Research Article

Phylogenomic analysis of the collagen-like BclA proteins in *Clostridioides difficile*.

Francisca Cid-Rojas[1,4,#], Enzo Guerrero-Araya[1,#], Christian Brito-Silva[1], Marjorie Pizarro-Guajardo[1,4],

César Rodríguez-Sanchéz[1,5], Daniel Paredes-Sabja[1,6,*]

[1]ANID – Millennium Science Initiative Program – Millennium Nucleus in the Biology of the
Intestinal Microbiota, Santiago, Chile.

[4]Department of Biology, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA

[5] Faculty of Microbiology & CIET, University of Costa Rica, San José, Costa Rica.

[6]Department of Biology, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA, dparedes-sabja@bio.tamu.edu

 # Contributed equally to this work.

Running Title: BclA variability in *C. difficile*

Key Words: *C. difficile* spores, collagen-like proteins, BclA, *C. difficile* clades, exosporium, pseudogenization.

*Corresponding Author. Dr. Daniel Paredes-Sabja, Department of Biology, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, 77843, USA. E-mail: dparedes-sabja@bio.tamu.edu

Abstract

Clostridioides difficile is a Gram-positive, anaerobic, spore-forming bacterium and a major nosocomial pathogen, notable for its high genetic diversity. *C. difficile* has been classified into five classical phylogenetic clades (C1 to C5) and five cryptic clades (C-I to C-V), reflecting its extensive genome plasticity. In addition, *C. difficile* spores are considered essential for the onset, persistence and transmission of the disease, and their exosporium layer has hair-like projections formed by the BclA-family of proteins (BclA1, BclA2 and BclA3). Previous work in C1 and C2 strains has demonstrated that the absence of these proteins affects spore germination, pathogenesis, persistence, and recurrence of CDI. Nevertheless, the conservation of BclAs across different *C. difficile* clades remains unclear. In this work, genomics analysis in more than 25,000 *C. difficile* genomes revealed that the prevalence and variability of the BclAs was not conserved across classical and cryptic clades. The most represented clade on the dataset was C1, where roughly 50% of the genomes possessed all three *bclA* genes. Pseudogenization of *bclA1* or all *bclAs* was observed in C2 and C3, respectively. Additionally, the absence of *bclA1* in C4 and of both *bclA1* & *bclA3* in C5, further demonstrates the divergence of BclAs among *C. difficile* clades. Subsequent analysis revealed high variability in the central collagen-like region (CLR) of all three BclAs, and a highly conserved *bclA1* pseudogenization event in most members of C2. Overall, the extensive variability of *bclA*, attributed to the CLR, prevalence of a *bclA1* pseudogenization and complete absence of *bclAs* in certain clades, is likely to impact spore morphogenesis and pathogenesis across different *C. difficile* clades.

Introduction

C. difficile, a ubiquitous anaerobic spore-producing microbe, is frequently implicated in nosocomial or antimicrobial-associated infections, collectively known as *C. difficile* infections (CDI) [1]. This environmentally persistent pathogen is capable of causing a wide range of symptoms, from mild diarrhea to severe pseudomembranous colitis [2]. As a leading cause of healthcare-associated infections, *C. difficile* poses a significant burden on global health, with incidence rates ranging from 2 to 8 cases per 10,000 patient-days [3, 4]. Conventional treatment approaches for CDI involve antibiotics, such as vancomycin, metronidazole, and fidaxomicin [5]. However, despite these interventions, approximately 15 to 35% of CDI cases experience recurrence (R-CDI) [6].

C. difficile's infection lifecycle begins with the germination of endogenous or recently acquired *C. difficile* spores [7]. Two main virulence factors, the toxins TcdA and TcdB, are primarily responsible for the clinical manifestations of *Clostridioides difficile* infection (CDI) [8, 9]. These toxins monoglucosylate host Rho family GTPases, leading to downstream effects such as tight junction disruption, epithelial cell detachment, and compromised intestinal barrier function[8, 9]. Additionally, toxin exposure prompts intestinal epithelial cells to secrete pro-inflammatory cytokines, which attract neutrophils and initiate acute mucosal inflammation[8, 9]. This inflammatory response further activates immune cells, intensifying tissue damage.

C. difficile spores are the main virulence factor related with disease recurrence [10, 11]. During CDI, *C. difficile* begins a sporulation cycle leading to the formation of newly dormant spores[10], that interact with host surfaces[12-15], and persist to cause R-CDI[16]. Essential in these interactions are the hair-like projections on the spore surface, where the collagen-like BclA3 protein is essential for their formation[16]. Two additional BclA orthologues (BclA1 and BclA2) are encoded in some *C. difficile* strains. Work in strains 630 (clade 1) and R20291 (clade 2), shows their implication in spore-host interactions, colonization and recurrence of CDI [16, 17].

C. difficile has tremendous genetic diversity [18, 19], where its core genome represents only 10-20 % of its pan-genome. This likely contributes to *C. difficile* adaptation to a wide host-range and persist in environmental reservoirs[20]. This diversity is evidenced in the five distinct phylogenetic classical clades

and five cryptic clades, based on multi-locus sequencing and core-genome phylogeny[18]. While Clade 1 is the most represented clade, with the broadest geographic distribution [3, 21], clade 2 includes epidemically relevant strains of ribotype 027 (RT027) lineage and mainly associated with hospital outbreaks [22-24]. Clade 3 are uncommon yet phenotypically share similarities with Clade 2 strains[25]. Clade 4 includes strains that are often clindamycin- and fluoroquinolone-resistant, and associated with outbreaks in Asia, North America and Europe[26]. Finally, Clade 5 is the most genetically distant clade from all classical clades and based on its 96% average nucleotide identity (ANI) to all other four clades, it is hypothesized to be an early predecessor of all four clades[27]. However, several genomospecies, with ANI values lower than 95% to all 5 classical clades and with highly divergent toxin gene architecture have been identified and defined as cryptic clades (CI to V)[3, 18, 28, 29].

The outermost layer of the spores, called exosporium, possess surface-proteins resembling hair-like projections[11, 30]. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) of the spores has revealed that exosporium morphology seems to be strain-dependent, as spores from the epidemically relevant strains (including R20291) have a hair-like nap, meanwhile 630, a strain widely used in scientific research, has a compact exosporium layer without hair like structures[11]. These hairs resemble those present on spores from members of the *B. cereus* group (*B. anthracis*), which have been determined to be formed by BclA glycoproteins[31, 32]. Strong *et al.*[33] identified three *bclA* homologues on the genome of *C. difficile*; *bclA1, bclA2 & bclA3*

Bacterial proteins like *B. anthracis* BclA & BclB and *Streptococcus pyogenes* (*S. pyogenes*) Scl1 & Scl2, have been thoroughly characterized previously[31, 32, 34-39]. These proteins were encountered to be displayed on the outermost layer of their respective bacterial morphotype with a central triple-helix rod shape structure composed of canonical GXY collagen repeats[40] and a globular end terminal domain[32, 36, 38, 39], thus they are referred to as collagen-like proteins. Although they differ in length, the domain distribution, N-terminal domain (NTD), collagen-like region (CLR), and C-terminal domain (CTD), is the same as *C. difficile* exosporium BclA proteins (**Fig. 1A**).

Despite the wealth of genomic data for *C. difficile*, there is a lack of knowledge on the diversity of spore surface proteins implicated in pathogenesis. Therefore, in this work we explored BclA prevalence and variability in more than 25,000 *C. difficile* genomes and used to generate a core-genome phylogenetic

tree, where four new clades were introduced, representing the complex and changing taxonomical

organization of this pathogen; the tree was divided into classical clades (C1 to C7) and cryptic clades (C-

I to C-VII). Subsequent *bclA* locus search and analysis revealed a high variability of the central collagen-

like region (CLR) of the proteins, indistinctively of the clades to which they belong, and the finding of a

conserved *bclA1* pseudogenization event present across most members of C2, which was not observed for

bclA2 or *bclA3*. Complementation with a full length *bclA1* from 630 strain (C1) to R20291 strain, which

is a member of C2, resulted in an increase of exosporium and hair-like projection's length. Overall, the

extensive variability of *bclA*, mainly attributed to their CLR, prevalence of a *bclA1* pseudogenization and

even the complete absence of *bclAs* in certain clades.

Materials and Methods

Genome collection

The complete dataset from Enterobase was obtained, which included 25,145 carefully selected *C. difficile* genomes, as well as diverse metadata, with a cutoff date of September 30, 2022. This dataset is a valuable resource that encompasses information on a wide variety of strains and conditions. In addition to the data provided by Enterobase, 21 additional genomes were incorporated from suspected cryptic isolates, with the aim of further expanding the diversity and scope of the analysis.

Genomes assemble

Genomes were assembled, annotated, and evaluated using a pipeline [41] comprising TrimGalore v0.6.5, SPAdes v3.6.043, Prokka v1.14.5, and QUAST v2.344. Next, Kraken2 v2.0.8-beta was used to screen for contamination and assign taxonomic labels to reads and draft assemblies.

Multi-locus sequence typing

The assembled genomes underwent a thorough analysis for Multi-locus sequence typing (MLST) utilizing FastMLST v0.0.15 [42] to identify any significant genetic variations. During this process, new alleles and sequence types (STs) were detected and subsequently verified by submitting the assembled contigs to the PubMLST database (https://pubmlst.org/cdifficile/) [43], a widely recognized public resource for molecular typing and microbial genome diversity research

Core-genome genes and recombination-free phylogeny

To identify core-genome genes in the analysis, DIAMOND blastp [44] search was employed, coupled with a bidirectional best hit approach, using the proteins predicted by Prodigal [45] from both isolated and predicted proteomes of *C. difficile* 630 as query sequences. A gene was designated as a core gene if it was present in at least 99% of the isolates. Following the identification of core genes, MAFFT [46] was used to align each gene individually, and subsequently concatenate the alignments to form a comprehensive dataset.

To account for the potential influence of recombination events on the analysis, it was applied ClonalFrameML [47] to remove any signs of recombination from the concatenated alignment. With a

recombination-free alignment at hand, a phylogenetic tree was constructed using IQ-tree [48], implementing

1000 ultrafast bootstrap replicates to assess the robustness of the inferred tree topology.

