Genome co-adaptation and the evolution of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA)

Seungwon Ko[1*], Elizabeth A. Cummins[1*], William Monteith[1,2], Samuel K. Sheppard[1]

[1]**Ineos Oxford Institute for Antimicrobial Research, Department of Biology, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom**

[2]**Department of Biology, University of Bath, Bath, United Kingdom**

*These authors contributed equally.

Corresponding author: samuel.sheppard@biology.ox.ac.uk

Abstract

Background: Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) in bacterial pathogens is a major threat to global health, rendering standard treatments ineffective and increasing the risk of severe infection or death. Resistance is often conferred by genes that are transferred horizontally among species and strains. However, for many bacteria, little is known about the genetic variation that potentiates resistance gene acquisition and accommodates acquired genes in the coadapted recipient genome.

Results: Here we introduce a new bioinformatics genome-wide association study approach with Guided Omission of Linkage Disequilibrium (GOLD-GWAS) that masks covarying alleles explained by coinheritance and genome proximity to reveal genes where covarying sequence likely represents functional linkage between loci, consistent with epistasis. Analysing 806 *Staphylococcus aureus* isolate genomes, including methicillin-resistant (MRSA) and methicillin-susceptible (MSSA) strains, we identify genes that covary with the presence of the acquired staphylococcal cassette chromosome *mec* (SCC*mec*) that houses the *mecA* resistance gene.

Conclusions: Uncovering known and new gene-gene associations, we demonstrate how resistance can involve genetic coalitions beyond the well-known AMR genes. Understanding how genome change, here extrinsic resistance cassettes, are integrated within coadapted bacterial genomes is an important step towards mitigating AMR evolution by identifying novel genetic targets for risk prediction, diagnosis and therapy.

Keywords: Epistasis; Methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA); staphylococcal cassette chromosome *mec* (SCC*mec*); genome-wide association study (GWAS); co-variation.

Background

Bacterial populations can exhibit considerable trait variation. In some cases, closely related
strains of the same species can vary from harmless commensals to important pathogens
(1–3). Understanding how mutation and horizontal gene transfer (HGT) give rise to
divergent phenotypes is a major focus in microbiology, with modern genomics linking gene
function to trait variation in natural populations (4). Among the most problematic bacterial
phenotypes is antimicrobial resistance (AMR), with projections that treatment failure will be
associated with an estimated 50 million deaths worldwide by 2050 (5). Many of the genes,
alleles, and polymorphisms underlying resistance are known. However, the function of
genes depends on genomic context, and there is increasing evidence that the evolution of
AMR may involve multiple genes, even for well characterized mechanisms (6–9).

In some well-characterized instances, a single gene or nucleotide polymorphism can
increase resistance (10,11). Given this capacity for genomic plasticity, it can be tempting to
view genes as modular elements that can be 'plugged in' or 'switched on' to confer
resistance. However, this view oversimplifies the reality, as genes interact within genomes
to confer phenotypes. This can be a basic additive effect where genes independently
contribute to a phenotype, or non-additive effects where the effect of one gene or allele
depends on another. Phenotypes conferred by non-additive gene effects can be either
synergistic, where the sum of gene effects exceeds their individual contributions, or
epistatic, where there is functionally interdependence and the action of one gene depends
upon the other(s). Both can be associated with AMR (12–17).

Among the best-known AMR pathogens is methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*
(MRSA), a major cause of hospital acquired infections (18–20). The principal genetic driver
of methicillin resistance is the *mecA* gene, which codes for a modified penicillin-binding
protein (PBP2a) (21). This gene is commonly transported among *Staphylococcus* species
in the staphylococcal cassette chromosome *mec* (SCC*mec*) (22–24), integrating into the
recipient chromosome via sequence-specific recombination with *rlmH* (*orfX*) (25–27)
(Supplementary Figure 1). The distribution of SCC*mec* in staphylococcal populations is a
balance of forces favouring acquisition (28,29) and the fitness cost to the recipient strains
(30–33). Understanding these opposing forces and the genetic consequences of SCC*mec*
acquisition in natural populations requires large-scale comparative genomics.

Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have been used to understand the genetics
underlying phenotype variation in bacteria for over a decade (34). Bacterial GWAS has
been applied to identify genetic determinants of AMR in pathogens, improving
understanding of the emergence and spread of well-known resistance determinants,
including SCC*mec* in staphylococci (35–41). While these studies may also highlight genes
and alleles that covary with known AMR genes, this has seldom been an explicit aim. With
increasing appreciation of the importance of gene-gene interactions in integrated bacterial
genomes, there has been more emphasis upon understanding functional gene networks
and identifying putative epistasis in population genomic datasets (42,43).

Genome-wide covariation analysis is conceptually simple. Essentially it involves, comparing
genomes and identifying alleles that are found together, i.e. when 'A' is present at one locus
'B' is typically present at another. To make these findings biologically relevant it is important
to compare the covariation signal to that which is expected by chance. Most co-variation is
the result of co-inheritance or physical proximity on in the genome, not epistasis, and this
can dominate signals in basic GWAS models. Here, we address this with a novel method
that enhances traditional bacterial GWAS for co-variation analyses. Specifically, our
approach (GOLD-GWAS) incorporates quantification of genome-wide linkage disequilibrium
(LD) decay. That is to say, as the physical distance increases between two alleles they are
less likely to have been coinherited because recombination will be more likely to have
shuffled segments of DNA, which occurs by HGT in most bacteria. Having masked
covariation resulting from LD, our approach identifies genes that covary with *SCCmec* in *S.*

aureus, potentially indicative of potentiating or compensatory genome change. Using this
approach, we are able to characterize the genomic landscape of AMR coadaptation, infer
functional significant genes and identify putative epistatic loci.
96
97
98   **Results**
99
Testing genome masking with simulated data
To evaluate the performance of the Guided-Omission of LD GWAS (GOLD-GWAS)
approach, we tested whether the method could detect an artificially generated covarying
site within simulated bacterial genomes. We simulated a dataset comprising 1,000
genomes of 1 Mbp each, generated with a clonal genealogy under a coalescent model with
recombination rate of R = 0.01 and a site-specific mutation rate of θ = 0.001 (44). An
artificial site of covariation was constructed by identifying a polymorphism with minor allele
frequence (MAF) > 0.2 within a 10 kbp range of the 100 kbp position. The polymorphism at
93,696 bp fulfilled these criteria and eleven artificial covarying sites between 600,000 bp
and 600,100 bp at 10 bp intervals were created to covary with the 93,696 bp site. These
artificial covarying sites were generated independently for each site with a 95% probability,
to avoid numerical errors arising from perfect covariation. GOLD-GWAS was then applied
to these simulated data with artificial covarying sites. From the GOLD-GWAS output,
mapping k-mers to a reference genome demonstrated that our method effectively masked
the target region with no k-mers mapping between 83,696 and 103,696 bp. Masking GWAS
also identified accurately the artificial covarying site at 600,000 – 600,100 bp with 10
significantly associated k-mers present with -log(p-values) > 5.13 (Supplementary Figure 2).
117
LD in *S. aureus* genomes declines to and equilibrates after 8790 bp
A total of 806 *S. aureus* whole genomes were chosen from the NCBI reference sequence
database to represent both SCC*mec*-positive (n = 426) and SCC*mec*-negative isolates (n =
380). All assemblies were aligned to the NCTC 8325 reference genome before variant
calling and 10% of all identified polymorphisms were randomly sampled for LD analysis.
The average R^2 value (a measure of LD) was determined for the data set, which fell to
approximately 0.149 after 100,000 bp (Figure 1A). The gradient of the log-transformed
graph of LD decay was calculated to be -0.0726 (Figure 1B). From these values, the overall
LD range of *S. aureus* in our dataset was estimated to be 8790 bp, calculated as the
intercept of the average R^2 value and the fitted R^2 logarithmic bp decay. Our estimate
corresponds with a previous study of LD decay in *S. aureus* where no LD was reported
between SNPs with distance greater than 10 kbp (45).
130
GOLD-GWAS identifies covariation associated with known epistatic sites in *S.*
aureus
The GOLD-GWAS method was tested using biological data to identify genomic covariation
among known epistatic sites. The sites selected for this test were: (i) *divIVA,* which play
critical roles in cell division and polarity (46); (ii) *secA2,* which encodes an accessory
secretion ATPase (SecA2) involved in the secretion of major autolysins such as p60
(CwhA) and MurA (NamA) both of which are key factors in bacterial cell wall remodelling
(47) (48). The *divIVA* loci were chosen as the target region to evaluate whether the GOLD-
GWAS pipeline could detect genomic co-variation within *secA2,* linked to *divIVA*.
140
Observed p-values from GOLD-GWAS closely followed expected values from a theoretical
χ^2-distribution up to approximately -log(p-value) = 2, beyond which points deviated from the
null (Figure 2A). The sigmoidal distribution lacked clear 'shelves', confirming that there was
no poorly controlled confounding population structure. Mapping k-mers to a reference
genome (Figure 2B) revealed that k-mers significantly associated with *divIVA* carriage were
found in the previously characterised epistatic region of *secA2* and notably *murC*, another
gene involved in peptidoglycan synthesis (47). Removal of the *divIVA* locus and it's LD

