Cell cycle dysregulation of globally important SAR11 bacteria resulting from environmental perturbation

Chuankai Cheng[1], Brittany D. Bennett[1,2], Pratixa Savalia[1], Hasti Asrari[1], Carmen Biel[1], Kate A. Evans[1], Rui Tang[3], J. Cameron Thrash[1,#]

1. Department of Biological Sciences, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA
2. Current address of B.D.B: BioTechnology Institute and Department of Plant and Microbial Biology, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, Minneapolis, MN, USA
3. Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA

#Correspondence: thrash@usc.edu

Abstract

Genome streamlining is hypothesized to occur in bacteria as an adaptation to resource-limited environments but can result in ostensibly deleterious gene losses affecting fundamental aspects of cellular physiology. The most abundant marine microorganisms, SAR11 (order *Pelagibacterales*), exhibit canonical genome streamlining, but the consequences of this genotype on core cellular processes like cell division remain unexplored. Analysis of several hundred SAR11 genomes revealed widespread absence of key cell cycle control genes, and growth experiments demonstrated that, although SAR11 bacteria maintain a normal cell cycle under oligotrophic conditions, they exhibit growth inhibition and aneuploidy when exposed to nutrient enrichment, carbon source shifts, or temperature stress. Detailed temporal sampling showed that these phenotypes occurred because of cell division disruption with continuing DNA replication, leading to heterogeneous subpopulations of normal and polyploid cells. This vulnerability appears to reflect an evolutionary trade-off where adaptation to stable nutrient-limited conditions results in reduced physiological resilience.

Main

Some microbial lineages have undergone significant genome reduction over evolutionary time, resulting in naturally evolved cells that reveal fundamental principles of cell biology under reduced genomic complexity[1,2]. Among them, SAR11 bacteria stand out as an exceptional model. With genome sizes typically around 1.2-1.3 Mbp—among the smallest of any free-living cells— these bacteria dominate marine ecosystems, comprising up to 40% of bacterial cells in surface seawater, and are canonical oligotrophic taxa adapted to low nutrient environments[3–5]. Their small genomes exhibit patterns collectively known as genome streamlining, such as high coding densities, few paralogs or pseudogenes, and enzyme promiscuity[6–9], that has been proposed to help them reduce nutritional requirements to thrive in extremely oligotrophic open ocean habitats[1,5,10]. Unlike synthetic minimal cells engineered in laboratory settings[11–13], SAR11 representatives are compelling "natural minimal cells" for investigating how genome reduction relates to increased fitness in the environment.

Despite their ecological success, SAR11 populations have numerous ostensibly disadvantageous traits and puzzling ecological patterns that suggest potential physiological vulnerabilities. Their streamlined genomes result in decreased regulatory capacity[1,14,15] and metabolic consequences like a dependence on reduced sulfur sources[16] and amino acid and vitamin auxotrophies[17,18]. SAR11 do not thrive in phytoplankton bloom conditions[19], die in co-culture with the dominant phytoplankton *Prochlorococcus* when it reaches stationary phase[20] (both scenarios that enrich copiotrophic bacteria), and exhibit cell-cycle arrest when pyruvate concentrations are low relative to those of glycine[18]. Their small genomes also only contain single copies of ribosomal RNA genes that may result in limited translational power[21,22], thus contributing to their obligate slow growth physiology, with cells typically dividing only around once per day under optimal conditions both *in situ* and in culture[18,23–27].

These observations raise questions about the evolutionary trade-offs between genome streamlining and fitness. Importantly, they point to possible consequences of genome reduction in SAR11 on essential cellular processes like the cell cycle—the tightly regulated progression of cellular growth, DNA replication, and division[28]. Here we examined how SAR11 bacteria coordinate cell division and DNA replication in the context of a reduced genome, and what physiological constraints SAR11 responses impose on their ability to acclimate to environmental change.

Results

Canonical cell cycle regulation genes are missing in most SAR11 genomes

We analyzed a previous pangenome of SAR11 with 470 representatives[27], as well as the pangenome of 120 SAR11 representatives on UniProt, and found that SAR11 lacks many of the

canonical genes that coordinate cell division and DNA replication in *Escherichia coli*[29], *Bacillus*
subtilis[30], *Caulobacter crescentus*[31], *Cereibacter sphaeroides*[32], and the synthetic minimal cell
JCVI-syn3[33] **(Table 1, Supplementary Table Tab 1)**. As a positive reference, we examined the
universal initiator protein DnaA, which binds *oriC* to trigger chromosome replication[34,35]. DnaA
was present in nearly all SAR11 genomes, although absent in 45 of 470 (9.6%), likely reflecting
incomplete genomes rather than true gene losses. We also used UniProt to provide context for
the relative distribution of proteins at different levels of evolutionary distance from SAR11. DnaA
was found in 50,897 different bacterial taxa and all 120 SAR11 genomes on UniProt
(Supplementary Table Tab 2).

Several regulators of replication initiation were absent across SAR11. We did not find evidence
for homologs of SeqA, which binds the origin to prevent early re-initiation of chromosome
replication[36], in any SAR11 genome **(Supplementary Table Tab 3)**. Dam (a methyltransferase
that maintains the methylation pattern at the origin that SeqA recognizes[37]) was absent among
our 470 SAR11 genomes, but was present in one MAG **(Supplementary Table Tab 4)** out of the
120 DnaA-containing SAR11 genomes on UniProt. The deletion or mutation of SeqA or Dam in
E. coli causes dysregulated initiation and abnormal chromosome copy numbers, such as three to
five chromosome equivalents[29,38]. SeqA was largely absent from Alphaproteobacteria: only 24
Alphaproteobacteria genomes had an annotated SeqA among 2,254 Pseudomonadota genomes
on UniProt **(Supplementary Table Tab 3)**. However, the Dam protein had 1,767 annotations
within the Alphaproteobacteria and 24,909 across the Pseudomonadota **(Supplementary Table
Tab 4)**, so its absence in SAR11 is unusual. We also examined ChpT, a phosphotransferase that
links cell cycle signaling to replication initiation in *C. crescentus*[39]. Although ChpT was primarily
within the Alphaproteobacteria (3,971 annotations in the Alphaproteobacteria of the 4,001 in the
Pseudomonadota), we similarly did not find any evidence of this protein in in our SAR11
pangenome analysis and only found one example in the UniProt SAR11 genomes
(Supplementary Table Tab 5).

Genes essential for divisome assembly and positioning were also broadly missing in SAR11.
ZipA, a membrane anchor that stabilizes FtsZ protofilaments for septum formation[40], had no
homologs in SAR11 genomes. In *E. coli*, deletion of ZipA causes filamentation and chromosome
missegregation[41]. ZipA was primarily found in Gammaproteobacteria (6,581 of 9,090 annotations
were in Gammaproteobacteria- **Supplementary Table Tab 6**), but we also searched for a more
universally distributed Z-ring protein, FtsE (2,779 annotations within Alphaproteobacteria out of
8,189 in the Pseudomonadota). FtsE is an integral Z-ring protein important for septum formation[42].
In *C. crescentus*, knocking out FtsE results in inhibition of newborn cell separation[43]. Similarly, we
found no FtsE homologs in the SAR11 pangenome and only one in a freshwater SAR11 MAG on
UniProt **(Supplementary Table Tab 7)**.

We also examined regulators involved in chromosome segregation. In *B. subtilis*, deletion of *minC*
and *minD*, two universally distributed genes **(Supplementary Table Tabs 8,9)**, leads to
misplaced septa, abnormal chromosome segregation, and polyploidy[30]. Homologs for MinC, an
inhibitor of FtsZ polymerization[44], and MinD, its ATPase partner that oscillates between cell
poles[45], were almost entirely absent: we did not find MinC in any SAR11 genomes, and detected

MinD in only a very minor subset of genomes (≤ 4 genomes per subclade) **(Table 1)**. Homologs
for MipZ, an Alphaproteobacteria-biased ATPase (2,905/3,957 bacterial hits were in
Alphaproteobacteria on UniProt- **Supplementary Table Tab 10**) that positions the Z-ring by
forming gradients from chromosome origins in in *C. crescentus*[32] and *C. sphaeroides*[46], were also
extremely rare in SAR11 (three in subclade Ia, one in subclade Ib, one found on UniProt - **Table**
1). SepF, a membrane-associated bundling factor for FtsZ[47], and LemA, a poorly characterized
divisome regulator[33], were absent across our SAR11 pangenome and were only identified in one
freshwater SAR11 MAG on UniProt **(Supplementary Table Tabs 11, 12)**. Experiments with the
synthetic minimal cell JCVI-syn3A identified both SepF and LemA as essential for maintaining
uniform cell sizes, with knockouts in their genes producing polymorphic populations due to failures
in cell division[33]. We corroborated that TipN, a polarity factor for *C. crescentus*[48], was largely
restricted to the Caulobacterales **(Supplementary Table Tab 14)**, but only had nine hits in the
SAR11 pangenome **(**although these were poor quality, see Methods, **Table 1 and**
Supplementary Table Tab 13).

These conspicuous gene absences led us to question how SAR11 thrives globally without such
important cell cycle regulators. *B. subtilis minCD* knockouts had relatively few phenotypic
consequences in a nutrient-limited medium whereby 89% of mutant cells formed normal Z-rings
versus only 23% in rich medium[30]. Similarly, *E. coli seqA*, *topA*, and *dam* mutants also showed
exaggerated cell cycle disruption in media with greater nutrient content compared to those with
less[29] **(Supplementary Text)**. In *C. crescentus*, deletion of *tipN* produced little difference in
growth or cell size under minimal medium, but significant differences in rich medium[48]. Together,
these findings suggest that if losing these cell cycle regulation genes has relatively minimal
phenotypic consequences under nutrient-limited growth, selective pressure to maintain them
might be relaxed in the consistently nutrient-limited oceans where SAR11 dominates.

130 SAR11 exhibits growth inhibition and aneuploidy in response to
131 nutrient enrichment

To test whether the absence of cell cycle regulation genes in SAR11 would result in similar
deleterious growth phenotypes as those in the *B. subtilis* and *E. coli* mutants, we grew the SAR11
representative *Candidatus* Pelagibacter communis (previously, *Candidatus* Pelagibacter
ubique[49]) strain HTCC1062 in increasingly rich media by proportionally varying the concentrations
of pyruvate, glycine, ammonium, phosphate, and vitamins **(Fig. 1)**. Rather than monotonically
increasing and then saturating at a constant level with nutrients, HTCC1062 growth showed a
"bell-shaped" phenotype. Growth yields increased as nutrient concentrations rose from 0.5x to
3x, followed by significant decreases in both rate and yield under higher nutrient conditions (8x
and 10x) **(Fig. 1a-c)**. This "bell-shaped" phenotype aligns with SAR11's oligotrophic distribution
where these organisms thrive in nutrient-limited environments but are inhibited in rich nutrient
conditions.

Analysis of ploidy levels revealed distinct cell cycle patterns across nutrient conditions. Under
limited nutrients (0.5x–3x), HTCC1062 cultures maintained normal ploidy (1-2 chromosomes)

(Fig. 1d), indicating a non-overlapping cell cycle where DNA replication completes before the next
round begins **(Supplementary Text)**. According to the Cooper-Helmstetter model[50], in steady-
state bacterial growth[51] the ratio of cells at maximum ploidy to minimum ploidy—which we term
the Cooper-Helmstetter Index —is approximately 2:1[52]. HTCC1062 maintained this ~2:1 ratio
under 0.5x-3x nutrient conditions, reflecting normal cell cycle progression. In contrast, under
richer conditions (4x–10x nutrients), we observed HTCC1062 subpopulations with more than two
chromosomes and Cooper-Helmstetter Indices >> 2, indicating aneuploidy **(Fig. 1e)**. This differs
from the typical 'overlapping cell cycle' of copiotrophs, where despite multiple replication forks,
cells maintain a Cooper-Helmstetter Index ~2 **(Supplementary Text)**.

Since we observed more cells with higher ploidy levels appearing under richer nutrient conditions,
we wanted to determine whether this reflected a redistribution of DNA content among a smaller
population or instead represented changes in total DNA production. Therefore, we quantified total
DNA concentrations by calculating the product of cell density and mean cellular ploidy level. We
found that the total DNA concentration also decreased significantly under 10x nutrient conditions
(Fig. 1f), demonstrating that, although some cells contained more chromosomes, the overall DNA
quantity in the culture was substantially reduced due to lower cell numbers and dysregulated DNA
replication. This means that while individual cells accumulated excess chromosomes, the
population as a whole suffered reduced DNA and impaired growth.

Identification of specific factors triggering cell cycle dysregulation in SAR11

Having established that elevated nutrient concentrations induced growth inhibition and aneuploidy
in SAR11 strain HTCC1062, we next investigated which specific environmental factors triggered
these cell cycle disruptions. Since our initial experiment proportionally varied multiple nutrients
simultaneously (pyruvate, glycine, ammonium, phosphate, and vitamins, **Supplementary Table**
Tab 16), we sought to determine whether particular nutrients or combinations were responsible
for the observed effects, and whether other environmental parameters besides nutrients could
elicit similar responses.

HTCC1062 is a glycine auxotroph[17] and low pyruvate:glycine ratios inhibit cell cycle progression,
resulting in an accumulation of cells with diploid DNA content[18]. Since this diploid phenotype was
similar to our observations of aneuploidy based on proportional increases of all nutrients, we
examined the pyruvate:glycine ratio effects in detail. Through re-analysis of the data from Carini
et al. 2013[18] and application of the Cooper-Helmstetter Index, we found indicators of polyploidy
beyond two chromosome equivalents and filamentous morphologies that were not emphasized
in the original study **(Supplementary Text)**. In our own experiments, we confirmed that
pyruvate:glycine ratios between 5:1 and 2:1 supported stable steady-state growth with normal
ploidy (Cooper-Helmstetter Index ~2), whereas ratios at or below 1:1 induced aneuploidy
(Cooper-Helmstetter Index >> 2; **Fig. 2a, Extended Data Fig. 1**). The concentration of these
compounds was less important than their ratio - by scaling pyruvate and glycine proportionally at
5:1, we could modulate saturation cell density without affecting growth rates or ploidy levels **(Fig.**
2b, Extended Data Fig. 2a), thus improving growth yields 100-fold from the original AMS1

medium recipe which was most commonly used for culturing HTCC1062[18] **(Extended Data Fig.**
3).

