Homodimerized Arpins: Binding to Arp2/3 Complexes with

Positive Synergy to Inhibit Lamellipodia-Dependent Tumor

Metastasis

Liru Liu[1,2,*], Xuejiao Zhang[3,*], Yun Zhu[3,*], Mengchen Pu[4], Yuru Geng[1,2], Min Wang[3],

Rongguang Zhang[3], Shen Ge[4] & Sheng Ye[1,2,3,5,#]

[1] School of Biomedical Science and Medicine Engineering, Beihang University,

Beijing 100191, People's Republic of China

[2] Key Laboratory of Big Data-based Precision Medicine (Beihang University),

Ministry of Industry and Information Technology, Beijing 100862, China

[3] State Key Laboratory of Biomacromolecules, Institute of Biophysics, Chinese

Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China

[4] dProtein Biotechnologies Co. Ltd., Beijing 102206, China

[5] School of Engineering Medicine, Beihang University, Beijing 100191, China

* These authors contributed equally to the work.

[#] Correspondence should be addressed to: yesheng@buaa.edu.cn (S. Ye)

Keywords:

Arp2/3 complex, Arpin, cell migration, crystal structure

Abstract

The Arp2/3 complex is a central regulator of actin polymerization dynamics and cancer cell motility, with its dysregulation implicated in metastasis and therapeutic resistance. Despite its therapeutic potential, targeting Arp2/3 remains challenging due to the lack of precise molecular insights into endogenous inhibitors. Arpin, a lamellipodia-localized Arp2/3 inhibitor, has emerged as a critical modulator of cancer migration; however, the structural and mechanistic basis of its inhibitory function remains unresolved. Here, we report the 1.65-Å crystal structure of Arpin's N-terminal globular domain, revealing a unique structural fold distinct from all known Arp2/3-binding factors. Structural and biophysical analyses demonstrate that Arpin forms homodimers via a conserved interface, which is essential for cooperative inhibition of Arp2/3-dependent actin polymerization. Functional studies in cancer models reveal that Arpin homodimerization potently suppresses lamellipodia-driven migration and invasion, effects further enhanced by engineered dimeric constructs or artificially designed dual-tailed peptides. These findings elucidate a structural basis for Arpin-mediated suppression of cancer cell motility and identify homodimeric cooperativity as a druggable mechanism for targeting metastatic progression. Our work provides a molecular framework for designing Arpin-inspired inhibitors to counteract therapeutic resistance and metastasis in cancers reliant on Arp2/3-driven actin dynamics.

Introduction

Introduction

Malignant tumor cells exploit their intrinsic migratory capabilities to invade

adjacent tissues, ultimately leading to metastasize[1]. Cell migration is a sequential

and interrelated multi-step process that requires the dynamic reorganization of the

actin cytoskeleton, wherein the microfilaments provide mechanical support for

anterior protrusion and posterior retraction[2,3]. As the rate-limiting step in actin

polymerization, spontaneous nucleation constitutes a critical node in surmounting

the kinetic barrier to filament initiation[4,5]. The actin-related protein (Arp) 2/3

complex remains the sole identified modulator of actin branching, which binds to

the lateral surface or terminal region pre-existing actin filaments to instigate the

formation of nascent daughter filaments emanating from parental filaments[6-8]. The

activators of Arp2/3 complex are known as nucleation-promoting factors (NPFs),

the majority of which belong to the WASP/WAVE family and share a characteristic

VCA domain[9]. To tightly regulate its potent nucleation effect, the Arp2/3 complex is

usually properly inhibited at distinct subcellular locations by multiple binding

partners, including Coronin, Glia Maturation Factor (GMF) and Gadkin. Recently,

Arpin, an Arp2/3 complex inhibitor localized to lamellipodia, was identified in a

bioinformatic search for the so-called "A" motif of VCA domain and shown to

suppress cancer cell migration[10]. Notably, Arpin's expression in tumor cells,

particularly breast cancer cells, is significantly downregulated compared to normal

tissues[11-14]. However, the inhibitory function of Arpin appears to be somewhat

controversial: initial studies showed that, instead of preventing lamellipodia

protrusion, Arpin merely reduces cell migration speed and promotes cell steering[10];

while a subsequent study demonstrated that Arpin knockout cells exhibited no

obvious defect in chemotaxis, indicating a weak association with cell steering[15]. The

complicated and conflicting findings indicate that the precise inhibitory mechanism

of Arpin remains poorly elucidated.

Arpin and NPFs share the conserved acidic ("A") motif, which, together with

a verprolin homology motif ("V") and a central motif ("C"), compose the VCA

domain. In their unbound state, all three motifs adopt disordered conformations, but

they form ordered secondary structures when bound to actin or the Arp2/3 complex.

Among these motifs, "V" and "C" are capable of binding to actin, while "C" and "A"

can combine Arp2/3 complex at certain sites, consequently bridging the Arp2/3

complex and the first actin subunit of a daughter filament[16-18]. Arpin, which

possesses a "A" motif☐☐its C-terminal acidic tail (AT) ☐☐but lacks the "V" or "C"

motif, has been proved to be a competitive inhibitor of the Arp2/3 complex against

VCA-domain-containing NPFs[10]. Truncation of the C-terminal AT significantly

depletes the binding affinity between Arpin and Arp2/3, whereas the AT segment

alone is sufficient to mediate Arpin's inhibitory activity[10,19]. The functional findings

led to an intriguing paradox: the N-terminal region of Arpin, although lacking

functional contribution to Arp2/3 binding, exhibits striking sequence conservation

across species. This evolutionary conservation of the N-terminal region, juxtaposed

against its functional redundancy in Arp2/3 interactions, underscores the limitations

imposed by the paucity of high-resolution structural data.

To fully understand its inhibitory mechanism, Arpin has been subjected to

structural studies with diverse methodologies. Despite sharing a functional AT

region, Arpin exhibits no discernible sequence homology to canonical NPFs such as

WASP, WAVE, or WHAMM, of which the structural arrangement have been

extensively characterized in complex with the Arp2/3 complex[8,20,24,25]. Furthermore,

comprehensive sequence alignment against the Protein Data Bank (PDB) revealed

no detectable sequence similarities, indicating that Arpin might adopt a novel fold.

Nevertheless, prior to this study, no detailed structural data on intact Arpin were

available. Only a low-resolution small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) structure has

been reported, depicting a tadpole-like contour of full-length Arpin[19]. In the same

study, the authors solved the complex structure of Arpin's AT in complex with the

ankyrin repeats domain of Tankyrase 2 (PDB entry: 4Z68), revealing a disordered

conformation of the AT. Based on these findings, Arpin is considered to comprise

two portions: an ellipsoid-shaped N-terminal globular domain and an extended

linear C-terminal tail (CT).

In addition to characterizing Arpin's full-length structure, structurally

deciphering its complex with Arp2/3 has also been a focal point for researchers

aiming to elucidate the molecular mechanisms underlying their interactions. Given

that both Arpin and NPF utilize a conserved acidic motif to associate with the

Arp2/3 complex, it is plausible that they share overlapping AT-binding sites. The

cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) structures of the NPF: Arp2/3 complex (PDB

entries: 6UHC, 9DLX) clearly unveiled two distinct AT-binding sites: one localized

on the Arp3 subunit (designated 'site-A3' in this work) and the other within the cleft

formed by subunits Arp2 and ArpC1 (designated 'site-A2C1' in this work)[20,21].

Consistently, a low-resolution cryo-EM structure of the Arpin-bound Arp2/3

complex suggested the potential existence of multiple Arpin-binding sites[22].

Nevertheless, in 2022, Fregoso et al.[23] reported the cryo-EM structure of the Arp2/3

complex with only one bound Arpin's CT at site-A3, whereas no associated density

was observed at site-A2C1 (PDB entry: 7JPN). This observation was corroborated

by isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) data from the same study, which revealed

that both full-length Arpin and its CT associated with the Arp2/3 complex in a 1:1

stoichiometric ratio[23]. These conflicting findings highlight the inherent complexity

of the binding mode between Arpin and the Arp2/3 complex. If both site-A3 and

site-A2C1 are indeed utilized by Arpin, their binding affinities for Arpin's AT

would differ, resulting in a significantly asymmetric binding profile, with site-A3

likely exhibiting stronger binding affinity.

Herein, we report the 1.65-Å crystal structure of the N-terminal globular

domain of Arpin, which adopts a unique fold distinct from other endogenous

Arp2/3-binding factors. Structural and biophysical analyses confirm that Arpin

exhibits the capacity to form homodimers in solution via a conserved interface.

