Collective bacterial condensation is fundamentally constrained by the emergence
of active turbulence

Nir Livne and Ady Vaknin*

The Racah Institute of Physics, The Hebrew University, Jerusalem 91904, Israel

Running title: active turbulence constrains bacterial condensation.

*Corresponding author:

Mailing address: The Racah Institute of Physics,
The Hebrew University,
Edmond J. Safra campus
Jerusalem 91904, Israel

14
Phone: (972) 658-4266

FAX: (972) 658-6168

E-mail: *avaknin@mail.huji.ac.il*

Keywords: bacterial chemotaxis | collective condensation | correlated swimming | active turbulence

Collective bacterial condensation arises from positive feedback between the ability of

bacteria to generate chemical gradients in their environment and their chemotactic ability

to follow those gradients. This feedback drives the spontaneous formation of local cell

accumulations, characterized by sharp cell-density gradients, even in the absence of

physical boundaries. By following the dynamics of bacterial condensation in uniform

acidic environments, we show that condensation is critically constrained by the

spontaneous emergence of correlated bacterial swimming and the associated active

turbulence. These collective behaviors generate vortex-like cell motion with a

pronounced radial component directed down the cell-density gradient. This, in turn,

induces local fluid motion that broadens the condensate and expels non-chemotactic

bacteria. When condensates are strongly confined in thin layers, the radial component of

fluid motion diminishes and condensation is enhanced. Moreover, in porous

environments, correlated bacterial motion is strongly suppressed, allowing spontaneous

condensation to progress even further until it reaches the limits imposed by the non-local

nature of bacterial chemotaxis. Overall, these findings highlight the fundamental

interplay between self-generated bacterial condensation and correlated swimming.

Significance statement

Self-induced condensation and active turbulence are two prominent forms of collective bacterial

behaviors. However, their interplay has not been experimentally studied. Here, we show that

collective bacterial condensation gives rise to correlated swimming, which in turn fundamentally

limits further condensation. Furthermore, we demonstrate that in dilute porous environments—

common in natural bacterial habitats—correlated swimming is strongly suppressed, enabling the

spontaneous formation of extremely dense cell condensates. These condensates may serve as

a basis for the development of more structured bacterial communities.

Introduction

Motile bacteria navigate their environment by tracking chemical gradients toward regions they

deem favorable (1-4). This behavior, termed chemotaxis, influences many aspects of bacterial

ecology (5, 6) and human health (7-9). Even in spatially uniform environments, bacteria can

collectively follow self-generated gradients, enabling them to accelerate their expansion into

resource-rich regions (10, 11), or alternatively, to condense into focal points by secreting

beneficial (attractant) effectors (12, 13) or neutralizing harmful (repellent) effectors (14).

Notably, in contrast to the inherently dynamic bacterial accumulation triggered by exogenous

chemoeffector release (15) or observed in expanding bacterial bands (16), bacterial

condensation can lead to sharp but quasi-static accumulations (14). This condensation relies on

positive feedback between self-generated gradients and the chemotactic responses of the

bacteria to those gradients (Fig. 1A), which, in principle, can lead to a divergence in the local cell

density (17). Studies of transient and low-density cell accumulations limited by oxygen depletion

have suggested that a fundamental limit on such cell condensation arises from the fact that

bacterial gradient sensing itself is a non-local behavior (18). However, the constraints on

bacterial condensations under conditions of oxygen abundance remain unclear. Here, we show

that under these conditions, bacterial accumulations indeed progress further, forming stable

condensates that are nevertheless limited by the emergence of correlated bacterial swimming

and the associated active turbulence.

Escherichia coli cells swim by rotating helical filaments (flagella) that bundle and push

the cell forward, whereas during stochastic episodes of counter-rotation, the bundle comes

apart, causing random reorientation. These two swimming modes—forward swimming ('run')

and random reorientation ('tumble')—together generate an active random walk with a typical run

length of ~10–30 µm (19). In the presence of effector gradients, the probability of tumbling is

modulated to bias the random walk toward beneficial effectors and away from harmful ones. In

particular, bacteria can migrate toward neutral pH (20, 21), and, combined with their ability to

locally neutralize the acidic environment, this behavior leads to spontaneous condensation (14).

Such condensation is readily observed when the bacterial suspension is coupled to a large, cell-

free environment that maintains the pH level within the dynamic sensory range and prevents

oxygen depletion, which is required for motility. The number of condensates depends on the

lateral size of the bacterial-suspension layer: from quasi-ordered arrays of bacterial condensates

in large suspensions spread on agar plates to single condensates in layers only a few

millimeters in diameter (14).

On the other hand, at high cell densities, bacteria tend to exhibit correlated swimming

and flocking-like behavior (22-24), which can lead to turbulent-like motion often termed 'active

turbulence' (25, 26). Such dynamics arise from direct steric and hydrodynamic interactions

between cells. With notable exceptions (27, 28), correlated bacterial swimming has mostly been

studied in uniformly distributed populations confined by physical boundaries. In contrast,

bacterial condensation leads to highly non-uniform cell distributions in the absence of explicit

physical confinement. Numerical studies have suggested that dilute self-attracting run-and-

tumble particles with weak chemotactic strength aggregate less efficiently when self-induced

fluid motion is considered (29). Experiments have indicated that cell alignment may constrain

the angular reorientation of individual cells and reduce chemotactic efficiency in uniform cell

suspensions and shallow effector gradients (30). Other studies, however, have shown that the

tendency of cells to align may, in fact, enhance their taxis efficiency toward a local source (31).

Overall, the interplay between bacterial condensation and correlated swimming has been

scarcely explored, and how correlated bacterial swimming influences their collective

condensation remains unclear.

Here, we investigate the limits of bacterial condensation at high cell densities under

conditions where oxygen deprivation and effector saturation are not limiting factors. As a model,

we used a recently described assay for bacterial condensation in uniform acidic environments

(14), under conditions that allow us to follow the dynamic development of individual

condensates. We show that bacterial condensation is sharply constrained by the emergence of

correlated bacterial swimming during cell accumulation. This correlated swimming gives rise to

substantial turbulent-like fluid motion, characterized by vortex-like motion with an additional

outward velocity component directed down the cell-density gradient. Such fluid motion exerts

outward physical forces on the cells that counteract the inward chemotaxis force, thereby

broadening the condensate and expelling non-chemotactic cells. A simple model incorporating

cell-induced radial outflows quantitatively reproduces these observations. Moreover,

suppressing this outward fluid motion in very thin bacterial layers leads to enhanced

condensation, whereas in porous environments, correlated bacterial motion is suppressed

altogether and condensation is further enhanced, approaching the low cell-density limit but with

significantly higher cell densities.

