Hyperspectral image analysis for classification of multiple infections in wheat

Manon Chossegros[1,2], Amelia Hubbard[3], Megan Burt[3], Richard J. Harrison[3,4], Charlotte F. Nellist[3] and Nastasiya F. Grinberg[3]

[1] Department of Chemical Engineering and Biotechnology, University of Cambridge, West Cambridge Site, Philippa Fawcett Drive, Cambridge, CB3 0AS, U.K.
[2] Laboratoire d'Informatique Medicale et d'Ingenierie des Connaissances en e-Sante, Sorbonne University, Campus des Cordeliers,15 rue de l'école de médecine, 75006 Paris, France
[3] NIAB, Park Farm, Villa Road, Cambridge, CB24 9NZ, U.K.
[4] Wageningen Plant Research, Radix, Building 107, Droevendaalsesteeg 1 6708 PB, P.O. Box 16 6700 AA Wageningen, The Netherlands.

Corresponding author: N. F. Grinberg nastasiya.grinberg@niab.com

Abstract

Plant diseases can cause heavy yield losses in arable crops resulting in major economic losses. Effective early disease recognition is paramount for modern large-scale farming. Since plants can be infected with multiple concurrent pathogens, it is important to be able to distinguish and identify each disease to ensure appropriate treatments can be applied. Hyperspectral imaging is a state-of-the art computer vision approach, which can improve plant disease classification, by capturing a wide range of wavelengths before symptoms become visible to the naked eye. Whilst a lot of work has been done applying the technique to identifying single infections, to our knowledge, it has not been used to analyse multiple concurrent infections. In this study, we investigated three wheat pathogens (yellow rust, mildew and Septoria), cultivating co-occurring infections, resulting in a dataset of 1,447 hyperspectral images of single and double infections on wheat leaves. We used this dataset to train four disease classification algorithms (based on four neural network architectures: Inception and EfficientNet with either a 2D or 3D convolutional layer input). The highest accuracy was achieved by EfficientNet with a 2D convolution input with 81% overall classification accuracy, including an 72% accuracy for detecting a combined infection of yellow rust and mildew. Moreover, we found that hyperspectral signatures of a pathogen depended on whether another pathogen was present, raising interesting questions about co-existence of several pathogens on one plant host. Our work demonstrates that the application of hyperspectral imaging and deep learning is promising for classification of multiple infections in wheat, even with a relatively small training dataset, and opens opportunities for further research in this area.

Keywords: hyperspectral imaging, convolutional neural network (CNN), septoria, yellow rust, mildew, concurrent infection

Introduction

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) is one of the world's main food sources; globally, 735 million tonnes of wheat were produced in 2015-2016 (1). Pathogens represent a significant threat to food security, with yellow rust (YR; *Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *tritici*), mildew (*Blumeria graminis* f. sp. *tritici*) and Septoria (*Zymoseptoria tritici*) amongst the most common wheat foliar diseases in the United Kingdom. Collectively, they cause 15-30% of the annual wheat losses (1,2). These foliar pathogens are identified by their characteristic visual manifestations, which at a later stage of disease progression can be seen with the naked eye. All three pathogens cause a reduction in green leaf area and therefore limit the plant's photosynthetic capability, leading to a reduction in yield. Yellow rust, also known as stripe rust, forms long yellow fine stripes on the leaves, composed of small pustules called uredia (3), mildew develops into white fluffy pustules on the surface of the plant, which are called conidiophores (4), whilst Septoria presents as irregular pale brown zones that can contain tiny dark fruiting bodies called pycnidia (1,5).

Today, disease recognition is mainly done 'by eye', which requires expertise from an assessor and can be error-prone (6,7). Computer vision for disease recognition has thus become increasingly appealing, mostly because it can detect changes invisible to the naked eye and automate the detection process (8). In the context of simultaneous infection, however, studies have shown that the computer vision can fail to label RGB images correctly (2). Hyperspectral images (HSI), on the other hand, are able to utilise a wider range of wavelengths, that go beyond the visible spectra, revealing changes in pigmentation or cell structure due to the presence of pathogens (9–11). The output is a 3D image called hypercube (12) (Figure 1a), with each pixel possessing a 2D spectral representation: reflectance of the pixel under different wavelengths (Figure 1b).

Plant pathogens can cause disease in plants through various strategies of infection (7). Yellow rust and Septoria enter the plant via the stomata, a pore at the surface of the leaf responsible for gas exchange (13). After infection by Septoria, the disease stays in a latent asymptomatic phase for 14-28 days, before entering the necrotic phase, creating irregular pale brown zones (13). Yellow rust's uredia typically appear after ten days. Mildew will show visible spores (conidium) after 5-10 days post infection (5).

In the field, however, diseases do not generally occur in isolation and the presence of multiple diseases is common in plant disease epidemics (7). Two pathogens coexisting on the same plant are likely to interact, which can increase or decrease the virulence of the infection and potentially change expression of either or both diseases.

