Historical Contingency Shapes Toxin Resistance in a Specialist Avian Predator

Author List:
Mohammadi, S. [1, 2], Pradhan, S. [1], Hoffmann, F. G. [3, 4], Herrera-Álvarez, S. [5], Deng, Y. [6], Eacock, A. [1], Dobler, S. [2], Storz, J. F. [7], Rowland, H. M. [1,8]

Author Affiliations:
[1] Max Planck Institute for Chemical Ecology, Research Group Predators and Toxic Prey, Jena, Germany
[2] Molecular Evolutionary Biology, Institute of Cell and Systems Biology of Animals, Universität Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany
[3] Department of Biochemistry, Nutrition, and Health Promotion, Mississippi State University, Mississippi State, MS 39762, USA
[4] Institute for Genomics, Biocomputing, and Biotechnology, Mississippi State University, Mississippi State, MS 39762, USA
[5] Department of Ecology and Evolution, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA
[6] BGI-Shenzhen, Shenzhen 518083, China
[7] School of Biological Sciences, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, NE, USA
[8] Department of Evolution, Ecology, and Behaviour, Institute of Infection, Veterinary, and Ecological Sciences, University of Liverpool, UK

Contact Information: Shabnam Mohammadi (smohammadi@ice.mpg.de) and Hannah M. Rowland (hrowland@liverpool.ac.uk)

Keywords: Black-headed grosbeak, Na,K-ATPase, cardiac glycosides, toxin resistance, protein evolution, ancestral sequence reconstruction.

Summary

Adaptations to toxic diets can cascade through ecosystems, altering physiology, species

interactions, and trophic dynamics. To uncover the molecular basis of toxin resistance in the

black-headed grosbeak (*Pheucticus melanocephalus*), a specialist predator of cardiotonic

steroid-defended monarch butterflies (*Danaus plexippus*), we investigated the evolution of

target-site insensitivity in the toxin's molecular target – the Na,K-ATPase. Functional assays

of engineered Na,K-ATPases revealed that resistance in grosbeaks arises from a non-

additive interaction between a substitution at position 111 (Q111E) and up to six nearby

amino acid changes in the first extracellular loop of the protein. Using resurrected ancestral

proteins, we show that the earliest of these six substitutions to evolve (V113L) altered the

functional effects of Q111E, such that Q111E alone became maladaptive. Only after the

accumulation of additional permissive substitutions could Q111E confer resistance,

highlighting how intramolecular epistatic interactions and historical contingency

constrained the evolutionary path to adaptation. Our phylogenetic analysis of Na,K-ATPase

sequences from 360 birds further revealed that several of the specific grosbeak

substitutions—particularly at sites 112, 114, and 116—show strong signatures of co-

evolution with changes at site 111 across the avian tree, supporting the hypothesis that

resistance evolves through repeated, interacting changes. Together, these results reveal the

molecular mechanisms of convergent evolution of toxin resistance and demonstrate how

genetic background can shape evolutionary outcomes across trophic levels.

Introduction

Cardiotonic steroids (CTSs) are a diverse class of toxins found in plants, insects, and vertebrates [1, 2]. They are highly toxic to most animals because they bind to and inhibit the α subunit of the Na,K-ATPase (ATP1A1), a transmembrane ion pump that is essential for maintaining membrane potentials and cellular function [3, 4]. The black-headed grosbeak (*Pheucticus melanocephalus*), a migratory songbird that breeds in western North America and winters in Mexico [5], exhibits a rare and highly specialised predatory behaviour. These birds prey on overwintering adult monarch butterflies (*Danaus plexippus*) in the Oyamel fir forests of central Mexico [6]. These butterflies are defended by CTS toxins [6, 7]. In mixed-species flocks with black-backed orioles (*Icterus galbula abeillei*), black-headed grosbeaks can kill up to 15,000 monarchs per day across the overwintering sites [8]. Analyses of grosbeak stomach contents have found CTS quantities as high as 259ug (equivalent to 6.17 mg/kg; [7]), and the birds can tolerate oral doses of 1050 mg/kg [6] – a level exceeding the lethal dose (LD_{50}) of other CTSs tested in mice [9-13]. This remarkable resistance to CTSs has been suggested to be a further example of convergent molecular evolution in target-site insensitivity in the Na,K-ATPase [14, 15], a pattern documented in other vertebrates such as murid rodents, neotropical grass frogs, and snakes that feed on CTS-defended prey [16-18].

The molecular basis of CTS resistance has repeatedly evolved through amino acid substitutions in the first extracellular loop of Na,K-ATPase, which forms a critical region of the toxin's binding pocket [16, 18-22]. Following this pattern, the black-headed grosbeak has a glutamine-to-glutamic acid substitution in the same loop at position 111 (Q111E). This amino acid site has been identified as a hotspot for major-effect substitutions that confer target-site insensitivity of Na,K-ATPase to CTS in several insect and vertebrate species [23, 24]. In addition to Q111E, there are five other amino acid changes in the grosbeak's first extracellular loop – S112T, M114V, E115N, E116G, and P118G. This differentiates the grosbeak from its closest relative with an available genome sequence the Lazuli bunting (*Passerina amoena*) and from other species of birds that do not prey on monarchs (Fig. 1). L113, another substitution in the first extracellular loop distinguishes the grosbeak and other songbirds (Passeriformes) from most other species of birds, except for the Abyssinian ground hornbill (*Bucorvus abyssinicus*), which is also reported to feed on CTS-defended prey [25]. If these substitutions are responsible for the grosbeak's ability to specialise on

monarchs, the adaptation would represent a rare example of an evolutionary cascade [15,

26], whereby plant-derived toxins in monarchs drive selective pressures that extend to the

third trophic level, shaping species far removed from the primary toxin source and,

ultimately, species interactions across trophic levels.

Figure 1. A phylogenetic subtree of birds showing amino acid differences in the first

extracellular loop of the Na,K-ATPase α1 subunit (ATP1A1), a key target of CTSs. Amino acid

positions are numbered according to the sheep (*Ovis aries*) reference sequence, following

convention. Differences from the consensus sequence (not the inferred ancestral sequence)

are shown for each species. Coloured symbols to the right of each species indicate the

reported response of each species to CTS-defended prey: blue diamond – species that

exhibit avoidance or emesis (vomiting) in response to CTS-defended prey; yellow triangle –

species that use behavioural adaptations to feed on CTS-defended prey; orange square –

species that show no emetic response when fed CTS-defended prey and/or have been

observed feeding on CTS-defended prey or plants; grey circle – species for which no

published data are available regarding CTS sensitivity or tolerance.

Results and Discussion

We used protein engineering experiments to address the following questions about the

grosbeak's Na,K-ATPase. (1) Is Q111E a major-effect substitution that is necessary and

sufficient to confer target-site insensitivity? (2) Does the set of associated substitutions

(T112A, L113A, V114M, N115E, G116E, and G118P; hereafter referred to as 6subs) also

contribute to target-site insensitivity? (3) do these additional substitutions compensate for

deleterious effects of Q111E on overall protein function, rather than directly contributing to

target-site insensitivity? To address these questions, we used heterologous protein

expression and biochemical assays to quantify the functional effects of engineered variants

in the Na,K-ATPase. Using the wildtype grosbeak Na,K-ATPase as a starting point, we

synthesised four Na,K-ATPase constructs in which the Q111E and 6subs substitutions were

individually or jointly reverted to their inferred ancestral state. For each engineered protein

we measured resistance as the concentration of CTS (ouabain) at which enzyme activity is

reduced to 50% (i.e., IC50) and assessed overall protein activity by quantifying the rate at

which the enzyme hydrolyses ATP.

