Title: Reactive oxygen species trigger downward vertical migration in diatom microphytobenthic biofilms as a strategy to cope with oxidative stress

Short title: ROS-Triggered Diatom Biofilm Migration

Authors informations:

1. Alexandre Desparmet[1] (alexandre.desparmet@mnhn.fr ; ORCID 0009-0009-6783-277X)
2. Bruno Jesus[2] (bruno.jesus@univ-nantes.fr ; ORCID 0000-0002-2047-3783)
3. Tony Robinet[1] (tony.robinet@mnhn.fr ; ORCID 0000-0002-3669-2788)
4. Thierry Dufour[3] (thierry.dufour@sorbonne-universite.fr ; ORCID 0000-0002-0397-368X)
5. Cédric Hubas[1] (cedric.hubas@mnhn.fr ; ORCID 0000-0002-9110-9292)

[1]Laboratoire de Biologie des Organismes et Écosystèmes Aquatiques (BOREA), UMR 8067, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Sorbonne Université, CNRS 7208, IRD 207, UCN, UA, Station Marine de Concarneau, Quai de la Croix, 29900 Concarneau, France.

[2]Institut Des Substances et Organismes de la Mer (ISOMer), UR 2160, Nantes université, Faculté des Sciences et des Techniques, 44000 Nantes, France.

[3]Laboratoire de Physique des plasmas (LPP), UMR 7648, Sorbonne Université, CNRS, École Polytechnique, Univ. Paris-Sud, Observatoire de Paris, Université Paris-Saclay, PSL Research University, 4 Place Jussieu, 75252 Paris, France.

Corresponding author:

Alexandre Desparmet
Laboratoire de Biologie des Organismes et Écosystèmes Aquatiques (BOREA), UMR 8067, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Sorbonne Université, CNRS 7208, IRD 207, UCN, UA, Station Marine de Concarneau, Quai de la Croix, 29900 Concarneau, France.
alexandre.desparmet@mnhn.fr ; ORCID 0009-0009-6783-277X

ABSTRACT

Diatom-dominated intertidal microphytobenthic biofilms experience daily fluctuations in irradiance, which can lead to oxidative stress within the photosynthetic apparatus through the production and accumulation of reactive oxygen species. To maintain photosynthetic efficiency, benthic diatoms have developed protective strategies, including mobilization of the antioxidant xanthophyll cycle and the ability to migrate vertically through sediments. However, mechanistic understanding of signaling pathways underlying migration remains poorly characterized. This study investigated the triggering effect of reactive oxygen species on behavioral and photophysiological responses through the analysis of lipophilic pigments and fluorescence parameters. To this end, two microphytobenthic communities, one with sediment allowing vertical migration and another without sediment restricting it, were exposed to irradiance, cold atmospheric plasma, and hydrogen peroxide stresses. Results showed a consistent downward migration response under all oxidative stresses, highlighting the key role of reactive oxygen species, especially hydrogen peroxide, in triggering this microphytobenthic behavior. Moreover, a difference was observed between the pathways involved in vertical migration and those underlying photoprotective responses. Hydrogen peroxide and cold atmospheric plasma stresses highlighted the necessity for substantial microphytobenthic migration, whereas irradiance induced a specific and controlled response involving engagement of the xanthophyll cycle, acting in synergy with the migration strategy by showing stronger activation when migration was impaired. By establishing that a rapid and efficient migration could be induced by reactive oxygen species and could act in synergy with the xanthophyll cycle in epipelic cells, this study provides key insights into the molecular basis of microphytobenthic responses to cellular and environmental oxidative stresses.

INTRODUCTION

The aquatic microbiome exhibits a remarkable diversity of adaptive strategies to deal with rapid

environmental fluctuations. Intertidal sediments, as dynamic interfaces, undergo significant

qualitative and quantitative variations in the electromagnetic spectrum throughout the day, impacting

the phototrophic communities[1]. Among these, microphytobenthos (MPB), dominated by epipelic

diatoms, forms structured mucilaginous biofilms on muddy substrata, an advantageous lifestyle that

plays a key role in their adaptation and associated ecosystem services[2–4].

Light carries essential environmental information that diatoms can perceive either directly through

photoreceptors or indirectly via cellular signals, such as levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and the

redox state of the photosynthetic chain[5–7]. These signals trigger physiological responses involved in

light acclimation, photoprotection and photomotile movements, enabling diatoms to acclimate, adapt,

and survive[8]. Under high light intensity conditions, photosynthetic capacity can be exceeded, leading

to the synthesis and accumulation of ROS, natural by-products of oxygen metabolism, such as singlet

oxygen $^1O_2$, superoxide $O_2^{\bullet-}$, hydrogen peroxide H_2O_2 and hydroxyl $HO^{\bullet}$[9]. This deregulation disrupts

homeostasis and causes photooxidative damage, such as lipid peroxidation, which compromises

cellular integrity[10], and the oxidation of DNA and key proteins such as the PSII D1 protein[11, 12], which

can ultimately result in cell death[9, 13]. Epipelic diatoms have developed two major adaptive strategies

to preempt this ROS formation and accumulation, ensuring optimal photosynthetic processes in a

variable light environment: vertical migration behavior and xanthophyll cycle (XC)[14, 15].

First described in 1907 by Fauvel and Bohn, the ability of cells to move vertically through muddy

substrata is a behavior regulated both endogenously and plastically by various environmental forcing,

such as light information[16–21]. Rapid and temporally adjustable, this actin-myosin-driven gliding

system involving the secretion of extracellular mucilage fibrils through the slit raphe, enables diatoms

to quickly reposition themselves at low metabolic cost, allowing them to reach sediment layers with

optimal irradiance conditions[20–26]. This adaptation, partly responsible for the diversity of

Bacillariophyta[27], represents a significant evolutionary advantage and has given rise to distinct

migration strategies depending on the environmental context[15, 17, 19, 20]. In addition, the optimization

of diatom light harvesting results from the dynamic regulation of accessory carotenoid pigments, such

as xanthophylls linked with the photosystem II Fucoxanthin-Chlorophyll-Protein supercore complex[6,

14, 28, 29]. Overstimulation of the photosynthetic electron transport chain acidifies the thylakoid lumen

through proton translocation associated with the cytochrome b6f complex via the Q-cycle, as well as

proton release generated by water photolysis at photosystem II (PSII). Under these low-lumen-pH

conditions, the enzymatic activity of Diadinoxanthin de-epoxidase is upregulated, leading to the de-

epoxidation of Diadinoxanthin (Dd) embedded in the thylakoid membranes[15, 29–31]. Rapid XC

adjustments involved in the energy-dependent component of non-photochemical quenching (qE) are

particularly pronounced in diatoms and represent a crucial antioxidant photoprotective response that

optimizes thermal dispersion of excess energy in a fluctuating environment, thereby limiting ROS-

associated damage in the chloroplast[15, 29–31]. These two major adaptations are complemented by

others, such as chloroplast mobility[32, 33], spatial cell orientation[34, 35], frustule nanostructures[36], and

cellular ROS management through enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidant capacities[31, 37–39].

Together, these adaptations form a sophisticated system that enables diatoms to monitor and respond

to environmental fluctuations, contributing to their success in modern marine ecosystems[6].

However, while both diatom vertical migration photoregulation and XC are well characterized

individually, the mechanistic understanding of molecular ROS-related cellular signaling pathways that

coordinate and integrate real-time protective strategies remain poorly understood in benthic diatoms.

The present study, conducted on a natural epipelic microphytobenthic biofilm, addresses this gap by

testing the hypothesis that: [1] ROS can act as a trigger signal for downward vertical migration, and [2]

ROS-induced migration may be initiated through pathways that operate independently of

photoprotection.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Biofilm sampling and experimental treatments

MPB sampling. Microphytobenthos was collected from a disused outdoor breeding pond at the

Concarneau Marine Station (France; 47°52'05.85"N; 3°55'00.51"W). The pond retains at least 5 cm of

seawater above the sediment at low tide, ensuring that the biofilm developing on fine-grained muddy

sediments under a semi-diurnal tidal regime remains permanently immersed (Fig. 1A, B). The upper

centimeter of sediment was sampled at low tide on 29 April 2024 (1:00 PM) using a shovel. Muddy

samples were placed in plastic boxes with overlying seawater and left to settle under natural ambient

laboratory light conditions, without direct illumination, for 24 hours at 20°C.

