PIKfyve influences inter-organelle contacts with lysosomes to modulate the endoplasmic

reticulum

Nicala Jenkins[1,2], Zainab Adamji[1,2], Maria R. Narciso[1,2], Nourhan R. Almasri[1], Sharifa Lomiyev[1],

and Roberto J. Botelho[1,2#]

[1]Department of Chemistry and Biology and [2]Molecular Science Graduate Program, Toronto

Metropolitan University, Toronto, Ontario, M5B2K3, Canada

[#]To whom correspondence should be sent: rbotelho@torontomu.ca

Running title: PIKfyve and the endoplasmic reticulum

Keywords: Lipid kinases, organelles, lysosomes, ER, morphology, membrane contact sites

Summary: Long associated with the regulation of the endolysosomal pathway, the authors

show that the PIKfyve lipid kinase extends its sphere of influence to modulate the architecture

and dynamics of the endoplasmic reticulum by balancing phosphoinositide species at their

inter-organellar sites.

Abbreviations

Arl8b: ADP-ribosylation factor like 8b; **Atg18:** autophagy-related protein 18; **ClC7:** chlorine

exchange transporter 7; **CLIMP63:** 63 kDa cytoskeleton linking protein; **EB1:** end-binding

protein 1; **ER:** endoplasmic reticulum; **FFAT:** two phenylalanine's (FF) in an acidic tract; **Fig4:**

factor-Induced gene 4; **FKBP:** FK506-binding protein; **FRB:** FKBP rapamycin binding; **FYCO1:**

FYVE and coiled-coil domain autophagy adaptor 1; **FYVE:** fab1, YOTB, Vac1 and EEA1 binding

domain; **FYVE4A:** fab1, YOTB, Vac1 and EEA1 binding domain mutant; **GFP:** green fluorescent

protein; **GST:** glutathione s-transferase; **GTPase:** guanine triphosphatase; KIF5B: kinesin-1

heavy chain isoform 5B; **LAMP1:** lysosomal associate membrane protein 1; **LCR:** low complexing

region; **mCherry/mCh:** monomeric cherry, red fluorescent protein; **MCS:** membrane contact

site; **mScarletI:** basic red fluorescent protein; **PI(3,5)P$_2$:**phosphatidylinositol 3,5-bisphosphate;

PI(3)P: phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate; **PIKfyve**: phosphoinositide FYVE-type zinc finger

containing; **PIP:** phosphoinositide; **PtdIns:** phosphatidylinositol; **PLA:** proximity ligation assay;

PX Domain: phox homology domain; **Rab5:** ras-related protein-5; **Rab7:** ras-related protein-7;

RFP: red fluorescent protein; **RILP:** rab interacting lysosomal protein; **RILPC33:** rab interacting

lysosomal protein, c terminal 33aa fragment; **Rtn4a:** reticulon 4A; **RUFY3:** RUN and FYVE

domain containing 3; **RUFY4:** RUN and FYVE domain containing 4; **siRNA:** small interfering RNA;

SnxA: sorting nexin A; **Snx19:** sorting nexin 19; **TAC:** tip attachment complex; **TPC:** two pore

channel; **TRPML1:** transient receptor potential channel for mucolipin-1; **VAPA:** vesicle

associated membrane protein-associated protein A; **VAPB:** vesicle associated membrane

protein-associated protein B; **VPS34:** vacuolar sorting protein 34; **VPS34 In1:** vacuolar sorting

protein 34 inhibitor-1; **2XFYVE:** tandem FYVE domain.

Summary

Lysosomes clear unwanted cellular material delivered by constant membrane fusion.

Membrane fission is thus required to balance lysosome size, number, and composition. PIKfyve

is a lipid kinase that converts phosphatidylinositol-3-phosphate [PtdIns(3)P] to

phosphatidylinositol-3,5-bisphosphate [PtdIns(3,5)P_2] and promotes lysosome fission since

lysosomes coalesce into larger, but fewer organelles in its absence. Here, we reveal a role for

PIKfyve in regulating endoplasmic reticulum (ER) dynamics. We show the ER is less reticulated

and motile in cells inhibited for PIKfyve. Partly, this arises because lysosomes cluster

perinuclearly and are less motile, which appears to arrest ER hitchhiking, a process in which

lysosomes pull and form ER tubules. Secondly, the ER morphology is distorted because of

hyper-tethering of protrudin, an ER transmembrane protein, to lysosomes via excess PtdIns(3)P

and protrudin's FYVE domain. Our findings reveal that PIKfyve balances phosphoinositides at

ER-lysosome contact sites to govern ER properties and have significant implications for our

understanding of PIKfyve function and of diseases linked to its dysfunction.

Introduction

Lysosomes are acidic and degradative organelles that receive and digest a plethora of molecular

cargo from the endocytic, autophagic and phagocytic pathways (Ballabio and Bonifacino, 2020;

Inpanathan and Botelho, 2019). They are also highly dynamic because they continuously

undergo fusion-fission cycles with maturing late endosomes, transport vesicles from the *trans*-

Golgi network, and between themselves (Saffi and Botelho, 2019; Luzio et al., 2007). This

constant intermixing is dependent on bidirectional movement of lysosomes on microtubules

driven by kinesin and dynein motors (Cabukusta and Neefjes, 2018). Perhaps due to this

dynamic nature, lysosomes are a heterogeneous collection of organelles that differ in shape,

size, position, and function (Saffi and Botelho, 2019; Inpanathan and Botelho, 2019). Thus, and

unless otherwise indicated, we use the term *lysosomes* to encapsulate this full spectrum,

including late endosomes, terminal lysosomes, and their hybrids, endolysosomes.

82   Given this complexity, lysosomes are subject to various regulatory inputs including by

the Rab7 and Arl8b GTPases and the PIKfyve lipid kinase (Ballabio and Bonifacino, 2020; Bissig

et al., 2017; de Lartigue et al., 2009; Cantalupo et al., 2001; Khatter et al., 2015). PIKfyve is a

conserved lipid kinase that localizes to endosomes and lysosomes via its FYVE domain and

phosphatidylinositol-3-phosphate [PtdIns(3)P], which is then converted by PIKfyve into

phosphatidylinositol-3,5-bisphosphate [PtdIns(3,5)P_2] (Ikonomov et al., 2001; Sbrissa et al.,

2002, 2007). While deletion of PIKfyve is embryonic lethal, tissue-specific knockouts or

mutations that abate PtdIns(3,5)P_2 levels are associated with defects in immunity,

inflammation, metabolic, and neurological functions (Ikonomov et al., 2011; Min et al., 2014;

Chow et al., 2007; Tsuruta et al., 2009; Cheng et al., 2025; Min et al., 2019; Prado et al., 2025).

At the molecular level, there remain few known PtdIns(3,5)P_2-binding proteins, which include

Ca^{2+}, Cl^- and Na^+ channels like TRPML1, ClC7, and TPCs, respectively (Leray et al., 2022; Dong et

al., 2010; Wang et al., 2012), the actin regulator cortactin (Hong et al., 2015), and membrane

curvature factors like the yeast Atg18 (Gopaldass et al., 2017). Through these and other

unknown effectors, PIKfyve and PtdIns(3,5)P_2 collectively modulate osmosis, ion-based

signaling, lysosome fusion-fission cycling, and the degradative capacity of lysosomes (Bissig et

al., 2017; Choy et al., 2018; Leray et al., 2022; Dong et al., 2010; Sharma et al., 2019; Wang et

al., 2012). Arguably, the most conspicuous defect caused by PIKfyve loss of function is lysosome

enlargement and the concomitant decline in lysosome number, likely due to reduced

membrane fission relative to fusion (Bissig et al., 2017; Choy et al., 2018; Sharma et al., 2019).

However, we do not know if this occurs by dysregulation of fission complexes and/or of

signaling factors like ion-based signaling. Interestingly, endosomes and mitochondrial sites of

fission are demarcated by endoplasmic reticulum (ER)-dependent contacts (Friedman et al.,

2013; Abrisch et al., 2020; Friedman et al., 2011). We thus considered whether PIKfyve and the

ER may play a joint role in lysosome fission.

The ER is a reticulated membrane network composed of membrane sheets and tubules

extending throughout the cell, and forming functional domains such as the rough ER and

smooth ER (Westrate et al., 2015). The ER morphology is regulated by various proteins and

responds to signals such as the unfolded protein response and the nutrient status of the cell

(Chen et al., 2023; Goyal and Blackstone, 2013; Lu et al., 2020). For instance, ER sheets are

promoted by integral membrane proteins such as CLIMP63, which form bridge-like structures

connecting opposing ER bilayers (Shibata et al., 2010). In comparison, ER tubules are dynamic,

continually forming, branching, and rearranging through the action of proteins such as

Reticulons and Atlastins (Wang et al., 2016; Hu et al., 2009; Voeltz et al., 2006; Goyal and

Blackstone, 2013).

ER remodeling can also occur through motor and cytoskeleton-driven processes. This

includes microtubule tip attachment complex (TAC)-mediated movement and ER-sliding, which

rely on the interaction between the ER membrane and microtubules to facilitate the formation

of new ER tubules (Waterman-Storer and Salmon, 1998; Rodríguez-García et al., 2020; Spits et

al., 2021), and ER hitchhiking. During ER hitchhiking, the ER forms a contact with vesicles,

endosomes, or lysosomes (Guimaraes et al., 2015; Spits et al., 2021; Raiborg et al., 2015b; Lu et

al., 2020; Langley et al., 2025). The attached ER then co-migrates with the motile

endosome/lysosome in a motor and microtubule-dependent manner to grow a new ER tubule

(Guimaraes et al., 2015; Spits et al., 2021; Voeltz et al., 2024; Lu et al., 2020). Little is known

about the protein complexes holding the ER-endosome/lysosome together, but these are

thought to be maintained by tethering proteins such as protrudin (Raiborg et al., 2015b; a;

Pedersen et al., 2020; Spits et al., 2021).

Protrudin, which was originally identified as a protein that promotes neurite formation

(Shirane and Nakayama, 2006), is an ER-transmembrane protein that together with VAPA,

another ER transmembrane protein, and the lysosomal Rab7 GTPase, form an ER-lysosome

tethering complex (Raiborg et al., 2015a; Pedersen et al., 2020; Matsuzaki et al., 2011; Saita et

al., 2009). Protrudin has a FYVE domain and a protein-binding low complexing region (LCR) that

respectively are thought to bind to PtdIns(3)P and GTP-bound Rab7 on endosomes/lysosomes

(Raiborg et al., 2015a; Pedersen et al., 2020). Protrudin then extends its cytoplasmic region to

loop around and interact with VAPA via its FFAT motif. Through this contact, protrudin is

thought to load kinesin-1 onto lysosome-bound FYCO1, a Rab7 effector that promotes

lysosome anterograde movement and binds PtdIns(3)P (Pedersen et al., 2020; Raiborg et al.,

2015a; Jongsma et al., 2024; Matsuzaki et al., 2011; Pankiv et al., 2010). Collectively, protrudin

tethers ER-lysosomes together and modulates their relative dynamics (Cabukusta and Neefjes,

2018; Jongsma et al., 2016, 2024).

Overall, there is a bidirectional relationship between the ER and organelles of the endo-

lysosomal pathway through contact sites. ER contacts appear to define areas of endosome

fission, while endosomes and lysosomes help reshape the ER via hitchhiking. Given this, we

postulated that ER-driven fission may malfunction during PIKfyve inhibition, resulting in

lysosome coalescence. Additionally, we hypothesized that lysosome coalescence during PIKfyve

loss may alter ER morphology and dynamics. Here, we provide evidence that PIKfyve inhibition

potently distorts the ER morphology and dynamics by hindering ER hitchhiking and stabilizing

protrudin interaction with lysosomes via excess PtdIns(3)P levels.

Results

Lysosome morphology is relatively intact in cells manipulated for ER morphology

PIKfyve inhibition enlarges lysosomes via coalescence, ostensibly by perturbing fission (Choy et

al., 2018; Bissig et al., 2017; Sharma et al., 2019). On the other hand, sites of tubular endosome

fission are demarcated by ER tubules that wrap around endosomes (Friedman et al., 2013;

Rowland et al., 2014). Conceivably then, lysosome coalescence in PIKfyve-inhibited cells may be

the corollary of perturbed contacts between ER and endo-lysosomal structures. To test this

hypothesis, we over-expressed CLIMP63 or Rtn4A, which respectively bias ER towards sheets

and reticulated tubules (Shibata et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2016; Wu and Voeltz, 2021; Voeltz et

al., 2006), and examined their effect on the morphology and number of lysosomes labelled with

fluorescent dextrans in resting and apilimod-treated cells (Choy et al., 2018; Ohkuma and

Poole, 1978; Ferris et al., 1987).

As expected, COS-7 cells overexpressing mCh-CLIMP63 displayed an overwhelmingly

sheet-like ER network with a dramatic decline of ER tubules **(Fig. 1A).** Conversely, cells

expressing eGFP-Rtn4 had an exaggerated tubular ER network **(Fig 1B).** We then quantified the

total lysosome number and the individual lysosome volume in cells over-expressing CLIMP63 or

Rtn4 and treated with vehicle or apilimod to block PIKfyve. Remarkably, there was no

difference in lysosome size and number in cells expressing CLIMP63 or Rtn4 compared to the

respective mCherry or GFP controls **(Fig. 1C-F).** This shows that distortion of ER morphology

does not cause lysosome coalescence and does not mimic PIKfyve inactivation. Interestingly,

overexpression of CLIMP63 or Rtn4 respectively enhanced and reduced apilimod-driven

changes in the volume of individual lysosomes **(Fig. 1D, 1F),** but did not alter the total lysosome

number per cell **(Fig. 1C, 1E).** This suggests that the ER morphology can impact lysosome size,

but not by coalescence as in PIKfyve inactivation. Overall, these results show that manipulating

ER morphology does not readily mimic the effects of PIKfyve on lysosome dynamics but can

synergize with PIKfyve inactivation to influence lysosome size.

PIKfyve inhibition distorts ER morphology

We next considered the converse: whether lysosome distortion in PIKfyve-inhibited cells would

alter the ER morphology. To test this, we expressed ER-mNeonGreen in COS-7 cells to label the

ER and labelled lysosomes with a fluorescent dextran. We opted to first use a pharmacological

approach to block PIKfyve using apilimod or YM-201636 because this permitted acute

disturbance of lysosomes, mitigating indirect effects on the ER.

In vehicle-treated cells, the ER network exhibited a series of sheets and tubules throughout

the cell cytoplasm, some of which were juxtaposed to dextran-labelled lysosomes **(Fig. 2A, Sup.**

Fig. S1A). Strikingly, the ER network was visibly distorted, exhibiting numerous "black holes" in

cells treated with apilimod **(Fig. 2A)** and YM-201636 **(Sup. Fig. S1A).** Many of these voids, but

not all, were occupied with Alexa647-dextran **(Fig. 2A, Sup. Fig. S1A).** To determine if some of

these voids were cytosolic in nature or contoured by other swollen early endosomal structures,

we expressed cytosolic mCherry or mCherry-Rab5. None of the apparent ER-decorated voids

labelled with cytosolic mCherry **(Sup. Fig. 2A)**, but a subpopulation was lined with mCherry-

Rab5 **(Sup. Fig. S2B).** Overall, the ER "black holes" were occupied with either dextran-labelled

lysosomes or mCherry-Rab5 endosomes **(Sup. Fig. S2C-D)**, indicating that separate populations

of enlarged endosomal and lysosomal organelles may alter the ER architecture. To complement

our visual inspection and buttress these assertions, we quantified the ER morphology by

extracting total ER skeletal length, number of branches and junctions per cell, and average

branch length (depicted in **Fig. 2B, 2C**). Apilimod and YM201636 both caused a significant

decline in ER total skeletal length, loss of ER junctions and branch numbers, though not for ER

branch length **(Fig. 2D-G** and **Sup. Fig. S1B-E).** Importantly, these changes were not due to an

acute and transient effect of PIKfyve inhibition since cells silenced for *VAC14* for 48 h displayed

the expected enlarged lysosomes and distorted ER morphometrics (**Sup. Fig. S3**). Collectively,

these data show a reduction in total ER network size and loss of the ER interconnectivity in cells

negated for PIKfyve activity.