regions ensured demonstrated that these genes emerged as independent associations -
separate of the effects of LD. This is consistent with coadaptation with *divIVA* as previously
described (46–48) and the utility of GOLD-GWAS to uncover biologically significant
covariation in bacterial genomes. Furthermore, matching k-mers to the genes they are
found in (Figure 2C) revealed that many of the top GOLD-GWAS hits had related functions,
broadly linked to peptidoglycan biosynthesis (Table 1). Moreover, the genomic location of
the top ranked genes (-log(p-value) > 200, beta > 0.5) indicated clearly that the significant
associations with *divIVA* have not arisen solely from genomic proximity to the target region
(Figure 2D).
157
Masking SCC*mec* and the conserved LD region detects the SCC*mec* insertion site
In this study, the GOLD-GWAS pipeline begins with the computational masking of SCC*mec*
and associated LD regions. LD regions are parameterised from the SCC*mec*-positive
isolate genomes and observed p-values from GWAS were well controlled at low p-values (p
< 1.5) consistent with the expected distribution under the null hypothesis. The even
distribution of p-values suggested good control for potential confounding effects of
population structure (Figure 3A). Mapping k-mers to the *S. aureus* SCC*mec*-positive
reference genome showed that the masking effectively removed significant k-mers within
the SCC*mec* and associated LD region (Figure 3Ci). A similar result was observed when k-
mers were mapped to the SCC*mec*-negative reference genome apart from a single
significant k-mer covering the attB site within *rlmH* (Figure 3Di). As the neighbouring
sequences of *rlmH* are highly conserved, the computational masking covers the entire LD
region surrounding the SCC*mec* region in both SCC*mec*-positive and SCC*mec*-negative
isolates (49) (Figures 3Ci, Di). However, the attB site within *rlmH* is not conserved as it
serves as the recombination site for SCC*mec* integration and therefore is present
exclusively in SCC*mec*-negative isolates. Hence, the significant -log(p-value) of 9.5
observed for the k-mer that covers attB due to the strong negative correlation of this site
with SCC*mec* carriage (Figure 3Di). This association effected the ranking of genes
significantly associated with SCC*mec* carriage due to the extremely high (74.2) likelihood
ratio test (LRT) -log(p-value) of *rlmH* that outranked covariation signals in other genes
(Figure 3B). The genomic locations of the genes covered by significantly associated k-mers
in both reference genomes demonstrated that these genes are distributed throughout the
genome. The mean distance between genes was 187 kbp, with maximum and minimum
distances of 782 kbp and 1.7 kbp respectively (standard deviation = 235 kbp). None of
these genes were positioned within 10 kbp of *SCCmec* except for *rlmH*. Excluding the attB
site in *rlmH,* this spatial separation indicated that significant hits are highly unlikely to result
solely by physical proximity (Figures 3Cii, Dii).
185
Masking SCC*mec* and *rlmH* detects genes that covary with SCC*mec* carriage
To improve the detection of sites that covary with SCC*mec,* beyond the attB site in *rlmH*,
we masked SCC*mec* and *rlmH* alongside their respective LD regions using GOLD-GWAS.
Again, observed p-values followed the expected distribution under the null hypothesis at
low p-values (p < 1.5) with the even distribution confirming adequate control for population
structure (Figure 4A). Mapping k-mers to the SCC*mec*-positive reference genome
demonstrated effective removal of significant k-mers within SCC*mec* and associated LD
regions (Figure 4Ci). Only 2 of the 172,224 total k-mers fell within the masked region with
extremely low non-significant -log p-values of 0.04 and 0.33. Mapping k-mers to the
SCC*mec*-negative reference genome also showed that no significantly covarying k-mers
mapped to the *rlmH* gene and associated LD regions and only 3 of 172,224 k-mers were
present with non-significant -log p-values of 0.24, 0.026, and 0.065 (Figure 4Di). The
significantly covarying k-mers mapped to 16 genes (Table 2). The *sen* gene, which
produces Staphylococcal enterotoxin type N, displayed the highest LRT value (-log(p-value)
= 14.2, Figure 4B), while *splE*, which produces serine protease SplE, exhibited the largest
effect size (beta = 0.87, Figure 4B). The chromosomal distribution of the 16 top-ranked
genes in both SCC*mec*-positive and -negative reference genomes showed that the