Although a pyruvate:glycine ratio below 1:1 led to growth defects, this did not explain the
observation of polyploidy in our bulk nutrient experiments, because there we maintained the
proportions of all growth compounds. We therefore tested other medium components for similar
cell cycle dysregulation effects with increased concentrations. We found that excessive
ammonium leads to both growth inhibition and aneuploidy (**Fig. 2c, Fig. 3**), whereas increased
vitamin concentrations neither inhibited growth nor disrupted normal cell cycle progression
(Extended Data Fig. 2bc), and excessive phosphate ($\geq$ 500 µM) did not affect the growth rate
or cause aneuploidy, but significantly reduced saturation cell density **(Extended Data Fig. 4)**.

Since glycine and ammonium could disrupt the cell cycle and cause growth inhibition, we tested
whether other nitrogen sources would produce the same phenotype. Nitrate, another inorganic
nitrogen source, had no effect (as expected because HTCC1062 has no predicted nitrate
assimilation genes) (**Fig. 2c**). Similarly to excess glycine and ammonium, leucine caused both
growth inhibition and aneuploidy (**Extended Data Fig. 2d**), but supplemental alanine (**Fig. 2d,**
Extended Data Fig. 2e), taurine **(Extended Data Fig. 2f)**, and adenine (**Extended Data Fig. 2g**)
inhibited population growth without inducing polyploidy. These differential responses highlight that
cell cycle disruption depends on the specific nitrogen source metabolized rather than total
nitrogen availability.

We also tested the effect of changing the carbon source from pyruvate to glucose while
maintaining equivalent carbon concentrations. Despite this stoichiometric consistency, we
observed significant cell cycle disruption, indicating that the specific carbon source, rather than
just the carbon-to-nitrogen ratio, can affect cell cycle regulation (**Fig. 2e, Extended Data Fig. 2h**).

In addition to various chemical perturbations, we tested the effect of different temperatures. At
lower temperatures (16-20°C), HTCC1062 maintained normal ploidy levels even with the 1:1
pyruvate to glycine ratio (**Fig. 2f, Extended Data Fig. 2i**) that caused cell cycle disruption under
our default room temperature (fluctuating around 20°C - **Extended Data Fig. 5**). As temperatures
increased to 25°C, aneuploidy occurred even with a 5:1 pyruvate:glycine ratio but was more
severe with a 1:1 pyruvate to glycine ratio, while at 30°C, growth was completely inhibited
regardless of nutrient concentrations (**Fig. 2f, Extended Data Fig. 2i**).

In summary, we identified three distinct patterns of SAR11 cell cycle dysregulation (**Fig. 2g-i**): *i)*
growth inhibition with aneuploidy occurred in response to glucose substitution, temperature
elevation to 25°C, and excessive ammonium, glycine, or leucine (**Fig. 2h**); *ii)* growth inhibition
without aneuploidy was observed during temperature shifts to 30°C, and with excessive alanine,
taurine, adenine, or phosphate (**Fig. 2i**); and *iii)* no growth inhibition with additional nitrate,
vitamins, or pyruvate (**Fig. 2j**). Notably, when comparing the Cooper-Helmstetter Index to the
instantaneous doubling rate, the data forms a triangular cluster pattern, revealing that growth
inhibition is frequently associated with cell cycle dysregulation (**Fig. 2g**). Cultures maintaining

optimal growth rates consistently exhibited normal ploidy (Cooper-Helmstetter Index ~2), while
growth-inhibited cultures often, but not always, displayed aberrant ploidy levels.

High temporal resolution sampling reveals the onset and fate of aneuploidy under excessive ammonium

We next investigated the progression and mechanisms of aneuploidy induction. Among all the
aneuploidy inducing conditions, we chose excessive ammonium for deeper investigation because
ammonium is a major and universal inorganic nitrogen source for marine microorganisms[53,54] and
excretion of ammonium can be induced by phytoplankton-bacteria interactions[55]. Also, the simple
chemical composition of ammonium minimized the potential confounding factors compared to
other aneuploidy triggering conditions, such as complex growth dynamics with extended lag times
and multiple growth phases (pyruvate to glucose shifts; **Extended Data Fig. 2h**), co-involvement
of carbon metabolism changes and additional nitrogen sources (amino acid supplements), or
general effects on enzymes through temperature shifts[56]. We therefore conducted high-resolution
sampling of HTCC1062 cultures exposed to varying ammonium concentrations (0–4 mM) **(Fig.**
3) and observed significant growth inhibition in the high ammonium treatments compared to the
0 mM ammonium samples **(Fig. 3a–c)** with simultaneous increases in the Cooper-Helmstetter
Index **(Fig. 3d)** and diploids accumulation **(Fig. 3e–h)**.

During the first five days, where the growth curves under different ammonium concentrations
started diverging, the population doubling time τ, increased from 23.02 ± 1.39 hours for the 0 mM
NH_4^+ treatment to 30.08 ± 0.81 hours in the 4 mM NH_4^+ treatment **(Fig. 3k)**. During this initial
period of growth divergence, when the ploidy level distributions were maintained as mostly
monoploids and diploids, we could also quantify cell cycle period durations using the Cooper-
Helmstetter model[52]. In non-overlapping cell cycle progression, these periods are B (between cell
birth and DNA replication initiation), C (DNA replication), and D (between replication completion
and cell division) **(Fig. 3ij)**. D period experienced the most dramatic extension under high
ammonium, increasing from 3.53 ± 0.70 hours at 0 mM to 10.19 ± 1.26 hours at 4 mM NH_4^+
(Fig. 3k), indicating delayed cell division after DNA replication completed. The C period showed
modest increases (from 10.19 ± 1.28 to 12.02 ± 0.60 hours), while the B period slightly decreased
with elevated ammonium (from 9.31 ± 1.11 to 7.86 ± 0.49 hours), suggesting earlier DNA
replication initiation. These results demonstrated that excessive ammonium primarily impacted
post-replication processes, with aneuploidy resulting from division delays coupled to continued
chromosome replication.

Polyploidy appeared after day 5 in samples with high ammonium concentrations **(Fig. 3gh)**.
Specifically, we observed that a third chromosome equivalent (triploidy) occurred first, indicating
an imbalance in DNA replication initiation whereby only one of the chromosomes in diploids was
duplicated. The appearance of odd number chromosome equivalents was consistent with the lack
of regulatory genes controlling DNA replication initiation **(Table 1)**, and mirrored that of *E. coli*
mutations in the same genes[29]. Due to the appearance of aneuploidy (Cooper-Helmstetter
Index >> 2), after day 5 we could no longer estimate the durations of B, C, and D periods based
on the Cooper-Helmstetter model, so we used cell cycle-disrupting antibiotics (in antibiotic 'run-

off' experiments) to validate our measurements of cell cycle periods under ammonium stress. We
used cephalexin[57], which inhibits cell division without affecting DNA replication, alongside rifampin
and chloramphenicol[58], which inhibit transcription and translation, both required for new rounds
of DNA replication initiation. By monitoring the ploidy distributions of ammonium-treated samples
after treatment with these antibiotics, we confirmed that excessive ammonium causes division
delays while allowing continued DNA replication **(Supplementary Text)**. Furthermore, samples
treated with only cephalexin (cell division inhibition) confirmed that triploids developed from
diploids, indicating that diploids conduct DNA replication on only one of the chromosomes. These
antibiotic treatments also corroborated our B, C, and D period estimations from above and
provided an orthogonal confirmation of the mechanisms underlying the cell cycle dysregulation
we observed in SAR11 **(Supplementary Text)**.

Using fluorescence microscopy, we observed both filamentous and normal rod-shaped
HTCC1062 cells in our samples with polyploidy under excessive ammonium **(Extended Data Fig.**
6a–f). This pleomorphic phenotype is similar to the polymorphism observed in HTCC1062 under
low pyruvate:glycine ratios[18] and previous genetic studies in *E. coli[59], C. crescentus[31]*, and the
minimal synthetic cell JCVI-Syn3[33] where cell cycle regulation genes were disrupted. Dynamic
light scattering measurements confirmed a trend toward increased mean cell size with higher
ploidy levels, corroborating the generation of filamentous cells with larger sizes (**Extended Data**
Fig. 6g–j). As the cultures reached stationary phase, we observed a decrease in the Cooper-
Helmstetter Index, indicating that the frequency of polyploids decreased over time, leaving cells
predominantly with 1-2 chromosome equivalents **(Fig. 3gh)**. Flow cytometric analysis revealed
increased background fluorescence in high ammonium samples during the same growth stage
(Extended Data Fig. 7a–e), similar to patterns observed when we subjected actively growing
cells to a lysis buffer, suggesting selective lysis of polyploid cells in stationary phase **(Extended**
Data Fig. 7f–i).

A subpopulation model quantitatively validates the link between cell cycle heterogeneity and growth inhibition

Our high-resolution temporal analysis revealed that excessive ammonium created a mixed
population of euploid and polyploid cells. This heterogeneity, with co-occurrence of both
filamentous and normal rod-shaped cells, indicated that not all cells responded identically to
ammonium stress. We also observed that polyploid cells were more prone to lysis as cultures
entered stationary phase, suggesting that aneuploidy represents a physiological dead-end rather
than an adaptive strategy for SAR11.

Inspired by previous studies on cell cycle regulation in bacteria with disrupted divisome genes[30],
we hypothesized that excessive ammonium creates two distinct subpopulations: 1) a normally
dividing subset and 2) a non-dividing subset where DNA replication continues but cell division
fails. We propose that the absence of the key cell cycle regulation genes discussed above **(Table**
1) provides the mechanistic basis for this subpopulation heterogeneity.

To test our hypothesis, we applied a quantitative subpopulation growth model that assumes population growth results from two subpopulations: one actively dividing and one non-dividing. Using the active subpopulation growth rate and the fraction of actively dividing cells as inputs **(Fig. 4ab)**, the model predicts overall population growth rate. This model successfully predicted population doubling rates under excessive ammonium conditions **(Fig. 4c)**, providing strong quantitative validation that the population growth slowdown stems directly from the emergence of a subpopulation of chromosome-replicating but non-dividing cells **(Fig. 4d)**.

Cell cycle vulnerability extends to a phylogenetically divergent SAR11 lineage despite presence of P-II nitrogen regulatory genes

Since we found a systemic lack of cell cycle regulatory genes across the SAR11 clade (**Table 1**), we conducted parallel experiments on the phylogenetically distant freshwater SAR11 (LD12) strain LSUCC0530[26] under various temperatures and ammonium chloride concentrations. The freshwater LD12/IIIb subclade is sister to the brackish-water adapted subclade IIIa, and both of these lineages likely diverged from a common ancestor with other SAR11 hundreds of millions of years ago[26,27,60]. Notably, LSUCC0530, and many other SAR11 subclade III strains, also lack the cell cycle regulatory genes in **Table 1**, but possess some of the P-II nitrogen regulatory genes that are absent in HTCC1062 **(Supplementary Table Tab 1)**[26,27,61]. Comparing the growth responses in LSUCC0530 therefore afforded us an opportunity to assess whether the cell cycle dysregulation phenotype spans highly divergent SAR11 membership and if P-II nitrogen-sensing genes affect cell cycle responses in SAR11 under elevated nitrogen conditions.

We found that LSUCC0530 still exhibited significant cell cycle vulnerabilities **(Fig. 5)**. At 16°C, LSUCC0530 showed growth inhibition at higher ammonium concentrations (4 mM), with only slight increases in the Cooper-Helmstetter Index that remained close to normal levels (~2). However, at the more optimal growth temperature of 25°C (defined by growth rates[26]), LSUCC0530 exhibited a strikingly similar phenotype to HTCC1062 - higher ammonium concentrations induced both severe growth inhibition and pronounced aneuploidy (Cooper-Helmstetter Index > 6). These results demonstrated that nitrogen-induced cell cycle dysregulation and growth inhibition occur in diverse SAR11 lineages from different habitats, regardless of the presence of additional nitrogen regulatory genes.

Discussion

The physiological vulnerabilities we have found in SAR11 fundamentally deviate from established bacterial principles. We propose that the widespread absence of multiple canonical cell cycle regulatory genes in SAR11 (**Table 1**) leads to the growth inhibition caused by various environmental perturbations detailed herein (**Fig. 3**). Similar negative growth effects of excessive nitrogen compared to carbon have also been identified in a SAR11 subclade 1a open ocean strain, HTCC7211[62,63]. However, growth inhibition under excessive nitrogen contradicts a classical understanding of nutrient-growth relationships that predict enhanced or unaffected growth[64,65]. Very high concentrations of ammonia or glycine (50–500 mM - much higher than the

concentrations we tested on SAR11 - **Fig. 2a-f, Extended Data Fig. 2**), can inhibit growth of *E.*
coli and *B. subtilis*, however, the mechanisms of inhibition in SAR11 are different. The inhibitory
effect of ammonium in *E. coli, B. subtilis*, and *Corynebacterium glutamicum* was characterized as
an outcome of increased ionic strength[66], which does not correspond to our observations in
SAR11 given that HTCC1062 was already growing in much higher ionic strength media and our
concentrations of ammonium were much lower. Similarly to what we observed for SAR11,
excessive ammonia caused aneuploidy and filamentous cells in *C. crescentus*[67], and excessive
glycine inhibited cell wall synthesis and cell division in *E. coli, B. subtilis, Selenomonas*
ruminantium, Streptococcus bovis, and others[68]. However, our work also identified additional
environmental perturbations, such as temperature or carbon shifts, that have analogous effects
compared to excessive nitrogen. Furthermore, our observations of increased mean cell sizes
following growth inhibition with aneuploidy (**Extended Data Fig. 6**) show that SAR11 fails to follow
the classical bacterial growth law where cell size positively correlates with growth rate[69–71]. Thus,
the absence of cell division regulatory genes associated with genome streamlining in SAR11 have
compromised fundamental cell size homeostasis mechanisms found in better-studied model
bacteria[72].