Consistent with the hypothesis that Q111E is a major-effect substitution with respect to

target-site insensitivity, reverting that site to the ancestral amino acid state (E111Q)

significantly reduced resistance in wildtype grosbeak Na,K-ATPase. We also found that

reverting the amino acid states of 6subs significantly reduced resistance compared to the

wildtype protein, though this reduction was significantly less pronounced than the effect of

E111Q alone. Reverting Q111E and 6subs in tandem did not produce any further reduction

in resistance relative to that produced by either reversion alone (Table S2, Fig. 2A). While

our results clearly demonstrate the presence of target-site insensitivity in the grosbeak

Na,K-ATPase, they also reveal that the resistance-conferring effects of E111 are conditional

on the presence of additional amino acid substitutions within the extracellular loop.

That reverting Q111E and the associated 6subs together does not reduce resistance beyond

either reversion alone indicates a non-additive interaction, likely due to intramolecular

epistasis. Similar nonadditive effects of resistance-conferring mutations have been

documented in other systems of target-site insensitivity to CTS [16, 18]. For example,

introducing E111 into the *Drosophila* Na,K-ATPase conferred only a tenfold increase in

resistance, whereas the addition of a second substitution, Y122 (as found in aphids), led to

more than a hundredfold increase in resistance [27]. In contrast to most previously studied

systems, where epistasis typically involves two key sites (primarily positions 111 and 122),

the grosbeak Na,K-ATPase shows conditional effects involving up to six interacting

substitutions. This represents a more complex and unique evolutionary trajectory within a

system otherwise characterised by highly repeatable molecular adaptations [28].

Functional investigations of CTS resistance-conferring substitutions in the Na,K-ATPase of

other resistant species have revealed they often have negative pleiotropic effects on native

activity (the rate at which the enzyme hydrolyses ATP), and such effects are sometimes

mitigated by compensatory substitutions at other sites in the proteins [16, 18, 23, 24]. If the

amino acid changes that confer CTS resistance in the grosbeak Na,K-ATPase negatively

impact the protein's other functions, we would predict that the individual reversions of

Q111E and 6subs would produce a significant increase in protein activity relative to the

wildtype grosbeak ATP1A1 genotype. Our experimental results show that this is not the

case. Reverting Q111E and 6subs individually and in combination reduced protein activity

(Fig. 2B; Table S2). The increased resistance to CTS in the grosbeak Na,K-ATPase is therefore

associated with increased protein activity, which suggests that the mutation(s) conferring

resistance also enhance or stabilise enzyme efficiency. In contrast to the compensatory

amino acid interactions documented in the Na,K-ATPases of other CTS-resistant taxa [16, 18,

23, 24, 29], our experiments on grosbeak Na,K-ATPase provide no evidence that the

resistance conferred by Q111E compromises native activity [16, 18, 23, 24, 29].

Figure 2. Functional effects of reverting grosbeak-specific Na,K-ATPase substitutions into

their ancestral **s**tates, individually and in combination. For each recombinant protein, the

substitutions were generated on the modern grosbeak genetic background and the resulting

amino acid states are denoted on the x-axis. Amino acid states that are wildtype on the

modern grosbeak Na,K-ATPase are given in orange and those that are ancestral in black.

Panel A shows cardiotonic steroid resistance (i.e., mean $\log_{10}IC_{50}$ ± SEM) plotted on the y-

axis. Panel B shows the corresponding protein activity (i.e., mean ATP hydrolysis rate ± SEM)

for the same proteins plotted on the y-axis. Raw data from three biological replicates are

shown as open circles. Significant differences between proteins (contrast analysis) are

indicated by different letters.

That E111's resistance-conferring effects depend on the presence of multiple other

substitutions indicates that the path to target-site insensitivity was constrained by earlier

molecular changes. To determine whether historical contingency played a role in shaping

the evolution of CTS resistance in grosbeaks, we statistically reconstructed and

experimentally resurrected a set of progressively more ancient Na,K-ATPase constructs

representing a temporal sequence of ancestral genotypes from three points in the grosbeak

line of descent. These three points represent (1) the common ancestor of all birds (AncAves,

150–165 Mya [30] (Fig. 3A); (2) the common ancestor of Neoaves (AncNeoaves, 67.4 Mya

[31]), an incredibly diverse groups of modern birds, including waterfowl (Anseriformes),

shorebirds (Charadriiformes), raptors (Accipitriformes), and songbirds (Passeriformes); and

(3) the common ancestor of Passerida (AncPasserida, 22.4 Mya [31]), a large and diverse

clade (or infraorder) within the order Passeriformes, which includes finches, warblers,

thrushes, sparrows, swallows, and larks. We selected AncPasserida as the most recent

common ancestor of modern grosbeaks and other core passerines, rather than the common

ancestor with *Passerina amoena* (the grosbeak's closest sequenced relative), based on the

hypothesis that the grosbeak's specialised foraging behaviour on CTS-defended prey is an

older rather than a more recent adaptation [32]. AncPasserida also provides a more

conservative baseline for identifying derived substitutions in the grosbeak lineage (Table

S3).

Figure 3. (A) A schematic phylogeny tracing the line of descent of the black-headed

grosbeak back to the most recent common ancestor of all birds (AncAves). We

reconstructed three ancestral steps along this lineage: ancestral Aves (node 1), ancestral

Neoaves (node 2), and ancestral Passerida (node 3). From AncAves to the modern grosbeak,

a total of 47 amino acid substitutions were gained in the Na,K-ATPase α1-subunit (encoded

by the ATP1A1 gene). Of these substitutions, 23 (including A112S and A113V in the first

extracellular loop) occurred on the branch connecting AncAves to AncNeoaves, 18 occurred

on the branch connecting AncNeoaves to AncPasserida (including V113L), and 23 occurred

on the branch connecting AncPasserida to the ATP1A1 of contemporary grosbeaks

(including Q111E and the five remaining changes comprising 6subs; Fig. 3B). (B) Seven of

these 47 substitutions (highlighted in orange) are in the protein's first extracellular loop,

which spans amino acid sites 111 to 122 and makes up a critical region of the toxin's binding

pocket.

We assessed whether the resistance-conferring substitutions found in modern grosbeaks

would have had similar phenotypic effects in progressively more ancient ATP1A1 genotypes

along their evolutionary lineage. To do this, we synthesised and heterologously expressed

AncPasserida, AncNeoaves, and AncAves with and without the modern grosbeak

substitutions Q111E and 6subs. By comparing the effects of Q111E and 6subs on these

ancestral backgrounds, each progressively more divergent from the wildtype ATP1A1

genotype of contemporary grosbeaks, we tested how genetic context modulates the

functional impact of these mutations

We found that toxin resistance conferred by Q111E alone was ancestrally accessible, but its

effect diminished and eventually reversed as the mutation was moved onto increasingly

more recent ancestral backgrounds (Fig. 4A; Table S4). Q111E caused a significant increase

in resistance on the AncAves protein (Fig. 4A, left panel; Table S4). Q111E's positive effect

on resistance decreased when the mutation was introduced into the more recent

AncNeoaves protein, which differs from AncAves by two substitutions (A112S and A113V) in

the first extracellular loop. Subsequently, with the emergence of V113L in AncPasserida –

the most recent ancestral background – the effect of Q111E reversed, shifting from

resistance-increasing to resistance-decreasing (Fig. 4A, left panel; Table S4).

