Poison exon splicing in the human brain: a new frontier for understanding

and targeting neurological disorders

Paolo Pigini[1,2,4], Huilin Xu[1,2,3,4], Yan Ji[1], Hannah Lindmeier[1], Henry R. Saltzman[1], Shuqi Yun[1],

Christiano R. R. Alves[1,2], M. Catarina Silva[1,2], Dadi Gao[1,2,3,*], Elisabetta Morini[1,2,*]

[1] Center for Genomic Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

[2] Department of Neurology, Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School,

Boston, MA, USA

[3] Program in Medical and Population Genetics, Broad Institute of Harvard and MIT,

Cambridge, MA, USA

[4] These authors contributed equally to this work.

*Corresponding Authors:

Dadi Gao (dgao2@mgh.harvard.edu) and Elisabetta Morini (emorini@mgh.harvard.edu)

Abstract

Poison exons (PE) are highly conserved exon cassettes whose inclusion creates premature termination codons (PTCs) and triggers nonsense-mediated decay (NMD) of the mature transcript, therefore reducing protein expression. Despite their important role in post-transcriptional regulation, PEs remain poorly annotated due to the lack of systematic, transcriptome-wide approaches. In this study, we comprehensively investigated the function of PEs in the human brain and assessed the impact of pathogenic variants on their splicing. A comparative analysis of 957 eukaryotic transcriptomes revealed that humans exhibit the highest enrichment of NMD-targeted transcripts. To delineate the full landscape of PEs in the human transcriptome, we considered conserved (PhyloP score $\geq$ 20) alternatively spliced exons, less than 300 base pairs (bp) long, with the ability to introduce PTCs positioned more than 150 base pairs (bp) downstream of the transcription start site and outside the last two exons of the transcript. Our analysis identified 12,014 PEs in the human genome. For each PE, we calculated the percent-spliced-in (PSI) value across tissue types and developmental stages using GTEx and BrainSpan RNA-seq dataset, respectively. Overall, we identified 117 PEs uniquely found in the human brain, 1,214 PEs with brain-specific differential splicing compared to other tissues, and 1,610 PEs which splicing change during brain development. Integrating ClinVar and SpliceAI, we identified 1,877 annotated pathogenic variants predicted to affect the splicing of 891 PEs in the human brain. Notably, many of these variants were associated with neurodevelopmental and neurodegenerative disorders such as epilepsy, intellectual disability and frontotemporal dementia. We functionally validated the impact of selected variants using an optimized CRISPR prime-editing workflow in human cell lines. Our findings highlight PEs as pivotal regulators of gene expression in the human brain and establish a foundation for therapeutic strategies targeting PE mis-splicing in neurological diseases.

Introduction

Alternative mRNA splicing is a fundamental post-transcriptional regulatory mechanism that significantly expands the coding potential of eukaryotic genomes. Recent studies suggest that disruptions in this process contribute to approximately 10-30% of human genetic disorders[1,2], with nearly half of these alterations occurring in the intronic regions[3]. Alternative splicing is particularly important in the brain, where it plays critical roles in neurodevelopment, neuronal differentiation, and synaptic function[4-9]. This regulatory mechanism helps define the differentiation trajectories of various cell types within the nervous system[7,10,11] and appears to have undergone substantial evolutionary refinement in vertebrates, especially primates[12]. Consequently, splicing alterations have been increasingly implicated in the pathogenesis of neurological disorders[13,14], with non-coding genetic variants emerging as particularly significant contributors[15].

Poison exons (PE) are naturally occurring, evolutionarily conserved exons that introduce premature termination codons (PTCs) when included in the mature transcript, thereby reducing protein expression[16-23]. PEs function as finely tuned regulatory elements that control gene expression at the post-transcriptional level[24] and have been implicated in diverse physiological processes including cellular differentiation and survival, as well as in pathological conditions such as cancer[25]. Within the human brain, PEs are important for neurodevelopment and many neuronal functions including regulation of synaptic proteins and neuron-specific RNA-binding proteins[22,26,27]. Early studies revealed that PEs regulate chromatin modifiers in the mammalian brain[28]. Subsequent analyses of alternative splicing in the cerebral cortex demonstrated that PEs contribute to establishing cellular identity during differentiation[5]. PEs can also have specialized functions, as exemplified by a PE in the *RBM3* gene that confers neuroprotection in human induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC)-derived neurons[29]. The splicing of PEs in the brain is regulated by a network of RNA-binding proteins, including *RBFOX1*, *PTB1* and

NOVA1[5,27,30], which are also major regulators of splicing in the brain[4,5]. In murine models,

nonsense-mediated decay (NMD) has been proposed to downregulate non-neuronal genes

during brain development[31], further emphasizing its importance in establishing neural identity.

The dysregulation of PE splicing has been implicated in various neurological disorders[31].

For example, aberrant inclusion of the PE "20N" in the *SCN1A* gene is associated with Dravet

syndrome (DS)[32-35]. An intronic variant disrupting normal skipping of a PE in the *FLNA* gene

was found in a family segregating with periventricular nodular heterotopia (PVNH), a

condition caused by abnormal neuronal migration during fetal brain development[5]. In

amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), *TDP-43* dysfunction disrupts PE regulation in iPSC-

derived neurons, potentially contributing to disease pathogenesis[36]. Beyond their emerging role

in disease aetiology, PEs are also increasingly recognized as potential novel therapeutic targets

for the treatment of neurological disorders[37-40].

Recent advances in computational approaches have improved identification of both PEs[41]

and genetic variants affecting their splicing[2]. However, the field still lacks a comprehensive

catalog of PE splicing events[42-44]. In this study, we present an evolutionary analysis of NMD-

targated transcripts and demonstrated that humans exhibit a striking enrichment of genes

expressing transcripts containing PEs. We leveraged large RNASeq datasets from GTEx and

BrainSpan projects to identify brain-specific and neurodevelopmentally regulated PEs. By

integrating ClinVar variant annotations with SpliceAI predictions, we identified pathogenic

variants that disrupt PE splicing and are associated with neurodevelopmental and

neurodegenerative disorders. To functionally validate these findings, we applied an optimized

CRISPR prime editing workflow in human cell cultures to assess the molecular consequences

of PE mis-splicing. Together, this work provides new insights into the role of PEs in brain

function and disease, and highlights their potential as therapeutic targets for currently incurable

neurological conditions.

Results

The human transcriptome exhibits a unique enrichment of NMD-associated transcripts

Conventionally, NMD-associated transcripts are defined by the presence of a PTC located more than 50 base pairs (bp) upstream of the last exon–exon junction[19-22] (Fig. 1A). Using this criteria, we systematically identified NMD transcripts across a dataset of 957 annotated eukaryotic genomes from Ensembl Genomes 61, spanning the major clades of vertebrates, invertebrates, fungi, protists, and plants (Fig. 1B). This large-scale dataset enabled us to evaluate the evolutionary distribution and potential functional relevance of NMD across eukaryotes. On average, 2.67% of coding genes per genome were predicted to produce at least one NMD isoform, though this proportion varied substantially across evolutionary lineages. Vertebrates (3.72%) and plants (3.70%) exhibited the highest fraction of coding genes producing at least one NMD transcript, followed by invertebrates (1.85%), while lower percentages were observed in fungi (0.63%) and protists (0.11%) (Fig. 1B and Fig. S1A). A similar trend was observed when analysing the average number of NMD transcripts per gene, with vertebrates (1.25), invertebrates (1.58), and plants (1.21) showing higher values compared to protists (0.18) and fungi (0.37) (Fig. S1B). We further evaluated the overall frequency of NMD isoforms by calculating the ratio of NMD transcripts to all coding transcripts. Vertebrates (0.61), invertebrates (0.80), and plants (0.46) displayed higher NMD frequencies than fungi (0.30) and protists (0.18) (Fig. S1C). These data suggest that alternative splicing leading to NMD is particularly prominent in multicellular lineages with complex developmental processes. Among all species analysed, humans emerged as the species with the highest number of NMD transcripts. We found that 39.6% of human protein-coding genes give rise to at least one NMD transcript. Furthermore, humans exhibit an average of 2.77 NMD isoforms per coding gene, and 35% of all annotated protein-coding transcripts are predicted to undergo

NMD. These findings strongly suggest that NMD plays a disproportionately large role in regulating transcript stability in humans, potentially reflecting the complexity and regulatory precision of human gene expression.

