Macrophage Cholesterol Homeostasis Underpins the role of Sertraline as an Adjunctive

Agent in Tuberculosis Therapy.

Kanika Bisht [1,2], **Riya Sahu** [1,2], **Nikita Bhor** [1,2], **Simran Jit** [1,2], **Jitendra Kumar** [1], **Mahima**

Madan[1,2], **Deepthi Shankaran** [1,2], **Sherry Bhalla** [1,2], **Vivek Rao** [1,2]*

1-CSIR- Institute of Genomics and Integrative Biology, Mathura Road, New Delhi-110025

India.

2- Academy of Scientific and Innovative Research (AcSIR), Ghaziabad, 201002, India

*Corresponding author: Tel: +91 11 29879229, E-mail: vivek.rao@igib.res.in.

Key words: *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*/ host-directed therapy/ antidepressant/ sertraline

Running Title: Cholesterol flux dictates bacterial control

Abstract:

An intricate relationship exists between metabolism and the macrophage response in controlling

intracellular pathogens such as Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Mtb). Here, we identify a

deterministic role for macrophage cholesterol metabolism in the efficacy of anti-tubercular therapy

(ATT). We that sertraline (SRT), an FDA-approved antidepressant, capable of enhancing

tuberculosis treatment, rewires the macrophage lipid metabolism to potentiate Mtb clearance.

Treatment with SRT leads to lysosomal cholesterol accumulation thereby activating the

cholesterol-sensing transcription factor SREBP2 and increasing biosynthetic cholesterol flux in

macrophages. In addition, this buildup of cholesterol induces lysosomal membrane

permeabilization (LMP), mitochondrial ROS production, and downstream NLRP3 inflammasome

activation, contributing to enhanced macrophage-mediated bacterial killing. Our results thus

highlight a previously underappreciated link between cholesterol homeostasis and inflammasome

activation, which contributes to improved Mtb clearance in the presence of adjunctive sertraline

therapy.

Graphical abstract:

SRT induced lysosomal cholesterol accumulation results in enhanced bacterial control via inflammasome activation

Introduction:

Tuberculosis (TB), caused by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (Mtb), remains the leading cause of

death by a single infectious agent globally, outpacing all other bacterial and viral pathogens [1-3].

The multiple initiatives to curb the infection have not been successful enough to eradicate the

disease, instead have led to an increase in drug resistance in the population [4,5]. These limitations

have spurred an interest in therapeutic strategies designed to aid the host in controlling infection.

Such host-directed therapies (HDTs) have relied on harnessing the cellular physiological

pathways to enhance antimicrobial properties [6-8]. We have previously shown that sertraline (SRT),

a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI), can act as a potent adjunct to standard anti-TB

therapy (ATT), accelerating bacterial clearance, improving tissue resolution, and enhancing host

survival in preclinical infection models [9]. These properties are associated with the restriction of

type I IFN responses via the activation of the host inflammasome pathway, thus defining the

therapeutic potential of manipulating host immunity for infection control [10].

Recent findings strongly advocate for the influence of host sterol metabolism in regulating the

inflammatory capacity of innate immune cells [11-13]. More importantly, oxysterols have emerged as

promoters of the pro-inflammatory capacity of macrophages [14,15]. Recently, the ability of SREBP2,

the master regulator of cellular cholesterol metabolism, to directly activate the host cell

inflammasomes via the NLRP3 axis[16].

Here, we demonstrate that treatment with SRT leads to a significant increase in intracellular

cholesterol levels in Mtb-infected macrophages—an effect critical for enhanced bacterial control.

In light of these observations, we sought to investigate whether sertraline's adjunctive efficacy is

mechanistically linked to its influence on host cholesterol metabolism. By employing chemical

modulation and gene silencing, we demonstrate that the SRT-mediated cholesterol buildup

perturbs lysosomal integrity, thereby promoting mitochondrial reactive oxygen species (ROS)

production and thus cascading into the activation of the NLRP3 inflammasome. Taken together,

our findings provide a mechanistic link between cellular metabolic machinery, particularly

cholesterol metabolism, and antimicrobial efficacy, offering both conceptual and translational

insights into how metabolic reprogramming can be leveraged for augmenting host immunity as a

host-directed therapeutic strategy to improve TB treatment outcomes.

Results:

Treatment with sertraline increases cellular cholesterol in macrophages

In an attempt to decipher the underlying mechanism for sertraline- mediated increase of antibiotic

efficacy in Mtb infections, global expression profiles of Mtb-infected THP1 macrophages with a

combination of isoniazid (H) and rifampicin (R) with (SRT) were compared with HR-treated cells.

A total of 160 genes of cholesterol homeostasis were differentially upregulated on treatment with

HRS when compared to HR treatment (Figure 1A). Interestingly, cholesterol homeostasis

emerged as the only significantly enhanced metabolic pathway along with an increase in the

mTORC1 signaling complex in macrophages treated with HRS (Figure 1B). In fact, HRS-treated

macrophages displayed a significant increase in the expression of genes involved in cholesterol

biosynthesis (Figure 1C) and import, with the markedly lower expression of sterol export genes

(Figure 1D). A similar pattern was reflected in SRT or HRS-treated macrophages by qRT-PCR.

While infection or treatment with HR failed to alter expression of genes involved in cholesterol

metabolism, genes involved in biosynthesis and import were 3-5-fold higher upon treatment with

HRS or SRT at 24 h of infection (Figure 1E). This increment in gene expression reflected as an

SRT-dependent 1.2-1.5-fold increase in intracellular cholesterol (Figure 1F).

Figure 1

79   **Figure 1: Global transcriptomics of Mtb-infected macrophages following treatment with sertraline.**

80   A) A comparison of the differentially expressed genes in Mtb-infected macrophages following an 18 h

81   treatment with HR or HRS is shown as a volcano plot. Y- and X-axes denote the -log$_{10}$ P values

and log$_2$ fold change, respectively. The upregulated genes with ≥ 2 fold change with p-value of ≤

0.01 are highlighted in orange, while the downregulated genes with ≤ -2 fold change with p-value

of ≤ 0.01 are in blue.

B) GSEA analysis of the top significantly enriched gene sets in HRS vs HR is represented as a bubble

plot. Gene sets that are significantly (P-value ≤ 0.05, 0.01) enriched (Blue) or downregulated

(green) are represented, with the X-axis denoting the normalized enrichment score.

C-E) Gene expression patterns in HR and HRS-treated macrophages: Expression of genes involved in

cholesterol biosynthesis (C) and cholesterol trafficking (D) in the RNA -seq data was validated by

gene specific qRTPCR (E). The relative expression of specific genes relative to *GAPDH* is

represented as fold change w.r.t uninfected control (UI) at 6h ± SEM of triplicate assays from 3

independent experiments (N=3).

F) Cholesterol levels in THP1 macrophages after treatment with sertraline (SRT) for 24 hours. Total

free cholesterol was normalized to the amount of protein and is represented as mean ± SEM for

triplicate assays of three independent experiments (N=3).

P values less than 0.05, 0.01, 0.005 are represented as *, ** and *** respectively.

Increased intracellular cholesterol biosynthesis is critical for the beneficial effect of

sertraline on bacterial control.

Given the increment in cellular cholesterol levels, we sought to modulate the cholesterol flux in

macrophages and analyze its effect on antibiotic efficacy. To enhance the cholesterol biosynthetic

flux without the addition of SRT, Mtb-infected macrophages were exogenously supplemented with

mevalonate [17], along with anti-TB drugs (HR). This resulted in a 2-3-fold improved bacterial control

by HR-treated macrophages in comparison to non-supplemented cells, comparable to the

addition of SRT to HR (Figure 2A). Supplementation did not affect the growth of bacteria in

macrophages without any antibiotic treatment hinting at the importance of sterol homeostasis

specifically in antibiotic mediated growth control. To restrict cholesterol biosynthesis, cells with 3-

4-fold lower levels of *HMGCR*, were developed by gene-specific silencing (Figure 2B), and the

effect of this reduced expression on Mtb control was evaluated. Contrary to our expectations,

silencing *HMGCR* did not alter the effect of SRT on antibiotic mediated bacterial control (Figure

2C). We reasoned that silencing of *HMGCR* could be countered by SRT mediated increase in

expression. Alternatively, we employed pharmacological inhibition of *HMGCR* by simvastatin.

Contrary to our expectations of diminishing antibiotic efficacy, addition of statins significantly

enhanced the control of Mtb control by antibiotics by 3-5-fold in comparison to the cells treated

with HR or HRS alone (Figure 2D). Further, the impact of cholesterol influx on the effect of SRT

was evaluated by modulating the import of sterol via the low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDL-r)

from the extracellular milieu with the use of lipoprotein -deficient serum (LPDS) with and without

LDL supplementation for treatment. While the use of LPDS did not affect Mtb growth control by

antibiotics alone or in combination with SRT (HR, HRS), replenishment with LDL in LPDS

significantly reversed the effect of SRT with a 3-fold increase in the bacterial numbers in HRS-

treated cells (Figure 2E).