Coexistence of Septoria, yellow rust and mildew is complex due to differences in lifestyles. Both yellow rust and mildew are obligate biotrophs and thus require living tissue to survive, while Septoria is a hemibiotroph, initially starting off as a biotroph and switching to necrotrophy, feeding on dead host tissue (1). According to studies (5,14,15), when the combined infection is successful, the proportion of infected tissue is smaller than the sum of the effects by individual pathogens. Interestingly, (16) report that yellow rust's pustules close to necrotic areas of Septoria appeared browner than their usual bright yellow orange, which could be a manifestation of the interaction between pathogens. It has previously been observed (16) that the combined infection of yellow rust and mildew reduced both the surface of infected areas and the spreading of the spores. This mutual virulence reduction is thought to be due to the high

activity of plant defence mechanisms in the presence of both pathogens, and the scarcity of available nutrients the plants have to share.

Figure 1 Example hyperspectral image of four wheat leaves a) a hypercube from three rotational angles, and b) representation of the spectrum of a single pixel (pointed to by the white arrow in a)).

Computer vision has been widely used for plant disease recognition using digital RGB images (17) and there has been a particular drive to use neural network (NN) architectures for this purpose (see e.g. reviews (18,19)). Moreover, deep learning (DL) approaches showed better results than traditional Machine Learning approaches like Random Forest and Support Vector Machines (20). In (2), for example, a neural network was designed to label 2,414 images of wheat leaves into seven categories, including healthy and seedlings. Of the leaves, 6% were infected with multiple pathogens. Although the algorithm achieved high accuracy classifying single diseases, it showed mixed results for classification of multiple co-infections. This could be due to insufficient numbers of samples with multiple co-infections as well as due to some co-occurring infections presenting very similarly. Hyperspectral imaging can potentially deal with the latter problem.

Infection by various pathogens affects not only visible RGB wavelengths but also bands between 600 and 735 nm (nanometre), which correspond to chlorophyll absorption and thus reveal the photosynthesis capacity of the imaged parts of the plant (21), and wavelength regions of 750 nm and

higher, characteristic of the water content of the leaf (22). Both the plant's chlorophyll absorption and its capacity for photosynthesis can be affected by pathogen infection. Hence, hyperspectral images, through covering a whole range of non-RGB wavelengths, can provide complementary information related to presence of infection and disease progression. This can be particularly useful when multiple infections are present. However, whilst there has been an increasing interest in hyperspectral imaging for disease detection in plants (10,23,24), to our knowledge, it has not been used to study multiple concurrent infections. In this study, we created a dataset of hyperspectral images of wheat leaves: uninoculated as well as infected by several individual pathogens and their pairwise combinations. We studied and compared spectral profiles of single and concurrent infections and trained a selection of DL models to accurately classify the infections and their combinations.

Materials and Methods

Preparation of plant samples

The yellow rust, mildew and Septoria susceptible wheat variety 'Vuka' (25) was sown in 7.5 cm diameter pots and grown in a disease-free growth room at 17 °C for 16/8 hours in the light/dark, for 10 days. Day of inoculation (Table 1) is counted from sowing of the wheat seeds. Plants were then moved to another growth room for inoculations, day and night temperatures were tailored to the pathogen, to maximise disease expression and are detailed in Table 1. Conditions were adjusted for multiple infections to synchronise symptom development.

The yellow rust isolate used in this study was isolate YR 19/215 provided by the United Kingdom Cereal Pathogen Virulence Survey (UKCPVS). Desiccated urediniospores were put into a suspension with hydrofluoroether solution and sprayed over the leaves using a compressor pump. To perform mildew inoculations, spores from infected leaves (NIAB 21-001 mildew isolate) were blown into settling towers placed over the healthy pots. Septoria inoculum R13 and R16 were harvested after one week from YPD (yeast extract peptone dextrose agar) plates. Spore concentration was then determined with a haemocytometer and diluted to 5×10^5 spores per mL. A total of 10 mL of this solution was sprayed onto each pot.

Table 1 Summary of the inoculation conditions of wheat plants depending on pathogen.

Disease	Day of inoculation	Day/night temperature (°C)
Yellow rust	10	18/11
Mildew	10	18/11
Septoria	10	22/12
Yellow rust + mildew	10 (yellow rust) 13 (mildew)	18/11
Yellow rust + Septoria	10 (septoria) 17 (yellow rust)	22/12

For multiple infections, inoculations were planned so that symptoms of each disease were at their peak at approximately the same time. For the YR + mildew combination, yellow rust and mildew inoculations were performed at 10 and 13 days, respectively. For the YR + Septoria combination, yellow rust and Septoria inoculations were performed at 10 and 17 days, respectively.

Additional leaves expressing septoria symptoms were collected from the field and included in the analysis.

Imaging of leaves
Four wheat leaves were placed adaxial side up, in 9 cm Petri dishes, affixed by Blu Tack. Care was taken to avoid damaging the leaves and thus affecting the symptom expression. Leaves exhibiting symptoms visible by eye were chosen to be part of the training dataset. These leaves were imaged with a lab-based multispectral imaging system, the VideometerLab camera. Before the acquisition of images, the camera was calibrated using the measurement of a white and a black hyperspectral image. The final image was a hypercube of size 2,192 pixels x 2,192 pixels x 19 with the 19 wavelengths covering the 375-970 nm range (Figure 2). A total of 1,447 images were taken (Table 2).