covarying genes were widely distributed across the genome. The mean distance between
genes was 200 kbp, with maximum and minimum distances of 782 kbp and 1.7 kbp
respectively (standard deviation = 239 kbp). No k-mers were located within 10 kbp of the
insertion site by *rlmH* (Figures 4Cii, Dii).
207
K-mers that were significantly associated with SCC*mec* carriage, either more commonly
present or more commonly absent, were mapped to a phylogeny of the *S. aureus* isolates
(Figure 5). Fisher's exact test was performed for each of the 38 k-mers to determine the
nature of the correlation with SCC*mec* carriage (Supplementary Table 1). The majority of k-
mers (87.5%, n = 35/40) exhibited positive correlations (OR >1), with 16 of the 35 showing
highly significant covariation (p < 0.001). The only k-mer with a highly significant negative
correlation (OR < 1, p = 0.0005) corresponded to *icd.* The higher prevalence of k-mers that
are positively associated with SCCmec provides little evidence that there are elements that
block SCC*mec* acquisition. Conversely, there is strong evidence that certain genes promote
the integration or retention of SCC*mec.* This is consistent with possible potentiation of
acquisition or compensatory change to accommodate SCC*mec* in the recipient genome.
219
220
Discussion
There has been extensive work identifying genetic determinants of antimicrobial resistance
in several bacterial species. While robust genotype-phenotype prediction is possible in
some cases, accuracy is often less than 100% (50–53). Furthermore, where AMR is
predicted from genome data, this is usually inferred for resistance above a certain threshold
based on clinically relevant minimum inhibitory concentrations of antimicrobials used in
laboratory bacterial growth assays. Of course, breaking phenotypes down into 'yes / no'
metadata is an oversimplification. Even for relatively simple AMR phenotypes, where a
single gene or allele may be the principal agent, there are complex genomic interactions
that govern if expression occurs and to what extent (17,54–57).
231
Differentiating the nature of multiple gene interactions, such as synergistic additive effects
and epistasis, is challenging from genome data alone. One of the main reasons for this is
that the genomic signature of covariation is the same for coinheritance and LD, and
functional co-adaption - here epistasis. Bench-marking the GOLD-GWAS approach with
simulated data, we showed that it was possible to identify covarying alleles that were not
explained by LD (Supp. Fig 1). Furthermore, extending the method to real data of *S. aureus*
isolate genomes identified multiple significant associations among loci known to be
functionally linked. In particular, *divIVA* and other genes involved in the peptidoglycan
synthesis (*murC, yfhO, asp2, clfB, atl, bshB2*), including variants of genes encoding
enzymes for uridine diphosphate N-acetylglucosamine (UDP-*GlcNAc*) biosynthesis (Table
1) (23,58–62).
243
Having demonstrated utility for detecting known adaptive covariation signatures, GOLD-
GWAS was applied to identify genes that covary with SCC*mec* (Figure 3). Unsurprisingly,
sequence variation at the known insertion site (*rlmH*) was strongly associated with SCC*mec*
carriage, consistent with an established role in mobile genetic element integration and
excision (25,49,63). Extending analyses with or without masking *rlmH,* detected k-mers that
mapped to other genes, including some annotated as encoding hypothetical proteins
(Figure 3B and Figure 4B). The most significant covariation with SCC*mec* was observed
with the staphylococcal enterotoxin N gene (*sen*) (Figure 4B). This is associated with toxic
shock-like syndromes and food poisoning (64) caused by staphylococci and
epidemiological studies show that enterotoxin genes can be enriched in MRSA populations
(65). This suggests a possible correlation between toxin production and antibiotic
resistance, supported by the GOLD-GWAS analyses.
256

Among the other genes with sequence that co-varied with SCC*mec* were those linked
directly linked to antibiotic resistance. The response regulator component of the
glycopeptide resistance associated two-component system, *graR*, regulates susceptibility to
vancomycin and daptomycin and is linked to cell wall stress responses (66–70). The
relatively large average effect size reported here (beta = 0.8385) is likely attributable to the
significant down-regulation of *mecA* in the absence of *graRS* (70). Furthermore, the *norC*
gene encodes a multidrug efflux pump (71) and the von Willebrand factor binding protein
(vWbp) have been linked to biofilm formation which improves survival rate under various
stress conditions (72,73). These functions highlight the complex network of antibiotic
resistance mechanisms that may interact with methicillin resistance conferred by *mecA*.

Quantifying and differentiating potential synergistic effects among virulence and resistance-
associated loci is extremely important for understanding pathogen evolution. While direct
evidence of epistasis requires phenotypic validation, we propose three adaptive scenarios
that may explain putative SCC*mec* gene interactions identified in this study. First, specific
loci could have a direct role in SCC*mec* insertion or excision by facilitating or hindering
integration at the attB site. In this scenario, the presence or absence of specific regulatory
or structural elements near the integration site may determine how efficiently SCC*mec* is
inserted or excised from the bacterial chromosome. Second, some genes may undergo
compensatory mutations following SCC*mec* insertion. In this case, mutations may balance
the disruptive effects of integrating the large SCC*mec* element, restoring cell function and
preserving bacterial fitness while enabling resistance (74–76). This scenario is consistent
with the prevalence of positive correlations among k-mers that covary with SCC*mec*. Finally,
there may be potentiation or functional synergy with methicillin resistance. Here, regulatory
and virulence pathways, such as those govern by the GraRS two-component system, may
interact with beta-lactam resistance, enhancing SCC*mec* effects by boosting resistance or
altering the cell envelope to further reduce antibiotic susceptibility (77).

It is important to recognise the methodological limitations of GOLD-GWAS. Most
importantly, computational genome analyses only provide statistical inference based upon
sequence covariation. However, epistasis is measured phenotypically. Therefore, while our
approach can identify candidates for further study, mechanistic understanding requires
functional microbiological validation. There are also limitations of the analysis methodology.
First, there is an emphasis on covariation with a target locus, rather than an all against all
comparison. While this gives enhanced computational efficiency over some existing
methods (42,78–81) and targeted gene identification, it inevitably overlooks multi-locus
interactions that are not related to the gene(s) under investigation. Second, while masking
LD regions helps to minimise confounding signals of coinheritance it may also mask
potential functional interactions among genes in physical proximity.

Conclusions
299 Integrated genome covariation analyses, such as that presented here, are an important
300 step towards improved understanding of pathogen evolution. Context-free gene-centric
301 approaches often fall short of accurate functional inference – such as AMR. With ever
302 larger genome datasets and deeper understanding of gene function it is possible to move
303 closer to accurate genotype-phenotype maps that account for gene network interactions.

Methods
Isolate genomes
All available *S. aureus* whole genome sequences were retrieved from the National Center
for Biotechnology Institution (NCBI) reference sequence database with higher than 95%
completeness or x30 coverage (n = 1,001, accessed 3rd October 2023). SCC*mec* regions

