Structural and functional characterization of the antigenicity of influenza A virus hemagglutinin subtype H15

Disha Bhavsar[1,2,8], André Nicolás León[3,8], Wei-Li Hsu[4], Eduard Puente-Massaguer[1,2], James A. Ferguson[3], Julianna Han[3], Patrick Wilson[5], Andrew B. Ward[3], Florian Krammer[1,2,6,7]

[1]Department of Microbiology, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York, USA

[2]Center for Vaccine Research and Pandemic Preparedness (C-VaRPP), Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA

[3]Department of Integrative Structural and Computational Biology, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, CA, USA

[4]Graduate Institute of Microbiology and Public Health, National Chung Hsing University, Taichung City, Taiwan

[5]Drukier Institute for Children's Health, Department of Pediatrics, Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, NY, USA

[6]Department of Pathology, Molecular and Cell Based Medicine, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA

[7]Ignaz Semmelweis Institute, Interuniversity Institute for Infection Research, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

[8]Co-first author

SUMMARY

Avian H15 influenza viruses are closely related to H7 viruses, but feature a unique 9-amino acid insertion in their hemagglutinin head domain, creating an additional site for antigenic variation. Here, we characterized a panel of mouse monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) raised against the A/wedge-tailed shearwater/Western Australia/2576/1979 ancestral strain, and a human mAb isolated from an H7N9 vaccinee. We found differences in binding and neutralization profiles against the ancestral strain and drifted strains of H15 isolated after 2008. MAbs that have hemagglutination inhibition activity against the ancestral strain do not show binding to drifted strains, hinting at antigenic differences in the receptor binding site. We show that the mAbs protect *in vivo* and elucidate mAb-antigen interactions using negative stain and cryo-electron microscopy. The characterization of H15 antigenicity and mechanisms of antibody-mediated

35 neutralization expands our knowledge of this rare avian influenza virus and informs our
36 understanding of immune pressures on viral surface glycoproteins.

INTRODUCTION

Global climate change, habitat destruction and disruption, light pollution, and other human
activities have caused physiological and behavioral changes in migratory birds (Carey,
2009; Dezfuli et al., 2022; Horton et al., 2023; Shipley et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2022;
Zimova et al., 2021). These changes have often led to population and health declines as
well as the intermingling of species that were formerly geographically distinct, creating
the preconditions necessary for devastating viral incursions (Chen & Khanna, 2024). The
dangers associated with such instances is highlighted by recent reports of H5N1 influenza
A virus (IAV) outbreaks in brown skuas and gentoo penguins in South Georgia and the
Falkland islands—wild bird populations in which H5N1 had not been detected previously
(Banyard et al., 2024). While there is an extensive literature on seasonal and pandemic
IAVs that circulate in human populations and avian IAVs with high pandemic potential, it
is important to note that wild birds are also threatened by IAV pandemics, particularly
when managing the fitness impacts of habitat disruption, dietary disruption, and changes
in global weather patterns that generally outpace the speed of evolutionary adaptation
(Boon et al., 2007; Hanmer et al., 2022; Kleyheeg et al., 2017; Sehgal, 2010). Thus,
studies of rare wild bird IAV subtypes function as both proactive mitigation of potential
human spillover events, which are expected to increase due to climate change, and
extensions of an ethos of wildlife stewardship in the wake of manmade impacts on wild
bird populations.

Hemagglutinin (HA) is a type-I viral fusion glycoprotein expressed as a homotrimer on the
surface of influenza virions. Most HAs recognize sialic acid glycans as their host receptors
and host specificity is thereby defined by the ability to bind moieties of α2,3-sialic acid
(predominant in avian hosts) or α2,6-sialic acid (predominant in mammalian
hosts)⏹⏹Nineteen influenza A virus HA subtypes(Karakus et al., 2024)⏹ have been
identified in the animal reservoir of which H1, H2 and H3 have caused human pandemics
so far(Krammer et al., 2018)⏹. A number of other virus subtypes—like H4, H5, H6, H7, H9
and H10—have caused zoonotic infections,(Claas et al., 1998; Gao et al., 2013; Huang
et al., 2015; Kayali et al., 2011; Shi et al., 2013; To et al., 2014; Yuen et al., 1998)⏹. One
of the rarest subtypes is the H15 HA. H15 is a group 2 influenza A⏹H7 and H10 HA by an
⏹ in its globular head domain, a feature not found in any other influenza virus HA subtype
so far(Tzarum et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2020)⏹. H15N9 was first isolated in 1979 in
Australia from a shearwater and a shelduck with additional isolations of H15N2, H15N8,
and H15N9 in Australia in 1983 from ducks, terns, shelducks(Röhm et al., 1996; Sivay et
al., 2013)⏹. A report from 1996 describes it as a new subtype(Röhm et al., 1996)⏹. After

a long hiatus, H15N4 was found in 2008 in a teal in Russia(Sivay et al., 2013) followed
by detections of H15N7 in (Sivay et al., 2013), 2012 in Sweden (H15N5 in mallard ducks)
and finally in 2015 in ducks (H15N9) in Bangladesh(El-Shesheny et al., 2018) (Figure
1A). H15 has not been detected in the Americas so far and it is unclear if its main reservoir
are *Charadriiformes*, *Procellariiformes,* or *Anseriformes* and if this has changed over time.
So far, no human infections have been identified and H15 antibody cross-reactivity (e.g.
from H3N2 infections) in human serum is among the lowest of all subtypes—
(Nachbagauer et al., 2017).

The Global Initiative on Sharing All Influenza Data (GISAID) only contains data for 22
H15Nx viruses (Figure 1B); given the increasing number of avian influenza sero-
surveillance studies, this suggests that H15Nx viruses are rare amongst bird populations
studied thus far (Hassan et al., 2020; Khare et al., 2021). There are conflicting reports on
the pathogenicity of H15Nx viruses in mice and human cell lines. In one study, H15N9
failed to cause mortality or significant weight loss in mice while in another H15N1 was
found to cause severe viral pneumonia and high mortality (El-Shesheny et al., 2018; Qi
et al., 2014). Thus, it is likely that the HA-NA pairing as well as genotype and accessory
non-structural proteins play a large role in determining the pathogenicity of H15-
expressing viruses. Given the potential for IAVs to undergo genome reassortment during
viral replication, the presence of mammalian virulence factors in certain H15Nx viruses
emphasizes the value of proactive study to enhance pandemic preparedness. Here, we
set out to test the antigenicity of H15 viruses using monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) raised
against the H15 strain from 1979 as well as polyclonal sera raised in mice. We further
characterized the identified mAbs regarding their mechanism of action, protective effect,
and epitopes. Collectively, this study provides the first molecular characterization of H15
HA antigenicity, reports the first structures of mAbs against this rare subtype, and
highlights the need for further work on antigenic profiling of rare avian IAV glycoproteins
both in mammals and in their native hosts.

RESULTS

Monoclonal antibody generation

Previously, human mAb 07-5G01 was isolated from an individual who received two doses
of a live-attenuated cold-adapted influenza A/Anhui/1/2013 (H7N9) vaccine followed by
an inactivated virus vaccine boost 12 weeks later (Henry Dunand et al., 2016).
Interestingly, mAb 07-5G01 exhibited neutralizing activity against the A/wedge-tailed
shearwater/Western Australia/2576/1979 (A/wt shearwater/WA/2579/1979) (H15N9)
virus and bound to recombinant H15 HA.

H15 reactive mouse mAbs were generated using a hybridoma based approach. Female
BALB/c mice were infected with a sublethal dose of the A/wt shearwater/WA/1979
(H15N5, A/PR/8/34 reassortant) virus and subsequently boosted with an inactivated
preparation of the same virus. Splenocytes of these mice were fused with the SP2/0
myeloma cells to generate hybridoma cells. The supernatants from these cells were
screened for binding to A/wt shearwater/WA/1979 recombinant H15 in an enzyme linked
immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Four antibodies, KL-H15-3G11 (IgG$_{2a}$), KL-H15-6F6
(IgG$_{2a}$), KL-H15-6G8 (IgG$_{2a}$) and KL-H15-6H4 (IgG$_{2b}$) were found to bind the recombinant
H15 and were selected for further characterization (Figure 1C).

The isolated mAbs show limited reactivity to a panel of H15 hemagglutinins

To determine the breadth of antigenic activity of the mAbs against a range of H15s, the
full length amino acid sequences of selected H15 sequences were aligned using Clustal
Omega and analyzed phylogenetically via neighbor-joining tree method (Figure 1D). The
sequence alignment revealed mutations in the putative major antigenic sites of the H15s,
some of which are consistent across the strains reported since 2008 (Figure 1E). ELISAs
were performed against a panel of recombinant H15 proteins from viruses spanning from
1979 to 2015, when the most recent H15 virus isolate was reported. While all five mAbs
bound to the recombinant H15 from the A/wt shearwater/WA/1979 strain, only KL-H15-
6H4 showed binding against the recombinant H15 from the strains reported since 2008
(Figure 2A). All mAbs showed binding in ELISA against recombinant chimeric 15/3
hemagglutinin (cH15/3) which consists of head domain from A/wt shearwater/WA/1979
H15 and stalk domain from A/Hong Kong/4801/2014 H3 (Chen et al., 2016), indicating
that all the mAbs potentially bind the head domain of H15 HA.

An immunofluorescence (IF) assay was also performed to test the binding of the mAbs to
H15 HAs expressed on the surface of infected cells in their native conformation.
Reassortant viruses (6:2) were generated with HA and NA from the A/wt
shearwater/WA/1979 (H15N9), A/teal/Chany/7119/2008 (H15N4), A/mallard
duck/Sweden/139647/2012 (H15N5), and A/duck/Bangladesh/24704/2015 (H15N9)
strains and the internal proteins from the A/PR/8/34 (H1N1) strain. A 7:1 reassortant virus
was generated for the A/mallard/Novomychalivka/2-23-12/2010 (H15N7) strain where NA
from A/PR/8/34 was used. Madin Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells were infected with
the five different H15 virus strains and used for an IF assay. All mAbs showed high binding
intensity to cells infected with A/wt shearwater/WA/1979, while only KL-H15-6H4 showed
binding to cells infected with H15 strains isolated 2008 onwards, corroborating the results
observed in ELISA (Figure 2B). Similar results were observed in ELISA against purified
A/wt shearwater/WA/1979 H15N9 virus (Figure S1A).

Binding of these mAbs against A/wt shearwater/WA/1979 recombinant H15 was also
tested via Western blot following reducing denaturing sodium dodecyl sulfate
polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE). All mAbs bound to H15 indicated by the
presence of bands on the Western blot, although 07-5G01 seemed to bind to a lesser
extent as indicated by the relatively low intensity of the band (Figure S1B).

152 The majority of the isolated mAbs have neutralizing activity against the A/wt
153 shearwater/WA/1979 strain *in vitro*

To assess whether the mAbs can neutralize the H15 viruses *in vitro*, microneutralization
assays were performed. Even though the virus used to immunize the mice to generate
the hybridomas had a mismatched NA (A/wt shearwater/WA/1979 H15N5), all mAbs were
able to neutralize the A/wt shearwater/WA/1979 (H15N9) virus with minimal neutralizing
concentrations ranging from 1 µg/ml to 0.1 µg/ml (Figure 3A). Only KL-H15-6H4 showed
neutralization   activity   against   A/teal/Chany/7119/2008   (H15N4),
A/mallard/Novomychalivka/2-23-12/2010   (H15N7),   and   A/mallard
duck/Sweden/139647/2012 (H15N5) viruses. None of the mAbs showed detectable
neutralization activity against A/duck/Bangladesh/24704/2015 (H15N9) virus at the
highest tested concentration.

To determine if the neutralization activity of these mAbs is driven by blocking of the
receptor binding site (RBS) of H15, a hemagglutination inhibition (HI) assay was used
(Brandenburg et al., 2013). KL-H15-3G11, KL-H15-6F6, KL-H15-6G8 and 07-5G01
showed HI activity against A/wt shearwater/WA/1979 (H15N9) at minimum HI
concentrations ranging from 0.94 µg/ml to 0.23 µg/ml (Figure 3B). While KL-H15-6H4 was
observed to have neutralization activity, no HI activity was detected for this mAb, hinting
at neutralization by mechanisms other than blocking of the RBS. No HI activity was
observed for these mAbs against the virus strains isolated since 2008 (Figure S1C).

In addition to microneutralization assays, the neutralization activity of these mAbs was
also tested in a plaque reduction neutralization assay (PRNA) against A/wt
shearwater/WA/1979 (H15N9) virus. Neutralization capacity was determined by the
percentage reduction in number of plaques formed by the virus incubated with dilutions
of the corresponding mAb compared to an irrelevant IgG. All mAbs showed 100%
reduction in plaque number at the highest tested concentration of 100 µg/ml. The 50%
inhibitory concentration (IC_{50}) values were 0.11 µg/ml for KL-H15-3G11, 0.17 µg/ml for
KL-H15-6F6, 0.001 µg/ml for KL-H15-6G8, 8.039 µg/ml for KL-H15-6H4 and 0.52 µg/ml
for 07-5G01 (Figure 3C).

Interestingly, reduction in plaque size was also observed in the wells treated with
neutralizing mAbs in addition to reduction in number of plaques (Figure S2A). HA mAbs

have previously been shown to inhibit neuraminidase activity and contribute to influenza virus neutralization due to steric hindrance (Rajendran et al., 2017; Wohlbold et al., 2016). To assess this, neuraminidase inhibition (NAI) assays were performed using an enzyme-linked lectin assay (ELLA). MAbs were pre-incubated with A/wt shearwater/WA/1979 (H15N9) and transferred onto fetuin coated plates. Cleavage of sialic acid was measured by binding of peanut agglutinin. No virus and no mAb controls were used to normalize 100% and 0% neuraminidase NA inhibition. Mab 1G01, a broadly cross-reactive anti-influenza virus neuraminidase antibody was used as a positive control (Stadlbauer et al., 2019). At the maximum tested concentration of 30 µg/ml, the mAbs appeared to show 50% NA inhibition (Figure 3D). KL-H15-6H4 was also able to inhibit the neuraminidase NA activity of H15 viruses isolated 2008 onwards (Figure S2B). Additionally, all mAbs except 07-5G01 were also able to induce varying degrees of detectable antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) activity when measured using a commercial reporter assay (Figure S2C).

