1

European ash pangenome reveals widespread structural variation and a diverse genetic basis for low ash dieback susceptibility

Authors: Daniel P. Wood[1], Mohammad Vatanparast[1], Dario Galanti[1], Katherine Wheeler[1,2], Emma Curran[3], Levi Yant[3,4], Richard Whittet[5], Richard A. Nichols[6], Richard J. A. Buggs[1,6], Laura J. Kelly[1]

1. Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, Richmond, UK
2. Centre for Novel Agricultural Products, University of York, York, UK
3. School of Life Sciences, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK
4. Linnean Centre for Plant Biology, Department of Plant Biology, Uppsala BioCenter, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden
5. Forest Research, Northern Research Station, Roslin, Midlothian, UK
6. School of Biological and Behavioural Sciences, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK

Email: d.wood@kew.org; l.kelly@kew.org

Abstract

European Ash (*Fraxinus excelsior*) is a keystone forest tree species, whose populations are being decimated by Ash Dieback disease (ADB). Uncovering the genetic basis of low susceptibility to this devastating disease relies on a comprehensive understanding of genetic variation present in *F. excelsior.* A linear reference genome from a single individual cannot contain the total sequence variability within a species, including its genic regions; a pangenome more fully captures total sequence content. In this study, we developed a *F. excelsior* pangenome reference using a new chromosomal-level

phased linear reference genome, and *de novo* assemblies and long-read data from a

geographically diverse set of fifty *F. excelsior* samples. We identified 362,965 structural

variants (SVs), including 174Mb of sequence absent from the linear reference genome

(22% of the linear reference size). We demonstrated that failing to explicitly link SVs

with gene sequences can lead to substantial overestimation of dispensable genes

(those that vary in their presence/absence between individuals) due to variability in the

annotation process. Controlling for this, we identified 3,065 dispensable genes including

133 with gene ontologies associated with low disease susceptibility. We used the

pangenome to analyse existing genomic data from over 1,200 individuals to identify loci

associated with reduced susceptibility to ADB. This analysis revealed that different

genetic backgrounds harbour distinct sets of loci associated with low susceptibility,

highlighting the complexity of this trait but also the potential benefits of combining

variants from different genetic backgrounds to boost efforts to ensure the future survival

of *F. excelsior*.

Introduction

High quality reference genomes constructed from single individuals have been used to

great effect to assess genetic diversity within species, allowing mapping of short reads

from many individuals and discovery of genetic variants[1]. However, this approach

provides limited insights into larger structural variants (SVs) between individuals and

can lead to some miscalling of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs)[2]. Pangenomes

– reference genome data structures incorporating highly contiguous sequences from a

representative set of individuals – have revealed the total sequence contained in SVs

can exceed the average genome size of a species[3–6]. These SVs can encompass

genes, with some studies identifying the majority of genes within a species as

dispensable (i.e. not present in all individuals[5,7–9]). Pangenomes thus often contain

genes that would be entirely missed if using a single reference genome approach[10,11].

Indeed, novel disease resistance genes are frequently identified in pangenomes[12–14]

including in trees[15–17], suggesting pangenome approaches may be particularly valuable

when seeking to detect loci contributing to pathogen resistance. Analysis of existing

data using pangenome references has uncovered the basis of missing heritability in

some systems[18] and has enhanced our understanding of the genetic basis of a variety

of traits, often adding complementary information to that uncovered by analyses using a

linear reference genome[5,19–21]. Thus, to better understand genetic variability within a

species and its impact on traits, pangenome references incorporating diverse samples

are required[10,22].

Fraxinus excelsior (European ash)*,* a keystone tree species within Europe, is under

severe threat from ash dieback disease (ADB) caused by the invasive non-native

fungus *Hymenoscyphus fraxineus*[23,24]. Populations of ash are undergoing substantial

and rapid mortality[25–27], with high associated management costs and biodiversity loss[28–

30], attracting substantial public concern[31–34]. A small fraction of trees remain relatively

healthy under ADB inoculum pressure and quantitative resistance to the disease has a

narrow-sense heritability of approximately 0.4[35–39]. Understanding the genetic basis of

this trait is important for ascertaining the feasibility of producing new individuals with

lower susceptibility[40–44]. Stocks et al.[42] identified SNPs associated with resistance in

mainly UK populations by mapping short reads to a single reference genome; this

identified 192 SNPs significantly associated with low ADB susceptibility[38,40,45–47].

Studies in other European populations did not find SNPs strongly associated with low

ADB susceptibility[43,44], with one indicating many SNPs from Stocks et al.[42] were at very

low frequencies in mainland European populations[48], raising the possibility of diverse

mechanisms of resistance between European populations[42–44,47–49]. A pangenome

reference for the species that captures variation from different genetic backgrounds

would represent an invaluable resource to enable improved understanding of this

critically important trait. A recently published "superpangenome" of the *Fraxinus* genus

identifies interspecific SVs associated with salt tolerance, suggesting a role for these

variants in adaptation to abiotic stressors over millions of years[50]. However, this does

not capture intraspecific SVs in *F. excelsior* that may be involved in low ADB

susceptibility.

Here we construct a chromosome level, phased genome assembly for the same

individual as the first reference assembly for European ash[51] and a graph pangenome

using long-read sequencing from a diverse sample of 50 individuals across Europe.

This revealed 174Mb of additional sequence captured in structural variants, an increase

of 22% from the new linear reference genome size from a single individual. By explicitly

associating putative dispensable genes with SVs, we identified 3,065 high confidence

dispensable genes in *F. excelsior*, including 133 with gene ontology terms associated

with disease resistance. Reanalysis of poolseq data from over 1,200 individuals[42]

revealed that the majority of alleles associated with low ADB susceptibility are not

widespread across most of the seed sources within the study, highlighting the potential

for improved ADB resistance via crossing between populations within the UK.

95   Results

96   A high quality linear reference genome for European ash

A phased linear reference genome was produced for a single *Fraxinus excelsior*

individual, with total sizes of 793 and 787 Mb, N50s of 32.9 and 32.2 Mb, and L90s of

22 for the haplotype 1 (henceforth referred to as "BATG-1.0") and haplotype 2

assemblies respectively (Supplementary Table 1). *Fraxinus excelsior* has 23 pairs of

chromosomes[52] – the top 23 scaffolds of BATG-1.0 and haplotype 2 contain 93.7% and

94.5% of the total sequence in each assembly, with the 24th scaffold for each of the

haplotypes being 2.1% and 1.5% of the size of the 23rd scaffold. Telomeres were

detected at both ends of 19 of the top 23 scaffolds in BATG-1.0 and 17 of the top 23 in

haplotype 2**.** Together these results indicate the assemblies are pseudochromosomal.

Unlike other recently published pseudochromosmal assemblies of *F. excelsior*[50,53], this

assembly is not scaffolded using the *F. pennsylvanica* genome assembly[53,54]. We also

assembled and annotated the *F. excelsior* chloroplast genome; this was 155.6kb in

length with 130 annotated genes.

98.2% and 98.3% of eudicot BUSCO genes were completely assembled in the BATG-

1.0 and haplotype 2 genomes; 21% of BUSCOs were duplicated, a similar proportion as

in other *F. excelsior* assemblies (Supplementary Table 1) and consistent with the fact

that there are several whole genome duplication events in the Oleaceae, the family to

which *F. excelsior* belongs[50,55]. 98.3% of PacBio reads mapped to one or both of the

haploid assemblies. The total assembly sizes of 787 and 793Mb are smaller than the

1C genome size of 877Mb estimated by flow cytometry in Sollars et al. (2017) but are

similar to the size of unpublished pseudochromosomal assemblies of *F. excelsior*

(FRAX_001_PL; daFraExce3.hap1.1) that do not use *F. pennsylvanica* for scaffolding (see

Supplementary Table 1).

65.6% of BATG-1.0 consists of repeats, consisting mostly of LTR elements (33.0%) and

unclassified repeats (19.9%). This is higher than previously estimated for the same

individual[51], but is based on a more complete assembly that likely captures more

repetitive elements, and is similar to the repeat content of the assembly of another *F.*

excelsior individual (69.12%) and other *Fraxinus* assemblies[50]. This repeat content is

however substantially higher than *F. pennsylvanica* (48.4%[54]). Olive, also a member of

the Oleaceae, has a lower repeat content than *F. excelsior* (56.2%[56]), although tomato

(a fellow asterid with a similar 1C genome size) is more similar (65%-72%)[57,58].

Annotation of BATG-1.0 was performed using BRAKER3. Ten runs of BRAKER3 were

performed with short-read RNA-seq data and protein ortholog information, and 10 runs

with long-read RNA-seq data and protein orthologs. These identified 32,207 genes

annotated in every run, with a further 1,519 genes annotated in every run of the short-

read annotation only and 1,392 genes annotated in every run of the long-read

annotation only. A further 3,158 genes were inconsistently annotated, in either the

short- or long-read runs. To reduce the chances of erroneously identifying genes as

dispensable in the pangenome, we initially retained all of these genes, giving a total of

38,276. This is a similar number to that reported in the BATG-0.5 assembly (38,949[51])

and the *F. pennsylvanica* assembly (35,470[54]) but slightly lower than the 43,392 genes

reported in Franco Ortega et al.[53] and substantially lower than the *Fraxinus* assemblies

used in the *Fraxinus* superpangenome (48,389-53,538)[50] including their *F. excelsior*

assembly (50,327). Using OrthoFinder to identify orthologs from BATG-1.0, BATG-0.5,

F. pennsylvanica, *Olea europaea*, *Solanum lycopersicum*, *Erythranthe guttata*,

Sesamum indicum and *Salvia miltiorrhiza* (Supplementary Table 2), 5.5% of BATG-1.0

genes lacked an ortholog in another assembly, compared with 8.5% in BATG-0.5.

94.4% of eudicot BUSCO proteins were found in the total BATG-1.0 protein set,

suggesting the annotation captures the vast majority of genes in the assembly.

Structural variant calling and pangenome construction

Low susceptibility to ADB is likely a highly polygenic trait[42,49], so we prioritised sampling

a larger number of individuals to construct the pangenome instead of focusing on

constructing fewer highly contiguous assemblies, even if this restricts the types of SV

that can be analysed. Oxford Nanopore Technologies (ONT) data was generated for 49

individuals from a variety of European provenances as well as the reference individual

(Figure 1A, Supplementary Table 3). PCA of SNPs called from this data revealed little

geographic structure (Figure 1B), as was also found to be the case when a similar set of

provenances were analysed in earlier work[51].

Heterozygous structural variants called between the two high quality haploid genomes

of the reference individual were used to assess the accuracy of calling SVs using lower

coverage ONT data from the same individual with a variety of methods. These included

mapping the ONT reads to the reference haploid genome and calling SVs using

Sniffles2[59] and cuteSV[60], de novo assembling the ONT reads with Flye[61], Shasta[62] or

nextDenovo[63] and calling SVs from contigs mapped against the reference, and the

combination of read mapping and assembly mapping approaches (see Methods). This

analysis indicated that the read mapping approaches had higher recall of SVs detected

using the high quality haploid genomes, while assembly mapping approaches had

higher precision, and a combination of read mapping and mapping of the assembly

produced by Shasta gave the highest recall (84.4%) and F1 score (77.9%), with a

precision of 72.2% (Extended Data Figure 1). This third approach was therefore used to

call SVs for all 50 samples.

Merging SVs across all individuals identified a total of 737,995 SVs (Figure 2A).

Merging samples sequentially resulted in fewer new SVs discovered in the fiftieth

sample compared with the first, but the number newly detected did not plateau,

indicating that more samples would be required to exhaustively sample SVs in *F.*

excelsior (Figure 2B). SVs present in three or more individuals were retained for further

analysis (Figure 2C). This resulted in 362,965 SVs, of which 170,202 were insertions,

189,724 were deletions, 295 were inversions and 2,744 were tandem duplications

(Figure 2C). The total number of SVs represented 396Mb of variable sequence across

the *F. excelsior* individuals, including 174Mb of insertion sequences not present in the

linear reference genome (Figure 2B). 99.9% of SVs were on the 23

pseudochromosomal scaffolds and 69% overlapped with an annotated repeat in the

linear reference genome.