Results

Bacterial condensation saturates at the onset of correlated swimming. To test the

constraints on high-density bacterial condensation in a controlled environment, we used the

setup illustrated in Fig. 1B (14). In this setup, free-swimming cells are confined to a shallow

liquid layer (typically ~120 µm high) between a glass coverslip and a thick hydrogel layer (3%

agarose; 6-mm high), such that, initially, the bacterial suspension and the hydrogel together form

a uniformly acidic environment (pH 5.2). While cells cannot penetrate the dense hydrogel mesh,

protons and oxygen readily exchange between the thin bacterial suspension and the gel,

preventing their rapid depletion inside the bacterial condensate and thereby enabling quasi-

stable, high-density cell condensation (Fig. 1B, right plot). To study the dynamics of individual

condensates, the lateral size of the bacterial layer was restricted to 3-5 mm.

By following the peak cell density (ρ_{max}) and the width (W) of the condensate, we

identified two distinct temporal phases of condensation (Fig. 1C). Initially, in phase 1, the peak

cell density ρ_{max} increased while the width W decreased, indicating strong bacterial

condensation. Then, beyond a critical time point (t_{sat}), in phase 2, the width W abruptly

plateaued while ρ_{max} continued to rise, reflecting continued cell accumulation without further

narrowing of the condensate. These dynamics are further demonstrated in Fig. 1D, where the

condensate width is plotted against the peak cell density. This plot reveals a power-law

dependence $w \sim \rho_{max}^{-2/3}$ in phase 1, followed by a clear saturation of the condensate width at a

characteristic cell density. Interestingly, the saturated width is markedly larger value than the

low-cell-density limit previously reported (18), where condensate width corresponded to only

several run lengths. The spatial profiles of the condensates also reflected the dynamic transition

between phases 1 and 2 (Fig. 1D, inset). When each profile was normalized by ρ_{max} and the

radial coordinate scaled by the width W, the profiles collapsed onto a single curve within each

phase but were clearly distinct between the two phases.

The dynamic transition between phases 1 and 2 was clearly associated with the

appearance of significant density fluctuations within the condensate (Fig. 1E). Initially, in phase

1, the bacterial condensate was smooth and stable (Fig. S1). However, beyond the critical time

point t_{sat}, in phase 2, a pronounced spatial non-uniformity developed on top of the average cell-

density profile, with dynamic fluctuations emerging at spatial scales of ~ 20-100 μm (Fig. 1E and

Movie S1; see Materials and Methods), substantially larger than individual bacteria. These cell-

density fluctuations indicate correlated swimming of large groups of cells that, on average,

exhibit collective motion characterized by a vortex-like rotation of the entire condensate together

with an additional outward-directed radial component (Fig. 1E; see Materials and Methods).

Notably, in all cases, the vortex rotation was consistently clockwise, as viewed from the glass

side.

Fluid motion associated with correlated bacterial swimming. To characterize fluid motion

generated by correlated bacterial swimming in phase 2, we mixed green fluorescent beads

(1 μm in diameter) with the bacterial population, which in this case expressed red fluorescent

proteins, and analyzed bead motion by particle tracking (Materials and Methods). Typically, the

bead trajectories consisted of periods of local motion interrupted by approximately directional

movements, termed 'jets'(25) (Fig. 2A). The influence of the bacterial motion on the beads was

evaluated for each jet by the ratio $Pe = v \cdot L/D_{beads}$ (Péclet number), where v and L are the velocity

and length of each jet, respectively, and D_{beads} is the diffusion coefficient of the beads. This ratio

compares advective and diffusive transport; v/L and D_{beads}/L^2, respectively. The cumulative

distribution of Pe is shown in Fig. 2A (right plot) for jets located within (green) or outside (blue)

the condensate region. Clearly, bead motion was enhanced within the condensate and was

dominated by advection ($Pe \gg 1$).

To further evaluate the potential significance of bacteria-induced advection on effector

mixing, we computed the proton Péclet number, $Pe = v \cdot L/D_{H+}$, assuming that protons follow the

local fluid motion. Because proton have a much larger diffusion coefficient than the beads

($D_{H+} \sim 1000 \cdot D_{beads}$), advection of protons is expected to be less effective. Nevertheless, we find

that during the time it takes for a proton to diffuse across the condensate $t_D = W^2/(4D_{H+})$, each

tracer bead in the condensate experiences, on average, at least one substantial jet with $Pe > 0.5$

(Fig. 2B). Thus, cell-induced advection can have only a moderate influence on proton

distribution.

Time-averaged bead motion within the condensate also exhibited a clockwise vortex-like

motion with a radially outward component (Fig. 2C–E; analyzed by particle image velocimetry,

PIV, see Materials and Methods). The tangential velocity depended on the distance from the

center of the condensate, such that the condensed bacteria exhibited a vortex-like rotation at

~1 mHz (Fig. 2D). The outward radial component extended throughout the condensate and

scaled approximately linearly with the local cell-density gradient (Fig. 2E). Notably, the peak

radial velocity (~ 1.5 µm·s^{-1}) is comparable to the chemotactic drift velocity of these cells (19,

30). Finally, the condensed cells tended to occupy the lower portion of the fluid layer, and above

the condensate the tracer beads exhibited an inward motion (Fig. 2F; Movie S2). Thus, the fluid

motion near the condensate resembles a three-dimensional toroidal pattern (Fig. 2G).

Effect of bacterial-layer height and porous environment. To further examine the interplay

between bacterial condensation and correlated swimming, we sought to physically constrain

correlated swimming (Fig. 3). This was done in two ways: first, by confining the bacteria to

thinner layers whose height is less than the condensate height (h_0 ~60 µm) that spontaneously

formed in h ~120 µm layers (Fig. 2G); and second, by embedding the bacteria in a porous

environment, which is expected to dampen fluid flows within the condensate (32) and has been

suggested to inhibit bioconvection (33).

The effect of bacterial-layer height on the time-averaged flow velocities within mature

condensates at saturation is shown in Fig. 3A. Interestingly, the maximal radial outflow

diminished sharply for heights smaller than h_0, while the collective motion persisted (Movie S3),

resulting in dominant tangential rotation for $h < h_0$. This effect may arise from the elimination of

the space above the condensate where the opposing radial fluid inflows were observed

(Fig. 2G). The tangential velocity was also modulated by the height of the bacterial suspension,

peaking at approximately $h \sim h_0$, though the origin of this behavior remains unclear.

The effect of porous environments on correlated bacterial motion within mature

condensates at saturation is shown in Fig. 3B. Experiments were conducted using a setup

similar to that shown in Fig. 1, except that the bacteria were immersed in a porous agarose

hydrogel environment (0.08–0.12% w/v). These agarose concentrations were chosen, on the

one hand, to minimize perturbations to motility and chemotaxis and, on the other hand, to still

allow a structured mesh (34). The chemotactic performance and the effective diffusion of

bacteria within such hydrogel layers were similar to those observed in liquid (Fig. S2), and

clearly supported cell condensation with even similar dynamics in phase 1 (Fig. 3B–C).

However, porous environments suppressed the collective swimming and the associated fluid

flows (Fig. 3B).

