Title Page

Title:
A comparative GWAS of eye colour in light and dark eye genetic backgrounds defined by

HERC2 rs12913832 polymorphism

Authors:
Cristina L Abbatangelo, MSc[1,5*], Frida Lona Durazo[2,3], PhD, Melissa Edwards, PhD[4], Esteban J
Parra, PhD[5]
9

Author affiliations:
[1]Department of Anthropology, Faculty of Arts and Science, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON,
Canada
[2]Montréal Heart Institute, Montréal, QC, Canada
[3]Université de Montréal, Montréal, QC, Canada
[4]Brain Tumour Research Center, SickKids Research Institute, Peter Gilgan Center for Research
and Learning, Toronto, ON, Canada
[5]Department of Anthropology, University of Toronto Mississauga, Mississauga, ON, Canada
18

19   *** Corresponding author:**
20   Cristina Laura Abbatangelo
21   c.abbatangelo@mail.utoronto.ca
22

23   **Short title:** *HERC2* comparative eye colour GWAS

24   **Keywords:** rs12913832, eye colour, genome-wide association study (GWAS), *HERC2*, *OCA2,*
25   quantitative eye colour measures, CanPath

26
27
28

Abstract

rs12913832, a polymorphism located in an enhancer within the *HERC2* gene, which is known to regulate *OCA2* transcription, is heavily relied upon as a predictor of light versus dark eyes. Individuals with the GG genotype are projected to have blue eyes, while individuals with the AA or AG genotypes are projected to have darker eye colours (primarily brown). However, eye colour is a polygenic trait, and previous studies have revealed that a significant proportion of individuals self-report an eye colour that is not concordant with their genotype at rs12913832. Herein, we address the question: What common markers are influencing eye colour in individual's whose self-reported phenotype does not correspond to the expected phenotype based on their rs12913832 genotype? Building upon our prior investigation of iris pigmentation genetics in individuals with an expected "blue eye" background (rs12913832:GG genotype) in a sample of the Canadian Partnership for Tomorrow's Health (CanPath) cohort, this study extends the analysis to include individuals with an expected "brown eye" background (rs12913832:AA+AG). We identified variants in *SLC45A2*, *TYRP1*, *TYR*, *SLC24A4* and *TSPAN10*, which may influence eye colour presentation in individuals with the rs12913832:GG genotype and variants in *IRF4*, *TYRP1* and *OCA2*, which may influence eye colour presentation in individuals with the rs12913832:AA+AG genotype. These markers include well-known pigmentation-associated single nucleotide polymorphisms, such as rs16891982 (*SLC45A2*), rs1126809 (*TYR*), rs12203592 (*IRF4*), rs1800407 (*OCA2*) and rs6420484 (*TSPAN10*). Several of these loci were replicated using independent quantitative eye colour measures, including heterochromia and CIELAB colour dimensions. This research highlights the importance of gene-gene interactions and the polygenic nature of pigmentation traits, emphasizing modifying effects

60 that can sometimes counteract the dominant influence of rs12913832, contributing to

61 advancements in pigmentation genetics and forensic applications.

Introduction

Human pigmentation traits, such as hair, skin, and eye colour, represent some of the most

striking examples of visible genetic variation. These traits are highly polygenic, with complex

gene-gene and gene-environment interactions contributing to their phenotypic expression.

Understanding the genetic architecture underlying these traits not only informs biological

mechanisms but also enhances applications such as predictive modeling, reconstruction of

ancestral phenotypes and human identification. Of particular interest is the genetic basis

underlying iris pigmentation, which remains incompletely understood despite significant

advances in large-scale genome-wide association studies (GWAS) (1).

A key genetic determinant of human eye colour is the single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP)

rs12913832, located in a conserved enhancer region within the *HERC2* gene that regulates

transcription of the neighbouring *OCA2* gene (2–5). *OCA2* plays a central role in melanin

biosynthesis, and its expression levels are strongly influenced by allelic variation at rs12913832.

The ancestral A allele facilitates transcription factor binding and promotes long-range chromatin

looping, enabling physical interaction between the *OCA2* promoter and the *HERC2* enhancer.

This configuration enhances *OCA2* expression, leading to increased melanin production and

resulting in darker eye colours, such as brown and hazel (4,6). Conversely, the derived G allele

reduces chromatin looping efficiency, thereby decreasing *OCA2* expression and melanin

production. This reduction is associated with lighter iris pigmentation, characteristic of blue eyes

(4,6). As such, rs12913832 has become a key marker in pigmentation research and forensic DNA

phenotyping (FDP), due to its strong predictive value for distinguishing between light and dark

eye colours (2,4,7). Individuals with the GG genotype are typically predicted to have blue eyes,

while those with AG or AA genotypes are generally expected to exhibit brown eyes (**Fig 1**).

However, while these patterns hold true for most individuals, particularly within European

populations where eye colour diversity is greatest, genotype-phenotype mismatches have been

reported.

Fig 1. **Expected eye colour phenotype based on rs12913832 genotype.**

Specifically, previous research has illustrated that some rs12913832:GG individuals present with

eye colours other than blue, and some rs12913832:AA or AG individuals show eye colours other

than brown (8–10). A recent publication found a notable discrepancy in the Canadian Partnership

for Tomorrow's Health (CanPath) cohort where 33% of individuals with the rs12913832:GG

genotype self-reported eye colours other than blue (11). This finding challenges the notion that

the rs12913832:GG genotype exclusively corresponds to blue eyes and highlights the presence of

additional genetic or regulatory factors that may modulate melanin production, resulting in a

broader spectrum of iris pigmentation. In a previous study (12), we stratified participants of the

CanPath cohort based on rs12913832 genotypes and identified a number of SNPs that seem to

modify the effect of the rs12913832 GG genotype, contributing to an explanation as to why

individuals self-report "non-blue" eye colour in spite of having severely reduced expression of

the *OCA2* gene, which usually results in blue eye colour.

The present study builds on this foundation by extending the 2023 study in two important ways:

1) Identifying in the same CanPath sample used in the 2023 study potential genetic modifiers

that may explain individuals with rs12913832:AA+AG genotypes reporting eye colours other

than brown and hazel, and 2) Replicating the same markers in another independent sample of

individuals of European ancestry, for whom detailed quantitative iris pigmentation measures are

available (including measures capturing central heterochromia, defined as the presence of

different eye colours in the inner and outer portions of the iris). By incorporating additional

GWAS and post-GWAS analyses, this work aims to address the following research question:

What common markers are influencing eye colour variation in individuals whose self-

reported phenotype does not correspond to the expected phenotype associated with their

genotype at rs12913832? Analyzing both self-reported data and detailed quantitative measures

of iris colour, we describe polymorphisms that act to counteract the strong influence of

rs12913832 on iris colour.

Methods

Study Dataset and Design

This research was conducted under the approval of the University of Toronto Research Ethics

Board (REB), adhering to Human Research Protocol #36429. The study dataset was drawn

from the Canadian Partnership for Tomorrow's Health (CanPath) biobank, Canada's largest

collection of population health data, containing genomic and phenotypic information from over

300,000 Canadians of predominantly European ancestry (13,14). Access to the data was granted

through CanPath, under application number DAO-034431 (Research Project Number 329058,

2018-10-01 start date); genotype and phenotype data were accessed 04/10/2021. All analyses

were conducted using de-identified data. The authors did not participate in data collection and

had no access to personally identifiable information at any stage of the research. All participants

provided written informed consent at the time of data collection by CanPath.

The study utilized a subset of 5,481 individuals for which self-reported eye colour was available

(N_{GG}=2,724 and N_{AA+AG}=2,757), encompassing various Canadian provinces. **Fig. 2** illustrates the

provincial cohorts represented in the biobank. Among this group, 56.29% were female, and the

average age was approximately 55 years (standard error ± 0.12).

CanPath participants underwent genotyping between 2012 and 2018. Two genotyping chips were

utilized: the Axiom 2.0 UK Biobank Array (Affymetrix) (UKBB) for (N=3,212) individuals and

the Global Screening Array (GSA) 24v1+MDP (N=2,429) participants, conducted by CanPath.

The genotyping arrays analyzed between 658,296 and 813,168 SNPs. Imputation was carried

out previously on the Sanger Imputation Server using the Haplotype Reference Consortium

dataset as a reference for imputation (11,12).

Fig 2. Study cohorts and provinces participating in the Canadian Partnership for Tomorrow's Health
(CanPath). Additional details about the study and participating cohorts can be found in (14) and at canpath.ca.

Among the 2,724 participants with rs12913832:AA or AG genotypes and available self-reported

eye colour data, 512 reported eye colours other than brown or hazel. This observation suggests

that the rs12913832:AA+AG genotype alone does not fully account for dark eye pigmentation

and points to the possibility that other genetic variants may influence eye colour in individuals

with the AA+AG genotype.

To investigate, we piggybacked off the 2023 rs12913832:GG study (12) and applied the same

methods for AA+AG individuals in the CanPath sample, as well as a separate replication dataset.

Fig 3 illustrates the step-by-step computational methodology.

Fig3. **Step-by-step computational methodology**. Flow chart illustrating the computational steps used to perform a
genome-wide association study (GWAS) to identify genetic variants associated with eye colour in
rs12913832:AA+AG individuals.

Preliminary Statistics and Quality Control (QC)

Participants with rs12913832:AA+AG genotypes were extracted from CanPath arrays (UKBB

and GSA). We retained only those individuals who self-reported as having European-related

ancestry and for which self-reported eye colour data were available. Individuals who self-

reported amber eyes were excluded due to the low frequency of individuals who reported this

colour category.

Pre-imputation QC was performed as described in Lona-Durazo et al (2022) (11) . Post-

imputation QC began with the removal of all variants other than bi-allelic SNPs (e.g.,

insertion/deletions, multi-allelic markers, and other types of polymorphisms) using bcftools

(version 1.13) (15,16). Additionally, bcftools was also used to ensure that only SNPs were

represented in the imputed genotype files, no insertion/deletions (indels) or other types of

polymorphisms, as well as to remove markers with low imputation accuracy (`INFO>0.8`) and

duplicated markers. Variants with strong deviations from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE)

(`--hwe 1E-6`) were filtered from the imputed files using `vcftools` (version 0.1.16.) (17).

Pre-imputation and post-imputation QCs were performed separately on each array.

An initial principal component analysis (PCA) was performed using a pruned (--

`indeppairwise 50 1 0.1`) set of unimputed variants from both CanPath arrays projected

onto the 1000s Genomes Project (1KG) Phase 3 samples using PLINK (version 1.9) (18,19) to

identify any outliers that did not cluster within the European superpopulation of the 1KGP based

on the first three principal components. After removal of outliers, 1,412 samples were retained

from the GSA array and 1,312 from the UKBB array (for a total of 2,724 AA/AG individuals).

A second PCA was then performed for each array using PLINK (version 1.9) (18,19) and the

first 10 principal components were retained as covariates and added to the phenotype files

corresponding to each array using R studio (version 4.2.0) (20).

Genome-wide Association Study (GWAS)

A genome-wide association study (GWAS) targeting eye colour was independently conducted on

individuals with AA+AG genotypes from each array, employing both linear and logistic mixed

models via SAIGE (version 1.0.5) (21). In the linear model, eye colour is coded as follows:

blue=1, green=2, hazel=3, and brown=4. The logistic model was coded as follows: blue=1 versus

non-blue=0 (brown and hazel). The linear model used for categorical eye colours followed an

additive framework, wherein the estimated effect size corresponds linearly to the count of effect

alleles.

