1 **Seasonality, land use, and diversity shape microbiome-pathogen interactions in wild**
2 **populations of *Arabidopsis thaliana***

3 Authors: Henry LP[1,2*], Rat A[1,2,3], Laderman E[1,2], Lion R[4], Mayjonade B[4], TEAM PATHOCOM,
4 Roux F[4], Weigel D[5,6], Bergelson J[1,2].*
5
[1]Department of Biology, New York University, New York USA
[2]Center for Genomics and Systems Biology, New York University, New York, USA
[3]RIBP-USC INRAE, Université de Reims Champagne-Ardenne, Reims, France
[4]LIPME, CNRS, INRAE, Université de Toulouse, Castanet Tolosan, France
[5]Department of Molecular Biology, Max Planck Institute for Biology Tübingen, Tübingen,
Germany
[6]Institute for Bioinformatics and Medical Informatics, University of Tübingen, Tübingen,
Germany
14
15 *Correspondence: LPH lph260@nyu.edu or JB jb7684@nyu.edu
16
17 TEAM PATHOCOM:
18 Department of Biology, New York University, New York USA
19 Tien-Hao Chang, Choghag Demijirian, Andrew Gloss, Hanna Märkle, Timothy C. Morton, Ankita
20 Pandey, Kamal Radharamanan, Manmeet Singh, Hannah Whitehurst
21
22 Department of Molecular Biology, Max Planck Institute for Biology Tübingen, Tübingen
23 Haim Ashkenazy, Natalie Betz, Alejandra Duque, Martina Kolb, Ana Montalvão, Kevin Murray,
24 Wei Yuan
25
26 LIPME, CNRS, INRAE, Université de Toulouse, Castanet Tolosan, France
27 Rémi Duflos, Paloma Duran, Irene Leccia, Alexandre Perrat, Sonia Silva
28
29 Unité Eco-Evo-Paleo, Université de Lille 1, CNRS, Villeneuve d'Ascq, France
30 Anne Duputié
31

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: We thank L. Burkhardt, A. Contreras, S. Dambrowski, L. van Ess, K.
Fritschi, A. Habring, C. Lino, M. Lucke, D. Lundberg, A. Marotz, E. Mehmetoğlu, A.
Nowakoswki, G. Ofir, K. Romanova, R. Schwab, S. Stegmeyer, P. Sterr, L. Teasdale, S. Wang,
F. Weber for assistance in the field and lab. We acknowledge the Zegar Family Foundation for
their generous support of the NYU Genomics Core. LPH was supported by the Charles H.
Revson Foundation. This work was funded by ERC project 951444/PATHOCOM awarded to
FR, DW, and JB.
DATA AVAILABILITY: Code will be released upon publication. Sequences for samples will be
deposited in NCBI SRA upon publication.
COMPETING INTERESTS: DW holds equity in Computomics, which advises plant breeders.
DW also consults for KWS SE, a globally active plant breeder and seed producer. All other
authors declare no competing interests.
44

ABSTRACT

The microbiome often protects plants against pathogens, but most findings are limited to controlled experiments in the lab. In the context of wild populations, one key challenge is to understand sources of variation that impact the commensal microbiome, which in turn shapes the degree of protection. Here, we surveyed both disease symptoms and microbiomes from wild populations of *Arabidopsis thaliana* over four consecutive seasons (fall/spring) across three different land use types. Land use types were stratified by anthropogenic influences and included forest meadows, human-impacted fields adjacent to agriculture or municipal parks, and highly disturbed habitats near railroad tracks. By building an integrative map of abiotic and biotic variables, we find that a key predictor of disease was biodiversity across ecological scales. Higher diversity plant communities reduced disease burden in *A. thaliana* populations but also increased diversity within the microbiome of *A. thaliana*. This increased microbial diversity was additionally associated with less disease in *A. thaliana*. However, the diversity-microbiome-disease relationships were all sensitive to season and further modulated by land use. Taken together, our work highlights the importance of anthropogenic change reshaping species interactions across ecological scales to impact disease risk in wild plant populations.

INTRODUCTION

Rapid anthropogenic change is reshaping ecological processes at multiple scales that can impact infectious disease dynamics [1–3]. As many abiotic and biotic factors are linked to anthropogenic change, the disturbance caused by these ecological and environmental changes is hypothesized to increase the emergence of novel pathogens [3,4]. Particularly for plants, anthropogenic land use change and its impact on neighboring ecological communities is expected to accelerate pathogen evolution [5]. If land use changes increase the degree of habitat fragmentation, increase plant density, and decrease community diversity, then disease risk can be amplified [3]. However, the risk of disease spread will depend on factors that impede or facilitate microbial transmission within and between plant species [3,5,6]. Identifying the multitude of ways that land use change impacts ecological interactions at different scales is essential to obtain insights into disease dynamics in plant populations undergoing rapid anthropogenic change.

The microbiome has emerged as an important factor in protecting plants against pathogens [7–9], offering potentially new approaches for combating emerging pathogens [10,11]. However, these findings are limited to controlled experiments in simplified ecological contexts. In realistic ecological contexts, plant disease dynamics are very different. Disease is often less severe in wild plants than in agricultural settings [12,13], and when disease outbreaks do occur, the incidence of coinfection is higher in wild populations [14]. While microbiomes are generally protective, interactions between the commensal microbiome and pathogen can instead lead to overall increases in pathogen diversity and burden [15,16]. Thus, microbiome-pathogen interactions potentially shape disease dynamics, but the impact remains poorly understood in naturalistic ecological contexts.

