**A high-throughput microbial glycomics platform for prebiotic development**

Jennifer L. Modesto[a,b,c], Seth G. Kabonick[a,b,c], Jennifer E. Lausch[a,b,c], Kamalesh Verma[a,b,c], Kailyn

M. Winokur[a,b,c], Jessica E. Gaydos[a,b,c], Asia Poudel[a,b,c], Gregory Yochum[a,d], and Guy E. Townsend

II[a,b,c]

[a]*Department of Molecular and Precision Medicine, Penn State College of Medicine, Hershey, PA,*

*USA*

[b]*Penn State One Health Microbiome Center, Pennsylvania State University, State College, PA,*

*USA*

[c]*Center for Molecular Carcinogenesis and Toxicology, Pennsylvania State University, State*

*College, PA, USA*

[d]*Department of Surgery, Penn State College of Medicine, Hershey, PA, USA*

19    *Address correspondence to Guy E. Townsend II: gtownsend@pennstatehealth.psu.edu;

20    Department of Molecular and Precision Medicine, Penn State College of Medicine, 700 HMC

21    Crescent Road, Hershey, Pennsylvania, USA

**Abstract**

The mammalian intestine contains diverse carbohydrate pools that govern the gut microbiome composition. Structurally distinct polysaccharides, also called glycans, are differentially consumed by gut microbial subsets and direct their abundance by controlling gene expression and metabolite production. Therefore, identifying gut microbial accessible carbohydrates (MACs) is necessary to develop new prebiotics that beneficially manipulate the gut microbiome. However, no methods exist to efficiently examine MACs in biologically-derived mixtures. Here, we present a high-throughput platform to detect MACs from various plant, animal, and microbial sources using a genome-wide library of engineered *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* (*Bt*) strains that harness their endogenous glycan detection machinery. We demonstrate that this platform exhibits specific and sensitive responses to glycan mixtures and use bacterially-encoded proteins to characterize a previously unknown MAC from yeast. Expanding this technology across gut *Bacteroides* species will generate a broadly applicable approach to characterize heterogeneous glycan mixtures and identify prebiotic substrates.

38    **<u>Main</u>**

39           Commensal microbes harvest carbon from structurally diverse MACs, to achieve

extraordinary cell densities in the mammalian intestine[1-5]. The glycosidic linkages that tether

constituent monosaccharides into each MAC are frequently resistant to degradation in the

gastrointestinal tract and therefore require substrate-specific enzymes to degrade[6-11]. Gut

microbes can utilize available MACs by expressing carbohydrate-active enzymes that target

specific glycosidic linkages[1, 8, 11, 12]. Characterizing MACs present in biologically-derived mixtures

informs how and why the gut microbiota changes due to host dietary choices, genetics, or disease

because chemically-distinct glycans support the expansion of defined microbial subsets.

Additionally, identifying MACs that can influence microbial abundance and metabolism can

promote engineered strain engraftment and gene expression to leverage the gut microbiome as

a therapeutic target[13]. Glycan mixtures have traditionally been examined using tandem

chromatography and mass spectrometry, arrayed glycan binding aptamers, or lectins that probe

for a range of known carbohydrate structures[14-16]. Although these approaches can characterize

glycan content in various sample types, they are unable to directly detect MACs.

53           Microbes access unique MACs by producing proteins that sequester, transport, degrade,

and detect target molecules in their environment. For example, members of the dominant human

intestinal phylum, *Bacteroidetes*, possess glycan sensors encoded within polysaccharide

utilization genetic loci (PULs) that direct rapid, dramatic, and predictable increases to

corresponding PUL gene transcripts following recognition of their target glycan structures[4, 6, 7, 17].

Ultimately, these sensors direct increased expression of surface glycan binding proteins (SGBPs),

outer membrane transporters (SusCD), and glycolytic enzymes for consumption of available

MACs. Different *Bacteroides* species encode vast and partially unique PUL repertoires, which

endow each with the ability to access various target glycans that establish distinct intra-intestinal

niches. We previously demonstrated that introduction of PUL promoters into a plasmid-borne

*Bacteroides*-optimized *lux* cassette, p*Bolux,* converts these bacteria into biosensors of known and

unknown target glycans present in biologically-derived mixtures[18]. We reasoned that PUL

reporters could reflect the exquisite sensitivity and specificity of their cognate sensor proteins to

detect MACs in glycan mixtures and be implemented to isolate and characterize those molecules

in combination with corresponding PUL SGBPs and glycolytic enzymes.

Here, we generated a genome-wide *Bt* reporter library and arrayed these strains into a

scalable and distributable high-throughput platform that sensitively indicates target glycans from

various host-, microbial-, and plant-derived mixtures. We demonstrate that this approach

distinguishes structurally distinct MACs according to monosaccharide composition, glycosidic

linkages, and polysaccharide degree of polymerization. We use this technology to detect

previously unknown PUL target glycans and employ a recombinant SGBP to isolate and

characterize an unknown MAC in Baker's yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae).* Collectively, these

results establish *Bacteroides* species as specific and sensitive toolkits to directly detect, isolate,

and characterize unknown PUL target glycans that could be developed into prebiotics. Expanding

these tools into additional *Bacteroides* species will support broad, untargeted glycomics

applications to examine heterogenous glycan mixtures and overcome current detection

limitations. Ultimately, our platform can be used to develop new prebiotics that manipulate gut

microbial abundance and metabolism to benefit human health.

**Results**

**Construction of an arrayed *Bt* PUL reporter library.**

We established that individual *Bt* PUL sensors direct predicted PUL transcript increases[19, 20]

because engineered strains expressing constitutively active sensor proteins from PULs 16, 19,

49, 53, and 57, exhibited dramatic increases in corresponding transcript abundances (Fig. 1a,

Supplementary Table 1)[21, 22]. To examine whether sensor-directed PUL transcription can be

faithfully reported by corresponding transcriptional reporters on a genome-wide scale, we

generated a complete library of *Bt* PUL reporter plasmids by introducing putative promoters

identified from RNAseq datasets[23] and mapped transcription start sites[24, 25] from annotated *Bt*

PULs[26, 27] into p*Bolux*[18] (Supplementary Table 2). Although *Bt* possesses 88 PULs, we generated

91 total reporter strains because PULs 14, 52, 74, and 75 possess two divergently transcribed

*susCD* pairs that were assigned unique reporters (denoted a and b) and PUL63 was omitted due

to the absence of a *susD*-like gene (Fig. 1a, Supplementary Table 1, 2). Following

cryopreservation of batch-produced 96-well plates, individual replicates were revived by the

addition of rich media and incubated overnight before transfer to a 384-well plate and supplied 4

distinct carbohydrate preparations, one of which was always galactose as a negative control (Fig.

1b). Fold changes in growth-adjusted bioluminescence generated over 18 hours (Fig. 1c) were

calculated from the area under each curve from strains supplied unique glycan preparations and

normalized to a strain harboring the promoter-less p*Bolux* plasmid and cultures supplied

galactose alone (Fig. 1d).

**Bt PUL reporters distinguish between glycosidic linkages and monosaccharide**

**composition.**

To examine the *Bt* PUL reporter array functionality, we examined changes in bioluminescence

from all strains following the introduction of various purified mono- and poly-saccharide

preparations. As expected, the monosaccharides, fructose and ribose, increased

bioluminescence from their respective PUL reporters, P-*PUL22* and P-*PUL37*, which agree with

previous findings (Extended Data Fig. 1a)[18]. However, ribose also increased *PUL22* transcripts[28]

and corresponding bioluminescence from P-*PUL22* (Extended Data Fig. 1a, b). Ribose is likely

contaminated with fructose because a *Bt* strain lacking *sensor*[PUL22] (*BT1754*) harboring P-*PUL22*

exhibited no bioluminescence increases when supplied ribose, whereas this strain harboring P-

*PUL37* exhibited similar responses to *wild-type Bt* (Extended Data Fig. 1c). In contrast, a strain

lacking *sensor*[PUL37] (*BT2802*), which is unable to increase *PUL37* transcripts or utilize ribose as a

sole carbon source[28], did not display increased activity when harboring P-*PUL37* (Extended Data

Fig. 1c). Similarly, P-*PUL22* also exhibited increased bioluminescence when supplied mannose

(Extended Data Fig. 1a), that required *sensor*[PUL22] (Extended Data Fig. 1b), suggesting that the

mannose preparation examined here was also contaminated with fructose. These results indicate

that the Sensor[PUL22], which binds fructose with high affinity[7], is responsible for increasing PUL22

expression when supplied ribose or mannose. Ultimately, these data highlight the specificity of

PUL reporters for their target ligands and reflect their extraordinary sensitivity in mixtures.

