Timing is everything: seasonal drought alters flowering phenology and increases niche partitioning

Barel Tsafon[1], Or Gross[1], Niv DeMalach[1,*]

[1]Institute of Plant Sciences and Genetics in Agriculture, Faculty of Agriculture, Food and Environment, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Rehovot, Israel

July 16, 2025

Abstract

Flowering phenology is central to plant fitness, species coexistence, and ecosystem functioning. Numerous studies have shown that global warming advances phenology, with wide-ranging ecological consequences. However, the effects of water availability remain unclear and inconsistent across ecosystems. We hypothesized that part of this inconsistency arises from the overlooked temporal aspect of water stress. We therefore tested how drought timing influences species-level flowering phenology and community-level phenological niche partitioning. For this purpose, we established a system with 200 experimental annual-plant communities characteristic of a Mediterranean climate. We manipulated the wet season by imposing dry periods at the beginning, middle, or end. Plants were grown in monocultures and mixtures to separate direct physiological responses from competition-mediated effects. Early- and late-season droughts shortened the species' flowering duration: early drought delayed the onset of flowering, and late drought caused it to end sooner. Some of these shifts were direct responses to drought, while others were competition-mediated. Our new community-level index of niche partitioning revealed higher phenological segregation in mixtures than in monocultures. This indicates that phenotypic plasticity alone, without evolutionary adaptation, can promote niche separation under competition. Both early- and late-season droughts further increased niche-partitioning, with early drought also enhancing species diversity. Together, these results demonstrate that the seasonal timing of drought, not only its magnitude, governs plastic shifts in flowering phenology and reshapes competitive outcomes. Thus, our work identifies intra-annual rainfall patterns as a critical yet underappreciated driver of plant phenology and community structure under climate change.

Keywords: Phenology; Climate change; Niche overlap; Competition; Seasonality

Author contributions: All authors designed the experiment. B.T. and O.G. conducted the experiments. B.T. analyzed the data. B.T. and N.D. wrote the manuscript with comments and suggestions by O.G.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

*Corresponding author: niv.demalach@mail.huji.ac.il

Introduction

Flowering phenology, the timing of flowering onset and ending, is a fundamental biological trait that influences individual fitness, community dynamics, and key ecosystem functions and services [Kantsa et al., 2018, Taylor et al., 2019, Ren et al., 2024]. It plays a central role in mediating species interactions, including competition for shared resources such as pollinators, water, and light [Levine et al., 2022, Alexander and Levine, 2019, Cleland and Wolkovich, 2024]. As such, phenology is increasingly recognized as a critical aspect of niche partitioning, where temporal segregation along the flowering season can reduce competitive overlap and promote species coexistence [Levine et al., 2022]. At the same time, mounting evidence indicates that climate change is disrupting phenological patterns across a variety of ecosystems, with cascading effects on community structure, plant-pollinator interactions, and ecosystem stability [Liu et al., 2025, Fitter and Fitter, 2002, Vitasse et al., 2022, Høye et al., 2013].

It is well established that increased temperature triggers earlier flowering phenology [Cook et al., 2012, Peñuelas and Filella, 2001, Wolkovich et al., 2012, Williamson et al., 2025], but the effects of changes in precipitation patterns are unclear, with conflicting results [Knapp et al., 2024, Lu et al., 2023, Martén-Rodríguez et al., 2025, Matthews and Mazer, 2016]. In seasonally dynamic ecosystems, such as Mediterranean systems, the effects of drought may depend strongly on when it occurs during the growing season [Ru et al., 2024, Gordo and Sanz, 2010, Johansson et al., 2013, Reyer et al., 2013]. It is now clear that climate change is causing these ecosystems to experience a shortening of the wet season, along with shifts in rainfall timing and distribution [Delworth et al., 2022, Vicente-Serrano et al., 2025]. However, so far, experimental studies of flowering phenology have focused on altering the total rainfall amount rather than its timing [Castillioni et al., 2022, Van Dyke and Kraft, 2025].

Another open question is how phenotypic plasticity, the capacity to modify traits in response to the environment (without a genetic modification), shapes the timing of flowering when species compete. The traditional view that phenological niche partitioning is driven mainly by evolutionary forces of limiting similarity [Macarthur and Levins, 1967] has been broadened by recent theory showing that plastic responses to competition can also play a central role [Levine et al., 2022, 2024]. This new perspective is supported by empirical work showing that flowering patterns shift when species diversity changes [Wolf et al., 2017]. Together, these ideas prompt two key questions. First, do plants adjust their phenology only through direct responses to changing water availability, or can shifts also arise indirectly through competitive interactions with their neighbors? Furthermore, it remains unclear whether changes in phenological niche partitioning have downstream consequences for community composition and species diversity [CaraDonna et al., 2014, Cleland and Wolkovich, 2024].

Here, we experimentally manipulated the timing of water availability in controlled settings to test its effects on species-level flowering phenology and community-level phenological overlap (Fig. 1). We established annual plant communities subjected to four treatments: control, early-season drought, mid-season drought, and late-season drought, mimicking the shortening of the rainy season observed in Mediterranean systems worldwide [Vicente-Serrano et al., 2025]. Each species was grown in monocultures and mixed communities to disentangle direct physiological responses from effects mediated by interspecific interactions. We have also developed a new index that captures the degree of multi-species niche partitioning at the community level.

Five hypotheses were tested: (1) Drought effects are both direct and mediated by species interactions; (2) Early-season drought delays flowering onset due to postponed germination, thereby reducing the flowering period; (3) Late-season drought causes early ending of flowering (hereafter offset) via water stress, also reducing the flowering period; (4) Early- and late-season droughts

constrain phenological extremes, thereby reducing niche partitioning and increasing overlap; and (5) Effects of droughts on phenological niche partitioning would be reflected in population growth rates and species diversity.



Figure 1: Overview of the experimental design. (A) Schematic illustration of the experimental treatments. Each circle represents one community; colored circles correspond to monocultures of five focal species (eight replicates each), and the multicolored pie chart represents mixed species communities (ten replicates). Drought treatments include a no drought (control), early season drought, mid-season drought, and late season drought (fifty replicas each). (B) Photograph of the greenhouse showing the full set of experimental units. (C) Example of two experimental communities: a *Silene* monoculture (left) and a five-species mixture (right).

