Global change factors reshape the links between litter properties, decomposers, and decomposition in mature oak forests

Matthew L. Meehan[1,10*], Mathilde Chomel[1,2], Zhabiz Vilkiji[1], Katy J. Faulkner[3,4], Tancredi Caruso[5], A. Robert MacKenzie[6,7], Virginie Baldy[8], Richard D. Bardgett[1,9], David Johnson[1,9]

[1]Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, The University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom

[2]FiBL France, Research Institute of Organic Agriculture, Eurre, France

[3]Department of Plant Sciences, University of Cambridge, Downing Street, Cambridge, United Kingdom

[4]School of Medicine, University of St. Andrews, North Haugh, KY16 9TF, St. Andrews, United Kingdom

[5]School of Biology and Environmental Science, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland.

[6]School of Geography, Earth & Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom

[7]Birmingham Institute of Forest Research, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom

[8]IMBE, Aix-Marseille Université, Univ Avignon, CNRS, IRD, Marseille, France

[9]Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Bailrigg, LA1 4YQ, Lancaster, United Kingdom

[10]Current address: Natural Resources Canada, Canadian Forest Service, Laurentian Forestry Centre, Québec, Canada

*Corresponding author's email: matthew.meehan@nrcan-rncan.gc.ca

ORCID

Matthew L. Meehan: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5427-8241

Mathilde Chomel: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5110-2355

Katy J. Faulkner: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9204-6502

Tancredi Caruso: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3607-9609

A. Robert MacKenzie: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8227-742X

Virginie Baldy: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4048-5132

Richard D. Bardgett: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5131-0127

David Johnson: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2299-2525

Abstract

Increasing atmospheric CO_2 concentrations alongside more frequent and severe droughts are key

global change factors impacting litter decomposition and global carbon cycles. Yet, we have a

poor understanding of how these perturbations impact interactions between initial litter properties

and decomposition environment. We tested how drought and elevated atmospheric CO_2

concentrations modify litter decomposition *via* litter properties and decomposition environment

using two separate, long-term manipulative drought or elevated CO_2 field experiments in mature

oak woodlands. Litterbags were deployed in a reciprocal transplant design within each experiment,

where we measured litter mass loss, C-biochemistry, C:N ratios, moisture content, and decomposer

communities. We found that litter placed in droughted plots decomposed slower than in control

plots and experimental litter derived from elevated CO_2 plots decomposed slower over the first

three harvests compared to control litter. Under drought, litter mass loss rates and C:N ratio was

regulated by initial litter properties and the decomposition environment, while elevated CO_2

impacted mass loss *via* changes in initial litter properties. We show that drought and elevated

atmospheric CO_2 can modify the decomposability of litter prior to litterfall and during the

subsequent decomposition, highlighting the need to disentangle their individual and interactive

effects to better predict how global change factors influence decomposition.

Keywords: Decomposition, Drought, Elevated CO_2, Mesofauna, Litter, Microbial

Highlights

• Drought impacted litter mass loss more than elevated CO_2 conditions

• Decomposition environment shaped mass loss with drought but not elevated CO_2

• Treatment-effects on litter properties is observable after decomposition begins

• Decomposers and litter properties still impact decomposition at middle-to-late stages

Introduction

Forest ecosystems cover 31% of global land cover (FAO, 2020) and play a critical role in

global carbon (C) cycling (Harris et al., 2021) as global forests take up 1.1 ± 0.8 Pg C year^{-1} (Pan

et al., 2011). Yet these ecosystems are being reshaped by global change factors, including

increasing atmospheric CO_2 concentrations and increased frequency and intensity of extreme

weather events such as droughts (IPCC, 2021). Elevated CO_2 and drought independently affect C

cycling because forests exhibit cellular- to ecosystem-level responses to both factors (Blaschke et

al., 2001; Cech et al., 2003; Lee et al., 2021; Arain et al., 2022; Martínez-Sancho et al., 2022;

Norby et al., 2024; Reay et al., 2025). For example, in a mature stand of *Eucalyptus tereticornis*,

elevated CO_2 increased C uptake (through gross primary production) by 12%, although net gains

were lost due to an increase in soil fluxes, including from respiration (Jiang et al., 2020). In

addition, a summer drought in 2022 resulted in nearly half of Europe's soil moisture levels

becoming critically low (Toreti et al., 2022), which corresponded with a reduced C uptake of ~60

TgC (van der Woude et al., 2023). Because drought and elevated atmospheric CO_2 concentrations

affect ecosystem C exchange, it is critical to understand how these global change factors influence

key ecosystem processes connected to C cycling, such as litter decomposition.

Litter decomposition is driven by soil biota, which break down litter into simplified

compounds, leading to plant uptake of nutrients (Krishna and Mohan, 2017), the release of by-

products into the atmosphere, and incorporation of nutrients into various soil pools (Lavallee et al.,

2020). The decomposer community consist of soil animals, including mesofauna like mites and

collembolans, and larger macrofauna like isopods, which impact decomposition through

fragmentation of litter and grazing of microbes (Seastedt, 1984; Coleman et al., 2018), in addition

to bacteria and fungi that mineralize the organic matter *via* the secretion of enzymes (Romaní et

al., 2006). Globally, litter decomposition is also driven by climatic factors, which regulate the

activity of decomposers, such as temperature and annual precipitation, along with initial litter

properties such as C:N ratio, lignin and tannins (Zhang et al., 2008; Wu et al., 2025). Importantly,

both elevated CO_2 and drought can impact litter decomposition (Wu et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2024)

namely through modifications to initial litter properties (which we refer to below as litter origin)

and by modifying the environment (which we refer to below as the decomposition environment).

Here, the mechanisms, the direction, and the magnitude of responses to initial litter properties and

decomposition environment against elevated CO_2 and drought may differ substantially.

Drought and elevated atmospheric CO_2 can affect litter decomposition by modifying the

litter/soil environment and decomposer community. Drought-induced low moisture content has

cascading effects on soil processes and microbial and animal communities. Desiccation has

differential effects on particular groups of organisms: for example, fungi are generally more

resistant to drought than bacteria (Yuste et al., 2011; de Vries et al., 2012, 2018; Manzoni et al.,

2012). As well, Martin et al., (2024) found that reduced precipitation decreased soil fauna

abundance by 35%, while the effects of elevated CO_2 on decomposer communities are more subtle

and often variable (Blankinship et al., 2011). Although not nearly as intense as drought, elevated

CO_2 concentrations can also potentially influence the soil microclimate stemming from changes

to the canopy under enriched CO_2 levels (Franzaring et al., 2010). In addition, a further distinction

between elevated CO_2 and drought on the decomposition environment is from changes in C

allocation below-ground. Elevated CO_2 and drought have contrasting effects on the flux of recent

plant photosynthate, which in forests is a major pathway of energy flow to soils (Högberg et al.,

2001). Under elevated CO_2, trees increase below-ground inputs of C. For example, at the Duke

Forest FACE experiment, fine root biomass increased by 23%, microbial biomass by 15% in

response to sustained elevated CO_2 (Drake et al., 2011). In a mature oak forest, similar increases

in below-ground productivity were seen, including in the production and turnover of mycorrhizal

mycelium (Reay et al., 2025). By contrast, droughted trees tend to fix and allocate less C below-

ground (Hagedorn et al., 2016), until their recovery where plants then allocate more C to roots to

facilitate water and nutrient uptake (Joseph et al., 2020; Gao et al., 2024).

Both drought and elevated CO_2 concentrations affect leaf properties, such as the carbon

and nitrogen content of leaves and shoots (Yuan and Chen, 2015; Sun et al., 2020). Changes due

to drought can stem from increased sugar accumulation, decreasing nitrogen (N) content, and

changes to primary and secondary plant metabolites (Chen et al., 2015; Orians et al., 2019; Wang

and Wang, 2025). For elevated CO_2, N dilution within leaves together with C can alter the C:N

ratio (Taub and Wang, 2008; Welti et al., 2020). These and other changes to litter properties can

affect litter quality and subsequently decomposer community composition (Ilieva-Makulec et al.,

2006; Gergócs and Hufnagel, 2016). Changes to the decomposer community will subsequently

impact the litter decomposition process but understanding how changes to both initial litter

properties and decomposition environment impact animals and microbes requires further

examination.

Disentangling the effects of initial litter properties from those of the decomposition

environment on litter mass loss is challenging, especially under field conditions. For instance,

Prieto et al., (2019) found strong interactive effects between litter origin and decomposition

environment on litter mass under experimental (i.e., warming and reduced rainfall) conditions.

They also found that subsequent linkages between microbial communities, litter moisture, and

litter mass loss differed when experimental treated litter was incubated in control plots (both litter

moisture and microbial communities were correlated with mass loss) or in treated plots (litter

moisture was correlated with microbial community structure and microbes was the sole parameter

correlated with mass loss) further highlighting interactions between initial litter properties and

decomposition environment during mass loss. Overall, both drought and elevated atmospheric

CO_2 have potential to affect litter decomposition *via* alteration of litter properties and the

decomposition environment (which includes decomposers and litter moisture content) but how

initial changes to litter properties interact with the decomposition alongside changes to the

decomposer community *in situ* remains poorly understood. Resolving this uncertainty requires

large-scale experimental infrastructure in combination with multi-variate analysis of litter

properties and other factors.

We investigated how drought and elevated atmospheric CO_2 independently affect litter

decomposition in mature forests by conducting reciprocal transplants within two, large-scale

manipulative field experiments: the University of Birmingham Institute of Forest Research Free-

Air CO_2 Enrichment (BIFoR FACE) experiment and the Oak Observatory at the Observatoire de

Haute-Provence (O_3HP) drought experiment. We hypothesized that drought and elevated CO_2

influences litter decomposition through two distinct pathways.   The first involves the

decomposition environment, which arise when the same litter type decomposes in contrasting

environments (control vs. drought or elevated CO_2 plots). These effects capture how changes in

soil moisture, microclimate, and decomposer communities directly regulate decomposition. We

hypothesized that drought and elevated CO_2 have contrasting impacts on soil properties and

microclimate, notably because drought has strong direct impact on environmental conditions, such

as litter and soil moisture. The second pathway involves litter origin, which occurs when different

treatments (e.g. control vs. drought, or control vs. elevated CO_2) changes litter properties that affect

subsequent decomposition. For example, plant growth under drought and elevated CO_2 conditions

may modify litter properties, especially chemistry (e.g. C:N ratio, lignin, tannins), prior to litterfall

and subsequent decomposition. We hypothesized that litter originating from treated plots would

have long-term (carry over) effects on decomposition stemming from differences in litter

properties. Our reciprocal transplant design allowed us to test whether interactive effects between

litter origin and decomposition environment influence decomposition rates when subject to global

change drivers.