Loss of PIKfyve activity impairs ER dynamics

We next examined whether ER motility was disturbed in PIKfyve-inhibited cells. To assess this,

we employed a previously described method that measures membrane displacement by

tracking pixel intensity changes over time (Spits et al., 2021). To achieve this, we tracked ER-

mNeonGreen pixels over time in control and PIKfyve-hindered cells, binning pixel displacement

into three categories: low (displacement of 0-3 pixels), intermediate (displacement of 4-7

pixels), and rapid ER movement (8 or more pixels; **Fig. 3A**). Relative to vehicle-treated cells, cells

exposed to apilimod or YM201636 displayed a greater number of pixels with low membrane

displacement and a corresponding drop in pixels with rapid membrane displacement **(Fig. 3B-E**;

Sup. Fig. S1F-I; **Sup. Videos 1-3**). These results reveal that the ER is less motile in cells arrested

for PIKfyve activity, further reinforcing the idea that lysosomal coalescence distorts ER

architecture and dynamics.

ER hitchhiking is blunted in PIKfyve-perturbed cells

Conceivably, the distortion of the ER spatio-temporal properties in PIKfyve-deficient cells could

simply arise from physical hindrance of swollen endo-lysosomes compressing the intricate ER

network of tubules and sheets. While physical hindrance of swollen lysosomes may very well

help disfigure the ER network, we noted that there were few swollen lysosomes in the

periphery of PIKfyve-inhibited cells (quantified below), which nevertheless sustained loss of ER

complexity. We thus postulated that a more sophisticated mechanism was at play.

The ER is partly sculpted by forming and extending new ER tubules, which can then fuse

with existing tubules to promote ER interconnectivity (Westrate et al., 2015; Guo et al., 2018;

Shibata et al., 2010; Spits et al., 2021). There are several processes that drive ER shaping that

depend on microtubules: ER sliding, TAC-dependent ER extension, and ER hitchhiking (Spits et

al., 2021; Grigoriev et al., 2008; Rodríguez-García et al., 2020; Guo et al., 2018; Shibata et al.,

2010). We thus investigated whether perturbation of some of these processes contributed to

changes in ER spatial-temporal dynamics in PIKfyve-negated cells.

First, using immunofluorescence against α-tubulin to analyze microtubule morphology,

we did not perceive a significant difference in microtubule organization between vehicle and

apilimod-treated cells **(Sup. Fig. S4A)** when quantifying parameters such as number of

microtubule branches and junctions per cell, and average microtubule branch length **(Sup. Fig.**

S4B-D) – this despite visibly enlarged lysosomes in apilimod-treated cells. This was also true

for the number and kinetics of EB1-GFP comets, which associate with microtubule plus-ends

(Sup. Fig. S4E-H; Sup. Videos 4-6). We then measured ER hitchhiking events by tracking ER and

lysosome movement over one-minute movies at 0.3 Hz. Timelapses were then analysed for

lysosome-ER co-migration in control and PIKfyve-inhibited cells. We observed an average of 4-6

hitchhiking events/min/100 μm^2 area in control cells, which substantially dropped to 0-1

events/min/100 μm^2 in apilimod-exposed cells **(Fig. 3F, G; Sup. Videos 7-8).** These observations

support a model whereby the botched ER morphology and dynamics in PIKfyve-defective cells

are partly underpinned by failed ER hitchhiking.

Lysosome distribution and movement are altered in PIKfyve-inhibited cells

Hitchhiking requires the ER to attach to a endosome/lysosome and for the endosome/lysosome

to move (Spits et al., 2021; Pedersen et al., 2020; Voeltz et al., 2024). We thus reasoned that

abrogation of ER hitchhiking in PIKfyve-hindered cells may ensue from a defect in attachment

and/or lysosome motility. We first tested lysosome motility and distribution in control and

PIKfyve-impaired cells. Using shell analysis, we reveal that lysosomes collapse into the

perinuclear region and become less frequent in the cell periphery in the absence of PIKfyve

activity **(Fig. 4A-C).** This was accompanied by a significant decline in lysosome speed and total

distance travelled in apilimod-treated COS-7 cells relative to vehicle-treated cells **(Fig. 4D-F),**

made visible through kymographs that revealed a marked decrease in the motility of lysosomes

upon PIKfyve inhibition **(Fig. 4G).** Collectively, loss of PIKfyve activity is associated with hindered

lysosome motility and displacement to the perinuclear region. This implies that in the absence

of PIKfyve activity, lysosomes likely sustain a loss of kinesin and/or hyperactivation of dynein

activities. As a corollary, this could impair ER hitchhiking and disrupt the ER network.

PIKfyve inhibition alters ER-lysosome contact sites

There are likely several types of ER-lysosome contact sites (Rudnik et al., 2024; Raiborg et al.,

2015a; Allison et al., 2017; Du et al., 2023; Bonet-Ponce and Cookson, 2022; Cai et al., 2022;

Gao et al., 2022; Saric et al., 2021). Nonetheless, contact sites formed by the ER

transmembrane proteins, protrudin and VAPA/B, and the lysosomal Rab7 GTPase, are linked to

lysosome and ER position and motility via kinesin (Pedersen et al., 2020; Raiborg et al., 2015a;

Matsuzaki et al., 2011). Therefore, we tested if PIKfyve blockade altered the protrudin-VAPA-

Rab7 contact site by using proximity ligation assays (PLAs) – representative figures of negative

controls for each PLA pair are shown in **Sup. Fig. S5A-H**.

We found that protrudin and VAPA appeared proximal in equal measures between

PIKfyve-enabled and PIKfyve-blocked cells **(Fig. 5A, D)**, which is perhaps not surprising since

both are ER transmembrane proteins. However, we observed an inverse relationship between

Rab7 and these proteins: there were fewer proximal events between VAPA and Rab7 **(Fig. 5B,**

E), but higher between protrudin and Rab7 **(Fig. 5C, F)**. Perhaps consistent with this, we did not

observe a change in total Rab7 associated with LAMP1 by immunofluorescence in these cells

and experimental conditions **(Sup Fig. S5I-K)**. Similarly, we did not observe a change in the

cellular levels of GTP-loaded Rab7 as reported by affinity precipitation of GTP-bound Rab7 using

GST-RILP-C33 in HeLa cells **(Sup Fig. S5L-M)**, though it is possible that specific pools of GTP-Rab7

may be altered in these conditions. This suggests that PIKfyve inactivation does not lead to a

wholesale loss or enhancement of this membrane contact type but instead may be altering its

conformation.

Protrudin-Rab7-VapA are proposed to load kinesin onto the Rab7-FYCO1 complex

(Matsuzaki et al., 2011; Raiborg et al., 2015a; b; Pankiv et al., 2010). Moreover, PIKfyve and

PtdIns(3,5)P_2 may regulate kinesin-5 on precursor vesicles in neurons (Rizalar et al., 2023).

Given our observations above and the collapse of lysosome motility and perinuclear

accumulation, we next determined if PIKfyve inactivation altered the relative proximity of

FYCO1 and kinesin-1 with these proteins. Unfortunately, we could not test the proximity

between protrudin and kinesin-1 due to the lack of compatible antibodies. Collectively, there

was an increase in proximity events between FYCO1 and Rab7 and VAPA, but no change in

proximity events with protrudin (**Fig. 5G-I, 5L-N**). Importantly, there was a significant drop in

FYCO1 and kinesin-1 proximity (**Fig. 5J, 5O**), which did not translate to altered Rab7 and kinesin-

1 proximity (**FIG. 5K, 5P**).

Overall, these data suggest that PIKfyve suppression reorganizes the conformation of

the Rab7-VAPA-protrudin ER-lysosome contact site, whereby protrudin-Rab7 interaction is

promoted at the expense of Rab7-VAPA proximity. Similarly, FYCO1 may be overly recruited to

Rab7 and proximal to VAPA at the expense of kinesin-1 interaction, which may lead to reduced

lysosome anterograde movement. Since PIKfyve inhibition concomitantly increases PtdIns(3)P

while reducing PtdIns(3,5)P_2 levels (Choy et al., 2018; Zolov et al., 2012) and protrudin and

FYCO1 have a FYVE domain, we postulate that the excess PtdIns(3)P causes hyper-tethering

onto lysosomal membranes. In fact, since protrudin is an ER transmembrane protein, we

propose that hyper-stabilization of protrudin-Rab7 interaction via excess PtdIns(3)P alters the

ER morphology.

Protrudin and PtdIns(3)P are required for ER distortion upon PIKfyve inhibition

To test the hypothesis that protrudin drives ER distortion in the absence of PIKfyve activity, we

quantified ER morphometrics in cells expressing ER-mScarletI, silenced for protrudin, and

treated with apilimod. Surprisingly, protrudin silencing had no discernible effects on ER

morphometrics in vehicle-treated cells (**Sup. Fig. S6A, B**; **Fig. 6A, 6C-D**), implying that protrudin

does not have an essential role in defining basal ER morphology, or that redundant factors are

at play. Similarly, protrudin silencing did not disturb lysosome volume or size (**Fig. 6B, 6E-F**). By

contrast, protrudin silencing partially, but substantially offset the effects of apilimod on ER

morphology (**Fig. 6A, 6C-D**), but not on lysosome metrics (**Fig. 6B, 6E-F**), indicating that

protrudin is required for ER distortion in the absence of PIKfyve activity. We then treated ER-

mNeonGreen-expressing cells with VPS34-In1, an inhibitor of VPS34, to block PtdIns(3)P and to

determine if this lipid was also required for ER morphological changes during PIKfyve

inactivation (**Fig. 6G**). Unlike apilimod alone, which changed ER morphometrics (**Fig. 6H, I**) and

lysosome properties (**Fig. 6J, K**) relative to vehicle, VPS34-In1 alone and VPS34-In1 together

with apilimod were not statistically different from vehicle for ER morphometrics (**Fig. 6H, I**) and

lysosome properties (**Fig. 6J, K;** though lysosomes appeared somewhat enlarged). Together,

these data intimate a role for protrudin and PtdIns(3)P in the reorganization of ER morphology

triggered by PIKfyve inactivation.

Protrudin lipid tethering mechanisms are altered upon PIKfyve inhibition

We next expressed GFP-chimeras of wild-type (protrudin[wt]) and a FYVE4A-mutant protrudin

(protrudin[FYVE4A]; (Raiborg et al., 2015a)) in cells expressing and/or silenced for endogenous

protrudin (**Fig. 7A**). Protrudin[wt]-GFP and protrudin[FYVE4A]-GFP both exhibited ER-like distribution

in the presence of (non-targeting) or the absence of endogenous protrudin (siRNA; **Fig. 7A**).

Remarkably, cells expressing protrudin^{wt}-GFP but inhibited for PIKfyve displayed intense

protrudin^{wt}-GFP ring structures that decorated both dextran-labelled and dextran-negative

vacuoles (**Fig. 7A**). Since protrudin is an ER-transmembrane protein, this means that the ER

membrane or regions of the ER membrane wrap around vacuoles induced in PIKfyve-disabled

cells. This concept is supported by 3D reconstruction of protrudin^{wt}-GFP, which extends and at

least partially encloses dextran-loaded vacuoles (**Sup. Fig. S6C**). Importantly, the

protrudin^{FYVE4A}-GFP mutant failed to form these rings around dextran-loaded lysosomes in

PIKfyve-inhibited cells (**Fig. 7A**), indicating that the protrudin-labelled ER membranes tightly

encircle the enlarged lysosomes, likely in a PtdIns(3)P-dependent manner. Consistent with this,

apilimod-treated cells displayed intense 2FYVE-RFP vacuoles that were reduced upon VPS34-In1

exposure, suggesting high PtdIns(3)P levels (**Fig. 7D**).

We then determined if excess protrudin wrapping around vacuoles was at least partially

responsible for the altered ER morphometrics in PIKfyve-arrested cells by skeletonizing the

protrudin-GFP fluorescence. First, protrudin-GFP-based ER morphometrics was

indistinguishable when cells were mock-silenced or silenced for endogenous protrudin (**Fig. 7B-**

C, first two columns). Second, apilimod elicited dramatic changes to ER morphometrics in cells

expressing wild-type protrudin-GFP, whether mock or silenced for endogenous protrudin (**Fig.**

7B-C, compare columns 1 and 3, and 2 and 4). We then compared the ER morphometrics by

expressing protrudin^{FYVE4A}-GFP in cells silenced for endogenous protrudin. In vehicle-treated

cells, the ER morphology was like those expressing protrudin^{wt}-GFP (**Fig. 7B-C**, compare

columns 2 and 5). Yet, the ER changes driven by apilimod were annulled in cells expressing

protrudin[FYVE4A]-GFP relative to protrudin[wt]-GFP (**Fig. 7B-C**, compare columns 4 and 6).

Additionally, protrudin[wt]-GFP vacuoles were mostly eliminated when VPS34-In1 was co-

incubated with apilimod (**Fig. 7E**), which partially recovered the ER morphology based on

protrudin[wt]-GFP fluorescence (**Fig. 7F, G**), despite altered lysosome morphometrics (**Fig. 7H, I**).

Thus, a functional FYVE domain on protrudin and PtdIns(3)P are necessary for the apilimod-

induced changes to ER morphometrics.

Since protrudin interacts with sculpting factors as well, we also assessed the distribution

of Atlastin-1, CLIMP63, and Rnt4a. First, and as expected, apilimod changed the ER

morphometrics based on overexpressed CLIMP63, Rtn4, and Atlastin (**Sup. Fig. S7A-F, H-I**).

Secondly, apilimod-treated cells formed rings of Atlastin-1 (**Sup. Fig. S7G**) that were less

prominent than protrudin-GFP (**Fig. 7**); CLIMP63 and Rtn4a rings were even less prominent, but

visible (**Fig. 1A, B**). Together, it may be that the nanoscale organization of ER sculpting factors

could further contribute to ER remodeling in PIKfyve-impaired cells.

Excess protrudin lipid tethering during PIKfyve inhibition alters ER-lysosome contacts

We then explored if the absence of PtdIns(3)P or expression of protrudin[FYVE4A]-GFP rescued the

relative proximity of protrudin-Rab7 and Rab7-VAPA in PIKfyve-defective cells. First, as a

control, we note that protrudin silencing eliminated the number of proximity events between

Rab7-protrudin PLA (**Fig. 8A, C**) and Rab7-VAPA PLA **(Fig. 8B, D),** the latter result suggesting

protrudin cannot be removed without disturbing the contact sites containing Rab7 and VAPA.