Because V113L was the first substitution of the grosbeak's 6subs to arise in AncPasserida,

we investigated its potential role in altering the effect of Q111E. To do this, we synthesised

and expressed an alternative AncPasserida, engineered to carry Q111E along with a

reversion of V113L (i.e., L113V; a new version of AncNeoaves with 17 additional

substitutions outside of the first extracellular loop; Fig 4A, white bar in left panel). This

approach allowed us to isolate the effect of V113L in its immediate historical context, while

preserving all other substitutions separating AncNeoaves from AncPasserida, and thus

enabling a focused test of its functional role in modulating Q111E. We confirmed that

introducing the V113L substitution reversed the effect of Q111E, changing its impact from

increasing to decreasing CTS resistance (Fig. 4A, left panel; Table S4). This significant

functional alteration between AncNeoaves and AncPasserida demonstrates the crucial role

of V113L in the evolutionary transition to the modern grosbeak genotype. The fixation of

V113L seems to have restricted the subsequent evolution of Q111E, potentially

necessitating the fixation of the additional five substitutions in the modern grosbeak. This

underscores how genetic context and epistatic interactions can shape the accessibility of

adaptive mutations, and how mutations that are initially deleterious can become beneficial

again through subsequent permissive or compensatory changes.

To test how the functional effects of 6subs changed over time, we introduced them into the

three reconstructed ancestral backgrounds. On the most ancient background, AncAves,

6subs had no significant effect on CTS resistance (Fig 4A, middle panel). On AncNeoaves,

they slightly decreased resistance—an effect that was slightly stronger than the resistance-

decreasing effect of Q111E alone on AncPasserida. In contrast, on AncPasserida, 6subs

produced a significant increase in resistance (Fig 4A, middle panel). Thus, despite V113L

reversing Q111E's effect in AncPasserida, 6subs still conferred CTS resistance with or

without Q111E. When Q111E and 6subs were introduced together, they consistently

produced a significant increase in CTS resistance across all ancestral backgrounds (Fig. 4A,

right panel; Table S4).

These findings illustrate how epistatic interactions shaped the emergence of resistance:

both Q111E and 6subs could contribute to CTS resistance, but their effects varied with

genetic context. This suggests a distinctive form of historical contingency in the protein's

evolution, in which intramolecular epistasis locks in the effects of certain beneficial

mutations by making their functional impact dependent on the presence of earlier

substitutions. Such interactions can open otherwise inaccessible evolutionary pathways,

enabling the gradual assembly of complex, adaptive phenotypes like CTS resistance.

To further understand the evolutionary dynamics of these substitutions, we investigated

whether there were functional trade-offs between toxin resistance and protein activity,

which could have influenced the fixation of the modern grosbeak substitutions. Across all

ancestral backgrounds, we found that Q111E has a minor negative effect on protein activity

(Fig. 4B left panel; Table S4). In contrast, 6subs had consistently positive effects on protein

activity when introduced on ancestral backgrounds, with significant effects on the

AncPasserida and AncAves backgrounds *(*Fig. 4B, middle panel; Table S4). These results

suggest that the evolution of CTS resistance via 6subs alone would have likely led to an

increase in protein activity. When combined, Q111E and 6subs produced a mix of positive

and negative effects on protein activity depending on the genetic background (Fig. 4B, right

panel; Table S4). The combination had a neutral to negative effect on protein activity in

AncAves and AncNeoaves, but significantly increased activity in the more recent

AncPasserida background (Table S4; Fig. 4B right panel; Table S4). This positive effect of the

combined substitutions on activity in AncPasserida aligns with the negative effect of

reverting these mutations in the modern grosbeak. Our results suggest that the epistatic

interaction between Q111E and 6subs balances the trade-off between CTS resistance and

native protein activity, providing further evidence that intramolecular epistasis facilitated

the evolutionary assembly of the resistant genotype in the grosbeak. We confirmed that

functional inferences were robust to statistical uncertainties in ancestral reconstruction

(Table S6).

Figure 4. Functional properties of engineered Na,K-ATPases measuring the effects of

modern black-headed grosbeak substitutions on increasingly more ancient genetic

backgrounds (from orange to maroon). The graphs in panels A and B show the percent

change in functional properties of wildtype ancestral NKAs in comparison to their

mutagenised counterpart. Each set of bars (from left to right) shows the effects of one of

three substitutions or sets of substitutions (Q111E, 6subs, and Q111E+6subs). In panel A, a

measure of CTS resistance (i.e., mean $\log_{10}IC_{50}$ ± SEM from three biological replicates) is

plotted on the y axis. In panel B, a measure of protein activity (i.e., mean ATP hydrolysis rate

± SEM from three biological replicates) for the same proteins is plotted on the y axis.

Significant differences (contrast analysis; Table S5, Fig. S1) between wildtype and

mutagenised proteins are indicated by asterisks. In the Q111E graphs are an additional

mutagenised construct of ancestral Passerida, engineered to carry Q111E as well as L113V.

This additional construct is illustrated by a white bar. In panel B, this white bar completely

overlaps with the original and is therefore not visible. Significance codes: 0 '***'; 0.001 '**';

0.01 '*'.

Our functional experiments established that the evolution of target-site insensitivity in the

modern grosbeak Na,K-ATPase involved nonadditive interactions between Q111E and

6subs. To assess evidence for interactions between Q111E and individual substitutions

comprising 6subs in grosbeaks, we investigated phylogenetic patterns of co-variation in

amino acid states across the Na,K-ATPases orthologs of class Aves. We built a phylogeny of

full-length ATP1A1 sequences of 360 birds and created a comprehensive catalogue of amino

acid variation among birds (Fig. 5). Notably, Q111E has evolved independently at least seven

times across distinct bird lineages, including the *Tinamiformes* (tinamous),

Cuculiformes (cuckoos), *Psittaciformes* (parrots), *Trogoniformes* (trogons),

Galliformes (game birds), and *Musophagiformes* (turacos). The grosbeak is the only songbird

(*Passeriformes*) so far identified to have this substitution [33].

Given that the avian ATP1A1 sequence is extremely well conserved across all species (mean

branch length of 0.0037 substitutions per site), we investigated whether the Q111E

substitution consistently co-occurs with other (potentially compensatory) substitutions in

different lineages. Site 114, which is among the six substitutions comprising 6subs, was one

of the eight sites whose substitution patterns fall within the top 5% for coevolutionary signal

with Q111E (Fig. 5; Fig. S2). The substitution M114V in the grosbeak has evolved

independently in a cockatoo clade where Q111E is also fixed. Further, all the closest

relatives of the grosbeak that are known to be sensitive to the toxin retain the ancestral

state at site 114 (Fig. 1; Fig. 5).

We expanded our coevolution analysis to identify additional sites that could be contributing

to the evolution of target-site insensitivity in the grosbeak. We relaxed the coevolution

analyses to identify other sites with substitutions that show a non-random co-occurrence

with changes at position 111, regardless of whether that change is to glutamate (E) or

another amino acid. This leverages the fact that site 111, which is involved in the

convergent evolution of target-site insensitivity across vertebrates [16], has repeatedly

experienced substitutions across the avian phylogeny (at least 13 times; Fig. 5). Among the

5% sites with strongest coevolution signals with site 111, two sites – 112 and 116 – are part

of 6subs (Fig. S3). The substitution S112T in the grosbeak, for example, occurs

independently in the same cockatoo clade that carries M114V, while all the closest relatives

of the grosbeak maintain the ancestral state at site 112. These results suggest that epistatic

interactions between Q111E, S112T, M114V, and E116G also contribute to the evolution of

target-site insensitivity in the grosbeak.

Figure 5. Circular phylogeny of birds showing amino acid states of the H1–H2 loop of the Na,K-ATPase ATP1A1 gene. Mutations within the H1–H2 loop have been associated with target-site insensitivity of the enzyme towards cardiotonic steroids, especially at sites 111 and 122. Notable substitutions are illustrated around the phylogeny.

The conditional effects of multiple substitutions in the grosbeak Na,K-ATPase represent a unique evolutionary outcome within this broader pattern of repeated adaptation [16, 20, 23, 24, 28, 34]. In contrast, other species such as horned frogs (*Ceratophrys*) and garter snakes (*Thamnophis*), both of which prey on CTS-containing toads, have independently evolved alternative resistance mechanisms involving a single amino acid substitution [16]. Similarly, the yellow-throated sandgrouse (*Pterocles gutturalis*) has developed a distinct single-amino acid insertion in the H1–H2 extracellular loop, a modification also associated with CTS resistance in pyrgomorphid grasshoppers [35-37]. These examples emphasise how different species have achieved the same functional outcome – resistance to CTS – through distinct molecular solutions, highlighting the diversity of adaptive strategies across species and trophic levels, and the complexity of evolutionary pathways to the convergent evolution of toxin resistance.

Resource Availability

<u>Lead Contact:</u> Further information and requests on methods can be directed to Dr. Hannah

M. Rowland and Dr. Shabnam Mohammadi.

<u>Materials Availability:</u> Plasmids used in this study have been deposited in Addgene (see Key

Resources Table for names and numbers). This study did not generate new unique reagents.

<u>Data and Code:</u> Data and code generated during this study are available through links

provided in the Key Resources Table. Sequence data for ATP1A1 and ATP1B1 were obtained

from genome assemblies under BioProject PRJNA545868 [38].

Acknowledgements

We thank Guojie Zhang and Josefin Stiller for initial discussions and subsequent access to

the genomic resources under BioProject PRJNA545868 [38]. We thank J. Stiller, M. Winters,

K. Rohlfing, M. Röpcke, and K. Otto for critical reading of drafts of this manuscript. We thank

V. Wagschal, C. Plate, F. Miazzi, and K. Barthold for assistance in the laboratory. This

research was funded by the Max Planck Society to HMR.

Author Contributions

Conceptualisation: SM, JFS, HMR; **Methodology:** SM, FGH, SHA, AE, SD, JFS, HMR;

Software: SM, FGH, SHA, YD, HMR; **Validation:** SP; **Formal analysis:** SM, SP, FGH, SHA, YD,

HMR; **Investigation:** SM, FGH, SHA, YD; **Resources:** FGH, SD; **Data Curation**: SM, SP, FGH,

AE, HMR; **Writing - Original Draft**: SM, HMR; **Writing - Review & Editing:** SP, FGH, SHA, YD,

AE, SD, JFS; **Visualization:** SM

Declaration of Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Key Resources Table

Reagent or Resource	Source	Identifier
Bacterial and Virus Strains		
Escherichia coli MAX Efficiency™ DH10Bac Competent Cells	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat#10361012
Escherichia coli XL 10-Gold Competent Cells	Agilent Technologies, La Jolla, CA, USA	Cat#200314
Experimental Models: Cell Lines		
Insect: Sf9 cells in Sf-900™ II SFM	Thermo Fisher	Cat#11496015
Technical devices		
Ultrasonic homogeniser Sonopuls 2070	Bandelin Electronic Company, Berlin, Germany	
Centrifuge 5840 R	Eppendorf AG, Hamburg, Germany	
Ultra-Centrifuge L-80	Beckmann Coulter GmbH, Krefeld, Germany	
Freeze dryer Alpha 1-2 LD plus	Martin Christ Gefriertrocknungsanlagen GmbH, Osterode am Harz, Germany	
Ultrasonic homogeniser Omni Sonic Ruptor 400	Omni International Inc., Kennesaw, GA, USA	
Cuvette spectrophotometer Ultrospec 2100 pro	Amersham Biosciences Europe GmbH, Freiburg im Breisgau, Germany	
Microplate shaker BioShake iQ	Quantifoil Instruments, Jena, Germany	
Microplate absorbance reader Bio-Rad Model 680 Bio-Rad iMark (spectrophotometers and software package)	**Bio-Rad Laboratories GmbH,** Feldkirchen, Germany	
96-well polystyrene flat-well plate	SARSTEDT AG & Co. KG, Nümbrecht, Germany	Cat#82.1581
Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins		
Insect-Xpress medium	Lonza, Walkersville, MD, USA	Cat#BE12-730P10
Gentamycin	Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany	Cat#0233.1
PEI MAX	Polysciences Inc., Warrington, PA, USA	CAS#49553-93-7
HPLC grade water ROTIPURAN p.a., ACS water	Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany	Cat#HN68.2
Bovine serum albumin (BSA)	Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany	CAS#90604-29-8

Nitrocellulose membrane	Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany	Cat#HP42.1
BlueBlock PF	SERVA Electrophoresis GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany	Cat#42591.01
4-Chloro-1-naphthol	Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany	Cat#C8890
Tween 20	Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany	CAS#9005-64-5
3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB)	Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Rockford, IL, USA	Cat#N301
Parafilm	Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany	Cat#P7793
Ouabain octahydrate, 96%	Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Rockford, IL, USA	CAS#11018-89-6
Adenosin-5-triphosphat Bis-(Tris)-salt hydrate (ATP)	Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany	CAS#102047-34-7
Antibodies		
α5-antibody (primary antibody)	Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA	RRID: AB_2166869
HRP-antibody (secondary antibody conjugated with horseradish peroxidase)	Dianova, Hamburg, Germany	RRID: AB_2617176
Deposited Data		
Raw data for recombinant Na,K-ATPase functional assays	This paper	Dryad doi: https://doi.org/
ATP1A1 and ATP1B1 alignments and associated phylogenetic trees used to generate ancestral sequence reconstructions	This paper	Dryad doi: https://doi.org/
R scripts and associated data tables used for statistical analyses and generating figures	This paper	Dryad doi: https://doi.org/
Recombinant DNA		
All plasmids generated in this study are listed in Table S1	This paper	See Table S1
Software		
R	https://www.r-project.org/	R version 4.1.1

Methods

Ancestral protein reconstruction. We compiled a dataset of avian ATP1A1 (n=370 species)

and ATP1B1 (n=365 species) sequences from high-coverage genome assemblies (BioProject

PRJNA545868 [38]) together with online sequences from nine reptiles [39-41]. Ancestral

reconstruction was conducted on 343 ATP1A1 and 340 ATP1B1 sequences. Sequences were

aligned using the MAFFT alignment algorithm [42], and ancestral reconstruction was

estimated using the maximum likelihood (ML) approach implemented in IQ-TREE v 2.1.2

[43], with the aid of the Lazarus set of Python scripts for parsing results [44]. We

constructed supertrees for avian sequences based on phylogenies corresponding to the

most well-supported understanding of the species tree at the time of analysis [45-47].

Insertions were manually removed from marginal reconstructions, and ATP1A1 and ATP1B1

sequences of three ancestral NKAs in the grosbeak lineage were reconstructed and

subsequently synthesised: AncAves, AncNeoaves, and AncPasserida. We also reconstructed

and synthesised alternative ancestral reconstructions for altAncAves and altAncNeoaves by

substituting sites with posterior probabilities below 0.8 when the ratio of the top two

probabilities was less than 4:1. These alternative constructs were functionally assayed

alongside the best estimates to confirm that minor uncertainty did not affect inferred

function (Table S6). All sites in AncPasserida had posterior probabilities above 0.8, so no

alternatives were generated.

Construction of expression vectors. ATP1A1 and ATP1B1 genes of each recombinant NKA

construct were synthesised (Invitrogen GeneArt) and codon optimised for *Spodoptera*

frugiperda. The genes were cloned in pFastBac Dual (PFBD) plasmid with ATP1B1 under p10

promoter and ATP1A1 under P_{PH} promoter and confirmed by sequencing. The plasmid

constructs were fully sequenced and deposited in the Addgene repository under accession

numbers 196450 and 196454–70.

Generation of recombinant viruses and transfection into Sf9 cells (*Spodoptera frugiperda*).

Escherichia coli DH10bac cells harboring the baculovirus genome (bacmid) and a

transposition helper vector (Thermo Fisher Scientific; Cat#10361012) were transformed

using a modified protocol of the manufacturer's protocol with expression vectors containing

the different gene constructs. Recombinant bacmids were selected using the blue-white

screening method in agar plates containing kanamycin (50 µg/ml), gentamycin (7 µg/ml),

tetracycline (10 µg/ml), X-gal (100 µg/ml) and IPTG (40 µg/ml). Positive (white) colonies

were confirmed by PCR using the M13 primers (Forward primer: 5'-

CCCAGTCACGACGTTGTAAAACG-3', Reverse primer: 5'-AGCGGATAACAATTTCACACAGG-3') as

recommended by the manufacturer.

Preparation of Sf9 membranes. Baculovirus-mediated transfection was performed using a

modified protocol as described by Scholz and Suppmann [48]. Transfection mix was

prepared in 100 µl PBS with 10 µg recombinant bacmid and 20 µl PEI-MAX (1mg/ml) per

biological replicate. After 30 min of incubation, the transfection mix was added to actively

growing Sf9 cells (8×106 cells/ml) in 10 ml of Insect-Xpress medium (Lonza; Cat#BE12-

730P10) with 30 µg/ml gentamycin. Transfected cells were incubated at 27°C and 120rpm,

and amplified viruses were harvested after 5 days by pelleting and discarding the cells (P0

virus stock). 500 µl of P0 virus stock was added to $5×10^6$ Sf9 cells/ml in 50ml Insect-Xpress

media with 30 µg/ml gentamycin and cultured at 27°C and 120rpm in 250 ml conical flasks

for 72h. Following incubation, Sf9 cells were harvested by centrifugation at 1650 × g for 10

min and the resulting cell pellets were immediately stored at –80°C.

Membrane isolation from Sf9 cells. Frozen pellets were homogenised in 15ml

homogenisation buffer (0.25 M sucrose, 2 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, and 25 mM

HEPES/Tris; pH 7.0) on ice. Cell suspension was sonicated at 60 W (Sonopuls 2070; Bandelin

Electronic Company, Berlin, Germany) for three 45 s intervals on ice. The cell suspension

was then centrifuged for 30 min at 10,000 × g (J2-21 centrifuge, Beckmann-Coulter, Krefeld,

Germany) at 4°C to remove debris. The supernatant was collected and further centrifuged

for 60 min at 146,000 × g at 4°C (Ultra- Centrifuge L-80, Beckmann-Coulter) to pellet the cell

membranes. The pelleted membranes were washed twice and resuspended in 1ml

ROTIPURAN p.a., ACS water (Roth; Cat#HN68.2) and stored at –20 °C. Three biological

replicates of each construct were used to conduct functional assays and protein

concentrations were determined by ELISA.

Protein Quanitification and Verification by ELISA and SDS-PAGE/western blotting. For the

ELISA, we followed the protocol as described by Löptien et al.[49]. First, 100 µL of

membrane isolates and relative standards were added to a 96-well polystyrene, slightly

hydrophilic flat-well plate (Immulon 2 HB, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Massachusetts, USA;

Cat#6302) in duplicate (two technical replicates). The standards were prepared from an

aliquot of lyophilised *Pheucticus melanocephalus* membrane isolate as described by Löptien

et al [49]. The plate was sealed and incubated overnight at 4°C (12–18 h). The next day, non-

coated leftovers were removed from the wells and washed five times with washing buffer

(Phosphate-buffered saline – 137 mM NaCl, 1.47 mM KH_2PO_4, 7.749 mM Na_2HPO_4,

2.683 mM KCl with 0.05 % Tween 20). Next, non-specific binding sites were blocked by

adding 200 μL of blocking buffer (1% BSA in PBS + 0.02% Tween 20) and incubating at room

temperature for 2 h. Following the blocking, the wells were washed another five times.

NKAs were detected by adding 50 μL of primary antibody solution containing 2 μg/mL

antibody (α5-antibody; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, University of Iowa, Iowa

City, IA, USA; RRID: AB_2166869) in blocking buffer incubating for 1 h at room temperature,

then washed five times. To detect the primary antibody, 50 μL of secondary antibody

solution containing 10 μg/mL HRP-conjugated goat-anti-mouse antibody (HRP-antibody;

Dianova, Hamburg, Germany; RRID: AB_2617176) in blocking buffer was added and

incubated for 1 h at room temperature. Following secondary antibody incubation, wells

were washed seven times. The secondary antibody was stained by adding 100 μL

3,3',5,5' tetramethylbenzidine (TMB; Merck/Sigma-Aldrich; Cat#T4444) to each well, and

then left to incubate at room temperature for 10 mins. Staining was stopped by adding 100

μL 0.5 M sulfuric acid to each well. The OD was read at 495 nm using a plate reader (BioRad

Model 680 spectrophotometer and software package).

For the western blot, we followed the protocol described by Löptien et al.[49] In brief, 5 μL

of protein were solubilised overnight in 8 μL 4 × Laemmli buffer (62.5 mM Tris HCl pH 6.8; 2

% SDS; 10 % glycerol; 5 % 2-mercaptoethanol; 0.001 % Bromophenol Blue) and 19 μL

Millipore water, then separated on SDS gels containing 10% acrylamide. They were then

blotted on nitrocellulose membrane (HP42.1, Roth). After blotting, the membrane was

incubated with 1 × BlueBlock PF for 1 h. After blocking, the membranes were incubated at

room temperature with the primary monoclonal antibody α5 (Developmental Studies

Hybridoma Bank, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA) for 1.5 hours. Since only membrane

proteins were isolated from transfected cells, detection of the α subunit also indicates the

presence of the β subunit. The primary antibody was detected using a goat-anti-mouse

secondary antibody conjugated with horseradish peroxidase (Dianova, Hamburg, Germany).

The staining of the precipitated polypeptide-antibody complexes was performed by

repetitively pipetting the detecting substrate (0.035 % H_2O_2, and 0.01 % 4-Chloro-1-

naphthol in 0.05 M, pH 7.5 Tris HCl) over the membrane. See Figure S4.

Ouabain inhibition assay. To determine the sensitivity of each NKA construct to the water-

soluble cardiotonic steroid ouabain (Ouabain octahydrate 96%; Acrōs Organic;

Cat#AC161730010s), 100 ug of each protein was pipetted into each well in a nine-well row

on a 96-well flat-bottom microplate containing stabilising buffers (see buffer formulas in

[34]. The first six wells in a nine-well row were exposed to exponentially decreasing

concentrations of ouabain (10^{-3} M, 10^{-4} M, 10^{-5} M, 10^{-6} M, 10^{-7} M, 10^{-8} M, dissolved in

distilled H_2O). The seventh well was exposed to HPLC-grade water only (experimental

control). The eighth well was exposed to an inhibition buffer lacking KCl and containing 10^{-2}M ouabain (ouabain octahydrate 96%; Acrōs Organic; Cat#AC161730010s), which

completely inhibits the NKA's ATPase activity and therby allows measurement of

background ATPase activity (see Petschenka et al.[34]). The proteins were incubated at 37°C

and 200 rpms for 10 minutes on a microplate shaker (BioShake iQ; Quantifoil Instruments,

Jena, Germany; Cat#1808-0506). Next, ATP (Adenosin-5-triphosphat Bis-(Tris)-salt hydrate;

Merck/Sigma-Aldrich; CAS#102047-34-7) was added to each well and the proteins were

incubated again at 37°C and 200 rpm for 20 minutes. The activity of Na,K-ATPases following

ouabain exposure was determined by quantification of inorganic phosphate (Pi) released

from enzymatically hydrolysed ATP. Reaction Pi levels were measured according to the

procedure described by Taussky and Shorr [50] (see Petschenka et al [34]). All assays were

run in duplicate and the average of the two technical replicates was used for subsequent

statistical analyses. Two constructs were run in Absorbance for each well and were

measured at 650 nm with a plate absorbance reader (BioRad Model 680 spectrophotometer

and software package).

ATP hydrolysis assay. To determine the functional efficiency of different Na,K-ATPase

constructs, we calculated the amount of Pi hydrolysed from ATP per mg of protein per

minute. The measurements were obtained from the same assay as described above. In

brief, absorbance from the experimental control reactions, in which 100 ug of protein was

incubated without any inhibiting factors (i.e., ouabain or buffer excluding KCl), was

measured and translated to mM Pi from a standard curve that was run in parallel (1.2 mM

Pi, 1 mM Pi, 0.8 mM Pi, 0.6 mM Pi, 0.4 mM Pi, 0.2 mM Pi, 0 mM Pi). ATP hydrolysis

measurements were always taken after the second freeze-thaw of each sample.

Statistical analyses. Background phosphate absorbance levels from reactions with inhibiting

factors were used to calibrate phosphate absorbance in wells measuring ouabain inhibition

and in the control wells [34]. For ouabain sensitivity measurements, calibrated absorbance

values were converted to percentage non-inhibited protein activity based on measurements

from the control wells [34]. These data were plotted and $\log_{10}$ IC$_{50}$ values were obtained for

each biological replicate from nonlinear fitting using a four-parameter logistic curve, with

the top asymptote set to 100 and the bottom asymptote set to zero. Curve fitting was

performed with the nlsLM function of the minipack.lm library in R. For comparisons of

protein activity, the calculated Pi concentrations of protein assayed in the absence of

ouabain were converted to nmol Pi/mg protein/min. IC$_{50}$ values were $\log_{10}$-transformed

prior to analysis to better meet the assumptions of normality and homogeneity of variance.

All statistical analyses were implemented in R. Data were plotted using the ggplot2 package

in R.

We first analysed the effects of substitutions in the modern grosbeak NKA. We ran a 2-way

ANOVA to assess the main effects of point mutations and their interaction, followed by

planned contrasts to identify significant differences between recombinant proteins (Table

S2). We then used linear regression to estimate the effect sizes of the explanatory variables

and to assess their additive and nonadditive effects (Table S2). In a second set of analyses,

we determined the background dependence of substitutions (i.e., interaction between

genetic background and amino acid substitution) with respect to CTS resistance (log10 IC$_{50}$)

and ATPase activity (Table S5). Specifically, we tested whether the effects of a substitution X

-> Y are equal on different backgrounds (null hypothesis: X->Y (background 1) = X->Y

(background 2)). All statistical analyses were implemented in R. Data were plotted using the

ggplot2 package in R.

Identifying correlated substitutions. We used BayesTraits [51] to detect sites across the

ATP1A1 bird phylogeny that exhibit correlated evolution with substitution Q111E or site

111, hereafter referred to as substitution and site models, respectively. Using the

reconstructed ancestral sequence for the avian ATP1A1, we recoded each amino acid state

among extant sequences of the multi-species alignment into ancestral '0' and derived '1'

states and used these and the phylogeny with estimated branch lengths as inputs for

BayesTraits. For the substitution model, site 111 was recoded as '1' only for lineages that

have 111E fixed; for the site model, site 111 was recoded as '1' in every lineage with a

substitution at this site (e.g., Q111X). These model specifications allowed us to use

BayesTraits to fit a continuous-time Markov model to estimate transition rates between

discrete, binary traits and estimate the best fitting model describing their joint evolution on

a phylogeny. We excluded singleton sites and sites with more than 80% gaps, as these sites

would be of little information. This resulted in an analysis of 144 variant sites.

With the substitution model, we tested whether the rate at which the substitution Q111E

occurs was dependent on all other variant sites; with the site model, we tested whether the

rate of evolution at site 111 was dependent on all other variant sites. Both coevolution

models have a null independent and an alternative dependent model. The null independent

model assumes that the two sites evolve independently, and the alternative dependent

model assumes that the sites are correlated such that the change at one site is dependent

on the state at the other site. Because the null model is a general form of the alternative

model, both models can be compared under a likelihood ratio test (LRT) with degrees of

freedom (df) equal to the difference in the number of parameters between models. Because

the median branch length of the tree is 0.0019 substitutions per site, making multiple

changes at a single site or reversions to the ancestral state unlikely, we set the rates of

transition to the ancestral state to zero. After this restriction, the null independent model

had four free transition parameters. To test for dependence, we imposed two additional

restrictions to the model: one forcing the transition rate at site one to be fixed regardless of

the state of site 2 (q13=q24), and a second forcing the transition rate at site 2 to be fixed

regardless of the state of site 1 (q12=q34). This effectively tests whether the transition rate

is affected by the state of either site leaving the model with only two free transition

parameters (LRT with df=2). To run the analysis, the phylogeny branch lengths were scaled

using BayesTraits to have a mean length of 0.1; to increase the chance of finding the true

maximum likelihood, we set MLTries to 250.

