First evaluation of contrast-enhanced micro-XCT as a tool for organismal and reproductive trait

observations in corals based on scans of *Thesea nivea* Deichmann, 1936

Erin E. Easton

University of Texas Rio Grande Valley, 33363 Marine Lab Dr., South Padre Island, TX, USA

erin.easton@utrgv.edu

Highlights

• Contrast-enhanced micro-XCT proves useful for octocoral systematics.

• Organismal and histological information for *T. nivea* was visualized with contrast.

• Sufficient density differences were observed to differentiate among soft tissues.

Abstract

Micro-X-ray computed tomography (micro-XCT) with contrast enhancement is

considered a non-destructive tool that is increasingly being applied to explore the internal and

external structures of vertebrates and invertebrates. Although micro-XCT has been applied to

corals to study skeletal features, contrast enhancement has not been applied to evaluate the utility

for visualization of soft tissue structures in corals. This study is the first to evaluate the utility of

contrast enhancement to visualize internal and external features, including soft tissue features, of

the octocorals. Contrast staining of a *Thesea nivea* specimen with 2.5% Lugol's iodine permitted

visualization of soft tissues with sufficient density differences among tissues to distinguish

skeletal elements, dermal layers, polyp internal structures, and oocyte stages and features. Thus,

contrast-enhanced micro-XCT is a tool that could advance octocoral systematics. Further

optimization of this approach could further enhance its utility for systematics and increase its

efficiency through development of semi-automated pipelines for morphometric and histological

analyses.

1. Introduction

X-ray computed tomography (XCT) has applications in numerous fields (reviewed in

Withers et al., 2021), including metrology, manufacturing, engineering, food science, materials

science, biomedical and life sciences, paleontology, and Earth sciences. It is considered a non-

destructive tool (but see Faulwetter et al., 2013) to obtain information on internal and external

structures at scales of meters to nanometers (Withers et al., 2021), depending on the resolution of

the scanner. In the life sciences, micron-scale XCT (hereafter micro-XCT) has been used to study

non-invasively the external and internal morphological characters of a polychaetes (Faulwetter et

al., 2013), earthworms (Fernández et al., 2014), chick embryos (Metscher, 2009), frogs (Porro

and Richards, 2017), and fish (Weinhardt et al., 2018). Compared to dissection-based analyses,

application of micro-XCT for morphology-based systematics has advantages in not only being

non-destructive but also in its utility for the rapid creation of high-resolution, three-dimensional,

morphological data (Faulwetter et al., 2013) and histological information (Gutiérrez et al., 2018)

that can be digitalized as virtual type material (i.e., cybertypes; see Buser et al., 2020; Faulwetter

et al., 2013; Porro and Richards, 2017) that could serve for virtual dissections, biochemical

modeling (Buser et al., 2020; Porro and Richards, 2017), and education (Buser et al., 2020; Porro

and Richards, 2017).

These benefits are enhanced with the application of contrast stains to enhance

visualization of soft tissues. With the application of chemical contrast stain, taxonomically

informative morphological details of internal structures and histological information have been

visualized in lumbricid earthworms (Fernández et al., 2014), crickets (Gutiérrez et al., 2018),

frogs (Porro and Richards, 2017). Among the contrast stains used, Lugol's iodine and other

iodine contrast stains have shown promise for visualizing soft-tissue and skeletal structures,

including delicate and small structures that are often difficult to visualize through traditional

dissection methods, in a wide range of vertebrates and invertebrates (Fernández et al., 2014;

Gutiérrez et al., 2018; Metscher, 2009; Porro and Richards, 2017). Despite the promise of

contrast-enhanced micro-XCT for systematics, its application for systematics remains limited

even for taxa, such as corals, that have been studied with XCT and micro-XCT for decades.