Given the observation that statins, despite restricting serum cholesterol, actually enhance the

cellular cholesterol biosynthesis rate along with its import, with a significant reduction in

cholesterol export [18,19], we tested for the levels of total cellular cholesterol and gene expression

following treatment with statins. Treatment with simvastatin enhanced total cholesterol in cells as

well as induced the expression of the genes of the biosynthetic pathway (Figures- S1A, S1B).

This suggested that the increased flux of the pathway may be crucial in enhancing bacterial

control by SRT. A similar effect was also observed in macrophages grown in LPDS-containing

Figure 2

media, marked by a significant increase in cholesterol biosynthesis and total cellular cholesterol

levels comparable to cells grown in FBS, substantiating the importance of cholesterol biosynthesis

in SRT-dependent increment of bacterial control (Figures- S1C, S1D).

Figure 2: Effect of modulating cholesterol homeostasis on sertraline efficacy.

A) Growth of Mtb in macrophages supplemented with mevalonate (MEV) either alone or in

addition to HR after 3 days. HRS-treated cells were used as a control for positive growth

restriction.

B-C) Effect of HMGCR silencing on Mtb growth in macrophages. HMGCR expression levels

were evaluated by qRT PCR and is represented as mean fold change ± SEM w.r.t

scrambled (SCR) control (B) across three independent experiments (N=3). C) Bacterial

growth in HMGCR silenced macrophages treated with HR and HRS.

D-E) Growth of Mtb in HR or HRS treated macrophages that were treated with 10µM

simvastatin (ST) (D) or (E) cultured in cholesterol-depleted media (LPDS), for 3 days.

Bacterial numbers are represented as mean $\log_{10}$ CFU ± SEM from triplicate assays of three

or four independent experiments (N = 3 or 4). P values less than 0.05, 0.01, 0.005 are represented

as *, ** and *** respectively.

Lysosomal cholesterol accumulation is crucial for Mtb control in macrophages

Cholesterol levels in different subcellular locations are tightly regulated to maintain a steady state

in cells. To test the effect of increased cellular cholesterol on intracellular distribution,

macrophages were stained with the cholesterol specific dye- filipin and visualized by fluorescence

microscopy. While filipin staining was primarily concentrated on the membranes of untreated (UT)

cells with minimal staining within, treatment with SRT severely impacted intracellular sterol levels,

with cells displaying significantly higher intracellular staining in addition to the membrane (Figure

3A). To further identify the intracellular niche of the accumulated cholesterol, cells fed with NBD-

cholesterol ester were stained with the lysosomal stain-lysotracker. Again, while in the untreated

cells, minimal levels of cholesterol co-localized with lysosomes, the majority of the internalized

cholesterol in SRT treated cells was found to be accumulated in the lysosomal compartments,

indicating a pronounced accumulation of cholesterol in the lysosomal compartments with SRT

treatment (Figure 3B, C).

In order to understand if this increase in cholesterol biosynthesis was a generic property of SSRIs,

another widely used antidepressant, citalopram, was tested for its ability to control intracellular

bacterial growth. Surprisingly, the addition of citalopram to the frontline TB drugs-HR did not affect

bacterial control in macrophages, unlike the increased control of infection by HRS in comparison

to the antibiotics alone (Figure 3D). Remarkably, unlike SRT, citalopram failed to induce

cholesterol accumulation in the lysosomes or enhance the expression of cholesterol biosynthesis

in macrophages validating the importance of this mechanism in enhanced antibiotic control of Mtb

(Figure 3E, F).

Figure 3

Figure 3: Sertraline treated macrophages accumulate lysosomal cholesterol.

A-B) Representative confocal images of THP1 macrophages after SRT treatment for 24 h stained with filipin

(A) or fed with NBD-cholesterol and stained with lysotracker Red and Hoechst 33342 (B). The inset

shows the zoom-in along the black lines. Scale bar = 10µm.

(C) The graph shows the percent colocalization of the NBD-cholesterol in the lysosomes from three

independent experiments.

D) Intracellular bacterial growth was estimated in Mtb–infected THP1 macrophages left untreated (NT) or

treated with HR alone or in combination with 20 µM citalopram (CIT) for 3 days. The data are represented

as mean Log_{10} values ± SEM from triplicate assays of four independent experiments (N = 4).

E) Representative confocal images of THP1 macrophages treated with citalopram along with NBD-

cholesterol for 24 hours and stained with lysotracker Red, and the colocalization percentage is calculated

using ImageJ. Scale bar = 10µm.

F) Gene expression levels in macrophages infected with Mtb, followed by treatment with HR alone or with

citalopram. The relative expression of the specific gene, relative to GAPDH, is represented as fold change

with respect to HR ± SEM of triplicate assays from three independent experiments (N=3).

P values less than 0.05, 0.01, 0.005 are represented as *, ** and *** respectively.

Sertraline enhances SREBP2 activation in macrophages:

The feedback activation of SREBP2 (cholesterol biosynthetic machinery) in response to the

absence of cholesterol sensing in the ER on account of lysosomal sequestration is well

documented [20,21]. To assess whether SRT activates the signaling cascade, levels of mature

SREBP2 (mSREBP2) were measured in macrophages following SRT treatment.   Indeed,

commensurate with the significant induction of the SREBF2 gene in HRS-treated macrophages,

in both the global transcriptomic data and with qRT-PCR analysis, macrophages treated with SRT

harbored ~2-fold higher levels of the cleaved, active form of SREBP2 (mSREBP2) by 24h

compared to the untreated cells (Figure 4A-D). The relatively unchanged levels of mSREBP2 in

both untreated and citalopram treated cells, lends further support to the importance of this

signaling axis in the antibiotic potentiating effect of SRT (Figure 4 E, F).

Figure 4

Figure 4: Sertraline enhances SREBP2 activation in macrophages.

A -B) Expression levels of *SREB*F2 in the global transcriptomic analysis dataset (A) or quantified by qRT-

PCR (B) in Mtb-infected macrophages left untreated (NT) or treated with HR, HRS, or SRT for 18h. The

relative expression of specific genes relative to *GAPDH* is represented as fold change $\pm$ SEM of triplicate

assays of three independent experiments (N=3).

C-F) The levels of SREBP2 in protein extracts of macrophages left untreated, treated with SRT (C) or 20μM

of citalopram (E) for 24 hours were checked by immunoblotting with specific antibodies for SREBP2 and β-

actin and quantified by densitometry (D, F). The reactivity for SREBF2 in cells relative to β-actin was

quantified in three independent experiments (N=3) and is represented as mean $\pm$ SEM.

P values less than 0.05, 0.01 and 0.005 are represented as *, ** and *** respectively.

SREBP2 activation is regulated by multiple feedback mechanisms; typically, cholesterol and

oxysterols have been shown to downregulate this process by modulating the SCAP-SREBP2

egress to the Golgi [22]. To test the importance of SREBP2 activation on Mtb control, macrophages

were treated with cholesterol exogenously to inhibit SREBP2 processing and tested for their ability

to control Mtb growth in the presence of HR or HRS. Addition of cholesterol significantly inhibited

SREBP2 activation (2-fold) (Figure 5A, Figure S1E) and resulted in a significant reversal of the

effect of SRT on antibiotic potentiation (Figure 5B). Interestingly, even in the HR treated cells, the

addition of cholesterol restricted the ability of HR to control bacterial growth with ~2-3-fold higher

bacterial numbers (Figure 5B). Further, the inhibition of SREBP2 activation with a specific S2P

protease inhibitor- 1,10-phenanthroline, resulted in a significant but partial reversal of bacterial

control by SRT (3-4-fold higher bacterial numbers than HRS alone) (Figure 5C). To further test

the relevance of SREBP2 processing, macrophages deficient in the SREBP2 cleavage activation

protein (SCAP) were tested for their ability to restrict Mtb growth. As expected, THP1 lines with

>50% silencing of *SCAP* with specific shRNAs displayed significantly lower levels of SREBP2

activation in comparison to the cells treated with scrambled shRNAs (SCR) (Figure 5D-F).

Consistent with the inhibition of S2P, silencing of SCAP reduced the effectiveness of SRT on Mtb

control without affecting the uptake of bacteria (Figure 5G). As observed in Figure 5H, SCAP-

silenced macrophages harbored significantly higher bacterial numbers (3-4- fold) than the control

cells. Even in the untreated cells, loss of SCAP severely impaired the ability to control Mtb with

nearly 3-fold higher CFU in the SCAP-deficient cells than in the control (Inset, Figure 5H),

corroborating the requirement of SREBP2 activation in Mtb control by macrophages.

Figure 5

Figure 5: Role of SREBP2 activation in restricting intracellular bacterial growth.

A) The levels of SREBP2 in cell extracts of macrophages treated with 20µg/ml of cholesterol for 24 h

were analysed by immunoblotting. The levels of β-actin were used as the control values for the

comparison.

B-C) The intracellular bacterial growth was estimated in Mtb-infected macrophages that were either

untreated or treated with (B) cholesterol or (C)- 0.5 mM of 1,10-phenanthroline (P) for 1 hour. This

was followed by treatment with HR and HRS alone, in combination with cholesterol, which was

replenished every 24 hours in the LPDS media, or with 1,10-phenanthroline. The data is

represented as mean $\log_{10}$ values ± SEM from triplicate assays of four independent experiments

(N = 4).