Results

The phenological order of species in the experiment matched their natural flowering sequence. In all treatments, *Senecio* was the first to flower, with flowering onset within five weeks of irrigation initiation, followed by *Avena*, *Silene*, *Trifolium*, and finally *Ormenis*, which continued to flower well beyond the end of irrigation (Fig. 2A). Remarkably, competition shortened the flowering period of *Senecio*, *Silene*, and *Avena* by 3 to 8 weeks, as revealed by comparisons between their phenology in monocultures and mixtures (Figs. 2A, S1; Table S1).



Figure 2: Drought and competition alter flowering phenology. (A)–(D) Calendric flowering phenology of each species in monocultures and mixtures under the four drought treatments. Each color represents one species. Light and dark shades indicate monocultures and mixtures, respectively. The x-axis shows the number of weeks since the start of the season (week 0 marks the onset of irrigation). Gray areas indicate periods with irrigation, while white areas indicate drought. Error bars represent standard errors of the mean. (E)–(F) Duration from the beginning of the season until flowering ended for *Silene* and *Avena* under control and late drought treatments. The y-axis shows the number of weeks since the start of irrigation. The x-axis indicates the treatments. Circles represent monocultures, and triangles represent mixtures. Each species is shown in its corresponding color. Error bars represent standard errors of the mean.

Regarding the effects of drought timing, both early- and late-season droughts shortened the flowering period, whereas mid-season drought had a negligible impact (Figs. S1; Table S1). Early drought, characterized by a five-week delay in irrigation, resulted in a 2- to 5-week delay in the onset of flowering across all species (Fig. S2; Table S2). However, as this treatment did not affect offset (except in *Avena* monocultures), it led to a shorter overall flowering period (from the onset of the earlier species to the offset of the latest species, Figs. S1, S3). Late drought caused early offset in the mid-season species, *Avena* and *Silene*. In *Avena*, this effect was consistent across monocultures and mixtures, suggesting a direct response to the treatment (Fig. 2D,F; Table S3). In contrast, for *Silene*, the effect was limited to mixtures, implying only an indirect impact mediated by interactions with other species (Fig. 2D,E; Table S3).

Competition increased the fraction of the flowering season with only one species flowering (zero overlap) from 40% to 51%, thereby reducing the proportion of multispecies overlap (Fig. S4). This was accompanied by a sharp decline in the proportion of time when all species flowered simultaneously (complete overlap), from 11% in monocultures to 3% in mixtures. The shift toward lower overlap was driven by shortened flowering durations in species that typically flower early or mid-season, while the overall length of the flowering season remained unchanged (Fig. 2A). Early-season drought further reduced the proportion of time during which multiple species flowered simultaneously (Fig. S4). This was primarily due to a delayed onset in mid-season species, while their offset remained unchanged (Figs. 2B, S2, S3; Tables S2, S3). As a result, their overlap with the late-flowering *Ormenis*, which also exhibited a delayed onset, was reduced. Finally, the late-season drought reduced the number of days when four or five species flowered simultaneously (Fig. S4), as mid-season species, particularly *Silene* and *Avena*, terminated flowering earlier than under control conditions (Fig. 2E,F).

To quantify phenological niche partitioning at the community level, we developed a new index (Fig. 3A; see Methods for details). Existing approaches typically assess overlap between species pairs, but we were not aware of indices that capture temporal overlap across entire communities. Our index is based on the number of species flowering each week throughout the season (Fig. S4). Weeks with more co-flowering species indicate higher overlap, while weeks with fewer species indicate greater temporal segregation. The index is standardized between zero (maximum overlap, all species flower simultaneously) and one (maximum partitioning, each species flowers at a different time).

We compared niche partitioning in mixtures to expected values derived from monocultures. By randomly assembling the phenology data of monocultures into simulated mixed communities, we constructed a null model that excludes competition and enables the detection of direct drought effects (Fig. 3A; see Methods for details). The niche partitioning was higher in the real mixtures compared to the simulated mixtures by $\sim$0.1, equivalent to a 10-percentage point increase, across all treatments, indicating that interspecific competition enhanced the niche partitioning of flowering periods. Both early and late drought further intensified niche partitioning in the real mixed communities, increasing the index by $\sim$0.04 (Fig. 3B; Table S4). In the monoculture-based simulated mixed communities, the effect of early drought was similar to that observed in the real mixtures, with an estimated interaction very close to zero (Table S4), suggesting a primarily direct effect. In contrast, under late drought, the increase in niche partitioning was $\sim$0.04 smaller in the simulated mixtures than in the real ones, suggesting a potential indirect effect of competition, although this interaction was not statistically significant ($P = 0.14$), likely due to insufficient statistical power. Calculating the community niche partitioning using the classical approach based on mean pair-wise partitioning yielded similar results: the index of real mixtures was $\sim$0.1 higher than simulated mixtures, and late drought further increased it (Fig. S5).

Lastly, we examined whether drought timing influences population and community dynamics.

Early drought directly affected *Senecio*, the earliest flowering species, causing its population size to decline in mixtures and monocultures (Fig. 4A; Table S6). In contrast, *Silene*'s population growth rate, which was suppressed by competition in the control, was unaffected by competition under early drought (Fig. 4B; Table S6). This change was also reflected by changes in community composition with a higher proportion of *Silene* under early drought (Fig. S6). Furthermore, under early drought, both Shannon and Simpson diversities were higher (Fig. 4C, Fig. S7; Tables S7).

Discussion

We found that the timing of drought, interspecific competition, and their interaction shape flowering phenology at the species level and influence community-level phenological niche partitioning. Early-season drought delayed the flowering onset of early- and mid-season species, reduced their overlap with late-season species, and led to increased species diversity. In contrast, mid-season drought had no detectable effect on the onset or termination of flowering. Late-season drought led to an earlier end of flowering, both directly (as seen in *Avena*) and indirectly through altered competitive dynamics (as in *Silene*), resulting in reduced phenological niche partitioning at the community level. Our findings also highlight the often-overlooked role of competition-induced phenotypic plasticity in shaping flowering phenology. Competition consistently shortened the flowering duration of most species, which contributed to increased phenological niche partitioning at the community level.