In corals, micro-XCT has been applied to study skeleton density (Duprey et al., 2012;

Roche et al., 2010) and structural/morphological characteristics (Fabri et al., 2022; Kaandorp et

al., 2005; Knackstedt et al., 2006; Kramer et al., 2022; Kruszyński et al., 2007), effects of ocean

acidification on coral skeletons (Enochs et al., 2015; Hennige et al., 2020; Scucchia et al., 2021;

Tambutté et al., 2015; Wolfram et al., 2022), coral surface area and volume relationships

(Gutiérrez-Heredia et al., 2015; House et al., 2018; Laforsch et al., 2008), effects of turbulence

and flow on coral skeletons (Iwasaki et al., 2018), growth patterns (Li et al., 2021; Li et al.,

2020; Medellín-Maldonado et al., 2022; Yudelman and Slowey, 2022), effects of coral-symbiont

relationships (Beuck et al., 2007), and model the effects of environmental or biological changes

on coral skeletons (Wolfram et al., 2022). Most of these studies have been conducted on

scleractinians corals, which have substantial calcium carbonate skeletons. In contrast, few studies

have been conducted on octocorals. The first application of micro-XCT to octocorals was

mapping of the stem canals of octocorals to understand their internal organization and their

physiological and functional role in octocoral colony growth (Morales Pinzón et al., 2014).

Micro-XCT was also used to explore the effects of ocean acidification on the octocoral *Eunicea*

flexuosa by Enochs et al. (2015). Urushihara et al. (2016) were the first to use micro-XCT to

obtain biological and structural information for an octocoral, the white coral, *Corallium konojoi*.

Early applications of micro-XCT on corals often report a limited or lack of detection of soft

tissue as a methodological limitation using standard scanning or modifications such as phase-

contrast and synchrotron micron-XCT (Morales Pinzón et al., 2014; Naumann et al., 2009;

Urushihara et al., 2016). Despite not using contrast stain, Urushihara et al. (2016) were able to

distinguish some information on the structures formed by living tissues, such as gastrovascular

canals and polyp cavities, through application of edge-enhancement effect of synchrotron X-ray

imaging.

To the best of my knowledge, no studies have used chemical contrast stains to visualize

soft tissues in corals, so the utility of micro-XCT to explore internal soft tissues of corals has yet

to be evaluated. Here, I conduct the first evaluation of the utility of contrast enhancement for

corals using Lugol's iodine and a specimen of the octocoral *Thesea nivea* Deichmann, 1936 to

determine whether additional morphological characters are distinguishable compared to micro-

XCT without contrast staining and thus whether this tool could be useful for visualization of

organismal and reproductive traits, advancing octocoral systematics.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sample collection and preparation

A specimen of *Thesea nivea* (DFH33-536A) was collected by the remotely operated

vehicle SubAtlantic Mohawk 18, operated by the UNCW Undersea Vehicles Program, on 29

September 2017 at 86 m depth at MacNeil Bank (28.0410 °N, 93.4933 °W). The specimen was

preserved in 95% ethanol at -20°C. An ~5 cm long piece of a terminal branch was clipped to

include a terminal branching point and sent to the University of Texas High-Resolution X-ray

Computed Tomography Facility (hereafter, UTCT). The raw sequencing data from Quattrini et

al. (2024) is available from GenBank (SAMN38145133).

2.2. Micro-XCT imaging and processing

98   UTCT staff conducted a total of three scans on the specimen. The first scan was

performed 16 December 2022 on the ~5 cm (length) fragment (Fig. 1), unstained, with the NSI

Helical scanner with the following parameters: Fein Focus High Power source, 90 kV, 0.15 mA,

aluminum foil filter, Perkin Elmer detector, 0.25 pF gain, 2 fps, 1x1 binning, source to object

70.84 mm, source to detector 1053.225 mm, helical continuous CT scan, vertical extent 64 mm,

pitch 6.39698 mm, 10 revolutions, 2.5 sets, no frames averaged, 0 skip frames, 15000

projections, 5 gain calibrations, 5 mm calibration phantom, data range [-5, 100] adjusted

grayscale values, beam- hardening correction = 0.1. A total of 3697 slices of voxel size 13.5 µm

were obtained. To increase resolution, the fragment was cut down to an ~ 0.7 × 0.5 cm fragment

at the branch junction (Fig. 1) and rescanned, unstained, on 28 February 2023 with the Zeiss

Xradia 620 Versa with the following parameters: flat panel, 70kV, 8.5W, 0.1s acquisition time, 5

samples per view, detector 252.336 mm, source -14.249 mm, XYZ [-117, -1533, -203], camera

bin 1, angles ±180, 3201 views, no filter, dithering, multi reference. The 16bit TIFF images were

reconstructed by Xradia Reconstructor with center shift -0.735, beam hardening 0, theta 0, byte

scaling [-0.002, 0.035], binning 1, and recon filter smooth (kernel size = 0.7). To explore the use

of contrast enhancement to observe the soft tissues, the fragment used for the second scan was

then stained in 2.5% Lugol's for ~27 h and was subsequently scanned on 22 August 2023 Zeiss

