Bright Light Exposure Reduces Negative Affect and Modulates EEG Activity in Sleep-Deprived and Well-Rested Adolescents

Jana Kopřivová[a, b]*, Zuzana Kaňková[a], Přemysl Vlček[a, b], Marek Piorecký[a, c], Lenka Maierová[d], Zdeňka Bendová[a, b], Kateřina Skálová[a, e], Tereza Nekovářová[a, e]*

[a]*National Institute of Mental Health, Klecany, Czech Republic;* [b]*Third Faculty of Medicine, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic;* [c]*Faculty of Biomedical Engineering, Czech Technical University in Prague, Prague, Czech Republic;* [d]*University Centre for Energy Efficient Buildings, Czech Technical University in Prague, Prague, Czech Republic;* [e]*Faculty of Science, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic*

* PhDr. Jana Kopřivová, Ph.D., e-mail: jana.koprivova@nudz.cz, National Institute of Mental Health, Topolová 748, 250 67 Klecany, Czech Republic

* RNDr. PhDr. Tereza Nekovářová, Ph.D., e-mail: tereza.nekovarova@nudz.cz, National Institute of Mental Health, Topolová 748, 250 67 Klecany, Czech Republic

ORCiDs:

Jana Kopřivová: *https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3626-5372*; Zuzana Kaňková: not available; Přemysl Vlček: *https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1671-1590*; Marek Piorecký: *https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8157-6447*; Lenka Maierová: *https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7303-8232*; Zdeňka Bendová; *https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2693-2143*; Kateřina Skálová: *https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8159-1548*; Tereza Nekovářová: *https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4410-9975*

Bright Light Exposure Reduces Negative Affect and Modulates EEG Activity in Sleep-Deprived and Well-Rested Adolescents

This study investigated whether a single morning session of bright light exposure modulates alertness, cognition, mood, and EEG activity in well-rested and partially sleep-deprived adolescents. Forty-seven subjects were assigned to a well-rested (8 h sleep) or a sleep-deprived group (4 h sleep). All underwent 30 minutes of morning bright light exposure, with EEG, cognitive testing, and ratings of sleepiness and affect conducted pre- and post-intervention. Behavioral and electrophysiological changes were compared within and between groups. Associations between changes in EEG activity and behavioral outcomes were explored using correlation analyses. Bright light significantly reduced negative affect and improved Digit Span Forward task performance. No changes were observed in positive affect, subjective sleepiness, or Digit Span Backward scores. EEG analysis revealed decreased delta activity in the anterior cingulate and increased beta activity in the right insula and fronto-parietal regions. Behavioral and EEG effects were similar across groups; however, only in the sleep-deprived group changes in beta activity significantly correlated with reduced negative affect. These results suggest that bright light may acutely enhance emotional state, cognitive performance, and cortical arousal in adolescents. The link between beta activity and affective improvement under sleep deprivation suggests a potential mechanism by which light supports emotional regulation.

Keywords: bright light; sleep deprivation; adolescents; electroencephalography; mood; cognition

Introduction

Light plays a key role in shaping the behavior and physiology of organisms. Environmental factors have long been recognized as central to psychophysical health even in archaic cultures (Novotná 2023). In addition to visual processing, light regulates

several non-image-forming functions, including circadian rhythms, alertness, cognition, and mood. These effects are mediated by intrinsically photosensitive retinal ganglion cells (ipRGCs), which transmit light information from the retina to the suprachiasmatic nuclei (SCN), the main circadian pacemaker, as well as to other brain regions involved in the regulation of sleep, cognition, and mood (LeGates et al. 2014). Although ipRGCs receive signals from rods and cones, they also contain their own photopigment, melanopsin, with a maximum sensitivity of about 480 nm (Hattar et al. 2002). This arrangement ensures that light in the blue spectrum, even at very low intensities, elicits a greater response in target structures than light absorbed by rods and cones (Gooley et al. 2010).

In recent years, research has increasingly focused on the non-image-forming effects of light, particularly its influence on alertness, cognitive function, and mood. Daytime light exposure has been reported to enhance alertness and reduce sleepiness, with blue or blue-enriched light being more effective than longer wavelengths or light with lower color temperatures (Mills et al. 2007; Šmotek et al. 2019). Similarly bright white light showed a stronger alertness enhancing effect than dim light (Maierova et al. 2016; Phipps-Nelson et al. 2003; Rüger et al. 2006) but its effects are inconsistent in well-rested individuals when the control light level is at least 100 lux (Alwalidi and Hoffmann 2022). Cognitive benefits of bright light include improved attention, working memory, and reaction time (Okamoto and Nakagawa 2015), although effects vary with task complexity and time of day (Huiberts et al. 2015). EEG studies support these behavioral findings, showing that bright or blue light decreases low-frequency and increases high-frequency EEG power, indicating greater alertness (Šmotek et al. 2019; Zhang et al. 2024). Short-wavelength light also modulates cognitive processing,

increasing P300 amplitude and reducing its latency (Okamoto and Kakagawa 2015; Šmotek et al. 2019).