Competition and niche partitioning

In classical ecology, character displacement and limiting similarity describe an evolutionary process in which species diverge in their traits to reduce competition for shared resources [Macarthur and Levins, 1967]. This process often results in fixed differences in phenology, as observed in our monoculture treatments under control conditions, where species exhibited distinct flowering periods. However, our findings show that phenological niche partitioning can also arise within a single growing season, through phenotypic plasticity in response to the immediate presence of other species. Indeed, previous work has demonstrated that plasticity, rather than adaptation, is the primary driver of flowering-time variation along climatic gradients, highlighting its capacity to mediate rapid phenological shifts [Ramirez-Parada et al., 2024]. Our observation also aligns with recent theoretical work predicting that competition can induce plastic shifts in phenology [Levine et al., 2022, Rudolf, 2019]. It is further supported by empirical evidence showing that competition influences the duration of the growing season more strongly than it affects biomass growth rates [Levine et al., 2024].

Plasticity-driven niche partitioning has been well-documented for below-ground traits such as root allocation and nutrient uptake [Phoenix et al., 2020, Schiffers et al., 2011, Ashton et al., 2010], but it has rarely been studied in the context of flowering phenology. A notable exception is Wolf et al. [Wolf et al., 2017], who demonstrated that increased species richness led to greater variation in peak flowering time. This pattern was interpreted as evidence for niche partitioning driven by competition. Our results are consistent with their findings but differ in that we quantify partitioning based on the degree of overlap across the entire flowering period.

To date, two main approaches have been used to quantify phenological niche partitioning. One focuses on the variance or distance among peak flowering times [Williams, 1995], while the other relies on the complement of the overlap in flowering duration, measured from onset to offset [Austin et al., 2024]. However, peak-based methods face several statistical challenges and often ignore important differences in the timing of onset and offset. Overlap-based methods are more

informative but typically assess pairwise overlap between species. While intuitive, average pairwise overlap can obscure patterns that emerge at the community level.



Figure 3: Drought and competition increase phenological niche partitioning. (A) Overview of the niche partitioning index. The panel includes the equation used to calculate the index (see Methods), an illustration of different overlap intensities, and a schematic representation of the simulation process for one treatment. (B) Effects of drought treatments on the niche partitioning index. Triangles are mixed communities, and circles are simulated mixed communities calculated based on monocultures. Error bars show standard errors of the mean. pEarly = 0.033, pLate = 0.028, pMono < 0.0001.

Community-level index of phenological overlap

To address these limitations, we developed a new index that quantifies the extent of temporal overlap at the community level. This approach captures simultaneous interactions among multiple species and provides a more holistic measure of phenological niche partitioning. The close match between the community-level index and the mean pairwise metric in these simple communities (Fig. S5) validates our new measure and underscores its advantages as species richness and indirect interactions grow. Although designed for flowering phenology, the index is general and could be applied to any niche dimension where temporal or resource overlap occurs.

What drives plasticity-induced niche partitioning? One possibility is that plants sense neighboring individuals and adjust accordingly [Cahill et al., 2010]. A simpler alternative is that plants respond to indirect environmental cues, such as declining water availability in mixtures [Wolf et al., 2017, Levine et al., 2024]. The second explanation is supported by moisture patterns in our system (Fig. S8), which were consistently lower in mixtures than all monocultures except for *Trifolium*. In *Trifolium* monocultures, moisture levels were similar to those in mixtures, which may explain why it was unaffected by interspecific competition.

Moreover, the phenological shifts observed under competition were consistent with each species' typical flowering schedule. The early-season *Senecio* ceased flowering earlier under competition, the mid-season species *Avena* showed earlier offset, and *Silene* had both earlier onset and offset. In contrast, *Ormenis*, which flowers late and develops deeper roots, was unaffected by competition,

consistent with theoretical predictions that late-season species are buffered from competition by rooting depth and reduced overlap [Levine et al., 2024].

The effects of drought timing

In accordance with our second hypothesis, early drought, achieved by delaying the onset of irrigation, resulted in later flowering onset across all species. This pattern suggests that a minimum plant size is required to trigger flowering [Wesselingh and de Jong, 1995]. Since biomass accumulation depends on time, delayed germination leads to delayed flowering. However, plants partially compensated for the delay: a five-week postponement of irrigation resulted in a delay of less than five weeks in the onset of flowering for most species. This indicates that flowering is regulated by a combination of cues, not by soil moisture alone, strengthening prior knowledge [Andrés and Coupland, 2012].

We also hypothesized that late-season drought would lead to earlier flowering offset (hypothesis 3), and this prediction was supported for two species, *Silene* and *Avena*. Interestingly, early drought affected only flowering onset, while late drought affected only flowering offset. This indicates that the beginning and ending of the flowering period are not strictly linked, and that the total length of the flowering period is flexible rather than fixed [CaraDonna et al., 2014]. In both cases, drought ultimately shortened the flowering period, in line with our expectations.

The early- and late-season droughts extended the dry season at the leading and trailing edges of the wet season, respectively, whereas the mid-season treatment imposed only a brief drought interval after plants had reached maturity. This mid-season pulse left both flowering onset and termination unchanged, indicating that established annuals can withstand short-lived water deficits without shifting their reproductive phenology [Castillioni et al., 2022, Fenollosa et al., 2024].

In contrast with our prediction that drought would reduce phenological niche partitioning (hypothesis 4), we found that it increased it. Our initial hypothesis was based on the assumption that early drought would primarily delay the flowering onset of early-flowering species, and that late drought would mainly trigger the early flowering offset of late-flowering species. Instead, we observed that early drought delayed the onset across all species, not just early bloomers. Furthermore, under early drought, mid-season species showed a greater relative reduction in flowering duration. This led to an increased proportion of the flowering season dominated by single species, particularly early and late-season species, thereby enhancing phenological niche partitioning.



Figure 4: The timing of drought affects population growth and species diversity. (A) and (B) population growth rates of *Senecio* and *Silene*, calculated as the ratio between seedling density in the second year and the first. Values less than one indicate declining populations. (C) Exponent Shannon diversity index (effective number of species) in mixed communities, based on adult individuals at the end of the first year. Error bars indicate standard errors of the mean.