Pangenome annotation: explicitly linking dispensable genes with SVs

To identify dispensable genes with an explicit link to SVs in the pangenome, a genome sequence was generated for each individual by using the SV genotype calls to modify the BATG-1.0 reference fasta (i.e. deleting deletions, inserting insertion sequence, etc). Genes were *de novo* annotated for each individual genome sequence and consolidated across individuals using OrthoFinder to identify a set of unique gene models. Of the 47,449 genes, 31,117 (65.6%) were present in all individuals including the reference (Figure 3). Of the 9,449 genes absent from the BATG-1.0 genome (i.e. putatively undiscovered dispensable genes), 5,615 did not overlap with an SV in any individual. For those that did overlap with an SV, only 1,240 did so with an F1 score (a measure of how consistently a gene presence or absence was associated with an SV, see Methods) of 0.8 or above (Figure 3, Extended Data Figure 2). As in each case the non-SV sequence is identical to the reference sequence, these putatively dispensable genes may be the result of stochasticity in the annotation process, or the result of SVs elsewhere in the genome altering the mapping of RNA-seq data from the reference individual and thereby reducing evidence to support the gene annotation. Similarly, of the 6,883 genes present in the reference assembly but absent from one or more of the other assemblies, 3,159 did not overlap with an SV in any individual. For those that did overlap with an SV, only 1,825 did so with an F1 score of 0.8 or above (Figure 3, Extended Data Figure 2). Putatively dispensable genes with an F1 score of less than 0.8 were classified as invariant if present in the reference genome, or were otherwise

removed from the analysis. This brought the total number of genes in the pangenome to

39,420, of which 3,065 were dispensable (7.8% of the total). This is a substantial

reduction in dispensable genes compared with the estimated 34.4% of genes without

filtering for those consistently overlapping with SVs (Figure 3). Putatively dispensable

genes without a consistent SV association (i.e. those excluded from our final

annotation) could be affected by the limited RNA-seq data (available for the reference

individual and its mother tree only) or the particular annotation pipeline used. The final

pangenome gene set included 99.2% complete eudicot BUSCOs – more than the

98.2% captured in the BATG-1.0 sequence alone.

Functional annotation indicated that high confidence dispensable genes overall had

fewer functional annotations than invariant genes (Figure 4A). Putatively dispensable

genes that were filtered out also had a lower number of functional annotations (Figure

4A). This may be a result of these gene subsets being less conserved across species

and/or less well annotated. High confidence variable genes were enriched for ten GO

terms including "RNA-templated DNA biosynthetic process" and "transposition, RNA-

mediated", as well as "floral meristem growth" (Supplementary Table 4). Putatively

dispensable genes that were filtered out were enriched for 28 terms including "defense

response" and "regulation of auxin polar transport" that were not significant in the

dispensable genes (Supplementary Table 4). A direct comparison of the high

confidence dispensable genes with the filtered genes provided little evidence of GO

terms only enriched in the dispensable genes, or the filtered genes, differing

meaningfully in frequency between these two sets (Figure 4B).The 3,065 dispensable

genes include those with GO terms indicating a role in response to stress (324),

developmental process (310) and defense response (133), with 29 genes linked to a

function in "defense response to fungus" specifically (Supplementary Table 4). Genes

annotated for functions in leaf development (43) and leaf senescence (16) were also

included within the dispensable set – variation in Spring leaf flushing and Autumn leaf

senescence has been linked to low ADB susceptibility in some studies[38,45,64].

230   Improved short read mapping, SNP calling and SV calling using

231   the pangenome

We next tested whether using our pangenome reference as opposed to a linear

reference sequence improves read mapping for short-read sequenced individuals.

While overall mapping to our pangenome produced little effect on the total number of

short reads mapped in proper pairs (Extended Data Figure 3), we observed general

improvements based on other metrics: this includes increases in mean mapping quality

and alignment score (1.4% and 1.2% on average, respectively Extended Data Figure 3),

a reduction in the total number of substitutions (3.0% on average, Extended Data Figure

3), and a large reduction in soft clipped sequence (33.5% on average, Extended Data

Figure 3). Overall, this indicates the pangenome improves the accuracy of short-read

read mapping.

Examination of allelic depths of homozygous alternate, reference and heterozygous

sites showed SVs and SNPs exhibit similar distributions of reference and alternate

alleles (Extended Data Figure 4). MAF distributions and deviation from HWE for the two

variant types were also similar (Extended Data Figure 5A, B). Patterns of linkage decay

with distance were broadly similar in SNP-SNP, SV-SV and SNP-SV comparisons, with

slightly faster linkage decay between SVs, and between SVs and SNPs, compared with

between SNPs (Extended Data Figure 5C). Together, these suggest SNP and SV calls

behave in a similar way for individually sequenced samples.

To investigate the accuracy of allele frequency estimations from short-read poolseq

data, we constructed an *in silico* pool with an equivalent number of individuals and

depth to the average *in vitro* pool from Stocks et al.[42]. Allele frequency estimates for

SNPs were estimated using popoolation2[65]. Similar software does not exist for

estimating SV allele frequencies from pangenomes. Whilst vg[66] does not explicitly

support poolseq allele frequency estimation, we called SVs for the pools using vg and

used the depths of reference vs. alternate alleles to estimate SV frequency in the pools.

Correlation between the alternate allele frequencies from the individual based calling

and estimates from the *in silico* pool was high for SNPs (slope = 0.94, R^2 = 0.93). For

SVs, whilst the overall correlation was high (slope = 0.96, R^2 = 0.85), there were a

minority of SVs where the pool based estimates differed substantially from the individual

based calling (Extended Data Figure 6A, B). Poolseq data from Stocks et al.[42] was

mapped to the pangenome, and SNP and SV frequencies analysed. PCA recovered

similar population structures from SV and SNP frequencies estimated using these pools

(Extended Data Figure 6C, D), as has been found in other studies[66,67]. Together, these

results suggest that allele frequencies of most SVs can be captured accurately by

mapping poolseq data to a pangenome.

GWAS with SVs and SNPs

We reanalysed poolseq data from Stocks et al. [42] using the pangenome. In that study,

for 13 seed sources, a pool of healthy trees and a pool of unhealthy trees (42 trees per

pool on average) from field trials heavily affected by ADB were sequenced (see

Methods for details). We performed a GWAS for low ADB susceptibility using SV allele

frequencies estimated from this data, with a Cochran-Mantel Haenszel (CMH) test[68,69],

a commonly used statistic for identifying associations from poolseq data[42,65,70–74]. This

identified 531 significant SVs (p-value cutoff of 1 x 10^{-13}; Extended Data Figure 7A).

However, many of these sites showed inconsistent allele frequency estimates from the

in silico pools vs. individual genotyping as assessed in the above section (261 had a

discrepancy in frequency estimation > 0.2; see Extended Data Figure 7B vs. Extended

Data Figure 6B). Whilst some of the 531 significant SVs may be truly correlated with low

ADB susceptibility, many are likely false positives resulting from errors in the estimation

of allele frequencies. These results indicate SV allele frequency estimates from poolseq

data are insufficiently accurate for a reliable GWAS.

Our estimated allele frequencies for SNPs called from the Stocks et al.[42] poolseq data

were used to perform a GWAS for low susceptibility to ADB using the CMH test, and to

identify regions with the top 1% of F_{st} values per chromosome between multiple pairs of

healthy and unhealthy pools (Figure 5A). The CMH test identified 92 SNPs passing a

significance threshold of 1 x 10^{-13}. These had large allele frequency shifts in some pools

(Supplementary Figure 1) but generally did not shift consistently between healthy and

unhealthy pools for the majority of pairs. Only 15.2% of significant SNPs showed allele

frequency shifts in the same direction in more than 80% of pairs (Figure 5B). This was

partially due to many of these SNPs only being present in subsets of pools - only 28.2%

of significant SNPs were segregating in more than 80% of pairs (see also

Supplementary Note 1, Supplementary Figure 1). A quasibinomial generalized linear

moldel (qGLM) test, able to identify consistent allele frequency shifts across

heterogenous pairs of pools[70], did not identify any significant sites (Supplementary Note

1, Supplementary Figure 2). Similarly, the maximum number of pairs of pools sharing an

F_{st} outlier window was 8, from a total of 15 pairs of pools. For F_{st} outlier windows shared

between 5 and 8 pairs of pools, diverse combinations of provenances were

represented. For example, the 80 outlier windows shared by 6 pairs of pools were made

up of 34 different combinations of provenances, with the most frequently occurring

combination found 12 times (Supplementary Table 5). Together, these analyses

suggest that the genetic basis of low ADB susceptibility is highly variable across the

sampled provenances.

A rare insertion is strongly associated with low ADB susceptibility

in some provenances

In the SNP GWAS, a single 1,767 bp region on chromosome 15 of BATG-1.0 was

identified in both the CMH and F_{st} outlier analyses as associated with ash dieback

damage. This region contained 55 of the 92 significant SNPs from the CMH test, with up

to 7 of the 15 pairs of pools showing F_{st} outliers in this window (Figure 5C). 1.3kb of this

region was annotated as repetitive, including an 888bp fragment annotated as

LTR/Gypsy (Figure 5C). No gene was annotated in this region or within 5kb of it. Five

pairs of pools showed clear increases in depth across this region for one of the pools

(e.g. Figure 5D; see Extended Data Figure 8 for all pairs), consistent with the presence

of a high frequency insertion sequence closely resembling this region but not

necessarily in this location. Searching this region against the sequences of insertions

detected by the long-read sequencing – including those present in fewer than 3

individuals, and therefore not included in the pangenome – did not identify an insertion

sequence corresponding to the region of increased depth. This indicates that this SV

was not captured during construction of the pangenome. Of the five pairs of pools

showing an increased depth in this region, four (FTT-SO, NSZ-302, NSZ-303 and NSZ-

405) showed an increase in the healthy pool, whereas one (NSZ-107) showed an

increase in the unhealthy pool (Extended Data Figure 8). It should be noted that NSZ-

107 had two biological replicates, each representing healthy or unhealthy individuals

from two different sets of trial sites[42]; only one of the two replicates showed a difference

in depth in this region between the two pools.

Discussion

Here we present a new high quality linear reference genome for the same individual as

used for the first ash reference genome[51] (BATG-0.5), and a pangenome for European

ash from a geographically diverse sample of individuals, including some with low

susceptibility to ash dieback (ADB). The new linear reference assembly is 793Mb long,

with 94% in 23 scaffolds. This represents a substantial improvement compared to

BATG-0.5, with an L90 of 22 in BATG-1.0 compared to 12,210 in BATG-0.5. The

pangenome of 50 individuals captures 362,965 SVs, representing 396Mb of variable

sequence, including 174Mb that is absent from the linear reference genome (equivalent

to approximately 22% of its length). This is broadly similar to other recent pangenome

studies, although there is substantial variability across these[3,15,75–88] (Extended Data

Figure 9A, 9B; Supplementary Table 6). Our analyses likely underestimate the total SV

diversity in *F. excelsior*, as each additional individual contributed novel SVs to the

collection. A comparison of SVs called between the chromosome-level haploid

assemblies, and ONT data for the same individual, indicates our SV calling approach

has a precision of 72.2% (although a recall of 84.4%) compared with using

chromosome-level phased assemblies, suggesting some SVs included in the

pangenome may be false positives. However, it should be noted that i) as heterozygous

sites only have half the read support of homozygous alternate sites – it is likely the latter

can be detected with higher recall and precision, and ii) the fact that we only included

SVs called in at least three samples in the pangenome potentially reduces the number

of false positives. Our results highlight the substantial diversity of SVs in this species,

consistent with high SNP genetic diversity[51], but also underline that more extensive

sampling is required to fully capture commonly segregating SVs.

