An extended β-finger motif is near-ubiquitous in kinase fusion proteins

Oliver R. Powell[1], David Gilbert[1], Brande B. H. Wulff[1]*

[1]Plant Science Program, Biological and Environmental Science and Engineering Division (BESE), King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), Thuwal, Saudi Arabia.

*Corresponding author. Email: brande.wulff@kaust.edu.sa

Abstract

Kinase fusion proteins (KFPs) are immune receptors that confer disease resistance in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) and barley (*Hordeum vulgare*). We discovered that KFPs with a confirmed function share an extended β-finger motif, a Poaceae-specific feature that originated ~98 million years ago. The genes encoding these receptors are among the most highly expressed within the plant KFP repertoire, suggesting that elevated transcript abundance is a hallmark of their disease resistance function.

Main Text

Kinase fusion proteins (KFPs) are a diverse set of immune receptors that confer resistance to a range of pathogens in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) and barley (*Hordeum vulgare*). Structural analysis of the KFPs, $Sr62^{TK}$ and Rwt4, previously identified an unusual extended β-finger motif in at least one kinase domain of each protein. This motif participates in the recognition of the corresponding pathogen effectors AvrSr62 and Pwt4, respectively[1–3]. The motif also maintains $Sr62^{TK}$ as a homodimer[3]. AlphaFold3 predictions of the 18 experimentally validated KFPs revealed that the majority contained at least one kinase domain with an extended β-finger motif (Fig S1-20, Table S1-2). Given the prevalence of the extended β-finger motif in KFPs with a validated disease resistance function, we speculated that this motif would be suitable for the *ab initio* identification of KFPs.

To investigate the extent of sequence conservation and diversity of the extended β-finger motif within KFPs, we extracted the sequences of the motif from the AlphaFold 3 structures (Table S3). Yr15 was excluded, as the β-finger motifs in its two kinase domains lack the extended conformation (Fig. S3). We then constructed an initial hidden Markov model (HMM) of the motif from an alignment of these sequences. We iteratively refined the HMM using the proteome of bread wheat cv. Chinese Spring to improve its specificity and sensitivity (Fig. S21)[4].

This approach yielded a motif with a highly conserved length, although the amino acid sequences of the motif varied considerably across KFPs. Based on these structural predictions and sequences, we defined the extended β-finger as a 31-amino acid motif that extends the highly conserved 4th and 5th β-sheets of the kinase domain (Fig. 1a, b)[5].

Using the refined HMM of the extended β-finger motif, we annotated the Chinese Spring proteome and identified 476 unique loci across the genome that encode a kinase domain with at least one such motif. These KFP loci were distributed across all chromosomes, with an enrichment in the gene-rich telomeric regions, similar to the published distribution of genes encoding nucleotide-binding leucine-rich repeat (NLR) resistance proteins (Fig. 1c, Table S4)[6].