Functional assays further establish that Arpin homodimerization is critical for its

cooperative inhibition of Arp2/3-dependent actin polymerization, thereby

suppressing lamellipodia-driven cell migration and invasion. These structural and

functional insights into Arpin's role as an Arp2/3 complex inhibitor advances our

understanding of the molecular mechanism governing cell migration and provides a

foundation for designing novel inhibitors targeting cancer metastasis.

Results

Overall structure of Arpin globular core

While previous SAXS analysis confirmed a tadpole-like conformation for

Arpin[19], the structural details of its globular core (the "tadpole head") remain

unresolved, hindering comprehensive elucidation of its inhibitory mechanism. To

address this, we performed crystallographic studies on Arpin orthologs from diverse

species (Fig. S1), encompassing full-length constructs and truncated variants (Fig.

1A). Ultimately, a crystal of zebrafish Arpin (residues 9□221) with a single-site

mutation C133S (to avoid unnecessary inter-molecular interactions) diffracted to a

highest resolution of 1.65 Å. The structure of Arpin was solved by single

isomorphous replacement using one Hg derivative and refined against the

high-resolution native dataset (see Supporting Information for details; Fig. 1B, Table

1). Within one asymmetric unit, two Arpin molecules form a homodimer exhibiting

pseudo two-fold rotational symmetry (Fig. S2A). Notably, residues 187–221 at the

C-terminus are missing in the final models of both protomers, which correlates with

their intrinsic flexibility and aligns with the SAXS-derived tadpole-like contour.

Accordingly, we define the globular core of Arpin as residues 1–186, while the CT

of Arpin as residues 187–221.

The overall structure of Arpin's globular core indeed represents an

ellipsoid-shaped domain, characterized by an antiparallel β-barrel framework

composed of six β-strands (β1, β2, β3, β8, β9 and β10; Fig. S2B). Among those, the

two longest ones, β2 and β3, exhibit pronounced curvature, forming a

circumferential band that underpins the barrel's structural integrity. Encircling this

central β-barrel are six helices (α1, α2, η1, η2, η3 and η4) and five additional short

β-strands (β4, β5, β6, β7 and β11). The N-terminal 3_{10}-helix η1 forms a cap atop the

barrel, providing an anchoring point for the N-terminus. Beneath the barrel, three

β-strands (β6, β7 and β11) assemble into an extra β-sheet, alongside the 3_{10}-helix η3,

creating a fin-keel-like structure perpendicular to the barrel's bottom (Fig. 1B).

Sequence alignments show remarkably low homologies of Arpin with

previously known structures (< 20% similarity), yet its architecture adopts the

canonical OB-fold, featuring a central β-barrel composed of a highly curved

antiparallel β-sheet (Fig. S3A). Structural analysis via DALI server[26] identifies

Arpin as most similar to two OB-fold protein classes (z-score of 6.4):

single-stranded DNA-binding proteins (SSBs) from bacteria or yeast mitochondria

and metallochaperone proteins in bacterial cation efflux systems. Superimposition

studies on Arpin resulted in a 1.95-Å r.m.s. deviation for 71 aligned Cα atoms with

Saccharomyces cerevisiae Rim1 (PDB entry: 6CQK; Fig. S3B), a mitochondrial

SSB, and a 2.41-Å r.m.s. deviation for 68 aligned Cα atoms with *E. coli* CusF (PDB

entry: 3E6Z; Fig. S3C), a metallochaperone. Despite the obvious structural parallels,

Arpin exhibits distinct functional divergence from SSBs or metallochaperones. It is

worth noting that both Rim1 and CusF are significantly smaller than Arpin and lack

the N-terminal cap motif and the bottom "fin keel" structure.

The crystal structure of Arpin unveils homodimeric configuration with

extensive intermolecular interactions

In the crystal of Arpin, two protein molecules assemble into a homodimer

within one asymmetric unit. The homodimerization of Arpin buries an interface area

of 1,722 Å^2 (16% of the total solvent-accessible surface area), substantially

exceeding the other two intermolecular interfaces observed (50 Å^2 and 187 Å^2,

respectively). The two protomers packed to each other in a two-fold symmetric

manner, with their β-barrels approximately parallel to each other, resembling the

shape of a butterfly (Fig. 1C). About 20% residues of the Arpin globular core

participate in the homodimeric interface, establishing extensive intermolecular

interactions, which can be divided into two distinct contact clusters.

Contact cluster I resides between the β-barrels of two Arpin protomers, where

the N-terminus of one protomer extends to interact with the 3_{10}-helix η4 of the

adjacent protomer, establishing predominantly hydrophobic interactions and two

inter-protomer hydrogen-bonds (Met8-Asp43 and Glu153-Arg135; Fig. S4). Contact

cluster II, formed by the two fin-keel-like structures arranged in a back-to-back

manner, stabilizes the projecting structural motifs through five inter-protomer

hydrogen-bonds and hydrophobic interactions (Fig. 1C). In particular, at the tip of

the "fin keel" (the segment between β6 and β7), the hydrophobic residues Phe95 and

Met97 from one protomer protrude their side chains into a hydrophobic pocket

constituted by Pro66, Val69, Leu116 and Phe138 of the adjacent protomer (Fig. 1C).

Interestingly, the entire "fin keel" region is the most conserved part of Arpin (Fig.

1D & S5), including an absolutely conserved motif ranging residues 90–105 (β6, β7,

η3, and connecting loops). The strict conservation of the "fin keel" structure

strongly implies that both inter-protomer interactions and the resulting homodimeric

configuration are conserved across various species.

Notably, between cluster I and II lies a considerable enclosed cavity (1,628 $\mathring{A}^3$,

calculated by MOLE 2.0; Fig. 1E), filled with 35 ordered water molecules (average

B-factor of 40.0 $\mathring{A}^2$; Table 1) that are partially visible in the experimentally phased

electron density (Fig. S6). This cavity is stabilized by an intriguing hydrogen-bond

network formed among the ordered water molecules and the hydrophilic side chains

at the inter-protomer interface. When the Arpin homodimer is properly formed, its

central cavity becomes solvent-inaccessible, preventing water exchange between the

cavity and solvent. The tightly restricted mobility of these water molecules further

enables their observation in the crystal structure. Unlike classic dimerization

interfaces usually dominated by hydrophobic patches, the Arpin homodimer

encloses a water-filled cavity, suggesting weak inter-protomer interactions and a

dynamic assembly mechanism.

Dynamic equilibrium between monomeric and dimeric Arpins

The final model of homodimeric Arpin structure encompasses only the globular

core, yet the construct for crystallization actually includes the majority of the CT

after the globular core. SDS-PAGE analysis of crystallized Arpin confirmed the

structural integrity of the CT, with no evidence of proteolytic degradation, indicating

that full-length Arpin retains the capacity to adopt a homodimeric configuration.

Nevertheless, two unsolved critical questions persist: (1) whether Arpin exists as

stable dimers under physiological conditions, and (2) whether full-length human

Arpin (hArpin) can also form homodimers as zebrafish Arpin (zArpin) does. To

address these questions, we employed size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) and

analytical ultracentrifugation (AUC) to characterize the oligomerization behavior of

hArpin and zArpin in solution.

Recombinantly expressed hArpin and zArpin both form homodimers in solution

(Fig. 2A&B). SEC analysis revealed that hArpin was eluted as a single symmetric

peak at 15.6 mL on a Superdex 200 10/300 GL column (bed volume 24mL, GE

Healthcare), corresponding to a globular particle with an estimated molecular weight

(eMW) of 55.7 kDa—close to the theoretical molecular weight (tMW) of

homodimeric hArpin (49.9 kDa). In contrast, zArpin exhibited two SEC elution

peaks on the same column: one at 15.8 mL (eMW: 51.8 kDa) and 16.9 mL (eMW:

31.7 kDa), respectively. Given zArpin's tMW as 25.6 kDa, the two peaks represent

the dimeric and monomeric fractions of zArpin, respectively (Fig. 2A). AUC data

further clarified their assembly states: hArpin displayed sedimentation coefficients

(SC) of 2.330 s (eMW: 18.9 kDa, monomer) and 3.605 s (eMW: 36.4 kDa, dimer),

while zArpin predominantly existed as monomers (SC: 2.265 s, eMW: 20.7 kDa)

with a minor dimeric fraction (SC: 3.886 s, eMW: 46.5 kDa; Fig. 2B). These results

demonstrate that zArpin forms homodimers preferentially at high concentrations

(e.g., in concentrated samples prepared for SEC or tightly packed crystalline states),

whereas hArpin favors homodimerization in solution.