We identified 6.6% of genes in the pangenome as dispensable; this is substantially

lower than most other pangenomes, which typically report 20-60% of genes or gene

families as being variable[3,15,75–88] (Extended Data Figure 9C, Supplementary Table 6).

As noted above, our pangenome only contained variants called in at least three

individuals, so potentially misses the rarest dispensable genes. However, a substantial

proportion of the difference in gene number is explained by our filtering of genes not

reliably associated with an SV. Without this filter, a more typical 33% of genes in the

pangenome are classified as dispensable (Extended Data Figure 9C, Supplementary

Table 6). We believe this filter is justified as variability in the annotation process has

previously been found to underlie putatively dispensable gene sets[85,88]. Indeed,

previous pangenome studies have identified "core" genes as being more highly

expressed[7,77,80,83,89,90]. This relationship could be due to these genes performing critical

biological functions, but also could be the result of more highly expressed genes having

more RNA-seq data evidence and being more accurately annotated as a result.

Functional groups typically found as enriched among dispensable genes in other

studies, such as defense and auxin response[3,7,77,85,87,91] were enriched in our initial set

of variable genes, but not following our filtering process. It should however be stressed

that there was no clear functional distinction between the final set of dispensable genes

and those removed during filtering, and that RNA-seq data from the specific samples

used to construct the pangenome may have resulted in more accurate annotation.

Nevertheless, our results emphasise the importance of confirming that putatively

dispensable genes are driven by underlying sequence differences, to distinguish them

from possible non-biological annotation variability. The genes we identified as

dispensable with high confidence include 133 with defense-related functions,

emphasising the importance of pangenomes in understanding the genetic basis of

disease resistance traits.

Using a pangenome reference allows improved short-read mapping for *F. excelsior*, as

has been found for other species[11], and we were able to successfully call individual

SNPs and SVs from individually sequenced samples. SV genotyping from short-reads is

generally more challenging than SNPs[92–94], and we are not aware of previous studies

calling allele frequencies of poolseq data mapped to a pangenome graph. Our results

indicate poolseq data can be used to estimate allele frequencies accurately for most

SVs, but the approach generates more discrepancies with individual based genotyping

than is the case for SNPs. SVs showing these discrepancies were particularly likely to

be identified as significant in a GWAS using a CMH test, resulting in many likely false

positives. The development of dedicated software for estimating SV allele frequencies

from poolseq data, equivalent to those available for SNPs, is required in order to make

full use poolseq data in the pangenome era. Nevertheless, some of the SVs identified

as significant from the CMH test are potentially involved in low ADB susceptibility –

future studies using individually genotyped data could be used to confirm this.

Analysis of SNP allele frequency estimates from the Stocks et al.[42] poolseq data did not

find allele frequency shifts that were consistent across the majority of provenances

sampled, which were mostly of UK origin. SNPs identified as significantly associated

with low ADB susceptibility by a CMH test mostly changed frequency between healthy

and unhealthy pools for a small number of provenances. This pattern can sometimes be

explained by the fact that the site was not polymorphic in some of the pairs. For those

provenances where allele shifts were detected, these were not always in a consistent

direction; such patterns could reflect epistasis, or interactions with test site effects.

Similarly, windows of high F_{st} between healthy and unhealthy pools, when shared

across provenances, were often shared by diverse combinations of provenances. These

results suggest that different populations possess different alleles associated with low

ADB susceptibility. If these are additive, crossing individuals from different provenances

may lead to improved resistance to this disease, although possible epistasis or site-

specific effects could confound this. Variation in allele presence or effects in different

populations may contribute to the difficulty in identifying individual SNPs significantly

associated with low ADB susceptibility in diverse sets of populations[43,44], and is

consistent with a lack of variability of many of the SNPs associated with low ADB

susceptibility in Stocks et al. (2019) in other European populations[48].

The potential importance of SVs in mediating low ADB susceptibility is highlighted by

the fact that the region most strongly associated with this trait in our SNP analyses likely

represents an insertion sequence. This variant is segregating in a minority of

provenances, but seemingly has a strong (if not entirely consistent) association with low

susceptibility to ADB. Despite a wide sampling, with particular emphasis on UK

provenances, this candidate SV was not detected by the individual SV calling in this

study. This is consistent with the number of SVs captured in the pangenome failing to

plateau when adding the fiftieth sample, despite a large total number of SVs and

substantial extra sequence being added (Figure 2). Nevertheless, our newly developed

pangenome encompasses a diverse set of SVs from across the geographic range of

European ash, including a large quantity of previously uncharacterised sequence and

dispensable genes, making it an essential resource for full investigation of the role of

both SNPs and SVs in key traits of this important tree species.

Methods

Reference assembly

To construct a phased, chromosome-level genome, we sampled tree 2451S, which was produced in 2002 by the FRAXIGEN project via the selfing of a tree in UK native seed zone (NSZ) 403 and archived at the Earth Trust. We sampled a potted graft of 2451S growing at Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew. The graft was created by Forest Research in 2017 using a rootstock from a *F. excelsior* individual of unknown provenance. Leaf tissue from this graft was flash frozen in liquid nitrogen in May 2022 and sent to Cantata Bio, CA, USA (formerly Dovetail Genomics) on dry ice, where DNA extractions, library preparation, sequencing and genome assembly was performed as outlined below.

DNA was extracted by Cantata Bio using an in-house CTAB protocol and quantified using Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The SMRTbell Express Template Prep Kit 2.0 (PacBio, Menlo Park, CA, USA) using the manufacturer recommended protocol was used to construct a PacBio SMRTbell library (~20kb) for PacBio Sequel. The library was bound to polymerase using the Sequel II Binding Kit 2.0 (PacBio) and loaded onto PacBio Sequel II). Sequencing was performed on PacBio Sequel II 8M SMRT cells, resulting in 66Gb data (approximately 75X coverage based on an estimated 1C genome size of 877Mb[51]. For the Omni-C libraries, chromatin was fixed in place with formaldehyde in the nucleus and then extracted. Fixed chromatin was digested with DNAse I, chromatin ends were repaired and ligated to a biotinylated bridge adapter followed by proximity ligation of adapter containing ends. After proximity

ligation, crosslinks were reversed and the DNA purified. Purified DNA was treated to

remove biotin that was not internal to ligated fragments. Sequencing libraries were

generated using NEBNext Ultra enzymes and Illumina-compatible adapters. Biotin-

containing fragments were isolated using streptavidin beads before PCR enrichment of

each library. The library was sequenced on an Illumina HiSeqX platform to produce

approximately 30X sequence coverage in 150bp paired-end reads. The combined

Illumina and PacBio data was used to produce an initial assembly with hifiasm v0.15.4-

r347[95] using default parameters. The *de novo* assembly and Dovetail OmniC library

reads were used as input data for HiRise[96] to produce a draft assembly. OmniC library

reads were then aligned to the draft input assembly using BWA[97] and reads with MQ <

50 removed. The mapping information was analyzed using HiRise to produce a

likelihood model for genomic distance between read pairs, and the model was used to

identify and break putative misjoins, and to score and make joins.

After receiving the assemblies and raw data from Cantata Bio, the haploid genome

assemblies were assessed using QUAST v5.2[98] and BUSCO v5.5.4 using the

eudicots_odb10 database[99]. To assess potential contaminants, scaffolds from the most

contiguous of the two haploid genomes (henceforth referred to as "BATG-1.0", with the

less contiguous haploid genome referred to as "haplotype 2") were searched against the

NCBI nt database (downloaded 21/02/23) using blast+ v2.11.0[100] with settings -

max_target_seqs 10 -max_hsps 1 -evalue 1e-25. To assess coverage, the PacBio data

was mapped to the contigs using minimap2 v2.5[101]. The combined data was analysed

using blobtools v4.0.7[102]. Three scaffolds were identified as potential contaminants (with

lengths between 14,626 and 35,091kb), representing 0.009% of the total assembly size.

The PacBio data was used to *de novo* assemble a chloroplast genome for *F. excelsior*

using Oatk v1.0[103] using HMMER v.3.1b2 (hmmer.org) with parameter settings -c 30 -k

1001 and a chloroplast gene profile database provided by OatK (embryophyta_pltd).

Annotation was performed using GeSeq v2.03[104] using default settings.

Long-read RNA extraction and sequencing

Long-read RNA seq data from leaf and cambium tissue was generated to annotate the

new genome assembly from the reference individual. Leaf material was collected from

the same grafted tree as sampled for the reference assembly (see above) in May 2022

and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. For cambium tissue, twigs were sampled in January

2023 and the layer of tissue immediately below the bark was isolated using a fresh

razor blade before flash freezing in liquid nitrogen. Tissue was stored in a -80 freezer

until RNA extraction.

For long-read RNA extraction, a modified version of the Qiagen RNeasy Plant Mini Kit

standard protocol was used (Supplementary Note 2). In brief, 25-30mg tissue was used

with the standard kit protocol (including the optional DNase step) and eluted in 50µl

RNase-free water. 450µl buffer RLT was added to the RNA, and the RNeasy kit protocol

was repeated (without the DNase step). RNA was quantified using a Nanodrop 2000

and a Promega QuantiFluor® RNA System Kit using a Quantus fluorometer. Samples

were shipped to the Deepseq facility at the University of Nottingham and fragment

length determined with an Agilent Tapestation using an RNA Screentape kit.

cDNA libraries were prepared using an Oxford Nanopore PCR-cDNA Sequencing Kit

(SQK-PCS109), loaded onto R9.4.1 flowcells and sequenced on a Gridion X5 Mk1 –

this was performed once for the cambium library and twice for the leaf library. Runs

were performed with high-accuracy basecalling (running on MinKNOW software version

22.12.5). All data reported relates to reads that passed a base calling quality filter

(PHRED score >9).

Long-read pangenome sampling

In sampling individuals for the ash pangenome, we aimed to i) sample a broad range of

European populations, with ii) a particular focus on UK diversity by sampling individuals

representing different NSZ in the UK[105] (see

https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachmen

t_data/file/797909/Regions_of_provenance_and_seed_zone_map.pdf). With this

approach we aimed to capture a global set of variants from across the species range,

whilst increasing our chances of capturing SVs segregating in the mainly UK

populations for which short-read data is available from Stocks et al.[42].

In total, 50 samples were used for long-read sequencing. To maximise the chances of

identifying SVs segregating in the poolseq data from Stocks et al.[42] one individual from

each of the 13 NSZ used in the Forest Research (FR) mass screening field trial at North

Burlingham, Norfolk, UK (Site 16)[42] was sequenced, with the exception of NSZ-204

where two were sequenced, as individually sequenced data for this provenance were

available from Stocks et al.[42] The North Burlingham site was planted in 2013 with two-

year-old saplings that were grown for non-research purposes in commercial nurseries

from seed sources from 15 different provenances. The site contained four complete

replicate plots for each seed source, with 5,000 trees per ha (a spacing of 1 m× 2 m). At

the time of sampling, the site had high *H. fraxineus* inoculum pressure from naturally

established infections, and ADB damage was severe throughout. In 2022 (when trees

were eleven years old) individuals were sampled that appeared relatively healthy within

each plot, and scored between 3 and 5 on the damage scale of Pliura et al.[36] (we

excluded the score of 0, which indicates trees that died soon after planting) to increase

the chances of capturing alleles for low ADB susceptibility. Three wild trees of unknown

age from seed zones in the UK not covered by the FR trial populations (NSZ-305, NSZ-

406, NSZ-402) were also included to further capture UK diversity. To increase the

likelihood of including more of the range-wide diversity of structural variation in *F.*

excelsior, one individual from each of 29 mostly mainland European provenances was

sampled from the Realising Ash's Potential (RAP) trial (established in 2005), as well as

two from provenances in the FRAXIGEN trial (established in 2004), both at Paradise

Wood, Earth Trust, Oxfordshire. Finally, leaf material from the individual used for the

linear reference genome was also included. Sample information is outlined in

Supplementary Table 3, including approximate coordinates of origin where available. A

map based on these coordinates is shown in Figure 1A , overlaid with a shapefile of the

F. excelsior native range from Caudullo et al.[106].

Sampling for long-read DNA sequencing was performed in May 2022. For samples

collected in the field, intact stems with leaves were excised from individual trees using

secateurs or a pole pruner, wrapped in damp tissue paper and sealed in airtight plastic

bags before returning to RBG Kew for storage at 4°C. Leaf tissue was removed from the

stems and frozen within 48 hours of collection by immersing in dry ice, and then stored

at -80°C prior to DNA extraction.