The number of KFP genes per chromosome ranged from 6 on chromosome 4D to 53 on
chromosome 7B (Table S5).
To investigate the evolutionary relationships among KFPs, we extracted the extended β-finger
kinase domains from the protein sequences encoded by each of the 476 unique KFP loci
identified in Chinese Spring. We constructed a phylogenetic tree based on an alignment of the
extended β-finger motif from these 476 KFPs and added the proteins encoded by previously
cloned KFP genes as a reference (Fig. 1d, Table S1). We annotated the protein sequence of each
KFP associated with an extended β-finger and identified 31 distinct auxiliary modules (i.e.,
fused domains) (Fig. 1d, Table S7). Only 10 of these 31 modules were previously reported in
the proteins encoded by cloned KFP genes (Table S7). The most prevalent auxiliary modules
included a non β-finger kinase domain ($n = 59$), a major sperm protein (MSP) domain ($n = 53$),
an MSP domain combined with a WD40 repeat (MSP-WD40; $n = 50$), an NBARC-LRR
domain ($n = 29$), and a coiled-coil NLR (CC-NLR; $n = 25$) (Table S7). The different clades of
the KFP phylogenetic tree did not correlate with the subgenome origin of their encoding genes
(Fig. S22).
62
When mapped onto the KFP phylogeny, the auxiliary modules formed distinct clades. In several
cases, the same auxiliary module appeared in multiple, independently evolved clades,
suggesting convergent domain acquisition (Fig. S23–24). Alternatively, perhaps the same
acquisition events were followed by divergent evolution of their extended β-fingers. We also
identified 150 KFPs with a kinase domain but lacking a detectable auxiliary domain
(singletons), 55 of which were putatively annotated as cysteine-rich receptor-like kinases
(crRLKs). These singletons were more frequent in several of the clades but were also dispersed
throughout the phylogenetic tree (Fig. S25).
71
72 In addition, we identified 29 KFPs containing additional extended β-finger kinase domains,
73 which clustered into clades associated with specific auxiliary modules (Fig. 1d) (Table S8).
74 The most common auxiliary modules in these KFPs were kinase ($n = 6$), heavy metal–
75 associated (HMA)-like ($n = 6$), and MSP-WD40 ($n = 4$) domains. In addition, we detected 11
76 tandem kinase domains with additional β-finger motifs but no associated auxiliary domain.
77
78 The functionally validated KFPs $Sr62^{TK}$ and Rwt4 trigger immune responses via a shared CC-
79 NLR, which is encoded by a locus located 20 kb distal and 150 kb proximal to the respective
80 KFP genes. Therefore, we investigated whether other KFP genes in the Chinese Spring genome
81 were also located close to CC-NLR genes: indeed, 28.2% of KFP genes were located within
82 200 kb of a CC-NLR gene (Fig. S26, Table S9).
83
Most NLR genes validated as resistance genes—across both dicots and monocots—are among
the top 25% most highly expressed NLR genes within a plant genome's NLR repertoire[7]. To
assess whether those KFPs conferring disease resistance are encoded by highly expressed
genes, we quantified the transcript levels of 12 KFP genes using publicly available
transcriptome deep sequencing (RNA-seq) datasets (Table S10). These previously cloned KFP
genes consistently ranked among the top 25% of expressed KFP genes across each dataset,
with the exception of *Rpg1* (Fig. 1e, S27a–i). Moreover, the $Sr62^{TK}$ and $Sr62^{NLR}$ pair were
expressed at similar levels and were both in the top 25% of the most highly expressed KFP and
NLR genes, respectively (Fig. S27h and S28).
93
To investigate the evolutionary origin of the extended β-finger in plants, we annotated the motif
in available reference genomes for monocot species. The extended β-finger motif was present

exclusively in members of the Poaceae family, except for the early-diverging grass
Streptochaeta angustifolia (Fig. 2, Table S11). This pattern suggests that the motif emerged at
least 98 million years ago, following the divergence of *S. angustifolia* and *Pharus latifolius*[8].
The apparent absence of the motif within the Poaceae species *Avena byzantina* and *Neostapfia*
colusana may reflect intraspecific variation or species-specific loss.
101
Through a computational analysis of cloned KFP genes, we demonstrated that the extended β-
finger is a highly conserved motif that can be used for the *de novo* annotation of immune
receptors as KFPs. We believe this knowledge will be useful for the molecular cloning of as
yet unknown resistance genes from Poaceae genomes, as KFP genes comprise ~20% of
currently cloned resistance genes in wheat [9]. Our analysis of the wheat cv. Chinese Spring
genome identified 476 unique KFP loci; however, as most functionally validated KFPs are
typically encoded by highly expressed genes, not all identified KFPs will likely confer disease
resistance[6,7].
110
Across the phylogeny of KFPs from Chinese Spring, distinct clades share the same auxiliary
modules. For example, KFPs with an MSP or a kinase auxiliary module form multiple distinct
clades. The low sequence similarity between the kinase domains of these clades suggests that
KFPs in the different clades likely arose from distinct independent fusions, whereas those
within a single clade may share the same ancestral fusion event. Given their abundance and
presence across many clades in the tree, crRLKs are likely the source of the kinase domains
involved in these fusion events, suggesting that KFPs are the result of multiple independent
fusion events. The identified singleton kinase domains may represent the true pathogenicity
targets of the effectors recognized by the KFPs in these clades[10]. Alternatively, the auxiliary
modules fused to the kinase domains may represent integrated decoys for homologous effector
targets, as is the case for NLRs with integrated domains [11].
122
Although KFP genes have been cloned exclusively from barley, wheat, and wild wheat
relatives, our phylogenetic analysis of monocot proteomes highlights a potentially untapped
wealth of KFP resistance genes available in other members of the Poaceae such as rice (*Oryza*
sativa), maize (*Zea mays*), and their wild relatives. Our analysis also highlights the specificity
of the extended β-finger motif to the Poaceae. However, since crRLKs are ubiquitous across
plant species, it is possible that KFPs lacking the extended β-finger motif, as exemplified by
the tandem kinase Yr15, occur outside the Poaceae.