The homodimeric structure of Arpin unveils the pivotal role of the tightly

conserved residues Phe95 and Met97 in stabilizing the inter-protomer interface.

Furthermore, Arpin possesses a central enclosed cavity between two adjacent

protomers, a structural feature that may foster a higher propensity for dynamic

oligomerization compared to typical rigid homodimers. To investigate the functional

contribution of Phe95 and Met97 to homodimer stability, we introduced a

double-site alanine substitution (F95A/M97A) to hArpin (designated as Arpin^{FM}).

SEC analysis showed that Arpin^{FM} predominantly adopts a monomeric state in

solution (17.12mL, eMW: 29.2kDa; Fig. 2C), a finding corroborated by the result of

AUC (SC: 2.272 s, eMW: 20.6 kDa; Fig. 2D). These results strongly suggest that

hydrophobic interactions mediated by Phe95 and Met97 are essential for

maintaining the structural integrity of Arpin homodimers. Importantly, the

substitution does not disrupt the majority of inter-protomer interactions, particularly

the hydrogen-bond networks, further underscoring the relative weak stability of

Arpin homodimers, as well as its dynamic equilibrium between monomeric and

homodimeric states.

Homodimerization of Arpin enables its cooperative inhibition of Arp2/3

complex

Arpin is known to bind to the Arp2/3 complex and inhibit its nucleation of

branched daughter actin filaments, acting as a negative regulator of microfilament

network formation[10]. Previous studies have suggested that the Arp2/3 complex

contains two distinct binding sites for Arpin, implying the possibility of multivalent

interactions[22]. Our structural and biophysical studies demonstrate that Arpin is

capable of forming homodimers in solution, raising a speculation that homodimeric

Arpin may bind the Arp2/3 complex in a 2:1 stoichiometry, establishing a stable

heterotrimer. This manner could enable cooperative inhibition of Arp2/3-mediated

nucleation by simultaneously occupying both AT-binding sites. To test this

hypothesis, we first assessed the regulation of cytoskeletal organization by Arpin

using breast cancer cell line MCF-7 as a model system, as it exhibits remarkably

reduced endogenous Arpin expression[12,27], providing a relevant context for studying

Arpin's regulatory effects.

Cytoskeletal staining revealed that, with overexpressed Arpin, the F-actin

filaments in MCF-7 cells appear generally thicker and longer than in control cells

(Fig. 2E). On the contrary, MCF-7 cells with Arpin knocked down led to the

development of thinner and less extensive actin bundles, particularly in the central

region of cells. These observations align with previous studies[10,27] and demonstrate

Arpin's inhibitory role in suppressing actin filament branching events. In addition,

as expected, the overexpression of a truncation lacking the CT (designated as

Arpin$^{\Delta CT}$, residues 1–191) failed to recapitulate the effects of full-length Arpin,

underscoring the fact that Arpin's binding to Arp2/3 complex is mediated by its CT

(Fig. 2E).

The relationship between Arpin's homodimerization and its inhibitory function

had not been previously investigated. To address this, we utilized the double-site

mutant Arpin[FM] that disrupts homodimerization while retaining the overall structure

and, in particular, the CT responsible for Arp2/3 binding. Strikingly, MCF-7 cells

expressing Arpin[FM] exhibited a dendritic microfilament structure lacking long

bundles, mimicking the effect caused by Arpin[ΔCT] rather than full-length Arpin (Fig.

2E). Conclusively, Arpin's ability to inhibit microfilament branching can be

impaired by the double-site mutation F95A/M97A. Considering that Arpin[FM]

maintains Arp2/3-binding capability theoretically, these findings strongly suggest

the significant role of homodimerization in Arpin's inhibitory function.

While it is reasonable to infer that Arpin[FM], which retains the intact CT, can bind

to the Arp2/3 complex, this interaction must be experimentally confirmed.

Furthermore, if homodimerization plays a key role in the Arp2/3-binding ability of

Arpin, the binding affinities of dimeric and monomeric Arpins will be different. To

address these issues, we directly evaluate the *in vitro* interactions between the

Arp2/3 complex and Arpin variants via surface plasmon resonance (SPR) binding

assays, with Arp2/3 immobilized on the sensor chip. During SEC analysis,

full-length Arpin samples can be separated into two elution fractions, representing

the dimeric and monomeric Arpin, respectively. Both fractions were individually

injected into the Arp2/3-coverd chips and exhibited distinct dissociation constant:

dimeric Arpin showed a K_D of 14.1 μM, while monomeric Arpin had a K_D of 48.9

μM, demonstrate that Arpin homodimerization synergistically enhances its binding

affinity to the Arp2/3 complex (Fig. S7, Table 2). In addition, the CT peptide

(residues 192–226, designated as $Arpin_{CT}$) was also subjected to SPR assays and

displayed a comparable K_D (17.8 μM) to dimeric Arpin but produced a remarkably

higher maximum response value (357.4 RU vs. 94.3 RU), suggesting differences in

binding stoichiometry or dynamics. Critically, $Arpin^{FM}$ exhibited a K_D of 63.1 μM,

even weaker compared to monomeric Arpin (Fig. S7, Table 2), indicating that the

F95A/M97A double-site mutation disrupts the cooperative binding by preventing

homodimerization.

Collectively, these results confirm that $Arpin^{FM}$ retains Arp2/3-binding capacity

but adopts a binding pattern resembling the monomeric form. Since Arpin's CT

mediates its association with the Arp2/3 complex while its N-terminal globular

domain facilitates its homodimerization, our findings reveal that these structural

features are functionally coordinated rather than independent. The intrinsic interplay

between Arpin's homodimerization and Arp2/3 binding establishes a dynamic

inhibitory regulatory mechanism, where homodimerization amplifies binding

affinity and consequently enhances Arpin's regulatory function.

Homodimerization promotes cell steering and opposes directional persistence

Having established the functional interplay between Arpin's homodimerization

and its Arp2/3-binding activity, we proceeded to investigate how this cooperative

mechanism influences cell migration. Therefore, we implemented multiple

approaches to examine both directional migration capabilities and real-time

migratory dynamics in cancer cells expressing Arpin or its engineered variants,

including Arpin^{FM}, Arpin$^{\Delta CT}$, Arpin$_{CT}$, and Arpin$_{AT}$ (residues 211–226, comprising

only the minimal inhibitory acidic motif) (Fig. 1A). Still, the breast cancer cell line

MCF-7 was selected as the model system.

First, we conducted transwell migration assays. Quantification of migrated cells

revealed that Arpin-overexpressing cells exhibited significantly reduced migration

(average number: 28.2) compared to control cells (66.6; $P < 0.0001$; Fig. 3A & 3B).

In contrast, cells expressing Arpin$^{\Delta CT}$ displayed migratory behavior comparable to

controls (60.4; $P > 0.1$; Fig. 3A & 3B), indicating that the CT is critical for Arpin's

inhibitory function. The tail-only variants Arpin$_{AT}$ and Arpin$_{CT}$ retained moderate

migratory suppression (39.4 and 34.4, respectively, $P < 0.001$ vs. Arpin, Fig. S8),

once again confirming that the CT alone is sufficient for partial inhibition of cell

migration. Overexpression of Arpin^{FM} indeed led to reduced cell migration, but with

significantly weaker inhibitory potency (52.2, $P < 0.0001$ vs. Arpin; Fig. 3A), which

is even comparable to Arpin$^{\Delta CT}$ ($P < 0.01$; Fig. 3B). Given the fact that Arpin^{FM}

contains the CT for Arp2/3 binding but Arpin$^{\Delta CT}$ does not, the profound loss of

inhibitory activity induced by the F95A/M97A mutation is particularly striking,

vividly demonstrating our conclusion that homodimerization is essential for Arpin's

robust function of suppressing cell migrations. In addition, it is noteworthy that,

confirmed by western blotting analysis, transfection of full-length Arpin, Arpin$^{\Delta CT}$

and Arpin^{FM} led to generally equivalent expression level in the MCF-7 cells for

transwell assays (Fig. 3C), ruling out confounding effects of differential protein

expression on observed migratory differences.

Subsequently, we investigated the dynamic migratory behavior of HT1080

human fibrosarcoma cells, a highly invasive and metastatic cell line, to further

examine the relationship between Arpin's homodimerization and its inhibitory

function. To this end, HT1080 cells expressing Arpin or its engineered variants were

seeded at low density in 2D-culture conditions to promote single-cell migration. All

the migration trajectories were captured for 6 hours by using time-lapse video

microscopy (Fig. 3D, Movie S1) and then quantitatively analyzed across four

parameters: accumulated distance, net displacement, speed, and straightness.