Light exposure has also been consistently reported to impact mood. While a recent systematic review found that measures of affect were only influenced by narrow-band, long-wavelength light and reported mixed effects for white light, possibly due to high heterogeneity across studies (Bjerrum et al. 2024), several individual studies have reported that even a single light exposure can lead to measurable improvements in mood. Blue-enriched light was found to be superior to standard office lighting in enhancing positive mood and reducing irritability (Viola et al. 2008). Mood improvement after a single exposure to bright light has been observed in individuals with seasonal affective disorder (Reeves et al. 2012), premenstrual mood disturbance (Aan Het Rot et al. 2017) and also in healthy subjects (Leichtfried et al. 2015). Moreover, neuroimaging studies have shown that blue light modulates brain networks involved in emotion regulation (Alkozei et al. 2021; Vandewalle et al. 2010).

Sleep-deprived individuals experience a stronger alerting effect in response to bright light (Alwalidi and Hoffmann 2022). Bright light has been shown to counteract cognitive decline and increase sleep pressure in sleep-deprived subjects (Phipps-Nelson et al. 2003; Yokoi et al. 2003) and reduce afternoon decrease in alertness (Zhou et al. 2021). Sleep deprivation has consistently been shown to increase theta and delta EEG power (Münch et al. 2004), which can be suppressed by bright light (Zhang et al. 2024). Sleep deprivation also alters functional connectivity in the brain, including reduced connectivity between the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and the amygdala (Shao et al. 2014). Blue light may restore this connectivity (Alkozei et al. 2021), supporting its use to mitigate sleep deprivation effects and enhance mood regulation.

Adolescents face unique challenges related to light exposure due to their natural delay in the sleep-wake cycle. This circadian shift interferes with early bedtimes, resulting in partial sleep deprivation due to early school start times. In this context, bright light exposure appears to be particularly beneficial for this specific age group, potentially improving mood regulation, alertness, and cognitive performance. While several studies have examined the effects of bright light on EEG activity, alertness, cognition, and mood in adults, there is limited evidence in adolescents. Long-term bright light exposure has been reported to improve alertness and cognition in this age group (Teicher et al. 2023) and has been suggested as a promising intervention to improve mood and sleep in adolescents (Holtmann et al. 2018). However, whether the immediate effects of bright light differ between sleep-deprived and well-rested adolescents remains an open question.

The purpose of this study was to investigate how a single morning session of bright light exposure modulates alertness, cognitive performance, mood, and EEG patterns in well-rested and partially sleep-deprived healthy adolescents. At the same time, the study aimed to assess whether bright light exposure can prevent or reduce impairments associated with sleep deprivation in this population.

Materials and Methods

Design

This study examined the effects of a 30-minute bright light exposure in adolescents pseudo-randomly assigned either to the non-deprived (ND, 8 ± 1 hours of sleep) or the

sleep-deprived (SD) group who slept 4 hours with a delayed bedtime. The following morning, the participants were tested at the National Institute of Mental Health with cognitive tests, self-reported scales, and underwent EEG examinations. All measures were taken twice, before and immediately after light exposure that occurred between 10:00 and 12:00 noon. The interval between the first and second EEG measurement was approximately 1 hour (64 ± 10 min), the EEG cap was not removed between the two measurements. To minimize the potential confounding effects of seasonal variations in daylight, data collection was restricted to the standard time period (February–March 2022, October–December 2022, and March 2023). The study was approved by the local Ethics Committee of the National Institute of Mental Health. All participants provided written informed consent; for those under 18, consent was also obtained from their legal guardians. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Participants

Fifty-five healthy adolescents participated in the study. Eight subjects were excluded due to missing or poor-quality EEG recordings at either the first or second measurement. Of the remaining 47 subjects, 24 were part of the ND group and 23 of the SD group. The final sample consisted of 20 males and 27 females aged 15 to 21 years (median: 16 years, interquartile range: 16-19 years). The SD and ND groups were matched for age ($p = .596$) and sex (SD group: 11 men, ND group 9 men, $p = .561$).

Behavioral and cognitive measures

Subjectively experienced emotions were assessed using the Positive and Negative Affect Scale, PANAS (Watson et al. 1988). The PANAS consists of two 10-item scales measuring positive and negative emotions. Each item is scored from 1 to 5. The Stanford Sleepiness Scale, SSS (Hoddes et al. 1972), a single-item self-report

questionnaire with a seven-point scale, was administered to assess sleepiness. Cognitive function was assessed using the Digit Span test (Wechsler 2013) which includes forward (DSF) and backward (DSB) number repetition. The forward task primarily measures short-term memory and attention, while the backward task assesses working memory and executive functions such as cognitive control and inhibition (Wechsler 2013).

Electroencephalography

Resting-state EEG was recorded using a Brainscope amplifier (M&I, Czech Republic) from 21 electrodes placed according to the international 10–20 system (sampling rate: 1 kHz, band-pass: 0.1–200 Hz). Each recording lasted 20 minutes (10 min eyes open, 10 min eyes closed), but only eyes-open data were analyzed to reduce vigilance-related variability. Prior to analysis, all electroencephalographic (EEG) recordings underwent rigorous pre-processing by an experienced rater using Brain Vision Analyzer software (Brain Products GmbH, Germany). The data were band-pass filtered between 1 and 60 Hz, with a notch filter applied at 50 Hz to eliminate power line interference. Ocular artifacts were corrected using Independent Component Analysis (ICA), ensuring the removal of eye movement–related contamination. Additional artifacts, including muscle-related noise and other non-neural interferences, were identified and removed through a meticulous visual inspection by an experienced rater. Only artifact-free, two-second epochs were retained for subsequent quantitative analysis, ensuring the integrity of the dataset for further processing. Data were analyzed using the eLORETA (exact low resolution brain electromagnetic tomography) method in the LORETA-Key software (http://www.uzh.ch/keyinst/loreta.htm). eLORETA is a linear inverse solution for estimating the intracranial distribution of current density in three-dimensional space