The GWAS model included covariates such as age, sex, and the first ten principal components as

fixed effects and a Genetic Relationship Matrix (GRM) as a random effect, alongside imputed

genotype data.

Meta-analysis

A meta-analysis was carried out using the beta coefficients and standard errors from the linear

GWAS results corresponding to the two distinct CanPath arrays, along with data from each

logistic GWAS using the software Metasoft (version 2.0.1) (22). Metasoft assesses input GWAS

using two types of models, 1) fixed effects (FE), most appropriate when effect sizes are assumed

to be consistent across studies, and 2) an enhanced random effects model (RE2), which is more

suitable when there is variability or heterogeneity between datasets (23).

Metasoft computes two key indicators of heterogeneity: Cochran's Q and I^2. The Q statistic

reflects the weighted squared differences between individual study effects and the combined

effect estimate; a P-value below 0.10 typically indicates significant heterogeneity. The I^2 metric

(24,25) estimates the proportion of variation across studies that exceeds what would be expected

by chance, calculated as $I^2 = 100\% \times (Q - df)/Q$.

When I^2 exceeds 50%, this may suggest that a random effects model is more appropriate than a

fixed effects model (26,27). In the absence of heterogeneity, both models are expected to

produce similar outcomes. Metasoft also generates Bayesian posterior probabilities indicating the

likelihood that an association exists in each study included in the meta-analysis (23).

Conditional and Joint Analysis (COJO) Using GWAS Summary Statistics and Fine-Mapping

The combined summary statistics from the linear GWAS meta-analysis were subsequently

processed using the COJO method included in the GCTA suite (28). COJO is used to determine

whether genome-wide significant signals (P-value<5E-8) identified in a GWAS (or meta-

analysis) are attributable to a single variant or represent multiple independent signals. The

analysis was based on fixed-effects (FE) model P-values from the meta-analysis and

incorporated weighted average effect allele frequencies derived from both contributing datasets.

A linkage disequilibrium (LD) reference was generated from the GSA array as it had the larger

sample size of the two arrays for AA and AG individuals.

Statistical fine-mapping was performed using LDSTORE2 (v2.0) and FINEMAP (v1.4) (29),

focusing on regions highlighted by the COJO analysis. This approach helps pinpoint variants

most likely to causally influence eye colour. FINEMAP uses a Bayesian model to integrate

GWAS or meta-analysis summary statistics with SNP LD data, producing posterior probabilities

for putative causal variants.

In the first step, LDSTORE2 was used to generate LD correlation matrices from the GSA

24v1MDP array data within 1 Mb windows (±500 kb from lead SNPs). Next, these LD matrices

were input into FINEMAP along with the defined genomic windows. The analysis assumed up to

10 causal variants per region, generating 95% credible sets – groups of SNPs with the highest

posterior probability of being causal. Each set is summarized by its posterior inclusion

probabilities and a k-value indicating the most probable number of causal variants.

SNP Annotation

To annotate the genome-wide significant variants (P<5E-08) identified through meta-analysis,

we employed SNPnexus, a web-based annotation tool (https://www.snp-nexus.org/v4/) (30–34).

Gene and variant classification were based on data from the UCSC Genome Browser and

Ensembl databases using the hg19 human genome build. If, through SNPNexus, we were able to

determine if a variant was listed in ClinVar (35), then the clinical annotation was also

documented. The potential functional impact of non-synonymous coding variants was evaluated

using SIFT (36) and PolyPhen (37) prediction scores, which provide qualitative outputs such as

"probably damaging", "possibly damaging/deleterious-low confidence", or "benign/tolerated".

For non-coding variants, we applied the CADD scoring system (38), which ranks variant

deleteriousness across the genome. A CADD score of 20 or higher suggests the variant is among

the top 1% of the most deleterious substitutions (34). Furthermore, we investigated protein-level

expression of implicated genes using STRING (39) and searched for eQTLs (expression

Quantitative Trait Loci) in GTEx (https://www.gtexportal.org/home/) (40).

Replication in Independent Sample of Individuals of European Ancestry with Quantitative

Measures of Iris Colour

The SNPs identified in the CanPath GWAS were replicated in a sample of individuals of

European ancestry living in Canada, for whom detailed eye colour quantitative measures based

on high-resolution iris pictures are available. The sample and the methods used to measure iris

pigmentation have been described in a previous paper (41). The sample includes 549 individuals

with ancestry from different regions in Europe. High-resolution iris pictures were taken using a

Miles Professional Iris Camera and quantitative measures of iris colour using the CIE 1976

L*a*b* (CIELAB) colour space were obtained using a web-based application (41). The L*

coordinate represents the lightness dimension and ranges from 0 to 100, with 0 being black and

100 being white. The a* and b* coordinates represent variation in colour, with negative values of

a* indicating green and positive values of a* indicating red, and negative values of b* indicating

blue and positive values of b* indicating yellow. Individuals with blue eye colour are

characterized by negative a* and b* values, and higher L* values than those observed for

individuals with non-blue eyes. However, there is considerably variation in L*, a* and b*

dimensions within individuals reporting "blue" or "brown" eye colour (41). In addition to L*, a*

and b* values, the amount of central heterochromia (i.e., differences in iris colour between the

inner and outer portions of the iris) was measured by a colour metric (ΔE) that represents

differences in the average colour of the ciliary zone (outer iris region) and the average colour of

the pupillary zone Inner iris region). Two different cameras were used during the study, and

camera was used as a covariate in the statistical analysis. Participants in the study also self-

reported eye colour in 5 categories: 0=Light blue, Light gray or light green; 1=Blue, gray or

green, 2=Hazel or light brown, 3=Dark brown, 4=Brownish black.

Genotyping was carried out with Illumina's Infinium Multi-Ethnic Global Array (MEGA) at the

Clinical Genomics Centre (Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada) using standard

protocols. QC steps were implemented to remove samples and markers, according to the

following criteria, Sample QC: 1) Removal of samples with missing call rates <0.9, 2) Removal

of samples that were outliers in Principal Component Analysis (PCA) plots, 3) Removal of

samples with sex discrepancies, 4) Removal of samples that were outliers for heterozygosity, and

5) Removal of related individuals (pi-hat> 0.2). Marker QC: 1) Removal of markers with

genotype call rate <0.95, 2/removal of markers with Hardy-Weinberg Es <10−6, 3) Removal of

Insertion/Deletion (Indel) markers, 4) Removal of markers with allele frequencies <0.01, 5)

Removal of markers not present in the 1000 Genomes reference panel, or that do not match on

chromosome, position and alleles, 6) Removal of A/T or G/C SNPs with MAF >40% in the 1000

Genomes European reference samples, and 7) Removal of SNPs with allele frequency

differences >20% between the study sample and the 1000 Genomes European reference sample.

After performing the QC steps described above, the samples were phased using the program

SHAPEIT2 and imputed at the Sanger Imputation Service, using the Positional Burrows-Wheeler

Transform (PBWT) algorithm (42), and the samples of the 1000 Genomes as reference
haplotypes. All the markers included in this analysis have imputation INFO scores higher than
0.9, indicating good imputation confidence.

Following the same approach used for the CanPath sample, individuals were stratified in two
categories based on *HERC2* rs12913832 genotype (GG and AA+AG). Association of the
selected markers with the quantitative traits were tested using linear regression with sex, camera,
and 4 principal components as covariates. Age was not included as a covariate because there was
a narrow range in participant's age (18-35 years) and age did not have a significant effect on the
traits. Given that some quantitative traits (a*, b* and ΔE) showed non-normal distributions, an
inverse normal transformation of the residuals from a regression of a*, b* and ΔE on sex, camera
and 4 principal components was carried out, and these normalized values were used as dependent
variables in the linear regression. A linear model was also used to evaluate association of the
markers with the five self-reported eye colour categories (0=Light blue, Light gray or light
green; 1=Blue, gray or green, 2=Hazel or light brown, 3=Dark brown, 4=Brownish black).

Results

Preliminary Statistics and Quality Control (QC)

After applying all QC filters, genotypes and self-reported eye colour phenotypes were retained
from a total of 2,724 AA+AG individuals (1,412 from the GSA array and 1,312 from the UKBB
array). Eye colour categories included blue, green, hazel and brown. Of the 2,724
rs12913832:AA+AG participants, 512 did not self-report brown or hazel eyes, representing
41.16%. As was reported in 2023, of the 2,757 rs12913832:GG participants for which self-

reported eye colour data were available, 904 did not report blue eyes. **Fig 4** illustrates eye colour

frequency stratified by genotype at the rs12913832 locus. In total, 5,481 CanPath participants are

represented when considering all rs12913832 genotypes combined.

Fig 4. **Eye colour distribution among 2,757 rs12913832:GG and 2,724 rs12913832:AA+AG Canadian**
Partnership for Tomorrow's Health (CanPath) participants genotyped with the UKBB array and GSA array.
Self-reported eye colour categories retained for investigation were described as blue, green, hazel, and brown.
Individuals with missing phenotype information or who self-reported their eye colour as amber were excluded.

After QC and imputation 8,822,293 variants were retained from AA+AG individuals genotyped

with the GSA array for further analysis and 7,104,980 variants were retained from AA+AG

individuals genotyped with the UKBB array.

Genome-Wide Association Study (GWAS) and Meta-Analysis

As was performed on the GG individuals in the 2023 study, two types of GWAS were performed

on AA+AG individuals from each array using SAIGE (v1.0.5) (21). A linear mixed model was

applied where eye colour was coded as blue=1, green=2, hazel=3, and brown=4 in accordance

with an additive genetic model, as well as a logistic model comparing blue versus non-blue

(hazel and brown) eye colour. The first ten genetic principal components generated during the

QC stage were included as covariates, along with age and sex.

The summary statistics (P-values, betas, and SE) from the linear and logistic models conducted

in each CanPath array GWAS were then integrated in a meta-analysis using Metasoft (v2.0.1)

(22). The resulting Manhattan plot from the linear model is illustrated in **Fig 5** (Supplementary

Figs S1 and **S2** present the QQ plots for each meta-analysis and the Manhattan plot from the

logistic model). Significant peaks are observed in chromosomes 6 (*IRF4*), 9 (*TYRP1*) and 15

(*OCA2*).

Fig 5. **Manhattan plot of eye colour meta-analysis based on linear model GWAS in rs12913832:AA+AG**
individuals (*N*=2,724) from the Canadian Partnership for Tomorrow's Health (CanPath) project genotyped
with the Axiom UKBB and GSA arrays. GWAS were performed with SAIGE (21). Categorical eye colours coded
as blue=1, green=2, hazel=3, and brown=4. The meta-analysis was conducted with Metasoft (22). The black
horizontal line indicates the genome-wide threshold (P<5E-8). Significant peaks are observed in chromosomes 6
(*IRF4*), 9 (*TYRP1*) and 15 (*OCA2*).

Comparing GWAS Lead SNPs from GG and AA+AG Individuals.

Table 1 illustrates the top SNPs from the meta-analysis according to the fixed effects (FE) linear

model in each significant genomic region. Several SNPs had evidence of heterogeneity among

the two studies (indicated by I^2 and Cochran's Q values in the meta-analysis), however, they all

retained genome-wide significant P-values in the random effects (RE2) model too, which

considers heterogeneity among studies. Given that heterogeneity between studies did not impact

SNP significance, the fixed effects (FE) model was prioritized for downstream analyses.   Please

note that that in the 2023 publication (12) the effect alleles in the tables were incorrect, and a

correction was submitted.