Compared to controls, the overexpression of full-length Arpin, $Arpin_{CT}$, or $Arpin^{FM}$

significantly reduced net displacement by 44.0%, 44.5%, and 41.4%, respectively

(Fig. 3E). As for accumulated distance and speed, cells expressing Arpin, $Arpin_{CT}$,

or $Arpin^{FM}$ exhibited reductions of 16.8%, 17.3%, and 11.3%, respectively (Fig. 3E).

The apparent discrepancy between the reduction in net displacement and

accumulated distance indicates that Arpin barely decreases cell migration speed but

promotes cell steering, resulting in reduced directional persistence. These

observations corroborate findings from previous studies[10]. Moreover, among the

three Arpin constructs evaluated, full-length Arpin and $Arpin_{CT}$ exhibited similar

inhibitory capacity ($P > 0.1$; Fig. 3E), whereas $Arpin^{FM}$ showed weaker inhibitory

functions than the other two constructs ($P < 0.1$; Fig. 3E). In other words, fusing a

monomeric N-terminal domain to the CT even attenuated the CT's inhibitory

function. These results suggest that the evolutionary significance of Arpin's

N-terminal globular domain is inextricably coupled with its homodimerization

ability.

Artificial dimerization confers elevated Arp2/3 complex affinity and impairs

cellular mobility

Up to this point in the study, we have shown that the N-terminal globular

domain of Arpin underlies its intrinsic homodimerization. Disruption of this

dimerization capability leads to markedly reduced Arp2/3-binding affinity and

significantly compromises its inhibitory function in regulating directional cellular

migration. Building on these findings, we propose the hypothesis that artificial

enhancement of Arpin's dimerization propensity could synergistically augment its

suppressive activity. To pursue this objective, we seek a naturally occurring

cytosolic protein exhibiting the following attributes: (1) structural and biophysical

characterization as a homodimer with enhanced stability relative to Arpin; (2)

homodimeric architecture displaying two-fold rotational symmetry; and (3) two

C-terminal extensions aligned in the same spatial orientation with inter-terminal

distances comparable to those observed in Arpin homodimers.

Ultimately, glutathione S-transferase (GST) was selected to replace the

N-terminal globular domain of Arpin, generating a chimeric protein with Arpin's CT

fused to the C-terminus of GST (designated as GST-aCT, Fig. 1A). To ensure the

compatibility of GST-aCT with the dual binding sites on the Arp2/3 complex, we

performed a structural comparison of Arpin and GST (PDB entry: 6JI6) homodimers.

Similar to Arpin, GST forms homodimers with two-fold rotational symmetry,

providing a geometric framework that is possible to position the fused CT

appropriately on the surface of Arp2/3 complex. Structural analyses showed that the

inter-terminal-$C\alpha$ distances (between the last observable C-terminal residues of two

protomers) of Arpin and GST homodimers are 25.0 Å (Fig. S9) and 46.7 Å (Fig.

S9B), respectively. To properly accommodate the extended spacing of GST

homodimers, we designed three different spacers (-GSSG-, -GSSGSGSTG-, and

-KGSGSTSGSG-) for the region between the N-terminal GST and Arpin's CT,

corresponding to theoretical extensions of 15□35 Å. Prior to experimental

validation, we initially performed structural modeling of the chimeric GST-aCT and

Arp2/3 complex in a 2:1 stoichiometry. Simulations using AlphaFold3[28] indicated

that even the shortest spacer with 4 residues provided sufficient spatial exposure and

accessibility of the CT to engage both binding sites on Arp2/3 (Fig. 4A). Based on

these results, we selected the spacer -GSSG- for experimental production of

engineered GST-aCT (Table 2), as it balanced functional sufficiency with sequence

simplicity.

Recombinantly expressed GST-aCT exhibited a homodimeric state in SEC

analysis. The results of SPR assays demonstrated that the engineered GST-aCT

possessed a dramatically increased binding affinity to the Arp2/3 complex (K_D = 2.5

μM; Fig. S7, Table 2), showing 5.6- and 7.1-fold stronger binding compared to

Arpin and Arpin$_{CT}$, respectively. These findings indicate that the

stable-homodimerization-mediated bivalent binding mode enhances the interaction

strength and stability between Arpin's CT and the Arp2/3 complex.

Encouraged by these results, we investigated whether the reinforced

engagement of GST-aCT could further promote the physiological function of

CT-binding-mediated inhibition. Live-cell imaging of HT1080 cells expressing

GST-aCT exhibited a comprehensive impact on cellular migratory behavior, while

overexpression of GST alone exerts no effect, close to the controls (Fig. 3D).

Compared to full-length Arpin with dynamic homodimerization, the stably

homodimerized GST-aCT led to a 26.3% decrease in accumulated distance and a

55.3% reduction in net displacement, both remarkably stronger than the effects

observed with other Arpin constructs in this study (Fig. 3E). Conclusively, our

findings demonstrated that while the CT alone exhibited relatively strong inhibitory

function, the N-terminal globular domain of Arpin also plays a critical role by

mediating homodimerization and further stabilizing the 2:1 Arpin: Arp2/3 complex.

And, more importantly, this inhibitory capacity can be further reinforced by the

substitution with a more stable N-terminal dimerization domain.

Building on the success of engineered GST-aCT, we next sought to design a

minimal inhibitory molecule mimicking homodimerized Arpin. Given that only the

CT of Arpin directly interacts with the Arp2/3 complex, we developed a dual-tailed

peptide comprising two Arpin's CTs (D-aCT), which were linked at N-termini by a

chemical crosslinker (Fig. 1A). Similar to the design rationale for GST-aCT, a

16-residue spacer (sequence: -(SSGG)$_4$-) was incorporated at the N-termini of the

CTs to ensure proper engagement with the dual binding sites on the Arp2/3 complex.

Due to the presence of arginine and lysine residues in Arpin's CT segment, which

interfere with amine-based crosslinking, we adopted a cysteine-mediated strategy:

an exogenous cysteine was added to the N-terminus of CT, while endogenous

Cys204 was replaced by serine (Table 2). The peptide was recombinantly expressed

and crosslinked using $BM(PEG)_3$ (Fig. S10A), which covalently links the

N-terminal cysteines (Fig. S10B). Both SEC analysis and mass spectrometry (MS)

confirmed that D-aCT formed stable covalent dimers via $BM(PEG)_3$, rather than

through reducible disulfide bonds. Subsequent SPR assays revealed that D-aCT bind

to Arp2/3 with a K_D of 5.5 μM (Fig. S11, Table 2). This affinity is comparable to

that of GST-aCT (2.5 μM) and surpasses that of full-length Arpin or a single

$Arpin_{CT}$ peptide (14.1 μM and 17.8 μM, respectively), demonstrating that D-aCT, as

a much smaller molecule, achieves robust binding akin to larger Arpin-based

constructs.

AI-aided design of the dual-tailed peptide leads to further enhanced binding

affinity for Arp2/3

To further enhance D-aCT's binding affinity to the Arp2/3 complex, we

conducted targeted peptide design via CUTEDGE (Chain-free Underinformed

Targeted Electron Density Generative Explorer), a 3D diffusion model operating in

electron density space. This deep generative framework, which we have developed

for protein/peptide binder design (unpublished), enables rapid generation of

structurally plausible binders with high affinity to a given target protein.

Previous studies have identified two distinct binding sites for Arpin on the

Arp2/3 complex: site-A3 and site-A2C1[22,23]. Arpin's CT engages these sites through

divergent docking modes, thereby introducing structural complexity that poses

significant challenges for computational design approaches. Conventional methods,

which typically focus on single-target interactions during the generative process, are

ill-suited to handle the dual-interface requirement, necessitating advanced modeling

capabilities beyond standard design paradigms.

Given current limitations in simultaneous multi-target binder design, we

employed a staged computational design strategy by designing the peptide against

one binding site and evaluating the designs at both sites. Among the two

Arpin-binding sites, only site-A3 has been confirmed by experimental structures[23],

whereas site-A2C1 is a putative one based on the 2:1 NPF: Arp2/3 complex

structures[20,21]. We selected the cryo-EM structure of $Arpin_{CT}$: Arp2/3 (PDB entry:

7JPN, 3.2 Å) as the target model for designing, which displays a bound $Arpin_{CT}$ at

its site-A3, with its central domain in helical conformation and its AT in disordered

conformation[23]. Considering the conservation of acidic motif across various

modulators of Arp2/3, we speculated that further optimization of the AT segment to

achieve stronger binding affinity would be highly challenging. Therefore, we

focused on designing a 13-residue α-helix, matching the helical central domain of

$Arpin_{CT}$ (residues 194 - 206). Within 4.5 hours, CUTEDGE generated 600 electron

density maps representing helical peptides bound to the target region. Subsequent

iterative refinement yielded 2,292 sets of structural coordinates that fit the generated

electron densities.