To explore the evolutionary and molecular drivers of NMD, we investigated whether several genomic and transcriptomic features correlate with NMD frequency. Surprisingly, we observed no significant correlation between the total number of coding genes and the percentage of genes with NMD transcripts across species (Fig. S2A), nor between gene count and the average number of NMD isoforms per gene (Fig. S2B) or their overall frequency (Fig. S2C). Notably, vertebrates often displayed higher percentages of NMD-regulated genes despite having fewer genes overall compared to plants, suggesting that genome size alone does not explain NMD frequency. In contrast, we found a positive association between transcriptome complexity and NMD abundance. Species with a greater number of transcripts per gene tended to produce more NMD isoforms (Fig. 1C, S2D and S2E). This pattern may reflect both biological and technical factors. Biologically, extensive alternative splicing may naturally increase the chance of producing PTC-containing isoforms. Technically, more comprehensive annotations in well-studied species (e.g., human, mouse, Arabidopsis) may lead to increased detection of NMD candidates. Species with fewer transcripts per gene exhibited higher frequencies of NMD among their annotated isoforms (Fig. S2F), possibly indicating annotation biases where only dominant or easily detectable NMD isoforms are captured. We next examined whether exon density correlates with NMD transcript. We observed a mild positive correlation between the number of exons per gene and the number of NMD transcripts (Fig. 1D, S2G and S2H), consistent with the idea that splicing complexity increases opportunities for NMD-generating splicing events. However, similar to the trend for transcript numbers, species with lower exon density also showed higher NMD frequencies (Fig. S2I), suggesting that detection sensitivity and annotation completeness may influence these patterns.

Our evolutionary analysis highlights that NMD transcripts are not only widespread across

eukaryotes but are particularly enriched in vertebrates, and especially in humans. Humans

exhibit the highest density of NMD events among all surveyed species. This prompted us to

systematically characterize the landscape of human alternative splicing events involving PEs.

Comprehensive classification of alternative splicing events involving PEs in human

transcriptome

Building on the finding that humans exhibit a high frequency of NMD isoforms, we next

sought to systematically define the full repertoire of PEs in the human transcriptome. PEs are

highly conserved exon cassettes whose inclusion introduces PTCs in the mature transcript,

thereby triggering NMD and reducing protein levels. To identify these elements at scale, we

developed an *in silico* strategy using genomic sequences and exon annotations (Fig. S3A, see

Methods). We defined PEs as exons that: (1) are ≤ 300 bp in length; (2) have an average PhyloP

conservation score ≥ 20; and (3) generating a PTC more than 150 bp downstream of the

transcription start site and upstream of the last two exons. This more stringent definition of

PTC location was specifically chosen to minimize the likelihood of NMD escape[45]. Using this

approach, we identified 12,014 putative PEs, accounting for 21.8% of 55,150 annotated

alternative splicing events in the human genome, each predicted to produce NMD isoforms

upon inclusion (Fig. 2A). A comparative analysis across model organisms, including *Mus*

musculus, *Danio rerio*, *Drosophila melanogaster*, *Caenorhabditis elegans*, *Saccharomyces*

cerevisiae, and *Arabidopsis thaliana*, revealed species-specific differences in PE usage, with

humans having the highest number of putative PE splicing events (Fig. 2A).

To evaluate the robustness of our PE identification, we then assessed whether their inclusion

triggers NMD by measuring transcript levels after cycloheximide (CHX) treatment, which

inhibits translation and stabilizes NMD-targeted RNAs[31,46,47]. We performed this analysis in

HEK293T cells for a subset of transcripts. RT-qPCR following CHX or DMSO treatment

revealed that in the majority of cases (12 out of 16, 75%), transcripts containing the PE were

significantly stabilized by CHX, confirming their degradation via NMD (Fig. 2B and S3B).

Transcripts without CHX-induced upregulation likely reflect false positives or cases where

downstream splicing events restore the reading frame.

Analysis of common features of human PEs showed that more than half of these PE splicing

events (6,800 events, or 56.6%) arise from exons that are either included or skipped, while the

remainder result from alternative 3′ or 5′ splice site usage (Fig. 2C and S3C). While longer

genes tend to harbour more PEs, genes that are uniquely expressed in the brain, or that contain

brain-specific exons, contain significantly fewer PEs (Fig. 2D). Furthermore, our putative PEs

did not show a preferential position along the gene (Fig. S3D).

To gain insight into the biological functions of gene containing PEs, we conducted pathway

enrichment analyses. KEGG pathway analysis revealed significant enrichment in core cellular

processes such as metabolism and RNA splicing. Notably, several splicing regulators were

among the enriched genes. These findings are consistent with the well-established observation

that many splicing factors autoregulate their own expression through negative feedback loops

mediated by PE inclusion (Fig. 2E)[17,25,48]. Additionally, disease ontology enrichment using the

UniProt KW Disease database (Fig. 2F) identified a strong association between PE-containing

genes and neurological disorders, most prominently epilepsy, intellectual disability and

neurodegeneration, reinforcing the hypothesis that PE splicing plays a key regulatory role in

brain functions[22,26,27].

Overall, our analysis identifies over 12,000 PE splicing events as a widespread and

functionally diverse class of alternative splicing, enriched in regulatory and disease-associated

genes. Experimental validation confirms that PE inclusion commonly triggers NMD, with

strong enrichment in neurological pathways supporting their relevance in brain-specific

transcriptome regulation.

PEs exhibit brain-specific inclusion patterns and dynamic regulation during

neurodevelopment

To investigate the spatiotemporal regulation of PE splicing in the human brain, we leveraged

large-scale transcriptomic datasets from both adult and developing tissues. We hypothesized

that dynamic inclusion or exclusion of PEs may contribute to brain-specific transcriptome and

gene regulation. We first analysed RNAseq data from the Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx)

Project, which encompasses 17,382 libraries derived from 54 distinct tissues, including 13

brain regions from 838 donors without major chronic diseases. This analysis (see Methods)

revealed that 1,214 PEs are differentially spliced in the brain compared to other tissues (Fig.

3A), with an additional 117 PEs uniquely detected in the brain. As anticipated, inclusion of

these PEs correlated inversely with expression of their host genes (Fig. S4), consistent with

their role in post-transcriptional downregulation via NMD. To explore the functional

implications of these brain-regulated PEs, we conducted GO analyses by stratifying them based

on PSI values, using 0.5 as a PSI cut-off between upregulated transcripts (with PE exclusion,

or $PSI < 0.5$) and downregulated transcripts (with PE inclusion, or $PSI > 0.5$), and found that

genes showing PE exclusion a possibly upregulation in the brain are significantly enriched in

RNA metabolism and splicing-related pathways (Fig. 3B).