by reconstructing the intracerebral electrical sources underlying scalp-recorded activity using a three-shell spherical head model co-registered with Talairach coordinates (Pascual-Marqui 2002; Pascual-Marqui 2007; Pascual-Marqui et al., 2011). eLORETA estimates the current density distribution in 6,239 voxels with a spatial resolution of 5 × 5 × 5 mm and is restricted to cortical grey matter and hippocampi. In this study, current density values were computed in six frequency bands: delta (2 - 4 Hz), theta (4.5 - 8 Hz), alpha (8.5 - 12 Hz), beta 1 (12.5 - 21 Hz), beta 2 (21.5 - 30 Hz), gamma (30.5 - 45 Hz).

Light pavilion

The indoor light pavilion is a cubial space with a side length of 2.5 m and seating for up to six people. The entire ceiling and half of one side wall are fitted with LED light sources with a diffuser so that the whole area acts as a flat light source with balanced brightness. The large, sky-like light surface provides glare-free illumination. The system emits balanced-spectrum light (4500 K, Ra > 80) with up to 11,500 lx corneal photopic illuminance, corresponding to >11,000 lx melanopic daylight equivalent (mEDI, International Commission on Illumination 2018). In this study, 8000 lx (7500 lx mEDI) was used. The lighting technology (Spectrasol, Czech Patent No. 308363) features a full spectral band (460–520 nm) and uniform spatial distribution, ensuring strong activation of ipRGCs and non-visual photoreception (Fig. 1).

Statistical analysis

Behavioral data

Since most of the variables did not meet the assumption of normality, primary analyses were conducted using non-parametric tests (Wilcoxon signed-rank and Mann–Whitney

U tests). Values that were more than 3 interquartile ranges (IQRs) away from the median were considered extreme outliers and excluded from the analysis. A total of four outliers were identified across all behavioral variables, two in the SSS scale scores (1 subject) and two in the DSB test scores (2 different subjects). To assess the robustness of the findings and to examine potential interaction effects, we additionally conducted a two-way robust ANOVA using Yuen's method with 20% trimmed means. This approach accounts for violations of normality and the presence of outliers, which were retained in the analysis.

EEG data

Since the EEG data analyses were paired, it was not necessary to perform normalization of the EEG signal, which is usually required in intergroup comparisons due to interindividual differences in EEG amplitude. Absolute eLORETA current density values for each voxel were compared using the Wilcoxon signed rank test in the LORETA-KEY software. Non-parametric statistical mapping with 5,000 permutations was used to determine statistical significance (Nichols and Holmes 2002). All bands were treated simultaneously to ensure that the family-wise type I error did not exceed the nominal level $p = .05$ after correction for multiple comparisons across all voxels and all frequencies.

Correlation between EEG and behavioral data

To test possible relationships between EEG changes and changes in mood and cognitive performance, the mean difference in current density (post-intervention minus baseline) in cortical voxels that showed significant differences before and after the intervention

was correlated with the difference scores (post-intervention score minus baseline score) on the behavioral scales that showed distinct values after the intervention compared to baseline. As our data were not normally distributed, the Spearman correlation coefficient was calculated. Values more than 3 IQRs from the median were considered extreme outliers. In total, four outlying values were found in the EEG current density differential score (two values in the delta, one in the beta 1 and one in the beta 2 frequency band) and were removed before correlation analysis was performed.

Results

Behavioral and cognitive measures

The intervention significantly reduced negative affect in the whole cohort, with no change in positive affect or sleepiness. Similar results were found for both subgroups: negative affect decreased in the ND as well as in the SD group; positive affect and sleepiness remained unchanged. DSF performance improved in the whole group and in the ND subgroup; significance was not reached in the SD subgroup. No significant change was observed in DSB performance across groups (Table 1). At baseline, the SD group showed higher levels of sleepiness and worse performance on the DSF test. Post-intervention, the difference in sleepiness was no longer observed, but the ND group still outperformed on the DSF task. However, differential scores (post minus baseline) did not differ between groups (see Table 2).

Trimmed ANOVA analyses partially supported the findings from non-parametric tests. For positive affect, no significant main effects of group ($p = .807$), time ($p = .277$), or group × time interaction ($p = .811$) were observed. For negative affect, a significant main effect of group ($Q = 4.80$, $p = .034$) and time ($Q = 9.32$, $p =$

.004) was found, without a significant interaction (p = .752). Post-hoc comparisons revealed that the SD group had overall higher scores than the ND group (ψ = 4.50, p = .034, 95% CI [0.36, 8.65]) and that there was a significant reduction over time across groups (ψ = 6.28, p = .004, 95% CI [2.14, 10.40]). For subjective sleepiness, a significant group effect was found (Q = 7.64, p = .008), indicating higher levels in the SD group regardless of time (time: p = .613; interaction: p = .336). This difference was confirmed by post-hoc analysis (ψ = 1.49, p = .008, 95% CI [0.41, 2.58]). DSF scores showed significant main effects of both group (Q = 12.53, p = .001) and time (Q = 4.42, p = .040), indicating better performance in the ND group and improvement following the intervention in the whole sample. Post-hoc tests confirmed the group difference (ψ = −3.65, p < .001, 95% CI [−5.72, −1.59]) and the pre–post improvement (ψ = −2.17, p = .040, 95% CI [−4.24, −0.10]). No significant group × time interaction was found (p = .498). For DSB, no significant effects were observed for group (p = .177), time (p = .411), or interaction (p = .802).