The corresponding influences of the suspension height (h) and porous environments on

bacterial condensation are shown in Fig. 3C. Clearly, bacterial condensation becomes

progressively narrower as correlated swimming is constrained: first, by reducing the suspension

height, which mainly diminishes the radial motion; and second, by introducing a porous

environment, which eliminates correlated swimming altogether. Notably, condensation

proceeded in porous media at a rate similar to that in liquid (middle plot), but it saturated only

when it approached the low-density limit (~180 μm), associated with the non-local nature of

chemotaxis (18). These condensates were also more compact in the vertical direction, spanning

only ~20–30 μm (Fig. S3), and thus their local cell density is approximately five times the values

indicated in the plots, which is averaged over the bacterial layer. Thus, alleviating the

constraints related to oxygen and collective bacterial motion allowed condensation to reach its

basic limit imposed by the inherent non-locality of chemotaxis (18); however, here the

condensing bacteria reach this limit at much larger cell density, corresponding to a volume

fraction of approximately 0.25 (Fig. S3), while the cells remained largely motile (Movie S4).

Condensation-induced cell expulsion. The correlations observed between collective motion

and condensate broadening (Fig. 3A–C) suggest that correlated motion may exert an outward

force on the condensing bacteria. To examine this possibility, we repeated the condensation

experiments while mixing the chemotactic cells (red-labeled) with non-chemotactic (*cheYcheZ)*

cells (smooth-swimming; green-labeled), which are not subjected to the inward chemotactic

force and therefore serve as a probe (Fig. 3D). Indeed, in liquid suspensions with $h > h_0$, which

exhibit the weakest condensation, the non-chemotactic cells were clearly expelled from the

condensate (Fig. 3D, left). Run-and-tumble but non-chemotactic cells were also tested (35) and

similarly showed significant depletion (Fig. S4). In contrast, in liquid suspensions with $h < h_0$, in

which the radial outflows are suppressed, no substantial expulsion of the non-chemotactic cells

was observed (Fig. 3D, right). Similarly, despite the strong condensation of the chemotactic

cells in porous (hydrogel) environments, expulsion of the non-chemotactic cells was not

observed in this case (Fig. 3D, right).

Taken together, these results suggest that expulsion of the non-chemotactic cells from

the condensate in thick liquid suspensions is likely due to the radial outflows induced by

correlated swimming, rather than merely to the locally elevated density of the chemotactic cells.

Moreover, since similar outward forces are expected to act on the chemotactic (condensing)

cells as well, these flows likely contribute to broadening the condensate itself.

Ring-like condensates. Tight coupling of the thin bacterial suspensions to the surrounding cell-

free hydrogel reservoir (Fig. 1B), together with low initial cell density used in the experiments

described thus far (Figs. 1–3), prevents the condensates from reaching cell densities high

enough to trigger substantial oxygen-dependent repellent responses and allow stable

condensation. Replacing the glass coverslip in these experiments with an oxygen-permeable

surface did not significantly affect condensation, further supporting the conclusion that oxygen

limitation was not substantial (Fig. S5). However, when the initial uniform cell density was

systematically increased, we observed that once sufficient cells accumulated in the condensate,

it tended to adopt a ring- shaped structure that, in turn, limited the maximal cell density (Fig. 4A).

Ring formation most likely resulted from local oxygen depletion by the condensing bacteria,

which induces an outward aerotactic response (36-38). This behavior occurred in both liquid

and porous environments.

The ring-shaped condensate allowed us to uniquely examine the relationship between

the correlated bacterial motion and the local cell-density gradient under conditions where the

density is non-monotonic along the radial direction and its gradient changes sign. Interestingly,

we found that both components of the correlated-motion vorticity reversed direction between the

inner and outer regions of the ring (Fig. 4C). Thus, the radial motion aligns with the cell-density

gradient but also exhibits a rightward lateral deflection relative to this direction (see illustration in

Fig. 4C).

Simplified model for condensate broadening. To test the minimal model capable of capturing

the bacterial condensation dynamics observed here, we used a previously suggested Keller–

Segel-based model for bacterial condensation in acidic environment (Eqs. 1–2, ref. (14, 39, 40)),

augmented with a radial outward bacterial drift (Eq. 3) that arises from the correlated bacterial

motion and is proportional to the local cell-density gradient, as shown in Figs. 2D and 4C.

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \left(D\vec{\nabla}\rho - \rho\vec{v}_D \right) \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\partial c}{\partial t} = D_c \nabla^2 c - r_H \rho c \tag{2}$$

$$\vec{v}_D \to \vec{v}_{D,taxis} + \vec{U} \quad with \quad \vec{U} = -\eta \cdot \vec{\nabla}(\rho/\rho_0) \quad (correlated\ swimming\ ) \tag{3}$$

Here, D is the effective diffusion coefficient of swimming cells, D_c is the proton diffusion

coefficient, $r_H = \gamma c^{1/2}$ is the proton-neutralization rate measured in ref. (14). v_D is the bacterial

drift velocity: in the absence of correlated swimming, v_D only reflects chemotaxis, and in the

presence of correlated swimming v_D also includes $\vec{U}$, the effective in-plane drift bias imposed on

the cells by the 3D fluid outflow (see Fig. 2G). The chemotaxis drift velocity was modeled as

$\vec{v}_{D,taxis} = \beta\vec{\nabla}\ln\left((c + K_{off})/(c + K_{on})\right)$ where K_{on} and K_{off} are the effective dissociation

constants for the chemoreceptors in the ON or OFF states, and β is the chemotaxis coefficient

(41). The parameter η was extracted from the data in Figs. 2D and S7 (1.7 ± 0.5 x 10^{-7} cm^{2}s^{-1}),

and ρ$_0$ is the initial (uniform) cell density (~0.2·10^6 cells/mm^3). Because diffusion across the thin

bacterial layer is rapid (~20 s) relative to bacterial condensation time, the bacterial dynamics

was approximated as effectively two dimensional, coupled to the three-dimensional proton field.

For more details see SI Model.

The basic model, in the absence of correlated swimming ($\vec{U} = 0$), captures the positive

feedback between the tendency of cells to neutralize their environment (r_H) and their tendency

to migrate down repellent gradients ($\vec{v}_D$), thereby driving condensation. This model can also

account for the dynamics and shape of the condensates in phase 1; however, it predicts

condensates in phase 2 that are markedly distinct from those observed experimentally

(Figs. 5A–B and S6). Incorporating the outward drift component ($\vec{U}$, Eq. 3), strictly limits the

condensation and accounts for the broadening and overall shape of condensates in phase 2

(Fig. 5A-B, black lines and SI Model). Adding this drift component is included at the beginning of

the numerical solution transition between phases 1 and 2 is more gradual than the observed

transition (Figs. 1C and 5B). However, accounting for the fact that correlated motion emerges

only above a threshold cell density (Fig. 1) by adding this drift component above a threshold

density, clearly capture also for the shape transition (Fig. 5B). Moreover, this model also

quantitatively account for the expulsion of non-chemotactic cells from the condensate (Fig. 5C

and SI Model). Finally, the model can also quantitively account for the power-law dependence

of the condensate width on its peak density (Figs. 1D and S6).