Xradia 620 Versa with the following parameters: flat panel, 70kV, 8.5W, 0.09s acquisition time, 5

samples per view, detector 252.5 mm, source -14.3 mm, XYZ [468, -21729, 567], camera bin 1,

angles ±180, 4501 views, no filter, dithering, multi reference. The 16bit TIFF images were

reconstructed with center shift -0.839, beam hardening 0.2, theta 0, byte scaling [-0.02, 0.65],

binning 1, and recon filter smooth (kernel size = 0.7). A total of 3697, 1470, and 1470

tomographic slices (archived at UTCT) were obtained respectively for scan 1, 2, and 3 with a

voxel size 13.5 µm (scan 1) and 4.0 µm (scans 2 and 3).

Dragonfly 2022.2 Build 1409 (Comet Technologies Canada, Inc., Montreal, Canada;

software available at https://www.theobjects.com/dragonfly) was used for three-dimensional

reconstructions and analyses, including measurements of characters, of the tomographic images.

In DragonFly, a threshold of 10500 (first scan; Fig. 1), 7500 (second scan, Fig. 2A-D) and

6000/5000 (3D/2D, third scan, Fig. 2E-H) were used to segment and remove the bulk of the

background noise prior to viewing 3D reconstructions and exporting images; additional

background noise pixels were removed with Dragonfly ROI define range and ROI painter 3D

freehand tool and overwritten to a value of 0. Octocoral morphological terminology follows

Bayer et al. (1983) and (Fabricius and Alderslade, 2001).

3. Results

Thresholds used to isolate the specimen from air differed between scans with the lowest

thresholds (5000/6000) used for the contrast-enhanced scan. Without contrast, the axis and

sclerites were visualized but visualization of soft tissues was not achieved. Contrast enhancement

allowed visualization of soft tissues and sufficient differentiation of soft tissues to identify

internal and external morphological features and histological information; however, the threshold

between air and stained coral tissues was not sufficiently distinct to completely distinguish

between air and some soft tissues. Skeletal axis with loculi and a central core (Fig. 2–3), polyp

(autozooid) cavities, and sclerites were visible with and without staining (Fig. 2). The

arrangement of the coenenchyme and polyp sclerites are visible externally and internally.

Sclerites are observed along the central axis and throughout the coenenchyme with greater

apparent densities near the surface than between polyps, and along the axis. Without contrast

staining (Fig. 2 A-D), tissues were largely not distinguishable from air, so polyp cavities were

visible but the boundaries with the surrounding tissues were not distinguishable. In contrast,

Lugol's iodine sufficiently stained the sample to allow for visualization of soft tissues (Fig. 2 E-

H), including polyps, dermal layers, and gametes, as well as the skeletal elements. Autozooid

mean width (1.55 ± 0.29 mm [sd]) was considerably larger (~26%) than measured with contrast

(1.23 ± 0.18 mm, n = 7) and polyp mean height (0.53 ± 0.04 mm) was considerably lower (25%)

than measured with contrast (0.71 ± 0.08 mm, n = 3, respectively). Estimated autozooid mean

heights and polyp mean widths were comparable between scans with contrast (1.23 ± 0.12 mm

and 0.91 ± 0.19 mm, respectively; n = 7) and without contrast (1.22 ± 0.11 mm and 0.86 ± 0.13

mm, respectively; n = 3). Sufficient detail was observed to see the mesenterial lining of the polyp

cavity, oocytes separated by mesenteries, the arrangement of point and collar sclerites relative to

soft tissues, the mouth opening, stem canals and their linings, and oocyte characteristics with

notable density differences. At least two cohorts of oocytes are visible with early stage,

previtellogenic oocytes being adjacent to late-stage, vitellogenic oocytes that are ready to spawn.

The nucleus, nucleolus, yolk, and vitelline envelope are visible (Fig. 3).