Upon completion of the phylogenetic tree, final clade delimitations were stablished, which allowed to

group *C. difficile* isolates into distinct evolutionary lineages. This comprehensive approach to genome

analysis and phylogenetic tree construction provides valuable insights into the genetic relationships and

evolutionary history of *C. difficile* isolates in the dataset used.

3D structural inference

Alphafold3[49] was employed, a state-of-the-art protein folding prediction algorithm, to determine the three-

dimensional structure of the proteins under investigation. The Alphafold3 system utilizes machine

learning techniques to predict protein structures with remarkable accuracy, providing valuable insights

into protein function and molecular interactions.

Predictions were performed with 24 iterative cycles (seeds). Running multiple seeds of the model helps

to refine the prediction at each cycle, enhancing the overall reliability and accuracy of the predicted

structures. The highest-ranking predicted structure (rank 0), that had the lowest predicted alignment error

(PAE) was selected for further analysis. The predicted alignment error provides a quantitative measure of

the accuracy of the predicted structure compared to the native structure.

Sequence alignment

Clustal Omega [50], a widely recognized and efficient tool for generating multiple sequence alignments, was

used to align the sequences of interest. Clustal Omega is an advanced algorithm that excels in aligning

both nucleotide and amino acid sequences, making it a popular choice for comparing homologous

sequences and identifying conserved regions, which can provide crucial insights into protein function,

structure, and evolutionary relationships. To perform the multiple sequence alignments, default

parameters provided by the Clustal Omega software were used.

EMBOSS Needle, was used to perform pairwise sequence alignment. It creates an optimal global

alignment using the Needleman-Wunsch algorithm. Default parameters provided by the software were

used.

Extraction and distribution of BclAs proteins

To extract the sequences of the three BclAs of interest, each genome in the dataset was first annotated using Prodigal [45], a widely used and accurate gene prediction tool designed for microbial genomes. This allowed to identify the coding sequences within each genome and obtain a comprehensive set of gene annotations for further analysis. Following the genome annotation step, a search for the upstream and downstream genes of each BclA within the annotated genomes using DIAMOND [44] was performed. By locating these flanking genes, it was possible to define the genomic region encompassing each BclA. Subsequently, these regions were extracted for further investigation and analysis. To ensure accuracy and precision in the extraction process, this final step was performed manually. Given the large number of isolates in the dataset, the focus was set on single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) present in the alignment for constructing the core-genome phylogenetic tree. To achieve this, the program employed was RapidNJ (https://github.com/somme89/rapidNJ), a fast and efficient algorithm for constructing phylogenetic trees based on the Neighbor-Joining method. To minimize redundancy in the dataset and improve computational efficiency, deduplication using CD-HIT [51] was performed, a widely utilized clustering tool that identifies and removes duplicated sequences based on sequence similarity thresholds. This step ensured that the dataset contained only unique sequences, enabling more accurate and meaningful downstream analyses. Lastly, custom Python scripts were used to generate schematic representations of the results. These visualizations provided a clear and concise representation of the findings, facilitating the interpretation and communication of the insights gleaned from the study.

Results

Structural modeling of *C. difficile* BclA proteins.

C. difficile encodes at least three orthologues of the BclA family of collagen-like proteins[52]. *C. difficile* strains 630 (Ribotype 012, clade 1) and R20291 (Ribotype 027, clade 2), despite belonging to different clades, they possess similar BclA proteins and share almost identical genetic context. Amino acid sequence comparison reveals that BclA2 of 630 and R20291 have ~ 95 % identity (**Fig. S3, S4**), while BclA3 shares ~ 87 % identity (**Fig. S5, S6**). However, in the case of BclA1, identity dropped to ~ 51 %, however reaches 97 % upon comparing only the NTDs (**Table S1**). This is due to the pseudogenization of *bclA1* in R20291 strain by two nonsense mutations at: i) nucleotide positions A145T, resulting in an early-stop codons that lead to formation of a small 48 amino acid NTD polypeptide; and ii) at nucleotide position C739T which yields an additional stop codon (**Fig. 1A, S1, S2**). When the alignment was performed by individual BclA domains, gap-free alignment regions revealed more than 93% identity was observed for NTD and CTDs of BclA2 and BclA3, except for the CLR of BclA3 with 77% identity (**Table S1**). As to better compare both BclA1 from 630 and R20291 strain from a protein level in further analysis, and since *bclA1*$_{R20291}$ is pseudogenized but the downstream DNA sequence follows the same structure as a canonical *bclA1* (**Fig. S1**), the two nonsense mutations were repaired to obtain a full length repaired BclA1$_{R20291}$ (rBclA1$_{R20291}$). Overall, BclAs of both strains were alike with the CLR domain having the least similarity.

Given the differences in BclA1 and similarities in BclA2 and BclA3 between strains 630 and R20291, AlphaFold3 (AF3) [53] was used to create structural predictions of full-lengths (fl) BclA1$_{630}$, rBclA1$_{R20291}$, BclA2$_{R20291}$ and BclA3$_{R20291}$. Initial monomeric predictions for all fl-BclAs show low confidence models for almost the entire protein. Upon modeling the individual domains, monomeric CLR of all four proteins proved to be disorganized with very low predicted confidence. However, monomeric CTDs of BclA2 and BclA3, except for BclA1$_{630}$ and rBclA1$_{R20291}$, were confidently predicted as globular structures with low expected positional error. Interestingly, the NTDs of BclA1$_{630}$ and rBclA1$_{R20291}$ were predicted to be globular domains, while the NTDs of BclA2 and BclA3 had not predicted secondary structure (**Fig. S7A, S7B - S10A**). Among these NTDs, rBclA1$_{R20291}$ was predicted with higher confidence than that of BclA1$_{630}$, likely due to the longer CLR domain in BclA1$_{630}$ that could be affecting AF3 predictions (**Fig.**

S7A & S7B). Overall, monomeric predictions of all BclA were confident mainly at the CTD, while failing

to accurately predict NTD and CLR.

Collagen proteins have a globular trimerization domain at one of their terminal domains which together

with their intrinsic GXY repeats, are driving forces for the formation of triple-helix structures[49, 54],

suggesting that all three BclA are likely to form stable predictable trimers. Strikingly, although

homotrimers were predicted for all fl-BclAs, the confidence of these interactions was limited mainly to

the CTDs, where BclA2 had the highest predicted homotrimerization confidence, forming a tight CTD-

protein complex (**Fig. S8B**), followed by BclA3 (**Fig. S9B**). By contrast, both $BclA1_{630}$ and $rBclA1_{R20291}$

had an apparent low confidence homotrimerization prediction (**Fig. S7C & S7D**). Unfortunately, AF3 was

unable to predict the triple-helix structure in all *C. difficile* the collagen-like BclA proteins, likely due to

limitations of the model.

The shortest collagen-like proteins that have structural properties of collagens are synthetic collagen

mimetic peptides (CMPs), which have at least 6 GXY repeats that can self-assemble into triple-helix

structures[55, 56]. Therefore, a truncated CLR with 10 GXY repeats was created in all three BclA to evaluate

their predictability using AF3. The predicted structures of 10 GXY BclAs improved compared to full-

length BclAs. Prediction of 10 GXY versions of BclA2 and BclA3 revealed a more accurate organization

of BclA trimers, comprising of an N-terminal domain, a truncated central collagen-like region, and a C-

terminal domain (**Fig. S10**). However, for trimerization of $BclA1_{630}$, although prediction of truncated

BclA1 improved compared to full length, trimerization of the CTD was not as confident as for truncated

versions of BclA2 and BclA3 (**Fig. S10**). Altogether, structural predictions strongly support that the CTDs

of BclA2 and BclA3 tend to interact and are likely trimerization domains. By contrast, BclA1 trimerization

had no confident prediction, even in the truncated BclA1 versions.

Collagen molecules bind to different types of collagens forming heterotrimeric proteins[49, 57, 58]. Therefore,

to test the hypothesis that *C. difficile* BclA orthologues could form heterotrimers, AF3 predictions were

employed to predict the structure of trimeric complexes composed of different BclA proteins.

Combination of distinct BclA sequences were input as separate chains, allowing AF3 to model potential

inter-chain interactions and assess compatibility in forming stable trimeric assemblies. Resulting

predictions revealed no expected interactions between the CTD of different BclA, even though most

molecules were predicted with high confidence as independent units: i) CTD_BclA2-BclA3$_{R20291}$ (**Fig.**

S11), and ii) CTD_BclA1-BclA2-BclA3$_{630}$ (**Fig. S12**). These results support the notion that *C. difficile*

BclAs do not form heterotrimeric protein complexes.

Further analysis of CTDs, demonstrates that BclA1$_{630}$, BclA2 and BclA3 is formed by total of 12, 9 and

14 predicted beta sheets, while only CTD of BclA3 has an alpha helix in between beta-sheets 2 and 3 (**Fig.**

1B, 1D, 1F). While they all form a homotrimer barrel-type structure predicted with high confidence,

BclA1$_{630}$ and BclA2 have a ball-like shape, and BclA3 a trapezoid-like shape that, when viewed from

above, forms an isosceles triangle. Interestingly, the areas of lowest confidence in the inference of the

three-dimensional structure are in the coils at the tip of each monomer conforming the structures (**Fig. 1C,**

1E, 1G, 1H). These structural differences suggest that BclA1, BclA2, and BclA3 are homologues of each

other and may have different functions in the exosporium.

Structural similarities between *C. difficile* and *B. anthracis* BclA proteins.

To investigate the relationship between *C. difficile's* BclAs and *B. anthracis's* collagen-like proteins (BclA

and BclB), AF3 was used to model the protein's CTD_homotrimers of both bacteria. *C. difficile*

BclA_CTD domains were independently superimposed to *B. anthracis* BclA_CTD and BclB_CTD using

ChimeraX (**Fig. 2A**). Calculation of RMSD (Root Mean Square Deviation) was used to quantify atomic

differences between the superimposed proteins. Both, BclA1_CTD$_{630}$ and BclA2_CTD$_{R20291}$ had the best

hit with BclA_CTD of *B. anthracis*, with an RMSD of 0.956 and 1.078, respectively (**Fig. 2B and 2C**).