The overall relationship between the initial cell density and condensation is summarized

in Fig. 5D. When the initial density is below approximately $0.1 \cdot 10^6$ cells/mm^3, no condensation is

observed (14, 40). At higher densities, the initially uniform distribution becomes unstable, and

condensates emerge. In the absence of correlated swimming, bacteria would condense until the

local cell density raises the pH beyond the sensory dynamic range of the cells (purple line, see

also ref. (14)). However, because correlated swimming readily emerges, bacterial condensation

is severely restricted (model: black line; data ($h > h_0$): blue circles). In porous media

condensation proceeds further (data: gray circles), though remains limited below the dynamic-

range limit, likely due to the non-local nature of bacterial gradient sensing (18). At even higher

initial cell densities, condensation becomes limited by ring formation, presumably due to

aerotaxis (Fig. 4).

Discussion

Chemotaxis-mediated condensation and correlated swimming are two prominent collective behaviors exhibited by motile bacteria. Here, we describe a fundamental interplay between these behaviors, whereby, bacterial condensation is strictly constrained by the emergence of correlated swimming at high cell density. Bacterial condensation proceeds in two phases (Fig. 1): initially, the population condenses and the local cell density increases; then, upon the onset of correlated swimming, the width of the condensate plateaus while cell density continues to rise, namely, bacteria accumulate but stop condensing. Bacterial condensates— characterized by steep cell-density gradients and a lack of physical boundaries— give rise to a distinct form of correlated swimming and active turbulence, with a radial component aligned down the cell-density gradient (Figs. 2 and 4). This radial component diminishes when the condensate is restricted by the height of the bacterial layer, and correlated swimming diminish altogether in porous environments, which ultimately allow for condensation to proceed and form a robust bacterial condensation with high cell density (Fig. 3). Similar interplay between bacterial correlated swimming and other forms of collective condensation can be generally expected.

With notable exceptions (28, 42), active turbulence has been mostly studied in uniform cell populations confined by well-defined lateral boundaries, either solid (43) or at liquid–air or liquid–oil interfaces (43-46). In such settings, bacterial behavior near the lateral physical boundaries may play an important role in shaping the observed swimming patterns (44, 47). In contrast, the bacterial condensate examined here represents a unique system with a self-sustained yet highly non-uniform cell distribution lacking lateral physical boundaries. The fluid motion in the vicinity of the condensate exhibits a three-dimensional toroidal pattern (Fig. 2F), reminiscent of the flow patterns observed in bioconvection (33, 48) or similar systems (49). However, in bioconvection the flow arises from an instability caused by upward bacterial taxis opposing the downward gravitational force. In contrast, the bacterial condensate remains stably

positioned near the lower part of the liquid layer, and only the fluid motion exhibits toroidal-like

motion. Notably, disrupting this fluid motion in thin bacterial layers ($h < h_0$) clearly affects the

correlated motion.

By driving the expulsion of motile-but-non-chemotactic bacteria from the condensate, the

emerging correlated bacterial motion effectively segregates the initially mixed population into

chemotactic and non-chemotactic population (Fig. 3). We have previously shown that cell

condensation can expels cell aggregates (10–20 μm in size), which are spontaneously form if

cells are pre-grown at 37°C (14). Similarly, aerotaxis-driven accumulation of bacteria has also

been shown to displace algal cells (50). Additionally, at higher cell densities, expulsion the

bacterial cells themselves has been observed, which appeared non-motile (50). Other

experiments have demonstrated bacterial expulsion from a vortex induced by a rotating

magnetic microsphere at 2–40 Hz (27). Notably, the vortex generated here by cell condensates

is much slower ($\sim 10^{-3}$ Hz). Here, we find that the expulsion of motile-but-non-chemotactic cells

from the condensate relies on the emergence of correlated swimming and the associated active

turbulence, rather than solely on the vorticity of the condensate (Fig. 3). Instead, the expulsion

correlates with the outward advective flow generated by correlated bacterial motion down the

local cell-density gradient (Figs. 1, 2, and 4). Such alignment is consistent with the decrease in

correlated bacterial motion at lower cell densities (28).

In addition to the outward force induced by correlated swimming in thick bacterial

suspensions ($h > h_0$), active turbulence can limit condensation through two additional

mechanisms. First, by promoting advection of chemoeffectors (here, protons) it may limit the

build-up of steep chemoeffector gradients. The plausibility of this effect can be estimated by

comparing the timescale corresponding to the chemoeffectors diffusion across the condensate

with the jet-like motion described in Fig. 2A, while taking into account the much larger diffusion

coefficient of the molecular chemoeffector, relative to that of the beads (Fig. 2B). This analysis

suggests that, although advection does not dominate over diffusion, it can nevertheless be

significant. Second, correlations in the swimming direction of nearby cells can affect their run-

and-tumble behavior. This effect has been suggested to either improve or impair chemotaxis in

shallow gradients, depending on cell density (30). However, the bacterial fractional volume in

the condensates (~0.03 for $h > h_0$) is far smaller than the densities that triggered reduced

chemotaxis efficiency in ref. (30). Yet, this effect may partly account for the lower condensation

efficiency observed in thin bacterial layers ($h < h_0$) compared with porous environments

(Figs. 3C and S8). On the other hand, correlations in bacterial swimming have also been

suggested to enhance bacterial accumulation near local attractant source (31), which may be

more relevant to bacterial condensates but would only enhance the condensation rather than

broaden it.

In contrast to the consistent clockwise rotation observed here in condensates (Figs. 1–2),

uniform bacterial suspensions confined in ~30-µm deep and 45-µm wide wells, also exhibits

vortex-like motion but with a random direction, whereas in wider wells the motion becomes

chaotic (51). The clockwise vortex observed here in condensates arises from the basic

rightward swimming tendency of the cells with respect to the radial velocity (Fig. 4C). This

tendency is consistent with the circular motion exhibited by non-interacting individual cells

swimming very close to glass surfaces (< 1 µM; Fig. S9) (52). However, bacterial condensates

extend up to ~60 µm from the surface and are far denser. Still, the asymmetry of the

condensate with respect to the glass and the dense-hydrogel interfaces may break the left-right

symmetry and promote clockwise rotation.

Finally, in contrast to the suppression of correlated swimming in porous hydrogel

environments, correlated swimming was maintained in viscoelastic liquids containing mucin—

albeit with larger vortex sizes—or in other synthetic analogues (38, 53). Interestingly, whereas

mucin-containing media at neutral pH more closely resemble viscoelastic liquids, the agarose–

hydrogel used here more closely resembles mucin media at acidic pH (54), which may be more

relevant to gut physiological conditions. We suggest that the enhanced condensation observed

in porous environments may facilitate the formation of more structured biofilm communities.