References

1. Agrawal, A.A., Petschenka, G., Bingham, R.A., Weber, M.G., and Rasmann, S. (2012). Toxic cardenolides: chemical ecology and coevolution of specialized plant–herbivore interactions. New Phytologist *194*, 28-45.

2. Mohammadi, S., Yang, L., Bulbert, M., and Rowland, H.M. (2022). Defence mitigation by predators of chemically defended prey integrated over the predation sequence and across biological levels with a focus on cardiotonic steroids. Royal Society Open Science *9*, 220363.

3. Horisberger, J.-D. (2004). Recent insights into the structure and mechanism of the sodium pump. Physiology *19*, 377-387.

4. Lingrel, J.B., Orlowski, J., Shull, M.M., and Price, E.M. (1990). Molecular genetics of Na, K-ATPase. Progress in nucleic acid research and molecular biology *38*, 37-89.

5. Siegel, R.B., Taylor, R., Saracco, J.F., Helton, L., and Stock, S. (2016). GPS-tracking reveals non-breeding locations and apparent molt migration of a Black-headed Grosbeak. Journal of Field Ornithology *87*, 196-203.

6. Fink, L.S., and Brower, L.P. (1981). Birds Can Overcome the Cardenolide Defense of Monarch Butterflies in Mexico. Nature *291*, 67-70.

7. Fink, L.S., Brower, L.P., Waide, R.B., and Spitzer, P.R. (1983). Overwintering Monarch Butterflies as Food for Insectivorous Birds in Mexico. Biotropica *15*, 151-153.

8. Brower, L.P., and Calvert, W.H. (1985). Foraging dynamics of bird predators on overwintering monarch butterflies in Mexico. Evolution *39*, 852-868.

9. Li, F., Weng, Y., Wang, L., He, H., Yang, J., and Tang, X. (2010). The efficacy and safety of bufadienolides-loaded nanostructured lipid carriers. International Journal of Pharmaceutics *393*, 204-212.

10. Li, W., Lin, X., Yang, Z., Zhang, W., Ren, T., Qu, F., Wang, Y., Zhang, N., and Tang, X. (2015). A bufadienolide-loaded submicron emulsion for oral administration: stability, antitumor efficacy and toxicity. International Journal of Pharmaceutics *479*, 52-62.

11. Ferreira, P.M.P., de Sousa, L.Q., de Sousa, R.W.R., de Jesus Rodrigues, D., dos Santos Monção Filho, E., Chaves, M.H., Júnior, G.M.V., dos Santos Rizzo, M., Filgueiras, L.A., and Mendes, A.N. (2023). Toxic profile of marinobufagin from poisonous Amazon toads and antitumoral effects on human colorectal carcinomas. Journal of ethnopharmacology *310*, 116406.

12. Jurowski, K., Niżnik, Ł., Frydrych, A., Kobylarz, D., Noga, M., Krośniak, A., Fijałkowska, O., Świdniak, A., and Ahuja, V. (2025). Toxicological profile of Acovenoside A as an active pharmaceutical ingredient – prediction of missing key toxicological endpoints using in silico toxicology methodology. Chemico-Biological Interactions *408*, 111404.

13. Marty, M.A. (1983). METABOLISM AND DISPOSITION OF MILKWEED (ASCLEPIADACEAE) CARDENOLIDES IN MONARCH BUTTERFLY LARVAE, DANAUS PLEXIPPUS, AND IN A PREDATOR, PEROMYSCUS MANICULATUS. (United States -- California: University of California, Davis), p. 222.

14. Whiteman, N.K., and Mooney, K.A. (2012). Insects converge on resistance. Nature *489*, 376-377.

15. Groen, S.C., and Whiteman, N.K. (2021). Convergent evolution of cardiac-glycoside resistance in predators and parasites of milkweed herbivores. Curr Biol *31*, R1465-r1466.

592   16.   Mohammadi, S., Herrera-Álvarez, S., Yang, L., Rodriguez-Ordonez, M.d.P., Zhang, K.,
593   Storz, J.F., Dobler, S., Crawford, A.J., and Andolfatto, P. (2022). Constraints on the
594   evolution of toxin-resistant Na, K-ATPases have limited dependence on sequence
595   divergence. PLoS genetics *18*, e1010323.
596   17.   Mohammadi, S., Savitzky, A.H., Lohr, J., and Dobler, S. (2017). Toad toxin-resistant
597   snake (Thamnophis elegans) expresses high levels of mutant Na+/K+-ATPase mRNA
598   in cardiac muscle. Gene *614*, 21-25.
599   18.   Mohammadi, S., Yang, L., Harpak, A., Herrera-Álvarez, S., del Pilar Rodríguez-
600   Ordoñez, M., Peng, J., Zhang, K., Storz, J.F., Dobler, S., and Crawford, A.J. (2021).
601   Concerted evolution reveals co-adapted amino acid substitutions in Na+ K+-ATPase
602   of frogs that prey on toxic toads. Current Biology *31*, 2530-2538. e2510.
603   19.   Ujvari, B., Mun, H.-c., Conigrave, A.D., Bray, A., Osterkamp, J., Halling, P., and
604   Madsen, T. (2013). Isolation breeds naivety: island living robs Australian varanid
605   lizards of toad-toxin immunity via four-base-pair mutation. Evolution *67*, 289-294.
606   20.   Ujvari, B., Casewell, N.R., Sunagar, K., Arbuckle, K., Wüster, W., Lo, N., O'Meally, D.,
607   Beckmann, C., King, G.F., and Deplazes, E. (2015). Widespread convergence in toxin
608   resistance by predictable molecular evolution. Proceedings of the National Academy
609   of Sciences *112*, 11911-11916.
610   21.   Mohammadi, S., Gompert, Z., Gonzalez, J., Takeuchi, H., Mori, A., and Savitzky, A.H.
611   (2016). Toxin-resistant isoforms of Na+/K+-ATPase in snakes do not closely track
612   dietary specialization on toads. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological
613   Sciences *283*, 20162111.
614   22.   Marshall, B.M., Casewell, N.R., Vences, M., Glaw, F., Andreone, F., Rakotoarison, A.,
615   Zancolli, G., Woog, F., and Wüster, W. (2018). Widespread vulnerability of Malagasy
616   predators to the toxins of an introduced toad. Current Biology *28*, R654-R655.
617   23.   Karageorgi, M., Groen, S.C., Sumbul, F., Pelaez, J.N., Verster, K.I., Aguilar, J.M.,
618   Hastings, A.P., Bernstein, S.L., Matsunaga, T., Astourian, M., et al. (2019). Genome
619   editing retraces the evolution of toxin resistance in the monarch butterfly. Nature
620   *574*, 409-412.
621   24.   Taverner, A.M., Yang, L., Barile, Z.J., Lin, B., Peng, J., Pinharanda, A.P., Rao, A.S.,
622   Roland, B.P., Talsma, A.D., and Wei, D. (2019). Adaptive substitutions underlying
623   cardiac glycoside insensitivity in insects exhibit epistasis in vivo. Elife *8*.
624   25.   Carpenter, G.H. (1942). Observations and experiments in Africa by the late CFM
625   Swynnerton on wild birds eating butterflies and the preference shown. In
626   Proceedings of the Linnean Society of London, Volume 154. (Oxford University
627   Press), pp. 10-46.
628   26.   Brodie, J.F. (2017). Evolutionary cascades induced by large frugivores. Proceedings of
629   the National Academy of Sciences *114*, 11998-12002.
630   27.   Agrawal, A.A., Hastings, A.P., Lenhart, P.A., Blecher, M., Duplais, C., Petschenka, G.,
631   Hawlena, D., Wagschal, V., and Dobler, S. (2024). Convergence and Divergence
632   among Herbivorous Insects Specialized on Toxic Plants: Revealing Syndromes among
633   the Cardenolide Feeders across the Insect Tree of Life. The American Naturalist *204*,
634   201-220.
635   28.   Dobler, S., Dalla, S., Wagschal, V., and Agrawal, A.A. (2012). Community-wide
636   convergent evolution in insect adaptation to toxic cardenolides by substitutions in
637   the Na,K-ATPase. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences *109*, 13040-
638   13045.