We then analysed the developmental regulation of PEs using the BrainSpan Atlas, a

comprehensive resource comprising 607 libraries from 41 individuals across 16 distinct brain

regions. These libraries cover key developmental stages of individuals, ranging from 10 post-

conception weeks (pcw) to 40 years-old. This analysis (see Methods) identified 1,610 PEs

differentially spliced PEs in at least one of the 16 brain regions. Clustering of these PEs

revealed distinct developmental splicing patterns, characterized by increased PE inclusion

either before or after birth (Fig. 3C). GO enrichment of the clustered PEs with higher inclusion

before birth revealed significant associations with neurodevelopmental and synaptic processes

(Fig. 3D), while clustered PEs with higher inclusion rate after birth showed involvement in

postsynaptic structures and functions (Fig. 3E). It is worth noting that the PE usage showed

both linear and non-linear trajectories and were region specific (Fig. S6A-Q).

Finally, given that the expression of splicing factors is known to be self-regulated via

alternative PE usage[25,49], we investigated whether PEs regulate splicing factors in the brain. To

do so, we analyzed well-known splicing regulators with key roles in the nervous system,

including *PTBP1*[50], *RBFOX1*[50], *RBFOX2*[50], *NOVA1*[50], *TARDBP*[50] and *ELAVL1*[51], as well as

core NMD regulators such as *UPF1*, *UPF2* and *UPF3*[23,52]. Among these, *RBFOX1*, *NOVA1*,

TARDBP and *UPF3B* contained brain-specific PEs. To validate the neuron-specific nature of

these PEs, we assessed their splicing in iPSC-derived i3N neurons, a model of human neurons

that mature through *NGN2* induction after 21 days of differentiation[53]. We specifically selected

this neuronal cell model because the PSI values of the predicted PEs closely mirror those

observed in the human brain (Fig. S5A). After *NGN*-mediated induction and a differentiation

period of 28 days (Fig. S5B and S5C), we assessed PE splicing by RT-PCR. We found that PE

inclusion in *NOVA1* was higher in iPSCs but declined during neuronal maturation, coinciding

with increased expression of coding isoforms[54], which was more evident with CHX treatment

(Fig. 3F). No significant differentiation-specific splicing changes were found for PEs in

RBFOX1, *TARDBP* and *UPF3B*, either with or without CHX treatment (data not shown). Of

note, CHX analysis on day-21 neurons, consistent with the result in HEK293T cells, confirmed

that for the majority of putative PEs (75%) indeed trigger NMD (Fig. S5D and S5E).

Our data reveal that PE splicing is highly dynamic across brain regions and developmental

stages, suggesting a widespread mechanism of post-transcriptional regulation in the nervous

system. The enrichment of PE-regulated genes in RNA metabolism and neurodevelopmental

pathways, along with validation in key splicing regulators, supports a model in which PEs act

as molecular switches to fine-tune gene dosage with temporal and spatial precision.

Identification of pathogenic variants associated with neurological disorders and

disrupting poison exon splicing

To identify variants affecting PE splicing, we analysed all pathogenic and likely pathogenic

variants in the ClinVar database and used SpliceAI to predict their impact on mRNA splicing.

As expected, most of the pathogenic variants predicted to impact mRNA splicing are located

in intronic regions (Fig. S7). For each variant, we calculated a splicing change score based on

SpliceAI predictions, where positive scores indicate increased PE inclusion, and negative

scores indicate decreased inclusion (significant absolute value > 0.2). We combined these

predictions with PSI values from GTEx brain tissues to prioritize variants significantly

affecting PE splicing in the brain (PSI < 0.3 for increased inclusion, or > 0.7 for decreased

inclusion). Using this approach, we identified 1,877 pathogenic variants predicted to affect the

splicing of 891 PEs (Fig. 4A). These include variants associated with neurological disorders,

variants in genes with known brain functions, and variants affecting brain-specific PE, as

previously defined. We then mapped these pathogenic variants to the associated diseases and

clinical phenotypes using the ODiseA ontology. A striking proportion of identified genes were

linked to seizures and epileptic syndromes, including Dravet syndrome, consistent with

previous findings that support a role for PE mis-splicing as a pathogenetic mechanism[22,26] (Fig.

4B). In addition to epilepsy-related conditions, we observed enrichments in genes associated

with various neurodevelopmental and neurodegenerative conditions, such as Bardet-Biedl

syndrome, Usher syndrome, and Parkinson's disease. These findings suggest that altered PE

splicing may represent a common mechanism contributing to a wide range of neurological

disorders.

To functionally validate the effects of pathogenic variants on PE splicing, we developed and

optimized a CRISPR prime editing workflow (Fig. S8A, see Methods). As a proof of concept,

we designed and tested 23 pegRNAs to introduce pathogenic variants predicted to affect PE

splicing. Editing efficiency was assessed in HEK293T cells, with 20 of the 23 achieving >5%

efficiency, and 17 exceeding 10% (average: 21.6%; Fig. S8B). The effect of these variants on

PE splicing was further assessed by RT-PCR, using cells treated with CHX in order to visualize

the full extent of PE splicing without the interference of NMD. After examining unedited

HEK293T controls, we found that only 10 of the 20 transcripts exhibit a baseline splicing

pattern that mirrors the brain, either showing detectable inclusion of the relevant PE or the

same isoform ratios seen in brain. The remaining 10 transcripts were excluded because they

either lacked detectable PE inclusion in HEK293T cells or displayed a splicing pattern that

does not recapitulate the brain-specific profile (Fig. S8C). By focusing on the subset of

transcripts whose splicing matches the brain context, we ensure that any observed shifts upon

editing reliably reflect the variant's effect on PE regulation. Importantly, in all 10 selected

gene, introduction of the pathogenic variant produced the predicted change in PE inclusion

(Fig. S8C). From these 10 genes, we selected five for in-depth analysis based on their clinical

relevance and the presence of clearly defined PE regulatory elements. Variants in these genes

were predicted to have a distinct effect on PE splicing. Two mutations were predicted to

increase PE inclusion. c.284+110G>A located in intron 3 of the *TAFFAZIN* gene was predicted

by SpliceAI (score: 0.57) to enhance PE inclusion and resulted in a +0.12 PSI change in a bulk-

edited population with an average of 15% editing efficiency (Fig. 5A). c.420+784C>T in

NDUFAF6, associated with Leigh syndrome and located downstream of exon 4, was predicted

to increase PE inclusion (SpliceAI: 0.23) and was validated with a +0.13 PSI increase in a bulk-

edited population with an average of 41% editing efficiency (Fig. 5B). Two variants were

predicted to indirectly increase PE inclusion by disrupting canonical exon recognition, thereby

favouring PE-containing isoforms. The c.2633-1G>A variant in *SLC12A6*, which affects the

splice acceptor of exon 22, had a SpliceAI score of 0.99 for loss of canonical splicing and

produced a modest but reproducible increase in PE inclusion (+0.04 PSI, 21% editing

efficiency; Fig. 5C). Similarly, c.4850-1G>A in *TSC2*, was predicted to disrupt exon 33

splicing (SpliceAI: 0.99) and resulted in a +0.06 PSI change in PE inclusion (10% editing

efficiency; Fig. 5D). Finally, one variant resulted in decreased PE inclusion. c.521+1del in

COQ9, disrupted the canonical donor site of exon 4. SpliceAI predicted a reduction in PE

inclusion (score: 0.67), which was confirmed by a –0.28 PSI change in a bulk-edited population

with an average of 29% editing efficiency (Fig. 5E).