Community and replicate conditioning. Two communities were compared: one capable of vertical

migration through sediment, exhibiting a robust and regular pattern driven by both endogenous

circadian and tidal rhythms (Sediment Biofilm, SB), and another in which vertical movement was

impaired due to the absence of sediment (Without Sediment Biofilm, WSB). SB Petri dishes (5.5 cm

diameter) were prepared 24 hours before the experiment, whereas WSB Petri dishes were prepared

on the day of the experiment from biofilm that had settled in a box for 24 hours. The SB samples

consisted of homogeneous sediment discs (5 mm thick) topped with 4 mL of filtered seawater from

Concarneau Bay, which were left to settle for 24 hours under ambient laboratory light and

temperature. The WSB algal suspension was prepared by gently peeling off the highly cohesive, EPS-

rich upper millimeter of the MPB with tweezers and resuspending it in filtered site seawater (ratio 1

mg : 20 mL). This method minimizes mechanical disturbance and preserves the surface community's

cell-size distribution and taxonomic composition. Four milliliters of the WSB suspension were placed

in each Petri dish.

Oxidative treatments. Both SB and WSB communities were exposed in the morning (9:00 AM to 1:00

PM), during the biofilm's upward migration phase, to three short oxidative stress treatments. These

were compared with dark-adapted controls (DA; <5 μmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1}, which is not complete

darkness, but remains below the light compensation point for MPB[40]). High light (HL) stress was

applied to mimic intense irradiance commonly experienced by autotrophic cells in natural

environments, with a 30-minute exposure to 1500 μmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1} of full-spectrum warm white

LED light panel (supplementary Fig. 1A). A cold atmospheric plasma (PL) was applied for 10 minutes by

piezoelectric discharge, using ambient laboratory air as the carrier gas. Exposure was performed

indirectly using plasma-activated seawater, with a 3 mm gap maintained between the water surface

and the plasma source to prevent mechanical disturbance of diatoms from transient thermal filaments.

This treatment generates both reactive oxygen and nitrogen species (*e.g.* H_2O_2, NO_2^-, NO_3^-), inducing a

composite oxidative stress predominantly driven by H_2O_2, which reaches a concentration of 209 μM

(additional PL details provided in the supplementary materials and methods). Finally, we applied a

targeted hydrogen peroxide stress (HP), consisting of a 10-minute exposure to a 200 μM H_2O_2 seawater

solution, allowing the specific effect of this ROS to be isolated. The H_2O_2 concentration was determined

based on the amount produced during the 10-minute plasma treatment, ensuring that HP and PL

stresses were as comparable as possible. Both HP and PL exposures were conducted in darkness. For

molecular analyses, five replicates (*n = 5*), for each community and treatment, were immediately

frozen with liquid nitrogen after exposure, lyophilized, and stored at -80°C. Three replicates (*n = 3*)

were used for photophysiological measurements (Table 1). Additional details on biofilm sampling and

experimental treatments are provided in the supplementary materials and methods.

176   ***Table 1. Experimental setup***

177   *Summary of the materials and treatments tested in this study.*

Treatments	Dark-Adapted (DA)	High Light (HL)	Cold Atmospheric Plasma (PL)	Hydrogen Peroxide (HP)
Description	Negative control	Natural behavior control	Experimental composite treatment	Positive control
Stress type	-	Warm white UV to near-IR light	Reactive oxygen and nitrogen species stress	Targeted oxidative stress (H_2O_2)
Time exposure	-	30 min	10 min	10 min
ROS origin	-	Endogenous	Exogenous	Exogenous
Experimental materials	Leave in darkness	LED panel Rhino Series (GR-RHINO® 260-PRO-DIM)	Plasma activated seawater (PiezoBrush® PZ3 with NearField® insert from Reylon Plasma GmbH)	Seawater concentrated with H_2O_2 (ref. 216763 from Sigma Aldrich®)
Molecular analyses	SB, *n = 5*; WSB, *n = 5*			
Photophysiological measurements	SB, *n = 3*; WSB, *n = 3*			

Metabarcoding approach

180   To identify the motile diatoms involved in vertical migration behavior, the eukaryotic MPB community

181   was characterized through a short-read Illumina® metabarcoding approach. DNA was extracted using

182   the NucleoSpin® Soil Mini kit (MACHEREY-NAGEL®, ref. 740780.10), and the V4 region of the 18S rRNA

183   gene was amplified using primers TAReuk454FWD1 and TAReukREV3[41]. Library construction and

184   sequencing were performed by BMKGENE.

Chlorophyll fluorescence: Pulse Amplitude Modulated fluorometry

In order to monitor cellular efficiency under the different treatments and interpret subsequent

photoprotective responses, photosynthetic parameters derived from chlorophyll *a* (Chla) fluorescence

were measured using a MonitoringPen MP 100-E® (Photon System Instruments). The light curve

program consisted of seven one-minute irradiance steps, increasing from 10 to 1000 µmol photons m^{-2}

s^{-1} ($\lambda_{LED\ excitation}$ = 470 nm), with consistent gain, superpulse, and flashpulse intensity settings

maintained across all measurements. The initial linear Alpha slope, representing the maximum light

use efficiency of PSII, was derived from the light-use-efficiency photosynthesis-irradiance (P-E) curves

by fitting the Eilers and Peeters (1988) model[42], as modified by Silsbe and Kromkamp (2012)[43]. The

relative electron transport rate (rETR) of PSII, an indicator of productivity[23] and in some cases a proxy

for carbon fixation[44], corresponds to the values extracted directly from light curve points. The

operational activity of PSII photochemistry (Fq'/Fm'), as well as non-photochemical quenching (NPQ)

and its regulated Y(NPQ) and non-regulated Y(NO) components, were calculated using equations

adapted from Consalvey et al. (2005) and Murchie and Lawson (2013)[45, 46] (supplementary Table 1).

Measurements were taken after a 10-minute darkness adaptation for HL and immediately after the

10-minute stress period for HP and PL.

Long-term biofilm monitoring

In addition, to assess the vertical migratory response over time, the minimum fluorescence of dark-

adapted samples (F_0), used as a proxy of surface algal biomass[17], was monitored in the SB community

after a 24-hour settling period under ambient laboratory light and temperature. F_0 measurements

were conducted independently and randomly on dense biofilms (182 cm²) contained in 14 × 13 cm

boxes (one box per stress), and compared with their respective DA biofilms (HL and PL: *n = 8*; HP: *n =*

5). Due to the rapid induction of the migratory response in MPB, F_0 biomass monitoring was resumed

after 5 minutes of dark adaptation following HL stress, and immediately after HP and PL stresses.

Lipophilic pigments analysis

To characterize the metabolic impact of the treatments and complement the photosynthetic efficiency

data, the lipophilic pigments were extracted following the protocol of Brotas and Plante-Cuny

(2003)[47]. Freeze-dried samples (10 mg WSB, 40 mg SB) were incubated for 15 minutes at -21 °C in 2

mL of 95% methanol buffered with 2% ammonium acetate, then filtered through a 0.2μm PTFE filter.

Aliquots of 100 μL were injected into an Agilent 1260 Infinity HPLC system equipped with a C18

Supelcosil® column and a UV-VIS photodiode array detector (DAD 1260 VL, 250-900 nm). The method

employed a flow rate of 0.6 mL min^{-1} using solvent mixture A (0.5M ammonium acetate in 85:15

methanol:water), B (90:10 acetonitrile:water), and C (100% ethyl acetate). A total of 44 MPB pigments

were detected (list and abbreviations are provided supplementary Table 2), and their concentrations

(μg pigment g^{-1} dry weight) were quantified using calibration curves based on phytoplankton pigment

standards from DHI®. Two groups of derived Chla were identified: the "Chla-derivatives" group

includes unidentified Chla-like pigments, as well as the allomer and epimer forms; the "Pheopigments"

group includes Pheophytins *a*, Pheophorbides *a*, and Pyropheophytin *a*. The contribution (%) of each

Chla-derivatives and pheopigments group to the total Chla pool was calculated by dividing their

concentrations by the Σ_{Chla} concentration, used as a proxy of biomass (Σ_{Chla} = [Chla] + [Chla-

derivatives] + [Pheopigments]). The de-epoxidation state (DES %), defined as the ratio of Diatoxanthin

(Dt) to the Dd + Dt pool, was calculated as [Dt]/([Dd] + [Dt]) x100.