Figure 2 *A sample hypercube (from two rotational angles).*

Table 2 Final number of images generated for each treatment.

Treatment	No. of imaged leaves
Uninoculated	359
Yellow rust	275
Mildew	362
Septoria	36
YR + mildew	376
YR + Septoria	39
Total	**1,447**

Classification Models and defining class labels
The HSI dataset was analysed using two ImageNet-pretrained CNN models: Inception-V3 (26) and EfficientNet-B0 (27), each with a choice of either a 2D or 3D convolutional layer as the first step, resulting in four possible architectures.

Instead of a multilabel classification setting, where samples infected with multiple diseases could belong to more than one class, the images were labelled with six classes: Uninoculated, Septoria, mildew, YR, YR + mildew, YR + Septoria (there were no Septoria + mildew samples). This way each pairwise combination of infections corresponded to its own label with potential interactions between different diseases taken into account.

Image pre-processing and preparation of the training dataset
The specific parts of the image that were used as input samples were carefully selected, finding a balance between reducing the large size of the hyperspectral cube and keeping as much information as possible. Images were cropped to keep only the leaf area (Supplementary Fig. 1), and background was removed using thresholding followed by erosion and dilation in order to reduce noise (see e.g. (11)). Finally, images were cropped to keep only one leaf per image (Supplementary Fig. 2). In order to reduce the noise in the spectral dimension due to artefacts or abnormally bright bands, Savitzky-Golay smoothing was applied as described in (28).

In order to increase the size of the training data, the original images were supplemented with their distorted versions, vertical flips and random rotations (see e.g. for a similar treatment (29); Supplementary Fig. 3). This not only increased the size of the training data but also increased the variety of available images and made the algorithms more robust to image distortions.

Band selection
To better understand the biological process of the disease as well as to select the best bands for dimensionality reduction, the importance of each wavelength was determined. For each disease and combination of diseases, 350 infected regions of interest (ROI) of size 30 x 30 pixels^2 were selected. Additionally, 350 ROI of the same size were selected on the uninoculated leaves. The average reflectance of each ROI was measured, and each symptomatic dataset was compared with the uninoculated one via a t-test (as in (30)), for each of the 19 bands. The significance level was set to 5% and the Bonferroni correction (within each class) was applied to account for multiple testing.

To reduce the dimensionality of the problem and to alleviate the computational burden, the top four most significant (as per test statistic values) wavelengths for each of the five disease categories (Supplementary Table 1) were selected and their union used for training our models. Additionally, 570 nm and 590 nm wavelengths were also used because they were representative of the green and yellow colour changes and could visually discriminate Septoria from yellow rust. Hence, the wavelengths (in nm) used for model training were: 570, 590, 645, 660, 700, 780, 870, 890, 940, 970, with the final size of the images being, after pre-processing, 1,350 x 500 x 10 pixels^3.

Training and validation of the models
On our dataset, we trained and validated predictive accuracy of the following four architectures: 2D convolutional layer + Inception-v3 (2D Inception), 3D convolutional layer + Inception-v3 (3D Inception), 2D convolutional layer + EfficientNet-B0 (2D EfficientNet), and 3D convolutional layer + EfficientNet-B0 (3D EfficientNet).

For each architecture, we performed 3-fold cross validation whereby during each iteration, the model was trained on the two thirds of the dataset and tested on the remaining third. Thus, predictions were obtained for every sample in the dataset.

The performances of each network were evaluated according to the following metrics:

$$Overall\ accuracy = \frac{Correctly\ labeled\ images}{Total\ number\ of\ images}$$

$$Precision_{class} = \frac{TP_{class}}{TP_{class} + FP_{class}}$$

$$Recll_{class} = \frac{TP_{class}}{TP_{class} + FN_{class}}$$

where TP_{class}, FP_{class} and FN_{class} denote true positives, false positives and false negatives for a given class, respectively. Precision and recall were calculated per class whilst accuracy is an overall assessment of the algorithm's performance. Note that recall, proportion of correctly classified instances for a given class, is essentially class accuracy, relating to the algorithm's ability to identify *all* samples of a given class. Precision, meanwhile, is proportion of instances classified as the current class, that is correct. It is high when the algorithm's labels for a given class are accurate, even if not all samples of this class are identified.

Results

This study created a dataset of 1,447 hyperspectral images of uninoculated (Figure 3a), single and double infections of wheat leaves (Table 2), enabling the classification of multiple co-infections using spectral imaging. Symptoms of yellow rust (Figure 3b) and mildew (Figure 3d) were consistent and stable, whilst Septoria symptoms were highly variable and presented challenges within the timeframe of the experiment. The double infection of Septoria + mildew could not be imaged and only a few leaves presented symptoms of Septoria, and Septoria + yellow rust, which was at a very advanced stage. To counter the lack of Septoria images, 16 additional leaves were also collected from the field (Figure 3c). They had the main advantage of showing good symptoms of Septoria, but they were not the same age or of the same variety of wheat as our laboratory-grown wheat.

The expected visible manifestations of each disease were observed, urediniosporesyellow rust, conidiophores for mildew, and necrotic regions with pycnidia for Septoria (examples in Figure 3). The combination of multiple diseases exhibited both pathogen symptoms (Figure 3 e) and f)). It was also observed in a combined YR + Septoria infection, yellow rust pustules appear darker in colour than the bright orange of a single infection and the combined infection of yellow rust and mildew exhibited reduced symptoms from both diseases compared to single infections.