4. Discussion

Micro-XCT without contrast revealed skeletal features of the octocoral *T. nivea* but soft

tissues were largely indistinguishable as noted by other studies (Morales Pinzón et al., 2014;

Naumann et al., 2009; Urushihara et al., 2016). In contrast, micro-XCT with contrast

enhancement permitted visualization of soft tissues with sufficient density differences and detail

to differentiate among oocyte stages and characteristics (i.e., nucleus, nucleolus, yolk, and

vitelline envelope), among soft tissue layers (i.e., mesoderm and gastrodermis) to better visualize

the internal structures, and the arrangement of skeletal elements internally and externally in *T.*

nivea. Although sclerites in the coenenchyme and polyp serve as good markers for polyp width

and autozooid cavity height because of their location near the mesenterial linings, contrast-

staining allowed for more accurate measurements of polyp and autozooid cavity dimensions, in

particular for the autozooid cavity width and polyp height measurements. As expected, higher

resolution was achieved with a smaller region of interest as maximum resolution is related to the

object's dimensions (Withers et al., 2021). The goal of this study was not to optimize techniques

but to evaluate whether contrast-enhanced micro-XCT could be used as a tool for visualization of

organismal and reproductive traits in octocorals, thus enhancing octocoral systematics by

obtaining additional morphometric and histological information for octocorals compared to

micro-XCT without contrast staining.

Here, I demonstrated that 2.5% Lugol's iodine was an effective medium for visualizing

not only skeletal features visible without contrast enhancement but also internal soft tissues

essential for describing organismal and reproductive traits in *T. nivea*. I acknowledge that this

evaluation was applied to a single species and the utility to visualize the reproductive and

organismal traits comprised of soft tissues may differ by taxon, but this application and methods

presented herein provide a baseline for its application in octocoral systematics, ecology, and

functional studies. Such future applications may require optimizations to the approach, so I will

shift discussion to the potential next steps to optimize this approach for octocoral systematics.

Although the methods presented here are a first application of contrast-enhanced micro-XCT

approaches in octocorals, detail and distinction among soft tissue features may be possible with

modifications to the scanning protocol, including using a nano-XCT, using a scanner with helical

scanning modes, optimizing contrast enhancement protocols, using density calibration

techniques and applying image improvement protocols to improve ability to autosegment tissues

(Chalker et al., 1985; Duprey et al., 2012; Faulwetter et al., 2013; Laforsch et al., 2008; Roche et

al., 2010), and testing application for image analysis for thresholding, segmentation, and

quantification of morphometrics.

Nano-scale XCTs and helical scanning features are worth exploring to achieve greater

resolution and visualization of smaller characteristics; however, there are tradeoffs between

sample size and resolution as well as scan time and thus cost to achieve higher resolution (see

Withers et al., 2021). Further, higher resolution scans and higher-energy scans would increase the

X-ray dose, in turn, increasing the potential destructive effects of XCT (Withers et al., 2021). For

example, higher dosages could result in damage to DNA and compromise the integrity of the

sample for future molecular analyses, which could be compounded by effects of the contrast

stain used and the condition of the sample used. Although micro-XCT is largely considered non-

destructive and non-invasive, the application of stain inherently makes the process invasive, even

if minimally so, because stains are often not fully washed out (Fernández et al., 2014).

Nevertheless, contrast-enhanced micro-XCT was successfully applied to decades old museum

specimens of earthworms (Fernández et al., 2014) demonstrates that this method could likewise

be applied to museum collections of octocorals. Because the effects of micro-XCT and contrast

stains on molecular material has revealed mixed results (see Faulwetter et al., 2013) and their

effect on molecular material of preserved octocorals have not been explored, this method would

need to be tested on museum collections to determine whether there are negative effects on

specimens and if there is an optimal methodology or processing order to minimize effects and

maximize recoverable information from type specimens. XCT has also been conducted on living

scleractinians (Laforsch et al., 2008), so application of this method, with or without, contrast

stains could also be explored to evaluate its utility and the potential destructive effects for living

octocorals in aquaculture or after live collections prior to more destructive studies.