Methods

Overview

Within each experimental facility, we collected control and experimental litter and in

January 2020 transplanted the two litter types into control and treated plots (Supplementary

Information Figure S1). We harvested litterbags across four time points and measured (across all

or a subset of time points) litter mass loss, litter C-biochemistry and metabolomics, and the

microbial (phospholipid fatty acid analysis; PLFA) and animal community structure (the

abundance of mites, collembolans and other larger macrofauna) directly associated with the

recovered litter. Note that we did not transplant litter between the two experiments (i.e., litter from

BIFoR FACE was not transplanted at O_3HP and vice versa). We only transplanted litter within

each experimental facility between the control and experimental apparatuses. We will continue to

refer to it as a reciprocal transplant experiment below given that our study follows that design.

Experimental sites

The reciprocal transplant study used the BIFoR FACE (52.801°N, 2.301°W) elevated CO2

experiment in the United Kingdom and the O_3HP (43.965°N, 5.878°E) drought experiment in

southern France (Supplementary Figure S1). The BIFoR FACE facility started in 2017 on a

Quercus robur L. forest that was planted around 1850 (Hart et al., 2020; MacKenzie et al., 2021)

containing coppice *Corylus avellana* L. and abundant self-set *Acer pseudoplatanus* L. understory.

The CO_2 treatment began in April 2017 and comprises six infrastructure plots (elevated CO_2, n =

3, ambient CO_2, n = 3). Each plot is approximately 30 m in diameter and comprises 16 peripheral

towers and one central tower that extend ~ 1m above the canopy. In the elevated CO_2 plots, an

additional 150 ppm of CO_2 above ambient (i.e. ~36 % increase over ambient) is emitted laterally

from the ground to the forest canopy, while ambient CO_2 arrays mimic the treatment but only

receive ambient air. The increase of CO_2 concentrations is seen from the soil level infrastructure

and across the entire vertical profile of the plot (Hart et al., 2020), meaning litterbags would be

incubated in an enriched CO_2 environment. The towers release CO_2 from ~April 1 to October 31

each year, coinciding with oak bud burst and last leaf fall (Supplementary Information Figure S2).

The +150 ppm of CO_2 is similar to other large-scale experimental facilities such as EucFACE (e.g.,

Jiang et al., 2020) and represents the projected mid-century CO_2 concentration, regardless of model

scenario (Friedlingstein et al., 2014). It also allows for ecophysiological effects to be detected

with both aboveground and belowground measurements (Gardner et al., 2022; Norby et al., 2024;

Reay et al., 2025) and provides an end-member of the small set of forest FACE treatments (Norby,

2025).

The O$_3$HP drought experiment started in 2012 on *Quercus pubescens* Willd forest,

containing *Acer monspessulanum* L. (75% vs. 25% coverage, respectively) and *Cotinus coggygria*

Scop understory. A rain exclusion device, measuring 15 m x 20 m excludes ~30% of precipitation

at canopy top, relative to the nearby control plot. In our study, the rain exclusion device was

operational between May to September and the total precipitation was 40% lower in rain exclusion

plots over the course of the experiment (total precipitation, control: 1652 mm, drought: 997 mm;

Supplementary Information Figure S3) (Gauquelin et al., 2011; Santonja et al., 2017; Aupic-

Samain et al., 2021). There is only a single drought apparatus established at O$_3$HP, but to create

near-independent 'plot-like' conditions relevant to the scale of litterbags, the bags were placed in

a clumped distribution under and outside of the rain exclusion devices to create three experimental

plots within the treatment, mirroring the set-up at BIFoR FACE.

Litterbag preparation and sampling

Quercus litter was sampled from spatially separated areas of each site in BIFoR FACE and

O$_3$HP in October 2019 and shipped in coolers to The University of Manchester and air dried. We

measured the %C, %N, C:N and metabolomic signatures on the initial litter (Quer et al., 2022; see

Metabolomic signature of initial litter in Methods S1 for more details). Litterbags comprised 1.8

mm nylon mesh with two separate compartments (Supplementary Information Image S1), each

receiving 3 g of dried litter. One compartment was used for chemical analysis of litter and

assessment of microbial community composition, decomposition, and moisture content. The other

compartment was used solely to characterize soil fauna communities. A total of 480 litterbags

were used (i.e. 240 litterbags for BIFoR FACE and 240 for O_3HP). For each experimental site,

we had 2 decomposition environments (control and drought or elevated CO_2) x 2 litter origins

(control and experimental) x 3 plots x 4-time points x 5 replicates = 240). The experiment began

January 2020 where litterbags were placed on the experimental sites. Freshly fallen litter was

removed prior to placing the litterbags on the ground surface and then replaced over the litterbags.

Litterbags were then fixed with two galvanized nails to prevent movement by animals or wind.

Litterbags were harvested across four time points at both experimental sites. At BIFoR FACE,

litterbags were harvested September 2020 (8 months; Harvest one; H1), January 2021 (12 months;

Harvest two; H2), June 2021 (17 months; Harvest three, H3) and December 2021 (23 months;

Harvest four, H4), corresponding to the fourth and fifth year of activation of the elevated CO_2

treatment. Four litter bags could not be retrieved from BIFoR FACE at H4 due to a tree limb

falling and disturbing litter bags. At O_3HP, litterbags were harvested October 2020 (10 months;

H1), January 2021 (13 months; H2), September 2021 (21 months; H3) and October 2022 (34

months; H4). The duration of decomposition differed between experimental sites due to variation

in decomposition rate. We initially aimed for ~24 months of decomposition but incubation in the

drought experiment was extended by an additional year to achieve 50% mass loss.

At each sampling time, litterbags were shipped to The University of Manchester for

processing. In order to prevent contamination of litter by soil, remaining litter from the 'chemical

analysis compartment' was wiped thoroughly by hand or with a brush when needed. The fresh

weight (g) of the litter was taken before litter was frozen at -20 °C overnight and 48 hours of

freeze-drying for determination of dry weight. For soil fauna, litter was removed from the

compartment and placed on Tullgren funnels to extract individual animals for seven days into

absolute ethanol. After extraction, litter was placed into ovens at 65 °C for two days before

weighing to measure litter dry weight. Apart from litter mass loss rates (measured at all dates),

litter properties and decomposer communities were measured from H3 onward, when ~20-60% of

the original litter remained, to capture drought and elevated CO_2 effects during mid to late stage

of decomposition.

Litter decomposition, quality, stoichiometry, and moisture content

Litter decomposition was calculated as litter mass loss using the following equation:

$$Mass\ loss\ (\%) = \frac{Initial\ litter\ (g) - harvested\ litter\ (g)}{Initial\ litter} * 100$$

We also calculated the exponential decomposition rate coefficient (k_t) at each harvest point

using the equation from (Olson, 1963):

$$k_t = \frac{-\ln\left(\frac{X_H}{X_I}\right)}{t}$$

Where X_H is the weight (in g) of harvested litter, X_I is the weight of initial litter (in g), and

t is time in years since the beginning of the experiment. Litter decomposition and litter moisture

was measured from all litterbags across all four time points. We measured the %C, %N and C:N

ratio of initial and decomposed litter with an Elementar Vario EL element analyser (Hanau,

Germany) on 5 mg of ball-milled litter (Retsch Ball Mill MM 400, Haan, Germany) at both sites.

We also measured litter C-biochemistry (specifically, characterizing C-containing

functional groups) of H3 and H4 litter from both sites using Fourier transform infrared (FTIR)

spectroscopy (Bell et al., 2018). Ball-milled litter samples were placed onto a Universal

Attenuated Total Reflection (UATR) spectrometer diamond crystal to cover the 2-mm window,

and the absorbance (A cm^{-1}) was measured within a 650 to 4000 A cm^{-1} range, at 4 cm^{-1} resolution

and 16 scans per sample. We also ran a background correction after every ten samples. We applied

a baseline correction for each spectra (i.e., sample) using the modpolyfit method in the R package

'baseline'. To standardize values across our samples, we Z-transformed each spectra, where

values were transformed to a mean of 0 and SD of 1 by subtracting by its own mean and dividing

by its own standard deviation (akin to a Standard Normal Variate normalization) to reduce

multiplicative scatter effects (Jardine et al., 2017; Bell et al., 2018). We then calculated the area

of the curve for each respective functional group using a shoelace formula (Wang et al., 2023).

The assignment of wave number regions to leaf litter functional groups was based on Liu et al.,

(2016) who used FTIR to examine functional groups across four deciduous litter origins, including

Quercus robur (Supplementary Information Table S1).

Phospholipid fatty acid analysis (PLFA)

We used PLFAs to measure how fungal and bacteria concentrations and the general

microbial community were impacted by drought and elevated CO_2 in leaf litter at both sites (Buyer

and Sasser, 2012). Due to high litter mass loss rates in the fourth harvest of BIFoR FACE, we did

not have enough material remaining to quantify microbial communities through PLFAs. So, we

elected to measure PLFAs for both sites only on litterbags collected in the third harvest. We

quantified PLFAs with ~0.4-0.5 g of ball milled, freeze-dried litter. Four ml of Bligh-Dyer

extractant containing C19:0 of an internal standard was added to each sample to extract lipids.

Once extracted, we used a gas chromatograph (Agilent 7890A Gas chromatograph, Santa Clara,

CA, USA) to assign and calculate peak height, where PLFA group concentrations were expressed

as nmol g dry weight of litter^{-1}. We used 18 fatty acid markers to characterize microbial

communities (Frostegård et al., 1993; Hill et al., 2000) on leaf litter, consisting of 6 and 4 markers

for gram positive (i14:0, i15:0, a15:0, i16:0, i17:0, a17:0) and gram negative (16:1ω7c, cy17:0,

18:1ω7, and cy19:0) bacteria, respectively. In addition, we used 15:0 and 17:0 as general bacteria

markers, and 10Me16:0, 10Me17:0, and 10Me18:0 as markers for actinobacteria. Fatty acids 14:0

and 16:0 were used as general microbial markers in our study, not specific to fungi or bacteria.

The marker 18:2ω6,9 was the sole fungal marker in our experiments. With these markers, we

analyzed decomposition environment and litter origin effects on total PLFA concentrations (proxy

for microbial biomass), F:B ratio (by dividing 18:2ω6,9 abundance by the summed total of bacteria

markers) and PLFA community composition across both experiments.