On the other hand, BclA3_CTD$_{R20291}$ showed a high structural similarity with BclB_CTD *B. anthracis*,

with an RMSD of 0.745 (**Fig. 2D**). Additionally, low sequence identity was observed between these

domain (15.8-19.5%) and a similarity between 23.9-35.6% (**Fig. S13**). These results suggest that despite

the low identity between the CTDs of *C. difficile* and *B. anthracis*, they share comparable predicted

structures.

Phylogenomic distribution of BclA across the *C. difficile* lineage.

To further explore the diversity of BclA among *C. difficile* lineage, a set of 25,165 *C. difficile* genomes

were analyzed for the presence of these proteins (**Table S2**). BclA1 was found in 15,680 (**Table S3**),

BclA2 in 21,540 (**Table S4**) and BclA3 in 17,685 genomes (**Table S5**). The distribution of hits in a

phylogenetic core-genome tree is shown in Figure 3A. In the case of BclA1 occurrence, a homogeneous

distribution is observed in all clades except for clade 4 and clade 5, where BclA1 was not found in most

or any of the genomes searched, 74% and 100%, respectively (**Fig. 3A, S14A, S14B & Table S6**). The

presence of BclA2 was homogeneous across all clades, the same as BclA3 except for clade 5, where it

was not found in 97.6% of the genomes (**Fig. 3A, S14B & Table S6**).

Clade 1 comprised 16,264 genomes, among which the majority harbored complete open reading frames

(ORFs) for *bclA* orthologues: *bclA1* in 68.42%, *bclA2* in 85.75%, and *bclA3* in 74.02% of genomes.

Notably, 54.65% (n = 8,889) of genomes in this clade contained all three *bclA* homologs. A smaller subset

presented only *bclA2* (10.25%), *bclA3* (3.24%), or *bclA1* (1.53%) individually. Pseudogenized *bclA* genes

were rare in this clade, with *bclA1*, *bclA2*, and *bclA3* pseudogenization observed in only 0.1%, 0.2%, and

0.17% of genomes, respectively. These results indicate that Clade 1 harbors a largely intact and

widespread distribution of *bclA*, with minimal gene inactivation (**Fig 3A, Table S6-S8**).

Of the 4,565 genomes analyzed of Clade 2, only 56 genomes (1.23%) contained a complete *bclA1* ORF.

In contrast, 80.92% (n = 3,694) exhibited a pseudogenized *bclA1*, indicating a clade-wide inactivation of

this gene. Despite this, most genomes (69.31%) retained all three *bclA* homologs, including pseudogenized

copies. A smaller fraction harbored only one *bclA* ORF (*bclA1*, 2.85%; *bclA2*, 3.5%; *bclA3*, 2.8%), and

pseudogenized *bclA2* and bclA3 were found in 0.18% and 0.11% of genomes, respectively. These findings

suggest that while *bclA1* is frequently inactivated in Clade 2, the overall *bclA* repertoire remains largely

preserved (**Fig 3B, Table S6-S8**).

Among the 470 genomes analyzed from Clade 3, pseudogenization was widespread, with 81.7% of

genomes containing at least one inactivated *bclA* gene. Complete ORFs for *bclA2* and *bclA3* were absent

from all genomes, while only three genomes carried a complete *bclA1* (0.6%). Pseudogenized alleles were

prevalent: *bclA1* in 84.04%, *bclA2* in 98.72%, and *bclA3* in 93.83% of genomes. Only a small subset

contained a single *bclA* gene (*bclA2*, 4.26%, n=20; *bclA3*, 0.21%, n=1), and none harbored *bclA1* alone.

This suggest that Clade 3 might not have functional BclA proteins, based on its highly pseudogenized

alleles (**Fig 3C, Table S6-S8**).

Clade 4 included 1,254 genomes. A majority possessed complete ORFs for *bclA2* (90.59%) and *bclA3*

(82.62%), while *bclA1* was found intact in only 25.52% of genomes. However, just 17.15% (n = 215) of

genomes contained all three *bclA* full length homologs. A minority carried only *bclA1* (0.88%), *bclA2* (6.94%), or *bclA3* (4.55%), and pseudogenization was limited, occurring in 0.32% (*bclA1*), 0% (*bclA2*), and 0.16% (*bclA3*) of genomes. These data show that while *bclA2* and *bclA3* are well-conserved in Clade 4, *bclA1* is frequently absent or incomplete, limiting the presence of the full paralog set (**Fig S14A, Table S6-S8**).

Out of the 2,491 genomes of Clade 5, 79.69% possessed a complete *bclA2* ORF. Interestingly, the majority was missing *bclA1* (100%) or bclA3 (97.59%), and a few genomes *bclA2* (19.55%). Pseudogenized alleles were scarce and included *bclA2* in 0.36% and *bclA3* in 0.04%. Overall, these results suggest that Clade 5, with the complete absence of *bclA1* and *bclA3*, will only have BclA2 protein in its repertoire. (**Fig S14B, Table S6-S8**).

Unfortunately, the *C. difficile* data set had few representatives of Clade 6 (n = 5) and Clade 7 (n = 1), therefore it is not possible to determine trends or statistics for these clades (**Table S6**).
Regarding the cryptic clades, almost the entirety of C-IIIa and C-IIIb genomes where missing *bclA1* and *bclA3*, and roughly 50% did not presented *bclA2*. Meanwhile, C-II and C-IV genomes did not present *bclA2* at all, contrary to C-V where 43% only presented *bclA2* (**Fig. S15C, Table S6, S7, S9**).

Pseudogenization of *bclAs* across *C. difficile* clades.
As stated before, pseudogenization of *bclA1* is present in 80.92% of Clade 2 and 84% of Clade 3 genomes (**Fig. 4A, Table S6-S8**). In the case of *bclA2*, 98.72%, and for *bclA3*, 93.83% of Clade 3 genomes have these genes pseudogenized (**Fig. 4B, 4C & Table S6-S8**). Notably, specific pseudogenized events were highly abundant for each of the *bclAs*. At the sequence level, *bclA1* pseudogenization occurred at residue 49 in 99.49% of cases, consistent with the pattern observed in Clade 2 and Clade 3 (**Fig 4D, 4G, Table S10**). In the case of *bclA2*, pseudogenization events occurred at residue 86 in 79% of cases, all were present in Clade 3. This *bclA2* allele yielded a truncated protein that retained its NTD and the first 72 (17.39%) residues of the CLR (**Fig 4E, 4G, Table S11**). For *bclA3*, pseudogenization events occurred at residue 44, resulting in predicted proteins that retain nearly the full N-terminal domain (NTD, 46 residues), minus two amino acids, all occurring in Clade 3 (**Fig 4F, 4G, Table S12**). These intermediate-length truncations suggest the potential for limited structural function, such as the formation of short, hair-like exosporium projections. Together, these findings indicate that Clade 3 has undergone extensive and

consistent *bclA* pseudogenization, likely altering spore surface architecture while preserving partial
domain structures in some homologues.

The presence of low abundant *bclAs* pseudogenes was also studied. These alleles were pseudogenized in
the collagen-like region (red lines in CLR region) (**Fig. 4D, 4E & 4F**), and were distributed among the
clades. Since these alleles were unique, it is highly likely that these mutations have no selective pressure
associated (**Table S10-S12**).

Identification and variability of *bclA* alleles
To further identify differences at the amino acid level in the known diversity of *C. difficile* BclAs and
determine if there are alleles, a selection of *bclA* genes was used. To do this, deduplicated complete genes
were extracted to obtain a set of unique alleles for *bclA1* (1,057, **Table S13**), *bclA2* (1,355, **Table S14**),
and *bclA3* (1,842, **Table S15**). For each *bclA* set, sequences were aligned with Clustal Omega against the
reference, sequence that presented the least differences compared to all corresponding genes extracted,
bclA1 (CLO_CA1356AA) **(Fig. 5A)**, *bclA2* (CLO_AA3432AA) **(Fig. 5B)** and *bclA3* (CLO_AA0395AA)
(Fig. 5C). The alignment was based in codon-aligned amino acids of each BclA set. BclA proteins
presented highly conserved NTD and CTD domains with few preserved substitutions events distributed
throughout (yellow lines). Remarkably, the CLR region has the most substitutions and Indels occurrence,
making it a highly variable region for BclA collagen-like proteins **(Fig. 5).**

The average amino acid identity was measure for the set of unique BclA alleles. The percentage identity
of full length BclA alleles is more than 90% identical in classical clades, but when compared to cryptic
clades, a noticeable difference in identity is shown (**Fig S15A, S15B & S15C**). These differences are
accentuated when NTD (**Fig. S15D, S15E & S15F**) and CTD (**Fig. S15G, S15H & S15I**) regions are
analyzed individually, the identity separation is easily distinguished for all BclA proteins. These findings
suggest that the alleles of the classical lineages exhibit a fuzzy separation, and that it is only when
compared to the cryptic clades that different types of BclAs become apparent, meaning that classical BclA
alleles are very similar. Amino acid identity matrices can be found in Tables S16-S24.

Discussions

C. difficile spores possess an outermost exosporium layer that serves as the initial point of contact with the host. Recent research has revealed the ultrastructural diversity, molecular composition, and functional characteristics of this outer layer [11, 13-16, 30, 59-61]. Extensive studies have shown that BclA proteins, distinguished by their collagen-like domains, are key contributors to the assembly and structural organization of the exosporium layer in spores of the *Bacillus cereus* group [31, 34, 62-66]. In contrast, the specific roles and functional implications of BclA-like proteins in *C. difficile* spores remain less clearly defined [14, 16, 17, 52]. Partial characterization in at least two *C. difficile* strains has indicated that these proteins contribute to colonization, interactions with host tissues, spore persistence and recurrence of the disease [14, 16, 17]. In this study, we expanded our insights of the BclA in *C. difficile* by performing a comprehensive analysis of the distribution and variability of the *bclA* orthologues family across over 25,000 *C. difficile* genomes from diverse phylogenetic clades. Furthermore, AlphaFold modeling was employed to structurally characterize all three predicted BclA proteins, identifying key conserved and divergent regions. We also addressed frequent pseudogenization events affecting the *bclA* genes, particularly *bclA1*, and experimentally evaluated the functional consequences by reintroducing a full-length *bclA1* gene into a strain with a pseudogenized version. Our findings offer several major conclusions that collectively advance the understanding of the evolutionary dynamics and functional significance of BclA proteins in *C. difficile* spore biology and pathogenesis.