(D) Evaluation of gene expression levels in *SCAP* silenced macrophages. The relative expression of the

SCAP is represented as fold change ± SEM w.r.t. scrambled control in triplicate assays from three

independent experiments (N=3).

(E) Estimation of activation of SREBP2 in protein extracts of *SCAP* silenced cells by immunoblotting

with specific antibodies for SREBP2 and β-actin.

(F) Densitometric quantification of the reactivity for SREBP2 in cells relative to β-actin of six independent

experiments (N=6) is represented as mean ± SEM.

(G) Bacterial uptake in *SCAP* silenced cells compared to scrambled control after 6 hours of incubation

with Mtb at MOI 5.

(H) Intracellular growth of Mtb is depicted in untreated (NT) (inset) or HRS-treated *SCAP* silenced cells.

The data are represented as mean $\log_{10}$ values ± SEM from triplicate assays of three independent

experiments (N = 3).

SRT phenocopies the effects of NPC1 inhibition in cells

A similar phenotype of organellar sterol accumulation, specifically in the endosomal-lysosomal

compartments, is a characteristic feature of NPC1 mutation/ deficiency in the human population

[23,24]. This phenotype can be recapitulated in cells by the addition of an NPC1 inhibitor- U18666A,

inducing pronounced accumulation of cholesterol in lysosomal compartments. To verify the

importance of increased SREBP2 processing in Mtb control, the effect of antibiotics was tested in

cells treated with U18666A. As expected, U18666A induced significant accumulation of NBD-

cholesterol in THP1 macrophages, with the majority of the lipid co-localized with the lysosomes

(Figure 6A). Consistent with this accumulation, U18666A-treated macrophages induced

significantly higher levels of SREBP2 activation than untreated cells and enhanced the expression

of the cholesterol biosynthetic machinery (Figure 6B, Figure S1F). This effect was also reflected

in the 3-4-fold better control of Mtb in macrophages treated with U18666A and the frontline TB

drugs -HR by day 3 of infection (Figure 6C), emphasizing the relevance of lysosomal cholesterol

loading in bacterial control. To simulate conditions of enhanced cholesterol biosynthesis via

increased SREBP2 activation, we resorted to silencing INSIG1, the inhibitor of SCAP-SREBP2

translocation for processing and activation at the Golgi and observed a significant (>60%)

decrease in the levels of INSIG1 expression (Figure 6D). However, we did not observe any

detectable change in the levels of SREBP2 activation in these macrophages (Figure 6E, Figure

S1G). As an alternative, macrophages were treated with brefeldin A (BFA) to facilitate constitutive

proteolysis and activation of SREBP2 due to the collapse of the ER- Golgi network [25]. In sync with

our expectations, the addition of BFA significantly increased the expression of active SREBP2 in

macrophages (2-fold- Figure S1H) that reflected as a 3-4-fold decrease in bacterial numbers in

HR treated cells, further emphasizing the importance of SRT mediated increase in SREBP2

activation in the ability of macrophages to restrict bacteria (Figure 6F, G).

Figure 6

Figure 6: SRT treatment phenocopies NPC1 deficiency.

A) The localization of cholesterol was analysed in cells treated with 2.5 µg/ml of the NPC1 inhibitor-U18666A (U18). The extent of colocalization of NBD-cholesterol and lysosomes (lysotracker) in macrophages was quantified and is expressed as percent co-localization from three independent experiments (N=3) (inset).

B) The extent of SREBP2 activation was evaluated in macrophages left untreated or treated with U18666A by immunoblotting and densitometric analysis of the lysates. Levels of β- actin expression was used as the control. The relative levels of mSREBP2 in SRT treated cells (w.r.t. untreated) is represented as relative mean expression $\pm$ SEM from six independent experiments is shown in the box (N=6).

C) Growth of Mtb in macrophages that were left untreated or treated with HR alone or in combination with U18666A and HRS for 3 days. The CFU numbers are represented as mean $\log_{10}$ values ± SEM from triplicate assays of four independent experiments (N = 4).

D) Expression of *INSIG1* gene was quantified by qRTPCR. *GAPDH* expression values were used as controls for normalization. The relative expression in the *INSIG1* silenced cells was compared with

the scrambled control and is represented as Rel. fold change mean ± SEM of triplicate assays

from three independent experiments (N=3).

E-F) The levels of mature SREBP2 or β- actin were analyzed by specific immunoblotting of the lysates

from *INSIG1* -silenced macrophages and control cells (E) or in cells left untreated or treated with

3µg/ml BFA (F).

G)   Growth of Mtb in macrophages left untreated or treated with HR, HRS alone or in combination

with brefeldin A (BFA) for 3 days. The CFU numbers are represented as mean $\log_{10}$ values ± SEM

from triplicate assays of three independent experiments (N = 3).

P values less than 0.05, 0.01 and 0.005 are represented as *, ** and *** respectively.

324 Loss of lysosomal integrity couples SRT mediated cholesterol accumulation with

325 activation of inflammasomes

Accumulation of cholesterol in lysosomes has been associated with a significant damage to the

lysosomal membrane, resulting in the formation of pores, a process called lysosomal membrane

permeabilization (LMP) [26,27]. This loss of membrane integrity is rapidly repaired by the recruitment

of the ESCRT complex via the lectin-galectin 3 [28]. Treatment with SRT significantly induced the

expression of *LGALS3* in Mtb infected macrophages (Figure 7A-B). To check if SRT compromised

the membrane integrity of lysosomes, cells expressing GFP tagged galectin 3 were treated with

SRT. As observed in Figure 7C, galectin3 was distributed uniformly in the untreated cells, in

contrast to the punctate signal in the cells treated with LLOme, a dipeptide documented to induce

lysosomal damage [29]. Interestingly, SRT- treated cells also displayed punctate signals unlike the

treatment with citalopram (Figure 7D), suggestive of extensive lysosomal damage. A close

connect between the host lysosomal cholesterol levels and mitochondrial function has been

established [30-32]. Accumulation of cholesterol in the lysosomes has been associated with altered

mitochondrial physiology, exacerbated ROS production, and disorders [33-36]. Given our previous

observation for an important role for inflammasome activation by SRT [9] via the induction of

mitochondrial ROS [10] and an elegant study by Guo et.al, 2018 establishing a direct link between

the activation of SREBP2 and inflammasome induction [37], the role of cholesterol accumulation by

SRT as a putative cause of inflammasome activation was evaluated in Mtb infections. In line with

our previous studies, while SRT induced significant ROS, citalopram failed to activate

mitochondrial ROS in macrophages (Figure 7E-G). A direct link between the host cell cholesterol

accumulation, increased SREBP2 activation, and inflammasome activity was probed in cells by

estimating the levels of IL1β secretion in the different macrophages. Inhibition of SRT mediated

SREBP2 activation in SCAP deficient cells reduced the secreted IL1β levels (Figure 7H). This

study thus firmly establishes the importance of the cationic amphiphilic property of SRT in

augmenting bacterial control, thereby providing a novel target for the future development of host-

directed therapy against infections.

Figure 7

354 **Figure 7: SRT-induced lysosomal cholesterol accumulation induces macrophage inflammasome**
355
(A-B) Gene expression of *LGALS3* (A) in the transcriptomics data and quantified by qRT-PCR (B) in the

Mtb-infected macrophages after 18 hours of treatment with HR, HRS, and SRT. The relative expression of

specific genes relative to *GAPDH* is represented as fold change ± SEM of triplicate assays of three

independent experiments (N=3).

(C) Representative images of macrophages overexpressing GFP-tagged galectin 3 protein treated with

SRT for 24 hours or LLOme for 15 min and stained with lysotracker Red. Boxes indicate insets, magnified

on the right. Scale bar = 10μm.

(D) Images after citalopram treatment for 24h in GFP-tagged galectin3 overexpressing macrophages. Inset

represents the zoom-in. Scale bar = 10μm.

(E-G) Representative images showing mitoSox staining after treatment with sertraline (SRT), citalopram

(CIT), U18666A (U18) and rotenone (ROT) as the positive control for mitochondrial ROS induction. The

extent of ROS was quantified after 24 h (F) and 48 h (G) of treatment and is represented as arbitrary

fluorescence units (AFU) from ~ 800 cells of three independent experiments (N=3).

(H) IL-1β levels in the supernatants of Mtb-infected SCR and *SCAP-* silenced cells following treatment with

HR or HRS, compared to the untreated group.

P values less than 0.05, 0.01 and 0.005 are represented as *, ** and *** respectively.

Discussion:

Infection control by innate immune cells is a complex process with the involvement of multiple

modalities, intertwining metabolic and inflammatory response pathways to ensure pathogen

removal [38,39]. The complexity only increases with the pathogen adept at modifying signaling

cascades and occupying intracellular niches that are designed for infection control [40,41]. The

incidence of TB, particularly, has been strongly associated with severe malnutrition[40,41].