Soil fauna community

Soil fauna was sorted and counted into higher taxonomic or mixed groups, comprising:

Collembola, Oribatida, Astigmata, Prostigmata, Mesostigmata, and 'other' fauna, which included

Annelida, Isopoda, and Insecta. Soil fauna abundances were standardized by the dry weight of

litter (individuals g dwt^{-1}), to account for differences in sample mass prior to analysis. Although

found in litterbags, macrofauna counted for less than 5% (on average) of total abundances in our

study. Meaning, most individuals captured were mesofauna, like mites and collembolans.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed with the program R (version 4.3.1) (R Core Team,

2023) and figures were produced with the package 'ggplot2' (Wickham, 2016). To analyze for

differences in initial litter chemistry between our litter types (litter from control and experimental

plots) prior to the experiment beginning, we used one-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) tests

and Permutation Multivariate ANOVAs (PERMANOVAs) to examine for differences between

C%, N% and C:N ratio (ANOVA) and leaf metabolomic profile (PERMANOVA, with Euclidean

distance) between our treatments (ambient vs. drought or elevated CO_2). To do this, we used the

aov function in base R and the adonis2 function in the package 'vegan' (Oksanen et al., 2022),

respectively. Here, we partitioned the data by sites (BIFoR FACE and O_3HP) and ran separate

models for both.

We tested the effect of decomposition environment (ambient vs. drought or elevated CO_2)

and litter origin (control vs. experimental) on our parameters, analyzing the sites (BIFoR FACE

and O_3HP) and harvest time points (H1-4) separately (excluding litter decomposition, for more

details see below). For univariate variables, we used linear mixed models where the fixed factors

were decomposition environment, litter origin, and their interaction, with a random factor of

experimental plot. We used linear mixed models for %C, %N, C:N ratio, total PLFA concentration

(nmol g dwt^{-1}), fungi : bacteria ratio, animal total and group abundances (individuals g dwt^{-1}),

which includes Collembola, Oribatida, Astigmata, Mesostigmata, Prostigmata, and 'other' fauna.

Note, we did not analyze Astigmata abundances in the drought experiment as low abundances

were present in samples. For litter decomposition (measured as mass loss) we included all time

points together into a single model, where the fixed factors were decomposition environment, litter

origin, harvest, and their subsequent interactions with a random factor of experimental plot. To

run linear mixed models, we used the lmer function in the package 'lme4' (Bates et al., 2015)

where we calculated F-statistics and p-values with Satterthwaite's method using the package

'lmerTest' (Kuznetsova et al., 2017). If model residuals were not normally distributed, we ln or

arscine-transformed the dependent variable and re-ran the model. At times, we found singularity

within our linear mixed models as the variance within our random factor terms was extremely low

(essentially zero) and the model was overfit. When this occurred, we removed the random factor,

and we re-ran the model without the random term. We found this had no effect on p-values

(because experimental plot explained little variance within the linear mixed model), so we reported

the ANOVA results.

For multivariate variables, including leaf litter functional groups (FTIR) and microbial

community composition, we ran PERMANOVAs using the adonis2 function in the package 'vegan'

(Oksanen et al., 2022). For leaf litter functional groups, we used Euclidean distances within our

models, while with microbial communities we used Bray-Curtis dissimilarity as it better suited for

compositional data (like the abundances of each PLFA peak). Each model was fitted with the

same fixed factors, consisting of decomposition environment, litter origin and their interaction.

To visualize leaf litter functional groups and microbial communities we used a Principal

Component Analysis (PCA) ordination plot using the rda function in the package 'vegan'

(Oksanen et al., 2022). For microbial communities, we Hellinger-transformed datasets using the

decostand function in the 'vegan' package prior to the PCA.

Finally, we used additional linear mixed models to detail relationships between the

treatments, litter properties, and decomposer communities with litter mass loss at the third and

fourth harvest, for each experiment. To do this, we z-transformed (mean = 0, SD = 1) mass loss

rates, litter moisture, remaining litter C:N, total PLFAs, F:B, PLFA PC1, PLFA PC2, FTIR PC1,

FTIR PC2, and total animal abundances data for each site at H3 and H4. We then constructed

Pearson correlation matrices to provide an initial assessment of the parameters that were correlated

with litter mass loss. From there, we selected the significant correlates ($p < 0.05$) and ran linear

mixed models where we included those parameters, in addition to litter origin and decomposition

(as non-interacting factors) and plot as the random effect using the lmer function from the 'lme4'

package (Bates et al., 2015). The selected parameters that were tested alongside litter origin and

decomposition environment for each model can be found in Supplementary Tables S2-5. At H3

in both sites, the relative abundance of fungi : bacteria was strongly correlated with PLFA PC1;

we elected to retain PLFA PC1 (and remove F:B) in both models as the first principal component

analysis strongly explained PLFA composition. After this, we checked for collinearity within our

four models and found that all parameters had VIF scores < 5, representing absent or low

multicollinearity. With the dual approaches to model litter mass loss (one described here and the

other detailed earlier), we examined the overall effect of each treatment on litter mass loss through

four harvest points, and then subsequently analyzed the effect of litter properties and decomposer

communities on mass loss at specific harvests. Note that mass and C-loss were highly correlated

with each other at both sites ($p < 0.0001$), so we elected to model litter mass loss rates for the

experiment.

Results

Initial litter chemistry

We found strong differences between the C:N ratio of the initial litter collected at control

and droughted plots at O$_3$HP ($F_{1,8} = 13.92$, $p = 0.006$; Supplementary Information Table S6), with

the C:N ratio of control litter exceeding that of the droughted litter. Furthermore, strong

differences were detected between the metabolomic profiles between the initial control and

droughted litter ($F_{1,8} = 3.91$, p = 0.009; Supplementary Information Figure S4). Control litter from

the drought experiment was mainly composed of ellagitannins (from $C_{34}H_{24}O_{22}$ to $C_{56}H_{40}O_{31}$),

catechin ($C_{15}H_{14}O_6$), and ellagic hexoside ($C_{20}H_{16}O_{13}$) that were overexpressed within litter. Other

metabolites such as quercetin hexosides (or rhamnoside), kaempferol hexosides, and isorhamnetin

hexosides were at similar quantities between control and drought treatment. By contrast, there

were no differences in C:N ratio ($F_{1,8} = 0.001$, p = 0.977; Supplementary Information Table S6) or

metabolomic profiles ($F_{1,8} = 1.04$, p = 0.336; Supplementary Information Figure S4) between litter

collected from the ambient and elevated CO_2 plots at BIFoR FACE.

Elevated CO_2 experiment at BIFoR FACE

Although minimal differences were detected between control and experimental litter when

measuring initial litter chemistry, we found that during the first eighteen months of the

decomposition experiment, litter from elevated CO_2 plots (i.e., experimental litter) decomposed

slower than litter from control plots (litter origin: $F_{1,215.09} = 5.932$, p = 0.016) through H1-3 (time:

$F_{3,215.46} = 155.97$, p < 0.001) until H4 in both decomposition environments. At H4, mass loss

varied little between the decomposition environments and litter origins (Figure 1, Supplementary

Information Table S7 contains exponential decomposition rate coefficient, k_t, values). Similarly,

the C:N of litter was higher in experimental litter compared to controls ($F_{1,56} = 6.03$, p = 0.02) at

H3, but not at H4 (Supplementary Information Table S8 and S9). This finding was also supported

by FTIR spectroscopy analysis, which showed significant differences in leaf litter C-containing

functional groups at H3 between litter origins ($F_{1,56} = 4.33$, p = 0.03). However, litter C-

biochemistry composition between control and experimental litter was no longer significant at H4

($F_{1,52} = 0.26$, $p = 0.81$; Figure 2A-B). Neither decomposition environment nor litter origin affected

litter moisture content at either H3 or H4 (Supplementary Information Table S8 and S9).

Decomposition environment and litter origin did not influence PLFA abundance, the fungi :

bacteria (F:B) ratio, and microbial community composition at H3 (Supplementary Information

Table S8, Supplementary Information Figure S5). Likewise, no individual or total animal group

abundances, were affected by decomposition environment or litter origin in H3. In H4, however,

the abundance of Mesostigmata ($F_{1,52} = 5.04$, $p = 0.03$) and Prostigmata ($F_{1,52} = 7.30$, $p = 0.009$)

was significantly greater in control litter relative to experimental litter in both decomposition

environments (Supplementary Information Table S9).

Further modeling of mass loss rates (with litter properties and decomposer communities)

yielded strong relationships of mass loss with microbial communities (both PLFA PC1 ($t = -4.871$,

$p < 0.001$) and PC2 ($t = 4.219$, $p < 0.001$)), total animal abundances ($t = 2.40$, $p = 0.02$), and litter

C-biochemistry (FTIR PC1; $t = 3.30$, $p = 0.002$) for litterbags at the third harvest. Here, larger

mesofauna and macrofauna population corresponded with higher mass loss rates. Furthermore,

both microbial PC1, driven by fungal abundance (PLFA marker C18:2ω6,9), and microbial PC2,

where bacteria markers were strongly separated (Supplementary Information Figure S5), were

predictors of litter mass loss rates. In addition, the C-biochemistry of the remaining litter explained

mass loss rates, highlighting that chemical differences in litter (stemming from litter origin

treatment) impacted mass loss rates, even after more than 50% of material has decomposed.

However, by the fourth harvest, only FTIR PC2 was found to significantly explain litter mass loss

rates ($t = -3.22$, $p = 0.002$) as litter C-biochemistry was a predictor of mass loss when ~ 20% of

the original leaf material remaining. Differences in the number of significant parameters between

the two harvests partly stem from additional measurements detailing microbial communities at H3;

however, it is notable that animals were no longer correlated with mass loss by the fourth harvest.

Drought experiment at O₃HP

By contrast to elevated CO_2, there were stronger effects of both decomposition

environment and litter origin on measured parameters in response to drought, matching our first

and second hypotheses. First, litter decomposition was slower in droughted plots relative to

controls (decomposition environment: $F_{1,4}$ = 147.91, p < 0.001) with remaining litter mass

decreasing at varying rates (decomposition environment x time: $F_{1,220}$ = 4.56, p = 0.004; Figure 1;

Supplementary Information Table S7). Remaining litter had lower C:N ratios in control plots (H3:

$F_{1,4}$ = 21.92, p = 0.009; H4: $F_{1,56}$ = 37.85, p < 0.001) compared to drought plots. In addition,

experimental litter (i.e., litter derived from drought plots) had lower C:N ratio, relative to the

control litter in both decomposition environments (H3: $F_{1,52}$ = 32.53, p < 0.001; H4: $F_{1,56}$ = 9.54,

p = 0.003) suggesting both decomposition environment and litter origin affected litter properties

during the experiment (C:N but also %C and %N; Figure 3; Supplementary Information Table S10

and S11).