Electrophysiological data

In the whole cohort, light exposure led to decreased EEG activity in the delta band and increased activity in the beta 1 and beta 2 bands. Delta reductions were localized mainly in the left anterior cingulate (BA 32), while beta increases were found in the right insula (beta 1, BA 13) and fronto-parietal regions including the inferior parietal lobule and sensorimotor cortex. For beta 1 the largest differences were observed in the right insula (BA 13), for beta 2 the largest differences were found in the inferior parietal lobule (BA 40; p < .05, corrected; Fig. 3). Detailed statistics are provided in Table 2.

Importantly, the magnitude of EEG changes did not differ significantly between the SD and ND groups: for delta, U = 291.00, p = .398, r = 0.150, SE = 0.172; for beta 1, U =

232.00, p = .492, r = 0.121, SE = −0.171; and for beta 2, U = 255.00, p = .853, r = −0.034, SE = 0.171.

A separate analysis revealed no significant differences in eLORETA current density between the SD and ND groups before and after the intervention. However, voxel-wise trends observed in the whole group (increased beta, decreased delta) were also present in both subgroups, with post-intervention values shifting in the same direction as in the overall cohort.

Correlation analysis

In the SD group, a greater increase in beta 1 activity in the right insula, frontal, and parietal sources was significantly associated with a greater reduction in negative affect (Spearman's ρ = −0.434, p = .043). In contrast, the ND group showed no such association (ρ = −0.009, p = .966), and in the whole cohort, the correlation approached significance (ρ = −0.268, p = .072). Beta 2 showed a similar negative trend in the SD group (ρ = −0.340, p = .121). No significant associations were found between EEG changes and DSF performance in any group. Similarly, changes in delta activity did not show significant correlations with changes in negative affect (ρ = −0.088, p = .566 in the whole group; ρ = −0.126, p = .576 in the SD group; ρ = −0.040, p = .857 in the ND group) or with changes in DSF performance (ρ = 0.094, p = .539 in the whole group; ρ = 0.046, p = .839 in the SD group; ρ = 0.140, p = .525 in the ND group). A formal comparison of independent correlations for the beta 1 – PANAS-N relationship between the SD and ND groups using Fisher's z revealed that the difference between the two groups was not statistically significant (z = 1.50, p = .134).

Discussion:

A thirty-minute stay in the light pavilion with exposure to bright light reduced negative

affect, improved DSF performance, suppressed slow and enhanced fast frequencies in the EEG. Sleep-deprived subjects showed greater sleepiness and worse performance on the DSF task at baseline compared to well-rested subjects. Overall, the data suggest that the light intervention had similar behavioral and electrophysiological effects in both SD and ND groups. Individual correlations observed only in the SD group indicating a potential mechanism through which light supports emotional regulation.

The observed decrease in delta power in the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (dACC) aligns with studies showing reduced low-frequency EEG power after bright or blue light exposure (Zhang et al. 2024). Reduced delta during wakefulness has been associated with increased vigilance and better cognitive performance (Phipps-Nelson et al. 2009). As the dACC plays a critical role in cognitive control and attention (Bush et al. 2000), its reduced delta activity, alongside improved DSF performance, may reflect enhanced attentional and working memory processes, although a direct relationship was not observed.

Our results show that a 30-minute exposure to bright light increased beta-1 and beta-2 activity in right insula and fronto-parietal cortical regions, including the inferior parietal lobule, and pre-/postcentral gyrus. This finding corresponds with previous studies showing light-induced activation in the insula and regions near the intraparietal sulcus (Chen et al. 2021). The insula, particularly its right anterior region, is involved in salience detection, interoception, and integration of autonomic and cognitive functions (Molnar-Szakacs and Uddin 2022). Similarly, the parietal cortex, specifically Brodmann area 40 including the inferior parietal lobule, plays a critical role in multisensory integration and cognitive processing (Corbetta and Schulman 2002). Thus, increased beta activity in these areas may reflect enhanced cognitive regulation and behavioral readiness. Although the insula does not receive direct projections from the ipRGCs, it

connects with the lateral habenula, a structure involved in negative affect regulation and directly innervated by these retinal cells (LeGates et al. 2014).

Indeed, our study showed that a thirty-minute stay in the light pavilion with exposure to bright light reduced negative affect in both the SD and ND subgroups, while positive affect remained unchanged. This aligns with previous research demonstrating that even a single session of blue or bright light can improve mood, particularly by reducing negative affect. For instance, Alkozei et al. (2021) reported that blue light increased amygdala–prefrontal connectivity, correlating with mood improvement. Similar effects were observed in women with premenstrual dysphoria (Aan Het Rot et al. 2017) and during acute tryptophan depletion, where bright light prevented mood deterioration (Aan Het Rot et al. 2008).