By anchoring our designs to the experimentally resolved site-A3, we ensured

the functional relevance of designed helical peptides while exploring novel sequence

space. However, computational evaluation of both binding sites was necessary

before experimental assessment. To address the lack of structural details for the

site-A2C1, we constructed a homology-modeled of human Arpin$_{CT}$: Arp2/3 complex

in a 2:1 stoichiometry based on the cryo-EM structure of the 2:1 NPF: Arp2/3

complex. As a result, one of the two Arpin$_{CT}$ was appropriately positioned for

engagement with site-A2C1 (Fig. S12). Rigid-body docking simulations were then

performed to assess the binding modes of designed peptides. A multi-parameter

scoring pipeline integrated geometric metrics (hydrogen bond networks, salt bridge

interactions, steric clashes, and buried solvent-accessible surface areas) and

energetic   calculations   (absolute   binding   free   energies   via   Adaptive

Poisson-Boltzmann Solver, APBS) to prioritize candidates (partial sequences with

high scores are listed in Table S1 & S2). This framework identified six structurally

viable peptides with predicted binding free energies comparable to native Arpin

interactions.

To experimentally validate the AI-designed peptides, the central domain of the

CT regions in D-aCT were substituted with the six virtually screened sequences,

labeled according to their design IDs (Table 2). The chimeric peptides were

recombinantly expressed and crosslinked using the same protocol as D-aCT

production. Subsequent SPR assays with the human Arp2/3 complex revealed

further enhanced binding affinities for two designed dual-tailed peptides:

dP_arpin_0548 (K_D = 0.70 μM; Fig. S11) and dP_arpin_1071 (K_D = 5.51 μM; Fig.

S11). In fact, dual-tailed dP_arpin_0548 exhibited a 20-fold improvement in binding

affinity compared to homodimeric Arpin and a 7.9-fold increase over D-aCT,

demonstrating that scaffold-constrained design via deep generative models can

achieve dual-interface compatibility and a further enhanced binding affinity of the

2:1 Arpin: Arp2/3 complex.

Discussion

The metastatic and invasive hallmarks of cancer have been regarded as

formidable barriers to the overcoming of cancer recurrence and therapeutic

resistance. Although accumulating evidence identifies Arpin as a key regulator of

cancer metastasis through its modulation of cell migration, the molecular

mechanisms underlying its function remain poorly understood, hindering the

development of targeted therapies aimed at inhibiting tumor dissemination. Our

work presents the first high-resolution structural characterization of Arpin,

addressing a critical gap in the mechanistic understanding of this protein.

Importantly, we identified, for the first time, that Arpin can adopt a homodimeric

conformation mediated by its N-terminal domain in solution. This discovery

provides a novel structural framework to resolve the aforementioned contradictions:

Arpin's interaction with the Arp2/3 complex is not a static 2:1 binding mode but

rather a dynamic, positively cooperative binding process. Functional assays further

revealed that disrupting Arpin's homodimerization capacity via F95A/M97A double

substitutions resulted in a mutant protein with significantly reduced migratory

inhibitory activity compared to full-length Arpin or the isolated CT fragment alone.

These findings underscore that dimerization is indispensable for Arpin's suppressive

activity, suggesting that its cooperative assembly may serve as a critical regulatory

node in controlling metastatic progression.

Positive cooperativity is a prevalent phenomenon in homo-oligomeric proteins,

exemplified by the oxygen-binding mechanisms of hemoglobin and myoglobin. In

hemoglobin, a tetrameric protein, oxygen binding to one subunit induces

conformational changes that enhance the affinity of subsequent subunits for oxygen,

a hallmark of positive cooperativity. This cooperative mechanism relies on a strict

1:1 stoichiometric relationship between subunits and ligands, with each subunit

independently contributing a consistent binding surface. In contrast, the interaction

between Arpin and the Arp2/3 complex deviates from a rigid 1:1 stoichiometry,

instead exhibiting a dynamic one. This behavior arises from Arpin's N-terminal

globular domain-driven homodimerization, which enables cooperative engagement

of two structurally and functionally asymmetric binding sites: site-A3 and site-A2C1.

Site-A3 likely exhibits higher affinity, dominating under low-concentration

conditions (e.g., ITC assays and cryo-EM samples) to yield a 1:1 stoichiometry. In

contrast, at elevated concentrations (e.g., concentrated samples for SEC analysis),

Arpin occupies both sites, adopting a 2:1 configuration. Physiologically, localized

concentration effects via a regulated recruitment mechanism of Arpin likely

facilitate the 2:1 mode. Similar recruitment has been found in the formation of other

complexes, like PD-L1 and BMS forming 1:1 complex first, and then recruits a

second PD-L1 to form a dimer, providing dual binding sites for the initially bound

BMS[29-31].

Moreover, Arpin's dynamic modulation could be further influenced by the

inherent structural complexity and flexibility of the Arp2/3 complex, coupled with

its interplay with actin filaments and other activation factors in the cellular milieu.

To date, the Arp2/3 complexes subjected in structural characterizations with Arpins

were isolated inactive form, where the Arp2 and Arp3 subunits remain spatially

separated. Upon activation, the complex undergoes significant conformational

rearrangements that position Arp2 and Arp3 at the branch tip to nucleate nascent

actin filaments[32-34]. Additionally, NPFs recruit actin subunits to the barbed end of

the Arps to form the initial polymerization seed and promote all seven subunits of

Arp2/3 complex binding to the side of pre-existing mother filament[32,35-38]. Yet up to

now, no structural studies of the Arpin: Arp2/3 complex have taken actin into

consideration.

Based on our findings, we propose the "butterfly" model to elucidate the

assembly logic of Arpin-Arp2/3 interactions. The crystal structure of the Arpin

homodimer reveals two protomers resembling the "wings" of a butterfly, with their

missing CT segments extending outward as flexible "cerci" (Fig. 5A). The model

centers on a two-step binding process. First, initial preferential occupation of site-A3

by the CT of a single Arpin molecule leads to the formation of a stable 1:1 complex,

which inhibits the short-pitch transition of NPF binding by locking the inhibitory tail

of Arp3 at the high-affinity site-A2C1[8,20], and competes with NPF for the

low-affinity binding site on Arp3[23]. Second, a subsequent binding pathway of the

second Arpin molecule could display dynamic preference (Fig. 5B). One possibility

involves directly engaging Arpin's CT with site-A2C1, albeit with weaker affinity.

Spatial effects then promote proximity between the two Arpin molecules, facilitating

homodimerization□□two "wings" assembling into a complete "butterfly". This

dimerization stabilizes the complex via interactions at the unstable homodimer

interface and low-affinity site-A2C1, collectively forming a stable oligomer with

three distinct interaction sites. However, experimental evidence strongly supports a

more efficient pathway: the second Arpin molecule first associates with the

pre-bound Arpin to form a homodimer. This intact "butterfly" spatially reorients the

second "cercus" toward site-A2C1, significantly boosting CT-binding efficiency at

site-A2C1 and stabilizing a structurally robust oligomer. Notably, as a more stable

homodimer, engineered GST-aCT recapitulates this pathway, exhibiting superior

Arp2/3 binding compared to monomeric Arpin and enhanced inhibition of cellular

motility.

Regarding the positive cooperativity proposed in the butterfly model, further

experimental validation is required. One potential approach involves employing *in*

situ EM methods for structural characterization of Arpin: Arp2/3 complexes bound

to actin filaments. Nonetheless, the low molecular weight of Arpin poses significant

technical challenges for such studies. Alternatively, stabilized GST-aCT

homodimers could be directly utilized for structural analysis of the complex. This

methodology is precedented by studies of the GST-Crn1:Arp2/3 complex, which

successfully resolved its 2:1 binding configuration[22]. Another complementary

strategy entails preparing stabilized Arpin homodimers via chemical crosslinking,

followed by structural characterization of the resultant 2:1 Arpin: Arp2/3 complexes.

Additionally, experimental investigation of the asymmetry in positive cooperativity

requires site-directed mutagenesis of the two binding sites on Arp2/3. This could be

achieved through knockout/knock-in strategies to generate Arp2/3 complexes with

mutations at individual binding sites, enabling verification of the dynamic binding

order between the two sites and the determination of independent binding affinity

measurements unaffected by cooperative effects. Collectively, these approaches aim

to dissect the molecular basis of cooperative binding and validate the mechanisms

proposed in the butterfly model.

