Uncovering *Fusarium* species diversity, distribution and pathogenicity

associated with cotton and corn fields in recently expanded cotton-

growing areas of the Northern High Plains of Texas

Ihsanul Khaliq, and Nolan R. Anderson*

Texas A&M AgriLife Research and Extension Center, Amarillo, TX 79106, U.S.A.

*Corresponding author: Nolan Anderson; E-mail: nolan.anderson@ag.tamu.edu

Keywords: *Gossypium* species, maize, *Zea mays*, Texas High Plains, *Fusarium*

diseases, *Fusarium* diversity, *Fusarium* wilt, *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *vasinfectum*, arid

climate

Funding: Cotton Inc. 24-115

Texas A&M AgriLife Research Capacity Support

Abstract

The recent expansion of cotton acreage in the Northern High Plains of Texas has

raised concerns about the occurrence of a diverse *Fusarium* community, particularly

Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. *vasinfectum* race 4, which is favored by cool early-season

temperatures that often occur in the region. This study investigated the diversity,

distribution, and pathogenicity of *Fusarium* species associated with cotton and corn

fields across 10 counties in the Northern High Plains. A total of nine *Fusarium* species

were isolated: *F. incarnatum*, *F. equiseti*, *F. solani*, *F. verticillioides*, *F. proliferatum*, *F.*

clavum, *F. oxysporum*, *F. flocciferum*, and one unidentified *Fusarium* sp. The highest

species diversity was observed in Sherman County. *Fusarium solani* was the most

frequently isolated species (39%) across all counties, followed by *F. equiseti* (22%),

while *F. flocciferum* was the least frequent (2%). Pathogenicity assays conducted under

greenhouse conditions showed that *F. incarnatum* caused significantly higher disease

severity on aerial parts of cotton, while *F. flocciferum* and *F. proliferatum* caused

significantly higher disease severity on roots. On corn, *F. proliferatum* caused

significantly higher disease severity. *Fusarium* isolates were recovered from both

symptomatic and asymptomatic roots following pathogenicity trials, suggesting

endophytic colonization. This study revealed a complex *Fusarium* community capable of

cross-infecting cotton and corn, with implications for regional disease management. The

absence of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *vasinfectum* race 4 indicates that it may not yet

have been introduced into the Northern High Plains; however, continued surveillance is

warranted given its detection in New Mexico and adjacent counties in Texas.

The Northern High Plains are a mixture of rangeland and cropland, comprising

the 22 northernmost counties in Texas (Zivkovic and Hudson 2012). The region faces

severe water scarcity, with an annual mean precipitation of about 558 mm–well below

the US national average of 965 mm per year (BestPlaces 2025; NOAA 2021). This

water scarcity was especially pronounced during the 2019 drought, which led to crop

failure on over 70% of the regional acreage, marking one of the worst cotton production

seasons since the 1950s (Taylor 2022). The region relies heavily on water drawn from

the Ogallala Aquifer to produce irrigated crops. However, current water use is

unsustainable, with annual extraction exceeding annual recharge (Thayer and McCarl

2018). As a result, growers are increasingly shifting from high water-demand crops, such

as wheat, to less water-intensive alternatives like cotton (Colaizzi et al. 2009).

Temperatures in the Northern Panhandle are lower than in other parts of Texas, with

mean annual temperature of about 11°C (Kimmel Jr et al. 2016). Average January low

temperatures range from -6.6°C along the northern border of the Panhandle to 10°C in

the Lower Rio Grande Valley (Kimmel Jr et al. 2016).

Approximately 7 million beef cattle are raised annually in beef cattle feedyards in

the Texas High Plains (TCFA 2015). As of March 2025, there were 2.35 million head of

cattle and calves on feed in the Northern High Plains, accounting for 89 percent of the

state's total (USDA National Agricultural Statistics Service 2025). Corn remains the

primary feed crop, with more than 50 percent of the corn grown in Texas coming from

the High Plains region (National IPM Database 2001; Xue et al. 2017). Although corn is

the major feed crop, there has been significant interest in growing cotton as a rotational

crop due to water constraints and the high fertilizer costs associated with corn

production (Colaizzi et al. 2009).

Cotton (*Gossypium* species) is the most important fiber crop for the textile

industry and a significant oilseed crop globally. The United States is the world's fourth-

largest cotton producing country (USDA Foreign Agricultural Service 2024), with

cultivation spanning 17 southern states. Texas is the leading producer, accounting for

approximately 40% of the U.S. cotton production in recent years (USDA Economic

Research Service 2022). Although cotton was introduced to the Northern High Plains in

1968, acreage remained below 20,000 ha until 1998, but has expanded substantially

since 2004—including a 126% increase between 2013 and 2019—raising concerns

about diseases that may affect cotton production (Ledbetter 2020; Marek and

Bordovsky 2006).

Key fungal genera implicated in cotton diseases worldwide and in the U.S. Cotton

Belt include *Fusarium*, *Verticillium*, *Rhizoctonia*, and *Pythium*. Among these, *Fusarium*,

Rhizoctonia, and *Pythium* species are primarily associated with the seedling disease

complex (Howell 2002; Watkins 1981), while *Fusarium* species—alone or in

combination with *Alternaria*, *Aspergillus* species, or bacterial pathogens such as

Pantoea agglomerans and *Serratia marcescens*—are frequently linked to seed rot

(Glover et al. 2020; Medrano et al. 2007; Minton and Garber 1983). Diseases caused

by oomycetes and splash dispersed pathogens are not considered a major concern in

the Northern High Plains due to the dry climate and severe water scarcity.

Fusarium wilt, caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *vasinfectum* (FOV), is of

particular concern in the Northern High Plains because cooler temperatures during the

early planting season favor its development (Zhang et al. 2020). FOV was first detected

in the United States in 1892 (Atkinson 1892). Historically, FOV has been characterized

into 8 different races based on variation in pathogenicity to various plant species,

including *Gossypium* species and cultivars. Among these, race 4 (FOV4) is of particular

concern in the U.S. due to its high virulence on both Upland and Pima cotton, as well as

its ability to persist in soil for years as chlamydospores (Hutmacher et al. 2013; Kim et

al. 2005). Additionally, unlike other races, FOV4 can cause severe wilting in the absence

of root knot nematode *Meloidogyne incognita.* FOV4 was first detected in the U.S. in

2001 in California (Kim et al. 2005) and more recently in 2017 in Texas (Halpern et al.

2018) and 2019 in New Mexico (Zhu et al. 2020). This highly aggressive race has not

yet been reported in the Northern High Plains. However, given the proximity to New

Mexico and adjacent counties in Texas where FOV4 has been detected, there is great

concern about its potential introduction into the Northern High Plains. Therefore, a

comprehensive survey is urgently needed to investigate the presence or absence of

FOV4 in the region.

Several surveys have been conducted across the U.S. Cotton Belt to determine

the diversity, distribution, and severity of *Fusarium* species (Davis et al. 2006; Ilango

and Uthamasamy 1992; Zaki et al. 2021). However, these surveys did not include the

Northern High Plains, leaving limited information on the diversity, distribution and relative

severity of *Fusarium* species affecting cotton in this region. Moreover, these studies

were primarily focused on investigating the presence or absence of FOV4 rather than

determining overall *Fusarium* species diversity. Several *Fusarium* species are known to

cause economically important diseases in cotton, including wilt, damping-off, boll rot,

and root rot (Davis et al. 2006; Ilango and Uthamasamy 1992; Zaki et al. 2021). While

F. oxysporum and *F. solani* are the most common species complexes associated with

cotton, the relative severity and impact of other *Fusarium* species remain poorly

understood (Mao and Hu 2019).