Further testing may also reveal optimal stains and staining protocols for histological

information and autosegmentation. Lugol's iodine was selected for this test because mixtures of

iodine and potassium iodine have been identified as optimal stains for differentiation of tissues,

are largely considered non-destructive, and will leach out of samples (see, e.g., Fernández et al.,

2014; Gutiérrez et al., 2018; Metscher, 2009; Weinhardt et al., 2018). In addition,

phosphotungstic acid (PTA) staining may be a viable option because, like Lugol's iodine, it

provided a strong contrast for differentiating chick embryo (Metscher, 2009), earthworm

(Fernández et al., 2014), and teleost fish tissues (Weinhardt et al., 2018). Lugol's iodine

precipitates can decrease its dynamic range for tissue analysis (Weinhardt et al., 2018) and PTA

is acidic and can take longer to penetrate than iodine solutions (Metscher, 2009), so

decalcification could be an issue in corals. Different stains, stain concentration, and medias for

preservation (e.g., dry, ethanol, formalin), staining (ethanol, methanol, formalin, water), and

scanning may have an effect on tissue shrinkage and stain absorption (see, e.g., Faulwetter et al.,

2013; Gutiérrez et al., 2018; Metscher, 2009; Weinhardt et al., 2018). Dual or multiple stains may

be appropriate for optimizing histological information obtained from contrast-enhanced micro-

XCT. Stains may also prove useful for exploring effects on calcium carbonate skeletal elements

if they differentially stain these elements. Pairing contrast-stain methods with scanning electron

microscopy (SEM) and chemical and histological analyses could further contribute to our

understanding of coral morphology and physiology. Pairing these methods could also further test

the utility of micro-XCT to differentiate characteristics and to elucidate additional taxonomic and

ecological information (e.g., Beuck et al., 2007), such as chitinous features if XCT methods

could differentiate such chitinous features (Vandepas et al., 2023).

Automated pipelines for segmentation and morphometrics would improve the utility of

contrast staining and micro-XCT. Such pipelines have been developed for other fauna, e.g.,

teleost fish (Weinhardt et al., 2018) and foraminiferal-algal nodule samples (Chandra et al.,

2024). Some automated pipelines have been developed for specific tasks in corals, e.g., for

analyzing indeterminate growth forms in the scleractinian *Madracis mirabilis* (Kruszyński et al.,

2007) and for semi-automated stem canal tracking in the octocoral *Muricea muricata* (Morales

Pinzón et al., 2014). These pipelines could be modified and integrated with pipelines for

contrast-stained samples to optimize them for automated segmentation and morphometric

analyses for integrative systematics and revisionary taxonomy, including for application to type

specimens that can only be analyzed with nondestructive methodologies. For example,

applications and pipelines could be developed to test whether volumes, areas, and quantities of

tissues, sclerites, canal, axis, and other features could be calculated using automated, semi-

automated, and artificial intelligence approaches. The raw data can be archived as done with this

dataset at the UTCT and that data and the processed outputs can be made available in public

repositories for subsequent analyses, virtual dissection, digital dissection, and educational

purposed (see Buser et al., 2020 for Workflows and review of repositories and outputs).

5. Conclusions

Contrast-enhanced micro-XCT provided additional organismal and histological

information for *T. nivea* compared to micro-XCT without contrast enhancement. This method

permitted visualization of soft tissues with sufficient density differences among tissues to

different mesoderm and gastrodermis layers, different stages and features of oocytes, as well as

the arrangement of skeletal elements internally and externally. Thus, this tool shows promise for

octocoral systematics, ecological, and functional studies. The utility of the tool could be

enhanced by further testing to optimize protocols, e.g., to test different contrast staining protocols

and image analysis protocols to facilitate a semi-automated approach, to collect morphometric

and histological information. Its utility would be further enhanced with integration with

traditional techniques for a more comprehensive systematics approach to aid in the description

and revision of octocoral taxa. Such optimizations will result in enhanced biological and

ecological information for octocorals and provide cybertypes for subsequent revisions, virtual

dissection, and education.

Acknowledgements

Research cruise and ROV operations funding provided by NOAA's Deep-Sea Coral

Research and Technology Program, Flower Garden Banks National Marine Sanctuary, and

National Marine Sanctuary Foundation. Funding for micro-XCT imaging was supported by

UTRGV CAREER development support to the author and NSF Division of Earth Science

Instrumentation and Facilities Program (NSF EAR-2223808) and NASA (80NSSC23K0199)

awards to UTCT. This publication was made possible by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric

Administration, Office of Education Educational Partnership Program award NA16SEC4810009

and NA21SEC4810004. Its contents are solely the responsibility of the award recipient and do

not necessarily represent the official views of the U.S. Department of Commerce, National

Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. I would like to thank J. Maisano for scanning support

and A. Rossin for reviewing imagery and confirming reproductive stages. I thank members of my

laboratory and the two anonymous reviewers whose comments helped improve this article.