A major finding of our study is the extensive variability in the presence, sequence conservation, and integrity of *bclA* orthologues across *C. difficile* clades 1 through 5 including the cryptic clades. While *bclA2* and *bclA3* were broadly conserved across most clades, *bclA1* exhibited substantial variability, including complete or partial pseudogenization in multiple lineages—particularly within clade 2 and the cryptic clades. We also observed that clade 5, considered an early ancestor of the four clades, lacked *bclA1* and *bclA3*, while all genomes of clade 3 lack all three *bclA* orthologues. These patterns suggest that selective pressures have driven divergent evolutionary trajectories for spore surface proteins, possibly linked to clade-specific ecological niches or host interactions. It is also tempting to hypothesize that the *bclA* patterns observed across clades might be tied to morphological differences of the hair-like projections. Prior work in strain R20291 that has hair-like projections demonstrates that BclA3 is essential for the formation of the hair-like projections, yet it is unclear whether BclA2 contributes to their formation [16]. Based on these findings, a tentative hypothesis is that all members of clade 3, which lacks all

three *bclA* alleles, would be hair-less spores. However, in prior work we demonstrated that spores of clade
1 (strain TL178 [ribotype 002] and TL176 [ribotype 014-020]), clade 2 (strain R20291 [RT027]), and
clade 5 (strain M120 [ribotype 078-126]) had hair-like projections, irrespective of the *bclA* encoded genes
[67]. These observations suggest that the formation of hair-like projections on *C. difficile* spores is more
complex than previously understood and may involve differential expression of *bclA* orthologues across
clades, potentially compensating for the absence or pseudogenization of one or more genes.

The high variability observed in *bclA*s across clades also suggests distinct functional redundancy in
virulent traits related to spore host interactions. Functional studies in different clades have shed insight
into their roles [14, 16, 17]. For example, work in clade 1 strain 630, which lacks hair-like projections[11, 17, 30],
shows that *bclA1* is required for proper colonization in mice[17]. However, disruption of individual *bclA*s
led to spores lacking the electron-dense exosporium and a defective spore coat, suggesting a pleiotropic
effect in the spore surface[17]. By contrast, work on a Clade 2 strain (R20291), shows that a single deletion
of *bclA3* is sufficient to impair the formation of the hair-like projection without impacting the formation
of the lower electron-dense layers and spore coat[16]. BclA3 was also associated with persistence and disease
recurrence[16]. This limited knowledge on *C. difficile* BclAs suggests that depending on the clade, BclAs
might have distinct niche-specific advantages, underscoring the need to investigate the impact of BclAs
in different clades.

Another major conclusion offered by this work is the striking pattern of sequence conservation and
variability across the BclA proteins-BclA1, BclA2 and BclA3. In each case, both the N-terminal and C-
terminal domains were highly conserved across the more than 25,000 *bclA* alleles analyzed, while the
central collagen-like regions exhibited substantial sequence diversity. This fact that conserved terminal
domains flank a highly variable central region, suggests preservation of the domains requires for BclA
anchoring and structural stability (the NTD and CTD, respectively), while allowing evolutionary
flexibility in the central collagen-like region. The pronounced variability in the collagen-like domains of
all three BclA may be attributed to the repetitive GXY triplet motif that results in underlying DNA
sequence repeats. Such repeats are known to increase genetic instability through replication slippage or
unequal recombination, which can result in insertions, deletions, or sequence rearrangements[68]. While we
did not investigate further in this work, it is likely that in *C. difficile* the collagen-like domain may be
subject to diversification to host-derived selective pressures, including evasion host immune responses or

adapt to distinct host environments as observed in *B. anthracis* spores[69, 70]. Together, these findings

indicate that the collagen-like region is a shared hotspot for adaptive variation across the BclA protein

family in *C. difficile*. Additionally, these observations deserve further work to address how variations in

the collagen-like region affects spore adherence, environmental persistence, or host colonization

dynamics.

Another notable finding from our analysis is the pattern of *bclA1* pseudogenization across *C. difficile*

clades. While pseudogenization events in *bclA* genes were generally rare, occurring at a frequency below

1% across most clades, a striking exception was observed in Clade 2. In this clade, most strains carried a

conserved pseudogenized *bclA1* allele that encodes only a short 48-amino acid peptide corresponding to

the N-terminal domain (NTD) of BclA1. Despite the truncation, this NTD fragment appears to retain the

structural features necessary for anchoring to the spore surface[17]. The conservation of this pseudogenized

form within Clade 2 is particularly intriguing, as it suggests that full-length BclA1 may be dispensable in

this lineage, or that the truncated form fulfills a distinct, potentially clade-specific function. This raises

questions about the evolutionary pressures shaping *bclA1* function and whether the presence of only the

anchoring domain represents an adaptive modification.

In summary, this work highlights the diversity of the collagen-like BclA proteins in *C. difficile* and

demonstrate that they exhibit conserved modular domains defined by a highly conserved N- and C-

terminal domains, flanked by a highly variable collagen-like region. While the precise implications of

Clade-specific variabilities remains unclear,

Figure legend

Fig. 1. BclA domain structure in *C. difficile* 630 & R20291 strain and AlphaFold3 BclA CTD predictions. (A) Schematic representation of *C. difficile* 630 and R20291 BclA1, BclA2 and BclA3 protein sequences. NTD (Black): N-terminal domain, CLR (Maroon): Collagen-like region, CTD (Yellow): C-terminal domain. In red arrows are depicted two stop codons that led to early termination of translation of $BclA1_{R20291}$. **(B, D, F)** AlphaFold3 prediction of CTDs monomers of $BclA1_{630}$, $BclA2_{R20291}$ and $BclA3_{R20291}$, and topology models to the right are shown. α-helix and loops are displayed in brown and black, respectively. Yellow β-sheets are located on the inner face of homotrimers models. Grey β-strands are located on the outer face of homotrimers models. Encircled "N" and "C" represent the amino- and carboxyl-terminus, respectively. Structural predictions indicate that $BclA1_{630}$ had a total of 12 β-sheets, $BclA2_{R20291}$ had a total of 9 β-sheets and $BclA3_{R20291}$ encodes 14 β-sheets and 1 α-helix. **(C, E, G)** AlphaFold3 prediction of CTDs homotrimers of $BclA1_{630}$, $BclA2_{R20291}$ and $BclA3_{R20291}$ and **(H)** their Predicted aligned error (PAE) are shown.

Fig. 2. *C. difficile* BclA comparison with BclA and BclB CTD domain from *B. anthracis*. Alphafold3 predicted CTD homotrimers structures of *C. difficile* (Cd) and *B. anthracis* (Ba) were superimposed on ChimeraX (v.1.9) using "matchmaker" command with default parameters. **(A)** RMSD for both pruned and all atom pairs is reported for all combinations possible. Numbers in bold represent the lowest RMSD achieved for each protein comparison. Superimposed pairs are **(B)** $Cd\_BclA1\_CTD_{630}$ with Ba_BclA_CTD, **(C)** $Cd\_BclA2\_CTD_{R20291}$ with Ba_BclA_CTD and **(D)** $Cd\_BclA3\_CTD_{R20291}$ with Ba_BclB_CTD. *C. difficile* 630 genome used as reference was GenBank ID: AM180355.1. *C. difficile* R20291 genome used as reference was GenBank ID: CP029423. *B. anthracis* genome used as reference was GenBank ID: AE016879.1. RMSD: Root mean square deviation, Cd: *Clostridioides difficile*, Ba: *Bacillus anthracis*.

Fig 3. Distribution of BclAs in known diversity of *C. difficile*. (A) Phylogenetic tree of 25k core-genome of *C. difficile*, showing the clade each genome belongs to. Horizontal bars represent the state of BclA in a particular genome (not found, complete, containing Ns, interrupted, or pseudogenized). **(B)** Zoomed-in view of the Clade 2 subtree. **(C)** Zoomed-in view of the Clade 3 subtree.

Fig. 4. State of BclAs in known diversity of *C. difficile*. Prevalence of found conditions of **(A)** BclA1,
(B) BclA2 and **(C)** BclA3 on each clade. Prevalence of pseudogenized **(D)** BclA1, **(E)** BclA2 and **(F)**
BclA3 sequences per each *C. difficile* clade. Schematic representation of BclAs protein sequences are
depicted on top of each donut chart. Truncated BclA sequences were manually curated to locate position
of early stop codons, represented as red lines in a white rectangle below protein schematic. NTD (Black):
N-terminal domain, CLR (Maroon): Collagen-like region, CTD (Yellow): C-terminal domain. In this sub-
data set, only clades represented in the figure are present in the legend.
510

Fig. 5. Comparison of *C. difficile* BclA1, BclA2, and BclA3 unique alleles. Unique alleles were aligned
using Clustal Omega against the reference. Truncated, interrupted or pseudogenized sequences were not
considered in this analysis. Heatmap displaying positions that differ or not from the reference sequence
are depicted for **(A)** BclA1, Ref genome: CLO_CA1356AA, **(B)** BclA2, Ref genome: CLO_AA3432AA
and **(C)** BclA3, Ref genome: CLO_AA0395AA. Dashed red lines mark the division between BclA
domains. N-terminal domain (NTD, black), Collagen-like region (CLR, maroon) and C-terminal domain
(CTD, yellow).