We established that *Bt* PUL reporters can distinguish between MACs based on glycosidic

linkages, monosaccharide composition, and degree of polymerization (d.o.p.). Distinct strains

exhibited increased bioluminescence according to the administration of various purified plant,

animal, and microbially-derived MACs consumed via known PULs[4, 6, 7, 11, 28, 29]. Strikingly, P-

*PUL66*, P-*PUL48*, and P-*PUL56* were specifically activated by amylose, dextran, and pustulan,

respectively, which are distinct glucose homopolymers differing by their α1,4-, α1,6-, and β1,6-

glycosidic linkages (Fig. 1e). Each PUL is regulated by their respective SusR-like sensor proteins,

encoded by BT3703 (Sensor[PUL66])[30], BT3091 (Sensor[PUL48])[31], and BT3309 (Sensor[PUL56])[32], to

increase target PUL transcription and utilize these MACs as growth substrates. Sensor[PUL66] and

Sensor[PUL56] differentiate between glycosidic linkage because their corresponding PUL reporters

only exhibit increased bioluminescence when supplied α1,4- or β1,6- linked glucose

disaccharides (Fig. 2a). However, P-*PUL48* can also distinguish between d.o.p. because

bioluminescence from this strain only increased when supplied isomaltooligosaccharides greater

than 3 α1,6-linked glucose units and requires at least 6 residues to reach activity similar to dextran

(Fig. 2b). These data demonstrate that SusR-like PUL sensors detect distinct glycosidic linkages

and d.o.p..

Further examination of purified oligosaccharides yielded several unexpected outcomes. First,

monomeric glucose and various glucose-disaccharides, including maltose, isomaltose, trehalose,

and β-gentibiose increased bioluminescence from P-*PUL58* (Fig. 2a). Similarly, supplying

glucose-fructose heterodimers, turanose and palatinose, stimulated greater than 10-fold

increases from this strain, whereas sucrose did not, suggesting that this PUL reporter responds

to glucose- and fructose- containing disaccharides subsets. We hypothesized that P-*PUL58* could

be governed by the SusR-like sensor, encoded by *BT2160*, that is an important *Bt* fitness

determinant during growth in trehalose and palatinose[33]. Accordingly, *Δsensor*[PUL58] harboring P-

*PUL58* did not exhibit increased bioluminescence in any condition, indicating that this sensor

facilitates PUL58 transcription in response to various disaccharides (Fig. 2c). Curiously, this

sensor also responds to glucosinolates derived from cruciferous vegetables and is required for

their conversion into isothiocyanates by increasing transcription of the linked genes *BT2159-56*[34].

A deeper examination of how and why this distally encoded sensor protein responds to these

signals is necessary to understand this regulatory relationship. Unexpectedly, P-*PUL46* detected

a *Bt*-inaccessible carbohydrate[35] because introduction of the β1,4-linked glucose disaccharide,

cellobiose, increased bioluminescence from this strain (Fig. 2a, d). This response was d.o.p.-

specific because there was no change when supplied cellulose-derived tetra- or hexa-saccharides

and this response did not require *sensor*[PUL58] (Fig. 2d). Because *Bt* cannot utilize cellulose or its

polysaccharide breakdown products including cellobiose[35], these results suggest that cellobiose

is a signal in the intestine.

**_Bt_ PUL reporters detect discreet carbohydrate structures**

The *Bt* PUL array can also distinguish between various microbial-, animal-, and plant glycans

covalently tethered into polymeric complexes. For example, *Bt* utilization of yeast cell wall α-

mannans (Extended Data Fig. 2a) requires three PULs: 36, 68, and 69[36, 37], which increase activity

from the reporter strains, P-*PUL36*, P-*PUL68,* and P-*PUL69* by the addition of purified α-mannan

(Fig. 1e). The corresponding sensor proteins, BT2628 (Sensor[PUL36]) and BT3786 (Sensor[PUL68])

facilitate mannan-responsive PUL36/68 transcription because bioluminescence from strains

harboring either P-*PUL36* or P-*PUL68* is not apparent in a strain lacking both sensors

(*Δsensors*[PUL36/68]; Extended Data Fig. 2b), consistent with previous transcript measurements[36].

Alternatively, PUL69 transcription is facilitated by Sensor[PUL69], encoded by *BT3853*[36], which is

required for increased bioluminescence from P-*PUL69* when supplied α-mannan (Extended Data

Fig. 2b). Sensors[PUL36/68/69] are collectively required for mannan utilization as a sole carbon source

(Extended Data Fig. 2c) but detect discreet carbohydrate structures because the branched α1,3-

[α1,6] trisaccharide increases P-*PUL36/68* bioluminescence (Extended Data Fig. 2d, e).

Conversely, P-*PUL69* did not respond to any di- or tri-saccharide examined, indicating it responds

to a different oligo-mannan structure (Extended Data Fig. 2f). These data highlight that PUL

sensor proteins direct cognate PUL expression following recognition of distinct glycan moieties

assembled as larger polymers.

*Bt* possess 3 PULs that respond to glycosaminoglycans (GAGs), which are intestinally

abundant heteropolymers comprised of amine sugars and uronic acids, including previously

characterized PULs 57[6, 10] and 85[38], and the uncharacterized PUL20 (Fig. 3a). Strains harboring

P-*PUL57* exhibited increased bioluminescence when supplied chondroitin sulfate (CS) or

dermatan sulfate (DS; Fig. 1e), which are variably sulfated linear polymers of repeating,

disaccharide units comprised of glucuronic acid (GluA) and β1,3-linked N-acetylgalactosamine

(GalNAc). P-*PUL57* also responds to hyaluronic acid[18], an unsulfated GAG whose disaccharide

unit is comprised of gluA and β1,3-linked N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) because the Sensor[PUL57]

protein, BT3334, cannot distinguish between either CS- or HA-derived disaccharide subunits (Fig.

3b)[6]. Introduction of CS, DS, and HA also increased bioluminescence from P-*PUL20* (Fig. 1e),

consistent with previous transcriptional measurements[3], suggesting that all GAGs activate an

additional PUL. However, Sensor[PUL57] is not responsible for increasing bioluminescence from P-

*PUL20* because: 1.) CS- and HA-derived disaccharides increased activity from P-*PUL57* but not

P-*PUL20* (Fig. 3b), 2.) heterologous expression of a constitutively active Sensor[PUL57] (BT3334*)

increased *PUL57* but not *PUL20* transcripts (Fig. 1a), and 3.) BT3334* increased

bioluminescence from strains harboring P-*PUL57* but not P-*PUL20* (Fig. 3c). Furthermore, a

distinct GAG, heparin, also increased bioluminescence from only P-*PUL20* and P-*PUL85* (Fig.

1e), whereas the composite disaccharide comprised of alternating N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc)

and uronic acid increased activity from only P-*PUL85* (Fig. 3b). We propose that PUL20 responds

to the common GAG tetrasaccharide linker, GlcA-[β1-3]-Gal-[β1-3]-Galβ-[1-4]-Xyl2P-β-Ser (Fig.

1a), because CS from shark cartilage stimulates increased bioluminescence from P-*PUL20* and

P-*PUL57* but no other contaminating glycans following co-incubation with SGBP[PUL57] (Fig. 3d)[18],

indicating that the PUL20-target glycan is covalently tethered to CS.

Plant cell wall glycans called pectins and hemicelluloses, are frequently assembled into

complex polymeric structures (Extended Data Fig. 3a) that are targeted by distinct *Bt* PULs[1, 4, 9,]

[11]. This is exemplified by P-*PUL7*, which exhibited increased bioluminescence when supplied

arabinan (Fig. 1e). However, arabinan also elicited increases from strains harboring P-*PUL5*, P-

*PUL13*, P-*PUL22*, P-*PUL47*, P-*PUL48*, P-*PUL65*, P-*PUL77*, and P-*PUL86*, indicating this

preparation also contains arabinogalactan (PUL5), rhamnogalacturonan II (PULs 13 & 21),

fructose (PUL22), dextran (PUL48), rhamnogalacturonan I (PUL77), and pectic galactan (PUL86)

(Extended Data Fig. 3b). Reporter activity faithfully reflects sensor-dependent activity because

the Sensor[PUL7] (BT0366)[39], which recognizes arabino-oligomers of at least 6 α1,5-linked

arabinose residues[4], was only required to stimulate P-*PUL7* when supplied arabinan (Extended

Data Fig. 3b). Consistent with this notion, purified oligo-galacturonate increased bioluminescence

from its corresponding reporter, P-*PUL75a&b* (Fig. 2a), whereas highly polymeric PGA also

increased activity from P-*PUL7*, P-*PUL22*, P-*PUL47*, P-*PUL48*, and P-*PUL66*, and P-*PUL86* (Fig.