The potent inhibitory activity of the engineered dimeric GST-aCT construct

inspired us to develop molecules with enhanced inhibitory potency, aiming to

explore the feasibility of targeting cancer metastasis with therapeutic agents. To this

end, we first simplified the molecular form by designing a dual-tailed peptide,

D-aCT, which retains two CT segments and shows Arp2/3-binding affinity

comparable to that of GST-aCT. Subsequently, using our previously developed deep

generative model for protein design (CUTEDGE), we re-engineered the central

domain of the CT module and successfully generated dP_arpin_0548, which

exhibits the highest Arp2/3-binding affinity observed in this study. Next, both

D-aCT and dP_arpin_0548 require validation of their actual effects on cancer

metastasis, necessitating intracellular delivery of the peptides. Potential strategies

involve conjugating cell-penetrating peptides to facilitate peptide uptake or utilizing

liposome nanoparticle delivery systems to encapsulate and deliver the engineered

peptides into cells. These approaches aim to bridge the gap between molecular

function and therapeutic application while addressing the practical challenges of

intracellular targeting and efficacy.

This study reveals a critical interplay between Arpin homodimerization and its

inhibitory function in regulating cell migration, and demonstrates a pronounced

positive cooperative effect mediated by homodimerization. However, two key

questions remain to be addressed. First, why does Arpin form dynamic homodimers

instead of stable ones, and what is the significance of this dynamic behavior in

regulating its inhibitory activity? A potential regulatory mechanism may involve

as-yet-unidentified factors that modulate Arpin's activity through disruption of its

homodimerization, rather than through direct competition for the AT-binding site.

Second, what underlies Arpin's intriguing inhibitory mechanisms, which minimally

reduce migration velocity yet paradoxically enhance steering capacity? Steering

depends on *de novo* lamellipodia formation via actin branching networks, whereas

directional persistence relies on the stabilization of existing networks. Our data

indicate that Arpin's function does not directly inhibit the formation of new

branches but instead facilitates the disassembly of pre-existing networks or prevents

rebranching during their turnover. Further investigation into the molecular

mechanism of Arpin's homodimerization-dependent inhibition, coupled with its

dynamic regulation, may pave the way for developing Arpin-based therapeutic

strategies to combat cancer metastasis.

634 **References**

1   Yamaguchi, H. & Condeelis, J. Regulation of the actin cytoskeleton in

cancer cell migration and invasion. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*

(BBA)-Molecular Cell Research **1773**, 642-652 (2007).

2   Ridley, A. J. *et al.* Cell migration: integrating signals from front to back.

Science **302**, 1704-1709 (2003).

3   Lai, F. P. *et al.* Arp2/3 complex interactions and actin network turnover in

lamellipodia. *The EMBO journal* **27**, 982-992 (2008).

4   Konietzny, A., Bär, J. & Mikhaylova, M. Dendritic actin cytoskeleton:

structure, functions, and regulations. *Frontiers in cellular neuroscience* **11**,

147 (2017).

5   Goley, E. D. *et al.* An actin-filament-binding interface on the Arp2/3

complex is critical for nucleation and branch stability. *Proceedings of the*

National Academy of Sciences **107**, 8159-8164 (2010).

6   Goley, E. D. & Welch, M. D. The ARP2/3 complex: an actin nucleator

comes of age. *Nature reviews Molecular cell biology* **7**, 713-726 (2006).

7   Suraneni, P. *et al.* The Arp2/3 complex is required for lamellipodia extension

and directional fibroblast cell migration. *Journal of Cell Biology* **197**,

239-251 (2012).

8   Shaaban, M., Chowdhury, S. & Nolen, B. J. Cryo-EM reveals the transition

of Arp2/3 complex from inactive to nucleation-competent state. *Nature*

structural & molecular biology **27**, 1009-1016 (2020).

9   Alekhina, O., Burstein, E. & Billadeau, D. D. Cellular functions of WASP

family proteins at a glance. *Journal of cell science* **130**, 2235-2241 (2017).

10   Dang, I. *et al.* Inhibitory signalling to the Arp2/3 complex steers cell

migration. *Nature* **503**, 281-284 (2013).

11   Liu, X. *et al.* Aberrant expression of Arpin in human breast cancer and its

clinical significance. *Journal of Cellular and Molecular Medicine* **20**,

450-458 (2016).

12   Lomakina, M. E. *et al.* Arpin downregulation in breast cancer is associated

with poor prognosis. *British journal of cancer* **114**, 545-553 (2016).

13   Li, T. *et al.* Clinicopathological and prognostic significance of aberrant

Arpin expression in gastric cancer. *World Journal of Gastroenterology* **23**,

1450 (2017).

14   Li, Y. *et al.* Restoration of Arpin suppresses aggressive phenotype of breast

cancer cells. *Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy* **92**, 116-121 (2017).

15   Dang, I. *et al.* The Arp2/3 inhibitory protein Arpin is dispensable for

chemotaxis. *Biology of the Cell* **109**, 162-166 (2017).

16   Luan, Q. & Nolen, B. J. Structural basis for regulation of Arp2/3 complex by

GMF. *Nature structural & molecular biology* **20**, 1062-1068 (2013).

17   Ydenberg, C. A. *et al.* GMF severs actin-Arp2/3 complex branch junctions

by a cofilin-like mechanism. *Current biology* **23**, 1037-1045 (2013).

18   Lane, J., Martin, T., Weeks, H. P. & Jiang, W. G. Structure and role of

WASP and WAVE in Rho GTPase signalling in cancer. *Cancer genomics &*

proteomics **11**, 155-165 (2014).

19   Fetics, S. *et al.* Hybrid structural analysis of the Arp2/3 regulator arpin

identifies its acidic tail as a primary binding epitope. *Structure* **24**, 252-260

(2016).

20   Zimmet, A. *et al.* Cryo-EM structure of NPF-bound human Arp2/3 complex

and activation mechanism. *Science Advances* **6**, eaaz7651 (2020).

21   Saks, A. J., Barrie, K. R., Rebowski, G. & Dominguez, R. NPF binding to

Arp2 is allosterically linked to the release of ArpC5's N-terminal tail and

conformational changes in Arp2/3 complex. *Proceedings of the National*

Academy of Sciences **122**, e2421557122 (2025).

22   Sokolova, O. S. *et al.* Structural basis of Arp2/3 complex inhibition by GMF,

coronin, and arpin. *Journal of molecular biology* **429**, 237-248 (2017).

23   Fregoso, F. E. *et al.* Molecular mechanism of Arp2/3 complex inhibition by

Arpin. *Nature communications* **13**, 628 (2022).

24   Ti, S.-C., Jurgenson, C. T., Nolen, B. J. & Pollard, T. D. Structural and

biochemical characterization of two binding sites for nucleation-promoting

694 factor WASp-VCA on Arp2/3 complex. *Proceedings of the National*

695 *Academy of Sciences* **108**, E463-E471 (2011).

696 25 van Eeuwen, T. *et al.* Transition state of Arp2/3 complex activation by

697 actin-bound dimeric nucleation-promoting factor. *Proceedings of the*

698 *National Academy of Sciences* **120**, e2306165120 (2023).

699 26 Holm, L. & Laakso, L. M. Dali server update. *Nucleic acids research* **44**,

700 W351-W355 (2016).

701 27 Montoya-García, A. *et al.* Arpin deficiency increases actomyosin

702 contractility and vascular permeability. *Elife* **12**, RP90692 (2024).

703 28 Abramson, J. *et al.* Accurate structure prediction of biomolecular interactions

704 with AlphaFold 3. *Nature* **630**, 493-500 (2024).

705 29 Lim, K.-H. *et al.* Ubiquitin-specific protease 11 functions as a tumor

706 suppressor by modulating Mgl-1 protein to regulate cancer cell growth.

707 *Oncotarget* **7**, 14441 (2016).

708 30 Skalniak, L. *et al.* Small-molecule inhibitors of PD-1/PD-L1 immune

709 checkpoint alleviate the PD-L1-induced exhaustion of T-cells. *Oncotarget* **8**,

710 72167 (2017).

711 31 Soremekun, O. S., Olotu, F. A., Agoni, C. & Soliman, M. E. Recruiting

712 monomer for dimer formation: resolving the antagonistic mechanisms of

713 novel immune check point inhibitors against programmed death ligand-1 in

714 cancer immunotherapy. *Molecular Simulation* **45**, 777-789 (2019).

32   Rouiller, I. *et al.* The structural basis of actin filament branching by the

Arp2/3 complex. *The Journal of cell biology* **180**, 887-895 (2008).