Similarly, the late drought treatment mainly affected mid-season species. This again reduced the periods of co-flowering and led to higher levels of niche partitioning. Notably, while the competitive species *Avena* [Issaka et al., 2023] showed a similar change of offset timing in both monocultures and mixtures, the drought-induced shift in *Silene* occurred only under competitive conditions, demonstrating the role of species interaction in mediating drought response and aligning with our first hypothesis.

Beyond phenology, our findings also support hypothesis 5, demonstrating that changes in temporal niche partitioning were closely linked to shifts in community composition in early drought communities. Part of this effect was direct: reduced population growth of the early-season *Senecio*. Additionally, early drought prevented the competition-mediated population decline of *Silene*, leading to increased species diversity. Altered rainfall seasonality has been previously demonstrated to affect biodiversity [Knapp et al., 2002, Tonkin et al., 2017, Hallett et al., 2019]. However, future studies are needed to test whether these shifts in population dynamics and diversity are causally linked to the associated increase in phenological niche partitioning [Levine and HilleRisLambers, 2009].

Conclusion

We have shown that early and late droughts significantly affect flowering time, while middle-season drought has little effect. This finding highlights the primary role of water availability in shaping phenology, an effect that might have gone undetected if we had only reduced total water availability without manipulating its timing [Knapp et al., 2024]. It is also relevant to the growing use of big data from herbarium records and citizen science in phenological research [Ahlstrand et al., 2025, Ramirez-Parada et al., 2024], as the timing of rainfall events may serve as a better predictor of ecological patterns than annual precipitation totals [Poppenwimer et al., 2023], especially in Mediterranean ecosystems where shifts in rainfall timing are more reflective of observed climate change [Delworth et al., 2022, Vicente-Serrano et al., 2025].

Lastly, our finding that drought effects are both direct and competition-mediated implies that responses cannot be fully understood from studies of single species. While the importance of indirect effects on community dynamics under climate change has been thoroughly recognized over the years [Adler et al., 2012, Van Dyke et al., 2022], phenology research has yet to adequately address these competition-mediated effects. Understanding how biotic interactions shape phenological responses is therefore essential for predicting the future structure and resilience of ecological communities in times of global climate change.

Methods

Establishment of the experiment

The experiment started in October 2022 in a greenhouse located at the agricultural campus of the Hebrew University of Jerusalem in Rehovot, Israel (31.90°, 34.80°). The greenhouse had a polyethylene roof to prevent natural precipitation and net walls (20 cm $\times$ 20 cm mesh opening) that enabled maximum ventilation with temperatures close to ambient. The climate in the region is Mediterranean with mild rainy winter ($\sim$560 mm y^{-1}, falling mainly between October and April) and a dry, hot summer [Issaka et al., 2023].

The experimental communities mimicked the natural annual plant communities growing on the red sandy soil of Israel's coastal region (Hamra communities, [Issaka et al., 2023]). We chose five common species varying in phenology, functional group, and taxonomic group: (1) *Senecio vernalis* (Compositae), typically flowering from December to February; (2) *Avena sterilis* (Poaceae), flowering from March to May; (3) *Silene palaestina* (Caryophyllaceae), flowering from March to April; (4) *Trifolium purpureum* (Fabaceae), flowering from March to April; (5) *Ormenis mixta* (Compositae), flowering from April to May. All seeds were collected in the spring of 2021 from nearby natural sites.

The experiment included 200 units, communities grown in industrial bags (0.7 m $\times$ 0.7 m $\times$ 0.7 m) spaced at least 0.8 m apart. Every bag was surrounded by a 50-mesh net that rose one meter above the soil surface to minimize dispersal. Hamra soil was collected from a depth of at least one meter in the area surrounding the experimental site, to minimize the presence of a natural seed bank and ensure that only sown species emerged. It was fertilized with 5.26 g m^{-2} Osmocote Pro fertilizer (2 g N m^{-2}) to compensate for the lack of organic matter at that depth of this nutrient-poor soil.

Each species was grown in a monoculture or together with the other four species in mixture communities (Fig. 1). Monocultures enable the quantification of the direct impact of treatments on any of the species, while the difference between mixtures and monocultures allows the estimation of the competition-mediated effects. Based on the preliminary germination trials, we sowed seeds aiming for an initial density of 1000 seedlings per bag (in mixture units: 200 seedlings per species).

On October 10th, we sowed the seeds of most treatments just before the start of the irrigation schedule. In the early drought treatment that aimed to germinate later, we sowed the seeds on November 16th to avoid seed loss before irrigation.

Experimental schedule

To ensure that each community received a separate treatment, irrigation was applied through the NetBow™ drip irrigation system (by Netafim Ltd.). Two NetBow™ rings were installed in each bag (flow rate was 16 mm/h per bag). Irrigation events occurred once a week to mimic the natural cycles of wetting and drying. Each event lasted 1.5 h; thus, every week, the communities received 24 mm of water: 576 mm throughout the long season (control) and 456 mm in the drought treatments. Additionally, during the first three weeks after sowing, water was lightly added with a hose to enhance germination.

We created an irrigation schedule based on natural precipitation in the region that historically lasts (on average) between mid-October and the beginning of April. However, in the last 30 years, the number of rainy days has decreased by 10% [Drori et al., 2021]. Therefore, we chose to examine a 20% reduction compared to the historical record. Each experimental unit belonged to one of four drought types: (1) "no drought": an irrigation period of 25 weeks (control); (2) "early drought": a shorter irrigation period of 20 weeks, starting five weeks after the control; (3) "midwinter drought": a normal irrigation period of 25 weeks with a five-week drought in January; (4) "late drought": an irrigation period of 20 weeks, starting as the control and ending five weeks before the control. Bags were randomly assigned to create eight monoculture replicates for each species per treatment and ten replicates of mixtures (Fig. 1).