The average reflectance for each disease and pairs of diseases, as well as the uninoculated leaves, at each of the 19 wavelengths were plotted (Figure 4).

Figure 3 Example RGB images of symptoms of the wheat foliar diseases and their combinations investigated in this study, a) uninoculated, b) yellow rust (YR), c) Septoria, d) mildew, e) yellow rust + Septoria, f) yellow rust + mildew.

Figure 4 Difference in average reflectance between uninoculated and infected leaf surfaces. Bold lines represent average reflectance over 350 uninoculated (green) and 350 infected ROI for every single pathogen and the combined infections. Pale coloured envelopes designate +/-standard deviation for each wavelength. Vertical dotted red and blue lines designate values of interest 500 nm and 580 nm, respectively. Normalised reflectance was obtained by dividing the reflectance values by 255 to achieve the [0,1] scale.

There were some notable differences and similarities between the graphs for the five disease classes. A series of *t*-tests comparing reflectance for each band between each disease and Uninoculated leaves showed that the differences we can observe in the graph are statistically significant (with the exception

of mildew at 505 nm; Supplementary Table 2). The largest differences between the signatures were observed for wavelengths above 500 nm and below 850 nm (apart from mildew; Figure 4).

Notably, we see that the spectral signature of the yellow rust and Septoria combination does not look like spectral signatures of either of the individual infections suggesting that there is an interaction between co-occurring diseases. Reflectance for the double infection of Septoria + YR (yellow line) seems to align closely with reflectance for Septoria (blue line) until around 600 nm and with reflectance for yellow rust (purple line) after around 700 nm with the 600-700 nm range looking distinctly different from both individual diseases. Moreover, the 570 nm and 590 nm wavelengths were particularly helpful in discriminating between the two co-occurring infections (Figure 5). Similarly, the yellow rust and mildew combination presents a different spectral profile (red line) to the two individual diseases: yellow rust (purple line) differs significantly from the combination in the 500-800 nm range while mildew (turquoise line) diverges from the combination after 500 nm. As noted, above, combined YR + Septoria infection reduces symptoms of both infections and this is reflected in the reflectance graph with the double infection line (yellow line) resembling more in profile that of the uninoculated/healthy leaves (green line) than of yellow rust (purple line) or mildew (turquoise line). However, in both cases of double infections, YR + Septoria and YR + mildew, the spectral signature of the combination resembles more Septoria and mildew, respectively, rather than yellow rust (Supplementary Table 2). This might indicate that yellow rust is dominated by its co-infections or that the conditions were more optimal for the other pathogens.

Figure 5 Discriminatory wavelengths: visualisation of Septoria and yellow rust at different wavelengths, with respective symptoms circled, a) emphasis on YR at 570 nm b) emphasis on Septoria at 590 nm.

Out of the four architectures investigated, the highest overall accuracy was achieved by EfficientNet-B0 with a 2D convolutional layer. It showed the highest precision and recall for every class apart from Septoria (Table 3). The better performances of EfficientNet were probably due to its ability to capture information both in resolution and in width, which was particularly relevant for spectral images.

Furthermore, EfficientNet uses feature fusion which may have helped using both low-level and high-level features effectively.

Table 3 Overall accuracy and per class precision and recall for the four architectures. For each class column highest precision and recall are in bold; highest overall accuracy for the four methods is in bold.

Model	Metric	Uninoculated	Mildew	YR	YR + mildew	Septoria	YR + Septoria	Overall accuracy
2D Inception	recall	0.852	0.787	0.815	0.689	0.528	0.256	0.762
	precision	0.841	0.672	0.809	0.782	0.950	0.323	
3D Inception	recall	0.783	0.751	0.756	0.598	0.528	0.077	0.697
	precision	0.785	0.617	0.738	0.699	0.731	0.167	
2D EfficientNet	recall	**0.905**	**0.845**	**0.840**	**0.718**	0.556	**0.385**	**0.806**
	precision	**0.853**	**0.741**	**0.813**	**0.857**	**0.952**	**0.455**	
3D EfficientNet	recall	0.877	0.785	0.789	0.699	**0.667**	0.205	0.768
	precision	0.818	0.693	0.783	0.802	0.923	0.381	

Looking closer at the prediction accuracy per type of infection for the 2D EfficientNet model (Figure 6), note that it successfully predicted most instances of single infections for mildew (84.5%) and yellow rust (84%) as well as for the YR + mildew combination (71.8%), the latter result never having been described before. Accuracy for Septoria and YR + Septoria classes was substantially lower, likely due to the reduced number of imaged leaves (36 Septoria and 39 Septoria + YR) being insufficient for the algorithm to learn discerning features for these diseases. Interestingly, in the case of multiple diseases, when an incorrect prediction was made, 86.7% of the cases for YR + mildew and 62.5% cases for YR + Septoria, the predicted label contained one of the pathogens present on the leaf (this can easily be calculated from the confusion matrix in Figure 6).