33   Xu, X. P. *et al.* Three-dimensional reconstructions of Arp2/3 complex with

bound nucleation promoting factors. *The EMBO journal* **31**, 236-247 (2012).

34   Rodnick-Smith, M., Luan, Q., Liu, S.-L. & Nolen, B. J. Role and structural

mechanism of WASP-triggered conformational changes in branched actin

filament nucleation by Arp2/3 complex. *Proceedings of the National*

Academy of Sciences **113**, E3834-E3843 (2016).

35   Robinson, R. C. *et al.* Crystal structure of Arp2/3 complex. *science* **294**,

1679-1684 (2001).

36   Smith, B. A., Daugherty-Clarke, K., Goode, B. L. & Gelles, J. Pathway of

actin filament branch formation by Arp2/3 complex revealed by

single-molecule imaging. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*

110, 1285-1290 (2013).

37   Smith, B. A. *et al.* Three-color single molecule imaging shows WASP

detachment from Arp2/3 complex triggers actin filament branch formation.

Elife **2**, e01008 (2013).

38   Jasnin, M. *et al.* The architecture of traveling actin waves revealed by

cryo-electron tomography. *Structure* **27**, 1211-1223. e1215 (2019).

Tables

Table 1. Data collection and refinement statistics.

	Arpin native	Arpin derivatives (Hg(CN)$_2$)
Data collection		
Space group	P6$_5$	P6$_5$
Cell dimensions		
a, b, c (Å)	80.3, 80.3, 124.4	80.5, 80.5, 124.6
α, β, γ (°)	90, 90, 120	90, 90, 120
Wavelength (Å)	0.9778	0.9778
Resolution (Å)	46.4-1.65 (1.65-1.68)[†]	40.2-2.10 (2.10-2.14)
R_{merge}	0.125 (0.635)	0.102 (0.226)
Mean I/σ(I)	7.2 (1.8)	17.0 (2.5)
Completeness (%)	99.8 (100.0)	99.6 (97.7)
Redundancy	5.5 (5.6)	3.6 (2.5)
Refinement		
Resolution (Å)	46.4-1.65	
No. of reflections	54,511	
Reflections in test set	2764	
R_{work}/R_{free}	0.167/0.196	
No. of atoms		
Protein	5840	

Water	362
r.m.s. deviations	
Bond lengths (Å)	0.014
Bond angles (°)	1.249
Average B-factor (Å^2)	
All atoms	46.0
Water	44.0
Cavity water	40.0

738   [†] Values in parentheses are for the highest resolution shell.

 Table 2. Summary of dimerized peptides and proteins with corresponding SPR

 binding parameters

Native and engineered proteins and peptides	Sequence description	K_D (µM)
Dimeric Arpin	Full length	14.1
Monomeric Arpin	Full length	48.9
Arpin^{FM}	A double-site mutant	63.1
Arpin$_{CT}$	C-terminal fragment	17.8
GST-aCT	GST-GlySerSerGly-aCT	2.5
D-aCT*	Cys-(SerSerGlyGly)$_4$-aCT	5.5
Artificial dimerized dual-tailed peptides	**Amino acid sequence**	**K_D (µM)**
dP_arpin_0006	EWKTKVANKAHEA	Non-detectable
dP_arpin_0011	FWKSVVASQKEQQ	Non-detectable
dP_arpin_0028	AVIEQVDKASQQA	57.8
dP_arpin_0548	DLKKIVAAIASFA	0.70
dP_arpin_1071	AVVVVVARIVKNS	7.1
dP_arpin_1076	DEVVAVAKVEYAA	Non-detectable
dP_arpin_1076	DEVVAVAKVEYAA	Non-detectable

743   * Sequence information of D-aCT for

744   (CSSGGSSGGSSGGSSGG)GASWTDNIMAQK**S**SKGAAAEIREQGDGAEDEEW

745   DD. To avoid the formation of unnecessary disulfide bond, the cysteine at position

746   13 of the CA sequence was replaced by serine, which is indicated in bold.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. The globular core of Arpin forms homodimers in the crystal.

(A). Schematic representation of truncated and mutated Arpin variants. The globular

N-terminal domain is shown in grey, the central motif in blue, and the acidic tail in

red.

(B). Cartoon representation of the globular core of Arpin with β-barrel core (green),

"fin keel" (blue) and a short linker (yellow) properly labeled.

(C). Close-up view of the dimer interface around contact cluster II. Upper panel: At

the tip of the "fin keel", the hydrophobic side chains of Phe95 and Met97 are shown

in sticks. Lower panel: Residues involved in the interactions between adjacent "fin

keel" structures are shown in sticks, with inter-protomer hydrogen bonds indicated

by dashed lines.

(D). Conserved surface patch of Arpin. One protomer of the Arpin homodimer is

shown in ribbons, while the other one as surface representation colored by sequence

conservation (strictly conserved residues in orange, while others in gray).

(E). Water-filled cavity at the Arpin dimer interface. A fully enclosed cavity is

formed housing 35 ordered water molecules (in red balls) stabilized by a

hydrogen-bond network.

Figure 2. Homodimerization of Arpin regulates the dynamic equilibrium of its

oligomeric state and inhibitory function.

As demonstrated by (A). SEC and (B). AUC analyses in both human and zebrafish

variants, full length Arpin exists in a dynamic equilibrium between monomeric and

homodimeric form.

(C). SEC and (D). AUC analyses of double-site mutation F95A/M97A.

(E). Cytoskeletal staining of MCF-7 cells overexpressing Arpin or its variants.

Confocal images of FITC labeled phalloidin-stained MCF7 cells showing the state

and distribution of actin filaments (Scale bars, 5 nm). Cells were overexpressed with

Arpin, Arpin$^{\Delta CT}$ and Arpin^{FM}, respectively, as well as knocked down Arpin (-Arpin)

and WT cell line.

Figure 3. Homodimerization suppresses directional migration by enhancing

Arp2/3 inhibition.

(A). Transwell assays were performed to analyze the effects of transfection of Arpin

with its variants on the migration of MCF-7 cells.   Representative images of crystal

violet staining of cells captured 24 hours after treatment, original magnification 40×.

(B). Numbers of migrated cells through the chamber after 24h. Cells were counted

from 10 random microscope fields for each sample in 3 independent experiments.

Statistical significance is indicated as follows: *$P < 0.05$; **$P < 0.01$; ***$P < 0.001$;

**** $p \leq 0.0001$; ns, not significant ($P > 0.05$).

(C). Western blot confirms the expression levels different across Arpin constructs.

(D). Representative migration trajectories and vector displacement analysis of the

time-lapse tracking of HT1080 cells with stable Arpin expression.HT1080 cells with

stable expression of Arpin or control were seeded on collagen-coated dishes and

imaged for 6 hours. Each cell's starting position was set as the origin, and its final

position defined the endpoint for vector displacement analysis. Representative

migration tracks were also selected and shown to illustrate typical movement

patterns.

(E) Accumulated distance, net displacement, migration speed, and directionality

(straightness) of migrating cells were measured in three independent experiments.

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation. Statistical significance is indicated

as follows: *$P < 0.05$; **$P < 0.01$; ***$P < 0.001$; **** $p \leq 0.0001$; ns, not significant

($P > 0.05$).

Figure 4. AI-guided engineering of dual-tailed peptides with enhanced affinity

for Arp2/3 complex.

(A). Structural modeling of GST-aCT bound to the Arp2/3 complex using

AlphaFold3. Simulated 2:1 binding stoichiometry confirms that a short linker with 4

residues enable spatial accessibility of both Arp2/3 binding sites.

(B) Detailed binding pose of the AI-designed dual-tailed peptide dP_arpin_0548 and

its CUTEDGE-generated electron densities.

(C) Detailed binding pose of the AI-designed dual-tailed peptide dP_arpin_1071 and

its CUTEDGE-generated electron densities..

Figure 5: Proposed "butterfly" model illustrates Arpin–Arp2/3 assembly and

inhibitory mechanisms.

(A). The shape of Arpin's homodimeric conformation resembling a butterfly. The

two globular domains form the "wings", while the unobserved flexible CT are

conceptualized as "cerci."

(B). Schematic model of two potential inhibitory pathways. Upper panel: In the

"green light" mode, Arp2/3 binds NPF and actin to promote microfilament

branching. Lower panel: In the "red light" mode, Arpin competitively occupies the

Arp2/3 binding sites through two possible routes: (1) sequential binding at site-A3

and site-A2C1 followed by dimerization, or (2) initial homodimerization followed

by cooperative dual-site engagement.