Third, we compared the proximity of these proteins in cells expressing protrudin[wt]-GFP or

protrudin[FYVE4A]-GFP upon endogenous protrudin silencing **(Fig. 8E)**. As before, apilimod

promoted Rab7-protrudin interactions in cells expressing endogenous (Fig. 8E, columns 1 and 4)

and protrudin^wt-GFP (**Fig. 8E,** columns 2 and 5). Importantly, there was no difference between

vehicle and apilimod-treated cells expressing protrudin^FYVE4A-GFP (**Fig. 8E,** columns 3 and 6) and

a significant distinction between protrudin^wt-GFP and protrudin^FYVE4A-GFP with apilimod (**Fig. 8E**,

columns 5 and 6).

Overall, we propose that PIKfyve inhibition leads to excess PtdIns(3)P levels, which

inappropriately recruits and locks protrudin to lysosomes via its FYVE domain. This hyper-

recruitment distorts the balance of ER-lysosome contact sites by shifting interactions toward

Rab7-protrudin tethering and away from a conformation wherein Rab7-VapA are more

proximal. The disproportionate lysosomal localization of protrudin also disrupts ER morphology.

Enforced ER-lysosome contacts suffice to disrupt ER morphology

Our data suggest that PIKfyve inhibition accumulates PtdIns(3)P that hyper-tethers protrudin on

lysosomes, disrupting the ER morphology. To test whether hyper-tethering of protrudin to

lysosomes sufficed to disturb the ER architecture, we employed the FKBP-FRB inducible

dimerization system by expressing iRFP-FRB-Rab7 and newly engineered FKBP-mCherry-

protrudin^WT or FKBP-mCherry-protrudin^FYVE4A constructs. Without rapamycin, and based on

their fluorescent signal, the ER morphometrics appeared normal for both WT and protruin^FYVE4A

and no obvious wrapping of ER occurred around Rab7 puncta (**Fig. 9A).** In remarkable contrast

to this, addition of rapamycin to dimerize the FKBP and FRB chimeric proteins, caused extreme

ER wrapping around Rab7-positive lysosomes that strongly distorted ER morphometrics both

for protrudin^WT and protrudin^FYVE4A (**Fig. 9A, 9B-D**, compare columns 1 and 2 with 3 and 4,

respectively). Additionally, VPS34-In1, which could reverse changes to ER morphometrics by

apilimod and GFP-protrudin (**Fig. 7E-G**), was impotent at rescuing the rapamycin-induced ER

morphological changes and wrapping around lysosomes (**Fig. 9**). Overall, these data

demonstrate that enforced ER-lysosome contact suffices to disturb ER morphology and bypass

the need for the protrudin's FYVE domain and PtdIns(3)P. In conclusion, this supports that the

ER morphological changes we observed during PIKfyve inactivation are driven by excess

PtdIns(3)P and hyper-tethering of protrudin to lysosomes.

Discussion

PIKfyve is a well-established regulator of the endolysosomal pathway (Choy et al., 2018; Bissig

et al., 2017; Sbrissa et al., 2002; Ikonomov et al., 2001; Sharma et al., 2019). Here, we extend

PIKfyve's sphere of influence by revealing that PIKfyve activity affects ER morphology and

dynamics – cells inhibited for PIKfyve activity present a less reticulate and dynamic ER,

ostensibly driven in part by two mechanisms. First, the enlarged lysosomes become less motile,

collapsing to the cell centre – as a corollary, we observed fewer ER hitchhiking events, which

promotes ER remodelling and motility (Spits et al., 2021; Guimaraes et al., 2015; Langley et al.,

2025; Lu et al., 2020). Second, the ER membrane appears "glued" to enlarged lysosomes by

extensive protrudin-dependent binding, likely due to a surfeit of PtdIns(3)P (Choy et al., 2018;

Zolov et al., 2012). Thus, we identified an important role for PIKfyve in modulating ER

properties beyond those known for the endo-lysosomal system.

PIKfyve modulation of lysosome and ER architecture

Inhibition of PIKfyve and PtdIns(3,5)P_2 elicits lysosome coalescence (Choy et al., 2018; Bissig et

al., 2017; Sharma et al., 2019). Currently, we do not know if this occurs due to dysregulation of

fission factors in mammalian cells like the recently discovered HPO-27 (Li et al., 2024), and/or

through regulation of ion signaling modulated by channels like TPCs, TRPLM1, and ClC7 (Leray

et al., 2022; Dong et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2012), or through a different mechanism. Given that

ER tubules contact and demarcate sites of mitochondrion and endosome fission (Rowland et al.,

2014; Friedman et al., 2013, 2011), we sought to test if PIKfyve and ER-dependent fission were

linked by overexpressing CLIMP63 and Rtn4a to respectively abate and promote ER tubule

formation. We reasoned that promoting sheets, CLIMP63 overexpression would lead to

enlarged lysosomes, mimicking PIKfyve arrest, while excess Rtn4a might rescue lysosome

coalescence in PIKfyve-hindered cells by promoting ER tubules; however, we did not discern

these predicted behaviours. While we observed effects on individual lysosome volume in

CLIMP63/Rnt4a-modified cells, this was not accompanied by altered lysosome number,

suggesting that the effects on lysosome size are not because of altered fusion-fission cycling, as

is the case for PIKfyve (Choy et al., 2018; Sharma et al., 2019). Instead, we anticipate that

processes such as modified biosynthesis and/or lipid transfer may drive these changes in

lysosome size. It remains possible that CLIMP63 and Rtn4a overexpression are not ideal

approaches to test the relationship between PIKfyve-driven processes and ER.

Conversely, disturbing PIKfyve greatly perturbed the ER architecture, which became less

reticulated and more sheet-like, decorated with various spherical "voids" filled with enlarged

lysosomes or endosomes. While some of these ER morphometric changes may reflect steric

hindrance by the enlarged endosomes and lysosomes, our data point to a more elegant role for

PIKfyve in modulating ER properties – by calibrating PtdIns(3)P levels to balance protrudin-

dependent ER-lysosome contact sites. Supporting this model, ER morphometrics were partly

rescued in PIKfyve-inhibited cells by *i)* VPS34-In1 co-administration with apilimod, *ii)* silencing of

endogenous protrudin, and *iii)* re-expression of the FYVE4A-protrudin mutant, but not of the

wild-type protrudin – all despite enlarged lysosomes. Convincingly, artificially clamping ER to

lysosomes with rapamycin-inducible system sufficed to alter ER morphometrics even in the

absence of PtdIns(3)P (**Fig. 9**). Thus, we propose that physical "glueing" of the ER membrane to

lysosomes likely helps transform the ER architecture in PIKfyve-defective cells. However,

physical obstruction by enlarged endosomes and lysosomes and nanoscale re-organization of

ER morphogenic factors like CLIMP63, Atlastin-1, and reticulons may also contribute to ER

remodeling in the absence of PIKfyve activity since these proteins interact with protrudin

(Chang et al., 2013; Hashimoto et al., 2014).

PIKfyve modulates lysosome and ER dynamics

A striking consequence of PIKfyve inhibition is the concurrent loss of lysosome and ER motility,

which we propose are related processes. While microtubule morphometrics and dynamics

appeared nearly normal in PIKfyve-inhibited cells, the enlarged lysosomes were perinuclear and

less motile. While we do not know how PIKfyve modulates lysosome dynamics, an attractive

mechanism is that PIKfyve tightly balances PtdIns(3)P and PtdIns(3,5)P_2 levels in space and time

to govern various PtdIns(3)P-interacting proteins such as FYCO1, RUFY3, RUFY4, protrudin, and

SNX19, most of which modulate motor function (Pankiv et al., 2010; Saric et al., 2021; Raiborg

et al., 2015a; Jongsma et al., 2024; Kumar et al., 2022; Keren-Kaplan et al., 2022; Jongsma et al.,

2016, 2024). Remarkably, our observations on protrudin behaviour align well with previous

work by Saric *et al. on* SNX19, another ER transmembrane protein that carries a PX domain that

binds to PtdIns(3)P (Mas et al., 2014; Saric et al., 2021). These authors observed that SNX19

forms an ER-lysosome contact site that restricts endo-lysosome motility and that enlarged

lysosomes in PIKfyve inhibited cells were extensively decorated with SNX19 and were less

motile (Saric et al., 2021). Collectively, it is intriguing to consider that PIKfyve is not just

important to synthesize PtdIns(3,5)P_2, but crucial to tightly modulate the levels and location of

PtdIns(3)P to coordinate these proteins. Temptingly, this may explain the existence of the

conserved PIKfyve complex, which holds together the PIKfyve and the antagonistic Fig4 lipid

phosphatase (Botelho et al., 2008; Jin et al., 2008; Sbrissa et al., 2007; Botelho, 2009) – to

exquisitely switch between PtdIns(3)P and PtdIns(3,5)P_2 at spatially-restricted sites like

membrane contacts. This phosphoinositide-based switch may interface with the previously

observed role of repeated ER-endosome contacts and microtubule-associated proteins in

swapping motor activity on endosomes (Raiborg et al., 2015a; Jongsma et al., 2024), and

regulation of ions like Ca^{2+}(Li et al., 2016)a.

As for loss of ER dynamism, we propose that this is partly due to declined lysosome

motility, and consequently, reduced ER hitchhiking, which is a major driver of ER remodeling

(Spits et al., 2021; Lu et al., 2020). However, ER hitchhiking also depends on the formation of

lysosome-ER contact sites. Based on our observations, ER-lysosome contact sites not only form,

but may in fact undergo hyper-tethering during PIKfyve arrest as visualized for protrudin by us

and for SNX19 by *Saric et al*, both of which indicate that ER membrane subdomains envelope

enlarged lysosomes and related organelles. This implies that bidirectional transport of

lysosomes and ER may depend on regulated conformational or compositional changes to these

contacts, which consequently alter kinesin and/or dynein-mediated activity, discussed next.

PIKfyve and regulation of ER-lysosome contact sites

Protrudin is thought to load kinesin-1 onto FYCO1 on lysosomes (Raiborg et al., 2015a). To do

this, protrudin binds to PtdIns(3)P and Rab7 on lysosomes and VAPA on the ER membrane

(Raiborg et al., 2015a). Nonetheless, there is little known about the structural organization of

these proteins at these contact sites – for example, we do not know if all interactions are

concurrent, sequential, or if subject to conformational changes. Since PIKfyve inhibition did not

lead to a wholesale loss or gain in proximity events between protrudin, VAPA, Rab7, FYCO1, and

kinesin-1, this suggests that PIKfyve activity aids in a conformational or selective compositional

change at these sites. For example, protrudin-Rab7 and Rab7-FYCO1 proximity increased, while

VAPA-Rab7 and FYCO1-kinesin1 decreased in PIKfyve-inactive cells. Importantly, apilimod-

enhanced Rab7-protrudin proximity events depended on a functioning FYVE domain of

protrudin. Collectively, we propose a model whereby PIKfyve converts PtdIns(3)P to

PtdIns(3,5)P_2 to transiently promote protrudin dissociation from lysosomal membranes and

elicit a conformational change that helps modulate kinesin-1 loading and/or activity. Of course,

PtdIns(3,5)P_2 itself may have a regulatory role in this process, but we do not know the targets of

this lipid in this context, if any.

Conclusion

Collectively, our results suggest that PIKfyve supports ER network integrity by governing

lysosome dynamics, distribution, and ER-lysosome contact sites. In the absence of PIKfyve,

swollen and immobile lysosomes gain excess PtdIns(3)P that hyper-tether ER-lysosomes via

protrudin, trapping these in a non-productive conformation that alters ER architecture and

hitchhiking. These results illuminate a previously underappreciated aspect of PIKfyve function

and provide mechanistic insight into how lysosomal dysfunction can drive architectural and

dynamic changes of neighbouring organelles. Moreover, the PIKfyve pathway is linked to

neurological diseases and is a potential therapeutic target against certain cancers and viral

infections (Chow et al., 2007; Cai et al., 2013; Babu et al., 2024; Cheng et al., 2025; Bao et al.,

2023; Kang et al., 2020). The physiological link between the PIKfyve pathway and these diseases

is generally thought to occur through changes to the endo-lysosomal pathway. However, given

our findings, mutations in the PIKfyve pathway that lead to disease may reflect altered ER

function as well, particularly in highly secretory or polarized cells where proper ER architecture

and dynamics are critical.

Methods and Materials

Cell culture

COS-7 and HeLa cell strains were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM;

Wisent, St. Bruno, QC) and U2OS-wt cells were cultured in 50% Dulbecco's Modified Eagle

Medium / 50% F-12 with L-glutamine and HEPES buffer (F-12/DMEM; Gibco, New York, USA)

supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS; Wisent) and 1% penicillin-

streptomycin (10,000 U/mL) (P/S; Gibco, Burlington, ON). All cells were authenticated, checked

for contamination and cultured at 37°C with 5% CO_2.

Plasmids, siRNA gene silencing, and transfection

Plasmids obtained from Addgene were as follows: ER-mNeonGreen (#137804) and ER-mScarletI

(#137805) were described in (Chertkova et al., 2020); pHAGE2 GFP-Rtn4a (#86684) and pHAGE2

GFP-Atlastin1 (#86678) were described in (Wang et al., 2016); mCherry-CLIMP63 (#136293,

(Shibata et al., 2008)); eGFP-KIF5B (#172203, (Guardia et al., 2016)); pmRFP-C3::Rab5 (#14437,

(Vonderheit and Helenius, 2005)); iRFP-FRB-Rab7 (#51613; (Hammond et al., 2014)); pcDNA3.1-

mCherry (#128744), pcDNA3-eGFP (#13031), and pEGFP-N1::human EB1 (#39299). The plasmid

encoding 2xFYVE-RFP was a gift from Dr. Amra Saric at the Hospital for Sick Children. We note

that ER-mNeonGreen and ER-mScarletI are fusions of the mNeonGreen and mScarletI

fluorescent proteins to the KDEL ER-retention signal, respectively (Chertkova et al., 2020).

Plasmids encoding protrudin[WT]-GFP, protrudin[FYVE4A]-GFP were a kind gift from Dr. Camilla

Raiborg (Oslo University Hospital) and was previously described (Raiborg et al., 2015a).

Plasmids encoding protrudin[WT] or protrudin[FYVE4A] mutant fused to FKBP-mCherry were

generated by GenScript (Piscataway, NJ, USA). Protrudin sequences were cloned at the C-

terminus of the FKBP-mCherry construct. The FKBP-mCherry backbone (Addgene plasmid

#108122) was originally generated by Gerry Hammond. Protrudin DNA sequences were kindly

provided by Eva Maria Wenzel (Institute for Cancer Research, Oslo, Norway). Transient

transfections were performed with FuGENE HD (Promega, Madison, WI) following the

manufacturer's instructions, using a 3:1 DNA to transfection reagent ratio. The transfection

mixture was replaced with fresh medium after 4-6 h and cells were used 24 h post-transfection.

Silencing RNA oligonucleotides were transfected into HeLa and U2OS cells with

Lipofectamine RNAiMAX Transfection Reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) following the

manufacturer's instructions. For protrudin silencing, one round of knockdown was performed

using 25 nM ON-TARGETplus ZFYVE27 (the standard gene name for protrudin) individual siRNA

(Horizon Discovery, Dharmacon; J-016349-12-0010) or ON-TARGETplus non-targeting control

(Horizon Discovery, Dharmacon) over 24 h. For Vac14 silencing, one round of knockdown was

performed using 25 nM ON-TARGETplus Vac14 siRNA individual siRNA 1 (Horizon Discovery,

Dharmacon; Oligo 8, J-015729-08-005) and siRNA 2 (Horizon Discovery, Dharmacon; Oligo 5, J-

015729-05-005) or ON-TARGETplus non-targeting control (Horizon Discovery, Dharmacon) over

48 h.