Methods

Identification of the extended β-finger via HMM

AlphaFold 3 (ref.[12]) was used to predict the structures of cloned KFPs (Table 1). The quality of each prediction was assessed using AlphaFold Analyser (v3.1.0). The resulting structures were visualized in PyMol and manually curated to identify and extract the sequence of the extended β-finger motif. A multiple sequence alignment (MSA) of unique extended β-finger sequences was generated using MUSCLE v5.1 (ref.[13]). The MSA was used to create a seed hidden Markov model (HMM) using *hmmbuild* with default parameters [14].

The seed HMM was then iteratively refined against the reference proteome of wheat cultivar Chinese Spring[15]. At each iteration step, *hmmsearch*, with the parameters *–incdome 1e-10* and *-A proteome.aln*, was used to identify and align protein sequences containing a β-finger[14]. The number of unique sequences was counted and, using the alignment, a new HMM was constructed using *hmmbuild*. The newly created HMM was then used for the next iteration step[14]. This process was continued until three successive iteration steps identified the same number of proteins in the proteome. The number of sequences annotated in each refinement step was plotted using plot_refinement.py (https://github.com/Orpowell/wheat_kfps). The matrix for the refined HMM was extracted using Skylign, and the sequence logo of the HMM was generated with plot_sequence_logo.py (https://github.com/Orpowell/wheat_kfps) [16].

Chinese Spring annotation and phylogenetics

A proteome of the telomere-to-telomere (T2T) assembly of the Chinese Spring genome was generated by selecting the longest protein sequence for each annotated locus using get_locus_representatives.py (https://github.com/Orpowell/wheat_kfps) [15]. The resulting non-redundant proteome was independently annotated for extended β-fingers motifs and Pkinase domains using *hmmsearch,* with the parameter --domE 1e-4, and the extended β-finger HMM (see above) and the protein kinase (Pkinase) HMM from PFAM, respectively [14,17]. A protein was classified as a KFP if it contained at least one extended β-finger overlapping with the Pkinase domain. This analysis was performed using "annotate_beta_finger_and_kinase.sh" (https://github.com/Orpowell/wheat_kfps).

The primary module, defined here as the extended β-finger kinase domain(s), was extracted from the KFPs in the Chinese Spring proteome and from previously cloned KFPs using extract_kfp_sequences.py (https://github.com/Orpowell/wheat_kfps) and aligned using MUSCLE v5.1 with default parameters [13]. The resulting multiple sequence alignment was used to reconstruct a phylogenetic tree using FastTree (v2.1.11) with default parameters [18]. The multiple sequence alignment was also used to generate a percentage identity matrix (PIM) of KFPs using Clustal omega[19] with the parameters: "--percent-id --distmat-out=PIM.txt –full --force".

The phylogenetic tree was visualized using iTOL v7 [20]. An iTOL annotation for the number of β-fingers in each KFP was generated using count_beta_fingers.py (https://github.com/Orpowell/wheat_kfps). Protein sequences of Chinese Spring KFPs and previously cloned KFPs (Table S1) were annotated using InterProScan, with TMHMM enabled [21,22]. The auxiliary modules in each KFP were identified and used to create an iTOL annotation using annotate_KFP_auxiliary_domain.py (https://github.com/Orpowell/wheat_kfps). This annotation file was filtered to create specific annotations for KFPs with MSP and kinase auxiliary modules. PIMs for the extended β-finger kinase domain(s) of KFPs with MSP and

179 kinase auxiliary modules were generated using "analyse_pim.py"
180 (https://github.com/Orpowell/wheat_kfps).
181
182 The coordinates of KFP genes were extracted from the Chinese Spring reference GFF file and
183 visualized using plot_KFP_physical_positions.py (https://github.com/Orpowell/wheat_kfps).
184 The subgenome location of each KFP gene was extracted and annotated using
185 annotate_subgenome.py (https://github.com/Orpowell/wheat_kfps).
186

KFP–NLR proximity in Chinese Spring
188 NLR loci in the T2T Chinese Spring genome were annotated using NLR-Annotator with
189 default parameters [23]. The nearest CC-NBARC-LRR locus to each KFP locus (identified above)
190 was determined using calculate_nlr_proximity.py (https://github.com/Orpowell/wheat_kfps).
191

