values are shown after adjusting for multiple hypothesis testing using Benjamini-Hochberg at false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05.

**Extended Figure 3:**

**Extended Data Fig. 3: CD4⁺ T helper subsets were comparable between CMV(-) and CMV(+). a,** Scaled expression of top 10 marker genes in CD4⁺ naïve, CD4⁺ Treg, CD4⁺ EM, and CD4⁺ TEMRA subsets. **b,** CD4⁺ Treg and CD4⁺ TEMRA T cell frequencies within PBMCs quantified *via* scRNAseq in Discovery Cohort: CMV(-) (n = 13) and CMV(+) (n = 14). **c,** Scaled expression of select marker genes for CD4⁺ TEMRA T cells in Younger Adult Cohort. **d,** *Left:*

UMAP representing re-clustered CD4$^+$ EM compartment and 5 resulting T helper subsets. Tfh-like, T follicular helper-like; Th2-like, T helper 2-like; Th22-like, T helper 22-like; Th17-like, T helper 17-like; Th1-like, T helper 1-like. *Right:* Gene density plot showing expression levels of key marker genes, calculated using Nebulosa. Each gene density is grouped according to known T helper marker genes. **e,** UMAP color coded for CMV serostatus. **f,** *Left:* CD4$^+$ T helper frequencies in CMV(-) and CMV(+). *Right:* T helper cell frequencies within PBMCs quantified *via* scRNAseq in Discovery Cohort: CMV(-) (n=13) and CMV(+) (n=14). **g,** Scaled expression of select marker genes for T helper subsets in Younger Adult Cohort. **h,** T helper cell frequencies within PBMCs quantified *via* scRNAseq in Younger Adult Cohort: CMV(+) (n=42) and CMV(-) (n=50). Box plots display the median and IQR (25–75%), with whiskers representing the upper and lower quartiles ±1.5× IQR. Each dot represents an individual sample. Mann-Whitney-U test (two-sided) was used to compare cell counts between CMV(-) and CMV(+) (**b**, **e**, **h,**). None of the measurements were significant after adjusting the *P*-values for multiple hypothesis testing, using Benjamini-Hochberg at false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05.

**Extended Figure 4:**

**Extended Data Fig. 4: B and NK cell annotations. a,** Scaled expression of select marker genes in B cell subsets. **b,** *Left:* naïve, transitional, and memory B cell frequencies within PBMCs. *Right:* PB cell frequencies within PBMCs. All panels represent scRNAseq data from Discovery Cohort: CMV(-) (n=13) and CMV(+) (n=14). **c,** Scaled expression of select marker genes for ABCs in Younger Adult Cohort. **d,** Scaled expression of select marker genes in NK cell subsets.

**e,** Scaled expression of select marker genes for KLRC2$^+$ and CD56$^{dim}$ NK cells in Younger
Adult Cohort. **f,** Gating strategy for adaptive NK cells in the Validation Cohort using flow
cytometry; FSC, forward scatter; SSC, side scatter. Box plots display the median and IQR (25–
75%), with whiskers representing the upper and lower quartiles ±1.5× IQR. Each dot represents
an individual sample. Mann-Whitney-U test (two-sided) was used to compare cell counts
between CMV(-) and CMV(+) (**b**). None of the measurements were significant after adjusting
the *P*-values for multiple hypothesis testing, using Benjamini-Hochberg at false discovery rate
(FDR) < 0.05.
926
927

**Extended Figure 5:**

**Extended Data Fig. 5: Monocyte subset annotations and temporal stability of PBMC frequencies associated with CMV. a,** Scaled expression of select marker genes in monocyte subsets. **b,** *Left:* UMAP color coded for CMV serostatus. *Middle:* CD14+ monocyte frequencies within PBMCs. *Right:* Intermediate, ISGhi CD14+, and CD16+ monocyte frequencies within PBMCs. All panels represent scRNAseq data from Discovery Cohort: CMV(-) (n=13) and CMV(+) (n=14) **c,** *Left:* scaled expression of select marker genes for CD14+, ISGhi CD14+, and

CD16$^+$, and intermediate monocytes. *Right:* monocyte subset frequencies within PBMCs. All panels represent scRNAseq data from Younger Adult Cohort: CMV(+) (n=42) and CMV(-) (n=50). **d,** *Left:* Mono-DC, and cDC2 frequencies within PBMCs. *Right:* cDC1 and AXL$^+$ DC frequencies within PBMCs. All panels represent scRNAseq data from Discovery Cohort: CMV(-) (n=13) and CMV(+) (n=14). **e,** Scaled expression of select marker genes for pDCs in Younger Adult Cohort. **f,** Individual-level frequencies of CMV-associated cell types over a three-year period in CMV(+) individuals from Younger Adult Cohort (n=22). Connecting lines represent individual-specific changes in cell frequencies between Year 1, Year 2, and Year 3 visits. *P*-values in each box plot are shown for **i,** Year 1-Year 2 and (ii) Year 2-Year 3 comparisons. **g,** Principal Variance Component Analysis (PVCA) of lymphocyte subset frequencies, estimating the proportion of variance explained by each clinical factor in the Discovery Cohort. Box plots display the median and IQR (25–75%), with whiskers representing the upper and lower quartiles ±1.5× IQR. Each dot represents an individual sample. Mann-Whitney-U test (two-sided) was used to compare cell counts (**b**, **d, f**). None of the measurements were significant after adjusting the *P*-values for multiple hypothesis testing, using Benjamini-Hochberg at false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05.

**Extended Figure 6:**

**Extended Data Fig. 6:** *CMVerify* **selected features and extended prediction metrics. a,** Top 5 selected features by *CMVerify* during the training procedure (see Methods) to predict CMV serostatus. Cell type labels were determined via the AIFI_L3 CellTypist model.[32] **b,** Heatmap showing percent of cell type labels mapped from AIFI_L3 CellTypist model to manual labels in our study. **c,** Confusion matrices for CMV serostatus prediction in each independent cohort from **Fig. 5b**. **d,** *Left*: table summarizing the longitudinal Younger Adult Cohort. '1 SC' stands for 'one seroconverter' (donor ID: BR1049). *Right top*: Confusion matrix for CMV serostatus predictions from the longitudinal Younger Adult Cohort in **Fig. 5d**. *Right lower*: Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve quantifying performance of *CMVerify* on the longitudinal Younger Adult Cohort in **Fig. 5d**. **e,** CMVerify prediction outcomes and CD4+ TEMRA

frequencies per sample in the longitudinal Younger Adult Cohort. Diamonds represent the 61-
year-old female (donor ID: BR2010), misclassified at five timepoints. Crosses represent the 59-
year-old male (donor ID: BR2013), misclassified at nine timepoints. Circles represent all other
samples. **f**, Expression of PBMC marker genes in donor BR1049 across all timepoints shown in
**Fig. 5e. g,** Expression of cytotoxic marker genes in select PBMC subsets from **Fig. 5f**.  PPV,
positive predictive value; NPV, negative predictive value (**c,d**).
977
978