The mAbs confer protection *in vivo* against lethal H15 virus challenge and inhibit viral replication in mouse lungs

In vivo protective efficacy of the mAbs was tested in a mouse model against lethal challenge with A/wt shearwater/WA/1979 (H15N5) virus in a prophylactic setting. MAbs were administered intraperitoneally at either 10 mg/kg, 2 mg/kg or 0.1 mg/kg dose in female BALB/c mice 2 hours prior to intranasal challenge with 5 murine 50% lethal doses (mLD_{50}) of A/wt shearwater/WA/1979 (H15N5) virus. At the 10 mg/kg dose, 100% survival was observed with all mAbs. Most mAbs were also completely protective at the 2 mg/kg dose, except KL-H15-3G11, for which 80% of the mice survived. MAbs KL-H15-6G8 and 07-5G01 were able to confer partial protection (40% survival) even at the 0.1 mg/kg dose, however weight loss was more pronounced in these groups compared to the ones treated with higher doses of the same mAbs (Figure 4A).

In addition to protection, the ability of the mAbs to inhibit viral replication in lungs of mice challenged with H15 virus was also tested. MAbs were administered at 10 mg/kg dose intraperitoneally in female BALB/c mice which were then challenged with 1 mLD_{50} of A/wt shearwater/WA/1979 (H15N5) virus. Lungs of these mice were harvested at 3 and 6 days post infection (DPI) and viral load was assessed using plaque assay. No replicating virus was detected in the lungs of mice treated with all mAbs at either time points (Figure 4B). This is consistent with the *in vivo* protection experiment and, combined with the results of neutralization assays, suggests that virus neutralization might be the primary mechanism of protection.

Structural characterization demonstrates mAbs cluster into three distinct binding classes

Although KL-H15-6H4 and 07-5G01 were recalcitrant to negative stain electron
microscopy, reconstructions of KL-H15-6G8, KL-H15-3G11, and KL-H15-6F6 revealed
that all three mAbs targeted the H15 HA head (Figure S3). Sequence alignments
highlighted shared and distinct features utilized by the mAbs in this study: KL-H15-6H4
and KL-H15-6F6 shared similar light-chains; KL-H15-3G11, KL-H15-6G8, and KL-H15-
6H4 shared similar heavy-chains; and KL-H15-3G11 and KL-H15-6G8 shared similar
light-chains (Figure S4). To characterize the relationship between complementarity-
determining region (CDR) sequences and antigen engagement and to interrogate how
KL-H15-6H4 was uniquely able to neutralize strains isolated after 1979, structures of A/wt
shearwater/WA/2576/1979 H15 HA complexes were obtained using cryo-electron
microscopy (Figure 5, Table S1).

As suggested by their nearly identical light- and heavy-chain CDRs, KL-H15-3G11 and
KL-H15-6G8 engaged A/wt shearwater/WA/2576/1979 H15 HA in a nearly identical
manner, utilizing a series of aromatic and polar uncharged residues to form a network of
hydrogen bonds with H15 HA residues in or near the 130-loop, 150-loop, and 190-helix
(Figure 5A-D, Figure S5A-B, Table S2). Given their similarity, KL-H15-3G11 and KL-H15-
6G8 were grouped into Class 1. All heavy-chain CDRs and CDRL1 and 3 of Class 1 mAbs
were involved in antigen engagement (Figure 5C). Atomic modeling of KL-H15-6G8
showed 3 hydrogen bonds formed between H15 HA and CDRL1 and CDRL3 and at least
5 formed with CDRH1, CDRH2, and CDRH3 (Fig 5D). The heavy-chain CDRs engaged
residues N166, N167, Q168, and T206. Q27 in CDRL1 and E93 in CDRL3 formed
hydrogen bonds with S136 and G137, respectively while T28 in CDRL1 formed a
backbone-to-backbone hydrogen bond with Q172. Hydrophobic interactions between
P171 on the H15 HA protomer and Y32 in CDRL1 and W92 in CDRL3 were also identified
(Figure 5D).

07-5G01 engaged the H15 HA trimer in a distinct fashion and is defined as a Class 2 mAb
(Figure 5E, F, Figure S5C). In 07-5G01, rather than forming a hydrophobic interaction
with P171, W94 in CDRL3 formed a hydrogen bond with N167 analogous to the
interaction between N167 and CDRH1 W33 in KL-H15-6G8 and KL-H15-3G11 (Figure
5G). Y32 in CDRL1 forms a pi-cation interaction with R139 rather than a van der Waals
interaction with P171. In the heavy-chain, S52A in CDRH2 forms a side-chain-to-side-
chain hydrogen bond with S136 while D53, Y58, and Y100D form side-chain-to-back-
bone hydrogen bonds with residues S163, V169, and I138, respectively.

Unlike Class 1 and 2 mAbs, KL-H15-6H4 binds towards the center of the trimer, extending
the antibody footprint towards the protomer-protomer interface (Figure 5H-I, Figure S5D).
In KL-H15- 6H4, antigen engagement is primarily mediated by CDRL1 and CDRH2
(Figure 5J). Q29 and S27E in CDRL1 both form side-chain-to-side-chain hydrogen bonds
with D198 while Y32 forms a hydrogen bond with the side-chain of N201. S206 and G207
on the HA trimer form hydrogen bonds with the backbones of residues Y91 and H92 in

CDRL3, respectively. Like 07-5G01, the KL-H15-6H4 heavy-chain CDRs directly interact with V169 and S163, the former through hydrophobic interactions with W32 in CDRH1 and the latter through side-chain hydrogen bonding with N54 in CDRH2. Like Q27 in 6G8 CDRL1, Y52 in KL-H15-6H4 CDRH2 forms a hydrogen bond with G137 with an additional bond formed with S164. Lastly, D52 in CDRH2 forms a hydrogen bond with the backbone of Q172. Although CDRH3 was not predicted to make prominent contacts with antigen, it should be noted that the Y97 and Y100 sidechains in KL-H15-6H4 CDRH3 were not built into the map as there was insufficient density to do so with confidence. This may be the result of high flexibility in the region at this angle of approach. While we were unable to build an atomic model for KL-H15-6F6, docking of the predicted variable fragment (Fv) revealed that contacts with the H15 trimer were mediated by CDRH1, CDRH2, and CDRL3 (Figure S5E, Figure S6). As the KL-H15-6F6 light chain variable regions are nearly identical to that of KL-H15-6H4, this observation suggests that the contacts mediated by the KL-H15-6F6 heavy chain lead to rearrangement of the Fab angle of approach such that the CDRL1 contacts observed in KL-H15-6H4 play a less critical role in antigen engagement.

Structural analysis of antigen engagement by H15 sequence conservation and putative antigenic sites revealed that all three mAb classes engage distinct but overlapping footprints on the H15 head (Figure 6A). All three classes engage primarily with major antigenic site B. All heavy-chain contacts made by Class 1 mAbs engaged residues in site B, while E93 in CDRL3 formed a hydrogen-bond with G137, which is present in both sites A and B. 07-5G01 formed direct contacts with residues in site B, but engaged with residues S136 and I138 which straddle G137 in site A and may be able to flexibly engage with R134 in putative site E. While still primarily engaging with site B residues, the KL-H15-6H4 footprint extends towards the protomer-protomer interface and incorporates a greater number of absolutely conserved residues relative to Class 1 and 2 mAbs. Our structural models reveal that the emergence of M165R/K and N166 mutations in strains isolated after 1979 would sterically hinder and/or charge repulse engagement by Class 1 mAbs, which directly interact with residues N166 and N167, and 5G01 which interacts with residue N167 (Figure 5D and G). Associated rearrangement of neighboring residues may also contribute to loss of binding and neutralization. While KL-H15-6H4 engages spatially adjacent residues, it does not form key hydrogen bonds with N166 or N167 thereby preserving binding to strains through A/duck/Bangladesh/24704/2015 (H15N9) and neutralization through A/mallard duck/Sweden/336472/2012 (H15N5) (Figure 2A, Figure 5J).

Epitope mapping via escape mutagenesis

Given the difference in binding and neutralization activity of these mAbs against different H15 viruses tested, determining the target epitopes for these mAbs can provide insights into the evolution of H15 viruses. For the mAbs with HI activity, this was carried out in specific pathogen free (SPF) embryonated chicken eggs in a single passage in the presence of 250 μg of respective mAbs. 10^6 plaque forming units (PFU) of A/wt shearwater/WA/1979 (H15N9) virus were incubated with either KL-H15-3G11, KL-H15-6F6, KL-H15-6G8 or 07-5G01 and injected into 10 days old embryonated chicken eggs. The allantoic fluid was harvested and screened for loss of HI activity to confirm escape. The escape mutants were plaque purified on MDCK cells, grown in embryonated chicken eggs and the HA segments were sequenced to identify the escape mutations. For KL-H15-6H4, which has been shown to be neutralizing but HI inactive, escape mutagenesis was done by sequential passaging of A/wt shearwater/WA/1979 (H15N9) virus with the mAb starting at $0.5xIC_{50}$ and doubling the concentration at every passage up to $128xIC_{50}$. The resultant viruses were screened for loss of binding, and thus escape, by immunofluorescence assay. The viruses were then plaque purified on MDCK cells, grown in embryonated chicken eggs and the HA segments were sequenced . Interestingly, the same G137E mutation was observed in the mutant viruses that escaped from KL-H15-3G11, KL-H15-6F6 and KL-H15-6G8, while an S163F mutation was identified in KL-H15-6H4 escape mutants and 07-5G01 escape mutant carried the Q168K mutation (Figure 6B, C). Both S163F and Q168K mutations are within the putative antigenic site B of the H15 HA, while the G137E mutation is within the putative antigenic site A. These findings further corroborate the neutralization potential of these mAbs. While these particular residues are conserved across different H15s tested in this study, there are several mutations in the regions flanking these sites which might explain the difference in binding and neutralization profile of these mAbs. Our structural models also clarify the molecular basis of escape mutations identified in this study: G137E (Class 1), Q168K (Class 2), and S163F (Class 3) (Figure 6B-C). G137E may disrupt hydrogen bonding between residues G137 in the trimer and E93 in CDRL1 and may introduce steric clashes with residue W92. Similar to the naturally occurring M165R/K and N166 mutations, Q168K introduces a large, positively-charged residue into a polar uncharged pocket, potentially creating steric clashes and disrupting hydrogen bonding networks mediated by W94 in CDRL3 and Y58in CDRH2. In contrast, S163F does not directly interfere with residues in the KL-H15-6H4 paratope, but analysis of steric clashes suggests that it may disrupt the epitope pocket and thereby ablate neutralization.

Polyclonal serum responses to different H15 viruses indicate antigenic drift

The remarkable difference in the binding and neutralization activity of the mAbs tested in this study, particularly the mAbs that have HI activity against A/wt shearwater/WA/1979 (H15N9) virus hint at antigenic differences between H15 viruses isolated in different

years. This can be further illuminated with the help of polyclonal responses to different
H15 viruses. Groups of female BALB/c mice were infected with different H15 viruses, sera
were collected 21 DPI and analyzed in an HI assay against both homologous and
heterologous H15 viruses. No detectable HI response was observed against the
homologous strain in sera obtained from mice infected with A/wt shearwater/WA/1979
(H15N9), hence the same mice were infected a second time, sera were collected 7 DPI
and used for the HI assay. Remarkable differences were observed between serum HI
reactivity against homologous and heterologous H15 viruses. Most noticeably, the sera
from mice infected with A/wt shearwater/WA/1979 (H15N9) showed a significant drop in
HI activity against newer H15 viruses (Table 1). This is similar to the pattern observed
with the HI-active mAbs from the panel and further hints at major antigenic differences
between the H15 from the 1979 reference strain to the most recent strain isolated in 2015.

DISCUSSION

The H15 subtype of influenza A virus has rarely been detected and has so far only been
found sporadically in Australia and Eurasia (El-Shesheny et al., 2018; Muzyka et al., 2012;
Röhm et al., 1996; Sivay et al., 2013). Here, we investigated the antigenicity of this
subtype and its different isolates using both mAbs and polyclonal serum.

MAbs generated to the prototype strain from a shearwater in 1979 which bound to putative
antigenic sites A and B (as compared with H3 HA) rapidly lost binding and neutralizing
activity to newer H15 virus isolates. Similarly, cross-reactivity of isolate specific sera in HI
assays was relatively poor. MAbs showed strong neutralization and HI activity and
protected mice potently from an H15 infection. The exception was mAb KL-H15-6H4
which had no HI activity but was the only mAb that showed breadth and bound to all
tested viruses. This mAb had neutralizing activity (despite not being HI active) and also
robustly protected mice from H15 virus challenge. These results suggest substantial
antigenic drift over time, which is noteworthy since this subtype is only detected rarely –
suggesting limited circulation, at least in sampled species.

While putative sites A and B on H15 correlate well with their H3 counterparts, sites C, D,
and E do not map onto H15 so analogously (Figure 6A). Putative sites C and E are
spatially discontiguous and over half of residues in putative site D are buried in relatively
inaccessible regions of the protomer-protomer interface. Analysis of variation in known
H15 HA sequences may offer insights into how to better characterize antigenic variation
in this related but rare and distinct subtype. Notably, multiple sequences collected from
Australian ducks in 1983 contain clusters of amino acid mutations in or near putative
antigenic sites D and E that are not observed before or after: A228D (site D), T180N (site
D proximal), L86K (site E), and S130P (site E). All except T180N constitute mutations that
introduce an electric charge or remove a polar side chain and all except L86K are within

or near well-characterized structural features also present in H7 and H10 HAs: loop-220, helix-190, and loop-130 (Tzarum et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2020)In addition to these mutations unique to 1983 strains, D57N (site C), K202Q (site B), and B151G (site A) are observed across virtually all strains reported after 1983—A/mallard/Novomychalivka/2-23-12/2010 being the exception as it lacks V151G. While it cannot be stated unambiguously, the location of these mutations and relatively large changes in side chain chemistry are suggestive of substantial immune pressure and antigenic drift.

Despite the variation observed in viral isolates at putative sites C, D, and E, all mAbs in this study exclusively engage sites A and B, and primarily site B. Although it has been observed that natural infection with H7N9 viruses in humans can induce antibody responses to sites A, B, the HA stem, and the trimer interface, site B is dominant in human antibody responses to circulating H3N2 strains (Gilchuk et al., 2021; Li et al., 2019; Popova et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2020). While there have been several studies on the immunogenicity of H7 and H10 in mice and ferrets, they primary utilize serological techniques that do not disambiguate the relative immunodominance of major antigenic sites (Bahl et al., 2017; Fadlallah et al., 2020; Kamal et al., 2017). Thus, it is unclear whether the site A and B dominance observed in this study is generalizable to H7 and H10 as well or if it is a feature of mouse immunization with the A/wt shearwater/WA/2576/79 (H15N5) strain.