Similarly, we observed a strong effect of decomposition environment on leaf litter C

biochemistry (H3: $F_{1,56}$ = 6.73, p = 0.006; H4: $F_{1,56}$ = 15.32, p = 0.002) (Figure 2C-D); hydroxyl

groups (B1) and amides (I and II, B4 and B6) were grouped together along PC1 in drought litter

at both H3 and H4 time points. Conversely, carboxylates (B5), sulfones and esters (B10), along

with aromatics (B15) were correlated with ambient litter and both H3 and H4. We found divergent

effects of the experimental drought on litter moisture content at O_3HP. At H3, litter moisture

content significantly decreased (by around 35%) in drought plots ($F_{1,4}$ = 85.96, p < 0.001;

Supplementary Information Table S10). However, at H4, when litter moisture content in control

plots was already low, there was no significant difference between the control and drought plots

($F_{1,56}$ = 1.086, p = 0.30; Supplementary Information Table S11).

After 21 months of decomposition (H3), experimental litter (i.e., litter from the droughted

plots) had greater concentrations of PLFAs in both decomposition environment ($F_{1,52}$ = 9.06, p =

0.004, Figure 4A), but the drought treatment reduced total PLFA concentrations ($F_{1,4}$ = 15.69, p =

0.02) in litter regardless of its origin. The F:B ratio was greater in litter bags from the drought

environment across both litter types ($F_{1,4}$ = 19.42, p = 0.01, Figure 4B). Both litter origin ($F_{1,56}$ =

6.64, p = 0.004) and decomposition environment ($F_{1,56}$ = 23.59, p < 0.001; Figure 4C) shifted

PLFA composition. Microbial composition in response to decomposition environments was

largely explained by PC1 whereas microbial composition in response to litter origin was explained

more by PC2. These axes reflected distinct PLFAs, with the fungal-related PLFA C18:2ω6,9

driving separation in both PC1 and PC2 (Figure 4C) with general bacteria and microbial markers

(14:0, 15:0, 16:0 and 17:0) reflected litter origin differences on PC2. In addition, animal

communities responded more to the decomposition environment than litter origin (Supplementary

Information Table S10 and S11). Here, mesostigmatid (H3: $F_{1,56}$ = 31.18, p < 0.001) and

prostigmatid mite (H4: $F_{1,56}$ = 7.65, p = 0.007) abundances significantly decreased, and there was

a trend for drought to reduce total animal abundances at H4 ($F_{1,4}$ = 6.04, p = 0.07). Notably, there

was a significant interaction of decomposition environment and litter origin on 'other' macrofauna

abundances at H3 (decomposition environment x litter origin: $F_{1,52} = 6.51$, p = 0.01) where

abundances were greatest in the droughted plots with control litter.

In our expanded models to assess the drivers of litter mass loss at the third and fourth

harvest, we found the same significant predictors of decomposition in both models. Here, litter

originating from droughted plots (i.e., experimental litter) decreased mass loss rates at both time

points (H3: t = -2.25, p = 0.03; H4: t = -2.46, p = 0.017). The drought treatment itself was no

longer significant in these models, instead, higher C:N ratios in remaining litter in the third (t = -

4.37 p < 0.001) and fourth (t = -6.89 p < 0.001) corresponded with lower mass loss rates as litter

C-biochemistry (FTIR) PC1 was also a predictor of with mass loss in the third and fourth harvest

(H3: t = 3.02, p = 0.004; H4: t = 4.91, p < 0.001). Together, these models show that litter properties,

both initial and after decomposition had begun, were drivers of litter decomposition.

Discussion

The use of two large scale, relatively long-term experimental manipulations of drought and

elevated atmospheric CO_2 provide results that address the need for 'ecologically relevant' (Rineau

et al., 2019) investigations of the impact global change factors on soil C cycling processes. Our

results reveal that long-term *in situ* manipulations of drought and elevated atmospheric CO_2 to

mature oak forests have differing impacts on litter decomposition process, thereby supporting our

first hypothesis. We found that litter incubated under trees subjected to long-term drought at O_3HP

had greater C:N ratio during decomposition and reduced mass loss compared to litter incubated in

ambient rainfall conditions. Litter mass loss models containing both treatments and measured

parameters also revealed that a main correlate of litter mass loss was the origin of litter, i.e.,

whether leaves were subject to either drought or ambient rainfall prior to litterfall, at both the third

and fourth harvest. Both the C:N ratio and the composition of secondary metabolites differed

according to the origin of the litter as mass loss was lower in experimental litter. Conversely, in

the elevated CO_2 experiment at BIFoR FACE, the decomposition environment was not a strong

driver of litter decomposition, but litter originating from elevated CO_2 plots had slower

decomposition rates. The effect of litter origin on mass loss in both experiments provides support

for our second hypothesis that changes to litter properties stemming from drought and elevated

CO_2 has long-term impacts on decomposition rate.

Our analyses indicated that litter origin, capturing treatment-driven changes in initial litter

properties, affected mass loss months after the onset of decomposition. This finding suggests

strong carry-over effects of these global change factors on decomposition acting *via* modifications

on traits of living leaves. These effects occurred in the elevated CO_2 experiment even though

significant differences in initial properties were not detected, suggesting that the treatment may

have changed important litter traits that we did not measure. For example, it is possible that

changes to leaf morphological as thickness, stemming from cell expansion (Pritchard et al., 1999;

Gardner et al., 2022) and nutrient concentrations such as phosphorus and potassium (Cha et al.,

2017), could have impacted decomposition. Regardless of the exact mechanism, the carry-over

effect of litter origin was also reflected by the colonization and establishment of microbial

communities (drought experiment) and animal abundances (elevated CO_2 experiment) in litter.

Specifically, microbial biomass (measured as total PLFA concentration) was higher in the

experimental litter at O_3HP, while fewer animals were present in experimental litter at BIFoR

FACE. Overall, leaves grown under global change factors prior to litterfall continue to impact

decomposition and its drivers even when >50% of litter has been decomposed. This result

highlights the longevity of impacts of drought and elevated CO_2 on litter decomposition, and thus

C cycling within forests.

Litter mass loss in drought and elevated CO_2 experiments was explained by dissimilar

pathways. In the drought experiment, both litter origin and decomposition environment affected

remaining litter C:N, as litter C-biochemistry also showed strong responses to the droughted

decomposition environment, and together were strong correlates of mass loss rates. By contrast,

in the elevated CO_2 experiment, litter origin but not decomposition environment explained litter

mass loss. The lack of a decomposition environment effect at BIFoR FACE is surprising given

the numerous microbially-driven below-ground impacts reported at this site that are known to

regulate processes such as decomposition. These effects include increases in abundance and

turnover of mycorrhizal hyphae, root exudation, and root traits, such as branching frequency

(Kourmouli et al., 2025; Reay et al., 2025; Rumeau et al., 2025). One hypothesis that might explain

these findings is that the microorganisms driving the changes noted above are not tightly associated

with freshly fallen litter, but with other soil fractions. For example, there is strong evidence for

vertical segregation of ectomycorrhizal fungi in organic soils (Dickie et al., 2002; Rosling et al.,

2003) and therefore highly probable to find strong impacts of elevated CO_2 in soil fungal

communities but not on leaf litter as observed in our study.

Our experimental design enabled us to test for interactive effects between litter origin and

decomposition environment. This analysis is important because litter properties and decomposers

interact during decomposition (Prieto et al., 2019) and microbes and animals often show a

consistent ability to accelerate decomposition of 'home' litter relative to 'away' litter (i.e. the

home field advantage) (Ayres et al., 2009; Veen et al., 2015). This variation is driven partly by

litter quality, where recalcitrant litter is typically subject to stronger home field advantage than

more labile litter (Wallenstein et al., 2013; Chomel et al., 2015). Nevertheless, at O_3HP there was

no significant interaction between the litter origin and decomposition environment treatments with

litter mass loss, suggesting that changes to the decomposer community or initial litter properties

under droughted conditions was not strong enough to produce a synergistic or antagonistic

response.   There was also no interactive response between litter origin and decomposition

environment in the elevated CO_2 experiment, although this observation is less surprising given that

initial litter properties and microbial and animal communities did not show strong responses to the

treatment.

In the drought experiment, microbial communities were strongly correlated with remaining

litter C:N (shown with the Pearson correlation matrix), but this was not found in the elevated CO_2

experiment. This difference may be explained by the overall higher C:N ratios at O_3HP compared

to BIFoR FACE. Fungal abundance is typically greater on recalcitrant litter because fungi possess

enzymes that breakdown larger carbon-rich molecules and polymers, such as lignin, relative to

bacteria (Romaní et al., 2006; Leifheit et al., 2024; Wang and Kuzyakov, 2024). Microbial

community composition rapidly changes during decomposition (Voříšková and Baldrian, 2013;

Gołębiewski et al., 2019) but litter C:N is strongly related to microbial communities, including

F:B ratio, in litter layer material (Kohl et al., 2021). When comparing the two experiments, we

found that the F:B was higher in the drought experiment, which had more recalcitrant litter, but

lower in the elevated CO_2 experiment, which had more labile litter. By the third harvest, the

advanced state of decomposition in the elevated CO_2 experiment may have weakened the linkage

between microbial communities to litter C:N, despite both being correlated with litter mass loss

rate. Interestingly, remaining litter C:N was linked to animal abundances in the elevated CO_2 at

the third harvest, as evidenced by litter with higher C:N (~25:1) containing fewer animals than

litter with lower C:N (~18:1). This finding is supported by other work, albeit in different contexts.

For instance, Holmstrup et al. (2017) found two years of elevated CO_2 to a heathland changed litter

C:N that subsequently affected soil animal diversity, and Hasegawa and Takeda (1996) found that

animal abundance increased with declining litter C:N during the first two years of decomposition

in a secondary suburban forest in Kyoto. While the specific mechanisms linking litter C:N to

animals remains to be identified, our findings show that linkages exist between resource quality

and decomposers and that on-going decomposition will affect pathways between animals,

microbes, and litter chemical properties, such as litter C:N. Further research should document how

these pathways change over time under these and other global change factors.