Supplementary figure legend

Fig. S1. *C. difficile bclA1* **gene schematic representation in 630 & R20291 strain.** $bclA1_{630}$ (CD630_03320) is a 2082 bp gene coding a 694 amino acid protein (67.8 kDa). $bclA1_{R20291}$ (CDIF27147_00471, CDIF27147_00472, CDIF27147_00473) is represented as a three-segmented Open Reading Frame (ORF) (*bclA1_1, bclA1_2 & bclA1_3*) because it is a pseudogenized gene. A nonsense mutation, A145T, generated an early stop codon resulting in a short protein of 48 amino acids (4.7 kDa). Downstream, another nonsense mutation, C739T, also generates an early stop codon and the two extra segments of $bclA1_{R20291}$ ORF. *bclA1* possess a σ^K promoter region (Saujet, 2013), and two putative σ^E and σ^G consensus sequences that were found during this work. *bclA1* is flanked upstream by *ppiB* and downstream by *ppaC*. *C. difficile* 630 genome used as reference was GenBank ID: AM180355.1. *C. difficile* R20291 genome used as reference was GenBank ID: CP029423. Scale bar: 1 kb.

Fig. S2. BclA1 Pairwise sequence alignment (PSA) of 630 & R20291 strains. EMBOSS Needle was used for PSA. A representation of the protein domains is depicted above the sequence. The proteins possess a 51.4% Identity. Repaired BclA1 from R20291 was used for the alignment. N-terminal domain (NTD, Black), Collagen-like region (CLR, Maroon) and C-terminal domain (CTD, Yellow). *C. difficile* 630 genome used as reference was GenBank ID: AM180355.1. *C. difficile* R20291 genome used as reference was GenBank ID: CP029423.

Fig. S3. *C. difficile bclA2* **gene schematic representation in 630 & R20291 strains.** $bclA2_{630}$ (CD630_32300) is a 1677 bp gene coding a 558 amino acid protein (49.1 kDa). $bclA2_{R20291}$ (CDIF27147_03409) is a 1641 bp gene coding a 546 amino acid protein (47.9 kDa). *bclA2* possess a σ^K promoter region (Saujet, 2013) and a putative σ^E consensus sequence that was found during this work. *bclA2* is flanked upstream by *hpt2* and downstream by *dapB2*. *C. difficile* 630 genome used as reference was GenBank ID: AM180355.1. *C. difficile* R20291 genome used as reference was GenBank ID: CP029423. Scale bar: 1 kb.

Fig. S4. BclA2 Pairwise sequence alignment (PSA) of 630 & R20291 strains. EMBOSS Needle was used for PSA. A representation of the protein domains is depicted above the sequence. The proteins possess a 95.2% Identity. N-terminal domain (NTD, Black), Collagen-like region (CLR, Maroon) and C-

terminal domain (CTD, Yellow). *C. difficile* 630 genome used as reference was GenBank ID:

AM180355.1. *C. difficile* R20291 genome used as reference was GenBank ID: CP029423.

Fig. S5. *C. difficile bclA3* gene schematic representation in 630 & R20291 strains. $bclA3_{630}$

(CD630_33490) is a 1986 bp gene coding a 661 amino acid protein (58.3 kDa). $bclA3_{R20291}$

(CDIF27147_03519) is a 2037 bp gene coding a 678 amino acid protein (59.9 kDa). *bclA3* is the second

gene of an operon with an upstream *sgtA* gene coding for a glycosyl transferase (CD630_33500,

CDIF27147_03520). The operon possesses a σ^K promoter region, and an additional σ^K promoter region

(Saujet, 2013) immediately upstream *bclA3* and a putative σ^E consensus sequence that was found upstream

the operon during this work. *bclA3* is flanked upstream by *sgtA* and downstream by CDIF27147_03518.

C. difficile 630 genome used as reference was GenBank ID: AM180355.1. *C. difficile* R20291 genome

used as reference was GenBank ID: CP029423. Scale bar: 1 kb.

Fig. S6. BclA3 Pairwise sequence alignment (PSA) of 630 & R20291 strains. EMBOSS Needle was

used for PSA. A representation of the protein domains is depicted above the sequence. The proteins

possess an 86.9% Identity. N-terminal domain (NTD, Black), Collagen-like region (CLR, Maroon) and

C-terminal domain (CTD, Yellow). *C. difficile* 630 genome used as reference was GenBank ID:

AM180355.1. *C. difficile* R20291 genome used as reference was GenBank ID: CP029423.

Fig. S7. Full length BclA1 Alphafold3 predicted structure. Predicted monomeric structure of *C. difficile*

(A) $BclA1_{630}$, **(B)** $rBclA1_{R20291}$, and predicted homotrimer structures of **(C)** $BclA1_{630}$ and **(D)**

$rBclA1_{R20291}$. Each monomer unit has a different color for easy recognition in trimeric structures. Each

model has its respective Predicted aligned error (PAE) plot below. NTD: N-terminal domain, CLR:

Collagen-like region, CTD: C-terminal domain.

Fig. S8. Full length $BclA2_{R20291}$ Alphafold3 predicted structure. Predicted structure of *C. difficile* **(A)**

$BclA2_{R20291}$ monomer and **(B)** homotrimer complex. Each monomer unit has a different color for easy

recognition in trimeric structures. Each model has its respective Predicted aligned error (PAE) plot below.

NTD: N-terminal domain, CLR: Collagen-like region, CTD: C-terminal domain.

Fig. S9. Full length BclA3$_{R20291}$ Alphafold3 predicted structure. Predicted structure of *C. difficile* **(A)**

BclA3$_{R20291}$ monomer and **(B)** homotrimer complex. Each monomer unit has a different color for easy

recognition in trimeric structures. Each model has its respective Predicted aligned error (PAE) plot below.

NTD: N-terminal domain, CLR: Collagen-like region, CTD: C-terminal domain.

Fig. S10. AlphaFold3 predicted structure of BclA_10GXY homotrimer. Predicted structure of *C.*

difficile **(A)** BclA1$_{630}$, **(B)** BclA2$_{R20291}$ and **(C)** BclA3$_{R20291}$ homotrimer complexes. Each model has its

respective Predicted aligned error (PAE) plot below.

Fig. S11. AlphaFold3 predicted CTD heterotrimer structures from R20291 strain. Heterotrimer

predicted model composed of **(A)** 2 units of BclA2_CTD and 1 unit of BclA3_CTD or **(B)** 1 unit of

BclA2_CTD and 2 unit of BclA3_CTD. Each model has its respective Predicted aligned error (PAE) plot

below.

Fig. S12. AlphaFold3 predicted CTD heterotrimer structures from 630 strain. Heterotrimer

prediction composed of **(A)** 2 units of BclA1_CTD and 1 unit of BclA2_CTD, **(B)** 2 units of BclA1_CTD

and 1 unit of BclA3_CTD, **(C)** 1 unit of BclA1_CTD and 2 units of BclA2_CTD, **(D)** 1 unit of BclA1_CTD

and 2 unit of BclA3_CTD or **(E)** 1 unit of BclA1_CTD, 1 unit of BclA2_CTD and 1 unit of BclA3_CTD.

Each model has its respective Predicted aligned error (PAE) plot.

Fig. S13. Pairwise alignment (PSA) of *C. difficile* BclA_CTD with *B. anthracis* BclA/BclB_CTD.

EMBOSS Needle was used for PSA of **(A)** Cd_BclA1_CTD$_{630}$ with Ba_BclA_CTD **(B)**

Cd_BclA2_CTD$_{R20291}$ with Ba_BclA_CTD and **(C)** Cd_BclA3_CTD$_{R20291}$ with Ba_BclB_CTD. **(D)**

Percentage of identity of aligned sequences. CTD: C-terminal domain. *C. difficile* 630 genome used as

reference was GenBank sequence: AM180355.1. *C. difficile* R20291 genome used as reference was

GenBank sequence: CP029423. *B. anthracis* genome used as reference was GenBank sequence:

AE016879.1.

Fig. S14. Distribution of BclAs in known diversity of *C. difficile*. **(A)** Zoomed-in view of the Clade 4

subtree. **(B)** Zoomed-in view of the Clade 5 subtree. **(C)** Zoomed-in view of the cryptic clades subtree.

Horizontal bars represent the state of BclA in a particular genome (not found, complete, containing Ns,

interrupted, or pseudogenized).

Fig. S15. Average amino acid identity (AAI) analysis of BclA unique alleles. Heatmap of the

percentage of identity between all unique alleles of **(A)** BclA1, **(B)** BclA2 and **(C)** BclA3. AAI was

performed for full length protein, NTD and CTD regions. N-terminal domain (NTD) and C-terminal

domain (CTD).

References

1. Rupnik M, Wilcox MH, Gerding DN. Clostridium difficile infection: new developments in epidemiology and pathogenesis. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2009;7(7):526-36. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro2164. PubMed PMID: 19528959.

2. Martin JS, Monaghan TM, Wilcox MH. Clostridium difficile infection: epidemiology, diagnosis and understanding transmission. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2016;13(4):206-16. Epub 20160309. doi: 10.1038/nrgastro.2016.25. PubMed PMID: 26956066.

3. Dingle KE, Elliott B, Robinson E, Griffiths D, Eyre DW, Stoesser N, Vaughan A, Golubchik T, Fawley WN, Wilcox MH, Peto TE, Walker AS, Riley TV, Crook DW, Didelot X. Evolutionary history of the Clostridium difficile pathogenicity locus. Genome Biol Evol. 2014;6(1):36-52. doi: 10.1093/gbe/evt204. PubMed PMID: 24336451; PMCID: PMC3914685.

4. Finn E, Andersson FL, Madin-Warburton M. Burden of Clostridioides difficile infection (CDI) - a systematic review of the epidemiology of primary and recurrent CDI. BMC Infect Dis. 2021;21(1):456. Epub 20210519. doi: 10.1186/s12879-021-06147-y. PubMed PMID: 34016040; PMCID: PMC8135979.

5. Lessa FC, Mu Y, Bamberg WM, Beldavs ZG, Dumyati GK, Dunn JR, Farley MM, Holzbauer SM, Meek JI, Phipps EC, Wilson LE, Winston LG, Cohen JA, Limbago BM, Fridkin SK, Gerding DN, McDonald LC. Burden of Clostridium difficile infection in the United States. N Engl J Med. 2015;372(9):825-34. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1408913. PubMed PMID: 25714160.