This is a salient question, as there is a paucity of data characterizing the molecular basis of antibody responses to avian IAVs in wild or domesticated birds due to challenges inherent in working in such systems. There are multiple reasons to believe that studies of mammalian antibody responses to avian influenza viruses—and other avian pathogens for that matter—may not reflect avian responses: 1) the primary immunoglobulin in birds is IgY, which is related to but distinct from IgG; 2) in birds, IAV is primarily a gastrointestinal disease and thus the avian immune response and particularly the avian mucosal immune response likely relies on local T and B cell populations that are distinct from those involved in the mammalian response to respiratory IAV infections; and 3) as the natural reservoirs for IAVs, birds may experience repeat infections and thus studies of their antibody responses and/or potential vaccination strategies may be needed to overcome the challenge of pre-existing immunity (Causey & Edwards, 2008; Dascalu et al., 2024; Hill et al., 2016; Leslie & Clem, 1969; Meade et al., 2017; Spackman, 2009; Vandegrift et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2017). Increasing our understanding of the immune pressures on avian IAVs will be an essential component of efforts to vaccinate critically endangered wild birds, as has already been attempted with the California condor (Katzner et al., 2025).

While influenza viruses mutate and change over time, significant changes are often driven by evolutionary pressure such as a switch in host species and adaptation to the new species or reinfection of hosts (meaning neutralizing antibodies are present that exert pressure on the virus). It is unclear which mechanisms led to these significant antigenic

changes in H15 viruses. Furthermore, the rare detection suggests low prevalence, but the antigenic changes suggest that the virus is circulating in a larger reservoir. What this reservoir is, needs to be determined. However, it is clear that the virus is not widespread in *Charadriiformes*, *Procellariiformes* or *Anseriiformes* due to the rare detections. Potentially, viruses detected in these birds are an indication of spill-over events from the real reservoir.

We believe our study sheds some light on this rare influenza A subtype – even though it raises several questions that need to be answered. Furthermore, the produced mAbs, recombinant proteins and re-assortant virus represent a set of reagents for the influenza virus research community to better study historic and future H15 viruses.

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact

Requests for information or reagents should be directed to Florian Krammer (florian.krammer@mssm.edu).

Materials availability

mAbs and plasmids for mAb expression can be obtained from the authors upon reasonable request.

Data and code availability

- CryoEM maps and models were deposited in the Electron Microscopy DataBank under accession IDs EMD-71419, EMD-71420, EMD-71421, EMD-71422, and EMD-71424, and in the Protein DataBank under accession IDs 9P9Q, 9P9R, 9P9S, and 9P9T (Table S1).
- The published article contains all datasets analyzed during the study.
- This paper does not report original code.
- Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was also partially funded by the Centers of Excellence for Influenza Research and Response (CEIRR, contract #75N93021C00014, reagent generation), the Collaborative Influenza Vaccine Innovation Centers (CIVIC, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases contract #75N93019C00051), and by institutional funds. E.P.M. was also supported by a postdoctoral fellowship from Fundación Ramón Areces. We

447   thank Paul E. Leon for pilot study work on these mAbs. We thank Jesse Bloom for making
448   his HA renumbering algorithm publicly available and the Bacterial and Viral Bioinformatics
449   Resource Center (BV-BRC) for hosting it on an accessible user interface.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

452   D.B. and F.K. designed the study. D.B. and A.L. designed and performed the experiments
453   and analyzed data. W.H., and E.P.M. performed experiments and/or generated reagents.
454   P.C.W. provided reagents. J.H., A.B.W. and F.K. supervised the study. D.B., A.L. and F.K.
455   drafted the manuscript. All authors reviewed, edited and approved the final version of the
456   manuscript.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai has filed patent applications relating to
SARS-CoV-2 serological assays, NDV-based SARS-CoV-2 vaccines influenza virus
vaccines and influenza virus therapeutics which list Eduard Puente-Massaguer and
Florian Krammer as co-inventor. Mount Sinai has spun out a company, Kantaro, to market
serological tests for SARS-CoV-2 and another company, Castlevax, to develop SARS-
CoV-2 vaccines. Florian Krammer is co-founder and scientific advisory board member of
Castlevax. Florian Krammer has consulted for Merck, GSK, Curevac, Sanofi, Gritstone,
Seqirus and Pfizer and is currently consulting for 3rd Rock Ventures and Avimex. The
Krammer laboratory is also collaborating with Dynavax on influenza vaccine development
and with VIR on development of antiviral mAbs.

FIGURE TITLES AND LEGENDS

Figure 1: Background to H15. (A) Global map showing the locations and the years
during which H15 virus strains were reported. (B) Proportion of different virus subtypes
with H15 HA. Sequences of influenza virus strains with H15 HA subtype were obtained
from Global Initiative on Sharing All Influenza Data (GISAID) and classified based on the
NA subtype. (C) mAbs used in the study along with the source from which they were
isolated. 07-5G01 was isolated from a human subject vaccinated against H7N9 virus
(Henry Dunand et al., 2016), whereas the other mAbs were obtained from murine
hybridomas generated by immunization with H15N5 virus. (D) The phylogenic tree
representing the antigenic distance between different H15 HAs used in the study. The
scale bar represents a 3% amino acid difference in sequence identity. The sequences
were obtained from GenBank, and the phylogenetic tree was generated using Clustal
Omega and visualized using FigTree. (E) Alignment of H15 sequences showing major

antigenic sites. The amino acid sequences obtained from GenBank were aligned using
Clustal Omega, and the sequences corresponding to the major antigenic sites are
represented. The amino acid differences are marked with asterisks.

Figure 2: Binding of mAb panel to different H15 HAs. (A) Binding profile of mAbs to
recombinant H15s from 1979 to 2015 and cH15/3 proteins as assessed by ELISA. The
broadly cross-reactive HA antibody CR9114 (Dreyfus et al., 2012) was used as a positive
control and anti-H6 antibody KL-H6-8H9 was used as negative control. (B) Binding of
mAbs to cells expressing H15 HA. Binding of mAbs to MDCK cells infected with different
H15 viruses and thus, expressing H15 on cell surface, was assessed by
immunofluorescence. CR9114 (Dreyfus et al., 2012) was used as a positive control and
anti-H6 antibody KL-H6-8H9 was used as negative control.

Figure 3: In vitro neutralization of H15 viruses by mAbs. (A) Neutralizing activity of
mAbs against different H15 viruses measured by microneutralization assay. Shown here
are the minimum concentrations of mAbs at which virus neutralization was observed.
Broadly neutralizing HA antibody CR9114 (Dreyfus et al., 2012) was used as a positive
control and anti-H6 antibody KL-H6-8H9 was used as a negative control. (B) HI activity
of mAbs against A/wt shearwater/WA/2576/1979 virus. The ability of the mAbs to
neutralize the virus via blocking the receptor binding site of H15 was assessed by HI
assay and the minimum concentration at which HI activity was observed is shown here.
Anti-H6 antibody KL-H6-8H9 was used as negative control. (C) Neutralizing activity of
mAbs against A/wt shearwater/WA/2576/1979 virus measured by PRNA. Percentage
reduction in number of plaques for each mAb, in five-fold dilutions starting at 100ug/ml,
is shown here normalized to negative control mAb KL-H6-8H9. (D) NAI activity of mAbs
against A/wt shearwater/WA/2576/1979 virus. Neuraminidase inhibition activity of the
mAbs was measured by ELLA. Virus only and no-virus controls were used to normalize
0% and 100% neuraminidase inhibition activity, broadly cross reactive influenza virus
neuraminidase antibody 1G01 (Stadlbauer et al., 2019) was used as a positive control,
while anti-H6 antibody KL-H6-8H9 was used as negative control.

Figure 4: Protective efficacy of mAbs against lethal challenge *in vivo*. (A) Protection
against lethal challenge in mice. Five mice per group were given mAbs intraperitoneally
at a dose of 10 mg/kg, 2 mg/kg or 0.5 mg/kg and 2 hours later, they were challenged with
5 mLD$_{50}$ of A/wt shearwater/WA/2576/1979 (H15N5) intranasally. Weight loss was
monitored for 14 days post challenge and the mean weight loss for each group is shown,
with error bars representing standard deviation. Survival plots for the same duration are
shown. (B) Viral lung titers after infection with A/wt shearwater/WA/2576/1979 (H15N5)
virus. Six mice per group were given mAbs intraperitoneally at 10 mg/kg and 2 hours later,
challenged with 1 mLD$_{50}$ of A/wt shearwater/WA/2576/1979 (H15N5) intranasally. Mice
were euthanized on day 3 and day 6 post infection, the lungs were harvested and viral
titer in lung homogenates was determined using plaque assay.

Figure 5. Structural characterization of Class 1, 2, and 3 epitope-paratope interactions. (A) KL-H15-6G8 and KL-H15-3G11 EM maps used for model building. (B-C) Superimposed models of KL-H15-6G8 and KL-H15-3G11 in complex with A/wt shearwater/WA/2576/1979 H15 HA highlight a shared binding mode. KL-H15-6G8 depicted in shades of red and KL-H15-3G11 in shades of blue. Heavy chains depicted in darker shades and light chains in lighter shades. The 130-loop, 150-loop, and 190-helix—antigenic regions shared with H3 HA—are depicted in shades of purple. (D) Zoomed view of light- and heavy-chain contact sites conserved across both KL-H15-6G8 and KL-H15-3G11 depicted as sticks using the KL-H15-6G8 model. Hydrogen bonds illustrated as dashed lines. All antibodies presented in Kabat numbering. (E-F) Map and model for 07-5G01 mAb binding. (G) 07-5G01 light- and heavy-chain contact sites presented as sticks with hydrogen bonds illustrated as dashed grey lines and a pi-cation interaction depicted as a light-blue dashed line. (H-I) Map and model for KL-H15-6H4 mAb binding. (J) Zoomed view of light- and heavy-chain contact sites.

Figure 6. Structural analysis of mAb binding. (A) Top-view of the A/wt shearwater/WA/2576/1979 H15 trimer; bound protomer presented in light grey. Dashed lines are used to demarcate the footprints—defined as residues within 5Å of Fabs—for Class 1 in red, Class 2 in orange, and Class 3 in green. Bound protomer is colored by conservation across all 22 unique H15 sequences with degree of variability increasing from white to teal. Putative major antigenic sites are presented and color coded: Site A (red), B (blue), C (green), D (orange), and E (purple). The receptor binding site is highlighted in yellow. (B) Location of escape mutations derived from viral passage are depicted on a model of a A/wt shearwater/WA/2576/1979 H15 trimer. (C) Zoomed in views of escape mutations separated by antibody class. Mutations were modeled in ChimeraX and the top ten most prevalent rotamers are presented as sticks in grey. Steric clashes with paratope residues are depicted using purple dashed lines.

Table 1. Serum HI cross reactivity: The values represent the last reciprocal dilution of sera at which HI activity was observed. Median values are shown in bold font, followed by the range in parenthesis.

Strain used to infect mice	Strain used in HI assay				
	A/wt shearwater/ WA/	**A/teal/Cha ny/ 7119/2008**	**A/mallard/ Novomychali vka/ 2-23-12/2010**	**A/mallard duck/ Sweden/**	**A/duck/ Banglade sh/**

	2576/1979			139647/2012	24704/2015
A/wt shearwater/ WA/2576/1979	**20** (10-20)	**5** (5-10)	**5** (5-10)	**5** (5-10)	**5** (5-10)
A/teal/Chany/ 7119/2008	**10** (5-10)	**80** (80-80)	**160** (80-160)	**40** (5-80)	**10** (10-40)
A/mallard/ Novomychali vka/ 2-23-12/2010	**10** (5-10)	**20** (10-80)	**320** (160-320)	**40** (10-40)	**20** (10-40)
A/mallard duck/Sweden / 139647/2012	**5** (5-5)	**5** (5-10)	**5** (5-20)	**40** (40-40)	**5** (5-10)
A/duck/ Bangladesh/ 24704/2015	**5** (5-5)	**10** (5-160)	**20** (10-160)	**40** (20-160)	**160** (40-320)

STAR Methods

Experimental model and study participant details

Mab 07-5G01 was generated from the sequences obtained from plasmablasts isolated from an individual who received two doses of a live-attenuated cold-adapted influenza A/Anhui/1/2013 (H7N9) vaccine followed by an inactivated virus vaccine boost 12 weeks later. The details of the sample collection are described in a previous publication: Henry Dunand, Carole J et al. "Both Neutralizing and Non-Neutralizing Human H7N9 Influenza Vaccine-Induced Monoclonal Antibodies Confer Protection." Cell host & microbe vol. 19,6

562   (2016): 800-13. doi:10.1016/j.chom.2016.05.014 PMCID: PMC4901526 NIHMSID:
563   NIHMS789757 PMID: 27281570.

Cells, viruses and recombinant glycoproteins

Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM; Gibco) supplemented with Pen-Strep
antibiotic solution (penicillin at 100 U/ml and streptomycin at 100 µg/ml; Gibco), 10 ml of
1 M 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES; Life Technologies) and
10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco) was used to culture MDCK (ATCC# CCL34) and
human embryonic kidney 293T (HEK-293T; ATCC# CRL-3216) cells in a 37°C incubator
with 5% CO_2. Expi293 medium (Gibco) was used to culture Expi293F (Gibco# A14527)
cells in suspension culture shaking at 125 RPM in an incubator at 37°C and 8% CO_2.
Hybridoma clones were initially cultured in ClonaCell-HY Medium E (Stemcell
Technologies) and progressively switched to culture in hybridoma-serum free medium
(Hybridoma-SFM; Gibco). *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Sf9) cells were grown in TNM-FH
insect medium (Gemini Bio) supplemented with 10% FBS and antibiotics (100 U/ml of
penicillin, 100 µg/ml streptomycin; Gibco). BTI-TN-5B1-4 (Trichoplusia ni) cells were
grown in serum-free Sf-900 II medium (Gibco) supplemented with antibiotics (100 U/ml of
penicillin, 100 µg/ml streptomycin; Gibco).