Analysis of litter properties using FTIR provides a more detailed perspective of how

decomposition shapes C biochemistry. Such detail is important to move away from a simplistic

perspective of soil C and better understand how decomposition may influence the formation of

specific C pools with different rates of turnover, which underpins recent concepts of soil C cycling

(e.g., Lavallee et al., 2020). We found that FTIR signatures generally reflected mass loss rates as

in our expanded litter mass models (containing both treatments and measurements), principal

component axes from FTIR measurements were correlated with litter mass loss in both

experiments. In addition, at BIFoR FACE, the composition of FTIR-functional groups between

control and experimental litter converged between the third and fourth harvest as litter origin

effects on mass loss was minimal after two years of decomposition. Conversely, at O$_3$HP,

decomposition environment still shaped FTIR functional groups of litter in the fourth harvest

because decomposition was slower in the droughted plots. Here, we found matching responses

between litter properties and mass loss between our two experiments. Whether litter properties

converge (Moore et al., 2011) or diverge (Wickings et al., 2012) during mass loss will likely

depend on interactions between time decomposed, litter properties, decomposer community, and

environmental conditions. Overall, we found that FTIR functional groups in litter and mass loss

responded similarly to decomposition environment and litter origin within each experiment.

We found that experimental litter from the elevated CO$_2$ experiment was populated with

fewer mesostigmatid and prostigmatid mites at H4 than the control litter. Most mesostigmatid

mites, and some prostigmatid mite species, are predators, feeding on arthropods and nematodes

(Lindquist et al., 2009; Walter et al., 2009). Lower abundance of these mites suggests that changes

to prey composition (but likely not group abundances in our experiments) hindered predators in

our litterbags. Changing mesofauna and macrofauna predator composition can indirectly influence

litter decomposition (Lang et al., 2014; Koltz et al., 2018) and similar effects may explain

decomposition in our study. For example, predators can reduce competition amongst lower trophic

levels resulting in higher litter decomposition (Melguizo-Ruiz et al., 2020), highlighting the

complexity of these trophic interactions on litter decomposition. Although not statistically

significant, there was a trend for oribatid mite abundance to be lower under drought than control

conditions, which aligns with past studies (Santonja et al., 2017; Aupic-Samain et al., 2021).

Despite modest changes in animal abundances in litter, the expanded models of litter mass

loss for BIFoR FACE showed that animal abundances (in addition to microbial community

structure) were correlated with mass loss in the third harvest, supporting past work (Hättenschwiler

et al., 2005; Rouifed et al., 2010; Handa et al., 2014; Tan et al., 2021; Njoroge et al., 2022). By

contrast, only litter properties, litter C:N and litter C-biochemistry, best explained mass loss in the

drought experiment, reflecting the lack of effects of animals in this treatment. We suggest two

potential reasons for the contrasting influence of animals between the two experiments: First,

there are notable climate differences between the two sites; BIFoR FACE is in a cool, moist

temperate-maritime climate (MacKenzie et al., 2021), while O_3HP is in a seasonally hot and drier

Mediterranean climate (Gauquelin et al., 2011) (Figures S2 and S3). Joly et al. (2023) highlighted

the importance of macroclimate (i.e., temperature and precipitation) in litter decomposition, as

animal contributions to decomposition can be strongly climate dependent (Wall et al., 2008) which

may have contributed to the differing significant pathways between these models. Second, the

decomposer community was strongly correlated to mass loss at later stages of decomposition;

potentially, the semi-advanced state of the decomposed litter led to significant linkages to both

litter properties and decomposers at BIFoR FACE, and not at O_3HP where decomposition rates

were slower. By the fourth harvest, when only ~20% of litter remained, animal abundances were

no longer correlated with litter mass loss rate. Because of the relative influence of litter properties,

biota, and climate on decomposition will shift over time (Currie et al., 2010; García-Palacios et al.,

2016; Canessa et al., 2021), future research should recognize that climate x temporal interactions

may be critical to disentangling the contributions of litter properties and local environmental

conditions on biota and subsequently litter decomposition.

Conclusions

We investigated how drought and elevated atmospheric CO_2 independently affect litter

decomposition in mature forests by conducting reciprocal transplants within two large-scale

manipulative field experiments, BIFoR FACE (elevated CO_2) and O_3HP (drought). Our findings

reveal how separate *in situ* manipulation of elevated CO_2 or drought impact litter decomposition

through distinct pathways involving changes in either litter properties prior to decomposition or

the decomposition environment itself. Consistent with our hypotheses, drought exerted the

strongest control on decomposition, primarily through environmental constraints such as reduced

soil moisture and altered microclimatic conditions. In addition, both drought and elevated CO_2

impacted litter mass loss through modifications of litter properties prior to the onset of

decomposition. This litter-origin effect provides clear evidence that the impact of drought and

elevated CO_2 leads to strong carry-over effects on decomposition, confirming the importance of

pre-litterfall changes in litter properties alongside *in situ* environmental modification. Future

climate scenarios of increased atmospheric CO_2 concentrations will alter litter decomposition

through alterations to litter properties, which may include C:N ratio and underlying litter chemical

functional groups. Reduced seasonal rainfall will both strongly shape litter properties prior to

litterfall and during decomposition, alongside the associated decomposer communities. Overall,

these findings provide a more complete understanding of how atmospheric global change factors

shape C cycling in forests ecosystems and highlight a need to disentangle their individual and

interactive effects on litter inputs, properties and decomposition dynamics.

Acknowledgments

This study was funded by a UKRI Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) grant

NE/S002189/1. ARMK gratefully acknowledges additional support from NERC through grant

NE/S015833/1 (QUINTUS). TC acknowledges support by Research Ireland (20/FFP-P/8584).

The BIFoR-FACE facility acknowledges generous underpinning support from the JABBS

Foundation, Norbury Park Estate, The John Horseman Trust, Ecological Continuity Trust, and the

University of Birmingham. We thank Dr Kris Hart and the operations team at BIFoR FACE, Dr.

Ully Kritzler, Deborah Ashworth, Dr. Thomas Bishop, and Dr. Benjamin Bell for technical support.

We thank Dr. Stéphane Greff (Aix-Marseille Université) for analyzing leaf litter and Jean-Phillipe

Orts (Aix-Marseille Université) for collecting litter from the O_3HP experimental site.

Declaration of competing interest

630   The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal

631   relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Data availability statement

634   Data will be submitted to the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) data repository

635   (Environmental Information Data Center) upon acceptance of the manuscript.

Author Contributions

DJ and MC conceptualized the study, and DJ, MC, VB, RDB, ARMK obtained funding. MLM,

MC, ZV and KJF collected data. ARMK and VB help designed the elevated CO_2 and drought

sites, respectively, and coordinated work there. MLM and MC curated data, and MLM performed

the data analysis with support from TC. MLM wrote the first version of the manuscript and MC,

KJF, TC, ARMK, VB, RDB, and DJ provided feedback and contributed to finalizing the

manuscript.

References

References

Arain, M.A., Xu, B., Brodeur, J.J., Khomik, M., Peichl, M., Beamesderfer, E., Restrepo-Couple,

N., Thorne, R., 2022. Heat and drought impact on carbon exchange in an age-sequence of

temperate pine forests. Ecological Processes 11, 7. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13717-021-

00349-7

Aupic-Samain, A., Santonja, M., Chomel, M., Pereira, S., Quer, E., Lecareux, C., Limousin, J.M.,

Ourcival, J.M., Simioni, G., Gauquelin, T., Fernandez, C., Baldy, V., 2021. Soil biota

response to experimental rainfall reduction depends on the dominant tree species in mature

northern Mediterranean forests. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 154, 108122.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.108122

Ayres, E., Steltzer, H., Simmons, B.L., Simpson, R.T., Steinweg, J.M., Wallenstein, M.D., Mellor,

N., Parton, W.J., Moore, J.C., Wall, D.H., 2009. Home-field advantage accelerates leaf

litter decomposition in forests. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 41, 606–610.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2008.12.022

Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B., Walker, S., 2015. Fitting linear mixed-effects models using

lme4. Journal of Statistical Software 67, 1–48. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v067.i01

Bell, B.A., Fletcher, W.J., Ryan, P., Seddon, A.W.R., Wogelius, R.A., Ilmen, R., 2018. UV-B-

absorbing compounds in modern *Cedrus atlantica* pollen: The potential for a summer UV-

B proxy for Northwest Africa. The Holocene 28, 1382–1394.

https://doi.org/10.1177/0959683618777072

Blankinship, J.C., Niklaus, P.A., Hungate, B.A., 2011. A meta-analysis of responses of soil biota

to global change. Oecologia 165, 553–565. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-011-1909-0

Blaschke, L., Schulte, M., Raschi, A., Slee, N., Rennenberg, H., Polle, A., 2001. Photosynthesis,

soluble and structural carbon compounds in two Mediterranean oak species (*Quercus*

pubescens and *Q. ilex*) after lifetime growth at naturally elevated CO2 concentrations. Plant

Biology 3, 288–298. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2001-15203

Buyer, J.S., Sasser, M., 2012. High throughput phospholipid fatty acid analysis of soils. Applied

Soil Ecology 61, 127–130. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2012.06.005

Canessa, R., van den Brink, L., Saldaña, A., Rios, R.S., Hättenschwiler, S., Mueller, C.W., Prater,

I., Tielbörger, K., Bader, M.Y., 2021. Relative effects of climate and litter traits on

decomposition change with time, climate and trait variability. Journal of Ecology 109, 447–

458. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13516

Cech, P.G., Pepin, S., Körner, C., 2003. Elevated CO_2 reduces sap flux in mature deciduous forest

trees. Oecologia 137, 258–268. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-003-1348-7

Cha, S., Chae, H.-M., Lee, S.-H., Shim, J.-K., 2017. Effect of elevated atmospheric CO_2

concentration on growth and leaf litter decomposition of *Quercus acutissima* and *Fraxinus*

rhynchophylla. PLoS ONE 12, e0171197. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0171197

Chen, D., Wang, S., Xiong, B., Cao, B., Deng, X., 2015. Carbon/nitrogen imbalance associated

with drought-induced leaf senescence in *Sorghum bicolor*. PLoS ONE 10, e0137026.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0137026

Chomel, M., Guittonny-Larchevêque, M., DesRochers, A., Baldy, V., 2015. Home field advantage

of litter decomposition in pure and mixed plantations under boreal climate. Ecosystems 18,

1014–1028. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-015-9880-y

Coleman, D.C., Callaham Jr., M.A., Crossley Jr., D.A., 2018. Fundamentals of soil ecology.

Elsevier Inc., Burlington.