Materials and Methods

Strains and plasmids. We used *E. coli* MG1655 (VF6 (35, 55)) and its derivatives harboring

deletion of *cheY* and *cheZ* (MK3) or deletions of all chemoreceptors and a dysfunctional *cheZ*

gene (non-chemotactic run-and-tumble cells (AVE4 (35)). Cells expressed also a fluorescent

mGFP (75 µM IPTG) or mCherry (5 mM IPTG) from a pTrc99a vector (pSA11).

Cell growth and motility medium. Cells were grown over night in Tryptone Broth (tryptone

extract 10 g/l and 5 g/l NaCl) supplemented with Ampicillin, diluted 100-fold into fresh medium

with IPTG, and allowed to grow at 33.5 °C with shaking. Cells were harvested at OD_{600} 0.5 by

centrifugation, gently washed twice in motility medium, and resuspended in motility medium to a

final cell concentration of OD_{600} 0.4 ≈ 0.2·10^6 cells/mm^3 (unless otherwise mentioned). The

motility medium (0.1 mM potassium phosphate, 0.94 mM lactic acid, 85.5 mM sodium chloride,

0.1 mM EDTA, and 1 µM methionine) was titrated to the desired pH with NaOH. This medium

supports long-term bacterial motility but not cell proliferation. Experiments in porous medium

(Fig. 3) were done gently mixing the cell suspension with agarose hydrogel (0.08–0.12% w/v) at

37 °C.

The condensation setup. The setup is schematically illustrated in Fig. 1B (for more details see

ref. (14)) A 3 % w/v agarose gel in motility medium was cast in a grade-2 titanium cylinder (6

mm high, 10.7 mm diameter) and sealed with a glass coverslip below and a custom top mold.

Molds were fabricated using a resin printer (Elegoo Mars 3) and had a thin cylindrical protrusion

(radius of 5 mm in liquid and 3 mm in porous media; height ~120 µm unless otherwise stated),

which formed the bacterial layers. After the agarose solidified, the mold and the bottom cover

were removed, and the agarose was dialyzed overnight in motility buffer and a second time, for

an additional 4 h, in a fresh motility buffer just before the experiment (final dialysis ratio of 10^4:1).

Cells suspensions (in liquid or dilute agarose) were then introduced into the shallow well and the

setup was covered from both sides using a BSA-treated glass coverslips (1% bovine serum

albumin in double-distilled water for 30 minutes) and secured in position. Experiments were

performed at room temperature (24 °C).

Fluorescence microscopy. The bacterial distribution was followed by using a Nikon Ti

microscope equipped with a 20✕ (0.5 NA), 10✕ (0.45 NA), or 4✕ (0.2 NA) objective, a decoded

controlled stage and a 'perfect-focus' system. Large images were obtained by stitching smaller

images (approximately 2mm ✕ 2mm each) with 20% overlap using a built-in function in NIS

Elements software (Nikon). The bacterial distribution inside the well was extracted from the

images by removing the measured background intensity, normalizing to the average intensity

within each well, and multiplying by the initial (uniform) cell density. This results in the intensity

being averaged over the vertical direction (z) (h): $\rho = \langle \rho_{3D} \rangle_z$. Radial averaging was done by

measuring the fluorescence intensity around the center of focal points.

Cell density estimates. Calibration of OD_{600} to cells/mm^3 was done by plating serial dilution of

cells after growth (OD_{600} 0.5), yielding OD_{600} 1 = $(0.5\pm0.1)\cdot10^6$ cfu/mm^3 (N = 4). The total

number of cells in a condensate (Fig. 4A) was calculated by integrating the density profile up to

a 90% drop of the peak density. Calibration between OD_{600} to fractional volume was done by

centrifuging a dense cell suspension and measuring the volume of the pellet; the dense pellet

corresponded to approximately OD_{600} 500.

Non-uniformity estimates. To determine the non-uniformity of the cell distribution within

condensates from large still images (5 mm ✕ 5mm), where temporal averaging is unavailable, a

smooth radially-averaged version of each image was subtracted from the original ones. A high-

pass filter (0-140 µm) was then applied to remove residual, large-scale intensity fluctuations.

We verified that the filter yields the same result as subtracting the time-averaged image in short

time-lapse stacks (~30 s) acquired in a smaller field of view (2 mm ✕ 2 mm). Once filtered, non-

uniformity was measured as the difference between the standard deviation of the intensity within

a disk around the condensate center (600 μm diameter) and away from it.

Fluorescent spheres. For PIV and particle tracking we used green fluorescent spheres (beads)

with a 1μm diameter (FluoSpheres, ThermoFisher Scientific). Before use, spheres were

thoroughly washed in motility medium to which Tween-20 (0.01%) was added. The spheres

were then added to a cell suspension, which also contained Tween-20 (0.01%).

Particle image velocimetry (PIV). To capture velocity fields, time-lapse fluorescent images (2-

20 frames per second) were captured and analyzed by PIV using PIVLab (56). To analyze the

collective motion of cells, a filtering procedure was first applied to remove the large-scale

bacterial condensation (see Non-uniformity estimates) and a nearest-neighbors smoothing

scheme was applied to remove residual pixel-sized noise. Similarly-filtered results were

obtained by subtracting the mean image from the stack. PIV analysis proceeded using

multipass FTT window deformation. To avoid false detection, only vectors with a correlation

coefficient larger than 0.5 were kept. The size of the interrogation windows was chosen to

balance between the resolution of the extracted velocity field and the signal-to-noise ratio;

changing them did not modify the results significantly. To analyze the motion of the fluorescent

beads with a 4× objective, an initial mask was applied to ensure only particles within the focal

plane were analyzed. This was possible since fluorescent beads within the focal plane seem

smaller and brighter than beads in other planes. Similar results were obtained with a 10×

objective with smaller depth of field (open markers in Fig. 3A and Movie S2). Before analysis,

the median image was subtracted from the time lapse and thus only non-adhered beads were

analyzed.

Particle tracking and detection of jets. Time lapse images (2 frames per second, 4×

objective) of the fluorescent beads were masked to ensure only particles within the focal plane

are analyzed (see Particle image velocimetry (PIV)). Tracking was then performed using the

TrackMate plugin for ImageJ. Detected tracks were exported and analyzed separately. Each

track was smoothed using a Savgol-Golay filter (5 frames window) and velocities were estimated

numerically. Jets were detected as temporal peaks in the velocity magnitude with a mean

prominence of more than 0.5 µm/s. The length of each track (L) and it's mean velocity were

captured. If neighboring beads, less than L apart, exhibited a jet in the same direction

($\Delta\theta < 0.15$), their jets were considered as correlated; the velocity of such jets were averaged

over and considered as one. Due to the low density of beads in these experiments, such events

were rare (7 of the 2362 detected jets).