Organelle labelling

Lysosomes in COS-7 were labelled with 320 µg/mL Alexa-Fluor 488-, 546- or 647-conjugated

dextran (ThermoFisher), while lysosomes in HeLa and U2OS-wt cells were labelled with 150

µg/mL of fluorescent dextran by incubating cells in cell-specific complete medium for 1 h at

37°C with 5% CO_2, followed by washing in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and replenishing

with fresh complete medium for 1 h before live-cell imaging (Choy et al., 2018; Ohkuma and

Poole, 1978; Ferris et al., 1987). Since this method likely labels a spectrum of late endosomes,

lysosomes and endolysosomes, the term *lysosomes* reflects this range of organelles in this

work. mCherry-Rab5 labels early endosomes, specifically (Vonderheit and Helenius, 2005; Bucci

et al., 1992; Chavrier et al., 1990). ER-mNeonGreen and ER-ScarletI were used to label bulk ER

(Chertkova et al., 2020).

Pharmacological treatments

PIKfyve inhibition was accomplished by treating cells with 80-240 nM apilimod (Selleck

Chemicals LLC, Houston, TX) or 1 µM YM-201636 (Cayman Chemical Company, Ann Arbor, MI)

for 1-2 h – specific concentrations and times are indicated in each figure legend. Vps34 Class III

PI 3-kinase was arrested by treating cells with 1 µM VPS34-In1 (Chemical Company, Ann Arbor,

MI) for 2 h, and when used in combination with apilimod, VPS34-In1 was co-incubated for 2 h.

Immunofluorescence

For immunofluorescence, following treatments, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde

(PFA; v/v) for 15 min. After washing with PBS, residual PFA was quenched with 100 mM glycine

for 10 min, then cells were blocked and permeabilized with 0.1% (v/v) Triton X-100 for 5 min.

Cells were then subjected to mouse monoclonal (DM1A) antibody against ⍺-tubulin (1:100;

#T9026; Sigma-Aldrich), rabbit monoclonal (D9F52) antibody against Rab7 (1:100; #9367),

mouse monoclonal (E9O7E) antibody against Rab7 (1:100; #95746), mouse monoclonal (D4O1S)

antibody against LAMP1 (1:100; #15665) and rabbit monoclonal (D2D11) antibody against

LAMP1 (1:200; #9091; all from Cell Signaling Technology). Dylight-conjugated secondary donkey

antibodies against rabbit and mouse (1:1000; Novus Biologicals Canada) were used.

Proximity ligation assays

PLA was performed with Duolink In Situ Red Starter Kit Mouse/Rabbit (Sigma Aldrich,

DUO92101) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, HeLa cells were seeded, fixed

with 4% PFA for 15 min, quenched with 100 mM glycine for 10 min and permeabilized with

0.1% Triton X-100 for 5 min – all at RT respectively. Cells were co-incubated with one mouse

and one rabbit antibody from the following; rabbit monoclonal (D9F52) antibody against Rab7

(1:100; #9367; Cell Signaling Technology), mouse monoclonal (E9O7E) antibody against Rab7

(1:100; #95746; Cell Signaling Technology), mouse monoclonal (4C12) antibody against VAPA

(1:100; #293278; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), rabbit polyclonal antibody against ZFYVE27 (1:100;

12680-1-AP; Proteintech), rabbit monoclonal (E3H4J) antibody against KIF5B (1:250; #47610;

Cell Signaling Technology), rabbit polyclonal antibody against FYCO1 (1:250; 25730-1-AP;

Proteintech), rabbit and mouse monoclonal (5G4) antibody against GFP (1:500; #55494; Cell

Signaling Technology), followed by incubation with PLA probes. After probe incubation, cells

were washed and incubated with ligation mixtures to promote DNA ligation. Next, amplification

mixtures were added to cells for Rolling Circle Amplification (RCA) and hybridization of

fluorescently labelled oligonucleotides to occur. A PLA mounting media containing DAPI was

added to washed cells when mounted. PLA signals from cells were detected using confocal

microscopy.

Fluorescence microscopy

Confocal images were obtained using a Quorum Diskovery spinning disc confocal system

(Quorum Technologies, Inc., Guelph, ON), a Quorum ReSCAN confocal system (Quorum

Technologies, In., Guelph, ON) and a Olympus CSU spinning disc confocal system (Evident

Scientific, Tokyo, Japan). The Diskovery microscope consisted of an inverted fluorescence

microscope (DMi8; Leica) equipped with an Andor Zyla 4.2 Megapixel sCMOS camera (Oxford

Instruments, Belfast, UK), a 63x oil immersion objective (1.4 NA), and standard excitation and

emission filter sets and lasers were used for all fluorophores. The microscope system was

controlled by the MetaMorph acquisition software (Molecular Devices, LLC, San Jose, CA, USA).

The ReSCAN microscope consisted of an inverted fluorescence microscope (DMi8 Automated;

Leica) equipped with a ORCA-Flash V3 digital sCMOS camera (Hamamatsu, Shizuoka Prefecture,

Japan) , a 63x oil immersion objective (0.6 to 1.4 NA) , and standard excitation and emission

filter sets and lasers were used for all fluorophores. The microscope system was controlled by

the Volocity acquisition software (Quorum Technologies, In., Guelph, ON). The Olympus

spinning disc microscope consisted of an inverted fluorescence microscope (IX83, Olympus)

equipped with a ORCA-Flash4.0 V3 sCMOS camera (Hamamatsu, Shizuoka Prefecture, Japan), a

60x oil immersion objective (UPLAPO OHR; NA 1.49; refractive index 1.518; working distance

100 μm), and standard excitation and emission filter sets and lasers were used for all

fluorophores. The microscope system was controlled by the CellSens acquisition software

(Evident Scientific, Tokyo, Japan). For all live-cell imaging, cells were maintained in DMEM free

of phenol red in a Chamlide microscope-mounted chamber at 37°C and 5% CO_2. For z-stack

imaging, 20-50 images were acquired with an interplanar distance of 0.2 to 0.6 μm, where

origin was set to the centre of the cell. For timelapse obtained for ER and lysosome dynamics

and EB1-GFP dynamics, frames were acquired every 0.25 s for 1 min. For timelapse obtained for

ER-hitchhiking, frames were acquired every 0.3 s for 1 min.

ER and lysosome morphology analysis

Image analysis was conducted using Volocity and Fiji software. ER morphology analysis was

conducted on Volocity using an ER protocol designed to provide skeletal length measurements

through the thinning (or skeletonizing) of the ER until only a single-voxel-wide-line remains

(Arganda-Carreras et al., 2010; Schindelin et al., 2012). The protocol then counts the number of

voxels along the path and provides information such as skeletal length, curvature, branching

and fragmentation. Further ER morphology and fluorescent ER-protein distribution, analyses

were completed using a semi-automated junction analysis plug-in for Fiji provided by Spits *et al*

(2021) from the Leiden University Medical Centre in the Netherlands. This plug-in was based on

the analyze skeleton feature on Fiji where an ER mask is initially created and the skeleton

representation is analyzed for the number of junctions and branches (Spits et al., 2021).

Lysosome morphology analysis was done on Volocity using a "Measure Objects" protocol

designed to provide voxel/pixel count, volume and area, and total number measurements for

the entire cell (Hipolito et al., 2019; Saffi et al., 2021).

ER and lysosome dynamics analysis

ER dynamics were analyzed using a membrane displacement analysis macro for Fiji also

provided by *Spits et al (2021)* that analyzed mobile ER fractions based on #pixels/frame ranging

from 0-9. This method is largely based on optical flow analysis which compares corresponding

areas in two frames and generates a map that depicts the frame-to-frame movement of specific

image areas. The extent of movement is reflected by the change in pixel color intensity

between each frame (Spits et al., 2021). Seven separate 60-pixel x 60-pixel regions of interest

were randomly selected in a clockwise manner targeting both peripheral and perinuclear ER for

one single cell per field of view for seven cells and the mobile ER fractions were determined.

We then binned movement into three categories of change (0-3 #pixels/frame), (4-7

#pixels/frame) and (8-9 #pixels/frame) to define low-, intermediate-, and rapid-movement.

Lysosome dynamics were analyzed using Single Particle Tracking analysis for Fiji, which

analyzed lysosome dynamics upon PIKfyve inhibition using time-lapse images acquired every

0.25 s for 1 min. Default settings were kept with the LoG detector (Laplacian of Gaussian). In

vehicle-treated cells, the diameter of the lysosome was set to 1.5 μm with a quality threshold of

500. A uniform color was selected for color spots. The simple LAP Tracker was selected with the

linking max distance set to 7 μm, the gap-closing distance set to 5 μm, and the gap closing max

frame set to 2 μm. A track index was generated, and the following parameters were extracted:

total distance travelled, mean speed, and overall track displacement. In PIKfyve inhibited cells,

the same process was followed with the exception that the diameter of the lysosome was set to

2 μm with a quality threshold of 250; and both the linking max distance and gap-closing max

distance were set to 5 μm. Lysosome motility was also shown using a "Reslice" protocol in Fiji

to create Kymographs between conditions, where a segmented line was drawn along the x-axis

of the cell randomly, with a line width of 2 pixels; generating a space-time projection displaying

spatial position (x-axis) versus time (y-axis).

The frequency of ER-hitchhiking events upon PIKfyve inhibition was analyzed using

Time-lapse analysis acquired every 0.25 s for 1 min. Cells post-treatment were analyzed to

determine the number of ER-hitchhiking events for multiple, randomized (100 μm^2) regions of

interest (ROI). To quantify the number of events, Fiji software was utilized to select ROIs based

on available ER periphery with co-migrating lysosomes. A positive hitchhiking event was

identified if the lysosome localized with the tip of a growing ER tubule, initiated movement, co-

travelled with the ER tubule, and "dropped it off" at another independent ER tubule.

Hitchhiking events were tracked and identified qualitatively by eye.

Lysosome distribution analysis

To determine the change in lysosome distribution, Shell analysis on Fiji software was utilized.

Using the Freehand Selection Tool on Fiji, the cell was outlined to create a "total cell" ROI. This

was followed by using the Enlarge Tool on Fiji to create a shell by shrinking the "total cell" ROI

by 30-50 pixels to create a second layer of shell. This process was repeated to create a third and

fourth layer of shell. The raw integrated density was calculated for each shell independently to

determine the sum of the values of pixel intensities in the respective shell. The raw integrated

density of the innermost shell as a fraction of the "total cell" ROI was calculated and compared

to the outermost shell as a fraction of the "total cell" ROI.

3D Image Reconstruction

Three-dimensional (3D) image reconstruction was performed using Imaris Software (V9.5,

Bitplane, Oxford Instruments). Raw z-stack images (plane thickness: 0.2 µm) were acquired

using a ReSCAN Confocal Microscope (previously explained) and imported into Imaris for

analysis. Prior to reconstruction, image stacks were subjected to background subtraction

preprocessing, with a rolling ball background subtraction of 50 pixels applied across all images

and conditions using Fiji software. Within Imaris, 3D volumes were generated using the Surpass

Mode, and surfaces were rendered using the Surface or Volume modules, with thresholds set

manually based on signal intensity. Reconstruction parameters, including smoothing and

thresholding method were consistently applied across all samples to ensure comparability. For

visualization, coloring was applied to distinguished channels representing lysosomes (magenta)

and protrudin (gray).

Recombinant protein expression and purification

GST-fused RILP-C33 (truncated mutant) and GST-fused empty vectors (ampicillin resistant) were

expressed in BL21 competent cells, grown at 37 ºC and shaking at 200 rpm over-night . Cells

were cultured until the OD_{600} reached 0.6. After treatment with 1 μM isopropyl-ß-D-

thiogalactoside (IPTG) for 4 h at 30 ºC and shaking at 200 rpm, the induced recombinant GST-

RILP-C33 and GST proteins were centrifuged at 4 ºC for 30 minutes at 5000 xg, with the

supernatant discarded and pellet resuspended in 1X bacterial lysis buffer (50 mM Tris, 150 mM

NaCl, 10 mM β-mercaptoethanol, pH 7.5 with protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich). The

bacterial lysates were then homogenized using a EmulsiFlex-C3 pressure homogenizer

(AVESTIN, Canada). Once homogenized, the recombinant GST-RILP-C33 and GST- lysates were

centrifuged at 4 ºC for 30 minutes at 5000 xg, pelleted, and the supernatant was incubated with

50% glutathione-Sepharose 4B bead resuspension made following manufacturer's instructions

(Millipore Sigma, G317-0756-01) at a dilution of 1:50 (beads to bacterial lysate supernatant,

respectively) for 2 h at 4 ºC with gentle shaking. The samples were then centrifuged at 4 ºC for

5 minutes at 5000 xg, pelleted, supernatant discarded, and pellet resuspended in 1X bacterial

lysis buffer. The samples were analyzed by western blotting to confirm protein expression and

purification.

Affinity precipitation of GTP-bound Rab7

HeLa cells were pre-treated with either vehicle control (DMSO), apilimod, or YM-201636 and

lysed in 700 µL modified- 1X RIPA Buffer (25 mM Tris HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 1% TritonX-100, 1% Na

deoxychlorate, pH 7.4 with protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich)). Cells were then

incubated on ice for 30 minutes, collected into clean microcentrifuge tubes and centrifuged at

4°C for 5 minutes at 3,000 xg. Prior to centrifugation, 10% of each lysate sample was collected

reserved as an input control. These input samples were also centrifuged at 4°C for 5 minutes at

3,000 xg and subsequently mixed with a 1:1 ratio of 2X Laemmli Sample Buffer (0.5 M Tris, pH

6.8, glycerol and 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), 1:10 β-mercaptoethanol). Recombinant

RILP-C33 and GST bound to glutathione-Sepharose 4B beads previously explained were mixed

with HeLa cell lysates at a dilution of 1:14 (beads to cell lysate, respectively). These mixtures

were incubated for 2 h at 4 ºC with gentle shaking. The samples were then centrifuged at 4°C

for 5 minutes at 500 xg, with remaining supernatant discarded. The sample pellets were eluted

using modified-1X RIPA Buffer (1:2 ratio of sample to buffer, respectively). The supernatant

from each wash was moved to a clean microcentrifuge tube and a 1:1 ratio of 2X Laemmli

Sample was added to the samples, boiled at 96°C for 10 minutes and resolved via SDS-PAGE.

SDS-PAGE and Western blotting

Following treatment, whole cell lysates were prepared in 200 µL 2x Laemmli Sample Buffer (0.5

M Tris, pH 6.8, glycerol and 10% SDS) supplemented with a protease and phosphatase inhibitor

cocktail (Complete 1x protease inhibitor (Sigma-Aldrich), Halt phosphatase inhibitor cocktail

(ThermoFisher Scientific)). Lysates were heated at 95°C for 10 min, and 10% β-mercaptoethanol

and 5% bromophenol blue were added to the cell lysates. Proteins were resolved by Tris-

Glycine SDS-PAGE and transferred on to a polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane. The

PVDF membrane was blocked for 1 h at RT in blocking buffer composed of 5% BSA in 1x final

Tris-buffered saline-Tween (TBS-T; 20 nM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, and 0.1% Tween-20) After

blocking, membranes were washed and incubated with primary antibody (at designated

dilution) overnight at 4°C. Primary antibodies used for western blotting include: rabbit

polyclonal antibody against Vac14 (1:1000, SAB4200074, Sigma Aldrich), rabbit polyclonal

antibody against protrudin (1:1000, 12680-1-AP, Proteintech), rabbit monoclonal (14C10)

antibody against GDPH (1:5000, #2118S, Cell Signaling Technology), rabbit monoclonal (DE3C6)

antibody against clathrin (1:5000, #4796s, Cell Signaling Technology), rabbit monoclonal

(D95F2) antibody against Rab7 (1:1000, #9367S, Cell Signaling Technology) and rabbit

monoclonal antibody against beta-actin (1:5000, #4967, Cell Signaling Technology).   After

primary incubation, membranes were washed and then subjected to secondary antibody (at

designated dilution) incubation for 1 h at RT.   After incubation with secondary antibody,

membranes were washed and imaged using the Chemi-Doc Imaging System (Bio-Rad). Western

blot signals were analyzed and quantified using ImageLab 6.1 (Bio-Rad). Band intensity was

quantified by signal integration in an area corresponding to the appropriate band.