Epidemiological data suggest a strong inverse correlation between serum cholesterol levels and

TB incidence and mortality, with TB patients displaying severe hypocholesterolemia [42-44]. In fact,

the enhanced levels of sputum sterilization in a small set of pulmonary TB patients on a

cholesterol-rich diet only highlight the importance of improving sterol levels for improved treatment

outcomes[45]. Recent studies have also implicated a significant role of cholesterol and its

derivatives in dictating macrophage response dynamics [46,47]. In line with these observations, we

identified an important role for the host cell cholesterol metabolic flux in the antibiotic mediated

potentiation of Mtb control by SRT.

In this context, we demonstrate that SRT treatment enhances macrophage cholesterol

biosynthetic and import programs through robust activation of the SREBP2 pathway, leading to

elevated intracellular cholesterol. We thus reasoned that altering cholesterol flux in macrophages

would modulate the effects of sertraline. To our surprise, sertraline activity remained unaltered

even in conditions of restricted biosynthesis (via statins [48] or HMGCR silencing) or import

(lipoprotein-deficient media). Contrary to our expectations, SRT treatment in LPDS or in the

presence of statins, as reported earlier [18], increased the expression of the cholesterol biosynthetic

genes in macrophages, resulting in enhanced effect of antibiotics in controlling infection, implying

the compensatory activation of alternative pathways to maintain homeostasis of cholesterol in

cells. The induction of HMGCR following SRT treatment in macrophages further hampered our

efforts to restrict biosynthetic flux by silencing HMGCR, bacterial control by SRT was unaltered in

these macrophages in comparison to the SCR cells.

Notably, SREBP2 axis emerged as a critical focal point connecting cholesterol metabolism and

infection control in macrophages. In concordance with recent studies that suggest a dominant

role for statins, we also observed significant activation of SREBP2 with SRT treatment in

macrophages, necessary for increased bacterial control [49-51]. However, both genetic perturbation

(silencing SCAP) and pharmacological activation (BFA), but not silencing of *INSIG1* (induced by

SRT in macrophages), confirmed the essential role of this pathway in SRT-mediated Mtb

restriction.

Our data relates the altered cholesterol trafficking and storage as vital mediators of SRT function.

Recently, TMEM97, a cholesterol-responsive NPC1-binding protein, has been implicated in

cholesterol trafficking between lysosomes and ER; RNA-interference mediated restraint of

TMEM97 reduces lysosomal lipid storage and restores cholesterol levels in the ER in cell models

of NPC1 [52]. SRT-treated macrophages with increased expression of TMEM97 (Data not shown)

resonate phenotypes with Niemann-Pick type C disease, with cholesterol-laden lysosomes and

disrupted ER-lysosome crosstalk. Along similar lines, treatment with the potent NPC1 inhibitor

U18666A induced significant dysregulation of cholesterol trafficking from lysosomes to the

endoplasmic reticulum (ER). Consistent with this, cells treated with either SRT or U18666A—but

not with citalopram—exhibited markedly enlarged lysosomes, indicative of cholesterol

accumulation.

This lysosomal sequestration appears to be a key trigger for downstream immune responses.

Oxysterols, particularly 25-hydroxy cholesterol (25HC), a dominant negative regulator of

cholesterol biosynthesis [53]acts as a dampener of inflammation, preventing the overstimulation of

macrophages by downregulating cholesterol biosynthesis and SREBP2 processing, in addition to

activating the esterification of excess free cholesterol [54,55]. 25HC is documented to protect

macrophages against mitochondria induced NLRP3 inflammasome activation with ch25h^{-/-}

macrophages the gene encoding for 25HC, elaborating significantly high levels of IL1β in in

response to LPS stimulation [56,57]. Mitochondrial ROS, SCAP-SREBP2 and the *FASN* effectively

mediate NLRP3-mediated inflammasome activation in cells [37,58,59]. Reduced expression of *CH25H*

(2-fold) and upregulation of *FASN* (~ 3-fold) suggest a coordinated suppression of anti-

inflammatory oxysterol signaling and a shift towards an activated inflammatory state. This

metabolic-inflammatory axis distinguishes SRT from related SSRIs such as citalopram, which

lacks these effects. In contrast, citalopram had an insignificant effect on these processes, thereby

validating the critical role of host cell cholesterol metabolism in macrophage-mediated control of

Mtb. These findings further support a model in which host cell cholesterol metabolism plays a

critical role in regulating mitochondrial stress and inflammasome activation, thereby contributing

to macrophage-mediated control of Mtb.

Further, the observed increase in assembly of galectin 3 close to the lysosomes in SRT-treated

macrophages advocates for extensive lysosomal membrane permeabilization (LMP) as a result

of lysosomal cholesterol accumulation, which is a property with lysosomotrophic molecules like

cationic amphiphilic drugs (CADs) [60]. While similar effects were observed with SRT and U18666A,

with strong experimental evidence as a CAD, citalopram a less well-documented cationic

amphiphile, failed to induce cholesterol accumulation and resultant LMP.

Our findings advance a previously understudied axis of reprogramming macrophage cholesterol

metabolism and the consequent induction of a lysosomal stress response that enhances

inflammasome activation and bacterial clearance (as seen with SRT). These findings emphasize

the significance of evaluating host metabolic programs for the design of future adjunct therapies

for infection control, specifically in the population cohorts with malnutrition and dyslipidemia.

Taken together, our work integrates lipid metabolism and innate immune signaling and places

host cholesterol metabolism as a crucial determinant of TB pathogenesis and treatment outcome.

Author contribution

KB and VR were instrumental in the design of the work. KB, RS, NB, JK, MM and DS were

involved in the conduct of the work. SJ and SB were involved in the analysis of the transcriptome

high throughput data. None of the authors have any conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank CSIR (VR- MLP2106) for supporting the study. CSIR-STS0016 is

acknowledged for continuous maintenance of BSL3 and ABSL2 facilities. CSIR- BSC0403 is duly

acknowledge for the microscopy facility. The student fellowships from CSIR- India (KB) are

acknowledged. Biorender.com is duly acknowledged for the graphical abstract preparation. The

authors thank Ms. Garima Maurya and Mr. Lakshay Kumar for proof reading and suggestion on

improving the manuscript. The authors thank Dr. Micheal Glickman for the LPDS media.

Figure S1

A-D) Effect of simvastatin (A, B) or LPDS supplemented media (C, D) on macrophage cholesterol

levels were analysed at the metabolite (A, D) and expression of genes for biosynthesis (B, C) after

24h of treatment. Values represent the relative mean concentrations of statin treated cells as compared

to untreated cells from triplicate assays of three independent experiments (N=3). The relative

expression of specific genes relative to GAPDH is represented as fold change $\pm$ SEM of triplicate

assays of three independent experiments (N=3).

E) The extent of SREBP2 activation was evaluated in macrophages left untreated or treated with

cholesterol for 24h by immunoblotting and densitometric analysis of the lysates. Levels of β- actin

expression was used as the control. The relative levels of mSREBP2 in cholesterol treated cells (w.r.t.

untreated) is represented as relative mean expression $\pm$ SEM from three independent experiments is

shown in the box (N=3).

F) Expression of genes for cholesterol biosynthesis were quantified by qRT-PCR in the Mtb-infected

macrophages left untreated or after 18 hours of treatment with HR with and without U8666A (UA). The

relative expression of specific genes relative to GAPDH is represented as fold change + SEM of

triplicate assays of three independent experiments (N=3).

G, H) The extent of SREBP2 activation was evaluated in Wt or *INSIG1* silenced macrophages (G) or

left untreated or treated with 3µg/ml brefeldin A (H) for 24h by immunoblotting and densitometric

analysis of the lysates. Levels of β- actin expression was used as the control. The relative levels of

mSREBP2 in cholesterol treated cells (w.r.t. untreated) is represented as relative mean expression $\pm$

SEM from three independent experiments is shown in the box (N=3).

STAR METHODS

KEY RESOURCE TABLE

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Antibodies		
Rabbit polyclonal anti- SREBP2	Invitrogen	Cat# PA1-338
Mouse monoclonal anti-β-actin	Santa Cruz biotechnology	Cat#sc-47778
Bacterial and virus strains		
Mtb Erdman strain	Animal passaged strain EF2	NA
Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins		
HiGlutaXL-RPMI-1640	Himedia	Cat# AL060G
DMEM high glucose	Himedia	Cat# AL007A
Sodium pyruvate	Himedia	Cat# TCL015
Fetal bovine serum	Himedia	Cat# RM9955
Fetal bovine serum lipid depleted	Biowest	Cat# S180L

Phorbol 12-Mysristate 13-acetate(PMA)	SIGMA	Cat# P8139
Ethambutol dihydrochloride	SIGMA	Cat# E4630
Isoniazid	SIGMA	Cat# I3377
Rifampicin	Himedia	Cat# CMS1889
Pyrazinamide carboxamide	SIGMA	Cat# P7136
Sertraline	SIGMA	Cat# S6319
Citalopram hydrobromide	SIGMA	Cat#C7861
U18666A	SIGMA	Cat#U3633
Mevalonic acid lithium salt	SIGMA	Cat#50838
Simvastatin	SIGMA	Cat#S6196
Cholesterol	SIGMA	Cat#C3045
Lipoprotein, low density, from human plasma	SIGMA	Cat#L7914
1,10 Phenanthroline	SIGMA	Cat#131377
Brefeldin A	Invitrogen	Cat#00450651
NBD- cholesterol	Avanti	Cat# 810250P
LysoTracker Red DND-99	Invitrogen	Cat#L7528
Hoechst 33342	Gift	NA
Filipin III readymade solution	SIGMA	Cat# SAE0087
Rotenone	SIGMA	Cat# R8875
MitoSox dye	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# M36008
Lipopolysacchride (LPS)	InvivoGen	Cat# tlrl-smlps