Flowering phenology was tracked in each unit once a week in a binary survey throughout the season. Units were considered flowering if at least 1% of individuals showed open flowers. The 1% threshold was calculated using the measured densities (more details below) and sampled across the entire surface area of the bag. During drought treatments, soil moisture was measured weekly in every bag with a soil moisture meter to assess the treatments' effect on soil water content (Fig. S8). Measurements were taken from a depth of 10 cm at fixed locations in the corners of the quadrats.

At the beginning of the first and last months of irrigation, the number of plants was estimated inside every bag (this was also done in the next growing season to estimate the population growth rate). Counts were made in 40 cm × 40 cm quadrats at the center of each bag.

Statistical analysis

All analyses were conducted in R version 4.5.0. We used linear regression to test the effects of drought treatments (coded using dummy variables), competition (monoculture vs. mixture), and their interactions on several response variables. These included flowering onset, flowering offset, length of the flowering period, population growth (the ratio between seedling densities in the first and second year), and species diversity (expressed as the effective number of species based on Simpson and Shannon indices). For population growth, we log-transformed the data with a base of ten. To assess differences in community composition among drought treatments, we used non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) and permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA).

To quantify community-level niche partitioning of the flowering season, we used the weekly flowering observations described above. For each community, we defined the flowering season as the number of weeks between the onset of the first flowering species and the offset of the last. We then calculated a standardized niche partitioning index for each community. The index ranges from

0 (no partitioning, complete overlap) to 1 (maximum partitioning, no overlap), and is defined by the following equation:

$$\text{Niche Partition} \;=\; 1 \;-\; \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{i-1}{n-1} \, P_i \right)$$

where n is the number of species in the community, i is the number of flowering species, and P_i is the proportion of the flowering season during which i species flower. The index assigns greater weight to weeks with more co-flowering species, capturing the degree of phenological overlap. Subtracting this overlap score from one yields a measure of temporal niche partitioning at the community level.

The niche partitioning index was calculated per unit for the real mixed communities, reflecting the co-flowering of species grown together. In contrast, monoculture communities were composed of single species grown in isolation, so we constructed simulated mixed communities by randomly selecting one monoculture replicate per species and combining their flowering data to mimic the structure of a five-species mixture, but without interspecific competition (Fig. 3C). While many such combinations are possible, most would not be statistically independent. To address this, we constructed eight non-overlapping simulated mixed communities in each iteration, ensuring that each monoculture replicate appeared only once. This preserved independence across communities while maintaining the original sample size.

We ran a linear regression to estimate treatment effects, including the real and simulated mixed communities in each iteration. The procedure was repeated 100 times to minimize the stochastic effects of sampling from the monocultures. Parameter estimates from these iterations were averaged to robustly estimate treatment effects. However, since p-values cannot be simply averaged, we applied the Cauchy combination test (CCT) using the STAAR R package to compute integrated p-values across iterations.

Acknowledgments

The study was supported by the Israel Ministry of Environmental Protection (grant no. 22-1-18) and the Israeli Science Foundation (grants no. 2403/22 and 672/22) to N.D. Scholarships from the Jewish National Fund, the School of Environmental Studies of the Hebrew University, the Hebrew University Center for Sustainability, and the Women's League for Israel supported B.T. and O.G. We thank Sara Barcza, Noa Levi, Shlomo Shik, Gal Ben Shlomo, Yuval Neumann, Yarden Schindler, and Avishag Neeman for their assistance in fieldwork and Micha Mandel for statistical assistance.

References

Peter B. Adler, Harmony J. Dalgleish, and Stephen P. Ellner. Forecasting plant community impacts of climate variability and change: When do competitive interactions matter? *Journal of Ecology*, 100(2):478–487, March 2012. ISSN 00220477. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2745.2011.01930.x.

Natalie Iwanycki Ahlstrand, Richard B. Primack, Matthew W. Austin, Zoe A. Panchen, Christine Römermann, and Abraham J. Miller-Rushing. The promise of digital herbarium specimens in large-scale phenology research. *New Phytologist*, 2025. ISSN 1469-8137. doi: 10.1111/nph.70178. URL `https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/nph.70178`. _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/nph.70178.

Jake M. Alexander and Jonathan M. Levine. Earlier phenology of a nonnative plant increases impacts on native competitors. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 116(13):6199–6204, March 2019. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1820569116. URL https://www.pnas.org/doi/abs/10.1073/pnas.1820569116. Publisher: Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.

Fernando Andrés and George Coupland. The genetic basis of flowering responses to seasonal cues. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 13(9):627–639, September 2012. ISSN 1471-0064. doi: 10.1038/nrg3291. URL https://www.nature.com/articles/nrg3291. Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.

Isabel W. Ashton, Amy E. Miller, William D. Bowman, and Katharine N. Suding. Niche complementarity due to plasticity in resource use: plant partitioning of chemical N forms. *Ecology*, 91(11):3252–3260, 2010. ISSN 1939-9170. doi: 10.1890/09-1849.1. URL https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1890/09-1849.1. _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1890/09-1849.1.

Matthew W. Austin, Adam B. Smith, Kenneth M. Olsen, Peter C. Hoch, Kyra N. Krakos, Stefani P. Schmocker, and Nicole E. Miller-Struttmann. Climate change increases flowering duration, driving phenological reassembly and elevated co-flowering richness. *New Phytologist*, 243(6):2486–2500, 2024. ISSN 1469-8137. doi: 10.1111/nph.19994. URL https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/nph.19994. _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/nph.19994.

James F. Cahill, Gordon G. McNickle, Joshua J. Haag, Eric G. Lamb, Samson M. Nyanumba, and Colleen Cassady St. Clair. Plants Integrate Information About Nutrients and Neighbors. *Science*, 328(5986):1657–1657, June 2010. doi: 10.1126/science.1189736. URL https://www.science.org/doi/full/10.1126/science.1189736. Publisher: American Association for the Advancement of Science.

Paul J. CaraDonna, Amy M. Iler, and David W. Inouye. Shifts in flowering phenology reshape a subalpine plant community. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(13):4916–4921, April 2014. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1323073111. URL https://www.pnas.org/doi/abs/10.1073/pnas.1323073111. Publisher: Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.