HA and NA sequences from the following isolates were obtained from GenBank and were
commercially synthesized (Genewiz; Azenta Life Sciences): A/wt
shearwater/WA/2576/1979 (H15N9; CY006010 and CY005407), A/teal/Chany/7119/2008
(H15N4; CY098540 and CY098542), A/mallard/Novomychalivka/2-23-12/2010 (H15N7;
KP087869), A/mallard duck/Sweden/139647/2012 (H15N5; MF147992 and MF148018)
and A/duck/Bangladesh/24704/2015 (H15N9; KY635680 and KY635500). Recombinant
H15 viruses were rescued with the HA and NA from the original isolate and the remaining
six segments from A/PR/8/34 (A/PR/8/34, H1N1) as 6:2 reassortant viruses using the
protocol described previously (Martínez-Sobrido & García-Sastre, 2010). The A/PR/8/34
backbone is attenuated in humans and generally considered safe (Beare et al., 1975).
A/mallard/Novomychalivka/2-23-12/2010 (H15N7) virus was rescued with HA from the
original isolate and the remaining seven segments from A/PR/8/34 as 7:1 reassortant
virus, as the NA sequence from the original isolate is incomplete. A/wt
shearwater/WA/2576/1979 (H15N5) mouse challenge virus was rescued using NA
sequence from A/mallard/Sweden/86/2003 (H12N5; GenBank accession: CY060392.1).
Virus stocks were grown in SPF-embryonated chicken eggs for 48 hours at 37°C followed
by harvest of allantoic fluid and clarification by centrifugation at 300x*g* for 5 minutes. The
virus stocks were stored at -80°C before use.

A/wt shearwater/WA/2576/1979 purified virus preparation for ELISA was made by low-
speed centrifugation of allantoic fluid to remove debris (300 × g at 4°C for 5 minutes),

followed by ultracentrifugation at 25,000 rpm for 2 hours at 4°C with an SW-28 rotor in a
Beckman L7-65 ultracentrifuge through a 30% sucrose cushion buffered with NTE buffer
(100 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl, and 1 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid EDTA
balanced to pH 7.4). The supernatant containing allantoic fluid was aspirated, and the
pellet was resuspended in phosphate buffered saline (PBS).

Recombinant HA proteins were expressed and purified using a mammalian expression
system as previously described (Sano et al., 2021). Briefly, the ectodomains of HA
proteins from A/wt shearwater/WA/2576/1979 (H15N9), A/teal/Chany/7119/2008
(H15N4), A/mallard/Novomychalivka/2-23-12/2010 (H15N7), A/mallard
duck/Sweden/139647/2012 (H15N5) and A/duck/Bangladesh/24704/2015 (H15N9) were
cloned into a pCXSN vector containing thrombin cleavage site, a foldon T4 trimerization
domain and a hexa-histidine tag, and transiently transfected into Expi293F cells using an
Expi293 transfection kit per the manufacturer's instructions. The supernatant was
collected 7 days post transfection and purified using affinity purification with nickel-
nitrilotriacetic acid (Ni-NTA) agarose beads (Qiagen). Recombinant H1 from A/PR/8/34
and cH15/3 were produced using the baculovirus expression system as described in
detail previously (Margine et al., 2013). The ectodomains of the HA proteins were cloned
into a baculovirus shuttle vector. This shuttle vector contains a C-terminal trimerization
domain as well as a hexahistidine tag. Baculoviruses were propagated in Sf9 cells and
these viruses were then used to infect BTI-TN-5B1-4 cells. Proteins were purified from
the supernatant as described above.

Plasmids for expression of mAb 07-5G01 were generously contributed by Dr. Patrick
Wilson. The expression plasmids were transfected into Expi293F cells using an Expi293
transfection kit per the manufacturer's instructions. The supernatant was collected 7 days
post transfection and purified using CaptureSelect IgG-Fc (Multispecies) Affinity Matrix
(Thermo Scientific).

Generation and selection of hybridomas secreting H15 antibodies

Six to eight week-old female BALB/c mice were infected with a sublethal dose of A/wt
shearwater/WA/2576/1979 (H15N5) virus and boosted with an inactivated preparation of
the same virus. Three days after the boost, the mice were euthanized, and the spleens
were harvested. The splenocytes were fused with SP2/0 myeloma cells using
polyethylene glycol (PEG; Sigma-Aldrich) and the resultant fused cells were grown in
selection medium (Clonacell-HY Medium D; StemCell Technologies). Ten to fourteen days
later, individual colonies were picked and grown in 96-well plates. The supernatants from
these wells were screened via ELISA for binding to the A/wt shearwater/WA/2576/1979
HA. The clones which showed reactivity were isotyped using a Pierce rapid antibody
isotyping kit (Thermo scientific). The single clones were then further expanded using

Clonacell-HY Medium E (StemCell Technologies) and later adapted to serum free
medium (Hybridoma-SFM; Gibco). Once expanded to the desired volume, the
supernatants were collected, and the antibodies were purified using CaptureSelect IgG-
Fc (Multispecies) Affinity Matrix (Thermo Scientific).

All *in vivo* experiments were conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the Icahn
School of Medicine at Mount Sinai Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC).

ELISA

96-well plates (Immulon-4 HBX, Thermo Scientific) were coated with 50 µl/well of
recombinant protein diluted to a concentration of 2 µg/ml in PBS and stored at 4°C
overnight. On the following day, the coating solution was discarded, and the plates were
blocked with 200 µl/well of 3% non-fat milk prepared in PBS with 0.1% Tween-20 (PBS-
T) for one hour at room temperature (RT). MAbs were diluted to a starting concentration
of 30 µg/ml and serially diluted 1:3 in 1% non-fat milk prepared in PBS-T. After one hour,
the blocking solution was discarded and 100 µl of the mAb dilutions were added to the
plates in duplicates and incubated for 2 hours at RT. The plates were then washed 3 times
with 200 µl/well of PBS-T. Next, 50 µl/well of the secondary antibodies [for mouse mAbs:
Sheep anti-mouse IgG (H&L) Antibody Peroxidase Conjugated antibody (Rockland
Immunochemicals), for human mAbs: Goat anti−human IgG (Fab-specific)−horseradish
peroxidase (HRP) labeled antibody (Sigma)] diluted to 1:3000 in 1% non-fat milk in PBS-
T was added and incubated at RT for 1 hour. The plates were washed 3 times with 200
µl/well of PBS-T. Following this, 100 µl/well of SigmaFAST OPD (o-phenylenediamine
dihydrochloride; Sigma-Aldrich) was added to the plates and incubated at RT for 10
minutes. The reaction was stopped by adding 50 µl/well of 3M hydrochloric acid (HCl;
Fisher Scientific) and analyzed at an optical density (OD) of 490 nm using SynergyH1
microplate reader (BioTek). For ELISA performed with purified virus, the purified virus was
coated on 96-well plates at concentration of 5 µg/ml in PBS and the protocol was followed
as described above.

Immunofluorescence assay

MDCK cells were seeded in a 96-well cell culture plate (Corning) at a density of 25,000
cells/well and cultured overnight at 37°C. The viruses were diluted in 1X minimum
essential medium (MEM). The cells were washed with 200 µl/well of PBS and infected
with a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 1 for each respective virus at 37°C overnight. On
the following day, the cells were fixed with 100 µl/well of 3.7% paraformaldehyde for 1
hour at RT. Next, the wells were blocked with 200 µl/well of 3% non-fat milk prepared in
PBS for 1 hour at RT. After removing the blocking solution, 100 µl/well of each of the mAbs

diluted to 30 µg/ml in 1% non-fat milk prepared in PBS were added to the wells and incubated at RT for 1 hour. After the incubation, the primary antibodies were removed, and the cells were washed 3 times with PBS. 100 µl/well of secondary antibodies [for mouse mAbs: Goat anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 488 (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and for human mAbs: Goat anti-Human IgG (H+L) Cross-Adsorbed Secondary Antibody, Alexa Fluor 488 (Thermo Fisher Scientific)] diluted to 1 µg/ml and 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole, dilactate(DAPI; Thermo Scientific) diluted to 1:500 in 1% non-fat milk in PBS were added to the wells and incubated at RT for 1 hour covered in foil. The cells were washed 3 times with PBS and imaged using EVOS M5000 fluorescent imaging microscope (Thermo Scientific).

Western blot assay

Ten (10) ng of recombinant H15 from A/wt shearwater/WA/2576/1979 and H1 from A/PR/8/34 (negative control) prepared in PBS were mixed with equal volume of 2x Laemmli sample buffer supplemented with beta-mercaptoethanol and incubated at 95°C for 20 minutes. The proteins were then loaded and run on 4-20% gradient SDS polyacrylamide gel (Bio-Rad) and later transferred to nitrocellulose membranes using iBlot 2 Gel Transfer Device (Invitrogen). The membranes were blocked with 3% non-fat milk in PBS-T and later probed with 30 µg/ml of each mAb diluted in 1% non-fat milk in PBS-T for 1 hour at RT. Anti-6x-His antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used as a positive control. Following this, the membranes were washed 3 times with PBS-T and stained with alkaline phosphatase (AP) conjugated secondary antibody (Sigma) for 1 hour at RT. The blots were developed with AP conjugate substrate kit (Bio-Rad) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Microneutralization assay

MDCK cells were seeded in 96-well cell culture plates at a density of 25,000 cells/well and cultured overnight at 37°C. The viruses were diluted to 100x 50% tissue culture infectious dose (TCID$_{50}$)/50 µl and three-fold serial dilutions of mAbs starting at 30 µg/ml in duplicates were prepared in 1X MEM supplemented with 1 µg/ml tosyl phenylalanyl chloromethyl ketone (TPCK)-treated trypsin (Sigma). Antibody dilutions and viruses were incubated together for 1 hour at RT on a shaking platform. The cells were washed with PBS and the antibody-virus mix was added and incubated at 37°C for 1 hour. Another set of mAb dilutions were prepared in 1X MEM supplemented with 1 µg/ml TPCK-treated trypsin. At the end of the incubation, the cells were washed with PBS and mAb dilutions prepared in 1X MEM with TPCK-treated trypsin were added. The cells were then incubated at 37°C for 48 hours. At the end of the incubation, hemagglutination assays

were performed using the supernatant from infected cells and 0.5% chicken red blood
cells (RBCs; Lampire Biological Laboratories) diluted in PBS to test for the presence of
virus in each well.

Hemagglutination inhibition assay (HI)

MAbs were serially diluted 1:2 at a starting concentration of 30 µg/ml in PBS in v-bottom
96-well plates (Thermo Scientific). A hemagglutination assay was performed to determine
the hemagglutination titer (HAU) for the viruses. Twenty five µl of the mAb dilutions were
incubated with 25 µl of viruses diluted to 8 HAU for 1 hour at RT. Following this, 50 µl of
0.5% chicken RBCs diluted in PBS were added to the wells and incubated at 4°C for 1
hour and analyzed.

For the HI assay performed with mouse serum, sera were treated with receptor destroying
enzyme (RDE; Denka-Seiken) to remove non-specific inhibitors of hemagglutination.
Starting with an initial 1:10 dilution, the sera were serially diluted 1:2 in PBS in V-bottom
96-well plates. Twenty five µl of serum dilutions were incubated with 25 µl of viruses
diluted to 8 HAU for 1 hour at RT. Following this, 50 µl of 0.5% chicken RBCs diluted in
PBS was added to the wells and incubated at 4°C for 1 hour and analyzed.

PRNA

MDCK cells were seeded in 12-well cell culture plates (Corning) at a density of 250,000
cells/well and cultured overnight at 37°C. 1:5 serial dilutions of mAbs starting at 100 µg/ml
were prepared in 1X MEM and incubated with 1600 PFU/ml of A/wt
shearwater/WA/2576/1979 (H15N9) virus for 1 hour at RT with shaking. The virus-
antibody mixture was then transferred on MDCK cells in duplicates and incubated at 37°C
for 1 hour with intermittent shaking every 10 minutes to prevent drying out of cells.
Following the incubation, agar overlays containing corresponding mAb dilutions were
applied and the cells were incubated at 37°C for 48 hours. At the end of the 48 hours, the
cells were fixed using 3.7% paraformaldehyde for 1 hour at RT. The plates were blocked
with 3% non-fat milk in PBS. Plaques were stained using anti-H15 mouse polyclonal
serum diluted to 1:1000 in 1% non-fat milk in PBS for 1 hour at RT. Following PBS washes,
secondary antibody (sheep anti-mouse IgG (H&L)-Peroxidase Conjugated antibody;
Rockland Immunochemicals) diluted to 1:3000 in 1% non-fat milk in PBS was added to
the cells and incubated for 1 hour at RT. Cells were then washed again with PBS and 300
µl/well of TruBlue Peroxidase substrate (Seracare) was added to visualize and count the
plaques. Percent inhibition of number of plaques was calculated in comparison to a no-
antibody control, and the IC50, defined as the concentration of the mAb at which there

was a 50% reduction in number of plaques, was calculated on GraphPad Prism 10 using
a nonlinear regression model.

ADCC reporter assay

In vitro ADCC assay reporter kit (Promega) was used to determine the ADCC activity of
the mAbs. MDCK cells were seeded in 96-well white cell culture plates (Nunc) at a density
of 25,000 cells/well and cultured overnight at 37°C. The cells were infected with A/wt
shearwater/WA/2576/1979 (H15N9) virus at an MOI of 1 in 1x MEM and incubated
overnight at 37°C. 1:3 serial dilutions of mAbs at a starting concentration of 30 µg/ml were
prepared in Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI)-1640 medium (Gibco). Following the
overnight incubation, the virus inoculum was removed from the cells. Twenty five µl of
RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with low IgG FBS (Gibco), 25 µl of antibody dilutions
and 25 µl of effector cells (75,000 cells/well) were added to each well and the plates were
incubated for 6 hours at 37°C. At the end of incubation, 75 µl of luciferase substrate was
added to each well and the plates were incubated for 10 minutes at RT covered in foil.
Following this, luminescence was captured as readout using the SynergyH1 microplate
reader.

ELLA

ELLAs were performed to assess the neuraminidase activity of the viruses. 96-well plates
(Immulon-4 HBX, Thermo Scientific) were coated with 100 µl/well of fetuin (Sigma) at a
concentration of 25 µg/ml in 1X coating buffer (Seracare) and stored at 4°C overnight.
The plates were incubated at 37°C with 2-fold serial dilutions of the viruses starting at a
1:10 initial dilution overnight. On the following day, the plates were washed 6 times with
PBS-T and were incubated with 100 µl/well of 5 µg/ml peanut agglutinin conjugated to
horseradish peroxidase (PNA-HRP; Sigma) for 2 hours at RT. Following this, the plates
were washed 3 times with PBS-T. Hundred µl/well of SigmaFAST OPD was added to the
plates and incubated at room temperature for 10 minutes. The reaction was stopped by
adding 50 µl/well of 3M HCl and analyzed at OD 490nm using SynergyH1 microplate
reader. The data was analyzed using GraphPad Prism 10 and 50% effective
concentration (EC_{50}) was determined for each virus.