Expulsion of non-chemotactic bacteria. Experiments were performed using mixtures of

chemotactic (red labeled) and non-chemotactic (NC, green labeled) bacteria mixed at a ratio of

100:1. Due to the low density of the NC cells, images were captured with a 10× and 20x

objectives and density estimates were based on particle counting. A time series was captured,

and the median image was subtracted from the sequence; thus, only moving cells were counted.

Numerical solution of the model. Solutions and parameters were based on (14) and full

details can be found in the Supp. Text. Briefly, the dynamics of cells was modeled in 2D

assuming radial symmetry and the dynamics of protons was modeled in 3D assuming cylindrical

symmetry. Proton uptake in the bacterial layer was accounted for by imposing absorbing

boundary conditions for the protons at the bacterial layer interface. Numerical solutions were

obtained by calculating spatial derivatives with 2nd order accuracy and using a 4th order Runge-

Kutta method for time integration.

Author Contributions

NL and AV designed the experiments; NL performed the experiments and theoretical calculations; NL and

AV analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

We thank Alexander Feigel (Hebrew University), Igor Aronson (Penn. State University) and

Gabriel Amselem (École Polytechnique) for helpful conversations. We thank the Milner

Foundation for partly funding NL's fellowship. This work was supported by the Israeli

Foundation of Sciences and Humanities and by a research grant from the U.S.-Israel Binational

Science Foundation.

Competing Interest Statement: The authors declare no competing interests.

Data Availability Statement: All data is available upon request.

References

1. Berg HC (2004) *E. coli in Motion* (Springer, NY).

2. Parkinson JS, Hazelbauer GL, & Falke JJ (2015) Signaling and sensory adaptation in
Escherichia coli chemoreceptors: 2015 update. *Trends Microbiol.* 23(5):257-266.

3. Colin R & Sourjik V (2017) Emergent properties of bacterial chemotaxis pathway. *Curr. Opin.*
Microbiol. 39:24-33.

4. Moore JP, Kamino K, & Emonet T (2021) Non-genetic diversity in chemosensing and
chemotactic behavior. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 22(13):6960.

5. Keegstra JM, Carrara F, & Stocker R (2022) The ecological roles of bacterial chemotaxis. *Nat.*
Rev. Microbiol.

6. Raina J-B*, et al.* (2022) Chemotaxis shapes the microscale organization of the ocean's
microbiome. *Nature* 605(7908):132-138.

7. Matilla MA & Krell T (2018) The effect of bacterial chemotaxis on host infection and
pathogenicity. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 42(1).

8. Butler SM & Camilli A (2005) Going against the grain: chemotaxis and infection in *Vibrio*
cholerae. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 3(8):611-620.

9. Zhou B, Szymanski CM, & Baylink A (2023) Bacterial chemotaxis in human diseases. *Trends*
Microbiol. 31(5):453-467.

10. Cremer J*, et al.* (2019) Chemotaxis as a navigation strategy to boost range expansion.
Nature 575(7784):658-663.

11. Adler J (1966) Chemotaxis in bacteria. *Science* 153:708-716.

12. Budrene EO & Berg HC (1991) Complex patterns formed by motile cells of *Escherichia coli*.
Nature 349(6310):630-633.

13. Park S*, et al.* (2003) Motion to Form a Quorum. *Science* 301(5630):188-188.

14. Livne N, Koler M, & Vaknin A (2024) Collective condensation and auto-aggregation of
Escherichia coli in uniform acidic environments. *Comm. Biol.* 7(1):1028.

15. Brumley DR*, et al.* (2019) Bacteria push the limits of chemotactic precision to navigate
dynamic chemical gradients. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 116(22):10792-10797.

16. Fu X*, et al.* (2018) Spatial self-organization resolves conflicts between individuality and
collective migration. *Nat. Comm.* 9(1):2177.

17. Childress S & Percus JK (1981) Nonlinear aspects of chemotaxis. *Mathematical Biosciences*
56(3):217-237.

18. Mittal N, Budrene EO, Brenner MP, & Van Oudenaarden A (2003) Motility of *Escherichia coli*
cells in clusters formed by chemotactic aggregation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 100(23):13259-
13263.

19. Berg HC & Brown DA (1972) Chemotaxis in *Escherichia coli* analysed by three-dimensional
tracking. *Nature* 239(5374):500-504.

20. Yang Y & Sourjik V (2012) Opposite responses by different chemoreceptors set a tunable
preference point in Escherichia coli pH taxis. *Mol. Microbiol.* 86(6):1482-1489.

21. Livne N & Vaknin A (2022) Collective responses of bacteria to a local source of conflicting
effectors. *Sci. Rep.* 12(1):4928.

22. Toner J & Tu Y (1998) Flocks, herds, and schools: A quantitative theory of flocking. *Phys.
Rev. E* 58(4):4828-4858.

23. Wensink HH*, et al.* (2012) Meso-scale turbulence in living fluids. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*
109(36):14308-14313.

24. Koch DL & Subramanian G (2011) Collective hydrodynamics of swimming microorganisms:
Living fluids. *Annl. Rev. Fluid Mechanics* 43(Volume 43, 2011):637-659.

25. Aranson IS (2022) Bacterial active matter. *Rep. Prog. Phys.* 85(7).

26. Alert R, Casademunt J, & Joanny J-F (2022) Active turbulence. *Annl. Rev. Cond. Matt. Phys.*
13(Volume 13, 2022):143-170.

27. Sokolov A & Aranson IS (2016) Rapid expulsion of microswimmers by a vortical flow. *Nat.
Commun.* 7(1):11114.

28. Sokolov A, Aranson IS, Kessler JO, & Goldstein RE (2007) Concentration dependence of the
collective dynamics of swimming bacteria. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 98(15):158102.

29. Lushi E, Goldstein RE, & Shelley MJ (2012) Collective chemotactic dynamics in the presence
of self-generated fluid flows. *Phys. Rev. E* 86(4):040902.

30. Colin R, Drescher K, & Sourjik V (2019) Chemotactic behaviour of *Escherichia coli* at high cell
density. *Nat. Commun.* 10(1):5329.

31. Kai T*, et al.* (2024) Collective gradient sensing by dilute swimming bacteria without
clustering. *Phys. Rev. Res.* 6(3):L032061.

32. Brinkman HC (1949) A calculation of the viscous force exerted by a flowing fluid on a dense
swarm of particles. *Flow, Turbulence and Combustion* 1(1):27-34.

33. Bees MA (2020) Advances in bioconvection. *Annl. Rev. Fluid Mech.* 52(Volume 52,
2020):449-476.

34. Adeoye AJ & de Alba E (2025) A simple Mmethod to determine diffusion coefficients in soft
hydrogels for drug delivery and biomedical applications. *ACS omega* 10(11):10852-10865.

35. Tamar E, Koler M, & Vaknin A (2016) The role of motility and chemotaxis in the bacterial
colonization of protected surfaces. *Sci. Rep.* 6:19616.