Additionally, pigment concentrations were used to reconstruct the MPB community absorption

spectrum for Qphar estimation, thus providing a more accurate assessment of light actually absorbed

by diatoms at the substrata surface during HL treatment (supplementary Fig. 1B-E; see supplementary

materials and methods).

Data treatment

Raw metabarcoding data were processed via the <u>SAMBA workflow</u> to generate amplicon sequence

variants, which were assigned taxonomically with the PR2 (v5.0.0) database. Reads were normalized

by coverage-based rarefaction and transformed to relative abundances. Diversity between

communities was tested using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test.

For both communities, the net impact values of stress ($\Delta_{\text{After-Before}}$) on photophysiological parameters

were calculated and compared to those of the DA, which accounts for metabolic drift over time, using

Welch's two-sample t-test.

Pigment composition was analyzed using ANOSIM tests based on Euclidean distances between

individual coordinates. These were extracted from the first two axes of each PCA performed on

Hellinger-transformed pigment concentrations to assess the significant effects of treatments and

communities. A Between-Class Analysis was performed to characterize the effects of the stress factor

on the total inertia of pigment profiles for both communities. A SIMPER analysis on relative

proportions, performed independently for each SB and WSB community, was used to identify pigments

that varied significantly under each stress compared to DA. Changes in pigment ratios were tested

using the Mann-Whitney U test.

RESULTS

The motile diatom *P. strigosum* dominates the eukaryotic MPB community

Despite inherent biases, such as the amplification of free eDNA or the underrepresentation of rare

species in communities[48], the metabarcoding approach provided a relevant semi-quantitative

overview of the biovolume-dominant eukaryotic MPB taxa. The two communities displayed distinct

assemblages, with significantly lower diversity indices and reduced variability among WSB replicates

compared with SB (Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney, *p* < 0.05) (supplementary Fig. 2). The eukaryotic

community was dominated by *Bacillariophyceae*, *Nematoda*, and *Arthropoda*, with an expected

increase in the relative proportion of *Bacillariophyceae* in WSB, due to the substrata surface layer

subsampling protocol which excluded much of the sediment-associated diversity (Fig. 1D). Autotrophic

biovolume was largely dominated by the raphid species *Pleurosigma strigosum*, which accounted for

88.71% of WSB relative abundances, together with other benthic diatoms, notably from the genera

Navicula and *Gyrosigma* (possibly *G. balticum*, following Gaubert-Boussarie et al., 2020[49]) (Fig. 1C, E).

Figure 1. Microphytobenthic community diversity

(A) Geographical location of the studied microphytobenthic biofilm. (B) Microphytobenthic biofilm (brown

patches) developing on muddy substrata of the breeding pond. (C) Light microscopy image of the most commonly

observed diatom species in the microphytobenthic community: 1. Pleurosigma sp., 2. Navicula sp., 3. Pleurosigma

strigosum, 4. Gyrosigma sp. (D) Relative average abundances barplot (%; n = 5 per treatment) of eukaryotic phyla

from microphytobenthic samples (note that some sequences derive from planktonic eDNA, thus contributing to

the observed community composition) for Sediment Biofilm (SB) and Without Sediment Biofilm (WSB), under

Dark-Adapted control (DA), High Light (HL), Hydrogen Peroxide (HP), and Cold Atmospheric Plasma (PL)

treatments. (E) Average WSB microphytobenthic community across treatments, highlighting the prevalence of

the autotrophic phylum Bacillariophyceae (first pie chart), and the significant contribution of Pleurosigma

strigosum within Bacillariophyceae abundances (second pie chart). The "Others" category includes the sum of

taxa with <2% abundance.

Downward vertical migration triggered under the three stresses

Vertical migration was assessed by tracking F_0 measurements under HP-, PL-, and HL-induced ROS

stresses. F_0 serves as a proxy for biomass, effectively reflecting the motile behavior of benthic

diatoms[17]. Indeed, in WSB treatments, F_0 levels did not decrease compared to DA following exposure

to HP, PL, and HL stresses (supplementary Tables 3 and 4). In addition, Fq'/Fm' values remained stable

for HP and PL treatments. Altogether, these results rule out potential Chla bleaching and the induction

of karyostrophy under any of the three stresses[32, 33].

Regardless of how ROS were produced or applied, the *P. strigosum* dominated biofilms sensed both

metabolic and environmental oxidative stresses and responded with a marked downward migration

(Fig. 2). This was quickly followed by a gradual recovery of surface biomass under all stresses as soon

as the stress ended. The intensity of the behavioral response varied according to the type of stress,

with exogenous ROS triggering the strongest effect. In particular, HP induced a clear and rapid on/off

switch-migration within approximately one minute (experimental observation), with a 75.5% decrease

in F_0, followed by PL causing a 35.6% decrease and HL an 8.9% reduction (Table 2). Interestingly, the

fastest return of biomass at the sediment surface occurred under HP, with a +116% F_0 min^{-1} recovery

slope, compared with +67% and +47% under HL and PL, respectively.

289

290 ***Figure 2. Downward migration of microphytobenthos under the three oxidative stresses***

291 *Temporal monitoring of surface microalgal biomass (F_0, arbitrary unit) for the Sediment Biofilm (SB) samples*

292 *under the three oxidative stresses: High Light (HL; n = 8), Hydrogen Peroxide (HP; n = 5), and Cold Atmospheric*

293 *Plasma (PL; n = 8), compared to a Dark-Adapted control (DA). Shaded grey areas indicate the stress period. All*

294 *measurements were taken in the morning, during the upward migration phase of microphytobenthos.*

295

296 ***Table 2. Extent of the behavioral response of the microphytobenthic surface biomass***

297 *Table reporting changes in F_0 (data from Fig. 2), at the sediment surface (average ± SD, arbitrary unit), before*

298 *and after treatments, for the Sediment Biofilm (SB) samples (n = 8 for HL and PL; n = 5 for HP). The Δ After-Before*

299 *(a.u.) and Changes (%) columns summarize the temporal evolution of surface F_0. The post-stress recovery slope is*

300 *calculated using a linear regression model applied to F_0 fluorescence kinetics over 60 minutes after stress*

301 *cessation, as an indicator of MPB resilience. The slope reflects the rate of F_0 variation per minute during recovery*

302 *(F_0 min^{-1}).*

Treatments	F_0 Before (a.u.)	F_0 After (a.u.)	Δ After-Before (a.u.)	Changes (%)	Recovery slope (F_0 min^{-1})
DA (HL)	12752 (1606)	14540 (787)	+1788	**+14%**	**+11**
HL	13080 (807)	11916 (993)	-1164	**-9%**	**+67**
DA (HP)	11393 (1457)	12232 (703)	+839	**+7%**	**-2**
HP	11546 (1100)	2824 (433)	-8722	**-76%**	**+116**
DA (PL)	15263 (726)	14906 (945)	-356	**-2%**	**+17**
PL	15496 (843)	9979 (1801)	-5517	**-36%**	**+47**

303

Divergent photophysiology: Exogenous ROS oxidize pigments with limited photosynthetic

impairment vs. HL-driven photoinhibition

To complement the finding that MPB vertical migration behavior may be triggered by ROS, we assessed

the metabolic cost associated with these stresses by examining the functional response of the

photosynthetic apparatus. To this end, key photosynthetic parameters and lipophilic pigment data

were monitored in both communities. Unlike SB, the WSB samples capture the impact on the most

complete and intact community possible, as supported by the metabarcoding results, without the

possibility of mitigation through migratory responses.

Before stress exposure, all replicates across all treatments showed high initial Fq'/Fm'_{max} values (>

0.67) and high initial Alpha slope values (> 0.32), indicating that the cells were in an optimal

physiological state. In both communities, post-exposure photosynthetic efficiency values remained

high under all three stresses. This was particularly true for exogenous stresses in WSB, where despite

the inability to mitigate stress through migration, the cells maintained high Fq'/Fm'_{max} and Alpha slope

values (> 0.66 and > 0.33, respectively). Taken together, these results support that, as for HL, the

intensities of HP and PL stresses remained within the photophysiological tolerance range of the cells

despite their inability to migrate, thereby enabling reliable interpretation of the data.