6. Marsh JW, Arora R, Schlackman JL, Shutt KA, Curry SR, Harrison LH. Association of relapse of Clostridium difficile disease with BI/NAP1/027. J Clin Microbiol. 2012;50(12):4078-82. Epub 20121010. doi: 10.1128/JCM.02291-12. PubMed PMID: 23052318; PMCID: PMC3502988.

7. Schaffler H, Breitruck A. Clostridium difficile - From Colonization to Infection. Front Microbiol. 2018;9:646. Epub 20180410. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.00646. PubMed PMID: 29692762; PMCID: PMC5902504.

8. Aktories K, Schwan C, Jank T. *Clostridium difficile* toxin biology. Annu Rev Microbiol. 2017;71:281-307. Epub 2017/06/29. doi: 10.1146/annurev-micro-090816-093458. PubMed PMID: 28657883.

9. Smits WK, Lyras D, Lacy DB, Wilcox MH, Kuijper EJ. Clostridium difficile infection. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2016;2:16020. Epub 20160407. doi: 10.1038/nrdp.2016.20. PubMed PMID: 27158839; PMCID: PMC5453186.

10. Deakin LJ, Clare S, Fagan RP, Dawson LF, Pickard DJ, West MR, Wren BW, Fairweather NF, Dougan G, Lawley TD. The *Clostridium difficile spo0A* gene is a persistence and transmission factor. Infect Immun. 2012;80(8):2704-11. Epub 2012/05/23. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00147-12. PubMed PMID: 22615253; PMCID: PMC3434595.

11. Paredes-Sabja D, Shen A, Sorg JA. Clostridium difficile spore biology: sporulation, germination, and spore structural proteins. Trends Microbiol. 2014;22(7):406-16. Epub 20140507. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2014.04.003. PubMed PMID: 24814671; PMCID: PMC4098856.

12.   Paredes-Sabja D, Sarker MR. Adherence of *Clostridium difficile* spores to Caco-2 cells in
culture. J Med Microbiol. 2012;61(Pt 9):1208-18. Epub 2012/05/19. doi: 10.1099/jmm.0.043687-
0. PubMed PMID: 22595914.
13.   Mora-Uribe P, Miranda-Cardenas C, Castro-Cordova P, Gil F, Calderon I, Fuentes JA,
Rodas PI, Banawas S, Sarker MR, Paredes-Sabja D. Characterization of the Adherence of
Clostridium difficile Spores: The Integrity of the Outermost Layer Affects Adherence Properties
of Spores of the Epidemic Strain R20291 to Components of the Intestinal Mucosa. Front Cell
Infect Microbiol. 2016;6:99. Epub 2016/10/08. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2016.00099. PubMed PMID:
27713865; PMCID: PMC5031699.
14.   Castro-Córdova P, Otto-Medina M, Montes-Bravo N, Brito-Silva C, Lacy DB, Paredes-
Sabja D. Redistribution of the Novel Clostridioides difficile Spore Adherence Receptor E-
Cadherin by TcdA and TcdB Increases Spore Binding to Adherens Junctions. Infect Immun.
2023;91(1):e0047622. Epub 20221130. doi: 10.1128/iai.00476-22. PubMed PMID: 36448839;
PMCID: PMC9872679.
15.   Calderon-Romero P, Castro-Cordova P, Reyes-Ramirez R, Milano-Cespedes M,
Guerrero-Araya E, Pizarro-Guajardo M, Olguin-Araneda V, Gil F, Paredes-Sabja D. *Clostridium*
difficile exosporium cysteine-rich proteins are essential for the morphogenesis of the exosporium
layer, spore resistance, and affect *C. difficile* pathogenesis. PLoS Pathog. 2018;14(8):e1007199.
Epub 2018/08/09. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1007199. PubMed PMID: 30089172.
16.   Castro-Córdova P, Mora-Uribe P, Reyes-Ramírez R, Cofré-Araneda G, Orozco-Aguilar
J, Brito-Silva C, Mendoza-León MJ, Kuehne SA, Minton NP, Pizarro-Guajardo M, Paredes-Sabja
D. Entry of spores into intestinal epithelial cells contributes to recurrence of *Clostridioides difficile*
infection. Nat Commun. 2021;12(1):1140. Epub 2021/02/18. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-21355-5.
PubMed PMID: 33602902.
17.   Phetcharaburanin J, Hong HA, Colenutt C, Bianconi I, Sempere L, Permpoonpattana P,
Smith K, Dembek M, Tan S, Brisson MC, Brisson AR, Fairweather NF, Cutting SM. The spore-
associated protein BclA1 affects the susceptibility of animals to colonization and infection by
Clostridium difficile. Mol Microbiol. 2014;92(5):1025-38. Epub 2014/04/12. doi:
10.1111/mmi.12611. PubMed PMID: 24720767.
18.   Knight DR, Imwattana K, Kullin B, Guerrero-Araya E, Paredes-Sabja D, Didelot X, Dingle
KE, Eyre DW, Rodríguez C, Riley TV. Major genetic discontinuity and novel toxigenic species
in *Clostridioides difficile* taxonomy. Elife. 2021;10. Epub 2021/06/11. doi: 10.7554/eLife.64325.
PubMed PMID: 34114561; PMCID: PMC8241443.
19.   Knight DR, Elliott B, Chang BJ, Perkins TT, Riley TV. Diversity and Evolution in the
Genome of Clostridium difficile. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2015;28(3):721-41. doi: 10.1128/cmr.00127-
14. PubMed PMID: 26085550; PMCID: PMC4475645.
20.   Knight DR, Kullin B, Androga GO, Barbut F, Eckert C, Johnson S, Spigaglia P, Tateda K,
Tsai PJ, Riley TV. Evolutionary and Genomic Insights into Clostridioides difficile Sequence Type
11: a Diverse Zoonotic and Antimicrobial-Resistant Lineage of Global One Health Importance.
mBio. 2019;10(2). Epub 20190416. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00446-19. PubMed PMID: 30992351;
PMCID: PMC6469969.
21.   Mengoli M, Barone M, Fabbrini M, D'Amico F, Brigidi P, Turroni S. Make It Less difficile:
Understanding Genetic Evolution and Global Spread of Clostridioides difficile. Genes (Basel).
2022;13(12). Epub 20221124. doi: 10.3390/genes13122200. PubMed PMID: 36553467;
PMCID: PMC9778335.

22.   Clements AC, Magalhães RJ, Tatem AJ, Paterson DL, Riley TV. Clostridium difficile PCR
ribotype 027: assessing the risks of further worldwide spread. Lancet Infect Dis. 2010;10(6):395-
404. doi: 10.1016/s1473-3099(10)70080-3. PubMed PMID: 20510280; PMCID: PMC7185771.
23.   Endres BT, Begum K, Sun H, Walk ST, Memariani A, Lancaster C, Gonzales-Luna AJ,
Dotson KM, Bassères E, Offiong C, Tupy S, Kuper K, Septimus E, Arafat R, Alam MJ, Zhao Z,
Hurdle JG, Savidge TC, Garey KW. Epidemic Clostridioides difficile Ribotype 027 Lineages:
Comparisons of Texas Versus Worldwide Strains. Open Forum Infect Dis. 2019;6(2):ofz013.
Epub 20190209. doi: 10.1093/ofid/ofz013. PubMed PMID: 30793006; PMCID: PMC6368847.
24.   McDonald LC, Killgore GE, Thompson A, Owens RC, Jr., Kazakova SV, Sambol SP,
Johnson S, Gerding DN. An epidemic, toxin gene-variant strain of Clostridium difficile. N Engl J
Med. 2005;353(23):2433-41. Epub 20051201. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa051590. PubMed PMID:
16322603.
25.   Li C, Harmanus C, Zhu D, Meng X, Wang S, Duan J, Liu S, Fu C, Zhou P, Liu R, Wu A,
Kuijper EJ, Smits WK, Fu L, Sun X. Characterization of the virulence of a non-RT027, non-
RT078 and binary toxin-positive Clostridium difficile strain associated with severe diarrhea.
Emerg Microbes Infect. 2018;7(1):211. Epub 20181212. doi: 10.1038/s41426-018-0211-1.
PubMed PMID: 30542069; PMCID: PMC6291415.
26.   Imwattana K, Rodríguez C, Riley TV, Knight DR. A species-wide genetic atlas of
antimicrobial resistance in Clostridioides difficile. Microb Genom. 2021;7(11). doi:
10.1099/mgen.0.000696. PubMed PMID: 34793295; PMCID: PMC8743556.
27.   Kumar N, Browne HP, Viciani E, Forster SC, Clare S, Harcourt K, Stares MD, Dougan G,
Fairley DJ, Roberts P, Pirmohamed M, Clokie MRJ, Jensen MBF, Hargreaves KR, Ip M, Wieler
LH, Seyboldt C, Norén T, Riley TV, Kuijper EJ, Wren BW, Lawley TD. Adaptation of host
transmission cycle during Clostridium difficile speciation. Nat Genet. 2019;51(9):1315-20. Epub
20190812. doi: 10.1038/s41588-019-0478-8. PubMed PMID: 31406348.
28.   Janezic S, Potocnik M, Zidaric V, Rupnik M. Highly Divergent Clostridium difficile Strains
Isolated from the Environment. PLoS One. 2016;11(11):e0167101. Epub 20161123. doi:
10.1371/journal.pone.0167101. PubMed PMID: 27880843; PMCID: PMC5120845.
29.   Williamson CHD, Stone NE, Nunnally AE, Roe CC, Vazquez AJ, Lucero SA, Hornstra H,
Wagner DM, Keim P, Rupnik M, Janezic S, Sahl JW. Identification of novel, cryptic Clostridioides
species isolates from environmental samples collected from diverse geographical locations.
Microb Genom. 2022;8(2). doi: 10.1099/mgen.0.000742. PubMed PMID: 35166655; PMCID:
PMC8942030.
30.   Paredes-Sabja D, Cid-Rojas F, Pizarro-Guajardo M. Assembly of the exosporium layer in
Clostridioides difficile spores. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2022;67:102137. Epub 20220216. doi:
10.1016/j.mib.2022.01.008. PubMed PMID: 35182899.
31.   Steichen C, Chen P, Kearney JF, Turnbough CL. Identification of the immunodominant
protein and other proteins of the *Bacillus anthracis* exosporium. J Bacteriol. 2003;185(6):1903-
10. doi: 10.1128/jb.185.6.1903-1910.2003. PubMed PMID: 12618454; PMCID: PMC150129.
32.   Sylvestre P, Couture-Tosi E, Mock M. A collagen-like surface glycoprotein is a structural
component of the Bacillus anthracis exosporium. Mol Microbiol. 2002;45(1):169-78. doi:
10.1046/j.1365-2958.2000.03000.x. PubMed PMID: 12100557.
33.   Strong PC, Fulton KM, Aubry A, Foote S, Twine SM, Logan SM. Identification and
characterization of glycoproteins on the spore surface of Clostridium difficile. J Bacteriol.
2014;196(14):2627-37. Epub 20140509. doi: 10.1128/jb.01469-14. PubMed PMID: 24816601;
PMCID: PMC4097583.