The nutrient concentrations in our experiments were in the high micro- to low millimolar scale,
because we needed enough cell density (above 1 million cells per milliliter) for good DNA staining
and reliable ploidy level distribution measurements. Although the open ocean standing stocks of
many of our nutrient compounds, such as pyruvate, ammonium chloride and amino acids are
below the nanomolar scale[73,74] and often undetected, this can be due to their rapid turnover
through biogeochemical processes[75] as concentrations in the local phycosphere around
phytoplankton cells can be much greater[76]. Indeed, SAR11 requires extra nutrient amendment to
grow when cultured with natural seawater[77], implying that the standing concentrations measured
in the open ocean may not actively reflect the local concentrations experienced by SAR11 cells
during growth. While the exact ambient concentrations are challenging to measure and remain
poorly characterized, synthetic phycosphere studies have used 3 mM ammonium chloride and
7.5 mM total organic carbon to mimic the microenvironment surrounding dinoflagellates or
diatoms[53]. It's plausible that the concentrations inhibiting SAR11 growth occur in local
environments near phytoplankton cells. Regardless, our other results showing SAR11 cell cycle
disruption from altered ratios of compounds, temperature shifts, and carbon source switches,
where concentrations were maintained the same, indicate that the absolute concentration of
compounds is not the only driver of dysregulation.

Beyond these methodological considerations, our observations align well with and help explain
known ecological patterns of SAR11 in natural environments. Many regions of the open ocean
are characterized by nitrogen limitation rather than carbon limitation[78,79]- conditions that would
favor organisms like SAR11 that thrive with high C:N ratios. Indeed, SAR11 enrichment typically
coincides with the growth phase of primary production but declines after phytoplankton
blooms[19,20], when C:N ratios and organic carbon compositions shift substantially[80,81]. The carbon
landscape changes during bloom decay may parallel the shifts we tested in laboratory conditions.
These patterns correlate with field observations of higher diploid frequencies (chromosome
duplicated but undivided cells) and elevated cell death when SAR11 abundance declines during
spring bloom[82], suggesting potential connections between natural nutrient dynamics and the cell

cycle disruptions we observed experimentally. Furthermore, our observations of aneuploidy
occurring at temperatures above 20°C under a 1:1 pyruvate-to-glycine ratio (~5:1 C:N), but not in
samples maintained below 20°C (**Fig. 2d, Extended Data Fig. 1e**) are notable given that the
cellular C:N ratio of HTCC1062 is approximately 4–6:1[83], and the strain was originally isolated
from ~12 °C seawater[77]. The emergence of coordinated cell cycles at lower temperatures under
nutrient conditions resembling cellular stoichiometry suggests that such environments may more
closely reflect SAR11's native ecological and physiological context.

SAR11 sensitivity to nutrient perturbations may also affect estimates of *in situ* bacterial activity.
SAR11 cannot incorporate thymidine in common DNA synthesis activity assays[83], and our results
show that excessive leucine inhibits SAR11 growth (**Extended Data Fig. 1i**). Even though such
assays can be used with concentrations as low as 20 nM[84], because we found that the relative
C:N ratio and carbon exchange can significantly perturb the cell cycle, our observations suggest
that leucine measurements may systematically underestimate SAR11's *in situ* activity.
Furthermore, recent measurements showing SAR11 single-cell respiration rates at very low levels
relative to copiotrophic taxa may also have underestimated SAR11 respiration since many of the
samples were taken during phytoplankton blooms[85], which we also expect to cause significant
cell cycle disruption due to decreased C:N ratios and carbon turnover. Opposite these scenarios
where *in situ* activity may be underestimated, our identification of compounds causing growth
inhibition without aneuploidy (category 2 in **Fig. 2g**) reveals that SAR11 can undergo complete
growth arrest under certain conditions, potentially representing a dormancy-like state[86]. For
example, excessive alanine caused complete cessation of both population growth and DNA
replication, while cells maintained normal ploidy levels throughout (4-8 mM, **Fig. 2f**). This
contrasts with the chaotic cell cycle disruption caused by an excess of other nitrogen-containing
compounds in excess, where DNA replication continued while cell division failed, leading to
aneuploidy and eventual cell death. Our findings thus have broader implications for understanding
the metabolic contributions of streamlined marine bacteria to ocean biogeochemistry, as standard
activity measurements may not accurately capture their physiological state or ecological function.

The loss of cell cycle regulatory genes likely reflects adaptive trade-offs driven by selection for
genome streamlining in chronically oligotrophic environments. Under stable, low-nutrient
conditions, maintenance of regulatory genes like *minCD* and *seqA* may provide diminishing
returns, and their loss reduces the metabolic costs of expressing these genes. The SAR11
streamlined cell cycle machinery functions adequately under oligotrophic conditions (**Fig. 1e**),
similarly to *B. subtilis minCD* mutants[30], but lacks the regulatory buffering capacity to maintain
homeostasis under environmental perturbations (**Fig. 2**). Given SAR11's limited gene regulatory
capacity[1,14,15], cell cycle disruption may result from environmental perturbations shifting protein
expression out of balance. Deviation in the stoichiometric ratios of proteins within the "fellowship
of the Z-ring"[87,88] causes cell cycle disruption in *E. coli*[59], *C. crescentus*[31,89], and *Mycobacterium*
smegmatis[90]. Filamentation of *C. crescentus* induced by spent media and ammonia includes
upregulation of nitrogen metabolism genes and downregulation of cell cycle regulatory genes[67].
Notably, the presence of additional P-II genes in LSUCC0530 does not rescue these
vulnerabilities (**Fig. 5**), indicating that cell cycle regulatory genes are more critical than nutrient
sensing systems for maintaining division coordination under stress, and their absence represents
deeper architectural constraints of extreme genome reduction. This may explain why P-II

regulatory systems are absent in most SAR11 subclades other than subclade III **(Supplementary**
Table Tab 1): nutrient sensing provides little benefit when the fundamental cell cycle machinery
cannot respond appropriately to environmental changes, and thus these genes are ripe for
deletion with continued streamlining. Overall, the detailed mechanisms underlying SAR11 cell
cycle disruption need further investigation by gene-expression studies.

Our findings reveal restrictions on SAR11 growth rates beyond simple nutrient limitation. The
optimal growth conditions we identified in this study were characterized by non-overlapping cell
cycles, with maximum ploidy levels of 2 chromosome equivalents. The additional nutrients that
would be expected to induce overlapping cell cycles and faster growth rates in *E. coli* and *B.*
subtilis instead caused cell cycle disruption and growth inhibition in SAR11. This suggests that
SAR11's incapability of achieving overlapping cell cycles, likely due to the absence of key
regulatory genes like *minCD*, *seqA,* and *dam* (**Table 1**), constrains the organism to obligate slow
growth. Notably, while individual knockouts of these genes in model organisms (such as *E. coli*[29])
can still achieve overlapping cell cycles in rich media, these mutants retain most of their cell cycle
regulatory machinery, whereas SAR11 genomes lack multiple critical regulatory genes. Thus,
genome streamlining appears to have imposed an upper limit on growth rate that is independent
of nutrient availability, as SAR11 cannot safely accelerate growth through the overlapping cell
cycle mechanisms that enable rapid division in well-regulated bacteria. Testing whether multiple
simultaneous cell cycle gene knockouts restrict model organisms to non-overlapping growth
cycles would provide important validation of this constraint mechanism and help establish whether
the growth rate limitations we observe represent a broader consequence of extreme regulatory
gene loss.

Given that genome streamlining is common among dominant marine microorganisms adapted to
nutrient-limited environments[1], the cell cycle vulnerabilities we observed might be more universal
and worth characterizing in further studies. In support of this hypothesis, we found that many other
aquatic Alphaproteobacteria were missing some of these cell cycle regulatory genes based on
our UniProt searches. Among all the commonly distributed genes in the Alphaproteobacteria
(*dam*, *chpT*, *ftsE*, *minC*, *minD*, *mipZ*, and *lemA*), we found that the SAR116 clade, *Candidatus*
Puniceispirillales[91,92], only possessed *minD* **(Supplementary Table Tab 9)**. The *Parvularculales*[93]
were missing *minC* and *dam* was only present in one genome **(Supplementary Table Tab 4)** out
of 43 that had *dnaA*. In contrast to the 1,098 *Rhodobacterales*[94] that had *dnaA*, only 247 of them
had *dam*, 10 of them had *ftsE* **(Supplementary Table Tab 7)**, 121 of them had *minC*
(Supplementary Table Tab 8) and 39 of them had *lemA* **(Supplementary Table Tab 11)**.
Therefore, the absence of these genes is common among aquatic Alphaproteobacteria, although
SAR11 stands out as an extreme case. The absence of cell cycle regulation genes may therefore
be diagnostic of organisms adapted to oligotrophic environments and may also set upper bounds
on growth rates obtainable by these organisms. Even though it is possible that some of these
absent proteins might be annotated in future with updated protein databases, the consistently
small genome sizes and oligotrophic lifestyles of these taxa strongly suggest that many of these
genes are truly absent.

The potential widespread cell cycle vulnerabilities among marine taxa might shift the way we
model biogeochemical cycles. Conventional biogeochemical models typically assume that

microbial growth and activity are primarily determined by bulk nutrient concentrations, following
Monod kinetics or similar formulations[95,96]. Our findings challenge this paradigm by demonstrating
that relative changes in nutrient conditions and compositional shifts may be equally or more
important than absolute concentrations, particularly for streamlined organisms. If similar
sensitivities are widespread among other streamlined taxa, then the ratios of nutrient standing
stocks would influence not only the classical determination of nutrient limitation under Liebig's
Law of the Minimum[97,98], but also taxon-specific physiological responses that shape ecosystem
function.

Widespread absence of cell cycle genes, especially in ancient lineages like SAR11 (est.
divergence from a common ancestor with other Alphaproteobacteria ~700 million - 1 billion years
ago[60,99]), raises the alternative evolutionary hypothesis that instead of these genes being lost
through streamlining, their absence represents an ancestral state. Indeed, it has been proposed
that *minC, minD,* and *seqA* were missing from the LBCA core genome, but *lemA* and *sepF* were
present[100]. Since that ancestral state reconstruction was not focused on Alphaproteobacteria and
lacked SAR11 and other marine taxa, returning to those types of analyses with a broader selection
of organisms that include SAR11 and other taxa with reduced genomes might provide greater
resolution for the genomic content of the LBCA. Evidence suggests the common ancestor of
SAR11 already had a minimal genome that was only ~25-35% larger than extant taxa[60].
Expanded LBCA reconstructions in the future could explore if SAR11 cell cycle gene absences
are convergent with the LBCA through streamlining, reflective of the ancestral state, or a mixture
of the two whereby the common ancestor of SAR11 and other Alphaproteobacteria lacked some
of the cell cycle regulation genes and streamlining acted to remove those that remained.

Regardless of the evolutionary path, the absence of cell cycle regulating genes has compromised
SAR11's ability to maintain homeostasis across environmental conditions, constraining steady-
state growth to a narrow range of conditions unlike the broad regulatory flexibility of model
copiotrophic organisms. However, this apparent evolutionary constraint may paradoxically
provide population-level benefits through enhanced genetic diversification. The formation of
polyploid cells under environmental stress could facilitate genetic exchange through two potential
mechanisms. First, the presence of multiple chromosome copies within individual cells could
enable intracellular recombination events between homologous chromosomes, potentially
contributing to the exceptionally high rates of recombination observed in natural SAR11
populations[101,102]. When these polyploid cells subsequently undergo lysis, as indicated by our flow
cytometry data showing decreased cell integrity during stationary phase (**Extended Data Fig. 7**),
the resulting DNA fragments containing novel recombinant sequences could be released and
taken up by naturally competent surviving cells, as observed in other bacterial systems[103,104].
Second, some polyploid cells may retain viability and undergo division to release progeny, like
the phenomenon observed in filamentous *Caulobacter crescentus* cells[67]. Both pathways—
whether through DNA release from lysing cells or progeny from dividing filamentous cells—could
increase genetic diversity within SAR11 populations. This suggests that SAR11's cell cycle
vulnerabilities may represent another evolutionary trade-off inherent to extreme genome
streamlining: reduced regulatory robustness in exchange for enhanced opportunities for genetic
diversification that could facilitate long-term adaptation in the oligotrophic marine environment.

The nutrient sensitivities we document also have profound implications for culturing marine
oligotrophs. Standard microbiological practices like nutrient enrichment and temperature
optimization, or even simply shifting carbon sources, which is highly likely when performing
isolation experiments with artificial media or nutrient-amended natural media, may inadvertently
trigger physiological disruptions that prevent successful cultivation. Many uncultured marine
oligotrophs may share similar streamlining-associated vulnerabilities, requiring cultivation
approaches that prioritize environmental stability and stoichiometric balance over absolute
nutrient concentrations. Since laboratory conditions inevitably differ from natural marine
environments, streamlined bacteria likely experience perturbations during isolation efforts that
trigger the cell cycle disruptions we observed. This may partially explain the "great plate count
anomaly"[105] and the extremely low isolation success rates for dominant organisms like SAR11
even with high throughput dilution-to-extinction approaches[106].