HMM-Annotator
HMM-Annotator is a Python tool for the annotation of genome and transcriptome sequences
using protein HMMs written specifically for this study. The tool works via the following steps.
First, the input DNA sequence is dissected into overlapping fragments. Each fragment is then
fully translated into its six possible protein sequences (three forward and three reverse open
reading frames) that are annotated for HMM using PyHMMer. Protein sequence annotations
are then converted back to their original DNA sequence coordinates. The output can be saved
as a TSV or a BED file. HMM-Annotator was written in Python (v3.10.14). Source code and
documentation can be found on GitHub (https://github.com/Orpowell/HMM-Annotator).
201

Expression analysis of cloned KFP genes
Publicly available RNA-seq data for cloned KFP genes were downloaded from NCBI and
NGDC (Table S10). The raw reads from each dataset were trimmed to remove adapters and
low-quality sequences using Trimmomatic (v.0.39) with the parameters:
LEADING:5,TRAILING:5, SLIDINGWINDOW:4:20, MINLEN:50 (ref.[24]). Trimmed reads
were *de novo* assembled using Trinity (v2.15.2) using default parameters[25]. Transcript
abundance was estimated using Salmon (v1.10.3), with bias correction enabled (--gcBias, --
seqBias), and aggregated into gene-level transcript per million (TPM) counts using the R
package tximport (v1.36.0) [26,27]. The transcripts of genes encoding proteins containing a β-
finger were identified using HMM-Annotator (as described above), and their corresponding
TPM values were calculated. Transcripts corresponding to cloned KFP genes were identified
using BLASTn [28]. KFP gene expression data were visualized using TEA-tools visualize
command (https://github.com/Orpowell/tea-tools). Analysis of $Sr62^{NLR}$ was performed as
described above for KFP genes, except that NLR-Annotator[23] was used to identify NLR
transcripts. The GenBank sequence PP537390.1 was used for the annotation of $Sr62^{NLR}$
transcripts.
218

KFP annotation in monocot genomes
Publicly available reference genomes of monocot species were downloaded from NCBI using
the NCBI datasets command: "datasets download genome taxon 4447 –dehydrated –reference
–include genome". The genome of *Streptochaeta angustifolia* was downloaded using the NCBI
datasets command: "download genome accession GCA_020804685.1 –include genome". The
name of each species was extracted from the "assembly_report.jsonl" included in the data
downloads using get_species_name.py (https://github.com/Orpowell/wheat_kfps). A
phylogenetic tree of species was downloaded from NCBI using ete3 and visualized using iTOL
v7 [20,29].

Each genome was annotated for extended β-finger motifs using HMM-Annotator and the
extended β-finger motif HMM (see above). The output BED file for each genome was filtered
for annotations with a minimum length of 90 nucleotides and score (E-value) less than 1E−10.
Presence or absence of the motif was determined from the filtered BED file and used to
generate an iTOL annotation for the phylogenetic tree using generate_itol_annotations.py
(https://github.com/Orpowell/wheat_kfps).

Taxa information for each monocot species was downloaded using the NCBI datasets
commands: "datasets summary taxonomy taxon 4447 --as-json-lines --children | dataformat tsv
taxonomy --template tax-summary". The resulting TSV file was used to generate an iTOL
annotation of monocot families present in the phylogenetic tree using
generate_family_annotation.py (https://github.com/Orpowell/wheat_kfps).

Acknowledgements:
This research used the Ibex high-performance computing cluster managed by the
Supercomputing Laboratory at King Abdullah University of Science and Technology
(KAUST), Saudi Arabia. We are grateful to Burkhard Steuernagel for helpful discussions
during the development of HMM-Annotator and Tobin Florio (https://flozbox-science.com) for
figure artwork.

Funding:
This research was funded by KAUST baseline and award CRG11-2022-5087 to B.B.H.W.

Author Contributions:
O.R.P. developed the β-finger HMM and HMM-Annotator. O.R.P. performed all bioinformatic
analyses related to Chinese Spring and the evolutionary origin of the extended β-finger motif.
O.R.P. developed HMM-Annotator and TEA-tools. D.G. performed the expression analysis of
cloned KFP genes. B.B.H.W. and O.R.P. conceived the study. O.R.P. drafted the manuscript
with contributions from B.B.H.W. and D.G. All coauthors read and approved the final
manuscript.