36. Taylor BL, Zhulin IB, & Johnson MS (1999) Aerotaxis and other energy-sensing behavior in
bacteria. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 53:103-128.

37. Douarche C, Buguin A, Salman H, & Libchaber A (2009) *E. Coli* and Oxygen: A Motility
Transition. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 102(19):198101.

38. Hokmabad BV, Martínez-Calvo A, Gonzalez La Corte S, & Datta SS (2025) Spatial self-
organization of confined bacterial suspensions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*
122(41):e2503983122.

39. Keller EF & Segel LA (1971) Traveling bands of chemotactic bacteria: a theoretical analysis. *J.*
Theor. Biol. 30(2):235-248.

40. Livne N, Vaknin A, & Agam O (2025) Pattern formation in *E. coli* through negative
chemotaxis: Instability, condensation, and merging. *Phys. Rev. Res.* 7(2):023095.

41. Si G, Wu T, Ouyang Q, & Tu Y (2012) Pathway-based mean-field model for *Escherichia coli*
chemotaxis. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 109(4):048101.

42. Sokolov A, Rubio LD, Brady JF, & Aranson IS (2018) Instability of expanding bacterial
droplets. *Nat. Commun.* 9(1):1322.

43. Nishiguchi D, Aranson IS, Snezhko A, & Sokolov A (2018) Engineering bacterial vortex lattice
via direct laser lithography. *Nat. Commun.* 9(1):4486.

44. Wioland H, Woodhouse FG, Dunkel J, Kessler JO, & Goldstein RE (2013) Confinement
stabilizes a bacterial suspension into a spiral vortex. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 110(26):268102.

45. Vincenti B*, et al.* (2019) Magnetotactic bacteria in a droplet self-assemble into a rotary
motor. *Nat. Commun.* 10(1):5082.

46. Nishiguchi D, Shiratani S, Takeuchi KA, & Aranson IS (2025) Vortex reversal is a precursor of
confined bacterial turbulence. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 122(11):e2414446122.

47. Lushi E, Wioland H, & Goldstein RE (2014) Fluid flows created by swimming bacteria drive
self-organization in confined suspensions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA)* 111(27):9733-9738.

48. Abe T, Nakamura S, & Kudo S (2017) Bioconvection induced by bacterial chemotaxis in a
capillary assay. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm.* 483(1):277-282.

49. Sapozhnikov MV, Tolmachev YV, Aranson IS, & Kwok WK (2003) Dynamic self-assembly and
patterns in electrostatically driven granular media. *Phys. Rev. Let.* 90(11):114301.

50. Prakash P, Baig Y, Peaudecerf FJ, & Goldstein RE (2025) Dynamics of an algae–bacteria
microcosm: Photosynthesis, chemotaxis, and expulsion in inhomogeneous active matter.
Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 122(12):e2410225122.

51. Nishiguchi D, Shiratani S, Takeuchi KA, & Aranson IS (2025) Vortex reversal is a precursor of
confined bacterial turbulence. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 122(11):e2414446122.

52. Lauga E, DiLuzio WR, Whitesides GM, & Stone HA (2006) Swimming in circles: motion of
bacteria near solid boundaries. *Biophys J* 90(2):400-412.

53. Liao W & Aranson IS (2023) Viscoelasticity enhances collective motion of bacteria. *PNAS*
Nexus 2(9).

54. Celli J*, et al.* (2005) Viscoelastic properties and dynamics of porcine gastric mucin.
Biomacromolecules 6(3):1329-1333.

55. Frank V, Piñas GE, Cohen H, Parkinson JS, & Vaknin A (2016) Networked chemoreceptors
benefit bacterial chemotaxis performance. *mBio* 7(6).

56. Thielicke W & Sonntag R (2021) Particle Image Velocimetry for MATLAB: Accuracy and
enhanced algorithms in PIVlab. *J. Open Res. Soft.*

Figure 1. Bacterial condensation is constrained by correlated swimming. (**A**) Schematic of bacterial condensation in acidic environments. Bacteria locally neutralize the medium and generate pH gradients within an otherwise uniform acid medium. At the same time, cells are chemotactically attracted toward neutral pH. Together, these behaviors form reinforcing feedback that drives bacterial condensation. (**B**) The experimental setup consists of a thin suspension of GFP-expressing cells (5 mm diameter) confined in a circular well formed in 3% agarose gel. Both the cell suspension and the surrounding gel initially form a uniform acidic environment (pH 5.2). Condensation was monitored using fluorescence microscopy. Shown on the right is a typical mature condensate 2 h after initiation (scale bar, 300 µm), along with the radially averaged cell-density profile. The peak cell density (ρ_{max}) and the width at half maximum (w) are indicated. (**C**) A typical condensation dynamic, characterized by increasing ρ_{max} and decreasing w, until w abruptly saturates at time t_{sat}. (**D**) The condensate width (w) is plotted against peak cell density (ρ_{max}) for seven independent experiments. The solid gray line represents a power-law slope of -2/3. The saturation value of w (dark-gray dashed line) and the corresponding value measured at low cell density (light-gray dashed line; see ref. 18) are indicated. *Inset* – normalized cell density profiles from three independent experiments; profiles taken at $t < t_{sat}$ (Phase 1) are shown in green, and profiles taken at $t > t_{sat}$ (Phase 2) are shown in red. Each profile was normalized by subtracting the background intensity and dividing by the peak intensity; the radial coordinate was normalized by $w/2$. (**E**) *Upper-panel* – a representative (filtered) fluorescence image of the condensate, demonstrating the dynamic non-uniformity developed at high cell density (left, see Materials and Methods and Movie S1; scale bar, 300 µm), along with the corresponding time-averaged (30 s) velocity field obtained by particle image velocimetry (right, gray arrows are a guide to the eye). *Lower panel* – the condensate non-uniformity (Δ), evaluated within the region r ≤ 300 µm, is plotted over time for four independent experiments (see Materials and Methods). *Inset* – The relative non-uniformity ($\Delta / \sqrt{I_{peak}}$) plotted over time.

