Title: Reactive oxygen species trigger downward vertical migration in diatom microphytobenthic biofilms as a strategy to cope with oxidative stress

Short title: ROS-Triggered Diatom Biofilm Migration

Authors' information:

1. Alexandre Desparmet[1] (alexandre.desparmet@mnhn.fr ; ORCID 0009-0009-6783-277X)
2. Bruno Jesus[2] (bruno.jesus@univ-nantes.fr ; ORCID 0000-0002-2047-3783)
3. Tony Robinet[1] (tony.robinet@mnhn.fr ; ORCID 0000-0002-3669-2788)
4. Thierry Dufour[3] (thierry.dufour@sorbonne-universite.fr ; ORCID 0000-0002-0397-368X)
5. Cédric Hubas[1] (cedric.hubas@mnhn.fr ; ORCID 0000-0002-9110-9292)

[1]Laboratoire de Biologie des Organismes et Écosystèmes Aquatiques (BOREA), UMR 8067, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Sorbonne Université, CNRS 7208, IRD 207, UCN, UA, Station Marine de Concarneau, Quai de la Croix, 29900 Concarneau, France.

[2]Institut Des Substances et Organismes de la Mer (ISOMer), UR 2160, Nantes université, Faculté des Sciences et des Techniques, 44000 Nantes, France.

[3]Laboratoire de Physique des plasmas (LPP), UMR 7648, Sorbonne Université, CNRS, École Polytechnique, Univ. Paris-Sud, Observatoire de Paris, Université Paris-Saclay, PSL Research University, 4 Place Jussieu, 75252 Paris, France.

Corresponding author:

Alexandre Desparmet
Laboratoire de Biologie des Organismes et Écosystèmes Aquatiques (BOREA), UMR 8067, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Sorbonne Université, CNRS 7208, IRD 207, UCN, UA, Station Marine de Concarneau, Quai de la Croix, 29900 Concarneau, France.
alexandre.desparmet@mnhn.fr ; ORCID 0009-0009-6783-277X

ABSTRACT

Diatom-dominated intertidal microphytobenthic biofilms experience daily fluctuations in

irradiance, which can lead to oxidative stress within the photosynthetic apparatus through the

production and accumulation of reactive oxygen species. To maintain photosynthetic

efficiency, benthic diatoms have developed protective strategies, including mobilization of the

antioxidant xanthophyll cycle and the ability to migrate vertically through sediments.

However, mechanistic understanding of signaling pathways underlying migration remains

poorly characterized. This study investigated the triggering effect of reactive oxygen species

on behavioral and photophysiological responses through the analysis of lipophilic pigments

and fluorescence parameters. To this end, two microphytobenthic communities, one with

sediment allowing vertical migration and another without sediment restricting it, were

exposed to irradiance, cold atmospheric plasma, and hydrogen peroxide stresses. Results

showed a consistent downward migration response under all oxidative stresses, highlighting

the key role of reactive oxygen species, especially hydrogen peroxide, in triggering this

microphytobenthic behavior. Moreover, a difference was observed between the pathways

involved in vertical migration and those underlying photoprotective responses. Hydrogen

peroxide and cold atmospheric plasma stresses highlighted the necessity for substantial

microphytobenthic migration, whereas irradiance induced a specific and controlled response

involving engagement of the xanthophyll cycle, acting in synergy with the migration strategy

by showing stronger activation when migration was impaired. By establishing that a rapid and

efficient migration could be induced by reactive oxygen species and could act in synergy with

the xanthophyll cycle in epipelic cells, this study provides key insights into the molecular

basis of microphytobenthic responses to cellular and environmental oxidative stresses.

INTRODUCTION

The aquatic microbiome exhibits a remarkable diversity of adaptive strategies to deal with

rapid environmental fluctuations. Intertidal sediments, as dynamic interfaces, undergo

significant qualitative and quantitative variations in the electromagnetic spectrum throughout

the day, impacting the phototrophic communities[1]. Among these, microphytobenthos

dominated by epipelic diatoms, forms structured mucilaginous biofilms on muddy substrata,

an advantageous lifestyle that plays a key role in their adaptation and associated ecosystem

services[2–4].

Light carries essential environmental information that diatoms can perceive either directly

through photoreceptors or indirectly via cellular signals, such as levels of reactive oxygen

species (ROS) and the redox state of the photosynthetic chain[5–7]. These signals trigger

physiological responses involved in light acclimation, photoprotection and photomotile

movements, enabling diatoms to acclimate, adapt, and survive[8]. Under high light intensity

conditions, photosynthetic capacity can be exceeded, leading to the synthesis and

accumulation of ROS, natural by-products of oxygen metabolism, including singlet oxygen

$^1O_2$, superoxide $O_2^{\bullet-}$, hydrogen peroxide H_2O_2 (e.g. via the Mehler reaction), and hydroxyl

$HO^\bullet$[9]. This deregulation disrupts homeostasis and causes photooxidative damage, such as

lipid peroxidation, which compromises cellular integrity[10], and the oxidation of DNA and key

proteins such as the photosystem II D1 protein[11, 12], which can ultimately result in cell

death[9, 13]. Epipelic diatoms have developed two major adaptive strategies to preempt this

ROS formation and accumulation, ensuring optimal photosynthetic processes in a variable

light environment: vertical migration behavior and xanthophyll cycle[14, 15].

First described in 1907 by Fauvel and Bohn, the ability of cells to move vertically through

muddy substrata is a behavior regulated both endogenously and plastically by various

environmental forcing, including light information[16–21]. Rapid and temporally adjustable,

this actin-myosin-driven gliding system involving the secretion of extracellular mucilage fibrils

through the slit raphe, enables diatoms to quickly reposition themselves at low metabolic

cost, allowing them to reach sediment layers with optimal irradiance conditions[20–26]. This

adaptation, partly responsible for the diversity of Bacillariophyta[27], represents a significant

evolutionary advantage and has given rise to distinct migration strategies depending on

species, previous light photoacclimation, and the environmental context[15, 17, 19, 20]. In

addition, the optimization of diatom light harvesting results from the dynamic regulation of

accessory carotenoid pigments, such as xanthophylls linked with the photosystem II

Fucoxanthin-Chlorophyll-Protein supercore complex[6, 14, 28, 29]. Overstimulation of the

photosynthetic electron transport chain acidifies the thylakoid lumen through proton

translocation associated with the cytochrome b6f complex via the Q-cycle, as well as proton

release generated by water photolysis at photosystem II. Under these low-lumen-pH

conditions, the enzymatic activity of Diadinoxanthin de-epoxidase is upregulated, leading to

the de-epoxidation of Diadinoxanthin embedded in the thylakoid membranes[15, 29–31].

Rapid xanthophyll adjustments involved in the energy-dependent component of non-

photochemical quenching (qE) are particularly pronounced in diatoms. This represents a

crucial antioxidant photoprotective response that optimizes thermal dispersion of excess

energy in a fluctuating environment, thereby limiting ROS-associated damage in the

chloroplast[15, 29–31]. These two major adaptations are complemented by others, such as

chloroplast mobility[32, 33], spatial cell orientation[34, 35], frustule nanostructures[36], and

cellular ROS management through enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidant capacities[31,

37–39]. Together, these adaptations form a sophisticated system that enables diatoms to

monitor and respond to environmental fluctuations, contributing to their success in modern

marine ecosystems[6].

Although diatom photoregulation via vertical migration and the xanthophyll cycle are both

well characterized individually, the mechanistic understanding of molecular ROS-related

cellular signaling pathways that coordinate and integrate real-time protective strategies

remains poorly understood in benthic diatoms. The present study, conducted on a natural

epipelic microphytobenthic biofilm, addresses this gap by testing the hypothesis that: [1] ROS

can act as a trigger signal for downward vertical migration, and [2] ROS-induced migration

may be initiated through pathways that operate independently of photoprotection.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Biofilm sampling and experimental treatments

Microphytobenthos sampling. Microphytobenthos was collected from a disused outdoor

breeding pond at the Concarneau Marine Station (France; 47°52'05.85"N; 3°55'00.51"W).

The pond retains at least 5 cm of seawater above the sediment at low tide, ensuring that the

biofilm developing on fine-grained muddy sediments under a semi-diurnal tidal regime

remains permanently immersed (Fig. 1A, B). The upper centimeter of sediment was sampled

at low tide on 29 April 2024 (1:00 PM) using a shovel. Muddy samples were placed in plastic

boxes with overlying seawater and left to settle under natural ambient laboratory light

conditions, without direct illumination, for 24 hours at 20°C.

Community and replicate conditioning. Two communities were compared: one capable of

vertical migration through sediment, exhibiting a robust and regular pattern driven by both

endogenous circadian and tidal rhythms (sediment-associated biofilm), and another in which

vertical movement was impaired due to the absence of sediment (sediment-free biofilm).