Crop rotation has been recognized as an effective practice to reduce nitrogen

fertilizer use and enhance both grain yield and sustainability in agricultural systems (Shu

et al. 2021; Zhang et al. 2022). However, crop rotation can also inadvertently increase

inoculum levels, thereby elevating the risk of crop diseases (Li et al. 2021). Previous

studies have demonstrated that crop residue serves as a natural reservoir for *Fusarium*

species and may even facilitate genetic exchange (Ward et al. 2008). For instance, in

wheat–corn cropping rotations, continuous wheat cultivation following corn has been

shown to increase the incidence of *Fusarium* stalk rot and ear rot in maize, as well as

Fusarium head blight in wheat (Xi et al. 2021; Zhang et al. 2016). In the Northern High

Plains, where cotton and corn are commonly grown in rotation, investigating the

pathogenicity of *Fusarium* species on both crops is crucial. Such studies will provide

valuable insights into the pathogen's persistence, cross-infectivity, and the associated

epidemiological risks.

Greenhouse pathogenicity assays offer several advantages, including reduced

variability resulting from uneven inoculum distribution and external biotic or abiotic

factors. Most pathogenicity assays conducted in greenhouse conditions use root-dip

inoculation method, where two-week-old seedlings' roots are dipped in a spore

suspension for two minutes and then transplanted into healthy soil (Kim et al. 2005).

This method can predispose seedlings to stress during transplantation and does not

reflect host infection in the field (Schoeneweiss 1975). Conversely, pathogenicity assays

that involve directly planting seeds in *Fusarium*-infested soil or adding inoculum after

seedling emergence better reflect infection under field conditions.

To address these key gaps, the present study was conducted to: (1) conduct a

comprehensive survey to determine the diversity and distribution of *Fusarium* species

associated with cotton and corn fields in the recently expanded cotton-growing areas of

the Northern High Plains; (2) investigate the presence or absence of FOV4 in the region;

and (3) evaluate the pathogenicity and severity of *Fusarium* species on cotton and corn

under greenhouse conditions.

Materials and Methods

Sampling sites and sampling procedure

With the help of cotton growers and county agents, a total of 26 cotton fields

across 10 different counties in the Northern High Plains of Texas were sampled between

late spring and late fall (May to October) of 2024. Specifically, six fields were sampled in

Sherman County; four fields each in Moore and Deaf Smith counties; three fields in

Hartley County; two fields each in Randall, Hansford, and Carson counties; and one field

each in Potter, Dallam, and Hutchinson counties.

In each field, a total of 5 soil cores, each 0.2 m deep, were collected at 5 different

locations, with at least 60 m space between sampling points. The soil samples from

each field were pooled and placed into cloth bags, kept on ice in an insulated box to

protect them from high temperature and direct sunlight, and carried to the laboratory.

In the laboratory, the samples collected from each field were mixed thoroughly

and air dried for a week. The air-dried soil sample for each field was poured into a

Nasco-Asplin soil grinder, pulverized gently to break the clods, and passed through a 2

mm sieve. To prevent cross-contamination between samples, the excess dirt from

grinder's parts were removed with a brush, washed with water, and then cleaned

thoroughly with 70% ethanol and paper towels.

Diversity and distribution of *Fusarium* species in the Northern High Plains

Isolation of single spore isolates from soil

To isolate *Fusarium* species from soil, a total of 20 cc of soil was added to 80 mL

of reverse osmosis (RO) water in a small beaker (200 mL) placed on a magnetic stirrer

and mixed vigorously to ensure thorough mixing of the soil. From this soil suspension, a

1 mL aliquot was plated onto each of 5 Petri dishes of Peptone PCNB agar (PPA)

medium (1000 mL distilled water, 15 g Peptone, 1 g KH_2PO_4, 0.5 g $MgSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$, 0.75 g

PCNB, 20 g agar, pH adjusted to 5.5–6.5) (Nash and Snyder 1962). The process was

repeated with an additional 20 cc of soil using another set of five Petri dishes, allowing

us to assess 40 cc of soil for each field using a total of 10 Petri dishes. The Petri dishes

were incubated for 3 days at room temperature (23 ± 2°C) with a 12-hour photoperiod.

The colonies developed on PPA were subcultured onto potato dextrose agar (PDA)

medium (1000 mL distilled water, 39 g PDA agar) by taking small mycelial plugs,

observed under a Leica M205C stereoscope at 160x magnification, from the colony

margins.

After incubating for two weeks, 200 µL of RO water was added to each Petri dish,

and conidia were dislodged by gently scrapping the mycelium with a pipette tip. A total of

150 µL of this conidial suspension was then transferred onto water agar (30 g agar,

1000 mL RO water) and incubated overnight. Purified cultures were obtained by

transferring single germinated conidia, observed under Nikon SM225 microscope at

180x magnification, onto fresh PDA plates.

Genomic DNA extraction, PCR amplification, and sequencing

Isolates were divided into morphotypes based on their gross colony morphology.

Two to three isolates from each morphotype were selected for sequence-based

identification using the translation elongation factor 1-α (tef-1α) gene region for all

isolates and the nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer region (ITS rDNA) for five

isolates (Table 1). DNA was extracted using Zymo Quick DNA Fungal/Bacterial

Microprep kit (Zymo Research Corp; Irvine, CA). Amplicons were obtained using the

following primer pairs:EF-1 (5′-ATGGGT AAGGARGACAAGAC-3′) × EF-2 (5′-

GGARGTACCA GTSATCATG-3′) for tef-1α gene region (O'Donnell et al. 1998); and

ITS1 (TCCGTAGGTGAACCTGCG) × ITS4 (TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC) for ITS

rDNA (White et al. 1990).

The PCR reaction mixture (25 µl reaction) contained 12.5 µl of Phire Green Hot

Start II Master Mix (Thermo scientific), 1.25 µl of each primer (0. 5 µM), and 1.5 µl of

genomic DNA. The PCR amplification program conditions were as follows: initial

denaturation at 98°C for 90 s followed by 35 cycles at 98°C for 5 s, annealing at 58°C for

15 s and extension at 72°C for 15 s, followed by final extension for 4 minutes at 72°C.

The PCR products were detected using 1% agarose gel in 1x TAE buffer. The

PCR products were cleaned using DNA Clean & Concentrator kit (Zymo Research) and

sent to Eurofins Genomics (Eurofins Genomics LLC, Louisville, Kentucky) for

sequencing with both forward and reverse primers. ABI sequence chromatograms were

edited using SnapGene 8.0 (https://www.snapgene.com/) and exported as FASTA files

that were aligned using MUSCLE alignment (Edgar 2004) in Mega11

(https://www.megasoftware.net/). Consensus sequences were obtained using BioEdit

7.7.1 (http://www.mbio.ncsu.edu/BioEdit/bioedit.html), which were then used to conduct

BLASTn queries of NCBI GenBank (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/genbank/) to obtain

preliminary identifications.