Table 1. Top SNP from each significant genomic region in meta-analysis of GG stratified individuals and
AA+AG stratified individuals using a linear model (N_{GG}=2,747, N_{AA+AG}=2,724).

Marker ID	Chr	A1	A2	A1 Frequency	Gene	P-value (FE)	Beta	SE
GG Stratified Individuals								
rs35389	5	**G**	A	0.046	*SLC45A2*	1.18E-12	0.352	0.049
rs2733836	9	**T**	G	0.438	*TYRP1*	1.37E-13	0.133	0.018
rs1126809	11	A	**G**	0.272	*TYR*	4.58E-13	-0.179	0.019
rs12896399	14	T	**G**	0.401	*SLC24A4*	2.05E-35	-0.221	0.018
rs62075722	17	A	**G**	0.364	*TSPAN10*	6.36E-10	-0.116	0.019
AA+AG Stratified Individuals								
rs12203592	6	**T**	C	0.164	*IRF4*	3.13E-26	-0.318	0.030

| rs7853779 | 9 | A | **G** | 0.389 | *TYRP1* | 3.12E-8 | 0.129 | 0.023 |
| rs1800407 | 15 | **T** | C | 0.128 | *OCA2* | 4.10E-15 | -0.292 | 0.037 |

Based on the categorical coding of the model (1=blue, 2=green, 3=hazel, 4=brown), a positive beta can be
interpreted as an indication of eye colour "darkening" and a negative beta can be interpreted as an indication of eye
colour "lightening" for the effect allele (A1). We highlight alleles increasing pigmentation in the rs12913832 GG
(e.g. blue-eye) background with red font and alleles decreasing pigmentation in the rs12913832 AA+AG (e.g. non
blue-eye) background in blue font.
Marker ID, reference SNP cluster ID;
Chr, chromosome;
A1, effect allele: the allele whose effects in relation to eye colour are being studied;
A2, the alternative allele;
A1 frequency, fraction of chromosomes in population that carry the effect allele;
Gene, the gene the SNP is located in, or the gene the SNP is nearest to;
Beta, per unit increase (if positive) or decrease (if negative) in the outcome;
P-value (FE), parameter of statistical significance used to determine the certainty of an association, based off
the fixed effects meta-analysis model;
SE, standard error.
352

Conditional and Joint Analysis (COJO)

Results from the COJO analysis using GCTA (28) for the GG individuals were previously

described in 2023 (12), but they are summarized in **Table 2** to easily compare the different

signals coming from each genetic background. The COJO analysis of the linear model

conducted on AA+AG individuals identified one significant genome-wide SNP driving the

signals on chromosomes 6 and 9, while two genome-wide significant SNPs were observed

driving the signal on chromosome 15. Among these, rs12203592 in *IRF4* is a well-established

causal pigmentation variant, previously associated with both skin and hair pigmentation, as well

as melanoma susceptibility (6,43,44). The SNP rs7853779 on chromosome 9, located near

TYRP1, had prior associations with pigmentation traits and melanoma susceptibility (1,45). Two

SNPs on chromosome 15, rs1800407 and rs4778138, both situated in or near the *OCA2* gene,

have been linked to variation in eye colour in earlier research (46–48).

Table 2. Independent signals identified by COJO (28) for genome-wide significant peaks illustrated in the
meta-analysis.

CHR	Marker ID	POS	A1	A2	Beta	SE	P-value (FE)	Joint beta	Joint SE	Joint P-value	LD (r^2)	Nearest gene	MAF
GG individuals													
5	rs35389	33954880	**G**	A	0.352	0.049	1.180E-12	0.352	0.051	4.550E-12	0	*SLC45A2*	0.046
9	rs2733836	12692252	**T**	G	0.133	0.018	1.370E-13	0.133	0.018	6.410E-13	0	*TYRP1*	0.438
11	rs1126809	89017961	A	**G**	-0.179	0.02	1.200E-19	-0.179	0.021	3.950E-18	0	*TYR*	0.272
14	rs12896399	92773663	T	**G**	-0.221	0.018	2.050E-35	-0.221	0.019	4.020E-30	0	*SLC24A4*	0.401
17	rs62075722	79611271	A	**G**	-0.116	0.019	6.360E-10	-0.116	0.019	1.390E-09	0	*TSPAN10*	0.365
AA+AG individuals													
6	rs12203592	396321	**T**	C	-0.318	0.03	3.130E-26	-0.318	0.031	2.170E-24	0	*IRF4*	0.164
9	rs7853779	12612893	A	**G**	0.129	0.023	3.120E-08	0.129	0.024	4.340E-08	0	*TYRP1*	0.389
15	rs1800407	28230318	**T**	C	-0.292	0.037	4.100E-15	-0.274	0.038	8.150E-13	0.082	*OCA2*	0.128
15	rs4778138	28335820	G	**A**	0.179	0.027	3.040E-11	0.164	0.028	2.710E-09	0	*OCA2*	0.273

Alleles increasing pigmentation in individuals with rs12913832 GG background are highlighted in red, and alleles decreasing pigmentation in individuals with rs12913832 AA+AG background are highlighted in blue.

Chr, chromosome;
Marker ID, Reference SNP cluster ID;
POS, Physical position (hg19/GRCh37);
A1, effect allele: the allele whose effects in relation to eye colour are being studied;
A2, alternative allele;
Beta, per unit increase (if positive) or decrease (if negative) in the outcome;
SE, Standard error;
P-value (FE), P-value, parameter of statistical significance used to determine the certainty of an association based off the fixed effects meta-analysis model;
Joint beta, SE and **P-value**, effect size, standard error and P-value from a joint analysis of all the selected SNPs;
LD(r^2), Linkage disequilibrium correlation between the SNP i and SNP i + 1 for the SNPs on the list;
Nearest gene, the gene the SNP is located in, or the gene the SNP is nearest to;
MAF, minor allele frequency, fraction of chromosomes in population that carry the minor allele (frequency <0.5).

Fine-mapping

Fine-mapping results are represented in **Table 3**. Only SNPs with $\log_{10}$ of Bayes Factor ($\log_{10}$BF) greater than 2 have been included in the table. For some regions (*TYRP1*, *TYR*, *SLC24A4* and *TSPAN10* for the GG stratified individuals), the *k* value (most likely number of causal SNPs) is greater than one, however, only SNPs within one credible set surpassed a $\log_{10}$BF>2. Thus, although FINEMAP suggests the presence of multiple signals in some instances, the markers in the additional credible sets did not always have sufficiently high

$\log_{10}$BF, so we focused on the markers that surpassed the thresholds for "considerable evidence"

as recommended by the FINEMAP documentation (29).

For the GG stratified individuals, the markers with the top PIP in the FINEMAP analysis

coincide with the lead SNPs identified in the COJO analysis: *SLC45A2* rs35389, *TYRP1*

rs2733836, *TYR* rs1126809, *SLC24A4* rs12896399 (there is another marker with the same PIP in

this region, rs12896471), and *TSPAN10* rs62075722. The non-synonymous variant rs1126809 is

highlighted as the causal variant in the *TYR* region, with PIP=0.960. All the other variants have

PIP values lower than 0.5.

For the AA+AG stratified individuals, the FINEMAP analysis identifies a single credible set in

the *IRF4* and *TYRP1* regions. The marker rs12203592 is highlighted as the putative causal SNP

within the *IRF4* region, with PIP=1.00. In contrast, there are several markers in high LD in the

credible set of the *TYRP1* region, with PIP values<0.10. The top SNPs identified by FINEMAP

coincide with the lead signals in the COJO analysis. Finally, the FINEMAP analysis identifies 3

independent credible sets in the *OCA2/HERC2* region. In the first credible set, FINEMAP

identifies rs1800407 as the causal variant, with PIP=0.997. In the second credible set, FINEMAP

highlights two SNPs: rs7164220 (PIP=0.472) and rs4778138 (PIP=0.341). The latter was the

lead SNP identified in the COJO analysis. The variant with the top PIP in the third credible set is

rs79380392 (PIP=0.135), which is located within the *HERC2* gene. In contrast to FINEMAP,

which points to three independent causal sets, COJO only identified two independent regions in

the *OCA2/HERC2* region. **Fig S3** illustrates LD matrices for SNPs in the *TYRP1* region and

HERC2/OCA2 region. **Fig S4** illustrates examples of regional plots for signals represented in

Table 3.

Table 3. Fine-mapping results. Fine-mapping was performed using 1Mb windows (500 kb on each side of significant SNP).

Marker ID	Chr	A1	A2	Nearest gene	Beta	SE	P-value	MAF	PIP	*k*
GG individuals										
rs35389	5	G	A	SLC45A2	0.352	0.049	1.180E-12	0.046	0.408	
rs35395	5	T	C	SLC45A2	-0.357	0.050	1.475E-12	0.034	0.335	
rs16891982	5	C	G	SLC45A2	-0.328	0.047	3.481E-12	0.038	0.155	1
rs35407	5	A	G	SLC45A2	-0.345	0.051	9.106E-12	0.034	0.066	
rs2733836	9	T	G	TYRP1	0.133	0.018	1.368E-13	0.438	0.068	2
rs1126809	11	A	G	TYR	-0.179	0.020	1.196E-19	0.272	0.960	2
rs12896399	14	T	G	SLC24A4	-0.221	0.018	2.052E-35	0.401	0.154	
rs12896471	14	C	G	SLC24A4	-0.221	0.018	2.059E-35	0.425	0.154	
rs56137766	14	T	A	SLC24A4	-0.222	0.018	2.089E-35	0.430	0.136	
rs35983729	14	T	G	SLC24A4	-0.223	0.018	2.205E-35	0.432	0.128	
rs4144266	14	A	G	SLC24A4	-0.223	0.018	2.367E-35	0.432	0.119	2
rs4904866	14	C	T	SLC24A4	-0.221	0.018	3.456E-35	0.425	0.089	
rs746586	14	C	T	SLC24A4	-0.221	0.018	3.994E-35	0.426	0.075	
rs12883151	14	A	G	SLC24A4	-0.222	0.018	3.960E-35	0.432	0.070	
rs62075722	17	A	G	TSPAN10	-0.116	0.019	6.357E-10	0.365	0.174	
rs6420484	17	A	G	TSPAN10	-0.116	0.019	6.602E-10	0.366	0.169	2
rs34635363	17	G	A	NPLOC4	0.114	0.019	1.528E-09	0.364	0.079	
AA+AG individuals										
rs12203592	6	T	C	IRF4	-0.318	0.030	3.134E-26	0.164	1.000	1
rs7853779	9	A	G	TYRP1	0.129	0.023	3.123E-08	0.389	0.074	
rs10960723	9	C	A	TYRP1	-0.128	0.023	3.674E-08	0.391	0.064	
rs713596	9	A	G	TYRP1	-0.128	0.023	3.749E-08	0.391	0.063	1
rs2762457	9	G	T	TYRP1	-0.126	0.023	4.855E-08	0.402	0.050	
rs2762460	9	T	C	TYRP1	-0.125	0.023	4.975E-08	0.409	0.049	
rs2733831	9	A	G	TYRP1	-0.125	0.023	5.343E-08	0.408	0.046	
rs1800407	15	T	C	OCA2	-0.292	0.037	4.100E-15	0.128	0.997	
rs7164220	15	C	A	OCA2	-0.179	0.030	1.545E-09	0.220	0.472	
rs4778138	15	G	A	OCA2	0.179	0.027	3.040E-11	0.273	0.341	3
rs79380392	15	C	T	HERC2	0.249	0.055	5.354E-06	0.049	0.135	

SNPs with a log10 of Bayes Factor greater than 2 are recorded in the table. Alleles increasing pigmentation in individuals with rs12913832 GG background are highlighted in red, and alleles decreasing pigmentation in individuals with rs12913832 AA+AG background are highlighted in blue. A thick border indicates separation of chromosomal fine-mapped regions, a thin border indicates separation of credible sets (the collection of variants that together have a 95% probability of containing the true causal SNP).