Figure 6 Confusion Matrix for 2D EfficientNet. Observed classes are in rows and predicted classes are in columns. The larger number in ij^{th} cell is the proportion of class i predicted as class j with the smaller number being the corresponding number of samples.

Discussion

Although ubiquitous, multiple co-occurring infections have not been given nearly as much attention in the computer vision community as they deserve. There is, to our knowledge, no studies applying hyperspectral imaging to double infections in wheat (or any other crop). In this study, we have attempted to bridge this gap by demonstrating the utility of a combination of deep learning approaches and hyperspectral imaging for identifying single and double infections in wheat. We have also highlighted potential difficulties that arise in this type of analysis.

Firstly, for lab-grown samples, an important technical area to explore was optimising protocols for successfully cultivating multiple infections. Despite carefully timing each inoculation, in the case of double infections, problems were still encountered producing viable YR + Septoria samples. The yellow colour of leaves infected by YR + Septoria came from non-simultaneous peaks of infection, resulting in yellow rust being at a very advanced stage when Septoria appeared. Septoria's latent period can vary

by up to two weeks, depending on the environmental conditions and variety. Expanding the work to include additional cultivars with varying degrees of susceptibility to the three different diseases would increase the robustness of the distinguishing wavelengths observed. However, to increase the practical benefits from this study, there is a need to expand the collection of training samples to include field grown plants and plants at differing stages of infection. The latter would help to study co-evolution of concurrent infections by inspecting changes in their spectral signatures over time. Not only could this potentially shed more light on the dynamics of the interactions of concurrent infections on a host, but it could help to develop techniques for early and severity detection of diseases. Including field grown samples into the training dataset would help deploy multiple-infection classifiers into the fields (via drones or satellites) and active use in agriculture, something that has already been done with single-infection HSI-based classifiers (31–33).

Our analysis of the collected images revealed that the spectral signature of leaves infected with multiple diseases differed from the spectral signatures of the individual infections. This is something that can be noticed with the naked eye, which has been previously described in the literature (14) (34) and is now additionally confirmed by HS imaging. Presence in the training dataset, of samples infected with multiple diseases made our task of identifying them a multilabel classification consideration, where each sample can belong to more than one class. However, using the same class label for a disease whether it is the only infection affecting a leaf or one of a tandem of two infections, would ignore the fact that disease presentation might depend on any possible co-infections (e.g. pustule colour change). Treating each combination of infections as a separate class circumvented this problem as then any features characteristic of this combination were attributed to the combined class. The appearance of new spectral features in the case of combined diseases demonstrated that coexisting pathogens interact and thus behave differently when infecting a host together. This suggests that interaction between concurrent diseases potentially lead to changes in the molecular processes underlying the separate infections. Studying and understanding these biological processes, with the help of HSI, could lead to development of more efficient treatments and preventative measures for the agriculturally important crops.

The choice of the model is of utmost importance for the classification results, and the literature reveals how critical this decision can be (see (35) and references therein). ML approaches are robust and efficient but have been outperformed by Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) (2,20). Furthermore, image analysis can be considerably improved by using models that have been pretrained on the ImageNet (36) image ontology. Hence, we chose to analyse our HSI dataset using two ImageNet-pretrained CNN models: Inception-V3 (26) and EfficientNet-B0 (27),each with a choice of either a 2D or 3D convolutional layer as the first step, resulting in four possible architectures. In image analysis, CNNs involve convolution layers that pass an image through a 2D kernel (filter) (resulting in 2D-CNN), determining whether a local pattern is present in the image and thus deducing what sort of pixel arrangements are characteristic of the object class. However, to effectively use the wealth of information provided by HS images, a kernel would need to extract features spanning both spectral and spatial dimensions—a 3D kernel is needed, resulting in a 3D-CNN. It has been demonstrated in (30) that 3D-CNN performed better than 2D-CNN for the classification of grapevine disease, but higher complexity of the model comes at a computational cost and might suffer from overfitting. Inception and EfficientNet architectures both address the problem of achieving deeper networks whilst keeping dimensionality of the model manageable. More specifically, EfficientNet scales the width of the image, thus efficiently dealing with the spectral dimension and Inception uses small size convolutional filters to capture information at low cost. This made both models attractive choices for the described study. Note that for

both algorithms, Inception and EfficientNet, a version with a 2D convolutional input layer performed better than a version with a 3D convolutional layer. Intuitively, one expects a 3D input layer to perform better than 2D layer due to its ability to detect characteristic patterns both in the spatial and spectral dimensions. The fact that the opposite turned out to be true in practice might indicate the importance of patterns across neighbouring pixels (i.e. texture; 2D) rather than patterns across neighbouring wavelength (i.e. the additional 3rd dimension).

Whilst the four DL architectures we employed showed overall good accuracies, further avenues of research should include exploring the use of other DL approaches that may perhaps work better with smaller datasets (producing HS images of plant infections is laborious and DL methods are data hungry) such as Siamese (37) or relation networks (38). Additionally, our observation that 2D-CNN architectures outperformed the 3D-CNN ones highlights the importance of the leaf texture for detecting and identifying infections. Further exploration of this phenomenon and potentially explicit inclusion of texture features as inputs to classification algorithms (23) could prove to be fruitful.