The SB community showed a general decrease in photosynthetic efficiency under all three stresses,

characterized by a significant drop in the Alpha slope and Fq'/Fm'_{max} values (Welch's two-sample t-test,

p < 0.05). An effective photoprotective response was observed exclusively under HL, with increases in

NPQ^{1000} and $Y(NPQ)^{1000}$ values, indicating controlled dissipation of excess energy (Fig. 3; supplementary

Fig. 3). Conversely, stress exposure in WSB revealed a marked photophysiological impact only under

HL, with a drop in Fq'/Fm'_{max} values, along with a strong activation of the effective photoprotection

Y(NPQ) and an increase in unregulated photoinhibition $Y(NO)_{m\ obs}$. The increase in this uncontrolled

dissipation component reflects an excess of irradiance delivered by HL, and absorbed by the cells,

estimated at ~519 µmol photons $m^{-2}\ s^{-1}$ by Qphar and recalculated at ~448 µmol photons $m^{-2}\ s^{-1}$ when

accounting for the cell pigment packaging effect. HP and PL did not significantly alter the state of the

photosynthetic electron transport chain, as particularly evident when comparing the Fq'/Fm' response

curves (Fig. 4). Nevertheless, the exogenous PL stress appeared more impactful than HP, highlighted

by a decrease in $rETR_{m\ obs}$ in both SB and WSB communities.

333
Figure 3. Heatmap of net photophysiological responses under oxidative stresses.

Net impact of High Light (HL), Hydrogen Peroxide (HP), and Cold Atmospheric Plasma (PL) treatments on

photosynthetic parameters. Welch's two-sample t-test (n = 3) was used to calculate the stress-induced changes

Δ After - Before, relative to DA (Δ After - Before DA), in order to correct for the natural photophysiological variation

observed under the darkness treatment. Arrows indicate the direction of the change induced by each stressor

compared to the DA control. Alpha slope (arbitrary unit). Fq'/Fm' $_{max}$ (unitless) corresponds to the PSII operational

activity in the dark-adapted state. rETR$_{m\ obs}$ (arbitrary unit) and Y(NO)$_{m\ obs}$ (unitless) refer to the maximum values

observed within the measured light curve range (without extrapolation). NPQ^{1000} (unitless) and Y(NPQ)^{1000}

(unitless) correspond to the values observed at the highest light step of the light curve (without extrapolation).

All fluorescence measurements were performed on dark-adapted samples for both Sediment Biofilm (SB) and

Without Sediment Biofilm (WSB) communities. See supplementary Table 3 and 4 for detailed values.

Figure 4. Stress-induced photosynthetic efficiency profiles

Fq'/Fm', rETR, Y(NPQ), and Y(NO) response curves of Without Sediment Biofilm (WSB) community, under the three

stresses: High Light (HL), Hydrogen Peroxide (HP) and Cold Atmospheric Plasma (PL), compared to the Dark-

Adapted control (DA). All photosynthetic parameters measured with n = 3.

MPB lipophilic pigment analyses revealed diatom-typical profiles in all samples, with the DA WSB

treatment providing the most representative unstressed baseline composition: Chla and its derivatives

(58.3%), Fucoxanthin and its derivatives (28%), Chlorophyll *c2* (6.7%), Dd+Dt (2.4%), ββ+βℇ-carotene

(1.3%) (supplementary Fig. 4). Both communities were characterized by a clear difference in their

pigment compositions (ANOSIM, R = 1; *p* = 1e-04, supplementary Fig. 5A). This was due to higher

relative proportions of Chla, Fucoxanthin, and Chlorophyll *c2* in WSB samples, whereas SB samples

exhibited an increase in degraded forms such as Pheopigments, Unknown-carotenoids, or

Fucoxanthin-like. Within both communities, stresses significantly affected pigment profiles (SB

ANOSIM R = 0.82, *p* = 1e-04, supplementary Fig. 5B and WSB ANOSIM R = 0.73, *p* = 1e-04,

supplementary Fig. 5C), which explain 84% and 67% of the total inertia observed in the SB and WSB

samples, respectively (supplementary Fig. 6). SIMPER analyses revealed a stronger impact of

exogenous stresses on pigment composition compared to HL in both communities, with a greater

number of significantly affected pigments relative to DA (SIMPER, *p* < 0.05). This was particularly

evident in SB samples, where 3, 17, and 39 pigments were significantly affected under HL, HP, and PL,

respectively, compared to 10, 15, and 16 pigments in WSB samples. This differential impact of the

stressors was mainly driven by Chla-derivatives rather than pheopigments (Fig. 5B, C), suggesting that

HP and PL caused a broader and untargeted effect, with direct oxidation of numerous pigments despite

short exposure times, whereas HL represented a more targeted stress. The latter was particularly

characterized by the activation of XC, with significant increases in the DES, especially in WSB, where

average de-epoxidation rates exceeded 46% (Fig. 5A, concentrations detailed in supplementary Table

5). Finally, WSB samples showed the same impact dynamics on Chla-derivatives as SB, confirming that

the stresses differentially affected the surface motile diatoms themselves.

Figure 5. Impact of treatments on pigment content

Barplot showing variations in pigment ratios (%) under the following treatments: Dark-Adapted control (DA), High

Light (HL), Hydrogen Peroxide (HP), and Cold Atmospheric Plasma (PL), for both Sediment Biofilm (SB, left panel)

and Without Sediment Biofilm (WSB, right panel) communities. (A) Barplots of the de-epoxidation state DES (%).

(B) Barplots of Chla-derivatives pigment ratios. (C) Barplots of Pheopigments pigment ratios. Statistical

differences were assessed by the Mann-Whitney U test between DA and each stress (n = 5; p < 0.05 *, < 0.01 **).

DISCUSSION

HL-induced vertical migration in MPB

Pigment profiles provided a chemotaxonomic signal typical of diatoms, characterized by high

fucoxanthin content together with Chlorophyll *c2* and Chla[28], which corroborated the metabarcoding

results (Fig. 1E). Both communities were characterized by a biovolume dominated by benthic diatoms,

especially *Pleurosigma strigosum*, along with other autotrophs and meiofauna (*e.g.* nematodes,

copepods and ostracods), corroborating previous microscopic observations[49] and literature on MPB

in similar environments[50, 51]. In line with these observations, the motile behavioral response,

pigmentary mobilization, and photophysiological signals can be largely attributed to the benthic

diatoms dominating the surface biovolume, with *P. strigosum* playing a predominant role.

In SB long-term monitoring experiments, exposure of the MPB to 1500 µmol photons m^{-2} s^{-1} for 30

minutes induced a moderate decrease in F_0, indicating a shift in biofilm dynamics from upward to

downward migration (Fig. 2). This response was reversible, with biomass returning to the surface after

the treatment ended. Vertical migration in benthic diatoms is governed by self-sustained circadian and

tidal rhythms that require regular resetting to remain synchronized[20, 52]. These rhythms are further

modulated by environmental cues, allowing rapid and temporally adjustable responses[18, 21, 23]. The

induction of a HL-driven vertical migration strategy through muddy substrata was expected and is well

documented in many autotrophic microorganisms, including *P. strigosum*, highlighting a relatively

common evolutionary advantage[17, 20, 26]. To maintain optimal cellular performance and cope with ROS,

this migratory response operates in synergy with other photoprotective mechanisms that collectively

sustain cellular fitness[6].

Migration and photoprotection act synergistically under HL-induced ROS

In parallel to the downward migration, a decline in photosynthetic efficiency was observed in HL-

treated SB samples, suggesting that vertical migration did not maintain short-term photosynthetic

performance. This decline was likely caused by an increase in Y(NPQ) due to targeted DES-XC

mobilization (Fig. 3 and 5A), combined with slight photoinhibition, consistent with previous reports[26,

53]. Irradiance is known to generate both endogenous and exogenous ROS-high-oxidative-potential

molecules that can cross membranes and act as signaling agents across multiple subcellular

compartments[6, 9, 54–57]. Diatoms must cope with them, as excessive accumulation poses deleterious

risks, notably by oxidizing essential photosynthetic components[10, 11]. To prevent ROS formation, HL

can rapidly trigger effective Y(NPQ) supported by the Dd + Dt xanthophyll pool, where overstimulation

of the photosynthetic electron transport chain induces lumen acidification, upregulating the pH-

sensitive diadinoxanthin de-epoxidase enzyme[15, 30, 58]. Here, both vertical migration and XC activation

likely limit ROS production and accumulation, indicating that all components of a typical and effective

photoprotection strategy are engaged, as expected for benthic intertidal diatoms under

photooxidative stress. In addition, diatoms actively regulate cellular redox balance under light-induced

ROS via both enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidant capacities, including upregulation of

superoxide dismutase and ascorbate peroxidase[37, 39, 59], mobilization of antioxidant non-protein thiols

and glutathione pools[60], or by direct ROS scavenging by carotenoids[29, 31, 38].