Petri dishes (5.5 cm diameter) containing sediment-associated biofilms were prepared 24

hours before the experiment, whereas Petri dishes containing sediment-free biofilms were

prepared on the day of the experiment from biofilm that had settled in a box for 24 hours. The

sediment-associated biofilms consisted of homogeneous sediment discs (5 mm thick) topped

with 4 mL of filtered seawater from Concarneau Bay, which were left to settle for 24 hours

under ambient laboratory light and temperature. The sediment-free biofilms were prepared

by gently peeling off the highly cohesive, EPS-rich upper millimeter of the microphytobenthos

with tweezers and resuspending it in filtered site seawater (ratio 1 mg : 20 mL). This method

minimizes mechanical disturbance and preserves the surface community's cell-size

distribution and taxonomic composition. Four milliliters of the sediment-free biofilm

suspension were placed in each Petri dish.

Oxidative treatments. Both sediment-associated and sediment-free biofilm communities

were exposed in the morning (9:00 AM to 1:00 PM), during the biofilm's upward migration

phase, to three short oxidative stress treatments. These were compared with dark-adapted

controls (<5 µmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1}, which is not complete darkness, but remains below the

light compensation point for microphytobenthos[40]). The high-light stress was applied to

mimic intense irradiance commonly experienced by autotrophic cells in natural environments,

with a 30-minute exposure to 1500 µmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1} of full-spectrum warm white LED

light panel (supplementary Fig. 1A). A cold atmospheric plasma was applied for 10 minutes

by piezoelectric discharge, using ambient laboratory air as the carrier gas. Exposure was

performed indirectly using plasma-activated seawater, with a 3 mm gap maintained between

the water surface and the plasma source to prevent mechanical disturbance of diatoms from

transient thermal filaments. This treatment generates both reactive oxygen and nitrogen

species (*e.g.* H_2O_2, NO_2^-, and NO_3^-), inducing a composite oxidative stress predominantly

driven by H_2O_2, which reaches a concentration of 209 µM (additional plasma details provided

in the supplementary materials and methods). Finally, we applied a targeted hydrogen

peroxide stress, consisting of a 10-minute exposure to a 200 µM H_2O_2 seawater solution,

allowing the specific effect of this ROS to be isolated. The H_2O_2 concentration was

determined based on the amount produced during the 10-minute plasma treatment, ensuring

that the hydrogen peroxide and plasma stresses were as comparable as possible. Both

hydrogen peroxide and plasma exposures were conducted in darkness. For sequencing-

based community characterization and pigment analyses, five biological replicates (*n = 5*) per

community and treatment, all originating from the same initial sampling, were immediately

frozen with liquid nitrogen after exposure, lyophilized, and stored at -80°C. Three additional

replicates (*n = 3*) were dedicated to photophysiological parameter measurements (Table 1).

Additional details on biofilm sampling and experimental treatments are provided in the

supplementary materials and methods.

Table 1. Experimental setup

Summary of the materials and treatments tested in this study.

Treatments	Dark-adapted control	High-light	Cold atmospheric plasma	Hydrogen peroxide
Description	Negative control	Natural behavior control	Experimental composite treatment	Positive control
Stress type	-	Warm white UV to near-IR light	Reactive oxygen and nitrogen species stress	Targeted oxidative stress (H_2O_2)
Time exposure	-	30 min	10 min	10 min
ROS origin	-	Endogenous	Exogenous	Exogenous
Experimental materials	Leave in darkness	LED panel Rhino Series (GR-RHINO 260-PRO-DIM)	Plasma activated seawater (PiezoBrush PZ3 with NearField insert from Reylon Plasma GmbH), leave in darkness	Seawater concentrated with H_2O_2 (ref. 216763 from Sigma Aldrich), leave in darkness
Molecular analyses	Sediment-associated biofilm, *n = 5*; Sediment-free biofilm, *n = 5*			
Photophysiological measurements	Sediment-associated biofilm, *n = 3*; Sediment-free biofilm, *n = 3*			

18S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing

To identify the motile diatoms involved in vertical migration behavior, the eukaryotic
microphytobenthic community was characterized through a short-read sequencing on a
NovaSeq 6000 System (Illumina). DNA was extracted using the NucleoSpin Soil Mini kit
(MACHEREY-NAGEL, ref. 740780.10), and the V4 region of the 18S rRNA gene was
amplified using primers TAReuk454FWD1 and TAReukREV3[41]. Library construction and
sequencing were performed by the company Biomarker Technologies (BMKGENE) GmbH
(Münster, Germany).

Chlorophyll fluorescence: Pulse Amplitude Modulated fluorometry

In order to monitor cellular efficiency under the different treatments and interpret subsequent
photoprotective responses, photosynthetic parameters derived from Chlorophyll *a*
fluorescence were measured using a MonitoringPen MP 100-E (Photon System
Instruments). The light curve program consisted of seven one-minute irradiance steps,
increasing from 10 to 1000 µmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1} ($\lambda_{LED\ excitation}$ = 470 nm), with consistent gain,
superpulse, and flashpulse intensity settings maintained across all measurements. The initial
linear α-slope, representing the maximum light use efficiency of photosystem II, was derived
from the light-use-efficiency photosynthesis-irradiance (P-E) curves using a previously
described and modified model[42, 43]. The relative electron transport rate (rETR) of
photosystem II, an indicator of productivity[23] and in some cases a proxy for carbon

fixation[44], corresponds to the values extracted directly from light curve points. The

maximum quantum yield of photosystem II photochemistry under dark-adapted state

(Fv/Fm), as well as non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) and its regulated Y(NPQ) and non-

regulated Y(NO) components, were calculated using established equations[45, 46]

(supplementary Table 1). All fluorescence measurements of photosynthetic parameters for

each sample and treatment were performed twice: once before and once after stress

exposure, each time following a minimum dark adaptation period of 10 minutes. After the

high-light exposure, post-stress measurements were taken after this dark adaptation period

once the exposure had ended, whereas for the hydrogen peroxide and plasma,

measurements were performed immediately after the 10-minute stress period, as the

samples were already dark-adapted under these treatments. For the dark-adapted control

samples, which do not receive any stress, measurements were performed in parallel with the

experimental samples, ensuring that they were in the same temporal framework.

Monitoring of vertical migration

To assess the vertical migratory response over time, the minimum fluorescence of dark-

adapted samples (F_0), used as a proxy of surface algal biomass[14, 17], was monitored in

the sediment-associated biofilm community after a 24-hour settling period under ambient

laboratory light and temperature. F_0 measurements were conducted independently and

randomly on dense biofilms (182 cm²) contained in 14 × 13 cm boxes (one box per stress),

then compared with their respective dark-adapted biofilms (high-light and plasma: *n = 8*;

hydrogen peroxide: *n = 5*). Due to the rapid induction of the migratory response in

microphytobenthos, F_0 biomass monitoring was resumed after 5 minutes of dark adaptation

following the end of the high-light stress, and immediately after the hydrogen peroxide and

plasma stresses.

Lipophilic pigments analysis

To characterize the metabolic impact of the treatments and complement the photosynthetic

efficiency data, the lipophilic pigments were extracted according to a previously published

method[47]. Freeze-dried samples (10 mg of sediment-free biofilm and 40 mg of sediment-

associated biofilm) were incubated for 15 minutes at -21°C in 2 mL of 95% methanol buffered

with 2% ammonium acetate, then filtered through a 0.2µm PTFE filter. Aliquots of 100 µL

were injected into an Agilent 1260 Infinity HPLC system equipped with a C18 Supelcosil

column and a UV-VIS photodiode array detector (DAD 1260 VL, 250-900 nm). The method

employed a flow rate of 0.6 mL min^{-1} using solvent mixture A (0.5M ammonium acetate in

85:15 methanol:water), B (90:10 acetonitrile:water), and C (100% ethyl acetate). A total of 44

microphytobenthic pigments were detected (list and abbreviations are provided

supplementary Table 2), and their concentrations (µg pigment g^{-1} dry weight) were quantified

using calibration curves based on phytoplankton pigment standards from DHI. Two groups of

derived Chlorophyll *a* were identified: the "Chlorophyll *a*-derivatives" group includes

unidentified Chlorophyll *a*-like pigments, as well as the allomer, and epimer forms; the

"Pheopigments" group includes Pheophytins *a*, Pheophorbides *a*, and Pyropheophytin *a*. The

contribution (%) of each Chlorophyll *a*-derivatives and Pheopigments group to the total

Chlorophyll *a* pool was calculated by dividing their concentrations by the $\Sigma_{[Chlorophyll\ a]}$

concentration, used as a proxy of biomass ($\Sigma_{[Chlorophyll\ a]}$ = [Chlorophyll *a*] + [Chlorophyll *a*-

derivatives] + [Pheopigments]). The de-epoxidation state (%), defined as the xanthophyll

ratio of Diatoxanthin to the Diadinoxanthin + Diatoxanthin pool, was calculated as

[Diatoxanthin]/([Diadinoxanthin] + [Diatoxanthin]) x100.