Given the regional importance of *F. oxysporum*, the isolates identified as *F.*

oxysporum were additionally amplified using RNA polymerase II second largest subunit

(RPB2) (Liu et al. 1999; O'Donnell et al. 1998; O'Donnell et al. 2000; Simko and

Piepho 2012) with the primer pair: 5f2 (GGGGWGAYC AGAAGAAGGC) × 7cr

(CCCATRGCTTGYTTRCCCAT), using the PCR conditions described above.

Phylogenetic analyses

Nucleotide sequences generated in this study, along with reference sequences

retrieved from the NCBI GenBank database, were aligned using MUSCLE alignment

(Edgar 2004) in Mega11 (https://www.megasoftware.net/). Alignments were then

trimmed avoiding missing data at either end of alignments. The aligned sequences were

analysed with maximum likelihood bootstrapping (MLBS) using IQ-TREE (http://

www.iqtree.org/) (Nguyen et al. 2015) to determine the best-fit model of molecular

evolution. These models were based on the Bayesian information criterion (BIC) scores

(Chernomor et al. 2016) using ModelFinder (Kalyaanamoorthy et al. 2017). Once the

optimal models were determined, clade support was assessed via 50,000 ML-BS

pseudoreplicates of the data using IQ-TREE.

To determine the phylogenetic placement of *Fusarium oxysporum* sequences

generated in this study relative to known FOV races (Cianchetta et al. 2015) and closely

related sequences, additional reference sequences representing all recognized FOV

races and top BLASTn hits from the NCBI GenBank database were retrieved and

phylogenetic analysis was conducted as described above. DNA sequences generated in

the present study were deposited in NCBI (Table 1).

Pathogenicity trials

Seven isolates, each representing a different *Fusarium* species, were selected to

test their pathogenicity and disease severity on wheat and cotton. These included *F.*

incarnatum, F. equiseti, F. solani, F. verticillioides, F. proliferatum, F. clavum, and F.

flocciferum. Given its regional importance, *F. oxysporum* was not included in the

inoculated pathogenicity trials.

Pathogenicity of Fusarium species on cotton

Biological material and experimental design

The trial was conducted under greenhouse conditions at Texas A&M AgriLife

Research, Bushland, Texas at ambient temperature (25 ± 5°C). A commonly cultivated

variety in the Texas High Plains, FiberMax 868AXTP, with fair resistance to *Fusarium*

wilt was used in this study (BASF 2024). Disease-free seeds of this variety were sourced

from a previous trial: seeds were de-linted but received no chemical treatment prior to

planting. Briefly, 10-cm pots, serving as experimental units, were filled with a modified

media (1 bag of Berger's OM1 potting mix, 0.085 m^3 of organic growing mix, 2.2 kg of

sand, and 3 kg of soil). A total of 2-3 disease-free seeds were sown in each pot. Upon

germination, seedlings were thinned to one per pot. There were six replicate pots for

each *Fusarium* species and a non-inoculated control (total 48 plants), arranged in a

completely randomized block design. Throughout the trial period, seedlings were

fertilized with water-soluble fertilizer 'Miracle-Gro' as needed.

Inoculum preparation

The sorghum grain inoculation method was used to prepare the inoculum.

Disease-free sorghum grains were sourced from a previous year's trial. Briefly, sorghum

grains were soaked overnight, and 50 g of soaked grains were placed into each 250 mL

beaker and sealed with aluminium foil. The beakers were autoclaved at 121°C for 30

minutes on two consecutive days. Once cooled, the sorghum grains in each beaker

were inoculated with five agar plugs (5 mm), which were cut from a 7-day-old colony of

specific *Fusarium* isolate grown on PDA. The inoculated beakers were gently shaken to

uniformly distribute the inoculum and then placed inside zip-lock plastic bags to avoid

contamination. The inoculated beakers were incubated for 7 days at room temperature

(23 ± 2°C) with a 12-hour photoperiod and shaken every 2–3 days to ensure even

distribution of the inoculum.

Inoculation method

Cotton seedlings were inoculated at 2–3 leaf stage. Seedlings were watered

thoroughly in the evening prior to inoculation the following morning. To inoculate

seedlings, four 5-cm deep holes were made vertically into the potting medium of each

pot using a wooden rod (18 x 0.8 cm), approximately 2 to 4 cm from the crown of each

plant. A total of 1.25 g inoculum was inserted into each hole, excluding the non-

inoculated controls. The holes were then covered with potting medium, and plants were

watered daily following inoculation.

Disease assessment

Cotton seedlings were observed daily for the appearance of disease symptoms.

Disease severity on aerial plant parts was recorded at 7, 14, 21, and 28 days post-

inoculation using a 0–4 rating scale, where: 0 = healthy; 1 = cotyledons wilted only; 2 =

≤50% true leaves wilted; 3= >50% but ≤90% true leaves wilted; and 4 = all leaves wilted

or plant death (Table S1).

All cotton seedlings were harvested after one month of inoculation. Roots were

washed free of soil and disease severity was recorded using 0–5 rating scale, where 0 =

no symptoms; 1 = <10% of roots discolored; 2 = 10–30% of roots discolored; 3= 31–

50% of roots discolored; 4 = 51–80% of roots discolored; and 5 = 81–100% of roots

discolored (Table S1).

At the end of the trial, the roots of all cotton seedlings were plated onto PDA

(1000 mL RO water, 39 g potato dextrose agar, 1 g streptomycin) to perform Koch's

postulates.

Pathogenicity of Fusarium species on corn

Biological material, experimental design, inoculum preparation and inoculation method

A commonly cultivated drought tolerant, untreated corn hybrid, Blue River Organic

82-14P, was used in the pathogenicity trial.

The experimental design, inoculum preparation and application method, as well

as cultural practices, were the same as those used in the cotton pathogenicity trial. That

is, a single batch of inoculum was used to inoculate both cotton and corn seedlings at

the same time and similar cultural practices were followed to ensure consistency across

experiments. Seedlings were inoculated at the three-leaf stage.

Disease assessment

Corn seedlings were harvested after one month of inoculation. Roots were

washed free of soil and crown and root lesions were rated using a 1–8 rating scale

(Parikh et al. 2018), where: 1 = no visible symptoms, 0% infection, and normal

development; 2 = small speck of lesions near the crown and/or superficial root infection.

Infection is <10%, and development is normal; 3 = superficial lesions visible near the

crown or stem. Infection is 10%–20%, and development is normal; 4 = deep lesion has

advanced from crown and lower roots to the middle stem. Infection is 21%–40%, and

development is normal; 5 = deep lesion visible through approximately half the length of

the stem and root. Infection is 40%–60%, and plant development is affected; 6 = deep

lesions on most parts of the stem and root, reduced root growth, significantly reduced

plant development, and stunting are observed. Infection is 60%–85%; 7 = severe deep

lesions covering almost all parts of the stem and root, significantly reduced root growth

and plant development, and extensive stunting are observed. Infection is 85%–95%; 8 =

seedling barely emerged and could not grow, scanty root but no emergence, or seed is

dead (no emergence and no roots). Infection coverage of seedling is >95% (Table S1).

At the end of the trial, the roots of all corn seedlings were plated onto PDA (1000

mL RO water, 39 g potato dextrose agar, 1 g streptomycin) to confirm Koch's postulates.