34.   Boydston JA, Chen P, Steichen CT, Turnbough CL. Orientation within the exosporium
and structural stability of the collagen-like glycoprotein BclA of *Bacillus anthracis*. J Bacteriol.
2005;187(15):5310-7. doi: 10.1128/JB.187.15.5310-5317.2005. PubMed PMID: 16030225;
PMCID: PMC1196033.
35.   Maes E, Krzewinski F, Garenaux E, Lequette Y, Coddeville B, Trivelli X, Ronse A, Faille
C, Guerardel Y. Glycosylation of BclA Glycoprotein from Bacillus cereus and Bacillus anthracis
Exosporium Is Domain-specific. J Biol Chem. 2016;291(18):9666-77. Epub 20160226. doi:
10.1074/jbc.M116.718171. PubMed PMID: 26921321; PMCID: PMC4850304.
36.   Réty S, Salamitou S, Garcia-Verdugo I, Hulmes DJ, Le Hégarat F, Chaby R, Lewit-
Bentley A. The crystal structure of the Bacillus anthracis spore surface protein BclA shows
remarkable similarity to mammalian proteins. J Biol Chem. 2005;280(52):43073-8. Epub
20051025. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M510087200. PubMed PMID: 16249180.
37.   Lukomski S, Nakashima K, Abdi I, Cipriano VJ, Ireland RM, Reid SD, Adams GG, Musser
JM. Identification and characterization of the scl gene encoding a group A Streptococcus
extracellular protein virulence factor with similarity to human collagen. Infect Immun.
2000;68(12):6542-53. doi: 10.1128/iai.68.12.6542-6553.2000. PubMed PMID: 11083763;
PMCID: PMC97748.
38.   Squeglia F, Bachert B, De Simone A, Lukomski S, Berisio R. The crystal structure of the
streptococcal collagen-like protein 2 globular domain from invasive M3-type group A
Streptococcus shows significant similarity to immunomodulatory HIV protein gp41. J Biol Chem.
2014;289(8):5122-33. Epub 20131219. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M113.523597. PubMed PMID:
24356966; PMCID: PMC3931070.
39.   Xu Y, Keene DR, Bujnicki JM, Höök M, Lukomski S. Streptococcal Scl1 and Scl2 proteins
form collagen-like triple helices. J Biol Chem. 2002;277(30):27312-8. Epub 20020425. doi:
10.1074/jbc.M201163200. PubMed PMID: 11976327.
40.   Brodsky B, Persikov AV. Molecular structure of the collagen triple helix. Adv Protein
Chem. 2005;70:301-39. doi: 10.1016/s0065-3233(05)70009-7. PubMed PMID: 15837519.
41.   Knight DR, Imwattana K, Kullin B, Guerrero-Araya E, Paredes-Sabja D, Didelot X, Dingle
KE, Eyre DW, Rodriguez C, Riley TV. Major genetic discontinuity and novel toxigenic species in
Clostridioides difficile taxonomy. Elife. 2021;10. Epub 20210611. doi: 10.7554/eLife.64325.
PubMed PMID: 34114561; PMCID: PMC8241443.
42.   Guerrero-Araya E, Munoz M, Rodriguez C, Paredes-Sabja D. FastMLST: A Multi-core
Tool for Multilocus Sequence Typing of Draft Genome Assemblies. Bioinform Biol Insights.
2021;15:11779322211059238. Epub 20211127. doi: 10.1177/11779322211059238. PubMed
PMID: 34866905; PMCID: PMC8637782.
43.   Jolley KA, Bray JE, Maiden MCJ. Open-access bacterial population genomics: BIGSdb
software, the PubMLST.org website and their applications. Wellcome Open Res. 2018;3:124.
Epub 20180924. doi: 10.12688/wellcomeopenres.14826.1. PubMed PMID: 30345391; PMCID:
PMC6192448.
44.   Buchfink B, Reuter K, Drost HG. Sensitive protein alignments at tree-of-life scale using
DIAMOND. Nat Methods. 2021;18(4):366-8. Epub 20210407. doi: 10.1038/s41592-021-01101-
x. PubMed PMID: 33828273; PMCID: PMC8026399.
45.   Hyatt D, Chen GL, Locascio PF, Land ML, Larimer FW, Hauser LJ. Prodigal: prokaryotic
gene recognition and translation initiation site identification. BMC Bioinformatics. 2010;11:119.
Epub 20100308. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-11-119. PubMed PMID: 20211023; PMCID:
PMC2848648.

46.   Katoh K, Standley DM. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:
improvements in performance and usability. Mol Biol Evol. 2013;30(4):772-80. Epub 20130116.
doi: 10.1093/molbev/mst010. PubMed PMID: 23329690; PMCID: PMC3603318.
47.   Didelot X, Wilson DJ. ClonalFrameML: efficient inference of recombination in whole
bacterial genomes. PLoS Comput Biol. 2015;11(2):e1004041. Epub 20150212. doi:
10.1371/journal.pcbi.1004041. PubMed PMID: 25675341; PMCID: PMC4326465.
48.   Minh BQ, Schmidt HA, Chernomor O, Schrempf D, Woodhams MD, von Haeseler A,
Lanfear R. IQ-TREE 2: New Models and Efficient Methods for Phylogenetic Inference in the
Genomic Era. Mol Biol Evol. 2020;37(5):1530-4. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msaa015. PubMed PMID:
32011700; PMCID: PMC7182206.
49.   Boudko SP, Engel J, Bächinger HP. The crucial role of trimerization domains in collagen
folding.   Int   J   Biochem   Cell   Biol.   2012;44(1):21-32.   Epub   20111005.   doi:
10.1016/j.biocel.2011.09.009. PubMed PMID: 22001560.
50.   Sievers F, Wilm A, Dineen D, Gibson TJ, Karplus K, Li W, Lopez R, McWilliam H,
Remmert M, Soding J, Thompson JD, Higgins DG. Fast, scalable generation of high-quality
protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega. Mol Syst Biol. 2011;7:539. Epub
20111011. doi: 10.1038/msb.2011.75. PubMed PMID: 21988835; PMCID: PMC3261699.
51.   Li W, Godzik A. Cd-hit: a fast program for clustering and comparing large sets of protein
or   nucleotide   sequences.   Bioinformatics.   2006;22(13):1658-9.   Epub   20060526.   doi:
10.1093/bioinformatics/btl158. PubMed PMID: 16731699.
52.   Pizarro-Guajardo M, Olguin-Araneda V, Barra-Carrasco J, Brito-Silva C, Sarker MR,
Paredes-Sabja D. Characterization of the collagen-like exosporium protein, BclA1, of *Clostridium*
difficile   spores.   Anaerobe.   2014;25:18-30.   Epub   2013/11/26.   doi:
10.1016/j.anaerobe.2013.11.003. PubMed PMID: 24269655.
53.   Abramson J, Adler J, Dunger J, Evans R, Green T, Pritzel A, Ronneberger O, Willmore
L, Ballard AJ, Bambrick J, Bodenstein SW, Evans DA, Hung CC, O'Neill M, Reiman D,
Tunyasuvunakool K, Wu Z, Žemgulytė A, Arvaniti E, Beattie C, Bertolli O, Bridgland A,
Cherepanov A, Congreve M, Cowen-Rivers AI, Cowie A, Figurnov M, Fuchs FB, Gladman H,
Jain R, Khan YA, Low CMR, Perlin K, Potapenko A, Savy P, Singh S, Stecula A, Thillaisundaram
A, Tong C, Yakneen S, Zhong ED, Zielinski M, Žídek A, Bapst V, Kohli P, Jaderberg M, Hassabis
D, Jumper JM. Accurate structure prediction of biomolecular interactions with AlphaFold 3.
Nature. 2024;630(8016):493-500. Epub 20240508. doi: 10.1038/s41586-024-07487-w. PubMed
PMID: 38718835; PMCID: PMC11168924.
54.   Wirz JA, Boudko SP, Lerch TF, Chapman MS, Bächinger HP. Crystal structure of the
human collagen XV trimerization domain: a potent trimerizing unit common to multiplexin
collagens. Matrix Biol. 2011;30(1):9-15. Epub 20101013. doi: 10.1016/j.matbio.2010.09.005.
PubMed PMID: 20932905; PMCID: PMC3048825.
55.   Xu Y, Kirchner M. Collagen Mimetic Peptides. Bioengineering (Basel). 2021;8(1). Epub
20210105.   doi: 10.3390/bioengineering8010005.   PubMed   PMID: 33466358;   PMCID:
PMC7824840.
56.   Sakakibara S, Inouye K, Shudo K, Kishida Y, Kobayashi Y, Prockop DJ. Synthesis of
(Pro-Hyp-Gly) n of defined molecular weights. Evidence for the stabilization of collagen triple
helix by hydroxypyroline. Biochim Biophys Acta. 1973;303(1):198-202. doi: 10.1016/0005-
2795(73)90164-5. PubMed PMID: 4702003.