Understanding these complex microbiome-disease dynamics requires characterizing wild populations for both disease dynamics and microbiome variation. *Arabidopsis thaliana* is an

excellent model to investigate how land use change impacts microbial sharing because wild *A.*
thaliana inhabits a broad range of environments and co-occurs with diverse assemblages of
other plant species. We characterized the abiotic and biotic environments to identify drivers of
microbiome variation and associations with disease in the phyllosphere. By sampling natural
populations in different ecological contexts, we highlight the potential for land use types to
reshape associations between microbiome variation and pathogen dynamics.
95
96   ### ***METHODS***
97   Please refer to the supplement for full methodological details.
98
Site characterization and sample collection
Arabidopsis thaliana populations were sampled from 19 sites in southwest Michigan in the fall
and spring between Fall 2021 and Spring 2023 (Fig. 1A, Supp. Table M1 for site details, dates,
and sample sizes); we note that Site 17 was only sampled once and subsequently replaced with
Site 19. As *A. thaliana* at these sites are winter annuals, collections were timed to start shortly
after germination in November and harvesting was completed in the spring, after bolting
reproductive stalks but before flowering and senescence. For each site, two transects were
established to capture the range of micro-environmental variation, and each subsequent
sampling returned to approximately the same transect locations within the site. For all analyses,
data from both transects were pooled together to better partition variance at the site level.
109
We classified the land use type for sites into forest (N=7), anthropogenic (N=5), or railroad
(N=7). Railroad sites were directly adjacent to active railroad tracks, while anthropogenic sites
were often near agricultural fields or municipal parks. We used imperviousness data ([17]) to
quantitatively distinguish forest and anthropogenic sites. Imperviousness data was determined
at different spatial scales (every 50 m from 50-500 m, then 100 m up to 1 km). Forest sites were
those with <5% imperviousness at all spatial scales, and the remaining non-railroad sites were
classified as anthropogenic (Supp. Fig. M1); railroad sites had the highest imperviousness
percentages at all spatial scales.
118
At each site, we characterized the abiotic environment relevant to plant-microbe interactions
[18] using mean temperature on the day of sampling, cumulative precipitation in the three weeks
preceding sampling, and the first two principal components of soil chemistry properties. Soil
chemistry analysis was performed by A&L GreatLakes Laboratories. For the biotic environment,
we focused on the companion plant community that co-occurs with *A. thaliana*. To further
characterize companion plant communities at each sampling, two 50 cm x50 cm quadrats were
placed in the approximate center of each transect, in areas with at least one *A. thaliana*
individual within the quadrat. Percent coverage of the community was assessed using the
quadrats. We then identified morphotypes as proxies for plant species, counted each
morphotype, and saved a voucher for molecular identification using the chloroplast marker,
matK [19]. Community diversity was pooled from the two transects, and analyses used the
genus-level *matK* assignment. Bray-Curtis dissimilarity was used to partition variance in
compositional changes due to land use type, and Shannon diversity was used as the primary
alpha-diversity measure in subsequent analyses.

133
We collected the above-ground rosettes of 16-24 *A. thaliana* individuals at each site per
sampling period (N=1,327 plants total). The distribution of *A. thaliana* population size was
approximated at a $\log_{10}$ scale. All plants were visually scored for the following disease
symptoms [20]: chlorosis, necrosis, hypersensitive response (HR)-like lesions, *Albugo*
presence, *Hyaloperonospora* presence, general fungal damage, and herbivory. A composite
disease score (excluding herbivory) was built using the presence/absence of each symptom
using principal components analysis. Other plant phenotypes measured were diameter, number
of leaves, and bolting.

142
Approximately half of the *A. thaliana* samples were randomly selected to enrich for the
endophytic portion of the microbiome in the field. Plants were briefly washed with 1X TE +
0.01% Triton-X, 80% ethanol, 2% bleach, and sterile water. Rosettes were blotted dry and
placed immediately on dry ice until returned to the lab. We also collected a small portion of each
non-*A. thaliana* morphotype to characterize the companion plant microbiome from pooled
samples, as well as soil samples for microbiome characterization. Thus, microbiome analyses
were focused on the three sample types: individual *A. thaliana* endophytes, companion plant
pool, and soil.

151
Microbiome characterization
We characterized the *A. thaliana* microbiome using host-associated microbe PCR (hamPCR)
[21] to simultaneously assess microbial load and community diversity (see supplement for full
details). hamPCR co-amplifies a microbial marker gene with a single copy host gene in a single
PCR reaction and then incorporates Illumina adapters for high-throughput sequencing. We used
hamPCR for both bacteria (16S rRNA V5V6V7) and fungi (ITS1-2) with *GIGANTEA* as the host
gene; the same amplicons were used for soil and companion plants without *GIGANTEA*.
Microbial load is calculated by dividing the microbial reads by the *GIGANTEA* reads, and
composition is determined using tools implemented in QIIME2 v2023.2 [22] and phyloseq [23].
ASVs were called using DADA2 [24] and taxonomically assigned using classify-sklearn Bayes
classifier [25]. 16S rRNA were classified using the Greengenes database [26] and ITS
sequences were classified using the Unite database [27]. Sequencing was performed on the
Illumina NovaSeq platform, 1x300 bp at the NYU Genomics Core.

165
For the *A. thaliana* endophytes only, we assessed finer-scale phylogenetic resolution by
developing primers that target strain diversity within *Sphingomonas* and other closely related
genera (*mmdA* gene) and within the *Pseudomonas* genus (*HSD* gene). We chose to focus on
these groups because *Sphingomonas* was the most abundant bacterial taxon and
Pseudomonas contains both plant growth promoting strains [15] and important pathogens of *A.*
thaliana as well as many other plants. *mmdA* and *HSD* amplicons were processed as stated
previously for 16S rRNA and ITS, but taxonomy was assigned using a custom database.
Sequencing was performed on the Illumina MiSeq platform, 1x300 bp at the NYU Genomics
Core.