1e), demonstrating that PUL reporters respond predictably to complex MACs comprised of

multiple ligands[1, 4, 7, 9, 11]. Finally, the ubiquitous food additives carrageenan, xantham gum, locust

bean gum, karaya gum, and gum arabic are complex heteropolymers of largely *Bt*-inaccessible

carbohydrates[9, 35] but contain small amounts of detectable structures because each elicits

increased bioluminescence from at least one PUL reporter (Fig. 1e). For example, P-*PUL5* is

dramatically activated by purified arabinogalactan and exhibits significant increases when

supplied karaya gum and gum arabic[9] (Fig. 1e). Collectively, these data demonstrate the exquisite

specificity of *Bt* PUL reporters for complex multi-valent MACs, facilitated by the cognate sensor

proteins.

**The *Bt* PUL reporter array can faithfully survey glycan mixtures.**

*Bt* PUL reporters can simultaneously examine MACs present in heterogeneous glycan

mixtures because P-*PUL5*, P-*PUL22*, P-*PUL57*, and P-*PUL48* exhibited increased

bioluminescence when supplied an equal mixture of purified arabinogalactan (AG, PUL5), levan

(PUL22), hyaluronan (HA, PUL57), and dextran (PUL48) (Fig. 1e). Additionally, this mixture

increased activity from P-*PUL20* and P-*PUL47*, which were both increased by HA (Fig. 1c-e),

displaying faithful reporting of lab-prepared mixtures. To characterize biologically derived

mixtures, we measured reporter responses to PMOGs, a mixture of porcine mucosal-derived O-

glycans that increases many *Bt* PUL transcripts[3, 18, 40]. When supplied PMOG, we detected

increased activity in GAG-, and O-glycan-specific PUL reporters, consistent with previous

transcript measurements[3, 18, 40] indicating that the reporter strains can faithfully examine

biologically-derived mixtures (Fig. 4a). To prepare other host-, diet-, and microbially-derived

mixtures, we adapted the oxidative-release of natural glycans (ORNG) method that can liberate

glycans from a wide variety of biological materials[41]. We determined that a preparation of porcine

mucosal glycans using ORNG (PMG), yielded similar reporter profiles as PMOG (Fig. 4a), but did

display a 2-fold decrease in bioluminescence from a strain harboring P-*PUL14b* and a 2-fold

increase in signal from P-*PUL48*, indicating glycan subsets are differentially extracted or detected

when this approach is applied (Extended Data Fig. 4a).

To determine how gut microbial responses differ between animal and human mucosal

material, we compared human-derived intestinal glycan mixtures collected from various patient

intestinal samples recovered following surgery (HIG) and porcine cecal mixtures from freshly

slaughtered boars (BCG). HIG elicited similar patterns of reporter activity as PMOG and fresh

porcine cecal glycans, suggesting that mammalian hosts largely supply gut microbes with similar

growth substrates (Fig. 4a). For example, HIG and BCG elicited similar bioluminescence from P-

*PUL14*b, P-*PUL20*, P-*PUL38*, P-*PUL66*, and P-*PUL72* (Extended Data Fig. 4b). However, the

following distinctions were readily apparent: on one hand, human material elicited reduced

bioluminescence from the CS/HA reporter P-*PUL57* and heparin reporter P-*PUL85*, but on the

other hand they elicited increased responses from P-*PUL76*, *P-PUL81, and P-PUL86* (Extended

Data Fig. 4b). Furthermore, human milk glycans (HMG) elicited similar responses to HIG,

however, signals were improved when HMG were prepared by defatting and proteinase K

treatment (Extended Data Fig. 4c), suggesting that ORNG can result in glycan peeling from some

source materials[42].

We used ORNG to prepare various animal, plant, and fungal material that are abundant

components of the human diet (Fig. 4a) and examined *Bt* reporter responses. As expected, plant-

, animal-, and microbially-derived material occupied distinct space following PCoA analysis,

indicating that MAC distribution reflects source phylogeny at the phylum level (Fig. 4b). For

example, dietary plant products emanating from both mono- and di-cot species distinctly

increased bioluminescence from strains harboring P-*PUL5* (arabinogalactan), P-*PUL7* (arabinan),

P-*PUL13* and P-*PUL21* (RGII), P-*PUL22* (fructan), P-*PUL66* (starch/glycogen), P-*PUL75* (PGA),

and P-*PUL86* (RGI), which is consistent with common plant fibers. Conversely, mammalian

derived mixtures stimulated P-*PUL14b* (N-glycans), P-*PUL20* (GAG associated), P-*PUL38*

(unknown mucin *O*-glycan), P-*PUL57* (CS/HA), P-*PUL66* (glycogen), and P-*PUL76* (unknown

mucin *O*-glycan). Finally, fungal products consistently increased activity from strains containing

P-*PUL29* (unknown), P-*PUL36/68* (α-mannan), P-*PUL56* (β-glucan), P-*PUL66* (starch/glycogen),

and P-*PUL69* (α-mannan). Accordingly, processed foods containing both plant and fungal

products, including breads and beer, contained reporter increases consistent with both grains and

yeast (Fig. 4a).

Unexpectedly, several PUL reporters did not exhibit bioluminescence increases when

supplied any purified carbohydrate or mixture examined in this study, suggesting either these

target glycans are not present in the mixtures prepared for this work or the corresponding reporter

plasmid may not contain the appropriate regulatory sequence to facilitate increased

bioluminescence. However, we determined that the latter is an unlikely scenario because qPCR

analysis for *susC* transcripts from PULs whose corresponding reporters failed to increase

bioluminescence were not increased when supplied various mixtures (Extended Data Fig. 5a).

Furthermore, expression of constitutively active sensor proteins from PULs 16, 19, 49, 53, and

57, which increased target PUL transcription (Fig. 1a)[21, 22], stimulated bioluminescence increases

when these strains contained the corresponding PUL reporter (Fig. 3c, Extended Data Fig. 5b).

A notable exception is PUL2, which targets an unknown glycan, exhibits 180-fold increased

transcripts when supplied yeast extract and red-wine derived glycan mixtures (Extended Data

Fig. 5a), but whose corresponding reporter did not exhibit increased bioluminescence despite

engineering an additional plasmid that included an internal transcription start site[25, 40] (Extended

Data Fig. 5c). Thus, the majority of PULs can be converted into glycan biosensors with a small

minority that may require additional optimization to use p*Bolux*. Finally, establishing the

functionality of various PUL reporters with unknown target glycans (Extended Data Fig. 5b) that

are not activated across the common foods examined in this study, indicate that future

investigations should focus on other glycan sources, including co-resident microbes and

fermented products.

**Unique PULs across p*Bolux*-compatible *Bacteroides* species**

This study demonstrates how *Bt* PUL reporters can be readily constructed and deployed

to detect MAC content in various glycan preparations. However, other *Bacteroides* species

possess unique PUL repertoires that putatively confer distinct glycan accessibility, indicating that

they recognize a partially unique subset of carbohydrate structures (Fig. 4c, Supplementary Table

3). For example, *B. fragilis* PULs facilitate its growth in host-derived glycan preparations including

those distinct from *Bt* target glycans[22], whereas *B. ovatus*[43] and *B. uniformis*[44] possess PUL

repertoires that target *Bt*-inaccessible hemicelluloses. We previously demonstrated that p*Bolux*

can report promoter-dependent transcription in *B. ovatus*[18] and *B. fragilis*[45]*,* and this is consistent

in *B. uniformis* and *B. cellulosilyticus* because introduction of their corresponding *rpoD* promoters

in this plasmid increase bioluminescence from all five species (Extended Data Fig. 6). Therefore,

construction of future PUL reporter libraries in these species will expand the breadth of glycan

detection capabilities to comprehensively characterize MAC content in host-, microbial-, and

dietary- components.

**Isolation and characterization of a *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* glycan consumed by *Bt.***

To explore the utility of PULs as glycomics tools for prebiotic development, we

characterized an unknown glycan detected in a biologically derived mixture. We selected PUL71

(Fig. 5a) because yeast extract glycans (YEG) elicited 32-fold increased bioluminescence from

strains harboring P-*PUL71*, which was also stimulated by preparations from yeast containing

products like red wine and animal feeds, but not breads or beers (Fig. 4a). Furthermore, P-*PUL71*

activity was increased in yeast-derived mannan prebiotic mannooligosaccharides (Bio MOS) but

absent in host- and most diet-derived mixtures (Fig. 4a). Furthermore, this glycan is an excellent

prebiotic candidate because a strain lacking PUL71 (*ΔBT3957-BT3965*) exhibited a 5-fold fitness

defect relative to *wild-type Bt* in mice supplied a 0.5% YEG solution in place of water (Fig. 5b).