Although Chla fluorescence is generally a reliable indicator of cellular physiological state under various

abiotic stresses[61], the presence of a sedimentary environment in SB can alter the signal (*e.g.* cell

reorientation, effective light exposure, or downward migration leaving fewer viable cells at the

surface). Consequently, SB photosynthetic parameters must be interpreted with caution[45, 62]. WSB

results help to overcome this potential bias, thereby clarifying the synergy between the need for

migration, the XC activation, and the photosynthetic efficiency. WSB cells, unable to adjust their

vertical position, displayed a stronger increase in DES, as an HL-compensatory response (Fig. 5A).

Enhanced XC mobilization is a well-discribed compensatory response in diatoms with impaired

motility, as shown under light exposure combined with latrunculin motility inhibitors[14, 26, 63]. In natural

environments, this trade-off becomes evident when comparing DES activation in epipsammic diatoms,

which inhabit brighter environments where vertical migration is limited, resulting in a stronger

response than in epipelic diatoms[63–65]. Despite the XC response in WSB, an increase in Y(NO) indicates

that the stress still induced a slight unregulated photoinhibition from the lowest irradiances of the light

curves (Fig. 4).

In fact, HL induced finely tuned and metabolically controlled cellular adjustments, involving subtle

pigment remodeling as part of a photoprotective strategy, which works in synergy with vertical

migration behavior. However, although this targeted response is likely driven by intracellular

variations, migration may also result from environmental oxidative signals. These include exogenous

HL-ROS generated by photooxidation of dissolved organic matter in muddy substrata[54, 57, 66], and ROS

actively or passively released by neighboring cells in the biofilm, leading to their local accumulation[13,

67]. Moreover, precipitation can deliver water containing up to 85 µM H_2O_2[57]. All these conditions in

intertidal environments can rapidly and transiently increase exogenous oxidative stress for MPB, an

environmental scenario not far from the HP and PL treatments, although these stresses involve higher

concentrations than those typically measured in tidal zones[54].

Exogenous ROS induce untargeted oxidative stress on diatoms

As for HL-induced photooxidation, exogenous ROS generated by HP and PL, notably H_2O_2, likely

interacted with sedimentary dissolved organic matter, triggering chemical reactions. These reactions

could alter oxidative conditions in SB, including potential acidification that may explain the DES

increase. This XC activation in SB occurred without controlled Y(NPQ), suggesting either that extinction

is masked by migration or that the induced Dt is directly used for antioxidant functions[38]. The

untargeted nature of exogenous stresses and their associated oxidative intensity, together with the

possibility of altered oxidative conditions, and the presence of a larger pheopigment pool associated

with senescent cells in DA SB compared to WSB (Fig. 5C), explains the higher Between Class Analysis

explained inertia values of SB and the differential impact between HL, HP and PL on pigment

composition (Fig. 5B). In fact, exogenous stresses in both communities exhibited a stronger oxidizing

capacity, leading to extensive oxidation of the pigment system, without inducing effective

photoacclimation Y(NPQ) through XC activation. The global impact of HP and PL is particularly reflected

in the oxidation of Chla into Chla-derivatives, suggesting a random and direct oxidation of lateral chains

of Chla molecules[68]. Some of these alternative forms of Chla may have cellular functions[9, 29, 69, 70], and

their accumulation may serve as an indicator of environmental conditions[5]. Despite PL stress inducing

a higher accumulation of Chla-derivatives, indicating more detrimental conditions than HP, both

exogenous stresses resulted in high post-stress photosynthetic performance. This supports the

relevance of the applied stress intensities, as structural modifications in part of the Chla pool did not

prevent the maintenance of light-harvesting functions, even when migration was impaired.

Vertical migratory behavior triggered by exogenous ROS

Our results showed that brief exposure to HP- and PL-ROS had a moderate impact on photophysiology

(Fig. 4), while simultaneously triggering a rapid downward vertical migration (Fig. 2). Reported

migration velocities are highly variable, typically ranging from 1 to 30 $\mu m\ s^{-1}$ for horizontal movements,

and generally lower for vertical displacements, generally between 0.17 and 0.28 $\mu m\ s^{-1}$ [17, 20, 21, 24].

Based on these values, diatoms could theoretically migrate vertically by approximately 102 μm and

168 μm under our 10-minute stresses, reaching muddy layers where light availability is reduced by

~66%[63], which is consistent with the strong decrease observed in F_0. In both cases, stresses did not

compromise the motility of diatoms, which rapidly initiated an upward migration once the stresses

ended, as shown by post-stress recovery slope (Table 2). This plasticity in behavioral response, which

adjusts in real time to changing surface conditions, was nevertheless more affected under PL, with a

slower return of biomass, indicating that PL represented a harsher stress. ROS, such as H_2O_2, are widely

described in literature as secondary messengers that mediate signal transduction and activate defense

mechanisms during adaptation to both biotic and abiotic stress[5, 6, 8], including motile capacities in

microalgae[25, 55, 56, 71, 72]. The hypothesis that ROS are one of the components inducing migration under

a composite stress such as PL is corroborated by the strong migration observed under the positive

control HP. The latter represents a treatment as comparable as possible to PL, but isolates only the

specific effect of the H_2O_2 component. This molecule has been widely used in previous studies to

investigate its role as a driver in various cellular pathways in microalgae[71, 73–75]. These results

demonstrate its key role as a strong on/off trigger of the transient motile response. More interestingly,

the behavioral response did not reflect the impact of exogenous stresses on the pigment system, as

the strongest mobilization occurred under HP rather than under PL.

Together, these results suggest that vertical migration could be triggered by ROS, especially H_2O_2,

without necessarily being associated with a decline in photosynthetic performance. In other words,

the presence of ROS, even in darkness and without impaired photosynthetic capacity, is sufficient to

trigger downward motility. This triggering of migration occurs without inducing an effective controlled

photoacclimatory Y(NPQ) response involving XC. Exogenous ROS likely acted through a sophisticated

signal transduction network to trigger this rapid and essential motility response of the MPB under

harmful oxidative environmental conditions[6, 8]. The precise cellular pathways by which H_2O_2 act,

either directly or indirectly, to trigger this behavior remain uncertain in benthic diatoms and require

further investigation. Nevertheless, certain studies in the literature provide a solid foundation for

preliminary research.

Do ROS induce calcium signaling underlying motility?

In benthic pennate diatoms, gliding motility is driven by an actin-based cytoskeletal system organized

along the apical axis. This system transmits force through transmembrane complexes to

mucopolysaccharide microfibrils secreted via the raphe slit, thereby enabling adhesion and traction on

substrata[20, 22]. ROS can plausibly act on several components of the gliding system, including

cytoskeletal reorganization, adhesive mucilaginous compounds, ATP availability, gene expression, or

by generating oxidized metabolites that function as infochemicals and secondary messengers in

specific signaling pathways (*e.g.* oxylipins, thiol compounds, etc)[12, 22, 76–80]. Nevertheless, a migration

behavior primarily controlled by ROS-calcium signaling remains one of the most prominent

hypotheses, both of them being central components of microalgal adaptation to environmental

stressors[81].

Recently, Morelle et al. (2025) demonstrated that disruption of the transthylakoid proton gradient

inhibits motility in benthic diatoms, hypothesizing that this disruption affects calcium signaling[82].

Similarly, Flori et al. (2025) also proposed that transthylakoid gradient disruption may influence

intracellular calcium by contributing to the elevation of stromal calcium concentration[75]. More

broadly, these findings suggest a link between behavior and HL-driven photoprotection. In contrast,

our study provides experimental evidence that vertical migration can be triggered in darkness and

independently of an effective Y(NPQ) photoprotective response. Here, our results further refine the

putative signaling cascade underlying this behavior by directly connecting ROS to an "oxidotactic"

motility response. The role of calcium in this signaling is likely linked to intracellular ROS signals.