In conclusion, our study reveals how the absence of key regulatory genes in SAR11 has
compromised its ability to coordinate cell cycle progression under environmental perturbations,
indicating a likely evolutionary trade-off whereby the precise calibration of SAR11's metabolism
to oligotrophic conditions has enabled remarkable ecological dominance but at the cost of
reduced physiological resilience. The direct coupling between environmental conditions and
cellular physiology in these dominant marine microorganisms adds a critical dimension to ocean
biogeochemistry, where nutrient dynamics and stoichiometric ratios may play more important
roles than previously recognized in models based primarily on absolute concentration thresholds.
If similar vulnerabilities are widespread among oligotrophic microorganisms, it will require new
frameworks for understanding their ecology, cultivation, and responses to environmental change.

531 Methods

532 SAR11 pangenomics

We determined the presence or absence of cell cycle regulation marker genes across different
SAR11 subclades with the pangenome generated previously for 470 unique genomes[27]. We took
the aligned amino acid sequences of all the open reading frames from the previous pangenome
summary, removed inserted gaps, and used InterProScan-5.75-106.0 [107,108] to generate updated
annotations for 517,196 of 551,103 amino acid sequences (93.8%). The amino acid sequences
and their InterProScan annotations are available on FigShare
(https://figshare.com/account/projects/254066/articles/29396375). We targeted cell cycle
proteins for analysis based on genetics studies of model organisms **(Table 1)** where knock outs
of the corresponding genes caused cell cycle disruption. We then searched for the gene and the
organism on UniProt and identified the corresponding InterProScan ID. Generic InterProScan IDs,
i.e., those that represent common protein features and therefore cover multiple genes, were
excluded. We then looked for the corresponding InterProScan annotations of the target proteins
in the SAR11 pangenome. The TipN protein from *C. crescentus[48]* does not have any Interprocan
IDs (as of September 5th, 2025), so we queried the amino acid sequence of TipN
(Q2F5H9_CAUVC on UniProt) against all 551,103 SAR11 pangenome amino acid sequences

using BLASTP v2.14.1 **(Supplementary Table Tab 13)**. The detailed presence/absence
accounting of each target protein within each SAR11 genome is in **Supplementary Table Tab 1**.
550

UniProt searches for targeted genes across all bacterial taxa
In addition to looking for cell cycle proteins in SAR11, we used UniProt
(https://www.uniprot.org/)[109,110] to examine the distribution of the same cell cycle proteins across
other taxonomic groups at varying evolutionary distances from SAR11. All UniProt searches were
conducted between July 22nd and September 5th, 2025. We took each of the InterProScan IDs
in **Table 1**, e.g. IPR013317, and entered "(taxonomy_id:2) IPR013317" in the search box on
UniProt. We grouped the search results by taxonomy:
https://www.uniprot.org/uniprotkb?groupBy=taxonomy&query=%28taxonomy_id%3A2%29+IPR
013317. The taxonomy dropdown list was expanded towards SAR11 HTCC1062, i.e., Bacteria,
Pseudomonadati, Pseudomonadota, Alphaproteobacteria, Candidatus Pelagibacterales,
Candidatus Pelagibacteraceae, Candidatus Pelagibacter, Pelagibacter ubique, Pelagibacter
ubique (strain HTCC1062). We used the Anthropic Claude web interface (Sonnet 4) to parse the
taxonomic hierarchy on the UniProt webpage and export this as csv tables. The dialogue is
available through https://claude.ai/share/a932bef3-eac3-4c5b-8a12-3599b385d366. The
exported csv tables were proofread manually **(Supplementary Table Tabs 2–12)**. As TipN from
C. crescentus did not have an InterProScan ID, we obtained all the "Similar Proteins" from UniProt
for the TipN designator "Q2F5H9_CAUVC" (**Supplementary Table Tab 14)**.

Bacterial strains

The SAR11 representative *Candidatus* Pelagibacter ubique strain HTCC1062[77] was generously
provided by Dr. Stephen J. Giovannoni. Cultures of *Candidatus* Fonsibacter ubiquis strain
LSUCC0530 were maintained in the Thrash Lab culture collection[26]. We prepared cryostocks in
10% DMSO or 10% glycerol and stored them in a -80°C freezer or liquid nitrogen. Differences in
the reagents or storage conditions did not affect growth physiology in the subsequent cultivation
experiments, e.g., **Fig.1a**, **Extended Data Fig. 2ef**, **Extended Data Fig.3**, where some replicates
were revived from different cryoprotectant sources and showed reproducible phenotypes. *E. coli*
strain NCM3722 was generously provided by Dr. Terence T. Hwa and was used for testing the
applicability of SYBR ® Green 1 staining to infer ploidy levels (**Extended Data Fig. 9**). The
cryostocks were preserved in 10% glycerol stocks and stored in -80°C freezer.

Growth media

The media used in this study for growing SAR11 were adapted from three artificial seawater
recipes: AMS1[18], JW2[111], and JW5[26], with detailed chemical compositions provided in
Supplementary Table Tab 15 and 16. For culturing strain HTCC1062, we based our formulation
on AMS1 but made one key modification: we reduced the salinity from 36.1 ppt (AMS1) to 23.2
ppt, consistent with the JW2 recipe. This modification significantly improved the growth rate of
HTCC1062 (**Extended Data Fig. 3a-b**), and we designated this revised medium as CCM2. For
growing the freshwater SAR11 strain LSUCC0530, we further reduced the salinity of CCM2 to
1.45 ppt, matching the JW5 recipe, and referred to this modified medium as CCM5.

All media for growing SAR11 were prepared gravimetrically by assuming a density of 1.01 g/mL.
This estimate was based on weighing 50 mL of prepared media in a Falcon tube with volumetric
markings, yielding a mass of 50.3 g (1.006 g/mL), and was rounded to 1.01 g/mL for convenience.
Accordingly, MilliQ water volumes were determined by weight rather than volume, estimated via
e.g. a graduated cylinder, to improve accuracy (see **Supplementary Table Tab 15**).

To prepare 1 L of CCM2, we weighed 985.3703 g of MilliQ water into a 3.7% HCl acid-washed
container (Nalgene™ Polycarbonate Bottles). We then added the following components: 15.8965
g NaCl, 7.0702 g $MgCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$, 0.8401 g $NaHCO_3$, 1.0098 g $CaCl_2 \cdot 2H_2O$, 0.4975 g KCl, 0.11
g sodium pyruvate (1 mM), 15 mg glycine (0.2 mM), and 2.98 mg L-methionine (0.02 mM). To
this base, we added 2 mL of a 10,000x vitamin mix (the final concentration is 20x), 1 mL of a
1,000x iron mix, 10 µL of a 100,000x trace metal mix, and 0.2 mL of a 500 mM phosphate buffer.
After all components were dissolved, the media were sterilized by vacuum filtration through a 0.2
µm filter (Nalgene™ Rapid-Flow™ PES filter material, 0.2µm, 75mm membrane) into Pyrex®
glass bottles.

The concentrated stock solutions used for these additives were prepared and stored as follows.
The 500 mM phosphate buffer (1 L, pH 8.0), based on the Cold Spring Harbor sodium phosphate
buffer recipe [112], was prepared by dissolving 0.466 g Na_2HPO_4 and 0.034 g NaH_2PO_4 in 1,000
g of MilliQ water, followed by vacuum filtration through a 0.2 µm filter (Nalgene™ Rapid-Flow™
PES filter material, 0.2µm, 75mm membrane) and storage at room temperature. The 100,000x
trace metal mix (1 L) was prepared by dissolving 0.18 g $MnCl_2 \cdot 4H_2O$, 20 mg $ZnSO_4 \cdot H_2O$, 6.49
mg $CoCl_2$, 6.57 mg Na_2MoO_4 , 17.3 mg Na_2SeO_3 , and 13 mg $NiCl_2$ in 1,000 g of MilliQ water.
The solution was vacuum filter sterilized as above, aliquoted, and stored at 4°C. The 1000x iron
mix (1 L) consisted of 28 mg $FeSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ and 81 mg nitrilotriacetic acid disodium salt
($C_6H_7NO_6Na_2$), dissolved in 1,000 g of MilliQ water, sterilized by vacuum filtration as above,
aliquoted, and stored at 4°C. To prepare the 10,000x vitamin mix (1 L), we dissolved 1 g
$NaHCO_3$, 1.69 g thiamin (B1), 2.6 mg riboflavin (B2), 0.985 g nicotinic acid (B3), 1.013 g calcium
pantothenate (B5), 1.028 g pyridoxine (B6), 9.8 mg biotin (B7), 17.7 mg folic acid (B9), 9.5 mg
vitamin B12, 0.901 g myo-inositol, and 82.3 mg 4-aminobenzoic acid in 1000 g of MilliQ water.
The mixture was thoroughly dissolved, vacuum filtered through a 0.2 µm membrane as above,
aliquoted into sterile polypropylene tubes (VWR™), wrapped in foil to prevent light exposure, and
stored at 4°C.

We used 30 mM aspartate with M63 media under 37°C for growing *E. coli*, which is a slow-growing
condition that would keep *E. coli* in a non-overlapping cell cycle[71], to help validate the applicability
of using SYBR ® Green 1 for cellular DNA content quantification **(Extended Data Fig. 9)**. M63
minimal medium was prepared as follows: For 1 L of M63 media, we combined 3.0 g KH_2PO_4 ,
7.0 g $K_2HPO_4 \cdot 3H_2O$, 2.0 g $(NH_4)_2SO_4$, 0.5 mg $FeSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$, 0.246 g $MgSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ (final
concentration 1 mM), and 0.0111 g $CaCl_2$ (final concentration 0.1 mM) in approximately 900 mL
of MilliQ water. After all components were dissolved, the solution was sterilized by vacuum
filtration through a 0.2 µm filter (Nalgene™ Rapid-Flow™ PES filter material, 0.2µm, 75mm
membrane). We then added the following filter-sterilized components: 10 mL of 1 M thiamine-HCl
(final concentration 10 mg/L) and 30 mL of 1 M sodium aspartate (final concentration 30 mM).
The final volume was adjusted to 1 L with sterile MilliQ water. The 1 M thiamine-HCl stock solution

was prepared by dissolving 33.73 g thiamine hydrochloride in 100 mL of MilliQ water, vacuum
filter-sterilized through a 0.2 μm filter as above and stored at 4°C in the dark. The 1 M sodium
aspartate stock solution was prepared by dissolving 17.71 g sodium L-aspartate monohydrate in
100 mL of MilliQ water, vacuum filter-sterilized through a 0.2 μm filter as above, and stored at
4°C.

Bacterial Culturing

We used 3.7% HCl acid-washed and autoclaved polycarbonate flasks or bottles (Nalgene™,
TriForest) for all SAR11 culture experiments. Cultures were grown at room temperature (17–23°C,
Extended Data Fig. 5) in a regular storage cabinet without shaking, air sparging, or extra light
control. We found that culturing in a volume greater than 400 mL provided better reproducibility,
in contrast to the previously used 50 mL format[18] **(Extended Data Figs. 3b-c, 8)**. Cells were
revived from cyrostocks by either fully thawing the stocks (1 mL) or using a pipette tip to scratch
a tiny amount (~1–10 μL) and transferring them into fresh media. The revivals were then
transferred again to new fresh media before reaching stationary phase. We restricted our number
of transfers to less than 3 (at most 50 generations) to avoid potential mutations that might alter
physiology. For each condition perturbation experiment, cultures were first established under
optimal steady-state growth before transfer to experimental nutrient conditions. We defined
optimal steady-state growth as having the optimal doubling rate among tested conditions (1
doubling/day) and steady-state ploidy level distribution (Cooper-Helmstetter Index ~2). It is
important to note that the physiological data presented in this study represent outcomes of
condition shift experiments, where cultures were transferred from optimal steady-state growth
conditions to test conditions, rather than cultures adapted to, or initiated directly in, the
experimental media.

Note that the x-axis values in **Fig. 2d** represent additional chemical concentrations/compounds
added to the standard medium. Specifically, since 1 mM glycine was present in control media
(**Supplementary Table Tab 16**), "0 mM" indicates baseline 1 mM glycine, while "2 mM"
represents 2 mM added to the standard 1 mM glycine (totaling 3 mM glycine). For **Fig. 3**, as there
was no ammonium in the positive control medium, the concentrations are the final concentrations.
The ammonium concentrations in **Fig. 5** are the final concentrations.

For growing *E. coli*, we revived the cryostock by scratching a tiny amount of unthawed samples
(~1–10 μL) using a pipette tip into the fresh liquid media in polycarbonate flasks.