*PUL71* includes a unique glycan sensor protein class, encoded by *BT3957*, that possesses a

periplasmic glycan binding domain and a cytoplasmic DNA-binding domain similar to HTCSs

(Extended Data Fig. 7a). We established that the putative sensor, BT3957, controls PUL71

transcription because a *sensor*[PUL71]-deficient strain (*Δsensor*[PUL71]) harboring P-*PUL71* failed to

exhibit increased activity in response to YEG (Extended Data Fig. 7b). Conversely, *sensor*[PUL36/68]-

or *sensor*[PUL69]-deficient strains harboring P-*PUL71* exhibited similar bioluminescence as *wild-type*

*Bt* supplied YEG (Extended Data Fig. 7b). PUL71 responds to a glycan distinct from other well

characterized yeast-derived glycans including α-mannan, which activates P-*PUL36/68/69*

(Extended Data Fig. 2b)[36] and β-1,6-glucan, which stimulates P-*PUL56* (Fig. 2a)[32], because

bioluminescence from P-*PUL71* was unchanged when supplied these purified glycans (Fig. 1e)

and Δ*sensor*[PUL71] exhibited similar activity to *wild-type Bt* harboring P-*PUL36* or P-*PUL56* when

supplied YEG (Extended Data Fig. 7b), indicating that Sensor[PUL71] recognizes a unique yeast-

derived glycan that increases *PUL71* expression.

To characterize the PUL71 target glycan, we co-incubated YEG with recombinant

hexahistidine-tagged SGBP[PUL71] (BT3961) and recovered protein-bound glycans using metal

affinity chromatography[18]. The recovered glycans were enriched for the PUL71 target over other

MACs present in YEG because the co-purifying material significantly increased activity from P-

*PUL71,* but P-*PUL7* (α-arabinan), P-*PUL22* (fructans), P-*PUL29* (unknown), P-*PUL48* (dextran),

P-*PUL66* (glycogen), and P-*PUL72* (high mannose N-glycan[36]) were no longer detectable

(Extended Data Fig. 7c). However, P-*PUL36/68/69* and P-*PUL56* also exhibited increased activity

indicating that α-mannan and β-glucan co-purified with the PUL71 target (Extended Data Fig. 7c).

Significantly activated PULs contributed to accessing the SGBP[PUL71] co-purifying material

because the corresponding sensor-deficient strains exhibited reduced growth on this material as

a sole carbon source, with Δ*sensor*[PUL71] exhibiting the largest reduction (Extended Data Fig. 7d).

We hypothesized that the BT3961-purified material contained covalently tethered carbohydrates

comprised of the PUL71-, PUL36/68/69-, and PUL56- target glycans because the yeast cell-wall

is an interconnected matrix comprised of chitin, β1,3-, and β1,6-glucans decorated with

mannoproteins through a GPI-anchor remnant glycan (Extended Data Fig. 2a). Consistent with

this notion, material co-purifying with the SGBP[PUL56], BT3313, incubated with YEG, also increased

bioluminescence from strains harboring P-*PUL56*, P-*PUL36/68*, and P-*PUL71*, although

bioluminescence from P-*PUL69* was not significantly increased possibly because the

corresponding ligand was below the limit of detection (Extended Data Fig. 7e). Accordingly, only

Δ*sensor*[PUL56], which is required for growth on β1,6-glucan (Extended Data Fig. 7f), exhibited

substantially reduced growth when supplied SGBP[PUL56]-isolated material (Extended Data Fig. 7g),

indicating the other target glycans are present in relatively low abundances. Collectively, these

data demonstrate that the PUL56 and PUL71 SGBPs isolate their corresponding target glycans

when assembled into polymeric structures.

To determine how the PUL71-target glycan is tethered to β1,6-glucan and α-mannan, we

treated YEG with the PUL56-encoded β1,6-glucosidase (BT3312), referred to here as GH20[PUL56],

which liberated glucose and β-gentibiose from YEG (Extended Data Fig. 7h). We determined that

GH[PUL56] treatment removed β1,6-glucan, but not α-mannan, from the PUL71 target because

SGBP[PUL71]-isolated material no longer increased bioluminescence from P-*PUL56* but exhibited

significant increases from P-*PUL36/68/69* (Fig. 5c). Accordingly, *Δsensor*[PUL71] and

*Δsensors*[PUL36/68/69] each exhibited reduced growth on this material as a sole carbon source and

growth was completely abolished in a strain lacking all four sensors (*Δsensors*[PUL36/68/69/71]) (Fig.

5d), whereas these strains grew on YEG with reduced growth maxima (Fig. 5e). P-PUL71 likely

responds to a distinct manno-oligosaccharide because introduction of various purified substrates

were unable to increase bioluminescence (Extended Data Fig. 7i). Furthermore, compositional

analysis demonstrated that SGBP[PUL71]-isolated material from untreated YEG contained glucose

(Extended Data Fig. 8a), whereas this monosaccharide was no longer detectable when YEG was

pre-treated with GH20[PUL56] (Extended Data Fig. 8b). Consistent with this finding, HPAEC-PAD

analysis revealed that SGBP[PUL56]-isolated glycans from untreated YEG contained mannose

(Extended Data Fig. 8c), further suggesting that yeast β1,6-glucan and α-mannan are tethered in

the absence of mannoproteins, which are eliminated by ORNG extraction. These data indicate

that yeast α-mannan, β-glucan, and PUL71-target glycan are covalently assembled into a

complex resistant to oxidation, and that the PUL71 target glycan decorates yeast-derived α-

mannan prepared using ORNG (Fig. 4a) but not alkaline extraction, which was used to generate

the α-mannan standard (Fig. 1e). PUL71 includes the mannosidases BT3962 and BT3965, which

respectively convert α1,2- and α1,4-mannobioses into mannose (Extended Data Fig. 9a, b), and

a putative mannosidase BT3963, which was unable to hydrolyze any mannobiose examined here

(Extended Data Fig. 9c-f), in agreement with previous results[46]. Collectively, these data suggest

that the PUL71-target glycan is an unknown yeast α-mannan decoration comprised of unique

glycosidic linkages that can function as a prebiotic.

**Discussion**

We have developed a microbial glycomics platform that harnesses *Bacteroides* PUL sensors

to detect, isolate, and characterize MACs from biologically derived mixtures (Fig. 1). We

demonstrated that a genome-wide *Bt* PUL-reporter library can indicate the monosaccharide

composition, glycosidic linkages and d.o.p. of purified *Bt* accessible- (Fig. 1e, 2b) and -

inaccessible carbohydrates (Fig. 2d). Furthermore, we demonstrated this toolkit can efficiently

characterize MAC content in semi-purified preparations (Fig. 1e, Extended Data Figs. 1, 2) and

various mixtures derived from the host mucosa and dietary material (Fig. 1e and Fig. 4a). We

establish that reporter responses largely correspond to the biological source of each mixture (Fig.

4b), indicating that MAC content reflects phylogeny. The exquisite specificity and sensitivity of

*Bacteroides* reporter strains highlight their utility as glycan biosensors that could be implemented

in product quality control to detect carbohydrate contaminants (Extended Data Fig. 1b, c) and co-

purifying moieties (Fig. 3). Furthermore, this approach could be applied to broader glycomics

applications including surveillance of different carbohydrate structures from patient samples, plant

cultivars, and microbial isolates (Fig. 4a). Finally, *Bt* PUL reporters can directly identify novel

MACs that could be translated into prebiotics to manipulate the gut microbiome composition and

products for therapeutic purposes (Fig. 5). Therefore, PUL reporters offer a powerful new toolkit

that can be expanded using additional *Bacteroides* species that possess unique PUL repertoires

(Fig. 4c, Extended Data Fig. 6).

**Materials and Methods**

***Bacterial growth conditions***

All bacteria were cultured as described previously[47]. Briefly, *Bacteroides* strains

(described in Supplementary Table 5) were cultured on brain-heart infusion agar (MilliporeSigma)

containing 5% horse blood (Hardy) under anaerobic conditions (85% $N_2$, 12.5% $CO_2$, 2.5% $H_2$).