Nigericin	Cayman Chemical	Cat# 28643-80-3
Lipofectamine LTX reagent with Plus reagent	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# 15338100
Puromycin dihydrochloride	Himedia	Cat# TC198
Cholroform EMPARTA grade	Merck	Cat# 1.07024.2500
Methanol HPLC grade	Merck	Cat# 60600725001730
16% methanol free formaldehyde	Thermo Fisher Scientific	Cat# 28908
Critical commercial assays		
BCA protein estimation kit	GBiosciences	Cat#786-571
Total cholesterol	Abcam	Cat#ab282928
Human IL-1β ELISA kit	BD	Cat#557953
ECL Western Blotting Substrate	Promega	Cat#W1015
Deposited data		
RNA sequencing info		
Experimental models: Cell lines		
THP1 cells	ECACC	
THP1 Dual cells		
Lenti-X 293T		
THP1/NT shRNA	This study	N/A
THP1/SCAP shRNA#1	This study	N/A
THP1/SCAP shRNA#2	This study	N/A

THP1/SCAP shRNA#3	This study	N/A
THP1/Insig1 shRNA#1	This study	N/A
THP1/Insig1 shRNA#2	This study	N/A
THP1/Insig1 shRNA#3	This study	N/A
THP1/HMGCR shRNA#1	This study	N/A
THP1/HMGCR shRNA#2	This study	N/A
THP1/HMGCR shRNA#3	This study	N/A
THP1/GFP-tagged Gal3 overexpression	This study	N/A
Oligonucleotides		
Human *GAPDH* primer forward: 5'-GAAGGTGAAGGTCGGAGTC-3'	This study	N/A
Human *GAPDH* primer reverse: 5'-GAAGATGGTGATGGGATTTC-3'	This study	N/A
Human *ACSS2* primer forward: 5'-CATCAGCTTCTGGTCCAAGTG-3'	This study	N/A
Human *ACSS2* primer reverse: 5'-GCTGGAATCCAAGATCCGTTCAC-3'	This study	N/A
Human *HMGCS1* primer forward: 5'-CTCCCTGACGTGGAATGTCT-3'	This study	N/A
Human *HMGCS1* primer reverse: 5'-GAACTGTCTGCCCAGGTGAT-3'	This study	N/A
Human *HMGCR* primer forward: 5'-GACGTGAACCTATGCTGGTCAG-3'	This study	N/A
Human *HMGCR* primer reverse: 5'-GGTATCTGTTTCAGCCACTAAGG-3'	This study	N/A

Human *MVK* primer forward: 5'-CACCTCAGAGCAAGTGGAGAAG-3'	This study	N/A
Human *MVK* primer reverse: 5'-TGCTGCCAGACACACCGAGTAG-3'	This study	N/A
Human *MVD* primer forward: 5'-CTCGGTGAAGTCCTTGCTGATG-3'	This study	N/A
Human *MVD* primer reverse: 5'-AGCGCGATGAAGAGCTGGTTC-3'	This study	N/A
Human *IDI1* primer forward: 5'-CTCCTGGCAGAGATGTGTATCC-3'	This study	N/A
Human *IDI1* primer reverse: 5'-CGGCTGGATTGCTTAATGGATG-3'	This study	N/A
Human *NSDHL* primer forward: 5'-GGATCGGTGGCTCTGGATTC-3'	This study	N/A
Human *NSDHL* primer reverse: 5'-GCAGAGGTCACCCAGAAAG-3'	This study	N/A
Human *SQLE* primer forward: 5'-CTCCAAGTTCAGGAAAAGCCTGC-3'	This study	N/A
Human *SQLE* primer reverse: 5'-GAGAACTGGACTCGGGTTAGCT-3'	This study	N/A
Human *CYP51A1* primer forward: 5'-CTCTTACCAGGTTGGCTGCCTT-3'	This study	N/A
Human *CYP51A1*primer reverse: 5'-CTTGAGACTGTCTGCGTTTCTGG-3'	This study	N/A
Human *DHCR7* primer forward: 5'-CAGCGCCAGAGACTGCAAA-3'	This study	N/A

Human *DHCR7* primer reverse: 5'-CAGCTTGAATCAAACCACTTCCC-3'	This study	N/A
Human *FDFT1* primer forward: 5'-TGTGACCTCTGAACAGGAGTGG-3'	This study	N/A
Human *FDFT1* primer reverse: 5'-CCCATAGAGTTGGCACGTTCTG-3'	This study	N/A
Human *LDLR* primer forward: 5'-CACGAAGTCAGGAAGATG-3'	This study	N/A
Human *LDLR* primer reverse: 5'-GGGTGCTGCAGATCATTC-3'	This study	N/A
Human *SREBF2* primer forward: 5'-GCTGCCAAGGAGAGTCTATAC-3'	This study	N/A
Human *SREBF2* primer reverse: 5'-GGCCTGAGGTTTCACCAAG-3'	This study	N/A
Human *SCAP* primer forward: 5'-CAAGAACCTCCTGGCAGTAG-3'	This study	N/A
Human *SCAP* primer reverse: 5'-CATGGAAGCGTTCCCAGTC-3'	This study	N/A
Human *INSIG1* primer forward: 5'-TAGCCCTGGTGCTCAAC-3'	This study	N/A
Human *INSIG1* primer reverse: 5'-AGCACTGGCGTGGTTAATG-3'	This study	N/A
Human *LGALS3* primer forward: 5'-GATGCGTTATCTGGGTCTGG-3'	This study	N/A
Human *LGALS3* primer reverse: 5'-GCACTTGGCTGTCCAGAAGATG-3'	This study	N/A

Human *ABCA1* primer forward: 5'-GATGCGTTATCTGGGTCTGG-3'	This study	N/A
Human *ABCA1* primer reverse: 5'-GCACTTGGCTGTCCAGAAGATG-3'	This study	N/A
Human *ACAT1* primer forward: 5'-CAGTAACAGCTGCCAATGC-3'	This study	N/A
Human *ACAT1* primer reverse: 5'-GGTTCTACAGCAGCGTCAG-3'	This study	N/A
Recombinant DNA		
SCAP shRNA#1	Horizon (Dharmacon)	Cat#V3SH11240_227412984
SCAP shRNA#2	Horizon (Dharmacon)	Cat#V3SH11240_228955440
SCAP shRNA#3	Horizon (Dharmacon)	Cat#V3SH11240_228534848
Insig1 shRNA#1	Horizon (Dharmacon)	Cat#V3SH11240_227321339
Insig1 shRNA#2	Horizon (Dharmacon)	Cat#V3SH11240_228332166
Insig1 shRNA#3	Horizon (Dharmacon)	Cat#V3SH11240_226630552
HMGCR shRNA#1	Horizon (Dharmacon)	Cat#V3SH11240_225596673

HMGCR shRNA#2	Horizon (Dharmacon)	Cat#V3SH11240_224997227
HMGCR shRNA#3	Horizon (Dharmacon)	Cat#V3SH11240_226661673
Non-targeting shRNA	Horizon (Dharmacon)	Cat#VSC11716
pcDNA-EF-Gal3_GFP	This study	
Software and algorithms		
ImageJ		https://imagej.net/ij/
GSEA		https://www.gsea-msigdb.org/gsea/index.jsp
Graphpad Prism	PRISM 10	licensed

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL DETAILS

CELL CULTURE

THP1 and THP1 dual monocytes purchased from ECACC were used in this study. Both THP1

and THP1 dual monocytes were cultured in RPMI 1640 containing 10% FBS and 1 mM sodium

pyruvate at 37^0C in a 5% CO_2 humidified incubator. They were differentiated into macrophages

using 100 nM Phorbol-13-myristate 13-acetate (PMA) for 24 hours, followed by media change.

Following a resting period of 48 hours, cells were utilized for respective experiments.

BACTERIAL STRAINS AND MEDIA

Mtb Erdmann strain was grown in Middlebrook 7H9 medium with 4% Albumin-dextrose-saline Or

Middlebrook 7H10 plates supplemented with 10% oleic acid-albumin-dextrose-catalase (OADC)

at 37^0C. A final concentration of 0.05% Tween 80 was added to the liquid medium.

METHOD DETAILS

RNA sequencing and analysis:

Total RNA was isolated from the Mtb-infected macrophages after treatment with anti-TB drugs

(Isoniazid and rifampicin) in the presence or absence of sertraline for 18 hours. Total RNA was

used for stranded library preparation and paired-end clustering with 150bp x 2 end of sequencing

performed on HiSeqX (Illumina) by Medgenome Labs. Raw and processed RNA-Seq data are

openly available on_______________. Quality check of raw sequenced reads was performed using

Fastqc 0.12.1 and summarized with Multiqc 0.4. Adapters (Illumina universal adapters) and poor-

quality bases were trimmed using Trimmomatic 0.39. The trimmed reads were aligned to the

human genome gtf (v44) using Star (2.7.10b). Finally, the rawcounts matrix was generated using

Subread 2.0.6. Differential gene expression analysis was conducted via edgeR3.42.4. Gene set

enrichment analysis was used to compare datasets.