Figures

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Methods

Protein expression and purification

The human and zebrafish Arpin genes (*Homo sapiens*, NM_182616, *Danio rerio*, NM_001017780, including truncations and mutants) were synthesized (Sangon Biotech, China) and inserted into expression vector pET-32a. The fusion protein was overexpressed in *Escherichia coli* BL21. The F95A and M97A mutation was introduced by double mutant site-direct mutagenesis and verified by sequencing. Cells were grown to $OD_{600} \approx 0.8$ in LB media supplemented with 1 µg/ml ampicillin at 37□ and were induced by 1 mM IPTG for 16 hours at 4□ for expression. Cells were harvested by centrifugation and lysed by high pressure homogenizer for twice after resuspended in buffer containing 50mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 200mM NaCl. The fusion protein was isolated from the soluble cell lysate by Ni-affinity chromatography. After using PreScission Protease to cleaves the expression tag, Arpin was purified by Hitrap Q HP column (GE Healthcare Biosciences, USA) for anion-exchange chromatography and a Superdex-200 gel filtration column (GE Healthcare Biosciences, USA). The purified protein was concentrated to 25mg/ml for crystallization or storage at -80□.

Crystallization

Zebrafish Arpin (a.a. 10-221) with C133S mutation was crystallized at 16□ using hanging drop-diffusion methods. 1µl of protein solution (10 mg/ml in buffer of 50mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 200mM NaCl) and 1µl of reservoir solution (1.6 M

Sodium citrate pH6.5) were mixed. The droplets were set on a siliconized glass

sheet and inverted on the chamber with 1ml of reservoir solution and then sealed

with vacuum silicone grease. Crystals formed after four days. The crystals were

flash-frozen by liquid nitrogen for further data collection. Heavy atom derivatives

were obtained by soaking the crystals of Arpin in the mother liquor containing 10

mM of Hg $(CN)_2$ for 7 min for phasing analysis.

Data Collection, Structure Determination, and Refinement

The native data sets and heavy atom derivative data sets were all collected at

beamline BL-18U1 of Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility with wavelength of

0.9778 Å for the Arpin crystals. The crystals were kept at 100 K during X-ray

diffraction data collection. Data were indexed and scaled with HKL2000. Phases

were solved by single-wavelength anomalous dispersion method using PHENIX.

autosol. All refinement procedures were carried out with PHENIX. refine and

COOT. Table 1 shows the detailed statistics of data collection and refinement.

Surface plasmon resonance

All SPR experiments were performed using Biacore 8K instruments (GE

Healthcare) at 25 °C, with PBS containing 0.05% (v/v) Tween-20 as the running

buffer. Ligands were immobilized on CM5 sensor chips via standard amine coupling,

following the manufacturer's instructions (GE Healthcare). Kinetic parameters were

derived by fitting the sensorgrams to fit binding model. Increasing concentrations of

Arpin variants were injected at a flow rate of 30 μL/min, with a 60s association

phase followed by a 60s dissociation phase. For Arp2/3 complex binding assays,

dimeric and monomeric fractoins of Arpin, as well as Arpin^{FM}, were tested in a

concentration range of 3.125–200 μM, while Arpin$_{CT}$, GST-aCT and D-aCT were

tested at 0.625–40 μM. AI-designed Arpin variants were tested in a concentration

range of 0.156–10 μM. Capture levels were optimized to achieve appropriate

analyte responses. The anti-tag capture antibody was covalently coupled to the CM5

chip via amine coupling and regenerated according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Cell culture and transfection

The human breast cancer cell line MCF7 and fibrosarcoma cell line HT1080

were cultured in high-glucose DMEM without glutamine, supplemented with 10%

FBS, at 37 °C, 5% CO₂. Mammalian codon-optimized synthetic human Arpin

genes (including full-length, mutant, and truncated variants) were cloned into the

pcDNA3.1 expression vector (Tsingke, China). Duplex siRNAs were synthesized as

follows: Arpin knock down-specific sequence, 5′

-GGCGCUAGUUGGACCGAUA-3′(sense) (Sangon Biotech, China). Transient and

lentiviral transfections were performed using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, USA)

according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Cytoskeletal staining assay

MCF7 cells grown on glass coverslips were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in

PBS for 10 minutes at room temperature, followed by three washes with PBS. The

cells were then permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS for 5 minutes. F-actin

was stained using TRITC-conjugated phalloidin (Yeasen, China) by incubating the

samples in the dark for 30 min. After additional PBS washes, the coverslips were

mounted in glycerol mounting medium. Fluorescence imaging of MCF7 cells was

performed using structured illumination microscopy (SIM) on a DeltaVision OMX

V3 system (GE Healthcare). SIM image stacks were acquired with serial Z-sections

at 125 nm intervals and reconstructed using softWoRx 6.1.1 (GE Healthcare).

Transwell assay

For the transwell migration assay, 300 μL of FBS-free medium containing 2

× 10 MCF7 cells was added to the upper chamber of a transwell insert (#3422,

Corning, USA), while 750 μL of DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS was placed

in the lower chamber. After incubation for 24 h at 37 °C under 5% CO_2, cells

were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min. Migrated cells on the lower

surface of the membrane were stained with 0.1% crystal violet for 20 min at room

temperature. Non-migrated cells on the upper surface were gently removed using a

cotton swab. Images were acquired from three randomly selected fields using an

Olympus FV3000RS confocal microscope.

Live-cell migration assay

HT1080 cells stably expressing mScarlet-tagged Arpin variants were seeded at

low density on glass-bottom dishes coated with fibronectin and allowed to attach in

complete DMEM. Cell migration was monitored using the PE Operetta High

Content Screening System (PerkinElmer, USA) at 37 °C and 5% CO_2 for 6h. The

instrument automatically scanned (×10) with 9 regions of cell images throughout

each well on the plate and images were captured every 10 min. Time-lapse data

were analyzed using Harmony 4.0 software (PerkinElmer, USA).

Crosslinking

To construct the crosslinked D-aCT peptide, Arpin's CT with an N-terminal

Cys and a following spacer (-[SSGG]$_4$-) was recombinantly expressed in *E. coli*

(Table 2). The expressed peptide possesses additional N-terminal His-tag and

SUMO-tag, enabling the purification via Ni-affinity chromatography. Subsequently,

the additional tags were removed by overnight Ulp1 cleavage. The cleaved peptides

were further purified by ion exchange chromatography without reducing agent.

Subsequently, the purified peptides were incubated at room temperature with

BM(PEG)$_3$ (1,11-bis(maleimido)triethylene glycol) at a molar ratio of 1:1.3 for 30

minutes. After incubation, the crosslinked fraction was isolated from the intact

fraction by SEC via a Superdex 75 column (GE Healthcare).

Western Blot

MCF7 cell lysates were prepared for protein samples, separated by SDS-PAGE

(40 μg per lane), followed by transfer onto PVDF membranes. After blocking with

5% non-fat milk in TBST, the membranes were incubated with primary antibodies

against Arpin (Cusabio, China) and actin (Abmart, China). Subsequently,

membranes were incubated with appropriate HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies:

goat anti-rabbit (Cell Signaling Technology, USA) or goat anti-mouse (Abmart,

China). Protein signals were detected using the Tanon 5200 Multi-imaging System

(Tanon, China) and quantified with ImageJ software.

Accession numbers

Coordinates and structure factors have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank with

accession number 6JCP for crystal structure of zebrafish Arpin.

Supplementary information

This manuscript includes 3 supplementary files that provide detailed experimental

procedures and additional experimental results not included in the main text:

Supplementary Information (SI): Contains comprehensive descriptions of

experimental details and supplementary experiments that support the findings

presented in the main manuscript.

Extended Data: Tables S1 to S2, Figures S1 to S12 and Movies Legends for S1.

Extended Data_Movie_S1.

Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to the staff of the Structural Biology Core facility (Institute

of Biophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences) for their technical assistance,

especially to Ms. Ya Wang, Ms. Xiaoxia Yu, Ms. Yuanyuan Chen and Mr. Yi Han.

We would like to thank Ms. Shuoguo Li and Miss. Yun Feng from the Center for

Biological Imaging (CBI, Institute of Biophysics, Chinese Academy of Science) for

their help of taking and analyzing SIM images. We would also like to thank the

Biological Reaction Facility (Ms. Wenjuan Zhang) and the Imaging Facility (Mr.

Jun Chen and Miss. Chunhua Zhang) of the National Center for Protein Sciences

Beijing for their assistance. This work was supported by the National Natural

Science Foundation of China (Project No. 31871287, 31400638 and 31470792), and

Beijing Municipal Science & Technology Commission grant [Z221100003522020].