Liquid cultures were inoculated from a single colony into TYG media and incubated under

anaerobic conditions before sub-culture into Bacteroides minimal media containing 100 mM

$KH_2PO_4$ (pH=7.2), 15 mM NaCl, 8.5 mM $(NH_4)_2SO_4$, 0.5 µg/ml of L-cysteine, 1.9 µM hematin,

200 µM L-histidine, 100 µM $MgCl_2$, 1.4 µM $FeSO_4$, 50 µM $CaCl_2$, 1 µg/ml of vitamin $K_3$, 5 ng/ml of

vitamin $B_{12}$ and individual carbon sources described in Supplementary Table 4. All bacterial

strains included the following antibiotics where appropriate: 100 µg/mL ampicillin, 200 µg/mL

gentamicin, 2 µg/mL tetracycline, or 25 µg/mL erythromycin (MilliporeSigma).

***RNA-seq***

Strains engineered to express constitutively active PUL sensors or corresponding vector

control were cultured in triplicate in minimal media containing galactose as the sole carbon source

to mid-exponential phase ($OD_{600}$ = 0.45 - 0.7) before collection by centrifugation, frozen on dry

ice, and stored at -80°C. Cell pellets were treated with RNAprotect Bacteria Reagent (Qiagen)

and RNA was harvested using RNeasy Mini Kit with on-column DNase digestion (Qiagen)

according to the manufacturer's instructions. Purified RNA was treated with Turbo DNase

(Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions and re-purified using RNeasy Mini Kit

with on-column DNase digestion. RNA-sequencing was performed by SeqCoast Genomics using

a Nextseq 2000 (Illumina) following ribosomal RNA depletion using the Ribo-Zero Plus

Microbiome rRNA Depletion Kit (Illumina) to generate 12 million 150bp paired-end reads per

sample. Reads were aligned to the *B. thetaiotaomicron* genome (strain VPI-5482; GenBank

accession number NC_004663) in Galaxy using Bowtie2 (v2.5.3). The mapped reads were

quantified using featureCounts (v2.0.8) and differential gene expression was measured using

DEseq2 (v2.11.40.8) and values are listed in Supplementary Table 1. Raw files are available on

the NCBI Sequence Read Archive, PRJNA1298319.

### Reporter construction

Reporter plasmids were constructed by amplifying PUL promoter fragments

(Supplementary Table 2) using oligonucleotides (Supplementary Table 6) as previously

described[18]. Briefly, PUL promoters amplified using Q5 Master Mix (NEB) were combined with

pBolux[18] linearized with BamHI-HF and SpeI-HF (NEB) and NEBuilder Master Mix (NEB).

Reactions were incubated for 1 hour at 50°C before dialyzed on a 0.025 µM dialysis membrane

against water and subsequent electroporation into competent *E. coli* S17-1. All plasmids were

verified by Sanger sequencing.

### Engineering Bt mutants

Indicated *Bt* genomic deletions were generated using pEXCHANGE-*tdk* plasmids

(Supplementary Table 5) harboring flanking sequences, using amplicon-specific primers

(Supplementary Table 6), as previously described[48]. In short, pEXCHANGE constructs were

introduced via di-parental mating and chromosomal integration was validated by PCR. Parent

strains were counter-selected on solid media containing 200ng/mL 5-fluoro-2-deoxyuridine (DOT

Scientific). All strains were validated using linear amplicon sequencing.

### Bt reporter array production

448 All 91 reporter *Bt* strains described were cultured in TYG to stationary phase in a distinct

449 position of a 96 deep-well plate (Supplementary Table 2). Each strain was combined with glycerol

450 to a 10% final concentration, and 5 µL of the resulting mixture was dispensed into a sterile 96-

451 well plate using a Mini-96 (Integra), covered with adhesive sterile foil, and placed on dry ice before

452 ultimate storage at -80°C.

### Bt reporter assays

One replicate array plate was thawed and combined with pre-reduced TYG and cultured

overnight at 37°C under anaerobic conditions. The following day, each strain was diluted 50-fold

into minimal media containing 0.5% galactose and cultured to mid-logarithmic phase before

centrifugation at 2,204 x $g$ to pellet cells, resuspension into 2x minimal media containing 0.2%

galactose and distribution into a sterile 384-well white, clear bottom microplate (Corning)

containing equal amounts of 0.8% carbon. Absorbance and bioluminescence were measured

using an Infinite M200 Plex (Tecan) every 15 minutes over 18 hours.

### Biologically derived glycan mixture preparation

Solid material was homogenized in 6% bleach (PureBright) and allowed to stir for 30

minutes at room temperature before addition of 1:100 volumes of formic acid were combined and

stirred for 5 additional minutes at room temperature. Reactions were centrifuged at 7200 x $g$ for

10 minutes and the resulting supernatant was combined with bleach to a final concentration of

0.2% and stirred for 16 hours at room temperature before addition of equal volumes of formic acid

and stirring for an additional 5 minutes. Reactions were centrifuged at 7200 x $g$ for 10 minutes

and the resulting supernatant was sterilized using a 0.22 μm vacuum filter (Millipore). The

resulting material was dialyzed with ultrapure $H_2O$ using 1KDa cutoff dialysis membrane for 3

days before lyophilization.

### Human material collection

The Pennsylvania State University College of Medicine Institutional Review Board

approved this study (IRB Protocol No. HY98-057EP-A). Prior to colectomy, patients gave

informed consent to have surgically resected tissue collected and banked into the Carlino Family

Inflammatory Bowel and Colorectal Disease Biobank. Diagnoses were confirmed using

colonoscopy and biopsy. Surgical specimens were immediately transferred from the operating

room to the surgical pathology lab, where the tissue was examined by a pathologist and surgeon.

The samples were then transported to the research laboratory on ice for further processing.

Mucosa was scraped from approximately 1 inch tissue sections and stored at -80°C until samples

were weighed, pooled, and subjected to glycan extraction.

***Protein expression and purification***

SGBPs and GHs were recombinantly expressed as previously described[47]. Briefly, inserts

encoding each enzyme were amplified from *B. thetaiotaomicron* VPI-5482 genomic DNA and

cloned into pT7-7-N6H4A[18] linearized with NotI-HF and HindIII-HF using NEBuilder Hi-Fi Master

Mix (NEB). The resulting plasmids were introduced into *E. coli* S17-1 and verified by Sanger

sequencing. Plasmids were introduced into BL21 and plated on selective media. A single colony

was inoculated into Luria Bertani broth (BD) and cultured to mid-logarithmic phase before 50 μM

IPTG addition and subsequent incubation for 4 hours at 30°C. Pelleted cells were lysed in Lysis

Buffer (20 mM Tris (pH, 8) and 100 mM sodium chloride) and N-terminally hexa-histidine-tagged

proteins were recovered following co-incubation with $Ni^{2+}$-NTA resin (Thermo) and elution with

Lysis Buffer containing 25 mM Histidine. Recovered proteins were buffer exchanged in 10 mM

Tris (pH, 7.4) containing 10% glycerol using appropriate molecular weight cut-off centrifugal

concentrators (MilliporeSigma). Protein concentrations were determined using a BCA protein

quantification kit (Thermo).

***SGBP-mediated glycan isolation***

Glycans were recovered from mixtures using recombinant SGBPs as previously

described[18]. Briefly, an *Ec* strain BL21 cell lysate expressing N-terminal hexa-his-tagged SGBP

was combined with 0.5% glycan and 1.0 mL $Ni^{2+}$-NTA resin (Thermo) and incubated overnight at

4°C with rocking. The mixture was packed into a 3 mL gravity flow column and washed with 20

mM Tris (pH=8) and 100mM Sodium Chloride 8. Protein-glycan complexes were eluted with 25

mM histidine (MilliporeSigma), treated with proteinase K before incubation at 65°C for 2 hours.

The reaction was combined with 3x volume of 100% ethanol incubated overnight at 4°C with

rocking and centrifuged for 15 minutes at 21,291 x $g$. The pelleted material was dried under filtered

air, resuspended in water and combined before lyophilization.

*qPCR*

Stationary phase cultures were diluted 50-fold into pre-reduced minimal media containing the

0.5% galactose and incubated to mid-logarithmic phase ($OD_{600}$ = 0.45 - 0.65). 1.0 mL of culture

was pelleted by centrifugation, immediately placed on dry ice, and stored at -80°C Remaining

cultures were pelleted by centrifugation at 7,200 x $g$ for 3 minutes and resuspended in pre-

reduced 2X minimal media containing no carbon. Equal amounts of resuspended culture and

carbon were combined for a final concentration of 0.5% carbon and incubated for 60-minutes

before 1.0 mL of culture was pelleted by centrifugation, immediately placed on dry ice, and stored

at -80°C. RNA was isolated using the RNeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN), quantified by absorbance, and

1 μg was converted to cDNA following addition of the Superscript IV VILO Master Mix with

ezDNAse (Invitrogen) per the manufacturer's directions. Transcript abundances were measured

as previously described[47] using a QuantStudio5 (ThermoFisher) and PowerUp SYBR Green

Master Mix (ThermoFisher) with amplicon specific primers (Supplementary Table 3). The 16S

*rRNA, rrs,* was used as the reference gene for all qPCR experiments.