Quantitative PCR:

RNA was isolated using RNAzol (Sigma), and cDNA was synthesised using oligo-dT primers

using Verso cDNA synthesis kit following the manufacturer's protocol. Quantitative PCR was

performed using KAPA SYBR green (Sigma) on a LightCycler480 (Roche).

Total cholesterol estimation:

THP1 monocytes were seeded in 24-well plate at a density of 0.5*10^6 cells. Cells after washing

with 1X PBS were lysed in 100ul of 1% Triton X-100 for 20 mins on ice. Protein was estimated by

the BCA protein estimation kit following the protocol given. For total lipid isolation, 400µL of

chloroform: methanol (1:2) was added along with 100µL of 50 mM citric acid. The mix was

vortexed and spun down. After the addition of 100µL of autoclaved water, the mix was vortexed

and spun down, and finally, 100µL of chloroform was added. After centrifugation of the mix at

12000g for 10 minutes, the lower phase was collected and vacuum centrifuged for 30 minutes.

The pellet was diluted in cholesterol assay buffer, and total cholesterol levels were assessed

using the Total Cholesterol & Cholesteryl Ester Colorimetric Assay Kit (ab282928) following the

recommended protocol. Values were normalized with the protein content.

Macrophage infection:

The Mtb culture was centrifuged and washed with PBST (1x PBS with 0.05% of Tween 80) twice,

and single cell suspension was made after spinning down the culture at 800g for 10 minutes.

THP1 dual macrophages were infected for 6 hours at MOI5. After 6 hours, cells were washed

twice with 1X PBS, and appropriate cell culture media was added.

Intracellular bacterial growth assay:

THP1 dual monocytes were seeded in a 48-well plate at a density of 0.15 million cells. Cells were

differentiated into macrophages as indicated, and after a 48-hour of resting period, cells were

infected with Mtb for 6 hours at MOI5. After stopping the infection, cells were treated with drugs

isoniazid (20ng/mL), rifampicin (100ng/mL), and sertraline (20µM) given in 10% FBS RPMI media.

At day 3 post-treatment, cells were lysed with water containing 0.05% Tween 80, and dilutions of

intracellular bacteria were made in PBST. The final diluted lysate was plated on 7H10 plates.

To restrict cholesterol uptake by the macrophages, treatment with TB drugs was given in RPMI

media supplemented with 10% Lipoprotein-deficient serum (LPDS).

For the cholesterol replenishment assay, Mtb-infected THP1 macrophages were treated with

cholesterol (2µg/ml) for an hour after infection, followed by incubation with anti-TB drugs in LPDS

medium and further cholesterol was added every 24 hours.

Immunoblotting

THP1 dual macrophages were lysed in RIPA buffer along with a protease inhibitor cocktail.

Protein concentration was estimated by BCA assay, and 50µg of total protein was loaded per

sample in a 10% polyacrylamide gel. Protein was transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane, and

the membrane was blocked using a 5% BSA solution. The membrane was next incubated

overnight in anti-rabbit SREBP2 antibody, on shaking at 4°C. Next, the membrane was washed

thrice with PBST (1X PBS with 0.05% Tween 20) solution and incubated with HRP-conjugated

secondary antibody for an hour at room temperature. After washing with PBST, the membrane

was imaged using ImageQuant LAS 500.

Filipin Staining:

The THP1 macrophages were seeded in confocal chamber slides (1.5*10^4 cells per chamber)

and treated with sertraline at 20μM for 24 hours. Cells were washed twice with 1x PBS before

fixation with 4% methanol-free formaldehyde in 1X PHEM buffer for 20 mins at room temperature.

After an additional wash with 1x PBS, cells were stained with filipin staining solution (50ug/ml

diluted in 1x PBS) for an hour in the dark at room temperature. Next, the cells were washed with

1x PBS and imaged on a Leica SP8 laser scanning confocal microscope. Filipin was excited at

405nm laser.

Cholesterol compartmentalization assay:

THP1 monocytes were seeded at a density of 0.15 million cells in a four-chamber confocal dish

and differentiated as mentioned. The NBD-cholesterol at a final conc of 10μM was added for 24

hours along with the different compounds such as sertraline (20μM), citalopram (20μM), and

U18666A (2μg/ml). Before initiation of imaging, cells were stained with lysotracker Red (100nM)

along with Hoechst dye for 1 hour in 10% FBS containing RPMI. Images were taken using a Leica

SP8 laser scanning confocal microscope.

Stable knockdown/overexpression cell line generation:

Lenti-X 293T cells were transfected with pVSVG and pDR2.8 plasmids together with lentiviral

constructs expressing gene-specific shRNA or non-targeting controls to generate viral particles.

After 6 hours of transfection, the media was changed to 2% FBS containing DMEM, and after 48

hours, the cell supernatant was collected and centrifuged at 100g for 5 minutes to remove cell

debris. Further, this supernatant was filtered through a 0.45μ syringe filter, and viral particles were

concentrated using a 30kDa centricon filter. For transduction in THP1 monocytes, 50,000 cells

were seeded in a 24-well plate, and 100µL of viral stock was added along with a final

concentration of 8µg/mL polybrene. After 24 hours media was changed, and antibiotic selection

was initiated after 48 hours of transduction with 0.6µg/mL of puromycin. Cells showing tRFP

fluorescence co-expressed with shRNA were checked regularly.

The hGal3 and eGFP are excised from the pEGFP-hGal3 plasmid using Nhe1, Nde1 and Msc1

restriction enzymes and ligated to Xba1 and Msc1 digested pcDNA 3.1 vector. The final plasmid

is transfected in THP1 monocytes using Lipofectamine LTX.

Galectin 3 puncta assessment:

GFP tagged galectin-3 overexpressing THP1 monocytes were seeded in a chambered confocal

dish and treated with sertraline (20µM), citalopram (20µM), U18666A (2µg/ml) for 24 hours, and

with 1mM LLOMe for 15 minutes. Before imaging, cells were stained with Lyostracker Red and

Hoechst for an hour. Images were taken using a Leica SP8 laser scanning confocal microscope.

Mitochondrial ROS estimation:

THP1 dual cells were differentiated as indicated. Treatment was given with rotenone at 10µM for

30 minutes or with different indicated compounds. The cells were incubated with 5µM of MitoSox

dye for 30 minutes. After washing with 1X PBS, cells were imaged in the Invitrogen™ EVOS™

M5000 Imaging System.

ELISA:

THP1 dual macrophages were infected with Mtb at MOI5 and treated with different compounds.

Supernatant was collected at the indicated time points and filtered through a 0.2µ filter.

Concentration of IL-1β was determined using an ELISA kit following the recommended protocol.

Statistical analysis

The results are depicted as mean ± SEM. Statistical analysis was carried out using Student's t-

test (Welch's test or Mann-Whitney test) using GraphPad Prism. P values less than 0.05, 0.01,

0.005 are represented as *, ** and *** respectively.

References:

596   1.   Chakaya, J., Petersen, E., Nantanda, R., Mungai, B.N., Migliori, G.B., Amanullah, F.,
597   Lungu, P., Ntoumi, F., Kumarasamy, N., Maeurer, M., and Zumla, A. (2022). The WHO
598   Global Tuberculosis 2021 Report - not so good news and turning the tide back to End
599   TB. Int J Infect Dis *124 Suppl 1*, S26-S29. 10.1016/j.ijid.2022.03.011.
600   2.   Global tuberculosis report 2024. (2024).
601   3.   Trajman, A., Campbell, J.R., Kunor, T., Ruslami, R., Amanullah, F., Behr, M.A., and
602   Menzies, D. (2025). Tuberculosis. Lancet *405*, 850-866. 10.1016/S0140-6736(24)02479-
603   6.
604   4.   Tobin, E.H., and Tristram, D. (2025). Tuberculosis Overview. In StatPearls.
605   5.   Matteelli, A., Lovatti, S., Rossi, B., and Rossi, L. (2025). Update on multidrug-resistant
606   tuberculosis preventive therapy toward the global tuberculosis elimination. Int J Infect
607   Dis *155*, 107849. 10.1016/j.ijid.2025.107849.
608   6.   Nahid, P., Mase, S.R., Migliori, G.B., Sotgiu, G., Bothamley, G.H., Brozek, J.L.,
609   Cattamanchi, A., Cegielski, J.P., Chen, L., Daley, C.L., et al. (2019). Treatment of Drug-
610   Resistant Tuberculosis. An Official ATS/CDC/ERS/IDSA Clinical Practice Guideline. Am
611   J Respir Crit Care Med *200*, e93-e142. 10.1164/rccm.201909-1874ST.
612   7.   Young, C., Walzl, G., and Du Plessis, N. (2020). Therapeutic host-directed strategies to
613   improve outcome in tuberculosis. Mucosal Immunol *13*, 190-204. 10.1038/s41385-019-
614   0226-5.
615   8.   Wong, E.B., Cohen, K.A., and Bishai, W.R. (2013). Rising to the challenge: new
616   therapies for tuberculosis. Trends Microbiol *21*, 493-501. 10.1016/j.tim.2013.05.002.
617   9.   Shankaran, D., Singh, A., Dawa, S., Arumugam, P., Gandotra, S., and Rao, V. (2023).
618   The antidepressant sertraline provides a novel host directed therapy module for
619   augmenting TB therapy. Elife *12*. 10.7554/eLife.64834.
620   10.   Singh, A., Bisht, K., Yadav, N., Nanda, R., and Rao, V. (2025). Inflammasome activation
621   dictates the efficacy of antimycobacterial activity of frontline TB drugs. bioRxiv,
622   2025.2004.2002.646750. 10.1101/2025.04.02.646750.
623   11.   King, R.J., Singh, P.K., and Mehla, K. (2022). The cholesterol pathway: impact on
624   immunity and cancer. Trends Immunol *43*, 78-92. 10.1016/j.it.2021.11.007.
625   12.   Canfran-Duque, A., Rotllan, N., Zhang, X., Andres-Blasco, I., Thompson, B.M., Sun, J.,
626   Price, N.L., Fernandez-Fuertes, M., Fowler, J.W., Gomez-Coronado, D., et al. (2023).
627   Macrophage-Derived 25-Hydroxycholesterol Promotes Vascular Inflammation,
628   Atherogenesis, and Lesion Remodeling. Circulation *147*, 388-408.
629   10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.122.059062.
630   13.   Reboldi, A., and Dang, E. (2018). Cholesterol metabolism in innate and adaptive
631   response. F1000Res *7*. 10.12688/f1000research.15500.1.
632   14.   de Freitas, F.A., Levy, D., Reichert, C.O., Cunha-Neto, E., Kalil, J., and Bydlowski, S.P.
633   (2022). Effects of Oxysterols on Immune Cells and Related Diseases. Cells *11*.
634   10.3390/cells11081251.
635   15.   Cyster, J.G., Dang, E.V., Reboldi, A., and Yi, T. (2014). 25-Hydroxycholesterols in innate
636   and adaptive immunity. Nat Rev Immunol *14*, 731-743. 10.1038/nri3755.
637   16.   Duewell, P., Kono, H., Rayner, K.J., Sirois, C.M., Vladimer, G., Bauernfeind, F.G., Abela,
638   G.S., Franchi, L., Nunez, G., Schnurr, M., et al. (2010). NLRP3 inflammasomes are
639   required for atherogenesis and activated by cholesterol crystals. Nature *464*, 1357-1361.
640   10.1038/nature08938.
641   17.   Parihar, S.P., Guler, R., Khutlang, R., Lang, D.M., Hurdayal, R., Mhlanga, M.M., Suzuki,
642   H., Marais, A.D., and Brombacher, F. (2014). Statin therapy reduces the mycobacterium
643   tuberculosis burden in human macrophages and in mice by enhancing autophagy and
644   phagosome maturation. J Infect Dis *209*, 754-763. 10.1093/infdis/jit550.

645   18.   Schonewille, M., de Boer, J.F., Mele, L., Wolters, H., Bloks, V.W., Wolters, J.C.,
646   Kuivenhoven, J.A., Tietge, U.J., Brufau, G., and Groen, A.K. (2016). Statins increase
647   hepatic cholesterol synthesis and stimulate fecal cholesterol elimination in mice. J Lipid
648   Res *57*, 1455-1464. 10.1194/jlr.M067488.
649   19.   Wong, J., Quinn, C.M., Gelissen, I.C., Jessup, W., and Brown, A.J. (2008). The effect of
650   statins on ABCA1 and ABCG1 expression in human macrophages is influenced by
651   cellular cholesterol levels and extent of differentiation. Atherosclerosis *196*, 180-189.
652   10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2007.03.030.
653   20.   Chen, L., Zhang, J., Xu, W., Chen, J., Tang, Y., Xiong, S., Li, Y., Zhang, H., Li, M., and
654   Liu, Z. (2024). Cholesterol-rich lysosomes induced by respiratory syncytial virus promote
655   viral replication by blocking autophagy flux. Nat Commun *15*, 6311. 10.1038/s41467-
656   024-50711-4.
657   21.   Eid, W., Dauner, K., Courtney, K.C., Gagnon, A., Parks, R.J., Sorisky, A., and Zha, X.
658   (2017). mTORC1 activates SREBP-2 by suppressing cholesterol trafficking to lysosomes
659   in mammalian cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *114*, 7999-8004.
660   10.1073/pnas.1705304114.
661   22.   Radhakrishnan, A., Ikeda, Y., Kwon, H.J., Brown, M.S., and Goldstein, J.L. (2007).
662   Sterol-regulated transport of SREBPs from endoplasmic reticulum to Golgi: oxysterols
663   block transport by binding to Insig. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *104*, 6511-6518.
664   10.1073/pnas.0700899104.
665   23.   Frolov, A., Zielinski, S.E., Crowley, J.R., Dudley-Rucker, N., Schaffer, J.E., and Ory,
666   D.S. (2003). NPC1 and NPC2 regulate cellular cholesterol homeostasis through
667   generation of low density lipoprotein cholesterol-derived oxysterols. J Biol Chem *278*,
668   25517-25525. 10.1074/jbc.M302588200.
669   24.   Peake, K.B., and Vance, J.E. (2010). Defective cholesterol trafficking in Niemann-Pick
670   C-deficient cells. FEBS Lett *584*, 2731-2739. 10.1016/j.febslet.2010.04.047.
671   25.   DeBose-Boyd, R.A., Brown, M.S., Li, W.P., Nohturfft, A., Goldstein, J.L., and
672   Espenshade, P.J. (1999). Transport-dependent proteolysis of SREBP: relocation of site-
673   1 protease from Golgi to ER obviates the need for SREBP transport to Golgi. Cell *99*,
674   703-712. 10.1016/s0092-8674(00)81668-2.
675   26.   Hu, P., Li, H., Sun, W., Wang, H., Yu, X., Qing, Y., Wang, Z., Zhu, M., Xu, J., Guo, Q.,
676   and Hui, H. (2021). Cholesterol-associated lysosomal disorder triggers cell death of
677   hematological malignancy: Dynamic analysis on cytotoxic effects of LW-218. Acta
678   Pharm Sin B *11*, 3178-3192. 10.1016/j.apsb.2021.02.004.
679   27.   Alvarez-Valadez, K., Sauvat, A., Diharce, J., Leduc, M., Stoll, G., Guittat, L.,
680   Lambertucci, F., Paillet, J., Motino, O., Ferret, L., et al. (2025). Lysosomal damage due
681   to cholesterol accumulation triggers immunogenic cell death. Autophagy *21*, 934-956.
682   10.1080/15548627.2024.2440842.
683   28.   Aits, S., Kricker, J., Liu, B., Ellegaard, A.M., Hamalisto, S., Tvingsholm, S., Corcelle-
684   Termeau, E., Hogh, S., Farkas, T., Holm Jonassen, A., et al. (2015). Sensitive detection
685   of lysosomal membrane permeabilization by lysosomal galectin puncta assay.
686   Autophagy *11*, 1408-1424. 10.1080/15548627.2015.1063871.
687   29.   Thiele, D.L., and Lipsky, P.E. (1990). The action of leucyl-leucine methyl ester on
688   cytotoxic lymphocytes requires uptake by a novel dipeptide-specific facilitated transport
689   system and dipeptidyl peptidase I-mediated conversion to membranolytic products. J
690   Exp Med *172*, 183-194. 10.1084/jem.172.1.183.
691   30.   Davis, O.B., Shin, H.R., Lim, C.Y., Wu, E.Y., Kukurugya, M., Maher, C.F., Perera, R.M.,
692   Ordonez, M.P., and Zoncu, R. (2021). NPC1-mTORC1 Signaling Couples Cholesterol
693   Sensing to Organelle Homeostasis and Is a Targetable Pathway in Niemann-Pick Type
694   C. Dev Cell *56*, 260-276 e267. 10.1016/j.devcel.2020.11.016.