Long-read DNA extraction and sequencing

For DNA extraction, a modified CTAB protocol was used[53] (see Supplementary Note 3

for full protocol). In brief, 300-400mg tissue was disrupted in liquid nitrogen and added

to 8ml Carlson buffer with 20µl beta-mercaptoethanol and 40µl Qiagen Proteinase K.

This was incubated at 65°C for 1 hour, before adding 16µl Qiagen Rnase A and

incubated for a further 15 minutes. 1 volume of SEVAG solution was added, the tube

inverted, and centrifuged for 10mins at 4°C before the aqueous phase was removed.

This step was then repeated. 0.7 volumes of isopropanol was added and the tube

incubated at 4°C for 15mins. The sample was centrifuged at 4°C for 30mins to

precipitate the DNA and resuspended in Qiagen buffer AE before being redissolved in

5ml Qiagen Buffer G2 for 15 minutes. A Qiagen Genomic Tip 100/G Kit was used to

purify the DNA; the "Isolation of Genomic DNA from Blood, Cultured Cells, Tissue,

Yeast or Bacteria using Genomic-tips" protocol from the kit handbook was used, with

the modification of using 10ml rather than 7.5ml buffer QC. DNA was eluted in 100µl

buffer 1x TE and left to resuspend at room temperature and then at 4°C for up to 3 and

4 days respectively. DNA was quantified using a Quantus Flurometer with QuantiFlour

dsDNA kit, and a Nanodrop 2000. Integrity was measured using an Agilent Tapestation

with a Genomic DNA kit, and the DNA shipped to the University of York on dry ice to

prevent fragmentation during transit, where library preparation was performed as

described below.

Short fragment elimination was performed using a Circulomics SRE Standard Kit.

Library preparation was performed using the Oxford Nanopore Technologies (ONT)

SQKNBD114.24 (Protocol version NBE_9169_v114_revD_15Sep2022-promethion.pdf)

library preparation kit, with the following modifications: i) Reaction volumes were

proportionately scaled up to 60µl total for end repair and A-tailing, and incubation times

increased to 30 minutes each at 20°C and 65°C, ii) Reaction volumes were doubled for

the barcode adapter ligation step, which was performed at room temperature for 1 hour.

Barcode-ligated samples were bead cleaned separately before pooling at appropriate

volumes prior to adapter ligation. Native barcodes were ligated and reads demultiplexed

by barcode following the run. Libraries were loaded onto PromethION flowcells, runs

commenced on a Gridion with live super accuracy base calling (running on MinKNOW

software version 22.10.5) with barcode demultiplexing and adapter trimming. All data

reported relates to reads that passed a base calling quality filter (PHRED score >10).

Long-read data analysis

Simplex data for the ONT individuals was trimmed, firstly using Trimmomatic v0.39[107]

with settings LEADING:7 TRAILING:7, followed by Nanofilt v2.8.0[108] with minimum

average read quality of 7 and length 1000. Quality was inspected and summary

statistics generated using Nanoplot v1.4.10[109], FastQC v0.11.9[110] and multiQC v1.14[111].

Reads were mapped to BATG-1.0 using minimap2 v2.5[101], with PCR duplicates and

reads with mapping quality (MAPQ) <20 removed using samtools v1.9[97].

To inspect population structure of these samples, SNPs were called individually for all

samples other than the reference genome individual using Clair3 v.1.0.5[112] with model

r941_prom_sup_g5014. Genotypes with DP < 8 or > 60 were set to missing and SNPs

merged using bcftools v1.16[113]. Sites with >10% missing data or MAF < 0.05 were

excluded. Plink2 v2.00a3.3LM[114] was used to identify unlinked SNPs (using setting –

indep-pairwise 50 10 0.1) and calculate a PCA using default settings.

Read-based SV calling was performed using the long-read data generated for all 50

samples using Sniffles2 v2.0.7[59] and cuteSV v2.0.3[60], two tools that rank highly across

several comparisons of read-based SV calling software[115–122]. Both tools were run with

a minimum read support of 5, and cuteSV with the additional parameters –

max_cluster_bias_INS 100 –max_cluster_bias_DEL 100 –diff_ratio_merging_INS 0.3 –

diff_ratio_merging_DEL 0.3. Breakends (BND) or sites which were genotyped as

homozygous reference were removed.

To complement the read-based SV calling, *de novo* assemblies were produced from the

ONT read data. *De novo* assembly from trimmed reads was performed using shasta

v0.11.1[62] with the Nanopore-May2022 config file, Flye v2.9.2-b1786[61] with default

settings, and nextDenovo v.2.5.0[63] with an estimated genome size of 850Mb. Assembly

contiguity was assessed using QUAST. SVs were called using these assemblies by

mapping them to BATG-1.0 using minimap2 in asm10 mode. SVs were called with

svim-asm v.1.02[123] using alignments with a minimum MAPQ of 20 and minimum SV

size of 50. Haplotype 2 of the linear reference genome was also mapped to BATG-1.0,

and SVs called using the above method. SV calls between the two pseudochromosomal

haploid genomes were compared to SV calls from the ONT data from the same

individual using the various approaches, with SV sets merged using SURVIVOR

v1.0.7[124] using settings 200 2 1 1 1 50 for the intersection of sets and 200 1 1 1 1 50 for

the union. Assuming the SV calls between the two haploid linear reference genomes

are a "truth" set, precision, recall and F1 were calculated for the various SV calling

methods from the lower coverage ONT data. Based on these comparisons (see

Results), SVs for each individual were included if they were called in at least one of i)

cuteSV and Sniffles2 ii) svim-asm from mapping the shasta assembly to BATG-1.0.

These SVs were merged across all 50 individuals using SURVIVOR with settings 200 2

1 1 1 50. SVs that overlapped with Ns in the reference were removed. SVs that were

called in at least 3/50 individuals were identified using bcftools v1.16 and used for

downstream analysis. The overlap between these SVs and the repeat annotation was

assessed using the bedtools v2.28.0[125] window function with parameter -w 1.

Consensus sequences for insertions and deletions were searched against the ncbi nt

database using blast+ with parameters -max_hsps 1 -evalute 1e^{-25}, with the resulting

data loaded into blobtools. Based on analysis with blobtools, four sequences were

identified as putative contaminants and the associated SVs removed from the vcf. The

resulting vcf, as well as the BATG-1.0 fasta was used to construct a pangenome using

the vg v1.64.0[66] construct command with option -v -S -a.

Linear reference and pangenome annotation

Repeats were identified using Repeatmodeler v2.0.1[126] in LTRStruct mode. The outputs

of this were combined with the custom repeat library used previously to mask the

BATG-0.5 assembly[51], and used to mask the genome with Repeatmasker v4.1.2[127] with

the parameters -wublast -nolow. Telomere sequences were identified using Teloscope

v0.0.9[128] with default settings using the canonical plant telomeric repeat CCCTAAA. To

annotate the linear reference genome, short-read RNA-seq data from leaf, root,

cambium and flower tissue that had been used to annotate the BATG-0.5 assembly[51],

was downloaded from ENA and mapped to BATG-1.0 using STAR v2.7.10b with default

parameters[129]. The long-read RNA-seq data generated in this study was trimmed firstly

using Trimmomatic v0.39[107] with settings LEADING:7 TRAILING:7, followed by Nanofilt

v2.8.0[108] with minimum average read quality of 7 and minimum length 100. The trimmed

data was then mapped to the BATG-1.0 reference genome using minimap2 v2.5[101] .

Variability in the gene annotation process could lead to false positives during the

identification of variable genes[16,130], so we repeated the annotation process multiple

times and combined the results. BRAKER3[131] was run 10 times on the softmasked

genome using the bam files from the short read data, and protein ortholog data from

Viridiplantae from orthodb v11[132]. A version of BRAKER3 capable of using long-reads

(singularity build braker3_lr.sif docker://teambraker/braker3:devel) was also run 10

times using the bam files from the long read data and the same protein ortholog set as

used with the short read data. To combine the outputs of the runs, the amino acid

sequences of the longest transcript per gene (minimum length 30 amino acids) for each

run were clustered into orthogroups using Orthofinder v2.5.5[133]. Within each orthogroup,

groups of sequences with non-overlapping positions within the BATG-1.0 assembly

(likely representing recent duplicates) were separated using the bedtools intersect

utility. For each of these groups, the gene model with the longest transcript from the set

of 20 BRAKER3 runs was chosen. The features for the selected gene models were

combined into a single bed file. The amino acid sequences from the final set of

transcripts was used as the input for Orthofinder, along with protein sequences for

BATG-0.5[51], *Fraxinus pennsylvanica*[54], *Olea europaea* subsp. *europaea*[134], *Solanum*

lycopersicum[135], *Erythranthe guttata*[136], *Sesamum indicum*[137] and *Salvia miltiorrhiza*[138]

(Supplementary Table 2).

To annotate the pangenome, sequences and locations of structural variants were used

to modify the sequence of the BATG-1.0 fasta, which was then annotated. As most SVs

were defined from read mapping to BATG-1.0 rather than from the individual *de novo*

assemblies, this approach maximises the opportunity for novel genes/gene deletions to

be explicitly linked to underlying SVs. The steps were as follows (see Extended Data

Figure 10 for an explanatory diagram): for each individual, a non-overlapping set of SVs

detected in that individual was extracted from the combined vcf of SVs used to build the

pangenome. Where SVs overlapped, insertions were retained in priority to other types

of SVs, and longer SVs were retained in priority to shorter ones. Based on these sets of

SVs, sequence was then inserted, deleted, or inverted in the reference fasta (the SV

sequence in the combined vcf of SVs used to build the pangenome was used, so the

same sequence was used to represent a given SV in different individuals) to produce a

transformed fasta for each individual in turn. The transformed fasta was then annotated

for each individual. Repeats were annotated and softmasked, and long and short read

RNA-seq data from the reference individual were mapped to each transformed fasta

using the same methods as for the reference sequence. A single run of the short-read

BRAKER3 protocol, and a single run of the long-read BRAKER3 protocol was

performed as detailed above. For these two runs for each individual, the Orthofinder

approach as outlined previously was used to consolidate the outputs of BRAKER3 into

a single set of proteins. Orthofinder was then run again for each set of proteins across

the 50 individuals, as well as the proteins from the consensus annotation of BATG-1.0.