Figure Captions

Fig. 1. 3D rendering of unstained micro-XCT scan of a ~5 cm long fragment of *Thesea nivea*

specimen DFH33-536A with a white box indicating the approximate boundaries of the ~0.7 × 0.5

cm fragment subsequently scanned with and without contrast (Fig. 2). Scanned at The University

of Texas High-Resolution X-ray Computed Tomography Facility (UTCT) with the NSI Helical

scanner. Voxel size = 13.5 µm. Rendered in Dragonfly 2022.2 Build 1409.

Fig. 2. 3D renderings and approximately equal views on three planes of an ~0.7 × 0.5 cm

fragment of *T. nivea*, showing the skeletal elements visualized by scanning without contrast (A-

D) and the additional morphological features visible with contrast enhancement with ~27 h of

staining with 2.5% Lugol's iodine (E-H). ax = axis, lo = loculus, m = mesenteries, ml =

mesenterial lining, mo = mouth opening, o = oocyte, p = polyp, po = point, sc = sclerite, sc-t =

sclerites in tentacles, st can = stem canals, and ve = vitelline envelope. Morphological

terminology follows Bayer et al. (1983) and (Fabricius and Alderslade, 2001). Scanned with a

Zeiss Xradia 620 Versa. Voxel size = 4.0 µm. Rendered in Dragonfly 2022.2 Build 1409.

Fig. 3. Micro-XCT slice of *T. nivea*, showing some of the additional histological features visible

with contrast enhancement with ~27 h of staining with 2.5% Lugol's iodine. cc = central canal,

co = collar, lo = loculus, mc = mesoglea capsule, mf = mesentery filament, ml = mesenterial

lining, nc = nucleus, nl = nucleolus, o = oocyte, po = point, pvo = previtellogenic oocyte, st can

= stem canals, ve = vitelline envelope, and vo = vitellogenic oocyte. Morphological terminology

follows Bayer et al. (1983) and (Fabricius and Alderslade, 2001). Scanned with a Zeiss Xradia

620 Versa. Voxel size = 4.0 µm. Rendered in Dragonfly 2022.2 Build 1409.

Figures

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 3.