Data and Materials Availability:
Source code for HMM-Annotator, Tea-tools, and all analyses are available on GitHub:
(https://github.com/Orpowell/HMM-Annotator), (https://github.com/Orpowell/tea-tools),
(https://github.com/Orpowell/wheat_kfps). AlphaFold3 models used to identify the extended
β-finger motif are archived on Zenodo (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16732312). The HMM
for the extended β-finger motif is archived on Zenodo
(https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15874664).

266
a, Predicted structure of the extended β-finger kinase domain of Sr62^{TK}, showing the extended
β-finger motif in magenta (Table S1). **b**, Sequence logo of the refined hidden Markov model
(HMM) representing the extended β-finger in KFPs from wheat cv. Chinese Spring. **c**, Genomic
distribution of KFP genes across the Chinese Spring genome. **d**, Phylogenetic tree based on the
extended β-finger domain(s) (primary module) of all KFPs encoded by the Chinese Spring
genome. Black circles indicate bootstrap value ≥80. KFPs derived from previously cloned
genes were included and named. Sequences are coloured according to their number of extended
β-finger kinase domains (inner circle) or auxiliary module (outer circle), as indicated in the
legend. Auxiliary domains occurring fewer than three times across the phylogenetic tree are
labelled miscellaneous (Misc.) (Table S4, S5). **e**, KFP gene transcript abundance in *de novo*
assembled transcriptomes of wheat cv. Cadenza. The two functionally validated KFPs Tsn1
and Rwt4 are highlighted. Transcript levels were estimated from self-aligned RNA-seq data as
transcripts per million (TPM). The top 25% most expressed KFP genes are shown in orange.

Fig 2. Extended β-finger KFPs are unique to the Poaceae family.

Presence or absence of the extended β-finger motif in publicly available genomes of monocotyledonous species. A black circle indicates a species in which the motif was detected. Members of each family are highlighted according to the legend. Families with fewer than three members in the tree are labelled as miscellaneous. Species names can be found in Supplementary Table 11.

References

1. Sung, Y. C. *et al.* Wheat tandem kinase RWT4 directly binds a fungal effector to activate defense. *Nature Genetics 2025 57:5* **57**, 1238–1249 (2025).

2. Lu, P. *et al.* A wheat tandem kinase and NLR pair confers resistance to multiple fungal pathogens. *Science* **387**, 1418–1424 (2025).

3. Chen, R. *et al.* A wheat tandem kinase activates an NLR to trigger immunity. *Science (1979)* **387**, 1402–1408 (2025).

4. Liu, S. *et al.* A telomere-to-telomere genome assembly coupled with multi-omic data provides insights into the evolution of hexaploid bread wheat. *Nature Genetics 2025 57:4* **57**, 1008–1020 (2025).

5. Hanks, S. K., Quinn, A. M. & Hunter, T. The Protein Kinase Family: Conserved Features and Deduced Phylogeny of the Catalytic Domains. *Science (1979)* **241**, 42–52 (1988).

6. Steuernagel, B. *et al.* The NLR-Annotator Tool Enables Annotation of the Intracellular Immune Receptor Repertoire. *Plant Physiol* **183**, 468–482 (2020).

7. Brabham, H. J. *et al.* Discovery of functional NLRs using expression level, high-throughput transformation, and large-scale phenotyping. *bioRxiv* 2024.06.25.599845 (2024) doi:10.1101/2024.06.25.599845.

8. Ma, P. F. *et al.* The Pharus latifolius genome bridges the gap of early grass evolution. *Plant Cell* **33**, 846–864 (2021).

9. Chen, R., Gajendiran, K. & Wulff, B. B. H. R we there yet? Advances in cloning resistance genes for engineering immunity in crop plants. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* **77**, 102489 (2024).

10. Wan, C. *et al.* A serine-rich effector from the stripe rust pathogen targets a Raf-like kinase to suppress host immunity. *Plant Physiol* **190**, 762–778 (2022).

11. Marchal, C., Michalopoulou, V. A., Zou, Z., Cevik, V. & Sarris, P. F. Show me your ID: NLR immune receptors with integrated domains in plants. *Essays Biochem* **66**, 527 (2022).

12. Abramson, J. *et al.* Accurate structure prediction of biomolecular interactions with AlphaFold 3. *Nature 2024 630:8016* **630**, 493–500 (2024).

13. Edgar, R. C. Muscle5: High-accuracy alignment ensembles enable unbiased assessments of sequence homology and phylogeny. *Nature Communications 2022 13:1* **13**, 1–9 (2022).

14. Eddy, S. R. Accelerated Profile HMM Searches. *PLoS Comput Biol* **7**, e1002195 (2011).

15. Liu, S. *et al.* A telomere-to-telomere genome assembly coupled with multi-omic data provides insights into the evolution of hexaploid bread wheat. *Nature Genetics 2025 57:4* **57**, 1008–1020 (2025).

16. Wheeler, T. J., Clements, J. & Finn, R. D. Skylign: A tool for creating informative, interactive logos representing sequence alignments and profile hidden Markov models. *BMC Bioinformatics* **15**, 1–9 (2014).

17. Mistry, J. *et al.* Pfam: The protein families database in 2021. *Nucleic Acids Res* **49**, D412–D419 (2021).

18. Price, M. N., Dehal, P. S. & Arkin, A. P. FastTree 2 – Approximately Maximum-Likelihood Trees for Large Alignments. *PLoS One* **5**, e9490 (2010).

19. Sievers, F. & Higgins, D. G. Clustal Omega for making accurate alignments of many protein sequences. *Protein Science* **27**, 135–145 (2018).

20. Letunic, I. & Bork, P. Interactive Tree of Life (iTOL) v6: recent updates to the
phylogenetic tree display and annotation tool. *Nucleic Acids Res* **52**, W78–W82
(2024).
21. Paysan-Lafosse, T. *et al.* InterPro in 2022. *Nucleic Acids Res* **51**, D418–D427 (2023).
22. Krogh, A., Larsson, B., Von Heijne, G. & Sonnhammer, E. L. L. Predicting
transmembrane protein topology with a hidden markov model: application to complete
genomes. *J Mol Biol* **305**, 567–580 (2001).
23. Steuernagel, B. *et al.* The NLR-Annotator Tool Enables Annotation of the Intracellular
Immune Receptor Repertoire. *Plant Physiol* **183**, 468–482 (2020).
24. Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina
sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2114 (2014).
25. Haas, B. J. *et al.* De novo transcript sequence reconstruction from RNA-Seq: reference
generation and analysis with Trinity. *Nat Protoc* **8**, 10.1038/nprot.2013.084 (2013).
26. Soneson, C., Love, M. I. & Robinson, M. D. Differential analyses for RNA-seq:
Transcript-level estimates improve gene-level inferences. *F1000Res* **4**, (2016).
27. Patro, R., Duggal, G., Love, M. I., Irizarry, R. A. & Kingsford, C. Salmon provides fast
and bias-aware quantification of transcript expression. *Nature Methods 2017 14:4* **14**,
417–419 (2017).
28. Camacho, C. *et al.* BLAST+: Architecture and applications. *BMC Bioinformatics* **10**,
1–9 (2009).
29. Huerta-Cepas, J., Serra, F. & Bork, P. ETE 3: Reconstruction, Analysis, and
Visualization of Phylogenomic Data. *Mol Biol Evol* **33**, 1635–1638 (2016).
357

Supplementary Figures

Supplementary Fig. 1. AlphaFold3 Prediction of Rpg1.
pLDDT plot (**a**), pLDDT coloured structure (**b**), and predicted aligned error plot (**c**) for the
highest confidence prediction of Rpg1 (Average pLDDT = 70.18, pTM = 0.43).

Supplementary Fig. 2. AlphaFold3 Prediction of Tsn1.
pLDDT plot (**a**), pLDDT coloured structure (**b**), and predicted aligned error plot (**c**) for the
highest confidence prediction of Tsn1 (Average pLDDT = 72.31, pTM = 0.54).

Supplementary Fig. 3. AlphaFold3 Prediction of Yr15.
pLDDT plot (**a**), pLDDT coloured structure (**b**), and predicted aligned error plot (**c**) for the highest confidence prediction of Yr15 (Average pLDDT = 67.79, pTM = 0.51).

Supplementary Fig. 4. AlphaFold3 Prediction of Pm4d_v1.
pLDDT plot (**a**), pLDDT coloured structure (**b**), and predicted aligned error plot (**c**) for the highest confidence prediction of Pm4d_v1 (Average pLDDT = 68.81, pTM = 0.58).

371 **Supplementary Fig. 5. AlphaFold3 Prediction of Pm4d_v2.**
372 pLDDT plot (**a**), pLDDT coloured structure (**b**), and predicted aligned error plot (**c**) for the
373 highest confidence prediction of Pm4d_v2 (Average pLDDT = 78.47, pTM = 0.67).

Supplementary Fig. 6. AlphaFold3 Prediction of Sr60.
pLDDT plot (**a**), pLDDT coloured structure (**b**), and predicted aligned error plot (**c**) for the
highest confidence prediction of Sr60 (Average pLDDT = 72.92, pTM = 0.51).

Supplementary Fig. 7. AlphaFold3 Prediction of Pm24.
pLDDT plot (**a**), pLDDT coloured structure (**b**), and predicted aligned error plot (**c**) for the highest confidence prediction of Pm24 (Average pLDDT = 67.95, pTM = 0.49).

380 **Supplementary Fig. 8. AlphaFold3 Prediction of Pm4b_v1.**
381 pLDDT plot (**a**), pLDDT coloured structure (**b**), and predicted aligned error plot (**c**) for the
382 highest confidence prediction of Pmb4b_v1 (Average pLDDT = 68.26, pTM = 0.59).

a

b

c

383 **Supplementary Fig. 9. AlphaFold3 Prediction of Pm4b_v2.**
384 pLDDT plot (**a**), pLDDT coloured structure (**b**), and predicted aligned error plot (**c**) for the
385 highest confidence prediction of Pm4b_v2 (Average pLDDT = 78.19, pTM = 0.68).
386

Supplementary Fig. 10. AlphaFold3 Prediction of Snn3-1D.
pLDDT plot (**a**), pLDDT coloured structure (**b**), and predicted aligned error plot (**c**) for the
highest confidence prediction of Snn3-1D (Average pLDDT = 75.24, pTM = 0.65).

Supplementary Fig. 11. AlphaFold3 Prediction of WTK4.
pLDDT plot (**a**), pLDDT coloured structure (**b**), and predicted aligned error plot (**c**) for the
highest confidence prediction of WTK4 (Average pLDDT = 74.74, pTM = 0.58).
393

Supplementary Fig. 12. AlphaFold3 Prediction of Sr62.
pLDDT plot (**a**), pLDDT coloured structure (**b**), and predicted aligned error plot (**c**) for the highest confidence prediction of Sr62 (Average pLDDT = 71.31, pTM = 0.54).

Supplementary Fig. 13. AlphaFold3 Predictions of Sr43.
pLDDT plot (**a**), pLDDT coloured structure (**b**), and predicted aligned error plot (**c**) for the highest confidence prediction of YYY (Average pLDDT = 67.80, pTM = 0.48).

Supplementary Fig. 14. AlphaFold3 Prediction of Rwt4.
pLDDT plot (**a**), pLDDT coloured structure (**b**), and predicted aligned error plot (**c**) for the highest confidence prediction of Rwt4 (Average pLDDT = 70.25, pTM = 0.55).

Supplementary Fig. 15. AlphaFold3 Prediction of Lr9.
pLDDT plot (**a**), pLDDT coloured structure (**b**), and predicted aligned error plot (**c**) for the
highest confidence prediction of Lr9 (Average pLDDT = 72.54, pTM = 0.45).

408 **Supplementary Fig. 16. AlphaFold3 Prediction of Pm36.**
409 pLDDT plot (**a**), pLDDT coloured structure (**b**), and predicted aligned error plot (**c**) for the
410 highest confidence prediction of Pm36 (Average pLDDT = 0.52, pTM = 75.92).
411

412 **Supplementary Fig. 17. AlphaFold3 Prediction of Pm57.**
413 pLDDT plot (**a**), pLDDT coloured structure (**b**), and predicted aligned error plot (**c**) for the
414 highest confidence prediction of Pm57 (Average pLDDT = 72.45, pTM = 0.45).

Supplementary Fig. 18. AlphaFold3 Prediction of Pm13.
pLDDT plot (**a**), pLDDT coloured structure (**b**), and predicted aligned error plot (**c**) for the highest confidence prediction of Pm13 (Average pLDDT = 71.93, pTM = 0.62).

418 **Supplementary Fig. 19. AlphaFold3 Prediction of Lr39.**
419 pLDDT plot (**a**), pLDDT coloured structure (**b**), and predicted aligned error plot (**c**) for the
420 highest confidence prediction of Lr39 (Average pLDDT = 75.56, pTM = 0.57).