*In vivo competitive fitness of B. thetaiotaomicron strains*

All animal experiments were performed in accordance with protocols approved by Penn State

Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. 8-12 week old, mixed-gender, germ-free C57/BL6

mice were maintained in flexible plastic gnotobiotic isolators with a 12-hour light/dark cycle and

provided a standard, autoclaved mouse chow (LabDiet, 5021) *ad libitum*[47]. Mice were randomly

distributed into 2 groups, which were supplied either autoclaved drinking water or 0.5% yeast

extract glycans, 2 days prior to gavage with $10^8$ CFU of each indicated strains suspended in

200 µL of phosphate-buffered saline. Input (day 0) abundance of each strain was determined by

counting colony forming units following plating on solid media. Fresh fecal pellets were collected

at the indicated days and genomic DNA was extracted as described previously[23]. The abundance

of each strain was measured by qPCR, using barcode-specific primers (Supplemental Table 2)

as described previously[47].

***Monosaccharide Composition Analysis***

Trifluoroacetic acid was added to the indicated glycan preparations to a final concentration of 2N

and heated to 100°C for 4 hours. Reactions were cooled to room temperature, dried under filtered

air, and washed twice with 50% isopropanol before being dried to completion. Reactions were

resuspended in 0.2 mL of deionized water and analyzed by HPAEC-PAD.

***CAZyme activity***

YEG was combined with 200 nM GH20$^{PUL56}$ to a final concentration of 1.0% in 20 mM Tris (pH=8),

incubated for 4 hours at 37 °C, and analyzed by HPAEC-PAD. Mannobioses were combined with

200 nM of each PUL71 GH in 20 mM Tris (pH=8) and 1 µM calcium chloride.

***Software and statistics***

Data collection and curation was done in Microsoft Excel. All data was plotted in GraphPad Prism,

except for heatmaps and PCoA, which were generated using ggplot2 in RStudio. Statistical

analyses were calculated in Prism. For all experiments, N denotes individual biological replicates

across at least two independent experiments.

***Resource Availability***

All PUL reporter plasmids are available on addgene.com and a complete *Bt* reporter array will be

supplied upon request. All other *Bt* and *Ec* strains used in this work are available upon request.

**Supplementary Information**

**Supplementary Table 1. RNA-seq analysis of *Bt* strains expressing constitutively active**

**PUL sensors.**

**Supplementary Table 2. *Bt* PUL reporter plasmids.**

**Supplementary Table 3. PUL similarity across *Bacteroides* species.**

**Supplementary Table 4. Carbohydrates examined in this study.**

**Supplementary Table 5. Strains and plasmids used in this study.**

**Supplementary Table 6. Oligonucleotides used in this study.**

**Acknowledgements**

We thank Walter Koltun, Leonard Harris, Troy Ott, Wesley Raup-Konsavage, and Hannah Valensi

for assistance producing glycan extracts. We thank Biswa Chourdry and Richard Helm for

assistance with carbohydrate compositional assistance. Additionally, we thank Jordan Bisanz for

assistance with animal experiments and useful discussions. This project is funded, in-part by

National Institutes of Health Grants GM147178 and DK132711 to G.E.T. and a grant with the

Pennsylvania Department of Health using Tobacco CURE Funds. The PA Department of Health

specifically disclaims responsibility for any analyses, interpretations or conclusions. This work

was supported by the Carlino fund to The Penn State Colorectal Disease Biobank.

**References**

1.    Luis, A.S. et al. Dietary pectic glycans are degraded by coordinated enzyme pathways in
human colonic Bacteroides. *Nat Microbiol* **3**, 210-219 (2018).
2.    Marcobal, A. et al. Bacteroides in the infant gut consume milk oligosaccharides via
mucus-utilization pathways. *Cell Host Microbe* **10**, 507-514 (2011).
3.    Martens, E.C., Chiang, H.C. & Gordon, J.I. Mucosal glycan foraging enhances fitness
and transmission of a saccharolytic human gut bacterial symbiont. *Cell Host Microbe* **4**,
447-457 (2008).
4.    Martens, E.C. et al. Recognition and degradation of plant cell wall polysaccharides by
two human gut symbionts. *PLoS Biol* **9**, e1001221 (2011).
5.    Salyers, A.A., Vercellotti, J.R., West, S.E. & Wilkins, T.D. Fermentation of mucin and
plant polysaccharides by strains of Bacteroides from the human colon. *Appl Environ
Microbiol* **33**, 319-322 (1977).
6.    Raghavan, V., Lowe, E.C., Townsend, G.E., 2nd, Bolam, D.N. & Groisman, E.A. Tuning
transcription of nutrient utilization genes to catabolic rate promotes growth in a gut
bacterium. *Mol Microbiol* **93**, 1010-1025 (2014).
7.    Sonnenburg, E.D. et al. Specificity of polysaccharide use in intestinal bacteroides
species determines diet-induced microbiota alterations. *Cell* **141**, 1241-1252 (2010).
8.    Bakshani, C.R. et al. Carbohydrate-active enzymes from Akkermansia muciniphila break
down mucin O-glycans to completion. *Nat Microbiol* **10**, 585-598 (2025).
9.    Cartmell, A. et al. A surface endogalactanase in Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron confers
keystone status for arabinogalactan degradation. *Nat Microbiol* **3**, 1314-1326 (2018).
10.   Ndeh, D. et al. Metabolism of multiple glycosaminoglycans by Bacteroides
thetaiotaomicron is orchestrated by a versatile core genetic locus. *Nat Commun* **11**, 646
(2020).
11.   Ndeh, D. et al. Complex pectin metabolism by gut bacteria reveals novel catalytic
functions. *Nature* **544**, 65-70 (2017).
12.   McNulty, N.P. et al. Effects of diet on resource utilization by a model human gut
microbiota containing Bacteroides cellulosilyticus WH2, a symbiont with an extensive
glycobiome. *PLoS Biol* **11**, e1001637 (2013).
13.   Whitaker, W.R. et al. Controlled colonization of the human gut with a genetically
engineered microbial therapeutic. *Science* **389**, 303-308 (2025).
14.   Paulson, J.C., Blixt, O. & Collins, B.E. Sweet spots in functional glycomics. *Nat Chem
Biol* **2**, 238-248 (2006).
15.   Heimburg-Molinaro, J., Mehta, A.Y., Tilton, C.A. & Cummings, R.D. Insights Into
Glycobiology and the Protein-Glycan Interactome Using Glycan Microarray
Technologies. *Mol Cell Proteomics* **23**, 100844 (2024).
16.   Ruhaak, L.R., Xu, G., Li, Q., Goonatilleke, E. & Lebrilla, C.B. Mass Spectrometry
Approaches to Glycomic and Glycoproteomic Analyses. *Chem Rev* **118**, 7886-7930
(2018).
17.   Raghavan, V. & Groisman, E.A. Species-specific dynamic responses of gut bacteria to a
mammalian glycan. *J Bacteriol* **197**, 1538-1548 (2015).
18.   Modesto, J.L., Pearce, V.H. & Townsend, G.E., 2nd Harnessing gut microbes for glycan
detection and quantification. *Nat Commun* **14**, 275 (2023).
19.   Glowacki, R.W.P. & Martens, E.C. If you eat it, or secrete it, they will grow: the
expanding list of nutrients utilized by human gut bacteria. *J Bacteriol* **203** (2020).
20.   Schwalm, N.D., 3rd & Groisman, E.A. Navigating the Gut Buffet: Control of
Polysaccharide Utilization in Bacteroides spp. *Trends Microbiol* **25**, 1005-1015 (2017).