Additionally, pigment concentrations were used to reconstruct the microphytobenthic

community absorption spectrum for Qphar estimation, thus providing a more accurate

assessment of light actually absorbed by diatoms at the substrata surface during the high-

light treatment (supplementary Fig. 1B-E; see supplementary materials and methods).

Data treatment

Raw 18S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing data were processed via the SAMBA workflow to

generate amplicon sequence variants, which were assigned taxonomically with the PR2

(v5.0.0) database. Reads were normalized by coverage-based rarefaction and transformed

to relative abundances. Diversity between communities was tested using the Wilcoxon rank-

sum test.

For both communities, the net impact values of stress ($\Delta_{After-Before}$) on photophysiological

parameters were calculated and compared to those of dark-adapted control samples, which

accounts for metabolic drift over time, using Welch's two-sample t-test.

Pigment composition was analyzed using ANOSIM tests based on Euclidean distances

between individual coordinates. These were extracted from the first two axes of each PCA

performed on Hellinger-transformed pigment concentrations to assess the significant effects

of treatments and communities. A Between-Class Analysis was performed to characterize

the effects of the stress factor on the total inertia of pigment profiles for both communities. A

SIMPER analysis on relative proportions, performed independently for each sediment-

associated and sediment-free biofilm community, was used to identify pigments that varied

significantly under each stress compared to the dark-adapted control samples. Changes in

pigment ratios were tested using the Mann-Whitney U test.

RESULTS

Motile diatom *P. strigosum* dominates the eukaryotic microphytobenthic

community

Despite inherent biases, such as the amplification of free eDNA or the underrepresentation of

rare species in communities[48], the sequencing approach provided a relevant semi-

quantitative overview of the biovolume-dominant eukaryotic microphytobenthic taxa. The two

communities displayed distinct assemblages, with significantly lower diversity indices and

reduced variability among sediment-free biofilm replicates compared with sediment-

associated biofilms (Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney, $P < 0.05$) (supplementary Fig. 2). The

eukaryotic community was dominated by *Bacillariophyceae*, *Nematoda*, and *Arthropoda*, with

an expected increase in the relative proportion of *Bacillariophyceae* in sediment-free biofilms,

due to the substrata surface layer subsampling protocol which excluded much of the

sediment-associated diversity (Fig. 1D). Autotrophic biovolume was largely dominated by the

raphid species *Pleurosigma strigosum*, which accounted for 88.7% of sediment-free biofilm

relative abundances, together with other benthic diatoms, such as the genera *Navicula* and

Gyrosigma (possibly *G. balticum*[49]) (Fig. 1C, E).

Downward vertical migration triggered under the three stresses

Vertical migration was assessed by tracking F_0 measurements under the hydrogen peroxide-,

plasma-, and high-light-induced ROS stresses. F_0 serves as a proxy for biomass, effectively

reflecting the motile behavior of benthic diatoms[17]. Indeed, in sediment-free biofilm

samples subjected to the hydrogen peroxide, plasma, and high-light treatments, F_0 levels did

not decrease compared to dark-adapted control replicates (supplementary Tables 3 and 4).

In addition, Fv/Fm values remained stable for the hydrogen peroxide and plasma treatments.

Altogether, these results rule out potential Chlorophyll *a* bleaching and the induction of

karyostrophy under any of the three stresses[32, 33].

Regardless of how ROS were produced or applied, the *P. strigosum* dominated biofilms

sensed both metabolic and environmental oxidative stresses, responding with a marked

downward migration (Fig. 2). This was quickly followed by a gradual recovery of surface

biomass under all stresses as soon as the stress ended. The intensity of the behavioral

response varied according to the type of stress, with exogenous ROS triggering the strongest

effect. In particular, the hydrogen peroxide treatment induced a clear and rapid on/off switch-

migration within approximately one minute (experimental observation), with a 75.5%

decrease in F_0, followed by the plasma exposure causing a 35.6% decrease and an 8.9%

reduction under the high-light stress (Table 2). Within this framework, the fastest return of

biomass at the sediment surface occurred after the hydrogen peroxide exposure, with a

+116% F_0 min^{-1} recovery slope, compared with +67% under high-light and +47% after the

plasma stress, respectively.

Table 2. Extent of the behavioral response of the microphytobenthic surface biomass

Table reporting changes in F_0 (data from Fig. 2), at the sediment surface (average ± SD, arbitrary

unit), before and after treatments, for the sediment-associated biofilm samples (n = 8 for high-light and

cold atmospheric plasma; n = 5 for hydrogen peroxide). Dark-adapted control[1], [2], and [3] correspond

to samples measured in parallel to the high-light, hydrogen peroxide, and cold atmospheric plasma

experimental treatments, respectively. The Δ After-Before (a.u.) and Changes (%) columns summarize

the temporal evolution of surface F_0. The post-stress recovery slope is calculated using a linear

regression model applied to F_0 fluorescence kinetics over 60 minutes after stress cessation, as an

indicator of microphytobenthos resilience. The slope reflects the rate of F_0 variation per minute during

recovery (F_0 min^{-1}).

Treatments	F_0 Before (a.u.)	F_0 After (a.u.)	Δ After-Before (a.u.)	Changes (%)	Recovery slope (F_0 min^{-1})
Dark-adapted control[1]	12752 (1606)	14540 (787)	+1788	**+14%**	**+11**
High-light	13080 (807)	11916 (993)	-1164	**-9%**	**+67**
Dark-adapted control[2]	11393 (1457)	12232 (703)	+839	**+7%**	**-2**
Hydrogen peroxide	11546 (1100)	2824 (433)	-8722	**-76%**	**+116**
Dark-adapted control[3]	15263 (726)	14906 (945)	-356	**-2%**	**+17**
Cold atmospheric plasma	15496 (843)	9979 (1801)	-5517	**-36%**	**+47**

Divergent photophysiology: Exogenous ROS oxidize pigments with limited photosynthetic impairment vs. high-light-driven photoinhibition

To complement the finding that microphytobenthic vertical migration behavior may be

triggered by ROS, we assessed the metabolic cost associated with these stresses by

examining the functional response of the photosynthetic apparatus. To this end, key

photosynthetic parameters and lipophilic pigment data were monitored in both communities.

Unlike sediment-associated biofilms, the sediment-free biofilms capture the impact on the

most complete and intact community possible, as supported by the sequencing results,

without the possibility of mitigation through migratory responses.

Before stress exposure, all replicates across all treatments showed high initial Fv/Fm values

(> 0.67) and high initial α-slope values (> 0.32), indicating that the cells were in an optimal

physiological state. In both communities, post-exposure photosynthetic efficiency values

remained high under all three stresses. This was particularly true for exogenous stresses in

sediment-free biofilms, where despite the inability to mitigate stress through migration, the

cells maintained high Fv/Fm and α-slope values (> 0.66 and > 0.33, respectively). Taken

together, these results support that, as for the high-light treatment, the intensities of the

hydrogen peroxide and plasma stresses remained within the photophysiological tolerance

range of the cells despite their inability to migrate, thereby enabling reliable interpretation of

the data.

The sediment-associated biofilm community showed a general decrease in photosynthetic

efficiency under all three stresses, characterized by a significant drop in the α-slope and

Fv/Fm values (Welch's two-sample t-test, $P < 0.05$). An effective photoprotective response

was observed exclusively after the high-light exposure, with increases in NPQ^{1000} and

$Y(NPQ)^{1000}$ values, indicating controlled dissipation of excess energy (Fig. 3; supplementary

Fig. 3). Conversely, stress exposure in sediment-free biofilms revealed a marked

photophysiological impact only under the high-light treatment, with a drop in Fv/Fm values,

along with a strong activation of the effective photoprotection Y(NPQ) and an increase in

unregulated photoinhibition $Y(NO)_{m\ obs}$. The increase in this uncontrolled dissipation

component reflects an excess of irradiance absorbed by the cells under the high-light

treatment, estimated at ~519 µmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1} by Qphar and recalculated at ~448 µmol

photons m^{-2} s^{-1} when accounting for the cell pigment packaging effect. The hydrogen

peroxide and plasma exposures did not significantly alter the state of the photosynthetic

electron transport chain, as particularly evident when comparing the photosystem II quantum

yield response curves (Fig. 4). Nevertheless, the exogenous plasma stress appeared more

impactful than the hydrogen peroxide, highlighted by a decrease in $rETR_{m\ obs}$ in both

sediment-associated and sediment-free biofilm communities.