References

Bayer, F.M., Grasshoff, M., Verseveldt, J., 1983. Illustrated trilingual glossary of morphological and
316   anatomical terms applied to Octocorallia. Brill Archive.
317   Beuck, L., Vertino, A., Stepina, E., Karolczak, M., Pfannkuche, O., 2007. Skeletal response of Lophelia
318   pertusa (Scleractinia) to bioeroding sponge infestation visualised with micro-computed
319   tomography. Facies 53, 157-176.
320   Buser, T.J., Boyd, O.F., Cortés, Á., Donatelli, C.M., Kolmann, M.A., Luparell, J.L., Pfeiffenberger, J.A.,
321   Sidlauskas, B.L., Summers, A.P., 2020. The Natural Historian's Guide to the CT Galaxy: Step-by-
322   Step Instructions for Preparing and Analyzing Computed Tomographic (CT) Data Using Cross-
323   Platform, Open Access Software. Integrative Organismal Biology 2, obaa009.
324   Chalker, B., Barnes, D., Isdale, P., 1985. Calibration of x-ray densitometry for the measurement of coral
325   skeletal density. Coral Reefs 4, 95-100.
326   Chandra, V., Sicat, R., Benzoni, F., Vahrenkamp, V., Bracchi, V., 2024. A novel multi-scale μCT
327   characterization method to quantify biogenic carbonate production. Geoscience Frontiers 15,
328   101883.
329   Duprey, N., Boucher, H., Jiménez, C., 2012. Digital correction of computed X-radiographs for coral
330   densitometry. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 438, 84-92.
331   Enochs, I.C., Manzello, D.P., Wirshing, H.H., Carlton, R., Serafy, J., 2015. Micro-CT analysis of the
332   Caribbean octocoral Eunicea flexuosa subjected to elevated pCO2. ICES Journal of Marine
333   Science 73, 910-919.
334   Fabri, M.-C., Dugornay, O., de la Bernardie, X., Guerin, C., Sanchez, P., Arnaubec, A., Autin, T., Piasco, R.,
335   Puig, P., 2022. 3D-Representations for studying deep-sea coral habitats in the Lacaze-Duthiers
336   Canyon, from geological settings to individual specimens. Deep Sea Research Part I:
337   Oceanographic Research Papers 187, 103831.
338   Fabricius, K., Alderslade, P., 2001. Soft corals and sea fans: a comprehensive guide to the tropical shallow
339   water genera of the central-west Pacific, the Indian Ocean and the Red Sea.
340   Faulwetter, S., Vasileiadou, A., Kouratoras, M., Thanos, D., Arvanitidis, C., 2013. Micro-computed
341   tomography: Introducing new dimensions to taxonomy. Zookeys, 1-45.
342   Fernández, R., Kvist, S., Lenihan, J., Giribet, G., Ziegler, A., 2014. Sine Systemate Chaos? A Versatile Tool
343   for Earthworm Taxonomy: Non-Destructive Imaging of Freshly Fixed and Museum Specimens
344   Using Micro-Computed Tomography. PLoS One 9, e96617.
345   Gutiérrez-Heredia, L., D'Helft, C., Reynaud, E.G., 2015. Simple methods for interactive 3D modeling,
346   measurements, and digital databases of coral skeletons. Limnol. Oceanogr. Methods 13, e10017.
347   Gutiérrez, Y., Ott, D., Töpperwien, M., Salditt, T., Scherber, C., 2018. X-ray computed tomography and its
348   potential in ecological research: A review of studies and optimization of specimen preparation.
349   Ecol. Evol. 8, 7717-7732.
350   Hennige, S.J., Wolfram, U., Wickes, L., Murray, F., Roberts, J.M., Kamenos, N.A., Schofield, S., Groetsch,
351   A., Spiesz, E.M., Aubin-Tam, M.-E., Etnoyer, P.J., 2020. Crumbling Reefs and Cold-Water Coral
352   Habitat Loss in a Future Ocean: Evidence of "Coralporosis" as an Indicator of Habitat Integrity.
353   Frontiers in Marine Science 7.
354   House, J.E., Brambilla, V., Bidaut, L.M., Christie, A.P., Pizarro, O., Madin, J.S., Dornelas, M., 2018. Moving
355   to 3D: relationships between coral planar area, surface area and volume. PeerJ 6, e4280.
356   Iwasaki, S., Suzuki, A., Iguchi, A., Sasaki, O., Kano, H., Ohno, Y., Enomoto, K., 2018. Effect of seawater
357   turbulence on formation of coral primary polyp skeletons. Coral Reefs 37, 939-944.
358   Kaandorp, J.A., Sloot, P.M.A., Merks, R.M.H., Bak, R.P.M., Vermeij, M.J.A., Maier, C., 2005.
359   Morphogenesis of the branching reef coral Madracis mirabilis. Proceedings of the Royal Society
360   B: Biological Sciences 272, 127-133.