FKBP-FRB Inducible Dimerization

For inducible FKBP-FRB dimerization experiments, COS-7 cells were seeded at a density of 2.0 x

10^4 cells/mL and cultured overnight. For baseline ER morphology analysis (in the absence of

FKBP-FRB heterodimerization), cells were transfected with GFP-protrudin[WT.] Following

transfection, cells were washed with PBS and replenished with DMEM. Lysosomes were

labelled using Alexa Fluor 546-Dextran, followed by treatment with either 0.02% DMSO

(vehicle) or 240 nM apilimod treatment for 2 h. For inducible FKBP-FRB dimerization

experiments, COS-7 cells were co-transfected with either protrudin[WT] or protrudin[FYVE4A] FKBP-

mCherry constructs and iRFP-FRB-Rab7. Following transfection, cells were washed with PBS and

replenished with DMEM. Cells were then treated with 1 µM VPS34-In1 for 2 h, or with 100 nM

Rapamycin for 15 min, or rapamycin and VPS34-IN1 prior to imaging. Live-cell imaging was

performed with z-stacks acquired (plane thickness = 0.2 µm).

Statistical Analysis

All experiments were performed at least three independent times with the specific total

number indicated in the respective figure legends along with sample size. The mean and

measure of variation such as standard deviation (STD) or standard error of the mean (SEM) are

indicated. When shown, violin plots indicate range, followed dotted lines to display 25-75%

percentile, the dashed line indicates median of the sampled populations. Sample means of

independent experiments are shown and colour coded to match the independent experiment

across conditions. All experimental conditions were statistically analyzed with the actual tests

disclosed in the respective figure legend. Unless data was normalized, sampling was assumed to

be normally distributed. As such, data was typically assessed with paired Student's t-test when

comparing two populations, with a repeated-measures one-way ANOVA for three or more

samples with one parameter, repeated-measures two-way ANOVA when two parameters were

considered, or repeated-measures three-way ANOVA when three parameters were considered.

The Geisser-Greenhouse correction was applied. Post-hoc tests were employed based on

assumptions and comparisons as recommended by GraphPad Prism and disclosed in the

respective figure legends when comparing multiple conditions in non-normalized controls.

ANOVA tests were coupled to Dunnett's Multiple Comparison Test for one-way analysis and

Tukey's multiple comparison test for two-way analysis, to analyze pairwise conditions. Here, we

disclose all p values for greater transparency, while we employ the standard $p<0.05$ to support

a statistical difference between populations, we view $p<0.1$ as indicative of a difference and

$p<0.01$ as very high confidence of a difference.

References

Abrisch, R.G., S.C. Gumbin, B.T. Wisniewski, L.L. Lackner, and G.K. Voeltz. 2020. Fission and
fusion machineries converge at ER contact sites to regulate mitochondrial morphology.
Journal of Cell Biology. 219. doi:10.1083/JCB.201911122.

Allison, R., J.R. Edgar, G. Pearson, T. Rizo, T. Newton, S. Günther, F. Berner, J. Hague, J.W.
Connell, J. Winkler, J. Lippincott-Schwartz, C. Beetz, B. Winner, and E. Reid. 2017.
Defects in ER–endosome contacts impact lysosome function in hereditary spastic
paraplegia. *The Journal of Cell Biology*. jcb.201609033. doi:10.1083/jcb.201609033.

Arganda-Carreras, I., R. Fernández-González, A. Muñoz-Barrutia, and C. Ortiz-De-Solorzano.
2010. 3D reconstruction of histological sections: Application to mammary gland tissue.
Microscopy Research and Technique. 73:1019–1029. doi:10.1002/jemt.20829.

Babu, S., K.A. Nicholson, J.D. Rothstein, A. Swenson, P.J. Sampognaro, P. Pant, E.A. Macklin, S.
Spruill, S. Paganoni, T.F. Gendron, M. Prudencio, L. Petrucelli, D. Nix, S. Landrette, E.
Nkrumah, K. Fandrick, J. Edwards, and P.R. Young. 2024. Apilimod dimesylate in C9orf72

amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a randomized phase 2a clinical trial. *Brain*. 147:2998–
3008. doi:10.1093/brain/awae109.

Ballabio, A., and J.S. Bonifacino. 2020. Lysosomes as dynamic regulators of cell and organismal
homeostasis. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. 21:101–118. doi:10.1038/s41580-019-0185-4.

Bao, Y., Y. Qiao, J.E. Choi, Y. Zhang, R. Mannan, C. Cheng, T. He, Y. Zheng, J. Yu, M. Gondal, G.
Cruz, S. Grove, X. Cao, F. Su, R. Wang, Y. Chang, I. Kryczek, M. Cieslik, M.D. Green, W.
Zou, and A.M. Chinnaiyan. 2023. Targeting the lipid kinase PIKfyve upregulates surface
expression of MHC class I to augment cancer immunotherapy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*.
120:e2314416120. doi:10.1073/pnas.2314416120.

Bissig, C., I. Hurbain, G. Raposo, and G. van Niel. 2017. PIKfyve activity regulates reformation of
terminal storage lysosomes from endolysosomes. *Traffic*. 18:747–757.
doi:10.1111/tra.12525.

Bonet-Ponce, L., and M.R. Cookson. 2022. The endoplasmic reticulum contributes to lysosomal
tubulation/sorting driven by LRRK2. *Mol Biol Cell*. 33:ar124. doi:10.1091/mbc.E22-04-
0139.

Botelho, R.J. 2009. Changing phosphoinositides "on the fly": How trafficking vesicles avoid an
identity crisis. *BioEssays*. 31. doi:10.1002/bies.200900060.

Botelho, R.J., J.A. Efe, D. Teis, and S.D. Emr. 2008. Assembly of a Fab1 phosphoinositide kinase
signaling complex requires the Fig4 phosphoinositide phosphatase. *Mol Biol Cell*.
19:4273–4286. doi:10.1091/mbc.e08-04-0405.

Bucci, C., R.G. Parton, I.H. Mather, H. Stunnenberg, K. Simons, B. Hoflack, and M. Zerial. 1992.
The small GTPase rab5 functions as a regulatory factor in the early endocytic pathway.
Cell. 70:715–728. doi:10.1016/0092-8674(92)90306-W.

Cabukusta, B., and J. Neefjes. 2018. Mechanisms of lysosomal positioning and movement.
Traffic. 19:761–769. doi:10.1111/tra.12587.

Cai, S., Y. Wu, A. Guillén-Samander, W. Hancock-Cerutti, J. Liu, and P. De Camilli. 2022. In situ
architecture of the lipid transport protein VPS13C at ER-lysosome membrane contacts.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 119:e2203769119. doi:10.1073/pnas.2203769119.

Cai, X., Y. Xu, A.K. Cheung, R.C. Tomlinson, A. Alcázar-Román, L. Murphy, A. Billich, B. Zhang, Y.
Feng, M. Klumpp, J.-M. Rondeau, A.N. Fazal, C.J. Wilson, V. Myer, G. Joberty, T.
Bouwmeester, M.A. Labow, P.M. Finan, J.A. Porter, H.L. Ploegh, D. Baird, P. De Camilli,
J.A. Tallarico, and Q. Huang. 2013. PIKfyve, a class III PI kinase, is the target of the small
molecular IL-12/IL-23 inhibitor apilimod and a player in Toll-like receptor signaling.
Chemistry & biology. 20:912–21. doi:10.1016/j.chembiol.2013.05.010.

Cantalupo, G., P. Alifano, V. Roberti, C.B. Bruni, and C. Bucci. 2001. Rab-interacting lysosomal
protein (RILP): The Rab7 effector required for transport to lysosomes. *EMBO Journal*.
20:683–693. doi:10.1093/emboj/20.4.683.

Chang, J., S. Lee, and C. Blackstone. 2013. Protrudin binds atlastins and endoplasmic reticulum-
shaping proteins and regulates network formation. *Proceedings of the National*
Academy of Sciences. 110:14954–14959. doi:10.1073/pnas.1307391110.

Chavrier, P., R.G. Parton, H.P. Hauri, K. Simons, and M. Zerial. 1990. Localization of low
molecular weight GTP binding proteins to exocytic and endocytic compartments. *Cell*.
62:317–329. doi:10.1016/0092-8674(90)90369-p.

Chen, X., C. Shi, M. He, S. Xiong, and X. Xia. 2023. Endoplasmic reticulum stress: molecular
mechanism and therapeutic targets. *Sig Transduct Target Ther*. 8:352.
doi:10.1038/s41392-023-01570-w.

Cheng, C., J. Hu, R. Mannan, T. He, R. Bhattacharyya, B. Magnuson, J.P. Wisniewski, S. Peters,
S.A. Karim, D.J. MacLean, H. Karabürk, L. Zhang, N.J. Rossiter, Y. Zheng, L. Xiao, C. Li, D.
Awad, S. Mahapatra, Y. Bao, Y. Zhang, X. Cao, Z. Wang, R. Mehra, P. Morlacchi, V. Sahai,
M. Pasca di Magliano, Y.M. Shah, L.S. Weisman, J.P. Morton, K. Ding, Y. Qiao, C.A.
Lyssiotis, and A.M. Chinnaiyan. 2025. Targeting PIKfyve-driven lipid metabolism in
pancreatic cancer. *Nature*. doi:10.1038/s41586-025-08917-z.

Chertkova, A.O., M. Mastop, M. Postma, N. van Bommel, S. van der Niet, K.L. Batenburg, L.
Joosen, T.W.J. Gadella, Y. Okada, and J. Goedhart. 2020. Robust and Bright Genetically
Encoded Fluorescent Markers for Highlighting Structures and Compartments in
Mammalian Cells. 160374. doi:10.1101/160374.

Chow, C.Y., Y. Zhang, J.J. Dowling, N. Jin, M. Adamska, K. Shiga, K. Szigeti, M.E. Shy, J. Li, X.
Zhang, J.R. Lupski, L.S. Weisman, and M.H. Meisler. 2007. Mutation of FIG4 causes
neurodegeneration in the pale tremor mouse and patients with CMT4J. *Nature*. 448:68–
72. doi:10.1038/nature05876.

Choy, C.H., G. Saffi, M.A. Gray, C. Wallace, R.M. Dayam, Z.Y.A. Ou, G. Lenk, R. Puertollano, S.C.
Watkins, and R.J. Botelho. 2018. Lysosome enlargement during inhibition of the lipid
kinase PIKfyve proceeds through lysosome coalescence. *Journal of Cell Science*.
131:jcs213587. doi:10.1242/JCS.213587.

Dong, X., D. Shen, X. Wang, T. Dawson, X. Li, Q. Zhang, X. Cheng, Y. Zhang, L.S. Weisman, M.
Delling, and H. Xu. 2010. PI(3,5)P(2) controls membrane trafficking by direct activation
of mucolipin Ca(2+) release channels in the endolysosome. *Nature communications*.
1:38. doi:10.1038/ncomms1037.

Du, Y., W. Chang, L. Gao, L. Deng, and W.-K. Ji. 2023. Tex2 is required for lysosomal functions at
TMEM55-dependent ER membrane contact sites. *J Cell Biol*. 222:e202205133.
doi:10.1083/jcb.202205133.

Ferris, A.L., J.C. Brown, R.D. Park, and B. Storrie. 1987. Chinese hamster ovary cell lysosomes
rapidly exchange contents. *J Cell Biol*. 105:2703–2712. doi:10.1083/jcb.105.6.2703.

Friedman, J.R., J.R. DiBenedetto, M. West, A.A. Rowland, and G.K. Voeltz. 2013. Endoplasmic
reticulum-endosome contact increases as endosomes traffic and mature. *Molecular*
Biology of the Cell. 24:1030–1040. doi:10.1091/mbc.E12-10-0733.

Friedman, J.R., L.L. Lackner, M. West, J.R. DiBenedetto, J. Nunnari, and G.K. Voeltz. 2011. ER
tubules mark sites of mitochondrial division. *Science*. 334:358–362.
doi:10.1126/science.1207385.

Gao, Y., J. Xiong, Q.-Z. Chu, and W.-K. Ji. 2022. PDZD8-mediated lipid transfer at contacts
between the ER and late endosomes/lysosomes is required for neurite outgrowth. *J Cell*
Sci. 135:jcs255026. doi:10.1242/jcs.255026.

Gopaldass, N., B. Fauvet, H. Lashuel, A. Roux, and A. Mayer. 2017. Membrane scission driven by
the PROPPIN Atg18. *The EMBO Journal*. 36:e201796859. doi:10.15252/embj.201796859.

Goyal, U., and C. Blackstone. 2013. Untangling the web: mechanisms underlying ER network
formation. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 1833:2492–2498. doi:10.1016/j.bbamcr.2013.04.009.

Grigoriev, I., S.M. Gouveia, B. van der Vaart, J. Demmers, J.T. Smyth, S. Honnappa, D. Splinter,
M.O. Steinmetz, J.W. Putney, C.C. Hoogenraad, and A. Akhmanova. 2008. STIM1 is a MT-
plus-end-tracking protein involved in remodeling of the ER. *Curr Biol*. 18:177–182.
doi:10.1016/j.cub.2007.12.050.

Guardia, C.M., G.G. Farías, R. Jia, J. Pu, and J.S. Bonifacino. 2016. BORC Functions Upstream of
Kinesins 1 and 3 to Coordinate Regional Movement of Lysosomes along Different
Microtubule Tracks. *Cell reports*. 17:1950–1961. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2016.10.062.

Guimaraes, S.C., M. Schuster, E. Bielska, G. Dagdas, S. Kilaru, B.R.A. Meadows, M. Schrader, and
G. Steinberg. 2015. Peroxisomes, lipid droplets, and endoplasmic reticulum "hitchhike"
on motile early endosomes. *J Cell Biol*. 211:945–954. doi:10.1083/jcb.201505086.

Guo, Y., D. Li, S. Zhang, Y. Yang, J.-J. Liu, X. Wang, C. Liu, D.E. Milkie, R.P. Moore, U.S. Tulu, D.P.
Kiehart, J. Hu, J. Lippincott-Schwartz, E. Betzig, and D. Li. 2018. Visualizing Intracellular
Organelle and Cytoskeletal Interactions at Nanoscale Resolution on Millisecond
Timescales. *Cell*. 175:1430-1442.e17. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.09.057.

Hammond, G.R.V., M.P. Machner, and T. Balla. 2014. A novel probe for phosphatidylinositol 4-
phosphate reveals multiple pools beyond the Golgi. *J Cell Biol*. 205:113–126.
doi:10.1083/jcb.201312072.

Hashimoto, Y., M. Shirane, F. Matsuzaki, S. Saita, T. Ohnishi, and K.I. Nakayama. 2014. Protrudin
regulates endoplasmic reticulum morphology and function associated with the
pathogenesis of hereditary spastic paraplegia. *J Biol Chem*. 289:12946–12961.
doi:10.1074/jbc.M113.528687.

Hipolito, V.E.B., J.A. Diaz, K.V. Tandoc, C. Oertlin, J. Ristau, N. Chauhan, A. Saric, S. Mclaughlan,
O. Larsson, I. Topisirovic, and R.J. Botelho. 2019. Enhanced translation expands the
endo-lysosome size and promotes antigen presentation during phagocyte activation.
PLoS Biol. 17:e3000535. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.3000535.

Hong, N.H., A. Qi, and A.M. Weaver. 2015. PI(3,5)P2 controls endosomal branched actin
dynamics by regulating cortactin-Actin interactions. *Journal of Cell Biology*. 210:753–
769. doi:10.1083/jcb.201412127.

Hu, J., Y. Shibata, P.-P. Zhu, C. Voss, N. Rismanchi, W.A. Prinz, T.A. Rapoport, and C. Blackstone.
2009. A class of dynamin-like GTPases involved in the generation of the tubular ER
network. *Cell*. 138:549–561. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2009.05.025.

Ikonomov, O.C., D. Sbrissa, K. Delvecchio, Y. Xie, J.-P.P.J.-P. Jin, D. Rappolee, and A. Shisheva.
2011. The phosphoinositide kinase PIKfyve is vital in early embryonic development:
preimplantation lethality of PIKfyve-/- embryos but normality of PIKfyve+/- mice.
Journal of Biological Chemistry. 286:13404–13413. doi:10.1074/jbc.M111.222364.

Ikonomov, O.C., D. Sbrissa, and A. Shisheva. 2001. Mammalian cell morphology and endocytic
membrane homeostasis require enzymatically active phosphoinositide 5-kinase PIKfyve.
The Journal of biological chemistry. 276:26141–7. doi:10.1074/jbc.M101722200.

Inpanathan, S., and R.J. Botelho. 2019. The Lysosome Signaling Platform: Adapting With the
Times. *Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology*. 7:113. doi:10.3389/fcell.2019.00113.

Jin, N., C.Y. Chow, L. Liu, S.N. Zolov, R. Bronson, M. Davisson, J.L. Petersen, Y. Zhang, S. Park, J.E.
Duex, D. Goldowitz, M.H. Meisler, and L.S. Weisman. 2008. VAC14 nucleates a protein
complex essential for the acute interconversion of PI3P and PI(3,5)P(2) in yeast and
mouse. *The EMBO journal*. 27:3221–34. doi:10.1038/emboj.2008.248.

Jongsma, M.L.M., N. Bakker, L.M. Voortman, R.I. Koning, E. Bos, J.J.L.L. Akkermans, L. Janssen,
and J. Neefjes. 2024. Systems mapping of bidirectional endosomal transport through the
crowded cell. *Current Biology*. 34:4476-4494.e11. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2024.08.026.

Jongsma, M.L.M., I. Berlin, R.H.M. Wijdeven, L. Janssen, G.M.C. Janssen, M.A. Garstka, H.
Janssen, M. Mensink, P.A. van Veelen, R.M. Spaapen, and J. Neefjes. 2016. An ER-
Associated Pathway Defines Endosomal Architecture for Controlled Cargo Transport.
Cell. 166:152–166. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.05.078.

Kang, Y.-L., Y. Chou, P.W. Rothlauf, Z. Liu, T.K. Soh, D. Cureton, J.B. Case, R.E. Chen, M.S.
Diamond, S.P.J. Whelan, and T. Kirchhausen. 2020. Inhibition of PIKfyve kinase prevents

infection by Zaire ebolavirus and SARS-CoV-2. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
Sciences. 202007837. doi:10.1073/pnas.2007837117.

Keren-Kaplan, T., A. Sarić, S. Ghosh, C.D. Williamson, R. Jia, Y. Li, and J.S. Bonifacino. 2022.
RUFY3 and RUFY4 are ARL8 effectors that promote coupling of endolysosomes to
dynein-dynactin. *Nature Communications*. 13:1506. doi:10.1038/s41467-022-28952-y.

Khatter, D., V.B. Raina, D. Dwivedi, A. Sindhwani, S. Bahl, and M. Sharma. 2015. The small
GTPase Arl8b regulates assembly of the mammalian HOPS complex on lysosomes.
Journal of cell science. 128:1746–61. doi:10.1242/jcs.162651.

Kumar, G., P. Chawla, N. Dhiman, S. Chadha, S. Sharma, K. Sethi, M. Sharma, and A. Tuli. 2022.
RUFY3 links Arl8b and JIP4-Dynein complex to regulate lysosome size and positioning.
Nature Communications. 13:1540. doi:10.1038/s41467-022-29077-y.

Langley, A., S. Abeling-Wang, E. Wagner, and J. Salogiannis. 2025. Movement of the
endoplasmic reticulum is driven by multiple classes of vesicles marked by Rab-GTPases.
Mol Biol Cell. 36:ar9. doi:10.1091/mbc.E24-04-0197.

de Lartigue, J., H. Polson, M. Feldman, K. Shokat, S.A. Tooze, S. Urbé, and M.J. Clague. 2009.
PIKfyve regulation of endosome-linked pathways. *Traffic*. 10:883–893.
doi:10.1111/j.1600-0854.2009.00915.x.

Leray, X., J.K. Hilton, K. Nwangwu, A. Becerril, V. Mikusevic, G. Fitzgerald, A. Amin, M.R. Weston,
and J.A. Mindell. 2022. Tonic inhibition of the chloride/proton antiporter ClC-7 by
PI(3,5)P2 is crucial for lysosomal pH maintenance. *eLife*. 11:e74136.
doi:10.7554/eLife.74136.

Li, L., X. Liu, S. Yang, M. Li, Y. Wu, S. Hu, W. Wang, A. Jiang, Q. Zhang, J. Zhang, X. Ma, J. Hu, Q.
Zhao, Y. Liu, D. Li, J. Hu, C. Yang, W. Feng, and X. Wang. 2024. The HEAT repeat protein
HPO-27 is a lysosome fission factor. *Nature*. 628:630–638. doi:10.1038/s41586-024-
07249-8.

Li, X., N. Rydzewski, A. Hider, X. Zhang, J. Yang, W. Wang, Q. Gao, X. Cheng, and H. Xu. 2016. A
molecular mechanism to regulate lysosome motility for lysosome positioning and
tubulation. *Nat Cell Biol*. 18:404–417. doi:10.1038/ncb3324.

Lu, M., F.W. van Tartwijk, J.Q. Lin, W. Nijenhuis, P. Parutto, M. Fantham, C.N. Christensen, E.
Avezov, C.E. Holt, A. Tunnacliffe, D. Holcman, L. Kapitein, G.S.K. Schierle, and C.F.
Kaminski. 2020. The structure and global distribution of the endoplasmic reticulum
network are actively regulated by lysosomes. *Sci Adv*. 6:eabc7209.
doi:10.1126/sciadv.abc7209.

Luzio, J.P., P.R. Pryor, and N.A. Bright. 2007. Lysosomes: fusion and function. *Nature reviews.*
Molecular cell biology. 8:622–632. doi:10.1038/nrm2217.

Mas, C., S.J. Norwood, A. Bugarcic, G. Kinna, N. Leneva, O. Kovtun, R. Ghai, L.E. Ona Yanez, J.L.
Davis, R.D. Teasdale, and B.M. Collins. 2014. Structural basis for different
phosphoinositide specificities of the PX domains of sorting nexins regulating G-protein
signaling. *J Biol Chem*. 289:28554–28568. doi:10.1074/jbc.M114.595959.

Matsuzaki, F., M. Shirane, M. Matsumoto, and K.I. Nakayama. 2011. Protrudin serves as an
adaptor molecule that connects KIF5 and its cargoes in vesicular transport during
process formation. *Mol Biol Cell*. 22:4602–4620. doi:10.1091/mbc.E11-01-0068.

Min, S.H., A. Suzuki, T.J. Stalker, L. Zhao, Y. Wang, C. McKennan, M.J. Riese, J.F. Guzman, S.
Zhang, L. Lian, R. Joshi, R. Meng, S.H. Seeholzer, J.K. Choi, G. Koretzky, M.S. Marks, and
C.S. Abrams. 2014. Loss of PIKfyve in platelets causes a lysosomal disease leading to
inflammation and thrombosis in mice. *Nature communications*. 5:4691.
doi:10.1038/ncomms5691.

Min, S.H., A. Suzuki, L. Weaver, J. Guzman, Y. Chung, H. Jin, F. Gonzalez, C. Trasorras, L. Zhao,
L.A. Spruce, S.H. Seeholzer, E.M. Behrens, and C.S. Abrams. 2019. PIKfyve Deficiency in
Myeloid Cells Impairs Lysosomal Homeostasis in Macrophages and Promotes Systemic
Inflammation in Mice. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*. 39. doi:10.1128/mcb.00158-19.

Ohkuma, S., and B. Poole. 1978. Fluorescence probe measurement of the intralysosomal pH in
living cells and the perturbation of pH by various agents. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*.
75:3327–3331. doi:10.1073/pnas.75.7.3327.

Pankiv, S., E. a. Alemu, A. Brech, J.-A.A. Bruun, T. Lamark, A. Øvervatn, G. Bjørkøy, T. Johansen,
A. Overvatn, G. Bjørkøy, and T. Johansen. 2010. FYCO1 is a Rab7 effector that binds to
LC3 and PI3P to mediate microtubule plus end - Directed vesicle transport. *Journal of
Cell Biology*. 188:253–269. doi:10.1083/jcb.200907015.

Pedersen, N.M., E.M. Wenzel, L. Wang, S. Antoine, P. Chavrier, H. Stenmark, and C. Raiborg.
2020. Protrudin-mediated ER–endosome contact sites promote MT1-MMP exocytosis
and cell invasion. *Journal of Cell Biology*. 219:e202003063. doi:10.1083/jcb.202003063.

Prado, D.S., R.T. Cattley, A.B. Sonego, P. Sutariya, S. Wu, M. Lee, W.C. Boggess, M.J. Shlomchik,
and W.F. Hawse. 2025. The phospholipid kinase PIKFYVE is essential for Th17
differentiation. *J Exp Med*. 222:e20240625. doi:10.1084/jem.20240625.

Raiborg, C., E.M. Wenzel, N.M. Pedersen, H. Olsvik, K.O. Schink, S.W. Schultz, M. Vietri, V. Nisi,
C. Bucci, A. Brech, T. Johansen, and H. Stenmark. 2015a. Repeated ER-endosome
contacts promote endosome translocation and neurite outgrowth. *Nature*. 520:234–8.
doi:10.1038/nature14359.

Raiborg, C., E.M. Wenzel, and H. Stenmark. 2015b. ER –endosome contact sites: molecular
compositions and functions. *The EMBO Journal*. 34:1848–1858.
doi:10.15252/embj.201591481.

Rizalar, F.S., M.T. Lucht, A. Petzoldt, S. Kong, J. Sun, J.H. Vines, N.S. Telugu, S. Diecke, T. Kaas, T.
Bullmann, C. Schmied, D. Löwe, J.S. King, W. Cho, S. Hallermann, D. Puchkov, S.J. Sigrist,
and V. Haucke. 2023. Phosphatidylinositol 3,5-bisphosphate facilitates axonal vesicle
transport and presynapse assembly. *Science*. 382:223–230.
doi:10.1126/science.adg1075.

Rodríguez-García, R., V.A. Volkov, C.-Y. Chen, E.A. Katrukha, N. Olieric, A. Aher, I. Grigoriev, M.P.
López, M.O. Steinmetz, L.C. Kapitein, G. Koenderink, M. Dogterom, and A. Akhmanova.
2020. Mechanisms of Motor-Independent Membrane Remodeling Driven by Dynamic
Microtubules. *Current Biology*. 30:972-987.e12. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2020.01.036.

Rowland, A.A., P.J. Chitwood, M.J. Phillips, and G.K. Voeltz. 2014. ER contact sites define the
position and timing of endosome fission. *Cell*. 159:1027–1041.
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014.10.023.

Rudnik, S., S. Heybrock, E. Coyaud, Z. Xu, D. Neculai, B. Raught, V. Oorschot, C. Heus, J.
Klumperman, and P. Saftig. 2024. The lysosomal lipid transporter LIMP-2 is part of
lysosome-ER STARD3-VAPB-dependent contact sites. *J Cell Sci*. 137:jcs261810.
doi:10.1242/jcs.261810.

Saffi, G.T., and R.J. Botelho. 2019. Lysosome Fission: Planning for an Exit. *Trends in Cell Biology*.
29:635–646. doi:10.1016/j.tcb.2019.05.003.

Saffi, G.T., E. Tang, S. Mamand, S. Inpanathan, A. Fountain, L. Salmena, and R.J. Botelho. 2021.
Reactive oxygen species prevent lysosome coalescence during PIKfyve inhibition. *PLoS
ONE*. 16. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0259313.

Saita, S., M. Shirane, T. Natume, S.-I. Iemura, and K.I. Nakayama. 2009. Promotion of neurite
extension by protrudin requires its interaction with vesicle-associated membrane
protein-associated protein. *J Biol Chem*. 284:13766–13777.
doi:10.1074/jbc.M807938200.

Saric, A., S.A. Freeman, C.D. Williamson, M. Jarnik, C.M. Guardia, M.S. Fernandopulle, D.C.
Gershlick, and J.S. Bonifacino. 2021. SNX19 restricts endolysosome motility through
contacts with the endoplasmic reticulum. *Nat Commun*. 12:4552. doi:10.1038/s41467-
021-24709-1.

Sbrissa, D., O.C. Ikonomov, Z. Fu, T. Ijuin, J. Gruenberg, T. Takenawa, and A. Shisheva. 2007.
Core protein machinery for mammalian phosphatidylinositol 3,5-bisphosphate synthesis
and turnover that regulates the progression of endosomal transport: Novel Sac
phosphatase joins the ArPIKfyve-PIKfyve complex. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*.
282:23878–23891. doi:10.1074/jbc.M611678200.

Sbrissa, D., O.C. Ikonomov, and A. Shisheva. 2002. Phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate-interacting
domains in PIKfyve. Binding specificity and role in PIKfyve. Endomenbrane localization.
The Journal of biological chemistry. 277:6073–9. doi:10.1074/jbc.M110194200.

Schindelin, J., I. Arganda-Carreras, E. Frise, V. Kaynig, M. Longair, T. Pietzsch, S. Preibisch, C.
Rueden, S. Saalfeld, B. Schmid, J.-Y. Tinevez, D.J. White, V. Hartenstein, K. Eliceiri, P.
Tomancak, and A. Cardona. 2012. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image
analysis. *Nat Methods*. 9:676–682. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2019.

Sharma, G., C.M. Guardia, A. Roy, A. Vassilev, A. Saric, L.N. Griner, J. Marugan, M. Ferrer, J.S.
Bonifacino, and M.L. DePamphilis. 2019. A family of PIKFYVE inhibitors with therapeutic
potential against autophagy-dependent cancer cells disrupt multiple events in lysosome
homeostasis. *Autophagy*. 15:1694–1718. doi:10.1080/15548627.2019.1586257.

Shibata, Y., T. Shemesh, W.A. Prinz, A.F. Palazzo, M.M. Kozlov, and T.A. Rapoport. 2010.
Mechanisms Determining the Morphology of the Peripheral ER. *Cell*. 143:774–788.
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2010.11.007.

Shibata, Y., C. Voss, J.M. Rist, J. Hu, T.A. Rapoport, W.A. Prinz, and G.K. Voeltz. 2008. The
reticulon and DP1/Yop1p proteins form immobile oligomers in the tubular endoplasmic
reticulum. *J Biol Chem*. 283:18892–18904. doi:10.1074/jbc.M800986200.

Shirane, M., and K.I. Nakayama. 2006. Protrudin induces neurite formation by directional
membrane trafficking. *Science*. 314:818–821. doi:10.1126/science.1134027.

Spits, M., I.T. Heesterbeek, L.M. Voortman, J.J. Akkermans, R.H. Wijdeven, B. Cabukusta, and J.
Neefjes. 2021. Mobile late endosomes modulate peripheral endoplasmic reticulum
network architecture. *EMBO reports*. 22. doi:10.15252/embr.202050815.

Tsuruta, F., E.M. Green, M. Rousset, and R.E. Dolmetsch. 2009. PIKfyve regulates CaV1.2
degradation and prevents excitotoxic cell death. *Journal of Cell Biology*. 187:279–294.
doi:10.1083/jcb.200903028.

Voeltz, G.K., W.A. Prinz, Y. Shibata, J.M. Rist, and T.A. Rapoport. 2006. A class of membrane
proteins shaping the tubular endoplasmic reticulum. *Cell*. 124:573–586.
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2005.11.047.

Voeltz, G.K., E.M. Sawyer, G. Hajnóczky, and W.A. Prinz. 2024. Making the connection: How
membrane contact sites have changed our view of organelle biology. *Cell*. 187:257–270.
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2023.11.040.

Vonderheit, A., and A. Helenius. 2005. Rab7 Associates with Early Endosomes to Mediate
Sorting and Transport of Semliki Forest Virus to Late Endosomes. *PLOS Biology*. 3:e233.
doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0030233.

Wang, S., H. Tukachinsky, F.B. Romano, and T.A. Rapoport. 2016. Cooperation of the ER-shaping
proteins atlastin, lunapark, and reticulons to generate a tubular membrane network.
eLife. 5:e18605. doi:10.7554/eLife.18605.

Wang, X., X. Zhang, X.-P. Dong, M. Samie, X. Li, X. Cheng, A. Goschka, D. Shen, Y. Zhou, J.
Harlow, M.X. Zhu, D.E. Clapham, D. Ren, and H. Xu. 2012. TPC proteins are
phosphoinositide- activated sodium-selective ion channels in endosomes and
lysosomes. *Cell*. 151:372–383. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2012.08.036.

Waterman-Storer, C.M., and E.D. Salmon. 1998. Endoplasmic reticulum membrane tubules are
distributed by microtubules in living cells using three distinct mechanisms. *Current*
Biology. 8:798–807. doi:10.1016/S0960-9822(98)70321-5.

Westrate, L.M., J.E. Lee, W.A. Prinz, and G.K. Voeltz. 2015. Form follows function: the
importance of endoplasmic reticulum shape. *Annu Rev Biochem*. 84:791–811.
doi:10.1146/annurev-biochem-072711-163501.

Wu, H., and G.K. Voeltz. 2021. Reticulon-3 Promotes Endosome Maturation at ER Membrane
Contact Sites. *Developmental Cell*. 56:52-66.e7. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2020.12.014.

Zolov, S.N., D. Bridges, Y. Zhang, W.-W. Lee, E. Riehle, R. Verma, G.M. Lenk, K. Converso-Baran,
T. Weide, R.L. Albin, A.R. Saltiel, M.H. Meisler, M.W. Russell, and L.S. Weisman. 2012. In
vivo, Pikfyve generates PI(3,5)P2, which serves as both a signaling lipid and the major
precursor for PI5P. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States*
of America. 109:17472–7. doi:10.1073/pnas.1203106109.

1110

1111

1112   **Data availability statement**

1113   All data and original tools built in this study will be available upon request.

1114

Funding and conflict of interest statement

RJB was a recipient of a Canada Research Chair (#950-232333) with contributions from Toronto

Metropolitan University and Faculty of Science. The Natural Sciences and Engineering Research

Council (NSERC) of Canada funded this research with Discovery research grant to RJB

(RGPIN-2020-04343). NRA was partly funded by an NSERC Undergraduate Student Research

Award. Canada Foundation for Innovation with contributions from the Ministry of Training,

Colleges, and Universities of Ontario and from Toronto Metropolitan supported this work with

John Evans Leadership Fund to RJB (#32957 and #38151). The authors have no conflict to

declare.

1124

1125 **Acknowledgements**

1126 We would like to thank Dr. Camilla Raiborg (Oslo University Hospital) for kindly providing the

1127 plasmids encoding the GFP fusion of the wild-type and of the FYVE4A mutant of protrudin. We

1128 also thank Kimberly Lau at the Sick Kids Imaging Facility for advice on Imaris and 3D

1129 reconstruction.

1130

1131

1132

1133

1134

1135

1136 **Figure Legends**

1137

Figure 1: Overexpression of ER morphogenic proteins does not mimic PIKfyve inhibition in

COS-7 cells. (A, B) Confocal images of COS-7 cells labeled with fluorescent dextran (magenta)

and transiently expressing mCherry-CLIMP63 or mCherry (gray; A), or eGFP-Rtn4a or eGFP

(gray; B). Cells were exposed to either 0.01% DMSO (vehicle) or 80 nM apilimod for 2 h. Scale

bar = 20 μm. **(C-D)** Quantification of lysosome number (C) and volume (D) upon expression of

CLIMP63 to induce ER sheets. **(E-F)** Quantification of lysosome number (E) and volume (F) upon

expression of Rtn4a to promote ER tubulation. All experiments were repeated four

independent times. Data points from matching independent experiments are colour coded.

Shown are the mean $\pm$ SEM. Data are based on 30-50 transfected cells per condition per

experiment and analyzed using repeated measures two-way ANOVA and Tukey's multiple

comparisons test; p values are shown.

1149

Figure 2: PIKfyve loss of function alters the ER architecture. (A) Confocal images of COS-7 cells

transiently transfected with ER-mNeonGreen (gray), labeled with fluorescent Dextran

(magenta), and treated with 0.01% DMSO (vehicle) or 80 apilimod for 2 h. **(B)** Image analysis

strategy to quantify ER morphometrics whereby a fluorescence image is converted to an ER

mask, skeletonized, and analysed for skeletal length, branch number, and junction number. **(C)**

ER-mNeonGreen and corresponding skeletonized images of a cell treated with vehicle or

apilimod. Scale bar: full size = 20 μm, zoom insert = 5 μm. **(D-G)** Quantification of ER

morphology including ER skeletal length (D), number of ER junctions per cell (E), number of

branches per cell (F), and average branch length (μm) (G). All experiments were repeated four

independent times. Data points from matching independent experiments are colour coded.

Shown are the mean $\pm$ SEM. Data are based on 15-20 transfected cells per condition per

experiment. Data was analysed using a two-tailed paired Student's t-test and p values are

shown.

1163

Figure 3: ER motility is reduced in PIKfyve inhibited cells. (A) Strategy to quantify ER motility

from timelapse images, optic flow analysis and membrane displacement by grouping pixel

changes per frame as indicated. **(B)** Representative time-lapse confocal images of COS-7 cells

transiently transfected with ER-mNeonGreen (gray). Zoom inserts show ER redistribution as

indicated by the white arrow. Cells were exposed to either 0.02% DMSO (vehicle) or 240 nM

apilimod for 2 h. **(C-E)** Quantification of membrane displacement analysis categorized by low

movement (C), intermediate movement (D), and rapid movement (E). **(F)** Confocal images of

COS-7 cells transiently transfected with ER-mNeonGreen (gray), labeled with fluorescent

dextran (magenta), and exposed to either 0.02% DMSO (vehicle) or 240 nM apilimod. Zoom

inserts show an ER-hitchhiking event occurring over 2.5 seconds as indicated by the white

arrow. Scale bar: full size = 20 µm, zoom insert = 5 µm. **(G)** Quantification of the number of ER-

hitchhiking events that occurred in a 100 µm^2 ROI over one minute, repeated three

independent times. Data from *C-E* are from five independent experiments and based on 7

randomized ROIs from 7-10 transfected cells, per condition per experiment. Data in G are from

three experiments and based on 5 randomized ROIs from 15-20 transfected cells, per condition

per experiment. Data points from matched experiments are colour-coded. Shown is the mean

± SEM, analysed using a two-tailed paired Student's t-test; p values are shown.

1181

Figure 4. PIKfyve activity supports lysosome motility and spatial distribution. (A) Confocal

images of COS-7 cells labeled with Alexa Fluor 647-Dextran (magenta) and exposed to either

0.02% DMSO (vehicle) or 240 nM apilimod for 2 h. Scale bar = 20 µm. **(B)** Schematic

representation of the changes to lysosome spatial distribution between control and PIKfyve

inhibited conditions. **(C)** Quantification of the distribution of lysosomes in the perinuclear

region versus the peripheral region of the cell. A ratio of the number of lysosomes in the

perinuclear region to total number of lysosomes in the cell was determined – the same was

done for the peripheral region respectively. **(D-F)** Quantification of lysosome motility including

track mean speed (D), total distance travelled (E), and track displacement (F). **(G)** Kymograph of

lysosome movement along a linear ROI. The vertical axis represents time (s), and the horizontal

axis represents distance along a selected path. Diagonal or segmented lines indicate motility;

vertical lines represent stationary organelles. All experiments were repeated four independent

times. Data points from matching independent experiments are colour coded. Shown is the

mean $\pm$ SEM. Data were analysed using a two-way ANOVA and Tukey's multiple comparison

test for (C) and two-tailed paired Student's t-test for (D-F); p values are shown.

1197

Figure 5. Loss of PIKfyve activity likely alters the molecular configuration of ER-lysosome

contact sites. (A-C) Confocal images of HeLa cells treated with 0.02% DMSO (vehicle), 240 nM

apilimod, or 1 µM YM-201636 for 2 h followed by Proximity Ligation Assay between protrudin

and VapA (A), or Rab7 and VAPA (B), or Rab7 and protrudin (C). Scale bar = 15 µm. **(G-K)**

Confocal images of HeLa cells treated with 0.02% DMSO (vehicle) or 240 nM apilimod for 2 h

followed by Proximity Ligation Assay between FYCO1 and VAPA (G), or protrudin (H), or Rab7

(I), or kinesin-1 (J), and between Rab7 and kinesin-1 (K). Images were generated by maximum

projection of z-stacks of the PLA signal (magenta); DAPI-stained nucleus is shown in gray.

Negative controls where one of the primary antibodies was missing are shown in Sup. Fig.5A-H.

Scale bar = 15 µm. **(D-F, L-P)** Quantification of the number of PLA dots per cell for each protein

pair tested in A-C and G-K, as indicated. Experiments in D-F and K were repeated four

independent times, while those in G-K were done three times. Data points were colour coded

to match to respective experiment. Shown is the mean $\pm$ SEM. Data were analysed using an

Ordinary one-way ANOVA and Dunnett's multiple comparisons test (D-F) and paired Student's

t-test (L-P); p values are shown.

1213

Figure 6. Protrudin and PtdIns(3)P are required for ER remodelling during PIKfyve inhibition.

(A) Confocal images of U2OS cells co-transfected with non-targeting siRNA control or siRNA

oligonucleotides against protrudin, and ER-mScarletI (gray), or **(B)** labeled with fluorescent

dextran (magenta). **(C-F)** Quantitative analysis of ER and lysosomal morphology based on ER-

mScarletI or dextran fluorescence in conditions shown (A): number of ER branches per cell (C),

number of ER junctions per cell (D), average number of lysosome per cell (E), and average

lysosome volume (F). **(G)** Confocal images of COS-7 cells transfected with ER-mNeonGreen

(gray), labelled with fluorescent dextran (magenta), and then exposed to either 0.02% DMSO

(vehicle), 240 nM apilimod, 1 μM VPS34-In1, or apilimod and VPS34-In1 for 2 h. Scale bar: full

size = 20 μm **(H-K)** Quantification of ER morphology including number of ER branches per cell

(H), number of ER junctions per cell (I), and quantification of average lysosome number per cell

(J), and average lysosome volume (K). All experiments were repeated three independent times.

Data points from matching independent experiments are colour coded. Shown are the mean $\pm$

SEM. Data were based on 20-25 transfected cells per condition per experiment. Data in (C-F)

was analyzed using a two-way ANOVA and Tukey's multiple comparisons test, and data in (H-K)

was analyzed using a one-way ANOVA and Dunnett's post-hoc test; p values are shown.

1230

Figure 7. Protrudin hyper-tethers ER and lysosomes during PIKfyve inhibition to alter ER

morphology. (A) Confocal images of HeLa cells co-transfected with non-targeting siRNA control

or siRNA oligonucleotides against protrudin, and protrudin^{wt}-GFP or protrudin^{FYVE4A}-GFP (gray).

Cells were labeled with fluorescent dextran (magenta) and then exposed to either 0.02% DMSO

(vehicle) or 240 nM apilimod for 2 h. Scale bar: full size = 20 μm, zoom insert = 5 μm. **(B, C)**

Number of protrudin branches per cell (B) and junctions per cell (C) based on protrudin^{wt}-GFP

or protrudin^{FYVE4A}-GFP fluorescence. **(D,E)** Confocal images of COS7 cells transfected with

2FYVE-RFP (D; grayscale) or protrudin^{wt}-GFP (E; grayscale), labelled with fluorescent dextran

(magenta), and then exposed to either 0.02% DMSO (vehicle), 240 nM apilimod, 1 μM VPS34-

In1, or apilimod and VPS34-In1 for 2 h. Scale bar: full size = 20 μm. **(F, G)** Quantification of ER

morphology by number of ER branches per cell (F) and number of ER junctions per cell (G) in

cells shown in E. **(H, I)** Quantification of lysosome number per cell (H) and lysosome volume (I)

in cells shown in E. All experiments were repeated three independent times. Data points from

matching independent experiments are colour coded. Shown are the mean $\pm$ SEM. Data are

based on 20-25 transfected cells per condition per experiment. Data from (B-C) was analyzed

using a three-way ANOVA and Tukey's multiple comparisons test, and data from (F-I) was

analysed using a one-way ANOVA and Dunnett's post-hoc test; p values are shown.

1248

Figure 8. Protrudin and its FYVE domain are required for ER-lysosome changes induced by

PIKfyve inactivation (A-B) Confocal images of HeLa cells transfected with non-targeting siRNA

control or siRNA oligonucleotides against protrudin and treated with 0.02% DMSO (vehicle) or

240 nM apilimod for 2 h followed by Proximity Ligation Assay between protrudin and Rab7 (A),

or Rab7 and VAPA (B). Scale bar: full size = 20 μm. **(C-D)** Quantification of number of PLA dots

between protrudin and Rab7 (C) and Rab7 and VAPA (D) in control silenced and protrudin-

silenced cells with and without apilimod. **(E)** Quantification of PLA dots between Rab7 and

protrudin in mock-silenced (siNT) or protrudin-silenced cells expressing protrudin[wt]-GFP or

protrudin[FYVE4A]-GFP exposed to either vehicle or apilimod. All experiments were repeated three

independent times and colour-code matched. Shown is the mean $\pm$ SEM. Data for (C-D) were

analyzed using a two-way ANOVA and Tukey's multiple comparison test, and data for (E) was

analyzed using a three-way ANOVA and Tukey's multiple comparisons test; p-values are shown.