Cell counts
We measured cell densities by flow cytometry using an Accuri C6 Plus (BD) with a blue laser (488
nm) and sensors for both green (533 +/- 30 nm) and yellow (585 +/- 40 nm) fluorescence. We
used a 96-well polystyrene plate (Corning® Costar®) for setting up the staining reaction. The
staining protocol was strain specific to achieve clearly resolved peak distribution via green
fluorescence area (reflecting ploidy level) during steady state growth **(Extended Data Fig. 9)**. For
HTCC1062, each well contained 120 μL of liquid count sample, consisting of 12 μL of 100x SYBR
® Green 1 (Biotium or Lonza, final concentration 10x), 12 μL of 100x Tris-EDTA (Sigma-Aldrich

®, 10x final concentration — 100 mM Tris-HCl, 10 mM EDTA), 12 µL of 5% Glutaraldehyde (final
concentration 0.5%), and 84 µL of bacterial culture. For LSUCC0530, each well contained 6 µL
of 100x SYBR ® Green 1 (final concentration 5x) and 114 µL of bacterial culture. For *E. coli*
NCM3722 (as a reference), each well consisted of 12 µL of 100x SYBR ® Green 1, 12 µL of 100x
Tris-EDTA, 12 µL of 5% Glutaraldehyde, and 12 µL of RNAse (Invitrogen™ Ambion™ RNase
Cocktail™. RNAse cocktail had little effect on the SAR11 signals). The 100x SYBR ® Green 1
was diluted from the 10,000x vendor stock (Biotium or Lonza) with 100x Tris-EDTA. The 5%
Glutaraldehyde was diluted from the 25% vendor stock (Sigma-Aldrich ® Grade I) with MilliQ
water. After staining, the plate was incubated in dark for at least 30 minutes before flow cytometric
measurements. We maintained cell density within our count samples at below 1,000 cells/µL, and
therefore the "bacterial culture" mentioned above consisted of an aliquot from the growth culture
and MilliQ water as the diluent when concentrations in the growth culture exceeded 1,000 cells/µL.
We used the "Medium" preset flow rate (35 µL/min, 16 µm core). The threshold was set at a
minimum of 1,000 for green fluorescence intensity (labeled as "FL1-H" or "FITC-H" on the BD
Accuri software). Based on the excitation/emission spectrum of SYBR ® Green 1 binding DNA,
DNA fluorescence was expected to have a 3.5–6:1 ratio of green vs. yellow fluorescence (labeled
as "FL2-H" or "PE-H" in the BD Accuri software). Therefore, we gated with a diagonal ellipse on
the green vs. yellow fluorescence plot accordingly (**Extended Data Fig. 9a,c,e,g**). The cell density
in the well was calculated by the number of events that were gated as cells divided by the total
flow-through volume (30 µL). The dilution factor was then taken into account to calculate the cell
density from the culture samples. A small portion of cell counts and ploidy level distributions,
specifically those taken on day 55 in **Fig. 5,** were collected using Guava EasyCyte 5HT, with the
InCyte 3.1 software. Sample preparation was completed identically as when using the Accuri. We
used the preset medium flow rate (0.59 µL/sec) and gains for all the channels were default (100).
The triggering channel was set as "Green-B Fluorescence (GRN-B-HLin)" (the green fluorescence
intensity) with the threshold of 60.

Estimation of instantaneous doubling time τ

The method for estimating instantaneous doubling time is adapted from previous studies in the
same lab[113,114]. We applied a sliding window for every three time points across the full growth
curve, and applied a linear regression for *log2*(cell density) vs. time **(Extended Data Fig. 10)**. We
used the slope +/- one standard error as the candidate instantaneous doubling rates. The
candidate rates were assigned to the beginning, middle, and end of the sliding window time frame.
Finally, we interpolated the spatial averages of the candidate rates as the instantaneous doubling
rates vs. time. The implementation of the algorithm is included in our GitHub repository.

Ploidy level distribution

707   SYBR ® Green 1 selectively stains cellular DNA, and because SAR11 and *E. coli* do not exhibit
708   autofluorescence, the resulting fluorescence areas (fluorescence intensities integrated over time
709   for each flow cytometer event) reflect ploidy levels. When plotting histograms of green
710   fluorescence areas from gated flow cytometry events, we observed peaks that corresponded to
711   integral chromosome copy numbers for each strain (**Extended Data Fig. 9**). Linear regression of

the green fluorescence area vs. theoretical DNA content, calculated by the expected number of chromosome equivalents multiplied by the corresponding genome size of the strain, demonstrated a strong correlation between theoretical DNA content and measured fluorescence (R^2 = 0.990, P < 0.001, n = 8), validating the use of fluorescence intensity as a quantitative measure of chromosome equivalents (**Extended Data Fig. 9i**). Therefore, the distributions of fluorescence signals (defined as *f(x)* where *x* is the green fluorescence area, and *f(x)* is the curve that represent the relative frequency of *x*) were mapped to ploidy level distributions (defined as *p(a)* where *a* is the ploidy level) as follows: we identified the peak regions that corresponded to one chromosome equivalent as in **Fig. 2h-j**, **Extended Data Fig. 6a-c,g-i** and noted the mean green fluorescence area as x_{G1}. Then, $f(x) := p(a\, x_{G1})$, $p(a) := f(x/x_{G1})$. To handle many samples in high throughput, we wrote a Python script to automate the gating and ploidy level extraction process, maintaining the same principles as manual analysis on the BD Accuri software described above. The Python script used the fcsparser package to read and parse raw flow cytometry files (.fcs). The detailed code is included in the GitHub repository.

Cooper-Helmstetter Index

We defined the Cooper-Helmstetter Index as the ratio between maximum and minimum ploidy level of a population. To account for variation in signal vs. noise during measurements, we tested different X% cutoffs that excluded outlier data and took the ratio between X% and (100-X) % percentiles. We found that removing the highest and lowest 10% of the data resulted in an approximately 2:1 Cooper-Helmstetter Index for the *E. coli* control data under coordinated cell cycles from previous studies, reflecting the coordination between chromosome replication and cell division **(Supplementary materials)**. Therefore, unless otherwise specified, the Cooper-Helmstetter Index values reported in this study used a 10% cutoff.

Estimation of B, C, and D periods based on ploidy level distributions

One can simulate the expected ploidy level distribution based on the ratio between B, C, and D period duration and the generation time τ — B/τ, C/τ and D/τ[52]. This analytical simulation was based on the Cooper-Helmstetter model whereby parent cells have 2x the DNA content of newly divided children cells[50]. However, this method can only be applied to simulate steady-state populations. Therefore, we only used this method to calculate B, C, and D periods in samples where the Cooper-Helmstetter Index was smaller than 3. To estimate B/τ, C/τ, and D/τ, previous studies tested simulations that best matched the ploidy level distribution, either via manual iteration[115] or closed-source programming[116]. We used Python for our implementation, available on GitHub (https://github.com/thrash-lab/SAR11_cell_cycle). Notably, the ploidy level distribution only estimates B/τ, C/τ, and D/τ, i.e. the ratio between the durations of each period and the doubling time, so we calculated absolute durations of B, C, and D periods by multiplying B/τ, C/τ, and D/τ by τ. To verify our estimations of B, C, and D periods, we did DNA "runoff" experiments through antibiotics treatments. The details of our cell cycle dynamics estimation and verification could be found in **Supplementary Text**.

Cell lysis experiments

751 To investigate the fate of polyploid cells and validate our observations of increased background
752 fluorescence in high ammonium samples **(Extended Data Fig. 7)**, we conducted controlled cell
753 lysis experiments. We added 50 µL of DNA/RNA lysis buffer (Zymo Research) to 1 mL aliquots
754 of culture samples in 2 mL microcentrifuge tubes. Flow cytometry measurements were taken at
755 regular intervals (0.0, 1.2, 2.4, 3.6, 6.0, and 10.1 hours) to track changes in cellular integrity and
756 fluorescence patterns. The gated fraction was calculated as the percentage of events falling within
757 the elliptical gate defined by the green versus yellow fluorescence ratio characteristic of intact,
758 DNA-stained cells, as described above.

Subpopulation growth model

760 We implemented a quantitative subpopulation growth model as previously developed[106]: $\lambda_p =$

761 $\lambda \log2(1+\Phi)$, where λ_p is the population doubling rate, λ is the doubling rate of the active

762 subpopulation (fraction Φ of the total), assuming cells in the remaining fraction *(1-Φ)* do not divide.
763 This model assumes exponential growth is driven by a fraction of cells dividing normally. We
764 assumed across all ammonium levels that active subpopulations maintained the same doubling
765 rate (λ = 1.1 divisions/day) as observed under no ammonium conditions, where Φ = 1.0 (all cells
766 actively dividing). Under excessive nitrogen conditions, Φ < 1 was determined by comparing
767 ploidy level distributions to identify cells with $\geq$ 2 chromosome equivalents resulting from division
768 inhibition. We defined the ploidy level distribution of positive control (Φ = 1.0) as $p_0(a)$, *a*
769 represents the ploidy level in number of chromosome equivalents, the ploidy level distribution of
770 samples with aneuploidy (Φ < 1.0) as *p(a)*. To estimate Φ, we normalized both ploidy distributions
771 ($p_0(a)$ and p(a)) to the same height at the one-chromosome peak (*a = 1*), ensuring comparability

772 **(Fig. 4ab)**. Then, Φ was calculated as the ratio of their total areas: $\Phi = \int_a [p_0(a)/p_0(1)] \div \int_a$

773 *[p(a)/p(1)]*. This represents the proportion of cells under the experimental condition that match the
774 DNA content distribution of normally dividing cells.

Dynamic light scattering

776 Dynamic light scattering (DLS) measurements were performed using a Wyatt Mobius Zetasizer
777 at the Center of Excellence in NanoBiophysics at USC. 2 mL of live cell culture was aliquoted into
778 the cuvette (BRAND ® PS, 1.5 mL semi-micro) and loaded into the laser slot of the DLS machine.
779 Data acquisition and instrument control were managed through the DYNAMICS V7 software. For
780 all measurements, we applied the following parameters: DLS acquisition time of 5 seconds, read
781 interval of 1 second, 20 DLS acquisitions per measurement, auto-attenuation time limit of 60
782 seconds, and normal laser mode. We utilized the pre-configured "Sodium Chloride 2.5%" solvent
783 profile with the following specifications: refractive index of 1.3374 (measured at 589 nm and
784 20°C), aqueous temperature model, viscosity of 1.044 cP at 20°C, fixed dielectric constant model,
785 and a dielectric constant value of 74.39161493. To export the DLS measurements, we selected
786 the "regularization graph" function in the software and set the resolution bar to maximum (highest
787 resolution) in the control panel. We configured the regularization graph with "Radius" as the x-
788 axis and "%Number" as the y-axis, using "Rayleigh Spheres" as the analytical model. This

configuration displayed a frequency histogram of Rayleigh sphere radii. Data were exported by
right clicking the cursor and selecting "Export" to obtain the histogram data. The mean Rayleigh
sphere radius was calculated by taking the weighted average (dot product) of the frequency
values (%Number) and their corresponding radii from the histogram.

Epifluorescence microscopy

We stained the HTCC1062 cells in 15 mL Falcon tubes, in the same proportion as our flow
cytometry staining protocol, with 7mL of cell culture, 1mL of 100x SYBR ® Green 1, 1mL of 100x
Tris-EDTA, and 1mL of 5% Glutaraldehyde. The Falcon tube was incubated in the dark for at least
30 minutes, then harvested with 25 mm black polycarbonate filters (Isopore™ 0.2 µm Blk PC
membrane) through vacuum filtration. Filters were then placed on glass slides and imaged
through fluorescence microscope Axioskop 2 with AxioCam Cm1 (Zeiss).
800
801

Tables and Figures

Table 1| Distributions of canonical cell cycle regulatory proteins across SAR11 subclades based on our pangenomic analysis (left) and within selected bacterial taxa on UniProt (right). The DnaA protein serves as a positive reference that was expected to be present in all or at least most of the genomes. In the SAR11 pangenomic analyses section, the number of genomes with the protein out of the total number of genomes from each subclade is shown. White boxes indicate complete absence of the protein, while gray boxes show partial presence. Detailed presence or absence of each protein under each SAR11 genome is presented in **Supplementary Table Tab 1**. In the UniProt section, absolute counts are presented instead of fractions, and therefore DnaA as the positive control is expected to have the highest numbers. References for protein functions in model organisms are provided in the rightmost column. **(*)** The UniProt search yielded a higher number of MinD proteins than DnaA, which can result from organisms having multiple MinD InterProScan ID search results. **(**)** Up to September 5th, 2025, TipN did not have an Interprocan ID, nor was registered in any protein family databases on UniProt. Therefore, the number reported for TipN in the SAR11 pangenomic analysis was obtained from blastp searches **(Supplementary Table Tab 13)** and in UniProt search by identifying similar protein sequences (50% identity cutoff) **(Supplementary Table Tab 14)**.

Figure 1| SAR11 growth response to nutrient enrichment. (a) Growth curves of SAR11 strain HTCC1062 under increasing nutrient concentrations (0.5x to 10x). The number of replicates for each growth curve are specified within each plot. **(b)** Instantaneous doubling rates across nutrient concentrations. **(c)** Saturation cell density across nutrient concentrations. **(d)** Flow cytometry histograms of cellular DNA content showing ploidy distributions during exponential (red) and stationary (blue) growth phases across nutrient concentrations. **(e)** Cooper-Helmstetter Index (ratio of maximum to minimum ploidy) across nutrient concentrations. Dashed lines indicate trends. **(f)** Saturation cellular DNA concentration (chromosome equivalents per mL) across nutrient concentrations.

Figure 2| Different factors triggering cell cycle dysregulation in SAR11. (a-f) SAR11 growth rates and yields (top panels) with corresponding Cooper-Helmstetter Index measurements (bottom panels) under various conditions. **(a)** Effect of pyruvate-to-glycine ratios, with cultures transferred from an optimal 5:1 ratio to the experimental ratios. **(b)** Proportional scaling of pyruvate and glycine concentrations while maintaining an optimal 5:1 ratio, with cultures transferred from a 1 mM pyruvate/0.2 mM glycine baseline. **(c)** Effect of inorganic nitrogen sources: ammonium (NH_4^+) and nitrate (NO_3^-) at 0–8 mM, with cultures transferred from 5 mM pyruvate/1 mM glycine without additional inorganic nitrogen. **(d)** Differential effects of organic nitrogen sources: glycine versus alanine. Red "+" indicates additional compounds added to base media, not absolute concentrations. **(e)** Carbon source shifts from pyruvate to glucose while maintaining equivalent carbon concentrations. **(f)** Temperature responses (16–30°C) under different glycine concentrations, with cultures transferred from room temperature. **(g)** Relationship between instantaneous doubling rate and Cooper-Helmstetter Index revealing three distinct response categories: *i)* growth inhibition with aneuploidy, *ii)* growth inhibition without aneuploidy, and *iii)* normal growth. **(h-j)** Flow cytometry profiles of cellular DNA content under representative conditions from each response category. Additional experimental data are shown in **Fig. 3** and **Extended Data Figs. 1, 2, and 4**. Detailed nutrient conditions are provided in **Supplementary Table Tab 16**.