175
Statistical approach

We analyzed our data using mixed linear models and PERMANOVAs to identify associations
between *A. thaliana* phenotypes (i.e., disease symptoms, microbiome variation) with
environmental factors (see supplement for full details). For all mixed linear models, population
was nested within the sampling year as a random effect. For PERMANOVAs assessing
compositional differences (companion plant community and microbiome beta-diversity),
population membership was used as blocks and year as a main term. For all microbiome beta-
diversity analyses, we used a modified Aitchison distance [28]. While this distance metric is
largely insensitive to read depth, we included read depth as a covariate, as rarefaction was not
performed before ordination. Alpha-diversity metrics for all amplicons were rarefied to 1,000
reads for endophytes and 16,963 reads for companion plant and soil microbiomes (Supp. Fig.
M2, supplemental methods for more detail). For models that focused only on endophytes (e.g.,
disease dynamics, microbial load and diversity), plant diameter was included as a fixed effect to
control for differences in host size. As land use type and season often co-varied with many other
factors, we primarily used these qualitative factors, but delineated when we used quantitative,
site-level factors (e.g., companion plant community diversity, soil chemistry PC1, etc). We often
tested for interactions between season and land use, but if interactions were not significant, the
interaction terms were dropped. Mixed effects models were implemented in lme4 [29].
Residuals were assessed for dispersion, normality, and outliers using DHARMa [30]. Beta-
diversity analyses used the adonis2 implementation of PERMANOVA in vegan [31] .
196
RESULTS
Land use shapes abiotic and biotic factors in A. thaliana populations
Across the 19 populations sampled, *A. thaliana* population sizes varied idiosyncratically across
years and season, with no significant difference between land use types (Fig. 1B, Supp. Table
R1). *Arabidopsis thaliana* plant size increased in the spring, again with no significant differences
between land use type (Fig. 1C, Supp. Table R2).
203
We then characterized how abiotic and biotic factors vary across land use types for *A. thaliana*
populations. For abiotic factors, we focused on temperature, precipitation, and soil chemistry.
Neither temperature nor precipitation significantly differed between the land use types (Supp.
Fig. R1, Supp. Table R3). Soil chemistry differed between land use types, where railroad soils
were separated from anthropogenic and forest soils along PC1 (Supp. Fig. R2); railroad soils
tended to have higher cation exchange capacity and organic matter levels.
210
For biotic factors, we characterized the co-occurring companion plant community, which
potentially shares microbes with the focal *A. thaliana* individuals. The composition of companion
plant communities was primarily structured by land use type (R^2 = 0.09, $F_{2,66}$ = 2.71, p=0.002),
with soil chemistry, temperature and year explaining marginal, but significant amounts of
variance (Supp. Fig. R3, Supp. Table R5). The most abundant plants were *Arenaria* sp.,
Cerastium sp., and *Veronica* sp., as well as genera that were unique to each land use type
(Supp. Fig. R3). Alpha-diversity of the companion plant community did not significantly differ
across land use types or seasons (Supp. Fig. R4, Supp. Table R6). Taken together, the different
land use categories are associated with different abiotic and biotic factors.
220

Land use modulates seasonal patterns of disease dynamics
We scored ~1,300 *A. thaliana* individuals for disease symptoms across the four sampling
periods. The most frequently observed symptom was chlorosis, followed by HR-like lesions and
necrosis (Fig. 2A). Many *A. thaliana* individuals often featured multiple disease symptoms, with
the most common co-occurrence being chlorosis, HR-like, and necrosis. Notably, we detected a
strong seasonal association for plants with multiple symptoms, with most plants in the spring
exhibiting at least two different symptoms (Fig. 2B). More so, the dominant symptoms exhibited
different temporal fluctuations in the different land use types (Supp. Fig. R5). For example, the
presence of HR-like lesions decreased in the spring for forest populations, but increased for
railroad populations; anthropogenic sites did not display seasonal dynamics for this symptom.
231
To understand how land use influences disease symptoms, we first integrated the different
disease symptoms into a composite disease score using PCA loadings. PC1 separated the
single- and multiple-symptom plants, and PC2 separated plants by symptom types (Supp. Fig.
R6). With this composite score, we detected a significant three-way interaction between disease
PC1 and land use, season, and Shannon diversity of the companion plant community
(interaction Wald X^2 = 33.3, p < 0.001, Fig. 2C-D, Supp. Table R7). Forest populations exhibited
increases in symptoms in the spring, and these swings were greater than in railroad populations
(Fig. 2C). Populations at sites with strong anthropogenic influence, however, did not display a
seasonal pattern. Companion plant community diversity was negatively correlated with disease
PC1 across all land use types in the spring but only in the railroad populations during the fall
(Fig. 2D). In the spring, the relationship between disease PC1 and companion plant diversity
was steepest for anthropogenic sites. Overall, we find that the diversity of companion plants
impacted disease symptoms in wild *A. thaliana,* but this impact was sensitive to land use and
season.
246
Season strongly structures the endophytic microbiome of A. thaliana, but land use shapes
microbial sharing with companion plants
We next assessed factors shaping microbial dynamics in *A. thaliana*. We explored three axes of
variation in the bacterial and fungal microbiomes: 1) microbial load in *A. thaliana* endophytes, 2)
the drivers of beta- and alpha-diversity differences between and within sample types, and 3)
microbial sharing between *A. thaliana*, companion plants, and soil.
253
Microbial load of *A. thaliana* endophytes was significantly higher in the spring than in the fall for
both bacteria and fungi (bacteria: β = 0.89 ± 0.04 SE, p < 0.0001, fungi: β = 0.16 ± 0.07 SE, p =
0.02, Fig. 3A-B, Supp. Table R8); more variance was explained for bacterial load (marginal R^2 =
0.29) than fungal load (marginal R^2 = 0.01). Bacterial and fungal loads were positively correlated
(β = 0.26 ± 0.03 SE, p< 0.001), although the strength of this correlation differed between fall and
spring (interaction Wald X^2 = 23.8971, p < 0.0001, Supp. Fig. R7, Supp. Table R9). Land use
did not significantly affect bacterial or fungal load.
261
Next, we focused on drivers of compositional differences between sample types. Most microbes
were found across all sample types, but their relative abundance differed (Supp. Fig. R8 for
bacteria, Supp. Fig. R9 for fungi); these microbes are also commonly observed in wild *A.*