To measure neuraminidase inhibition activity of the mAbs, the mAbs were serially diluted
1:3 at a starting concentration of 30 µg/ml and added to fetuin coated plates. An equal
volume of viruses diluted to $2xEC_{50}$ were added to the mAb dilutions and incubated at
37°C for 16-18 hours. The remainder of the assay was performed as described above.
Broadly reactive neuraminidase antibody 1G01 (Stadlbauer et al., 2019) or oseltamivir
phosphate (U.S. Pharmacopeia) was used as a positive control.

In vivo mouse challenge studies

Six to eight weeks old female BALB/c mice (n=5 per group) were injected with either 10 mg/kg, 2 mg/kg or 0.1 mg/kg of the mAbs intraperitoneally (IP). Two hours after administration of mAbs, the mice were infected with 5 mLD50 of A/wt shearwater/WA/2576/1979 (H15N5) intranasally (IN) under anesthesia and weights were monitored daily for 14 days. Loss of 25% of body weight led to humane euthanasia and the mouse was scored dead. The weight loss and survival curves were plotted using GraphPad Prism 10.

To assess the effect of the mAbs on viral replication in the lungs of infected mice, 6-8 weeks old female BALB/c mice (n=6 per group) were injected with 10 mg/kg of the mAbs IP. Two hours after administration of mAbs, the mice were infected with one mLD50 of A/wt shearwater/WA/2576/1979 (H15N5) IN under anesthesia. 3 Three mice were euthanized 3 DPI and their lungs were harvested. The remaining 3 mice in each group were euthanized 6 DPI and their lungs were harvested. The lungs were homogenized using BeadBlaster (Benchmark Scientific) and the supernatants were analyzed for viral load using standard plaque assay.

To assess the serum HI responses elicited response to infection, 6-8 weeks old female BALB/c mice (n=5 per group) were infected with 10^5 PFU (in 50 µl of PBS) of each of the five H15 virus strains IN under anesthesia. Serum was collected at 21 DPI and tested in an HAI assay against matched and heterologous H15 virus strains. Mice in the group infected with A/wt shearwater/WA/2576/1979 (H15N9) virus were re-infected at 28 days post initial infection and sera were collected 7 days post second infection for analysis in HI assay.

All _in vivo_ experiments were conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC).

Generation of escape mutant variants

For mAbs with HI activity, 10^6 PFU of A/wt shearwater/WA/2576/1979 (H15N9) virus were mixed with 250 µg of mAb and incubated at RT for 1 hour. Following the incubation, the virus-mAb mixture was injected into 10-days old SPF embryonated chicken eggs (Charles River Laboratories) and incubated at 37°C for 48 hours. The allantoic fluid was harvested from the eggs at the end of incubation period and tested in HI assays for loss of HI activity. The variants against which the corresponding mAbs showed complete lack of HI activity were plaque purified on MDCK cells and grown in 10-days old SPF embryonated chicken eggs. Alternatively, for neutralizing mAb without HI activity, A/wt

shearwater/WA/2576/1979 virus was incubated with $0.25xIC_{50}$ of mAb diluted in 1x MEM
supplemented with 1 µg/ml TPCK-treated trypsin at RT for 1 hour and used to infect
MDCK cells seeded in 12-well plates at 33°C for 72 hours. At the end of the incubation,
the supernatant was collected and a 1:10 dilution was incubated with $0.5xIC_{50}$ of mAb for
1 hour at RT and used to infect MDCK cells. The virus was serially passaged until
$128xIC_{50}$ of mAb was reached. The supernatant from the final passage was used in an
immunofluorescence assay to confirm loss of binding. The variants were then plaque
purified on MDCK cells and grown in 10-days old SPF embryonated chicken eggs. RNA
was extracted from the allantoic fluid using E.Z.N.A. viral RNA extraction kit (Omega
Biotek) and cDNA was generated using the SuperScript III First Strand Synthesis System
(Invitrogen). HA segments were sequenced using Sanger sequencing to identify the
escape mutations. Wild type virus passaged in the presence of irrelevant mAb KL-H6-
8H9 was used as negative control to distinguish the escape mutations from other
mutations that may arise from adaptation to growth in cell culture or eggs.

Sequencing variable regions of mAbs

Variable regions of the murine mAbs were sequenced using Switching Mechanism At 5'
End of RNA Template (SMARTer 5') Rapid Amplification of cDNA Ends (RACE) kit (Takara
Bio). Total RNA from hybridoma cells was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen).
cDNA was generated by reverse transcription using isotype specific constant gene 3'
primers, the SMARTer II A Oligonucleotide and SMARTScribe reverse transcriptase. The
cDNA was then amplified using SeqAmp DNA polymerase (Takara Bio) using a universal
5' primer mix and a nested 3' primer. The purified PCR products were Sanger sequenced
using the constant gene 3' primers and the obtained sequences were entered into the
international ImMunoGeneTics information system/V-QUERy and Standardization
(IMGT/V-QUEST) tool (https://www.imgt.org/IMGTindex/V-QUEST.php) to verify the
complete variable region sequence. For the cases with insufficient sequencing quality,
the PCR products were cloned into pRACE vector (Takara Bio) using In-Fusion Snap
Assembly mix (Takara Bio). The plasmids were amplified in Stellar competent cells
(Takara Bio) and miniprepped using QIAPrep Spin Miniprep Kit (Qiagen) prior to Sanger
sequencing and analysis using IMGT/V-QUEST. Sequences for 07-5G01 variable regions
were obtained from GenBank (heavy chain: KU987561.1, light chain: KU987562.1).

IgG digestion

Papain was activated by 15 min incubation at 37°C in a freshly prepared solution of 100
mM Tris, 2 mM EDTA, 10 mM L-cysteine, and 1 mg/ml papain. Purified monoclonal IgG
were digested at 37°C for 4-5 h with activated papain at a ratio of 40 µl activated papain

solution per mg of IgG to generate Fab. Iodoacetamide was added to a final concentration
of 0.03 M to halt digestion prior to concentration in a 10 kDa Amicon concentrator. Fab
was separated from Fc and undigested IgG by incubation with IgG Fc Capture Select
Multispecies (Thermo Fisher).

Immune complexing and negative stain EM

Fabs were incubated with A/wt shearwater/Western Australia/2576/1979 H15 HA at a 3:1
molar ratio for 1 hour at RT. Carbon-coated 400 mesh copper grids (Electron Microscopy
Services) were glow-discharged (PELCO easiGlow, Ted Pella Inc.) and 3 µl of immune
complexes were applied at a concentration of ~10-20 µg/ml. Excess sample was blotted
with Whatman filter paper and 3 µl of 2% w/v uranyl formate were applied to stain
complexes for 60 seconds (s) twice. Micrographs were collected using Leginon and were
imaged on a Tecnai F20 (FEI) with a TemCam F416 CMOS (Tietz Video and Image
Processing Systems GmbH) at 120 kV, 63,000 × magnification, and 1.67 Å/pixel (Suloway
et al., 2005). For each complex, 15k to 100k particles were picked and stacked using
Appion and subsequently processed using Relion 3.0 or Relion 4.0b1 (Kimanius et al.,
2021; Lander et al., 2009; Scheres, 2012; Suloway et al., 2005; Zivanov et al., 2018).
UCSF ChimeraX was used to generate composite 3D reconstructions (Pettersen et al.,
2021).

CryoEM grid preparation

For cryo-electron microcopy, A/wt shearwater/Western Australia/2576/1979 H15 was
functionalized with sulfo-NHS-SS-diazirine (Sulfo-SDAD) (Sigma Aldrich) using N-
hydroxysuccinimide (NHS)-ester chemistry as described previously and per commercial
protocols (Torrents de la Peña et al., 2023). Briefly, A/wt shearwater/Western
Australia/2576/1979 H15 in PBS was diluted to 1 mg/ml (~5.5 µM) and incubated with 40-
fold molar excess of freshly prepared Sulfo-SDAD solution in PBS for 30 minutes at RT.
To stop the NHS-ester reaction, 1M Tris-HCl, pH 8.0 was added to the solution at a final
concentration of 75 mM and the sample was incubated for 5 minutes at RT. Excess
crosslinker was removed using a 30 kDa Amicon concentrator.

To crosslink immune complexes, Fab were incubated with functionalized A/wt
shearwater/Western Australia/2576/1979 H15 for 30-60 minutes to facilitate binding and
the Sulfo-SDAD diazirine chemistry was subsequently activated by exposing the sample
to a ultraviolet (UV) dose of 1461.0 mJ/cm^2 using a UVP Crosslinker CL-3000 (Analytik
Jena). To minimize trimer degradation prior to freezing, A/wt shearwater/Western
Australia/2576/1979 H15 was stabilized by activating Sulfo-SDAD diazirine chemistry

prior to incubation with HL-H15-3G11 Fab. To improve tumbling, 07-5G01, KL-H15-6H4,
and KL-H15-6G8 were each separately co-incubated with CR9114 (Dreyfus et al., 2012)
Fab and A/wt shearwater/Western Australia/2576/1979 H15 prior to cross-linking at a
molar ratio of 3:3:1. KL-H15-6F6 Fab was co-incubated with CR9114 (Dreyfus et al.,
2012) Fab, and A/wt shearwater/Western Australia/2576/1979 H15 at a molar ratio of
3:3:3:1 prior to cross-linking.

Quantifoil R1.2/1.3 Cu, 300-mesh grids (Quantifoil Micro Tools GmbH) were plasma
treated with a PELCO easiGlow (Ted Pella Inc.) for 25 s at 15 mA immediately before use.
Grids were prepared using a Vitrobot Mark IV (Thermo Fisher Scientific) set to RT, blot
force 1, 100% humidity, wait time 5 s, and blot times between 3-6 s. Immune complexes
with final concentrations 0.65-0.75 mg/ml were mixed with n-octyl-beta-D-glucoside
(OBG) (Anatrace) to a final detergent concentration of 0.1% immediately before grid
application.

Cryo-EM data collection

The 07-5G01, KL-H15-6H4, and KL-H15-6G8 immune complexes were screened and
collected on a 300 kV Titan Krios (Thermo Fisher) equipped with a Bioquantum K3 direct
electron detector (Gatan). Automated data collection was conducted using Leginon at a
nominal magnification of 105,000×, a pixel size of 0.833 Å, an approximate exposure
dose of 50 e- /Å2 442, and a nominal defocus range of -0.8 to -1.5 μm (Suloway et al.,
2005). Data sets contained 2500-4500 micrographs. For each data collection, roughly
half of the micrographs were collected at 45° tilt to overcome orientation bias.

The KL-H15-3G11 and KL-H15-6F6 immune complexes were screened and collected on
a 200 kV Glacios (Thermo Fisher) equipped with a Falcon IV direct electron detector
(Thermo Fisher). For KL-H15-3G11, EPU (Thermo Fisher) was used for automated data
collection at 190,000× nominal magnification and a pixel size of 0.725 Å, with an
approximate exposure dose of 45 e- /Å2 442 and a nominal defocus range of -0.7 to -1.4
μm. Data for the 6F6 immune complex was collected using similar parameters, but on a
Glacios with a pixel size of 0.718. Data sets contained 3000-6500 micrographs each.

Cryo-EM data processing

For 07-5G01, KL-H15-6H4, and KL-H15-6G8, Appion was used for image preprocessing
and UCSF MotionCor2 was used for alignment and dose weighting (Lander et al., 2009).
For KL-H15-3G11 and KL-H15-6F6, CryoSPARC Live was used for image preprocessing
including alignment, motion correction, and CTF estimation (Punjani et al., 2017).

Initial processing for all immune complexes was done using CryoSPARC v3.0. A blob picker was used to pick initial particles for extraction. Following multiple rounds of 2D classification, clean particle stacks were used to generate templates for template picking. Following template picking and extraction, *Ab-Initio Reconstruction* was used to generate a starting map and to continue cleaning particle stacks. This was followed either by *Heterogeneous Refinement* if necessary to improve initial resolution and alignment or a *Homogenous Refinement* if the initial *Ab-Initio Reconstruction* was already homogenous and well-aligned. For KL-H15-6H4 and KL-H15-3G11, subsequent rounds of *Homogenous Refinement* and *Non-uniform Refinement* were sufficient to generate maps of sufficient quality for model building. For 07-5G01, the *Topaz* neural network pipeline was used to improve particle picking (Bepler et al., 2019). Briefly, intensive *2D Classification* and *Rebalance 2D* were used to generate the highest quality templates for *Topaz Training*. *Topaz Extract* was used to pick high-quality particles which were subsequently extracted for use in an *Ab-Initio Reconstruction* followed by iterative rounds of *Heterogeneous*, *Homogenous*, and finally *Non-uniform Refinements*. For KL-H15-6F6 and KL-H15-6G8, particles from a *Non-uniform Refinement* job resulting from a similar processing pathway as KL-H15-6H4 and KL-H15-3G11 were transferred to Relion 4.01b (Kimanius et al., 2021). These particles were further processed using Relion 4.01b *3D Autorefine* and *CTF Refinement* before undergoing C3 symmetry expansion and focused *3D Classification* to isolate bound H15 HA protomers. Bound particles were used for a final round of *3D Autorefine* and *Post-processing* to generate final maps for model building. Final maps were deposited to the EMDB with accession codes found in Table S1.

Atomic model building

A crystal structure of A/wt shearwater/Western Australia/2576/1979 H15 HA (PDB: 5TG8) was used as a starting model for the trimer and ABodyBuilder 2.0 was used to generate starting models of 07-5G01, KL-H15-6H4, KL-H15-3G11, KL-H15-6G8, and KL-H15-6F6 (Abanades et al., 2023; Tzarum et al., 2017). Initial models of trimer and Fab were rigid body docked into the corresponding EM maps in ChimeraX and manually refined using Coot (Emsley & Cowtan, 2004). Iterations of Phenix real-space refinement and manual refinement in Coot were used to improve model statistics (Liebschner et al., 2019). Map-to-model fit was validated using Molprobity and EMRinger in Phenix (Barad et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2010). Final models were deposited to the PDB with accession codes found in Table S1. Figures containing maps and/or EM models were generated using ChimeraX. All H15 models are in H15 numbering, and all Fabs are deposited using the Kabat numbering scheme.