Data Analysis

In the case of disease severity recorded on aerial parts of cotton, the area under

the disease progress stairs (AUDPS) was calculated using the 'audps()' function from

'agricolae' R package (Mendiburu 2023), based on severity ratings recorded across all

four disease assessments. The AUDPS was selected over the traditional area under the

disease progress curve (AUDPC) because the latter underestimates the effect of

disease severity recorded during first and last disease assessments (Simko and Piepho

2012). Linear mixed models effect models (LMMs) were then fitted using the 'lmer()'

function from the 'lmerTest' R package (Kuznetsova et al. 2022) to investigate

differences in disease severity among *Fusarium* species. The AUDPS was included as

the dependent variable, the predictor *Fusarium* species were included as a fixed effect,

and different blocks were included as a random effect to account for variability across

blocks.

In the case of disease severity recorded on roots of cotton and corn, disease

ratings were converted to the midpoint of the corresponding percentage range (Table

S1). These mid-points were then converted to proportions and subsequently logit

transformed following a preliminary analysis indicating that linear model's residuals were

not normally distributed. For cotton disease rating data, zero disease severity ratings

were converted 0.001 to allow logit transformation. The differences in disease severity

among *Fusarium* species were then determined by fitting LMMs. The logit transformed

midpoint proportions were included as the dependent variable, the predictor *Fusarium*

species were included as a fixed effect, and different blocks were included as a random

effect to account for variability across blocks.

For all models, estimated marginal means for each *Fusarium* species were

calculated using the 'emmeans()' function from 'emmeans' R package (Lenth 2021). The

estimated marginal means were compared using Tukey-adjusted pairwise comparisons,

and groupings were assigned using the 'cld()' function from the 'multcomp' R package

(Hothorn 2020). These groupings indicated significant differences at α = 0.05. Models

diagnostics was performed using the 'DHARMa' R package, which uses a simulation-

based approach via the function 'simulateResiduals' to create readily interpretable

scaled residuals for models (Hartig 2020).

Results

Diversity and distribution of Fusarium species in the Northern High Plains

A total of nine *Fusarium* species were identified: these included *F. incarnatum, F.*

equiseti, F. solani, F. verticillioides, F. proliferatum, F. clavum, F. oxysporum, F.

flocciferum, and *Fusarium* sp. (Table 1, Figure 1). Colony morphology on PDA varied

among isolates belonging to the same species, particularly for *F. solani.* No PCR

amplifications were achieved for *F. oxysporum* isolates identified in this study using

FOV4 specific primers. Phylogenetic analysis of tef-1α gene region placed *F. oxysporum*

isolate F17 within a clade containing reference sequence of *F. oxysporum* f.

sp. *asparagi* , while the isolate F34 clustered with the reference sequence of *F.*

oxysporum f. sp. *lactucae* (Figure S1). *Fusarium solani* was the most frequently isolated

species (39%), followed by *F. equiseti* (22%), *F. proliferatum* and *F. clavum* (7% each),

F. incarnatum, *F. verticillioides*, *F. oxysporum*, and *Fusarium* sp. (5% each), and *F.*

flocciferum (2%) (Table 1, Figure 2A).

Although no single county harbored all species isolated in this study, five species

were recovered from Sherman County, including *F. incarnatum*, *F. equiseti*, *F. solani*, *F.*

verticillioides, and *Fusarium* sp. (Table 1). This was followed by Moore County (*F.*

incarnatum, *F. equiseti*, *F. solani*, and *F. proliferatum*) and Carson County (*F. equiseti*,

F. solani, *F. oxysporum*, and *F. flocciferum*), each with four species isolated (Table 1).

Two species were restricted to a single county. That is, *F. verticillioides* was isolated

only from Sherman County, while *F. flocciferum* was isolated from Carson County only

(Table 1).

Pathogenicity of Fusarium species on cotton

All *Fusarium* isolates tested were pathogenic on cotton, causing typical symptoms

associated with *Fusarium* infections (Figure 3). No disease was recorded on non-

inoculated control plants. *Fusarium* isolates were re-isolated from all inoculated roots,

both symptomatic and asymptomatic, plated on PDA (i.e., 100% re-isolation rate).

For the aerial parts, the highest disease severity (expressed as AUDPS) was

caused by *F. incarnatum* (31.4), followed by *F. solani* (12.5), while the lowest disease

severity was associated with *F. verticillioides* (7.1) (Figure 4A). Only *F. incarnatum*

caused significantly higher disease severity relative to non-inoculated control (Figure 4B,

P=0.0137, EMMeans pairwise comparisons). Disease severity associated other

Fusarium species did not differ significantly from the non-inoculated control or from each

other (Figure 4B, *P*>0.05, EMMeans pairwise comparisons).

For roots, the highest disease severity, expressed as mean proportion of

midpoints, was caused by *Fusarium flocciferum* (0.31) and *F. proliferatum* (0.26)*,*

whereas the lowest disease severity was caused by *F. incarnatum* (0.08) and *F. equiseti*

(0.05) (Figure 2B)*. Fusarium flocciferum*, *F. proliferatum, F. clavum* and *F. solani* caused

significantly higher disease severity relative to non-inoculated control (Figure 5A,

P=0.0011, *P*=0.0013, *P*=0.0136, *P*=0.0461, respectively; EMMeans pairwise

comparisons). Conversely, disease severity caused by *F. equiseti, F. incarnatum, and F.*

verticillioides did not differ significantly from the non-inoculated control (Figure 5A,

P>0.05, EMMeans pairwise comparisons). No significant differences in disease severity

were recorded among different *Fusarium* species (Figure 5A, *P*>0.05, EMMeans

pairwise comparisons). DHARMa diagnostics showed that the data met the model

assumptions.

Pathogenicity of Fusarium species on corn

All *Fusarium* isolates tested were pathogenic on corn. The highest disease

severity, expressed as mean proportion of midpoints, was caused by *F. proliferatum*

(0.12), *F.* flocciferum and *F. verticillioides* (0.05), while the lowest disease severity was

associated with *F. solani* (0.0083) (Figure 2B). No disease was recorded on non-

inoculated control plants. Like cotton, *Fusarium* species were re-isolated from all

inoculated corn plants, regardless of whether they exhibited symptoms.

Only F. proliferatum caused significantly higher disease severity relative to both *F.*

solani and non-inoculated control (Figure 5B, *P*=0.0407 and *P*=0.0076, respectively;

EMMeans pairwise comparisons). *Fusarium proliferatum, F. flocciferum* and *F.*

verticillioides caused significantly higher disease severity from the non-inoculated control

plants only (Figure 5B, *P*=0.0076, *P*=0.0279, and *P*=0.0279, respectively; EMMeans

pairwise comparisons). Except for *F. solani* and *F. proliferatum,* no significant

differences in disease severity were recorded among *Fusarium* species (Figure 5B,

P>0.05, EMMeans pairwise comparisons). DHARMa diagnostics showed that the data

met the model assumptions.

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to conduct a comprehensive

survey of the recently expanded cotton-growing areas in the Northern High Plains of

Texas and provided valuable insights into the diversity, distribution, and pathogenicity

of *Fusarium* species associated with cotton and corn fields. A total of nine *Fusarium*

species were identified, with *F. solani* being the most frequently isolated and *F.*

flocciferum the least. The diversity and distribution of *Fusarium* species varied across

counties, with Sherman County harbouring the highest number of *Fusarium* species. All

tested species were pathogenic on cotton and corn during the pathogenicity assays

conducted under greenhouse conditions.