29. Dalla, S., and Dobler, S. (2016). Gene duplications circumvent trade-offs in enzyme
function: Insect adaptation to toxic host plants. Evolution *70*, 2767-2777.
30. Lee, M.S., Cau, A., Naish, D., and Dyke, G.J. (2014). Morphological clocks in
paleontology, and a mid-Cretaceous origin of crown Aves. Systematic Biology *63*,
442-449.
31. Stiller, J., Feng, S., Chowdhury, A.-A., Rivas-González, I., Duchêne, D.A., Fang, Q.,
Deng, Y., Kozlov, A., Stamatakis, A., Claramunt, S., et al. (2024). Complexity of avian
evolution revealed by family-level genomes. Nature *629*, 851-860.
32. Brower, L.P., Nelson, C.J., Seiber, J.N., Fink, L.S., and Bond, C. (1988). Exaptation as
an alternative to coevolution in the cardenolide-based chemical defense of monarch
butterflies (*Danaus plexippus L.*) against avian predators. In Chemical mediation of
coevolution, K.C. Spencer, ed. (London: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich).
33. Groen, S.C., and Whiteman, N.K. (2021). Convergent evolution of cardiac-glycoside
resistance in predators and parasites of milkweed herbivores. Current Biology *31*,
R1465-R1466.
34. Petschenka, G., Fandrich, S., Sander, N., Wagschal, V., Boppré, M., and Dobler, S.
(2013). Stepwise evolution of resistance to toxic cardenolides via genetic
substitutions in the Na+/K+-ATPase of milkweed butterflies (Lepidoptera: Danaini).
Evolution *67*, 2753-2761.
35. Mohammadi, S., Özdemir, H., Ozbek, P., Sumbul, F., Stiller, J., Deng, Y., Crawford,
A.J., Rowland, H.M., Storz, J.F., Andolfatto, P., et al. (2022). Epistatic Effects Between
Amino Acid Insertions and Substitutions Mediate Toxin resistance of Vertebrate
Na+,K+-ATPases. Mol Biol Evol *39*.
36. Yang, L., Ravikanthachari, N., Mariño-Pérez, R., Deshmukh, R., Wu, M., Rosenstein,
A., Kunte, K., Song, H., and Andolfatto, P. (2019). Predictability in the evolution of
Orthopteran cardenolide insensitivity. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal
Society B *374*, 20180246.
37. Dobler, S., Wagschal, V., Pietsch, N., Dahdouli, N., Meinzer, F., Romey-Glüsing, R.,
and Schütte, K. (2019). New ways to acquire resistance: imperfect convergence in
insect adaptations to a potent plant toxin. Proceedings of the Royal Society B:
Biological Sciences *286*, 20190883.
38. Feng, S., Stiller, J., Deng, Y., Armstrong, J., Fang, Q., Reeve, A.H., Xie, D., Chen, G.,
Guo, C., and Faircloth, B.C. (2020). Dense sampling of bird diversity increases power
of comparative genomics. Nature *587*, 252-257.
39. Crawford, N.G., Faircloth, B.C., McCormack, J.E., Brumfield, R.T., Winker, K., and
Glenn, T.C. (2012). More than 1000 ultraconserved elements provide evidence that
turtles are the sister group of archosaurs. Biology letters *8*, 783-786.
40. Crawford, N.G., Parham, J.F., Sellas, A.B., Faircloth, B.C., Glenn, T.C., Papenfuss, T.J.,
Henderson, J.B., Hansen, M.H., and Simison, W.B. (2015). A phylogenomic analysis of
turtles. Molecular phylogenetics and evolution *83*, 250-257.
41. Green, R.E., Braun, E.L., Armstrong, J., Earl, D., Nguyen, N., Hickey, G., Vandewege,
M.W., St. John, J.A., Capella-Gutiérrez, S., and Castoe, T.A. (2014). Three crocodilian
genomes reveal ancestral patterns of evolution among archosaurs. Science *346*,
1254449.
42. Katoh, K., and Standley, D.M. (2013). MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software
version 7: improvements in performance and usability. Mol Biol Evol *30*, 772-780.

43.   Minh, B.Q., Schmidt, H.A., Chernomor, O., Schrempf, D., Woodhams, M.D., Von
Haeseler, A., and Lanfear, R. (2020). IQ-TREE 2: new models and efficient methods
for phylogenetic inference in the genomic era. Molecular biology and evolution *37*,
1530-1534.
44.   Hanson-Smith, V., Kolaczkowski, B., and Thornton, J.W. (2010). Robustness of
ancestral sequence reconstruction to phylogenetic uncertainty. Molecular biology
and evolution *27*, 1988-1999.
45.   Jarvis, E.D., Mirarab, S., Aberer, A.J., Li, B., Houde, P., Li, C., Ho, S.Y.W., Faircloth,
B.C., Nabholz, B., Howard, J.T., et al. (2014). Whole-genome analyses resolve early
branches in the tree of life of modern birds. Science *346*, 1320-1331.
46.   Prum, R.O., Berv, J.S., Dornburg, A., Field, D.J., Townsend, J.P., Lemmon, E.M., and
Lemmon, A.R. (2015). A comprehensive phylogeny of birds (Aves) using targeted
next-generation DNA sequencing. Nature *526*, 569-573.
47.   Jetz, W., Thomas, G.H., Joy, J.B., Hartmann, K., and Mooers, A.O. (2012). The global
diversity of birds in space and time. Nature *491*, 444-448.
48.   Scholz, J., and Suppmann, S. (2017). A new single-step protocol for rapid baculovirus-
driven protein production in insect cells. BMC biotechnology *17*, 1-9.
49.   Löptien, J., Vesting, S., Dobler, S., and Mohammadi, S. (2024). Evaluating the efficacy
of protein quantification methods on membrane proteins. Open Biology *14*, 240082.
50.   Taussky, H.H., and Shorr, E. (1953). A microcolorimetric method for the
determination of inorganic phosphorus. J Biol chem *202*, 675-685.
51.   Pagel, M., and Meade, A. (2006). Bayesian analysis of correlated evolution of
discrete characters by reversible-jump Markov chain Monte Carlo. The American
Naturalist *167*, 808-825.
709