Figure 3| High-resolution temporal analysis of excessive ammonium effects on SAR11 cell cycle regulation. (a-d) Time course measurements of SAR11 growth parameters under varying ammonium concentrations (0-4 mM): (a) cell density, (b) total DNA, (c) instantaneous doubling rate, and (d) Cooper-

Helmstetter Index. **(e-h)** Flow cytometry histograms showing DNA content distributions over time under
different ammonium concentrations: (e) 0 mM, (f) 0.2 mM, (g) 2 mM, and (h) 4 mM NH_4^+. Detailed nutrient
concentrations are provided in **Supplementary Table Tab 16**. Dashed lines separate exponential and
stationary growth phases. **(i)** Theoretical ploidy distribution showing maximum likelihood estimation (see
Methods) of B (between cell birth and DNA replication initiation), C (DNA replication), and D (between
replication completion and cell division) periods (in colored contours) fitted to experimental measurements
(gray histogram). **(j)** Schematic representation of bacterial cell cycle with B, C, and D periods across one
generation (τ). **(k)** Ammonium stress extends SAR11 generation time and delays cell division. Cell cycle
period durations under increasing ammonium concentrations, estimated from ploidy level distributions
collected during the first five days of growth. Statistical comparisons between treatments (* p < 0.05, ** p <
0.01, *** p < 0.001; Mann-Whitney U tests comparing 0 mM vs 2 mM and 0 mM vs 4 mM NH_4^+).

Figure 4| Subpopulation model quantitatively validates the link between cell cycle heterogeneity
and growth inhibition. (a-b) Ploidy level distributions comparing optimal growth conditions (0 mM NH_4^+,
blue contour) with high ammonium conditions (4 mM NH_4^+, orange contour) at **(a)** day 3 and **(b)** day 9.
Area under the orange contours is shaded either gray or orange: the orange areas are exactly covered
under the blue contour, indicating the subpopulation of the orange contour that is under optimal ploidy level,
with the fraction of Φ in the subpopulation model, the gray areas represent the subpopulations that are
under aneuploidy defined as $1-\Phi$ (see **Methods**). **(c)** Relationship between population instantaneous
doubling rate λ_p and fraction of cells with optimal ploidy levels Φ across different ammonium concentrations.

Dashed curve represents predictions from the active subpopulation model, $\lambda_p = \lambda \, log2(1+\Phi)$, not a fit to

the data (see **Methods**). **(d)** Conceptual model showing how excessive nitrogen creates heterogeneous
populations: cells maintaining optimal ploidy continue dividing at normal rates while aneuploid cells fail to
divide, eventually leading to cell lysis. Solid arrow colors correspond to ammonium concentrations, and the
greater the ammonium, the more cells become aneuploid. The dashed black arrow reflects the fact that
many other environmental perturbations also lead to aneuploidy.

Figure 5| Cell cycle vulnerability extends to freshwater SAR11 strain LSUCC0530 with the P-II
system. (a-f) Time course measurements of strain LSUCC0530 growth parameters under varying
ammonium concentrations (0.5-4 mM, detailed nutrient concentrations are in **Supplementary Table Tab**
16) at two temperatures: (a,c,e) 16°C and (b,d,f) 25°C, showing (a-b) cell density, (c-d) instantaneous
doubling rate, and (e-f) Cooper-Helmstetter Index. **(g-l)** Ploidy level distributions over time under different
ammonium concentrations and temperatures: (g-i) 16°C and (j-l) 25°C, with ammonium concentrations of
(g,j) 0.5 mM, (h,k) 2 mM, and (i,l) 4 mM NH_4^+. Each condition is in duplicates.

881
882
883

884   # Extended Data Figures

885
Extended Data Figure 1| Effect of pyruvate:glycine ratios on SAR11 growth and cell cycle regulation.
(a-c) Growth curves showing SAR11 responses to different glycine and pyruvate concentrations: (a) glycine
experiment with 0.1 mM pyruvate, anchor condition 20 μM glycine; (b) glycine experiment with 1 mM
pyruvate, anchor condition 200 μM glycine; (c) pyruvate experiment with 20 μM glycine, anchor condition 1
mM pyruvate. **(d-e)** Doubling rates and saturation cell density under different glycine concentrations.
Dashed curves represent high pyruvate (1 mM) and vitamins (20X); solid curves represent low pyruvate
(0.1 mM) and vitamins (2X). **(f-g)** Doubling rates and saturation cell density under various pyruvate

concentrations with fixed glycine (20 µM) and vitamins (20X). **(h-k)** Ploidy level distributions across
exponential (red) and stationary (blue) phases and Cooper-Helmstetter Index: (h,i) low pyruvate and vitamin
conditions (solid curves in d,e); (j,k) high pyruvate and vitamin conditions (dashed curves in d,e). For 4 mM
glycine in high pyruvate conditions (j,k), absence of red data indicates no growth. **(l,m)** Ploidy level
distributions and Cooper-Helmstetter Index under various pyruvate concentrations corresponding to panels
(f,g). Media compositions are provided in **Supplementary Table Tab 16**.
899
Extended Data Figure 2| Growth curves and ploidy distributions for experiments described in Fig.
2. Detailed media compositions are provided in **Supplementary Table Tab 16.** For conditions where
Cooper-Helmstetter Index remained around 2 throughout the time course, detailed ploidy distributions over
time are not shown as they are essentially identical to the positive control in (a). **(a)** Raw data of **Fig. 2b**,
showing growth curves, Cooper-Helmstetter Index over time, and ploidy distributions during growth and
stationary phases. **(b,c)** Results of two independent vitamin experiments under different pyruvate (100 µM
vs. 1 mM), glycine (20 µM vs. 200 µM) and ammonium chloride (800 µM vs. 0) concentrations. **(d)** Excessive
leucine experiment. **(e)** Corresponds to the alanine experiment data in **Fig. 2f**. **(f)** Physiological effect of
excessive taurine. **(g)** Effect of excessive adenine. **(h)** Pyruvate to glucose shift experiment in **Fig. 2e**. **(i)**
Temperature experiment in **Fig. 2d**.
910
Extended Data Figure 3| Growth curves of HTCC1062 under (a) adapted AMS1 media in 50 mL, the
specific concentration of each vitamin was slightly different from the original AMS1 recipe[18]. **(b)** "1x"
condition as in **Fig. 1** in 50 mL, **(c)** "1x" condition in large volume (> 500 mL), and **(d)** CCM2 media in large
volume. The detailed media recipes can be found in **Supplementary Table Tabs 15 and 16**. The "1x"
condition in (b) and (c) have the same nutrient concentrations as AMS1 media, but under lower salinity
(23.3 ppt vs. 36.1 ppt). Discrepancies in the number of replicates resulted from performing different sets of
experiments with different volumes. We have included data from all experiments conducted.
918
Extended Data Figure 4| HTCC1062 cell cycle physiology under various phosphate concentrations,
including (a) growth curves, (b) doubling rates, (c) saturation cell density, (d) ploidy level
distribution, (e) Cooper-Helmstetter Index (10% cutoff). 100 µM was the anchor condition that was
transferred to each experimental condition. For the 0.1 µM sample, we made 1L of phosphate-free media
to which we transferred 1 mL of the seed culture (at 100 µM phosphate starting concentration). The 1000-
fold dilution therefore set the upper limit of the carried-over phosphate at 0.1 µM. The detailed media recipes
are in **Supplementary Table Tab 16**.
926
927 **Extended Data Figure 5| Laboratory room temperature monitoring during experiments.** Temperature
928 measurements (°C) over time showing maximum (blue), minimum (green), and average (orange) daily
929 temperatures in the laboratory where SAR11 HTCC1062 cultures were maintained. Data collected using
930 iMonnit temperature monitoring system. Room temperature typically fluctuated between 17-23°C, which
931 corresponds to the "room temperature" conditions referenced throughout the experiments.
932
Extended Data Figure 6| Elevated ammonium induces cell size polymorphism and morphological
abnormalities in SAR11. (a-d) First experimental batch showing: Flow cytometry profiles of cellular DNA
content during exponential growth phase with different ammonium concentrations: (a) 0 mM, (b) 4 mM, and
(c) 6 mM NH_4 Cl, revealing progressive increase in polyploidy with higher ammonium levels, alongside (d)
dynamic light scattering measurements of cell size (Rayleigh sphere radius) from the same cultures,
demonstrating significantly increased cell size with higher ammonium concentrations. (e-j) Second
experimental batch under identical conditions showing: Flow cytometry data from exponential phase
cultures with (e) 0 mM, (f) 4 mM, and (g) 8 mM NH_4 Cl, with corresponding epifluorescence microscopy
images (h-j) of SYBR ® Green-stained cells from the same cultures, revealing normal rod-shaped

morphology in control conditions versus elongated filamentous forms in high ammonium conditions. Scale
bars = 5 µm. More microscopic images from the same samples are available on FigShare
(https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.29396375.v1).
945

Extended Data Figure 7| Flow cytometry gated fraction analysis and cell lysis experiments. (a)
Variation of flow cytometry gated fraction at each time point across the growth curves under different
ammonium chloride concentrations in Figure 3. Examples of the calculation of gated fractions are shown in
(b-e). (b-e) Flow cytometry scatter plots showing green versus yellow fluorescence with gating ellipses and
percentages of gated events at different time points and ammonium concentrations: (b) 0 mM NH_4^+ day
8, (c) 4 mM NH_4^+ day 9, (d) 0 mM NH_4^+ day 22, and (e) 4 mM NH_4^+ day 22. (f) Time course of gated
fraction decline during lysis buffer treatment. (g-l) Flow cytometry scatter plots showing the progressive
breakdown of cellular integrity over time following addition of lysis buffer, with time points from 0.0 to 10.1
hours demonstrating the transition from intact cells to cellular debris.
955

Extended Data Figure 8| Effect of culturing volumes on the growth physiology. Samples labeled with
"(50 mL)" are 50 mL liquid culturing in 125 mL flasks, otherwise are in the normal culturing conditions (>
400 mL as described in methods). "1X" and "2X" media are the same as in **Fig.1** and **Extended Data Fig.
1.** Here, we are showing the **(a)** growth curves, **(b, c)** violin plots with data points, and the p-value of the
two-sample t-test for doubling rates and saturation cell densities**.** Discrepancies in the number of replicates
resulted from performing different sets of experiments with different volumes. We have included data from
all experiments conducted.

Extended Data Figure 9| Flow cytometry gating strategy and ploidy calibration. (a-h) Flow cytometry
scatter plots showing green versus yellow fluorescence (left panels) and corresponding DNA content
histograms (right panels) for different bacterial strains and conditions: (a-b) SAR11 HTCC1062 samples
with coordinated cell cycles, (c-d) SAR11 HTCC1062 samples with polyploidy, (e-f) SAR11 LSUCC0530,
and (g-h) *E. coli* NCM3722. Green diagonal gates define cellular events based on the expected 3.5-6:1
ratio of green to yellow fluorescence for SYBR ® Green-stained DNA. In the histograms, peaks are gated
and labeled according to genome equivalents (G1 = 1 chromosome, G2 = 2 chromosomes, etc.), with
percentages indicating the proportion of events within each ploidy peak. (i) Linear regression between
theoretical DNA content and green fluorescence area across different bacterial strains. The data points are
in **Supplementary Table Tab. 17**.

Extended Data Figure 10| Example growth curve analysis. (a) Log 2 transformed cell density across
time. Lines in different colors are the least squares linear regression fit within a sliding window of 3 time
points across the full growth curve. **(b)** The estimation of instantaneous doubling rates for each sliding
window are plotted as scattering dots with the corresponding color as in (a). The red curve is the non-
parametric spatial average fit.

Supplementary information

Supplementary Information| The supplementary materials include text and figures covering a basic background discussion of bacterial cell cycles[28], reanalysis of population ploidy levels from previous studies for *E. coli* [29,52,117], *V. cholerae[118]*, and SAR11 HTCC1062[18], reanalysis of DNA fluorescence microscopic image of SAR11 HTCC1062[18], and our DNA "runoff" experiments with antibiotic treatments for cell cycle dynamics validation in HTCC1062.

Supplementary Table

A Microsoft Excel file with 17 tabs as follows:

1. Marker genes - all genomes| Presence or absence of each cell cycle marker gene (**Table 1**) in each SAR11 genome using a previously generated pangenome analysis[27]. The order of the genomes is the same as in the phylogenomic tree of the reference study[27].

2–12| Detailed bacterial taxonomic distribution for each of the cell cycle marker genes in **Table 1**. Searches were completed on July 30th, 2025.

13. TipN BLASTP| Results of the BLASTP search of the *C. crescentus* TipN protein sequence against the total 551,103 amino acids sequences from 470 SAR11 genomes as well as the outgroup HIMB59 genome. 9 sequences were identified by the blastp search. As they were also identified in the InterProScan search, we also show the results of InterProScan annotation.

14. TipN on UniProt| Similar proteins to the *C. crescentus* TipN on UniProt. Searches were completed on September 1st, 2025.

15. CCM2 recipe| Detailed medium recipe for our new optimized medium (CCM2) that facilitated HTCC1062 growth rates near 1 doubling/day and yields reaching 10^9 cells/mL **(Extended Data Fig. 3d)**, including the molar and mass concentrations of all components, as well as the concentrated stock concentrations for some of the components.

16. All media recipes| Molar concentrations of the chemical components for all the media used in this study. The components that had varying concentrations in a given experiment are highlighted.

17. Fluorescence vs. Ploidy| Data for the fluorescence signal and ploidy level estimation in **Extended Data Fig. 9**.

Data Availability

1017   Epifluorescence microscopic images beyond those of **Extended Data Fig. 6**
1018   (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.29396375.v1) and the results of the SAR11 InterProScan
1019   analyses and prior CheckM output for all taxa[27]
1020   (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.30087295.v1) are hosted externally at FigShare.

Code Availability

1021

1022   We provide python code in Google Colab Notebook format in our GitHub repository
1023   (https://github.com/thrash-lab/SAR11_cell_cycle).