21.    Townsend, G.E., 2nd, Raghavan, V., Zwir, I. & Groisman, E.A. Intramolecular
628           arrangement of sensor and regulator overcomes relaxed specificity in hybrid two-
629           component systems. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110**, E161-169 (2013).
630    22.    Cao, Y., Rocha, E.R. & Smith, C.J. Efficient utilization of complex N-linked glycans is a
631           selective advantage for Bacteroides fragilis in extraintestinal infections. *Proc Natl Acad*
632           *Sci U S A* **111**, 12901-12906 (2014).
633    23.    Townsend, G.E., 2nd et al. A Master Regulator of Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron Gut
634           Colonization Controls Carbohydrate Utilization and an Alternative Protein Synthesis
635           Factor. *mBio* **11** (2020).
636    24.    Ryan, D. et al. An integrated transcriptomics-functional genomics approach reveals a
637           small RNA that modulates Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron sensitivity to tetracyclines.
638           *bioRxiv* (2023).
639    25.    Ryan, D., Jenniches, L., Reichardt, S., Barquist, L. & Westermann, A.J. A high-resolution
640           transcriptome map identifies small RNA regulation of metabolism in the gut microbe
641           Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron. *Nat Commun* **11**, 3557 (2020).
642    26.    Terrapon, N. et al. PULDB: the expanded database of Polysaccharide Utilization Loci.
643           *Nucleic Acids Res* **46**, D677-D683 (2018).
644    27.    Terrapon, N., Lombard, V., Gilbert, H.J. & Henrissat, B. Automatic prediction of
645           polysaccharide utilization loci in Bacteroidetes species. *Bioinformatics* **31**, 647-655
646           (2015).
647    28.    Glowacki, R.W.P. et al. A Ribose-Scavenging System Confers Colonization Fitness on
648           the Human Gut Symbiont Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron in a Diet-Specific Manner. *Cell*
649           *Host Microbe* **27**, 79-92 e79 (2020).
650    29.    Reeves, A.R., D'Elia, J.N., Frias, J. & Salyers, A.A. A Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron outer
651           membrane protein that is essential for utilization of maltooligosaccharides and starch. *J*
652           *Bacteriol* **178**, 823-830 (1996).
653    30.    D'Elia, J.N. & Salyers, A.A. Effect of regulatory protein levels on utilization of starch by
654           Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron. *J Bacteriol* **178**, 7180-7186 (1996).
655    31.    Jones, D.R. et al. Engineering dual-glycan responsive expression systems for tunable
656           production of heterologous proteins in Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron. *Sci Rep* **9**, 17400
657           (2019).
658    32.    Temple, M.J. et al. A Bacteroidetes locus dedicated to fungal 1,6-beta-glucan
659           degradation: Unique substrate conformation drives specificity of the key endo-1,6-beta-
660           glucanase. *J Biol Chem* **292**, 10639-10650 (2017).
661    33.    Liu, H. et al. Functional genetics of human gut commensal Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron
662           reveals metabolic requirements for growth across environments. *Cell Rep* **34**, 108789
663           (2021).
664    34.    Liou, C.S. et al. A Metabolic Pathway for Activation of Dietary Glucosinolates by a
665           Human Gut Symbiont. *Cell* **180**, 717-728 e719 (2020).
666    35.    Pudlo, N.A. et al. Phenotypic and Genomic Diversification in Complex Carbohydrate-
667           Degrading Human Gut Bacteria. *mSystems* **7**, e0094721 (2022).
668    36.    Cuskin, F. et al. Human gut Bacteroidetes can utilize yeast mannan through a selfish
669           mechanism. *Nature* **517**, 165-169 (2015).
670    37.    Abbott, D.W., Martens, E.C., Gilbert, H.J., Cuskin, F. & Lowe, E.C. Coevolution of yeast
671           mannan digestion: Convergence of the civilized human diet, distal gut microbiome, and
672           host immunity. *Gut Microbes* **6**, 334-339 (2015).
673    38.    Lowe, E.C., Basle, A., Czjzek, M., Firbank, S.J. & Bolam, D.N. A scissor blade-like
674           closing mechanism implicated in transmembrane signaling in a Bacteroides hybrid two-
675           component system. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **109**, 7298-7303 (2012).

39. Schwalm, N.D., 3rd, Townsend, G.E., 2nd & Groisman, E.A. Multiple Signals Govern
Utilization of a Polysaccharide in the Gut Bacterium Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron. *mBio*
**7** (2016).
40. Ryan, D. et al. An expanded transcriptome atlas for Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron
reveals a small RNA that modulates tetracycline sensitivity. *Nat Microbiol* **9**, 1130-1144
(2024).
41. Song, X. et al. Oxidative release of natural glycans for functional glycomics. *Nat*
*Methods* **13**, 528-534 (2016).
42. Vos, G.M. et al. Oxidative Release of O-Glycans under Neutral Conditions for Analysis
of Glycoconjugates Having Base-Sensitive Substituents. *Anal Chem* **95**, 8825-8833
(2023).
43. Wu, M. et al. Genetic determinants of in vivo fitness and diet responsiveness in multiple
human gut Bacteroides. *Science* **350**, aac5992 (2015).
44. Feng, J. et al. Polysaccharide utilization loci in Bacteroides determine population fitness
and community-level interactions. *Cell Host Microbe* **30**, 200-215 e212 (2022).
45. Kabonick, S.G. et al. Hierarchical glycolytic pathways control the carbohydrate utilization
regulator in human gut Bacteroides. *Nat Commun* **16**, 4488 (2025).
46. Zhu, Y. et al. Mechanistic insights into a Ca2+-dependent family of alpha-mannosidases
in a human gut symbiont. *Nat Chem Biol* **6**, 125-132 (2010).
47. Pearce, V.H., Groisman, E.A. & Townsend, G.E., 2nd Dietary sugars silence the master
regulator of carbohydrate utilization in human gut Bacteroides species. *Gut Microbes* **15**,
2221484 (2023).
48. Koropatkin, N.M., Martens, E.C., Gordon, J.I. & Smith, T.J. Starch catabolism by a
prominent human gut symbiont is directed by the recognition of amylose helices.
*Structure* **16**, 1105-1115 (2008).

**Fig. 1. Construction of a genome-wide *Bt* PUL reporter library array. a,** Cartoon depicting 88 *Bt* PULs denoted by individual genes (innermost black circles). Dots emanating inwards represent significantly increased PUL transcripts measured by RNA-seq from strains engineered to produce constitutively active sensor proteins from PUL16 (red), PUL19 (orange), PUL49 (green), PUL53 (cyan), or PUL57 (purple) and color-corresponding heatmaps are positioned centrally. The outermost symbols represent distinct classes of known or predicted PUL sensor proteins (filled square: ECF, extracytoplasmic sigma factor; filled triangle: HTCS, hybrid two-component system; filled circle: SusR, starch utilization system regular; open circle: other classes) and corresponding glycan structures that activate them emanate radially. **b,** schematic depicting PUL reporter construction and glycan analysis using the arrayed *Bt* library. **c-d,** Bioluminescence (**c**) from all 91 *Bt* reporters over 18 hours following introduction of hyaluronic acid and normalized by identical strains supplied galactose and corresponding (**d**) AUC normalized by responses from a strain containing p*Bolux*. All significantly increased reporters have corresponding colors and insignificant increases are displayed in grayscale. N=3, error is SEM. **e,** Heatmap of AUC over 18 hours from all reporters supplied mixtures containing galactose and various purified polysaccharides. N≥2. All statistics were calculated using 2-way ANOVA with Fisher's LSD test and *** indicates *P*-values < 0.001, and ** < 0.01.

**Fig. 2.** *Bt* **PUL reporters distinguish between monosaccharide composition, d.o.p., and glycosidic linkage. a,** A heatmap displaying normalized AUC following the introduction of various oligosaccharides to the *Bt* PUL reporter array. n=2. **b,** Normalized AUC from P-*PUL48* supplied isomaltooligosaccharides with increasing d.o.p. N=6, error is SEM. **c,** Normalized AUC from *wild-type* (black) or *sensor-*$^{PUL58}$-deficient (*ΔBT2160*, red) strains harboring P-*PUL58* supplied the indicated di- and tri-saccharides. N≥3, error is SEM. **d,** Normalized AUC from *wild-type* (black) or *ΔBT2160* (red) strains harboring P-*PUL46* supplied the indicated cello-oligosaccha-rides. N=4, error is SEM. For panels **b-d**, *P*-values were computed using 2-way ANOVA. *** indicates values < 0.001 and *ns* indicates values > 0.05.

**Fig. 3. PUL 20 responds to a unique GAG-tethered glycan. a,** cartoon representing PUL20 activated by GAGs. **b**, Normalized bioluminescence from *Bt* strains harboring P-*PUL20*, P-*PUL38*, P-*PUL47*, P-*PUL57*, or P-*PUL85* supplied unsaturated disaccharides (di0S) derived from CS (blue), HA (red), or heparin (purple) and normalized by responses from a strain harboring p*Bolux*. N=6. **c,** Normalized bioluminescence from a strain expressing BT3334* harboring the reporters described in (**b**) and normalized by identical strains harboring the empty vector cultured in galactose as a sole carbon source. N=4. **d,** Normalized bioluminescence from *Bt* strains harboring P-*PUL20*, P-*PUL38*, P-*PUL47*, P-*PUL57*, or P-*PUL85* supplied SGBP[PUL57] co-purified material following incubation with shark CS and normalized by responses from a strain harboring p*Bolux*. N=6. For panels **b-d**, error is SEM and *P*-values were calculated using 2-way ANOVA with Fisher's LSD test. *** indicates values < 0.001 and *ns* > 0.05.