57.   Ricard-Blum S. The collagen family. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2011;3(1):a004978.
Epub 20110101. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a004978. PubMed PMID: 21421911; PMCID:
PMC3003457.
58.   Sharma S, Bhatnagar R, Gaur D. Complement Evasion Strategies of Human Pathogenic
Bacteria. Indian J Microbiol. 2020;60(3):283-96. Epub 20200424. doi: 10.1007/s12088-020-
00872-9. PubMed PMID: 32655196; PMCID: PMC7329968.
59.   Pizarro-Guajardo M, Ravanal MC, Paez MD, Callegari E, Paredes-Sabja D. Identification
of *Clostridium difficile* Immunoreactive Spore Proteins of the Epidemic Strain R20291.
Proteomics Clin Appl. 2018:e1700182. Epub 2018/03/25. doi: 10.1002/prca.201700182.
PubMed PMID: 29573213.
60.   Díaz-González F, Milano M, Olguin-Araneda V, Pizarro-Cerda J, Castro-Córdova P,
Tzeng SC, Maier CS, Sarker MR, Paredes-Sabja D. Protein composition of the outermost
exosporium-like layer of Clostridium difficile 630 spores. J Proteomics. 2015;123:1-13. Epub
20150404. doi: 10.1016/j.jprot.2015.03.035. PubMed PMID: 25849250; PMCID: PMC6764588.
61.   Pizarro-Guajardo M, Olguín-Araneda V, Barra-Carrasco J, Brito-Silva C, Sarker MR,
Paredes-Sabja D. Characterization of the collagen-like exosporium protein, BclA1, of Clostridium
difficile spores. Anaerobe. 2014;25:18-30. Epub 20131121. doi:
10.1016/j.anaerobe.2013.11.003. PubMed PMID: 24269655.
62.   Thompson BM, Hsieh HY, Spreng KA, Stewart GC. The co-dependence of BxpB/ExsFA
and BclA for proper incorporation into the exosporium of *Bacillus anthracis*. Mol Microbiol.
2011;79(3):799-813. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2010.07488.x. PubMed PMID: 21255119;
PMCID: PMC3044595.
63.   Thompson BM, Stewart GC. Targeting of the BclA and BclB proteins to the *Bacillus*
anthracis spore surface. Mol Microbiol. 2008;70(2):421-34. Epub 2008/08/27. doi:
10.1111/j.1365-2958.2008.06420.x. PubMed PMID: 18761690.
64.   Thompson BM, Waller LN, Fox KF, Fox A, Stewart GC. The BclB glycoprotein of *Bacillus*
anthracis is involved in exosporium integrity. J Bacteriol. 2007;189(18):6704-13. Epub
2007/07/20. doi: 10.1128/JB.00762-07. PubMed PMID: 17644587; PMCID: PMC2045162.
65.   Steichen CT, Kearney JF, Turnbough CL. Characterization of the exosporium basal layer
protein BxpB of *Bacillus anthracis*. J Bacteriol. 2005;187(17):5868-76. doi:
10.1128/JB.187.17.5868-5876.2005. PubMed PMID: 16109927; PMCID: PMC1196169.
66.   Sylvestre P, Couture-Tosi E, Mock M. Polymorphism in the collagen-like region of the
Bacillus anthracis BclA protein leads to variation in exosporium filament length. J Bacteriol.
2003;185(5):1555-63. doi: 10.1128/jb.185.5.1555-1563.2003. PubMed PMID: 12591872;
PMCID: PMC148075.
67.   Pizarro-Guajardo M, Calderon-Romero P, Castro-Cordova P, Mora-Uribe P, Paredes-
Sabja D. Ultrastructural Variability of the Exosporium Layer of *Clostridium difficile* Spores. Appl
Environ Microbiol. 2016;82(7):2202-9. Epub 2016/02/07. doi: 10.1128/AEM.03410-15. PubMed
PMID: 26850296; PMCID: PMC4807528.
68.   Brazda V, Fojta M, Bowater RP. Structures and stability of simple DNA repeats from
bacteria. Biochem J. 2020;477(2):325-39. doi: 10.1042/bcj20190703. PubMed PMID: 31967649;
PMCID: PMC7015867.
69.   Wang Y, Jenkins SA, Gu C, Shree A, Martinez-Moczygemba M, Herold J, Botto M, Wetsel
RA, Xu Y. Bacillus anthracis Spore Surface Protein BclA Mediates Complement Factor H
Binding to Spores and Promotes Spore Persistence. PLoS Pathog. 2016;12(6):e1005678. Epub

20160615. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1005678. PubMed PMID: 27304426; PMCID:
PMC4909234.
70. Xue Q, Gu C, Rivera J, Hook M, Chen X, Pozzi A, Xu Y. Entry of *Bacillus anthracis* spores
into epithelial cells is mediated by the spore surface protein BclA, integrin alpha2beta1 and
complement component C1q. Cell Microbiol. 2011;13(4):620-34. Epub 2010/12/08. doi:
10.1111/j.1462-5822.2010.01558.x. PubMed PMID: 21134100.
912

A

C. difficile 630

C. difficile R20291

Fig. 1. BclA domain structure in *C. difficile* 630 & R20291 strain and AlphaFold3 BclA CTD predictions. (A) Schematic representation of *C. difficile* 630 and R20291 BclA1, BclA2 and BclA3 protein sequences. NTD (Black): N-terminal domain, CLR (Maroon): Collagen-like region, CTD (Yellow): C-terminal domain. In red arrows are depicted two stop codons that led to early termination of translation of BclA1$_{R20291}$. **(B,D,F)** AlphaFold3 prediction of CTDs monomers of BclA1$_{630}$, BclA2$_{R20291}$ and BclA3$_{R20291}$, and topology models to the right are shown. α-helix and loops are displayed in brown and black, respectively. Yellow β-sheets are located on the inner face of homotrimers models. Grey β-strands are located on the outer face of homotrimers models. Encircled "N" and "C" represent the amino- and carboxyl-terminus, respectively. Structural predictions indicate that BclA1$_{630}$ had a total of 12 β-sheets, BclA2$_{R20291}$ had a total of 9 β-sheets and BclA3$_{R20291}$ encodes 14 β-sheets and 1 α-helix. **(C,E,G)** AlphaFold3 prediction of CTDs homotrimers of BclA1$_{630}$, BclA2$_{R20291}$ and BclA3$_{R20291}$ and **(H)** their Predicted aligned error (PAE) are shown.

	B. anthracis	
C. difficile	BclA_CTD	BclB_CTD
Pruned atom pairs (RMSD)		
BclA1_CTD	**60 (0.956)**	8 (0.928)
BclA2_CTD	**46 (1.078)**	15 (1.382)
BclA3_CTD	10 (1.187)	**120 (0.745)**
All atom pairs (RMSD)		
BclA1_CTD	122 (3.940)	118 (16.692)
BclA2_CTD	113 (6.480)	117 (12.652)
BclA3_CTD	110 (17.972)	158 (2.808)

B RMSD = 0.956

C RMSD = 1.078

D RMSD = 0.745

BclA1 CTD *C. difficile* 630
BclA CTD *B. anthracis*

BclA2 CTD *C. difficile* R20291
BclA CTD *B. anthracis*

BclA3 CTD *C. difficile* R20291
BclB CTD *B. anthracis*

Fig. 2. *C. difficile* BclA comparison with BclA and BclB CTD domain from *B. anthracis*. Alphafold3 predicted CTD homotrimers structures of *C. difficile* (Cd) and *B. anthracis* (Ba) were superimposed on ChimeraX (v.1.9) using "matchmaker" command with default parameters. **(A)** RMSD for both pruned and all atom pairs is reported for all combinations possible. Numbers in bold represent the lowest RMSD achieved for each protein comparison. Superimposed pairs are **(B)** Cd_BclA1_CTD$_{630}$ with Ba_BclA_CTD, **(C)** Cd_BclA2_CTD$_{R20291}$ with Ba_BclA_CTD and **(D)** Cd_BclA3_CTD$_{R20291}$ with Ba_BclB_CTD. *C. difficile* 630 genome used as reference was GenBank ID: AM180355.1. *C. difficile* R20291 genome used as reference was GenBank ID: CP029423. *B. anthracis* genome used as reference was GenBank ID: AE016879.1. RMSD: Root mean square deviation, Cd: *Clostridioides difficile*, Ba: *Bacillus anthracis.*

Fig 3. Distribution of BclAs in known diversity of *C. difficile*. (A) Phylogenetic tree of 25k core-genome of *C. difficile*, showing the clade each genome belongs to. Horizontal bars represent the state of BclA in a particular genome (not found, complete, containing Ns, interrupted, or pseudogenized). **(B)** Zoomed-in view of the Clade 2 subtree. **(C)** Zoomed-in view of the Clade 3 subtree

Fig. 4. State of BclAs in known diversity of _C. difficile_. Prevalence of found conditions of **(A)** BclA1, **(B)** BclA2 and **(C)** BclA3 on each clade. Prevalence of pseudogenized **(D)** BclA1, **(E)** BclA2 and **(F)** BclA3 sequences per each _C. difficile_ clade. Schematic representation of BclAs protein sequences are depicted on top of each donut chart. Truncated BclA sequences were manually curated to locate position of early stop codons, represented as red lines in a white rectangle below protein schematic. **(G)** BclA high abundant pseudogenization alleles present in clade 2 and clade 3. NTD (Black): N-terminal domain, CLR (Maroon): Collagen-like region, CTD (Yellow): C-terminal domain. In this sub-data set, only clades represented in the figure are present in the legend.

Fig. 5. Comparison of *C. difficile* BclA1, BclA2, and BclA3 unique alleles. Unique alleles were aligned using Clustal Omega against the reference. Truncated, interrupted or pseudogenized sequences were not considerer in this analysis. Heatmap displaying positions that differ or not from the reference sequence are depicted for **(A)** BclA1, Ref genome: CLO_CA1356AA, **(B)** BclA2, Ref genome: CLO_AA3432AA and **(C)** BclA3, Ref genome: CLO_AA0395AA. Dashed red lines mark the division between BclA domains. N-terminal domain (NTD, black), Collagen-like region (CLR, maroon) and C-terminal domain (CTD, yellow).