Non-overlapping groups of sequences were separated using bedtools as before. For

each gene, bedtools intersect was used to identify SVs that overlapped the gene

sequence. Novel genes not present in the BATG-1.0 genome should overlap with an

SV, as should deletions of genes present in the reference genome. For each novel gene

that overlapped a SV at least once (i.e. in at least one sample, including the reference

individual sequenced with long reads), an F1 score was calculated to identify the

strength of the association between the new gene and the SV: N_Gene_SV / (

N_Gene_SV + 0.5*N_Gene_NSV + 0.5*N_NGene_SV) where N_Gene_SV is the

number of samples with the gene and the SV, N_Gene_NSV is the number of samples

with the gene but without the SV, and N_NGene_SV is the number of samples without

the gene but with the SV. For novel genes overlapping more than one SV, the SV with

the highest F1 score was retained as potentially causal for the novel gene. An

equivalent calculation was performed for genes present in the BATG-1.0 genome but

absent in at least one sample, where an SV overlapped with the annotation coordinates

for the gene in the reference. Genes with an F1 score of 0.8 or above were retained in

the final pangenome annotation as being dispensable; for those with an F1 score of <

0.8, those absent in the BATG-1.0 genome were removed, and those present in the

BATG-1.0 genome were classified as invariant. Genes did not overlap an SV in any

sample were classified as invariant if they were annotated in BATG-1.0 and were

absent in some other samples, and discarded if they were absent in BATG-1.0 but

present in some other samples.

The complete set of potential pangenome genes (i.e. before removing those with an F1

score <0.8) was functionally annotated. Protein sequences were searched against the

NCBI SR database (downloaded 20/08/24), and UniProt-SwissProt database

(downloaded 24/07/24) using DIAMOND v2.1.9.163[139] in blastp mode. Interproscan

v5.69.101.0[140] with default settings was used to search for protein domains. GO terms

were assigned using eggNOG-mapper v2.1.12[141] by using DIAMOND blastp to search

the eggNOG database. GO enrichment was performed using topGO v2.52.0[142] using

annot = annFun.gene2GO, nodeSize = 10 and the exact Fisher test with Bonferonni

correction. OrthoFinder was run with the complete set of potential pangenome protein

sequences using the same species and parameters described above.

SNP and SV calling from short read data, from individuals and

pools

To test the impact of using the pangenome on read mapping accuracy, short-read data

from 42 individuals separately whole genome sequenced in Stocks et al.[42] (this number

selected to reflect the average number of individuals in a pool in that study, see

generation of *in silico* pools below) was mapped using vg giraffe[66] to either i) the full

pangenome or ii) the BATG-1.0 genome converted into pangenome format using vg

construct. The resulting gam files were converted to bam files in the BATG-1.0

coordinate system using vg surject. Mapping statistics were calculated using bcftools

v1.19.

For SV calling from these individuals, vg pack was used with parameter -Q 5, and SVs

called using vg call with the vcf used to construct the pangenome as a reference. For

SNP calling, bams were produced using vg surject, with PCR duplicates and reads with

MAPQ < 20 removed using samtools. SNPs were then called using bcftools v1.19 call.

SNPs and SVs were merged across individuals, and calls with DP < 5 or >50 were set

to missing, then sites with >10% missing individuals or a MAF of <0.05 were removed.

To produce similar numbers of SNPs and SVs for linkage analysis, 1% of SNPs were

randomly sampled using vcflib 1.0.3[143] function vcfrandomsample. SNP and SV data

were combined using bcftools v1.19 concat. Pairwise linkage between markers was

calculated using plink v1.9.-170906[144], with parameters –ld-window-kb 1000 and –ld-

window 99999. Linkage decay with distance between SVs alone, between SNPs and

SVs, and between SNPs alone were analysed separately.

To identify the accuracy of SNP and SV calling from poolseq data using the

pangenome, read files from the 42 individually sequenced samples (which each

contained approximately the same number of reads, equating to approximately 22X 1C

genome coverage) were combined, and 10% of read pairs randomly subsampled with

seqkitt v2.8.0[145]. This corresponds to approximately 2.2X coverage per individual, the

average per-individual coverage of the poolseq data in Stocks et al.[42]. SNPs and SVs

were called from this *in silico* pool using the approaches above, but with sites being set

to missing if they had a DP < 50 or DP > 200. Allele frequencies for SNPs/SVs were

calculated as the number of reads supporting the alternate allele divided by the total

number of reads. For the merged individually called SNPs/SVs, the correlation between

the alternate allele frequency in the individually called samples and the estimated allele

frequency for the *in silico* pool was tested with a linear model in R v4.3.1[146].

GWAS for low susceptibility to ash dieback from poolseq data

A poolseq dataset from Stocks et al.[42] was used to perform a GWAS for low

susceptibility to ADB. In brief, this data was generated as follows: leaf samples were

taken from four FR mass screening trial sites in England (including the North

Burlingham site where individuals were sampled for constructing the pangenome), from

six year old ash trees from a total of 12 different seed sources (10 from UK NSZ, one

from Ireland [IRL-DON] and one from Germany [DEU]), as well as a group from a

breeding seedling orchard planted by the Future Trees Trust (FTT-SO). For each of the

13 sources, a pool of healthy trees and a pool of unhealthy trees (42 trees per pool on

average) were sequenced with the Illumina HiSeq X platform in 150bp paired-end

reads. Two seed sources had a second pair of pools, produced as biological replicates,

for a total of 30 pools (excluding one technical replicate). See Stocks et al.[42] for full

details. The trimmed, paired short read data from ENA (accession ERA1732352,

Submitted files) was used.

Here, these reads were mapped to the pangenome and read depths for the reference or

alternate paths were extracted from the vcf for each pool to produce estimates of SV

allele frequencies, as described in the previous section. The SV allele frequencies were

then merged across individuals. For SNPs, the output of vg giraffe was converted to

linear reference coordinates using vg surject, with PCR duplicates and reads with

MAPQ < 20 removed using samtools v1.9[147]. SNPs were called from the resulting bam

files using samtools mpileup with -d 8000 and the mpileup2sync function from

Popoolation2[65] with –min-qual 20 to produce a .sync file. Sites were removed if there

was a third most frequent allele with a total depth higher than 15. The frequencies of

major alleles for i) SVs and ii) a random 1% of SNPs were used to perform PCA using

the R prcomp function.

To identify SV and SNP allele frequency changes associated with ADB damage,

Cochran-Mantel Hahn (CMH) tests were performed using the cmh-test.pl function from

Popoolation2, with parameters min_count 15, min_coverage 40 and max_coverage

200. For sites with a significant result ($p < 1 \times 10^{-13}$), the Woolf test was used from the

vcd R package[148] to test for significant deviation from homogeneity across groups using

the alternate/reference read counts for each pool. An alternative statistical test for

GWAS, a quasibinomial generalized linear model (qGLM), was run using the

poolFreqDiffTest_QGLM.py script from the PoolFreqDiff repository[70], using parameters -

mincnt 15 -minc 40 -maxc 200 -n 45. For SNPs identified as significant from the CMH

test, nearby genes were identified by using the bedtools window utility with a window

size of 5kb.

From the .sync files generated for each chromosome, F_{st} was calculated using the fst-

sliding.pl function of popoolation2 for the pair(s) of healthy vs. unhealthy pools for each

provenance, with a window size of 500bp and step size 100bp, with –pool-size set as

40. Sliding windows with a window size of 50bp with step size 10bp gave similar results,

so are not reported here. The top 1% of values per chromosome ("outliers") were

reported for each pair of pools, and windows reported which contained an outlier for

more than five pairs of pools.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank i) Genomics Laboratory, Bioscience Technology Facility, University of

York, ii) Deep Seq: Next Generation Sequencing Facility, University of Nottingham, iii) Cantata

Bio LLC, California for their contribution to sequencing and genome assembly, iv) Earth Trust

and other landowners for facilitating access for sampling. We would also like to thank Mike

Code availability

Code needed to reproduce the analysis will be made available at

https://github.com/danielwood1992/Ash_pangenome.

802 Figures

A

B

803
804

Figure 1 - Geographic origins and genetic structure of samples

A) Map showing location of origin for individuals used to construct the pangenome; see Methods and Supplementary Table 1 for full details. Points represent individuals sampled or approximate sample locations for seedlots from which individuals were grown. Light yellow areas represent the native range of *F. excelsior* using shapefiles from Caudullo et al.[106]. B) Principal components analysis of single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) calls from long-read sequencing data from individuals sampled. First two principal components are plotted, with the percentage of variation explained by each principal component shown on the axis labels. In Figures A and B, individuals are coloured by country of origin.

Figure 2 - Structural variant properties

A) Saturation curves of sequentially merging SVs from different individuals. Each sequential

series of SVs was generated by combining individuals in a random order, one at a time, with the

total number of SVs in the group being displayed; separate series of random combinations are

designated by colour, with points in the same series joined by a line. The X axis represents the

number of individuals merged, the Y axis the total number of SVs in those individuals. B), C):

The total quantity of sequence (B) and total number of SVs (C) of different SV classes, at

different minimum numbers of individuals called from long-read data. Colour-coding indicates

different types of SVs: insertions (green), deletions (orange), tandem duplications (light blue)

and inversions (dark blue). A vertical red line at n = 3 indicates the cutoff for SVs being included

in the pangenome.

832
833

Figure 3 - Consistency of association of putatively dispensable genes with

structural variants

A) Illustration of classification of genes in the pangenome based on their presence/absence,

and how this corresponds with structural variants (SVs). Genome sequence is depicted as a

blue solid line; SVs by light blue dashed lines (representing deleted sequence relative to the

reference in this illustration). Genes are depicted by black arrows. For illustration, each gene in

the reference sequence (top line) is homologous to the gene immediately below them in the

example sample (bottom line). For those present in the BATG-1.0 reference, genes can be

present in every other sample (1) or sometimes absent (2, 3). The absence of a gene can either

coincide with an SV overlapping the gene in at least one sample (2), or with no overlap with an

SV (3). For genes absent from the reference but present in at least one sample, this presence

can either coincide with an overlapping SV in at least one sample (4), or never coincide with an

overlapping SV (5). B) Barplot of the number of genes in each category in the pangenome,

where numbers correspond to those in part A. Following reclassification of genes in each

category as invariant (orange), dispensable (blue), or discarded from the analysis (grey)

according to how consistently they overlap with SVs based on an F1 score. Genes in category 2

with an F1 score > 0.8 are classified as dispensable (blue); those with a score below that

threshold are categorised as invariant. Genes in category 3 are never associated with an SV so

are all categorised as invariant. Genes in category 4 with an F1 score > 0.8 are classified as

dispensable, those with a score below that are discarded from the analysis. Genes in category

5 are never associated with an SV so are discarded from the analysis. In an approach where

genes absent in some individuals are treated as dispensable, all the genes in categories 2-5

would be treated as dispensable genes.

858
859
Figure 4 - Annotation of putatively dispensable genes

A) Barplots showing the percentage of genes annotated in the eggNOG, InterPro and UniProt

databases, for genes included in the pangenome after filtering (black), genes removed during

filtering (grey), included genes classified as dispensable (i.e. the high-confidence dispensable

genes; light blue) and included genes classified as invariant (orange). B) Results of Gene

Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis of the included dispensable genes, against a background of

the included dispensable genes plus the putatively dispensable genes removed during filtering

for not being consistently associated with an SV, with points coloured by significance in other

GO enrichment analyses. Values along the x-axis represent the ratio of the observed number of

genes in the included dispensable genes to the number of expected genes in the total

background set. Y-axis values represent the -$\log_{10}$ transformed p-values from the GO

enrichment test. The red dashed line indicates the significance threshold. Points are coloured

according to whether those GO terms were enriched in GO enrichment tests for other sets of

genes. Gray points represent GO terms identified as significantly enriched in the genes

removed during filtering, against a background of excluded plus included genes in the

pangenome. Blue points represent GO terms identified as significantly enriched in genes

classified as variable after filtering, compared to a background of all genes included after

filtering. Purple points represent GO terms identified as significantly enriched in both the

previous comparisons described. The remaining GO terms are coloured in yellow.