31. Martin, L.A., Kennedy, B.E., and Karten, B. (2016). Mitochondrial cholesterol:
mechanisms of import and effects on mitochondrial function. J Bioenerg Biomembr *48*,
137-151. 10.1007/s10863-014-9592-6.
32. de la Mata, M., Cotan, D., Villanueva-Paz, M., de Lavera, I., Alvarez-Cordoba, M.,
Luzon-Hidalgo, R., Suarez-Rivero, J.M., Tiscornia, G., and Oropesa-Avila, M. (2016).
Mitochondrial Dysfunction in Lysosomal Storage Disorders. Diseases *4*.
10.3390/diseases4040031.
33. Goicoechea, L., Conde de la Rosa, L., Torres, S., Garcia-Ruiz, C., and Fernandez-
Checa, J.C. (2023). Mitochondrial cholesterol: Metabolism and impact on redox biology
and disease. Redox Biol *61*, 102643. 10.1016/j.redox.2023.102643.
34. Balboa, E., Castro, J., Pinochet, M.J., Cancino, G.I., Matias, N., Saez, P.J., Martinez, A.,
Alvarez, A.R., Garcia-Ruiz, C., Fernandez-Checa, J.C., and Zanlungo, S. (2017). MLN64
induces mitochondrial dysfunction associated with increased mitochondrial cholesterol
content. Redox Biol *12*, 274-284. 10.1016/j.redox.2017.02.024.
35. Lin, J.X., Xu, C.Y., Wu, X.M., Che, L., Li, T.Y., Mo, S.M., Guo, D.B., Lin, Z.N., and Lin,
Y.C. (2023). Rab7a-mTORC1 signaling-mediated cholesterol trafficking from the
lysosome to mitochondria ameliorates hepatic lipotoxicity induced by aflatoxin B1
exposure. Chemosphere *320*, 138071. 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2023.138071.
36. Mari, M., Morales, A., Colell, A., Garcia-Ruiz, C., and Fernandez-Checa, J.C. (2009).
Mitochondrial glutathione, a key survival antioxidant. Antioxid Redox Signal *11*, 2685-
2700. 10.1089/ARS.2009.2695.
37. Guo, C., Chi, Z., Jiang, D., Xu, T., Yu, W., Wang, Z., Chen, S., Zhang, L., Liu, Q., Guo,
X., et al. (2018). Cholesterol Homeostatic Regulator SCAP-SREBP2 Integrates NLRP3
Inflammasome Activation and Cholesterol Biosynthetic Signaling in Macrophages.
Immunity *49*, 842-856 e847. 10.1016/j.immuni.2018.08.021.
38. Hu, T., Liu, C.H., Lei, M., Zeng, Q., Li, L., Tang, H., and Zhang, N. (2024). Metabolic
regulation of the immune system in health and diseases: mechanisms and interventions.
Signal Transduct Target Ther *9*, 268. 10.1038/s41392-024-01954-6.
39. Andersson, M., Aronsen, K.F., Balch, C., Domellof, L., Eksborg, S., Hafstrom, L.O.,
Howell, S.B., Karesen, R., Midander, J., and Teder, H. (1989). Pharmacokinetics of
intra-arterial mitomycin C with or without degradable starch microspheres (DSM) in the
treatment of non-resectable liver cancer. Acta Oncol *28*, 219-222.
10.3109/02841868909111250.
40. Omotade, T.O., and Roy, C.R. (2019). Manipulation of Host Cell Organelles by
Intracellular Pathogens. Microbiol Spectr *7*. 10.1128/microbiolspec.BAI-0022-2019.
41. Clemente, T.M., Angara, R.K., and Gilk, S.D. (2023). Establishing the intracellular niche
of obligate intracellular vacuolar pathogens. Front Cell Infect Microbiol *13*, 1206037.
10.3389/fcimb.2023.1206037.
42. Perez-Guzman, C., and Vargas, M.H. (2006). Hypocholesterolemia: a major risk factor
for developing pulmonary tuberculosis? Med Hypotheses *66*, 1227-1230.
10.1016/j.mehy.2005.12.041.
43. Jo, Y.S., Han, K., Kim, D., Yoo, J.E., Kim, Y., Yang, B., Choi, H., Sohn, J.W., Shin, D.W.,
and Lee, H. (2021). Relationship between total cholesterol level and tuberculosis risk in
a nationwide longitudinal cohort. Sci Rep *11*, 16254. 10.1038/s41598-021-95704-1.
44. Chidambaram, V., Zhou, L., Ruelas Castillo, J., Kumar, A., Ayeh, S.K., Gupte, A., Wang,
J.Y., and Karakousis, P.C. (2021). Higher Serum Cholesterol Levels Are Associated
With Reduced Systemic Inflammation and Mortality During Tuberculosis Treatment
Independent of Body Mass Index. Front Cardiovasc Med *8*, 696517.
10.3389/fcvm.2021.696517.

45. Parivakkam Mani, A., K, S., K, D.K., and Yadav, S. (2023). Assessment of Lipid Profile
in Patients With Pulmonary Tuberculosis: An Observational Study. Cureus *15*, e39244.
10.7759/cureus.39244.
46. Bah, S.Y., Dickinson, P., Forster, T., Kampmann, B., and Ghazal, P. (2017). Immune
oxysterols: Role in mycobacterial infection and inflammation. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol
169, 152-163. 10.1016/j.jsbmb.2016.04.015.
47. Hayakawa, S., Tamura, A., Nikiforov, N., Koike, H., Kudo, F., Cheng, Y., Miyazaki, T.,
Kubekina, M., Kirichenko, T.V., Orekhov, A.N., et al. (2022). Activated cholesterol
metabolism is integral for innate macrophage responses by amplifying Myd88 signaling.
JCI Insight *7*. 10.1172/jci.insight.138539.
48. Istvan, E.S., and Deisenhofer, J. (2001). Structural mechanism for statin inhibition of
HMG-CoA reductase. Science *292*, 1160-1164. 10.1126/science.1059344.
49. Xu, J.F., Washko, G.R., Nakahira, K., Hatabu, H., Patel, A.S., Fernandez, I.E., Nishino,
M., Okajima, Y., Yamashiro, T., Ross, J.C., et al. (2012). Statins and pulmonary fibrosis:
the potential role of NLRP3 inflammasome activation. Am J Respir Crit Care Med *185*,
547-556. 10.1164/rccm.201108-1574OC.
50. Henriksbo, B.D., Lau, T.C., Cavallari, J.F., Denou, E., Chi, W., Lally, J.S., Crane, J.D.,
Duggan, B.M., Foley, K.P., Fullerton, M.D., et al. (2014). Fluvastatin causes NLRP3
inflammasome-mediated adipose insulin resistance. Diabetes *63*, 3742-3747.
10.2337/db13-1398.
51. Altaf, A., Qu, P., Zhao, Y., Wang, H., Lou, D., and Niu, N. (2015). NLRP3 inflammasome
in peripheral blood monocytes of acute coronary syndrome patients and its relationship
with statins. Coron Artery Dis *26*, 409-421. 10.1097/MCA.0000000000000255.
52. Ebrahimi-Fakhari, D., Wahlster, L., Bartz, F., Werenbeck-Ueding, J., Praggastis, M.,
Zhang, J., Joggerst-Thomalla, B., Theiss, S., Grimm, D., Ory, D.S., and Runz, H. (2016).
Reduction of TMEM97 increases NPC1 protein levels and restores cholesterol trafficking
in Niemann-pick type C1 disease cells. Hum Mol Genet *25*, 3588-3599.
10.1093/hmg/ddw204.
53. Nishimura, T., Inoue, T., Shibata, N., Sekine, A., Takabe, W., Noguchi, N., and Arai, H.
(2005). Inhibition of cholesterol biosynthesis by 25-hydroxycholesterol is independent of
OSBP. Genes Cells *10*, 793-801. 10.1111/j.1365-2443.2005.00879.x.
54. Choi, H., Kim, H.J., Lee, S.E., Song, H.H., Kim, J., Han, J., Jeong, J.H., Lee, D.Y.,
Chang, S., and Mook-Jung, I. (2025). 25-Hydroxycholesterol modulates microglial
function and exacerbates Alzheimer's disease pathology: mechanistic insights and
therapeutic potential of cholesterol esterification inhibition. J Neuroinflammation *22*, 50.
10.1186/s12974-025-03357-y.
55. Griffiths, W.J., and Wang, Y. (2022). Cholesterol metabolism: from lipidomics to
immunology. J Lipid Res *63*, 100165. 10.1016/j.jlr.2021.100165.
56. Reboldi, A., Dang, E.V., McDonald, J.G., Liang, G., Russell, D.W., and Cyster, J.G.
(2014). Inflammation. 25-Hydroxycholesterol suppresses interleukin-1-driven
inflammation downstream of type I interferon. Science *345*, 679-684.
10.1126/science.1254790.
57. Viaud, M., Ivanov, S., Vujic, N., Duta-Mare, M., Aira, L.E., Barouillet, T., Garcia, E.,
Orange, F., Dugail, I., Hainault, I., et al. (2018). Lysosomal Cholesterol Hydrolysis
Couples Efferocytosis to Anti-Inflammatory Oxysterol Production. Circ Res *122*, 1369-
1384. 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.117.312333.
58. Zhou, R., Yazdi, A.S., Menu, P., and Tschopp, J. (2011). A role for mitochondria in
NLRP3 inflammasome activation. Nature *469*, 221-225. 10.1038/nature09663.
59. Leishman, S., Aljadeed, N.M., Qian, L., Cockcroft, S., Behmoaras, J., and Anand, P.K.
(2024). Fatty acid synthesis promotes inflammasome activation through NLRP3
palmitoylation. Cell Rep *43*, 114516. 10.1016/j.celrep.2024.114516.

60.   Kuzu, O.F., Toprak, M., Noory, M.A., and Robertson, G.P. (2017). Effect of
796   lysosomotropic molecules on cellular homeostasis. Pharmacol Res *117*, 177-184.
797   10.1016/j.phrs.2016.12.021.
798