 Phylogenetic analysis

Amino acid sequences of the respective HA proteins were obtained from GenBank.
Alignment of the sequences was performed using Clustal Omega and the phylogenetic
tree was built using the neighbor-joining tree method. The tree was finally visualized and
labeled using Figtree v1.4.4.4.

Quantification and statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 10 using non-linear regression
for Figure 2 and 3, and survival analysis was visualized using Kaplan-Meier curves for
Figure 4.

SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURE TITLES AND LEGENDS

Figure S1: Binding and HI activity of mAbs. (A) Binding of mAbs to purified A/wt
shearwater/WA/2576/1979 virus assessed by ELISA. The broadly cross-reactive HA
antibody CR9114 (Dreyfus et al., 2012) was used as a positive control and anti-H6
antibody KL-H6-8H9 was used as negative control. (B) Binding to A/wt
shearwater/WA/2576/1979 recombinant H15 in Western blot following a reducing
denaturing SDS-PAGE. A/PR8/34 H1 was used to test for nonspecific binding, and an
anti-hexahistidine antibody was used as a positive control. (C) HI activity of mAbs
measured against panel of H15 viruses from 2008 to 2015. Minimal concentration at
which HI activity was observed is shown here. Anti-H6 antibody KL-H6-8H9 was used as
negative control.

Figure S2: Plaque size reduction, NAI and ADCC activity of mAbs. (A) Reduction in
plaque size observed in PRNA with A/wt shearwater/WA/2576/1979. Shown here are the
plaques observed in the well treated with the lowest measured concentration of mAb KL-
H15-6H4 as a representative of the treatment with neutralizing mAbs. The plaques
observed in wells treated with anti-H6 antibody KL-H6-8H9 used as negative control are
shown for comparison. (B) NAI activity of mAbs measured against panel of H15 viruses
from 2008 to 2015 measured by ELLA. Broadly cross-reactive influenza virus
neuraminidase antibody 1G01 (Stadlbauer et al., 2019) was used as a positive control,
while anti-H6 antibody KL-H6-8H9 was used as negative control. Neuraminidase inhibitor
oseltamivir was used as a positive control for NAI against A/mallard
duck/Sweden/139647/2012 virus. (C) ADCC activity of mAbs was measured using
antigen-specific ADCC reporter assay (Promega) using both mouse and human ADCC
effector cells and the area under the curve (AUC) values are shown here. The broadly

cross-reactive HA antibody CR9114 (Dreyfus et al., 2012) was used as a positive control and anti-H6 antibody KL-H6-8H9 was used as negative control.

Figure S3: Negative stain EM maps of KL-H15-6G8, -3G11, and –6F6 Fabs complexed with A/wt shearwater/WA/2576/1979 H15 HA. Segmented Fabs from Relion 3.0 reconstructions were colored by mAb and displayed on a negative stain map of A/Hong Kong/4801/2014 H3 as a representative Group 2 HA. Representative 2D class averages of particles used to generate reconstructions are displayed below the corresponding map.

Figure S4: ClustalW multiple sequence alignment of heavy-chain and light-chain complementarity determining regions (CDRs) for all five mAbs reveal conserved regions within and across mAb classes. Residues are colored by conservation of side chain chemistry using ClustalW conventions.

Figure S5: CryoEM maps, Fourier shell correlation (FSC) plots, representative 2D classes, and representative micrographs for mAb complexes. All five maps presented sequentially in rows A-E, colored by local resolution. FSC maps generated in Relion 4.01b (A and E) or CryoSPARC v4 (B-D) with resolutions reported to coincide with gold-standard FSC cutoff 0.143. All 2D classes were generated in cryoSPARC v4.

Figure S6: Map, footprint, and complementarity determining region usage for KL-H15-6F6 mAb. (A) Final KL-H15-6F6 EM map colored by proximity to A/wt shearwater/WA/2576/1979 H15 HA and KL-H15-6F6 Fv docked into density using ChimeraX. Light-chain depicted in light orange and heavy-chain depicted in burnt orange. (B) KL-H15-6F6 footprint on surface depiction of A/wt shearwater/WA/2576/1979 H15 HA. (C) CDRs involved in antigen engagement as demonstrated by EM map and GetContacts program (https://getcontacts.github.io/index.html, Table S2).

REFERENCES:

Abanades, B., Wong, W. K., Boyles, F., Georges, G., Bujotzek, A., & Deane, C. M. (2023). ImmuneBuilder: Deep-Learning models for predicting the structures of immune proteins. *Commun Biol*, *6*(1), 575. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-023-04927-7

Bahl, K., Senn, J. J., Yuzhakov, O., Bulychev, A., Brito, L. A., Hassett, K. J., Laska, M. E., Smith, M., Almarsson, Ö., Thompson, J., Ribeiro, A. M., Watson, M., Zaks, T., & Ciaramella, G. (2017). Preclinical and Clinical Demonstration of Immunogenicity by mRNA Vaccines against H10N8 and H7N9 Influenza Viruses. *Mol Ther*, *25*(6), 1316-1327. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymthe.2017.03.035

Banyard, A. C., Bennison, A., Byrne, A. M. P., Reid, S. M., Lynton-Jenkins, J. G., Mollett, B., De Silva, D., Peers-Dent, J., Finlayson, K., Hall, R., Blockley, F., Blyth, M., Falchieri, M., Fowler, Z., Fitzcharles, E. M., Brown, I. H., & James, J. (2024). Detection and spread of high pathogenicity avian influenza virus H5N1 in the

Antarctic Region. *Nature Communications*, *15*(1), 7433. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-51490-8

Barad, B. A., Echols, N., Wang, R. Y., Cheng, Y., DiMaio, F., Adams, P. D., & Fraser, J. S. (2015). EMRinger: side chain-directed model and map validation for 3D cryo-electron microscopy. *Nat Methods*, *12*(10), 943-946. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3541

Beare, A. S., Schild, G. C., & Craig, J. W. (1975). Trials in man with live recombinants made from A/PR/8/34 (H0 N1) and wild H3 N2 influenza viruses. *Lancet*, *2*(7938), 729-732. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(75)90720-5

Bepler, T., Morin, A., Rapp, M., Brasch, J., Shapiro, L., Noble, A. J., & Berger, B. (2019). Positive-unlabeled convolutional neural networks for particle picking in cryo-electron micrographs. *Nat Methods*, *16*(11), 1153-1160. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-019-0575-8

Boon, A. C., Sandbulte, M. R., Seiler, P., Webby, R. J., Songserm, T., Guan, Y., & Webster, R. G. (2007). Role of terrestrial wild birds in ecology of influenza A virus (H5N1). *Emerg Infect Dis*, *13*(11), 1720-1724. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1311.070114

Brandenburg, B., Koudstaal, W., Goudsmit, J., Klaren, V., Tang, C., Bujny, M. V., Korse, H. J. W. M., Kwaks, T., Otterstrom, J. J., Juraszek, J., van Oijen, A. M., Vogels, R., & Friesen, R. H. E. (2013). Mechanisms of hemagglutinin targeted influenza virus neutralization. *PLoS One*, *8*(12), e80034. Retrieved 2013, from http://europepmc.org/abstract/MED/24348996

https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article/file?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0080034&type=printable

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0080034

https://europepmc.org/articles/PMC3862845

https://europepmc.org/articles/PMC3862845?pdf=render

Carey, C. (2009). The impacts of climate change on the annual cycles of birds. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci*, *364*(1534), 3321-3330. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2009.0182

Causey, D., & Edwards, S. V. (2008). Ecology of avian influenza virus in birds. *J Infect Dis*, *197 Suppl 1*(Suppl 1), S29-33. https://doi.org/10.1086/524991

Chen, C.-J., Ermler, M. E., Tan, G. S., Krammer, F., Palese, P., & Hai, R. (2016). Influenza A Viruses Expressing Intra- or Intergroup Chimeric Hemagglutinins. *Journal of Virology*, *90*(7), 3789-3793. https://doi.org/doi:10.1128/jvi.03060-15

Chen, L., & Khanna, M. (2024). Heterogeneous and long-term effects of a changing climate on bird biodiversity. *Global Environmental Change Advances*, *2*, 100008. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecadv.2024.100008

Chen, V. B., Arendall, W. B., 3rd, Headd, J. J., Keedy, D. A., Immormino, R. M., Kapral, G. J., Murray, L. W., Richardson, J. S., & Richardson, D. C. (2010). MolProbity: all-atom structure validation for macromolecular crystallography. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr*, *66*(Pt 1), 12-21. https://doi.org/10.1107/s0907444909042073

Claas, E. C., Osterhaus, A. D., van Beek, R., De Jong, J. C., Rimmelzwaan, G. F., Senne, D. A., Krauss, S., Shortridge, K. F., & Webster, R. G. (1998). Human influenza A

H5N1 virus related to a highly pathogenic avian influenza virus. *Lancet*, *351*(9101),
472-477. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(97)11212-0

Dascalu, S., Sealy, J. E., Sadeyen, J. R., Flammer, P. G., Fiddaman, S., Preston, S. G.,
Dixon, R. J., Bonsall, M. B., Smith, A. L., & Iqbal, M. (2024). Immunisation of
chickens with inactivated and/or infectious H9N2 avian influenza virus leads to
differential immune B-cell repertoire development. *Front Immunol*, *15*, 1461678.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1461678

Dezfuli, A., Horton, K. G., Zuckerberg, B., Schubert, S. D., & Bosilovich, M. G. (2022).
Continental Patterns of Bird Migration Linked to Climate Variability. *Bulletin of the*
American Meteorological Society, *103*(2), E536-E547.
https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-21-0220.1

Dreyfus, C., Laursen, N. S., Kwaks, T., Zuijdgeest, D., Khayat, R., Ekiert, D. C., Lee, J.
H., Metlagel, Z., Bujny, M. V., Jongeneelen, M., van der Vlugt, R., Lamrani, M.,
Korse, H. J. W. M., Geelen, E., Sahin, Ö., Sieuwerts, M., Brakenhoff, J. P. J.,
Vogels, R., Li, O. T. W.,…Friesen, R. H. E. (2012). Highly Conserved Protective
Epitopes on Influenza B Viruses. *Science*, *337*(6100), 1343-1348.
https://doi.org/doi:10.1126/science.1222908

El-Shesheny, R., Feeroz, M. M., Krauss, S., Vogel, P., McKenzie, P., Webby, R. J., &
Webster, R. G. (2018). Replication and pathogenic potential of influenza A virus
subtypes H3, H7, and H15 from free-range ducks in Bangladesh in mammals.
Emerg Microbes Infect, *7*(1), 70. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41426-018-0072-7

Emsley, P., & Cowtan, K. (2004). Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. *Acta*
Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr, *60*(Pt 12 Pt 1), 2126-2132.
https://doi.org/10.1107/s0907444904019158

Fadlallah, G. M., Ma, F., Zhang, Z., Hao, M., Hu, J., Li, M., Liu, H., Liang, B., Yao, Y.,
Gong, R., Zhang, B., Liu, D., & Chen, J. (2020). Vaccination with Consensus H7
Elicits Broadly Reactive and Protective Antibodies against Eurasian and North
American Lineage H7 Viruses. *Vaccines (Basel)*, *8*(1).
https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines8010143

Gao, R., Cao, B., Hu, Y., Feng, Z., Wang, D., Hu, W., Chen, J., Jie, Z., Qiu, H., Xu, K., Xu,
X., Lu, H., Zhu, W., Gao, Z., Xiang, N., Shen, Y., He, Z., Gu, Y., Zhang, Z.,…Shu,
Y. (2013). Human infection with a novel avian-origin influenza A (H7N9) virus. *N*
Engl J Med, *368*(20), 1888-1897. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1304459

Gilchuk, I. M., Bangaru, S., Kose, N., Bombardi, R. G., Trivette, A., Li, S., Turner, H. L.,
Carnahan, R. H., Ward, A. B., & Crowe, J. E., Jr. (2021). Human antibody
recognition of H7N9 influenza virus HA following natural infection. *JCI Insight*,
6(19). https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.152403

Hanmer, H. J., Cunningham, A. A., John, S. K., Magregor, S. K., Robinson, R. A., Seilern-
Moy, K., Siriwardena, G. M., & Lawson, B. (2022). Hinfluences severe disease-
mediated population declines in two of the most common garden bird species in
Great Britain. *Sci Rep*, *12*(1), 15055. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-18880-8

Hassan, M. M., El Zowalaty, M. E., Islam, A., Khan, S. A., Rahman, M. K., Järhult, J. D.,
& Hoque, M. A. (2020). Prevalence and Diversity of Avian Influenza Virus
Hemagglutinin Sero-Subtypes in Poultry and Wild Birds in Bangladesh. *Vet Sci*,
7(2). https://doi.org/10.3390/vetsci7020073

Henry Dunand, C. J., Leon, P. E., Huang, M., Choi, A., Chromikova, V., Ho, I. Y., Tan, G. S., Cruz, J., Hirsh, A., Zheng, N. Y., Mullarkey, C. E., Ennis, F. A., Terajima, M., Treanor, J. J., Topham, D. J., Subbarao, K., Palese, P., Krammer, F., & Wilson, P. C. (2016). Both Neutralizing and Non-Neutralizing Human H7N9 Influenza Vaccine-Induced Monoclonal Antibodies Confer Protection. *Cell Host Microbe*, *19*(6), 800-813. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2016.05.014

Hill, S. C., Manvell, R. J., Schulenburg, B., Shell, W., Wikramaratna, P. S., Perrins, C., Sheldon, B. C., Brown, I. H., & Pybus, O. G. (2016). Antibody responses to avian influenza viruses in wild birds broaden with age. *Proc Biol Sci*, *283*(1845). https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2016.2159

Horton, K. G., Buler, J. J., Anderson, S. J., Burt, C. S., Collins, A. C., Dokter, A. M., Guo, F., Sheldon, D., Tomaszewska, M. A., & Henebry, G. M. (2023). Artificial light at night is a top predictor of bird migration stopover density. *Nat Commun*, *14*(1), 7446. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-43046-z

Huang, Y., Li, X., Zhang, H., Chen, B., Jiang, Y., Yang, L., Zhu, W., Hu, S., Zhou, S., Tang, Y., Xiang, X., Li, F., Li, W., & Gao, L. (2015). Human infection with an avian influenza A (H9N2) virus in the middle region of China. *Journal of Medical Virology*, *87*(10), 1641-1648. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.24231