Marker ID, reference SNP cluster ID;
Chr, chromosome;
A1, effect allele: the allele whose effects in relation to eye colour are being studied;
A2, alternative allele;
Nearest gene, the gene the SNP is located in, or the gene the SNP is nearest to;
Beta, per unit increase (if positive) or decrease (if negative) in the outcome

SE, standard error;
P-value, parameter of statistical significance used to determine the certainty of an association;
MAF, minor allele frequency;
PIP, posterior inclusion probability;
k, most likely number of causal SNPs.

SNP Annotations

Beyond the rs12913832 genotype, acting as a genetic background in this study, three other SNPs

within *OCA2* and one within *HERC2* were nominated as candidate causal loci in AA+AG

stratified individuals. One of them is a non-synonymous SNP (rs1800407) and three are intronic

variants (rs4778138, rs7164220, rs79380392).   These results are similar to the conditional

analysis with GCTA-COJO, where the program picked up multiple independent signals coming

from this region. We annotated the putative regulatory function of the fine-mapped SNPs with a

$\log_{10}$ of Bayes Factor greater than 2 using diverse databases (e.g. ENCODE, Roadmap

Epigenomics Project) encompassed within SNPnexus (30–34). A summary of the output can be

found in Supplementary **Table S1**. All SNPs in the table were identified as either overlapping

with a protein-coding gene or were located near a protein coding gene by SNPNexus (30–34).

Annotation details for the lead SNPs identified by COJO are described in **Table 4** below.

Table 4. Annotation summary of lead SNPs.

GG Stratified				
SNP	**Overlapped or nearest gene**	**Protein Consequence**	**Eye Colour Darkening Allele**	**Annotation**
rs35389	*SLC45A2*, overlapped	Protein coding; Intronic	G	eQTL in Thyroid (P=8.3E-5) (GTEx database). Low CADD PHRED score of 0.530. Confirmed associations with skin pigmentation, eye colour and hair colour according to GAD. Classified as Benign by ClinVar.
rs2733836	*TYRP1*, overlapped	Protein coding; Intronic	T	eQTL for many brain tissues (GTEx database). Low CADD score (2.549). No confirmed associations (unconfirmed association with Type 2 Diabetes).
rs1126809	*TYR*,	Protein	G	eQTL in Skin – Sun Exposed (Lower leg) (P=2.35E-5) and Testis

SNP	Overlapped or nearest gene	Protein Consequence	Eye Colour Lightening Allele	Annotation
	overlapped	coding; Non-synonymous		(P=1.5E-4) (GTEx database). Reported as "Deleterious" by SIFT (Score=0.30) and "Probably Damaging" by PolyPhen (Score=0.994). Confirmed associations with multiple phenotypes including many pigmentation related phenotypes such as type IB (yellow) oculocutaneous albinism and type I-A (tyrosinase negative) oculocutaneous albinism according to GAD. Previously reported as significant in GWAS of melanoma, squamous cell carcinoma, optic disc size, basal cell carcinoma, hair colour, eye colour, sunburn, tanning response and vitiligo based on SNPnexus' GWAS catalogue. This SNP has conflicting interpretations of pathogenicity in ClinVar. Many reports have associated the variant with conditions like albinism and general abnormalities in pigmentation-related metabolic processes (49–51).
rs12896399	*SLC24A4*, nearest downstream gene	Protein coding	G	eQTL in Skin – Not Sun Exposed (Suprapubic) (P=1.1E-8), Skin – Sun Exposed (Lower leg) (P=5.0E-6), Whole blood (P=2.1E-5) and Muscle – Skeletal (P=4.1E-5) (GTEx database). CADD PHRED score of 5.795. Previously reported as significant in GWAS of hair colour, hair morphology traits and eye colour (blue vs. green eyes) based on SNPnexus' GWAS catalogue. The G allele increases expression and is associated with darker skin. This means that increased expression of this gene may counteract the effects of lower *OCA2* expression in GG individuals.
rs62075722	*TSPAN10*, overlapped	Non-coding, intronic	G	eQTL in Skin – Not Sun Exposed (Suprapubic) (P=4.1E-18) and Skin – Sun Exposed (Lower leg) (P=6.9E-16) (GTEx database). CADD PHRED score of 6.286. Confirmed associations with coronary artery disease, Parkinson's disease, and eye colour. Previously reported as significant in GWAS of brown vs. black hair and corneal astigmatism based on SNPnexus' GWAS catalogue. A G allele is associated with increased expression and darker iris colour.
AA+AG Stratified				
SNP	**Overlapped or nearest gene**	**Protein Consequence**	**Eye Colour Lightening Allele**	**Annotation**
rs12203592	*IRF4*, overlapped	Protein coding; Intronic	T	eQTL in Skin – Sun exposed (Lower leg) (P=6.5E-7) and many other tissues in GTEx. CADD PHRED score of 11.780. Confirmed associations with hair colour and eye colour in GAD. Previously reported as significant in GWAS of facial pigmentation, squamous cell carcinoma, tanning, sunburns, non-melanoma skin cancer, hir colour, eye colour and freckling based on SNPnexus' GWAS catalogue. Marked as "Affects" by ClinVar, reaffirming its influence on pigmentation phenotypes. The T allele decreases expression of *IRF4* and is associated with lighter iris colour. This means that lower expression of *IRF4* counteracts the effect of AA+AG, promoting lighter eye colours.
rs7853779	*TYRP1*, downstream	Protein coding	G	CADD PHRED score of 7.814. No confirmed associations in GAD, SNPnexus' GWAS catalogue or ClinVar.
rs1800407	*OCA2*, overlapped	Protein coding; Non-synonymous	T	Reported as "Deleterious" by SIFT (Score=0.20) and "Probably Damaging" by PolyPhen (Score=0.986). Confirmed associations with hair colour, eye colour Parkinson's disease and socioeconomic factors

				in GAD. Previously reported as significant in GWAS of squamous cell carcinoma, cataracts, skin pigmentation, eye colour, macular thickness and tanning response based on SNPnexus' GWAS catalogue. Classified as benign or likely benign in ClinVar, it is related to both oculocutaneous albinism, pigmentation variation and melanoma susceptibility. Variant has a haploinsufficiency (HI) score of 30, and homozygous mutations lead to oculocutaneous albinism type 2 (4,52,53).
rs4778138	*OCA2,* overlapped	Protein coding; Intronic	A	eQTL in Whole Blood (P=5.8E-20), Nerve-Tibial (P=2.9E-7) and Artery-Aorta (P=8.2E-5) (GTEx database). CADD PHRED score of 1.982. Confirmed associations with hair colour, eye colour, Parkinson's disease and socioeconomic factors in GAD. Previously reported as significant in GAS of squamous cell carcinoma and melanoma.

SNPs were annotated using SNPnexus (https://www.snp-nexus.org/v4/) (30–34) and GTEx (https://gtexportal.org/home/)
(40). Note that GTEx does not have iridial tissue information, so focus was placed on skin tissue information (sun exposed
and not-exposed). Integrated in SNPnexus are additional annotation tools. We utilized CADD PHRED scores (38), SIFT
(36) and PolyPhen (37) to assess the deleteriousness of a variant, GAD (53) and ClinVar (35) reports to learn about
associated diseases and other phenotypes.
GTEx, Genotype-Tissue Expression Project;
eQTL, expression Quantitative Trait Locus;
sQTL, splicing Quantitative Trait Locus;
CADD PHRED, Combined Annotation Dependent Depletion scaled score (represents the probability of a variant
being deleterious relative to all other possible variants);
SIFT, Sorting Intolerant from Tolerant, predicts whether an amino acid substitution affects protein function based
on sequence homology (applied to naturally occurring nonsynonymous polymorphisms and laboratory induced
missence mutations);
PolyPhen, Polymorphism Phenotyping prediction tool used to asses the potential impact of an amino acid
substitution on a protein's structure and function
GAD, Genetic Association Database;
ClinVar, public archive of reports of human variations classified for diseases and drug responses, with supporting
evidence.

Supplementary **Fig S5** and **Table S2** illustrate the STRING network and summarize the functional

enrichments within the networks. There are slight differences in the biological processes enriched for

genes which were associated with GG individuals versus AA+AG individuals. In GG individuals,

genes can be seen enriched for melanin biosynthetic processes and developmental pigmentation,

while in AA+AG individuals, genes were enriched in melanosome membrane. Interestingly, under

the "Human Phenotype (Monarch)" STRING domain, the genes associated with the AA+AG, or

brown eye background, the phenotype with the strongest signal (FDR=1.96E-5) was blue irides, or a

markedly blue colouration of the iris.

Replication in European ancestry cohort with quantitative iris pigmentation measures

Replication results for the six different analyses are reported in Supplementary **Table S3**

(Supplementary **Fig S6** illustrates the distributions of rs12913832 genotype across self-reported

eye colour category for this replication cohort). Of the five independent signals from GG

individuals, three markers survived Bonferroni correction in at least one quantitative measure

(*TYR* rs1126809 in the L and b* dimensions, *SLC24A4* rs12896399 in the b* dimension, and

TSPAN10 rs62075722 in the b* dimension). Of the four independent signals from AA+AG

individuals, three markers passed Bonferroni correction in multiple dimensions (*IRF4*

rs12203592 in the L, a*, b* and ΔE dimensions, *OCA2* rs1800407 in the L and a* dimensions,

and *OCA2* rs4778138 in the L and a* dimensions). Two markers demonstrated nominal

significance in other quantitative measurements (*SLC24A4* rs12896399 for the GG stratified

sample and *TYRP1* rs7853779 for the AA+AG stratified sample,). The effects appear strongest

in the b* dimension (Blue [-] to yellow [+]) for GG stratified individuals and in the L dimension

(Lightness dimension: 0 (black) to 100 (white)) for AA+AG stratified individuals. We also

conducted a linear regression using the self-reported eye colour categories in the independent

replication dataset, and the results were concordant with the quantitative measures. In GG

stratified individuals, *TYR* rs1126809 was nominally significant, and in AA+AG stratified

individuals, all markers, with the exception of *TYRP1* rs7853779, survived Bonferroni

correction. Importantly, rs12913832 was also assessed in the replication dataset in AA+AG

stratified individuals. This marker illustrated significance in the L, a* and ΔE dimensions, as

well as the linear model – indicative that eye colour is dosage dependent with respect to

genotype at rs12913832. In particular, rs12913832 is very strongly associated with ΔE (p=2.97E-

07), suggesting that having one copy of the G allele increases the likelihood of central

heterochromia (e.g. differences in iris colour between the inner and outer rings of the pupil).

