1   Protective efficacy of mutant strains of *Borrelia burgdorferi* as potential reservoir host-

2   targeted biologics against Lyme disease

3   Running Title: Reservoir host-targeted biologics against Lyme disease

4   Venkatesh Kumaresan[1], Trevor C. Smith II[a], Miranda Lumbreras[b], Taylor MacMackin

5   Ingle[1], Nathan Kilgore[1], Jolie F. Starling-Lin[1], Elizabeth J. Horn[2] and J. Seshu[1]*

6   [1]South Texas Center for Emerging Infectious Diseases,
7   Department of Molecular Microbiology and Immunology,
8   The University of Texas at San Antonio
9   San Antonio, TX-78249;
10   [2] Bay Area Lyme Foundation
11
Present Address:

[a] Department of Molecular Genetics and Microbiology, Tufts University School of Medicine,

[b] Department of Immunology, Duke University Medical Center

*Corresponding Author:
J. Seshu,
Department of Molecular Microbiology and Immunology
BSE3.230; 1 UTSA Circle,
The University of Texas at San Antonio
San Antonio, TX-78249
j.seshu@utsa.edu

Abstract

Lyme disease (LD), caused by *Borrelia burgdorferi* (*Bb*), is the most common vector-borne disease in the United States. Methods to control the transmission of *Bb* to humans via ticks are critical for prevention and elimination of LD. Previously, we showed that deletion of <u>B</u>orrelia host-<u>ad</u>aptation <u>R</u>egulator (*badR* mutant) and replacement of 8 conserved residues in <u>C</u>arbon <u>S</u>torage <u>R</u>egulator <u>A</u> of <u>B</u>orrelia <u>b</u>urgdorferi (CsrA$_{Bb}$, 8S mutant) resulted in upregulation of several lipoproteins due to increased levels of RpoS. Both strains were incapable of colonization in C3H/HeN mice. Clonal isolates lacking linear plasmid 25 derived from strain *Bb*-B31 or *Bb* B31-A3 incapable of infection served as control strains. Infection derived mouse serum and serum from Lyme disease patients exhibited reactivity to lysates and purified borrelial lipoproteins (PBLs) from these mutants. Proteomic analysis of PBLs from the mutants revealed similar and unique antigenic components. Two intradermal vaccinations with the live mutant strains induced anti-borrelial antibody responses and reduced *Bb* load in different tissues compared to unvaccinated C3H/HeN mice following needle challenge with infectious *Bb* strain B31-A3 strain. The *badR* mutant exhibited greater protective efficacy following needle challenge with *Bb* or infected *Ixodes scapularis* (*Is*) nymphs. Transmission of *Bb* to naïve *Is* larvae was reduced from vaccinated mice compared to unvaccinated mice challenged with *Bb* strain B31-A3. PBLs from mutant strains induced protective immunity in C3H/HeN mice against challenge with infected nymphs. Overall, non-infectious mutant strains can serve as a source of antigens for biologics to interfere with the natural life cycle of *Bb*.

                                    Introduction

Lyme disease is the most common vector-borne disease in the US with 89,000 cases

reported to Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC, Atlanta) in 2023 and around

476,000 people diagnosed or treated each year reflecting an increase in the incidence of Lyme

disease (1, 2). *Borrelia burgdorferi* (*Bb*), the spirochetal agent of Lyme disease is transmitted to

vertebrate hosts through the bites of infected *Ixodes scapularis* ticks which are also known to

transmit up to 7 pathogens to humans and naïve vertebrate hosts (3). Currently, there are no

vaccines available for use in human. Hence, there is a dire need to advance strategies to limit or

completely interfere with the transmission of one or more tick-borne pathogens as they cycle

through the black-legged tick and a variety of vertebrate hosts (4, 5). One strategy is to reduce

the burden of spirochetes in the reservoir hosts notably in the endemic areas, with the expectation

that reduced transmission via ticks will gradually reduce the incidence of human Lyme disease

(6, 7). Amongst a vast number of mutants strains of *Bb* that have been generated thus far, several

are incapable of survival in mammalian hosts or have a highly attenuated phenotype (8-10).

However, these mutant strains or their subcellular products provide a rich assortment of

candidates that can be tested for efficacy as reservoir host targeted biologics, exploiting their

inability to colonize mammalian hosts while capable of inducing protective immune responses.

We have previously shown that the deletion of <u>B</u>orrelia host-<u>ad</u>aptation <u>R</u>egulator (BadR)

results in de-repression of RpoS and an increase in the levels of expression of several major

borrelial lipoproteins that are known to play a critical role in mediating the interactions of the

spirochetes with host cell surfaces (11, 12). Levels of <u>O</u>uter <u>s</u>urface <u>p</u>rotein <u>C</u> (OspC), Decorin

<u>b</u>inding <u>p</u>roteins <u>A</u> and <u>B</u> (DbpA/B) and BBK32, among others, were all upregulated in the *badR*

mutant. Moreover, replacement of 8 conserved residues with alanines in Carbon Storage

Regulator A of *B. burgdorferi* (CsrA$_{Bb}$; 8S mutant) also resulted in increased levels of expression

of major lipoproteins of *Bb* (13). Both these mutant strains are incapable of colonization of mouse

models of infection and are therefore attenuated for infection likely due to a metabolic defect in

the mutant for survival within mammalian hosts or due to innate/adaptive immune mechanisms

clearing the spirochetes that hyper-express immunogenic borrelial lipoproteins and other

immunologically relevant determinants. However, the increased levels of several RpoS-regulated

borrelial lipoproteins in both *badR* and *8S* mutants could be exploited as biologics to enhance

host immunity as surface exposed borrelial lipoproteins induce robust antibodies responses in

mammalian hosts and are likely to be protective against challenge with infectious strains of *Bb.*

In addition to the above mutants, a clonal isolate of *Bb* strain B31 lacking the 25kb linear plasmid

(lp25, ML23) or an lp25-deficient clone from *Bb* strain B31-A3 that are incapable of colonization

of mammalian host were also used in the study. The lack of colonization of C3H/HeN or the SCID

mice is due to a metabolic insufficiency lacking a key enzyme (*bbe22*, *pncA*, encoding

nicotinamidase) critical for metabolism of nicotinamide to generate NAD in lp25 (14-16). The lp25

negative non-infectious borrelial strains are likely to modulate immune responses following

multiple administrations via the intradermal route.

While several RpoS-induced lipoproteins were differentially expressed in both *badR* and

8S mutants, the levels of <u>O</u>uter <u>s</u>urface <u>p</u>rotein <u>A</u> (OspA), a major immunogenic lipoprotein, was

not altered in these mutants in comparison to the parental wild - type strain under *in vitro* growth

conditions (11). Comparable levels of OspA between mutants was expected to induce OspA-

specific protective immunity that has been previously shown to be critical for prevention of

transmission of *Bb* from infected ticks to vaccinated vertebrate hosts (17-19). Several studies

have demonstrated the relevance of the antibody responses directed at borrelial lipoproteins in

preventing transmission of *Bb* from ticks. Moreover, a genome-wide proteome analysis of *Bb*

(B31) using sera from patients with natural infections revealed approximately 15% of the 1,292

evaluated open reading frames code for immunogenic products (20, 21). The *Bb* genome

encodes more than 120 lipoproteins, constituting nearly 8% of open reading frames. Experimental

examination of 125 lipoproteins revealed that 86 are secreted to the bacterial surface (20).

Consequently, lipoproteins are critical antigenic components of *Bb*, demonstrating efficacy in

inducing protective immunity both as individual antigens or as a cocktail of recombinant

lipoproteins. Therefore, we hypothesized that these mutant strains incapable of survival in mouse

models of Lyme disease, despite hyperexpression of major surface exposed lipoproteins, likely

serve as potential biologics to interfere with pathogen survival and transmission from reservoir

hosts (7, 17, 22, 23). Moreover, numerous lipoproteins with native composition and

distribution/stoichiometry on borrelial outer membranes are expected to induce robust protective

immunity (24). Therefore, exploring the protective efficacy of lipoproteins purified from borrelial

mutants holds significance, providing valuable insights into the potential use of these strains either

as intact spirochetes or utilizing purified lipoproteins derived from these mutants as reservoir host

-targeted vaccines.

Mutants strains of *Bb* have been exploited to dissect key features of innate and adaptive

immune responses, as well as metabolic fitness to survive during the tick and mammalian phases

of infection (25). A mutant lacking the multifunctional outer membrane protein, P66, known to

mediate interactions of Lyme spirochetes with integrins was rapidly cleared from the skin of

C3H/HeN mice, a phenotype not influenced by skin antimicrobial peptide mCRAMP (26).

Moreover, *p66* mutant was unable to colonize neutrophil depleted mice, suggesting a novel role

for P66 in the colonization of *Bb*. A borrelial mutant lacking abundant expression of major surface

exposed lipoproteins (OspA, OspB and OspC, *ospABC*⁻ mutant) was used to identify non-

abundant immunogenic proteins expanding the utility of mutant strains of *Bb* in developing tools

or biologics that can be used to induce protective immune responses (27). The overexpression of

several surface exposed immunogenic lipoproteins in the *badR* and 8S mutants allows for a rapid

and reliable method to partition these lipoproteins from the soluble and membrane proteins using

Triton X-114 as the detergent while serving to inactivate viable spirochetes (28, 29). In addition,

the partitioned borrelial lipoproteins are likely to be stable vaccine preparations with the caveat

that the immunity induced will not reflect that induced by soluble immunogenic proteins. Exploiting

mutant strains of *Bb* or their derivatives in conjunction with an expanded array of tools to

genetically manipulate *Bb* offers a novel platform to design and deliver antigens against one or

more tick-borne pathogens as a multivalent vaccine formulation, notably to prevent pathogen

burden in reservoir hosts.