Kamal, R. P., Blanchfield, K., Belser, J. A., Music, N., Tzeng, W. P., Holiday, C., Burroughs, A., Sun, X., Maines, T. R., Levine, M. Z., & York, I. A. (2017). Inactivated H7 Influenza Virus Vaccines Protect Mice despite Inducing Only Low Levels of Neutralizing Antibodies. *J Virol*, *91*(20). https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.01202-17

Karakus, U., Mena, I., Kottur, J., El Zahed, S. S., Seoane, R., Yildiz, S., Chen, L., Plancarte, M., Lindsay, L., Halpin, R., Stockwell, T. B., Wentworth, D. E., Boons, G. J., Krammer, F., Stertz, S., Boyce, W., de Vries, R. P., Aggarwal, A. K., & García-Sastre, A. (2024). H19 influenza A virus exhibits species-specific MHC class II receptor usage. *Cell Host Microbe*, *32*(7), 1089-1102.e1010. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2024.05.018

Katzner, T., Blackford, A., Donahue, M., Gibbs, S. E. J., Lenoch, J., Martin, M., Rocke, T., Root, J. J., Styles, D., Cooper, S., Dean, K., Dvornicky-Raymond, Z., Keller, D., Sanchez, C., Dunlap, B., Grier, T., Jones, M., Nitzel, G., Patrick, E.,…Suarez, D. (2025). Safety and Immunogenicity of Poultry Vaccine for Protecting Critically Endangered Avian Species against Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza Virus, United States. *Emerging Infectious Disease journal*, *31*(6), 1131. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid3106.241558

Kayali, G., Barbour, E., Dbaibo, G., Tabet, C., Saade, M., Shaib, H. A., Debeauchamp, J., & Webby, R. J. (2011). Evidence of infection with H4 and H11 avian influenza viruses among Lebanese chicken growers. *PLoS One*, *6*(10), e26818. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0026818

Khare, S., Gurry, C., Freitas, L., Schultz, M. B., Bach, G., Diallo, A., Akite, N., Ho, J., Lee, R. T., Yeo, W., Curation Team, G. C., & Maurer-Stroh, S. (2021). GISAID's Role in Pandemic Response. *China CDC Wkly*, *3*(49), 1049-1051. https://doi.org/10.46234/ccdcw2021.255

Kimanius, D., Dong, L., Sharov, G., Nakane, T., & Scheres, S. H. W. (2021). New tools for automated cryo-EM single-particle analysis in RELION-4.0. *Biochem J*, *478*(24), 4169-4185. https://doi.org/10.1042/bcj20210708

Kleyheeg, E., Slaterus, R., Bodewes, R., Rijks, J. M., Spierenburg, M. A. H., Beerens, N.,
Kelder, L., Poen, M. J., Stegeman, J. A., Fouchier, R. A. M., Kuiken, T., & van der
Jeugd, H. P. (2017). Deaths among Wild Birds during Highly Pathogenic Avian
Influenza A(H5N8) Virus Outbreak, the Netherlands. *Emerg Infect Dis*, *23*(12),
2050-2054. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2312.171086
Krammer, F., Smith, G. J. D., Fouchier, R. A. M., Peiris, M., Kedzierska, K., Doherty, P.
C., Palese, P., Shaw, M. L., Treanor, J., Webster, R. G., & García-Sastre, A. (2018).
Influenza. *Nat Rev Dis Primers*, *4*(1), 3. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41572-018-0002-
y
Lander, G. C., Stagg, S. M., Voss, N. R., Cheng, A., Fellmann, D., Pulokas, J., Yoshioka,
C., Irving, C., Mulder, A., Lau, P. W., Lyumkis, D., Potter, C. S., & Carragher, B.
(2009). Appion: an integrated, database-driven pipeline to facilitate EM image
processing. *J Struct Biol*, *166*(1), 95-102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2009.01.002
Leslie, G. A., & Clem, L. W. (1969). Phylogen of immunoglobulin structure and function.
3. Immunoglobulins of the chicken. *J Exp Med*, *130*(6), 1337-1352.
https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.130.6.1337
Li, M., Chen, L., Wang, Q., Hao, M., Zhang, X., Liu, L., Yu, X., Yang, C., Xu, J., Chen, J.,
& Gong, R. (2019). A cross-reactive human monoclonal antibody targets the
conserved H7 antigenic site A from fifth wave H7N9-infected humans. *Antiviral*
Res, *170*, 104556. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2019.104556
Liebschner, D., Afonine, P. V., Baker, M. L., Bunkóczi, G., Chen, V. B., Croll, T. I., Hintze,
B., Hung, L. W., Jain, S., McCoy, A. J., Moriarty, N. W., Oeffner, R. D., Poon, B. K.,
Prisant, M. G., Read, R. J., Richardson, J. S., Richardson, D. C., Sammito, M. D.,
Sobolev, O. V.,…Adams, P. D. (2019). Macromolecular structure determination
using X-rays, neutrons and electrons: recent developments in Phenix. *Acta*
Crystallogr D Struct Biol, *75*(Pt 10), 861-877.
https://doi.org/10.1107/s2059798319011471
Margine, I., Palese, P., & Krammer, F. (2013). Expression of functional recombinant
hemagglutinin and neuraminidase proteins from the novel H7N9 influenza virus
using the baculovirus expression system. *J Vis Exp*(81), e51112.
https://doi.org/10.3791/51112
Martínez-Sobrido, L., & García-Sastre, A. (2010). Generation of recombinant influenza
virus from plasmid DNA. *J Vis Exp*(42). https://doi.org/10.3791/2057
Meade, P., Latorre-Margalef, N., Stallknecht, D. E., & Krammer, F. (2017). Development
of an influenza virus protein microarray to measure the humoral response to
influenza virus infection in mallards. *Emerg Microbes Infect*, *6*(12), e110.
https://doi.org/10.1038/emi.2017.98
Muzyka, D., Pantin-Jackwood, M., Spackman, E., Stegniy, B., Rula, O., & Shutchenko, P.
(2012). Avian influenza virus wild bird surveillance in the Azov and Black Sea
regions of Ukraine (2010-2011). *Avian Dis*, *56*(4 Suppl), 1010-1016.
https://doi.org/10.1637/10157-040912-ResNote.1
Nachbagauer, R., Choi, A., Hirsh, A., Margine, I., Iida, S., Barrera, A., Ferres, M., Albrecht,
R. A., García-Sastre, A., Bouvier, N. M., Ito, K., Medina, R. A., Palese, P., &
Krammer, F. (2017). Defining the antibody cross-reactome directed against the
influenza virus surface glycoproteins. *Nat Immunol*, *18*(4), 464-473.
https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.3684

Pettersen, E. F., Goddard, T. D., Huang, C. C., Meng, E. C., Couch, G. S., Croll, T. I.,
Morris, J. H., & Ferrin, T. E. (2021). UCSF ChimeraX: Structure visualization for
researchers, educators, and developers. *Protein Sci*, *30*(1), 70-82.
https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.3943
Popova, L., Smith, K., West, A. H., Wilson, P. C., James, J. A., Thompson, L. F., & Air, G.
M. (2012). Immunodominance of antigenic site B over site A of hemagglutinin of
recent H3N2 influenza viruses. *PLoS One*, *7*(7), e41895.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0041895
Punjani, A., Rubinstein, J. L., Fleet, D. J., & Brubaker, M. A. (2017). cryoSPARC:
algorithms for rapid unsupervised cryo-EM structure determination. *Nat Methods*,
14(3), 290-296. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4169
Qi, L., Pujanauski, L. M., Davis, A. S., Schwartzman, L. M., Chertow, D. S., Baxter, D.,
Scherler, K., Hartshorn, K. L., Slemons, R. D., Walters, K. A., Kash, J. C., &
Taubenberger, J. K. (2014). Contemporary avian influenza A virus subtype H1, H6,
H7, H10, and H15 hemagglutinin genes encode a mammalian virulence factor
similar to the 1918 pandemic virus H1 hemagglutinin. *mBio*, *5*(6), e02116.
https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.02116-14
Rajendran, M., Nachbagauer, R., Ermler, M. E., Bunduc, P., Amanat, F., Izikson, R., Cox,
M., Palese, P., Eichelberger, M., & Krammer, F. (2017). Analysis of Anti-Influenza
Virus Neuraminidase Antibodies in Children, Adults, and the Elderly by ELISA and
Enzyme Inhibition: Evidence for Original Antigenic Sin. *mBio*, *8*(2),
10.1128/mbio.02281-02216. https://doi.org/doi:10.1128/mbio.02281-16
Röhm, C., Zhou, N., Süss, J., Mackenzie, J., & Webster, R. G. (1996). Characterization
of a novel influenza hemagglutinin, H15: criteria for determination of influenza A
subtypes. *Virology*, *217*(2), 508-516. https://doi.org/10.1006/viro.1996.0145
Sano, K., Saito, S., Suzuki, T., Kotani, O., Ainai, A., van Riet, E., Tabata, K., Saito, K.,
Takahashi, Y., Yokoyama, M., Sato, H., Maruno, T., Usami, K., Uchiyama, S.,
Ogawa-Goto, K., & Hasegawa, H. (2021). An influenza HA stalk reactive polymeric
IgA antibody exhibits anti-viral function regulated by binary interaction between HA
and the antibody. *PLoS One*, *16*(1), e0245244.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0245244
Scheres, S. H. (2012). RELION: implementation of a Bayesian approach to cryo-EM
structure determination. *J Struct Biol*, *180*(3), 519-530.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2012.09.006
Sehgal, R. N. (2010). Deforestation and avian infectious diseases. *J Exp Biol*, *213*(6),
955-960. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.037663
Shi, W., Shi, Y., Wu, Y., Liu, D., & Gao, G. F. (2013). Origin and molecular characterization
of the human-infecting H6N1 influenza virus in Taiwan. *Protein Cell*, *4*(11), 846-
853. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13238-013-3083-0
Shipley, J. R., Twining, C. W., Mathieu-Resuge, M., Parmar, T. P., Kainz, M., Martin-
Creuzburg, D., Weber, C., Winkler, D. W., Graham, C. H., & Matthews, B. (2022).
Climate change shifts the timing of nutritional flux from aquatic insects. *Curr Biol*,
32(6), 1342-1349.e1343. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2022.01.057
Sivay, M. V., Baranovich, T., Marchenko, V. Y., Sharshov, K. A., Govorkova, E. A.,
Shestopalov, A. M., & Webby, R. J. (2013). Influenza A (H15N4) virus isolation in

Western Siberia, Russia. *J Virol*, *87*(6), 3578-3582. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.02521-12

Spackman, E. (2009). The ecology of avian influenza virus in wild birds: what does this mean for poultry? *Poult Sci*, *88*(4), 847-850. https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.2008-00336

Stadlbauer, D., Zhu, X., McMahon, M., Turner, J. S., Wohlbold, T. J., Schmitz, A. J., Strohmeier, S., Yu, W., Nachbagauer, R., Mudd, P. A., Wilson, I. A., Ellebedy, A. H., & Krammer, F. (2019). Broadly protective human antibodies that target the active site of influenza virus neuraminidase. *Science*, *366*(6464), 499-504. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aay0678

Suloway, C., Pulokas, J., Fellmann, D., Cheng, A., Guerra, F., Quispe, J., Stagg, S., Potter, C. S., & Carragher, B. (2005). Automated molecular microscopy: the new Leginon system. *J Struct Biol*, *151*(1), 41-60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2005.03.010

To, K. K. W., Tsang, A. K. L., Chan, J. F. W., Cheng, V. C. C., Chen, H., & Yuen, K.-Y. (2014). Emergence in China of human disease due to avian influenza A(H10N8) – Cause for concern? *Journal of Infection*, *68*(3), 205-215. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2013.12.014

Torrents de la Peña, A., Sewall, L. M., de Paiva Froes Rocha, R., Jackson, A. M., Pratap, P. P., Bangaru, S., Cottrell, C. A., Mohanty, S., Shaw, A. C., & Ward, A. B. (2023). Increasing sensitivity of antibody-antigen interactions using photo-cross-linking. *Cell Rep Methods*, *3*(6), 100509. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crmeth.2023.100509

Tzarum, N., McBride, R., Nycholat, C. M., Peng, W., Paulson, J. C., & Wilson, I. A. (2017). Unique Structural Features of Influenza Virus H15 Hemagglutinin. *J Virol*, *91*(12). https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00046-17

Vandegrift, K. J., Sokolow, S. H., Daszak, P., & Kilpatrick, A. M. (2010). Ecology of avian influenza viruses in a changing world. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*, *1195*, 113-128. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2010.05451.x

Wang, X., Chen, Y., Melville, D. S., Choi, C.-Y., Tan, K., Liu, J., Li, J., Zhang, S., Cao, L., & Ma, Z. (2022). Impacts of habitat loss on migratory shorebird populations and communities at stopover sites in the Yellow Sea. *Biological Conservation*, *269*, 109547. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2022.109547

Wohlbold, T. J., Chromikova, V., Tan, G. S., Meade, P., Amanat, F., Comella, P., Hirsh, A., & Krammer, F. (2016). Hemagglutinin Stalk- and Neuraminidase-Specific Monoclonal Antibodies Protect against Lethal H10N8 Influenza Virus Infection in Mice. *J Virol*, *90*(2), 851-861. https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.02275-15

Wu, N. C., Otwinowski, J., Thompson, A. J., Nycholat, C. M., Nourmohammad, A., & Wilson, I. A. (2020). Major antigenic site B of human influenza H3N2 viruses has an evolving local fitness landscape. *Nat Commun*, *11*(1), 1233. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-15102-5

Yang, H., Carney, P. J., Chang, J. C., & Stevens, J. (2020). Molecular characterization and three-dimensional structures of avian H8, H11, H14, H15 and swine H4 influenza virus hemagglutinins. *Heliyon*, *6*(6), e04068. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e04068

Yuen, K. Y., Chan, P. K., Peiris, M., Tsang, D. N., Que, T. L., Shortridge, K. F., Cheung, P. T., To, W. K., Ho, E. T., Sung, R., & Cheng, A. F. (1998). Clinical features and rapid

1310   viral diagnosis of human disease associated with avian influenza A H5N1 virus.
1311   *Lancet*, *351*(9101), 467-471. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(98)01182-9

1312 Zhang, X., Calvert, R. A., Sutton, B. J., & Doré, K. A. (2017). IgY: a key isotype in antibody
1313   evolution.   *Biol*   *Rev*   *Camb*   *Philos*   *Soc*,   *92*(4),   2144-2156.
1314   https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12325