Figure 5 - GWAS for low susceptibility to ash dieback

A) Association of SNPs called from poolseq data from Stocks et al. 2019, with ash dieback

disease damage. Sites are ordered by position along scaffolds in the BATG-1.0 genome

assembly. Labels for the 23 largest scaffolds are shown. Black and blue coloured points

represent the $-\log_{10}$ p-values of a Cochran-Mantel Hahn (CMH) test, with alternating colours

representing the different scaffolds and values indicated by the left hand Y axis. Red points

indicate the number of 500bp sliding windows sharing an F_{st} window in the top 1% of values for

each chromosome, shared by a minimum number of comparisons as indicated on the right hand

Y axis (i.e. 5 corresponds to 5 comparisons having an Fst value in the top 1%; axes are scaled

so that 5 on the right hand axis corresponds to $-\log_{10}(p) = 13$ [the significance threshold for the

CMH test] on the left hand axis). B) For significant SNPs ($p < 10^{-13}$), histogram of the proportion

of SNPs with a shift in the most common direction between healthy and unhealthy pools, across

all comparisons. C) Figure A, zoomed in on the only region in which SNPs with significant CMH

test values overlap with shared outlier F_{st} windows. Orange bars represent annotated repeats

overlapping the region. D: Depths of healthy (green) vs unhealthy (black) sites for the FTT-SO

provenance, for the region displayed in panel C. See Extended Data Figure 10 for equivalent

plots for each of the 15 pairs of pools considered.

Extended Data

Extended Data Figure 1 - Precision, recall and F1 scores for different SV calling

methods

Values of the precision (orange), recall (blue) and F1 score (green) of SV calling for different

methods. Values were based on comparing SVs called using the long-read sequencing data

from the reference individual, mapped to BATG-1.0 either as individual reads or assembled

contigs, with the "truth" set comprising variants called by mapping the second haplotype of the

reference genome to BATG-1.0 and calling SVs with svim-asm. The methods considered were:

1) SVs called by both cuteSV and Sniffles2; 2) SVs called by svim-asm with a mapping of the *de*

novo assembly produced by shasta; 3) SVs called by svim-asm with a mapping the *de novo*

assembly produced by Flye; 4) SVs called by svim-asm with a mapping of *de novo* assembly

produced by nextDeNovo; 5) SVs called by methods 1 and/or 2; 6) SVs called by methods 1

and/or 3; 7) SVs called by methods 1 and/or 4.

Extended Data Figure 2 - F1 scores for putatively variable genes association with SVs

Histograms showing A) for genes absent from the linear reference annotation but present in at

least one other individual, but not overlapping with an SV, the number of individuals where this

gene was present; B) for genes present in the linear reference annotation but absent from at

least one other individual, but not overlapping with an SV the number of individuals where the

gene was absent, C) for genes absent from the linear reference annotation but present in at

least one individual with an SV overlapping the gene location, the best F1 score of the SVs

overlapping the gene, D) for genes present in the linear reference individual but absent from at

least one individual where an SV overlaps with the gene sequence, the best F1 score of the

SVs overlapping the gene.

Extended Data Figure 3 - Read mapping statistics for the linear reference vs. the

pangenome

Read mapping statistics for short reads from 42 individuals sequenced in Stocks et al. (2019),

mapped using giraffe either to the linear reference genome in pangenome format (BATG-1.0),

or to the full pangenome (Pangenome). Each individual is represented by a different colour -

lines connect values in the reference-only "pangenome" to the full pangenome in the individual

panels. The panels outline i) the total number of aligned reads, ii) the total number of paired

reads, iii) the total number of properly paired reads, iv) the number of megabases of softclipped

sequences, v) the mean alignment score, vi) the mean mapping quality and vii) the total number

of substitutions, as estimated by the samtools stats function.

Extended Data Figure 4 - Allele depths for SNP and SV calls from data for an example individual

Histograms outlining allelic depths for A) SNPs and B) SVs called from an example individual - Individual 164 from Stocks et al. (2019). The panels indicate - for homozygous reference calls (top row), heterozygote calls (middle row) and homozygous alternate calls (bottom row) - the

977   alternate allele depth (first column), reference allele depth (second column) and the alternate

978   allele depth as a proportion of the total allelic depth (last column).

Extended Data Figure 5 - Statistics of SNP and SV calls combined across individuals

A) Histograms of minor allele frequencies for SNPs (top panel) and SVs (bottom panel) called

from a set of individually sequenced ash trees from Stocks et al. (2019). Red vertical dotted line

indicates the median value of minor allele frequency for both datasets. B) For the same dataset,

estimates of the probability of deviation from Hardy-Weinburg equilibrium (HWE) calculated by

bcftools. C) Estimates of linkage disequilibrium decay by distance between SNPs (orange line),

SVs (purple line) and between SNPs and SVs (blue line). Pairwise linkage disequilibrium was

estimated using plink2, with mean R^2 values in predefined bins (red points) estimated in R.

Extended Data Figure 6 - SV and SNP calling from Poolseq data

A), B) Heatmaps of alternate allele frequencies for SNPs (A) and SVs (B) estimated from

individual calls (X axis) from a subset of individually sequenced ash trees from Stocks et al.

(2019) vs. an allele frequency estimate from *in silico* pools generated by randomly

downsampling reads for these individuals, and measuring the allelic depth (AD; Y axis). Colour

1001   scale represents the number of sites in a square. C), D) Plots of the first two principal

1002   components (PCs) from principal components analysis from allele frequencies estimated for

1003   SNPs (C) and SVs (D) from the poolseq data from Stocks et al.; axis labels represent the

1004   percentage of total variance explained by the PCs. Point labels indicate pool designations from

1005   Stocks et al. (2019) for a subset of pools (i.e. those outside the main dense cluster).

1006

1007

1008

1009

1010

1011

Extended Data Figure 7 - GWAS using SV allele frequencies estimated from poolseq

data

A) Manhattan plot for SVs called from poolseq data from Stocks et al. 2019, identifying

association with ash dieback disease damage. Y axis values represent the -$\log_{10}$ p-values of a

Cochran-Mantel Hahn (CMH) test. Sites are ordered by position along scaffolds in the BATG-

1.0 genome assembly, with alternating black and blue colours representing the different

scaffolds. Scaffolds are listed in order of size, with the largest on the right and smallest on the

left. B) For SVs identified as significant in the CMH test, heatmap of alternate allele frequencies

estimated from individual calls (X axis) from a subset of individually sequenced ash trees from

Stocks et al. vs. an allele frequency estimate from *in silico* pools generated by randomly down

sampling reads for these individuals and measuring the allelic depth (AD; Y axis). Colour scale

represents the number of sites in a square.

1024

1025   Extended Data Figure 8 - Read depths across chromosome 15 outlier region

1026   Total read depths of reads for each of the 15 pairs of pools, surrounding the region with

1027   overlapping significant CMH SNPs and F_{st} outlier windows on Chromosome 15. Green points

1028   represent depths in the healthy pools; black the unhealthy pools. Biological replicates from NSZ-

1029   107 and NSZ-106 are plotted separately.

1030

Extended Data Figure 9 - Comparison of key statistics with other recent pangenome

studies.

Results from the present study compared with a selection of plant pangenome studies published

since 2022; pangenome studies using primarily short-read data, or incorporating multiple

species, were excluded. Labels correspond to study organism and publication date, detailed in

Supplementary Table 6. Number of samples included in the pangenome is on the X axis. Y axis

numbers correspond to total number of SVs discovered (A), additional sequence included in the

pangenome, as a percentage of the reference genome size of that species (B), or the number

dispensable genes as a proportion of the total number of genes in the pangenome. Results from

the present study are in blue; in panel C, Ash_2025 (unfiltered) refers to the proportion of

dispensable genes prior to filtering, Ash_2025 (filtered) refers to the proportion after filtering for

consistent association with SVs - see Results for further details.

1043

1044

1045

1046

1047

Extended Data Figure 10 - Explanatory diagram for the pangenome annotation

Explanatory diagram for annotation of the pangenome - see Methods section "Linear reference

and pangenome annotation" for full description. For the pangenome annotation, the BATG-1.0

linear reference was transformed using the vcf file of SV calls from each of the 50 ONT samples

(1 - S1, S2 and S3 correspond to 3 example samples). For each sample, calls from the vcf used

to transform the BATG-1.0 fasta; where an insertion was called, the consensus insertion

sequence was inserted at the appropriate position, for deletions the relevant sequence from the

BATG-1.0 fasta was deleted. This resulted in a transformed fasta for each sample (2). Using the

RNA-seq data from the reference individual, each of the transformed fasta files was annotated

(3). Based on sequence homology and position in the genome, genes were clustered across

each of the transformed fasta files (4). For each gene cluster, the strength of association of

genes with each SV was assessed (5): genes that were consistently associated with an SV

were kept as variable genes, whereas those not showing a consistent pattern with an

overlapping SV were not.

References

1. Ellegren, H. Genome sequencing and population genomics in non-model organisms. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **29**, 51–63 (2014).

2. Field, M. A. *et al.* Recurrent miscalling of missense variation from short-read genome sequence data. *BMC Genomics* **20**, 546 (2019).

3. Tang, D. *et al.* Genome evolution and diversity of wild and cultivated potatoes. *Nature* **606**, 535–541 (2022).

4. Zhang, F. *et al.* Long-read sequencing of 111 rice genomes reveals significantly larger pan-genomes. *Genome Res.* **32**, 853–863 (2022).

5. Chen, S. *et al.* Gene mining and genomics-assisted breeding empowered by the pangenome of tea plant *Camellia sinensis*. *Nat. Plants* **9**, 1986–1999 (2023).

6. Liu, Z. *et al.* Grapevine pangenome facilitates trait genetics and genomic breeding. *Nat. Genet.* **56**, 2804–2814 (2024).

7. Liang, Y.-Y. *et al.* Pan-genome analysis reveals local adaptation to climate driven by introgression in oak species. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **42**, msaf088 (2025).

8. Lynch, R. C. *et al.* Domesticated cannabinoid synthases amid a wild mosaic cannabis pangenome. *Nature* 1–10 (2025).

9. He, F. *et al.* Pan-genomic analysis highlights genes associated with agronomic traits and enhances genomics-assisted breeding in alfalfa. *Nat. Genet.* **57**, 1262–1273 (2025).

10. Bayer, P. E., Golicz, A. A., Scheben, A., Batley, J. & Edwards, D. Plant pan-genomes are the new reference. *Nat Plants* **6**, 914–920 (2020).

11. Crysnanto, D. & Pausch, H. Bovine breed-specific augmented reference graphs facilitate accurate sequence read mapping and unbiased variant discovery. *Genome Biol.* **21**, 184 (2020).

12. Bayer, P. E. *et al.* Modelling of gene loss propensity in the pangenomes of three Brassica

species suggests different mechanisms between polyploids and diploids. *Plant Biotechnol.*

J. **19**, 2488–2500 (2021).

13.   Mackenzie, A. *et al.* Wheat stripe rust resistance locus YR63 is a hot spot for evolution of

defence genes - a pangenome discovery. *BMC Plant Biol.* **23**, 590 (2023).

14.   Zhou, H. *et al.* Pan-genome and transcriptome analyses provide insights into genomic

variation and differential gene expression profiles related to disease resistance and fatty

acid biosynthesis in eastern black walnut (*Juglans nigra*). *Hortic. Res.* **10**, uhad015 (2023).

15.   Su, Y. *et al.* Phased telomere-to-telomere reference genome and pangenome reveal an

expansion of resistance genes during apple domestication. *Plant Physiol.* **195**, 2799–2814

(2024).

16.   Lovell, J. T. *et al.* Four chromosome scale genomes and a pan-genome annotation to

accelerate pecan tree breeding. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 4125 (2021).

17.   Hämälä, T. *et al.* Genomic structural variants constrain and facilitate adaptation in natural

populations of *Theobroma cacao*, the chocolate tree. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **118**,

(2021).

18.   Zhou, Y. *et al.* Graph pangenome captures missing heritability and empowers tomato

breeding. *Nature* **606**, 527–534 (2022).