were identified and typed using a custom database (github.com/Sheppard-
Lab/sccmec_classifier) with minimap2 (v2.28) (82). Genomes with untypable SCC*mec*
regions were removed from further analysis (n = 195). The final data set of 806 isolates
consisted of 426 SCC*mec*-positive and 380 SCC*mec*-negative isolates. Isolate details
including accession numbers are included in Supplementary Table 2. Core genome
alignments were generated using PIRATE (v1.0.5) (83). A core genome maximum-
likelihood phylogeny was created using RaxML (v8.2.12) (84) with GTRGAMMA as a
substitution model. ClonalFrameML (v1.12) was used to account for recombination (85). All
phylogenies were visualised using MicroReact (86)(87).
320
Simulation data
Simulation data was generated using SimBac (44). Specifically, we simulated bacterial
genomes with a recombination rate of R = 0.01 and a site-specific mutation rate of θ =
0.001 to generate 1,000 genomes, each spanning 1 Mbp. To introduce artificial genomic
covariation, we identified a polymorphism located within 100 ±10 kbp with a minor allele
frequency exceeding 0.2. Then, we generated eleven artificial covarying sites between
600,000 bp and 600,100 bp at 10 bp intervals. The nucleotide at each covarying site was
determined based on the nucleotide present at the identified polymorphic site (at ~100 kbp).
The covariation was simulated with 95% accuracy to avoid perfect correlation, which could
result in p-values of 0 and lead to numerical instability. The target region for masking was
specified with start and end coordinates of 85896 bp and 101896 bp respectively.
332
Computational masking
GOLD-GWAS is conceptually simple, involving: (i) estimating LD around a locus, here
SCC*mec*; (ii) building a directory of unitigs and masking those within the LD region and the
target region; (iii) conducting GWAS (Supplementary Figure 3). Linkage disequilibrium (LD)
values were calculated using a custom pipeline (github.com/Sheppard-Lab/GOLD-
GWAS/blob/main/run_ld_calculation.sh) Briefly, assemblies were aligned to the *S. aureus*
NCTC 8325 reference genome using BWA (v0.7.17) (88)**.** Alignments were then converted
to BAM format, merged, sorted, and indexed with SAMtools (v1.16.1) (89). Variant-calling
was performed by BCFtools (v1.14) (89). All single nucleotide polymophisms (SNPs) were
counted, and 10% were randomly sampled to generate the variant call format (VCF) input
file for LD analysis with PLINK (v1.9) (90). Only SNPs no more than 200,000 bps apart,
using an LD window of 2,900 Mb for all SNPs, were analysed. LD decay plots were created
with a custom R (v4.2.2) script (github.com/Sheppard-Lab/GOLD-
GWAS/blob/main/plotting_lddecay. r).
347
The LD threshold was calculated as the intersection of the fitted R^2 logarithmic bp decay
and the average LD value, for distances exceeding 100 kbp, which are considered
sufficiently large to represent a non-LD region (Figure 6A) (91). Due to potential regional
variations in LD across the genome, a conservative cut-off of 10,000 bp was chosen for the
masking procedure. The SCC*mec* target regions for masking were specified using the
direct repeat and reverse complement inverse repeat (DR$_{SCC}$-R and IR$_{SCC}$-L,
Supplementary Figure 1B) sequences as the start and end coordinates respectively, to
capture all SCC*mec* types. From here, SCC*mec* and associated LD regions in SCC*mec*-
positive isolates and LD regions in SCC*mec*-negative isolates were detected using the
custom database option in ABRicate (v1.0.0)(92) with 80% coverage and 80% sequence
identity thresholds (Figure 6B). Unitigs were generated from genome assemblies using the
call mode of unitig-caller (v1.3.0) (93) with the pyseer flag and a k-mer size of 31. Unitigs
were then mapped to the SCC*mec* (or *divIVA)* and associated LD region sequences using
BWA (v0.7.17). Unitigs with over 80% sequence identity and 80% coverage were removed
from further analysis (Figure 6C).
363
364 **Genome-wide association studies**

GWAS was performed on the filtered set of unitigs using pyseer (v1.3.11) (94) with the linear mixed model flag. Manhattan plots were generated using Phandango (87) with JKD6159 (NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_017338.2) and HOU1444-VR (NCBI Reference Sequence: NZ_CP012593.1) as reference genomes for SCC*mec*-positive and SCC*mec*-negative strains, respectively. Gene hits were annotated using BWA (v0.7.17) with a minimum match length of 8 bp (88,94). The threshold for significance was calculated as 0.05 divided by the number of unique unitigs. From the hits that exceeded this threshold, the results were classified into two groups: those with -log(p-values) greater or less than the 3rd quantile (Q3) + 1.5x the interquartile range (IQR). To mitigate lineage effects (identified by poor chi-square values), we applied a minimum minor allele frequency threshold of 0.05%. To enhance biological relevance and reduce background noise, only genes with known names located in the vicinity of the identified k-mers were retained, while those with uncharacterised protein structures or functions were excluded from further analysis due to lack of information. To determine the nature of k-mer associations, Fisher's exact test was performed using Python scipy.stats module fishers_exact with multiple testing correction implemented by statsmodel.stats.multitest. All scripts used for this analysis are available at github.com/Sheppard-Lab/GOLD-GWAS.

Declarations
Ethics approval and consent to participate
Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials
All data analysed during the current study are included in this published article and its supplementary information files**.** Custom Python scripts used to perform analyses are available at github.com/Sheppard-Lab/GOLD-GWAS and zenodo (DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.15451691) unless otherwise stated in the text.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

417 SK is a self-funded PhD student and EAC is supported by a BBSRC grant (BB/W020602/1),
418 awarded to SKS.

419
420 **Consent to publish**
421 Not applicable.
422
423 **Authors' contributions**
424 SK: methodology, software, validation, formal analysis, writing. EAC: methodology, writing,
425 visualisation. WM: conceptualisation, methodology. SKS: conceptualisation, writing.

References
1. Espadinha D, Sobral RG, Mendes CI, Méric G, Sheppard SK, Carriço
JA, et al. Distinct phenotypic and genomic signatures underlie
contrasting pathogenic potential of staphylococcus epidermidis clonal
lineages. Front Microbiol. 2019 Aug 27;10(AUG):463823.

2. Méric G, Mageiros L, Pensar J, Laabei M, Yahara K, Pascoe B, et al.
Disease-associated genotypes of the commensal skin bacterium
Staphylococcus epidermidis. Nature Communications 2018 9:1. 2018
Nov 28;9(1):1–11.

3. Sheppard SK. Strain wars and the evolution of opportunistic pathogens.
Curr Opin Microbiol. 2022 Jun 1;67:102138.

4.   Kobras CM, Fenton AK, Sheppard SK. Next-generation microbiology:
from comparative genomics to gene function. Genome Biology 2021
22:1. 2021 Apr 29;22(1):1–16.

5.   Naghavi M, Vollset SE, Ikuta KS, Swetschinski LR, Gray AP, Wool EE,
et al. Global burden of bacterial antimicrobial resistance 1990–2021: a
systematic analysis with forecasts to 2050. The Lancet. 2024 Sep
28;404(10459):1199–226.

6.   Munita JM, Arias CA. Mechanisms of Antibiotic Resistance. Kudva IT,
Zhang Q, editors. Microbiol Spectr. 2016 Mar
25;4(2):10.1128/microbiolspec.VMBF-0016–2015.

7.   Darby EM, Trampari E, Siasat P, Gaya MS, Alav I, Webber MA, et al.
Molecular mechanisms of antibiotic resistance revisited. Nature
Reviews Microbiology 2022 21:5. 2022 Nov 21;21(5):280–95.

8.   Kobras CM, Monteith W, Somerville S, Delaney JM, Khan I, Brimble C,
et al. Loss of Pde1 function acts as an evolutionary gateway to penicillin
resistance in Streptococcus pneumoniae. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
2023 Oct 10;120(41):e2308029120.

9.   Papkou A, Hedge J, Kapel N, Young B, MacLean RC. Efflux pump
activity potentiates the evolution of antibiotic resistance across S.
aureus isolates. Nature Communications 2020 11:1. 2020 Aug
7;11(1):1–15.

10.   Sköld O. Sulfonamide resistance: Mechanisms and trends. Drug
Resistance Updates. 2000;3(3):155–60.

11.   Sproston EL, Wimalarathna HML, Sheppard SK. Trends in
fluoroquinolone resistance in campylobacter. Microb Genom. 2018 Aug
1;4(8):e000198.

12.   Trubenová B, Roizman D, Rolff J, Regoes RR. Modeling Polygenic
Antibiotic Resistance Evolution in Biofilms. Front Microbiol. 2022 Jul
7;13.

13.   Martinez JL, Baquero F. Mutation frequencies and antibiotic resistance.
Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2000 Jul;44(7):1771–7.

14.   Schubert B, Maddamsetti R, Nyman J, Farhat MR, Marks DS. Genome-
wide discovery of epistatic loci affecting antibiotic resistance in
Neisseria gonorrhoeae using evolutionary couplings. Nat Microbiol.
2018 Feb 1;4(2):328.

15.   Igler C, Rolff J, Regoes R. Multi-step vs. single-step resistance
evolution under different drugs, pharmacokinetics, and treatment
regimens. Elife. 2021 May 1;10:e64116.