1315 Zimova, M., Willard, D. E., Winger, B. M., & Weeks, B. C. (2021). Widespread shifts in
1316   bird migration phenology are decoupled from parallel shifts in morphology. *J Anim*
1317   *Ecol*, *90*(10), 2348-2361. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.13543

1318 Zivanov, J., Nakane, T., Forsberg, B. O., Kimanius, D., Hagen, W. J., Lindahl, E., &
1319   Scheres, S. H. (2018). New tools for automated high-resolution cryo-EM structure
1320   determination in RELION-3. *Elife*, *7*. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.42166

1321

A

B

A

Neutralization activity against
A/wt shearwater/WA/2576/1979 (H15N9)

Neutralization activity against
A/teal/Chany/7119/2008 (H15N4)

Neutralization activity against
A/mallard/Novomychalivka/2-23-12/2010 (H15N7)

Neutralization activity against
A/mallard duck/Sweden/139647/2012 (H15N5)

Neutralization activity against
A/duck/Bangladesh/24704/2015 (H15N9)

B

HI activity against
A/wt shearwater/WA/2576/1979 (H15N9)

C

Plaque Reduction Neutralization Assay against
A/wt shearwater/ WA/2576/1979 (H15N9)

D

Neuraminidase Inhibition Assay against
A/wt shearwater/WA/2576/1979 (H15N9)

Class 1

A

6G8

3G11

3.4 Å

3.7 Å

B Models

heavy-chains

150-loop

180-helix

130-loop

light-chains

superimposed variable fragments

C CDR Usage

CDRL1

CDRH3

CDRH1

CDRL3

CDRH2

D Conserved Contact Sites

CDRL3

E93

Y32

W92

G137

P171

S138

Q27

CDRL1

Q172

T28

light-chain contacts

CDRH2

CDRH1

Y97

W33

CDRH3

Y52

Y100

T208

D56

N167

Q168

N166

heavy-chain contacts

Class 2

E

5G01

3.4 Å

F Model

heavy-chain

light-chain

G Contact Sites

W94

CDRL3

N167

Y32

R139

CDRL1

light-chain contacts

V169

Y100D

Y58

CDRH3

S52A

I138

S135

D53

CDRH2

heavy-chain contacts

Class 3

H

6H4

3.2 Å

I Model

heavy-chain

light-chain

J Contact Sites

CDRL3

CDRL1

Q29

Y32

Y91

S27E

H92

G207

S206

D198

N201

light-chain contacts

CDRH1

W32

Y52

CDRH2

V169

D56

Q172

N54

S164

G137

S136

heavy-chain contacts

Clonotype 2: 20D10

A Map and Model — HC, LC — 3.37 Å

B Contact Sites

CDRH1 — Y32, L96, S125B, I124, L100B, P100A, Y100F, P125, I184, S167, Y100C, N169

CDRL2 — P58, Y49, D53, P162, T163

CDRL1 — I29, R227, S27E

heavy-chain contacts | light-chain contacts

Clonotype 3: 6G1

C Map and Model — HC, LC — 3.22 Å

D Contact Sites

CDRH1 — Y32, S125B, P125, L96, I124, Y100G, N100D, L100C, S167, N169

CDRL2 — Y49, Y53, E248, T163, K165, N187, S67, S30, Y28, R227, CDRL1, N169, FR3

heavy-chain contacts | light-chain contacts

Clonotype 5: 12G1

E Map and Model — HC, LC — 3.06 Å

F Contact Sites

CDRH1 — Y32, S125B, I100C, Y100G, I166, X100D, S167, S100B, N169, CDRH3

CDRL2 — S58, Y49, D53, S52, N128, T163, K165, S167, Y30, S27E, Y29, Q222, N169, CDRL1

heavy-chain contacts | light-chain contacts

Figure S1: Binding and HI activity of mAbs. (A) Binding of mAbs to purified A/wt shearwater/WA/2576/1979 virus assessed by ELISA. The broadly cross-reactive HA antibody CR9114 (Dreyfus et al., 2012) was used as a positive control and anti-H6 antibody KL-H6-8H9 was used as negative control. (B) Binding to A/wt shearwater/WA/2576/1979 recombinant H15 in Western blot following a reducing denaturing SDS-PAGE. A/PR8/34 H1 was used to test for nonspecific binding, and an anti-hexahistidine antibody was used as a positive control. (C) HI activity of mAbs measured against panel of H15 viruses from 2008 to 2015. Minimal concentration at which HI activity was observed is shown here. Anti-H6 antibody KL-H6-8H9 was used as negative control.

Figure S2: Plaque size reduction, NAI and ADCC activity of mAbs. (A) Reduction in plaque size observed in PRNA with A/wt shearwater/WA/2576/1979. Shown here are the plaques observed in the well treated with the lowest measured concentration of mAb KL-H15-6H4 as a representative of the treatment with neutralizing mAbs. The plaques observed in wells treated with anti-H6 antibody KL-H6-8H9 used as negative control are shown for comparison. (B) NAI activity of mAbs measured against panel of H15 viruses from 2008 to 2015 measured by ELLA. Broadly cross-reactive influenza virus neuraminidase antibody 1G01 (Stadlbauer et al., 2019) was used as a positive control, while anti-H6 antibody KL-H6-8H9 was used as negative control. Neuraminidase inhibitor oseltamivir was used as a positive control for NAI against A/mallard duck/Sweden/139647/2012 virus. (C) ADCC activity of mAbs was measured using antigen-specific ADCC reporter assay (Promega) using both mouse and human ADCC effector cells and the area under the curve (AUC) values are shown here. The broadly cross-reactive HA antibody CR9114 (Dreyfus et al., 2012) was used as a positive control and anti-H6 antibody KL-H6-8H9 was used as negative control.

Figure S3: Negative stain EM maps of KL-H15-6G8, -3G11, and –6F6 Fabs complexed with A/wt shearwater/WA/2576/1979 H15 HA. Segmented Fabs from Relion 3.0 reconstructions were colored by mAb and displayed on a negative stain map of A/Hong Kong/4801/2014 H3 as a representative Group 2 HA. Representative 2D class averages of particles used to generate reconstructions are displayed below the corresponding map.

Figure S4: ClustalW multiple sequence alignment of heavy-chain and light-chain complementarity determining regions (CDRs) for all five mAbs reveal conserved regions within and across mAb classes. Residues are colored by conservation of side chain chemistry using ClustalW conventions.

Figure S5: CryoEM maps, Fourier shell correlation (FSC) plots, representative 2D classes, and representative micrographs for mAb complexes. All five maps presented sequentially in rows A-E, colored by local resolution. FSC maps generated in Relion 4.01b (A and E) or CryoSPARC v4 (B-D) with resolutions reported to coincide with gold-standard FSC cutoff 0.143. All 2D classes were generated in cryoSPARC v4.

Figure S6: Map, footprint, and complementarity determining region usage for KL-H15-6F6 mAb. (A) Final KL-H15-6F6 EM map colored by proximity to A/wt shearwater/WA/2576/1979 H15 HA and KL-H15-6F6 Fv docked into density using ChimeraX. Light-chain depicted in light orange and heavy-chain depicted in burnt orange. (B) KL-H15-6F6 footprint on surface depiction of A/wt shearwater/WA/2576/1979 H15 HA. (C) CDRs involved in antigen engagement as demonstrated by EM map and GetContacts program (https://getcontacts.github.io/index.html, Table S2).

	KL-H15-3G11 + WA79 H15	KL-H15-6H4 + CR9114 + WA79 H15	KL-H15-6G8 + CR9114 + WA79 H15	07-5G01 + CR9114 + WA79 H15	KL-H15-6F6 + KL-H15-7B11 + WA79 H15
Access codes					
Grid Type	Quantafoil R 1.2/1.3 Cu 300 RT, 100% humidity, blot force 1, 3uL of sample, 0.1% OBG	Quantafoil R 1.2/1.3 Cu 300 RT, 100% humidity, blot force 1, 3uL of sample, 0.1% OBG	Quantafoil R 1.2/1.3 Cu 300 RT, 100% humidity, blot force 1, 3uL of sample, 0.1% OBG	Quantafoil R 1.2/1.3 Cu 300 RT, 100% humidity, blot force 1, 3uL of sample, 0.1% OBG	Quantafoil R 1.2/1.3 Cu 300 RT, 100% humidity, blot force 1, 3uL of sample, 0.1% OBG
Freezing Conditions					
PDB	9P9Q	9P9R	9P9S	9P9T	-
EMDB	EMD-71419	EMD-71420	EMD-71421	EMD-71422	EMD-71424
Data collection and processing					
Microscope	Glacios	Krios	Krios	Krios	Glacios
Magnification	190,000	105,000	105,000	105,000	190,000
Voltage (kV)	200	300	300	300	200
Electron exposure (e$^-$/Å^2)	46.95	49.77	50.6	49.64	45.42
Defocus range (µm)	0.7-1.4	0.8-1.5	0.8-1.5	0.8-1.5	1-1.8
Pixel size (Å)	0.725	0.833	0.833	0.833	0.718
Detector	Falcon IV	Bioquantum K3	Bioquantum K3	Bioquantum K3	Falcon IV
Imposed Symmetry	C1	C1	C1	C3	C3
Final particle number	47,527	95,746	419900 (symmetry expanded)	92,304	166,675
Map resolution (Å)	3.70	3.14	3.41	3.33	3.34
FSC Threshold	0.143	0.143	0.143	0.143	0.143
Map sharpening B-factor (Å^2)	-73.9	-85.5	-98.7	-111.2	-71.7
Model refinement and validation					
Total Residues	1702	1723	1731	2178	
Amino-acids	1683	1711	1715	2160	
Carbohydrates	19	12	16	18	
RMSD Bonds	0.004	0.003	0.004	0.003	
RMSD Angles	0.682	0.571	0.672	0.62	
Ramachandran					
Outliers (%)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Allowed (%)	7.76	5.98	6.55	8.17	
Favored (%)	92.24	94.02	93.45	91.83	
Rotamer outliers (%)	0.00	0.34	0.00	0.00	
Clash score	1.02	0.75	1.57	1.67	
Molprobity score	1.28	1.14	1.33	1.41	
FSC model (0/0.143/0.5)	3.6/3.7/4.1	3.0/3.1/3.5	3.0/3.1/3.4	3.2/3.3/3.6	
EMRinger score	1.95	3.05	2.90	2.74	

Table S1. Cryo-EM data collection, processing, model refinement and validation statistics. Validation statistics were generated using Phenix 1.21.2 Comprehensive Validation Tool (Barad et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2010).

KL-H15-6G8 + CR9114 + WA79 H15

H15	Heavy	Chain	Interaction
164	100	A	vdw
166	33	A	vdw, hbsb
166	56	A	vdw, hbss
167	52	A	vdw, hbss
168	100	A	vdw
168	99	A	vdw, hbsb
169	100	A	vdw, hbsb
169	99	A	vdw
171	100	A	vdw
202	54	A	vdw
206	97	A	vdw, hbss

H15	Light	Chain	Interaction
136	27	A	vdw, hbss
136	92	A	vdw, hbsb, hbss
136	93	A	vdw
137	92	A	vdw
137	93	A	vdw, hbsb
164	94	A	vdw
165	96	A	vdw
171	32	A	vdw
171	92	A	vdw, hp

KL-H15-3G11 + WA79 H15

H15	Heavy	Chain	Interaction
165	33	A	vdw
165	58	A	vdw
166	33	A	vdw, hbsb
167	52	A	vdw
167	54	A	vdw, hbss
168	100	A	vdw, hbsb
168	98	A	vdw
168	99	A	vdw, hbsb
169	100	A	vdw, hbsb
169	98	A	vdw, hbbb
171	100	A	vdw, hbss
206	97	A	vdw

H15	Light	Chain	Interaction
136	92	A	vdw, hbsb
137	93	A	vdw
138	92	A	vdw, hp
164	92	A	vdw
165	94	A	vdw
171	32	A	vdw
171	92	A	vdw, hp
172	28	A	vdw, hbsb

07-5G01 + CR9114 + WA79 H15

H15	Heavy	Chain	Interaction
136	52	A	vdw
136	52A	A	vdw, hbss
136	53	A	vdw, hbsb
136	56	A	vdw
137	50	A	vdw
138	100D	A	vdw, hbsb
164	100D	A	vdw
164	58	A	vdw
168	47	A	vdw
168	58	A	vdw
169	58	A	vdw, hbsb
171	56	A	vdw
171	58	A	vdw
173	55	A	vdw
173	56	A	vdw

H15	Light	Chain	Interaction
139	32	A	vdw, pc
165	91	A	vdw
165	93	A	vdw
165	94	A	vdw
165	95	A	vdw

KL-H15-6H4 + CR9114 + WA79 H15

H15	Heavy	Chain	Interaction
136	53	A	vdw, hbsb
136	54	A	vdw, hbss
137	52	A	vdw, hbsb
164	52	A	vdw, hbss
165	31	A	vdw
167	97	A	vdw
167	99	A	vdw
168	33	A	vdw
168	97	A	vdw
169	33	A	vdw, hbsb, hp
171	52	A	vdw
172	56	A	vdw, hbsb
173	54	A	vdw
350	55	A	vdw

H15	Light	Chain	Interaction
197	27D	A	vdw
198	27E	A	vdw, hbss
198	27F	A	vdw
198	29	A	vdw, hbss
201	27E	A	vdw
201	32	A	vdw, hbss

172	27D	A	vdw	172	29	A	vdw, hbsb	165	96	A	vdw	206	32	A	vdw
172	28	A	vdw, hbbb, hbsb	173	27D	A	vdw, hp	166	91	A	vdw	206	91	A	vdw, hbsb
173	28	A	vdw	173	28	A	vdw	166	92	A	vdw	207	92	A	vdw, hbbb
174	28	A	vdw, hbsb, hbss	173	92	A	vdw, hp	167	94	A	vdw				
				174	28	A	vdw	168	94	A	vdw, hbsb, hbss				

Table S2. Epitope-paratope contacts predicted from cryoEM models. The GetContacts program (https://getcontacts.github.io/index.html) was used to predict residue interactions at the epitope-paratope. Interactions are abbreviated as follows: van der Waals (vdw), side-chain to backbone hydrogen bonding (hbsb), backbone to backbone hydrogen bonding (hbbb), side-chain to side-chain hydrogen bonding (hbss), and hydrophobic (hp).