19.   Khan, A. W. *et al. Cicer* super-pangenome provides insights into species evolution and

agronomic trait loci for crop improvement in chickpea. *Nat. Genet.* **56**, 1225–1234 (2024).

20.   Huang, Y. *et al.* Pangenome analysis provides insight into the evolution of the orange

subfamily and a key gene for citric acid accumulation in citrus fruits. *Nat. Genet.* **55**, 1964–

1975 (2023).

21.   Yan, H. *et al.* Pangenomic analysis identifies structural variation associated with heat

tolerance in pearl millet. *Nat. Genet.* **55**, 507–518 (2023).

22.   Lei, L. *et al.* Plant Pan-Genomics Comes of Age. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **72**, 411–435

(2021).

23.   Gross, A., Holdenrieder, O., Pautasso, M., Queloz, V. & Sieber, T. N. *Hymenoscyphus*
pseudoalbidus, the causal agent of European ash dieback: H. pseudoalbidus, the causal
agent of ash dieback. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* **15**, 5–21 (2014).

24.   Pautasso, M., Aas, G., Queloz, V. & Holdenrieder, O. European ash (*Fraxinus excelsior*)
dieback – A conservation biology challenge. *Biol. Conserv.* **158**, 37–49 (2013).

25.   Coker, T. L. R. *et al.* Estimating mortality rates of European ash (*Fraxinus excelsior*) under
the ash dieback (*Hymenoscyphus fraxineus*) epidemic. *Plants People Planet* **1**, 48–58
(2019).

26.   Pacia, A. *et al.* Ash dieback in forests and rural areas—history and predictions. *Forests* **14**,
2151 (2023).

27.   George, J.-P., Sanders, T. G. M., Timmermann, V., Potočić, N. & Lang, M. European-wide
forest monitoring substantiate the neccessity for a joint conservation strategy to rescue
European ash species (Fraxinus spp.). *Sci. Rep.* **12**, 4764 (2022).

28.   Hill, L. *et al.* The £15 billion cost of ash dieback in Britain. *Curr. Biol.* **29**, R315–R316
(2019).

29.   Mitchell, R. J. *et al.* Cumulative impact assessments of multiple host species loss from plant
diseases show disproportionate reductions in associated biodiversity. *J. Ecol.* **110**, 221–231
(2022).

30.   Combes, M., Webber, J. & Boddy, L. Current understanding and future prospects for ash
dieback disease with a focus on Britain. *Forestry (Lond.)* **97**, 678–691 (2024).

31.   Fellenor, J. *et al.* 'I'd like to report a suspicious looking tree': Public concern, public
attention and the nature of reporting about ash dieback in the United Kingdom. *Public*
Underst. Sci. **28**, 339–356 (2019).

32.   Jepson, P. R. & Arakelyan, I. Developing publicly acceptable tree health policy: public
perceptions of tree-breeding solutions to ash dieback among interested publics in the UK.
For. Policy Econ. **80**, 167–177 (2017).

33. Rallapalli, G. *et al.* Lessons from Fraxinus, a crowd-sourced citizen science game in

genomics. *Elife* **4**, e07460 (2015).

34. Tomlinson, I. The discovery of ash dieback in the UK: the making of a focusing event. *Env.*

Polit. **25**, 709–728 (2016).

35. Stocks, J. J., Buggs, R. J. A. & Lee, S. J. A first assessment of Fraxinus excelsior (common

ash) susceptibility to *Hymenoscyphus fraxineus* (ash dieback) throughout the British Isles.

Sci. Rep. **7**, 16546 (2017).

36. Pliūra, A., Lygis, V., Suchockas, V. & Bartkevičius, E. Performance of twenty four European

Fraxinus excelsior populations in three Lithuanian progeny trials with a special emphasis on

resistance to *Chalara fraxinea*. *Baltic Forestry* **17**, 17–163 (2011).

37. Clark, J. The Living Ash Project - 10 Years On. Preprint at https://www.futuretrees.org/wp-

content/uploads/2024/10/clark-october-2024-qjf.pdf (2024).

38. McKinney, L. V., Nielsen, L. R., Hansen, J. K. & Kjær, E. D. Presence of natural genetic

resistance in *Fraxinus excelsior* (Oleraceae) to *Chalara fraxinea* (Ascomycota): an

emerging infectious disease. *Heredity (Edinb.)* **106**, 788–797 (2011).

39. Seidel, H., Šeho, M. & Fussi, B. Hope for ash conservation and propagation—single

individuals can be highly resistant to an invasive pathogen. *J. Plant Dis. Prot. (2006)* **132**,

1–15 (2025).

40. Plumb, W. J. *et al.* The viability of a breeding programme for ash in the British Isles in the

face of ash dieback. *Plants People Planet* **2**, 29–40 (2020).

41. Sniezko, R. A. & Koch, J. Breeding trees resistant to insects and diseases: putting theory

into application. *Biol. Invasions* **19**, 3377–3400 (2017).

42. Stocks, J. J. *et al.* Genomic basis of European ash tree resistance to ash dieback fungus.

Nat Ecol Evol **3**, 1686–1696 (2019).

43. Meger, J., Ulaszewski, B., Pałucka, M., Kozioł, C. & Burczyk, J. Genomic prediction of

resistance to Hymenoscyphus fraxineus in common ash (*Fraxinus excelsior* L.) populations.

Evol. Appl. **17**, e13694 (2024).

44. Doonan, J. M. *et al.* Multiple, single trait GWAS and supervised machine learning reveal the

genetic architecture of *Fraxinus excelsior* tolerance to ash dieback in Europe. *Plant Cell*

Environ. **48**, 3793–3809 (2025).

45. Stener, L.-G. Clonal differences in susceptibility to the dieback of Fraxinus excelsior in

southern Sweden. *Scand. J. For. Res.* **28**, 205–216 (2013).

46. Stener, L.-G. Genetic evaluation of damage caused by ash dieback with emphasis on

selection stability over time. *For. Ecol. Manage.* **409**, 584–592 (2018).

47. Metheringham, C. L. *et al.* Rapid polygenic adaptation in a wild population of ash trees

under a novel fungal epidemic. *Science* **388**, 1422–1425 (2025).

48. Szukala, A. *et al.* Limitations of ad hoc genotyping in detecting ash dieback tolerance in

Fraxinus excelsior. *bioRxiv* 2024.10.11.617393 (2024) doi:10.1101/2024.10.11.617393.

49. McKinney, L. V. *et al.* The ash dieback crisis: genetic variation in resistance can prove a

long-term solution. *Plant Pathol.* **63**, 485–499 (2014).

50. Liu, J. N. *et al.* Pan-genome analyses of 11 *Fraxinus* species provide insights into salt

adaptation in ash trees. *Plant Commun.* **0**, 101137 (2024).

51. Sollars, E. S. A. *et al.* Genome sequence and genetic diversity of European ash trees.

Nature **541**, 212–216 (2017).

52. Sax, K. & Abbe, E. C. Chromosome numbers and the anatomy of the secondary xylem in

the Oleaceae. *J. Arnold Arbor.* (1932) doi:10.5962/p.185244.

53. Franco Ortega, S. *et al. Fraxinus excelsior* updated long-read genome reveals the

importance of MADS-box genes in tolerance mechanisms against ash dieback. *G3*

(Bethesda) **15**, 2024.12.20.629733 (2025).

54. Huff, M. *et al.* A high-quality reference genome for *Fraxinus pennsylvanica* for ash species

restoration and research. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* **22**, 1284–1302 (2022).

55. Julca, I., Marcet-Houben, M., Vargas, P. & Gabaldón, T. Phylogenomics of the olive tree

(*Olea europaea*) reveals the relative contribution of ancient allo- and autopolyploidization

events. *BMC Biol.* **16**, 15 (2018).

56. Rao, G. *et al.* De novo assembly of a new *Olea europaea* genome accession using

nanopore sequencing. *Hortic Res* **8**, 64 (2021).

57. Jouffroy, O., Saha, S., Mueller, L., Quesneville, H. & Maumus, F. Comprehensive

repeatome annotation reveals strong potential impact of repetitive elements on tomato

ripening. *BMC Genomics* **17**, 624 (2016).

58. Su, X. *et al.* A high-continuity and annotated tomato reference genome. *BMC Genomics* **22**,

898 (2021).

59. Smolka, M. *et al.* Detection of mosaic and population-level structural variants with Sniffles2.

Nat. Biotechnol. (2024) doi:10.1038/s41587-023-02024-y.

60. Jiang, T. *et al.* Long-read-based human genomic structural variation detection with cuteSV.

Genome Biol. **21**, 189 (2020).

61. Kolmogorov, M., Yuan, J., Lin, Y. & Pevzner, P. A. Assembly of long, error-prone reads

using repeat graphs. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **37**, 540–546 (2019).

62. Shafin, K. *et al.* Nanopore sequencing and the Shasta toolkit enable efficient de novo

assembly of eleven human genomes. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **38**, 1044–1053 (2020).

63. Hu, J. *et al.* NextDenovo: an efficient error correction and accurate assembly tool for noisy

long reads. *Genome Biol.* **25**, 107 (2024).

64. Landolt, J., Gross, A., Holdenrieder, O. & Pautasso, M. Ash dieback due to

Hymenoscyphus fraxineus: what can be learnt from evolutionary ecology? *Plant Pathol.* **65**,

1056–1070 (2016).

65. Kofler, R., Pandey, R. V. & Schlötterer, C. PoPoolation2: identifying differentiation between

populations using sequencing of pooled DNA samples (Pool-Seq). *Bioinformatics* **27**,

3435–3436 (2011).

66. Sirén, J. *et al.* Pangenomics enables genotyping of known structural variants in 5202

diverse genomes. *Science* **374**, abg8871 (2021).

67. Mérot, C. *et al.* Genome assembly, structural variants, and genetic differentiation between

lake whitefish young species pairs (*Coregonus* sp.) with long and short reads. *Mol. Ecol.*

32, 1458–1477 (2023).

68. Cochran, W. G. Some methods for strengthening the common χ 2 tests. *Biometrics* **10**, 417

(1954).

69. Mantel, N. & Haenszel, W. Statistical aspects of the analysis of data from retrospective

studies of disease. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* **22**, 719–748 (1959).

70. Wiberg, R. A. W., Gaggiotti, O. E., Morrissey, M. B. & Ritchie, M. G. Identifying consistent

allele frequency differences in studies of stratified populations. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* **8**,

1899–1909 (2017).

71. Micheletti, S. J. & Narum, S. R. Utility of pooled sequencing for association mapping in

nonmodel organisms. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* **18**, 825–837 (2018).

72. Gazda, M. A. *et al.* A genetic mechanism for sexual dichromatism in birds. *Science* **368**,

1270–1274 (2020).

73. Brennan, R. S. *et al.* Experimental evolution reveals the synergistic genomic mechanisms

of adaptation to ocean warming and acidification in a marine copepod. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*

Sci. U. S. A. **119**, e2201521119 (2022).

74. Audet, T., Krol, J., Pelletier, K., Stewart, A. D. & Dworkin, I. Sexually discordant selection is

associated with trait-specific morphological changes and a complex genomic response.

Evolution **78**, 1426–1440 (2024).

75. Ou, L. *et al.* Pan-genome of cultivated pepper (*Capsicum*) and its use in gene presence-

absence variation analyses. *New Phytol.* **220**, 360–363 (2018).

76. Barchi, L. *et al.* Improved genome assembly and pan-genome provide key insights into

eggplant domestication and breeding. *Plant J.* **107**, 579–596 (2021).

77. Li, H. *et al.* Graph-based pan-genome reveals structural and sequence variations related to

agronomic traits and domestication in cucumber. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 682 (2022).