Calcium elevations in the chloroplast stroma have been reported in response to ROS accumulation

under high light, as well as after exogenous H_2O_2 addition in diatoms[74, 75]. In Arabidopsis, both

endogenous H_2O_2 increases and exogenous H_2O_2 exposure have been shown to induce Ca^{2+} influx

through the activation of Ca^{2+} channels[83]. The importance of Ca^{2+} influx for diatom migration has been

recognized for decades[84, 85]. Suzuki et al. (2022) reported that exposure to copper promotes active

gliding motility along with a decrease in intracellular Ca^{2+} concentration[25]. More recently, Helliwell et

al. (2019) showed that gliding motility in diatoms is regulated by Ca^{2+} dependent signaling through

EukCatAs ion channels implicated in controlling cytosolic Ca^{2+} levels[86]. Although intracellular ROS

accumulation, facilitated by transmembrane diffusion through aquaporins under exogenous stress[87],

is generally thought to contribute to Ca^{2+} responses, it has recently been shown that certain membrane

receptor kinases can directly sense extracellular H_2O_2 and initiate Ca^{2+} channel opening without a prior

intracellular H_2O_2 accumulation[88]. Finally, Carrara et al. (2021) identified bistability in phytoplanktonic

microalgae, in which vertical migration switches are driven by a ROS-induced oxidative stress

threshold[71]. They reported a rapid induction of downward migration after exposure to high light,

mechanical cellular constraints (*e.g.* water column turbulences), and addition of exogenous H_2O_2

serving as an experimental positive control. These findings strongly support the results obtained in this

study, within a planktonic context.

Our study does not provide a definitive conclusion on the full role of ROS, such as H_2O_2. Nevertheless,

these results, supported by recent literature, help to identify the major putative components

underlying the cellular signaling of this behavior (Fig. 6). Although detailed information on the ROS-

Calcium-Motility interplays is still lacking, particularly regarding how the calcium acts on the

locomotion machinery pathways, we propose that certain rapid responses to environmental cues, such

as a vertical migratory behavior switch observed in this experiment, are mediated, at least in part, by

a ROS-sensing system.

Figure 6. Putative integration of ROS-calcium cellular signaling underlying stress-induced downward vertical migration

Summary of the ROS-Calcium-Motility interplays illustrating a hypothetical signaling model linking the observed motile behavior to the applied stresses. Black arrows and their direction indicate relationships supported by the literature between specific components. Grey dashed lines represent hypothetical interactions. Lines (⊥) indicate processes involved in regulating intracellular ROS concentrations. Abbreviations used in the figure: "qF" fluorescence quenching; "qP" photochemical quenching; "qE" energy-dependent quenching; "str" stromal; "cyt" cytosolic.

CONCLUSION

All results provide strong evidence for the key role of ROS, particularly H_2O_2, as a critical signaling molecule triggering the rapid motile behavior in diatom-dominated MPB biofilms. The strongest downward migration occurred under exogenous stresses that minimally impacted photosynthetic efficiency, despite a broad and untargeted oxidative stress on pigments. Moreover, these exogenous stresses were unable to induce an effective, controlled photoprotective response, as reflected by Y(NPQ). This demonstrates that exposure to H_2O_2 alone, in the absence of any light cue, is sufficient to

trigger a plastic motility. Such a response suggests a signalling pathway that may operate

independently from those governing photophysiology, thereby broadening the ecological relevance of

vertical migration in a multi-stress environmental context. This stress-mitigation strategy can act

synergistically with pigments under high irradiance in natural environments, as supported by the

enhanced activation of the XC when migration was restricted. Together, the findings corroborate

previous studies showing that vertical migration is an important adaptive trait allowing diatoms to

regulate homeostasis and support long-term physiological performance.

Overall, these findings provide evidence of the importance of ROS signaling in shaping MPB motility,

demonstrating that benthic diatoms can monitor both metabolic and environmental ROS. This ROS-

mediated early warning sensing system likely represents an adaptive strategy that enables efficient

surveillance of environmental conditions, allowing cells to rapidly prevent deleterious conditions,

maintain cellular fitness, and ultimately support the ecological success of diatoms in highly variable

environments. This offers new insights into how oxidative stress regulates autotrophic life and more

broadly highlights its key role within the aquatic microbiome. The response to oxidative challenges

likely relies on a complex signaling cascade that requires further in-depth investigation to identify

potential effectors in ROS-motility signaling and clarify how triggering this behavior allows cells to cope

with oxidative stress in natural environments.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Our thanks go to Johann Lavaud for his valuable discussions on photophysiology. We are also thankful to Manon Leroux for her essential assistance in the laboratory during the experiment. We acknowledge the chromatography and mass spectrometry facility at the Concarneau Marine Station (PtSMB-MNHN) for providing access to the HPLC.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

FUNDING

This work was funded by the Institut de l'Océan from the Sorbonne University alliance and the Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle.

DATA AVAILABILITY

All scripts used to generate the figures and perform the analyses are available on GitHub: https://github.com/adesparmet/ROS-Triggered-Diatom-Biofilm-Migration, and are also archived on Zenodo: 10.5281/zenodo.17813206. The datasets generated and analysed during the current study are available in the Zenodo repository at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15835853. The raw sequencing data have been deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) under project accession number **ERA33152396** (Experiments **ERX14500286 – ERX14500369**; Runs **ERR15095684 – ERR15095767**) and can be accessed via the ENA portal at https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/browser/view/ERA33152396. The raw chromatogram files used for pigment analyses are available in the Zenodo repository at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15847025.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

AD: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Data curation, Formal analysis, Visualization, Writing - original draft. BJ: Data curation, Formal analysis, Validation, Writing - review & editing. TR: Data curation, Methodology, Formal analysis. TD: Resources, Methodology, Writing - review & editing. CH: Supervision, Methodology, Data curation, Formal analysis, Validation, Writing - review & editing.

REFERENCES

1. Kühl M, Jorgensen BB. The light field of microbenthic communities: Radiance distribution and microscale optics of sandy coastal sediments. *Limnol Oceanogr* 1994;**39**:1368–1398. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1994.39.6.1368

2. Underwood GJC. Microphytobenthos. Encyclopedia of Ocean Sciences. Elsevier, 2001, 1770–1777.

3. Pinckney JL. A Mini-Review of the Contribution of Benthic Microalgae to the Ecology of the Continental Shelf in the South Atlantic Bight. *Estuaries and Coasts* 2018;**41**:2070–2078. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-018-0401-z

4. Hubas C, Passarelli C, Paterson DM. Microphytobenthic Biofilms: Composition and Interactions. In: Beninger PG (ed.), Mudflat Ecology. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2018, 63–90.

5. Waszczak C, Carmody M, Kangasjarvi J. Reactive Oxygen Species in Plant Signaling. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* 2018;**69**:209–236. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-%20arplant-%20042817040322

6. Falciatore A, Mock T (eds). The Molecular Life of Diatoms. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2022.

7. Duchêne C et al. Diatom phytochromes integrate the underwater light spectrum to sense depth. *Nature* 2025;**637**:691–697. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-08301-3

8. Lepetit B, Dietzel L. Light signaling in photosynthetic eukaryotes with 'green' and 'red' chloroplasts. *Environmental and Experimental Botany* 2015;**114**:30–47. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2014.07.007

9. Foyer CH, Hanke G. ROS production and signalling in chloroplasts: cornerstones and evolving concepts. *The Plant Journal* 2022;**111**:642–661. https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.15856

10. Doose C et al. Non-enzymatic oxylipin production in a mudflat microphytobenthic biofilm: evidence of a diatom response to light. *Front Photobiol* 2024;**2**:1441713. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphbi.2024.1441713

11. Nishiyama Y, Allakhverdiev SI, Murata N. A new paradigm for the action of reactive oxygen species in the photoinhibition of photosystem II. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* 2006;**1757**:742–749. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbabio.2006.05.013

12. Knieper M, Viehhauser A, Dietz K-J. Oxylipins and Reactive Carbonyls as Regulators of the Plant Redox and Reactive Oxygen Species Network under Stress. *Antioxidants* 2023;**12**:814. https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox12040814

13. Mittler R et al. ROS signaling: the new wave? *Trends in Plant Science* 2011;**16**:300–309. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2011.03.007

14. Serôdio J et al. Efficiency of photoprotection in microphytobenthos: role of vertical migration and
the xanthophyll cycle against photoinhibition. *Aquat Microb Ecol* 2012.
https://doi.org/10.3354/ame01591

15. Blommaert L. Photoprotection in intertidal benthic diatoms. 2017;**Ph.D. dissertation. Ghent**
University. Faculty of Sciences, Ghent, Belgium.