Figure 2. Fluid motion within mature condensates. Fluid motion was assessed by tracking 1 µm fluorescent beads (green) mixed within the bacterial suspension. In this case, cells were labeled with mCherry (red). (**A**) Traces of individual beads (over 50 sec) within a mature condensate, captured using particle-tracking analysis (Materials and Methods). The dashed white circle indicates the condensate width ($w \sim 550$ µm). A representative trace is highlighted on the right, illustrating intermittent motion composed of periods of local random motion (gray symbols) and periods of directed motion, termed 'jets' (green symbols). The length (L) and average speed (v) of each jet were used to calculate $Pe = v \cdot L/D_{beads}$ (with $D_{beads} = 5 \cdot 10^{-9}$ cm^2 s^{-1}). The cumulative distribution of Pe is shown on the right for beads inside or outside the contour defined by the condensate width. (**B**) Comparison of bead motion with the expected proton diffusion. First, the number of jets per bead with a Pe value larger than a given threshold (Pe_0) were found and then multiplied by the typical diffusion time of protons across the condensate $t_D \sim w^2/4D_{H+} \sim 4$min (using $D_{H+} = 5 \cdot 10^{-6}$ cm^2 s^{-1}). (**C**) Time-averaged velocity field in the condensate plane, extracted using PIV (Materials and Methods). (**D**) Time-averaged tangential motion inside condensates (mean and standard deviation over five experiments). *Inset* – angular velocity; positive values correspond to clockwise rotation. (**E**) Radial velocity (bottom) and the corresponding normalized cell density profile (top; mean and standard deviation over five experiments). The red arrow marks the condensate width (w). *Inset* – radial velocity plotted against the local cell density gradient. (**F**) Measured velocity field above the condensate (h ~ 100 µm), showing an inward radial flow. (**G**) Schematic summarizing the inferred fluid motion in the vicinity of the condensate (see also Movie S2).

Figure 3. Inhibition of collective bacterial motion enhances condensation. (**A**) Height dependence of the bacterial-induced fluid motion. Condensation experiments in the presence of fluorescent beads performed using varying heights of the bacterial layer (h) and initial (uniform) cell density of $0.4 \cdot 10^6$ cells/mm³. Time-lapse images of beads were taken using 10x (open symbols) and 4x (filled symbols) objectives and analyzed using PIV. The maximal radial (left) and tangential (right) velocities are shown for mature (stable) condensates. The condensate height observed in experiments with h ~ 120 μm is defined as h_0 (~ 60 μm; see Fig. 2). (**B**) Bacterial condensation in porous media. Condensation experiments were conducted in a device similar to that shown in Fig. 1B, except that the bacterial suspension was embedded within a low-concentration (0.08–0.12% w/v) agarose hydrogel. A schematic illustration of the device, along with a representative fluorescence image of a mature condensate are shown on the left. The right panel compares collective bacterial swimming and the radial outflow observed in the presence or absence of the agarose hydrogel (mean ± SD, N=3). Collective swimming was quantified by the relative non-uniformity of the condensate (see Fig. 1). (**C**) *Left plot* – Representative cell-density profiles in mature condensates obtained in liquid environment with h < h_0 or h > h_0 and in a porous medium with h > h_0 (as labeled). *Right plot* – quantitative comparison of condensation efficiency— defined as the inverse width (1/w)—in the various environment (box plots show mean and SD for N = 12, 11, 22 (left to right). In porous media, filled and open symbols represent data in 0.08% and 0.12% agarose (w/v). *Inset (center)* – condensation dynamics in porous medium (black symbols), shown together with the saturation value in liquid (blue line; h > h_0) and the corresponding value observed in ref. 18 for experiments with very low cell-density (gray dashed line). (**D**) Expulsion of non-chemotactic cells from condensates. Condensation experiments of mCherry-labeled chemotactic cells were repeated in the different environments (as labeled) while adding GFP-labeled non-chemotactic cells (ΔcheY/Z) to the initial (uniform) cell suspension, at a 100:1 ratio, respectively. The cell-density profiles of the non-chemotactic cells in a mature condensate are shown in green (solid line ± SD, N = 3) along with the corresponding profile of the condensate (red dashed line). Profiles of non-chemotactic cells were normalized to the density far from the condensate, and profiles of the chemotactic cells were normalized to the peak density. Δρ (lower-right plot) represents the SD of variability in the distribution of non-chemotactic cells within each experiment.

Figure 4. Ring-shaped bacterial condensation. Condensation experiments were performed for progressively higher initial (uniform) cell densities. (**A**) Peak cell density plotted against the total number of cells in the condensate, for experiments performed in liquid (h > h₀; blue symbols) or in porous media (gray symbols). The decrease in peak cell density at high total cell numbers correlates with the formation of ring-shaped condensates. (**B**) Representative evolution of a ring-shaped condensate observed in porous environments. The condensate profile is shown (left), together with a fluorescence image taken at 50 min (scale bar, 150 μm). (**C**) Correlated swimming in ring-shaped condensates. A typical fluorescence image of a ring-shaped condensate in liquid medium (h > h₀; upper-left image) and the corresponding time-averaged bacterial velocity field extracted using PIV (overlaid red arrows). For clarity, the same velocity field is shown separately on the right (green arrows). Below the image, the radial (light gray) and tangential (dark gray) velocities are plotted as a function of distance from the condensate center (left ordinate), together with the corresponding cell-density gradient (red dashed line; right ordinate). The correlated reversal of both velocity components across the ring is illustrated schematically (lower right), producing a consistent rightward swimming tendency in both inner and outer regions.

Figure 5. A model for condensate broadening by collective motion. Numerical solutions of the model described by Eqs. 1–3 were used to compare model predictions with experimental data obtained in liquid medium ($h > h_0$). The parameter η in Eq. 3 is expressed in units of 10^{-7} cm^2 s^{-1}. (**A**) Condensate profiles (blue symbols; N = 4, from Fig. 1D) measured in Phase 1 (left) or Phase 2 (right), shown together with model solutions for $\eta = 0$ (dashed line) and $\eta = 1.7$ (solid black line). (**B**) Time dependence of condensate width (blue symbols; N = 3, from Fig. 1), shown together with model solutions using constant η values of 0 or 1.7. To introduce correlated swimming only when $\rho_{max} > \rho_c$, we set $\eta(\rho_{max}) = \eta_0 / [1 + \exp(-(k / \rho_0)(\rho_{max} - \rho_c))]$, where ρ_0 is the initial uniform cell density, $\rho_c = 25 \cdot \rho_0$, and k = 3 (solid black line). (**C**) Data from Fig. 3D (liquid, $h > h_0$) are replotted (blue line) together with the distribution predicted by the model (black line): $\rho = \exp[-(\eta / D_{NC})(\rho_{WT}(r) / \rho_0)]$, with $\eta = 1.7$, $\rho_{WT}(r)$ taken from the experimental data in (A), and $D_{NC} = 2.5 \times 10^{-6}$ cm^2 s^{-1} representing the effective diffusion coefficient of non-chemotactic cells (see derivation in SI Model). (**D**) Summary plot. The saturated condensation level (1/w) is plotted as a function of the initial uniform cell density for experiments in liquid (blue symbols; $h > h_0$) and in porous media (gray symbols), together with the corresponding model solutions for $\eta = 0$ and 1.7. At low cell densities, the uniform cell-density is stable (left shaded region; refs. 14, 40). At high cell densities, an aerotaxis-mediated ring appears that restricts condensation (right shaded region). Between these limits, condensation is first constrained by correlated bacterial swimming (black line), then by the non-local run-and-tumble mechanism of chemotaxis ('low-density limit', ref. 18), and ultimately by the finite dynamic range of bacterial sensory signaling (dashed black line; ref. 14).