1024

References

1. Giovannoni, S. J., Thrash, J. C. & Temperton, B. Implications of streamlining theory for microbial ecology. *ISME J.* **8**, 1553–1565 (2014).

2. McCutcheon, J. P. & Moran, N. A. Extreme genome reduction in symbiotic bacteria. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **10**, 13–26 (2011).

3. Morris, R. M. *et al.* SAR11 clade dominates ocean surface bacterioplankton communities. *Nature* **420**, 806–810 (2002).

4. Schattenhofer, M. *et al.* Latitudinal distribution of prokaryotic picoplankton populations in the Atlantic Ocean. *Environ. Microbiol.* **11**, 2078–2093 (2009).

5. Giovannoni, S. J. SAR11 Bacteria: The Most Abundant Plankton in the Oceans. *Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci.* **9**, 231–255 (2017).

6. Giovannoni, S. J. *et al.* Genome streamlining in a cosmopolitan oceanic bacterium. *Science* **309**, 1242–1245 (2005).

7. Grote, J. *et al.* Streamlining and core genome conservation among highly divergent members of the SAR11 clade. *MBio* **3**, (2012).

8. Noell, S. E. & Giovannoni, S. J. SAR11 bacteria have a high affinity and multifunctional glycine betaine transporter. *Environ. Microbiol.* **21**, 2559–2575 (2019).

9. Clifton, B. E., Alcolombri, U., Uechi, G.-I., Jackson, C. J. & Laurino, P. The ultra-high affinity transport proteins of ubiquitous marine bacteria. *Nature* **634**, 721–728 (2024).

10. Grzymski, J. J. & Dussaq, A. M. The significance of nitrogen cost minimization in proteomes of marine microorganisms. *ISME J.* **6**, 71–80 (2012).

11. Gibson, D. G. *et al.* Creation of a bacterial cell controlled by a chemically synthesized genome. *Science* **329**, 52–56 (2010).

12. Lachance, J.-C., Rodrigue, S. & Palsson, B. O. Minimal cells, maximal knowledge. *Elife* **8**, e45379 (2019).

13. Moger-Reischer, R. Z. *et al.* Evolution of a minimal cell. *bioRxiv* 2021.06.30.450565 (2021) doi:10.1101/2021.06.30.450565.

14. Cottrell, M. T. & Kirchman, D. L. Transcriptional Control in Marine Copiotrophic and Oligotrophic Bacteria with Streamlined Genomes. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **82**, 6010–6018 (2016).

15. Noell, S. E., Hellweger, F. L., Temperton, B. & Giovannoni, S. J. A reduction of transcriptional regulation in aquatic oligotrophic microorganisms enhances fitness in nutrient-poor environments. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* **87**, e0012422 (2023).

16. Tripp, H. J. *et al.* SAR11 marine bacteria require exogenous reduced sulphur for growth. *Nature* **452**, 741–744 (2008).

17. Tripp, H. J. *et al.* Unique glycine-activated riboswitch linked to glycine-serine auxotrophy in SAR11. *Environ. Microbiol.* **11**, 230–238 (2009).

18. Carini, P., Steindler, L., Beszteri, S. & Giovannoni, S. J. Nutrient requirements for growth of the extreme oligotroph 'Candidatus Pelagibacter ubique' HTCC1062 on a defined medium. *ISME J.* **7**, 592–602 (2013).

19. Carlson, C. A. *et al.* Seasonal dynamics of SAR11 populations in the euphotic and mesopelagic zones of the northwestern Sargasso Sea. *ISME J.* **3**, 283–295 (2009).

20. Becker, J. W., Hogle, S. L., Rosendo, K. & Chisholm, S. W. Co-culture and biogeography of

Prochlorococcus and SAR11. *ISME J.* **13**, 1506–1519 (2019).

21. Dethlefsen, L. & Schmidt, T. M. Performance of the translational apparatus varies with the
ecological strategies of bacteria. *J. Bacteriol.* **189**, 3237–3245 (2007).

22. Roller, B. R. K., Stoddard, S. F. & Schmidt, T. M. Exploiting rRNA operon copy number to
investigate bacterial reproductive strategies. *Nat Microbiol* **1**, 16160 (2016).

23. Schwalbach, M. S., Tripp, H. J., Steindler, L., Smith, D. P. & Giovannoni, S. J. The
presence of the glycolysis operon in SAR11 genomes is positively correlated with ocean
productivity. *Environ. Microbiol.* **12**, 490–500 (2010).

24. Carini, P., White, A. E., Campbell, E. O. & Giovannoni, S. J. Methane production by
phosphate-starved SAR11 chemoheterotrophic marine bacteria. *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 4346
(2014).

25. Lankiewicz, T. S., Cottrell, M. T. & Kirchman, D. L. Growth rates and rRNA content of four
marine bacteria in pure cultures and in the Delaware estuary. *ISME J.* **10**, 823–832 (2016).

26. Henson, M. W., Lanclos, V. C., Faircloth, B. C. & Thrash, J. C. Cultivation and genomics of
the first freshwater SAR11 (LD12) isolate. *ISME J.* **12**, 1846–1860 (2018).

27. Lanclos, V. C. *et al.* Ecophysiology and genomics of the brackish water adapted SAR11
subclade IIIa. *ISME J.* **17**, 620–629 (2023).

28. Willis, L. & Huang, K. C. Sizing up the bacterial cell cycle. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **15**, 606–620
(2017).

29. Olsson, J. A., Nordström, K., Hjort, K. & Dasgupta, S. Eclipse-synchrony relationship in
Escherichia coli strains with mutations affecting sequestration, initiation of replication and
superhelicity of the bacterial chromosome. *J. Mol. Biol.* **334**, 919–931 (2003).

30. Levin, P. A., Shim, J. J. & Grossman, A. D. Effect of minCD on FtsZ ring position and polar
septation in Bacillus subtilis. *J. Bacteriol.* **180**, 6048–6051 (1998).

31. Sundararajan, K. *et al.* The bacterial tubulin FtsZ requires its intrinsically disordered linker
to direct robust cell wall construction. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 7281 (2015).

32. Dubarry, N., Willis, C. R., Ball, G., Lesterlin, C. & Armitage, J. P. In Vivo Imaging of the
Segregation of the 2 Chromosomes and the Cell Division Proteins of Rhodobacter
sphaeroides Reveals an Unexpected Role for MipZ. *MBio* **10**, (2019).

33. Pelletier, J. F. *et al.* Genetic requirements for cell division in a genomically minimal cell. *Cell*
184, 2430–2440.e16 (2021).

34. Messer, W. The bacterial replication initiator DnaA. DnaA and oriC, the bacterial mode to
initiate DNA replication. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **26**, 355–374 (2002).

35. Katayama, T., Ozaki, S., Keyamura, K. & Fujimitsu, K. Regulation of the replication cycle:
conserved and diverse regulatory systems for DnaA and oriC. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **8**, 163–
170 (2010).

36. Fujikawa, N. *et al.* Structural and biochemical analyses of hemimethylated DNA binding by
the SeqA protein. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **32**, 82–92 (2004).

37. Boye, E. & Løbner-Olesen, A. The role of dam methyltransferase in the control of DNA
replication in E. coli. *Cell* **62**, 981–989 (1990).

38. Olsson, J., Dasgupta, S., Berg, O. G. & Nordström, K. Eclipse period without sequestration
in Escherichia coli. *Mol. Microbiol.* **44**, 1429–1440 (2002).

39. Blair, J. A. *et al.* Branched signal wiring of an essential bacterial cell-cycle phosphotransfer
protein. *Structure* **21**, 1590–1601 (2013).

40. Krupka, M., Sobrinos-Sanguino, M., Jiménez, M., Rivas, G. & Margolin, W. Escherichia coli
ZipA organizes FtsZ polymers into dynamic ring-like protofilament structures. *MBio* **9**,
(2018).
41. Hale, C. A. & de Boer, P. A. Direct binding of FtsZ to ZipA, an essential component of the
septal ring structure that mediates cell division in E. coli. *Cell* **88**, 175–185 (1997).
42. Pichoff, S., Du, S. & Lutkenhaus, J. Roles of FtsEX in cell division. *Res. Microbiol.* **170**,
374–380 (2019).
43. Meier, E. L. *et al.* FtsEX-mediated regulation of the final stages of cell division reveals
morphogenetic plasticity in Caulobacter crescentus. *PLoS Genet.* **13**, e1006999 (2017).
44. Machado, L. E. S. F. *et al.* NMR study of the interaction between MinC and FtsZ and
modeling of the FtsZ:MinC complex. *J. Biol. Chem.* **301**, 108169 (2025).
45. Nußbaum, P. *et al.* An oscillating MinD protein determines the cellular positioning of the
motility machinery in Archaea. *Curr. Biol.* **30**, 4956–4972.e4 (2020).
46. Corrales-Guerrero, L. *et al.* MipZ caps the plus-end of FtsZ polymers to promote their rapid
disassembly. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **119**, e2208227119 (2022).
47. Sogues, A. *et al.* Essential dynamic interdependence of FtsZ and SepF for Z-ring and
septum formation in Corynebacterium glutamicum. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 1641 (2020).
48. Letzkus, M., Trela, C. & Mera, P. E. Three factors ParA, TipN, and DnaA-mediated
chromosome replication initiation are contributors of centromere segregation in Caulobacter
crescentus. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **35**, ar68 (2024).
49. Oren, A. A plea for linguistic accuracy - also for Candidatus taxa. *Int. J. Syst. Evol.*
Microbiol. **67**, 1085–1094 (2017).
50. Cooper, S. & Helmstetter, C. E. Chromosome replication and the division cycle of
Escherichia coli B/r. *J. Mol. Biol.* **31**, 519–540 (1968).
51. Jun, S., Si, F., Pugatch, R. & Scott, M. Fundamental principles in bacterial physiology—
history, recent progress, and the future with focus on cell size control: a review. *Rep. Prog.*
Phys. **81**, 056601 (2018).
52. Skarstad, K., Steen, H. B. & Boye, E. Escherichia coli DNA distributions measured by flow
cytometry and compared with theoretical computer simulations. *J. Bacteriol.* **163**, 661–668
(1985).
53. Fu, H., Uchimiya, M., Gore, J. & Moran, M. A. Ecological drivers of bacterial community
assembly in synthetic phycospheres. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **117**, 3656–3662
(2020).
54. Edwards, T. M. *et al.* Ammonia and aquatic ecosystems - A review of global sources,
biogeochemical cycling, and effects on fish. *Sci. Total Environ.* **907**, 167911 (2024).
55. Amin, S. A. *et al.* Interaction and signalling between a cosmopolitan phytoplankton and
associated bacteria. *Nature* **522**, 98–101 (2015).
56. Daniel, R. M. & Danson, M. J. Temperature and the catalytic activity of enzymes: a fresh
understanding. *FEBS Lett.* **587**, 2738–2743 (2013).
57. Løbner-Olesen, A., Skarstad, K., Hansen, F. G., von Meyenburg, K. & Boye, E. The DnaA
protein determines the initiation mass of Escherichia coli K-12. *Cell* **57**, 881–889 (1989).
58. Bremer, H. & Churchward, G. Deoxyribonucleic acid synthesis after inhibition of initiation of
rounds of replication in Escherichia coli B/r. *J. Bacteriol.* **130**, 692–697 (1977).
59. Dai, K. & Lutkenhaus, J. The proper ratio of FtsZ to FtsA is required for cell division to

occur in Escherichia coli. *J. Bacteriol.* **174**, 6145–6151 (1992).

60. Luo, H., Csűros, M., Hughes, A. L. & Moran, M. A. Evolution of divergent life history
strategies in marine Alphaproteobacteria. *MBio* **4**, (2013).

61. Smith, D. P. *et al.* Proteomic and transcriptomic analyses of 'Candidatus Pelagibacter
ubique' describe the first PII-independent response to nitrogen limitation in a free-living
Alphaproteobacterium. *MBio* **4**, e00133–12 (2013).

62. Lee, C. Characterizing Growth Promoters and Inhibitors of SAR11 Pelagibacter sp.
HTCC7211. (2013).

63. Braakman, R. *et al.* Global niche partitioning of purine and pyrimidine cross-feeding among
ocean microbes. *Sci. Adv.* **11**, (2025).

64. Monod, J. The Growth of Bacterial Cultures. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **3**, 371–394 (1949).

65. Held, N. A. *et al.* Nutrient colimitation is a quantitative, dynamic property of microbial
populations. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **121**, e2400304121 (2024).

66. Müller, T., Walter, B., Wirtz, A. & Burkovski, A. Ammonium toxicity in bacteria. *Curr.*
Microbiol. **52**, 400–406 (2006).

67. Heinrich, K., Leslie, D. J., Morlock, M., Bertilsson, S. & Jonas, K. Molecular basis and
ecological relevance of *Caulobacter* cell filamentation in freshwater habitats. *MBio* **10**,
(2019).

68. Hishinuma, F., Izaki, K. & Takahashi, H. Effects of Glycine andd-amino acids on growth of
various microorganisms. *Agric. Biol. Chem.* **33**, 1577–1586 (1969).

69. Schaechter, M., MaalØe, O. & Kjeldgaard, N. O. Dependency on Medium and Temperature
of Cell Size and Chemical Composition during Balanced Growth of Salmonella
typhimurium. *Microbiology* **19**, 592–606 (1958).

70. Si, F. *et al.* Invariance of Initiation Mass and Predictability of Cell Size in Escherichia coli.
Curr. Biol. **27**, 1278–1287 (2017).

71. Zheng, H. *et al.* General quantitative relations linking cell growth and the cell cycle in
Escherichia coli. *Nat Microbiol* (2020) doi:10.1038/s41564-020-0717-x.

72. Si, F. *et al.* Mechanistic Origin of Cell-Size Control and Homeostasis in Bacteria. *Curr. Biol.*
29, 1760–1770.e7 (2019).