**Fig. 4. Arrayed *Bt* PUL reporter strains differentiate MAC sources. a,** Heatmap representing *Bt* PUL array responses to the indicated biologically-derived mixtures clustered by reporter activation similarity. n≥2. **b,** PCoA of *Bt* reporter responses to different MACs from (**a**) using the Jaccard similarity index and Ward D2 distance calculations. **c,** Distribution of unique and shared predicted PULs across the indicated *Bacteroides* species.

**Fig. 5. PUL71 consumes an unknown yeast cell wall glycan. a,** Cartoon schematic of PUL71, which responds to yeast glycans. **b,** Fitness index of a *PUL71*-deficient strain relative to *wild-type Bt* in mice supplied a 0.5% YEG solution compared to those supplied water. N=5 and error is SEM. *P*-values were calculated using 2-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction. *** indicates values < 0.001 and *ns* > 0.05. **c,** Normalized bioluminescence of YEG-induced reporter strains supplied SGBP^PUL71^-isolated glycans from GH30^PUL56^-treated YEG. N=6, error is SEM. *P*-values were calculated using 2-way ANOVA with Fisher's LSD test. *** indicates values < 0.001 and *ns* > 0.05. **d&e,** Growth of *wild-type* and the indicated sensor-deficient *Bt* strains supplied (**d**) SGBP^PUL71^-co-purifying material following incubation with GH30^PUL56^-treated YEG or (**e**) untreated YEG. N=6, error is SEM.

**Extended Data Fig. 1. Monosaccharide-responsive PUL reporters exhibit extraordinary sensitivity. a,** A heatmap displaying normalized bioluminescence responses to monosaccharides. N≥2. **b-c,** Normalized bioluminescence from *wild-type* (black) or corresponding *sensor*-deficient (red) *Bt* strains harboring (**b**) P-*PUL22* or (**c**) P-*PUL37* supplied the indicated monosaccharides. N=8, error is SEM. *P*-values were calculated using 2-way ANOVA with Fisher's LSD test. *** indicates values < 0.001 and *ns* > 0.05.

**Extended Data Fig. 2.** *Bt* **mannan PUL reporters detect structurally distinct manno-oligosaccharides. a,** Cartoon representation of yeast cell wall glycan structures containing mannoproteins decorated with α-mannan tethered to β 1,6-glucan by a GPI-anchor remnant glycan. **b**, Normalized bioluminescence from *wild-type*, *sensor*[PUL36/68]-, or *sensor*[PUL69]- deficient *Bt* strains harboring P-*PUL36, P-PUL68, or* P-*PUL69* supplied α-mannan and normalized by responses from isogenic strains harboring p*Bolux*. N=8, error is SEM. **c,** Growth of strains described in (**b**) supplied α-mannan as a sole carbon source. N=8, error is SEM. **d-f,** strains harboring (**d**) P-*PUL36*, (**e**) P-*PUL68*, or (**f**) P-*PUL69* were supplied the indicated manno-di-, tri-saccharides, or poly-saccharides. n=4, error is SD. For panels **b,d-f,** *P*-values were calculated using 2-way ANOVA with Fisher's LSD test. *** indicates values < 0.001 and *ns* > 0.05.

**Extended Data Fig. 3.** *Bt* **PUL reporters recognize discreet glycan moieties from plant pectins. a,** Cartoon representation of plant pectin structures including arabinan (PUL7), rhamnogalacturonan I (RGI, PUL77), and pectic galactan (PUL86). **b**, Normalized bioluminescence from *wild-type* or *Δsensor*[PUL7] *Bt* strains harboring the indicated PUL reporters supplied arabinan and normalized by responses from a strain harboring p*Bolux*. n=8, error is SEM. *P*-values were calculated using 2-way ANOVA with Fisher's LSD test and * indicates values <0.05, *** < 0.001, and *ns* > 0.05.

**Extended Data Fig. 4. Extraction- and species-specific reporter responses. a-c,** Log2 fold differences between reporter responses from relevant strains supplied (**a**) porcine gastric mucin prepared using ORNG (PMG) or β-elimination (PMOG), (**b**) fresh human (HIG) and boar (BCG), and (**c**) human milk oligosaccharides (HMO) prepared using ORNG or enzymatic treatment (ProK). N≥2, error is SD.

**Extended Data Fig. 5. PUL expression is faithfully reported. a,** Heatmap depicting the indicated *susC* transcript measurements from *Bt* cultures supplied the indicated glycan mixtures. N=3. **b,** Bioluminescence from strains expressing the indicated constitutively active PUL sensor and either p*Bolux* (black) or the corresponding PUL reporter (red) normalized by strains harboring an empty vector. N=4, error is SEM. **c,** Cartoon of PUL2 (top) and normalized bioluminescence (bottom) from 2 different PUL reporters containing distinct TSS combinations supplied grape juice or yeast extract glycans (YEG). N=4, error is SEM. For panels **b,c**, *P*-values were calculated using 2-way ANOVA with Fisher's LSD test. *** indicates values < 0.001 and *ns* > 0.05.

**Extended Data Fig. 6. Various *Bacteroides* species can be converted into glycan biosensors.** Normalized bioluminescence values from *Bt*, *B. fragilis* (*Bf*), *B. uniformis* (*Bu*), *B. ovatus* (*Bo*), and *B. cellulosilyticus* (*Bc*) type strains harboring either p*Bolux* (black) or a plasmid containing the corresponding *rpoD* promoter (red). N=8, error is SEM. *P*-values were calculated using 2-way ANOVA with Fisher's LSD test. *** indicates values < 0.001.

**Extended Data Fig. 7. PUL reporters demonstrate a unique assemblage of distinct yeast glycans. a,** Alpha-fold structure of Sensor[PUL71] (BT3957) with labels indicating the periplasmic (PP) carbohydrate-binding module (CBM), the transmembrane (TM) region in the inner membrane (IM), and the cytoplasmic (CP) receiver (Rec) and helix-turn-helix (HTH) domains. **b,** Normalized AUC from *wild-type Bt* or the indicated sensor-deficient strains harboring P-*PUL36*, P-*PUL56*, P-*PUL69*, or P-*PUL71* supplied YEG. **c&e,** Normalized AUC from YEG-activated reporters supplied co-purified material following incubation of YEG with recombinant (**c**) SGBP[PUL71] or (**e**) SGBP[PUL56]. N=6, error is SEM. **d&g,** Growth of *wild-type Bt* or the indicated sensor-deficient strains supplied co-purified material following incubation of YEG with recombinant (**d**) SGBP[PUL71] or (**g**) SGBP[PUL56]. N=3, error is SEM. **f,** Growth of *wild-type Bt* or *Δsensor[PUL56]* supplied β-gentibiose. N=8, error is SEM. **h,** HPAEC-PAD analysis of YEG treated with GH20[PUL56]. **i,** Normalized bioluminescence from a strain harboring P-*PUL71* supplied various di- and oligo-mannosaccharides or YEG. N=4, error is SEM. For panels **b**, **c**, **e**, and **i**, *P*-values were calculated using 2-way ANOVA with Fisher's LSD test. * indicates values < 0.05, ** < 0.01, *** < 0.001 and *ns* > 0.05.

**Extended Data Fig. 8. Monosaccharide composition analysis of SBGP-isolated glycans. a-b,** HPAEC-PAD analysis of monosaccharides released from glycans that co-purified with SGBP[PUL71] (**a**) before or (**b**) after YEG treatment with GH20[PUL56]. **c,** HPAEC-PAD analysis of monosaccharides released from glycans that co-purified with SGBP[PUL56] following incubation with YEG.

**Extended Data Fig. 9. PUL71 GH specificity indicates novel glycan structure. a-f,** HPAEC-PAD analysis of reactions containing (**a**) α1,2-mannobiose alone (black) or incubated with BT3962 (blue), (**b**) α1,4-mannobiose alone (black) or incubated with BT3965 (pink), or (**c**) α1,2-mannobiose, (**d**) α1,3-mannobiose, (**e**) α1,4-mannobiose, or (**f**) α1,6-mannobiose alone (black) or incubated with BT3963 (purple).