<u>Discussion</u>

This study expands upon previous work investigating genetic modifiers of iris pigmentation by

analyzing individuals whose self-reported eye colour does not align with the expected phenotype

based on their *HERC2* rs12913832 genotype.   Specifically, we focused on those with a

homozygous derived genotype (rs12913832:GG), which typically predicts blue eyes, and

heterozygous or homozygous ancestral genotypes (rs12913832:AG or AA), which are more

often associated with brown eyes. Despite the heavy reliance on this single SNP in forensic and

anthropological models, exceptions in phenotype suggest additional modifiers. Our genome-wide

approach successfully identified several SNPs that modify eye colour in these "non-concordant"

individuals and replicated key findings using high-resolution quantitative iris colour data in an

independent European sample.

Through our GWAS meta-analyses and fine-mapping analyses we identified loci in known

pigmentation-associated regions including *SLC45A2*, *TYRP1*, *TYR*, *SLC24A4*, and *TSPAN10* in

the GG group (where blue eyes is expected) and *IRF4*, *TYRP1*, and *OCA2* in the AA+AG group

(where brown eyes is expected).

Genotype-stratified Associations with Eye Colour in Recent Studies

In 2020, Meyer and colleagues (10) conducted a candidate gene study investigating the

association between brown eye colour in rs12913832:GG individuals and SNPs in previously

known pigmentation genes (*TYR*, *TYRP1* and *SLC24A4*). The group identified four variants to

be the most promising candidates for the explanation of the genotype-phenotype discordances

observed in GG individuals: *TYRP1* rs35866166:C, *TYRP1* rs62538956:C, *SLC24A4*

rs1289469:C, and *TYR* rs1126809:G. Of these four markers, three were replicated in our fine-

map analyses: *TYRP1* rs35866166:C, *TYRP1* rs62538956:C and *TYR* rs1126809:G. The *TYR*

rs1126809 SNP is represented in **Table 3** and has a high PIP of 0.96, strongly suggesting it is the

causal variant, with the G allele strongly associated with darker iris pigmentation in

rs12913832:GG individuals.   The *TYRP1* rs35866166 and *TYRP1* rs62538956 markers are

present in our 95% credible set, however, they each had very low PIP values (0.001 and 0.003

respectively) and our fine-mapping analysis prioritize a different variant within this region

(rs2733836). That being said, we did find that the effect direction was the same in our study,

with the rs35866166:C and rs62538956:C alleles corresponding to positive beta values (in the

direction of eye colour darkening with our linear model). The authors did note that none of the

variants identified in the candidate gene study showed statistically significant associations with

eye colour after traditional multiple testing correction, but in our GWAS we found rs1126809

and rs62538956 to surpass genome-wide significance (P=1.200E-19 and P=5.846E-9

respectively), and rs35866166 to be nominally significant (P=2.950E-6). Three years later, in

2023, Salvo and colleagues (54) performed an additional candidate gene study investigating the

association between variants in the *HERC2/OCA2* region and blue eye colour in

rs12913832:AA+AG individuals. They identified five novel variants to be the most promising

candidates for explanation of blue eye colour in individuals with a brown-eye background:

rs74409036:A (*OCA2*), rs78544415:T (*OCA2*), rs72714116:T (*OCA2*), rs191109490:C (*HERC2*)

and rs551217952:C (*HERC2*). None of these SNPs are genome-wide significant in our analysis,

and our analysis points to different markers within this region. We describe in more detail the

main regions and polymorphisms identified in our stratified analysis below.

Eye Colour Darkening in GG Stratified Individuals

SLC24A4 and **SLC45A2**: *SLC24A4* encodes a sodium/calcium/potassium exchange protein

(GeneCards, 2025). Variants in this gene have been previously implicated in skin, hair and eye

pigmentation, although its precise function in melanogenesis remains unclear (55,56). Our lead

SNP in this region is rs12896399, which is situated approximately 15,000 base pairs upstream of

the *SLC24A4* transcription start site and has been included in established eye colour prediction

tools, including IrisPlex (57–59) and Snipper 3.5 (60). The T allele of rs12896399 is associated

with blue eye colour (59), a relationship also observed in this study. In our preliminary 2023

investigation of rs12913832:GG individuals (12), we observed strong linkage disequilibrium

among SNPs within the *SLC24A4* credible set, with pairwise comparisons yielding r^2 values

greater than 0.9. eQTL data in GTEx indicates that a G allele at rs12896399 is associated with

increased *SLC24A4* expression, suggesting that higher expression of this transporter is able to

compensate for the reduced expression of *OCA2* in rs12913832:GG individuals. Our results

point to rs12896399:G, or closely linked variants, as strong candidates for darker eye colours in

GG individuals.

SLC45A2 encodes another transmembrane transporter involved in melanin biosynthesis. This

gene is expressed in melanoma cell lines and is implicated in oculocutaneous albinism type 4. Its

polymorphisms are most commonly associated with variation in skin and hair pigmentation (61).

The *SLC45A2* variant rs16891982 is included in both the IrisPlex (57–59) and The Snipper 3.5

(60) models, and appeared in our COJO and fine-mapping analyses of rs12913832:GG

individuals. However, rs16891982 was not the top candidate within the credible set (PIP=0.155).

Instead, rs35389 emerged as the most likely causal variant, with a PIP of 0.408. These two SNPs

are in linkage disequilibrium, as confirmed by LDpair (D'=1.0, R²=0.6964, χ²=700.577, P<0.001

with EUR reference) (Machiela & Chanock, 2015). While rs35389 has not been previously

associated with eye colour, it has been evaluated as an ancestry informative marker (62) and has

shown significant associations with methylation patterns in the context of population

stratification (63).

TYRP1: Notably, *TYRP1* emerged as a consistent locus across both genetic backgrounds. *TYRP1*

encodes the tyrosinase-related protein 1, which is a member of the tyrosinase family and is

involved in the synthesis of eumelanin (64). Specifically, it is responsible for the stabilization of

tyrosinase, which is an important enzyme in the initiation of melanin synthesis (65) and

contributes to melanosomal structure and maturation (66). *TYRP1* is primarily expressed in

melanocytes and the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) (67). Only one marker, rs2733836,

illustrated association in the GG background. There are no *TYRP1* variants currently included in

eye colour prediction tools specifically, although rs683 appears for the prediction of both hair

and eye colour (57–59). In 2009, Liu et al. (55) compared predictive power of a six-SNP model

(*HERC2* rs12913832, *OCA2* rs1800407, *SLC24A4* rs12896399, *SLC45A2* rs16891982, *TYR*

rs1393350, and *IRF4* rs122203592) with a 15 SNP model where two *TYRP1* variants were

included (rs1408799 and rs683) and found that the inclusion of the additional SNPs provided

very minimal additive effects and did not significantly improve predictive accuracy. rs2733836

(associated in this study), rs1408799 and rs683 are in linkage disequilibrium (D'>0.7)

(Supplementary **Fig S3**), yet rs2733836 has not previously been associated with eye colour. Not

much is known of the variant's consequence apart from the fact that it is located 2 kilobases

upstream of *TYRP1*; the variant itself is not a protein coding variant and is therefore not

expressed, but the gene is, and can be found most highly expressed in skin (30–34).

TYR: The primary *TYR* variant identified in our study was rs1126809, a coding SNP in exon 4 of

TYR, and most likely causal variant in this region (PIP=0.960). The alternative allele (A) induces

a missense mutation that renders the tyrosinase enzyme thermosensitive and less efficient,

reducing melanin synthesis (68,69). Given that *TYR* is the rate-limiting enzyme in melanogenesis

(70,71), individuals carrying the A allele are expected to exhibit lighter pigmentation. Our results

indicate that the presence of the rs1126809 G allele can oppose/counteract the effects of reduced

OCA2 expression driven by the rs12913832:GG genotype

There is currently only one *TYR* variant included in eye colour phenotyping models: rs1393350

(57–60,72,72–76). rs1393350 and rs1126809 are in near-perfect linkage disequilibrium (D'=1.0,

R²=0.9532, χ^2=958.8784, P<0.001, with EUR reference), as reported by LDpair (77). Our

findings echo that of Meyer et al., 2020 (10) and raise the possibility that rs1126809 may be a

more biologically informative marker than rs1393350. Both variants reached genome-wide

significance in our GWAS and were identified in fine-mapping analyses; however, rs1393350

demonstrated a notably low posterior inclusion probability (PIP=0.017) despite its strong P-value

(P=5.81E-18), suggesting it may not be the causal variant but rather tags a signal in high LD.

Importantly, while rs1393350 is known to associate with iris colour (with the A allele enriched in

green eyes and the G allele in blue) (56), its moderating function remains poorly defined. In

contrast, rs1126809 is a functional missense variant that reduces tyrosinase enzymatic activity

through thermosensitivity, directly impacting melanin production.

TSPAN10: *TSPAN10*, or tetraspanin-10, has recently been associated with both quantitative and

categorical measurements of eye colour (1,63). It is known to be expressed in the eye, including

iris, ciliary body and RPE (78), however, its specific contribution to pigmentation variation has

yet to be fully identified. There are no *TSPAN10* (or *NPLOC4*) variants currently included in any

DNA phenotyping tools. In our analysis, rs62075722 illustrated the highest PIP (0.174) of the

credible set and is an eQTL in GTEx (40), although it should be noted that a PIP of 0.174 is low

and high LD among variants in the credible set makes it difficult to identify a singular putative

causal variant. The expression data indicates that a G allele at this locus is associated with

increased expression and a darker iris colour in GG stratified individuals. This is concordant with

the other two variants identified by FINEMAP, rs6420284 and rs34635363, which are also

significantly expressed in skin tissue in GTEx.   Both the rs6420284:G allele and the

rs34635363:G allele are associated with increased expression and darker iris pigmentation.

Eye Colour Lightening in AA+AG Stratified Individuals

IRF4: The transcription factor *IRF4* has been shown to enhance *TYR* gene expression through

interaction with MITF, a key regulator in melanocyte development (6). One specific *IRF4*

variant, rs12203592, has been demonstrated to influence *IRF4* expression in melanocytes (79),

and is included in the IrisPlex prediction panel (57,58). In the present study, we detected a

significant association between eye colour and rs12203592 in individuals carrying the AA or AG

genotypes at rs12913832. Prior research also suggests that interactions between *IRF4* and *OCA2*

may modulate eye pigmentation. For instance, both Laino et al. (80) and Lona-Durazo et al. (11)

reported that individuals with rs12913832 AA or AG genotypes – generally linked to darker eye

colour – can still present with blue eyes if they carry one or two copies of the rs12203592:T

allele, which has been associated with lighter pigmentation (80). The T allele at rs12203592

leads to decreased *IRF4* expression (40). Phenotypically, carriers of the T allele tend to exhibit

lighter eye colour (e.g., blue or green), particularly among those with the rs12913832:AA or AG

genotypes, where the effect of the T allele can partially override the darker eye phenotype

typically associated with these genotypes.

TYRP1: The observation of *TYRP1* variants (rs7853779, rs10960723, rs713596, rs276257,

rs2762460, rs2733831) in the AA+AG genetic background is both interesting, and more difficult

to interpret as there are no other studies, candidate gene or otherwise, which have investigated

the role of *TYRP1* SNPs in individuals with an rs12913832:AA+AG genetic background. Of the

six *TYRP1* variants with a $\log10_{BF}>2$, only three have published associations in scientific

literature. rs2762460 has been previously associated with breast cancer (81), and epidemiological

studies have proposed that melanoma and breast cancer may share genetic factors (82,83),

positioning *TYRP1* as a pleiotropic candidate gene. rs2762457 was used as a tag SNP in a

candidate gene study of individuals with a blue eye genetic background, however, it was

determined not to be associated with eye colour, but rather in strong LD with other SNPs

associated with eye colour (10). Of note, our study indicates that variants within this gene, which

is known to play an important role in melanin synthesis, may be responsible for darker eye

colours in rs12913832:GG individuals and lighter eye colours in rs12913832:AA+AG

individuals.

It is also interesting to note that the *TYRP1* variant identified in the GG genetic background is in

high LD with the *TYRP1* variants identified in the AA+AG genetic background (all pairwise

relationships have a D'>0.9 and R^2>0.69, as confirmed by LDmatrix (with EUR reference) (77)).

Variants in this region may make good candidates for SNP-SNP interaction tests in future

studies, however, it would be important to consider that formal tests of epistatic interactions

often suffer from statistical power and multiple hypothesis testing limitations.

HERC2/OCA2: *OCA2* encodes the P protein, a critical transmembrane component of the

melanosome that plays a vital role in melanin biosynthesis and pigmentation (84). In addition to

transporting melanosomal content, the P protein helps regulate melanosomal pH, which in turn

influences both the amount and quality of melanin deposited in melanocytes (5,7). Dysfunction

or loss of this protein, observed in both mice and humans, results in oculocutaneous albinism,

underscoring its essential function in pigmentation pathways (7,85). Regulation of *OCA2*

expression occurs via a long-range enhancer located within intron 86 of the neighbouring

HERC2 gene. Reduced expression of *OCA2*, mediated by variation in this enhancer, disrupts

melanosome maturation and limits the effective processing and transport of melanin. This results

in lighter pigmentation (such as that observed in blue eyes) due to compromised P protein

availability (5).

In our study, multiple *HERC2/OCA2* variants were observed to be associated with eye colour

variation in AA+AG individuals. By applying a Bayesian fine-map approach we found that the

most likely number of causal variants for this genomic region was three, for which three

candidates were located in *OCA2* (rs1800407, rs4778138/rs7164220) and one candidate in

HERC2 (rs79380392). rs1800407 is a missense variant currently included in forensic

phenotyping tools (57–59). The rs1800407:T allele encodes the amino acid glutamate and having

just one copy of the T allele is associated with an increased probability of having green and hazel

eye colours in European populations (52,53). Given this, the presence of the T allele at

rs1800407 in our study may help explain the elevated frequency of self-reported green and hazel

eye colours by individuals with rs12913832:AA or AG genotypes (**Fig 4**). With a PIP of 0.997,

and the only SNP with a $\log_{10}$BF>2 in this credible set, rs1800407 appears to be the first of three

causal SNPs in this region. In the second credible set (rs7164220 and rs4778138), it is difficult to

parse which variant is the causal variant. rs4778138 was identified as an independent signal in

the COJO analysis, however, rs7164220 possesses the slightly higher PIP in the FINEMAP

analysis (PIP=0.472). rs4778138 has been previously identified as being involved in a three-SNP

haplotype system (rs4778138, rs4778241, and rs7495174) associated with blue eyes (86). In

addition to rs4778138, we also observed rs7495174 from the blue eye haplotype in our study;

although it was only nominally significant (PIP=004, P=1.87E-7), it may help explain the

instances of blue eyes in the AA+AG cohort. Finally, rs79380392 appears to be the causal SNP

in the third credible set as the only SNP with a $\log_{10}$BF>2. It is important to note that, although

highlighted by FINEMAP, this SNP did not reach genome-wide significance in our study (Table

3), so this putative causal variant must be interpreted with caution.

Replication

The replication analyses provided strong confirmation for the majority of associations identified

in the discovery cohort. Among GG stratified individuals, three out of five independent signals

(*TYR* rs1126809, *SLC24A4* rs12896399 and *TSPAN10* rs62075722) replicated with Bonferroni-

corrected significance in at least one quantitative dimension. These associations were most

688 commonly observed in the b* dimension (blue-yellow). Among AA+AG individuals, three of

689 four loci (*IRF4* rs12203592, *OCA2* rs1800407, and *OCA2* rs4778138) survived Bonferroni

690 correction across multiple quantitative measures. These associations were most commonly

691 observed in the L* (lightness) dimension, consistent with the decrease in eumelanin

692 concentration that accompanies a transition from brown eye colours to intermediate and blue eye

693 colours. The results from the linear model using self-reported eye colour categories were also

694 quite consistent with the CanPath sample results, with the replicated variants showing the same

695 effect directions as those observed in linear CanPath analysis.

696 Importantly, rs12913832 was included in the AA+AG replication because there is variation in

697 this group (1 versus 2 copies of the ancestral allele). The results indicate that having one derived

698 allele (G) increases the likelihood of lighter eyes, in other words, the marker does not behave in

699 an entirely dominant fashion. In fact, the effect of this marker is particularly strong for ΔE,

700 indicating that heterozygous individuals are more likely to have central heterochromia. This

701 observation has been reported in previous studies, where each copy of the ancestral allele (A)

702 decreased the colour difference between the ciliary and pupillary zones (41). The same study

703 also notes that rs12913832 may have both dominant/recessive and additive effects on iris colour

704 variation, depending on which dimensions of colour space are being measured (41).

705 *Conclusion*

706 A limitation of our study is the reliance on self-reported eye colour categories provided by

707 participants in the CanPath cohorts. While practical, such categorical data may not reflect the full

708 spectrum of iris pigmentation as accurately as quantitative measurement approaches (41,63,87).

709 Additionally, participants may report their eye colour inaccurately or may not know which

category to report (consider an individual with central heterochromia where the outer portion of

their eye appears blue but there is a brown ring around the pupil). In this regard, we were

fortunate to be able to replicate many of our signals in an independent European dataset for

which quantitative iris colour measurements and a quantitative measurement of heterochromia

were available. It is also important to note that the main goal of our project was to identify

potential modifiers of the effect of rs12913832 polymorphism on eye colour, and not to evaluate

the improvement on eye colour prediction based on the addition of these regions to current eye

colour prediction panels, which ideally would require having access to additional large datasets

with well-curated eye colour and genotype data. Finally, we fully acknowledge that solely

focusing on individuals with European genetic ancestry limits the conclusions that can be drawn.

Future studies would benefit from both increased size and diversity of study samples. Not only

would it be interesting to see replication of results in other genetic ancestry groups, but an

expansion of sample size and diversity may also draw attention to other genomic regions that are

involved in the large spectrum of observed eye colour variation. In particular, recently admixed

populations would provide an excellent opportunity to study in more detail gene-gene

interactions shaping eye colour variation. The presence of uncharacterized alleles and epistatic

effects may explain in part why forensic prediction tools for pigmentary traits have poorer

performance in recently admixed populations than in European groups (88–90).

Together, these findings emphasize that the genetic modifiers of eye colour differ substantially

between individuals with the rs12913832:GG and rs12913832:AA+AG backgrounds. When

combined with expression information from GTEx, a clear pattern emerges from our GWAS

data. For those with GG genotypes, who typically present with blue eyes, variants in core

melanin synthesis genes (*TYR*, *TYRP1*), transporter proteins located on melanosomes (*SLC24A4*,

SLC45A2), and potentially *TSPAN10* appear to contribute to darker-than-expected eye colour.

Specifically, we observe that rs1126809:G (*TYR*), rs12896399:G (*SLC24A4*), and rs62075722:G

(*TSPAN10*) all lead to increased expression of their respective genes (as reported in GTEx), and

demonstrated eye colour darkening effects in GG stratified individuals. Conversely, among

AA+AG individuals, lighter iris colours appear to result from a) other markers disrupting the

expression or function of the *OCA2* gene and its product, independently of the *HERC2*

rs12913832 polymorphism, and b) modulation by *IRF4*, which interacts with MITF and TFAP2α

(transcription factor AP2α) to regulate *TYR* expression (91). For the two lead SNPs which had

eQTLs in GTEx, we see that the rs12203592:T (*IRF4*) and rs1800407:T allele (*OCA2*) decrease

expression in their respective genes, contributing to eye colour lightening in the AA+AG

stratified individuals. Interestingly, *TYRP1* emerges in both genotype stratified groups. It seems

that *TYRP1* may work both to counteract low expression *OCA2* levels in individuals with GG

genotypes, and also decrease melanin levels in individuals with AA+AG genotypes.

In summary, this study advances our understanding of eye colour variability by identifying

genetic variants that may modulate pigmentation in individuals with discordant *HERC2*

rs12913832 genotypes. The identification of shared and background-specific loci underscores the

polygenic and regulatory complexity of iris pigmentation. By integrating categorical self-report

data with quantitative phenotype replication, our findings provide new insights into how

moderating genetic factors contribute to subtle (and sometimes more pronounced) deviations

from expected eye colour.

Acknowledgements

References

1. Simcoe M, Valdes A, Liu F, Furlotte NA, Evans DM, Hemani G, et al. Genome-wide
association study in almost 195,000 individuals identifies 50 previously unidentified genetic
loci for eye color. Sci Adv [Internet]. 2021 Mar 12 [cited 2025 Jul 14];7(11). Available from:
https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/sciadv.abd1239

2. Eiberg H, Troelsen J, Nielsen M, Mikkelsen A, Mengel-From J, Kjaer KW, et al. Blue eye
color in humans may be caused by a perfectly associated founder mutation in a regulatory
element located within the HERC2 gene inhibiting OCA2 expression. Hum Genet. 2008
Mar;123(2):177–87.

3. Sturm RA, Larsson M. Genetics of human iris colour and patterns. Pigment Cell Melanoma
Res. 2009 Oct;22(5):544–62.

4. Sturm RA, Duffy DL, Zhao ZZ, Leite FPN, Stark MS, Hayward NK, et al. A Single SNP in
an Evolutionary Conserved Region within Intron 86 of the HERC2 Gene Determines Human
Blue-Brown Eye Color. Am J Hum Genet. 2008 Feb;82(2):424–31.

5. Visser M, Kayser M, Palstra RJ. *HERC2* rs12913832 modulates human pigmentation by
attenuating chromatin-loop formation between a long-range enhancer and the *OCA2*
promoter. Genome Res. 2012 Mar;22(3):446–55.

6. Visser M, Palstra RJ, Kayser M. Allele-specific transcriptional regulation of IRF4 in
melanocytes is mediated by chromatin looping of the intronic rs12203592 enhancer to the
IRF4 promoter. Hum Mol Genet. 2015 May 1;24(9):2649–61.

7. Walsh S, Liu F, Ballantyne KN, Van Oven M, Lao O, Kayser M. IrisPlex: A sensitive DNA
tool for accurate prediction of blue and brown eye colour in the absence of ancestry
information. Forensic Sci Int Genet. 2011 Jun;5(3):170–80.

8. Andersen JD, Pietroni C, Johansen P, Andersen MM, Pereira V, Børsting C, et al.
Importance of nonsynonymous *OCA 2* variants in human eye color prediction. Mol Genet
Genomic Med. 2016 Jul;4(4):420–30.

9. Andersen JD, Johansen P, Harder S, Christoffersen SR, Delgado MC, Henriksen ST, et al.
Genetic analyses of the human eye colours using a novel objective method for eye colour
classification. Forensic Sci Int Genet. 2013 Sep;7(5):508–15.

10. Meyer OS, Lunn MMB, Garcia SL, Kjærbye AB, Morling N, Børsting C, et al. Association
between brown eye colour in rs12913832:GG individuals and SNPs in TYR, TYRP1, and
SLC24A4. Parine NR, editor. PLOS ONE. 2020 Sep 11;15(9):e0239131.

11. Lona-Durazo F, Thakur R, Pairo-Castineira E, Funderburk K, Zhang T, Kovacs MA, et al.
Investigating the genetic architecture of eye colour in a Canadian cohort. iScience. 2022
Jun;25(6):104485.

12. Abbatangelo CL, Lona Durazo F, Wendt FR, Parra EJ, Novroski NMM. From Genetic
Association to Forensic Prediction: Computational Methods and Tools for Identifying
Phenotypically Informative Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms. Forensic Genomics. 2023 Jun
1;3(2):47–68.

13. Borugian MJ, Robson P, Fortier I, Parker L, McLaughlin J, Knoppers BM, et al. The
Canadian Partnership for Tomorrow Project: building a pan-Canadian research platform for
disease prevention. Can Med Assoc J. 2010 Aug 10;182(11):1197–201.

14. Dummer TJB, Awadalla P, Boileau C, Craig C, Fortier I, Goel V, et al. The Canadian
Partnership for Tomorrow Project: a pan-Canadian platform for research on chronic disease
prevention. Can Med Assoc J. 2018 Jun 11;190(23):E710–7.

15. Danecek P, Bonfield JK, Liddle J, Marshall J, Ohan V, Pollard MO, et al. Twelve years of
SAMtools and BCFtools. GigaScience [Internet]. 2021 Jan 29 [cited 2025 Jul 14];10(2).
Available from:
https://academic.oup.com/gigascience/article/doi/10.1093/gigascience/giab008/6137722

16. Li H. A statistical framework for SNP calling, mutation discovery, association mapping and
population genetical parameter estimation from sequencing data. Bioinformatics. 2011 Nov
1;27(21):2987–93.

17. Danecek P, Auton A, Abecasis G, Albers CA, Banks E, DePristo MA, et al. The variant call
format and VCFtools. Bioinformatics. 2011 Aug 1;27(15):2156–8.

18. Chang CC, Chow CC, Tellier LC, Vattikuti S, Purcell SM, Lee JJ. Second-generation
PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. Gigascience [Internet]. 2015
Dec 1 [cited 2025 Jul 14];4(1). Available from:
https://academic.oup.com/gigascience/article/doi/10.1186/s13742-015-0047-8/2707533

19. Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MAR, Bender D, et al. PLINK: A
Tool Set for Whole-Genome Association and Population-Based Linkage Analyses. Am J
Hum Genet. 2007 Sep;81(3):559–75.

20. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R
Foundation for Statistical Computing:; 2022.

21. Zhou W, Nielsen JB, Fritsche LG, Dey R, Gabrielsen ME, Wolford BN, et al. Efficiently
controlling for case-control imbalance and sample relatedness in large-scale genetic
association studies. Nat Genet. 2018 Sep;50(9):1335–41.

22. Zhao J. METASOFT [Internet]. Available from: https://github.com/jinghuazhao/software-
notes/tree/master/docs/files/METASOFT

23. Han B, Eskin E. Random-Effects Model Aimed at Discovering Associations in Meta-
Analysis of Genome-wide Association Studies. Am J Hum Genet. 2011 May;88(5):586–98.

24. Higgins JPT. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. BMJ. 2003 Sep 6;327(7414):557–
60.

25. Higgins JPT, Thompson SG. Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta□analysis. Stat Med. 2002
Jun 15;21(11):1539–58.

26. Higgins JPT, Little J, Ioannidis JPA, Bray MS, Manolio TA, Smeeth L, et al. Turning the
Pump Handle: Evolving Methods for Integrating the Evidence on Gene-Disease Association.
Am J Epidemiol. 2007 Aug 28;166(8):863–6.

27. Kavvoura FK, Ioannidis JPA. Methods for meta-analysis in genetic association studies: a
review of their potential and pitfalls. Hum Genet. 2008 Feb;123(1):1–14.

28. Yang J, Lee SH, Goddard ME, Visscher PM. GCTA: A Tool for Genome-wide Complex
Trait Analysis. Am J Hum Genet. 2011 Jan;88(1):76–82.

29. Benner C, Spencer CCA, Havulinna AS, Salomaa V, Ripatti S, Pirinen M. FINEMAP:
efficient variable selection using summary data from genome-wide association studies.
Bioinformatics. 2016 May 15;32(10):1493–501.

30. Chelala C, Khan A, Lemoine NR. SNPnexus: a web database for functional annotation of
newly discovered and public domain single nucleotide polymorphisms. Bioinformatics. 2009
Mar 1;25(5):655–61.

31. Oscanoa J, Sivapalan L, Gadaleta E, Dayem Ullah AZ, Lemoine NR, Chelala C. SNPnexus:
a web server for functional annotation of human genome sequence variation (2020 update).
Nucleic Acids Res. 2020 Jul 2;48(W1):W185–92.

32. Dayem Ullah AZ, Lemoine NR, Chelala C. SNPnexus: a web server for functional
annotation of novel and publicly known genetic variants (2012 update). Nucleic Acids Res.
2012 Jul 1;40(W1):W65–70.

33. Dayem Ullah AZ, Lemoine NR, Chelala C. A practical guide for the functional annotation of
genetic variations using SNPnexus. Brief Bioinform. 2013 Jul 1;14(4):437–47.

34. Dayem Ullah AZ, Oscanoa J, Wang J, Nagano A, Lemoine NR, Chelala C. SNPnexus:
assessing the functional relevance of genetic variation to facilitate the promise of precision
medicine. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018 Jul 2;46(W1):W109–13.

35. Landrum MJ, Lee JM, Riley GR, Jang W, Rubinstein WS, Church DM, et al. ClinVar: public
archive of relationships among sequence variation and human phenotype. Nucleic Acids Res.
2014 Jan;42(D1):D980–5.

36. Ng PC, Henikoff S. Predicting Deleterious Amino Acid Substitutions. Genome Res. 2001
May 1;11(5):863–74.

37. Adzhubei IA, Schmidt S, Peshkin L, Ramensky VE, Gerasimova A, Bork P, et al. A method
and server for predicting damaging missense mutations. Nat Methods. 2010 Apr;7(4):248–9.

38. Schubach M, Maass T, Nazaretyan L, Röner S, Kircher M. CADD v1.7: using protein
language models, regulatory CNNs and other nucleotide-level scores to improve genome-
wide variant predictions. Nucleic Acids Res. 2024 Jan 5;52(D1):D1143–54.

39. Szklarczyk D, Kirsch R, Koutrouli M, Nastou K, Mehryary F, Hachilif R, et al. The STRING
database in 2023: protein–protein association networks and functional enrichment analyses
for any sequenced genome of interest. Nucleic Acids Res. 2023 Jan 6;51(D1):D638–46.

40. The GTEx Consortium, Aguet F, Anand S, Ardlie KG, Gabriel S, Getz GA, et al. The GTEx
Consortium atlas of genetic regulatory effects across human tissues. Science. 2020 Sep
11;369(6509):1318–30.

41. Edwards M, Cha D, Krithika S, Johnson M, Cook G, Parra EJ. Iris pigmentation as a
quantitative trait: variation in populations of European, East Asian and South Asian ancestry
and association with candidate gene polymorphisms. Pigment Cell Melanoma Res. 2016
Mar;29(2):141–62.

42. Durbin R. Efficient haplotype matching and storage using the positional Burrows–Wheeler
transform (PBWT). Bioinformatics. 2014 May 1;30(9):1266–72.

43. Peña-Chilet M, Blanquer-Maceiras M, Ibarrola-Villava M, Martinez-Cadenas C, Martin-
Gonzalez M, Gomez-Fernandez C, et al. Genetic variants in PARP1 (rs3219090) and
IRF4(rs12203592) genes associated with melanoma susceptibility in a Spanish population.
BMC Cancer [Internet]. 2013 Dec [cited 2025 Jul 14];13(1). Available from:
https://bmccancer.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2407-13-160

44. Jacobs LC, Liu F, Pardo LM, Hofman A, Uitterlinden AG, Kayser M, et al. IRF4, MC1R and
TYR genes are risk factors for actinic keratosis independent of skin color. Hum Mol Genet.
2015 Jun 1;24(11):3296–303.

45. Landi MT, Bishop DT, MacGregor S, Machiela MJ, Stratigos AJ, Ghiorzo P, et al. Genome-
wide association meta-analyses combining multiple risk phenotypes provide insights into the
genetic architecture of cutaneous melanoma susceptibility. Nat Genet. 2020 May;52(5):494–
504.

46. Branicki W, Brudnik U, Wojas☐Pelc A. Interactions Between *HERC2* , *OCA2* and *MC1R*
May Influence Human Pigmentation Phenotype. Ann Hum Genet. 2009 Mar;73(2):160–70.

47. Donnelly MP, Paschou P, Grigorenko E, Gurwitz D, Barta C, Lu RB, et al. A global view of
the OCA2-HERC2 region and pigmentation. Hum Genet. 2012 May;131(5):683–96.

48. Wallpe C. Understanding the relationship between HERC2 and OCA2 variants and iris
pigmentation genetics. [Internet]. Purdue University; 2021. Available from:
https://hammer.purdue.edu/articles/thesis/UNDERSTANDING_THE_RELATIONSHIP_BE
TWEEN_HERC2_AND_OCA2_VARIANTS_AND_IRIS_PIGMENTATION_GENETICS/
15057078?file=28957086

49. Ghodsinejad Kalahroudi V, Kamalidehghan B, Arasteh Kani A, Aryani O, Tondar M,
Ahmadipour F, et al. Two Novel Tyrosinase (TYR) Gene Mutations with Pathogenic Impact
on Oculocutaneous Albinism Type 1 (OCA1). Anderson MG, editor. PLoS ONE. 2014 Sep
12;9(9):e106656.

50. Michaud V, Lasseaux E, Green DJ, Gerrard DT, Plaisant C, UK Biobank Eye and Vision
Consortium, et al. The contribution of common regulatory and protein-coding TYR variants
to the genetic architecture of albinism. Nat Commun [Internet]. 2022 Jul 8 [cited 2025 Jul
14];13(1). Available from: https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-022-31392-3

51. Monfermé S, Lasseaux E, Duncombe-Poulet C, Hamel C, Defoort-Dhellemmes S, Drumare
I, et al. Mild form of oculocutaneous albinism type 1: phenotypic analysis of compound
heterozygous patients with the R402Q variant of the TYR gene. Br J Ophthalmol. 2019
Sep;103(9):1239–47.

52. Jannot AS, Meziani R, Bertrand G, Gérard B, Descamps V, Archimbaud A, et al. Allele
variations in the OCA2 gene (pink-eyed-dilution locus) are associated with genetic
susceptibility to melanoma. Eur J Hum Genet. 2005 Aug;13(8):913–20.

53. Rebbeck TR, Kanetsky, PA, Walker AH, Holmes R, Halpern AC, Schuchter LM, et al. P
Gene as an Inherited Biomarker of Human Eye Color. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.
2002;11(8):782–4.

54. Salvo NM, Andersen JD, Janssen K, Meyer OL, Berg T, Børsting C, et al. Association
between Variants in the OCA2-HERC2 Region and Blue Eye Colour in HERC2 rs12913832
AA and AG Individuals. Genes. 2023 Mar 11;14(3):698.

55. Liu F, Van Duijn K, Vingerling JR, Hofman A, Uitterlinden AG, Janssens ACJW, et al. Eye
color and the prediction of complex phenotypes from genotypes. Curr Biol. 2009
Mar;19(5):R192–3.

56. Sulem P, Gudbjartsson DF, Stacey SN, Helgason A, Rafnar T, Magnusson KP, et al. Genetic
determinants of hair, eye and skin pigmentation in Europeans. Nat Genet. 2007
Dec;39(12):1443–52.

57. Chaitanya L, Breslin K, Zuñiga S, Wirken L, Pośpiech E, Kukla-Bartoszek M, et al. The
HIrisPlex-S system for eye, hair and skin colour prediction from DNA: Introduction and
forensic developmental validation. Forensic Sci Int Genet. 2018 Jul;35:123–35.

58. Walsh S, Chaitanya L, Breslin K, Muralidharan C, Bronikowska A, Pospiech E, et al. Global
skin colour prediction from DNA. Hum Genet. 2017 Jul;136(7):847–63.

59. Walsh S, Chaitanya L, Clarisse L, Wirken L, Draus-Barini J, Kovatsi L, et al. Developmental
validation of the HIrisPlex system: DNA-based eye and hair colour prediction for forensic
and anthropological usage. Forensic Sci Int Genet. 2014 Mar;9:150–61.

60. Ruiz Y, Phillips C, Gomez-Tato A, Alvarez-Dios J, Casares De Cal M, Cruz R, et al. Further
development of forensic eye color predictive tests. Forensic Sci Int Genet. 2013 Jan;7(1):28–
40.

61. www.genecards.org [Internet]. 2025 [cited 2025 Jun 30]. SLC45A2 gene - GeneCards
summary for uniprot function. Available from: ttps://www.genecards.org/cgi-
bin/carddisp.pl?gene=SLC45A2

62. Zeng X. Selection of Highly Informative Markers for Apportionment of Ancestry and
Population Affiliation [Internet]. University of North Texas Health Science Center at Fort
Worth; 2016. Available from:
http://myaccess.library.utoronto.ca/login?qurl=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.proquest.com%2Fdi
ssertations-theses%2Fselection-highly-informative-
markers%2Fdocview%2F1777348958%2Fse-2%3Faccountid%3D14771

63. Liu J, Hutchison K, Perrone-Bizzozero N, Morgan M, Sui J, Calhoun V. Identification of
Genetic and Epigenetic Marks Involved in Population Structure. Ravasi T, editor. PLoS
ONE. 2010 Oct 7;5(10):e13209.

64. www.genecards.org [Internet]. 2025 [cited 2025 Jun 30]. TYRP1 gene - GeneCards
summary for uniprot function. Available from: https://www.genecards.org/cgi-
bin/carddisp.pl?gene=TYRP1

65. Gautron A, Migault M, Bachelot L, Corre S, Galibert M, Gilot D. Human *TYRP1*: Two
functions for a single gene? Pigment Cell Melanoma Res. 2021 Sep;34(5):836–52.

66. Sarangarajan R, Boissy RE. Tyrp1 and Oculocutaneous Albinism Type 3. Pigment Cell Res.
2001 Dec;14(6):437–44.

67. Murisier F, Beermann F. Genetics of pigment cells: lessons from the tyrosinase gene family.
Histol Histopathol. 2006;(21):567–78.

68. Berson JF, Frank DW, Calvo PA, Bieler BM, Marks MS. A Common Temperature-sensitive
Allelic Form of Human Tyrosinase Is Retained in the Endoplasmic Reticulum at the
Nonpermissive Temperature. J Biol Chem. 2000 Apr;275(16):12281–9.

69. Jagirdar K, Smit DJ, Ainger SA, Lee KJ, Brown DL, Chapman B, et al. Molecular analysis
of common polymorphisms within the human *Tyrosinase* locus and genetic association with
pigmentation traits. Pigment Cell Melanoma Res. 2014 Jul;27(4):552–64.

70. Cooksey CJ, Garratt PJ, Land EJ, Pavel S, Ramsden CA, Riley PA, et al. Evidence of the
Indirect Formation of the Catecholic Intermediate Substrate Responsible for the
Autoactivation Kinetics of Tyrosinase. J Biol Chem. 1997 Oct;272(42):26226–35.

71. Biosynthesis of Melanins. In: Melanins and Melanosomes [Internet]. 1st ed. Wiley; 2011
[cited 2025 Jul 14]. p. 87–116. Available from:
https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/9783527636150.ch4

72. Eduardoff M, Gross TE, Santos C, De La Puente M, Ballard D, Strobl C, et al. Inter-
laboratory evaluation of the EUROFORGEN Global ancestry-informative SNP panel by
massively parallel sequencing using the Ion PGM™. Forensic Sci Int Genet. 2016
Jul;23:178–89.

73. Phillips C, Salas A, Sánchez JJ, Fondevila M, Gómez-Tato A, Álvarez-Dios J, et al. Inferring
ancestral origin using a single multiplex assay of ancestry-informative marker SNPs.
Forensic Sci Int Genet. 2007 Dec;1(3–4):273–80.

74. Phillips C, Parson W, Lundsberg B, Santos C, Freire-Aradas A, Torres M, et al. Building a
forensic ancestry panel from the ground up: The EUROFORGEN Global AIM-SNP set.
Forensic Sci Int Genet. 2014 Jul;11:13–25.

75. Inference of Ancestry in Forensic Analysis I: Autosomal Ancestry-Informative Marker Sets.
In: Methods in Molecular Biology [Internet]. New York, NY: Springer New York; 2016
[cited 2025 Jul 14]. p. 233–53. Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-1-4939-
3597-0_18

76. Inference of Ancestry in Forensic Analysis II: Analysis of Genetic Data. In: Methods in
Molecular Biology [Internet]. New York, NY: Springer New York; 2016 [cited 2025 Jul 14].
p. 255–85. Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-1-4939-3597-0_19

77. Machiela MJ, Chanock SJ. LDlink: a web-based application for exploring population-
specific haplotype structure and linking correlated alleles of possible functional variants.
Bioinformatics. 2015 Nov 1;31(21):3555–7.

78. www.unitpro.org [Internet]. 2025 [cited 2025 Jun 15]. TSN10_HUMAN (Q9H1Z9).
Available from: https://www.uniprot.org/uniprotkb/Q9H1Z9/entry#expression

79. Terzian T, Torchia EC, Dai D, Robinson SE, Murao K, Stiegmann RA, et al. p53 prevents
progression of nevi to melanoma predominantly through cell cycle regulation. Pigment Cell
Melanoma Res. 2010 Dec;23(6):781–94.

80. Laino AM, Berry EG, Jagirdar K, Lee KJ, Duffy DL, Soyer HP, et al. Iris pigmented lesions
as a marker of cutaneous melanoma risk: an Australian case-control study. Br J Dermatol.
2018 May;178(5):1119–27.

81. Wang S, Huo D, Kupfer S, Alleyne D, Ogundiran TO, Ojengbede O, et al. Genetic variation
in the vitamin D related pathway and breast cancer risk in women of African ancestry in the
root consortium. Int J Cancer. 2018 Jan;142(1):36–43.

82. Fuhrman B, Cardenas V. Melanocytic Nevi as Biomarkers of Breast Cancer Risk. PLoS
Med. 2014 Jun 10;11(6):e1001661.

83. Kvaskoff M, Bijon A, Mesrine S, Vilier A, Baglietto L, Fournier A, et al. Association
between Melanocytic Nevi and Risk of Breast Diseases: The French E3N Prospective
Cohort. Holmberg L, editor. PLoS Med. 2014 Jun 10;11(6):e1001660.

84. www.genecards.org [Internet]. 2025 [cited 2025 Jun 30]. OCA2 gene – GeneCards summary
for uniprot function. Available from: https://www.genecards.org/cgi-
bin/carddisp.pl?gene=OCA2&keywords=OCA2

85. Walsh S, Wollstein A, Liu F, Chakravarthy U, Rahu M, Seland JH, et al. DNA-based eye
colour prediction across Europe with the IrisPlex system. Forensic Sci Int Genet. 2012
May;6(3):330–40.

86. Duffy DL, Montgomery GW, Chen W, Zhao ZZ, Le L, James MR, et al. A Three–Single-
Nucleotide Polymorphism Haplotype in Intron 1 of OCA2 Explains Most Human Eye-Color
Variation. Am J Hum Genet. 2007 Feb;80(2):241–52.

87. Norton HL, Edwards M, Krithika S, Johnson M, Werren EA, Parra EJ. Quantitative
assessment of skin, hair, and iris variation in a diverse sample of individuals and associated
genetic variation. Am J Phys Anthropol. 2016 Aug;160(4):570–81.

88. Beleza S, Johnson NA, Candille SI, Absher DM, Coram MA, Lopes J, et al. Genetic
Architecture of Skin and Eye Color in an African-European Admixed Population. Spritz RA,
editor. PLoS Genet. 2013 Mar 21;9(3):e1003372.

89. Freire-Aradas A, Ruiz Y, Phillips C, Maroñas O, Söchtig J, Tato AG, et al. Exploring iris
colour prediction and ancestry inference in admixed populations of South America. Forensic
Sci Int Genet. 2014 Nov;13:3–9.

90. Marano LA, Andersen JD, Goncalves FT, Garcia ALO, Fridman C. Evaluation of HIrisplex-
S system markers for eye, skin and hair color prediction in an admixed Brazilian population.
Forensic Sci Int Genet Suppl Ser. 2019 Dec;7(1):427–8.

91. Chhabra Y, Yong HXL, Fane ME, Soogrim A, Lim W, Mahiuddin DN, et al. Genetic
variation in IRF4 expression modulates growth characteristics, tyrosinase expression and
interferon☐gamma response in melanocytic cells. Pigment Cell Melanoma Res. 2018
Jan;31(1):51–63.

1033

1034

1035   <u>Authors' Roles</u>

1036

1037   CLA: Formal Analysis, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing. FLD:

1038   Methodology, Writing – review and editing. ME: Data collection, Writing – review and editing.

1039   EJP: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – review and editing, Supervision.

1040

1041   <u>Competing Interests</u>

1042

1043   N/A

1044

1045

1046

1047

1048

1049

1050

1051

1052

1053

1054

1055

1056

1057

1058

1059

6 COHORTS
9 PROVINCES
300,000+ CANADIANS

CanPath

Canadian Partnership
for Tomorrow's Health

Preliminary Statistics and Quality control (QC)
PLINK (v2.0) and bcftools (v1.13) used to create separate files for individuals with AA+AG genotypes.

Genome-wide association study (GWAS)
SAIGE (v1.0.5) used to run logistic and linear model GWAS.

Meta-analysis
Metasoft (v2.0.1) used to combine different arrays used in CanPath study.

Conditional and joint analysis (COJO) using GWAS summary statistics
GCTA used to conduct COJO.

Fine-mapping
FINEMAP (v1.4) used to explore genome-wide significant signals and zoom in on local regions.

SNP annotation
SNPs explored in SNPNexus provide genomic context and understanding of function.

Replication
Replication in independent European sample (quantitative eye colour measurements)

Distribution of Self-Reported Eye Colour Stratified by Genetic Background