16. Fauvel P, Bohn G. Le rythme des marées chez les diatomées littorales. CR Séanc. Soc. Biol, 1907.

17. Consalvey M, Paterson DM, Underwood GJC. THE UPS AND DOWNS OF LIFE IN A BENTHIC BIOFILM:
MIGRATION OF BENTHIC DIATOMS. *Diatom Research* 2004;**19**:181–202.
https://doi.org/10.1080/0269249X.2004.9705870

18. Coelho H, Vieira S, Serôdio J. Endogenous versus environmental control of vertical migration by
intertidal benthic microalgae. *European Journal of Phycology* 2011;**46**:271–281.
https://doi.org/10.1080/09670262.2011.598242

19. Barnett A et al. The Vertical Migratory Rhythm of Intertidal Microphytobenthos in Sediment
Depends on the Light Photoperiod, Intensity, and Spectrum: Evidence for a Positive Effect of Blue
Wavelengths. *Front Mar Sci* 2020;**7**:212. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.00212

20. Cohn S, Manoylov K, Gordon R (eds). Diatom Gliding Motility. John Wiley & Sons, 2021.

21. Morelle J et al. The Photoprotective Behavior of a Motile Benthic Diatom as Elucidated from the
Interplay Between Cell Motility and Physiological Responses to a Light Microgradient Using a Novel
Experimental Setup. *Microb Ecol* 2024;**87**:40. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-024-02354-7

22. Poulsen NC et al. Diatom gliding is the result of an actin-myosin motility system. *Cell Motil*
Cytoskeleton 1999;**44**:23–33. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-
0169(199909)44:1%253C23::AID-CM2%253E3.0.CO;2-D

23. Perkins R et al. Vertical cell movement is a primary response of intertidal benthic biofilms to
increasing light dose. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 2010;**416**:93–103. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps08787

24. Marques Da Silva J, Duarte B, Utkin AB. Travelling Expenses: The Energy Cost of Diel Vertical
Migrations of Epipelic Microphytobenthos. *Front Mar Sci* 2020;**7**:433.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.00433

25. Suzuki S et al. Rapid transcriptomic and physiological changes in the freshwater pennate diatom
Mayamaea pseudoterrestris in response to copper exposure. *DNA Research* 2022;**29**:dsac037.
https://doi.org/10.1093/dnares/dsac037

26. Morelle J et al. Inter-specific variability in photophysiological responses of epipelic diatoms to the
actin inhibitor Latrunculin B. *Diatom Research* 2025;1–14.
https://doi.org/10.1080/0269249X.2024.2447333

27. Nakov T, Beaulieu JM, Alverson AJ. Accelerated diversification is related to life history and
locomotion in a hyperdiverse lineage of microbial eukaryotes (Diatoms, Bacillariophyta). *New*
Phytologist 2018;**219**:462–473. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15137

28. Roy S et al. (eds). Phytoplankton Pigments: Characterization, Chemotaxonomy and Applications in
Oceanography, 1st edn. Cambridge University Press, 2011.

29. Kuczynska P, Jemiola-Rzeminska M, Strzalka K. Photosynthetic Pigments in Diatoms. *Marine Drugs*
2015;**13**:5847–5881. https://doi.org/10.3390/md13095847

30. Goss R, Jakob T. Regulation and function of xanthophyll cycle-dependent photoprotection in algae.
Photosynth Res 2010;**106**:103–122. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11120-010-9536-x

31. Latowski D, Kuczyńska P, Strzałka K. Xanthophyll cycle – a mechanism protecting plants against
oxidative   stress.   *Redox   Report*   2011;**16**:78–90.
https://doi.org/10.1179/174329211X13020951739938

32. Bastos A et al. Light response of karyostrophy in the benthic pennate diatom *Pleurosigma*
strigosum (Bacillariophyceae): A complimentary photoprotective process? *Journal of Phycology*
2025;1–13. https://doi.org/10.1111/jpy.70055

33. Furukawa T, Watanabe M, Shihira-Ishikawa I. Green- and blue-light-mediated chloroplast
migration   in   the   centric   diatomPleurosira   laevis.   *Protoplasma*   1998;**203**:214–220.
https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01279479

34. Jönsson B, Sundbäck K, Nilsson C. An upright life-form of an epipelic motile diatom: on the
behaviour   of   *Gyrosigma   balticum*.   *European   Journal   of   Phycology*   1994;**29**:11–15.
https://doi.org/10.1080/09670269400650421

35. Jesus B et al. Microscale imaging sheds light on species-specific strategies for photo-regulation and
photo-acclimation of microphytobenthic diatoms. *Environmental Microbiology* 2023;**25**:3087–
3103. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.16499

36. De Tommasi E et al. Multiple-pathways light modulation in Pleurosigma strigosum bi-raphid
diatom. *Sci Rep* 2024;**14**:6476. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-56206-y

37. Nymark M et al. An Integrated Analysis of Molecular Acclimation to High Light in the Marine
Diatom   Phaeodactylum   tricornutum.   *PLoS   ONE*   2009;**4**:e7743.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0007743

38. Lepetit B et al. Evidence for the Existence of One Antenna-Associated, Lipid-Dissolved and Two
Protein-Bound Pools of Diadinoxanthin Cycle Pigments in Diatoms. *Plant Physiology*
2010;**154**:1905–1920. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.110.166454

39. Valle KC et al. System Responses to Equal Doses of Photosynthetically Usable Radiation of Blue,
Green, and Red Light in the Marine Diatom Phaeodactylum tricornutum. *PLoS ONE*
2014;**9**:e114211. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0114211

40. Walpersdorf E et al. In situ oxygen dynamics and carbon turnover in an intertidal sediment
(Skallingen, Denmark). *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 2017;**566**:49–65. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps12016

41. Stoeck T et al. Multiple marker parallel tag environmental DNA sequencing reveals a highly
complex eukaryotic community in marine anoxic water. *Molecular Ecology* 2010;**19**:21–31.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2009.04480.x

42. Eilers PHC, Peeters JCH. A model for the relationship between light intensity and the rate of
photosynthesis in phytoplankton. *Ecological Modelling* 1988;**42**:199–215.
https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3800(88)90057-9

43. Silsbe GM, Kromkamp JC. Modeling the irradiance dependency of the quantum efficiency of
photosynthesis. *Limnology & Ocean Methods* 2012;**10**:645–652.
https://doi.org/10.4319/lom.2012.10.645

44. Barranguet, Kromkamp J. Estimating primary production rates from photosynthetic electron
transport in estuarine microphytobenthos. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 2000.
https://doi.org/doi:10.3354/meps204039

45. Consalvey M et al. PAM FLUORESCENCE: A BEGINNERS GUIDE FOR BENTHIC DIATOMISTS. *Diatom*
Research 2005;**20**:1–22. https://doi.org/10.1080/0269249X.2005.9705619

46. Murchie EH, Lawson T. Chlorophyll fluorescence analysis: a guide to good practice and
understanding some new applications. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 2013;**64**:3983–3998.
https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ert208

47. Brotas V, Plante-Cuny M-R. The use of HPLC pigment analysis to study microphytobenthos
communities. *Acta Oecologica* 2003;vol. 24, pp. S109-S115. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1146-
609X(03)00013-4

48. Van Der Loos LM, Nijland R. Biases in bulk: DNA metabarcoding of marine communities and the
methodology involved. *Molecular Ecology* 2021;**30**:3270–3288.
https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15592

49. Gaubert-Boussarie J, Prado S, Hubas C. An Untargeted Metabolomic Approach for
Microphytobenthic Biofilms in Intertidal Mudflats. *Front Mar Sci* 2020;**7**:250.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.00250

50. Underwood GJC, Barnett, M (eds). What determines species composition in microphytobenthic
biofilms. Functioning of microphytobenthos in estuaries. Amsterdam: Royal Netherlands Academy
of Arts and Sciences, 2006.