The high frequency of *F. solani* and *F. equiseti* isolation in the present study

aligns with prior findings from Arizona and Alabama, where they were the most

frequently isolated species from cotton roots and rhizosphere soil (Mao and Hu 2019;

Palmateer et al. 2002). Likewise, *F. solani* was reported as the most predominant

species, isolated from 97% of sorghum and corn fields across central and southeastern

United States (Leslie et al. 1990). Conversely, the less frequent isolation of *F.*

flocciferum in the present study is consistent with the findings from previous studies

conducted in France (Nguyen et al. 2024) and Belgium (Scauflaire et al. 2011), where it

was among the least frequently isolated species in corn agroecosystems. To date, there

are no reports of *F. flocciferum* as a widely distributed pathogen in the U.S. Cotton Belt.

These findings suggest that *F. solani* and *F. equiseti* are habitat generalists, being

widely distributed across the U.S. Cotton Belt, whereas *F. flocciferum* is mostly a habitat

specialist with a narrow distribution range.

The observation that colony morphology on PDA varied among isolates of the

same species, particularly *F. solani,* is consist with finding from a previous study (Mao

and Hu 2019) and suggests that morphological characteristics alone are insufficient for

species identification, emphasizing the need for sequence-based identification.

The variation in *Fusarium* species diversity observed across counties in this study

is likely caused by a combination of factors, including environmental conditions, soil

types, cultural practices (e.g., crop rotation and tillage), and predisposing factors such as

the presence of nematodes or flooding events. Differences in cotton acreage among

counties may have also contributed to variation in species diversity across counties.

Specifically, the highest species diversity in Sherman, Moore, and Carson counties can

partly be attributed to the substantial increase in cotton acreage between 2013 and

2018, during which production grew by 301% to over 250,000 acres (Ledbetter 2020;

Marek and Bordovsky 2006).

The significantly higher disease severity observed in cotton inoculated with *F.*

incarnatum, *F. flocciferum*, *F. proliferatum*, *F. clavum*, and *F. solani*, as well as in corn

inoculated with *F. proliferatum*, *F. verticillioides*, and *F. flocciferum* (relative to non-

inoculated control plants in both crops), suggests that these species may pose a

potential threat to cotton and corn production in the Northern High Plains. Among these,

F. proliferatum and *F. solani* have been previously identified as the most virulent species

on cotton (Abdel-Latif et al. 2007; Palmateer et al. 2002), whereas *F. proliferatum* and

F. verticillioides have been described as the most virulent species on corn (Okello et al.

2019; Wang et al. 2021). The pathogenicity of *Fusarium* species on both cotton and

corn suggests that they can overwinter on the stubble of either crop and serve as a

primary source of inoculum in the next spring.

However, overall disease severity on both cotton and corn was relatively low, with

no significant differences among *Fusarium* species on either crop, except between *F.*

solani and *F. proliferatum* in corn. On cotton, severity was largely restricted to root

discoloration, while in corn, severity did not exceed 20–40%. The overall low disease

severity may partly be attributed to the application of inoculum after seedling emergence

for both cotton and corn, rather than the use of a root-dip inoculation method. Although

the root-dip method can result in increased disease severity, it does not closely mimic

natural infection under field conditions and may introduce stress during transplantation,

potentially predisposing seedlings to infection (Diaz et al. 2021; Schoeneweiss 1975).

The 100% re-isolation rate of *Fusarium* species from both symptomatic and

asymptomatic roots following pathogenicity trials in the present study supports the

previous findings that systemic colonisation can occur in the absence of visible disease

symptoms (Leslie et al. 1990). This implies that the pathogenicity of *Fusarium* species in

field may need to be interpreted with caution, as *Fusarium* species may be living in

plants as endophytes or secondary invaders (Leslie et al. 1990). In the case of plant

tissues, this further underscores the importance of sampling both symptomatic and

asymptomatic plant tissues for accurately determining the diversity and distribution of

Fusarium species (Leslie et al. 1990). The present study likely provided an accurate

estimate of *Fusarium* species diversity and distribution because isolates were recovered

from soil samples, which minimized the bias associated with symptom-based tissue

sampling and isolation. Furthermore, a previous study did not find any significant

differences in the number of *Fusarium* species isolated from cotton roots and

rhizosphere soil (Mao and Hu 2019), suggesting that soil-based isolation approaches

can reliably capture species diversity.

This study provided baseline *Fusarium* species in the Northern High Plains of

Texas. The detection of both common (e.g., *F. solani*, *F. equiseti*) and less frequently

reported species (e.g., *F. clavum*, *F. flocciferum*) highlights the diversity of *Fusarium*

species in this region. The non-detection of the highly aggressive FOV4 in present study

suggests that the pathogen may not yet have been introduced into the Northern High

Plains. However, given the known presence of FOV4 in nearby regions such as El Paso,

Hudspeth, and New Mexico, continued surveillance remains essential. Crop rotation is

unlikely to be effective since *Fusarium* species are cross-host pathogenic and the region

is primarily a rangeland where mainly susceptible crops such as cotton, corn and wheat

are grown for fodder. For species with broad niches, containment and treatment (such

as soil solarization) would potentially be required over vast areas and therefore be

impractical. Local containment may still be an option for species with restricted niches.

However, the use of resistant or tolerant cultivars should be prioritized as a primary

disease management strategy.

Since *Fusarium* species diversity can vary with the time of the year, future studies

should aim to collect samples across multiple seasons. Additionally, including counties

not sampled in the present study will contribute to a more comprehensive understanding

of *Fusarium* species diversity and distribution across the Northern High Plains of Texas.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge Dennis Coker, Marcel Fischbacher, JD Ragland, Kristy

Slough, Josie Strnad, and Laura Taylor for assistance in field selection, and Kristen

Tatro for greenhouse technical support. The work was supported by funding from Cotton

Inc. and Texas A&M AgriLife Research.

Author-Recommended Internet Resources

https://github.com/IhsanKhaliq/HighPlainsFusa

Table 1 Isolate identity, collection date, county of origin, host crop history, and GenBank accession numbers for *Fusarium* species isolated in this study.

Isolate	Organism	County	Previous crop	Current crop	Sampling date	GenBank Accession number		
						tef-1α	ITS	RPB2
F1	*F. incarnatum*	Sharman	Corn	Cotton	2024/08/22	PV699158		
F10	*F. incarnatum*	Moore	Corn	Cotton	2024/08/21		PV670408	
F2	*F. equiseti*	Carson	Corn	Cotton	2024/10/24		PV670407	
F14	*F. equiseti*	Carson	Corn	Cotton	2024/10/24	PV699144		
F15	*F. equiseti*	Moore	Corn	Cotton	2024/08/21	PV699145		
F18	*F. equiseti*	Hartley	Corn	Cotton	2024/09/26	PV699148		
F20	*F. equiseti*	Sharman	Corn	Cotton	2024/08/22	PV699152		
F25	*F. equiseti*	Hansford	Cotton	Wheat	2024/05/01	PV699157		
F38	*F. equiseti*	Hutchinson	Fallow	Cotton	2024/05/21	PV717850		
F40	*F. equiseti*	Sharman	Corn	Cotton	2024/08/22	PV717843		
F41	*F. equiseti*	Sharman	Corn	Cotton	2024/08/22	PV717844		
F3	*F. solani*	Deaf Smith	Fallow	Cotton	2024/10/02	PV699137		
F4	*F. solani*	Deaf Smith	Corn	Cotton	2024/10/02	PV699138		
F7	*F. solani*	Deaf Smith	Corn	Cotton	2024/10/02	PV699140		
F8	*F. solani*	Moore	Corn	Cotton	2024/08/21	PV699141		
F9	*F. solani*	Moore	Corn	Cotton	2024/08/21	PV699142		
F19	*F. solani*	Carson	Corn	Cotton	2024/10/24	PV699149		
F21	*F. solani*	Deaf Smith	Corn	Cotton	2024/10/02	PV699153		
F22	*F. solani*	Carson	Corn	Cotton	2024/10/24	PV699154		
F23	*F. solani*	Deaf Smith	Wheat	Cotton	2024/10/02	PV699155		
F30	*F. solani*	Moore	Corn	Cotton	2024/08/21	PV717836		
F31	*F. solani*	Hutchinson	Fallow	Cotton	2024/05/21	PV717837		
F32	*F. solani*	Sharman	Corn	Cotton	2024/08/22	PV717838		
F33	*F. solani*	Dallam	Corn	Cotton	2024/09/26	PV717839		
F35	*F. solani*	Randall	Corn	Cotton	2024/08/22	PV717840		
F36	*F. solani*	Hartley	Corn	Cotton	2024/09/26	PV717841		
F37	*F. solani*	Carson	Corn	Cotton	2024/10/24	PV717842		
F5	*F. verticillioides*	Sharman	Corn	Cotton	2024/08/22	PV699139		
F42	*F. verticillioides*	Sharman	Corn	Cotton	2024/08/22	PV717845		
F12	*F. proliferatum*	Randall	Corn/Sorghum	Cotton	2024/08/22		PV670409	
F16	*F. proliferatum*	Randall	Corn/Sorghum	Cotton	2024/08/22	PV699146		
F29	*F. proliferatum*	Moore	Corn	Cotton	2024/05/01	PV717848		
F13	*F. clavum*	Hansford	Cotton	Wheat	2024/05/01	PV699143		
F26	*F. clavum*	Randall	Corn/Sorghum	Cotton	2024/05/01	PV717846		
F27	*F. clavum*	Hartley	Corn	Cotton	2024/09/26	PV717835		
F17	*F. oxysporum*	Randall	Corn/Sorghum	Cotton	2024/08/22	PV699147	PV731270	PV699150
F34	*F. oxysporum*	Carson	Corn	Cotton	2024/10/24	PV717849	PV731268	PV69915

Isolate	Organism	County	Previous crop	Current crop	Sampling date	GenBank Accession number		
						tef-1α	ITS	RPB2
F39	*F. flocciferum*	Carson	Corn	Cotton	2024/10/24	PV717851		
F24	*Fusarium sp.*	Sharman	Corn	Cotton	2024/08/22	PV699156		
F28	*Fusarium sp.*	Hansford	Wheat	Cotton	2024/05/01	PV717847		

Fig. 1 Phylogenetic comparison of *Fusarium* species isolated in this study (highlighted in blue) in relation to closely related reference species based on the translation elongation factor 1-α (tef-1α) gene region.

510 **Fig**. 2 (A) Frequency of Fusarium species isolated in the Northern High Plains; and (B)

511 mean disease severity (proportion of midpoints) of *Fusarium* species on cotton and corn

512 roots. Severity ratings were converted to the midpoint of the corresponding percentage

513 range and then divided by 100 to obtain proportions.

522 **Fig. 3** Damage on roots of cotton seedlings inoculated with *Fusarium* species. Whole

523 root systems were rated visually using a 0–5 rating scale. Left to right: 0 = no

524 symptoms; 1 = <10% of roots discolored; 2 = 10–30% of roots discolored; 3 =31–50% of

525 roots discolored; 4 = 51–80% of roots discolored; and 5 = 81–100% of roots discolored.

Fig 4. Comparison of the area under the disease progress stairs (AUDPS) based on severity ratings recorded on aerial parts of cotton seedlings across all four disease assessments: (A) AUDPS for each *Fusarium* species; (B) a linear mixed effect model fit showing estimated marginal means back-transformed from logit scale using the emmeans R package. Different letters (a, b) indicate significant differences among treatments at *P*≤0.05.

554 **Fig**. **5** A linear mixed effect model fit showing the disease severity of different *Fusarium*

555 species on roots of (A) cotton and (B) corn. Different letters (a, b) indicate significant

556 differences among treatments at $P \leq 0.05$. Probabilities and ± 1 standard error are shown

557 as estimated marginal means back-transformed from logit scale using the emmeans R

558 package.

564 **Table S1** Disease rating scales used for assessing disease severity of *Fusarium*

565 species in cotton and corn. Midpoints are expressed in percentage. Proportions were

566 obtained by dividing midpoint values by 100. For cotton, disease severity was recorded

567 on both aerial parts and roots, whereas for corn, it was recorded on roots only.

Crop	Plant part	Rating	Description	Range (%)	Midpoint	Proportion
Cotton	Aerial parts	0	Healthy	-	-	-
		1	Cotyledons wilted only	-	-	-
		2	≤50% true leaves wilted	-	-	-
		3	>50% but ≤90% true leaves wilted	-	-	-
		4	All leaves wilted or plant death	-	-	-
	Roots	0	no symptoms	-	-	-
		1	< 10% of roots discolored	1–9	5.00	0.05
		2	10–30% of roots discolored	10–30	20.00	0.20
		3	31–50% of roots discolored	31–50	40.50	0.41
		4	51–80% of roots discolored	51–80	65.50	0.66
		5	81–100% of roots discolored	81–100	90.50	0.91
Corn	Roots	1	No visible symptoms, 0% infection, and normal development	-	-	-
		2	Small speck of lesions near the crown and/or superficial root infection. Infection is <10%, and development is normal	1–9	5.00	0.05
		3	Superficial lesions visible near the crown or stem. Infection is 10–20%, and development is normal	10–20	15.00	0.15
		4	Deep lesion has advanced from crown and lower roots to the middle stem. Infection is 20–40%, and development is normal	21–40	30.50	0.31
		5	Deep lesion visible through approximately half the length of the stem and root. Infection is 40–60%, and plant development is affected	40–60	50.00	0.50
		6	Deep lesions on most parts of the stem and root, reduced root growth, significantly reduced plant development, and stunting are observed. Infection is 60–85%	60–85	72.50	0.73
		7	Severe deep lesions covering almost all parts of the stem and root, significantly reduced root growth and plant development, and extensive stunting are observed. Infection is 85–95%	85–95	90.00	0.90
		8	Seedling barely emerged and could not grow, scanty root but no emergence, or seed is dead (no emergence and no roots). Infection coverage of seedling is >95%	95–100	97.50	0.98

Fig. S1 Phylogenetic relationships of *Fusarium oxysporum* isolates collected in this

study (highlighted in blue) compared with reference isolates of *F. oxysporum* f.

sp. *vasinfectum* races 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, and 8 (FOV1 to FOV8) and other closely related

species, based on sequences of the translation elongation factor 1-α (tef1-α) gene

region.

Literature Cited

Abdel-Latif, M., Shehata, Z., Galal, A., Hussein, E., and El-Samawaty, A. 2007.

Pathological studies on *Fusarium* species affecting cotton plants. J. Plant Prod.

32:4395-4408.

Atkinson, G. F. 1892. Some diseases of cotton. Ala. Agric. Exp. Stn. 41:1–65.

BASF. 2024. FM 757AXTP variety. https://agriculture.basf.us/crop-

protection//products/seeds/fibermax/fm-757axtp-variety.html (accessed June 1,

2025).

BestPlaces. 2025. Panhandle, Tx, climate.

https://www.bestplaces.net/climate/city/texas/panhandle (accessed April 14, 2025).

Chernomor, O., Von Haeseler, A., and Minh, B. Q. 2016. Terrace aware data structure

for phylogenomic inference from supermatrices. Syst. Biol. 65:997-1008.

Cianchetta, A. N., Allen, T. W., Hutmacher, R. B., Kemerait, R. C., Kirkpatrick, T. L.,

Lawrence, G. W., Lawrence, K. S., Mueller, J. D., Nichols, R. L., and Olsen, M.

W. 2015. Survey of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *vasinfectum* in the United States.

J. Cotton Sci. 19:328-336.

Colaizzi, P. D., Gowda, P. H., Marek, T., and Porter, D. O. 2009. Irrigation in the Texas

High Plains: A brief history and potential reductions in demand. Irrig. Drain.

58:257-274.

Davis, R., Colyer, P., Rothrock, C., and Kochman, J. 2006. *Fusarium* wilt of cotton:

population diversity and implications for management. Plant Dis. 90:692-703.

Diaz, J., Garcia, J., Lara, C., Hutmacher, R. B., Ulloa, M., Nichols, R. L., and Ellis, M. L.

2021. Characterization of current *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *vasinfectum* isolates

from cotton in the San Joaquin Valley of California and Lower Valley El Paso,

Texas. Plant Dis. 105:1898-1911.

Edgar, R. C. 2004. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high

throughput. Nucleic Acids Res. 32:1792-1797.

Glover, J. P., Medrano, E. G., Isakeit, T., and Brewer, M. J. 2020. Transmission of cotton

seed and boll rotting bacteria by the verde plant bug (*Hemiptera*: *Miridae*). J.

Econ. Entomol. 113:793-799.

Halpern, H., Bell, A., Wagner, T., Liu, J., Nichols, R., Olvey, J., Woodward, J., Sanogo,

S., Jones, C., and Chan, C. 2018. First report of *Fusarium* wilt of cotton caused

by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *vasinfectum* race 4 in Texas, USA. Plant Dis.

102:446-446.

Hartig, F. 2020. Residual diagnostics for hierarchical (multi-level/mixed) regression

models. R package version 0.4.6. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=DHARMa

(accessed May 15, 2025).

Hothorn, T. 2020. Multcomp: simultaneous inference in general parametric models. R

package version 1.4.25.

http://ftp5.gwdg.de/pub/misc/cran/web/packages/multcomp/multcomp.pdf

(accessed May 20, 2025).

Howell, C. 2002. Cotton seedling preemergence damping-off incited by *Rhizopus*

oryzae and *Pythium* spp. and its biological control with *Trichoderma* spp.

Phytopathol. 92:177-180.

Hutmacher, R. B., Ulloa, M., Wright, S. D., Campbell, B. T., Percy, R., Wallace, T.,

Myers, G., Bourland, F., Weaver, D., and Chee, P. 2013. Elite upland cotton

621   germplasm-pool assessment of *Fusarium* wilt resistance in California. Agron. J.

622    105:1635-1644.

623 Ilango, K., and Uthamasamy, S. 1992. Microorganisms associated with boll rot diseases

624    of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*). Madras Agricultural Journal 79:545-547.

625 Kalyaanamoorthy, S., Minh, B. Q., Wong, T. K., Von Haeseler, A., and Jermiin, L. S.

626    2017. ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates.

627    Nature Methods 14:587-589.

628 Kim, Y., Hutmacher, R., and Davis, R. 2005. Characterization of California isolates of

629    *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *vasinfectum*. Plant Dis. 89:366-372.

630 Kimmel Jr, T. M., Nielsen-Gammon, J., Rose, B., and Mogil, H. M. 2016. The weather

631    and climate of Texas: A big state with big extremes. Weatherwise 69:25-33.

632 Kuznetsova, A., Brockhoff, P., Christensen, R., and Jensen, S. 2022. lmerTest: tests in

633    linear mixed effects models. R package version 3.1.3. https://cran.r-

634    project.org/web/packages/lmerTest/lmerTest.pdf (accessed May 14, 2025).

635 Ledbetter, K. 2020. Northern High Plains cotton acres more than double in last 5 years.

636    https://www.cottongrower.com/cotton-production/planting/northern-high-plains-

637    cotton-acres-more-than-double-in-last-5-years/ (accessed May 14, 2025).

638 Lenth, R. 2021. EMMEANS: estimated marginal means, aka Least-Squares Means. R

639    package version 1.8.5. https://github.com/rvlenth/emmeans (accessed April 1,

640    2025).

641 Leslie, J. F., Pearson, C., Nelson, P. E., and Toussoun, T. 1990. *Fusarium* spp. from

642    corn, sorghum, and soybean fields in the central and eastern United States.

643    Phytopathol. 80:343-350.

Li, B., Liang, J., Zhu, Y., Wang, Y., and Jiao, Z. 2021. Epidemiological analysis and

management strategies of *Fusarium* head blight of wheat. Curr. Biotechnol.

11:647-652.

Liu, Y. J., Whelen, S., and Hall, B. D. 1999. Phylogenetic relationships among

ascomycetes: evidence from an RNA polymerse II subunit. Mol Biol Evol.

16:1799-1808.

Mao, Y., and Hu, J. 2019. Survey of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *vasinfectum* race 4 in

Arizona cotton. Pages 178-184 in: 2019 Proceedings of the Beltwide Cotton

Conferences, The National Cotton Council of America, Memphis, TN.

Marek, T., and Bordovsky, D. 2006. Performance of ten cotton varieties in the Northern

Texas High Plains. Texas Journal of Agriculture and Natural Resources 19:48-61.

Medrano, E., Esquivel, J., and Bell, A. 2007. Transmission of cotton seed and boll

rotting bacteria by the southern green stink bug (*Nezara viridula* L.). J. Appl.

Microbiol. 103:436-444.

Mendiburu, F. 2023. agricolae: statistical procedures for agricultural research. R

pacakge version 1.3-7. https://cran.r-

project.org/web/packages/agricolae/index.html (accessed April 12, 2025).

Minton, E. B., and Garber, R. H. 1983. Controlling the seedling complex of cotton. Plant

Dis. 67:115-117.

Nash, S. M., and Snyder, W. C. 1962. Quantitative estimations by plate counts of

propagules of the bean root rot *Fusarium* in field soils. Phytopathol. 52:567-572.

National IPM Database. 2001. Crop profile for corn in Texas. National IPM Database.

https://ipmdata.ipmcenters.org/documents/cropprofiles/TXcorn.pdf (accessed

May 20, 2025). .

Nguyen, L.T., Schmidt, H. A., Von Haeseler, A., and Minh, B. Q. 2015. IQ-TREE: a fast

and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood

phylogenies. Mol Biol Evol. 32:268-274.

Nguyen, T. B. H., Henri-Sanvoisin, A., Coton, M., Le Floch, G., and Picot, A. 2024. Shifts

in *Fusarium* communities and Mycotoxins in maize Residues, soils, and wheat

Grains throughout the wheat cycle: implications for *Fusarium* Head Blight

epidemiology. Microorganisms 12:1783.

NOAA National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. 2021. National Centers for

Environmental Information. U.S. climate normals quick access 1991-2020.

https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/access/us-climate-normals/ (accessed June 15,

2025).

O'Donnell, K., Kistler, H. C., Cigelnik, E., and Ploetz, R. C. 1998. Multiple evolutionary

origins of the fungus causing Panama disease of banana: concordant evidence

from nuclear and mitochondrial gene genealogies. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.

95:2044-2049.

O'Donnell, K., Nirenberg, H. I., Aoki, T., and Cigelnik, E. 2000. A multigene phylogeny of

the *Gibberella fujikuroi* species complex: detection of additional phylogenetically

distinct species. Mycoscience 41:61-78.

Okello, P. N., Petrović, K., Kontz, B., and Mathew, F. M. 2019. Eight species of *Fusarium*

cause root rot of corn (Zea mays) in South Dakota. Plant Health Prog. 20:38-43.

Palmateer, A., McLean, K., and Morgan-Jones, G. 2002. Relative recovery and

pathogenecity of *Fusarium* species on cotton. In: 2002 Proceedings of the

Beltwide Cotton Conferences, Atlanta, Georgia.

Parikh, L., Kodati, S., Eskelson, M., and Adesemoye, A. 2018. Identification and

pathogenicity of *Fusarium* spp. in row crops in Nebraska. Crop Prot. 108:120-

127.

Scauflaire, J., Mahieu, O., Louvieaux, J., Foucart, G., Renard, F., and Munaut, F. 2011.

Biodiversity of *Fusarium* species in ears and stalks of maize plants in Belgium.

Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 131:59-66.

Schoeneweiss, D. F. 1975. Predisposition, stress, and plant disease. Annu. Rev.

Phytopathol. 13:193-211.

Shu, X., Wang, Y., Wang, Y., Ma, Y., Men, M., Zheng, Y., Xue, C., Peng, Z., and Noulas,

C. 2021. Response of soil N_2O emission and nitrogen utilization to organic matter

in the wheat and maize rotation system. Sci. Rep. 11:4396.

Simko, I., and Piepho, H. P. 2012. The area under the disease progress stairs:

calculation, advantage, and application. Phytopathol. 102:381-389.

Taylor, J. 2022. Watch: Panhandle cotton farmers, rural economies struggle under

"exceptional" drought conditions. https://www.texastribune.org/2022/12/21/texas-

drought-cotton-high-plains/ (accessed May 1, 2025).

TCFA. 2015. Cattle Feeders Resource Guide. http://www.tcfa.org/ (accessed May 3,

2025).

Thayer, A. W., and McCarl, B. A. 2018. Water Depletion, climate change, and the Texas

High Plains: a model on the future of irrigation dependent agriculture. AgEcon

Search.

https://ageconsearch.umn.edu/record/266615/files/SAEASubmission_ThayerMc

Carl.pdf (accessed June 2, 2025).

USDA Economic Research Service. 2022. Cotton and wool - cotton sector at a glance.

https://www.ers. usda.gov/topics/crops/cotton-wool/cotton-sector-at-a-glance

(accessed May 20, 2025).

USDA Foreign Agricultural Service. 2024. Production - cotton. U.S. Department of

Agriculture, Foreign Agricultural Service.

https://www.fas.usda.gov/data/production/commodity/2631000 (accessed June

20, 2025).

USDA National Agricultural Statistics Service. 2025. Cattle on feed.

https://www.nass.usda.gov (accessed May 20, 2025).

Wang, W., Wang, B., Sun, X., Qi, X., Zhao, C., Chang, X., Khaskheli, M. I., and Gong,

G. 2021. Symptoms and pathogens diversity of corn *Fusarium* sheath rot in

Sichuan province, China. Sci. Rep. 11:2835.

Ward, T. J., Clear, R. M., Rooney, A. P., O'Donnell, K., Gaba, D., Patrick, S., Starkey, D.

E., Gilbert, J., Geiser, D. M., and Nowicki, T. W. 2008. An adaptive evolutionary

shift in *Fusarium* head blight pathogen populations is driving the rapid spread of

more toxigenic *Fusarium graminearum* in North America. Fungal Genet. Biol.

45:473-484.

Watkins, G. 1981. Compendium of Cotton Disease. American Phytopathological

Society. American Phytopathological Society, St. Paul, MN, USA.

White, T. J., Bruns, T., Lee, S., and Taylor, J. 1990. Amplification and direct sequencing

of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. Pages 315-322 in: PCR

protocols: a guide to methods and applications. M. Innis, D. Gelfand, J. Sninsky,

and T. White eds. Academic Press, San Diego, California, USA.

Xi, K., Shan, L., Yang, Y., Zhang, G., Zhang, J., and Guo, W. 2021. Species diversity

and chemotypes of *Fusarium* species associated with maize stalk rot in Yunnan

province of southwest China. Front. Microbiol. 12:652062.

Xue, Q., Marek, T. H., Xu, W., and Bell, J. 2017. Irrigated corn production and

management in the Texas High Plains. J. Contemp. Water Res. Educ. 162:31-41.

Zaki, S. A., Ouf, S. A., Aly, A. A., and Abd-Elsalam, K. A. 2021. Fungi involved in

damping-off of cotton seedlings and their differential pathogenicity on two cotton

cultivars. Egypt. J. Bot. 61:911-921.

Zhang, H., Brankovics, B., Luo, W., Xu, J., Xu, J., Guo, C., Guo, J., Jin, S., Chen, W.,

and Feng, J. 2016. Crops are a main driver for species diversity and the toxigenic

potential of *Fusarium* isolates in maize ears in China. World Mycotoxin J. 9:701-

716.

Zhang, J., Abdelraheem, A., Zhu, Y., Wheeler, T. A., Dever, J. K., Elkins-Arce, H.,

Nichols, R., and Wedegaertner, T. 2020. Pedigree selection under field conditions

within Acala 1517-08 and its glandless derivatives for development of cotton

resistant to *Fusarium* wilt caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *vasinfectum* race

4. Euphytica 216:1-21.

Zhang, L., Yuan, J., Zhang, M., Zhang, Y., Wang, L., and Li, J. 2022. Long term effects

of crop rotation and fertilization on crop yield stability in southeast China. Sci.

Rep. 12:14234.

Zhu, Y., Lujan, P., Wedegaertner, T., Nichols, R., Abdelraheem, A., Zhang, J., and

Sanogo, S. 2020. First report of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *vasinfectum* race 4

causing *Fusarium* wilt of cotton in New Mexico, USA. Plant Dis. 104:588-588.

Zivkovic, S., and Hudson, d. 2012. Carbon sequestration and carbon management

policy effects on production agriculture in the Texas High Plains. Environ. Manag.

Sustain. Dev. 3:44-60.