73. Guo, X. *et al.* Automated determination of ammonium at nanomolar levels in seawater by
coupling lab-in-syringe with highly sensitive light-emitting-diode-induced fluorescence
detection. *Molecules* **30**, (2025).

74. Wedyan, M. *et al.* The biogeochemistry of dissolved amino acids in the aquatic
ecosystems. *Mar. Environ. Res.* **210**, 107264 (2025).

75. Moran, M. A. *et al.* The Ocean's labile DOC supply chain. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **67**, 1007–
1021 (2022).

76. Seymour, J. R., Amin, S. A., Raina, J.-B. & Stocker, R. Zooming in on the phycosphere: the
ecological interface for phytoplankton-bacteria relationships. *Nat Microbiol* **2**, 17065 (2017).

77. Rappé, M. S., Connon, S. A., Vergin, K. L. & Giovannoni, S. J. Cultivation of the ubiquitous
SAR11 marine bacterioplankton clade. *Nature* **418**, 630–633 (2002).

78. Moore, C. M. *et al.* Processes and patterns of oceanic nutrient limitation. *Nat. Geosci.* **6**,
701–710 (2013).

79. Paerl, H. W. Why does N-limitation persist in the world's marine waters? *Mar. Chem.* **206**,
1–6 (2018).

80. Sarmento, H. & Gasol, J. M. Use of phytoplankton-derived dissolved organic carbon by different types of bacterioplankton: Use of phytoplankton-derived DOC by bacterioplankton. *Environ. Microbiol.* **14**, 2348–2360 (2012).

81. Mühlenbruch, M., Grossart, H.-P., Eigemann, F. & Voss, M. Mini-review: Phytoplankton-derived polysaccharides in the marine environment and their interactions with heterotrophic bacteria. *Environ. Microbiol.* **20**, 2671–2685 (2018).

82. Brüwer, J. D. *et al.* In situ cell division and mortality rates of SAR11, SAR86, Bacteroidetes, and Aurantivirga during phytoplankton blooms reveal differences in population controls. *mSystems* **8**, e0128722 (2023).

83. White, A. E., Giovannoni, S. J., Zhao, Y., Vergin, K. & Carlson, C. A. Elemental content and stoichiometry of SAR11 chemoheterotrophic marine bacteria: SAR11 composition. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **4**, 44–51 (2019).

84. Malmstrom, R. R., Kiene, R. P., Cottrell, M. T. & Kirchman, D. L. Contribution of SAR11 bacteria to dissolved dimethylsulfoniopropionate and amino acid uptake in the North Atlantic ocean. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **70**, 4129–4135 (2004).

85. Munson-McGee, J. H. *et al.* Decoupling of respiration rates and abundance in marine prokaryoplankton. *Nature* **612**, 764–770 (2022).

86. McDonald, M. D. *et al.* What is microbial dormancy? *Trends Microbiol.* **32**, 142–150 (2024).

87. Margolin, W. FtsZ and the division of prokaryotic cells and organelles. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **6**, 862–871 (2005).

88. Goehring, N. W., Gueiros-Filho, F. & Beckwith, J. Premature targeting of a cell division protein to midcell allows dissection of divisome assembly in Escherichia coli. *Genes Dev.* **19**, 127–137 (2005).

89. Barrows, J. M., Sundararajan, K., Bhargava, A. & Goley, E. D. FtsA regulates Z-ring morphology and cell wall metabolism in an FtsZ C-terminal linker-dependent manner in Caulobacter crescentus. *J. Bacteriol.* **202**, (2020).

90. Wu, K. J. *et al.* Characterization of Conserved and Novel Septal Factors in Mycobacterium smegmatis. *J. Bacteriol.* **200**, (2018).

91. Oh, H.-M. *et al.* Complete genome sequence of 'Candidatus Puniceispirillum marinum' IMCC1322, a representative of the SAR116 clade in the Alphaproteobacteria. *J. Bacteriol.* **192**, 3240–3241 (2010).

92. Coelho, J. T. *et al.* Culture-supported ecophysiology of the SAR116 clade demonstrates metabolic and spatial niche partitioning. *bioRxiv* (2025) doi:10.1101/2025.01.06.631592.

93. Cho, J.-C. & Giovannoni, S. J. Parvularcula bermudensis gen. nov., sp. nov., a marine bacterium that forms a deep branch in the alpha-Proteobacteria. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* **53**, 1031–1036 (2003).

94. Dang, H., Li, T., Chen, M. & Huang, G. Cross-ocean distribution of Rhodobacterales bacteria as primary surface colonizers in temperate coastal marine waters. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **74**, 52–60 (2008).

95. Follows, M. J., Dutkiewicz, S., Grant, S. & Chisholm, S. W. Emergent biogeography of microbial communities in a model ocean. *Science* **315**, 1843–1846 (2007).

96. Aumont, O., Ethé, C., Tagliabue, A., Bopp, L. & Gehlen, M. PISCES-v2: an ocean biogeochemical model for carbon and ecosystem studies. *Geosci. Model Dev.* **8**, 2465–2513 (2015).

97. Stock, C. A. *et al.* Ocean biogeochemistry in GFDL's Earth system model 4.1 and its
response to increasing atmospheric CO_2. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* **12**, (2020).
98. Ross, A. C. *et al.* A high-resolution physical–biogeochemical model for marine resource
applications in the northwest Atlantic (MOM6-COBALT-NWA12 v1.0). *Geosci. Model Dev.*
16, 6943–6985 (2023).
99. Martinez-Gutierrez, C. A., Uyeda, J. C. & Aylward, F. O. A timeline of bacterial and
archaeal diversification in the ocean. *Elife* **12**, (2023).
100. Hyun, J. C. & Palsson, B. O. Reconstruction of the last bacterial common ancestor from
183 pangenomes reveals a versatile ancient core genome. *Genome Biol.* **24**, 183 (2023).
101. Vergin, K. L. *et al.* High intraspecific recombination rate in a native population of
Candidatus pelagibacter ubique (SAR11). *Environ. Microbiol.* **9**, 2430–2440 (2007).
102. López-Pérez, M., Haro-Moreno, J. M., Coutinho, F. H., Martinez-Garcia, M. & Rodriguez-
Valera, F. The Evolutionary Success of the Marine Bacterium SAR11 Analyzed through a
Metagenomic Perspective. *mSystems* **5**, (2020).
103. Overballe-Petersen, S. *et al.* Bacterial natural transformation by highly fragmented and
damaged DNA. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **110**, 19860–19865 (2013).
104. Mell, J. C. & Redfield, R. J. Natural competence and the evolution of DNA uptake
specificity. *J. Bacteriol.* **196**, 1471–1483 (2014).
105. Staley, J. T. & Konopka, A. Measurement of in situ activities of nonphotosynthetic
microorganisms in aquatic and terrestrial habitats. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **39**, 321–346
(1985).
106. Henson, M. W. *et al.* Expanding the Diversity of Bacterioplankton Isolates and Modeling
Isolation Efficacy with Large-Scale Dilution-to-Extinction Cultivation. *Appl. Environ.*
Microbiol. **86**, (2020).
107. Paysan-Lafosse, T. *et al.* InterPro in 2022. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **51**, D418–D427 (2023).
108. Jones, P. *et al.* InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function classification. *Bioinformatics*
30, 1236–1240 (2014).
109. UniProt Consortium. UniProt: The universal protein knowledgebase in 2025. *Nucleic Acids*
Res. **53**, D609–D617 (2025).
110. Ahmad, S. *et al.* The UniProt website API: facilitating programmatic access to protein
knowledge. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **53**, W547–W553 (2025).
111. Henson, M. W. *et al.* Artificial Seawater Media Facilitate Cultivating Members of the
Microbial Majority from the Gulf of Mexico. *mSphere* **1**, (2016).
112. Sodium phosphate. *Cold Spring Harb. Protoc.* **2006**, db.rec8303 (2006).
113. Cheng, C. & Thrash, J. C. sparse-growth-curve: a Computational Pipeline for Parsing
Cellular Growth Curves with Low Temporal Resolution. *Microbiol Resour Announc* **10**,
(2021).
114. Lanclos, V. C. *et al.* New isolates refine the ecophysiology of the Roseobacter CHAB-I-5
lineage. *bioRxiv* 2024.05. 28.596239 (2024) doi:10.1101/2024.05.28.596239.
115. Stokke, C., Flåtten, I. & Skarstad, K. An easy-to-use simulation program demonstrates
variations in bacterial cell cycle parameters depending on medium and temperature. *PLoS*
One **7**, e30981 (2012).
116. Michelsen, O., Teixeira de Mattos, M. J., Jensen, P. R. & Hansen, F. G. Precise
determinations of C and D periods by flow cytometry in Escherichia coli K-12 and B/r.

Microbiology **149**, 1001–1010 (2003).

117. Boye, E. & Løbner-Olesen, A. Bacterial growth control studied by flow cytometry. *Res.*
Microbiol. **142**, 131–135 (1991).

118. Stokke, C., Waldminghaus, T. & Skarstad, K. Replication patterns and organization of
replication forks in Vibrio cholerae. *Microbiology* **157**, 695–708 (2011).

1293
1294

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the Center for Advanced Research Computing at the University of Southern California for providing computing resources that have contributed to the research results reported within this publication (https://carc.usc.edu), as well as the Center of Excellence in NanoBiophysics at USC. We appreciate invaluable conversations with, and feedback from, Terence T. Hwa, Suckjoon Jun, Naomi M. Levine, Noelle A. Held, and Steven E. Finkel. Funding for this work was provided by a Simons Foundation Early Career Investigator in Marine Microbial Ecology and Evolution Award and a Simons Foundation Investigator in Aquatic Microbial Ecology Award to JCT.

		InterproScan ID	Existence in HTCC1062	SAR11 Subclades (This study)								Taxonomic distribution (UniProt search, Date July. 30th, 2025)				References
				Ia	Ib	Ic	II	IIIa.1	IIIa.2	IIIa.3	IIIb	Bacteria	Pseudomonadota	Alphaproteobacteria	SAR11	
DNA Replication Initiation	DnaA	IPR013159	1	192/206	134/147	4/4	78/82	8/8	1/3	8/9	10/11	45945	15614	6479	118	
		IPR020591	1	193/206	133/147	4/4	77/82	8/8	1/3	8/9	10/11	46580	15726	4958	116	
		IPR013317	1	193/206	133/147	4/4	77/82	8/8	1/3	8/9	10/11	50897	19686	5087	118	
		IPR038454	1	191/206	126/147	4/4	77/82	8/8	1/3	8/9	10/11	40428	14054	5328	120	
		IPR024633	1	191/206	125/147	4/4	77/82	8/8	1/3	8/9	10/11	33757	13994	5320	118	
		IPR001957	1	191/206	131/147	4/4	77/82	8/8	1/3	8/9	10/11	40129	13489	4667	113	
	SeqA	IPR005621	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2152	2138	0	0	E.coli [29]
		IPR026577	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2256	2212	1	0	
		IPR036835	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2430	2254	24	0	
		IPR033761	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2243	2206	10	0	
	Dam	IPR023095	0	4/206	2/147	0	0	0	0	0	0	16225	8145	1169	1	
		IPR012263	0	8/206	1/147	0	0	0	0	0	0	15395	7785	959	1	
		IPR012327	0	13/206	2/147	0	2/82	0	0	0	0	24909	10489	1767	1	
	ChpT	IPR018762	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4038	4001	3971	1	C.crescentus [39]
Cell Division	ZipA	IPR011919	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7068	7012	6	0	E.coli [41]
		IPR007449	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9003	8905	9	0	
		IPR036765	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9090	8981	9	0	
	FtsE	IPR005286	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22707	8189	2779	1	C.crescentus [43]
	MinC	IPR016098	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14990	8787	1708	0	B.subtilis [30]
		IPR013033	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14486	8610	1703	0	
		IPR036145	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15688	9164	1706	0	
		IPR007874	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8663	7521	799	0	
		IPR055219	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2946	0	0	0	
		IPR005526	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14531	8598	1703	0	
	MinD (*)	IPR002586	0	4/206	4/147	1/4	0	0	0	0	0	91608	38561	14845	23	
		IPR010223	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14055	7891	1533	0	
		IPR025501	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23023	11919	2150	6	
		IPR050625	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	63816	23097	7210	6	
	MipZ	IPR015223	0	3/206	1/147	0	0	0	0	0	0	3957	3518	2905	1	C.crescentus [32] C. sphaeroides [46]
	LemA	IPR023353	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35447	12192	3608	2	JCVI-syn3A [33]
		IPR007156	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32911	11946	3602	2	
	SepF	IPR023052	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15909	16	4	1	
		IPR007561	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15908	14	3	1	
		IPR038594	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15633	12	3	1	
	TipN (**)		0	3/206	3/147	0	0	0	0	2/9	0	30	30	30	0	C.crescentus [48]

(a) Glycine experiment with 0.1 mM pyruvate

(b) Glycine experiment with 1 mM pyruvate

(c) Pyruvate experiment with 20 μM Glycine

Flow cytometry data

(a) 5 mM Pyruvate — 0 mM NH₄Cl — G1 62.2%

(b) 5 mM Pyruvate — 4 mM NH₄Cl — G1 15.4%

(c) 5 mM Pyruvate — 8 mM NH₄Cl — G1 9.3%

Epi-fluorescence microscope data

(d) 5 µm

(e) 5 µm

(f) 5 µm

Flow cytometry data

(g) 5 mM Pyruvate — 0 mM NH₄Cl — M1 63.3% (8,132.0 / 18,199.0)

(h) 5 mM Pyruvate — 4 mM NH₄Cl — M1 43.4% (8,132.0 / 18,199.0)

(i) 5 mM Pyruvate — 6 mM NH₄Cl — M1 26.7% (8,132.0 / 18,199.0)

Dynamic Light Scattering measurements

(j)