16. Wong A. Epistasis and the evolution of antimicrobial resistance. Front Microbiol. 2017 Feb 17;8(FEB):235611.

17. DelaFuente J, Diaz-Colunga J, Sanchez A, San Millan A. Global epistasis in plasmid-mediated antimicrobial resistance. Mol Syst Biol. 2024 Apr 2;20(4):311–20.

18. Klein E, Smith DL, Laxminarayan R. Hospitalizations and deaths caused by methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus, United States, 1999-2005. Emerg Infect Dis. 2007;13(12):1840–6.

19. Kennedy AD, Otto M, Braughton KR, Whitney AR, Chen L, Mathema B, et al. Epidemic community-associated methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus: Recent clonal expansion and diversification. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008 Jan 29;105(4):1327–32.

20. van Hal SJ, Jensen SO, Vaska VL, Espedido BA, Paterson DL, Gosbell IB. Predictors of mortality in staphylococcus aureus bacteremia. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2012 Apr;25(2):362–86.

21. Peacock SJ, Paterson GK. Mechanisms of methicillin resistance in Staphylococcus aureus. Annu Rev Biochem. 2015 Jun 2;84(Volume 84, 2015):577–601.

22. Katayama Y, Ito T, Hiramatsu K. A new class of genetic element, staphylococcus cassette chromosome mec, encodes methicillin resistance in Staphylococcus aureus. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2000;44(6):1549–55.

23. Büttner FM, Zoll S, Nega M, Götz F, Stehle T. Structure-Function Analysis of Staphylococcus aureus Amidase Reveals the Determinants of Peptidoglycan Recognition and Cleavage. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2014 Apr 18;289(16):11083–94.

24. Méric G, Miragaia M, De Been M, Yahara K, Pascoe B, Mageiros L, et al. Ecological Overlap and Horizontal Gene Transfer in Staphylococcus aureus and Staphylococcus epidermidis. Genome Biol Evol. 2015 May 1;7(5):1313–28.

25. Uehara Y. Current Status of Staphylococcal Cassette Chromosome mec (SCCmec). Antibiotics. 2022 Jan 1;11(1).

26. McClure JA, Conly JM, Zhang K. Characterizing a Novel Staphylococcal Cassette Chromosome mec with a Composite Structure from a Clinical Strain of Staphylococcus hominis, C34847. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2021 Nov 1;65(11):e00777-21.

27. Jansen WTM, Beitsma MM, Koeman CJ, Van Wamel WJB, Verhoef J, Fluit AC. Novel Mobile Variants of Staphylococcal Cassette

Chromosome mec in Staphylococcus aureus. Antimicrob Agents
Chemother. 2006 Jun;50(6):2072.

28. Hanssen AM, Ericson Sollid JU. SCCmec in staphylococci: genes on
the move. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol. 2006 Feb 1;46(1):8–20.

29. Chambers HF, DeLeo FR. Waves of resistance: Staphylococcus aureus
in the antibiotic era. Nature Reviews Microbiology 2009 7:9.
2009;7(9):629–41.

30. Durhan E, Korcan SE, Altindis M, Konuk M. Fitness and competitive
growth comparison of methicillin resistant and methicillin susceptible
Staphylococcus aureus colonies. Microb Pathog. 2017 May 1;106:69–
75.

31. Jia K, Zhu H, Wang J, Qin X, Wang X, Dong Q. Fitness cost and
compensatory evolution of penicillin-induced resistant Staphylococcus
aureus. Food Research International. 2025 Feb 1;203:115841.

32. Noto MJ, Fox PM, Archer GL. Spontaneous deletion of the methicillin
resistance determinant, mecA, partially compensates for the fitness cost
associated with high-level vancomycin resistance in Staphylococcus
aureus. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2008 Apr;52(4):1221–9.

33. Lee SM, Ender M, Adhikari R, Smith JMB, Berger-Bächi B, Cook GM.
Fitness cost of staphylococcal cassette chromosome mec in methicillin-
resistant Staphylococcus aureus by way of continuous culture.
Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2007 Apr;51(4):1497–9.

34. Sheppard SK, Didelot X, Meric G, Torralbo A, Jolley KA, Kelly DJ, et al.
Genome-wide association study identifies vitamin B5 biosynthesis as a
host specificity factor in Campylobacter. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
2013 Jul 16;110(29):11923–7.

35. Mosquera-Rendón J, Moreno-Herrera CX, Robledo J, Hurtado-Páez U.
Genome-Wide Association Studies (GWAS) Approaches for the
Detection of Genetic Variants Associated with Antibiotic Resistance: A
Systematic Review. Microorganisms. 2023 Dec 1;11(12).

36. Farhat MR, Freschi L, Calderon R, Ioerger T, Snyder M, Meehan CJ, et
al. GWAS for quantitative resistance phenotypes in Mycobacterium
tuberculosis reveals resistance genes and regulatory regions. Nature
Communications 2019 10:1. 2019 May 13;10(1):1–11.

37. Chen PE, Shapiro BJ. The advent of genome-wide association studies
for bacteria. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2015 Jun 1;25:17–24.

38.   Power RA, Parkhill J, De Oliveira T. Microbial genome-wide association
576   studies: lessons from human GWAS. Nat Rev Genet. 2016 Dec
577   13;18(1):41–50.

578   39.   Jaillard M, Lima L, Tournoud M, Mahé P, van Belkum A, Lacroix V, et al.
579   A fast and agnostic method for bacterial genome-wide association
580   studies: Bridging the gap between k-mers and genetic events. PLoS
581   Genet. 2018 Nov 1;14(11).

582   40.   Post V, Harris LG, Morgenstern M, Mageiros L, Hitchings MD, Méric G,
583   et al. Comparative genomics study of Staphylococcus epidermidis
584   isolates from orthopedic-device-related infections correlated with patient
585   outcome. J Clin Microbiol. 2017 Oct 1;55(10):3089–103.

586   41.   Post V, Pascoe B, Mourkas E, Calland JK, Hitchings MD, Erichsen C, et
587   al. Multiple hypervirulent methicillin-sensitive Staphylococcus aureus
588   lineages contribute towards poor patient outcomes in orthopedic device-
589   related infections. medRxiv. 2022 Oct 22;2022.10.21.22280349.

590   42.   Pensar J, Puranen S, Arnold B, MacAlasdair N, Kuronen J, Tonkin-Hill
591   G, et al. Genome-wide epistasis and co-selection study using mutual
592   information. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019 Oct 10;47(18):e112–e112.

593   43.   Taylor AJ, Yahara K, Pascoe B, Ko S, Mageiros L, Mourkas E, et al.
594   Epistasis, core-genome disharmony, and adaptation in recombining
595   bacteria. mBio. 2024 Jun 1;15(6).

596   44.   Brown T, Didelot X, Wilson DJ, De Maio N. SimBac: simulation of whole
597   bacterial genomes with homologous recombination. Microb Genom.
598   2016 Jan 19;2(1):e000044.

599   45.   Takuno S, Kado T, Sugino RP, Nakhleh L, Innan H. Population
600   Genomics in Bacteria: A Case Study of Staphylococcus aureus. Mol
601   Biol Evol. 2012 Feb 1;29(2):797–809.

602   46.   Chaudhary R, Mishra S, Kota S, Misra H. Molecular interactions and
603   their predictive roles in cell pole determination in bacteria. Crit Rev
604   Microbiol. 2021;47(2):141–61.

605   47.   Lenz LL, Mohammadi S, Geissler A, Portnoy DA. SecA2-dependent
606   secretion of autolytic enzymes promotes Listeria monocytogenes
607   pathogenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2003 Oct 14;100(21):12432–7.

608   48.   Kaval KG, Rismondo J, Halbedel S. A function of DivIVA in Listeria
609   monocytogenes division site selection. Mol Microbiol. 2014 Nov
610   1;94(3):637–54.

611   49.   Wang L, Safo M, Archer GL. Characterization of DNA sequences
612   required for the CcrAB-mediated integration of staphylococcal cassette

613   chromosome mec, a Staphylococcus aureus genomic island. J Bacteriol.
614   2012 Jan;194(2):486–98.

615   50.   Yee R, Bard JD, Simner PJ. The Genotype-to-Phenotype Dilemma:
616   How Should Laboratories Approach Discordant Susceptibility Results?
617   J Clin Microbiol. 2021 Jun 1;59(6):e00138-20.

618   51.   Neuert S, Nair S, Day MR, Doumith M, Ashton PM, Mellor KC, et al.
619   Prediction of phenotypic antimicrobial resistance profiles from whole
620   genome sequences of non-typhoidal Salmonella enterica. Front
621   Microbiol. 2018 Mar 27;9(MAR):592.

622   52.   Terrance Walker G, Quan J, Higgins SG, Toraskar N, Chang W, Saeed
623   A, et al. Predicting Antibiotic Resistance in Gram-Negative Bacilli from
624   Resistance Genes. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2019 Apr 1;63(4).

625   53.   Brochier C, Philippe H, Moreira D. The evolutionary history of ribosomal
626   protein RpS14: Horizontal gene transfer at the heart of the ribosome.
627   Trends in Genetics. 2000 Dec 1;16(12):529–33.

628   54.   Ogunlana L, Kaur D, Shaw LP, Jangir P, Walsh T, Uphoff S, et al.
629   Regulatory fine-tuning of mcr-1 increases bacterial fitness and stabilises
630   antibiotic resistance in agricultural settings. The ISME Journal 2023
631   17:11. 2023 Sep 18;17(11):2058–69.

632   55.   Jangir PK, Yang Q, Shaw LP, Caballero JD, Ogunlana L, Wheatley R,
633   et al. Pre-existing chromosomal polymorphisms in pathogenic E. coli
634   potentiate the evolution of resistance to a last-resort antibiotic. Elife.
635   2022;11.

636   56.   Rubin DHF, Ma KC, Westervelt KA, Hullahalli K, Waldor MK, Grad YH.
637   CanB is a metabolic mediator of antibiotic resistance in Neisseria
638   gonorrhoeae. Nat Microbiol. 2023 Jan 1;8(1):28–39.

639   57.   Yokoyama M, Stevens E, Laabei M, Bacon L, Heesom K, Bayliss S, et
640   al. Epistasis analysis uncovers hidden antibiotic resistance-associated
641   fitness costs hampering the evolution of MRSA. Genome Biol. 2018 Jul
642   18;19(1):1–12.

643   58.   Gaballa A, Newton GL, Antelmann H, Parsonage D, Upton H, Rawat M,
644   et al. Biosynthesis and functions of bacillithiol, a major low-molecular-
645   weight thiol in Bacilli. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2010 Apr
646   6;107(14):6482.

647   59.   Thomer L, Becker S, Emolo C, Quach A, Kim HK, Rauch S, et al. N-
648   Acetylglucosaminylation of Serine-Aspartate Repeat Proteins Promotes
649   Staphylococcus aureus Bloodstream Infection. J Biol Chem. 2013 Feb
650   7;289(6):3478.

651   60.   Seepersaud R, Bensing BA, Yen YT, Sullam PM. The Accessory Sec
652   Protein Asp2 Modulates GlcNAc Deposition onto the Serine-Rich
653   Repeat Glycoprotein GspB. J Bacteriol. 2012 Oct;194(20):5564.

654   61.   Rismondo J, Percy MG, Gründling A. Discovery of genes required for
655   lipoteichoic acid glycosylation predicts two distinct mechanisms for wall
656   teichoic acid glycosylation. J Biol Chem. 2018 Mar 2;293(9):3293.

657   62.   Weber F, Motzkus NA, Brandl L, Möhler M, Alempijevic A, Jäschke A.
658   Identification and in vitro characterization of UDP-GlcNAc-RNA cap-
659   modifying and decapping enzymes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2024 Jun
660   10;52(10):5438–50.

661   63.   Chongtrakool P, Ito T, Ma XX, Kondo Y, Trakulsomboon S, Tiensasitorn
662   C, et al. Staphylococcal cassette chromosome mec (SCCmec) typing of
663   methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus strains isolated in 11 Asian
664   countries: A proposal for a new nomenclature for SCCmec elements.
665   Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2006 Mar;50(3):1001–12.

666   64.   Balaban N, Rasooly A. Staphylococcal enterotoxins. Int J Food
667   Microbiol. 2000 Oct 1;61(1):1–10.

668   65.   Ortega E, Abriouel H, Lucas R, Gálvez A. Multiple Roles of
669   Staphylococcus aureus Enterotoxins: Pathogenicity, Superantigenic
670   Activity, and Correlation to Antibiotic Resistance. Toxins (Basel). 2010
671   Aug;2(8):2117.

672   66.   Doddangoudar VC, Boost M V., Tsang DNC, O'Donoghue MM.
673   Tracking changes in the vraSR and graSR two component regulatory
674   systems during the development and loss of vancomycin non-
675   susceptibility in a clinical isolate. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2011;17(8):1268–
676   72.

677   67.   Cafiso V, Bertuccio T, Spina D, Purrello S, Campanile F, Di Pietro C, et
678   al. Modulating activity of vancomycin and daptomycin on the expression
679   of autolysis cell-wall turnover and membrane charge genes in hVISA
680   and VISA strains. PLoS One. 2012 Jan 9;7(1).

681   68.   Mensa B, Howell GL, Scott R, DeGrado WF. Comparative mechanistic
682   studies of brilacidin, daptomycin, and the antimicrobial peptide LL16.
683   Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2014;58(9):5136–45.

684   69.   Müller A, Grein F, Otto A, Gries K, Orlov D, Zarubaev V, et al.
685   Differential daptomycin resistance development in Staphylococcus
686   aureus strains with active and mutated gra regulatory systems. Int J
687   Med Microbiol. 2018 Apr 1;308(3):335–48.

70. Chen L, Wang Z, Xu T, Ge H, Zhou F, Zhu X, et al. The Role of graRS
in Regulating Virulence and Antimicrobial Resistance in Methicillin-
Resistant Staphylococcus aureus. Front Microbiol. 2021 Aug
16;12:727104.

71. Truong-Bolduc QC, Strahilevitz J, Hooper DC. NorC, a New Efflux
Pump Regulated by MgrA of Staphylococcus aureus. Antimicrob Agents
Chemother. 2006 Mar;50(3):1104.

72. Wang D, Wang L, Liu Q, Zhao Y. Virulence factors in biofilm formation
and therapeutic strategies for Staphylococcus aureus: A review.
Animals and Zoonoses. 2024 Nov 28;

73. Evans DCS, Khamas AB, Payne-Dwyer A, Wollman AJM, Rasmussen
KS, Klitgaard JK, et al. Cooperation between coagulase and von
willebrand factor binding protein in Staphylococcus aureus fibrin
pseudocapsule formation. Biofilm. 2024 Dec 1;8:100233.

74. Andersson DI, Hughes D. Antibiotic resistance and its cost: is it possible
to reverse resistance? Nature Reviews Microbiology 2010 8:4. 2010
Mar 8;8(4):260–71.

75. Mwangi MM, Shang WW, Zhou Y, Sieradzki K, De Lencastre H,
Richardson P, et al. Tracking the in vivo evolution of multidrug
resistance in Staphylococcus aureus by whole-genome sequencing.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2007 May 29;104(22):9451–6.

76. Reynolds MG. Compensatory evolution in rifampin-resistant Escherichia
coli. Genetics. 2000;156(4):1471–81.

77. Jiang JH, Cameron DR, Nethercott C, Aires-De-Sousa M, Peleg AY.
Virulence attributes of successful methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus
aureus lineages. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2023 Dec 20;36(4).

78. Puranen S, Pesonen M, Pensar J, Xu YY, Lees JA, Bentley SD, et al.
SuperDCA for genome-wide epistasis analysis. Microb Genom. 2018
Jun 1;4(6):e000184.

79. Skwark MJ, Croucher NJ, Puranen S, Chewapreecha C, Pesonen M,
Xu YY, et al. Interacting networks of resistance, virulence and core
machinery genes identified by genome-wide epistasis analysis. PLoS
Genet. 2017 Feb 1;13(2).

80. Kuronen J, Horsfield ST, Pöntinen AK, Mallawaarachchi S, Arredondo-
Alonso S, Thorpe H, et al. Pangenome-spanning epistasis and
coselection analysis via de Bruijn graphs. Genome Res. 2024 Jul
1;34(7):1081.

81.   Mallawaarachchi S, Tonkin-Hill G, Pöntinen AK, Calland JK, Gladstone
726   RA, Arredondo-Alonso S, et al. Detecting co-selection through excess
727   linkage disequilibrium in bacterial genomes. NAR Genom Bioinform.
728   2024 Apr 4;6(2):61.

729   82.   Li H. Minimap2: Pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences.
730   Bioinformatics. 2018 Sep 15;34(18):3094–100.

731   83.   Bayliss SC, Thorpe HA, Coyle NM, Sheppard SK, Feil EJ. PIRATE: A
732   fast and scalable pangenomics toolbox for clustering diverged
733   orthologues in bacteria. Gigascience. 2019 Oct 1;8(10):1–9.

734   84.   Stamatakis A. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and
735   post-analysis of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics. 2014 May
736   1;30(9):1312–3.

737   85.   Didelot X, Wilson DJ. ClonalFrameML: Efficient Inference of
738   Recombination in Whole Bacterial Genomes. PLoS Comput Biol.
739   2015;11(2):e1004041.

740   86.   Argimón S, Abudahab K, Goater RJE, Fedosejev A, Bhai J, Glasner C,
741   et al. Microreact: visualizing and sharing data for genomic epidemiology
742   and phylogeography. Microb Genom. 2016 Nov 1;2(11):e000093.

743   87.   Hadfield J, Croucher NJ, Goater RJ, Abudahab K, Aanensen DM,
744   Harris SR. Phandango: an interactive viewer for bacterial population
745   genomics. Bioinformatics. 2018 Jan 1;34(2):292–3.

746   88.   Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows–
747   Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics. 2009 Jul 15;25(14):1754–60.

748   89.   Danecek P, Bonfield JK, Liddle J, Marshall J, Ohan V, Pollard MO, et al.
749   Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools. Gigascience. 2021 Feb
750   1;10(2).

751   90.   Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira MAR, Bender D,
752   et al. PLINK: A Tool Set for Whole-Genome Association and
753   Population-Based Linkage Analyses. Am J Hum Genet. 2007;81(3):559.

754   91.   van Heel DA, Hunt K, Greco L, Wijmenga C. Genetics in coeliac
755   disease. Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol. 2005;19(3):323–39.

756   92.   Feldgarden M, Brover V, Haft DH, Prasad AB, Slotta DJ, Tolstoy I, et al.
757   Validating the AMRFinder Tool and Resistance Gene Database by
758   Using Antimicrobial Resistance Genotype-Phenotype Correlations in a
759   Collection of Isolates. Antimicrob Agents Chemother.
760   2019;63(11):e00483-19.

761   93.   Holley G, Melsted P. Bifrost: Highly parallel construction and indexing of
762   colored and compacted de Bruijn graphs. Genome Biol. 2020 Sep
763   17;21(1):1–20.

764   94.   Lees JA, Galardini M, Bentley SD, Weiser JN, Corander J. pyseer: a
765   comprehensive tool for microbial pangenome-wide association studies.
766   Bioinformatics. 2018 Dec 15;34(24):4310–2.

Figures and Tables

Table 1: Genes containing k-mers significantly associated with the presence of _divIVA_ in _S. aureus_ genomes (-log(p-value) > 200), ordered by descending maximum p-value.

Gene	Description
secA2	Accessory Sec translocase SecA2
murC	UDP-N-acetylmuramate-L-alanine ligase
yfhO	Lipoteichoic acid-specific glycosyltransferase YfhO
asp2	Accessory Sec system protein Asp2
clfB	MSCRAMM adhesin clumping factor ClfB
atl	Bifunctional autolysin; cleaves peptidoglycan during cell division
argJ	Bifunctional glutamate N-acetyltransferase/amino acid acetyl-transferase
coa	Staphylocoagulase; cleaves fibrinogen
nsaS	Nisin susceptibility-associated sensor histidine kinase NsaS
bshB2	Bacillithiol biosynthesis deacetylase BshB2
dapA	4-hydroxy-tetrahydrodipicolinate synthase
gap	Type I glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase
vraD	Peptide resistance ABC transporter ATPase subunit VraD
rnr	Ribonuclease R

MSCRAMM: Microbial Surface Components Recognizing Adhesive Matrix Molecule.

Table 2: Genes containing k-mers significantly associated with the presence of SCC_mec_ in _S. aureus_ genomes (-log(p-value) > 200), ordered by descending maximum p-value.

Gene	Description
sen	Staphylococcal enterotoxin type N
graR	Response regulator transcription factor GraR/ApsR
vwb	von Willebrand factor binding protein Vwb
fmhC	FemA/FemB family glycyltransferase FmhC
seo	Staphylococcal enterotoxin type O
scpA	Cysteine protease staphopain A
purB	Adenylosuccinate lyase
scb	Staphylococcal complement inhibitor SCIN-B
nrdI	Class Ib ribonucleoside-diphosphate reductase assembly flavoprotein NrdI
rpsP	30S ribosomal protein S16
ffh	Signal recognition particle protein
ald	Alanine dehydrogenase
lip1	YSIRK domain-containing triacylglycerol lipase Lip1
norC	Multidrug efflux MFS transporter NorC
splE	Serine protease SplE
icd	Isocitrate dehydrogenase NADP-dependent isocitrate dehydrogenase

Figure 1: Distribution of linkage disequilibrium values (R^2) across the genome as a
function of the distance between single nucleotide polymorphism pairs in *S. aureus*.
(A) Relationship between the linear distance between two SNPs and the average R^2 value
where each point is the R^2 value for an individual distance category. Red line represents a
polynomial trend line. (B) Relationship between the logarithm of the distance between two
SNPs and the average R^2 value. Red line represents the linear trend line fitted after
excluding data points with $R^2 < 0.2$.

Figure 2: Summary of GOLD-GWAS after masking *divIVA* and associated LD regions.
(A) Quantile-quantile plot comparing the expected -log(p-values) with observed -log(p-values) from GOLD-GWAS. The red diagonal line indicates where the expected and observed values are equal. (B) Manhattan plot demonstrating the statistical significance association for selected variants arranged in order on the reference genome JKD6159. Each dot represents a k-mer and the red line represents the threshold for significance. The positions of *murC*, *secA2*, *divIVA* and its LD region are indicated. (C) Plot of genes associated with *divIVA*. Minor allele frequencies (MAF) are shown by colour gradient and dot size represents the number of k-mers mapped to the gene. (D) Genomic location and orientation of genes covered by associated k-mers with a likelihood ratio test -log(p-value) > 200 and average effect size (beta) > 0.5 that exist within the *S. aureus* JKD6159 genome. Position of *divIVA* is indicated by the red arrow.

Figure 3: Summary of GOLD-GWAS results after masking SCC*mec* and conserved LD regions. (A) Quantile-quantile plot comparing the expected -log(p-values) with observed -log(p-values) from GOLD-GWAS. The red diagonal line indicates where the expected and observed values are equal. (B) Plot of genes associated with SCC*mec* carriage. Minor allele frequency (MAF) values are depicted by the colour gradient and dot size represent the number of k-mers mapped to the gene. (C) Manhattan plot and corresponding genomic map for the SCC*mec*-positive JKD6159 genome. (i) Manhattan plot demonstrating the statistical significance association for selected variants arranged in order on the 0–175 kbp region of the *S. aureus* JKD6159 genome. Th position of *SCCmec* and its associated LD regions are indicated. Each dot represents a k-mer and the red line represents the

threshold for significance. (ii) Genomic location and orientation of significantly associated
genes in SCC*mec*-positive JDK6159. Position of SCC*mec* is indicated by the red block and
masked regions by dotted lines. (D) Manhattan plot and corresponding genomic map for the
SCC*mec*-negative HOU1444-VR genome. (i) Manhattan plot demonstrating the statistical
significance association for selected variants arranged in order on the 0–175 kbp region of
the *S. aureus* HOU1444-VR genome. The position of *rlmH* and its associated LD region are
indicated. The red circle highlights the k-mer identified as the attB site. (ii) Genomic location
and orientation of significantly associated genes in SCC*mec*-negative HOU1444-VR.
Masked regions are indicated by dotted lines.

Figure 4: Summary of GOLD-GWAS results after masking SCC*mec, rlmH* and associated LD regions. (A) Quantile-quantile plot comparing the expected -log(p-values) with observed -log(p-values) from GOLD-GWAS. The red diagonal line indicates where the expected and observed values are equal. (B) Plot of genes associated with SCC*mec* carriage. Minor allele frequency (MAF) values are depicted by the colour gradient and dot size represent the number of k-mers mapped to the gene. (C) Manhattan plot and corresponding genomic map for the SCC*mec*-positive JKD6159 genome. (i) Manhattan plot demonstrating the statistical significance association for selected variants arranged in order on the 0–175 kbp region of the *S. aureus* JKD6159 genome. Th position of *SCCmec* and its

associated LD regions are indicated. Each dot represents a k-mer and the red line
represents the threshold for significance. (ii) Genomic location and orientation of
significantly associated genes in SCC*mec*-positive JDK6159. Position of SCC*mec* is
indicated by the red block and masked regions by dotted lines. (D) Manhattan plot and
corresponding genomic map for the SCC*mec*-negative HOU1444-VR genome. (i)
Manhattan plot demonstrating the statistical significance association for selected variants
arranged in order on the 0–175 kbp region of the *S. aureus* HOU1444-VR genome. The
position of *rlmH* and its associated LD region are indicated. (ii) Genomic location and
orientation of significantly associated genes in SCC*mec*-negative HOU1444-VR. Masked
regions are indicated by dotted lines.

Figure 5: Presence of 38 k-mers significantly associated with SCC*mec* carriage. Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic heatmap showing the presence/absence of SCC*mec* (black/white) and 38 k-mers (green/yellow) that lie in coding regions and are significantly associated with SCC*mec* carriage. The corresponding genes are annotated below the heatmap. Created using Microreact (86). *: p < 0.05, **: p < 0.01, ***: p < 0.001.

Figure 6: Computational Masking Method. (A) (i) Relationship between the linear
distance between two SNPs and the average R^2 value. Red line represents a polynomial
trend line. (ii) Relationship between the logarithm of the distance between two SNPs and
the average R^2 value. Red line indicates the linear trend computed from the subset of
samples excluding $R^2 < 0.2$. The horizontal dotted line denotes the average R^2 value
observed beyond 100,000 bp, and the vertical dotted line marks the intersection of this with
the trend line in the logarithmic distance plot. (B) Schematic demonstrating how sequences
of LD regions are collected in association with the target gene based on the LD threshold
from (A). (C) Unitigs with ≥80% identity with any target gene or LD region sequence are
filtered out. Black boxes indicate target sequences, red text indicates the LD regions.

Supplementary

Supplementary Figure 1: Site-specific integration of SCC*mec*. (A) The *S. aureus* genome before and after SCC*mec* insertion. The attB (blue) and attS (red) sites are responsible for SCC*mec* recombination. Before insertion, the attB site is located downstream of the *rlmH* sequence, which is replaced by attS once integration has occurred. (B) Structure of SCC*mec*. Within SCC*mec* there is one direct repeat (DR) sequence, and two reverse complement inverted repeats (IRs). An additional DR is present at the boundary of the downstream chromosomal region. Arrows indicate the orientation and positions of these repeats. Sequences based on the well-characterised clinical strain of *S. aureus* JKD6159 (NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_017338.2).

Supplementary Figure 2: Summary of the genome-wide association study after masking an artificially created site of covariation. (A) Quantile-quantile plot comparing the expected -log(p-values) with observed -log(p-values). The red diagonal line indicates where the expected and observed values are equal. (B) Manhattan plot demonstrating the statistical significance association for selected variants arranged in order on a simulated genome. Each dot represents a k-mer. Red dotted line indicates the threshold for

significance. Masked region near 100kb and artificial covariation region at 600kb are
labelled.

Supplementary Figure 3: Summary of the GOLD-GWAS process. An overview
conceptualising the methodology and advantages of GOLD-GWAS over standard GWAS.
(A) A dictionary of unitigs is constructed that captures all genomic variation present in a
pangenome. (B) Performing standard GWAS on this unitig dictionary using the presence of
a target gene as the binary classifier will produce strong correlations for any unitigs that
map to the target gene itself. Consequently, the extremely high p-values associated with
these unitigs will result in a high threshold for statistical significance. Strongly associated
unitigs may also include a recombination site, the target gene's linkage disequilibrium
regions, and any target gene unitig duplicated within the genome. (C) After identifying all
target gene and LD region unitigs within gene-positive isolates, GOLD-GWAS removes
these unitigs from the dictionary before performing GWAS. Unitig filtering now allows
detection of recombination site or insertion sequence due to strong correlation with gene-
negative isolates only. (D) By identifying all target gene and LD region unitigs in both gene-
positive and -negative isolates and removing them prior to GWAS, GOLD-GWAS reveals
previously hidden unitigs that significantly covary with the target gene.

A

B

C

D

A Determine linkage disequilibrium (LD) threshold from reference genome:

B Identify all LD regions in subject +ve genomes:

C Generate and filter unitigs: