A Membrane-Disruptive Action of VBIT-4 Challenges Its Role as a Widely Used VDAC1 Oligomerization Inhibitor

Varun Ravishankar[1#], Luís Borges-Araújo[2,3#], Megha Rajendran[4#], Elodie Lafargue[5], Deborah Byrne[6], Nicolas Buzhinsky[5], Mya S. Wolfe[4], Wendy Fitzgerald[4], Nina A. Bautista[4], Bethel G. Beyene[4], Motahareh G. Larimi[4], Jean-Pierre Duneau[1], James Sturgis[1], Sergey M. Bezrukov[4], Ignacio Casuso[5], Tatiana Rostovtseva[4], Lucie Bergdoll[1*]

1. Laboratoire d'Ingénierie des Systèmes Macromoléculaires, CNRS, UMR 7255 - Aix Marseille Université, 31 Chemin Joseph Aiguier, 13402 Marseille, France. 2. Laboratoire de Biologie et Modélisation de la Cellule, CNRS, UMR 5239, Inserm, U1293, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon, Lyon, France. 3. Centre Blaise Pascal de Simulation et de Modélisation Numérique, Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon, Lyon, France. 4. *Eunice Kennedy Shriver* National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, NIH, Bethesda, Maryland, 20892, USA. 5. U1325 Aix-Marseille Université, INSERM, DyNaMo, Turing Centre for Living System, Marseille, France. 6. Biomass & Protein Engineering Aix Marseille Université, CNRS, IMM FR3479, 13402, Marseille, France.

\# : equal contribution

*corresponding author : Lucie Bergdoll

Email: lbergdoll@imm.cnrs.fr

Competing Interest Statement: The authors have no competing interests.

Keywords: VBIT-4, VDAC, membrane destabilization, lipid pores, toxicity

Abstract:

VDAC, the most abundant protein in the outer mitochondrial membrane, plays a central role in mitochondrial physiology. Its oligomerization has been considered to be involved in critical processes, such as mitochondrial DNA release and apoptosis; yet, the underlying molecular mechanisms remain poorly defined. VBIT-4, a small molecule widely regarded as a VDAC1 oligomerization inhibitor, has seen extensive applications over the past five years without proper mechanistic characterization. Using high-speed atomic force microscopy, we directly visualized VDAC1 oligomerization in planar lipid membranes and examined the effects of VBIT-4. Unexpectedly, we discovered that VBIT-4 partitioned into lipid bilayers at micromolar concentrations and disrupted membrane structure irrespective of VDAC1's presence. Complementary approaches—including single-channel electrophysiology, microscale thermophoresis, and coarse-grained molecular dynamics—corroborated VBIT-4 strong membrane partitioning and destabilizing activity, while showing no detectable effect on VDAC1 or its clustering. Consistent with this behavior, VBIT-4 induced VDAC1-independent cytotoxicity in HeLa cells at concentrations above 10 µM. Together, these findings demonstrate that VBIT-4 acts by perturbing lipid bilayers and promoting membrane defects rather than by inhibiting VDAC1 oligomerization. Thus, providing an alternative mechanism of action for interpreting studies using VBIT-4 underscores the need to consider its potent, nonspecific membrane activity in cells.

Teaser: Once thought to block VDAC1 oligomerization, VBIT-4 instead acts independently of VDAC1 as a membrane-active compound that destabilizes and permeabilizes lipid bilayers.

Introduction

The Voltage-Dependent Anion Channel (VDAC) is the most abundant protein of the mitochondrial outer membrane (MOM), accounting for over half of its protein content[1, 2]. As the primary conduit between mitochondria and cytosol, it mediates ATP/ADP and ion fluxes, thereby playing a central role in energy metabolism. Beyond transport, VDAC also regulates diverse mitochondrial functions, including apoptosis[3, 4], and calcium homeostasis[5–7]. Mammals express three isoforms (VDAC1, 2, and 3), which share their channel transport function but diverge in interaction partners and pathway specificity[8, 9].

VDAC is often recognized as a drug target because of its links to diverse diseases[10–12]. Yet its essential roles in physiology, lack of a defined catalytic site[12], and incomplete understanding of its functions in vivo complicate therapeutic development. One proposed strategy, attracting increased interest in recent years, is to target VDAC oligomerization implicated in essential physiological functions, including mitochondrial DNA release[13], lipid scrambling[14], mitochondrial organization[15], and interaction with MOM proteins[16] — processes central to mitochondrial dynamics and stress adaptation. VDAC has been shown by different groups to form oligomers that are heterogeneous lipid–protein clusters rather than discrete complexes[1, 2, 17, 18], which challenges their selective targeting.

In 2016, Ben-Hail *et al.* introduced VBIT-4 as a small-molecule inhibitor of VDAC1 oligomerization[19]. Since then, it has been widely used to probe VDAC1 in various diseases, ranging from autoimmunity and neurodegeneration to metabolic disease models[13, 20–25]. Despite this broad use, the mechanism of action of VBIT-4 remains unclear.

High-speed atomic force microscopy (HS-AFM) has recently enabled real-time, nanometer-scale visualization of VDAC1 oligomerization[17], showing that lipid composition is a key regulator and that disruption of physiological lipid distribution destabilizes native-like assemblies. Using HS-AFM, we examined the effects of VBIT-4 on VDAC1 oligomerization and found that, unexpectedly, it caused membrane permeabilization and bilayer defects even in the absence of VDAC1. Then, using complementary methods—including single-channel electrophysiology, microscale thermophoresis, and molecular dynamics—we confirmed that VBIT-4 partitions into membranes and perturbs bilayer properties independently of VDAC. Cellular assays corroborated these findings by showing that VBIT-4 induces cytotoxicity above 10 μM and mitochondrial dysfunction below 10 μM. These findings suggest an alternative mechanism of action of VBIT-4 and underscore the importance of systematically assessing drug–membrane interactions early in the drug development process.

Results

VBIT-4 induces perturbations in VDAC1-containing membranes

HS-AFM provides label-free, real-time imaging of nanoscale membrane dynamics under near-physiological conditions, making it well-suited for assessing small molecule effects on VDAC1 oligomerization. We applied this approach to POPC:POPE:cholesterol membranes, reconstituted

with or without VDAC1 and adsorbed on mica. Before VBIT-4 addition, VDAC1-containing membranes showed the characteristic "honeycomb" topography[17] (**Figure 1A,E**) , with extended protein assemblies separated by lipid-rich zones. Addition of 1 µM VBIT-4 produced small perforations a few nanometers wide and deep (**Figure 1B***), indicating local bilayer destabilization without affecting VDAC1 clusters (**Figure 1F**). Height profiles clearly distinguished VDAC1 from deeper VBIT-4–induced defects. At 10 µM, the number and size of defects increased (**Figure 1C***). AFM images revealed a clear difference between organized VDAC1 pores, which remained intact, and the irregular defects formed in lipid regions by VBIT-4 (**Figure 1G**). Height profiles analysis confirmed that VBIT-4-induced pores are wider (10-20 nm) and deeper (3-4 nm) than those of VDAC1 (5-7 nm wide, 0.8-2.5nm deep). The lack of structural changes in VDAC1 clusters indicates that VBIT-4 targets the lipid matrix rather than the protein assemblies. Height distribution analysis (**Figure 1D**) shows that VDAC1 membranes without VBIT-4 yield a narrow peak around –1nm, whereas addition of VBIT-4 broadens the distribution to -4 nm at 1 µM and up to -5.5 nm at 10 µM, reflecting heterogeneous pore formation.

VBIT-4 induces membrane perturbation in protein-free lipid bilayers

To assess the direct effects of VBIT-4 on lipid membranes, we examined protein-free membranes using HS-AFM. Control bilayers displayed uniform topography without defects (**Figure 1I**). Addition of 1 µM VBIT-4 induced isolated protrusions (**Figure 1J**) up to tens of nanometers in diameter (**Figure 1J** inset), consistent with higher-order oligomers or aggregates. Coarse-grained simulations support formation of VBIT-4 micelles (**Figure 1H**, Supplementary Figure 1) rather than monomers (VBIT-4 is rod-shaped ~2 nm × 0.5 nm when neutral and ~1.2 nm × 0.5 nm when charged), consistent with the observed protrusions. At 5 µM, deformations intensified, producing transmembrane defects (**Figure 1K**); at 50 µM—commonly used in cell studies—disruption was pronounced, and at 100 µM HS-AFM revealed nanometer-scale perforations consistent with rupture (**Figure 1L,M**). Height profiles analysis confirmed a progressive increase in defects dimensions: mean defect depth increased from 2.5-3 nm at 50 µM (**Figure 1L inset**) to 4.5 nm at 100 µM VBIT-4 (**Figure 1M inset**) while average defect diameters expanded from ~20 nm to ~50 nm over the same concentration range, demonstrating a dose-dependent destabilization of the lipid bilayer by VBIT-4. Thus, VBIT-4 alone progressively destabilizes membranes in a concentration-dependent manner, culminating in structural failure at high concentrations. Notably, defects appeared at lower concentrations in the presence of VDAC1, likely reflecting its lipid-scrambling activity[14], which may accelerate VBIT-4 transfer between leaflets and promote pore formation.

Figure 1. VBIT-4 induces defects in membrane bilayers, independently of VDAC1 presence.
Upper panels: VDAC1 reconstituted into POPC:POPE:chol (60:32.5:7.5) liposomes was adsorbed onto a mica surface for AFM imaging. AFM image and associated height profile before (**A**) and after addition of 1μM (**B**) and 10 μM (**C**) VBIT-4 to lipid bilayers deposited on mica in the presence of VDAC1. The purple rectangles of the height profiles indicate the 2.5 nm threshold: values above this limit correspond to pores formed by VDAC1 (green circles), whereas values below correspond to openings induced by the VBIT-4 molecule. Asterisks (*) denote VBIT-4–induced pores, and selected VDAC1 locations are highlighted with green circles. **C.** AFM images showing the effect of VBIT-4 (10 μM) on membrane-embedded VDAC1. **Left:** overview of the membrane surface with VDAC1 pores and VBIT-4–induced openings. **Middle:** Section (1) shows a zoomed-in high-resolution AFM images revealing the honeycomb-like structure of VDAC1 (Fourier filter). **Right:** Section (2) 3D zoomed view illustrating the depth of the pores/openings. **D.** Quantitative pore size distribution derived from pixel depth/height analysis of the overview images shown in A, B, and C. Histograms represent the distributions without VBIT-4 (green, panel A), in the presence of 1 μM (orange, panel B) or 10 μM (purple, panel C) VBIT-4. **E. F. G.** are computer models derived from AFM images in A, B, and C, respectively (see Methods and[17]). VDAC1 is depicted as a dark solid surface, with the first lipid shell in yellow, and the other interstitial lipids in an atom color-coded representation. **H.** Monomer and micellar structure of VBIT-4 obtained by molecular dynamic simulations (see Methods and below). On the monomer structure, polar groups are highlighted in red, slightly hydrophobic in grey, and strongly hydrophobic in blue. **Bottom panels:** Membrane was adsorbed onto a mica surface for AFM imaging. **I.** The AFM image of a lipid membrane (without VDAC) on a mica substrate shown before the injection of the VBIT-4 molecule. Addition of VBIT-4 at different concentrations: 1 μM (**J**), 5 μM (**K**), 50 μM (**L**), and

142   100 µM (**M**). The insets show the height profiles. The height of the false-colour scale in all images
143   was 12 nm.
144
VBIT-4 induces membrane defects

To confirm membrane perturbation, we performed Laurdan fluorescence measurements in
PC:PE:chol (62.5:30:7.5) liposomes. VBIT-4 induced a dose-dependent decrease in generalized
polarization (GP), consistent with increased water penetration and lipid disorder (**Figure 2A**,
Supplementary Figure 2). To further assess VBIT-4–induced membrane permeabilization, we
performed a fluorescein leakage assay using large unilamellar liposomes of the same composition
as in the Laurdan fluorescence experiments. At high internal concentrations, fluorescein self-
quenches, whereas its release upon membrane disruption increases fluorescence due to
dequenching. The addition of VBIT-4 triggered a gradual and concentration-dependent increase in
fluorescence (**Figure 2B**), indicating progressive leakage consistent with membrane destabilization
by the compound.

To assess functional consequences of VBIT-4 insertion, we recorded currents across planar lipid
membranes (PLMs) using the conventional voltage-clamp mode. VBIT-4 increased membrane
conductance in a clear concentration-dependent manner, with rupture typically occurring between
20–50 µM across all lipid compositions tested—PC:PE:chol, soybean polar lipid extract (PLE),
and DPhPC—despite experiment-to-experiment variability (**Figure 2C,D**; **Supplementary Figure**
3A; Supplementary Table 1). Representative traces illustrate progressive conductance increases,
reaching ~3.5 nS at 30 µM in PC:PE:Chol and ~5 nS at 25 µM in PLE shortly before rupture
(**Figure 2C,** red arrow, **Figure 2D**; **Supplementary Figure 3A**). Large current fluctuations
typically preceded rupture, consistent with the formation of heterogeneous lipidic pores[26–28]
rather than uniform channels. Similar behavior has been reported for various amphiphilic
compounds, which typically produce noisy current traces without well-defined discrete
conductance levels[29–32]. VBIT-4–induced defects displayed nearly linear, symmetric current–
voltage relationships (Inset in **Figure 2B** and **Supplementary Figure 3B**). These results
demonstrate that VBIT-4 causes nonspecific membrane permeabilization above ~15 µM. Our
results differ from the original report[19], where 40 µM VBIT-4 did not permeabilize membranes
formed by the 'painted' bilayer method using organic solvent. In contrast, when we repeated
experiments with painted membranes (Orbit mini system), VBIT-4 induced leakage starting from
5 µM (**Supplementary Figure 3C**), consistent with its effects in solvent-free PLMs. Together,
these data demonstrate that VBIT-4 partitions into bilayers and disrupts their structure in a
concentration-dependent manner, independently of VDAC.

176
Figure 2. VBIT-4 incorporates into lipid membranes. A. Laurdan GP analysis of PC:PE:chol
(62.5:30:7.5) liposomes with VBIT-4. The plot shows a VBIT-4 concentration-dependent decrease
in laurdan generalized polarization (n=5). The inset corresponds to the fluorescence spectra at
VBIT-4 concentrations changing from 0 (light blue) to 100 μM (dark blue), the inset data can be
found at a larger scale in Supplementary Figure 3. **B.** Liposome leakage test of encapsulated
fluorescein sodium salt from PC:PE:chol (w:w 62.5:30:7.5) liposomes with increasing concentration of
VBIT-4 and TX-100 (0.5 %). The inset represents the kinetics of fluorescein leakage from the
liposomes. **C.** Representative current traces obtained on the same PLM made from PC:PE:chol
(60:32.5:7.5) before and after consequent additions of 20 and 30 μM of VBIT-4 to the *cis* compartment
of the chamber at 80 mV of applied voltage. Large fast fluctuations of the membrane conductance at 30
μM of VBIT-4 preceded the membrane rupture shown by the upward red arrow. The inset shows the
current-voltage (I/V) curves obtained in the experiments at different VBIT-4 concentrations. Grey lines
are linear regressions indicating the nearly Ohmic behavior of VBIT-4-induced conductances. Dashed
grey lines show a zero current. The membrane-bathing solutions consisted of 150 mM KCl buffered
with 5 mM HEPES at pH 7.4. The current was digitally filtered using a 500 Hz Bessel (8-poles) filter
for presentation. **D**. Conductance of planar membrane increases with VBIT-4 concentration. PLM were
made from PC/PE/chol as in (C) and from PLE as in Supplementary Figure 3A. Membrane conductance
was calculated from the corresponding I/V curves. The dashed lines are an exponential fit to guide the
eye. Error bars are ±SD from measured conductances at different voltages.

196
VBIT-4 does not affect VDAC1 channel properties

To test whether VBIT-4 alters VDAC1 conductance or voltage-gating, we performed single- and
multichannel recordings at 5–30 μM VBIT-4. Unlike Ben-Hail et al.[19], we observed no
irreversible changes in VDAC1 conductance or gating across eight experiments (**Figure 3A, B**).
Instead, VBIT-4 caused a dose-dependent increase in overall membrane conductance (**Figure 3A**),
leading to membrane rupture, which is consistent with the nonspecific leakage observed in protein-

free membranes (**Figure 2C**). Multichannel recordings confirmed that open probability (P_{open}) was unchanged (**Figure 3B**).

To further probe VBIT-4's interaction with VDAC1, we used complementary biophysical approaches. Microscale thermophoresis (MST), a sensitive technique for detecting molecular interactions in solution, was applied to lipid nanodiscs. VBIT-4 titrated into lipid nanodiscs produced a Temperature-Related Intensity Change (TRIC) fluorescence signal (**Figure 3C**) with an average apparent dissociation constant (k_D) of ~70 μM, comparable to reported affinities for VDAC1 in detergent micelles[19, 33]. An equivalent signal in VDAC1-containing nanodiscs, but with a higher k_D of 260 μM can be explained by the lower amount of lipids in the nanodiscs due to the space occupied by VDAC1. This indicates non-specific partitioning of VBIT-4 into the bilayer rather than interaction with VDAC1 (**Figure 3C**). Furthermore, no spectral shift in the fluorescence ratio of 670nm/650nm was observed—this was expected for a specific ligand inducing changes in the chemical environment of the target—confirming the absence of any detectable interaction with VDAC1, while no signal was detected in controls lacking lipids (**Supplementary Figure 4A** and **4B**). The variability across replicates, also seen in published titrations[19, 33], further supports partitioning into the bilayer rather than binding to a defined protein site. The previously reported affinity likely reflects VBIT-4 partitioning into the hydrophobic core of detergent micelles[19, 33]. Thus, VBIT-4 increases bilayer permeability independently of VDAC1 and does not affect intrinsic channel properties.

Figure 3. VBIT-4 destabilizes lipid membranes independently of VDAC1. A. Representative single-channel current traces obtained with VDAC1 reconstituted in PLM formed from PLE before (control) and after the addition of 5 and 30 μM VBIT-4 to the *cis* compartment. Addition of VBIT-4 and the time of the recordings after additions are indicated by upward arrows. The jumps in the current correspond to the application of 10 mV voltage following the application of 0 mV. The record was obtained on the membrane with the same single channel of 4 nS conductance as in the control. The grey dash line indicates zero current (*I=0*). The dashed blue lines indicate the current through the open single channel.

Addition of 30 μM VBIT-4 induced a monotonic increase of membrane conductance, leading to membrane rupture. Current records were digitally filtered using an averaging time of 0.2 ms. **B.** Characteristic bell-shaped plots of open probability as a function of the applied voltage obtained in a multichannel experiment with VDAC1 in a PLE membrane in control and after addition of 5 and 10 μM VBIT-4. In all panels, the membrane-bathing solutions consisted of 1 M KCl buffered with 5 mM HEPES at pH 7.4. **C.** Binding of VBIT-4 to fluorescently labeled VDAC1 into nanodiscs (blue) or to empty nanodiscs, with MSP1D1 labeled (yellow), monitored by microscale thermophoresis. The plot shows normalized fluorescence at 650 nm measured 2.5 s after IR-laser activation across increasing VBIT-4 concentrations. An average apparent K_d of 70 μM with a confidence intervalle from 20-167 μM (n = 4) was obtained for VBIT-4 binding to empty nanodiscs and 234 μM with a confidence intervalle from 105-331 μM (n = 2) for VDAC1-containing nanodiscs.

Mechanistic insights into VBIT-4–mediated lipid bilayer destabilization from coarse-grained simulations

Having shown that VBIT-4 partitions into membranes and disrupts its integrity independently of VDAC1, we turned to molecular dynamics (MD) simulations to explore the mechanism. To reach longer timescales and larger system sizes, we used coarse-grained (CG) simulations with the Martini 3 force field[34], which reliably models membrane dynamics and small-molecule interactions, including with VDAC[35, 36].

A CG model of VBIT-4 was parameterized from all-atom simulations (**Figures 4A and 4B**). Considering that VBIT-4 can exist in neutral and protonated states with distinct conformations, we developed separate models to analyze their effects (see Methods).

VBIT-4 readily inserts into lipid bilayers

Unbiased MD simulations show that VBIT-4 spontaneously inserts into lipid bilayers, consistent with its hydrophobic nature (**Figures 4C and 4D**). The logP$_{(oct/water)}$ scale measures a molecule's hydrophobicity, with values below 0 indicating polar, water-soluble molecules (e.g., glucose, logP ~ -3.2[37]), and values above 0 indicating apolar, lipophilic molecules (e.g., cholesterol, logP > ~ 8[38]). Its predicted logP of ~3.5 (**Figure 4E**), similar to ibuprofen (logP ~ 3.9[39]), indicates strong membrane partitioning; a 1 μM aqueous concentration corresponds to ~3.5 mM in the bilayer, highlighting its lipid preference. Simulations also revealed a tendency to self-aggregate into micelle-like clusters regardless of the charge state (**Supplementary Figure 1**). These findings are consistent with Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) measurements showing that VBIT-4 exists predominantly as aggregates, with only a minor soluble fraction (**Supplementary Figure 5**).

To determine whether VBIT-4 can translocate across biological membranes—which is required in cells to reach the mitochondrial membranes—we performed umbrella sampling simulations to compute the Potential of Mean Force (PMF), which quantifies the free energy profile along the translocation pathway. As expected, the neutral form of VBIT-4 has a significantly lower translocation barrier (~30 kJ/mol) compared to the charged form (~55 kJ/mol, **Figure 4C**). While relatively high, these barriers are compatible with passive translocation, especially under conditions of elevated local concentration, membrane defects, transient pores, or lipid-scrambling activity, as suggested by our AFM experiments (**Figure 1**). It is important to note that the energy barrier estimated here applies to a single VBIT-4 molecule and does not account for cooperative or

concentration-dependent effects. Overall, VBIT-4 not only partitions into the membrane but also
has the potential to translocate across it.

VBIT-4 partitions into lipid membranes and induces membrane destabilization

We simulated a mitochondrial outer membrane mimic at increasing VBIT-4 concentrations (1:16–
1:1.5 VBIT-4:lipid). A recent predictor[40] estimates the pKa of VBIT4 to be between 5.7 and 6.9,
consistent with the known piperidine pKa[41]. Because this range, close to physiological pH,
allows coexistence of neutral and charged states, we simulated 50:50 mixtures, which produced
pore-like aggregation and destabilization intermediate between the two extremes (**Figure 4F, G-**
L). We also simulated both neutral and charged states of VBIT-4 independently (**Supplementary**
Figure 6, **Figure 4G-L**). In all cases, VBIT-4 spontaneously inserted into the bilayer, increasing
area per lipid, decreasing thickness and order, and enhancing water permeability (**Figure 4G-L**).
At high concentrations, a neutral and 50:50 mixture of VBIT-4 aggregated to form pore-like
structures that promoted lipid flip-flop and tail protrusion (**Figure 4G-L**). Charged VBIT-4 did not
form stable pore-like structures but markedly increased permeability. Notably, the CG-Martini
force field is known to over-stabilize membranes [42], suggesting that any observed destabilizing
effects likely underestimate the actual impact on biological membranes. Despite this, the phase-
separation and pore-like structures observed here are consistent with AFM and electrophysiology,
supporting a mechanism in which VBIT-4 destabilizes bilayers at micromolar concentrations.

VBIT-4 facilitates polar defects formation in lipid bilayers

To assess how VBIT-4 destabilizes membranes, we calculated the energy required to form polar
defects—transient water-filled disruptions of the hydrophobic core. The energy required to form
such defects determines membrane stability, with higher barriers preventing disruption and lower
barriers making the membrane more susceptible to perturbation. To this end, we utilized the pore-
formation reaction coordinate developed by Jochen Hub and applied umbrella sampling to probe
this effect[43]. Control simulations without VBIT-4 yielded high barriers (~250 kJ/mol) due to the
known overstabilization of Martini 3, whereas all-atom DPPC simulations typically report ~50
kJ/mol.

At ~1:3 VBIT-4:lipid ratios, both charged and neutral VBIT-4 reduced the barrier by ~60–100
kJ/mol (Figure 3M,N), indicating that the compound facilitates defect formation despite inflated
absolute values in Martini. Although the small system size limited pore-like aggregation, unbiased
simulations confirmed increased area per lipid, reduced thickness, enhanced water permeability,
and frequent tail protrusions and flip-flop events—all consistent with reduced bilayer stability.
Hence, even at low concentrations, VBIT-4 impacts the properties of biological membranes,
independently of VDAC.

Figure 4. VBIT-4 partitions into lipid bilayers and disrupts membrane integrity through pore-like structures. A. VBIT-4 chemical structure and Martini 3 model mapping. Atom-to-bead mappings are indicated by the coloured shapes. Assigned Martini 3 bead names are indicated for each bead as overlaid bold text, with the corresponding bead types in italic text. Protonable group is highlighted (⋆). **B.** VBIT-4 Martini 3 CG model. **C.** Potential of Mean Force (PMF) of VBIT-4 insertion into coarse-grained MOM membranes. Free energy profiles are shown for both the neutral (blue) and protonated (+1 charge, red) forms of VBIT-4. **D.** Snapshots of the insertion of neutral VBIT-4 at different distances from the bilayer center (ξ); lipid PO_4 beads are represented in orange, and VBIT-4 in grey/red/blue. **E.** Predicted octanol–water partition coefficient (Log P) values for VBIT-4. VBIT-4 hydrophobicity was estimated using several computational predictors (grey) and the Martini 3 coarse-grained model developed in this study (blue). Higher Log P values indicate greater lipid solubility. The dashed black line shows the consensus value across predictors, while the orange dashed line marks the Log P of ibuprofen (~3.9), a well-known hydrophobic drug used here for comparison. **F.** Representative snapshots showing the impact of increasing VBIT-4 concentrations on MOM membrane mimics after 10 μs of CG MD simulation. (Lipid phosphate beads are shown in orange; VBIT in grey, with

chemically distinct beads highlighted in red and blue). Top and side snapshots are shown for a 50:50 mix of charged and neutral VBIT-4 at increasing VBIT-4:lipid ratios (0, 1:16, 1:8, 1:3, 1:1.5). Lipid biophysical properties of MOM mimic membranes were evaluated in the presence of increasing VBIT-4 concentrations, either in the charged (red) or neutral (blue) form, as well as a 50:50 mixture of both states (orange). We monitored several indicators of membrane integrity: **G.** Area per lipid, **H.** Bilayer thickness, **I.** POPC acyl-chain order (membrane packing and organization), **J.** Lipid tail protrusion (packing defects), **K.** Bilayer water crossing (membrane permeability), and **L.** Lipid flip-flop (bilayer asymmetry). Each system was simulated for 10 µs in triplicate, and error bars represent the standard deviation across replicates. **M.** Potential of Mean Force (PMF) profiles for polar defect formation in coarse-grained MOM membrane systems, shown as a function of the pore formation reaction coordinate ξ, for membranes without VBIT-4 (black), with charged VBIT-4 (red), and with neutral VBIT-4 (blue). Curve uncertainty (under the single-digit kJ mol^{-1} range) is represented by shading in the same colours along the corresponding curve. **N.** Representative snapshots of the membrane systems in the presence of neutral VBIT along the reaction coordinate; lipid PO_4 beads are represented in orange, cholesterol ROH in dark orange, water beads in blue (only those in the vicinity of the lipid headgroups or the established defect are shown), and VBIT-4 in grey.

VBIT-4 increases membrane permeability and cytotoxicity in HeLa cells

Given VBIT-4 membrane-disrupting effects, its partitioning into membranes could potentially contribute to cellular cytotoxicity. We assessed its cytotoxicity in live HeLa wild-type (WT) and VDAC1 knockout (KO) cell lines using the multitox-fluor multiplex cytotoxicity assay kit that reports on both membrane integrity and cell viability. In intact cells, the live-cell protease cleaves cell-permeant GF-AFC, leading to AFC fluorescence. Loss of membrane integrity leads to inactivation of live-cell protease and the release of dead-cell proteases, which can cleave the cell-impermeant bis-AAF-R110 and increase R110 fluorescence. This dual-measurement approach provides a comprehensive evaluation of the cytotoxic effects of VBIT-4, allowing us to directly correlate membrane disruption with cellular damage. There is a decrease in AFC fluorescence and an increase in R110 fluorescence above 10 µM VBIT-4 with an IC$_{50}$ of ~25-30 µM for HeLa WT and VDAC1 KO cell lines (**Figure 5A**). This suggests that the action of VBIT-4 on HeLa cells is independent of VDAC1, consistent with the loss of membrane integrity and corroborating our previous finding that VBIT-4 can perturb membranes and form pores above 10 µM irrespective of VDAC1.

We expect VBIT-4 to partition into multiple cellular membranes; however, because of highly negative mitochondrial membrane potential, they are likely to preferentially attract the positively charged VBIT-4, prompting us to assess its effects on mitochondrial function. VBIT-4 reduced oxygen consumption rate (OCR) in a concentration-dependent manner (**Figure 5B**) within 6 hours of treatment. VBIT-4 also decreases the mitochondrial calcium measured with Rhod-2 dye (**Figure 5C)**, mitochondrial membrane potential measured with TMRM **(Figure 5D)**, without affecting mitochondrial mass (MTG) (**Supplementary Figure 7A**), as previously reported[44, 45]. Normalization of TMRM to MTG further confirmed a significant loss of mitochondrial potential at 10 µM VBIT-4 (**Figure 5E**). These results could be explained by the membrane-destabilization activity observed in our in vitro experiments with VBIT-4. Notably, the efficacy of VBIT-4 appeared to be dependent on storage conditions. Long-term storage at -20 °C results in complete loss of activity within two months, and even storage at -80 °C, leads to reduced efficacy compared to freshly prepared solutions (**Supplementary Figure 7B**). VBIT-4 is described by different

manufacturers as an unstable molecule (one month at -20°C, see the storage and stability information in or other manufacturers). This could explain the inconsistencies in various publications. Our observations emphasize the importance of using VBIT-4 fresh samples.

Figure 5. VBIT-4 decreases cell viability, mitochondrial respiration, and mitochondrial membrane potential in HeLa cells. A. The graph shows a decrease in viability and an increase in cytotoxicity with increasing concentration of VBIT-4 in WT and VDAC1 KO HeLa cells. The data represent the average of quadruplicate measurements, and the error bars represent the standard deviation. The corresponding IC_{50} for VBIT-4 are shown next to the nonlinear regression fitting curves. **B**. Bar graphs represent changes in the mitochondrial oxygen consumption rate (OCR) after 6 hours of treatment with 1.25 -10 µM VBIT-4 (red square symbols) compared to DMSO control (●) normalized to OCR before drug treatment. HeLa cells were treated with 0.5 µM oligomycin (▲), 2 µM (♦), and 4 µM FCCP (◊) as positive controls. Symbols represent 8 replicates, and error bars represent the standard deviation from the mean. Flow cytometry measurements of mitochondrial calcium using Rhod-2 (**C**) and mitochondrial membrane potential using TMRM **(D)** upon the addition of 5 µM (▪) and 10 µM (▲) VBIT-4 compared to vehicle control (●). **E.** TMRM fluorescence normalized to MTG fluorescence (TMRM/MTG). Data from 6 independent experiments are represented in the box plots. The symbols represent data from independent experiments. The borders of the boxes define the 25th and 75th percentiles, with the median displayed as black lines and error bars indicating the standard deviation from the mean. Significance was tested using one-way ANOVA followed by the Dunnett post hoc test (*$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$, ***$p<0.001$, ****$p<0.0001$).

Discussion

VBIT-4 was introduced in 2016 as a selective inhibitor of VDAC1 oligomerization, proposed to directly bind VDAC1 and prevent apoptosis triggered by cisplatin or selenite[19]. Since then, it has

been widely adopted as a probe for VDAC1-dependent pathways in diverse disease contexts,
including neurodegeneration, autoimmunity, and cancer[13, 46–49]. However, its mechanism of
action was not well understood. Using a combination of biophysical methods and in vitro
approaches, our results fundamentally challenge the interpretation of the assumed mechanism of
action of VBIT-4. Across a suite of complementary approaches—HS-AFM, microscale
thermophoresis, electrophysiology, laurdan fluorescence, liposome-leakage assays, and molecular
dynamic simulations—we consistently observed that VBIT-4 partitions into lipid bilayers and
destabilizes them. VBIT-4 inserts into protein-free regions, drives lipid flip-flop, increases the area
per lipid, reduces bilayer thickness, and promotes water permeation and the formation of pore-like
defects. At micromolar concentrations—used in most cell-based studies—these perturbations
become prominent and occur in different lipid environments, independently of VDAC1, as shown
by three independent techniques (AFM, electrophysiology, and MST). Consistent with its
membrane-disruptive activity, VBIT-4 exerts cytotoxicity in the micromolar range as previously
reported[45]. We observed increased cell death in WT and VDAC1 KO HeLa cells, establishing
that VBIT-4 toxicity is independent of VDAC1. The increased dead-cell protease activity,
measured using a cell-impermeant fluorophore, suggests membrane permeabilization at
concentrations greater than 10 µM VBIT-4. These findings demonstrate that VBIT-4 does not act
as a specific VDAC1 inhibitor but rather functions as a membrane-active compound whose effects
derive from general lipid membrane disruption (**Figure 6**).

Figure 6. Putative model of VBIT-4 partitioning into a VDAC1-containing lipid membrane
and VBIT-4 pore formation. A. Without VBIT-4, VDAC1 forms clusters of different sizes and
compactions with both direct and lipid-mediated contacts between VDAC1 β-barrels. **B**. At low
concentrations (< 10 µM), VBIT-4 partitions into the membrane, destabilizing it, which could lead
to a change in compaction of VDAC1 clusters. **C**. At high concentrations (>10 µM), VBIT-4 forms
water-soluble pores in the membrane, inducing membrane permeabilization, further affecting
membrane integrity, which can affect VDAC1 clusters, highly sensitive to membrane composition.
Direct contacts between VDAC1 β-barrels could be lost, with a few layers of lipids detected in

between VDAC1 β-barrels. In all three scenarios, VDAC1 monomers maintain their transport
channel properties, conducting the water-soluble metabolites, such as ATP, ADP, and NADH, and
small ions, including calcium.

At concentrations below 10 μM, VBIT-4 decreased mitochondrial calcium, respiration, and
membrane potential in HeLa cells without affecting mitochondrial mass, as previously reported
[44, 45]. These findings indicate organelle dysfunction rather than targeted VDAC1 channel
inhibition. They align with reports that VBIT-4 accumulates in the mitochondrial inner membrane,
where it inhibits respiratory complexes I, III, and IV and decreases mitochondrial membrane
potential[44, 45]. Notably, Belosludtsev et al. observed a decrease in calcium retention capacity at
30 μM VBIT-4 in their experiments on isolated mitochondria, which may indicate that VBIT-4 is
a mitochondrial pore inducer. However, VBIT-4 also reduced the rate of calcium-dependent
organelle swelling. This discrepancy was attributed to depolarization of the mitochondrial inner
membrane, which inhibits both mitochondrial calcium retention and mitochondrial swelling[44].

All above raises concerns regarding the inconsistencies in published cell data where VBIT-4 was
used: while some studies report clear toxicity above 10–20 μM (our data and [45]), others describe
apparent protection in pathological settings[13, 19, 50]. The variability can be attributed to various
factors. First, the behaviour of VBIT-4 is strongly influenced by its poor chemical stability—
consistent with our observation that cytotoxicity disappears after only two months of storage in
DMSO at −20 °C (**Supplementary Figure 7B**). Second, this reinterpretation is supported by its
physicochemical profile: with two ionizable nitrogens in the piperazine ring, VBIT-4 exists at
physiological pH as neutral and monocationic species that both display poor solubility, aggregation,
and strong membrane partitioning. As a consequence, the effective intracellular concentration of
VBIT-4 could vary notably with pH, storage conditions, and formulation, contributing to
substantial variability across research groups. Third, most studies use VBIT-4 at ~10 μM—below
overt cytotoxicity yet within the range where membrane perturbation occurs—and typically assess
its effects in combination with apoptosis inducers or in disease models rather than measuring direct
toxicity. Such discrepancies likely reflect differences in assay sensitivity, compound handling, and
pH, as well as the altered membrane composition of diseased cells. In this context, "protective"
effects are more plausibly attributed to nonspecific modulation of cellular membrane (including
mitochondrial membrane) properties than to inhibition of VDAC1 oligomerization.

VDAC1 oligomerization has been proposed to regulate mitochondrial DNA release[13], lipid
scrambling[14], and apoptosis[51], making it an attractive but controversial therapeutic target, as
the implications of blocking VDAC1 oligomerization remain poorly understood. Yet, the structural
basis of these assemblies remains elusive: except for a few defined interfaces[52–54], VDAC1 does
not form rigid, stoichiometric oligomers but rather heterogeneous lipid–protein clusters[1, 2, 17,
18] whose size and compaction are strongly lipid-dependent[17]. Cross-linking—the assay most
commonly used to monitor VDAC1 "oligomerization"—therefore does not report on oligomer
number or stability but rather on the proximity of proteins within these adaptable clusters in MOM.
The organization of VDAC1 in the MOM is extremely sensitive to lipid composition[17]; any
hydrophobic compound that perturbs membrane properties can alter cross-linking efficiency.
VBIT-4, even at low concentration, modifies lipid packing, and the reduced cross-linking observed
in treated cells could be explained by cluster expansion and loss of tight protein–protein contacts,
rather than by disruption of VDAC1 assemblies (see **Supplementary Fig. 8** for schematic changes
in cluster organization and direct contacts, **Figure 6 B,C**). Such effects should not be interpreted

as inhibition of VDAC1 oligomerization. In most publications, the effect of VBIT-4 on VDAC1 oligomerization was observed and quantified exclusively as VDAC1 dimerization[13, 19, 21, 50, 55]. Considering the proposed VDAC1 dimer scrambles activity[14], VBIT-4 treatment could affect lipid exchange between two mitochondrial membranes (e.g., cardiolipin transfer) and MAMs, leading to multiple consequences in the cell. Membrane perturbations could also affect VDAC's interaction with ER calcium channels, thereby decreasing mitochondrial calcium overload, which is known to lead to apoptosis. Thus, the decrease in mitochondrial calcium could be linked to the protective effect of VBIT-4 at lower concentrations in pathological models. Consequently, the prevailing view of VBIT-4 as a specific VDAC1 inhibitor requires re-evaluation, as its cellular activity is more consistent with nonspecific modulation of the lipid environment.

Importantly, VBIT-4 does not selectively target MOM; it first traverses and perturbs the plasma membrane, potentially disrupting other cellular membranes. By altering lipid organization, VBIT-4 is poised to influence numerous pathways beyond VDAC1, including calcium transport, electron transport chain function, and apoptosis—consistent with reports showing that it perturbs respiratory complexes in the inner mitochondrial membrane[45].

The nonspecific membrane activity of VBIT-4 highlights a broader challenge in drug discovery for membrane proteins. Hydrophobic small molecules frequently partition into bilayers, where they can alter lipid organization. Some drugs exert dominant, target-specific effects that justify their use. In the case of VBIT-4, however, all our assays—high-speed AFM, electrophysiology, microscale thermophoresis, and cytotoxicity—showed no detectable effect on VDAC1 itself. Instead, all observed effects are best explained by VBIT-4–induced membrane disruption, independent of VDAC1, a behavior that can obscure mechanistic interpretation when protein selectivity is assumed. Well-documented cases include licofelone, a COX/5-LOX inhibitor whose clinical development failed partly due to off-target membrane disruption[56], and omeprazole, which intercalates into DPPC bilayers and alters lipid domain structure[57].

Taken together, these findings demonstrate that VBIT-4 is not a reliable drug against VDAC1 oligomerization. Our results therefore caution against interpreting VBIT-4–induced phenotypes as evidence of VDAC1 inhibition. Systematic assessment of drug–membrane interactions early in development is therefore essential, as the absence of rigorous lipid-only controls can result in membrane-driven effects being erroneously attributed to specific protein inhibition.

Materials and Methods

VBIT-4
VBIT-4 was purchased from Selleck Chemicals (VBIT-4, Cat. No.S3544,Selleckchem, Houston, TX, dissolved in DMSO for biophysical experiments, in powder for cytotoxicity assays) and stored at −80 °C according to the manufacturer's instructions. Aliquots were used within one year of purchase.

mVDAC1 purification

mVDAC1 production and purification were performed as previously described[58]. A pQE9 plasmid encoding mouse VDAC1 with an N-terminal 6×His tag was expressed as inclusion bodies in *Escherichia coli* M15 (pREP4) cells (Creative Biolabs). Cells were grown at 37 °C with agitation until reaching an OD_{600} of 0.7, and protein expression was induced for 4 h with 1 mM IPTG. Cells

were harvested by centrifugation and resuspended in 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 2 mM EDTA, and
20% (w/v) sucrose. Lysis was performed by lysozyme treatment followed by sonication. Inclusion
bodies were isolated by centrifugation for 15 min at $12,000 \times g$, washed with 20 mM Tris-HCl pH
8.0, 300 mM NaCl, and 2 mM $CaCl_2$, and solubilized in 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl,
and 8 M urea for 1 h. Insoluble material was removed by centrifugation at $25,000 \times g$ for 1 h.

The supernatant containing solubilized mVDAC1 was loaded onto a Ni–NTA affinity column and
eluted with 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 8 M urea, and 150 mM imidazole. The purified
protein was refolded by rapid dilution into 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM DTT,
and 1.5% (w/v) LDAO. Refolded protein was ultracentrifuged at $355,000 \times g$ for 45 min to remove
aggregates, concentrated, and further purified by size-exclusion chromatography on a Superdex
200 Increase 10/300 GL column (Fig. S11). The monomeric VDAC peak was collected,
concentrated to 2 mg/mL, flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored in 20% (v/v) glycerol at −80
°C. Samples were ultracentrifuged after thawing prior to liposome reconstitution to remove residual
aggregates.

Reconstitution into liposomes
POPE, POPC, and cholesterol (Avanti Polar Lipids) were mixed in chloroform at 62.5:30:7.5 molar
ratios and dried under a nitrogen stream. The lipid film was resuspended in 150 mM KCl, 20 mM
Tris (pH 8.0) to a final lipid concentration of 12.5 mg/mL and vortexed thoroughly. The suspension
was incubated at 37 °C for 10 min and sonicated for 1 min, or until small unilamellar vesicles (~100
nm) formed, as verified by dynamic light scattering (DLS). For the VDAC1-containing liposmes,
β-Octyl glucoside (β-OG) was added at a detergent-to-lipid ratio of 0.65 (w/w), followed by the
addition of protein at a lipid-to-VDAC ratio of 3:1 (w/w). Detergent removal was performed
overnight using Bio-Beads (Bio-Beads-to-detergent ratio 30:1 w/w). The resulting proteoliposomes
were analyzed by DLS after reconstitution.

AFM and HS-AFM data acquisition
To prepare protein-containing supported lipid bilayers (SLBs), 15 µL of proteoliposome suspension
(1 mg/mL) was mixed with 85 µL of incubation buffer (10 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 15
mM $CaCl_2$) and incubated for 1 h at room temperature on freshly cleaved mica under a humid hood.
The samples were rinsed ten times with ultrapure water (Milli-Q) and imaged in imaging buffer
(10 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl, 5 mM $CaCl_2$) at 35 °C to verify membrane homogeneity.
All conditions were tested in triplicate.
Conventional AFM imaging was performed in contact mode using a Nanoscope IIIe Multimode
AFM (Bruker, Santa Barbara, CA, USA) equipped with OTR4 probes (k = 0.1 N/m). The scan rate,
feedback gains, and deflection setpoint were adjusted during acquisition to optimize image quality.
HS-AFM imaging was carried out in amplitude-modulation mode using a modified high-speed
AFM (SS-NEX, RIBM, Tsukuba, Japan) optimized for molecular-resolution imaging[59]. The
instrument was equipped with a custom digital high-speed lock-in amplifier coded on a
reconfigurable FPGA platform using LabVIEW (National Instruments, Austin, TX, USA). Short
cantilevers (~7 µm) designed for HS-AFM and fitted with electron-beam-deposited (EBD) tips
were used (USC-F1.2-k0.15, Nanoworld, Switzerland). These cantilevers have a nominal spring
constant of 0.15 N/m, a resonance frequency in liquid of ~500 kHz, and a quality factor (Q) of ~2.
The deflection sensitivity was 0.1 V/nm, and the imaging amplitude setpoint was ~90% of the free
amplitude (~10 Å). All HS-AFM experiments were performed at 35 °C inside a temperature-
controlled enclosure.

Image analysis

Acquired images were plane-fit and flattened using the AFM processing and analysis software provided by the instrument manufacturer. HS AFM image treatment was limited to the correction of XY drift and a first-order X-line fit. Image analysis was performed using the general distribution of ImageJ[60] and of WSxM[61].

Preparation of nanodiscs

MSP1D1 membrane scaffold protein was produced and purified as described by Ritchie, T. K. et al.[62]. Chloroform-solubilised lipids (Chicken egg phosphatidylcholine) were dried under nitrogen gas and re-solubilised in 20 mM Tris pH 8.0 buffer containing 50mM sodium cholate at a final concentration of 25 mM lipid. Lipids and MSP were mixed at a 65:1 molar ratio at 4°C for 1 hour. Bio-Beads SM2 were added at a 50x excess (weight) of total detergent, and the mix was incubated overnight at 4 °C on agitation. The nanodiscs were filtered through a 0.2 µm sterile syringe filter and centrifuged at 100,000 x g for 30 minutes at 4 °C. The supernatant was subjected to size exclusion chromatography on a Superdex 200 increase 10/300 GL column in 20 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl. The nanodisc-containing fractions were concentrated for labelling. Nanodiscs were labelled using the Protein Labelling Kit RED-NHS 2nd Generation from NanoTemper (NT-L021) at a 1:1.5 protein:dye molar ratio in 20 mM HEPES buffer pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl for 2 hours at 4°C in the dark. Excess dye was removed using a Micro Bio-Spin P6 column equilibrated in the same buffer. The degree of labelling was determined using UV-Vis spectrophotometry at 650 nm and 280 nm according to the manufacturer's instructions. The degree of labelling was between 60-75% ($\varepsilon_{nanodisc} = 36,900$ M^{-1} cm^{-1}, $\varepsilon_{dye} = 195,000$ M^{-1} cm^{-1}) across the replicates.

Preparation of VDAC1 nanodiscs

mVDAC1 was purified and refolded as explained above, except the final gel filtration was performed in 20mM HEPES buffer pH 7.4, 100mM NaCl, 0.1% LDAO. Detergent-refolded VDAC1 was labelled using the Protein Labelling Kit RED-NHS 2nd Generation from NanoTemper (NT-L021) at a 1:1.5 protein:dye molar ratio for 2 hours at 4°C in the dark. Excess dye was removed using a Micro Bio-Spin P6 column equilibrated in the gel filtration buffer. The degree of labelling was determined using UV-Vis spectrophotometry at 650 nm and 280 nm according to the manufacturer's instructions. The degree of labelling was 30% ($\varepsilon_{VDAC1} = 43,890$ M-1 cm-1, εdye = 195,000 M-1 cm-1). Labelled VDAC1 was reconstituted into MSP1D1 nanodiscs by incubating proteins with cholate-solubilised lipids (EggPC) at a 65:1 molar ratio lipids:MSP and 12:1 molar ratio MSP:VDAC1 for 1 hour at 4°C before adding 50x excess (wt) of BioBeads SM2 and incubating overnight at 4 °C on agitation. The nanodiscs were filtered through a 0.2 µm sterile syringe filter and centrifuged at 100,000 x g for 30 minutes at 4 °C. The supernatant was subjected to size exclusion chromatography on a Superdex 200 increase 10/300 GL column in 20 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl. Reconstituted VDAC1 was concentration in an Amicon-50 and used in MST experiments.

Microscale Thermophoresis (MST)

MST experiments were performed using a NanoTemper Monolith X MO-G039, Munich, Germany.
VBIT-4 (Selleckchem #S3544) was diluted in DMSO at a final concentration of 10 mM and sub-
stocks of 1 mM were made in buffer 20 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl containing 10 %
DMSO. 15 µL of 50nM labelled nanodiscs or VDAC1-containing nanodiscs were incubated with
15 µL of VBIT-4 (0.25 - 500 µM) and incubated for 20 minutes at room temperature as performed
by Ben-Hail et. al.[17]. Following a short tabletop spin, 10 µL of the samples were loaded into 12
glass capillaries (NanoTemper premium capillaries, MO-K022) and thermophoresis was performed
(60% excitation power at 650nm, and a medium infra-red laser power with a wavelength of
1480nm). The final concentration of DMSO in the capillaries was maintained at 5%.
Thermophoresis experiments were performed as described by the manufacturer and data were
analysed at 650 nm at a laser ON-time of 2.5s since they had the best SNR (Signal to Noise Ratio.
Data were fitted by the system.

Laurdan generalised polarisation

Laurdan-containing liposomes were prepared by mixing chloroform-solubilized lipids
(PC:PE:Chol, 62.5:30:7.5) at a concentration of 1 mM total lipids with chloroform-solubilized
laurdan at a lipid:laurdan molar ratio of 1000:1. Lipid mixtures were dried under nitrogen gas in
the dark. Liposomes were formed by resuspending dried lipids in 200 µL of 20 mM HEPES buffer
pH 7.4 containing 100 mM NaCl and vortexing. Liposomes were sonicated with 10 pulses at 10%
power, 10% ON/OFF cycle to form SUVs with an average diameter of 100 nm as verified by
dynamic light scattering. Laurdan-containing liposomes were diluted 10x in buffer and added to a
quartz cuvette (pathlength 3 mm) at a final volume of 150 µL. Sub-stocks of VBIT-4 in DMSO
were made such that the final volume added to the cuvette would be a constant 1 µL. Control
samples contained the same volume of DMSO. The excitation wavelength was set to 375 nm and
the emission scan range was from 400-600 nm. Excitation slit width – 10 nm, emission slit width
– 5 nm. Data were recorded at a scanning speed of 600 nm/min at 26 °C on a Cary Eclipse
fluorescence spectrometer. Generalised polarisation was calculated as GP = $(I_{440} - I_{490}) / (I_{440} + I_{490})$. GP was plotted as a function of VBIT-4 concentration on Python using a partitioning model.

Planar lipid bilayer measurements

Planar lipid membranes (PLM) were formed by two different techniques: 1) using "dry"
membranes formed by the lipid monolayer opposition technique on a circular aperture in a Teflon
partition dividing two ~1.5 mL (*cis* and *trans*) compartments of the experimental chamber, as
previously described[63], and 2) using "painted" membranes from lipid solution in decane using
Orbit mini system (Nanion Technologies, Minich, Germany)[64]. Lipids dioleoyl-
phosphatidylcholine (DOPC), dioleoyl-phosphatidylethanolamine (DOPE), diphytanoyl-
phosphatidylcholine (DPhPC), polar lipid extract from soybean (PLE), and cholesterol (Avanti
Polar Lipids) were used for PLM preparations. Aqueous solutions consisted of 150 mM KCl
buffered with 5 mM HEPES at pH 7.4. In the first method of PLM formation, the 5 mg/mL lipid
mixtures in pentane were used. The aperture in the Teflon partition was pretreated by 1%
hexadecane in pentane before PLM formation, thus leaving only a residual amount of hexadecane
in the bilayers. The current recordings were performed as described previously[63], using Axopatch
200B amplifier (Axon Instruments) in the voltage-clamp mode. Data were filtered by a low-pass
8-pole Butterworth filter (Model 900 Frequency Active Filter, Frequency Devices) at 15 kHz,

digitized with a sampling frequency of 50 kHz, and analysed using pClamp 10.7 software (Axon
Instrument). For data analysis, digital filtering using a 1 or 0.5 kHz low-pass Bessel filter was
applied. Potential is defined as positive when it is greater on the *cis* side, the side of VBIT-4 and
VDAC1 addition. In the second method, lipid bilayers were formed by painting the chips (Ionera,
Freiburg, Germany) with the 5 mg/mL lipid mixtures in decane. Multi Electrode Cavity Array
(MECA4) chips were filled with 150 μL of 150 mM KCl solution at pH 7.4. VBIT-4 in DMSO
solution was added to the bilayer in both experimental setups after PLM was formed, and its
conductance of less than 0.1 pS was verified. VBIT-4 in DMSO solution was added to the bilayer
in both experimental setups after PLM was formed, up to a total volume of less than 5 uL, and PLM
conductance of less than 0.1 pS was verified. Addition of the corresponding DMSO aliquots to the
membrane-bathing solutions did not change membrane conductance[65]. All measurements were
performed at room temperature of 21 ± 1ºC.

VDAC conductance measurements

Recombinant mouse VDAC1 was expressed, refolded, and purified as described previously[66].
Channel insertion was achieved by adding 0.1-1.5 mL of VDAC diluted in buffer containing 10
mM Tris, 50 mM KCl, 1 mM EDTA, 15 % (v/v) DMSO, 2.5% (v/v) Triton X-100, pH 7.0. Current
recording and its analysis were performed as described previously[67]. VDAC1 voltage-gating
properties were assessed following the previously described protocol [68] under the application of
a slow symmetrical 5 mHz triangular voltage wave of ±60 mV amplitude from an Arbitrary
Waveform Generator 33220A (Agilent). Data were acquired at a sampling frequency of 2 Hz and
analyzed as described previously[68] using an algorithm developed in-house and pClamp 10.7
software. In each experiment, current records were collected from membranes containing 10-50
channels in response to 5–10 periods of voltage waves to ensure data collection from more than 50
channels per experiment. Only the part of the wave, during which the channels were reopening,
was used for the subsequent analysis[69].

Liposome leakage assay

Liposomes (PC:PE:chol, 62.5:30:7.5 w:w) were prepared by mixing 5mg of chloroform-solubilized
lipids in a glass tube. Dried lipids were resuspended in 200 μL of 100 mM fluorescein dissolved in
HEPES buffer pH 7.4, and vortexed vigorously. Liposomes were subjected to 3 cycles of freeze-
thawing in liquid nitrogen followed by 50°C in a Thermomix. Liposomes were then sonicated with
20 pulses at 20% power, 10% ON/OFF cycle to form SUVs of diameter 50-100 nm as verified by
dynamic light scattering. Liposomes were pelleted by centrifugation at 25,000 rpm for 30 mins at
4°C on a TLA55 rotor. The supernatant was discarded, and liposomes were washed with 1 mL of
HEPES buffer. The washing/centrifugation step was repeated till no free fluorescein was detectible
by absorbance spectroscopy. Pelleted liposomes were resuspended in 1 mL of HEPES buffer, and
32 μL of liposomes were used in each 200 μL reaction in a 96-well plate, giving a final
concentration of 1 mM lipid/reaction. Sub-stocks of VBIT-4 in DMSO were made such that the
final volume added to each well would be a constant 1 μL. The negative control sample included 1
μL of DMSO, while the positive control sample included 1 μL of 100% Triton X-100. Time-
resolved fluorescence spectra were recorded in a Tecan Spark plate reader for 2 hours every 30
seconds with shaking between each reading. Excitation and emission wavelengths for fluorescein
were set to 485 nm and 535 nm, respectively. At the end of the kinetics, 1 μL of Triton X-100 was
added to solubilise the liposomes. Data were normalised w.r.t. liposomes treated with DMSO as
$F_{norm} = (F-F_{min})/(F_{max} - F_{min})$ after 100 min, and a histogram of % release was plotted.

VBIT-4 CG parameterization

VBIT-4 charge state. VBIT-4 contains a piperazine group with two nitrogen atoms. These are typically protonable under physiological conditions, with pKa values usually in the range of 7–9. Out of these two, the most protonable nitrogen is the one closer to the hydroxyl (-OH) and amide (-CONH-) groups. The nitrogen near the benzene ring is both sterically hindered and electronically less basic due to the -OCF_3 group's electron-withdrawing effect. The nitrogen near the amide and hydroxyl is both more exposed and more basic, making it the preferred protonation site. Given the significant conformational differences observed between the neutral and protonated versions of VBIT-4 in our reference AA simulations, we developed a Martini CG model for each state and analyzed their effects separately.

All-atom reference simulations. VBIT-4 Martini 3 models were based on simulations of all-atom counterparts, parameterized using the OPLS-AA force field via LigParGen[70–72]. Each system was simulated for 500 ns in water. Each system was minimized using steepest descent with 5000 steps, followed by a relaxation of 250 ps using a 1 fs time step, and a production run of 500 ns using a 2 fs time step. The temperature and pressure were held constant at 298 K and 1 bar using the Berendsen thermostat and barostat[73] for the relaxation step and the V-rescale thermostat[74] and Parrinello-Rahman barostat[75] for the production run. The pressure coupling was isotropic with a compressibility of 4.5×10^{-5} bar^{-1}. The temperature was coupled using $\tau = 1$ ps during both the relaxation and production run. For pressure coupling, $\tau = 1$ ps was set during relaxation and 5 ps during production. The electrostatics were treated with the PME algorithm[76] using a cutoff of 1.2 nm, while the van der Waals interactions were truncated, smoothly switching the force to zero between 1.0 and 1.2 nm[77]. Bonds involving hydrogen atoms were restricted using the LINCS algorithm[78].

Coarse-grained model parameterization. Martini CG models were then obtained according to the parametrization rules of Martini 3, as described elsewhere[79]. In short, atomistic simulations were mapped into CG resolution using MD Analysis[80, 81] . The bonded terms were then measured and the distributions plotted. The molecule was then modelled in CG and simulated in water. Bonded distributions were fitted onto the corresponding atomistic distributions. Bead types and sizes were selected according to Martini 3 parametrization guidelines, ensuring consistency with default bead assignments for specific chemical groups[79]. These choices were validated by estimating CG octanol/water partitioning free energies, which were compared to predictors obtained via SwissADME[82] (iLOGP[83], XLOGP3[84], WLOGP[85], MLOGP[86], SILICOS-IT). The calculated partitioning free energies were obtained by thermodynamic integration as described elsewhere[79].

VBIT-4 Martini CG MD Simulations

Unbiased CG MD. Membrane bilayers were constructed with COBY[87], which also solvated the system, neutralized it, and added ions to obtain a 150 mM NaCl concentration. COBY also added the appropriate number of VBIT-4 molecules in solution. All systems were subject to the same relaxation and production protocol. First, a minimization of 5000 steps using steepest descent, followed by 10 ns of relaxation, and finally at least 5 μs production run. A complex membrane composition mimicking the mitochondrial outer membrane was used

(8:25:17:13:4:9:3:8:4 CHOL:POPC:DOPC:DPPC:POPE:DOPE:DPPE:POPS:POPI:DPPI) in a 15
$\times$ 15 $\times$ 17.5 nm^3 system. Prior to production, the systems underwent 5000 energy minimization
steps, followed by equilibration for 10 ns using a 10 fs time step. During equilibration, VBIT-4
molecules were pulled into the membrane using flat-bottom potentials to avoid sampling the
membrane adsorption process. These potentials were not applied during the production run. The
production runs for each system were carried out for 10 μs. Throughout the simulations, the
temperatures of the lipids (including VBIT-4) and solvent (including ions) were independently
maintained at 300 K using the v-rescale thermostat (τ_t = 1 ps)[74], and the pressure was kept at 1
bar using the c-rescale barostat (τ_p = 4 ps)[88] with semi-isotropic pressure coupling applied during
both equilibration and production phases. Compressibility was set to 3 $\times$ 10^{-4} bar^{-1}. As suggested
by Kim et al.[89], the cut-off distance for the short-range neighbor list was set to 1.35 nm for proper
neighbor list updates.

Membrane translocation PMF. Similarly to the unbiased CG MD systems, COBY was used to
build a smaller 7 $\times$ 7 $\times$ 13.5 nm^3 system, fully solvated with water and 150 mM NaCl. One VBIT-
4 molecule was placed 4 nm away (determined from the center of geometry of VBIT-4) from the
bilayer center along the bilayer normal, and overlapping water molecules were removed.
Minimization and equilibration were performed as with the unbiased simulations. At the end of the
equilibration, initial configurations for the umbrella sampling windows were generated by pulling
the VBIT-4 molecule through the bilayer center at a rate of 0.0001 nm/ps and a force constant of
3000 kJ/mol/nm^2. In each umbrella sampling window, a harmonic potential was applied to keep
the VBIT-4 molecule in its pre-defined distance from the bilayer center with a force constant
ranging from 1000 to 2000 kJ/mol/nm^2. A total of 52 windows were simulated for 2 μs and the data
from the first 200 ns were discarded before applying the weighted histogram analysis method to
estimate the free energy profile using GROMACS. The translocation PMF of the molecule was
computed along the entire membrane normal from z = 5.25 to z = -5.25. For each replica, the PMF
was symmetrized by splitting it at the membrane center and averaging both halves. The final PMF
and standard deviation were obtained by averaging the symmetrized profiles across all replicas.

Polar defect formation PMF. Polar defect formation PMF was determined as described by Hub and
Awasthi[43]. Small 10 $\times$ 10 $\times$ 14 nm^3 membrane bilayer systems were built using COBY, as
described for the unbiased MD systems. Minimization and equilibration were performed as with
the unbiased simulations. The initial frames for the umbrella sampling simulations were extracted
from constant velocity pulling simulations. In these simulations, the systems were pulled from the
reaction chain coordinate[43, 90] ξ_{ch} = 0.25 to ξ_{ch} = 1 over 1000 ns using a force constant of 5000
kJ mol^{-1}. Nineteen umbrella windows were employed, with reference positions ranging from ξ_{ch} =
0.1 to 1 in increments of 0.05, where 1 is the fully formed pore. The force constant was set to 8000
kJ mol^{-1}. Each window was simulated for 1 μs, with the initial 500 ns excluded for equilibration.
Three replicas of each window were run. The potential of mean force was computed using the
weighted histogram analysis method (WHAM)[91].

Cell toxicity assay

HeLa WT and VDAC1 knockout cells from Synthego were seeded at 2,500 cells/well in a 96-well
plate (ThermoFisher Scientific, 165305) in DMEM (Gibco, 15607) supplemented with 10% FBS
(Gibco, 10437). 200 mM VBIT-4 was prepared by adding 54.6 μL DMSO to 5 mg VBIT-4
(Selleckchem.com, S3544) and was added to the cells at a final concentration of 0-200 μM VBIT-
4. After 48 hours at 37 °C and 5% CO$_2$, GF-AFC and bis-AAF-R110 substrates from the MultiTox-

Fluor Multiplex Cytotoxicity Assay kit (Promega, G9201) were added to the cells following the manufacturer's protocol and incubated for 30 minutes at 37 °C. Viability and cytotoxicity were measured using 400/505 nm and 485/520 nm excitation/emission filters, respectively, in a CLARIOstar plate reader. Nonlinear regression curve fit was applied to calculate the IC_{50} for VBIT-4 using GraphPad Prism (version 10.1.2).

Mitochondrial oxygen consumption rate measurement.

HeLa cells were seeded in a 96-well plate at 10,000 cells/well in DMEM with 10% FBS and the oxygen consumption rate (OCR) were measured with Resipher device (Lucid Scientific, Atlanta, GA, USA). Baseline OCR was measured overnight before treatment with vehicle control, 1.25 to 10 µM VBIT-4, 0.5 µM Oligomycin, 2 µM and 4 µM FCCP. OCR data after 6 hours of incubation with drugs were normalized to the baseline OCR for each well.

Mitochondrial mass, membrane potential, and calcium measurements by flow cytometry

HeLa cells were seeded into 24-well plates at $1x10^5$ cells/well in DMEM with 10% FBS. Cells were mock-treated or treated with 5 µM or 10 µM VBIT-4 overnight (16 hours). Trypsinized cells were washed and loaded with Mitotracker Green for flow cytometry (MTG, 0.5 µl/ml for mitochondrial mass) and tetramethylrhodamine methyl ester (TMRM, 10 nM, for membrane potential) in PBS for 30 minutes at 37 °C with Live/Dead Near-IR dye added for the last 15 minutes. Alternatively, cells were stained with Rhod2-AM (1 µM, calcium indicator) and 0.02% Pluronic F-127 in PBS for 1 hour at room temperature, washed and resuspended in 250 µL of PBS and incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes with Live/Dead Near-IR dye added for the last 15 minutes (all reagents from Thermo Fisher). Cells were acquired on a BD FACSymphony A5 with TMRM or Rhod2 signal acquired in YG 586/15, MTG acquired in Blue 530/30, and live cell dye in Red 780/60. Analysis was performed in FlowJo 10.10 (Becton Dickinson) with cell gate established by forward scatter versus side scatter, followed by singlet gate, live cell gate, and determination of mean fluorescence intensity of MTG, TMRM, or Rhod2.

FTIR

A Bruker TENSOR FT-IR spectrophotometer equipped with an ATR plate and an MCT D316 nitrogen-cooled detector was used to further characterize the VBIT-4 IR absorption band and the pH-dependent solubility of the molecule. A VBIT-4 suspension containing 10 µL of VBIT at 25 mg/mL in DMSO, 20 µL of H_2O, and 10 µL of HCl (0.03%) is prepared just prior to the experiment. Four µL of the slightly turbid suspension is deposited on the surface of the diamond plate and equilibrated for 15 minutes with a cover slip to avoid evaporation. At this point, the upper liquid is adsorbed using the capillarity of a cleaning paper, and the surface is washed twice using 4 µL of 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.6) and 100 mM NaCl.

This procedure is sufficient to completely remove the FTIR signal of DMSO and shows a strong adsorption of VBIT-4 on the diamond surface, as depicted by two characteristic areas of absorption bands in the 1470-1570 cm^{-1} and 1125-1300 cm^{-1} regions. From this starting situation, we characterize the tendency of the adsorbed VBIT-4 to elute using phosphate buffer (pH 7.6).

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Manuel N. Melo for the insightful suggestion that inspired the polar defect formation simulations. This work was supported by the Aix-Marseille Université grant AMX-21-PEP-022 (L.B.) and a PhD fellowship from Aix-Marseille Université (V.R.). L.B.A. acknowledges the support of the French National Centre for Scientific Research and the HPC resources provided by IDRIS, CINES, and TGCC through GENCI (allocation 2024-A0160713456). L.B.A. also acknowledges the support of the Centre Blaise Pascal de Simulation et Modélisation Numérique's IT test platform at ENS de Lyon (Lyon, France) for the computer facilities. M.S.W., W.F., N.A.B., B.G.B., M.G.L., M.R., S.M.B., and T.K.R. acknowledge the support of the Intramural Research Program of the National Institutes of Health (NIH), *Eunice Kennedy Shriver* National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD). This research was supported in part by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institutes of Health (NIH). The contributions of the NIH authors are considered Works of the United States Government. The findings and conclusions presented in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the NIH or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

References

1. Gonçalves RP, Buzhynskyy N, Prima V, Sturgis JN, Scheuring S (2007) Supramolecular assembly of VDAC in native mitochondrial outer membranes. J Mol Biol 369:413–418. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2007.03.063

2. Hoogenboom BW, Suda K, Engel A, Fotiadis D (2007) The supramolecular assemblies of voltage-dependent anion channels in the native membrane. J Mol Biol 370:246–255. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2007.04.073

3. Naghdi S, Várnai P, Hajnóczky G (2015) Motifs of VDAC2 required for mitochondrial Bak import and tBid-induced apoptosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 112:E5590-5599. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1510574112

4. Yuan Z, Dewson G, Czabotar PE, Birkinshaw RW (2021) VDAC2 and the BCL-2 family of proteins. Biochem Soc Trans 49:2787–2795. https://doi.org/10.1042/BST20210753

5. Sander P, Gudermann T, Schredelseker J (2021) A Calcium Guard in the Outer Membrane: Is VDAC a Regulated Gatekeeper of Mitochondrial Calcium Uptake? Int J Mol Sci 22:946. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22020946

6. Shankar TS, Ramadurai DKA, Steinhorst K, Sommakia S, Badolia R, Thodou Krokidi A, Calder D, Navankasattusas S, Sander P, Kwon OS, Aravamudhan A, Ling J, Dendorfer A, Xie C, Kwon O, Cheng EHY, Whitehead KJ, Gudermann T, Richardson RS, Sachse FB, Schredelseker J, Spitzer KW, Chaudhuri D, Drakos SG (2021) Cardiac-specific deletion of voltage dependent anion channel 2 leads to dilated cardiomyopathy by altering calcium homeostasis. Nat Commun 12:4583. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-24869-0

7. Rosencrans WM, Rajendran M, Bezrukov SM, Rostovtseva TK (2021) VDAC regulation of mitochondrial calcium flux: From channel biophysics to disease. Cell Calcium 94:102356. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceca.2021.102356

8. Queralt-Martín M, Bergdoll L, Teijido O, Munshi N, Jacobs D, Kuszak AJ, Protchenko O, Reina
S, Magrì A, De Pinto V, Bezrukov SM, Abramson J, Rostovtseva TK (2020) A lower affinity to
cytosolic proteins reveals VDAC3 isoform-specific role in mitochondrial biology. J Gen
Physiol 152:. https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.201912501

9. Xu X, Decker W, Sampson MJ, Craigen WJ, Colombini M (1999) Mouse VDAC isoforms
expressed in yeast: channel properties and their roles in mitochondrial outer membrane
permeability. J Membr Biol 170:89–102. https://doi.org/10.1007/s002329900540

10. Camara AKS, Zhou Y, Wen P-C, Tajkhorshid E, Kwok W-M (2017) Mitochondrial VDAC1: A
Key Gatekeeper as Potential Therapeutic Target. Front Physiol 8:460.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2017.00460

11. Magri A, Messina A (2017) Interactions of VDAC with Proteins Involved in
Neurodegenerative Aggregation: An Opportunity for Advancement on Therapeutic
Molecules. Curr Med Chem 24:4470–4487.
https://doi.org/10.2174/0929867324666170601073920

12. Reina S, De Pinto V (2017) Anti-Cancer Compounds Targeted to VDAC: Potential and
Perspectives. Curr Med Chem 24:4447–4469.
https://doi.org/10.2174/0929867324666170530074039

13. Kim J, Gupta R, Blanco LP, Yang S, Shteinfer-Kuzmine A, Wang K, Zhu J, Yoon HE, Wang X,
Kerkhofs M, Kang H, Brown AL, Park S-J, Xu X, Zandee van Rilland E, Kim MK, Cohen JI,
Kaplan MJ, Shoshan-Barmatz V, Chung JH (2019) VDAC oligomers form mitochondrial
pores to release mtDNA fragments and promote lupus-like disease. Science 366:1531–
1536. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aav4011

14. Jahn H, Bartoš L, Dearden GI, Dittman JS, Holthuis JCM, Vácha R, Menon AK (2023)
Phospholipids are imported into mitochondria by VDAC, a dimeric beta barrel scramblase.
Nat Commun 14:8115. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-43570-y

15. Leung MR, Zenezini Chiozzi R, Roelofs MC, Hevler JF, Ravi RT, Maitan P, Zhang M, Henning H,
Bromfield EG, Howes SC, Gadella BM, Heck AJR, Zeev-Ben-Mordehai T (2021) In-cell
structures of conserved supramolecular protein arrays at the mitochondria-cytoskeleton
interface in mammalian sperm. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 118:e2110996118.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2110996118

16. Callegari S, Kirk NS, Gan ZY, Dite T, Cobbold SA, Leis A, Dagley LF, Glukhova A, Komander D
(2025) Structure of human PINK1 at a mitochondrial TOM-VDAC array. Science 388:303–
310. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adu6445

17. Lafargue E, Duneau J-P, Buzhinsky N, Ornelas P, Ortega A, Ravishankar V, Sturgis J, Casuso I,
Bergdoll L (2025) Membrane lipid composition modulates the organization of VDAC1, a
mitochondrial gatekeeper. Commun Biol 8:936. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-025-
08311-5

18. Mannella CA, Colombini M, Frank J (1983) Structural and functional evidence for multiple
channel complexes in the outer membrane of Neurospora crassa mitochondria. Proc Natl
Acad Sci U S A 80:2243–2247. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.80.8.2243

19. Ben-Hail D, Begas-Shvartz R, Shalev M, Shteinfer-Kuzmine A, Gruzman A, Reina S, De Pinto
V, Shoshan-Barmatz V (2016) Novel Compounds Targeting the Mitochondrial Protein
VDAC1 Inhibit Apoptosis and Protect against Mitochondrial Dysfunction. J Biol Chem
291:24986–25003. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M116.744284

20. Klapper-Goldstein H, Verma A, Elyagon S, Gillis R, Murninkas M, Pittala S, Paul A, Shoshan-
Barmatz V, Etzion Y (2020) VDAC1 in the diseased myocardium and the effect of VDAC1-
interacting compound on atrial fibrosis induced by hyperaldosteronism. Sci Rep 10:22101.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-79056-w

21. Verma A, Shteinfer-Kuzmine A, Kamenetsky N, Pittala S, Paul A, Nahon Crystal E, Ouro A,
Chalifa-Caspi V, Pandey SK, Monsonego A, Vardi N, Knafo S, Shoshan-Barmatz V (2022)
Targeting the overexpressed mitochondrial protein VDAC1 in a mouse model of
Alzheimer's disease protects against mitochondrial dysfunction and mitigates brain
pathology. Transl Neurodegener 11:58. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40035-022-00329-7

22. Belosludtsev KN, Serov DA, Ilzorkina AI, Starinets VS, Dubinin MV, Talanov EY, Karagyaur
MN, Primak AL, Belosludtseva NV (2023) Pharmacological and Genetic Suppression of
VDAC1 Alleviates the Development of Mitochondrial Dysfunction in Endothelial and
Fibroblast Cell Cultures upon Hyperglycemic Conditions. Antioxidants (Basel) 12:1459.
https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox12071459

23. Mohammad Al-Amily I, Sjögren M, Duner P, Tariq M, Wollheim CB, Salehi A (2023) Ablation
of GPR56 Causes β-Cell Dysfunction by ATP Loss through Mistargeting of Mitochondrial
VDAC1 to the Plasma Membrane. Biomolecules 13:557.
https://doi.org/10.3390/biom13030557

24. Wei S-N, Zhang H, Lu Y, Yu H-J, Ma T, Wang S-N, Yang K, Tian M-L, Huang A-H, Wang W, Li F-
S, Li Y-W (2023) Microglial voltage-dependent anion channel 1 signaling modulates sleep
deprivation-induced transition to chronic postsurgical pain. Sleep 46:zsad039.
https://doi.org/10.1093/sleep/zsad039

25. Luo X, Yue J (2025) VDAC1 Inhibition Mitigates Inflammatory Status and Oxidative Stress in
Epileptic Mice Treated with the Ketogenic Diet. Neurochem Res 50:118.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s11064-025-04366-2

26. Terrones O, Antonsson B, Yamaguchi H, Wang H-G, Liu J, Lee RM, Herrmann A, Basañez G
(2004) Lipidic Pore Formation by the Concerted Action of Proapoptotic BAX and tBID.
Journal of Biological Chemistry 279:30081–30091.
https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M313420200

27. Colombini M (2019) Ceramide Channels. In: Stiban J (ed) Bioactive Ceramides in Health and
Disease. Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp 33–48

28. Anosov AA, Smirnova EYu, Ryleeva ED, Gligonov IA, Korepanova EA, Sharakshane AA (2020)
Estimation of the parameters of the Smoluchowski equation describing the occurrence of
pores in a bilayer lipid membrane under soft poration. Eur Phys J E 43:66.
https://doi.org/10.1140/epje/i2020-11989-0

29. Steringer JP, Bleicken S, Andreas H, Zacherl S, Laussmann M, Temmerman K, Contreras FX,
Bharat TAM, Lechner J, Müller H-M, Briggs JAG, García-Sáez AJ, Nickel W (2012)
Phosphatidylinositol 4,5-Bisphosphate (PI(4,5)P2)-dependent Oligomerization of Fibroblast
Growth Factor 2 (FGF2) Triggers the Formation of a Lipidic Membrane Pore Implicated in
Unconventional Secretion. Journal of Biological Chemistry 287:27659–27669.
https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.381939

30. Ashrafuzzaman M, Tseng C, Duszyk M, Tuszynski JA (2012) Chemotherapy Drugs Form Ion
Pores in Membranes Due to Physical Interactions with Lipids. Chem Biol Drug Des 80:992–
1002. https://doi.org/10.1111/cbdd.12060

31. Perini DA, Aguilella-Arzo M, Alcaraz A, Perálvarez-Marín A, Queralt-Martín M (2022)
Dynorphin A induces membrane permeabilization by formation of proteolipidic pores.
Insights from electrophysiology and computational simulations. Computational and
Structural Biotechnology Journal 20:230–240. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csbj.2021.12.021

32. Serra-Batiste M, Ninot-Pedrosa M, Bayoumi M, Gairí M, Maglia G, Carulla N (2016) Aβ42
assembles into specific β-barrel pore-forming oligomers in membrane-mimicking
environments. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 113:10866–10871.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1605104113

33. Gorny H, Mularoni A, Delcros J-G, Freton C, Preto J, Krimm I (2023) Combining nano-
differential scanning fluorimetry and microscale thermophoresis to investigate VDAC1
interaction with small molecules. J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem 38:2121821.
https://doi.org/10.1080/14756366.2022.2121821

34. Souza PCT, Alessandri R, Barnoud J, Thallmair S, Faustino I, Grünewald F, Patmanidis I,
Abdizadeh H, Bruininks BMH, Wassenaar TA, Kroon PC, Melcr J, Nieto V, Corradi V, Khan
HM, Domański J, Javanainen M, Martinez-Seara H, Reuter N, Best RB, Vattulainen I,
Monticelli L, Periole X, Tieleman DP, de Vries AH, Marrink SJ (2021) Martini 3: a general
purpose force field for coarse-grained molecular dynamics. Nat Methods 18:382–388.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-021-01098-3

35. Bieker S, Timme M, Woge N, Hassan DG, Brown CM, Marrink SJ, Melo MN, Holthuis JCM
(2024) Hexokinase-I directly binds to a charged membrane-buried glutamate of
mitochondrial VDAC1 and VDAC2. 2024.07.22.604557

36. Dadsena S, Bockelmann S, Mina JGM, Hassan DG, Korneev S, Razzera G, Jahn H, Niekamp P,
Müller D, Schneider M, Tafesse FG, Marrink SJ, Melo MN, Holthuis JCM (2019) Ceramides
bind VDAC2 to trigger mitochondrial apoptosis. Nat Commun 10:1832.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09654-4

37. Mazzobre MF, Román MV, Mourelle AF, Corti HR (2005) Octanol-water partition coefficient
of glucose, sucrose, and trehalose. Carbohydr Res 340:1207–1211.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2004.12.038

38. Fornasier F, Souza LMP, Souza FR, Reynaud F, Pimentel AS (2020) Lipophilicity of Coarse-
Grained Cholesterol Models. J Chem Inf Model 60:569–577.
https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jcim.9b00830

39. Avdeef A (1993) pH-metric log P. II: Refinement of partition coefficients and ionization
constants of multiprotic substances. J Pharm Sci 82:183–190.
https://doi.org/10.1002/jps.2600820214

40. MolGpka: A Web Server for Small Molecule pKa Prediction Using a Graph-Convolutional
Neural Network | Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling.
https://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acs.jcim.1c00075. Accessed 3 Dec 2025

41. Creyf HS, Van Poucke LC (1972) The free energy, enthalpy and entropy changes of the
dissociation of diprotonated diamines. Thermochimica Acta 4:485–491.
https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-6031(72)85039-1

42. Pedersen KB, Ingólfsson HI, Ramirez-Echemendia DP, Borges-Araújo L, Andreasen MD,
Empereur-Mot C, Melcr J, Ozturk TN, Bennett DW, Kjølbye LR, others (2024) The Martini 3
lipidome: expanded and refined parameters improve lipid phase behavior

43. Hub JS, Awasthi N (2017) Probing a Continuous Polar Defect: A Reaction Coordinate for Pore
Formation in Lipid Membranes. J Chem Theory Comput 13:2352–2366.
https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.7b00106

44. Belosludtsev KN, Serov DA, Ilzorkina AI, Starinets VS, Dubinin MV, Talanov EY, Karagyaur
MN, Primak AL, Belosludtseva NV (2023) Pharmacological and Genetic Suppression of
VDAC1 Alleviates the Development of Mitochondrial Dysfunction in Endothelial and
Fibroblast Cell Cultures upon Hyperglycemic Conditions. Antioxidants (Basel) 12:1459.
https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox12071459

45. Belosludtsev KN, Ilzorkina AI, Matveeva LA, Chulkov AV, Semenova AA, Dubinin MV,
Belosludtseva NV (2024) Effect of VBIT-4 on the functional activity of isolated
mitochondria and cell viability. Biochim Biophys Acta Biomembr 1866:184329.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamem.2024.184329

46. Taniguchi H, Chakraborty S, Takahashi N, Banerjee A, Caeser R, Zhan YA, Tischfield SE, Chow
A, Nguyen EM, Villalonga ÁQ, Manoj P, Shah NS, Rosario S, Hayatt O, Qu R, de Stanchina E,
Chan J, Mukae H, Thomas A, Rudin CM, Sen T (2024) ATR inhibition activates cancer cell
cGAS/STING-interferon signaling and promotes antitumor immunity in small-cell lung
cancer. Science Advances 10:eado4618. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.ado4618

47. Bround MJ, Havens JR, York AJ, Sargent MA, Karch J, Molkentin JD (2023) ANT-dependent
MPTP underlies necrotic myofiber death in muscular dystrophy. Science Advances
9:eadi2767. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.adi2767

48. Zhang E, Al-Amily IM, Mohammed S, Luan C, Asplund O, Ahmed M, Ye Y, Ben-Hail D, Soni A,
Vishnu N, Bompada P, Marinis YD, Groop L, Shoshan-Barmatz V, Renström E, Wollheim CB,
Salehi A (2019) Preserving Insulin Secretion in Diabetes by Inhibiting VDAC1
Overexpression and Surface Translocation in β Cells. Cell Metabolism 29:64-77.e6.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2018.09.008

49. Gulen MF, Samson N, Keller A, Schwabenland M, Liu C, Glück S, Thacker VV, Favre L,
Mangeat B, Kroese LJ, Krimpenfort P, Prinz M, Ablasser A (2023) cGAS-STING drives ageing-
related inflammation and neurodegeneration. Nature 620:374–380.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06373-1

50. Baik SH, Ramanujan VK, Becker C, Fett S, Underhill DM, Wolf AJ (2023) Hexokinase
dissociation from mitochondria promotes oligomerization of VDAC that facilitates NLRP3
inflammasome assembly and activation. Science Immunology 8:eade7652.
https://doi.org/10.1126/sciimmunol.ade7652

51. Keinan N, Tyomkin D, Shoshan-Barmatz V (2010) Oligomerization of the mitochondrial
protein voltage-dependent anion channel is coupled to the induction of apoptosis. Mol
Cell Biol 30:5698–5709. https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.00165-10

52. Bergdoll LA, Lerch MT, Patrick JW, Belardo K, Altenbach C, Bisignano P, Laganowsky A,
Grabe M, Hubbell WL, Abramson J (2018) Protonation state of glutamate 73 regulates the
formation of a specific dimeric association of mVDAC1. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 115:E172–
E179. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1715464115

53. Schredelseker J, Paz A, López CJ, Altenbach C, Leung CS, Drexler MK, Chen J-N, Hubbell WL,
Abramson J (2014) High resolution structure and double electron-electron resonance of
the zebrafish voltage-dependent anion channel 2 reveal an oligomeric population. J Biol
Chem 289:12566–12577. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M113.497438

54. Takeda H, Shinoda S, Goto C, Tsutsumi A, Sakaue H, Zhang C, Hirashima T, Konishi Y, Ono H,
Yamamori Y, Tomii K, Shiino H, Tamura Y, Zuttion S, Senger B, Friant S, Becker HD, Araiso Y,
Kobayashi N, Kodera N, Kikkawa M, Endo T (2025) Oligomer-based functions of
mitochondrial porin. Nat Commun 16:. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-025-62021-4

55. Oflaz FE, Bondarenko AI, Trenker M, Waldeck-Weiermair M, Gottschalk B, Bernhart E,
Koshenov Z, Radulović S, Rost R, Hirtl M, Pilic J, Karunanithi Nivedita A, Sagintayev A,
Leitinger G, Brachvogel B, Summerauer S, Shoshan-Barmatz V, Malli R, Graier WF (2025)
Annexin A5 controls VDAC1-dependent mitochondrial Ca2+ homeostasis and determines
cellular susceptibility to apoptosis. EMBO J 44:3413–3447.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s44318-025-00454-9

56. Pereira-Leite C, Lopes-de-Campos D, Fontaine P, Cuccovia IM, Nunes C, Reis S (2019)
Licofelone-DPPC Interactions: Putting Membrane Lipids on the Radar of Drug
Development. Molecules 24:516. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules24030516

57. Lopes-de-Campos D, Pereira-Leite C, Fontaine P, Coutinho A, Prieto M, Sarmento B,
Jakobtorweihen S, Nunes C, Reis S (2021) Interface-Mediated Mechanism of Action-The
Root of the Cytoprotective Effect of Immediate-Release Omeprazole. J Med Chem
64:5171–5184. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.1c00251

58. Dearden GI, Ravishankar V, Sakata K-T, Menon AK, Bergdoll L (2024) Protocol for the
production and reconstitution of VDAC1 for functional assays. STAR Protoc 5:103240.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xpro.2024.103240

59. Ando T, Kodera N, Takai E, Maruyama D, Saito K, Toda A (2001) A high-speed atomic force
microscope for studying biological macromolecules. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 98:12468–
12472. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.211400898

60. Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW (2012) NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image
analysis. Nat Methods 9:671–675. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2089

61. Horcas I, Fernández R, Gómez-Rodríguez JM, Colchero J, Gómez-Herrero J, Baro AM (2007)
WSXM: a software for scanning probe microscopy and a tool for nanotechnology. Rev Sci
Instrum 78:013705. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2432410

62. Ritchie TK, Grinkova YV, Bayburt TH, Denisov IG, Zolnerciks JK, Atkins WM, Sligar SG (2009)
Chapter 11 - Reconstitution of membrane proteins in phospholipid bilayer nanodiscs.
Methods Enzymol 464:211–231. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0076-6879(09)64011-8

63. Rostovtseva TK, Kazemi N, Weinrich M, Bezrukov SM (2006) Voltage gating of VDAC is
regulated by nonlamellar lipids of mitochondrial membranes. J Biol Chem 281:37496–
37506. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M602548200

64. Narahari AK, Kreutzberger AJ, Gaete PS, Chiu Y-H, Leonhardt SA, Medina CB, Jin X, Oleniacz
PW, Kiessling V, Barrett PQ, Ravichandran KS, Yeager M, Contreras JE, Tamm LK, Bayliss DA
(2021) ATP and large signaling metabolites flux through caspase-activated Pannexin 1
channels. Elife 10:e64787. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.64787

65. Rostovtseva TK, Weinrich M, Jacobs D, Rosencrans WM, Bezrukov SM (2024) Dimeric
Tubulin Modifies Mechanical Properties of Lipid Bilayer, as Probed Using Gramicidin A
Channel. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 25:2204.
https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms25042204

66. Ujwal R, Cascio D, Colletier J-P, Faham S, Zhang J, Toro L, Ping P, Abramson J (2008) The
crystal structure of mouse VDAC1 at 2.3 A resolution reveals mechanistic insights into
metabolite gating. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 105:17742–17747.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0809634105

67. Queralt-Martín M, Bergdoll L, Jacobs D, Bezrukov SM, Abramson J, Rostovtseva TK (2019)
Assessing the role of residue E73 and lipid headgroup charge in VDAC1 voltage gating.
Biochim Biophys Acta Bioenerg 1860:22–29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbabio.2018.11.001

68. Teijido O, Rappaport SM, Chamberlin A, Noskov SY, Aguilella VM, Rostovtseva TK, Bezrukov
SM (2014) Acidification asymmetrically affects voltage-dependent anion channel
implicating the involvement of salt bridges. J Biol Chem 289:23670–23682.
https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M114.576314

69. Rappaport SM, Teijido O, Hoogerheide DP, Rostovtseva TK, Berezhkovskii AM, Bezrukov SM
(2015) Conductance hysteresis in the voltage-dependent anion channel. Eur Biophys J
44:465–472. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00249-015-1049-2

70. Dodda LS, Cabeza de Vaca I, Tirado-Rives J, Jorgensen WL (2017) LigParGen web server: an
automatic OPLS-AA parameter generator for organic ligands. Nucleic Acids Res 45:W331–
W336. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx312

71. Dodda LS, Vilseck JZ, Tirado-Rives J, Jorgensen WL (2017) 1.14*CM1A-LBCC: Localized Bond-
Charge Corrected CM1A Charges for Condensed-Phase Simulations. J Phys Chem B
121:3864–3870. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcb.7b00272

72. Jorgensen WL, Tirado-Rives J (2005) Potential energy functions for atomic-level simulations
of water and organic and biomolecular systems. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102:6665–6670.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0408037102

73. Berendsen HJC, Postma JPM, van Gunsteren WF, Di Nola A, Haak JR (1984) Molecular
dynamics with coupling to an external bath. Journal of Chemical Physics 81:3684–3690.
https://doi.org/10.1063/1.448118

74. Bussi G, Donadio D, Parrinello M (2007) Canonical sampling through velocity rescaling. J
Chem Phys 126:014101. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2408420

75. Parrinello M, Rahman A (1981) Polymorphic transitions in single crystals: A new molecular
dynamics method. Journal of applied physics 7182–7190

76. Darden T, York D, Pedersen L (1993) Particle mesh Ewald: An N·log(N) method for Ewald
sums in large systems. Journal of Chemical Physics 10089–10092

77. Páll S, Hess B (2013) A flexible algorithm for calculating pair interactions on SIMD
architectures. Computer Physics Communications 184:2641–2650.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpc.2013.06.003

78. Hess B, Bekker H, Berendsen HJC, Fraaije JGEM (1997) LINCS: A linear constraint solver for
molecular simulations. Journal of Computational Chemistry 18:1463–1472.
https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-987X(199709)18:12%3C1463::AID-JCC4%3E3.0.CO;2-H

79. Souza PCT, Thallmair S, Conflitti P, Ramírez-Palacios C, Alessandri R, Raniolo S, Limongelli V,
Marrink SJ (2020) Protein-ligand binding with the coarse-grained Martini model. Nat
Commun 11:3714. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17437-5

80. Gowers RJ, Linke M, Barnoud J, Reddy TJE, Melo MN, Seyler SL, Domanski J, Dotson DL,
Buchoux S, Kenney IM, Beckstein O (2019) MDAnalysis: A Python Package for the Rapid
Analysis of Molecular Dynamics Simulations. In: Report Number: LA-UR-19-29136.
Research Org.: Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL), Los Alamos, NM (United States)

81. Michaud-Agrawal N, Denning EJ, Woolf TB, Beckstein O (2011) MDAnalysis: a toolkit for the
analysis of molecular dynamics simulations. J Comput Chem 32:2319–2327.
https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.21787

82. Daina A, Michielin O, Zoete V (2017) SwissADME: a free web tool to evaluate
pharmacokinetics, drug-likeness and medicinal chemistry friendliness of small molecules.
Sci Rep 7:42717. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep42717

83. Daina A, Michielin O, Zoete V (2014) iLOGP: a simple, robust, and efficient description of n-
octanol/water partition coefficient for drug design using the GB/SA approach. J Chem Inf
Model 54:3284–3301. https://doi.org/10.1021/ci500467k

84. Cheng T, Zhao Y, Li X, Lin F, Xu Y, Zhang X, Li Y, Wang R, Lai L (2007) Computation of octanol-
water partition coefficients by guiding an additive model with knowledge. J Chem Inf
Model 47:2140–2148. https://doi.org/10.1021/ci700257y

85. Wildman SA, Crippen GM (1999) Prediction of Physicochemical Parameters by Atomic
Contributions. Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences 39:868–873.
https://doi.org/10.1021/ci990307l

86. Lipinski CA, Lombardo F, Dominy BW, Feeney PJ (2001) Experimental and computational
approaches to estimate solubility and permeability in drug discovery and development
settings. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 46:3–26. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0169-409x(00)00129-0

87. Andreasen MD, Souza PCT, Schiøtt B, Zuzic L (2024) Creating Coarse-Grained Systems with
COBY: Towards Higher Accuracy in Membrane Complexity. bioRxiv.
https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.07.23.604601

88. Bernetti M, Bussi G (2020) Pressure control using stochastic cell rescaling. J Chem Phys
153:114107. https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0020514

89. Kim H, Fábián B, Hummer G (2023) Neighbor List Artifacts in Molecular Dynamics
Simulations. J Chem Theory Comput 19:8919–8929.
https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.3c00777

90. Awasthi N, Hub JS (2019) Free-energy calculations of pore formation in lipid membranes. In:
Biomembrane Simulations. CRC Press, pp 109–124

91. Kumar S, Rosenberg JM, Bouzida D, Swendsen RH, Kollman PA (1992) THE weighted
histogram analysis method for free-energy calculations on biomolecules. I. The method.
Journal of Computational Chemistry 13:1011–1021

1144