Knackstedt, M.A., Arns, C.H., Senden, T.J., Gross, K., 2006. Structure and properties of clinical coralline
implants measured via 3D imaging and analysis. Biomaterials 27, 2776-2786.
Kramer, N., Guan, J., Chen, S., Wangpraseurt, D., Loya, Y., 2022. Morpho-functional traits of the coral
Stylophora pistillata enhance light capture for photosynthesis at mesophotic depths.
Communications Biology 5, 861.
Kruszyński, K.J., Kaandorp, J.A., van Liere, R., 2007. A computational method for quantifying
morphological variation in scleractinian corals. Coral Reefs 26, 831-840.
Laforsch, C., Christoph, E., Glaser, C., Naumann, M., Wild, C., Niggl, W., 2008. A precise and non-
destructive method to calculate the surface area in living scleractinian corals using X-ray
computed tomography and 3D modeling. Coral Reefs 27, 811-820.
Li, Y., Liao, X., Bi, K., Han, T., Chen, J., Lu, J., He, C., Lu, Z., 2021. Micro-CT reconstruction reveals the
colony pattern regulations of four dominant reef-building corals. Ecol. Evol. 11, 16266-16279.
Li, Y., Zhan, Z., Xu, K., 2020. Morphology and molecular phylogenetic analysis of deep-sea purple
gorgonians (Octocorallia: Victorgorgiidae) from seamounts in the tropical western Pacific, with
description of three new species. Frontiers in Marine Science 7.
Medellín-Maldonado, F., López-Pérez, A., Ruiz-Huerta, L., Carricart-Ganivet, J.P., 2022. Understanding
corallite demography to comprehend potential bias in sclerochronology: Analysis of coral
modular growth by micro-computed tomography. Limnology and Oceanography 67, 2665-2676.
Metscher, B.D., 2009. MicroCT for developmental biology: A versatile tool for high-contrast 3D imaging at
histological resolutions. Developmental Dynamics 238, 632-640.
Morales Pinzón, A., Orkisz, M., Rodríguez Useche, C.M., Torres González, J.S., Teillaud, S., Sánchez, J.A.,
Hernández Hoyos, M., 2014. A semi-automatic method to extract canal pathways in 3D micro-CT
images of octocorals. PLoS One 9, e85557.
Naumann, M.S., Niggl, W., Laforsch, C., Glaser, C., Wild, C., 2009. Coral surface area quantification–
evaluation of established techniques by comparison with computer tomography. Coral Reefs 28,
109-117.
Porro, L.B., Richards, C.T., 2017. Digital dissection of the model organism *Xenopus laevis* using contrast-
enhanced computed tomography. Journal of Anatomy 231, 169-191.
Quattrini, A.M., McCartin, L.J., Easton, E.E., Horowitz, J., Wirshing, H.H., Bowers, H., Mitchell, K.,
González-García, M.d.P., Sei, M., McFadden, C.S., Herrera, S., 2024. Skimming genomes for
systematics and DNA barcodes of corals. Ecol. Evol. 14, e11254.
Roche, R.C., Abel, R.A., Johnson, K.G., Perry, C.T., 2010. Quantification of porosity in Acropora pulchra
(Brook 1891) using X-ray micro-computed tomography techniques. Journal of Experimental
Marine Biology and Ecology 396, 1-9.
Scucchia, F., Malik, A., Zaslansky, P., Putnam, H.M., Mass, T., 2021. Combined responses of primary coral
polyps and their algal endosymbionts to decreasing seawater pH. Proceedings of the Royal
Society B: Biological Sciences 288, 20210328.
Tambutté, E., Venn, A.A., Holcomb, M., Segonds, N., Techer, N., Zoccola, D., Allemand, D., Tambutté, S.,
2015. Morphological plasticity of the coral skeleton under CO_2-driven seawater acidification.
Nature Communications 6, 7368.
Urushihara, Y., Hasegawa, H., Iwasaki, N., 2016. X-ray micro-CT observation of the apical skeleton of
Japanese white coral *Corallium konojoi*. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 475,
124-128.
Vandepas, L.E., Tassia, M.G., Halanych, K.M., Amemiya, C.T., 2023. Unexpected distribution of chitin and
chitin synthase across soft-bodied cnidarians. Biomolecules 13, 777.
Weinhardt, V., Shkarin, R., Wernet, T., Wittbrodt, J., Baumbach, T., Loosli, F., 2018. Quantitative
morphometric analysis of adult teleost fish by X-ray computed tomography. Sci. Rep. 8, 16531.

Withers, P.J., Bouman, C., Carmignato, S., Cnudde, V., Grimaldi, D., Hagen, C.K., Maire, E., Manley, M., Du
Plessis, A., Stock, S.R., 2021. X-ray computed tomography. Nature Reviews Methods Primers 1,
18.
Wolfram, U., Peña Fernández, M., McPhee, S., Smith, E., Beck, R.J., Shephard, J.D., Ozel, A., Erskine, C.S.,
Büscher, J., Titschack, J., Roberts, J.M., Hennige, S.J., 2022. Multiscale mechanical consequences
of ocean acidification for cold-water corals. Sci. Rep. 12, 8052.
Yudelman, E.A., Slowey, N.C., 2022. Coral extension rate analysis using computed axial tomography. Coral
Reefs 41, 973-985.
416

417