The increase in beta activity after light exposure correlated with a reduction in negative affect only in sleep-deprived individuals. Although the between-group difference in correlation coefficients did not reach statistical significance, this pattern may indicate that the neurophysiological processes engaged by light exposure are more tightly associated with affective changes under sleep deprivation. Sleep and emotional brain function are closely linked (Goldstein and Walker 2014). Self-reported sleep duration has been negatively related to subjective psychological distress and prefrontal-amygdala connectivity (Killgore 2013). Sleep deprivation has been shown to affect the communication network between the prefrontal cortex and limbic structures and to adversely modulate the brain's response to negative aversive stimuli (Yoo et al. 2007). Interestingly, blue light has been found to strengthen functional connectivity between the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and the amygdala, and the increase in connectivity between these two regions was associated with a reduction in negative affect (Alkozei et al. 2021). Although our study did not specifically assess functional connectivity, the

increased beta activity observed after the intervention may indicate the restoration of certain aspects of emotional regulation in response to bright light.

This study has several limitations. Most notably, the absence of a control light condition limits causal interpretation, as reductions in negative affect may reflect decreasing anxiety over time or the social context of the pavilion. Although interaction occurred before measurements, the social environment during light exposure may have influenced results. The study design also does not fully exclude practice effects on cognitive performance. However, the improvement was limited to DSF, which is relatively resistant to short-term practice, while no gains were observed in the more practice-sensitive DSB task (Wechsler 2013), suggesting practice alone is an unlikely explanation. Another limitation is the low spatial resolution of eLORETA, especially with only 19 EEG channels, which may limit precise source localization despite the strength of within-subject comparisons (Pascual-Marqui 2007). Additionally, behavioral and EEG measures were collected shortly after, but not during, light exposure. Given the dynamic nature of light-induced responses (Vandewalle et al. 2006), future studies should consider real-time assessments and higher-resolution methods such as high-density EEG, MEG, or fMRI.

Conclusion:

A 30-minute session in the light box with exposure to bright, full-spectrum light reduced negative affect, improved cognitive performance, and elicited physiological effects at the EEG level. The most significant changes occurred in the dorsal anterior cingulate and posterior right insula, regions involved in cognitive control, attention, and emotion regulation. The light intervention had similar behavioral and electrophysiological effects in both SD and ND groups. However, only the SD group exhibited a significant association between increased beta 1 activity and reduced

negative affect, indicating a potential mechanism through which light supports emotional regulation. Our findings highlight the potential of bright light interventions to modulate emotional well-being and cognitive function in the adolescent population, which is particularly vulnerable to mood dysregulation and often suffers from partial sleep deprivation. Future research may uncover more targeted applications of light exposure to improve mental health and performance, particularly in individuals with emotional dysregulation.

Acknowledgements

We sincerely thank the adolescents and their families for their valuable participation and contribution to this study

Funding

This work was supported by the Technology Agency of the Czech Republic (TA ČR), project no. FW02020025, by the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) Project Brain Dynamics, no. CZ.02.01.01/00/22_008/0004643, and by the Johannes Amos Comenius Programme (P JAC) provided by MSMT, reg. number CZ.02.01.01/00/23_025/0008715.

Disclosure statement

This study was conducted as part of a research project co-funded by the Technology Agency of the Czech Republic (TAČR, project no. FW02020025) and Spectrasol s.r.o., which had no influence on the study design, data collection, analysis, interpretation, or publication. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Author Contribution

Jana Kopřivová: conceptualization, formal analysis, funding acquisition, methodology, visualization, writing; Zuzana Kaňková: investigation, project administration; Přemysl Vlček: data curation, writing - review & editing; Marek Piorecký: data curation, writing - review & editing; Lenka Maierová: funding acquisition, investigation, writing - review & editing; Zdeňka Bendová: methodology, writing - review & editing; Kateřina Skálová: writing - review & editing; Tereza Nekovářová: conceptualization, methodology, supervision.

Data Availability Statement

The data that supports the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author, upon reasonable request.

References

Aan Het Rot M, Benkelfat C, Boivin DB, Young SN. 2008. Bright light exposure during acute tryptophan depletion prevents a lowering of mood in mildly seasonal women. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 18(1):14–23. doi: 10.1016/j.euroneuro.2007.05.00

Aan Het Rot M, Miloserdov K, Buijze ALF, Meesters Y, Gordijn MCM. 2017. Premenstrual mood and empathy after a single light therapy session. Psychiatry Res. 256:212–218. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2017.06.052

Alkozei A, Dailey NS, Bajaj S, Vanuk JR, Raikes AC, Killgore WDS. 2021. Exposure to blue wavelength light is associated with increases in bidirectional amygdala-DLPFC connectivity at rest. Front Neurol. 12:625443. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2021.625443

Alwalidi M, Hoffmann S. 2022. Alerting effect of light: a review of daytime studies. J Daylighting. 9:150–163. doi: 10.15627/jd.2022.12

Bjerrum LB, Nordhus IH, Sørensen L, Wulff K, Bjorvatn B, Flo-Groeneboom E, et al. 2024. Acute effects of light during daytime on central aspects of attention and affect: a systematic review. Biol Psychol. 192:108845. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsycho.2024.108845

Bush G, Luu P, Posner MI. 2000. Cognitive and emotional influences in anterior cingulate cortex. Trends Cogn Sci. 4(6):215–222. doi: 10.1016/s1364-6613(00)01483-2

Chen Y, Chen T, Cai X. 2021. Light-sensitive circuits related to emotional processing underlie the antidepressant neural targets of light therapy. Behav Brain Res. 396:112862. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2020.112862

Corbetta M, Shulman GL. 2002. Control of goal-directed and stimulus-driven attention in the brain. Nat Rev Neurosci. 3(3):201–215. doi: 10.1038/nrn755

Goldstein AN, Walker MP. 2014. The role of sleep in emotional brain function. Annu Rev Clin Psychol. 10:679–708. doi: 10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-050212-185511

Gooley JJ, Rajaratnam SMW, Brainard GC, Kronauer RE, Czeisler CA, Lockley SW. 2010. Spectral responses of the human circadian system depend on the irradiance and duration of exposure to light. Sci Transl Med. 2(31):31ra33. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.3000741

Hattar S, Liao HW, Takao M, Berson DM, Yau KW. 2002. Melanopsin-containing retinal ganglion cells: architecture, projections, and intrinsic photosensitivity. Science. 295:1065–1070. doi: 10.1126/science.1069609

Hoddes E, Dement WC, Zarcone V. 1972. Stanford Sleepiness Scale. J Clin Psychol. 28(4):431–433. doi: 10.1037/t07116-000

Holtmann M, Mokros L, Kirschbaum-Lesch I, Kölch M, Plener PL, Ruckes C, et al. 2018. Adolescent depression: study protocol for a randomized, controlled, double-blind multicenter parallel group trial of bright light therapy in a naturalistic inpatient setting (DeLight). Trials. 19(1):568. doi: 10.1186/s13063-018-2949-0

Huiberts LM, Smolders KCHJ, De Kort YAW. 2015. Shining light on memory: effects of bright light on working memory performance. Behav Brain Res. 294:234–245. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2015.07.045

International Commission on Illumination. 2018. CIE S 026/E:2018 CIE System for Metrology of Optical Radiation for ipRGC-Influenced Responses to Light. Vienna: International Commission on Illumination. doi: 10.25039/S026.2018

Killgore WDS. 2013. Self-reported sleep correlates with prefrontal-amygdala functional connectivity and emotional functioning. Sleep. 36(11):1597–1606. doi: 10.5665/sleep.3106

LeGates TA, Fernandez DC, Hattar S. 2014. Light as a central modulator of circadian rhythms, sleep and affect. Nat Rev Neurosci. 15:443–454. doi: 10.1038/nrn3743

Leichtfried V, Mair-Raggautz M, Schaeffer V, Hammerer-Lercher A, Mair G, Bartenbach C, et al. 2015. Intense illumination in the morning hours improved mood and alertness but not mental performance. Appl Ergon. 46:54–59. doi: 10.1016/j.apergo.2014.07.013

Maierova L, Borisuit A, Scartezzini JL, Jaeggi SM, Schmidt C, Münch M. 2016. Diurnal variations of hormonal secretion, alertness and cognition in extreme chronotypes under different lighting conditions. Sci Rep. 6:33591. doi: 10.1038/srep33591

Mills PR, Tomkins SC, Schlangen LJM. 2007. The effect of high correlated colour temperature office lighting on employee wellbeing and work performance. J Circadian Rhythms. 5:2. doi: 10.1186/1740-3391-5-2

Molnar-Szakacs I, Uddin LQ. 2022. Anterior insula as a gatekeeper of executive control. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 139:104736. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2022.104736

Münch M, Knoblauch V, Blatter K, Schröder C, Schnitzler C, Kräuchi K, et al. 2004. The frontal predominance in human EEG delta activity after sleep loss decreases with age. Eur J Neurosci. 20(5):1402–1410. doi: 10.1111/j.1460-9568.2004.03580.x

Nichols TE, Holmes AP. 2002. Nonparametric permutation tests for functional neuroimaging: a primer with examples. Hum Brain Mapp. 15(1):1–25. doi: 10.1002/hbm.1058

Novotná M. 2023. The concept of health in Old Norse narrative literature. Quaest Medii Aevi Novae. 28:221–235.

Okamoto Y, Nakagawa S. 2015. Effects of daytime light exposure on cognitive brain activity as measured by the ERP P300. Physiol Behav. 138:313–318. doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2014.10.013

Pascual-Marqui RD, Lehmann D, Koukkou M, Kochi K, Anderer P, Saletu B, et al. 2011. Assessing interactions in the brain with exact low-resolution electromagnetic tomography. Philos Trans R Soc A Math Phys Eng Sci. 369:3768–3784. doi: 10.1098/rsta.2011.0081

Pascual-Marqui RD. 2002. Standardized low-resolution brain electromagnetic tomography (sLORETA): technical details. Methods Find Exp Clin Pharmacol. 24 Suppl D:5–12.

Pascual-Marqui RD. 2007. Discrete, 3D distributed, linear imaging methods of electric neuronal activity. Part 1: exact, zero error localization. arXiv. The KEY Institute for Brain-Mind Research, University Hospital of Psychiatry. http://arxiv.org/pdf/0710.3341

Phipps-Nelson J, Redman JR, Dijk DJ, Rajaratnam SMW. 2003. Daytime exposure to bright light, as compared to dim light, decreases sleepiness and improves psychomotor vigilance performance. Sleep. 26:695–700. doi: 10.1093/sleep/26.6.695

Phipps-Nelson J, Redman JR, Schlangen LJM, Rajaratnam SMW. 2009. Blue light exposure reduces objective measures of sleepiness during prolonged nighttime performance testing. Chronobiol Int. 26(5):891–912. doi: 10.1080/07420520903044364