1261

Figure 9. ER-lysosome hyper-tethering with inducible dimerization system suffices to distort

the ER architecture. (A) Confocal images of COS-7 cells transiently transfected with iRFP-FRB-

Rab7 (magenta) and FKBP-mCherry-protrudin[wt] or FKBP-mCherry-protrudin[FYVE4A] (gray). Cells

were exposed to either no treatment, 100 nM rapamycin for 15 min, VPS34-In1 for 2 h, or

VPS34-In1 and rapamycin combined. Scale bar: full size = 20 μm. **(B-D)** Quantification of

protrudin-based ER morphology including skeletal length (B), number of branches per cells (C),

and number of junctions per cell (D) in the indicated conditions. Experiments (B-D) were

repeated three independent times and colour-code matched. Shown is the mean $\pm$ SEM. Data

for (F-H) were analyzed using a two-way ANOVA and Tukey's multiple comparisons test; p-

values shown.

1272

1273

Supplementary Figure Legend

Supplementary Figure S1. ER morphology and dynamics are altered upon PIKfyve inhibition

using YM-201636. (A) Confocal images of COS-7 cells transiently transfected with ER-

mNeonGreen (gray) and labelled with Dextran, Alexa-647 (magenta). Cells were exposed to

either 0.02% DMSO (vehicle) or 1 µM YM-201636 for 2 h. **(B-E)** Quantification of ER morphology

including ER skeletal length (B), number of ER junctions per cell (C), number of branches per cell

(D), and average branch length (E). **(F)** Representative time-lapse confocal images of COS-7 cells

transiently transfected with ER-mNeonGreen (gray). Skeletonize function can be applied to

trace ER morphology. Zoom inserts show ER redistribution over 40 s; white arrowheads

exemplify morphological changes. Scale bar: full size = 20 µm, zoom insert = 5 µm. **(G-I)**

Quantification of membrane displacement analysis categorized by low movement (G),

intermediate movement (H), and rapid movement (I). Experiments (B-E) were repeated four

independent times; Experiments (G-I) were repeated six independent times. Data points from

matching independent experiments are colour coded. Shown in the mean $\pm$ SEM. Data from B

through E are based on 15-20 transfected cells per condition per experiment. Data from G

through I are based on 7 randomized ROIs for 7-10 transfected cells, per condition per

experiment. Data were analyzed using a two-tailed Student's t-test and p values are shown.

1291

Supplementary Figure S2. Voids in ER architecture are filled with vacuolated endosomes and

lysosomes upon PIKfyve inhibition. (A-B) Confocal images of COS-7 cells labeled with Dextran,

Alexa Fluor 647 (magenta) and transiently expressing ER-mNeonGreen (gray) or mCherry (cyan)

(A) or mRFP-Rab5 (cyan) (B). Cells were exposed to either 0.01% DMSO or 80 nM apilimod for 2

h. Scale bar: full size = 20 µm, zoom insert = 5 µm. **(C-D)** Quantification of Mander's Analysis

done on the colocalization between Dextra, Alexa 647 and mRFP-Rab5. All experiments were

repeated three independent times. Data points from matching independent experiments are

colour coded and shown as mean $\pm$ SEM from 30-50 transfected cells per condition per

experiment. Data were analyzed using a two-tailed Students t-test and p values are shown.

1301

Supplementary Figure S3. Vac14 silencing disturbs ER morphology. (A) Representative

Western blot of Vac14 from U2OS cells transfected with non-targeting siRNA control (siNT) or

one of two siRNA oligonucleotides against Vac14. GAPDH was used as a loading control. **(B)**

Quantitative analysis displaying Vac14 protein levels normalized to GADPH after Vac14

silencing. **(C)** Confocal images of U2OS cells co-transfected with either non-targeting siRNA or

siRNA oligonucleotides against Vac14 and ER-mScarletI (gray) followed by an

immunofluorescence staining to LAMP1 (magenta). Scale bar: full size = 20 µm, zoom insert = 5

µm. **(D-G)** Quantitative analysis of ER morphology in control or Vac14-silenced cells using

skeletal length (D), number of branches per cell (E), number of junctions per cell (F), and

average branch length (G). All experiments were repeated three independent times. Data

points from matching independent experiments are colour coded and shown as mean $\pm$ STD (B)

and mean $\pm$ SEM (D-G). Data from (D-G) were obtained from 20-25 transfected cells per

condition per experiment. Data were analyzed using a one-way ANOVA and Dunnett's multiple

comparisons test.

1316

Supplemental Figure S4. PIKfyve inhibition does not significantly affect microtubule

architecture and dynamics. (A) Confocal images of HeLa cells immunostained for ▯-tubulin

(gray) and LAMP1 (magenta) treated with 0.02% DMSO (vehicle), 240 nM apilimod or 1 µM YM-

201636 for 2 h. Scale bar = 20 µm **(B-D)** Quantification of microtubule morphology including

number of branches per cell (B), number of junctions per cell (C), and average branch length

(D). For B through D, all experiments were repeated four independent times. **(E)** Confocal

images of COS-7 cells transiently transfected with EB1-GFP displayed as Rainbow RBG LUT and

treated as in A. Scale bar: full size = 20 µm, zoom insert = 5 µm. **(F-H)** Semi-quantification of

microtubule dynamics including percentage of cells with >10 EB1-puncta (F), percentage of cells

with mobile EB1-puncta (G), and percentage of cells with EB1-puncta moving away from the

nucleus (H). For F-H, all experiments were repeated three independent times. For B-D and F-H,

data was analyzed using an Ordinary one-way ANOVA test and Dunnett's multiple comparisons

test.

1330

Supplementary Figure 5. Rab7 GTPase status appears unaltered in PIKfyve-inhibited cells. (A-

H) Control confocal images of HeLa cells treated with one individual primary antibody only for

each PLA test for protrudin and VAPA (A), Rab7 and VAPA (B), Rab7 and protrudin (C), FYCO1

and VAPA (D), FYCO1 and protrudin (E), FYCO1 and Rab7 (F), Rab7 and kinesin-1 (G) and FYCO1

and kinesin-1 (H). Scale bar= 15 µm. **(I)** HeLa cells immuno-stained for LAMP1 (magenta) and

Rab7 (gray) following treatment with 0.02% DMSO (vehicle) and 240 nM apilimod for 2 h. Scale

bar= 20 µm. **(J-K)** Quantitative analysis displaying the average Mander's Coefficient for the

fraction of Rab7 colocalized with LAMP1 (J), and fraction of LAMP1 colocalized with Rab7 (K). **(L)**

Representative Western blots of affinity purification of Rab7-GTP using GST-RILPC33, displaying

total Rab7 levels from input samples and GTP-Rab7 levels recovered with the GST negative

control and GST-RILPC33 from cells exposed to 0.02% vehicle (DMSO), 240 nM apilimod or 1

µM YM-201636 for 2 h. **(M)** Quantitative analysis of affinity precipitated GTP-Rab7 from GST

negative control and GST-RILPC33. GST-control and GST-RILPC33 were normalized first to input

levels of β-actin and then Rab7. All experiments were repeated three independent times. Data

points from matching independent experiments are colour coded. Data shown in J through K

are shown as the mean $\pm$ SEM and analyzed using a two-tailed Student's t-test. Data from M is

shown as the mean $\pm$ STD and was analyzed using a two-way ANOVA and Tukey's multiple

comparisons test; p values are shown.

1349

1350

Supplementary Figure 6. Three-dimensional reconstruction of protrudin-positive ER. (A)

Representative Western blots of non-targeting siRNA control or siRNA oligonucleotides against

protrudin displaying protrudin and clathrin levels. **(B)** Quantitative analysis displaying protrudin

protein levels upon transfection with siNT or siProtrudin after normalized to loading control,

clathrin. **(C)** Three-dimensional reconstruction of HeLa cells co-transfected with non-targeting

siRNA control or siRNA oligonucleotides against protrudin, and expressing protrudin[wt]-GFP or

protrudin[FYVE4A]-GFP (gray). Cells were labeled with Dextran, Alexa 546 (magenta) and then

exposed to either 0.02% DMSO (vehicle) or 240 nM apilimod for 2 h. Scale bar: full frame = 20

µm, zoom insert = 0.7 µm. All experiments were repeated three independent times. Data points

from matching independent experiments are colour coded. Shown in the mean $\pm$ STD. Data

was analyzed using a two-tailed Student's t-test and p values are shown.

1362

Supplementary Figure 7. PIKfyve inhibition leads to global ER changes across different

resident proteins. (A-F) Quantitative analysis of COS7 cells transfected with mCherry-CLIMP63

(A-C, as shown in Fig. 1A) or GFP-Rtn4a (D-F, as in Fig. 1B) upon treatment with either 0.02%

DMSO (vehicle) or 80 nM apilimod for 2 h. ER morphometrics were analyzed including skeletal

length (A, D), number of branches per cell (B, E) and number of junctions per cell (C, F). **(G)**

Confocal images of COS7 cells co-transfected with GFP-Atlastin1 and GFP-protrudin[wt], followed

by treatment with either 0.02% DMSO (vehicle) or 80 nM apilimod for 2 h. Scale bar = 20 μm.

(H-I) Quantitative analysis of ER morphometrics using Atlastin-1 fluorescence using number of

branches per cell (H) and number of junctions per cell (I). Experiments from (A-F) were

repeated four independent times; experiments from (H-I) were repeated three independent

times. Data points from matching independent experiments are colour coded. Shown is the

mean $\pm$ SEM. Data was analyzed using a two-tailed Student's t-test and p values are shown.

1375

Supplementary Video Legend

Supplemental Video 1. ER motility is retained upon treatment with vehicle control (DMSO).

COS-7 cells were transiently transfected with ER-mNeonGreen (gray) and treated with 0.02%

vehicle (DMSO) for 2 h. The ER network undergoes continuous remodeling through tubule

extension, retraction, and branching, reflecting its highly dynamic and interconnected nature.

Time-lapse images were acquired at a rate of 4 frame/s for 1 minute. Still frames from Video 1

are displayed in Figure 3A. Scale bar: 20 μm.

1383

1384   **Supplemental Video 2. ER motility is reduced upon acute treatment with PIKfyve inhibitor**

1385   **Apilimod.** COS-7 cells were transiently transfected with ER-mNeonGreen (gray) and treated

1386   with 240 nM apilimod for 2 h. Time-lapse images were acquired at a rate of 4 frame/s for 1

1387   minute. Still frames from Video 2 are displayed in Figure 3A. Scale bar: 20 μm.

1388

1389   **Supplemental Video 3. ER motility is reduced upon acute treatment with PIKfyve inhibitor**

1390   **YM-201636.** COS-7 cells were transiently transfected with ER-mNeonGreen (gray) and treated

1391   with 1 μM YM-201636 for 2 h. Time-lapse images were acquired at a rate of 4 frame/s for 1

1392   minute. Still frames from Video 3 are displayed in Supplemental Figure 2A. Scale bar: 20 μm.

1393

Supplemental Video 4. EB1-GFP dynamics under vehicle condition conditions. COS-7 cells

were transiently transfected with EB1-GFP displayed as a rainbow LUT scale, where red is the

highest intensity level and blue is the lowest intensity level. Cells were treated with 0.02%

vehicle (DMSO) for 2 h. EB1-GFP comets mark the plus-ends of growing microtubules, enabling

visualization of dynamic microtubules. Time-lapse images were acquired at a rate of 4 frame/s

for 1 minute. Still frames from Video 4 are displayed in Figure 4E. Scale bar: 20 μm.

1400

1401   **Supplemental Video 5. EB1-GFP dynamics appear unaltered upon PIKfyve inhibition using**

1402   **apilimod.** COS-7 cells were transiently transfected with EB1-GFP displayed as a rainbow LUT

1403 scale, where red is the highest intensity level and blue is the lowest intensity level. Time-lapse

1404 images were acquired at a rate of 4 frame/s for 1 minute. Still frames from Video 5 are

1405 displayed in Figure 4E. Scale bar: 20 µm.

1406

1407 **Supplemental Video 6. Microtubule EB1 dynamics remain unaffected by YM-201636**

1408 **treatment.** COS-7 cells were transiently transfected with EB1-GFP displayed as a rainbow LUT

1409 scale, where red is the highest intensity level and blue is the lowest intensity level. Time-lapse

1410 images were acquired at a rate of 4 frame/s for 1 minute. Still frames from Video 6 are

1411 displayed in Figure 4E. Scale bar: 20 µm.

1412

Supplemental Video 7. Normal ER-movement via organelle hitchhiking in vehicle-treated

cells. COS-7 cells were transiently transfected with ER-mNeonGreen (gray) and labeled with

Dextran, Alexa 647 (magenta) followed by treatment with 0.02% vehicle (DMSO) for 2 h.

Positive hitchhiking events were scored based on ER movement driven by physical association

with a motile dextran labelled lysosome. Timelapse images were acquired at a rate of 3.3

frames/s for 1 minute. Still frames from Video 7 are displayed in Figure 4J. Scale bar: 20 µm.

1419

Supplemental Video 8. ER-hitchhiking frequency drops upon PIKfyve inhibition. COS-7 cells

were transiently transfected with ER-mNeonGreen (gray) and labeled with Dextran, Alexa 647

(magenta) followed by treatment with 240 nM apilimod for 2 h. Positive hitchhiking events

were scored based on ER movement driven by physical association with a motile dextran

labelled lysosome. Timelapse images were acquired at a rate of 3.3 frames/s for 1 minute. Still

frames from Video 7 are displayed in Figure 4J. Scale bar: 20 µm.

1426

FIGURE 1.

FIGURE 2.

FIGURE 3.

A Raw Timelapse → Threshold → Optic Flow Analysis → Membrane Displacement Quantified → mobile ER fraction

#pixels/frame
low → rapid

B ER-mNeonGreen

Vehicle

0s 5s 10s
15s 20s 25s
30s 35s 40s

Apilimod

0s 5s 10s
15s 20s 25s
30s 35s 40s

C Mobile ER Fraction (#pix/frame)
0.002
Vehicle Apilimod
Low

D Mobile ER Fraction (#pix/frame)
0.001
Vehicle Apilimod
Intermediate

E Mobile ER Fraction (#pix/frame)
0.002
Vehicle Apilimod
Rapid

G ER Hitchhiking Events per 100 μm²/min
0.010
Vehicle Apilimod

F Vehicle

0s 0.5s
1s 1.5s
2s 2.5s

ER-mNeonGreen
Dextran, Alexa 546

Apilimod

0s 0.5s
1s 1.5s
2s 2.5s

FIGURE 4.

FIGURE 6.

FIGURE 7.

FIGURE 8.

FIGURE 9.

A

| No Rapamycin | Rapamycin | VPS34-In1 | VPS34-In1 + Rapamycin |

FRB-Rab7
FKBP-WT-Protrudin

FRB-Rab7
FKBP-4A-Protrudin

FKBP-Protrudin (WT/4A) + FRB-Rab7

B

Skeletal Length (µm)

0.049
0.027
0.999
0.277
0.047
0.025   0.024
0.441   0.844   0.598   0.526

Vehicle   Rapa   VPS-In1   Rapa + VPS

C

Number of Branches / cell

0.017
0.022
0.993
0.203
<0.0001
0.001   0.095
0.085   0.826   0.540   0.237

Vehicle   Rapa   VPS-In1   Rapa + VPS

D

Number of Junctions / cell

0.001
<0.0001
0.404
0.997
0.005   0.035
0.008   0.090
0.444   0.481   0.001   0.908

Vehicle   Rapa   VPS-In1   Rapa + VPS

☐ WT-Protrudin + Rab7   ▨ 4A-Protrudin + Rab7