Overall, we believe we have made an important first step into studying the application of HS imaging and DL to concurrent diseases in crops (with wheat as our study species). We devised a protocol for growing and inoculating wheat leaves with single and double infections and assembled a dataset of around 1,400 hyperspectral images. We demonstrated that using even our relatively modest dataset for training, high classification accuracies can be achieved by various CNN-based algorithms. Our work presents several directions for further research, including supplementing the training dataset with field samples with both single and multiple infections, the use of different classification algorithms, further study of the role of texture in classification of multiple infections and investigating interactions between concurrent infections using the HS imaging technology.

Availability of data and materials

All analyses were conducted in Python with DL models deployed using Keras (39). Code for training, deploying and testing the models can be found at https://github.com/mc2295/hyperspectralplants.

Acknowledgements

We thank the United Kingdom Cereal Pathogen Virulence Survey (UKCPVS; funded by Agriculture and Horticulture Development Board, AHDB and the Animal and Plant Health Agency, APHA) for providing the yellow rust isolate, YR 19/215, used in the study.
The authors gratefully acknowledge the support and advice on inoculation procedures from Ms Eda Naska and Ms Sarah Wilderspin, as well as Prof. Ji Zhou for providing assistance and support with the collection of images and for useful discussions.

References

1. Figueroa M, Hammond-Kosack KE, Solomon PS. A review of wheat diseases-a field perspective: A review of wheat diseases. Mol Plant Pathol. 2018 Jun;19(6):1523–36.

2. Genaev MA, Skolotneva ES, Gultyaeva EI, Orlova EA, Bechtold NP, Afonnikov DA. Image-Based Wheat Fungi Diseases Identification by Deep Learning. Plants. 2021 Jul 21;10(8):1500.

3. Chen W, Wellings C, Chen X, Kang Z, Liu T. Wheat stripe (yellow) rust caused by Puccinia striiformis f. sp. tritici. Mol Plant Pathol. 2014 Jun;15(5):433–46.

4. Smith HC, Blair ID. Wheat powdery mildew investigations. Ann Appl Biol. 1950 Dec;37(4):570–83.

5. Orton ES, Brown JK. Reduction of growth and reproduction of the biotrophic fungus Blumeria graminis in the presence of a necrotrophic pathogen. Front Plant Sci. 2016;7:742.

6. Barbedo JGA. A review on the main challenges in automatic plant disease identification based on visible range images. Biosyst Eng. 2016 Apr;144:52–60.

7. Dutt A, Andrivon D, Le May C. Multi-infections, competitive interactions, and pathogen coexistence. Plant Pathol. 2022;71(1):5–22.

8. Bock CH, Poole GH, Parker PE, Gottwald TR. Plant Disease Severity Estimated Visually, by Digital Photography and Image Analysis, and by Hyperspectral Imaging. Crit Rev Plant Sci. 2010 Mar;29(2):59–107.

9. Farber C, Mahnke M, Sanchez L, Kurouski D. Advanced spectroscopic techniques for plant disease diagnostics. A review. TrAC Trends Anal Chem. 2019 Sep 1;118:43–9.

10. Golhani K, Balasundram SK, Vadamalai G, Pradhan B. A review of neural networks in plant disease detection using hyperspectral data. Inf Process Agric. 2018 Sep;5(3):354–71.

11. Lowe A, Harrison N, French AP. Hyperspectral image analysis techniques for the detection and classification of the early onset of plant disease and stress. Plant Methods. 2017 Oct;13:80.

12. Mishra P, Asaari MSM, Herrero-Langreo A, Lohumi S, Diezma B, Scheunders P. Close range hyperspectral imaging of plants: A review. Biosyst Eng. 2017 Dec;164:49–67.

13. Gomes C, Costa R, Almeida A, Coutinho J, Pinheiro N, Coco J, et al. Septoria leaf blotch and yellow rust control by: fungicide application opportunity and genetic response of bread wheat varieties. Emir J Food Agric. 28 (7): 493–500. 2016.

14. Spadafora V, Cole Jr H. Interactions between Septoria nodorum leaf blotch and leaf rust on soft red winter wheat. Phytopathology. 1987;77(9):1308–10.

15. Van der Wal A, Shearer B, Zadoks J. Interaction between Puccinia recondita f. sp. triticina and Septoria nodorum on wheat, and its effects on yield. Neth J Plant Pathol. 1970;76(4):261–3.

16. Madariaga R, Scharen, A., L. Interactions of *Puccinia striiformis* and *Mycosphaerella graminicola* on Wheat. Plant Dis. 1986;70(7):651.

17. Kamilaris A, Prenafeta-Boldú FX. Deep learning in agriculture: A survey. Comput Electron Agric. 2018 Apr 1;147:70–90.

18. Nagaraju M, Chawla P. Systematic review of deep learning techniques in plant disease detection. Int J Syst Assur Eng Manag. 2020 Jun 1;11(3):547–60.

19. Saleem MH, Potgieter J, Arif KM. Plant Disease Detection and Classification by Deep Learning. Plants. 2019 Nov;8(11):468.