Currie, W.S., Harmon, M.E., Burke, I.C., Hart, S.C., Parton, W.J., Silver, W., 2010. Cross-biome

transplants of plant litter show decomposition models extend to a broader climatic range

but lose predictability at the decadal time scale. Global Change Biology 16, 1744–1761.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.02086.x

de Vries, F.T., Griffiths, R.I., Bailey, M., Craig, H., Girlanda, M., Gweon, H.S., Hallin, S.,

Kaisermann, A., Keith, A.M., Kretzschmar, M., Lemanceau, P., Lumini, E., Mason, K.E.,

Oliver, A., Ostle, N., Prosser, J.I., Thion, C., Thomson, B., Bardgett, R.D., 2018. Soil

bacterial networks are less stable under drought than fungal networks. Nature

Communications 9, 3033. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-05516-7

de Vries, F.T., Liiri, M.E., Bjørnlund, L., Bowker, M.A., Christensen, S., Setälä, H.M., Bardgett,

R.D., 2012. Land use alters the resistance and resilience of soil food webs to drought.

Nature Climate Change 2, 276–280. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1368

Dhaou, D., Gros, R., Baldy, V., Adotévi, A., Gaboriau, M., Estevez, Y., Lecareux, C., Dupouyet,

S., Fernandez, C., Bousquet-Mélou, A., 2022. Comparison of leaf litter decomposition and

microbial decomposer communities in fringe and riverine mangroves in French Guiana.

Regional Environmental Change 22, 102. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-022-01956-6

Dickie, I.A., Xu, B., Koide, R.T., 2002. Vertical niche differentiation of ectomycorrhizal hyphae

in soil as shown by T-RFLP analysis. New Phytologist 156, 527–535.

https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.2002.00535.x

Drake, J.E., Gallet-Budynek, A., Hofmockel, K.S., Bernhardt, E.S., Billings, S.A., Jackson, R.B.,

Johnsen, K.S., Lichter, J., McCarthy, H.R., McCormack, M.L., Moore, D.J.P., Oren, R.,

Palmroth, S., Phillips, R.P., Pippen, J.S., Pritchard, S.G., Treseder, K.K., Schlesinger,

W.H., DeLucia, E.H., Finzi, A.C., 2011. Increases in the flux of carbon belowground

stimulate nitrogen uptake and sustain the long-term enhancement of forest productivity

under elevated CO_2. Ecology Letters 14, 349–357. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-

0248.2011.01593.x

FAO, 2020. Global Forest Resources Assessment 2020 - Key Findings. Rome.

https://doi.org/10.4060/ca8753en

Franzaring, J., Högy, P., Erbs, M., Fangmeier, A., 2010. Responses of canopy and soil climate in

a six year free-air CO_2 enrichment study with spring crops. Agricultural and Forest

Meteorology 150, 354–360. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2009.11.018

Friedlingstein, P., Meinshausen, M., Arora, V.K., Jones, C.D., Anav, A., Liddicoat, S.K., Knutti,

R., 2014. Uncertainties in CMIP5 climate projections due to carbon cycle feedbacks.

Journal of Climate 27, 511–526. https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00579.1

Frostegård, Å., Tunlid, A., Bååth, E., 1993. Phospholipid fatty acid composition, biomass, and

activity of microbial communities from two soil types experimentally exposed to different

heavy   metals.   Applied   and   Environmental   Microbiology   59,   3605–3617.

https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.59.11.3605-3617.1993

Gao, D., Luster, J., Zürcher, A., Arend, M., Bai, E., Gessler, A., Rigling, A., Schaub, M., Hartmann,

M., Werner, R.A., Joseph, J., Poll, C., Hagedorn, F., 2024. Drought resistance and

resilience of rhizosphere communities in forest soils from the cellular to ecosystem scale –

insights from ^{13}C pulse labeling. New Phytologist 242, 960–974.

https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.19612

García-Palacios, P., Shaw, E.A., Wall, D.H., Hättenschwiler, S., 2016. Temporal dynamics of

biotic and abiotic drivers of litter decomposition. Ecology Letters 19, 554–563.

https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12590

Gardner, A., Ellsworth, D.S., Crous, K.Y., Pritchard, J., MacKenzie, A.R., 2022. Is photosynthetic

enhancement sustained through three years of elevated CO_2 exposure in 175-year-old

Quercus robur? Tree Physiology 42, 130–144. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpab090

Gauquelin, T., Boer, M., Baldy, V., Fernandez, C., Montes, N., Santonja, M., Orts, J., Reiter, I.,

2011. L'O3HP, Oak Observatory at OHP Un site expérimental pour l'étude du

fonctionnement et de la biodiversité de la chênaie pubescente face aux changements

climatiques. Forêt Méditerranéenne XXXII, 127–132.

Gergócs, V., Hufnagel, L., 2016. The effect of microarthropods on litter decomposition depends

on litter quality. European Journal of Soil Biology 75, 24–30.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2016.04.008

Gołębiewski, M., Tarasek, A., Sikora, M., Deja-Sikora, E., Tretyn, A., Niklińska, M., 2019. Rapid

microbial community changes during initial stages of pine litter decomposition. Microbial

Ecology 77, 56–75. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-018-1209-x

Hagedorn, F., Joseph, J., Peter, M., Luster, J., Pritsch, K., Geppert, U., Kerner, R., Molinier, V.,

Egli, S., Schaub, M., Liu, J.-F., Li, M., Sever, K., Weiler, M., Siegwolf, R.T.W., Gessler,

A., Arend, M., 2016. Recovery of trees from drought depends on belowground sink control.

Nature Plants 2, 16111. https://doi.org/10.1038/nplants.2016.111

Handa, I.T., Aerts, R., Berendse, F., Berg, M.P., Bruder, A., Butenschoen, O., Chauvet, E., Gessner,

M.O., Jabiol, J., Makkonen, M., McKie, B.G., Malmqvist, B., Peeters, E.T.H.M., Scheu,

S., Schmid, B., Van Ruijven, J., Vos, V.C.A., Hättenschwiler, S., 2014. Consequences of

biodiversity loss for litter decomposition across biomes. Nature 509, 218–221.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13247

Harris, N.L., Gibbs, D.A., Baccini, A., Birdsey, R.A., de Bruin, S., Farina, M., Fatoyinbo, L.,

Hansen, M.C., Herold, M., Houghton, R.A., Potapov, P.V., Suarez, D.R., Roman-Cuesta,

R.M., Saatchi, S.S., Slay, C.M., Turubanova, S.A., Tyukavina, A., 2021. Global maps of

twenty-first century forest carbon fluxes. Nature Climate Change 11, 234–240.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-020-00976-6

Hart, K.M., Curioni, G., Blaen, P., Harper, N.J., Miles, P., Lewin, K.F., Nagy, J., Bannister, E.J.,

Cai, X.M., Thomas, R.M., Krause, S., Tausz, M., MacKenzie, A.R., 2020. Characteristics

of free air carbon dioxide enrichment of a northern temperate mature forest. Global Change

Biology 26, 1023–1037. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14786

Hasegawa, M., Takeda, H., 1996. Carbon and nutrient dynamics in decomposing pine needle litter

in relation to fungal and faunal abundances. Pedobiologia 40, 171–184.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-4056(24)00350-0

Hättenschwiler, S., Tiunov, A.V., Scheu, S., 2005. Biodiversity and litter decomposition in

terrestrial ecosystems. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 36, 191–

218. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.36.112904.151932

Hill, G.T., Mitkowski, N.A., Aldrich-Wolfe, L., Emele, L.R., Jurkonie, D.D., Ficke, A.,

Maldonado-Ramirez, S., Lynch, S.T., Nelson, E.B., 2000. Methods for assessing the

composition and diversity of soil microbial communities. Applied Soil Ecology 15, 25–36.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0929-1393(00)00069-X

Högberg, P., Nordgren, A., Buchmann, N., Taylor, A.F.S., Ekblad, A., Högberg, M.N., Nyberg,

G., Ottosson-Löfvenius, M., Read, D.J., 2001. Large-scale forest girdling shows that

current photosynthesis drives soil respiration. Nature 411, 789–792.

https://doi.org/10.1038/35081058

Holmstrup, M., Damgaard, C., Schmidt, I.K., Arndal, M.F., Beier, C., Mikkelsen, T.N., Ambus,

P., Larsen, K.S., Pilegaard, K., Michelsen, A., Andresen, L.C., Haugwitz, M., Bergmark,

L., Priemé, A., Zaitsev, A.S., Georgieva, S., Dam, M., Vestergård, M., Christensen, S.,

2017. Long-term and realistic global change manipulations had low impact on diversity of

soil biota in temperate heathland. Scientific Reports 7, 41388.

https://doi.org/10.1038/srep41388

Ilieva-Makulec, K., Olejniczak, I., Szanser, M., 2006. Response of soil micro- and mesofauna

to diversity and quality of plant litter. European Journal of Soil Biology 42, S244–S249.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejsobi.2006.07.030

IPCC, 2021. Summary for Policymakers, in: Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors,

S.L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M.I., Haung, M.,

Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, E., Matthews, J.B.R., Maycock, T.K., Waterfield, T., Yelekçi, O., Yu,

R., Zhou, B. (Eds.), Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of

Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on

Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 3–32.

Jardine, P.E., Abernethy, F.A.J., Lomax, B.H., Gosling, W.D., Fraser, W.T., 2017. Shedding light

on sporopollenin chemistry, with reference to UV reconstructions. Review of Palaeobotany

and Palynology 238, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.revpalbo.2016.11.014

Jiang, M., Medlyn, B.E., Drake, J.E., Duursma, R.A., Anderson, I.C., Barton, C.V.M., Boer, M.M.,

Carrillo, Y., Castañeda-Gómez, L., Collins, L., Crous, K.Y., De Kauwe, M.G., dos Santos,

B.M., Emmerson, K.M., Facey, S.L., Gherlenda, A.N., Gimeno, T.E., Hasegawa, S.,

Johnson, S.N., Kännaste, A., Macdonald, C.A., Mahmud, K., Moore, B.D., Nazaries, L.,

Neilson, E.H.J., Nielsen, U.N., Niinemets, Ü., Noh, N.J., Ochoa-Hueso, R., Pathare, V.S.,

Pendall, E., Pihlblad, J., Piñeiro, J., Powell, J.R., Power, S.A., Reich, P.B., Renchon, A.A.,

Riegler, M., Rinnan, R., Rymer, P.D., Salomón, R.L., Singh, B.K., Smith, B., Tjoelker,

M.G., Walker, J.K.M., Wujeska-Klause, A., Yang, J., Zaehle, S., Ellsworth, D.S., 2020.

The fate of carbon in a mature forest under carbon dioxide enrichment. Nature 580, 227–

231. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2128-9

Joly, F.X., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Hättenschwiler, S., 2023. Resolving the intricate role of climate

in litter decomposition. Nature Ecology and Evolution 7, 214–223.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-022-01948-z

Joseph, J., Gao, D., Backes, B., Bloch, C., Brunner, I., Gleixner, G., Haeni, M., Hartmann, H.,

Hoch, G., Hug, C., Kahmen, A., Lehmann, M.M., Li, M.-H., Luster, J., Peter, M., Poll, C.,

Rigling, A., Rissanen, K.A., Ruehr, N.K., Saurer, M., Schaub, M., Schönbeck, L., Stern,

B., Thomas, F.M., Werner, R.A., Werner, W., Wohlgemuth, T., Hagedorn, F., Gessler, A.,

2020. Rhizosphere activity in an old-growth forest reacts rapidly to changes in soil

moisture and shapes whole-tree carbon allocation. Proceedings of the National Academy

of Sciences 117, 24885–24892. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2014084117

Kohl, L., Myers-Pigg, A., Edwards, K.A., Billings, S.A., Warren, J., Podrebarac, F.A., Ziegler,

S.E., 2021. Microbial inputs at the litter layer translate climate into altered organic matter

properties. Global Change Biology 27, 435–453. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15420

Koltz, A.M., Classen, A.T., Wright, J.P., 2018. Warming reverses top-down effects of predators

on belowground ecosystem function in Arctic tundra. Proceedings of the National

Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 115, E7541–E7549.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1808754115

Kourmouli, A., Hamilton, R.L., Pihlblad, J., Bartlett, R., MacKenzie, A.R., Hartley, I.P., Ullah, S.,

Shi, Z., 2025. Rapid increase in soil respiration and reduction in soil nitrate availability

following CO_2 enrichment in a mature oak forest. ACS Omega 10, 1624–1634.

https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.4c09495

Krishna, M.P., Mohan, M., 2017. Litter decomposition in forest ecosystems: a review. Energy,

Ecology and Environment 2, 236–249. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40974-017-0064-9

Kuznetsova, A., Brockhoff, P.B., Christensen, R.H.B., 2017. lmerTest Package: Tests in Linear

Mixed Effects Models. Journal of Statistical Software 82, 1–26.

https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v082.i13

Lang, B., Rall, B.C., Scheu, S., Brose, U., 2014. Effects of environmental warming and drought

on size-structured soil food webs. Oikos 123, 1224–1233. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-

0706.2013.00894.x

Lavallee, J.M., Soong, J.L., Cotrufo, M.F., 2020. Conceptualizing soil organic matter into

particulate and mineral-associated forms to address global change in the 21st century.

Global Change Biology 26, 261–273. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14859

Lee, Hojin, Jeon, J., Kang, M., Cho, S., Park, J., Lee, M., Lee, HoonTaek, Kim, D., Kim, H.S.,

2021. The resilience of the carbon cycles of temperate coniferous and broadleaved forests

to drought. Forest Ecology and Management 491, 119178.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2021.119178

Leifheit, E.F., Camenzind, T., Lehmann, A., Andrade-Linares, D.R., Fussan, M., Westhusen, S.,

Wineberger, T.M., Rillig, M.C., 2024. Fungal traits help to understand the decomposition

of simple and complex plant litter. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 100, fiae033.

https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiae033

Lindquist, E.E., Krantz, G.W., Walter, D.E., 2009. Order Mesostigmata, in: A Manual of

Acarology. Texas Tech University Press, Lubbock, pp. 124–232.

Liu, D., Keiblinger, K.M., Leitner, S., Mentler, A., Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S., 2016. Is there a

convergence of deciduous leaf litter stoichiometry, biochemistry and microbial population

during decay? Geoderma 272, 93–100. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2016.03.005

Liu, Y., Zhang, A., Li, X., Kuang, W., Islam, W., 2024. Litter decomposition rate response to

multiple global change factors: A meta-analysis. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 195,

109474. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2024.109474

MacKenzie, A.R., Krause, S., Hart, K.M., Thomas, R.M., Blaen, P.J., Hamilton, R.L., Curioni, G.,

Quick, S.E., Kourmouli, A., Hannah, D.M., Comer-Warner, S.A., Brekenfeld, N., Ullah,

S., Press, M.C., 2021. BIFoR FACE: Water–soil–vegetation–atmosphere data from a

temperate deciduous forest catchment, including under elevated CO2. Hydrological

Processes 35, e14096. https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.14096

Manzoni, S., Schimel, J.P., Porporato, A., 2012. Responses of soil microbial communities to water

stress: Results from a meta-analysis. Ecology 93, 930–938. https://doi.org/10.1890/11-

0026.1

Martin, P.A., Fisher, L., Pérez-Izquierdo, L., Biryol, C., Guenet, B., Luyssaert, S., Manzoni, S.,

Menival, C., Santonja, M., Spake, R., Axmacher, J.C., Yuste, J.C., 2024. Meta-analysis

reveals that the effects of precipitation change on soil and litter fauna in forests depend on

body size. Global Change Biology 30, e17305. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.17305

Martínez-Sancho, E., Treydte, K., Lehmann, M.M., Rigling, A., Fonti, P., 2022. Drought impacts

on tree carbon sequestration and water use – evidence from intra-annual tree-ring

characteristics. New Phytologist 236, 58–70. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.18224

Melguizo-Ruiz, N., Jiménez-Navarro, G., De Mas, E., Pato, J., Scheu, S., Austin, A.T., Wise, D.H.,

Moya-Laraño, J., 2020. Field exclusion of large soil predators impacts lower trophic levels

and decreases leaf-litter decomposition in dry forests. Journal of Animal Ecology 89, 334–

346. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.13101

Moore, T.R., Prescott, C.E., Titus, B.D., CIDET Working Group, 2011. Nature and nurture in the

dynamics of C, N and P during litter decomposition in Canadian forests. Plant and Soil 339,

163–175. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-010-0563-3

Njoroge, D.M., Chen, S.-C., Zuo, J., Dossa, G.G.O., Cornelissen, J.H.C., 2022. Soil fauna

accelerate litter mixture decomposition globally, especially in dry environments. Journal

of Ecology 110, 659–672. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13829

Norby, R.J., 2025. Forest productivity response to elevated CO_2 in free-air CO_2 enrichment

experiments: the 23 percent solution, revisited. New Phytologist 246, 1952–1959.

https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.70162

Norby, R.J., Loader, N.J., Mayoral, C., Ullah, S., Curioni, G., Smith, A.R., Reay, M.K., Van

Wijngaarden, K., Amjad, M.S., Brettle, D., Crockatt, M.E., Denny, G., Grzesik, R.T.,

Hamilton, R.L., Hart, K.M., Hartley, I.P., Jones, A.G., Kourmouli, A., Larsen, J.R., Shi,

Z., Thomas, R.M., MacKenzie, A.R., 2024. Enhanced woody biomass production in a

mature temperate forest under elevated CO_2. Nature Climate Change 14, 983–988.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-024-02090-3

Oksanen, J., Simpson, G., Blanchet, F., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., Minchin, P., O'Hara, R., Solymos,

P., Stevens, M., Szoecs, E., Wagner, H., Barbour, M., Bedward, M., Bolker, B., Borcard,

D., Carvalho, G., Chirico, M., De Caceres, M., Durand, S., Evangelista, H., FitzJohn, R.,

Friendly, M., Furneaux, B., Hannigan, G., Hill, M., Lahti, L., McGlinn, D., Ouellette, M.,

Ribeiro, C.E., Smith, T., Stier, A., Ter Braak, C., Weedon, J., 2022. vegan: Community

Ecology Package.

Olson, J.S., 1963. Energy storage and the balance of producers and decomposers in ecological

systems. Ecology 44, 322–331. https://doi.org/10.2307/1932179

Orians, C.M., Schweiger, R., Dukes, J.S., Scott, E.R., Müller, C., 2019. Combined impacts of

prolonged drought and warming on plant size and foliar chemistry. Annals of Botany 124,

41–52. https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcz004

Pan, Y., Birdsey, R.A., Fang, J., Houghton, R., Kauppi, P.E., Kurz, W.A., Phillips, O.L.,

Shvidenko, A., Lewis, S.L., Canadell, J.G., Ciais, P., Jackson, R.B., Pacala, S.W., McGuire,

A.D., Piao, S., Rautiainen, A., Sitch, S., Hayes, D., 2011. A large and persistent carbon

sink in the world's forests. Science 333, 988–993. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1201609

Prieto, I., Almagro, M., Bastida, F., Querejeta, J.I., 2019. Altered leaf litter quality exacerbates the

negative impact of climate change on decomposition. Journal of Ecology 107, 2364–2382.

https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13168

Pritchard, S.G., Rogers, H.H., Prior, S.A., Peterson, C.M., 1999. Elevated CO_2 and plant structure:

A review. Global Change Biology 5, 807–837. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-

2486.1999.00268.x

Quer, E., Pereira, S., Michel, T., Santonja, M., Gauquelin, T., Simioni, G., Ourcival, J.-M., Joffre,

R., Limousin, J.M., Aupic-Samain, A., Lecareux, C., Dupouyet, S., Orts, J.-P., Bousquet-

Mélou, A., Gros, R., Sagova-Mareckova, M., Kopecky, J., Fernandez, C., Baldy, V., 2022.

Amplified drought alters leaf litter metabolome, slows down litter decomposition, and

modifies home field (dis)advantage in three Mediterranean forests. Plants 11, 2582.

https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11192582

R Core Team, 2023. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for

Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

Reay, M.K., Sayer, E.J., Smith, A., Pastor, V., Kourmouli, A., Marshall, M., Grzesik, R.T., Evans,

I., Rumeau, M., Hart, K., Ma, J., Norby, R.J., MacKenzie, A.R., Hamilton, R.L., Hartley,

I.P., Ullah, S., 2025. Elevated CO_2 alters relative belowground carbon investment for

nutrient acquisition in a mature temperate forest. Proceedings of the National Academy of

Sciences 122, e2503595122. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2503595122

Rineau, F., Malina, R., Beenaerts, N., Arnauts, N., Bardgett, R.D., Berg, M.P., Boerema, A.,

Bruckers, L., Clerinx, J., Davin, E.L., De Boeck, H.J., De Dobbelaer, T., Dondini, M., De

Laender, F., Ellers, J., Franken, O., Gilbert, L., Gudmundsson, L., Janssens, I.A., Johnson,

D., Lizin, S., Longdoz, B., Meire, P., Meremans, D., Milbau, A., Moretti, M., Nijs, I.,

Nobel, A., Pop, I.S., Puetz, T., Reyns, W., Roy, J., Schuetz, J., Seneviratne, S.I., Smith, P.,

Solmi, F., Staes, J., Thiery, W., Thijs, S., Vanderkelen, I., Van Landuyt, W., Verbruggen,

E., Witters, N., Zscheischler, J., Vangronsveld, J., 2019. Towards more predictive and

interdisciplinary climate change ecosystem experiments. Nature Climate Change 9, 809–

816. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-019-0609-3

Romaní, A.M., Fischer, H., Mille-Lindblom, C., Tranvik, L.J., 2006. Interactions of bacteria and

fungi on decomposing litter: Differential extracellular enzyme activities. Ecology 87,

2559–2569. https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(2006)87[2559:IOBAFO]2.0.CO;2

Rosling, A., Landeweert, R., Lindahl, B.D., Larsson, K. -H., Kuyper, T.W., Taylor, A.F.S., Finlay,

R.D., 2003. Vertical distribution of ectomycorrhizal fungal taxa in a podzol soil profile.

New Phytologist 159, 775–783. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.2003.00829.x

Rouifed, S., Handa, I.T., David, J.-F., Hättenschwiler, S., 2010. The importance of biotic factors

in predicting global change effects on decomposition of temperate forest leaf litter.

Oecologia 163, 247–256. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-009-1528-1

Rumeau, M., Pihlblad, J., Sgouridis, F., Fereday, G., Reay, M., Carrillo, Y., Hartley, I., Sayer, E.,

Hamilton, R.L., MacKenzie, A.R., Ullah, S., 2025. Root exudate stoichiometry is a key

driver of soil N cycling: Implications for forest responses to global change. Soil Biology

and Biochemistry 208, 109856. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5135281

Santonja, M., Fernandez, C., Proffit, M., Gers, C., Gauquelin, T., Reiter, I.M., Cramer, W., Baldy,

V., 2017. Plant litter mixture partly mitigates the negative effects of extended drought on

soil biota and litter decomposition in a Mediterranean oak forest. Journal of Ecology 105,

801–815. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12711

Seastedt, T.R., 1984. The role of microarthropods in decomposition and mineralization process.

Annual Review of Entomology 29, 25–46. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ento.29.1.25

Sun, Y., Liao, J., Zou, X., Xu, X., Yang, J., Chen, H.Y.H., Ruan, H., 2020. Coherent responses of

terrestrial C:N stoichiometry to drought across plants, soil, and microorganisms in forests

and   grasslands.   Agricultural   and   Forest   Meteorology   292–293,   108104.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2020.108104

Tan, B., Yin, R., Zhang, J., Xu, Z., Liu, Y., He, S., Zhang, L., Li, H., Wang, L., Liu, S., You, C.,

Peng, C., 2021. Temperature and moisture modulate the contribution of soil fauna to litter

decomposition   via   different   pathways.   Ecosystems   24,   1142–1156.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-020-00573-w

Taub, D.R., Wang, X., 2008. Why are nitrogen concentrations in plant tissues lower under elevated

CO_2? A critical examination of the hypotheses. Journal of Integrative Plant Biology 50,

1365–1374. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7909.2008.00754.x

Toreti, A., Bavera, D., Acosta Navarro, J., Cammalleri, C., de Jager, A., Di Ciollo, C., Hrast

Essenfelder, A., Maetens, W., Magni, D., Masante, D., Mazzeschi, M., Niemeyer, S.,

Spinoni, J., 2022. Drought in Europe August 2022, Publications Office of the European

Union.   Publications   Office   of   the   European   Union,   Luxembourg.

https://doi.org/10.2760/264241

van der Woude, A.M., Peters, W., Joetzjer, E., Lafont, S., Koren, G., Ciais, P., Ramonet, M., Xu,

Y., Bastos, A., Botía, S., Sitch, S., De Kok, R., Kneuer, T., Kubistin, D., Jacotot, A., Loubet,

B., Herig-Coimbra, P.-H., Loustau, D., Luijkx, I.T., 2023. Temperature extremes of 2022

reduced   carbon   uptake   by   forests   in   Europe.   Nature   Communications   14,   6218.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-41851-0

Veen, G.F. (Ciska), Freschet, G.T., Ordonez, A., Wardle, D.A., 2015. Litter quality and

environmental controls of home-field advantage effects on litter decomposition. Oikos 124,

187–195. https://doi.org/10.1111/oik.01374

Voříšková, J., Baldrian, P., 2013. Fungal community on decomposing leaf litter undergoes rapid

successional changes. The ISME Journal 7, 477–486.

https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2012.116

Wall, D.H., Bradford, M.A., St. John, M.G., Trofymow, J.A., Behan-Pelletier, V., Bignell, D.E.,

Dangerfield, J.M., Parton, W.J., Rusek, J., Voigt, W., Wolters, V., Gardel, H.Z., Ayuke,

F.O., Bashford, R., Beljakova, O.I., Bohlen, P.J., Brauman, A., Flemming, S., Henschel,

J.R., Johnson, D.L., Jones, T.H., Kovarova, M., Kranabetter, J.M., Kutny, L., Lin, K.-C.,

Maryati, M., Masse, D., Pokarzhevskii, A., Rahman, H., Sabará, M.G., Salamon, J.A.,

Swift, M.J., Varela, A., Vasconcelos, H.L., White, D., Zou, X., 2008. Global

decomposition experiment shows soil animal impacts on decomposition are climate-

dependent. Global Change Biology 14, 2661–2677. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-

2486.2008.01672.x

Wallenstein, M.D., Haddix, M.L., Ayres, E., Steltzer, H., Magrini-Bair, K.A., Paul, E.A., 2013.

Litter chemistry changes more rapidly when decomposed at home but converges during

decomposition–transformation. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 57, 311–319.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.09.027

Walter, D.E., Lindquist, E.E., Smith, I.M., Cook, D.R., Krantz, G.W., 2009. Order

Trombidiformes, in: A Manual of Acarology. Texas Tech University Press, Lubbock, pp.

233–420.

Wang, C., Kuzyakov, Y., 2024. Mechanisms and implications of bacterial–fungal competition for

soil resources. The ISME Journal 18, wrae073. https://doi.org/10.1093/ismejo/wrae073

Wang, T., Bell, B.A., Fletcher, W.J., Ryan, P.A., Wogelius, R.A., 2023. Influence of common

palynological extraction treatments on ultraviolet absorbing compounds (UACs) in sub-

fossil pollen and spores observed in FTIR spectra. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution 11,

1096099. https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2023.1096099

Wang, Z., Wang, C., 2025. Dynamics of nonstructural carbohydrates during drought and

subsequent recovery: A global meta-analysis. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 363,

110429. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2025.110429

Welti, E.A.R., Roeder, K.A., De Beurs, K.M., Joern, A., Kaspari, M., 2020. Nutrient dilution and

climate cycles underlie declines in a dominant insect herbivore. Proceedings of the

National Academy of Sciences 117, 7271–7275. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1920012117

Wickham, H., 2016. Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag, New York.

Wickings, K., Grandy, A.S., Reed, S.C., Cleveland, C.C., 2012. The origin of litter chemical

complexity   during   decomposition.   Ecology   Letters   15,   1180–1188.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2012.01837.x

Wu, Q., Ni, X., Sun, X., Chen, Z., Hong, S., Berg, B., Zheng, M., Chen, J., Zhu, J., Ai, L., Zhang,

Y., Wu, F., 2025. Substrate and climate determine terrestrial litter decomposition.

Proceedings   of   the   National   Academy   of   Sciences   122,   e2420664122.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2420664122

Wu, Q., Yue, K., Wang, X., Ma, Y., Li, Y., 2020. Differential responses of litter decomposition to

warming, elevated CO_2, and changed precipitation regime. Plant and Soil 455, 155–169.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-020-04675-1

Yuan, Z.Y., Chen, H.Y.H., 2015. Decoupling of nitrogen and phosphorus in terrestrial plants

associated with global changes. Nature Climate Change 5, 465–469.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2549

Yuste, J.C., Peñuelas, J., Estiarte, M., Garcia-Mas, J., Mattana, S., Ogaya, R., Pujol, M., Sardans,

J., 2011. Drought-resistant fungi control soil organic matter decomposition and its response

to temperature. Global Change Biology 17, 1475–1486. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-

2486.2010.02300.x

Zhang, D., Hui, D., Luo, Y., Zhou, G., 2008. Rates of litter decomposition in terrestrial ecosystems:

global patterns and controlling factors. Journal of Plant Ecology 1, 85–93.

https://doi.org/10.1093/jpe/rtn002

1028

1029
1030

1031

1032
1033
Figure 1. Litter decomposition (shown as % remaining litter) for the elevated CO_2 experiment (top)
and drought experiment (bottom). The x-axis indicates the number of months since initiation of
the experiment and differs for upper and lower panels. Larger points represent mean ($\pm$ SE) while
smaller points are the raw data values. * = p < 0.05, ** = p < 0.01, and *** = p < 0.001. We have
only provided p-values of factors that were statistically significant. Litter bags in the elevated CO_2
experiment were harvested after 8 (H1), 12 (H2), 17 (H3) and 23 (H4) months, while litter bags in
the drought experiment were harvested after 10 (H1), 13 (H2), 21 (H3), and 34 (H4) months. To
improve plot interpretation, points were positioned with greater spacing on the x-axis. Note the
differences in y axis-es between the two experiments if comparing results with one another.
1043
1044

1045
1046
Figure 2. Principal component analysis (PCA) ordinations of leaf litter functional groups measured by Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy for the elevated CO_2 experiment (top) and drought experiment (bottom) at harvest three (A and C, left) and four (B and D, right). Corresponding functional groups for band numbers (B1, B2, B3, etc.) can be found in Supplementary Information Table S1. * = $p < 0.05$, ** = $p < 0.01$, and *** = $p < 0.001$. We have only provided p-values of factors that were statistically significant.

1053
1054
Figure 3. % Carbon (%C; A and D), % Nitrogen (%N; B and E) and C:N (C and F) of drought
experiment litter sampled at the at third (left) and fourth harvest (right). Larger points represent
mean (± SE) while smaller points are the raw data values. * = p < 0.05, ** = p < 0.01, and *** =
p < 0.001. We have only provided p-values of factors that were statistically significant. E =
Environment and LO = litter origin.

1060

1061
1062
Figure 4. Microbial community indices as measured by phospholipid fatty acid analysis (PLFA)
in litter for the drought experiment after 21 months (harvest 3). For A) (total PLFA) and B) (fungi :
bacteria) larger points represent mean (± SE) while smaller points are the raw data values. C)
Principal component analysis (PCA) ordination of microbial community structure. * = p < 0.05,
** = p < 0.01, and *** = p < 0.001.   We have only provided p-values of factors that were
statistically significant.