Microphytobenthic lipophilic pigment analyses revealed diatom-typical profiles in all samples,

with dark-adapted sediment-free biofilms providing the most representative unstressed

baseline composition: Chlorophyll *a* and its derivatives (58.3%), Fucoxanthin and its

derivatives (28%), Chlorophyll *c2* (6.7%), Diadinoxanthin + Diatoxanthin (2.4%), ββ + βε-

carotene (1.3%) (supplementary Fig. 4). Both communities were characterized by a clear

difference in their pigment compositions (ANOSIM, R = 1; P = 1e-04, supplementary Fig.

5A). This was due to higher relative proportions of Chlorophyll *a*, Fucoxanthin, and

Chlorophyll *c2* in sediment-free biofilms, whereas sediment-associated biofilm samples

exhibited an increase in degraded forms such as Pheopigments, Unknown-carotenoids, or

Fucoxanthin-like. Within both communities, stresses significantly affected pigment profiles

(sediment-associated biofilms ANOSIM R = 0.82, *P* = 1e-04, supplementary Fig. 5B and

sediment-free biofilms ANOSIM R = 0.73, *P* = 1e-04, supplementary Fig. 5C), which explain

84% and 67% of the total inertia observed in the sediment-associated and sediment-free

biofilm samples, respectively (supplementary Fig. 6). SIMPER analyses revealed a stronger

impact of exogenous stresses on pigment composition compared to the high-light stress in

both communities, with a greater number of significantly affected pigments relative to dark-

adapted control samples (SIMPER, *P* < 0.05). This was particularly evident in sediment-

associated biofilm samples, where 3, 17, and 39 pigments were significantly affected by the

high-light, hydrogen peroxide, and plasma treatments, respectively, compared to 10, 15, and

16 pigments for sediment-free biofilms. This differential impact of the stressors was mainly

driven by Chlorophyll *a*-derivatives rather than Pheopigments (Fig. 5B, C), suggesting that

the hydrogen peroxide and plasma exposures caused a broader and untargeted effect, with

direct oxidation of numerous pigments despite short exposure times, whereas the high-light

treatment represented a more targeted stress. The latter was particularly characterized by

the activation of the xanthophyll cycle, with significant increases in the de-epoxidation state,

especially in sediment-free biofilms, where the average ratio exceeded 46% (Fig. 5A,

concentrations detailed in supplementary Table 5). Finally, sediment-free biofilms showed

the same impact dynamics on Chlorophyll *a*-derivatives as sediment-associated biofilms,

confirming that the stresses differentially affected the surface motile diatoms themselves.

DISCUSSION

High-light-induced vertical migration in microphytobenthos

Pigment profiles provided a chemotaxonomic signal typical of diatoms, characterized by high

fucoxanthin content together with Chlorophyll *c2* and Chlorophyll *a*[28], which corroborated

the sequencing results (Fig. 1E). Both communities were characterized by a biovolume

dominated by benthic diatoms, especially *Pleurosigma strigosum*, along with other

autotrophs and meiofauna (*e.g.* nematodes, copepods, and ostracods), corroborating

previous microscopic observations[49] and literature on microphytobenthos in similar

environments[50, 51]. In line with these observations, the motile behavioral response,

pigmentary mobilization, and photophysiological signals can be largely attributed to the

benthic diatoms dominating the surface biovolume, with *P. strigosum* playing a predominant

role.

In sediment-associated biofilm experiments, exposure of the microphytobenthos to 1500

µmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1} for 30 minutes induced a moderate decrease in F_0, indicating a shift in

biofilm dynamics from upward to downward migration (Fig. 2). This response was reversible,

with biomass returning to the surface after the treatment ended. Vertical migration in benthic

diatoms is governed by self-sustained circadian and tidal rhythms that require regular

resetting to remain synchronized[20, 52]. These rhythms are further modulated by

environmental cues, allowing rapid and temporally adjustable responses[18, 21, 23]. The

induction of a high-light-driven vertical migration strategy through muddy substrata was

expected and is well documented in many autotrophic microorganisms, including *P.*

strigosum, highlighting a relatively common evolutionary advantage[17, 20, 26]. To maintain

optimal cellular performance and cope with ROS, this migratory response operates in

synergy with other photoprotective mechanisms that collectively sustain cellular fitness[6].

Migration and photoprotection act synergistically under high-light-induced ROS

In parallel to the downward migration, a decline in photosynthetic efficiency was observed in

the high-light-treated sediment-associated biofilm samples, suggesting that vertical migration

did not maintain short-term photosynthetic performance. This decline was likely caused by an

increase in Y(NPQ) due to targeted mobilization of the Diadinoxanthin-Diatoxanthin cycle

(Fig. 3 and 5A), combined with slight photoinhibition, consistent with previous reports[26, 53].

Irradiance is known to generate both endogenous and exogenous ROS-high-oxidative-

potential molecules that can cross membranes and act as signaling agents across multiple

subcellular compartments[6, 9, 54–59]. Diatoms must cope with them, as excessive

accumulation poses deleterious risks, including the oxidation of essential photosynthetic

components[10, 11]. To prevent ROS formation, high-light can rapidly trigger effective

Y(NPQ) supported by the Diadinoxanthin + Diatoxanthin xanthophyll pool, where

overstimulation of the photosynthetic electron transport chain induces lumen acidification,

upregulating the pH-sensitive diadinoxanthin de-epoxidase enzyme[15, 30, 60]. Here, both

vertical migration and xanthophyll cycle activation likely limit ROS production and

accumulation, indicating that all components of a typical and effective photoprotection

strategy are engaged, as expected for benthic intertidal diatoms under photooxidative stress.

In addition, diatoms actively regulate cellular redox balance under light-induced ROS via both

enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidant capacities, including upregulation of superoxide

dismutase and ascorbate peroxidase[37, 39, 61], mobilization of antioxidant non-protein

thiols and glutathione pools[62], or by direct ROS scavenging by carotenoids[29, 31, 38].

Although Chlorophyll *a* fluorescence is generally a reliable indicator of cellular physiological

state under various abiotic stresses[63], the presence of a sedimentary environment in

sediment-associated biofilm samples can alter the signal (*e.g.* cell reorientation, effective

light exposure, or downward migration, potentially leaving fewer viable cells at the surface).

Consequently, sediment-associated biofilms photosynthetic parameters must be interpreted

with caution[45, 64]. The sediment-free biofilms results help to overcome this potential bias,

thereby clarifying the synergy between the need for migration, the xanthophyll cycle

activation, and the photosynthetic efficiency. Cells from sediment-free biofilms, unable to

adjust their vertical position, displayed a stronger increase in the de-epoxidation state, as a

high-light-compensatory response (Fig. 5A). Enhanced xanthophyll cycle mobilization is a

well-described compensatory response in diatoms with impaired motility, as shown under

light exposure combined with latrunculin motility inhibitors[14, 26, 65]. In natural

environments, this trade-off becomes evident when examining the de-epoxidation state of

epipsammic diatoms, which inhabit brighter environments where vertical migration is limited,

resulting in a stronger response than in epipelic diatoms[65–67]. Despite the xanthophyll

cycle response in sediment-free biofilms, an increase in Y(NO) indicates that the stress still

induced a slight unregulated photoinhibition from the lowest irradiances of the light curves

(Fig. 4).

In fact, the high-light treatment induced finely tuned and metabolically controlled cellular

adjustments, involving subtle pigment remodeling as part of a photoprotective strategy, which

works in synergy with vertical migration behavior. However, although this targeted response

is likely driven by intracellular variations (e.g. metabolic imbalances resulting from ROS

accumulation primarily due to light, and potentially in combination with other stresses, such

as temperature, pH, exposure to toxic substances, or nutrient availability), migration may

also result from environmental oxidative signals[58]. These include exogenous high-light-

ROS generated by photooxidation of dissolved organic matter in muddy substrata[56, 58,

68], and ROS actively or passively released by neighboring cells in the biofilm, leading to

their local accumulation[13, 54, 69]. Moreover, precipitation can deliver water containing up

to 85 µM H_2O_2[58]. All these conditions in intertidal environments can rapidly and transiently

increase exogenous oxidative stress for microphytobenthos, an environmental scenario not

far from the hydrogen peroxide and plasma treatments, although these stresses involve

higher concentrations than those typically measured in tidal zones[56].

Exogenous ROS induce untargeted oxidative stress on diatoms

As for high-light-induced photooxidation, exogenous ROS generated by the hydrogen

peroxide and plasma stresses, including H_2O_2, likely interacted with sedimentary dissolved

organic matter, triggering chemical reactions. These reactions could alter oxidative

conditions in sediment samples, including potential acidification that may explain the

increase in the de-epoxidation state. This xanthophyll cycle activation in sediment-associated

biofilms appeared to occur without development of regulated Y(NPQ) (supplementary Fig. 3).

As previously discussed, downward vertical migration into the sediment can strongly interfere

with fluorescence measurements, including underestimation of NPQ[45, 64]. In addition,

migration of viable cells into deeper sediment layers may leave senescent or physiologically

impaired cells at the surface, further altering the residual fluorescence signal. This

interpretation is supported by the higher relative abundance of Pheopigments observed in

sediment-associated biofilms compared to sediment-free biofilm samples (Fig. 5C), along

with the increase in Y(NO), concomitant with the apparent loss of Y(NPQ) development

capacity. Due to the substantial downward migration of biomass, the reliability of surface

fluorescence measurements in sediment-associated biofilm samples is intrinsically

compromised, and it is therefore not possible to definitively conclude whether the observed

signal reflects a reduced and physiologically altered surface population or whether the

induced diatoxanthin is preferentially used in antioxidant functions rather than

photoprotective NPQ[38].

In fact, exogenous stresses in both communities exhibited a stronger untargeted oxidizing

capacity, leading to extensive and differential oxidation of the pigment system. The presence

of sediment, potentially creating altered oxidative conditions, combined with an associated

pool of degraded Pheopigments, could explain the higher Between-Class Analysis explained

inertia values observed for sediment-associated biofilms (Supplementary Fig. 6). The global

impact of the hydrogen peroxide and plasma treatments is particularly reflected in the

oxidation of Chlorophyll *a* into Chlorophyll *a*-derivatives (Fig. 5B), suggesting a random and

direct oxidation of lateral chains of Chlorophyll *a* molecules[70]. Some of these alternative

forms of Chlorophyll *a* may have cellular functions[9, 29, 71], and their accumulation may

serve as an indicator of environmental conditions[5]. Despite the plasma treatment inducing

a higher accumulation of Chlorophyll *a*-derivatives, indicating more detrimental conditions

than the hydrogen peroxide exposure, both exogenous stresses resulted in high post-stress

photosynthetic performance. This supports the relevance of the applied stress intensities, as

structural modifications in part of the Chlorophyll *a* pool did not prevent the maintenance of

light-harvesting functions, even when migration was impaired.

Vertical migratory behavior triggered by exogenous ROS

Our results showed that brief exposure to hydrogen peroxide and plasma-ROS had a

moderate impact on photophysiology (Fig. 4), while simultaneously triggering a rapid

downward vertical migration (Fig. 2). Reported migration velocities are highly variable,

typically ranging from 1 to 30 µm s^{-1} for horizontal movements, and generally lower for

vertical displacements, generally between 0.17 and 0.28 µm s^{-1} [17, 20, 21, 24]. Based on

these values, diatoms could theoretically migrate vertically by approximately 102 µm and 168

µm under our 10-minute stresses, reaching muddy layers where light availability is reduced

by ~66%[65], which is consistent with the strong decrease observed in F_0. In both cases,

stresses did not compromise the motility of diatoms, which rapidly initiated an upward

migration once the stresses ended, as shown by post-stress recovery slope (Table 2). This

plasticity in behavioral response, which adjusts in real time to changing surface conditions,

was nevertheless more affected under the plasma exposure, with a slower return of biomass,

suggesting a harsher stress. ROS, such as H_2O_2, are described in literature as secondary

messengers that mediate signal transduction and activate defense mechanisms during

adaptation to both biotic and abiotic stress[5, 6, 8, 59], including motile capacities in

microalgae[25, 55, 57, 72, 73]. The hypothesis that ROS are one of the components inducing

migration under a composite stress such as cold atmospheric plasma is corroborated by the

strong migration observed under the hydrogen peroxide positive control, which specifically

isolates the effect of H_2O_2. This molecule has been widely used in previous studies to

investigate its role as a driver in various cellular pathways in microalgae[59, 72, 74–77], as

exogenously applied H_2O_2 can accumulate intracellularly via transmembrane diffusion

through aquaporins[78]. The results presented here demonstrate its key role as a strong

on/off trigger of the transient motile response. Moreover, the behavioral response did not

reflect the impact of exogenous stresses on the pigment system, as the strongest

mobilization occurred under the hydrogen peroxide treatment rather than under plasma.

Together, these results suggest that vertical migration could be triggered by ROS, especially

H_2O_2, without necessarily being associated with a decline in photosynthetic performance. In

other words, the presence of ROS, even in darkness and without impaired photosynthetic

capacity, is sufficient to trigger downward motility. This triggering of migration occurs without

inducing an effective controlled photoacclimatory Y(NPQ) response involving the xanthophyll

cycle. Exogenous ROS likely acted through a sophisticated signal transduction network to

trigger this rapid and essential motility response of the microphytobenthos under harmful

oxidative environmental conditions[6, 8]. The precise cellular pathways by which H_2O_2 act,

either directly or indirectly, to trigger this behavior remain uncertain in benthic diatoms and

require further investigation. Nevertheless, certain studies in the literature provide a solid

foundation for preliminary research.

Do ROS induce calcium signaling underlying motility?

In benthic pennate diatoms, gliding motility is driven by an actin-based cytoskeletal system

organized along the apical axis. This system transmits force through transmembrane

complexes to mucopolysaccharide microfibrils secreted via the raphe slit, thereby enabling

adhesion and traction on substrata[20, 22]. ROS can plausibly act on several components of

the gliding system, including cytoskeletal reorganization, adhesive mucilaginous compounds,

ATP availability, gene expression, or by generating oxidized metabolites that function as

infochemicals and secondary messengers in specific signaling pathways (*e.g.* oxylipins, thiol

compounds)[12, 22, 79–83]. Nevertheless, a migration behavior primarily controlled by ROS-

calcium signaling remains one of the most prominent hypotheses, both of them being central

components of microalgal adaptation to environmental stressors[84].

Recent studies have shown that disruption of the transthylakoid proton gradient inhibits

motility in benthic diatoms, potentially through effects on calcium homeostasis, thereby

suggesting a broader link between behavior and high light-driven photoprotection[77, 85].

Our results provide experimental evidence that diatom migration can be triggered in

darkness and independently of an effective Y(NPQ) response, further refining the regulatory

cascade underlying this behavior by linking ROS to an "oxidotactic" motility that may involve

calcium signaling. Elevations of stromal Ca^{2+} have been reported in response to intracellular

ROS accumulation under high light and after exogenous H_2O_2 exposure[76, 77]. In plants,

both endogenous H_2O_2 accumulation and exogenous H_2O_2 exposure induce Ca^{2+} influx via

calcium channel activation[86], an influx implicated in controlling diatom migration[87, 88]

and, more recently, contributing to gliding motility through EukCatAs ion channels that

regulate cytosolic Ca^{2+} levels[89]. In addition, it has been shown that certain membrane

receptor kinases can directly sense extracellular H_2O_2 and initiate Ca^{2+} channel opening

without a prior intracellular H_2O_2 accumulation[90]. In the environment, exposure to copper

promotes oxidative stress and intracellular Ca^{2+} perturbations alongside active gliding

motility[25], and new evidence has identified a bistability in phytoplanktonic microalgae, in

which vertical migration switches are driven by a ROS-induced oxidative stress threshold[72].

Our study does not provide a definitive conclusion on the full role of ROS, such as H_2O_2.

Nevertheless, these results, supported by recent literature, help to identify the major putative

components underlying the cellular signaling of this behavior (Fig. 6). Although detailed

information on the ROS-Calcium-Motility interplays is still lacking, particularly regarding how

the calcium acts on the locomotion machinery pathways, we propose that certain rapid

responses to environmental cues, such as a vertical migratory behavior switch observed in

this experiment, are mediated, at least in part, by a ROS-sensing system.

CONCLUSION

All results provide strong evidence for the key role of ROS, particularly H_2O_2, as a critical

signaling molecule triggering the rapid motile behavior in diatom-dominated

microphytobenthic biofilms. The strongest downward migration occurred under exogenous

stresses that minimally impacted photosynthetic efficiency, despite a broad and untargeted

oxidative stress on pigments. Moreover, these exogenous stresses were unable to induce an

effective, controlled photoprotective response, as reflected by Y(NPQ). This demonstrates

that exposure to H_2O_2 alone, in the absence of any light cue, is sufficient to trigger a plastic

motility. Such a response suggests a signaling pathway that may operate independently from

those governing photophysiology, thereby broadening the ecological relevance of vertical

migration in a multi-stress environmental context. This stress-mitigation strategy can act

synergistically with pigments under high irradiance in natural environments, as supported by

the enhanced activation of the xanthophyll cycle when migration was restricted. Together,

the findings corroborate previous studies showing that vertical migration is an important

adaptive trait allowing diatoms to regulate homeostasis and support long-term physiological

performance.

By demonstrating the importance of ROS sensing in shaping microphytobenthic motility,

these results indicate that benthic diatoms are able to monitor both metabolic and

environmental ROS. This ROS-mediated early warning sensing system likely represents an

adaptive strategy that enables efficient surveillance of environmental conditions, allowing

cells to rapidly prevent deleterious conditions, maintain cellular fitness, and ultimately support

the ecological success of diatoms in highly variable environments. This offers new insights

into how oxidative stress regulates autotrophic life and more broadly highlights its key role

within the aquatic microbiome. The response to oxidative challenges likely relies on a

complex signaling cascade that requires further in-depth investigation to identify potential

effectors in ROS-motility signaling and clarify how triggering this behavior allows cells to

cope with oxidative stress in natural environments.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

606 Our thanks go to Johann Lavaud for his valuable discussions on photophysiology. We are

607 also thankful to Manon Leroux for her essential assistance in the laboratory during the

608 experiment. We acknowledge the chromatography and mass spectrometry facility at the

609 Concarneau Marine Station (PtSMB-MNHN) for providing access to the HPLC.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

611 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

FUNDING

613 This work was funded by the Institut de l'Océan from the Sorbonne University alliance and

614 the Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle.

DATA AVAILABILITY

All scripts used to generate the figures and perform the analyses are available on GitHub, and are also archived on Zenodo. The datasets generated and analyzed during the current study are available in the Zenodo repository. The raw sequencing data have been deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) under project accession number **ERA33152396** (Experiments **ERX14500286 – ERX14500369**; Runs **ERR15095684 – ERR15095767**) and can be accessed via the ENA portal. The raw chromatogram files used for pigment analyses are available in the Zenodo repository.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

AD: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Data curation, Formal analysis, Visualization, Writing - original draft. BJ: Data curation, Formal analysis, Validation, Writing - review & editing. TR: Data curation, Methodology, Formal analysis. TD: Resources, Methodology, Writing - review & editing. CH: Supervision, Methodology, Data curation, Formal analysis, Validation, Writing - review & editing.

REFERENCES

1. Kühl M, Jorgensen BB. The light field of microbenthic communities: radiance distribution and microscale optics of sandy coastal sediments. *Limnol Oceanogr* 1994;39:1368–1398. 10.4319/lo.1994.39.6.1368

2. Underwood GJC. Microphytobenthos. Encyclopedia of Ocean Sciences. Academic Press, 2001, 1770–1777. 10.1006/rwos.2001.0213

3. Pinckney JL. A mini-review of the contribution of benthic microalgae to the ecology of the continental shelf in the South Atlantic Bight. *Estuaries coast* 2018;41:2070–2078. 10.1007/s12237-018-0401-z

4. Hubas C, Passarelli C, Paterson DM. Microphytobenthic biofilms: composition and interactions. In: Beninger PG (ed.), Mudflat Ecology. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2018, 63–90. 10.1007/978-3-319-99194-8_4

5. Waszczak C, Carmody M, Kangasjarvi J. Reactive oxygen species in plant signaling. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* 2018;69:209–236. 10.1146/annurev-arplant-042817-040322

6. Falciatore A, Mock T (eds). The molecular life of diatoms. Cham: Springer International

Publishing, 2022. 10.1007/978-3-030-92499-7

7. Duchêne C et al. Diatom phytochromes integrate the underwater light spectrum to sense

depth. *Nature* 2025;637:691–697. 10.1038/s41586-024-08301-3

8. Lepetit B, Dietzel L. Light signaling in photosynthetic eukaryotes with 'green' and 'red'

chloroplasts. *Environ Exp Bot* 2015;114:30–47. 10.1016/j.envexpbot.2014.07.007

9. Foyer CH, Hanke G. ROS production and signalling in chloroplasts: cornerstones and

evolving concepts. *Plant J* 2022;111:642–661. 10.1111/tpj.15856

10. Doose C et al. Non-enzymatic oxylipin production in a mudflat microphytobenthic biofilm:

evidence of a diatom response to light. *Front Photobiol* 2024;2:1441713.

10.3389/fphbi.2024.1441713

11. Nishiyama Y, Allakhverdiev SI, Murata N. A new paradigm for the action of reactive

oxygen species in the photoinhibition of photosystem II. *Biochim Biophys Acta Bioenerg*

2006;1757:742–749. 10.1016/j.bbabio.2006.05.013

12. Knieper M, Viehhauser A, Dietz K-J. Oxylipins and reactive carbonyls as regulators of the

plant redox and reactive oxygen species network under stress. *Antioxidants*

2023;12:814. 10.3390/antiox12040814

13. Mittler R et al. ROS signaling: the new wave? *Trends Plant Sci* 2011;16:300–309.

10.1016/j.tplants.2011.03.007

14. Serôdio J et al. Efficiency of photoprotection in microphytobenthos: role of vertical

migration and the xanthophyll cycle against photoinhibition. *Aquat Microb Ecol*

2012;16:161–175. 10.3354/ame01591

15. Blommaert L. Photoprotection in intertidal benthic diatoms. 2017;Ph.D. dissertation.

Ghent University. Faculty of Sciences, Ghent, Belgium.

16. Fauvel P, Bohn G. Le rythme des marées chez les diatomées littorales. *CR Soc Biol*

1907;62:121–123.

17. Consalvey M, Paterson DM, Underwood GJC. The ups and downs of life in a benthic

biofilm: migration of benthic diatoms. *Diatom Res* 2004;19:181–202.

10.1080/0269249X.2004.9705870

18. Coelho H, Vieira S, Serôdio J. Endogenous versus environmental control of vertical

migration by intertidal benthic microalgae. *Eur J Phycol* 2011;46:271–281.

10.1080/09670262.2011.598242

19. Barnett A et al. The vertical migratory rhythm of intertidal microphytobenthos in sediment

depends on the light photoperiod, intensity, and spectrum: evidence for a positive effect

of blue wavelengths. *Front Mar Sci* 2020;7:212. 10.3389/fmars.2020.00212

20. Cohn S, Manoylov K, Gordon R (eds). Diatom Gliding Motility. John Wiley & Sons, 2021.

10.1002/9781119526483

21. Morelle J et al. The photoprotective behavior of a motile benthic diatom as elucidated

from the interplay between cell motility and physiological responses to a light

microgradient using a novel experimental setup. *Microb Ecol* 2024;87:40.

10.1007/s00248-024-02354-7

22. Poulsen NC et al. Diatom gliding is the result of an actin-myosin motility system. *Cell

Motil Cytoskeleton* 1999;44:23–33. 10.1002/(SICI)1097-0169(199909)44:1%3C23::AID-

CM2%3E3.0.CO;2-D

23. Perkins R et al. Vertical cell movement is a primary response of intertidal benthic biofilms

to increasing light dose. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 2010;416:93–103. 10.3354/meps08787

24. Marques Da Silva J, Duarte B, Utkin AB. Travelling expenses: the energy cost of diel

vertical migrations of epipelic microphytobenthos. *Front Mar Sci* 2020;7:433.

10.3389/fmars.2020.00433

25. Suzuki S et al. Rapid transcriptomic and physiological changes in the freshwater pennate

diatom *Mayamaea pseudoterrestris* in response to copper exposure. *DNA Res*

2022;29:dsac037. 10.1093/dnares/dsac037

26. Morelle J et al. Inter-specific variability in photophysiological responses of epipelic

diatoms to the actin inhibitor Latrunculin B. *Diatom Res* 2025;40:133–146.

10.1080/0269249X.2024.2447333

27. Nakov T, Beaulieu JM, Alverson AJ. Accelerated diversification is related to life history

and locomotion in a hyperdiverse lineage of microbial eukaryotes (Diatoms,

Bacillariophyta). *New Phytol* 2018;219:462–473. 10.1111/nph.15137

28. Roy S et al. (eds). Phytoplankton pigments: characterization, chemotaxonomy and

applications in oceanography. Cambridge University Press, 2011.

10.1017/CBO9780511732263

29. Kuczynska P, Jemiola-Rzeminska M, Strzalka K. Photosynthetic pigments in diatoms.

Mar Drugs 2015;13:5847–5881. 10.3390/md13095847

30. Goss R, Jakob T. Regulation and function of xanthophyll cycle-dependent

photoprotection in algae. *Photosynth Res* 2010;106:103–122. 10.1007/s11120-010-

9536-x

31. Latowski D, Kuczyńska P, Strzałka K. Xanthophyll cycle – a mechanism protecting plants

against oxidative stress. *Redox Rep* 2011;16:78–90.

10.1179/174329211X13020951739938

32. Bastos A et al. Light response of karyostrophy in the benthic pennate diatom

Pleurosigma strigosum (Bacillariophyceae): a complimentary photoprotective process? *J

Phycol* 2025;61:1140–1152. 10.1111/jpy.70055

33. Furukawa T, Watanabe M, Shihira-Ishikawa I. Green- and blue-light-mediated chloroplast

migration in the centric diatomPleurosira laevis. *Protoplasma* 1998;203:214–220.

10.1007/BF01279479

34. Jönsson B, Sundbäck K, Nilsson C. An upright life-form of an epipelic motile diatom: on

the behaviour of *Gyrosigma balticum*. *Eur J Phycol* 1994;29:11–15.

10.1080/09670269400650421

35. Jesus B et al. Microscale imaging sheds light on species-specific strategies for photo-

regulation and photo-acclimation of microphytobenthic diatoms. *Environ Microbiol*

2023;25:3087–3103. 10.1111/1462-2920.16499

36. De Tommasi E et al. Multiple-pathways light modulation in *Pleurosigma strigosum* bi-

raphid diatom. *Sci Rep* 2024;14:6476. 10.1038/s41598-024-56206-y

37. Nymark M et al. An integrated analysis of molecular acclimation to high light in the

marine diatom *Phaeodactylum tricornutum*. *PLoS ONE* 2009;4:e7743.

10.1371/journal.pone.0007743

38. Lepetit B et al. Evidence for the existence of one antenna-associated, lipid-dissolved and

two protein-bound pools of diadinoxanthin cycle pigments in diatoms. *Plant Physiol*

2010;154:1905–1920. 10.1104/pp.110.166454

39. Valle KC et al. System responses to equal doses of photosynthetically usable radiation of

blue, green, and red Light in the marine diatom *Phaeodactylum tricornutum*. *PLoS ONE*

2014;9:e114211. 10.1371/journal.pone.0114211

40. Walpersdorf E et al. In situ oxygen dynamics and carbon turnover in an intertidal

sediment (Skallingen, Denmark). *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 2017;566:49–65.

10.3354/meps12016

41. Stoeck T et al. Multiple marker parallel tag environmental DNA sequencing reveals a

highly complex eukaryotic community in marine anoxic water. *Mol Ecol* 2010;19:21–31.

10.1111/j.1365-294X.2009.04480.x

42. Eilers PHC, Peeters JCH. A model for the relationship between light intensity and the

rate of photosynthesis in phytoplankton. *Ecol Modell* 1988;42:199–215. 10.1016/0304-

3800(88)90057-9

43. Silsbe GM, Kromkamp JC. Modeling the irradiance dependency of the quantum

efficiency of photosynthesis. *Limnol Oceanogr Methods* 2012;10:645–652.

10.4319/lom.2012.10.645

44. Barranguet, Kromkamp J. Estimating primary production rates from photosynthetic

electron transport in estuarine microphytobenthos. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 2000.

doi:10.3354/meps204039

45. Consalvey M et al. PAM fluorescence: a beginners guide for benthic diatoms. *Diatom*

Res 2005;20:1–22. 10.1080/0269249X.2005.9705619

46. Christof Klughammer, Ulrich Schreiber. Complementary PS II quantum yields calculated

from simple fluorescence parameters measured by PAM fluorometry and the saturation

pulse method. *PAM Application Notes* 2008;1:27–35.

47. Brotas V, Plante-Cuny M-R. The use of HPLC pigment analysis to study

microphytobenthos communities. *Acta Oecol* 2003;24:S109–S115. 10.1016/S1146-

609X(03)00013-4

48. Van Der Loos LM, Nijland R. Biases in bulk: DNA metabarcoding of marine communities

and the methodology involved. *Mol Ecol* 2021;30:3270–3288. 10.1111/mec.15592

49. Gaubert-Boussarie J, Prado S, Hubas C. An untargeted metabolomic approach for

microphytobenthic biofilms in intertidal mudflats. *Front Mar Sci* 2020;7:250.

10.3389/fmars.2020.00250

50. Underwood GJC, Barnett, M. What determines species composition in microphytobenthic

biofilms. *Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences* 2006;123–140.

51. Méléder V et al. Spatiotemporal changes in microphytobenthos assemblages in a

macrotidal flat (Bourgneuf Bay,France). *J Phycol* 2007;43:1177–1190. 10.1111/j.1529-

8817.2007.00423.x

52. Farré EM. The brown clock: circadian rhythms in stramenopiles. *Physiol Plant*

2020;169:430–441. 10.1111/ppl.13104

53. Prins A et al. Effect of light intensity and light quality on diatom behavioral and

physiological photoprotection. *Front Mar Sci* 2020;7:203. 10.3389/fmars.2020.00203

54. Schneider RJ et al. Species-level variability in extracellular production rates of reactive

oxygen species by diatoms. *Front Chem* 2016;4:5. 10.3389/fchem.2016.00005

55. Richter PR et al. High light-induced sign change of gravitaxis in the flagellate *euglena*

gracilis is mediated by reactive oxygen species. *Acta Protozool* 2003;42:197–204.

56. Abele-Oeschger D, Tüg H, Röttgers R. Dynamics of UV-driven hydrogen peroxide

formation on an intertidal sandflat. *Limnol Oceanogr* 1997;42:1406–1415.

10.4319/lo.1997.42.6.1406

57. Kottuparambil S et al. UV-B affects photosynthesis, ROS production and motility of the

freshwater flagellate, *Euglena agilis* Carter. *Aquat Toxicol* 2012;122–123:206–213.

10.1016/j.aquatox.2012.06.002

58. Morris JJ, Rose AL, Lu Z. Reactive oxygen species in the world ocean and their impacts

on marine ecosystems. *Redox Biol* 2022;52:102285. 10.1016/j.redox.2022.102285

59. Mizrachi A et al. Light-dependent single-cell heterogeneity in the chloroplast redox state

regulates cell fate in a marine diatom. *eLife* 2019;8:e47732. 10.7554/eLife.47732

60. Lavaud J et al. Influence of the diadinoxanthin pool size on photoprotection in the marine

planktonic diatom *Phaeodactylum tricornutum*. *Plant Physiol* 2002;129:1398–1406.

10.1104/pp.002014

61. Waring J et al. Light-induced responses of oxygen photoreduction, reactive oxygen

species production and scavenging in two diatom species. *J Phycol* 2010;46:1206–1217.

10.1111/j.1529-8817.2010.00919.x

62. Rijstenbil JW. UV- and salinity-induced oxidative effects in the marine diatom

Cylindrotheca closterium during simulated emersion. *Mar Biol* 2005;147:1063–1073.

10.1007/s00227-005-0015-4

63. Kalaji HM et al. Chlorophyll a fluorescence as a tool to monitor physiological status of

plants under abiotic stress conditions. *Acta Physiol Plant* 2016;38:102. 10.1007/s11738-

016-2113-y

64. Jesus B et al. Effects of vertical migrations by benthic microalgae on fluorescence

measurements of photophysiology. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 2006;315:55–66.

10.3354/meps315055

65. Cartaxana P et al. Physiological versus behavioral photoprotection in intertidal epipelic

and epipsammic benthic diatom communities. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 2011;405:120–127.

10.1016/j.jembe.2011.05.027

66. Jesus B et al. Adaptations of microphytobenthos assemblages to sediment type and tidal

position. *Cont Shelf Res* 2009;29:1624–1634. 10.1016/j.csr.2009.05.006

67. Barnett A et al. Growth form defines physiological photoprotective capacity in intertidal

benthic diatoms. *ISME J* 2015;9:32–45. 10.1038/ismej.2014.105

68. Cheng K et al. Probing the photochemical formation of hydroxyl radical from dissolved

organic matter: insights into the H_2O_2-dependent pathway. *Environ Sci Technol*

2025;59:2245–2256. 10.1021/acs.est.4c10348

69. Diaz JM, Plummer S. Production of extracellular reactive oxygen species by

phytoplankton: past and future directions. *J Plankton Res* 2018;40:655–666.

10.1093/plankt/fby039

70. Amorim DS et al. Effects of cold plasma on chlorophylls, carotenoids, anthocyanins, and

betalains. *Food Res Int* 2023;167:112593. 10.1016/j.foodres.2023.112593

71. Ataka R et al. Determination of *N*-centered stereochemistry in N22-methylated

chlorophyll-*a* derivatives and their epimer-dependent optical spectra. *Chirality*

2024;36:e23681. 10.1002/chir.23681

72. Carrara F et al. Bistability in oxidative stress response determines the migration behavior

of phytoplankton in turbulence. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2021;118:e2005944118.

10.1073/pnas.2005944118

73. De Carpentier F et al. How abiotic stress-induced socialization leads to the formation of

massive aggregates in *Chlamydomonas*. *Plant Physiol* 2022;190:1927–1940.

10.1093/plphys/kiac321

74. Li Z et al. Common environmental stress responses in a model marine diatom. *New*

Phytol 2023;240:272–284. 10.1111/nph.19147

75. Volpert A et al. Diurnal fluctuations in chloroplast GSH redox state regulate susceptibility

to oxidative stress and cell fate in a bloom-forming diatom. *J Phycol* 2018;54:329–341.

10.1111/jpy.12638

76. Pivato M et al. Compartment-specific Ca^{2+} imaging in the green alga *Chlamydomonas*

reinhardtii reveals high light-induced chloroplast Ca^{2+} signatures. *New Phytol*

2023;240:258–271. 10.1111/nph.19142

77. Flori S et al. Diatoms exhibit dynamic chloroplast calcium signals in response to high light

and oxidative stress. *Plant Physiol* 2025;197:kiae591. 10.1093/plphys/kiae591

78. Bienert GP, Chaumont F. Aquaporin-facilitated transmembrane diffusion of hydrogen

peroxide. *Biochim Biophys Acta Gen Subj* 2014;1840:1596–1604.

10.1016/j.bbagen.2013.09.017

79. Pohnert G. Phospholipase A2 Activity Triggers the Wound-Activated Chemical Defense

in the Diatom *Thalassiosira rotula*. *Plant Physiol* 2002;129:103–111. 10.1104/pp.010974

80. Vardi A et al. A stress surveillance system based on calcium and nitric oxide in marine

diatoms. *PLoS Biol* 2006;4:e60. 10.1371/journal.pbio.0040060

81. Mukai K et al. Effects of light and hydrogen peroxide on gene expression of newly

identified antioxidant enzymes in the harmful algal bloom species *Chattonella marina*.

Eur J Phycol 2019;54:393–403. 10.1080/09670262.2019.1576062

82. Osuna-Cruz CM et al. The *Seminavis robusta* genome provides insights into the

evolutionary adaptations of benthic diatoms. *Nat Commun* 2020;11:3320.

10.1038/s41467-020-17191-8

83. Russo MT et al. The first genetic engineered system for ovothiol biosynthesis in diatoms

reveals a mitochondrial localization for the sulfoxide synthase OvoA. *Open Biol*

2023;13:220309. 10.1098/rsob.220309

84. Bowler C, Fluhr R. The role of calcium and activated oxygens as signals for controlling

cross-tolerance. *Trends Plant Sci* 2000;5:241–246. 10.1016/S1360-1385(00)01628-9

85. Morelle J et al. Light induced transthylakoidal proton gradient is a key signal driving the

downward migration of motile diatoms in sediments. *Sci Rep* 2025;15:20664.

10.1038/s41598-025-07737-5

86. Pei Z-M et al. Calcium channels activated by hydrogen peroxide mediate abscisic acid

signalling in guard cells. *Nature* 2000;406:731–734. 10.1038/35021067

87. Cooksey B, Cooksey KE. Calcium is necessary for motility in the diatom *Amphora*

coffeaeformis. *Plant Physiol* 1980;65:129–131. 10.1104/pp.65.1.129

88. McLachlan DH et al. Calcium release from intracellular stores is necessary for the

photophobic response in the benthic diatom *Navicula perminuta (Bacillariophyceae). J*

Phycol 2012;48:675–681. 10.1111/j.1529-8817.2012.01158.x

89. Helliwell KE et al. Alternative mechanisms for fast Na+/Ca2+ signaling in eukaryotes via

a novel class of single-domain voltage-gated channels. *Curr Biol* 2019;29:1503-1511.e6.

10.1016/j.cub.2019.03.041

90. Wu F et al. Hydrogen peroxide sensor HPCA1 is an LRR receptor kinase in *Arabidopsis*.

Nature 2020;578:577–581. 10.1038/s41586-020-2032-3

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Two additional documents: (1) supplementary materials and methods and (2) supplementary

data.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. Microphytobenthic community diversity

A. Geographical location of the studied microphytobenthic biofilm; B. Microphytobenthic biofilm (brown

patches) developing on muddy substrata of the breeding pond; C. Light microscopy image of the most

commonly observed diatom species in the microphytobenthic community: 1. Pleurosigma sp., 2.

Navicula sp*., 3. Pleurosigma strigosum, 4. Gyrosigma* sp*.;* **D.** *Relative average abundance barplots*

(%; n = 5 per treatment) of eukaryotic phyla from microphytobenthic samples (some sequences derive

from planktonic eDNA, thus contributing to the observed community composition) for sediment-

associated and sediment-free biofilms, under Dark-Adapted control (DA), High-Light (HL), Hydrogen

Peroxide (HP), and Cold Atmospheric Plasma (PL) treatments; **E.** *Average sediment-free*

microphytobenthic biofilm community across all treatments, highlighting the prevalence of the

autotrophic phylum Bacillariophyceae (first pie chart), and the significant contribution of Pleurosigma

strigosum within Bacillariophyceae abundances (second pie chart). The "Others" category includes the

sum of taxa with <2% abundance.

Figure 2. Downward migration of microphytobenthos under the three oxidative stresses

Temporal monitoring of surface microalgal biomass (F_0, arbitrary unit) for sediment-associated biofilms

under the three oxidative stresses: High-Light (HL; n = 8), Hydrogen Peroxide (HP; n = 5), and Cold

Atmospheric Plasma (PL; n = 8), compared to Dark-Adapted control biofilms (DA). Shaded grey areas

indicate the stress period. All measurements were taken in the morning, during the upward migration

phase of microphytobenthos.

Figure 3. Heatmap of net photophysiological responses under oxidative stresses

Net impact of High-Light (HL), Hydrogen Peroxide (HP), and Cold Atmospheric Plasma (PL)

treatments on photosynthetic parameters. Welch's two-sample t-test (n = 3) was used to calculate the

stress-induced changes Δ $_{After - Before}$, relative to dark-adapted control samples (Δ $_{After - Before\ DA}$), in order

to correct for the natural photophysiological variation observed under the darkness treatment. Arrows

indicate the direction of the change induced by each stressor compared to dark-adapted control

biofilms. α-slope (arbitrary unit). Fv/Fm (unitless) corresponds to the maximum quantum yield of

photosystem II photochemistry under dark-adapted state. rETR$_{m\ obs}$ (arbitrary unit) and Y(NO)$_{m\ obs}$

(unitless) refer to the maximum values observed within the measured light curve range (without

extrapolation). NPQ^{1000} (unitless) and Y(NPQ)^{1000} (unitless) correspond to the maximum values

measured after the final one-minute light curve step at 1000 µmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1} (without

extrapolation). All fluorescence measurements were performed on dark-adapted samples for both

sediment-associated and sediment-free biofilm communities. See supplementary Table 3 and 4 for

detailed values.

923 **Figure 4. Photosynthetic efficiency profiles under treatments**

924 *Photosystem II (PSII) quantum yield (unitless), rETR (arbitrary unit), Y(NPQ) (unitless), and Y(NO)*

925 *(unitless) response curves of sediment-free biofilm community, under the three stresses: High-Light*

926 *(HL), Hydrogen Peroxide (HP), and Cold Atmospheric Plasma (PL), compared to Dark-Adapted*

927 *control biofilms (DA). All photosynthetic parameters measured with n = 3.*

Figure 5. Impact of treatments on pigment content

Barplots showing variations in pigment ratios (%) under the following treatments: Dark-Adapted control

(DA), High-Light (HL), Hydrogen Peroxide (HP), and Cold Atmospheric Plasma (PL), for both

sediment-associated (left panel) and sediment-free biofilm (right panel) communities; ***A.*** *Barplots of*

the de-epoxidation state (%); ***B.*** *Barplots of Chlorophyll a-derivatives pigment ratios;* ***C.*** *Barplots of*

Pheopigments pigment ratios. Statistical differences were assessed by the Mann-Whitney U test

between the dark-adapted control biofilm samples and each stress (n = 5; P < 0.05 *, < 0.01 **).

Figure 6. Putative integration of ROS-calcium cellular signaling underlying stress-induced downward vertical migration

Summary of the ROS-Calcium-Motility interplays illustrating a hypothetical signaling model linking the observed motile behavior to the applied stresses. Black arrows and their direction indicate relationships supported by the literature between specific components. Grey dashed lines represent hypothetical interactions. Lines (⊥) indicate processes involved in regulating intracellular ROS concentrations. Abbreviations used in the figure: "qF" fluorescence quenching; "qP" photochemical quenching; "qE" energy-dependent quenching; "str" stromal; "cyt" cytosolic.