Karen Castillioni, Gregory S. Newman, Lara Souza, and Amy M. Iler. Effects of drought on grassland phenology depend on functional types. *New Phytologist*, 236(4):1558–1571, 2022. ISSN 1469-8137. doi: 10.1111/nph.18462. URL https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/nph.18462. _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/nph.18462.

Elsa E. Cleland and E. M. Wolkovich. Effects of Phenology on Plant Community Assembly and Structure. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 55 (Volume 55, 2024):471–492, November 2024. ISSN 1543-592X, 1545-2069. doi: 10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-102722-011653. URL https://www.annualreviews.org/content/journals/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-102722-011653. Publisher: Annual Reviews.

Benjamin I. Cook, Elizabeth M. Wolkovich, and Camille Parmesan. Divergent responses to spring and winter warming drive community level flowering trends. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109(23):9000–9005, June 2012. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1118364109. URL https://www.pnas.org/doi/abs/10.1073/pnas.1118364109. Publisher: Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.

Thomas L. Delworth, William F. Cooke, Vaishali Naik, David Paynter, and Liping Zhang. A weakened AMOC may prolong greenhouse gas–induced Mediterranean drying even with significant and rapid climate change mitigation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 119(35): e2116655119, August 2022. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2116655119. URL `https://www.pnas.org/doi/10.1073/pnas.2116655119`. Publisher: Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.

Ron Drori, Baruch Ziv, Hadas Saaroni, Adi Etkin, and Efrat Sheffer. Recent changes in the rain regime over the Mediterranean climate region of Israel. *Climatic Change*, 167(1-2), July 2021. ISSN 15731480. doi: 10.1007/s10584-021-03161-6. Publisher: Springer Science and Business Media B.V.

E. Fenollosa, P. Fernandes, A. Hector, H. King, C. S. Lawson, J. Jackson, and R. Salguero-Gómez. Differential responses of community-level functional traits to mid- and late-season experimental drought in a temperate grassland. *Journal of Ecology*, n/a(n/a), 2024. ISSN 1365-2745. doi: 10.1111/1365-2745.14395. URL `https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/1365-2745.14395`. _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/1365-2745.14395.

A. H. Fitter and R. S. R. Fitter. Rapid Changes in Flowering Time in British Plants. *Science*, 296 (5573):1689–1691, May 2002. doi: 10.1126/science.1071617. URL `https://www.science.org/doi/full/10.1126/science.1071617`. Publisher: American Association for the Advancement of Science.

Oscar Gordo and Juan José Sanz. Impact of climate change on plant phenology in Mediterranean ecosystems. *Global Change Biology*, 16(3):1082–1106, March 2010. ISSN 13541013. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.02084.x.

Lauren M. Hallett, Lauren G. Shoemaker, Caitlin T. White, and Katharine N. Suding. Rainfall variability maintains grass-forb species coexistence. *Ecology Letters*, 22(10):1658–1667, 2019. ISSN 1461-0248. doi: 10.1111/ele.13341. URL `https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/ele.13341`. _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/ele.13341.

Toke T. Høye, Eric Post, Niels M. Schmidt, Kristian Trøjelsgaard, and Mads C. Forchhammer. Shorter flowering seasons and declining abundance of flower visitors in a warmer Arctic. *Nature Climate Change*, 3(8):759–763, August 2013. ISSN 1758-6798. doi: 10.1038/nclimate1909. URL `https://www.nature.com/articles/nclimate1909`. Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.

David Sampson Issaka, Or Gross, Itunuoluwa Ayilara, Tal Schabes, and Niv DeMalach. Density-dependent and independent mechanisms jointly reduce species performance under nitrogen enrichment. *Oikos*, 2023(7):e09838, 2023. ISSN 1600-0706. doi: 10.1111/oik.09838. URL `https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/oik.09838`. _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/oik.09838.

Jacob Johansson, Kjell Bolmgren, and Niclas Jonzén. Climate change and the optimal flowering time of annual plants in seasonal environments. *Global Change Biology*, 19(1):197–207, January 2013. ISSN 13541013. doi: 10.1111/gcb.12006.

Aphrodite Kantsa, Robert A. Raguso, Adrian G. Dyer, Jens M. Olesen, Thomas Tscheulin, and Theodora Petanidou. Disentangling the role of floral sensory stimuli in pollination networks. *Nature Communications*, 9(1):1041, March 2018. ISSN 2041-1723. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-03448-w. URL `https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-018-03448-w`. Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.

Alan K. Knapp, Philip A. Fay, John M. Blair, Scott L. Collins, Melinda D. Smith, Jonathan D. Carlisle, Christopher W. Harper, Brett T. Danner, Michelle S. Lett, and James K. McCarron. Rainfall Variability, Carbon Cycling, and Plant Species Diversity in a Mesic Grassland. *Science*, 298(5601):2202–2205, December 2002. doi: 10.1126/science.1076347. URL https://www.science.org/doi/full/10.1126/science.1076347. Publisher: American Association for the Advancement of Science.

Alan K. Knapp, Kathleen V. Condon, Christine C. Folks, Matthew A. Sturchio, Robert J. Griffin-Nolan, Steven A. Kannenberg, Amy S. Gill, Olivia L. Hajek, J. Alexander Siggers, and Melinda D. Smith. Field experiments have enhanced our understanding of drought impacts on terrestrial ecosystems—But where do we go from here? *Functional Ecology*, 38(1):76–97, January 2024. ISSN 13652435. doi: 10.1111/1365-2435.14460. Publisher: British Ecological Society.

Jacob I. Levine, Jonathan M. Levine, Theo Gibbs, and Stephen W. Pacala. Competition for water and species coexistence in phenologically structured annual plant communities. *Ecology Letters*, 25(5):1110–1125, May 2022. ISSN 14610248. doi: 10.1111/ele.13990. Publisher: John Wiley and Sons Inc.

Jacob I. Levine, Stephen W. Pacala, and Jonathan M. Levine. Competition for time: Evidence for an overlooked, diversity-maintaining competitive mechanism. *Ecology Letters*, 27(3):e14422, 2024. ISSN 1461-0248. doi: 10.1111/ele.14422. URL https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/ele.14422. _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/ele.14422.