Reeves GM, Nijjar GV, Langenberg P, Johnson MA, Khabazghazvini B, Sleemi A, et al. 2012. Improvement in depression scores after 1 hour of light therapy treatment in patients with seasonal affective disorder. J Nerv Ment Dis. 200:51–55. doi: 10.1097/NMD.0b013e31823e56ca

Rüger M, Gordijn MCM, Beersma DGM, De Vries B, Daan S. 2006. Time-of-day-dependent effects of bright light exposure on human psychophysiology: comparison of daytime and nighttime exposure. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol. 290:R1413–R1420. doi: 10.1152/ajpregu.00121.2005

Shao Y, Lei Y, Wang L, Zhai T, Jin X, Ni W, et al. 2014. Altered resting-state amygdala functional connectivity after 36 hours of total sleep deprivation. PLoS One. 9(11):e112222. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0112222

Šmotek M, Vlček P, Saifutdinova E, Kopřivová J. 2019. Objective and subjective characteristics of vigilance under different narrow-bandwidth light conditions: do shorter wavelengths have an alertness-enhancing effect? Neuropsychobiology. 78:238–248. doi: 10.1159/000502962

Teicher MH, Bolger E, Garcia LCH, Hafezi P, Weiser LP, McGreenery CE, et al. 2023. Bright light therapy and early morning attention, mathematical performance, electroencephalography and brain connectivity in adolescents with morning sleepiness. PLoS One. 18(8):e0273269. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0273269

Vandewalle G, Balteau E, Phillips C, Degueldre C, Moreau V, Sterpenich V, et al. 2006. Daytime light exposure dynamically enhances brain responses. Curr Biol. 16(16):1616–1621. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2006.06.031

Vandewalle G, Schwartz S, Grandjean D, Wuillaume C, Balteau E, Degueldre C, et al. 2010. Spectral quality of light modulates emotional brain responses in humans. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 107:19549–19554. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1010180107

Viola AU, James LM, Schlangen LJM, Dijk DJ. 2008. Blue-enriched white light in the workplace improves self-reported alertness, performance and sleep quality. Scand J Work Environ Health. 34:297–306. doi: 10.5271/sjweh.1268

Watson D, Clark LA, Tellegen A. 1988. Development and validation of brief measures of positive and negative affect: the PANAS scales. J Pers Soc Psychol. 54(6):1063–1070. doi: 10.1037//0022-3514.54.6.1063

Wechsler D. 2013. Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale – Třetí vydání (WAIS-III), česká verze. Praha: Hogrefe – Testcentrum.

Yokoi M, Aoki K, Shiomura Y, Iwanaga K, Katsuura T. 2003. Effect of bright light on EEG activities and subjective sleepiness to mental task during nocturnal sleep deprivation. J Physiol Anthropol Appl Human Sci. 22(6):257–263. doi: 10.2114/jpa.22.257.

Yoo SS, Gujar N, Hu P, Jolesz FA, Walker MP. 2007. The human emotional brain without sleep—a prefrontal amygdala disconnect. Curr Biol. 17(20):R877–R878. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2007.08.007

Zhang N, Liu C, Hou C, Wang Z, He X, Gao W. 2024. The application in electroencephalogram technology for investigating indoor lighting environments: a review. J Build Eng. 94:110020. doi: 10.1016/j.jobe.2024.110020

Zhou Y, Chen Q, Luo X, Li L, Ru T, Zhou G. 2021. Does bright light counteract the post-lunch dip in subjective states and cognitive performance among undergraduate students? Front Public Health. 9:652849. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2021.652849

Tables

Table 1. Pre–post changes in affect, sleepiness, and cognitive performance in the total sample and subgroups. The table reports medians and interquartile ranges (IQR) at baseline and after the light intervention, along with Wilcoxon signed-rank test statistics (Z), p-values, and effect sizes (r) for within-group comparisons. Abbreviations: ND – non-deprived group; SD – sleep-deprived group; PANAS-P – Positive Affect subscale of the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule; PANAS-N – Negative Affect subscale of the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule; SSS – Stanford Sleepiness Scale; DSF – Digit Span Forward; DSB – Digit Span Backward; r – effect size (rank-biserial correlation).

Measure	Group	Baseline (Median, IQR)	Post-intervention (Median, IQR)	Pre-post difference (Wilcoxon signed-rank test)		
				Z	*p*	*r*
PANAS-P	All	29 (24 -33)	27 (22 - 32)	1.56	.120	0.264
	ND	29.5 (24.25 - 32.75)	27 (22.25 - 30.75)	1.13	.264	0.268
	SD	29 (21 - 34)	28 (20 - 33)	1.00	.322	0.239
PANAS-N	All	16 (12 - 20)	13 (10 - 15)	4.28	< .001	0.768
	ND	14.5 (12 - 17)	11.5 (10 - 14)	3.38	< .001	0.844
	SD	18 (13 - 28)	13 (11 - 20)	2.83	.005	0.706
SSS	All	3 (2 - 3)	3 (2 - 3)	0.38	.690	0.080
	ND	2 (2 - 3)	2 (1 - 3)	0.60	.549	-0.181
	SD	3 (2.75 - 4)	3 (2 - 3)	1.16	.217	0.331
DSF	All	10 (9 - 12)	11 (9 - 13)	3.26	< .001	-0.640
	ND	11 (9 - 13)	12.5 (10.25 - 14)	2.83	.004	0.760
	SD	9 (8 - 12)	10 (9 - 12)	1.78	.070	-0.500
DSB	All	7 (6 - 8)	7 (6 - 8)	1.58	.107	-0.320
	ND	7 (6 - 9)	8 (7 - 8)	1.28	.199	-0.375
	SD	7 (6 - 8)	7 (5 - 8)	0.95	.344	-0.261

Table 2. Behavioral characteristics of the non-deprived (ND) and sleep-deprived (SD) subgroups. The table shows medians and interquartile ranges (IQR) for each group at baseline, after the intervention, and for differential scores (post–pre). Between-group comparisons were conducted using the Mann–Whitney U test; test statistics (U), p-values, and effect sizes (r) are reported. Abbreviations: ND – non-deprived group; SD – sleep-deprived group; PANAS-P – Positive Affect subscale of the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule; PANAS-N – Negative Affect subscale of the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule; SSS – Stanford Sleepiness Scale; DSF – Digit Span Forward; DSB – Digit Span Backward; r – effect size (rank-biserial correlation).

	ND group (n = 24)	SD group (n = 23)	SD vs. ND difference (Mann-Whitney U test)		
			U	*p*	*r*
Baseline measurement					
PANAS-P	29.5 (24.25 - 32.75)	29 (21 - 34)	264.50	.814	-0.042
PANAS-N	14.5 (12 - 17)	18 (13 - 28)	347.50	.130	0.259
SSS	2 (2 - 3)	3 (2.75 - 4)	382.00	**.007**	0.447
DSF	11 (9 - 13)	9 (8 - 12)	172.50	**.035**	-0.357
DSB	7 (6 - 9)	7 (6 - 8)	229.00	.434	-0.134
Post-intervention measurement					
PANAS-P	27 (22.25 - 30.75)	28 (20 - 33)	269.50	.898	-0.024
PANAS-N	11.5 (10 - 14)	13 (11 - 20)	360.50	.070	0.306
SSS	2 (1 - 3)	3 (2 - 3)	322.50	.186	0.222
DSF	12.5 (10.25 - 14)	10 (9 - 12)	163.50	**.016**	-0.408
DSB	8 (7 - 8)	7 (5 - 8)	216.50	.287	-0.181
Differential score (post-intervention vs. baseline measurement)					
PANAS-P	-0.5 (-5.5 - 1.75)	-1 (-8 - 3)	269.00	.890	-0.025
PANAS-N	-2 (-4 - -0.25)	-2 (-7 - 0)	290.00	.773	0.051
SSS	0 (-0.75 - 1)	-1 (-1 - 0)	204.00	.111	-0.261

DSF	1 (0 - 2)	1 (0 - 2)	250.50	.587	-0.092
DSB	0 (-1 - 1.25)	0 (-1 - 1)	268.50	.879	-0.027

Table 3. Differences in EEG activity before and immediately after a 30-minute bright light exposure. The table lists the areas where significant differences (decrease or increase in EEG activity) were found before and after the intervention. The number of significant voxels for each region and the frequencies and mean permutation-derived test statistics are indicated.

	Delta (2 - 4 Hz)		**Beta 1 (12.5 - 21 Hz)**		**Beta 2 (21.5 - 30 Hz)**	
	mean test stat.	voxels	mean test stat.	voxels	mean test stat.	voxels
Brain structure and Brodmann area (BA)						
Anterior Cingulate Gyrus (BA 32)	-3.730	8				
Anterior Cingulate Gyrus (BA 24, 32)	-3.721	3				
Medial Frontal Gyrus (BA 6, 8, 9)	-3.711	3				
Insula (BA 13)			3.894	14	3.771	3
Inferior Parietal Lobule (BA 40)			3.817	3	3.843	26
Precentral Gyrus (BA 4, 6)			3.804	18	3.814	46
Postcentral Gyrus (BA 1, 2, 3, 40)			3.798	16	3.855	65
Middle Frontal Gyrus (BA 6)			3.743	3	3.733	5

Figures

Figure 1. The indoor light (left) pavilion and characteristics of the light (right). The colored area represents the spectral power distribution of the light source. Dashed and dotted lines indicate the spectral sensitivity functions for photopic illuminance (black dashed line) and melanopic illuminance (black dotted line), respectively.

Figure 2. Difference in EEG activity assessed by eLORETA before and after light exposure. The voxels with lower or higher EEG activity in the given frequency bands after light exposure are marked in blue and yellow, respectively ($p < 0.05$, corrected). Each figure is sliced to its own maximum value of the permutation-derived threshold. Abbreviations: eLORETA – exact low-resolution brain electromagnetic tomography.


Relative Spectral Irradiance [-]
1
0,9
0,8
0,7
0,6
0,5
0,4
0,3
0,2
0,1
0
380
430
480
530
580
630
680
730
780
Wavelenght [nm]


(X,Y,Z)=(-10,25,35)[mm] ; (-3,80E+0) ; 1
delta (2 – 4 Hz)
sLORETA
(X,Y,Z)=(35,-15,20)[mm] ; (4,13E+0) ; 4
beta 1 (12.5 – 21 Hz)
sLORETA
(X,Y,Z)=(40,-20,40)[mm] ; (4,12E+0) ; 5
beta 2 (21.5 – 30 Hz)
sLORETA
L
R
A
P
(Y)
(Z)
(X)
+5
0
-5
-10
+5 cm
-10 cm