In this study, we determined the antigenicity of purified lipoproteins from wildtype *Bb* strain

B31-A3, 8S and Δ*badR* using infection derived mouse serum and bar-coded Lyme disease patient

serum (blinded with no patient information). We assessed the utility of these mutant strains in

providing protection against *Bb* infection in C3H/HeN and in transmission of *Bb* to naïve larvae

following intradermal injection of intact spirochetes. Furthermore, the protective efficacy of the

lipoproteins purified from these mutant strains following immunization via the intradermal route

was determined against tick and needle challenge with infectious *Bb* strain. Correlates of immune

response from these studies will aid in formulating purified borrelial lipoproteins for delivery via

the oral route and in validation of efficacy of peripheral immune responses induced against *Bb.*

These studies are aimed at advancing methods to reduce pathogen burden in reservoir hosts,

thereby reducing transmission of *Bb* to humans and naive reservoir hosts via ticks.

 Results

 Extraction and profiling of borrelial lipoproteins

Lipoproteins were extracted from *Bb* strain B31-A3 (wild type parental strain used to generate the mutants), 8S and Δ*badR* using Triton X-114, and the protein profiles were compared between insoluble pellet, aqueous phase (water-soluble proteins), and TX-114 phase (detergent phase). Total protein profile of the partitioned proteins separated on an SDS-PAGE gel and stained with Coomassie blue were distinct among all three strains (Fig. 1A). Immunoblot analysis using a high dilution (1:10,000) of *Bb* strain B31-A3 infection-derived mouse serum from C3H/HeN mice revealed high reactivity with proteins in the detergent phase in all three strains (Fig 1B). Moreover, immunoblot of these partitioned proteins revealed the presence of FlaB (major flagellin of *Bb*) predominantly in the pellet and aqueous phase fractions with no apparent levels of FlaB detected in the detergent phase fraction (Fig 1C). Since much of this study is focused on purified borrelial lipoproteins from *Bb*-B31, 8S and Δ*badR* strains, we compared the detergent phase proteins from these strains and noted apparent differences between them (Fig 2A). It should be pointed out that the infection derived serum had the most reactivity to the detergent phase proteins as a majority of these proteins are surface exposed lipoproteins that are immunogenic. Notably, there are increased levels of an approximately 33kDa protein that is present in the detergent fraction of 8S compared to wild type or Δ*badR* strains (Fig 2A). Based on the size and mass spectrometric analysis, this protein was determined to be Outer surface protein B which has been shown to be a major immunogenic surface exposed lipoprotein (Fig 2B). It should be pointed out that while OspB was apparent in detergent phase extracts of 8S strain, both the WT and Δ*badR* strains expressed OspB as one of the five most abundant lipoproteins (Fig 2C). Three independently purified TX-114 fractions from all three strains had similar protein abundance as the most abundant peptides identified using an unbiased proteomic analysis of the detergent phase proteins from all three strains were derived from major surface exposed lipoproteins of *Bb* validating the detergent extraction procedure (Fig 2C). Notably, there are no significant changes in the serum antibody reactivity between the lipoproteins and the *Bb* lysate, showing that majority of the antigenic proteins are present in the lipoprotein fractions of all three strains. Moreover, immunoblot analysis indicated no major differences between lipoproteins purified from mutants and the B31 strains suggesting that these mutants did exhibit significant differences in major lipoproteins levels which could alter their antigenicity.

Reactivity of serum from Lyme disease patients

Human serum samples with no patient identifiers or clinical information from patients with or without Lyme disease were obtained from the Bay Area Lyme Foundation supported BioBank and tested for reactivity with lipoproteins from parental and mutant strains using an ELISA based method (Fig 3). While several human serum samples showed high titers of IgG specific to lipoproteins from all three strains, there were several samples that had baseline reactivity similar to a normal human serum sample from a local blood bank used as a negative control (normal serum). There were differences in the end-point titers of human serum samples against lipoproteins suggesting differences in either the specificity of the antibodies or potential variations in the levels of borrelial lipoproteins purified from different strains. Four human serum samples tested had titers against lipoproteins from parental wild type strain at levels above base line titers observed with lipoproteins from 8S or Δ*badR* strains (Fig 3). Results showed that all three strains exhibited similar reactivity with the infected human serum samples (Fig 3). Isotypes of the human antibodies indicated a predominance of IgG1 in several samples positive for Lyme disease, while the presence of IgM is suggestive of early stages of infection (Fig 4). Immunoblot analysis of a positive human serum sample (LD585) showed reactivity to lipoproteins of all three borrelial strains (Fig 4B). Although the human serum samples were tested without prior clinical correlates, several serum samples that reacted with both *Bb* lysates or with PBLs correlated with clinical data compared retrospectively (Supplementary Table 1) (30).

Protective efficacy of mutant borrelial strains. We hypothesized that 8S and Δ*badR* strains can be exploited to induce protective antibody responses, a key correlate of protection, in C3H/HeN following two immunizations, as both these strains were incapable of survival with murine hosts. As a control, we also used two different clonal isolates lacking lp25, one from *Bb* strain B31- ML23 (14, 15) and the other from *Bb* strain B31-A3 (parental strain for 8S and Δ*badR* mutants*)*. Two immunizations with 1×10^5 *Bb*/mouse at day 0 and 14 resulted in increased levels of IgM at day 14 after the second immunization (Fig 5). At day 28 post-second immunization, the levels of total IgG, IgG1, IgG2a and IgG2b were significantly higher compared to that in naïve control mice while the levels of IgG3, IgM and IgA were not significant (Fig 6). Efficacy of protection was determined by challenging mice vaccinated twice with ML23, 8S and Δ*badR* at day 28 post-second immunization with 5 *I. scapularis* nymphs infected with B31-A3. As shown in Table 1, no spirochetes were detected when different tissues were incubated in BSK-II media from all mice vaccinated with 8S and Δ*badR* strains while spirochetes were not detected only in 1 out of 3 mice vaccinated with ML23. While one naïve mouse had spirochetes in several tissues tested, there

were no spirochetes detected in mice vaccinated with recombinant lipidated OspA via the
intradermal route (serving as a positive control for protection). All nymphs used in this experiment
were generated from naïve larvae obtained after being fed on a single infected C3H/HeN mouse
and hence the total number of mice used in the study were limited depending on the total number
of infected nymphs generated from the cohort of fed larvae. This is to ensure a close proximity to
number of spirochetes per nymph used to challenge vaccinated mice.

Two additional studies were performed using C3H/HeN mice vaccinated twice with viable
spirochetes (ML23, 8S and *ΔbadR)* to determine the efficacy of protection and ability to prevent
naïve larvae from acquiring *Bb* following challenge of vaccinated mice with 1×10^5 *Bb* strain B31-
A3 spirochetes per mouse. In one experiment (Fig 7A), mice were vaccinated at day 0 and 16.
End-point titers of antibodies reacting with total *Bb* lysate needle challenged at day 56 were higher
in all vaccinated mice compared to unvaccinated controls (Fig 7B). Following needle challenge at
day 56, naïve larvae were allowed to feed on mice to repletion and *Bb* burden was assessed via
qPCR. While both unvaccinated mice allowed naïve larvae to acquire *Bb*, only one mouse
vaccinated with either 8S or *ΔbadR* had fed larvae with *Bb*. Moreover, it was possible to detect
Bb specific DNA in the skin, lymph node and joints of vaccinated mice that allowed naïve larvae
to acquire *Bb* while skin from one additional mouse vaccinated with *ΔbadR* was also positive for
Bb. In the second experiment, mice were vaccinated at day 0 and 18 and needle challenged with
infectious B31-A3 at day 92 followed by tick feeding at day 120 to determine the levels of
protection over a longer duration of time (Fig 7A). There were higher antibody titers in vaccinated
animals as expected at day 28 (Fig 7B) while fed larvae from all vaccinated mice were positive
for *Bb* by qPCR suggesting the duration of immune response after the second immunization is
key to limiting *Bb* acquisition by naïve larvae (Fig 7C). Although larvae fed on *ΔbadR* vaccinated
mice had detectable levels of *Bb*, qPCR analysis of skin and joint did not reveal presence of *Bb*
specific DNA. However, *Bb* was detected in several tissues from mice vaccinated with ML23 and
8S reflecting increased levels of pathogen burden in these mice.

Tissue samples from the vaccinated/challenged mice were aseptically isolated following
euthanasia at day 92 (Fig 7A) or day 120 (Fig 8A) and processed to facilitate isolation of
spirochetes in BSK-II growth medium. Cultures were blind passed after 5 days into fresh BSK-II
growth medium to minimize the toxicity associated with the degradation of host tissues and to
facilitate growth of spirochetes. As shown in Table 2, 5 out 5 naïve mice challenged with *Bb* B31-
A3 had viable spirochetes while only one mouse vaccinated with *ΔbadR* was culture positive for
spirochetes. Mice vaccinated with 8S (3/7) and ML23 (4/7) had tissues positive for spirochetes

suggesting reduced levels of efficacy in curtailing *Bb* survival although the challenge dose of

1×10^5 spirochetes of *Bb* strain B31-A3 being relatively high. Moreover, mice with culture positive

tissues were the ones that allowed for *Bb* acquisition by naïve larvae and also correlated with

high *Bb* load in those tissues by qPCR. These data suggest that vaccination with mutant strains

of *Bb* provided select correlates of protection that can be exploited for developing reservoir host

targeted biologicals for reducing *Bb* burden.