20. Boulent J, Foucher S, Théau J, St-Charles PL. Convolutional Neural Networks for the Automatic Identification of Plant Diseases. Front Plant Sci [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2023 Aug 21];10. Available from: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2019.00941

21. Oppelt N, Mauser W. Hyperspectral monitoring of physiological parameters of wheat during a vegetation period using AVIS data. Int J Remote Sens. 2004;25(1):145–59.

22. De Bei R, Cozzolino D, Sullivan W, Cynkar W, Fuentes S, Dambergs R, et al. Non-destructive measurement of grapevine water potential using near infrared spectroscopy. Aust J Grape Wine Res. 2011;17(1):62–71.

23. Guo A, Huang W, Ye H, Dong Y, Ma H, Ren Y, et al. Identification of wheat yellow rust using spectral and texture features of hyperspectral images. Remote Sens. 2020;12(9):1419.

24. Cheshkova AF. A review of hyperspectral image analysis techniques for plant disease detection and identif ication. Vavilov J Genet Breed. 2022 Mar;26(2):202–13.

25. Nass HG, Pridham ED, Mellish D, Langille JE, Walker DW. Vuka winter wheat. Can J Plant Sci. 1985;65(4):1083–4.

26. Szegedy C, Liu W, Jia Y, Sermanet P, Reed S, Anguelov D, et al. Going deeper with convolutions. In IEEE Computer Society; 2015 [cited 2023 Aug 21]. p. 1–9. Available from: https://www.computer.org/csdl/proceedings-article/cvpr/2015/07298594/12OmNyOq4YE

27. Tan M, Le QV. EfficientNet: Rethinking Model Scaling for Convolutional Neural Networks [Internet]. arXiv; 2020 [cited 2024 Mar 18]. Available from: http://arxiv.org/abs/1905.11946

28. Qi C, Sandroni M, Cairo Westergaard J, Høegh Riis Sundmark E, Bagge M, Alexandersson E, et al. In-field classification of the asymptomatic biotrophic phase of potato late blight based on deep learning and proximal hyperspectral imaging. Comput Electron Agric. 2023 Feb 1;205:107585.

29. Yu S, Jia S, Xu C. Convolutional neural networks for hyperspectral image classification. Neurocomputing. 2017 Jan 5;219:88–98.

30. Nguyen C, Sagan V, Maimaitiyiming M, Maimaitijiang M, Bhadra S, Kwasniewski MT. Early Detection of Plant Viral Disease Using Hyperspectral Imaging and Deep Learning. Sensors. 2021 Jan 22;21(3):742.

31. Dutta A, Tyagi R, Chattopadhyay A, Chatterjee D, Sarkar A, Lall B, et al. Early detection of wilt in *Cajanus cajan* using satellite hyperspectral images: Development and validation of disease-specific spectral index with integrated methodology. Comput Electron Agric. 2024 Apr 1;219:108784.

32. Matese A, Czarnecki JMP, Samiappan S, Moorhead R. Are unmanned aerial vehicle-based hyperspectral imaging and machine learning advancing crop science? Trends Plant Sci. 2024 Feb 1;29(2):196–209.

33. Abdulridha J, Min A, Rouse MN, Kianian S, Isler V, Yang C. Evaluation of Stem Rust Disease in Wheat Fields by Drone Hyperspectral Imaging. Sensors. 2023 Jan;23(8):4154.

34. Madariaga RB. Interactions of Puccinia striiformis and Mycosphaerella graminicola on wheat [PhD Thesis]. Montana State University-Bozeman, College of Agriculture; 1984.

35. Ferreira LDC, Carvalho ICB, Jorge LADC, Quezado-Duval AM, Rossato M. Hyperspectral imaging for the detection of plant pathogens in seeds: recent developments and challenges. Front Plant Sci [Internet]. 2024 Apr 11 [cited 2025 May 22];15. Available from: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2024.1387925/full

36. Deng J, Dong W, Socher R, Li LJ, Li K, Fei-Fei L. ImageNet: A large-scale hierarchical image database. In: 2009 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition [Internet]. 2009 [cited 2024 Mar 18]. p. 248–55. Available from: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/5206848

37. Chicco D. Siamese Neural Networks: An Overview. In: Cartwright H, editor. Artificial Neural Networks [Internet]. New York, NY: Springer US; 2021 [cited 2024 Mar 21]. p. 73–94. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-0716-0826-5_3

38. Sung F, Yang Y, Zhang L, Xiang T, Torr PHS, Hospedales TM. Learning to Compare: Relation Network for Few-Shot Learning [Internet]. arXiv; 2018 [cited 2024 Mar 21]. Available from: http://arxiv.org/abs/1711.06025

39. Chollet F. Keras [Internet]. 2015. Available from: https://keras.io

Supplementary Figures and Tables

Supplementary Figure 1 Cropping and preprocessing of the image: a) the original image, b) image after noise reduction and cropping.

Supplementary Figure 2 Final leaf separation: a) initial image b) separation into individual leaves -- input samples.

Supplementary Figure 3 Data augmentation: a) original image, b) vertical flipping, c) random rotation.

Supplementary Table 1 Adjusted (Bonferroni correction) p-values for the pairwise t-tests between average spectra for each disease, or pair of diseases, and uninoculated leaves, for each of the 19 wavelengths. p-values corresponding to the four largest tests statistics for each disease class are highlighted in bold.

Wavelength	Mildew	Yellow rust	Septoria	YR + septoria	YR + mildew
375	3.14×10^{-4}	2.14×10^{-30}	6.74×10^{-9}	3.05×10^{-34}	7.70×10^{-23}
405	4.45×10^{-4}	1.78×10^{-104}	2.96×10^{-19}	2.95×10^{-11}	2.11×10^{-20}
435	1.22×10^{-6}	7.63×10^{-109}	2.60×10^{-9}	4.11×10^{-53}	1.20×10^{-10}
450	8.88×10^{-6}	2.05×10^{-123}	9.58×10^{-130}	1.46×10^{-151}	7.87×10^{-23}
470	6.81×10^{-2}	1.26×10^{-148}	7.32×10^{-180}	4.80×10^{-197}	1.25×10^{-38}
505	1	1.47×10^{-191}	2.38×10^{-204}	7.61×10^{-223}	2.79×10^{-62}
525	4.73×10^{-5}	4.11×10^{-160}	3.90×10^{-52}	1.41×10^{-62}	2.26×10^{-8}
570	9.94×10^{-4}	2.43×10^{-177}	1.26×10^{-67}	2.36×10^{-91}	1.34×10^{-24}
590	2.83×10^{-6}	3.87×10^{-279}	4.19×10^{-113}	1.67×10^{-143}	6.21×10^{-30}
630	5.84×10^{-5}	0.00	2.52×10^{-244}	8.58×10^{-260}	5.92×10^{-48}
645	1.69×10^{-4}	**0.00**	**0.00**	**0.00**	2.04×10^{-66}
660	6.31×10^{-3}	**0.00**	**0.00**	**0.00**	$\mathbf{4.67 \times 10^{-101}}$
700	5.33×10^{-4}	**0.00**	**0.00**	**0.00**	$\mathbf{2.85 \times 10^{-97}}$
780	1.38×10^{-3}	**0.00**	**0.00**	**0.00**	1.44×10^{-85}
850	2.21×10^{-12}	3.75×10^{-39}	2.26×10^{-87}	9.42×10^{-75}	1.27×10^{-39}
870	$\mathbf{7.56 \times 10^{-14}}$	4.67×10^{-53}	8.46×10^{-34}	5.24×10^{-88}	1.37×10^{-69}
890	$\mathbf{2.54 \times 10^{-15}}$	6.30×10^{-59}	9.02×10^{-102}	2.67×10^{-115}	1.69×10^{-96}
940	$\mathbf{1.31 \times 10^{-16}}$	1.39×10^{-91}	1.43×10^{-39}	2.21×10^{-198}	$\mathbf{1.11 \times 10^{-155}}$
970	$\mathbf{3.87 \times 10^{-17}}$	4.61×10^{-143}	8.44×10^{-42}	4.95×10^{-245}	$\mathbf{6.24 \times 10^{-185}}$

Supplementary Table 2 Adjusted (Bonferroni correction) p-values for the pairwise t-tests between average spectra for the individual diseases and combinations of diseases containing them, for each of the 19 wavelengths. p-values significant at 5% are highlighted in bold.

Wavelength	Mildew vs YR + mildew	Yellow rust vs YR + mildew	Septoria vs YR + septoria	Yellow rust vs YR + septoria
375	**6.20×10^{-4}**	1	**1.70×10^{-11}**	1
405	2.54×10^{-1}	**106×10^{-6}**	**5.46×10^{-4}**	**6.58×10^{-5}**
435	1.09×10^{-1}	**4.19×10^{-7}**	**8.39×10^{-2}**	6.55×10^{-1}
450	5.46×10^{-1}	**2.96×10^{-5}**	1	1
470	1	**1.66×10^{-4}**	1	3.64×10^{-1}
505	1	**6.95×10^{-5}**	1	9.83×10^{-1}
525	1	**2.71×10^{-14}**	1	**4.38×10^{-11}**
570	1	**4.70×10^{-13}**	1	**8.18×10^{-10}**
590	1.19×10^{-1}	**5.49×10^{-19}**	1	**5.68×10^{-22}**
630	1.02×10^{-1}	**8.21×10^{-18}**	1	**9.22×10^{-15}**
645	**4.79×10^{-2}**	**3.21×10^{-16}**	**1.90×10^{-2}**	**1.48×10^{-5}**
660	**3.64×10^{-2}**	**5.33×10^{-15}**	**6.80×10^{-4}**	2.52×10^{-1}
700	**1.05×10^{-2}**	**1.77×10^{-14}**	**9.92×10^{-6}**	1
780	**7.58×10^{-2}**	**5.04×10^{-15}**	**1.27×10^{-3}**	1
850	**2.16×10^{-2}**	1	1	1
870	**1.72×10^{-2}**	3.38×10^{-1}	1.49×10^{-1}	1
890	**1.24×10^{-2}**	**2.35×10^{-4}**	1	1
940	**9.30×10^{-2}**	**1.37×10^{-6}**	**1.40×10^{-11}**	**4.05×10^{-3}**
970	2.93×10^{-1}	**2.36×10^{-6}**	**2.34×10^{-17}**	**1.47×10^{-2}**