78. Liang, L. *et al.* Genome and pan-genome assembly of asparagus bean (*Vigna unguiculata*

ssp. sesquipedialis) reveal the genetic basis of cold adaptation. *Front. Plant Sci.* **13**,

1059804 (2022).

79. He, Q. *et al.* A graph-based genome and pan-genome variation of the model plant *Setaria*.

Nat. Genet. **55**, 1232–1242 (2023).

80. Chen, J. *et al.* Pangenome analysis reveals genomic variations associated with

domestication traits in broomcorn millet. *Nat. Genet.* **55**, 2243–2254 (2023).

81. Lyu, X. *et al.* Pan-genome analysis sheds light on structural variation-based dissection of

agronomic traits in melon crops. *Plant Physiol.* **193**, 1330–1348 (2023).

82. He, X. *et al.* Pangenome analysis reveals transposon-driven genome evolution in cotton.

BMC Biol. **22**, 92 (2024).

83. Guo, M. *et al.* Analyzes of pan-genome and resequencing atlas unveil the genetic basis of

jujube domestication. *Nat. Commun.* **15**, 9320 (2024).

84. Fang, Y. *et al.* Pan-genome and phylogenomic analyses highlight *Hevea* species

delineation and rubber trait evolution. *Nat. Commun.* **15**, 7232 (2024).

85. Lian, Q. *et al.* A pan-genome of 69 *Arabidopsis thaliana* accessions reveals a conserved

genome structure throughout the global species range. *Nat. Genet.* **56**, 982–991 (2024).

86. Guo, N. *et al.* A graph-based pan-genome of *Brassica oleracea* provides new insights into

its domestication and morphotype diversification. *Plant Commun.* **5**, 100791 (2024).

87. Liang, Q. *et al.* A view of the pan-genome of domesticated Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* [L.]

Walp.). *Plant Genome* **17**, e20319 (2024).

88. Glick, L. & Mayrose, I. The effect of methodological considerations on the construction of

gene-based plant pan-genomes. *Genome Biol. Evol.* **15**, evad121 (2023).

89. Zhao, K. *et al.* Pangenome analysis reveals structural variation associated with seed size

and weight traits in peanut. *Nat. Genet.* **57**, 1250–1261 (2025).

90. Hou, Y. *et al.* Haplotype-based pangenomes reveal genetic variations and climate

adaptations in moso bamboo populations. *Nat. Commun.* **15**, 8085 (2024).

91. Meng, Q. *et al.* Pangenome analysis reveals yield- and fiber-related diversity and

interspecific gene flow in *Gossypium barbadense* L. *Nat. Commun.* **16**, 4995 (2025).

92. Ebler, J. *et al.* Pangenome-based genome inference allows efficient and accurate

genotyping across a wide spectrum of variant classes. *Nat. Genet.* **54**, 518–525 (2022).

93. Eggertsson, H. P. *et al.* GraphTyper2 enables population-scale genotyping of structural

variation using pangenome graphs. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 5402 (2019).

94. Mahmoud, M. *et al.* Structural variant calling: the long and the short of it. *Genome Biol.* **20**,

246 (2019).

95. Cheng, H., Concepcion, G. T., Feng, X., Zhang, H. & Li, H. Haplotype-resolved de novo

assembly using phased assembly graphs with hifiasm. *Nat. Methods* **18**, 170–175 (2021).

96. Putnam, N. H. *et al.* Chromosome-scale shotgun assembly using an in vitro method for

long-range linkage. *Genome Res.* **26**, 342–350 (2016).

97. Li, H. & Durbin, R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler

transform. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1754–1760 (2009).

98. Gurevich, A., Saveliev, V., Vyahhi, N. & Tesler, G. QUAST: quality assessment tool for

genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics* **29**, 1072–1075 (2013).

99. Manni, M., Berkeley, M. R., Seppey, M., Simão, F. A. & Zdobnov, E. M. BUSCO update:

Novel and streamlined workflows along with broader and deeper phylogenetic coverage for

scoring of eukaryotic, prokaryotic, and viral genomes. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **38**, 4647–4654

(2021).

100.Camacho, C. *et al.* BLAST+: architecture and applications. *BMC Bioinformatics* **10**, 421

(2009).

101.Li, H. Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics* **34**, 3094–

3100 (2018).

102. Challis, R., Richards, E., Rajan, J., Cochrane, G. & Blaxter, M. BlobToolKit - interactive

quality assessment of genome assemblies. *G3 (Bethesda)* **10**, 1361–1374 (2020).

103. Zhou, C. *et al.* Oatk: a de novo assembly tool for complex plant organelle genomes. *bioRxiv*

2024.10.23.619857 (2024) doi:10.1101/2024.10.23.619857.

104. Tillich, M. *et al.* GeSeq - versatile and accurate annotation of organelle genomes. *Nucleic*

Acids Res. **45**, W6–W11 (2017).

105. Using local stock for planting native trees and shrubs. *Forest Research*

https://www.forestresearch.gov.uk/publications/using-local-stock-for-planting-native-trees-

and-shrubs/ (1999).

106. Caudullo, G., Welk, E. & San-Miguel-Ayanz, J. Chorological maps for the main European

woody species. *Data Brief* **12**, 662–666 (2017).

107. Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina

sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2114–2120 (2014).

108. De Coster, W., D'Hert, S., Schultz, D. T., Cruts, M. & Van Broeckhoven, C. NanoPack:

visualizing and processing long-read sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **34**, 2666–2669

(2018).

109. De Coster, W. & Rademakers, R. NanoPack2: population-scale evaluation of long-read

sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **39**, btad311 (2023).

110. Andrews, S. Babraham Bioinformatics - FastQC A Quality Control tool for High Throughput

Sequence Data. https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/ (2010).

111. Ewels, P., Magnusson, M., Lundin, S. & Käller, M. MultiQC: summarize analysis results for

multiple tools and samples in a single report. *Bioinformatics* **32**, 3047–3048 (2016).

112. Zheng, Z. *et al.* Symphonizing pileup and full-alignment for deep learning-based long-read

variant calling. *Nat Comput Sci* **2**, 797–803 (2022).

113. Danecek, P. *et al.* Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools. *Gigascience* **10**, (2021).

114. Chang, C. C. *et al.* Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer

datasets. *Gigascience* **4**, 7 (2015).

115.Zhou, A., Lin, T. & Xing, J. Evaluating nanopore sequencing data processing pipelines for

structural variation identification. *Genome Biol.* **20**, 237 (2019).

116.De Coster, W. *et al.* Structural variants identified by Oxford Nanopore PromethION

sequencing of the human genome. *Genome Res.* **29**, 1178–1187 (2019).

117.Bolognini, D. & Magi, A. Evaluation of Germline Structural Variant Calling Methods for

Nanopore Sequencing Data. *Front. Genet.* **12**, 761791 (2021).

118.Yildiz, G. *et al.* Benchmarking Oxford Nanopore Read Alignment-Based Structural Variant

Detection Tools in Crop Plant Genomes. *bioRxiv* 2022.09.23.508909 (2022)

doi:10.1101/2022.09.23.508909.

119.Jiang, T. *et al.* Long-read sequencing settings for efficient structural variation detection

based on comprehensive evaluation. *BMC Bioinformatics* **22**, 552 (2021).

120.Duan, X., Pan, M. & Fan, S. Comprehensive evaluation of structural variant genotyping

methods based on long-read sequencing data. *BMC Genomics* **23**, 324 (2022).

121.Liu, Y. *et al.* Comparison of structural variants detected by PacBio-CLR and ONT

sequencing in pear. *BMC Genomics* **23**, 830 (2022).

122.Dierckxsens, N., Li, T., Vermeesch, J. R. & Xie, Z. A benchmark of structural variation

detection by long reads through a realistic simulated model. *Genome Biol.* **22**, 342 (2021).

123.Heller, D. & Vingron, M. SVIM-asm: structural variant detection from haploid and diploid

genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics* **36**, 5519–5521 (2021).

124.Jeffares, D. C. *et al.* Transient structural variations have strong effects on quantitative traits

and reproductive isolation in fission yeast. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 14061 (2017).

125.Quinlan, A. R. & Hall, I. M. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic

features. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 841–842 (2010).

126.Flynn, J. M. *et al.* RepeatModeler2 for automated genomic discovery of transposable

element families. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **117**, 9451–9457 (2020).

127. Tarailo-Graovac, M. & Chen, N. Using RepeatMasker to identify repetitive elements in

genomic sequences. *Curr. Protoc. Bioinformatics* **Chapter 4**, Unit 4.10 (2009).

128. Formenti, G. *et al.* Gfastats: conversion, evaluation and manipulation of genome sequences

using assembly graphs. *Bioinformatics* **38**, 4214–4216 (2022).

129. Dobin, A. *et al.* STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29**, 15–21 (2013).

130. Lovell, J. T. *et al.* The genomic landscape of molecular responses to natural drought stress

in *Panicum hallii*. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 5213 (2018).

131. Gabriel, L. *et al.* BRAKER3: Fully automated genome annotation using RNA-Seq and

protein evidence with GeneMark-ETP, AUGUSTUS and TSEBRA. *bioRxiv*

doi:10.1101/2023.06.10.544449.

132. Kuznetsov, D. *et al.* OrthoDB v11: annotation of orthologs in the widest sampling of

organismal diversity. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **51**, D445–D451 (2023).

133. Emms, D. M. & Kelly, S. OrthoFinder: phylogenetic orthology inference for comparative

genomics. *Genome Biol.* **20**, 238 (2019).

134. Unver, T. *et al.* Genome of wild olive and the evolution of oil biosynthesis. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*

Sci. U. S. A. **114**, E9413–E9422 (2017).

135. Tomato Genome Consortium. The tomato genome sequence provides insights into fleshy

fruit evolution. *Nature* **485**, 635–641 (2012).

136. Hellsten, U. *et al.* Fine-scale variation in meiotic recombination in *Mimulus* inferred from

population shotgun sequencing. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **110**, 19478–19482 (2013).

137. Wang, L. *et al.* Genome sequencing of the high oil crop sesame provides insight into oil

biosynthesis. *Genome Biol.* **15**, R39 (2014).

138. Pan, X. *et al.* Chromosome-level genome assembly of *Salvia miltiorrhiza* with orange roots

uncovers the role of Sm2OGD3 in catalyzing 15,16-dehydrogenation of tanshinones. *Hortic.*

Res. **10**, uhad069 (2023).

139. Buchfink, B., Reuter, K. & Drost, H.-G. Sensitive protein alignments at tree-of-life scale

using DIAMOND. *Nat. Methods* **18**, 366–368 (2021).

140.Jones, P. *et al.* InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function classification. *Bioinformatics*

30, 1236–1240 (2014).

141.Cantalapiedra, C. P., Hernández-Plaza, A., Letunic, I., Bork, P. & Huerta-Cepas, J.

EggNOG-mapper v2: Functional annotation, orthology assignments, and domain prediction

at the metagenomic scale. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **38**, 5825–5829 (2021).

142.Alexa A, R. J. *topGO: Enrichment Analysis for Gene Ontology*.

http://bioconductor.org/packages/topGO/ (2024).

143.Garrison, E., Kronenberg, Z. N., Dawson, E. T., Pedersen, B. S. & Prins, P. A spectrum of

free software tools for processing the VCF variant call format: vcflib, bio-vcf, cyvcf2, hts-nim

and slivar. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **18**, e1009123 (2022).

144.Purcell, S. *et al.* PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based

linkage analyses. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **81**, 559–575 (2007).

145.Shen, W., Le, S., Li, Y. & Hu, F. SeqKit: A cross-platform and ultrafast toolkit for FASTA/Q

file manipulation. *PLoS One* **11**, e0163962 (2016).

146.R Core Team. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for*

Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. (2023).

147.Li, H. *et al.* The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 2078–

2079 (2009).

148.vcd: Visualizing Categorical Data. https://cran.r-universe.dev/vcd.

1393