Together, these results highlight the diverse ways in which pathogenic variants can impact

PE splicing, either by enhancing or suppressing PE inclusion, or by disrupting canonical exon

recognition. Our combined computational and experimental approach provides a scalable

strategy for interpreting the splicing consequences of rare and clinically relevant pathogenic

variants, particularly in the context of neurological disease.

316 Discussion

Alternative splicing coupled with NMD is a central mechanism for regulating transcript

stability and fine-tuning gene expression in eukaryotes. Among its many forms, PE inclusion

represents a specialized, highly conserved splicing event that triggers NMD and reduces protein

output. Although isolated examples of PEs have been described, their broader role in human

brain development and disease remains poorly understood. Here, we provide a comprehensive

characterization of the role of PE in human gene expression regulation by integrating

evolutionary, transcriptomic, and disease-linked datasets with functional validations. Our

results identified thousands of human PEs with tissue- and developmentally regulated splicing

patterns in the brain, as well as hundreds of pathogenic variants affecting their splicing.

Our comparative analysis of 957 eukaryotic transcriptomes revealed that NMD isoforms are

particularly enriched in vertebrates, especially in humans. Nearly 40% of human coding genes

give rise to at least one NMD transcript, and over a third of all protein-coding transcripts are

predicted to undergo decay. These trends were not explained by gene count or genome size,

but instead correlated with transcriptomic complexity, especially alternative splicing. This

suggests that species with more intricate splicing regulation have evolved to utilize NMD as a

widespread for fine-tuning gene expression at the post-transcriptional level. Within this

context, PEs likely represent an evolutionarily refined strategy to control gene dosage in a

context-specific manner, especially in complex organs such as the brain.

To map PE landscape genome-wide, we identified over 12,000 putative PEs across the

human transcriptome, accounting for nearly 22% of all annotated alternative splicing events.

These PEs were enriched in genes involved in RNA metabolism and frequently found in

splicing factors, which often autoregulating their own expression through negative feedback

loops involving PE inclusion[17,25,48]. While longer genes were more likely to contain PEs, those

with brain-specific expression showed a significant depletion of PEs, suggesting selective

pressure to preserve expression of critical neural genes. Experimental validation confirmed that

PE inclusion typically leads to NMD, validating our predictive framework. The overlap of PE-

containing genes with neurological disorder–associated pathways underscores the clinical

significance of PE splicing as a key regulatory mechanism in the human brain.

We next investigated PE regulation in the human brain and uncovered widespread tissue-

and developmental-specific splicing patterns. Using RNA-seq data from GTEx, we identified

PEs that are differentially spliced in the brain compared to other human tissues. We analyzed

BrainSpan RNA-seq data and found dynamic PE splicing changes across brain development,

with distinct shifts occurring before and after birth. Notably, we observed dramatic changes in

PE inclusion for genes such as NOVA1 during neuronal differentiation, suggesting tight

regulation of PE usage during neurogenesis. Together, our findings position PEs as temporally

and spatially regulated molecular switches that fine-tune gene expression in the developing and

adult brain.

To assess the clinical impact of PE mis-splicing, we integrated ClinVar data with SpliceAI

predictions and identified pathogenic variants predicted to affect PE splicing in neurological

disorders. Many of these variants were located in intronic region, reinforcing the critical role

of noncoding sequences in splicing regulation and their contribution to splicing-related

disorders. Using CRISPR prime editing, we functionally validated representative variants and

confirmed that they induced alterations in endogenous mRNA splicing, validating the accuracy

of our predictions. These variants are associated with a broad spectrum of neurological

conditions, including epilepsy, intellectual disability, and neurodegeneration, highlighting the

role of PE mis-splicing in brain disorders. Our experimental pipeline provides a scalable and

adaptable framework for interpreting and validating splicing variants within their native

transcriptomic context.

This study establishes poison exons as a widespread, evolutionarily conserved, and

dynamically regulated mechanism of transcriptome control in the human brain. By integrating

computational prediction with functional validation, we demonstrated that PEs not only play a

critical role in gene expression regulation during brain development but also represent a

common pathogenetic mechanism in neurological disease. Our findings highlight the

therapeutic potential of targeting PE mis-splicing and provide a foundational resource for

advancing the study of splicing regulation in the human brain and its contribution to disease.

Materials and Methods

Evolutionary analysis

A Python-based computational workflow was developed to retrieve genome annotations of Eukaryotic species and predict NMD-prone transcripts. Genome annotations were downloaded from Ensembl Genomes 61. Each genome annotation was analysed to collect all annotated transcripts and predict whether they undergo NMD based on the position of the annotated termination codon, which should be >50 bp upstream of the last exon–exon junction. The phylogenetic tree was generated using the ETE package[55] based on taxonomic information from NCBI, and it was visualized using iTOL[56]. Bar plots and scatter plots were generated using GraphPad Prism.

Identification of putative PE from transcriptomic reference

This study focuses on defining the PE landscape by leveraging the annotated transcriptomes, based on the assumption that an annotated exon can be alternatively included as a PE in an otherwise protein-coding transcript and result in a downstream PTC. The derived PTC is not necessarily within the PE itself but has to be more than 150 bp from the transcription start site and not in the final two exons of the host gene, ensuring it doesn't escape NMD[45]. To search for PE from the transcriptome reference, non-redundant exons whose length ≤ 300 bp of a gene were first extracted from the GTF file. Each extracted exon was then computationally inserted into each transcript of the gene whose "transcript_biotype" is "protein-coding". If the insertion generated a PTC meeting the criteria above, the inserted exon would be marked as a putative PE. Note, this also means that whether an exon is "poisoning" or not depends on its transcript context. Depending on the relative position of the putative PE and the protein-coding transcript, the PE can be classified as one of "inclusion", "altExonLen", and "IR" mode (Fig. S3A).

PSI value calculation for PE

PSI was used to measure the usage of an exon with potential for being a PE. To quantify the

inclusion level of PEs, we computed the PSI value based on RNA-seq aligned read coverage.

For each PE event, the read counts mapped to the alternative exon or intron retention region

were extracted and denoted as R_1, R_2, and R_3, respectively. The PSI value was then calculated

based on different PE mode. For PE inclusion, the PSI value was calculated as:

405
$$PSI = \frac{0.5 * (R_1 + R_2)}{0.5 * (R_1 + R_2) + R_3}$$

406   For altExonLen mode, PSI was calculate as:

407
$$PSI = \frac{R_1}{(R_1 + R_3)}$$

408   For intron retention, PSI was calculated as:

409
$$PSI = \frac{\text{Median } \{R_i\}}{\text{Median } \{R_i\} + R_3}$$

Where i denotes the i_{th} reads that aligned to the IR region. (Fig. S3A). Note, this should not

be confused with the usage of an exon being explicitly used as a PE. From the short-read data

used in this study, only the overall usage of an exon is measurable, regardless of whether it is

included in a transcript as a poison or non-PE.

Identification of tissue-specific PE from GTEx

The sample-wise PSI profiles were first built for all GTEx samples. For every pair of tissues,

a generalized linear model (GLM) was fitted to examine the relationship between PSI and

tissue type for every splicing event involving the exon with PE potential. The direction and

significance of the coefficient for tissue type were used to judge whether the exon is

differentially used.

Identification of developmental-time-specific PE from BrainSpan

The sample-wise PSI profiles were first constructed for all BrainSpan samples. For each

tissue type, a generalized additive model (GAM) was applied to investigate the trajectories of

PE inclusion over ages. In the GAM models, a smooth term for developmental time points was

used to capture the temporal dynamics of PE inclusion. The significance of the smooth term

indicates whether PE inclusion levels exhibit developmental trajectory. PE events with similar

trajectories were clustered using Pearson correlation coefficients. Principal component analysis

(PCA) was then applied to each cluster to extract an eigen PSI value, summarizing the pattern

of splicing changes within the group.

Analysis of pathogenic variants

Pathogenic and likely-pathogenic mutations were extracted from ClinVar VCF file (version

20231112). For each such mutation, SpliceAI[2] was applied to predict the most influenced

putative splice sites (ss) nearby. Only the most impacted putative ss with an absolute SpliceAI

score change ≥ 0.2 were retained. The genomic coordinates of these impacted ss were then

matched with the coordinates of PE's ss. When an exact match was found, the mutation would

be considered to disrupt the splicing of PE.

To identify variants affecting PE splicing, we analysed all pathogenic and likely pathogenic

variants in the ClinVar database and used SpliceAI to predict their impact on RNA splicing

and, in particular, PE splicing. First we found that most of the pathogenic variants predicted to

impact RNA splicing were located in intronic regions (Fig. S7). Then, for each variant, we

calculated a splicing change score based on SpliceAI predictions, where positive scores

indicate increased PE inclusion, and negative scores indicate decreased inclusion (significant

absolute value > 0.2). We combined these predictions with PSI values from GTEx brain tissues

to prioritize variants that significantly affect PE splicing in the brain (PSI < 0.3 for increased

inclusion, or > 0.7 for decreased inclusion). Using this approach, we identified 1,877

pathogenic variants predicted to significantly affect the splicing of 891 PEs (Fig. 4A) such that

each variant/PE match satisfied at least one of the following criteria: A) the variant is associated

with neurological disorders; B) the gene is known to have relevant brain functions; C) the PE

is brain-specific, as previously determined.

Cell culturing

HEK293T cells (ATCC CRL-3216) were maintained in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle

Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin-

streptomycin at 37°C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO_2. For transfection, cells were

seeded at 100,000 per well in 24-well plates one day prior. Transfections were performed using

Lipofectamine 3000 according to the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, DNA plasmids and

P3000 reagent were diluted in Opti-MEM, combined with Lipofectamine 3000 diluted in Opti-

MEM, incubated for 15 minutes at room temperature, and added dropwise to the cells in

DMEM with FBS but no antibiotics. Medium was replaced after 8 hours and doubled after 24

hours. Cells were collected 72 hours post-transfection for downstream analyses.

Human iPSCs carrying inducible NGN (Coriell GM29370) were differentiated into i3N

neurons following a multi-step protocol adapted from Wang et al. (2018)[53]. Plates were pre-

coated with Matrigel diluted 1:100 in cold DMEM/F12 and incubated at room temperature for

at least 3 hours. Between days -4 and -1, plates were further coated with 50 µg/mL Poly-D-

Lysine followed by 3 µg/mL laminin immediately prior to seeding. On day -3, iPSCs at ~80%

confluency were dissociated with Accutase and plated on Matrigel-coated dishes in induction

medium (KO-DMEM/F12 supplemented with N2, NEAA, BDNF, NT3, 0.2 µg/mL laminin,

2 µg/mL doxycycline, and 10 µM ROCK inhibitor). Medium was changed with complete

induction medium on day -2, and with induction medium lacking ROCK inhibitor on day -1.

On day 0, cells were dissociated and replated onto PDL/laminin-coated dishes in maturation

medium (50% DMEM/F12 and 50% Neurobasal-A, supplemented with 0.5× B27, N2, NEAA,

GlutaMax, 1 µg/mL laminin, 10 ng/mL BDNF, and 10 ng/mL NT3). Half-medium changes

were performed weekly starting from day 7. Neurons were cultured for up to 4 weeks before

downstream assays. Cells were cultured at 37°C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO_2 at all

stages.

CHX treatment was performed both on HEK293T and i3N neurons by treating them for 16h

with 50 µg/ml CHX or an equivalent volume of DMSO as control (0.5% of the culture medium

volume). For HEK293T cells, medium was replaced with CHX-containing medium. For i3N

neurons, culture medium was quickly removed from the cells, implemented with CHX, and

placed back in culture.

Plasmid design and preparation

Plasmids expressing the CRISPR prime editor (Addgene 174820), the pegRNA (Addgene

223137), or the nicking gRNA (Addgene 65777) were prepared using competent DH5α E.

coli and Mini extraction kit. pegRNAs and nicking gRNAs were designed using the PRIDICT

tool[57] and selecting the pegRNA and gRNA with top scores, and they were cloned using

standard Type IIS restriction enzymes. Sequences were confirmed by Sanger sequencing.

***In vitro* splicing analysis**

Total RNA was extracted from cultured cells using QIAzol reagent according to the

manufacturer's instructions. After chloroform separation and isopropanol precipitation, RNA

pellets were washed in 75% ethanol, air-dried, and resuspended in nuclease-free water. RNA

quantity and purity were assessed using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer. For reverse

transcription, 500 ng to 1 µg of total RNA was used with the SuperScript III Kit, using random

hexamer primers and following the recommended protocol.

PCR was performed using exon-specific primers and GoTaq master mix to amplify regions

of interest from cDNA (25 µg per reaction). PCR products were resolved on 2% agarose gels

stained with ethidium bromide and visualized using a UV gel imaging system. Bands of

expected size were excised and purified using the QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit, followed by

Sanger sequencing to confirm splicing isoforms and identify sequence variants.

qPCR was carried out using SYBR Green PCR Master Mix Roche LightCycler 480 II

system. Reactions were performed in technical duplicates using 10 µg cDNA per reaction and

exon-specific or exon junction-specific primers. Relative gene expression was calculated using

the $\Delta\Delta$Ct method, normalized to housekeeping genes. Primer efficiency and melt curve

analysis were used to ensure specificity and consistency across runs.

PCR, qPCR and NGS

Genomic DNA was extracted from cultured cells using magnetic purification beads. Cells

were lysed, and the lysate was incubated with purification beads to bind DNA. Magnetic

separation was used to wash away impurities, and DNA was eluted in nuclease-free water.

To quantify editing outcomes, targeted regions were amplified from genomic DNA using

primers with overhangs compatible with Illumina adapters. Amplicons were cleaned with

magnetic beads and pooled for multiplexed sequencing. Libraries were sequenced on an

Illumina MiSeq platform using 2×150 bp paired-end reads. Data were demultiplexed and

analyzed using the CRISPResso2 tool[58].

Statistical analysis

The statistical analyses for the wet-lab work were performed using GraphPad Prism. The

brain-unique PEs were defined as those with a minimal PSI > 0 in any brain tissue and a max

PSI = 0 across all non-brain tissues. The brain-differential PEs were defined as those meeting

all the following criteria when comparing a brain tissue to a non-brain tissue: 1) tissue

coefficient ≥ 0.2 or ≤ -0.2, and 2) tissue coefficient's false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.1 from

the Wald test of GLM. The developmental-stage-specific PEs were defined as those whose

smooth term's FDR < 0.1 in the GAM. For the ontology enrichment analyses, a threshold of

FDR < 0.1 from Fisher's exact test was applied to select the significant terms.

Funding

530   National Institutes of Health (NIH) grants (R01NS124561 to EM and MCS, R00NS118109

531 to DG, R21EY037018 to EM and CA). Ellison Foundation (EM).

References

1.   Lim, K.H., Ferraris, L., Filloux, M.E., Raphael, B.J., and Fairbrother, W.G. (2011).
Using positional distribution to identify splicing elements and predict pre-mRNA
processing defects in human genes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *108*, 11093-11098.
10.1073/pnas.1101135108.
2.   Jaganathan, K., Kyriazopoulou Panagiotopoulou, S., McRae, J.F., Darbandi, S.F.,
Knowles, D., Li, Y.I., Kosmicki, J.A., Arbelaez, J., Cui, W., Schwartz, G.B., et al.
(2019). Predicting Splicing from Primary Sequence with Deep Learning. Cell *176*, 535-
548 e524. 10.1016/j.cell.2018.12.015.
3.   Chiang, H.L., Chen, Y.T., Su, J.Y., Lin, H.N., Yu, C.A., Hung, Y.J., Wang, Y.L.,
Huang, Y.T., and Lin, C.L. (2022). Mechanism and modeling of human disease-
associated near-exon intronic variants that perturb RNA splicing. Nat Struct Mol Biol
29, 1043-1055. 10.1038/s41594-022-00844-1.
4.   Raj, B., and Blencowe, B.J. (2015). Alternative Splicing in the Mammalian Nervous
System: Recent Insights into Mechanisms and Functional Roles. Neuron *87*, 14-27.
10.1016/j.neuron.2015.05.004.
5.   Zhang, X., Chen, M.H., Wu, X., Kodani, A., Fan, J., Doan, R., Ozawa, M., Ma, J.,
Yoshida, N., Reiter, J.F., et al. (2016). Cell-Type-Specific Alternative Splicing Governs
Cell Fate in the Developing Cerebral Cortex. Cell *166*, 1147-1162 e1115.
10.1016/j.cell.2016.07.025.
6.   Vuong, C.K., Black, D.L., and Zheng, S. (2016). The neurogenetics of alternative
splicing. Nat Rev Neurosci *17*, 265-281. 10.1038/nrn.2016.27.
7.   Patowary, A., Zhang, P., Jops, C., Vuong, C.K., Ge, X., Hou, K., Kim, M., Gong, N.,
Margolis, M., Vo, D., et al. (2024). Developmental isoform diversity in the human
neocortex informs neuropsychiatric risk mechanisms. Science *384*, eadh7688.
10.1126/science.adh7688.

8. Wen, C., Margolis, M., Dai, R., Zhang, P., Przytycki, P.F., Vo, D.D., Bhattacharya, A.,
Matoba, N., Tang, M., Jiao, C., et al. (2024). Cross-ancestry atlas of gene, isoform, and
splicing regulation in the developing human brain. Science *384*, eadh0829.
10.1126/science.adh0829.
9. Aya, F., and Valcarcel, J. (2024). Shaping human brain development and vulnerability
through alternative splicing. Cell Genom *4*, 100584. 10.1016/j.xgen.2024.100584.
10. Ha, K.C.H., Sterne-Weiler, T., Morris, Q., Weatheritt, R.J., and Blencowe, B.J. (2021).
Differential contribution of transcriptomic regulatory layers in the definition of
neuronal identity. Nat Commun *12*, 335. 10.1038/s41467-020-20483-8.
11. Gonzalez-Iglesias, A., Arcas, A., Domingo-Muelas, A., Mancini, E., Galceran, J.,
Valcarcel, J., Farinas, I., and Nieto, M.A. (2024). Intron detention tightly regulates the
stemness/differentiation switch in the adult neurogenic niche. Nat Commun *15*, 2837.
10.1038/s41467-024-47092-z.
12. Barbosa-Morais, N.L., Irimia, M., Pan, Q., Xiong, H.Y., Gueroussov, S., Lee, L.J.,
Slobodeniuc, V., Kutter, C., Watt, S., Colak, R., et al. (2012). The evolutionary
landscape of alternative splicing in vertebrate species. Science *338*, 1587-1593.
10.1126/science.1230612.
13. Dredge, B.K., Polydorides, A.D., and Darnell, R.B. (2001). The splice of life:
alternative splicing and neurological disease. Nat Rev Neurosci *2*, 43-50.
10.1038/35049061.
14. Hervoso, J.L., Amoah, K., Dodson, J., Choudhury, M., Bhattacharya, A., Quinones-
Valdez, G., Pasaniuc, B., and Xiao, X. (2024). Splicing-specific transcriptome-wide
association uncovers genetic mechanisms for schizophrenia. Am J Hum Genet *111*,
1573-1587. 10.1016/j.ajhg.2024.06.001.
15. Frydas, A., Wauters, E., van der Zee, J., and Van Broeckhoven, C. (2022). Uncovering
the impact of noncoding variants in neurodegenerative brain diseases. Trends Genet *38*,
258-272. 10.1016/j.tig.2021.08.010.
16. Lewis, B.P., Green, R.E., and Brenner, S.E. (2003). Evidence for the widespread
coupling of alternative splicing and nonsense-mediated mRNA decay in humans. Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A *100*, 189-192. 10.1073/pnas.0136770100.
17. Lareau, L.F., Inada, M., Green, R.E., Wengrod, J.C., and Brenner, S.E. (2007).
Unproductive splicing of SR genes associated with highly conserved and
ultraconserved DNA elements. Nature *446*, 926-929. 10.1038/nature05676.
18. Ni, J.Z., Grate, L., Donohue, J.P., Preston, C., Nobida, N., O'Brien, G., Shiue, L., Clark,
T.A., Blume, J.E., and Ares, M., Jr. (2007). Ultraconserved elements are associated
with homeostatic control of splicing regulators by alternative splicing and nonsense-
mediated decay. Genes Dev *21*, 708-718. 10.1101/gad.1525507.
19. Lykke-Andersen, S., and Jensen, T.H. (2015). Nonsense-mediated mRNA decay: an
intricate machinery that shapes transcriptomes. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol *16*, 665-677.
10.1038/nrm4063.
20. He, F., and Jacobson, A. (2015). Nonsense-Mediated mRNA Decay: Degradation of
Defective Transcripts Is Only Part of the Story. Annu Rev Genet *49*, 339-366.
10.1146/annurev-genet-112414-054639.
21. Kurosaki, T., and Maquat, L.E. (2016). Nonsense-mediated mRNA decay in humans at
a glance. J Cell Sci *129*, 461-467. 10.1242/jcs.181008.
22. Lejeune, F. (2022). Nonsense-Mediated mRNA Decay, a Finely Regulated Mechanism.
Biomedicines *10*. 10.3390/biomedicines10010141.
23. Yi, Z., Sanjeev, M., and Singh, G. (2021). The Branched Nature of the Nonsense-
Mediated mRNA Decay Pathway. Trends Genet *37*, 143-159.
10.1016/j.tig.2020.08.010.

24.   Pervouchine, D., Popov, Y., Berry, A., Borsari, B., Frankish, A., and Guigo, R. (2019).
Integrative transcriptomic analysis suggests new autoregulatory splicing events coupled
with nonsense-mediated mRNA decay. Nucleic Acids Res *47*, 5293-5306.
10.1093/nar/gkz193.

25.   Leclair, N.K., Brugiolo, M., Urbanski, L., Lawson, S.C., Thakar, K., Yurieva, M.,
George, J., Hinson, J.T., Cheng, A., Graveley, B.R., and Anczukow, O. (2020). Poison
Exon Splicing Regulates a Coordinated Network of SR Protein Expression during
Differentiation and Tumorigenesis. Mol Cell *80*, 648-665 e649.
10.1016/j.molcel.2020.10.019.

26.   Jaffrey, S.R., and Wilkinson, M.F. (2018). Nonsense-mediated RNA decay in the brain:
emerging modulator of neural development and disease. Nat Rev Neurosci *19*, 715-
728. 10.1038/s41583-018-0079-z.

27.   Carvill, G.L., and Mefford, H.C. (2020). Poison exons in neurodevelopment and
disease. Curr Opin Genet Dev *65*, 98-102. 10.1016/j.gde.2020.05.030.

28.   Yan, Q., Weyn-Vanhentenryck, S.M., Wu, J., Sloan, S.A., Zhang, Y., Chen, K., Wu,
J.Q., Barres, B.A., and Zhang, C. (2015). Systematic discovery of regulated and
conserved alternative exons in the mammalian brain reveals NMD modulating
chromatin regulators. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *112*, 3445-3450.
10.1073/pnas.1502849112.

29.   Lin, J.Q., Khuperkar, D., Pavlou, S., Makarchuk, S., Patikas, N., Lee, F.C., Zbiegly,
J.M., Kang, J., Field, S.F., Bailey, D.M., et al. (2023). HNRNPH1 regulates the
neuroprotective cold-shock protein RBM3 expression through poison exon exclusion.
EMBO J *42*, e113168. 10.15252/embj.2022113168.

30.   Eom, T., Zhang, C., Wang, H., Lay, K., Fak, J., Noebels, J.L., and Darnell, R.B. (2013).
NOVA-dependent regulation of cryptic NMD exons controls synaptic protein levels
after seizure. Elife *2*, e00178. 10.7554/eLife.00178.

31.   Zhuravskaya, A., Yap, K., Hamid, F., and Makeyev, E.V. (2024). Alternative splicing
coupled to nonsense-mediated decay coordinates downregulation of non-neuronal
genes in developing mouse neurons. Genome Biol *25*, 162. 10.1186/s13059-024-
03305-8.

32.   Carvill, G.L., Engel, K.L., Ramamurthy, A., Cochran, J.N., Roovers, J., Stamberger,
H., Lim, N., Schneider, A.L., Hollingsworth, G., Holder, D.H., et al. (2018). Aberrant
Inclusion of a Poison Exon Causes Dravet Syndrome and Related SCN1A-Associated
Genetic Epilepsies. Am J Hum Genet *103*, 1022-1029. 10.1016/j.ajhg.2018.10.023.

33.   Tang, S., Stamberger, H., Calhoun, J.D., Weckhuysen, S., and Carvill, G.L. (2025).
Antisense oligonucleotides modulate aberrant inclusion of poison exons in SCN1A-
related Dravet syndrome. JCI Insight *10*. 10.1172/jci.insight.188014.

34.   Sparber, P., Bychkov, I., Pyankov, D., and Skoblov, M. (2023). Functional
investigation of SCN1A deep-intronic variants activating poison exons inclusion. Hum
Genet *142*, 1043-1053. 10.1007/s00439-023-02564-y.

35.   Aziz, M.C., Schneider, P.N., and Carvill, G.L. (2021). Targeting Poison Exons to Treat
Developmental and Epileptic Encephalopathy. Dev Neurosci *43*, 241-246.
10.1159/000516143.

36.   Brown, A.L., Wilkins, O.G., Keuss, M.J., Hill, S.E., Zanovello, M., Lee, W.C.,
Bampton, A., Lee, F.C.Y., Masino, L., Qi, Y.A., et al. (2022). TDP-43 loss and ALS-
risk SNPs drive mis-splicing and depletion of UNC13A. Nature *603*, 131-137.
10.1038/s41586-022-04436-3.

37.   Bakshi, S., and Isom, L.L. (2025). No more nonsense: evaluating poison exons as
therapeutic targets in neurodevelopmental disorders. Curr Opin Genet Dev *92*, 102346.
10.1016/j.gde.2025.102346.

38.   McLean, Z.L., Gao, D., Correia, K., Roy, J.C.L., Shibata, S., Farnum, I.N., Valdepenas-
Mellor, Z., Kovalenko, M., Rapuru, M., Morini, E., et al. (2024). Splice modulators
target PMS1 to reduce somatic expansion of the Huntington's disease-associated CAG
repeat. Nat Commun *15*, 3182. 10.1038/s41467-024-47485-0.

39.   Bhattacharyya, A., Trotta, C.R., Narasimhan, J., Wiedinger, K.J., Li, W., Effenberger,
K.A., Woll, M.G., Jani, M.B., Risher, N., Yeh, S., et al. (2021). Small molecule splicing
modifiers with systemic HTT-lowering activity. Nat Commun *12*, 7299.
10.1038/s41467-021-27157-z.

40.   Lim, K.H., Han, Z., Jeon, H.Y., Kach, J., Jing, E., Weyn-Vanhentenryck, S., Downs,
M., Corrionero, A., Oh, R., Scharner, J., et al. (2020). Antisense oligonucleotide
modulation of non-productive alternative splicing upregulates gene expression. Nat
Commun *11*, 3501. 10.1038/s41467-020-17093-9.

41.   Felker, S.A., Lawlor, J.M.J., Hiatt, S.M., Thompson, M.L., Latner, D.R., Finnila, C.R.,
Bowling, K.M., Bonnstetter, Z.T., Bonini, K.E., Kelly, N.R., et al. (2023). Poison exon
annotations improve the yield of clinically relevant variants in genomic diagnostic
testing. Genet Med *25*, 100884. 10.1016/j.gim.2023.100884.

42.   Keegan, N.P., and Fletcher, S. (2022). A spotter's guide to SNPtic exons: The common
splice variants underlying some SNP-phenotype correlations. Mol Genet Genomic Med
10, e1840. 10.1002/mgg3.1840.

43.   Margasyuk, S., Kuznetsova, A., Zavileyskiy, L., Vlasenok, M., Skvortsov, D., and
Pervouchine, D.D. (2024). Human introns contain conserved tissue-specific cryptic
poison exons. NAR Genom Bioinform *6*, lqae163. 10.1093/nargab/lqae163.

44.   Toledano, I., Supek, F., and Lehner, B. (2024). Genome-scale quantification and
prediction of pathogenic stop codon readthrough by small molecules. Nat Genet *56*,
1914-1924. 10.1038/s41588-024-01878-5.

45.   Klonowski, J., Liang, Q., Coban-Akdemir, Z., Lo, C., and Kostka, D. (2023). aenmd:
Annotating escape from nonsense-mediated decay for transcripts with protein-
truncating variants. bioRxiv. 10.1101/2023.03.17.533185.

46.   Thomas, J.D., Polaski, J.T., Feng, Q., De Neef, E.J., Hoppe, E.R., McSharry, M.V.,
Pangallo, J., Gabel, A.M., Belleville, A.E., Watson, J., et al. (2020). RNA isoform
screens uncover the essentiality and tumor-suppressor activity of ultraconserved poison
exons. Nat Genet *52*, 84-94. 10.1038/s41588-019-0555-z.

47.   Belleville, A.E., Thomas, J.D., Tonnies, J., Gabel, A.M., Borrero Rossi, A., Singh, P.,
Queitsch, C., and Bradley, R.K. (2024). An autoregulatory poison exon in Smndc1 is
conserved across kingdoms and influences organism growth. PLoS Genet *20*,
e1011363. 10.1371/journal.pgen.1011363.

48.   Dalgliesh, C., Aldalaqan, S., Atallah, C., Best, A., Scott, E., Ehrmann, I., Merces, G.,
Mannion, J., Badurova, B., Sandher, R., et al. (2025). An ultra-conserved poison exon
in the Tra2b gene encoding a splicing activator is essential for male fertility and meiotic
cell division. EMBO J *44*, 877-902. 10.1038/s44318-024-00344-6.

49.   Tao, Y., Zhang, Q., Wang, H., Yang, X., and Mu, H. (2024). Alternative splicing and
related RNA binding proteins in human health and disease. Signal Transduct Target
Ther *9*, 26. 10.1038/s41392-024-01734-2.

50.   Li, Y.I., Sanchez-Pulido, L., Haerty, W., and Ponting, C.P. (2015). RBFOX and PTBP1
proteins regulate the alternative splicing of micro-exons in human brain transcripts.
Genome Res *25*, 1-13. 10.1101/gr.181990.114.

51.   Mulligan, M.R., and Bicknell, L.S. (2023). The molecular genetics of nELAVL in brain
development and disease. Eur J Hum Genet *31*, 1209-1217. 10.1038/s41431-023-
01456-z.

52. Deka, B., Chandra, P., and Singh, K.K. (2021). Functional roles of human Up-
frameshift suppressor 3 (UPF3) proteins: From nonsense-mediated mRNA decay to
neurodevelopmental disorders. Biochimie *180*, 10-22. 10.1016/j.biochi.2020.10.011.
53. Wang, C., Ward, M.E., Chen, R., Liu, K., Tracy, T.E., Chen, X., Xie, M., Sohn, P.D.,
Ludwig, C., Meyer-Franke, A., et al. (2017). Scalable Production of iPSC-Derived
Human Neurons to Identify Tau-Lowering Compounds by High-Content Screening.
Stem Cell Reports *9*, 1221-1233. 10.1016/j.stemcr.2017.08.019.
54. Lin, J.C., Chi, Y.L., Peng, H.Y., and Lu, Y.H. (2016). RBM4-Nova1-SRSF6 splicing
cascade modulates the development of brown adipocytes. Biochim Biophys Acta *1859*,
1368-1379. 10.1016/j.bbagrm.2016.08.006.
55. Huerta-Cepas, J., Dopazo, J., and Gabaldon, T. (2010). ETE: a python Environment for
Tree Exploration. BMC Bioinformatics *11*, 24. 10.1186/1471-2105-11-24.
56. Letunic, I., and Bork, P. (2007). Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL): an online tool for
phylogenetic tree display and annotation. Bioinformatics *23*, 127-128.
10.1093/bioinformatics/btl529.
57. Mathis, N., Allam, A., Kissling, L., Marquart, K.F., Schmidheini, L., Solari, C., Balazs,
Z., Krauthammer, M., and Schwank, G. (2023). Predicting prime editing efficiency and
product purity by deep learning. Nat Biotechnol *41*, 1151-1159. 10.1038/s41587-022-
01613-7.
58. Clement, K., Rees, H., Canver, M.C., Gehrke, J.M., Farouni, R., Hsu, J.Y., Cole, M.A.,
Liu, D.R., Joung, J.K., Bauer, D.E., and Pinello, L. (2019). CRISPResso2 provides
accurate and rapid genome editing sequence analysis. Nat Biotechnol *37*, 224-226.
10.1038/s41587-019-0032-3.

Figure 1. Evolutionary analysis of NMD transcripts across Eukaryotes. (**A**) Schematic

representation of how a PE triggers NMD. (**B**) NMD transcripts are predicted throughout the

annotated genome of 957 eukaryotic genomes (vertebrates = blue, invertebrates = light blue,

protists = red, fungi = yellow, plants = green. For each species the following is calculated: the

percentage of coding genes producing at least 1 NMD transcript (triangle); the average number

of NMD transcripts per gene (circle). (**C**) The average number of NMD transcripts per gene is

compared with the average number of total annotated transcripts per gene in each species. (**D**)

The percentage of coding genes producing at least 1 NMD transcript is compared with the

757   average number of exons per gene in each species. Linear regression, Pearson correlation

758   coefficient (r) and P values are shown for the scatter plots in (C) and (D).

Figure 2. Prediction of alternative splicing events involving PEs. (**A**) PE splicing events are predicted for major biological models. (**B**) HEK293T cells are treated with CHX (or DMSO as control) to evaluate the activation of NMD when 16 predicted PEs are included. Transcript levels are measured by RT-qPCR, using primers specific for the exon-exon junctions that include the PE. (**C**) Counts of different types of PE splicing events in humans. They include canonical PE exclusion/inclusion as well as alternative 3'- and 5'-SSs. (**D**) Counts of PE splicing events per gene in humans. Pathway (**E**) and disease (**F**) enrichment for human genes producing PE splicing events. Numbers indicate total genes identified.

Figure 3. Analysis of PE splicing in human brain. (**A**) Heatmap showing the differential PSI of PEs found to be differentially spliced in the brain compared with other tissues in GTEX database. (**B**) Gene ontology and pathway enrichment analysis of PEs that are either spliced-out (PSI < 0.5) or spliced-in (PSI > 0.5) in the brain. (**C**) Heatmap showing the differential PSI of PEs found to be differentially spliced in the brain during development in BrainSpan database. (**D**) GO enrichment for host genes of PE events showing higher inclusion rate before birth. (**E**) GO enrichment for host genes of PE events showing higher inclusion rate after birth. (**F**) Splicing analysis in iP3 neurons for a brain-specific PE located in *NOVA1* gene. Changes

784   in the splicing patters are assessed by RT-PCR and agarose gel analysis, with 50 μg/ml CHX

785   or DMSO as control.

Figure 4. Prediction of pathogenic variants affecting poison exon splicing in neurological disorders. (**A**) Brain-specific PSI values from GTEx are integrated with SpliceAI-predicted splicing change scores for pathogenic ClinVar variants. A total of 101 brain-specific PEs are identified as significantly affected by pathogenic variants. (**B**) Affected genes are grouped by disease associations using the ODiseA ontology. The left panel shows the total number of genetic variants affecting PEs, divided by exonic and intronic variants. The middle and right panels compares as absolute numbers or relative ratios the variants affecting PEs with those affecting non-PE splicing events

Figure 5. *In vitro* validation of the effect of select pathogenic genetic variants on PE splicing:
(**A**) c.284+110G>A, affecting E3 of *TAFAZZIN*; (**B**) c.420+784C>T, affecting E4 of
NDUFAF6; (**C**) c.2633-1G>A, affecting E22 of *SCL12A6*; (**D**) c.4850-1G>A, affecting E33 of
TSC2; (**E**) c.521+1del, affecting E4 of *COQ9*. HEK293T cells were transfected with plasmid
vectors expressing CRISPR prime editing components, and DNA and RNA were extracted 72h
post-transfection for genome editing and RNA splicing analysis, respectively. Cells were
treated either with DMSO or with CHX (50 μg/ml) 16 h prior to collection. The percentage of

813   edited cells was measured by amplicon-NGS, using PCR primers specific for the target genetic

814   region. The splicing pattern upon introduction of the target mutation was analyzed by RT-PCR

815   coupled with agarose gel analysis (central panels), using primers specific for flanking exons.

816   Quantitative analysis of splicing isoform alternation was performed by RT-qPCR (right panels)

817   using primers complementary to isoform-specific exon-exon junctions.