Protective efficacy of purified lipoproteins from mutant borrelial strains

Since borrelial lipoproteins play a vital role both in the induction of protective immune responses

as well as in the pathogenic mechanisms of *Bb*, we hypothesized that purified borrelial

lipoproteins (PBLs) from the mutant strains are likely the major determinants influencing the

protective capability of intact mutant spirochetes. Correlates of humoral immune response to

intradermal inoculation of PBLs in C3H/HeN mice were established using an ELISA based method

and levels of IgG, IgG1, IgG2a and IgG2b were found to be significantly elevated compared to

that in naïve controls at day 28 dpv, while levels of IgM, IgA and IgG3 were not. Lipoproteins from

detergent phase of *Bb* strain B31-A3 were used as the wildtype preparation since both 8S and

ΔbadR strains were generated using this infectious strain. As shown in Table 3, C3H/HeN mice

immunized via intradermal route with PBLs from B31-A3 and 8S were protected following

challenge with infected *I. scapularis* nymphs with no viable spirochetes isolated from different

infected tissues. The levels of protection conferred by PBL from *ΔbadR* mutant was lower with 2

out of six mice positive for viable spirochetes in select tissues. Lipidated OspA was protective with

no tissues positive for *Bb* while 5 out of 6 control mice (PBS-treated) had tissues that were culture

positive for spirochetes. These data sets are representative of two independent studies with 3

mice each to facilitate using *Bb* infected nymphs obtained from a single infected C3H/HeN mice

to ensure levels of *Bb* challenge are comparable between each treatment.

Discussion

There is a dire need to develop products and strategies to reduce the burden of one or more tick-borne pathogens in reservoir hosts in the endemic areas where these diseases are prevalent to prevent their rates of acquisition/transmission via vectors and in the process, eliminate or reduce the incidence of tick-borne diseases in humans (23). A large number of studies have been performed with one or more recombinant proteins from *Bb* that have led to vaccines approved for use in humans (22, 31-33) and dogs (34-36) as well as those targeted for reservoir hosts with varying degrees of protective efficacy (7, 17). Additionally, borrelial antigens as recombinant proteins or those formulated using viral vaccine vectors have been evaluated for efficacy of protection in different experimental models of infection (37-41). However, the rapid expansion of a catalog of mutant strains of *Bb* lacking the ability to colonize different strains of mice or ticks but expressing immunogenic determinants to modulate the vertebrate host immune responses remain to be exploited as biologics capable of interfering with the natural life cycle of *Bb*.

In this study, we exploited two mutant strains (8S and *ΔbadR*) of *Bb* that hyper-expressed several major lipoproteins critical for colonization and immunomodulation of mammalian hosts (11, 13). Partitioning of lysates into detergent and aqueous phase proteins using Triton X-114 and immunoblot analysis demonstrated that the detergent phase proteins had significant immunoreactivity with infection derived serum generated against the parental strain of both mutants, *Bb* strain B31-A3 (Fig 1B). A notable difference in the profile of lipoproteins between the mutant and parental wild type strain was increased expression of Outer surface protein B (OspB) in the 8S mutant compared to parental and *ΔbadR* strain while a protein of approximately 17kDa was expressed at a lower level in 8S mutant (Fig 2A, 2B). Additional immunoblot analysis indicated that the 17kDA protein reacted with anti-OspB antibodies in the parental and *ΔbadR* mutant strain (data not shown) suggesting proteolytic processing of OspB in these strains while the full-length protein remained intact in the 8S mutant though the mechanism behind this observation is unclear (42, 43). Moreover, unbiased proteomic analysis of lipoproteins revealed presence of OspB in all three strains was detected as one of the top 5 highly expressed lipoproteins (Fig 2C). While deletion of *badR* resulted in derepression of RpoS leading to an upregulation of several genes encoding major surface exposed *Bb* lipoproteins, the 8S mutant with 8 critical residues in Carbon storage regulator A of *B. burgdorferi* ($csrA_{Bb}$) replaced with alanines resulted in stable expression of $CsrA_{Bb}$ leading to upregulation of RpoS and *rpoS*-regulated lipoproteins resulting in strains that can both be used to harvest immunogenic

lipoproteins as biologics to interfere with colonization of *Bb* (13, 44, 45). A well-established
procedure using Triton X-114 to partition borrelial lipoproteins provides for simple procedure to
extract lipoproteins from these mutant strains while ensuring the inactivation of spirochetes, even
though the mutant strains are incapable of survival within mammalian hosts (29). The lack of
detection of FlaB, the major flagellin that is not a lipoprotein and is localized in the periplasm of
spirochetes, in detergent phase extracts demonstrated the utility of this method to partition
lipoproteins from these mutants (Fig 1C). Moreover, unbiased proteomic analysis of peptides from
detergent phase extracts of spirochetes identified the top 5 proteins as lipoproteins (Fig 2C). All
major lipoproteins purified from these strains have the advantage of being in proportions
comparable to their stoichiometry observed in Lyme spirochetes compared to a cocktail of multiple
recombinant borrelial lipoproteins to serve as ideal biologics to modulate host responses to
interfere with colonization and transmission of *Bb*.

Immunogenicity of detergent phase proteins was also determined using infection derived
serum from mice and a set of human serum samples from Lyme Biobank with no patient identifiers
or patient history of Lyme disease. Comparison of clinical correlates also showed that the specific
serum samples positive for interacting with total *Bb* lysates or PBLs were from LD patient (30).
As expected, immunoblot analysis with infection derived mouse serum established significant
reactivity to the detergent phase extract comprised primarily of lipoproteins providing a correlate
of immune response critical for exploiting lipoproteins from *Bb* as source of biologics. Moreover,
several samples of human serum were reactive with detergent phase proteins adding to the
immunoreactivity of the detergent fraction consistent with prior studies on specificity of Lyme
disease patient serum against the major surface-exposed lipoproteins of *Bb* (46). Isotypes of
human serum specific to lysates from the parental wildtype strain (B31-A3) indicated 4 human
serum samples with higher IgM levels compared to IgG (0526; 0538; 0611) with only two samples
(0585 and 0610) having higher levels of IgA above the levels in normal human serum. There were
several human serum samples with increased levels of IgG1 reflecting *Bb* specific antibodies
likely contributing towards opsonization of the spirochetes and directed at protein antigens (47,
48).

Immunization of C3H/HeN mice with two doses of 8S and *ΔbadR* with ML23 (incapable of
survival in mammalian hosts due to lack of lp25) serving as a control resulted in increased levels
of IgM at day 14 after second immunization. While total IgG levels were also significantly higher
in vaccinated mice compared to naïve controls, the levels of IgG2a were significantly higher while
there were no significant increase observed with other antibody isotypes reacting with total

borrelial lysates (Fig 5). However, at day 28 post-second vaccination, there were a significant increase in the levels of total IgG, IgG1, IgG2a and IgG2b in vaccinated animals compared to naïve controls. No significant differences in the levels of IgM, IgG3 and IgA between vaccinated and naïve controls (Fig 6), providing additional correlates of humoral immune response to vaccination with intact mutant spirochetes incapable of survival in murine hosts.

Multiple challenge studies were performed where C3H/HeN mice vaccinated twice at day 0 and day 14 and challenged at day 28 after the first vaccination. As shown in Table 1, all animals vaccinated with *ΔbadR* or 8S strains, challenged with infected nymphs (5 nymphs per mouse) obtained from a cohort of *I. scapularis* larvae fed on a single mouse infected with *Bb* strain B31-A3, had no colonization of spirochetes in any of the tissues tested similar to mice vaccinated with recombinant lipidated OspA. However, 2 out of 3 mice vaccinated with ML23 had spirochetes in several tissues and one naïve mouse challenged with infected nymphs had spirochetes in several tissues tested. The variation in number of mice used in the study was reflected in the total number of infected nymphs obtained after molting of fed larvae from a single infected mouse to ensure comparable number of spirochetes are delivered to different groups of mice. To overcome the limitations of the small group of mice used in the tick challenge studies, we further performed studies vaccinated twice with ML23, 8S and *ΔbadR* strains as shown in Fig 7 and 8. Levels of antibodies were tested at days 14, 28 and 42 post-first vaccination and were found to be significantly higher in all vaccinated mice compared to naïve controls. Mice were needle challenged with 10^5 *Bb* strain B31-A3 spirochetes to limit variations in the challenge dose at day 56 via needle in one experiment and naïve larvae were allowed to feed to repletion at day 84 after challenge. Fed larvae from one out of three mice vaccinated with 8S and *ΔbadR* were positive for *Bb* while larvae from all mice vaccinated with ML23 had no detectable level of *Bb*. Quantitative realtime PCR analysis of tissues from this cohort of mice collected after euthanasia at day 92 revealed the presence of *Bb* specific DNA in the corresponding mouse that had fed larvae test positive for pathogen specific DNA. In addition, *Bb* specific DNA was detected in the skin of one mouse vaccinated with 8S or *ΔbadR* while mice vaccinated with ML23 strain had no detectable *Bb* specific DNA in any of the tissues. In the second experiment, a cohort of C3H/HeN mice vaccinated twice with mutant strains of *Bb* were challenged with 1×10^5 *Bb* strain B31-A3 spirochetes at 10 weeks (day 92 from first vaccination) after the second vaccination to determine the duration of protection conferred by the mutant strains. Fed larvae from all mice had detectable *Bb* specific DNA, while the larvae fed on naive control animals had higher *Bb flaB* copies compared to vaccinated animals. Moreover, only the lymph node from one mouse vaccinated with *ΔbadR* had *Bb* specific DNA while several tissues were positive from mice vaccinated with 8S or

ML23. These observations are also reflective of the timing of the challenge, the detection of *Bb*-
specific DNA from non-viable spirochetal remnants, and the overall challenge dose of 1x10^5
Bb/mouse which is considerably higher than what would be acquired from tick-challenge.
Nevertheless, the nature of the antibody response in vaccinated animals using the regimen
tested, although not sterilizing, resulted in the reduction in *Bb* load, providing insights to the
possibility of exploiting these mutant strains or their components for developing biologics to limit
Bb infection in reservoir hosts.

Various tissues isolated from vaccinated/challenged (Fig 7 and 8) mice were propagated
in BSK-II growth medium and growth of viable spirochetes were verified using dark field
microscopy. As shown in Table 2 (consolidated from experiments described in Fig 7 and 8) only
1 in 7 mice vaccinated with *ΔbadR* was positive for growth of spirochetes while 3 out 7 mice
vaccinated with 8S were positive. While 4 out of 7 mice vaccinated with ML23 were positive for
growth of spirochetes in different tissues, all 5 naive mice had growth (except for spleen where
the breakdown of tissues impair recovery of spirochetes) indicating the protective efficacy of
mutant strains of *Bb*. It should be pointed out the length of time after the second vaccination
impacts the levels of protection, as there was a greater reduction in the levels of fed larvae positive
for *Bb flab* as a measure of pathogen burden when the time of challenge was closer to the second
vaccination (Fig 7). These studies provide evidence to the utility of these mutant strains or their
subcellular components, notably lipoproteins, as potential biologics to induce host responses to
reduce the transmission of *Bb* via ticks.

Purified borrelial lipoproteins from *Bb* strain B31-A3 (parental wildtype strain), 8S and
ΔbadR induced significant antibody responses at day 28 post-vaccination with total IgG1, IgG2a
and IgG2b being the predominant IgG isotypes against *Bb*. Levels of IgM, IgA and IgG3 were not
significantly different between vaccinated and naïve mice. Mice were challenged with infected *Is*
nymphs (data from two separate experiments consolidated into a single table) obtained from
larvae fed on a single infected C3H/HeN mouse. As noted in Table 3, all mice vaccinated with
lipoproteins from B31-A3 and 8S strains did not have any spirochetes in several tissues tested
while 2 out 6 mice vaccinated with lipoproteins from *ΔbadR* mutant were positive for spirochetes
in select tissues. Five out of 6 control mice (PBS) were positive for infection while all three mice
vaccinated with OspA were negative for spirochetes, demonstrating the efficacy of protection of
borrelial lipoproteins given as a single intradermal route. These observations are critical to
establish correlates of protection for purified borrelial lipoproteins that can be formulated as oral

biologics for blocking the transmission of *Bb* between reservoir hosts via ticks, thereby advancing

methods to limit the incidence of Lyme disease in humans.

Materials and Methods

Animals and Ethics Statement

The animal facilities at The University of Texas at San Antonio (UTSA) are part of Laboratory Animal Resources Center (LARC), which is an AAALAC International Accredited Unit. 6-8-week-old female C3H/HeN and BALB/c mice (Charles River Laboratories, Wilmington, MA) were used in this study. All animal experiments were conducted following NIH guidelines for housing and care of laboratory animals and in accordance with protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (protocol number MU071) of UTSA. Based on these guidelines, general condition and behavior of the animals were monitored by trained laboratory and LARC staff daily and methods to minimize pain and discomfort were adopted as needed in this study.

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

A low passage infectious clonal isolate of *Borrelia burgdorferi* B31-A3 (49), mutant strains such as Δ*badR* (B31 isolate, *badR* (BB0693) deficient, Str^r) (11), 8S (B31 isolate, CsrA$_{Bb}$ with 8 critical residues replaced with alanine, Gent^R) (13) and ML23 (derived from strain *Bb*-B31 lacking linear plasmid 25 or lp25-deficeint strain from *Bb* B31-A3) (14, 15) were propagated at 32°C in liquid Barbour-Stoenner-Kelly (BSK-II, pH 7.6) media supplemented with 6% heat inactivated rabbit serum (Pel-Freez Biologicals, Rogers, AR) with appropriate antibiotics (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) as previously described (11, 13, 44, 50-52). Once the cultures reached a density between 1-2x10^7 spirochetes /ml, viable spirochetes were enumerated by dark field microscopy and used for intradermal inoculation of C3H/HeN mice twice at a dose of 1x10^5 *Bb* per mouse.

Cloning and overexpression of OspA. Genomic DNA from Bb strain B31-A3 was prepared and *ospA* was amplified using primers OspA-F/Xba1- ACGC TCTAGA ATGAAAAAATATTTATTGGGAATAGGTCTA and OspA-R/Sal1 ACGCGTCGACTTATTTTAAAGCGTAATTAATTTCATCAAG. The amplicon was first cloned into pCR2.1 and transformed into E. coli TOP10 cells exploiting the blue/white screening method. The insert was excised using the engineered restriction enzyme sites and cloned into expression plasmid pMAL-2x. Plasmids with the right insert were identified using pMAL2c specific primers flanking the insert and forward and were transformed into *E. coli* RosettaTM (Novagen). OspA fused to Maltose binding protein was induced with IPTG and purified using amylose beads as described previously (53-56). Purified OspA was quantified using BCA and stored at -20°C in aliquots until used. A similar construct was generated using pET23a vector and OspA fused to 6X-HisTag was purified using NiNTA beads as described previously (50).

Lipoprotein purification from *Bb* strains

Lipoproteins were extracted from the wildtype and mutant strains as previously described (28, 57). Briefly, 1×10^9 *Bb* was solubilized in 1 mL of PBS (Phosphate Buffered Saline, pH7.4) containing 1% Triton® X-114 (TX-114) (Sigma Aldrich) by gentle rocking at 4°C overnight. Pellet containing the TX-114 insoluble material was removed by two centrifugations at 15,000xg at 4°C for 15 mins. The supernatant was transferred to a sterile tube and incubated at 37°C for 15 mins. Then the mixture was centrifuged at 15,000xg for 15 mins at RT. The top aqueous phase was transferred to a new tube and re-extracted one more time with 1% TX-114 as described above. The bottom detergent phase was washed thrice with 1 mL PBS. The final detergent phase proteins were precipitated by adding 10-fold volume of ice-cold acetone, precipitates were collected by centrifugation at 15,000xg at 4°C for 30 mins, acetone was removed by drying, and proteins were resuspended in PBS. Insoluble (Pellet), soluble (aqueous phase) and Lipoprotein (TX-114 phase) fractions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE gel. Purified Borrelial Lipoproteins (PBLs) were quantified using a BCA assay kit (Thermo Scientific) and stored at -20°C until further use.

Proteomic analysis of PBLs

 PBLs isolated from infectious B31 strain (WT), 8S and *ΔbadR* mutant strains were submitted in triplicate to UTMB Proteomics facility for Mass Spec analysis to determine the composition of the detergent phase proteins. Each sample mixture was solubilized with 5% SDS, 50 mM triethyl ammonium bicarbonate, pH 7.55 in a final volume of 25 µl. The sample was then centrifuged at 17,000 g for 10 min to remove any debris. Proteins were reduced using a solution of 20 mM Tris-2-carboxyethyl phosphine (TCEP, Thermo, Scientific catalog #77,720) and incubated at 65 °C for 30 min. The sample was cooled to room temperature and 1 µl of 0.5 M iodoacetamide acid added and allowed to react for 20 mins in the dark. 2.75 µl of 12% phosphoric acid was added to the protein solution. 165 µL of binding buffer (90% Methanol, 100 mM TEAB final; pH 7.1) was then added to the solution. The resulting solution was added to S-Trap spin column (protifi.com) and passed through the column using a bench top centrifuge (30 s spin at 4000×g). The spin column was washed with 400 µL of binding buffer and centrifuged. The binding buffer wash was then repeated two more times. Trypsin was added to the protein mixture in a ratio of 1:25 in 50 mM TEAB, pH 8, and incubated at 37 °C for 4 h. Peptides were eluted with 75 µl of 50% acetonitrile, 0.2% formic acid, and then washed again with 75 µl of 80% acetonitrile, 0.2% formic acid. The combined peptide solution was then dried in a speed vac and resuspended in 2% acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid, 97.9% water and placed in an autosampler vial.

NanoLC MS/MS Analysis

Peptide mixtures were analyzed by nanoflow liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (nanoLC-MS/MS) using a nano-LC chromatography system (UltiMate 3000 RSLCnano, Dionex), coupled on-line to a Thermo Orbitrap Fusion mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA) through a nanospray ion source (Thermo Scientific). A trap and elute method was used. The trap column was a C18 PepMap100 (300 um X 5 mm, 5 um particle size, Thermo Scientific) and the analytical column was an Acclaim PepMap 100 (75 um X 25 cm, Thermo Scientific). After equilibrating the column in 98% solvent A (0.1% formic acid in water) and 2% solvent B (0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile (ACN)), the samples (1 µL in solvent A) were injected onto the trap column and subsequently eluted (300 nL/min) by gradient elution onto the C18 column as follows: isocratic at 2% B, 0-5 min; 5-6 min 2%-4% B, 4% to 32% B, 5-120 min; 32% to 90% B, 120-123 min; isocratic at 90% B, 123-126 min; 90% to 2%, 126-129 min; isocratic at 2% B, 129-130 min; 2% to 90% B, 130-134 min; isocratic at 90% B, 134-137 min; 90% to 2%, 137-140 min; and isocratic at 2% B, 140-145 min.

All LC-MS/MS data were acquired using Xcalibur, version 4.4.16.14 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) in positive ion mode using a top speed data-dependent acquisition (DDA) method with a 3 sec cycle time. The survey scans (m/z 375-1500) were acquired in the Orbitrap at 120,000 resolution (at m/z = 400) in profile mode, with maximum injection mode set to Auto and a normalized AGC target of 100%. The S-lens RF level was set to 60. Isolation was performed in the quadrupole with a 1.6 Da isolation window, and CID MS/MS acquisition was performed in centroid mode with detection in the ion trap (Rapid scan rate) with the following settings: CID collision energy = 30%; maximum injection time mode set to Dynamic; normalized AGC target of 20%. Monoisotopic precursor selection (MIPS) and charge state filtering were on, with charge states 2-7 included. Dynamic exclusion was used to remove selected precursor ions, with a +/- 10 ppm mass tolerance, for 30 sec after acquisition of one MS/MS spectrum.

Database Searching

Tandem mass spectra were extracted and charge state deconvolved by Proteome Discoverer (Thermo Fisher, version 2.5.0.402). All MS/MS spectra were searched against a concatenated FASTA database which included a Uniprot *Borrelia burgdorferi* database (downloaded 09-16-2022) using Sequest. Searches were performed with a parent ion tolerance of 10 ppm and a fragment ion tolerance of 0.60 Da. Trypsin was specified as the enzyme, allowing for two missed cleavages. A fixed modification of carbamidomethyl (C) and variable modifications of oxidation

(M) and deamidation of asparagine and glutamine, were specified in Sequest. Percolator was
used to filter the peptide identification results to a false discovery rate of 1%. Label-free
quantitation was performed using Minora. Differential expression analysis of sample groups was
performed using the Precursor Ions Quantifier node in Proteome Discoverer, with protein
abundance ratios calculated using a Pairwise Ratio scheme while hypothesis testing was
performed using a t-test of the background population of proteins and peptides. The pathways
associated with the identified proteins were determined by KEGG pathway search tool
(https://www.genome.jp/kegg/mapper/search.html), against *Borrelia burgdorferi* B31 datasets.

Immunization with lipoproteins or live bacterial strains

For immunization, mutant strains grown in BSK-II media were centrifuged at 4000x g for 20
minutes at 4°C and the pellets were washed three times with sterile HBSS at 4000x g for 5 minutes
at 4°C and the cells were resuspended in BSK-II medium supplemented with 6% heat inactivated
rabbit serum at a final concentration of 10^6 spirochetes/ml as described previously (55, 58-60).
Each mouse was injected with 100 µL of spirochetes via intra-dermal injections on Day 0 and Day
16. Similarly, purified lipoproteins were injected at a concentration of 100 µg/100 µL via intra-
dermal injections on Day 0 and Day 14.

Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA)

To determine the peripheral antibody levels, blood was collected from the lateral saphenous vein
using Microvette CB 300 capillary tubes (Sarstedt AG & Co.KG), centrifuged at 4000xg for 10
minutes and the supernatant were collected and stored at -20°C until further use. ELISA was
performed against borrelial lysate or PBLs as required. All the assays were performed using a
Costar High binding Assay Plate (Corning). 10 µg of the antigen were resuspended in 10 mL of
coating carbonate buffer (0.05M, pH 9.6) and 100 µL of coating antigen were added to each well
and incubated overnight at 4°C. After washing three times with Tris Buffered Saline supplemented
with Tween 20 (TBST), 100 µL of 1% Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) (Probumin, Millipore) in TBST
was added to each well and incubated for 1 hr at room temperature and washed three times with
TBST. Serum samples were diluted in TBST starting from 1:50 up to 1:6400 dilutions and 100 µL
of each diluted sample was added. After two hours of incubation at room temperature, the plates
were washed five times with TBST and 100 µL of 1:3000 diluted secondary anti-mouse and anti-
human IgG antibodies conjugated with Horseradish peroxidase (HRP) was added and incubated
for 1 hr. After four washes, 100 µL of OPD substrate solution was added and read at 490 nm using
Spark 10M (Tecan) microplate reader. In addition, plates were also developed using anti-mouse

isotype specific antibodies conjugated to alkaline phosphatase. Levels of significance were

determined using unpaired Student *t*-test using GraphPad Prism 7.0 and indicated in the

respective figure legends.

Immunoblot Analysis

Immunoblot analysis was performed to determine the antigenic profile of lipoproteins against

mouse and human serum (blinded samples provided by Lyme disease Bio Bank). Equal amounts

of purified lipoproteins (5 µg/sample) were separated using 12% polyacrylamide gels and then

transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane, blocked with blocking buffer (10%

non-fat dry milk in Tris buffer (pH 7.5) containing 200 mM Tris, 1.38 M NaCl, and 0.1% Tween 20)

overnight and then incubated with infected serum collected from mouse or human patients or

rFlaB immunized mouse serum at room temperature for 2 hrs in blocking buffer, and treated with

horseradish peroxidase (HRP) conjugated Goat anti-mouse or Goat anti-human IgG secondary

antibody for 1 hr at room temperature. Immunoblots were developed using an enhanced

chemiluminescence system followed by exposure to X-ray film.

Animal injections and tick larvae feeding

For infection studies, *Bb* cultures were verified by PCR for the presence of the virulence plasmids

lp25 and lp28-1 on the day of injection. After confirmation, the cultures were centrifuged at 4000x

g for 20 minutes at 4°C and the pellets were washed three times with sterile HBSS at 4000x g for

5 minutes at 4°C and the cells were resuspended in BSK-II medium supplemented with 6% heat

inactivated rabbit serum at a final concentration of 10^6 spirochetes/mL. Each mouse was injected

with 100 µL of spirochetes via intradermal injections. After 28 days of needle challenge, animals

were moved to the tick facility, hairs were shaved on the dorsal side of the mouse and sterile

plastic tubes were glued on to the skin of each mouse using special adhesive material. After

overnight drying, 50-100 *Ixodes scapularis* larvae were loaded into the tubes and the tubes were

capped to increase the tick movement towards the skin to each mouse. After 3-7 days, fed larvae

were collected and stored in a sterile tube covered with perforated parafilm and incubated at 23°C

under humid conditions for 6-8 weeks to allow the larvae to molt into nymphs. After collecting the

fed larvae, the mice were moved to the regular BSL2 facility for necropsy. All mice infection studies

were conducted in a Biosafety Level 2 facility.

Necropsy and quantification of *Bb* burden in tissues

Mice were euthanized by carbon dioxide exposure followed by cardiac puncture, serving as terminal bleed and as a secondary method of euthanasia. Skin, lymph nodes, spleen, heart, bladder and joint tissues were aseptically isolated and inoculated into BSKII medium and scored for growth after a single blind pass at day 5 by examining cultures using dark field microscopy at day 15 post-isolation in primary and blind-pass cultures. Another set of skin, lymph nodes; spleen and joint samples were stored at -80°C for genomic DNA extraction and qPCR analysis.

Genomic DNA extraction and qPCR

Genomic DNA from the skin, spleen and lymph nodes from mice or *Ixodes scapularis* larvae, nymph were extracted using High Pure PCR Template Preparation Kit (Roche, Indianapolis, IN). Briefly, samples were lysed with 200 µL of lysis buffer in a BeadBlaster™ 24 microtube homogenizer using Zirconia beads. Further, 40 µL of proteinase K (20 mg/mL) and 20 µL of Collagenase (1 mg/mL) was addedand incubated overnight at 57°C. Next, DNA was extracted as directed by the manufacturer using the column. *Bb* burden in the samples was determined by a SYBR-based qPCR assay (PowerUp SYBR Master Mix, Applied Biosystems) using *Bb*FlaB gene specific primers and normalized to tick actin or mouse actin and the reaction was performed in a StepOne Plus real time PCR system (Applied Biosystems). Standard curve for all three genes were performed by full length genes cloned into pCR2.1 plasmid and the results are presented as ratio of *flaB* to mouse or tick actin copy number.

 Figure Legends

Figure 1: Extraction and Immunoreactivity of borrelial Lipoproteins. *B. burgdorferi* (*Bb*)

strains B31-A3 (WT), *ΔbadR* and 8S were propagated at 32°C, pH7.6 to a density of 1×10^8 *Bb*/ml

cells. The cultures were washed with HBSS and partitioned into aqueous and detergent phase

using Triton X-114 were separated using SDS-12.5%PAGE gel. 1A) Total stain of showing the

proteins partitioned as the insoluble pellet (Pt), in aqueous (Aq) and Triton X-114 (Tr) phase from

all three strains. Immunoreactivity of partitioned proteins with 1B) B31-A3 infected mouse serum

and 1C) anti-FlaB mouse serum. Molecular weights in kDA are indicated on the left.

Figure 2: Purification and abundance of borrelial lipoproteins from mutant strains of *B.*

***burgdorferi*.** Total lysates of *B. burgdorferi* cultures were partitioned using Triton X-114 and the

detergent phase proteins were subjected to proteomic analysis. 2A) Total stain of Triton X-114

phase proteins from WT (B31-A3), 8S and *ΔbadR* separated using SDS-12.5% PAGE and stained

with Coomassie Brilliant Blue. 2B) Mass spectrophotometric analysis of a detergent phase protein

expressed at a higher level in the 8S mutant was identified as OspB. 2C) Relative abundance of

OspB and OspA in WT, 8S and *ΔbadR*. 2D) Peptide abundance reflecting the expression of 5

predominant lipoproteins in the detergent phase extract of borrelial mutants determined by

proteomic analysis.

Figure 3: Reactivity of serum from Lyme disease patients with borrelial lipoproteins.

Enzyme linked immuneabsorbant assay (ELISA) was performed with borrelial lipoproteins from

B31-A3, *ΔbadR* and 8S mutants coated as antigens on 96 well ELISA plates. Serial two-fold

dilution of human serum samples (from 1:50 to 1:6400) from patients with or without Lyme disease

(blind, no patient information provided by Lyme BioBank) were tested for reactivity. Plates were

developed using anti-human IgG antibodies conjugated with horse raddish peroxidase (HRPO)

and o-phenylenediamine dihydrochloride (OPD) substrate solution and read at 490 nm using a

microplate reader. Levels of IgG from 15 human serum samples are shown: End point titers of

various human serum samples against lipoproteins from *Bb* strain B31, 8S, and *ΔbadR*. Levels

of reactivity of normal human serum from the local blood bank served as a negative control.

Figure 4: Isotype of human antibodies against *Bb* antigens. ELISA-based assays were
performed using total lysates from *Bb* strain B31-A3, 8S and *ΔbadR* to determine the isotype of
the 15 human serum samples from patients with or without Lyme disease as described in Fig 3.
4A) Bar graphs showing end point titers of human serum samples determined using HRPO-
conjugated secondary antibodies specific to human IgM, IgG, IgA, IgG1 and IgG2. Plates were
read at 490 nm using a microplate reader. Levels of reactivity of normal human serum from the
local blood bank served as a negative control. 4B) Immunoblot analysis of a sample of human
serum that exhibited high titers by ELISA. Proteins from lysates from *Bb* strain B31-A3, 8S and
ΔbadR were separated on a SDS-12.5% PAGE gel and transferred to PVDF membrane. Blots
were developed using HRPO-conjugated anti human IgG and ECL system.

Figure 5: Isotype of antibodies induced in C3H/HeN mice at day 14 following vaccination
with mutant borrelial strains. Serum from mice vaccinated with ML23, 8S and *ΔbadR* at day 0
and 14 were obtained 2 weeks after last vaccination and reactivity/isotype determined using total
borrelial lysates from *Bb* strain B31-A3 using ELISA based method. Isotype specific mouse
secondary antibodies conjugated to alkaline phosphatase (AP) and PNPP was used as substrate.
Absorbance was measured at 405 nm with serum from naïve C3H/HeN mice serving as negative
control. Note significant increase in levels of IgM, IgG and IgG2a in vaccinated mice compared to
naïve controls. The asterisks indicate levels of significance as follows ***, $P<0.001$, **, $P<0.01$; *,
$P<0.05$

Figure 6: Isotype of antibodies induced in C3H/HeN mice at day 28 following vaccination
with mutant borrelial strains. Serum from mice vaccinated with ML23, 8S and *ΔbadR* at day 0
and 14 were obtained 4 weeks after last vaccination and reactivity/isotype determined using total
borrelial lysates from *Bb* strain B31-A3 using ELISA based method. Isotype specific mouse
secondary antibodies conjugated to alkaline phosphatase (AP) and PNPP was used as substrate.
Absorbance was measured at 405 nm with serum from naïve C3H/HeN mice serving as negative
control. Note significant increase in levels of IgG, IgG1, IgG2a and IgG2b in vaccinated mice
compared to naïve controls. The asterisks indicate levels of significance as follows ****, $P< 0001$;
***, $P<0.001$, **, $P<0.01$; *, $P<0.05$

Figure 7: Levels of protection conferred by live attenuated strains in C3H/HeN mice against challenge (4 weeks) with *Bb* strain B31-A3. 8A) Graphical representation of the timeline of vaccination; needle challenge, larvae feeding and time of euthanasia of C3H/HeN mice. Serum collection timeline is shown at the bottom. 8B) Bar graphs showing the end-point titers of antibodies induced in immunized mice at 28 days post first immunization (dpi) determine using ELISA with *Bb* strain B31-A3 lysate as antigens. 8C) Bar graphs showing *Bb* burden in *I. scapularis* larvae fed on challenged mice at 84 days post first immunization. Numbers of borrelial *flaB* copies were normalized against 10^4 total tick actin copies. 8D) Bar graphs showing *Bb* burden in mouse tissues at the time of euthanasia. Numbers of borrelial *flaB* copies were normalized against 10^4 total mouse actin copies in skin, lymph nodes and joints.

Figure 8: Levels of protection conferred by live attenuated strains in C3H/HeN mice against challenge (10 weeks) with *Bb* strain B31-A3. 9A) Graphical representation of the timeline of vaccination; needle challenge, larvae feeding and time of euthanasia of C3H/HeN mice. Serum collection time line is shown at the bottom. 9B) Bar graphs showing the end-point titers of antibodies induced in immunized mice at 28 days post first immunization (dpi) determine using ELISA with *Bb* strain B31-A3 lysate as antigen. 9C) Bar graphs showing *Bb* burden in *I. scapularis* larvae fed on challenged mice at 84 days post first immunization. Numbers of borrelial *flaB* copies were normalized against 10^4 total tick actin copies. 9D) Bar graphs showing *Bb* burden in mouse tissues at the time of euthanasia. Numbers of borrelial *flaB* copies were normalized against 10^4 total mouse actin copies in skin, lymph nodes and joints.

Figure 9: Isotype of antibodies induced in C3H/HeN mice at day 28 following vaccination with borrelial lipoproteins. Serum from mice vaccinated with lipoproteins from *Bb* strain B31-A3 ML23, 8S and *ΔbadR* at day 0 and 14 were obtained 4 weeks after last vaccination and reactivity/isotype determined using total borrelial lysates from *Bb* strain B31-A3 using ELISA based method. Isotype specific mouse secondary antibodies conjugated to alkaline phosphatase (AP) and PNPP was used as substrate. Absorbance was measured at 405 nm with serum from naïve C3H/HeN mice serving as negative control. Note significant increase in levels of IgG, IgG1, IgG2a and IgG2b in vaccinated mice compared to naïve controls. The asterisks indicate levels of significance as follows ****, *P*< 0001; ***, *P*<0.001, **, *P*<0.01; *, *P*<0.05

Acknowledgements

This study was partly supported by Public Health Service grant 5R01AI152233 from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (JS), Bay Area Lyme Foundation (JS), pre-doctoral fellowships from the South Texas Center for Emerging Infectious Diseases (TMI), and the Center of Excellence in Infection Genomics (TCS). TCS was supported by a pre-doctoral fellowship from The Brown Foundation. JFSL is supported by the UTSA Graduate School and The National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number T32AI184340. The contents is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

References:

1. Kugeler KJ, Earley A, Mead PS, Hinckley AF. 2024. Surveillance for Lyme Disease After Implementation of a Revised Case Definition - United States, 2022. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 73:118-123.

2. Mead P, Hinckley A, Kugeler K. 2024. Lyme Disease Surveillance and Epidemiology in the United States: A Historical Perspective. J Infect Dis 230:S11-S17.

3. Manley W, Tran T, Prusinski M, Brisson D. 2025. Comparative ecological analysis and predictive modeling of tick-borne pathogens. J Med Entomol 62:199-206.

4. Bobe JR, Jutras BL, Horn EJ, Embers ME, Bailey A, Moritz RL, Zhang Y, Soloski MJ, Ostfeld RS, Marconi RT, Aucott J, Ma'ayan A, Keesing F, Lewis K, Ben Mamoun C, Rebman AW, McClune ME, Breitschwerdt EB, Reddy PJ, Maggi R, Yang F, Nemser B, Ozcan A, Garner O, Di Carlo D, Ballard Z, Joung HA, Garcia-Romeu A, Griffiths RR, Baumgarth N, Fallon BA. 2021. Recent Progress in Lyme Disease and Remaining Challenges. Front Med (Lausanne) 8:666554.

5. Radolf JD, Caimano MJ, Stevenson B, Hu LT. 2012. Of ticks, mice and men: understanding the dual-host lifestyle of Lyme disease spirochaetes. Nat Rev Microbiol 10:87-99.

6. Scheckelhoff MR, Telford SR, Hu LT. 2006. Protective efficacy of an oral vaccine to reduce carriage of Borrelia burgdorferi (strain N40) in mouse and tick reservoirs. Vaccine 24:1949-57.

7. Vannier E, Richer LM, Dinh DM, Brisson D, Ostfeld RS, Gomes-Solecki M. 2023. Deployment of a Reservoir-Targeted Vaccine Against Borrelia burgdorferi Reduces the Prevalence of Babesia microti Coinfection in Ixodes scapularis Ticks. J Infect Dis 227:1127-1131.

8. Bourgeois JS, Hu LT. 2024. Hitchhiker's Guide to Borrelia burgdorferi. J Bacteriol 206:e0011624.

9. Strnad M, Rudenko N, Rego ROM. 2023. Pathogenicity and virulence of Borrelia burgdorferi. Virulence 14:2265015.

10. Stevenson B. 2023. The Lyme disease spirochete, Borrelia burgdorferi, as a model vector-borne pathogen: insights on regulation of gene and protein expression. Curr Opin Microbiol 74:102332.

11. Miller CL, Karna SL, Seshu J. 2013. Borrelia host adaptation Regulator (BadR) regulates rpoS to modulate host adaptation and virulence factors in Borrelia burgdorferi. Mol Microbiol 88:105-24.

12. Ouyang Z, Zhou J. 2015. BadR (BB0693) controls growth phase-dependent induction of rpoS and bosR in Borrelia burgdorferi via recognizing TAAAATAT motifs. Mol Microbiol 98:1147-67.

13. Karna SL, Prabhu RG, Lin YH, Miller CL, Seshu J. 2013. Contributions of environmental signals and conserved residues to the functions of carbon storage regulator A of Borrelia burgdorferi. Infect Immun 81:2972-85.

14. Labandeira-Rey M, Seshu J, Skare JT. 2003. The absence of linear plasmid 25 or 28-1 of Borrelia burgdorferi dramatically alters the kinetics of experimental infection via distinct mechanisms. Infect Immun 71:4608-13.

15. Labandeira-Rey M, Skare JT. 2001. Decreased infectivity in Borrelia burgdorferi strain B31 is associated with loss of linear plasmid 25 or 28-1. Infect Immun 69:446-55.

16. Purser JE, Lawrenz MB, Caimano MJ, Howell JK, Radolf JD, Norris SJ. 2003. A plasmid-encoded nicotinamidase (PncA) is essential for infectivity of Borrelia burgdorferi in a mammalian host. Mol Microbiol 48:753-64.

17. Gomes-Solecki MJ, Brisson DR, Dattwyler RJ. 2006. Oral vaccine that breaks the transmission cycle of the Lyme disease spirochete can be delivered via bait. Vaccine 24:4440-9.

18. Steere AC, Sikand VK, Meurice F, Parenti DL, Fikrig E, Schoen RT, Nowakowski J, Schmid CH, Laukamp S, Buscarino C, Krause DS. 1998. Vaccination against Lyme disease with recombinant Borrelia burgdorferi outer-surface lipoprotein A with adjuvant. Lyme Disease Vaccine Study Group. N Engl J Med 339:209-15.

19. Yang XF, Pal U, Alani SM, Fikrig E, Norgard MV. 2004. Essential role for OspA/B in the life cycle of
the Lyme disease spirochete. J Exp Med 199:641-8.
20. Dowdell AS, Murphy MD, Azodi C, Swanson SK, Florens L, Chen S, Zuckert WR. 2017.
Comprehensive Spatial Analysis of the Borrelia burgdorferi Lipoproteome Reveals a
Compartmentalization Bias toward the Bacterial Surface. J Bacteriol 199.
21. Haake DA, Zuckert WR. 2018. Spirochetal Lipoproteins in Pathogenesis and Immunity. Curr Top
Microbiol Immunol 415:239-271.
22. Dattwyler RJ, Gomes-Solecki M. 2022. The year that shaped the outcome of the OspA vaccine for
human Lyme disease. NPJ Vaccines 7:10.
23. Gomes-Solecki M, Arnaboldi PM, Backenson PB, Benach JL, Cooper CL, Dattwyler RJ, Diuk-Wasser
M, Fikrig E, Hovius JW, Laegreid W, Lundberg U, Marconi RT, Marques AR, Molloy P, Narasimhan S,
Pal U, Pedra JHF, Plotkin S, Rock DL, Rosa P, Telford SR, Tsao J, Yang XF, Schutzer SE. 2020. Protective
Immunity and New Vaccines for Lyme Disease. Clin Infect Dis 70:1768-1773.
24. Chen S, Kumru OS, Zuckert WR. 2011. Determination of Borrelia surface lipoprotein anchor
topology by surface proteolysis. J Bacteriol 193:6379-83.
25. Fierros CH, Faucillion ML, Hahn BL, Anderson P, Bonde M, Kessler JR, Surdel MC, Crawford KS, Gao
Y, Zhu J, Bergstrom S, Coburn J. 2024. Borrelia burgdorferi tolerates alteration to P66 porin function
in a murine infectivity model. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 14:1528456.
26. Curtis MW, Hahn BL, Zhang K, Li C, Robinson RT, Coburn J. 2018. Characterization of Stress and
Innate Immunity Resistance of Wild-Type and Deltap66 Borrelia burgdorferi. Infect Immun 86.
27. Yas OB, Coleman AS, Lipman RM, Sharma K, Raghunandanan S, Alanazi F, Rana VS, Kitsou C, Yang
X, Pal U. 2024. A systemic approach to identify non-abundant immunogenic proteins in Lyme
disease pathogens. mSystems 9:e0108723.
28. Skare JT, Shang ES, Foley DM, Blanco DR, Champion CI, Mirzabekov T, Sokolov Y, Kagan BL, Miller
JN, Lovett MA. 1995. Virulent strain associated outer membrane proteins of Borrelia burgdorferi.
J Clin Invest 96:2380-92.
29. Brandt ME, Riley BS, Radolf JD, Norgard MV. 1990. Immunogenic integral membrane proteins of
Borrelia burgdorferi are lipoproteins. Infect Immun 58:983-91.
30. Ghosh R, Joung HA, Goncharov A, Palanisamy B, Ngo K, Pejcinovic K, Krockenberger N, Horn EJ,
Garner OB, Ghazal E, O'Kula A, Arnaboldi PM, Dattwyler RJ, Ozcan A, Di Carlo D. 2024. Rapid single-
tier serodiagnosis of Lyme disease. Nat Commun 15:7124.
31. de Silva AM, Zeidner NS, Zhang Y, Dolan MC, Piesman J, Fikrig E. 1999. Influence of outer surface
protein A antibody on Borrelia burgdorferi within feeding ticks. Infect Immun 67:30-5.
32. de Silva AM, Fish D, Burkot TR, Zhang Y, Fikrig E. 1997. OspA antibodies inhibit the acquisition of
Borrelia burgdorferi by Ixodes ticks. Infect Immun 65:3146-50.
33. de Silva AM, Telford SR, 3rd, Brunet LR, Barthold SW, Fikrig E. 1996. Borrelia burgdorferi OspA is
an arthropod-specific transmission-blocking Lyme disease vaccine. J Exp Med 183:271-5.
34. Marconi RT, Garcia-Tapia D, Hoevers J, Honsberger N, King VL, Ritter D, Schwahn DJ, Swearingin L,
Weber A, Winkler MTC, Millership J. 2020. VANGUARD(R)crLyme: A next generation Lyme disease
vaccine that prevents B. burgdorferi infection in dogs. Vaccine X 6:100079.
35. Izac JR, O'Bier NS, Oliver LD, Jr., Camire AC, Earnhart CG, LeBlanc Rhodes DV, Young BF, Parnham
SR, Davies C, Marconi RT. 2020. Development and optimization of OspC chimeritope vaccinogens
for Lyme disease. Vaccine 38:1915-1924.
36. Chambers GZ, Chambers KMF, Marconi RT. 2024. A single immunization of Borreliella burgdorferi-
infected mice with Vanguard crLyme elicits robust antibody responses to diverse strains and
variants of outer surface protein C. Infect Immun 92:e0039624.

37. Bhattacharya D, Bensaci M, Luker KE, Luker G, Wisdom S, Telford SR, Hu LT. 2011. Development of
a baited oral vaccine for use in reservoir-targeted strategies against Lyme disease. Vaccine
29:7818-25.
38. Klouwens MJ, Trentelman JJ, Ersoz JI, Nieves Marques Porto F, Sima R, Hajdusek O, Thakur M, Pal
U, Hovius JW. 2021. Investigating BB0405 as a novel Borrelia afzelii vaccination candidate in Lyme
borreliosis. Sci Rep 11:4775.
39. Marcinkiewicz AL, Lieknina I, Yang X, Lederman PL, Hart TM, Yates J, Chen WH, Bottazzi ME, Mantis
NJ, Kraiczy P, Pal U, Tars K, Lin YP. 2020. The Factor H-Binding Site of CspZ as a Protective Target
against Multistrain, Tick-Transmitted Lyme Disease. Infect Immun 88.
40. Singh P, Verma D, Backstedt BT, Kaur S, Kumar M, Smith AA, Sharma K, Yang X, Azevedo JF, Gomes-
Solecki M, Buyuktanir O, Pal U. 2017. Borrelia burgdorferi BBI39 Paralogs, Targets of Protective
Immunity, Reduce Pathogen Persistence Either in Hosts or in the Vector. J Infect Dis 215:1000-
1009.
41. Kung F, Kaur S, Smith AA, Yang X, Wilder CN, Sharma K, Buyuktanir O, Pal U. 2016. A Borrelia
burgdorferi Surface-Exposed Transmembrane Protein Lacking Detectable Immune Responses
Supports Pathogen Persistence and Constitutes a Vaccine Target. J Infect Dis 213:1786-95.
42. Probert WS, Crawford M, LeFebvre RB. 1997. Antibodies to OspB prevent infection of C3H mice
challenged with Borrelia burgdorferi isolates expressing truncated OspB antigens. Vaccine 15:15-
9.
43. Fikrig E, Tao H, Kantor FS, Barthold SW, Flavell RA. 1993. Evasion of protective immunity by Borrelia
burgdorferi by truncation of outer surface protein B. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 90:4092-6.
44. Karna SL, Sanjuan E, Esteve-Gassent MD, Miller CL, Maruskova M, Seshu J. 2011. CsrA modulates
levels of lipoproteins and key regulators of gene expression critical for pathogenic mechanisms of
Borrelia burgdorferi. Infect Immun 79:732-44.
45. Sanjuan E, Esteve-Gassent MD, Maruskova M, Seshu J. 2009. Overexpression of CsrA (BB0184)
alters the morphology and antigen profiles of Borrelia burgdorferi. Infect Immun 77:5149-62.
46. Li X, Strle K, Wang P, Acosta DI, McHugh GA, Sikand N, Strle F, Steere AC. 2013. Tick-specific
borrelial antigens appear to be upregulated in American but not European patients with Lyme
arthritis, a late manifestation of Lyme borreliosis. J Infect Dis 208:934-41.
47. Schroeder HW, Jr., Cavacini L. 2010. Structure and function of immunoglobulins. J Allergy Clin
Immunol 125:S41-52.
48. Sulka KB, Strle K, Crowley JT, Lochhead RB, Anthony R, Steere AC. 2018. Correlation of Lyme
Disease-Associated IgG4 Autoantibodies With Synovial Pathology in Antibiotic-Refractory Lyme
Arthritis. Arthritis Rheumatol 70:1835-1846.
49. Elias AF, Stewart PE, Grimm D, Caimano MJ, Eggers CH, Tilly K, Bono JL, Akins DR, Radolf JD, Schwan
TG, Rosa P. 2002. Clonal polymorphism of Borrelia burgdorferi strain B31 MI: implications for
mutagenesis in an infectious strain background. Infect Immun 70:2139-50.
50. Raju BV, Esteve-Gassent MD, Karna SL, Miller CL, Van Laar TA, Seshu J. 2011. Oligopeptide
permease A5 modulates vertebrate host-specific adaptation of Borrelia burgdorferi. Infect Immun
79:3407-20.
51. Van Laar TA, Lin YH, Miller CL, Karna SL, Chambers JP, Seshu J. 2012. Effect of levels of acetate on
the mevalonate pathway of Borrelia burgdorferi. PLoS One 7:e38171.
52. Van Laar TA, Hole C, Rajasekhar Karna SL, Miller CL, Reddick R, Wormley FL, Seshu J. 2016. Statins
reduce spirochetal burden and modulate immune responses in the C3H/HeN mouse model of
Lyme disease. Microbes Infect 18:430-435.
53. Chen Y, Vargas SM, Smith TC, 2nd, Karna SLR, MacMackin Ingle T, Wozniak KL, Wormley FL, Jr.,
Seshu J. 2021. Borrelia peptidoglycan interacting Protein (BpiP) contributes to the fitness of

Borrelia burgdorferi against host-derived factors and influences virulence in mouse models of
Lyme disease. PLoS Pathog 17:e1009535.

54. Maruskova M, Esteve-Gassent MD, Sexton VL, Seshu J. 2008. Role of the BBA64 locus of Borrelia
burgdorferi in early stages of infectivity in a murine model of Lyme disease. Infect Immun 76:391-
402.

55. Esteve-Gassent MD, Elliott NL, Seshu J. 2009. sodA is essential for virulence of Borrelia burgdorferi
in the murine model of Lyme disease. Mol Microbiol 71:594-612.

56. Esteve-Gassent MD, Smith TC, 2nd, Small CM, Thomas DP, Seshu J. 2015. Absence of sodA
Increases the Levels of Oxidation of Key Metabolic Determinants of Borrelia burgdorferi. PLoS One
10:e0136707.

57. Brooks CS, Vuppala SR, Jett AM, Akins DR. 2006. Identification of Borrelia burgdorferi outer surface
proteins. Infect Immun 74:296-304.

58. Seshu J, Esteve-Gassent MD, Labandeira-Rey M, Kim JH, Trzeciakowski JP, Hook M, Skare JT. 2006.
Inactivation of the fibronectin-binding adhesin gene bbk32 significantly attenuates the infectivity
potential of Borrelia burgdorferi. Mol Microbiol 59:1591-601.

59. Maruskova M, Seshu J. 2008. Deletion of BBA64, BBA65, and BBA66 loci does not alter the
infectivity of Borrelia burgdorferi in the murine model of Lyme disease. Infect Immun 76:5274-84.

60. Smith TC, 2nd, Helm SM, Chen Y, Lin YH, Rajasekhar Karna SL, Seshu J. 2018. Borrelia Host
Adaptation Protein (BadP) Is Required for the Colonization of a Mammalian Host by the Agent of
Lyme Disease. Infect Immun 86.

941   Table 1: Efficacy of protection conferred by mutant strains of *Bb* against tick challenge with *Bb*

942   strain B31-A3

	# of cultures positive /total #							# of mice infected / total # of mice
	Skin	Lymph node	Spleen	Bladder	Heart	Joint	All sites	
Unvaccinated	1/1	1/1	1/1	0/1	1/1	1/1	5/6	1/1
ML23	1/3	2/3	2/3	2/3	0/3	1/3	6/18	2/3
8S	0/2	0/2	0/2	0/2	0/2	0/2	0/12	0/2
ΔbadR	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3
OspA	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3

959   Table 2: Efficacy of protection conferred by mutant strains of *Bb* following needle challenge with

960   *Bb* strain B31-A3*

	# of cultures positive /total #							# of mice infected /total # of mice
	Skin	Lymph node	Spleen	Bladder	Heart	Joint	All Sites	
Unvaccinated	5/5	5/5	1/5	5/5	5/5	5/5	26/30	5/5
ML23	4/7	4/7	3/7	4/7	4/7	4/7	23/42	4/7
8S	3/7	3/7	1/7	3/7	3/7	2/7	15/42	3/7
ΔbadR	1/7	1/7	0/7	1/7	1/7	1/7	5/42	1/7

962   *Consolidated representation of spirochetal growth in BSKII medium following propagation of

963   tissues isolates from mice in Figures 7 and 8.

977 Table 3: Efficacy of protection conferred by lipoproteins from mutant strains of *Bb* against tick

978 challenge with *Bb* strain B31-A3*

	# of cultures positive /total #							Total # of infected mice/total # of mice
Lipoproteins	Skin	Lymph node	Spleen	Bladder	Heart	Joint	All sites	
Unvaccinated	5/6	5/6	4/6	5/6	4/6	5/6	28/36	5/6
B31-A3	0/6	0/6	0/6	0/6	0/6	0/6	0/36	0/6
8S	0/6	0/6	0/6	0/6	0/6	0/6	0/36	0/6
ΔbadR	0/6	2/6	1/6	2/6	1/6	1/6	7/36	2/6
rOspA	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3

980 * Combined data from two independent studies with 3 mice per treatment/challenge

995   Supplementary Table 1: Clinical samples and the corresponding ground truth data from the
996   Lyme Disease biobank used in this study.

| Sample ID | Days of EM Prior to Enrollment | First-tier tests | | | | | | Second-tier tests | | | | | | Two-tier Positive on 1st Draw |
| | | ELISA | | IMMUNO BLOT | | | | ELISA | | IMMUNO BLOT | | | | |
		Whole Cell Lysate	C-6 Peptide	IgM	IgM Bands	IgG	IgG Bands	Whole Cell Lysate	C-6 Peptide	IgM	IgM Bands	IgG	IgG Bands	
LD515	NA	NEG	NEG	NEG	None	NEG	p93, p41	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NO
LD526	NA	NEG	NEG	NEG	p41	NEG	None	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NO
LD538	NA	NEG	NEG	NEG	p41	NEG	p58, p41	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NO
LD585	**10**	**POS**	**POS**	**POS**	**p41, p39, p23**	**NEG**	**p41, p39, p23**	**NA**	**NA**	**NA**	**NA**	**NA**	**NA**	**YES**
LD610	NA	NEG	NEG	NEG	p41	NEG	None	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NO
LD611	NA	NEG	NEG	NEG	p41	NEG	p66	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NO
LD640	**21**	**POS**	**POS**	**NEG**	**None**	**POS**	**p93, p66, p45, p41, p39, p28**	**POS**	**POS**	**NEG**	**p41**	**POS**	**p93, p66, p45, p41, p39**	**YES**
LD663	**2**	**EQV**	**POS**	**POS**	**p41, p23**	**NEG**	**p41**	**POS**	**POS**	**POS**	**p41, p23**	**NEG**	**p41, p23**	**YES**
LD664	NA	NEG	NEG	NEG	None	NEG	p93	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NO
LD673	**14**	**POS**	**POS**	**POS**	**p41, p23**	**POS**	**p66, p58, p41, p39, p23, p18**	**POS**	**POS**	**POS**	**p41, p23**	**NEG**	**p41, p23, p18**	**YES**
LD674	NA	NEG	NEG	NEG	p41	NEG	p58, p41	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NO
LD677	**1**	**POS**	**POS**	**NEG**	**p41**	**POS**	**p93, p66, p45, p41, p39, p28, p18**	**NA**	**NA**	**NA**	**NA**	**NA**	**NA**	**YES**

Fig 1

A)

Total Stain

B)

B31 Infected mouse serum

C)

α-FlaB

Fig 2

A) [SDS-PAGE gel with lanes 3 µL, 10 µL, 15 µL; each with WT, 8S, ΔbadR; molecular weight markers at 130, 72, 55, 43, 34, 26, 17, 10; arrow pointing to OspB band]

B)

```
  1 MRLLIGFALA LALIGCAQKG AESIGSQKEN DLNLEDSSKK SHQNAKQDLP
 51 AVTEDSVSLF NGNKIFVSKE KNSSGKYDLR ATIDQVELKG TSDKNNGSGT
101 LEGSKPDKSK VKLTVSADLN TVTLEAFDAS NQKISSKVTK KQGSITEETL
151 KANKLDSKKL TRSNGTTLEY SQITDADNAT KAVETLKNSI KLEGSLVVGK
201 TTVEIKEGTV TLKREIEKDG KVKVFLNDTA GSNKKTGKWE DSTSTLTISA
251 DSKKTKDLVF LTDGTITVQQ YNTAGTSLEG SASEIKNLSE LKNALK
```

C) [Two scatter plots: OspB (left) with y-axis Protein Abundance 10^{10} to 10^{11}, x-axis WT, 8S, ΔbadR; with *** and ** significance markers. OspA (right) with y-axis Protein Abundance 10^{11} to 10^{12}, x-axis WT, 8S, ΔbadR]

D)

Locus	Protein	MW [kDa]	Abundance								
			WT_1	WT_2	WT_3	8S_1	8S_2	8S_3	ΔbadR_1	ΔbadR_2	ΔbadR_3
BB_A15	OspA	29.4	2.22E+11	2.41E+11	3.17E+11	1.83E+11	2.34E+11	2.41E+11	2.35E+11	1.95E+11	1.85E+11
BB_B19	OspC	22.3	2.93E+10	4.20E+10	5.50E+10	4.88E+10	3.26E+10	4.17E+10	5.63E+10	7.19E+10	7.85E+10
BB_A16	OspB	31.7	2.81E+10	2.58E+10	3.00E+10	5.20E+10	6.86E+10	7.86E+10	4.20E+10	3.46E+10	3.63E+10
BB_J09	OspD	28.4	3.92E+10	1.97E+10	2.79E+10	1.43E+10	1.49E+10	1.81E+10	3.53E+10	2.20E+10	2.44E+10
BB_A62	Lp6.6	7.8	1.31E+10	1.13E+10	1.54E+10	6.25E+09	6.39E+09	7.82E+09	1.39E+10	8.72E+09	1.02E+10

Fig 3

A)

IgG - B31-A3 - PBLs

End Point Titer (Log$_{10}$)

Positive | Negative | Normal Serum

IgG – 8S - PBLs

End Point Titer (Log$_{10}$)

Positive | Negative | Normal Serum

IgG - Δ*badR* - PBLs

End Point Titer (Log$_{10}$)

Positive | Negative | Normal Serum

B)

WT   Δ*badR*   8S

Positive serum
(LD000585)

Fig 5

Fig 6

Fig 7

Fig 8