Jonathan M. Levine and Janneke HilleRisLambers. The importance of niches for the maintenance of species diversity. *Nature*, 461(7261):254–257, September 2009. ISSN 1476-4687. doi: 10.1038/nature08251. URL https://www.nature.com/articles/nature08251. Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.

Ying Liu, Yao Zhang, Josep Peñuelas, Steven A. Kannenberg, Haibo Gong, Wenping Yuan, Chaoyang Wu, Sha Zhou, and Shilong Piao. Drought legacies delay spring green-up in northern ecosystems. *Nature Climate Change*, 15(4):444–451, April 2025. ISSN 1758-6798. doi: 10.1038/s41558-025-02273-6. URL https://www.nature.com/articles/s41558-025-02273-6. Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.

Chunyan Lu, Juanjuan Zhang, Xueting Min, Jianghui Chen, Yixuan Huang, Hongfang Zhao, Tao Yan, Xiang Liu, Hao Wang, and Huiying Liu. Contrasting responses of early- and late-season plant phenophases to altered precipitation. *Oikos*, 2023(5):e09829, 2023. ISSN 1600-0706. doi: 10.1111/oik.09829. URL https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/oik.09829. _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/oik.09829.

Robert Macarthur and Richard Levins. The Limiting Similarity, Convergence, and Divergence of Coexisting Species. *The American Naturalist*, 101(921):377–385, 1967. ISSN 0003-0147. URL https://www.jstor.org/stable/2459090. Publisher: [The University of Chicago Press, The American Society of Naturalists].

Silvana Martén-Rodríguez, Edson Jacob Cristobal-Pérez, Martín Hesajim de Santiago-Hernández, Guillermo Huerta-Ramos, Lucero Clemente-Martínez, Gary Krupnick, Orley Taylor, Martha Lopezaraiza-Mikel, Francisco Javier Balvino-Olvera, Eugenia M. Sentíes-Aguilar, Sergio Díaz-Infante, Armando Aguirre Jaimes, Samuel Novais, Jorge Cortés-Flores, Jorge Lobo-Segura, Eric J. Fuchs, Oliverio Delgado-Carrillo, Ilse Ruiz-Mercado, Roberto Sáyago-Lorenzana, Karen

Pérez-Arroyo, and Mauricio Quesada. Untangling the Complexity of Climate Change Effects on Plant Reproductive Traits and Pollinators: A Systematic Global Synthesis. *Global Change Biology*, 31(2):e70081, February 2025. ISSN 1354-1013. doi: 10.1111/gcb.70081. URL https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC11851268/.

Elizabeth R. Matthews and Susan J. Mazer. Historical changes in flowering phenology are governed by temperature × precipitation interactions in a widespread perennial herb in western North America. *New Phytologist*, 210(1):157–167, 2016. ISSN 1469-8137. doi: 10.1111/nph.13751. URL https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/nph.13751. _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/nph.13751.

Josep Peñuelas and Iolanda Filella. Responses to a Warming World. *Science*, 294, October 2001. doi: 10.1126/science.1066860. URL https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/science.1066860. Publisher: American Association for the Advancement of Science.

Gareth K. Phoenix, David A. Johnson, Stephen P. Muddimer, Jonathan R. Leake, and Duncan D. Cameron. Niche differentiation and plasticity in soil phosphorus acquisition among co-occurring plants. *Nature Plants*, 6(4):349–354, April 2020. ISSN 2055-0278. doi: 10.1038/s41477-020-0624-4. URL https://www.nature.com/articles/s41477-020-0624-4. Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.

Tyler Poppenwimer, Itay Mayrose, and Niv DeMalach. Revising the global biogeography of annual and perennial plants. *Nature*, 624(7990):109–114, December 2023. ISSN 1476-4687. doi: 10.1038/s41586-023-06644-x. URL https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-023-06644-x. Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.

Tadeo H. Ramirez-Parada, Isaac W. Park, Sydne Record, Charles C. Davis, Aaron M. Ellison, and Susan J. Mazer. Plasticity and not adaptation is the primary source of temperature-mediated variation in flowering phenology in North America. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 8(3):467–476, March 2024. ISSN 2397-334X. doi: 10.1038/s41559-023-02304-5. URL https://www.nature.com/articles/s41559-023-02304-5. Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.

Peixin Ren, Peng Li, Xiaolu Zhou, Zelin Liu, Jiayi Tang, Cicheng Zhang, Ziying Zou, Tong Li, and Changhui Peng. Shifts in Plant Phenology Significantly Affect the Carbon Allocation in Different Plant Organs. *Ecology Letters*, 27(11):e70024, 2024. ISSN 1461-0248. doi: 10.1111/ele.70024. URL https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/ele.70024. _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/ele.70024.

Christopher P. O. Reyer, Sebastian Leuzinger, Anja Rammig, Annett Wolf, Ruud P. Bartholomeus, Antonello Bonfante, Francesca de Lorenzi, Marie Dury, Philipp Gloning, Renée Abou Jaoudé, Tamir Klein, Thomas M. Kuster, Monica Martins, Georg Niedrist, Maria Riccardi, Georg Wohlfahrt, Paolo de Angelis, Giovanbattista de Dato, Louis François, Annette Menzel, and Marízia Pereira. A plant's perspective of extremes: terrestrial plant responses to changing climatic variability. *Global Change Biology*, 19(1):75–89, January 2013. ISSN 1354-1013. doi: 10.1111/gcb.12023.

Jingyi Ru, Shiqiang Wan, Jianyang Xia, Shuli Niu, Dafeng Hui, Jian Song, Jiayin Feng, Dasheng Sun, Haidao Wang, and Xueli Qiu. Advanced precipitation peak offsets middle growing-season drought in impacting grassland C sink. *New Phytologist*, 244(5):1775–1787, 2024. ISSN 1469-8137. doi: 10.1111/nph.20144. URL https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/nph.20144. _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/nph.20144.

Volker H.W. Rudolf. The role of seasonal timing and phenological shifts for species coexistence. *Ecology Letters*, 22(8):1324–1338, August 2019. ISSN 14610248. doi: 10.1111/ele.13277. Publisher: Blackwell Publishing Ltd.

Katja Schiffers, Katja Tielbörger, Britta Tietjen, and Florian Jeltsch. Root plasticity buffers competition among plants: theory meets experimental data. *Ecology*, 92(3):610–620, 2011. ISSN 1939-9170. doi: 10.1890/10-1086.1. URL `https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1890/10-1086.1`. _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1890/10-1086.1.

Mark A. Taylor, Amity M. Wilczek, Judith L. Roe, Stephen M. Welch, Daniel E. Runcie, Martha D. Cooper, and Johanna Schmitt. Large-effect flowering time mutations reveal conditionally adaptive paths through fitness landscapes in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 116(36):17890–17899, September 2019. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1902731116. URL `https://www.pnas.org/doi/10.1073/pnas.1902731116`. Publisher: Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.

Jonathan D. Tonkin, Michael T. Bogan, Núria Bonada, Blanca Rios-Touma, and David A. Lytle. Seasonality and predictability shape temporal species diversity. *Ecology*, 98(5):1201–1216, May 2017. ISSN 00129658. doi: 10.1002/ecy.1761. Publisher: Ecological Society of America.

Mary N. Van Dyke and Nathan J. B. Kraft. Changes in flowering phenology with altered rainfall and the potential community impacts in an annual grassland. *American Journal of Botany*, 112(2):e70000, 2025. ISSN 1537-2197. doi: 10.1002/ajb2.70000. URL `https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/ajb2.70000`. _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/ajb2.70000.

Mary N. Van Dyke, Jonathan M. Levine, and Nathan J. B. Kraft. Small rainfall changes drive substantial changes in plant coexistence. *Nature*, 611(7936):507–511, November 2022. ISSN 1476-4687. doi: 10.1038/s41586-022-05391-9. URL `https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-022-05391-9`. Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.

Sergio M. Vicente-Serrano, Yves Tramblay, Fergus Reig, José C. González-Hidalgo, Santiago Beguería, Michele Brunetti, Ksenija Cindrić Kalin, Leonardo Patalen, Aleksandra Kržič, Piero Lionello, Miguel M. Lima, Ricardo M. Trigo, Ahmed M. El-Kenawy, Ali Eddenjal, Murat Türkes, Aristeidis Koutroulis, Veronica Manara, Maurizio Maugeri, Wafae Badi, Shifa Mathbout, Renato Bertalanič, Lilia Bocheva, Ismail Dabanli, Alexandru Dumitrescu, Brigitte Dubuisson, Salah Sahabi-Abed, Fayez Abdulla, Abbas Fayad, Sabina Hodzic, Mirjana Ivanov, Ivan Radevski, Dhais Peña-Angulo, Jorge Lorenzo-Lacruz, Fernando Domínguez-Castro, Luis Gimeno-Sotelo, Ricardo García-Herrera, Magí Franquesa, Amar Halifa-Marín, Maria Adell-Michavila, Ivan Noguera, David Barriopedro, Jose M. Garrido-Perez, Cesar Azorin-Molina, Miguel Andres-Martin, Luis Gimeno, Raquel Nieto, Maria Carmen Llasat, Yannis Markonis, Rabeb Selmi, Soumaya Ben Rached, Slavica Radovanović, Jean-Michel Soubeyroux, Aurélien Ribes, Mohamed Elmehdi Saidi, Siham Bataineh, El Mahdi El Khalki, Sayed Robaa, Amina Boucetta, Karam Alsafadi, Nikos Mamassis, Safwan Mohammed, Beatriz Fernández-Duque, Sorin Cheval, Sara Moutia, Aleksandra Stevkov, Silvana Stevkova, M. Yolanda Luna, and Vera Potopová. High temporal variability not trend dominates Mediterranean precipitation. *Nature*, 639(8055):658–666, March 2025. ISSN 1476-4687. doi: 10.1038/s41586-024-08576-6. URL `https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-024-08576-6`. Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.

Y. Vitasse, F. Baumgarten, C. M. Zohner, T. Rutishauser, B. Pietragalla, R. Gehrig, J. Dai, H. Wang, Y. Aono, and T. H. Sparks. The great acceleration of plant phenological shifts. *Nature Climate Change*, 12(4):300–302, April 2022. ISSN 17586798. doi: 10.1038/s41558-022-01283-y. Publisher: Nature Research.

Renate A. Wesselingh and Tom J. de Jong. Bidirectional selection on threshold size for flowering in Cynoglossum officinale (hound's-tongue). *Heredity*, 74(4):415–424, April 1995. ISSN 1365-2540. doi: 10.1038/hdy.1995.59. URL `https://www.nature.com/articles/hdy199559`. Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.

Matthew R. Williams. Critical values of a statistic to detect competitive displacement. *Ecology*, 76 (2):646, March 1995. ISSN 00129658. URL `https://www.proquest.com/docview/219020363/abstract/DFC25FBDDFC64B20PQ/1`. Num Pages: 2 Place: Brooklyn, United States Publisher: Ecological Society of America.

David R. Williamson, Tommy Prestø, Kristine B. Westergaard, Beatrice M. Trascau, Vibekke Vange, Kristian Hassel, Wouter Koch, and James D. M. Speed. Long-term trends in global flowering phenology. *New Phytologist*, 2025. ISSN 1469-8137. doi: 10.1111/nph.70139. URL `https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/nph.70139`. _eprint: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/nph.70139.

Amelia A. Wolf, Erika S. Zavaleta, and Paul C. Selmants. Flowering phenology shifts in response to biodiversity loss. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(13):3463–3468, March 2017. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1608357114. URL `https://www.pnas.org/doi/10.1073/pnas.1608357114`. Publisher: Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.

E. M. Wolkovich, B. I. Cook, J. M. Allen, T. M. Crimmins, J. L. Betancourt, S. E. Travers, S. Pau, J. Regetz, T. J. Davies, N. J. B. Kraft, T. R. Ault, K. Bolmgren, S. J. Mazer, G. J. McCabe, B. J. McGill, C. Parmesan, N. Salamin, M. D. Schwartz, and E. E. Cleland. Warming experiments underpredict plant phenological responses to climate change. *Nature*, 485(7399):494–497, May 2012. ISSN 1476-4687. doi: 10.1038/nature11014. URL `https://www.nature.com/articles/nature11014`. Publisher: Nature Publishing Group.