thaliana populations [32–35]. Sphingomonadaceae were the most abundant bacteria across all
sample types, while the most abundant fungal taxa varied (Table 1). Sample type only explained
8.1% of variance in bacterial microbiomes using a modified Aitchison distance metric ($F_{2,879}$ =
50.7, p = 0.001, Supp. Fig. R10, Supp. Table R10). Less variance was explained for fungal
microbiomes, though sample type explained the most variance (R^2 = 0.04, $F_{2,760}$ = 14.8, p =
0.001, Supp. Fig. R10, Supp. Table R10). We note that other beta-diversity metrics explained
less variance, but show qualitatively similar results (Supp. Fig R11, Supp. Table R11). Alpha-
diversity was highest in soil and lowest in endophytes for both bacterial and fungal microbiomes
(Supp. Fig. R12, Supp. Table R12).
274
While sample types generally shared the same microbes, different forces structured the
microbiomes within each sample type. In these analyses, we included qualitative site
descriptors (e.g., land use, season) as well as quantitative measures (e.g., companion plant
community PC1, temperature, etc). Season structured beta-diversity in the endophytic bacterial
microbiomes (R^2 = 0.28, $F_{1,743}$ = 349.3, p = 0.001) and companion plant microbiome (R^2 = 0.32,
$F_{1,67}$ = 36.5, p = 0.001), while soil bacterial microbiomes were more strongly structured by land
use (R^2 = 0.44, $F_{2,67}$ = 47.8, p = 0.001, Fig. 3C-D, Supp. Table R13). For fungal endophytes,
season explained most of the little variation that could be explained (R^2 = 0.02, $F_{1,624}$ = 13.3, p =
0.001, Fig. 3E-F, Supp. Table R14). Like for bacteria, season structured the fungal microbiomes
in companion plants (R^2 = 0.32, $F_{1,67}$ = 26.7, p = 0.001, Fig. 3E-F, Supp. Table R14). Other
variables generally did not explain substantial variance structuring either bacterial or fungal
microbiomes, with the exception that companion plant community PC1 explained significant
variance in the soil bacterial community (R^2 = 0.14, $F_{1,67}$ = 30.7, p = 0.001, Fig. 3D-F, Supp.
Table R13).
289
For alpha-diversity, we focused on the endophytes and expanded our dataset to include two
additional amplicons, *mmdA* and *HSD*, which capture fine-scale diversity within *Sphingomonas*
and *Pseudomonas*, respectively, allowing us to compare the response of microbiomes to land
use at different phylogenetic scales. To test this, we compared patterns in temporal change for
Shannon diversity for the different amplicons and found that a three-way interaction between
amplicon, land use and season shapes temporal dynamics (three-way interaction Wald X^2 =
15.4, p = 0.02, Fig. 3G, Supp. Table R15). At the community level (i.e., *16S* and *ITS*), we saw
few trends within land use types, except for consistent decreases in alpha diversity within
forests in the spring. At the strain level, we found that *Pseudomonas* alpha diversity tended to
decrease over sampling periods for all land use types. The pattern was less clear for
Sphingomonas, in which alpha diversity increased in the Spring across all land use types in the
first, but not second, year of our study. There were no effects of land use or season on alpha
diversity of the fungal or bacterial microbiomes in the soil or companion plants (Supp. Fig. R13,
Supp. Table R16); recall that we did not investigate *Sphingomonas* or *Pseudomonas* diversity in
these sample types. Finally, we examined correlations between load and Shannon diversity to
understand how land use affected these two aspects of microbial variation. Shannon diversity
and load were weakly correlated for bacterial microbiomes, with the direction of the correlation
differing between land use types (interaction Wald X^2 = 13.0, p = 0.001, Supp. Fig. R14, Supp.
Table R17). For fungal microbiomes, a strong negative correlation was observed between

Shannon diversity and load, but without an effect of land use (β = -1.04 ± 0.06 SE, p < 0.0001,
Supp. Fig. R14, Supp. Table R17). Taken together, we conclude that season and land use
structure the alpha-diversity of endophytic communities within *A. thaliana* endophytes, with
differing impacts at microbial species versus strain levels, but no obvious effect for the soil and
companion plant microbiomes.
314
The phyllosphere is assembled through microbial dispersal from the air, soil, and other co-
occurring plants [36]. If land use is impacting the potential for microbial spillover from other
sources, then we would expect to see associations between microbes in *A. thaliana* and
microbes in companion plants or soil. We first examined this at the macro-community level to
understand how the companion plant community affected *A. thaliana* microbial diversity. Indeed,
companion plant community diversity, and thus the potential diversity of microbial sources, and
A. thaliana endophyte bacterial and fungal diversity were positively correlated, but the
correlation differed between land use types (bacterial interaction Wald X^2 = 8.55, p = 0.01;
fungal interaction Wald X^2 = 6.49, p = 0.04; Supp. Table R18); there was no interaction with
season. For bacteria, a positive correlation was observed for all land use types, but was steeper
for anthropogenic sites (Fig. 4A). For fungi, the positive correlation was only observed in forest
populations (Fig. 4B). Furthermore, *A. thaliana* microbial Shannon diversity was correlated with
both microbial diversity from companion plants and soil, but the effects of land use and season
differed between bacteria and fungi (Supp. Fig. R15, Supp. Table R19).
329
Next, we assessed microbial sharing between sample types using correlations for the dominant
bacterial taxa in endophytes, Sphingomonadaceae, across land use types and seasons. We
compared *A. thaliana* microbes with those in companion plants because of the detected effect of
companion plant community diversity on microbial diversity (Fig. 4A), and also with soil
microbes because of the strong effect of land use (Fig. 3D). We did not include fungi because
the dominant taxa varied across sample types more than in bacterial microbiomes, resulting in
insufficient sample sizes to make correlations. Each sample type displayed unique patterns of
seasonal change across the land use types (Supp. Fig. R16) with seasonal fluctuations
consistently observed only in endophytic Sphingomonadaceae. Sphingomonadaceae relative
abundance in endophytes depended on its relative abundance in companion plants, but was
shaped by a three-way interaction with season and land use (interaction Wald X^2 = 15.0, p =
0.0006, Fig. 4C, Supp. Table R20). A negative correlation was observed in the fall for sites with
strong anthropogenic influence, which changed to a positive correlation in the spring; we
observed the opposite pattern for forest and railroad populations. Endophyte-soil (Supp. Fig.
R17) did not display the three-way interaction for Sphingomonadaceae, but two-way interactions
between land use and season explained significant variance (Fig. 4D, Supp. Table R20); no
significant association was observed for soil-companion plant correlations. Overall, we observe
that the strength and direction of microbial sharing differed across seasons and land use types
at different levels of organization, from the macro-plant community to microbial diversity to
Sphingomonadaceae relative abundance.
350
Microbial abundance and diversity are associated with disease dynamics, but vary across land
use types

In general, variance in disease PC1, which represents the number of symptoms, was better
explained by bacterial diversity and load, while disease PC2, which represents the symptom
types, was better explained by fungal load. Associations between bacterial load and disease
PC1, depended on land use type and season (Fig. 5A, Supp. Table R21). As mentioned
previously disease PC1 was higher in the spring (β = 0.27 ± 0.06 SE, p < 0.001, Fig. 5A). Land
use type modified the relationship between disease PC1 and bacterial load (two-way interaction
Wald X^2 = 6.7030, p = 0.03, Fig. 5A). For example, forest populations tended to have consistent
bacterial loads across disease PC1, while anthropogenic and railroad populations were more
variable. Additionally, disease PC1 was negatively correlated with bacterial Shannon diversity,
with more symptoms observed in the plant associated with lower diversity (Fig. 5B). Companion
plant community diversity still explained significant variance when accounting for bacterial
effects on disease PC1 (Supp. Table R21). For disease PC2, fungal load-season interactions
explained significant variance for disease PC2 (interaction Wald X^2 = 10.0, p = 0.002, Fig. 5C,
Supp. Table R22), with a stronger and negative correlation in the fall.
367
368 These analyses show the importance of considering multiple facets of microbial diversity (e.g.,
369 load and diversity from bacteria and fungi) to explain patterns in disease dynamics. Importantly,
370 we find diversity at both the community and microbial level to be associated with reduced
371 disease, highlighting how processes across ecological scales potentially impact disease
372 dynamics.
373
DISCUSSION
Here, we surveyed wild populations of *A. thaliana* across fall and spring seasons for disease
symptoms and microbiome variation. While other studies typically focus on microbiomes or
disease in the field, our study integrates both to show how land use impacts disease by
modulating different aspects of the microbiome. Disease was primarily shaped by interactions
between season and land use. In the spring, more individuals display multi-disease symptoms,
with higher microbial load and changes to microbial community composition (Figs. 2-3).
Increased companion plant community diversity was associated with reduced occurrence of
multiple disease symptoms, but this relationship differed between land use types (Fig. 4).
Intriguingly, plant community diversity was positively associated with increased *A. thaliana*
microbial diversity (Fig.4), and this higher microbial diversity was also associated with reduced
disease in *A. thaliana* (Fig. 5). Our results highlight how land use change can impact multiple
types of ecological interactions that in turn can change disease risk in wild populations.
387
We observed that higher biodiversity at two ecological scales–the plant community and within
the *A. thaliana* microbiome–resulted in less disease. This result is suggestive of the dilution
effect, where higher host diversity dilutes the disease risk in a multi-host pathogen system
[1,37,38]. Biodiversity loss associated with urban and agricultural environments often results in
communities enriched for species with life history traits associated with fast pace-of-life and
reduced investment in immune defenses, increasing the risk of disease spillover [3,38]. While
we did not measure these traits in the companion plant communities, land use types differed in
the correlation between disease symptoms and plant diversity (Fig. 2D) as well as plant
community composition (Supp. Fig. R3), suggesting that traits underlying the diversity-disease

relationship vary across land use types. The impact of this variation in disease-relevant plant
traits can also be further modulated by the abiotic factors, such as soil chemical properties [20].
Higher diversity microbiomes often provide greater protection against pathogens in plants
[7,9,39], as we observed here as well, but this protection also partially depends on microbial
load (Fig. 5). Protection by diverse microbiomes occurs when commensals saturate the host
niche space and consume resources that effectively outcompete invading pathogens [40,41].
Identifying potential synergies between the mechanisms underlying the dilution effect at the
plant community scale and within the microbiome is an important research priority.
405
Our results are consistent with several scenarios, but we believe that the following is particularly
attractive. First, plant community diversity protects against disease through plant traits that
dilute the community-level disease burden. In turn, increases in plant community diversity also
promote diversity within the *A. thaliana* microbiome, resulting in increased protection and
reduced disease. Additional support is required for this hypothetical scenario, preferably from
targeted manipulation of microbiomes, pathogens and companion plants. We note that the
dilution effect often depends on the spatial scale over which these interactions occur [42,43], but
it remains an open question how to apply the framework of the dilution effect to host-associated
microbiomes [1,42].
415
The concomitant increase in diversity between plant community and microbial diversity with
increased microbial diversity in *A. thaliana* exposes a tension in the diversity-disease
relationship. This diversity-begets-diversity relationship has been observed in a range of host
systems, including *A. thaliana* [15,38,44], but primarily for pathogens rather than commensal
microbes. The positive relationship between plant community and microbial diversity appeared
to be beneficial in our setting, but it also potentially amplifies disease risk by exposure to novel
microbes. Microbial sharing between host species is expected to accelerate microbial evolution
particularly under environmental change [5,45], but whether cross-species transmission
differentially impacts pathogens compared to commensal microbes is an open question.
Intriguingly, the correlation of Sphingomonadaceae between *A. thaliana* endophytes and the
other sample types suggests that land use alters microbial sharing (Fig. 4C-D).
Sphingomonadaceae abundance is often protective against bacterial pathogens [46,47], but as
we did not assess disease in companion plants, we do not know if this occurred in the surveyed
plants. A key research priority is to link how these different eco-evolutionary forces shape the
microbial evolution in wild host-microbe systems.
431
While it is tempting to attribute the negative relationship between microbial diversity and disease
to protection provided by the microbiome or the dilution effect operating at the microbial scale,
we do not know microbiome diversity prior to infection and thus cannot attribute causality.
Furthermore, we failed to detect strong effects of disease on structuring the beta-diversity of the
endophytic microbiome (Supp. Fig. D1), suggesting that microbial taxa did not consistently differ
between healthy and sick individuals. One issue is that pathogens often share the same 16S
rRNA sequence as non-pathogenic microbes in plant disease systems, such as in the *P.*
syringae complex [48], and so we have limited insight from our amplicon sequencing to identify
pathogens. We attempted to use the *HSD* amplicon for *Pseudomonas* genera, but we did not

find any associations in diseased plants (Supp. Fig. D2), suggesting this amplicon lacks
sufficient resolution to identify pathogenic strains. While there may be technical limitations,
Shannon diversity was the primary difference for the microbiome between healthy and diseased
plants, leaving much of the variation in disease-microbiome interactions unexplained.
445
It is remarkable that so many *A. thaliana* individuals were observed to have multiple disease
symptoms, and understanding this resilience of *A. thaliana* to disease pressure remains a key
question. While multi-pathogen systems are common in the wild ecosystems, individuals seem
to rarely experience strong negative effects of coinfection [12,13], which is aligned with our
observations here. Additionally, infections did not appear to cost *A. thaliana* in growth or
reproduction, as larger plants tended to have more disease symptoms and were just as likely to
be bolting as healthy plants (Supp. Fig. D3). Note, however, that we did not measure
phenotypes more directly associated with fitness. It is possible that disease symptoms may not
necessarily be independent, as the same pathogen can cause multiple symptom types [49]. We
cannot confirm the association between the number of symptoms, type of symptoms, and the
number of pathogens due to the lack of resolution in amplicon sequencing. The disease
symptoms observed here may not be due solely to disease, but may reflect exposure to
environmental stress. Diagnosing the causative agents of symptoms combined with
transcriptomic profiling would provide clarity into the relationship between land use and disease
in *A. thaliana*.
461
Finally, it is important to consider the role of plant genetics and demography in shaping
interactions between pathogens and the microbiome. Host genetics impacts microbiome
composition in *A. thaliana* [18,32,35,50,51] as well disease resistance [51–54]. Land use in turn
impacts host genetics, as different urban environments filter for different *A. thaliana* genotypes
[55]. *Arabidopsis thaliana* has been shown to locally adapt to the companion plant community,
particularly for alleles in immunity genes [19]. Railroads are implicated in increasing gene flow in
a closely related species, *A. arenosa [56]*. Whether this applies to the *A. thaliana* populations
here remains unknown, especially whether the introduced alleles by railroad-assisted gene flow
would be associated with host control over the microbiome or disease resistance in the
populations sampled. Both studies of urban adaptation [55] and introgression facilitated by
railroads [56] identified selection on alleles associated with life-history traits that shorten the life
cycle. Changes to the demography of *A. thaliana* across different land use types may lead to
multiple cohorts of germination during each growing season. If these germination cohorts differ
from the temporal dynamics of pathogen exposure, then this may explain seasonal differences
in both the individual symptoms (Supp. Fig. R4) and composite disease scores (Fig. 2C)
observed across land use types. Repeated sampling at smaller time intervals would help
elucidate how temporal variation in pathogen exposure intersects with *A. thaliana* genetic
variation and demography.
480
In conclusion, our results highlight the multitude of ways that land use change affects ecological
interactions, from the macro-community level down to within-host microbial variation to plant
disease dynamics. For the many ecological communities impacted by rapid anthropogenic
change, our work here highlights the importance of understanding the interaction between

seasonality and ecological context that will shape disease dynamics in natural populations.
Harnessing these interactions will be critical in developing the microbiome as a potential
solution to provide protection against pathogens in wild and agricultural settings.
488
489

Table 1: Top three most abundant microbes for each sample type

	Bacteria
Endophyte	Sphingomonadaceae (33.7%), Oxalobacteraceae (15.9%), Comamonadaceae (8.5%)
Companions	Sphingomonadaceae (25.4%), Oxalobacteraceae (10.3%), Comamonadaceae (8.7%)
Soil	Sphingomonadaceae (13.4%), Actinobacteria (6.3%), Beijerinckiaceae (5.6%)
	Fungi
Endophyte	Mycosphaerellaceae (15.2%), Amphisphaeriaceae (13.5%), Helotiaceae (9.1%)
Companions	Didymellaceae (13.0%), Mycosphaerellaceae (10.5%), Cladosporiaceae (7.2%)
Soil	Nectriaceae (10.6%), Trichomeriaceae (5.3%), Helotiaceae

Fig. 1: Survey of *A. thaliana* populations. A) Map of populations near Lake Michigan, USA, color-coded by land use. B) Population size across seasons, colored by land use type. C) Size of individual plants across seasons. For B and C, smaller hollow circles and thin lines represent each population, while solid larger circles, thick lines represent the average from each land use category, with standard error.

Fig. 2: Interactions between land use and season shape disease dynamics. A) Upset plot
showing the overlap between different disease symptoms and counts across all plants sampled.
B) Relative frequency of plants with the number of symptoms observed. C) Temporal dynamics
in disease dynamics as indicated by the first principal component of the composite disease
score. Smaller hollow circles represent individual plants, while the larger solid circles and thick
lines represent the average from each land use category per season, with standard error. D)
Companion plant community diversity is negatively correlated with disease PC1, but depends
on land use and season. Small points represent individual plants, colored by land use.
509

Fig. 3: Season and land use impact microbiomes across sample types. A) Bacterial load in spring (blue) and fall (red). B) Fungal load in spring and fall. C) Ordinations for bacterial microbiomes in *A. thaliana* endophytes, companion plants (abbreviated as "CAX"), and soil based on modified Aitchison distance matrix. Points are colored by the variable that explained the most variance. D) Bar plots of the R^2 value of each factor in PERMANOVA analysis for bacterial microbiomes, with color representing sample type (CAX BC PC1 = plant community beta-diversity using Bray-Curtis PCoA Axis 1). E) Ordinations for fungal microbiomes, colored by variables that explained the most variance. F) Bar plots of the R^2 value of each factor in PERMANOVA analysis for fungal microbiomes, with color representing sample type. No significant variance as explained by any factor for soil microbiomes. 3G) Shannon diversity of each amplicon across the four sampling seasons, colored by land use. Thin lines represent each population, while thick lines and solid circles show mean, with standard error.

Fig. 4: Land use impacts microbial diversity and sharing between sample types. For A-C,
points represent the correlation between companion plant and mean (+/- standard error) for
relative abundance in the endophytes for each population, colored by land use. A) *Arabidopsis*
thaliana endophyte microbial diversity is positively correlated with the companion plant
community diversity, but the slope differs between land use types. B) *Arabidopsis thaliana*
fungal diversity is also positively correlated with companion plant community diversity, but not
for anthropogenic sites. C) Correlations for the relative abundance of the dominant bacterial
family, Sphingomonadaceae, between companion plant and *A. thaliana* endophyte
microbiomes. Other sample types are in Supp. Fig. R17. D) Boxes represent the
Sphingomonadaceae correlation coefficients (β) for a given sample type pair. Y-axis titles are
abbreviated comparisons for E-C: endophyte-companion, E-S: endophyte-soil, and C-S:
companion-soil.

538
Fig. 5: Associations between microbiome variation and disease symptoms are shaped by
season and land use. A) Associations between bacterial load and disease PC1 as a function of
land use type and season. Density plots reflect the number of individuals for a given disease
PC1 (i.e., multiple-symptom class), colored by land use type. B) Association of Shannon
diversity bacterial microbiomes with disease PC1 scores, with points colored by the number of
symptoms observed. C) Association of fungal load with disease PC2, with points colored by
season and shape reflecting symptom type associated with PC2 score.

REFERENCES

1. Keesing F *et al.* 2010 Impacts of biodiversity on the emergence and transmission of
infectious diseases. *Nature* **468**, 647–652.

2. Baker RE *et al.* 2022 Infectious disease in an era of global change. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **20**,
193–205.

3. Laine A-L. 2023 Plant disease risk is modified by multiple global change drivers. *Curr. Biol.*
33, R574–R583.

4. Jones KE, Patel NG, Levy MA, Storeygard A, Balk D, Gittleman JL, Daszak P. 2008 Global
trends in emerging infectious diseases. *Nature* **451**, 990–993.

5. McDonald BA, Stukenbrock EH. 2016 Rapid emergence of pathogens in agro-ecosystems:
global threats to agricultural sustainability and food security. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B*
Biol. Sci. **371**. (doi:10.1098/rstb.2016.0026)

6. Parker IM, Saunders M, Bontrager M, Weitz AP, Hendricks R, Magarey R, Suiter K, Gilbert
GS. 2015 Phylogenetic structure and host abundance drive disease pressure in
communities. *Nature* **520**, 542–544.

7. Berg M, Koskella B. 2018 Nutrient- and Dose-Dependent Microbiome-Mediated Protection
against a Plant Pathogen. *Curr. Biol.* **28**, 2487–2492.e3.

8. Fitzpatrick CR, Salas-González I, Conway JM, Finkel OM, Gilbert S, Russ D, Teixeira
PJPL, Dangl JL. 2020 The plant microbiome: From ecology to reductionism and beyond.
Annu. Rev. Microbiol. **74**, 81–100.

9. Wang NR, Wiesmann CL, Melnyk RA, Hossain SS, Chi M-H, Martens K, Craven K, Haney
CH. 2021 Commensal Pseudomonas fluorescens Strains Protect Arabidopsis from Closely
Related Pseudomonas Pathogens in a Colonization-Dependent Manner. *MBio* **13**,
e0289221.

10. Henry LP, Bruijning M, Forsberg SKG, Ayroles JF. 2021 The microbiome extends host
evolutionary potential. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 5141.

11. Henry LP, Bergelson J. 2023 Evolutionary implications of host genetic control for
engineering beneficial microbiomes. *Curr Opin Syst Biol* **34**, None.

12. Stukenbrock EH, McDonald BA. 2008 The origins of plant pathogens in agro-ecosystems.
Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. **46**, 75–100.

13. Alexander HM. 2010 Disease in natural plant populations, communities, and ecosystems:
Insights into ecological and evolutionary processes. *Plant Dis.* **94**, 492–503.

14. Tollenaere C, Susi H, Laine A-L. 2016 Evolutionary and epidemiological implications of
multiple infection in plants. *Trends Plant Sci.* **21**, 80–90.

15. Bartoli C *et al.* 2018 In situ relationships between microbiota and potential pathobiota in
Arabidopsis thaliana. *ISME J.* **12**, 2024–2038.

16. Emmenegger B, Massoni J, Pestalozzi CM, Bortfeld-Miller M, Maier BA, Vorholt JA. 2023

Identifying microbiota community patterns important for plant protection using synthetic
communities and machine learning. *Nat. Commun.* **14**, 7983.

17. In press. National Land Cover Database Class Legend and Description. See
https://www.mrlc.gov/data/legends/national-land-cover-database-class-legend-and-
description (accessed on 10 June 2025).

18. Roux F, Frachon L, Bartoli C. 2023 The genetic architecture of adaptation to leaf and root
bacterial Microbiota in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **40**.
(doi:10.1093/molbev/msad093)

19. Frachon L, Mayjonade B, Bartoli C, Hautekèete N-C, Roux F. 2019 Adaptation to Plant
Communities across the Genome of Arabidopsis thaliana. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **36**, 1442–1456.

20. Roux F, Frachon L. 2022 A Genome-Wide Association study in Arabidopsis thaliana to
decipher the adaptive genetics of quantitative disease resistance in a native heterogeneous
environment. *PLoS One* **17**, e0274561.

21. Lundberg DS, Pramoj Na Ayutthaya P, Strauß A, Shirsekar G, Lo W-S, Lahaye T, Weigel
D. 2021 Host-associated microbe PCR (hamPCR) enables convenient measurement of
both microbial load and community composition. *Elife* **10**. (doi:10.7554/eLife.66186)

22. Bolyen E *et al.* 2019 Reproducible, interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data
science using QIIME 2. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **37**, 852–857.

23. McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. 2013 phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive analysis
and graphics of microbiome census data. *PLoS One* **8**, e61217.

24. Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJA, Holmes SP. 2016 DADA2:
High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nat. Methods* **13**, 581–583.

25. Bokulich NA, Kaehler BD, Rideout JR, Dillon M, Bolyen E, Knight R, Huttley GA, Gregory
Caporaso J. 2018 Optimizing taxonomic classification of marker-gene amplicon sequences
with QIIME 2's q2-feature-classifier plugin. *Microbiome* **6**. (doi:10.1186/s40168-018-0470-z)

26. McDonald D, Price MN, Goodrich J, Nawrocki EP, DeSantis TZ, Probst A, Andersen GL,
Knight R, Hugenholtz P. 2012 An improved Greengenes taxonomy with explicit ranks for
ecological and evolutionary analyses of bacteria and archaea. *ISME J.* **6**, 610–618.

27. Abarenkov K *et al.* 2024 The UNITE database for molecular identification and taxonomic
communication of fungi and other eukaryotes: sequences, taxa and classifications
reconsidered. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **52**, D791–D797.

28. Martino C, Morton JT, Marotz CA, Thompson LR, Tripathi A, Knight R, Zengler K. 2019 A
novel sparse compositional technique reveals microbial perturbations. *mSystems* **4**.
(doi:10.1128/mSystems.00016-19)

29. Bates D, Sarkar D, Bates MD, Matrix L. 2007 The lme4 package. *R package version* **2**, 74.

30. Hartig. 2024 DHARMa: Residual Diagnostics for Hierarchical (Multi-Level / Mixed)
Regression Models.

31. Oksanen J *et al.* 2015 Vegan community ecology package: ordination methods, diversity

analysis and other functions for community and vegetation ecologists. *R package ver* , 2–3.

32. Horton MW *et al.* 2014 Genome-wide association study of Arabidopsis thaliana leaf
microbial community. *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 5320.

33. Almario J, Mahmoudi M, Kroll S, Agler M, Placzek A, Mari A, Kemen E. 2022 The leaf
microbiome of Arabidopsis displays reproducible dynamics and patterns throughout the
growing season. *MBio* **13**, e0282521.

34. Lundberg DS *et al.* 2022 Contrasting patterns of microbial dominance in the Arabidopsis
thaliana phyllosphere. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **119**, e2211881119.

35. Karasov TL *et al.* 2024 Continental-scale associations of Arabidopsis thaliana phyllosphere
members with host genotype and drought. *Nat. Microbiol.* **9**, 2748–2758.

36. Vorholt JA. 2012 Microbial life in the phyllosphere. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **10**, 828–840.

37. Keesing F, Holt RD, Ostfeld RS. 2006 Effects of species diversity on disease risk: Effects of
species diversity on disease risk. *Ecol. Lett.* **9**, 485–498.

38. Halliday FW, Rohr JR, Laine A-L. 2020 Biodiversity loss underlies the dilution effect of
biodiversity. *Ecol. Lett.* **23**, 1611–1622.

39. Hu J, Wei Z, Kowalchuk GA, Xu Y, Shen Q, Jousset A. 2020 Rhizosphere microbiome
functional diversity and pathogen invasion resistance build up during plant development.
Environ. Microbiol. **22**, 5005–5018.

40. Spragge F, Bakkeren E, Jahn MT, B N Araujo E, Pearson CF, Wang X, Pankhurst L,
Cunrath O, Foster KR. 2023 Microbiome diversity protects against pathogens by nutrient
blocking. *Science* **382**, eadj3502.

41. Schäfer M, Pacheco AR, Künzler R, Bortfeld-Miller M, Field CM, Vayena E, Hatzimanikatis
V, Vorholt JA. 2023 Metabolic interaction models recapitulate leaf microbiota ecology.
Science **381**, eadf5121.

42. Rohr JR, Civitello DJ, Halliday FW, Hudson PJ, Lafferty KD, Wood CL, Mordecai EA. 2020
Towards common ground in the biodiversity-disease debate. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* **4**, 24–33.

43. Keesing F, Ostfeld RS. 2021 Dilution effects in disease ecology. *Ecol. Lett.* **24**, 2490–2505.

44. Johnson PTJ, Wood CL, Joseph MB, Preston DL, Haas SE, Springer YP. 2016 Habitat
heterogeneity drives the host-diversity-begets-parasite-diversity relationship: evidence from
experimental and field studies. *Ecol. Lett.* **19**, 752–761.

45. Thines M. 2019 An evolutionary framework for host shifts - jumping ships for survival. *New*
Phytol. **224**, 605–617.

46. Vogel C, Bodenhausen N, Gruissem W, Vorholt JA. 2016 The Arabidopsis leaf
transcriptome reveals distinct but also overlapping responses to colonization by
phyllosphere commensals and pathogen infection with impact on plant health. *New Phytol.*
212, 192–207.

47. Vogel CM, Potthoff DB, Schäfer M, Barandun N, Vorholt JA. 2021 Protective role of the

Arabidopsis leaf microbiota against a bacterial pathogen. *Nat. Microbiol.* **6**, 1537–1548.

48. Karasov TL *et al.* 2018 Arabidopsis thaliana and Pseudomonas Pathogens Exhibit Stable
Associations over Evolutionary Timescales. *Cell Host Microbe* **24**, 168–179.e4.

49. Katagiri F, Thilmony R, He SY. 2002 The Arabidopsis thaliana-pseudomonas syringae
interaction. *Arabidopsis Book* **1**, e0039.

50. Bergelson J, Brachi B, Roux F, Vailleau F. 2021 Assessing the potential to harness the
microbiome through plant genetics. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* **70**, 167–173.

51. Brachi B *et al.* 2022 Plant genetic effects on microbial hubs impact host fitness in repeated
field trials. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **119**, e2201285119.

52. Barrett LG, Kniskern JM, Bodenhausen N, Zhang W, Bergelson J. 2009 Continua of
specificity and virulence in plant host-pathogen interactions: causes and consequences.
New Phytol. **183**, 513–529.

53. Todesco M *et al.* 2010 Natural allelic variation underlying a major fitness trade-off in
Arabidopsis thaliana. *Nature* **465**, 632–636.

54. Karasov TL *et al.* 2014 The long-term maintenance of a resistance polymorphism through
diffuse interactions. *Nature* **512**, 436–440.

55. Schmitz G *et al.* 2024 Environmental filtering of life-history trait diversity in urban
populations of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *J. Ecol.* **112**, 14–27.

56. Baduel P, Hunter B, Yeola S, Bomblies K. 2018 Genetic basis and evolution of rapid cycling
in railway populations of tetraploid Arabidopsis arenosa. *PLoS Genet.* **14**, e1007510.