Supplementary Fig. 20. AlphaFold3 Prediction of Snn3-1B.
pLDDT plot (**a**), pLDDT coloured structure (**b**), and predicted aligned error plot (**c**) for the
highest confidence prediction of Snn3-1B (Average pLDDT = 75.19, pTM = 0.67).

Supplementary Fig. 21. Iterative refinement of the extended β-finger HMM.
The seed HMM of the extended β-finger motif, derived from the amino acid sequences of
cloned KFPs, was iteratively refined against the Chinese Spring proteome until three
consecutive iteration steps annotated the same number of sequences in the proteome (i.e., at
step 7).

Supplementary Fig. 22. Distribution of KFP genes across the Chinese Spring subgenomes.
Phylogenetic tree of KFP genes in the Chinese Spring genome. The subgenome origin of each
KFP gene is colored according to the legend. Previously cloned KFP genes are shown in black
and named.

Supplementary Fig. 23. Distribution and similarity of KFPs with an MSP auxiliary module across the phylogenetic tree of KFPs from Chinese Spring.
a, Distribution of MSP auxiliary modules across the phylogenetic tree of KFPs in Chinese Spring. KFPs with an MSP auxiliary module are shown in green. **b**, Percentage identity matrix of the extended β-finger kinase domains of KFPs with an MSP auxiliary module.

Supplementary Fig. 24. Distribution and similarity of KFPs with a kinase auxiliary module across the phylogenetic tree of KFPs from Chinese Spring.
a, Distribution of kinase auxiliary modules across the phylogenetic tree of KFPs in Chinese Spring. KFPs with a kinase auxiliary module are shown in red. **b**, Percentage identity matrix of the extended b-finger kinase domains of KFPs with a kinase auxiliary module.

Supplementary Fig. 25. Distribution of KFPs with the extended β-finger but no auxiliary modules across the phylogenetic tree of KFPs in Chinese Spring.

Phylogenetic tree of KFPs in Chinese Spring. The positions of extended β-finger kinases, *i.e.*, kinases with an extended β-finger but no fused auxiliary module, are shown in black.

448
449 **Supplementary Fig. 26. Distance to nearest CC-NLR gene for each KFP gene in the**
450 **Chinese Spring genome.**
451 Cumulative distribution of the distance between each Chinese Spring KFP gene (n=476) and
452 its nearest CC-NLR locus. About 28.2% of all KFP genes were located within 200 kb of a CC-
453 NLR gene.

454
Supplementary Fig. 27. Functional KFP genes are among the most expressed KFP genes.
Transcript abundance for KFP genes in *de novo* assembled transcriptomes of *Thinopyrum*
elongatum (**a**), *Aegilops tauschii* (**b, c**), *Ae. searsii* (**d**), *T. turgidum* ssp. *dicoccoides* (**e**), *Ae.*
longissima (**f**), *T. turgidum* (**g**), *Ae. sharonensis* (**h**), and *Hordeum vulgare* (**i**). Functionally
validated KFP genes cloned from each species are highlighted. Transcript levels were estimated
from self-aligned RNA-seq data as transcripts per million (TPM). The top 25% most highly
expressed KFP genes are shown in orange.

Supplementary Fig. 28. *Sr62^{NLR}* is highly expressed among NLR genes.
Transcript abundance of NLR genes in the *de novo* assembled transcriptome of *Aegilops*
sharonensis. The functionally validated helper NLR gene of *Sr62^{TK}*, *Sr62^{NLR}*, is labeled.
Transcript levels were estimated from self-aligned RNA-seq data as TPM. The top 25% most
highly expressed NLR genes are shown in orange.

Supplementary Tables S1–S8 are provided in a separate excel file as Data S1

Table S1. GenBank IDs of cloned kinase fusion proteins (KFPs).

Table S2. PTM and average pLDDT of AlphaFold3 predictions of cloned KFPs.

Table S3. Amino acid positions of extended β-fingers in cloned KFPs.

Table S4. Physical position of KFP genes in the Chinese Spring genome.

Table S5. Number of KFP genes per chromosome in the Chinese Spring genome.

Table S6. Auxiliary modules of KFPs encoded by the Chinese Spring genome.

Table S7. Number of KFPs with each auxiliary module.

Table S8. Number of β-fingers in each KFP.

Table S9. Distance to the nearest CC-NLR gene for each KFP gene.

Table S10. RNA-seq datasets for functionally validated KFP genes.

Table S11. Presence of the extended β-finger motif across monocot genomes.