51. Méléder V et al. Spatiotemporal changes in microphytobenthos assemblages in a macrotidal flat
(Bourgneuf Bay,France). *Journal of Phycology* 2007;**43**:1177–1190.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-8817.2007.00423.x

52. Farré EM. The brown clock: circadian rhythms in stramenopiles. *Physiologia Plantarum*
2020;**169**:430–441. https://doi.org/10.1111/ppl.13104

53. Prins A et al. Effect of Light Intensity and Light Quality on Diatom Behavioral and Physiological
Photoprotection. *Front Mar Sci* 2020;**7**:203. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2020.00203

54. Abele-Oeschger D, Tüg H, Röttgers R. Dynamics of UV-driven hydrogen peroxide formation on an
intertidal   sandflat.   *Limnology   &   Oceanography*   1997;**42**:1406–1415.
https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1997.42.6.1406

55. Richter PR et al. High Light-induced Sign Change of Gravitaxis in the Flagellate Euglena gracilis is
Mediated by Reactive Oxygen Species. *Acta protozoologica* 2003;**42**:197–204.

56. Kottuparambil S et al. UV-B affects photosynthesis, ROS production and motility of the freshwater
flagellate,   Euglena   agilis   Carter.   *Aquatic   Toxicology*   2012;**122–123**:206–213.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2012.06.002

57. Morris JJ, Rose AL, Lu Z. Reactive oxygen species in the world ocean and their impacts on marine
ecosystems. *Redox Biology* 2022;**52**:102285. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.redox.2022.102285

58. Lavaud J et al. Influence of the Diadinoxanthin Pool Size on Photoprotection in the Marine
Planktonic Diatom *Phaeodactylum tricornutum*. *Plant Physiology* 2002a;**129**:1398–1406.
https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.002014

59. Waring J et al. LIGHT-INDUCED RESPONSES OF OXYGEN PHOTOREDUCTION, REACTIVE OXYGEN
SPECIES PRODUCTION AND SCAVENGING IN TWO DIATOM SPECIES[1]. *Journal of Phycology*
2010;**46**:1206–1217. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-8817.2010.00919.x

60. Rijstenbil JW. UV- and salinity-induced oxidative effects in the marine diatom Cylindrotheca
closterium   during   simulated   emersion.   *Marine   Biology*   2005;**147**:1063–1073.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-005-0015-4

61. Kalaji HM et al. Chlorophyll a fluorescence as a tool to monitor physiological status of plants under
abiotic stress conditions. *Acta Physiol Plant* 2016;**38**:102. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11738-016-
2113-y

62. Jesus B et al. Effects of vertical migrations by benthic microalgae on fluorescence measurements
of photophysiology. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* 2006;**315**:55–66. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps315055

63. Cartaxana P et al. Physiological versus behavioral photoprotection in intertidal epipelic and
epipsammic benthic diatom communities. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*
2011;**405**:120–127. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2011.05.027

64. Jesus B et al. Adaptations of microphytobenthos assemblages to sediment type and tidal position.
Continental Shelf Research 2009;**29**:1624–1634. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2009.05.006

65. Barnett A et al. Growth form defines physiological photoprotective capacity in intertidal benthic
diatoms. *The ISME Journal* 2015;**9**:32–45. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2014.105

66. Cheng K et al. Probing the Photochemical Formation of Hydroxyl Radical from Dissolved Organic Matter: Insights into the H_2O_2 -Dependent Pathway. *Environ Sci Technol* 2025;**59**:2245–2256. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.4c10348

67. Schneider RJ et al. Species-Level Variability in Extracellular Production Rates of Reactive Oxygen Species by Diatoms. *Front Chem* 2016;**4**. https://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2016.00005

68. Amorim DS et al. Effects of cold plasma on chlorophylls, carotenoids, anthocyanins, and betalains. *Food Research International* 2023;**167**:112593. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2023.112593

69. Fromme P. Photosystem I, Structure and Function. Encyclopedia of Biological Chemistry. Elsevier, 2004, 342–347.

70. Ataka R et al. Determination of *N* -centered stereochemistry in N22-methylated chlorophyll- *a* derivatives and their epimer-dependent optical spectra. *Chirality* 2024;**36**:e23681. https://doi.org/10.1002/chir.23681

71. Carrara F et al. Bistability in oxidative stress response determines the migration behavior of phytoplankton in turbulence. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2021;**e2005944118**. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2005944118

72. De Carpentier F et al. How abiotic stress-induced socialization leads to the formation of massive aggregates in Chlamydomonas. *Plant Physiology* 2022;**190**:1927–1940. https://doi.org/10.1093/plphys/kiac321

73. Volpert A et al. Diurnal fluctuations in chloroplast GSH redox state regulate susceptibility to oxidative stress and cell fate in a bloom-forming diatom. *Journal of Phycology* 2018;**54**:329–341. https://doi.org/10.1111/jpy.12638

74. Pivato M et al. Compartment-specific Ca^{2+} imaging in the green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* reveals high light-induced chloroplast Ca^{2+} signatures. *New Phytologist* 2023;**240**:258–271. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.19142

75. Flori S et al. Diatoms exhibit dynamic chloroplast calcium signals in response to high light and oxidative stress. *Plant Physiology* 2025;**197**:kiae591. https://doi.org/10.1093/plphys/kiae591

76. Pohnert G. Phospholipase A2 Activity Triggers the Wound-Activated Chemical Defense in the Diatom *Thalassiosira rotula*. *Plant Physiology* 2002;**129**:103–111. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.010974

77. Vardi A et al. A Stress Surveillance System Based on Calcium and Nitric Oxide in Marine Diatoms. *PLoS Biol* 2006;**4**:e60. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0040060

78. Mukai K et al. Effects of light and hydrogen peroxide on gene expression of newly identified antioxidant enzymes in the harmful algal bloom species *Chattonella marina*. *European Journal of Phycology* 2019;**54**:393–403. https://doi.org/10.1080/09670262.2019.1576062

79. Osuna-Cruz CM et al. The Seminavis robusta genome provides insights into the evolutionary adaptations of benthic diatoms. *Nat Commun* 2020;**11**:3320. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17191-8

80. Russo MT et al. The first genetic engineered system for ovothiol biosynthesis in diatoms reveals a mitochondrial localization for the sulfoxide synthase OvoA. *Open Biol* 2023;**13**:220309. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsob.220309

81. Bowler C, Fluhr R. The role of calcium and activated oxygens as signals for controlling cross-tolerance. *Trends in Plant Science* 2000;**5**:241–246. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1360-1385(00)01628-9

82. Morelle J et al. Light induced transthylakoidal proton gradient is a key signal driving the downward migration of motile diatoms in sediments. *Sci Rep* 2025;**15**:20664. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-025-07737-5

83. Pei Z-M et al. Calcium channels activated by hydrogen peroxide mediate abscisic acid signalling in guard cells. *Nature* 2000;**406**:731–734. https://doi.org/10.1038/35021067

84. Cooksey B, Cooksey KE. Calcium is Necessary for Motility in the Diatom *Amphora coffeaeformis*. *Plant Physiol* 1980;**65**:129–131. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.65.1.129

85. McLachlan DH et al. CALCIUM RELEASE FROM INTRACELLULAR STORES IS NECESSARY FOR THE PHOTOPHOBIC RESPONSE IN THE BENTHIC DIATOM *NAVICULA PERMINUTA* (BACILLARIOPHYCEAE). *Journal of Phycology* 2012;**48**:675–681. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-8817.2012.01158.x

86. Helliwell KE et al. Alternative Mechanisms for Fast Na+/Ca2+ Signaling in Eukaryotes via a Novel Class of Single-Domain Voltage-Gated Channels. *Current Biology* 2019;**29**:1503-1511.e6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2019.03.041

87. Bienert GP, Chaumont F. Aquaporin-facilitated transmembrane diffusion of hydrogen peroxide. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - General Subjects* 2014;**1840**:1596–1604. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2013.09.017

88. Wu F et al. Hydrogen peroxide sensor HPCA1 is an LRR receptor kinase in Arabidopsis. *Nature* 2020;**578**:577–581. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2032-3

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Upload 2 PDF: (1) supplementary materials and methods and (2) supplementary data

844 **GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT**