Single-Cell Profiling Reveals Altered Endometrial Cellular Features Across the Menstrual Cycle in Endometriosis Patients

Ana Almonte-Loya[1,2,3], Wanxin Wang[4], Sahar Houshdaran[4], Xinyu Tang[1], Emily Flynn[2], Binya Liu[4], Divyashree Kushnoor[2], Camran Nezhat[5], Felipe Vilella[6,7], Carlos Simon[6,7,8,9], Kim Chi Vo[4], Amber Casillas[4], Umair Khan [1,3], Carlota Peredas[1,10], Tomiko T. Oskotsky[1,11], David Huang[4], Júlia Vallvé-Juanico[4], Juan Irwin[4], Alexis Combes[2]*, Marina Sirota[1]*, Gabriela Fragiadakis[2]*, Linda Giudice[4]*

Affiliations:

1. Bakar Computational Health Sciences Institute, University of California, San Francisco
2. CoLabs, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA
3. Biological and Medical Informatics Program, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, USA
4. Center for Reproductive Sciences, Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Reproductive Sciences, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA
5. Camran Nezhat Institute, Center for Special Minimally Invasive and Robotic Surgery, Woodside, CA 94061, USA
6. Carlos Simon Foundation, Valencia, Spain
7. INCLIVA Biomedical Research Institute, Valencia, Spain
8. Department of Pediatrics, Obstetrics and Gynecology, School of Medicine, University of Valencia, Valencia, Spain

24   9.   Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center,

25   Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

26   10. Pharmaceutical Sciences and Pharmacogenomics Program, University of California San

27   Francisco, San Francisco, USA

28   11. Division of Clinical Informatics and Digital Transformation, Department of Medicine,

29   University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

Abstract

Endometriosis is a chronic, estrogen-dependent condition affecting over 190 million women

globally, characterized by the ectopic presence of endometrial-like tissue that leads to

inflammation, pain, and infertility. Despite its prevalence, the pathogenesis of endometriosis

remains poorly understood. Here, we present a comprehensive single-cell transcriptomic atlas

comprising 228,000 cells derived from 43 eutopic endometrial biopsies from patients with

endometriosis, fibroid controls and healthy controls, sampled across the menstrual cycle. This

analysis reveals previously uncharacterized subpopulations of endometrial fibroblasts and

epithelial cells undergoing epithelial–mesenchymal transition, alongside disrupted immune cell

communication networks. Comparative gene expression profiling implicates oxidative stress,

aberrant cell migration, and dysregulated apoptosis as central features of the disease state.

These findings suggest that endometriosis alters eutopic endometrial homeostasis, with

potential consequences for fertility, regeneration, and disease progression. Our dataset

provides a valuable resource for biomarker discovery and identifies candidate therapeutic

targets aimed at restoring endometrial function and alleviating symptoms in affected individuals.

Introduction

Endometriosis is a common, estrogen-dependent inflammatory disease characterized by the ectopic growth of endometrial-like tissue outside the uterus. Most disease resides in the pelvia and is associated with inflammation, chronic pelvic pain, pain with intercourse and menses, and and infertility[1–5]. It affects around 10% of reproductive-age individuals with a uterus[2–4], equating to approximately 190 million cases worldwide[2,6]. The economic burden in the U.S. alone exceeds $22 billion[7,8]. Despite decades of research and some advancements in exploring the disease's pathobiology, effective individualized management remains challenging, primarily because of the heterogeneous nature of endometriosis phenotypes and symptoms, and involvement of systemic components[2,4].

Although retrograde menstruation is a widely proposed origin of endometriosis, bone marrow stem cells may also contribute to its pathogenesis, and understanding eutopic (within the uterus) endometrial alterations in patients with disease remains limited, particularly in relation to tissue dyshomeostasis throughout the menstrual cycle and impact on fertility and pregnancy outcomes[1–4,9]. Since the endogenous ovarian steroids, estradiol and progesterone, are key regulators of endometrial gene expression[9–11], investigating endometrial features across the menstrual cycle is key to understanding how aberrancies of signaling by these hormones drive endometrial dysfunction in endometriosis patients[4,9].

Single-cell analysis has emerged as a powerful tool to decipher the complexities of diseases like endometriosis, offering detailed insights into the cellular heterogeneity of the eutopic and ectopic endometrium[9,12–17]. Recent studies utilizing these advanced methodologies have revealed diverse roles of immune cells, stromal fibroblasts, and epithelial cells in the eutopic endometrium proper and in relation to tissue dysfunction and pathogenesis and pathophysiology of endometriosis lesions. These studies have shown that eutopic endometrium in endometriosis patients exhibits epithelial abnormalities and dysregulated signaling pathways affecting tissue

homeostasis. Moreover, analysis of menstrual endometrium, a "liquid biopsy" of late secretory

phase endometrium, reveals aberrant progesterone signaling in stromal fibroblasts, which are

essential for pregnancy establishment and success and endometrial regeneration[9,13]. These

findings underscore the importance of cellular diversity and functional interactions in the eutopic

endometrium of patients with endometriosis and highlight potential avenues for targeted

therapeutic interventions.

In this study, we utilized single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) to examine cellular and

molecular changes as well as cell-cell communication patterns in the eutopic endometrium of

patients with and without endometriosis across disease severities and menstrual cycle phases.

By profiling the eutopic endometrium, we aimed to identify cycle phase-dependent alterations in

key cell populations, including stromal fibroblasts, unciliated epithelial cells, EMT cells, and

immune dysregulation in macrophages and uterine natural killer (uNK) cell types and subtypes.

Through these analyses, we sought to map critical cell-cell signaling pathways involved in

inflammation, apoptosis, and migration, offering deeper insights into the molecular mechanisms

driving endometrial dysfunction in patients with endometriosis.

Results

Diverse cellular cartography of the eutopic endometrium

By using multiplexed single-cell RNA sequencing to mitigate batch effect and other

technical artifacts, single-cell analyses identified 228,021 cells from the eutopic endometrium of

43 participants, including 25 with endometriosis, 8 with no known reproductive disorders

("pristine" controls), and 10 with uterine fibroids and no endometriosis ("fibroid" controls). Patient

samples were stratified according to case/control, menstrual cycle phase and endometriosis

severity[18] (**Fig. 1A, Supplementary Table 1**). Following experimental processing, samples

were processed in silico including quality filtering, integration, and batch correction, prior to

downstream computational analysis (**Fig. 1B, Methods**).

Using established cell markers and visualizing using Uniform Manifold Approximation

and Projection (UMAP) visualization, we identified thirty-two clusters representing six major cell

types: immune cells, stromal fibroblasts, ciliated epithelial cells, unciliated epithelial cells,

endothelial cells, and vascular smooth muscle cells (VSM) (**Fig. 2A, SFig. 1A, STable 2**). We

then explored the distribution of menstrual phases and disease states within these cell clusters.

Coloring the UMAP based on these characteristics showed distinct regions for proliferative and

secretory phases (**Fig. 2B and SFig. 1B**), as well as separations between endometriosis, fibroid

control, and pristine control (**Fig. 2C and SFig. 1C**). Menstrual phase, disease status, and

libraries were distributed across all cell types (**SFig. 1D-G**). Principal component analysis (PCA)

of the cell composition profile per sample further confirmed changes in endometrial cellular

composition driven by menstrual cycle phase and disease condition (**Fig. 2D,E**). Notably, the

separation among conditions (endometriosis, fibroid controls, and pristine controls) was less

pronounced than differences driven by cycle phase. Similar to the cellular composition analysis

results, most cell types showed significant transcriptional differences between proliferative and

secretory phases in fibroblast and unciliated epithelia populations (**SFig. 2-4, Supplementary**

Note, STable4). Interestingly, although endometrium from patients affected by fibroids exhibited

distinct signals, their molecular signatures were more similar to endometriosis than to pristine

controls in certain cell types (examples in **SFig.5, Supplementary Note** below, **STable5**). Thus,

we focused downstream analyses on comparing eutopic endometrium signatures in

endometriosis and pristine controls, stratified by menstrual cycle phase (**Fig. 2F**).

Differential gene expression across cell types in endometrial pathology

We first examined differentially expressed genes (DEGs) filtering by adj. p-val < 0.05 and |log2FC| > 0.25, between endometriosis and pristine controls in the five non-immune broad cell types (**STable 3**) (**SFig. 6**). In both the proliferative and secretory phrases, we saw the highest numbers of DEGs in stromal fibroblasts (n=338 and n=1384 respectively) followed by unciliated epithelial cells (n=482 and n=983) and endothelial cells (n=58, n=417). VSM and ciliated epithelial cells also showed DEGs, mainly in the secretory phase (n=5 and n=88 for VSM, n=4 and n=84 for CE) (**SFig. 6**).

We found that all non-immune cell types had more DEGs in the secretory phase than in the proliferative phase (**Fig. 2G, H**). Although most gene differences generally appeared to be phase-specific (**SFig. 7A-E.**), there was greater overlap of DEGs across cell types in the secretory phase compared to the proliferative phase (**Fig. 2G, H**).

These findings suggest that endometriosis exerts greater transcriptional effects in secretory phase endometrium, which may have significant implications for fertility and reproductive health. To investigate if the observed DEGs are isolated to specific endometriosis severity groups, we also performed similar comparisons stratified by endometriosis stage, which showed general concordance with the transcriptomic differences seen in the analyses without endometriosis severity stratification (**SFig8, Supplementary Notes, STable6,7**). Therefore, we continued with an analysis approach unstratified by endometriosis stage.

Distinct biological process alterations between menstrual cycle phases in stromal fibroblasts in endometriosis cases

We decided to investigate the two main mesenchymal-derived cell populations, stromal

fibroblast and VSM (**Fig. 3A**). Given the large number of significant DEGs in stromal fibroblasts

in endometriosis compared to pristine controls, we first focused the on the signatures of this cell

population. We found 204 overlapping DEGs between the proliferative phase and secretory

phase, among which 156 genes consistently exhibited either upregulation or downregulation in

both phases in patients with endometriosis compared to pristine controls (**Fig. 3B, SFig. 9A**).

The top upregulated DEGs included genes related to heat shock proteins (HSPs), specifically

isoforms of *HSP70* - known to protect cellular damage caused by stress and inflammation,[19,20]

as well as for their functions as steroid hormone receptor chaperones, cell cycle regulators, and

regulators of apoptosis[21]. These include *HSPA6* (adj. p-val <0.001, log2FC = 0.77 vs 2.88 for

proliferative and secretory phases, respectively) and *CRYAB* (adj. p-val <0.001, log2FC = 1.36

for proliferative; adj. p-val < 0.001, log2FC = 2.48 for secretory phase). The top downregulated

genes included *SFRP4* (adj. p-val< 0.001, log2FC = -1.04 for proliferative and adj. p-val<0.001,

log2FC = -1.54 for secretory phase) and *SFRP1* (adj. p-val<0.001, log2FC = -0.44 for

proliferative, adj. p-val<0.001, log2FC = -1.04 for secretory phase) that encode these frizzle

related proteins (SFRP4, SFRP1), inhibitors of Wnt signaling[22]. The remaining 48 overlapping

DEGs exhibited phase-dependent expression patterns with opposing alteration directions

between the proliferative and secretory phases (**SFig. 9A**).

We applied Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) to understand the pathway changes

implicated in endometriosis versus pristine controls in the proliferative and secretory phases

independently (**Fig. 3C, STable 14**). Our results showed that in the secretory phase, pathways

related to heat response and protein folding were upregulated, which could represent reactive

oxygen species (ROS) accumulation in this phase in disease versus control. Other apoptotic

and programmed cell death pathways were additionally identified in endometriosis, as well as

enrichment related to response to metal ion (**STable 14**).

Endometrial stromal fibroblast subpopulations associated with endometriosis

To further explore these phase-specific disease phenotypes in more detail, we sub-

clustered stromal fibroblasts and VSM populations and annotated them based on canonical

markers, which resulted in eight subpopulations (**Fig. 3D-E, STable 2, Methods**). Among the

identified fibroblast clusters, we found activated fibroblast cells, a proliferating cluster, and a

previously described SFRP4+ population in endometrial fibroblasts[23]. Additionally, other distinct

stromal fibroblast clusters were identified, with IGF1+, MMP+, HSP+, and WFDC2+ markers.

Each subpopulation had different distributions related to the menstrual phase and disease

status (**SFig. 9B-D**). All subpopulations were observed across all libraries (**SFig. 9E-F**).

We compared cell type composition between endometriosis and pristine controls,

stratifying samples between secretory and proliferative menstrual phases (**SFig. 9G**). During the

proliferative phase, the SFRP4+ subpopulation was less abundant in endometriosis patients (p-

adj. < 0.009) (**Fig. 3F**). This cell population has been described to be abundant during the

endometrium's regenerative phase[23,24].In the secretory phase, the fraction of HSP+ stromal

subpopulation was significantly higher in cases versus controls (p-adj. < 0.0001) (**Fig. 3F**). This

HSP+ population has not been previously observed in endometrial fibroblast tissue. However, it

has been described in other fibroblast tissues under stress conditions[25]. Their role may be

significant in collagen production and fibrosis processes[26,27].

Furthermore, the differences between secretory and proliferative proportions were

significant in activated fibroblasts (p-adj. < 0.05). Activated fibroblasts drive wound healing and

are linked to cancer through their contractile, ECM-producing, and inflammatory roles[28]. The

same difference in proportion was observed in the HSP+ population (p-adj. < 0.01) in cases

(**SFig. 9H**).

At the transcriptional level, phase-specific differential expression was observed in

several subpopulations when comparing cases to pristine controls (**Fig. 3G, STable 8,9, SFig.**

10-11). We investigated the activated fibroblast population, which during the proliferative phase

exhibited the most differences compared to pristine controls (adj. p-val < 0.05 and |log2FC|>

0.25, n=100 DEG) (**Fig. 3G**). The top upregulated genes include *TANGL* (adj. p-val < 0.01,

log2FC = 1.87) and *CAV1* (adj. p-val < 0.001, log2FC = 1.26), both biomarkers of cancer-

associated fibroblasts[28–30]. *NFKBIA* (adj. p-val < 0.001, log2FC = 1.25), a regulator of the

inflammatory response, and *KLF6* (adj. p-val < 0.001, log2FC = 1.05), which regulates

proliferation and apoptosis of endometrial stromal cells[31], were also significantly upregulated.

Conversely, the downregulated genes included *IGFBP5* (adj. p-val < 0.001, log2FC = -

1.92), implicated in early responses during the development of fibrosis[32], and *PENK* (adj. p-val <

0.05, log2FC = -1.74), associated with the induction of apoptosis[33] (**Fig. 3H**).

The HSP+ population, also included differences in transcriptomic signature

endometriosis compared to controls, specifically during the proliferative phase (adj. p-val < 0.05

and |log2FC| > 0.25, n=48 DEG) (**Fig. 3G,H**). Downregulated genes included *IGFBP5* (adj. p-val

<0.001, log2FC=-1.66) and *SFRP4* (adj. p-val <0.001, log2FC=-1.66). Conversely, upregulated

genes included *GADD45B* (adj. p-val <0.01, log2FC=1.05), which relates to stress response

and immune regulation[34], *TCIM* (adj. p-val <0.001, log2FC=0.67), a positive regulator of the

Wnt/beta-catenin signaling pathway[35], as well as *KLF6* (adj. p-val <0.001, log2FC=0.56) and

NFKBIA (adj. p-val <0.001, log2FC=0.68), which were also observed in activated fibroblasts.

Other important upregulated genes that play a role in immune response[36] were *FOS* (adj. p-val

<0.001, log2FC=0.63) and *JUN/JUNB* (adj. p-val <0.001, log2FC=0.56 and log2FC=0.56,

respectively) (**Fig. 3H**). GSEA revealed that this HSP+ subpopulation exhibited an increase in

immune response development and cellular response to stress (adj. p-val < 0.05) (**Fig. 3I**).

Proliferating fibroblasts also exhibited notable differences during the proliferative phase

in cases compared to controls. This subpopulation showed upregulation of genes including

CCN2 (adj. p-val<0.001, log2FC= 0.76) (**SFig. 11F**), which plays a critical role in cell

proliferation, differentiation, and adhesion.

We next aimed to investigate the VSM cells. This population clustered closely with the

stromal fibroblast population, perhaps due to their shared mesenchymal lineage and other

biological similarities. For instance, VSM cells can convert into myofibroblasts during wound

healing and fibrosis, and vice versa, stromal fibroblasts are also able to transform into

myofibroblasts in inflammatory environments[37]. In addition to VSM, we also examined WFDC2+

fibroblasts that express WFDC2, a marker in cancer-associated fibroblasts[38]; additionally, this

gene has been shown to promote fibroblast-myofibroblast transition in pulmonary cells[39,40].

Given this similarity, we hypothesize both cell types may play shared roles in the development

of endometriotic lesions.

In the secretory phase, VSM exhibited the highest number of DEGs in cases compared

to pristine controls (adj. p-val < 0.05 and |log2FC| > 0.25, n=122 DEG). (**Fig. 3G**). WFDC2+

fibroblasts (WFDC2+ F) also exhibited a significant number of DEGs (n=49 DEG) (**Fig. 3G**).

Both subpopulations had upregulated DEGs involving heat shock-related proteins that

protect cells from stress-induced damage. These included *HSPA6* (adj. p-val <0.001, log2FC =

2.23 in VSM) (adj. p-val <0.001, log2FC = 3.85 in WFDC2+ F), *HSPA1B*, (adj. p-val <0.001,

log2FC = 1.93 in VSM) (adj. p-val <0.001 log2FC =2.99 in WFDC2+ F) and *CRYAB* (adj. p-val

<0.001, log2FC = 1.43 in VSM) (adj. p-val <0.001, log2FC = 2.46 in WFDC2+ F.) (**Fig. 3H**).

Downregulated genes in both subpopulations included *SFRP1* (adj. p-val <0.001, log2FC = -

0.80 in WFDC2+ F), (adj. p-val <0.001, log2FC = -0.41 in VSM.), whose suppression may

promote cell proliferation and migration[41] (**Fig. 3H**). Consistently, GSEA showed the

upregulation of heat-stimulated pathways in both subpopulations and a common response to

stress. Additionally, as a result of this heat shock protein-related signature, VSM showed

enrichment of distinct patterns of regulation of apoptosis, including negative regulation of cell

death and programmed cell death, as well as immune response, showing regulation of cytokine

production (**Fig. 3I, STable 14**).

Increased motility and migration in unciliated epithelial cells during the

secretory phase

Since unciliated epithelial cells consistently exhibited high transcriptional changes in

cases, we compared their expression patterns stratified by proliferative and secretory phases

(**Fig. 4A, B, STable 3**). Genes that were consistently upregulated throughout the menstrual

cycle in cases included those related to immunomodulation, e.g. *PAEP* (*progesterone-*

associated endometrial protein) (adj. p-val <0.001, log2FC = 2.59 and adj. p-val <0.001, log2FC

= 2.29 in proliferative and secretory phases, respectively) and i*nterferon stimulated gene 15*

(*ISG15*) (adj. p-val <0.001, log2FC = 0.31 and adj. p-val <0.001, log2FC = 0.78 in proliferative

and secretory phases, respectively), (**Fig. 4B, SFig. 12A**). In contrast, *SOX4*, a potential

negative modulator for cell proliferation[42], was downregulated in cases in both phases (adj. p-val

<0.001, log2FC = -0.32 in proliferative, adj. p-val <0.001, log2FC =-1.4 in secretory phase)

(**Fig. 4B**). Significant upregulation of pathways related to cell motility and migration were noted

in the secretory phase (**Fig 4C**), as well as an increased response to metal ion, similar to

fibroblast cells (**STable 14**). This prompted further investigation into the specific epithelial

subpopulations driving these changes.

Endometrial glandular epithelial cells expressed genes associated with endometriosis and pregnancy

Eight distinct epithelial cell subpopulations were identified using canonical and reported

markers (**Fig. 4D,E, STable 2, Methods**). These comprised four glandular epithelial

populations, two epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition cells (EMT) subpopulations (one *VIM*+

and one with collagen-related gene expression), a progenitor cell population (*MUC5B*+ and

TFF3+)[14], and a large ciliated epithelial cell (CE) subpopulation previously mentioned.

We observed pronounced variations in subpopulation composition across menstrual

cycle phases (**SFig. 12B**) and disease condition (**SFig. 12C,D**). Some subpopulations, here

labeled glandular E3 and glandular E4, were composed of specific libraries or samples (**SFig.**

12E-F). Exploring differences in cell type proportion per sample showed no significant changes

between endometriosis and controls (**SFig. 12G,H**).

In the proliferative phase, several epithelial subpopulations exhibited notable

transcriptional differences between cases and controls (**Fig. 4F, STable 10-11, SFig. 13 ,14).** In

glandular E1, we identified 870 DEGs between endometriosis and pristine controls during the

proliferative phase. Genes upregulated in this subgroup were also upregulated in the larger

category of unciliated epithelial cells, including expression of *PAEP* (adj. p-val <0.001,

log2FC=4.06) (**Fig. 4G**). GSEA using glandular epithelium DEGs revealed distinct pathway

alterations associated with endometriosis. In particular, pathways associated with "Female

pregnancy" and "Response to steroid hormones" were enriched (**Fig. 4H**), and several genes

belonging to these pathways were upregulated in endometriosis cases, including *SPP1, MMP7,*

IGFBP7, FOSB, LIF, and *SLC2A1* (**STable 10,14**). While these genes are crucial for pregnancy

and fertility, they are also implicated in the pathophysiology of endometriosis[43–48]. Additionally,

a gene related to immune response in the endometrium[49], *CD74*, was upregulated (adj. p-val

<0.001, log2FC=1.09) (**STable 10**). These findings highlight the complex endometrial

microenvironment in the setting of endometriosis

EMT epithelial subtypes dominate the changes in unciliated epithelial

cells

During the secretory phase, two main subpopulations exhibited differences at the

transcriptional level between endometriosis patients and pristine controls: EMT1 and CE cells

(adj. p-val < 0.05 and |log2FC| >0.25, n=533 DEG for EMT1 and n=75 for CE) (**Fig. 4F**). In

particular, *CRYAB* (adj. p-val <0.001, log2FC=1.82 for EMT1, log2FC=2.01 for CE) was

differentially expressed in both subpopulations (**Fig. 4G**). This upregulation seen in EMT1 and

CE cells is also common to other cell types, such as fibroblasts and VSM. Uniquely, EMT1

showed upregulation of pathways related to cell migration, locomotion, motility, and adhesion

(**Fig. 4H**). The genes driving this enrichment were *CCL20*, (adj. p-val <0.001, log2FC=2.20),

CXCL14 (adj. p-val <0.001, log2FC=1.95), *IGFBP7* (adj. p-val <0.001, log2FC=0.68), and

GADD45A (adj. p-val <0.001, log2FC=0.98). Furthermore, we observed a decrease in the

regulatory processes of the canonical Wnt signaling pathway (**STable 14**) with inhibitors *SFRP1*

and *SFRP4* downregulated, suggesting activation of the WNT beta-catenin pathway. In contrast,

CE showed an upregulation of pathways related to apoptosis and cell death (**Fig. 4H**). These

data underscore unique phase-specific differences in epithelial subtype gene expression in

endometrium from endometriosis patients.

Endothelial cells show leukocyte migration and inflammation associated with endometriosis

Endothelial cells exhibited a significant number of DEGs in cases versus controls across menstrual cycle phases (**STable 3**). Notably, genes involved in vascular function and inflammation, such as *KLF6* (adj. p-val <0.001, log2FC=1.77 in secretory phase; adj. p-val <0.01, log2FC=0.61 in proliferative phase), *ICAM1* (adj. p-val <0.001, log2FC=1.79 in secretory phase), and *APOLD1* (adj. p-val <0.001, log2FC=0.62 in secretory phase), were upregulated in endometriosis cases (**SFig. 6G,H**). GSEA again revealed upregulated pathways related to heat shock protein response and oxidative stress in the secretory phase, emphasizing the impact of endometriosis on these key biological processes across cell types. Moreover, enrichment of leukocyte migration was noteworthy in the secretory phase, alongside regulation of cytokine expression in both menstrual phases (**SFig. 7F, STable 14**), thus highlighting the interaction between endothelial cells and immune regulation.

Immune response in macrophages and NK cells in endometriosis

Prior work has described the critical role of the immune system in maintaining endometrial homeostasis, with immune dysregulation contributing to endometrial pathology and pregnancy complications[50,51]. Given the heterogeneity of immune cells, we annotated the broad immune population into 11 distinct subsets using canonical immune cell markers: macrophages, monocytes, conventional type 1 dendritic cells (cDC1), plasmacytoid dendritic cells (pDCs), mast cells, uterine natural killer (NK) cells, innate lymphoid cells, plasma cells, stressed T cells[52], CD8+ T cells, T regulatory (Treg) cells. Additionally, a subset of lymphatic endothelial cells was observed to cluster with the immune cell population (**Fig. 5A,B**, **STable 2**). The distribution of these cell types varied across disease conditions and menstrual phases (**SFig.**

15A-C). Additionally, the cell composition varied across patients and libraries **(SFig. 15D-E).** An

exploration of differential abundance revealed no significant differences between disease and

pristine control or among menstrual phases in immune cell populations (**SFig. 15F-G**).

Differential gene expression analysis of immune cell subsets identified macrophages,

NK cells and CD8+ T cells as the populations with the most transcriptomic differences between

endometriosis versus controls (**Fig. 5C, STable 12,13**). In the secretory phase, macrophages in

endometriosis eutopic endometrium samples exhibited upregulation of HSP genes related to

stress-induced damage protection (*HSPA1A* adj. p-val <0.001, log2FC = 2.17, *HSPA6* adj. p-val

< 0.001, log2FC = 2.32) and cytokine-related genes (*CXCL8*, adj. p-val < 0.05, log2FC = 0.93).

Additionally, macrophages in endometriosis showed downregulation of Class II HLA genes,

which encode human leukocyte antigen critical for immune recognition, in both the proliferative

(*HLA-DQA2*, adj. p-val < 0.001, log2FC = -0.34, *HLA-DRB5*, adj. p-val < 0.001, log2FC = -0.43)

and secretory phases (*HLA-DRB5*, adj. p-val < 0.001, log2FC=-1.13) (**Fig. 5D**). This

downregulation of HLA genes also occurred in conventional dendritic cells (cDC) during the

proliferative phase (*HLA-DRB5*, adj. p-val < 0.001, log2FC=-0.88; HLA-*DQA2* adj. p-val < 0.001,

log2FC=-1.2) (**STable 12**).

CD8+ T cells also exhibited transcriptional differences in endometriosis patients,

including upregulation of *TXNIP* (adj. p-val < 0.0001, log2FC=1.81) (**Fig. 5D**), which acts as a

regulator of cellular oxidation[53]. In addition, *XCL1* (adj. p-val < 0.0001, log2FC=-1.32), which is

expressed as part of the inflammatory response and *ISG15* (adj. P-val < 0.0001, log2FC=-0.71),

recognized for its immunomodulatory properties, were both downregulated in CD8+ T cells in

endometriosis, suggesting impaired immune surveillance.

NK cells exhibited many differences in both proliferative and secretory phases. In this

population, genes related to cytotoxic activity and chemokine-related recruitment of other

immune subsets (*GZMA* adj. p-val < 0.001, log2FC=-0.48, *GZMB* adj. p-val < 0.001, log2FC=-

0.34, *XCL1*, adj. p-val < 0.0001, log2FC=-1.06) were downregulated in cases during the

proliferative phase, while *TXNIP* was upregulated (adj. p-val < 0.001, log2FC=1.75) (**Fig. 5D,**

STable12). However no specific pathways were upregulated in the proliferative phase.

In the secretory phase, we detected overexpression of HSPs (*HSPA1A* adj. p-val <

0.001, log2FC=1.30, *HSPA6* adj. p-val < 0.001, log2FC=1.20). Notably, *GNLY*, which promotes

the induction of the immune response, was upregulated in this phase (adj. p-val < 0.01, log2FC

= 2.22), along with the cytotoxic gene *GZMB* (adj. p-val < 0.001, log2FC=2.19) (**Fig. 5D, Stable**

12). This pattern was also observed in the enrichment of pathways using GSEA, which showed

increased immune response and immune system processes in NK cells during the secretory

phase (**Fig. 5E, Stable 14**).Together, these findings suggest a specific impact of endometriosis

on uterine NK cell physiology during the secretory phase, which may not only affect fertility, but

also risks of pregnancy complications and tissue regeneration in the absence of pregnancy,

given the proposed roles of this specialized cell type.

Inferred communication between immune and non-immune cells in

endometriosis

To gain insight into the interplay between immune and non-immune cells, beyond

transcriptional changes. To explore this, we used CellChat[54] to compare cases versus pristine

controls, stratified by phase. Overall, we found distinct inferred receptor-ligand communication

patterns in both phases (**Fig. 6A**). In the proliferative phase, we identified a mix of upregulated

and downregulated pathways, including interactions exclusive to either cases or controls. In

contrast, in the secretory phase, we observed mainly upregulation of pathways in endometrium

from cases (**Fig. 6A**).

To investigate the most significant differences in communication pathways by cell type,

we visualized the top 10% differentially inferred pathways, stratified by phase. In the proliferative

phase, we observed that innate lymphoid cells (ILCs) exhibited the most significant

communication differences, characterized by decreased signaling to both immune and

nonimmune populations in cases (**Fig. 6B**). By identifying specific communication pathways, we

observed that ILCs in cases sent a decreased signal in TNF pathways (**Fig. 6C**). Further

analysis of ligand-receptor pairs in this population revealed that *TNFRSF1A* and *TNFRSF1B*

were only present in control samples (**SFig. 16**). While, TNF ligands are recognized for their role

in regulating inflammatory pathways in in endometriosis[55], it is hypothesized that increased

levels of soluble TNF receptors may serve as a regulatory mechanism to sequester and

neutralize TNF in various chronic inflammatory conditions[56]. Notably, TNFRSF1A has been

identified as a prospective therapeutic target for endometriotic lesions based on in vivo studies.

studies[57].

We performed a similar analysis in the secretory phase (**Fig. 6D**). VSM and stromal

fibroblasts exhibited the most upregulated interactions, acting as key ligand sources targeting

immune cells, including NK cells, CD8+ T cells, and macrophages. To investigate which

pathways where VSM were the sources of upregulation, we compared the differences in

signaling probability between cases and control samples (**Fig. 6E**). These highlighted the *THBS*

glycoprotein pathway and *FN1* pathway, both of which involve extracellular matrix (ECM)

proteins and interact with cell membrane receptors. Another important pathway was

COLLAGEN, with a presence in both controls and cases but significantly upregulated in

endometriosis. Collagen is an important ECM-interacting protein. One of its potential roles in

endometriosis is its deposition in endometriotic lesions as a result of chronic inflammation[58].

However, its mechanistic function in the endometrium of patients with the disease remains to be

determined.

Discussion

Endometriosis is a prevalent and complex disease and understanding its impact on

different cell subtypes of the eutopic endometrium as its tissue of origin and as a target of

systemic associated with this disorder remains a challenge. It has been reported that eutopic

endometrium differs between individuals with and without endometriosis[59–61] .To investigate this,

we leveraged a comprehensive data set, containing over 235,000 cells encompassing people

with endometriosis, fibroids, and without disease across the menstrual cycle.

Studies have demonstrated that hormonal responses, especially to sex steroid

hormones during the menstrual cycle, affect endometrium and also endometriosis lesions[1,62,63].

This emphasizes the need to obtain and analyze samples by menstrual phases, and focus on

samples with no additional uterine/endometrial pathology and without exogenous hormonal

exposures[20]. By including samples spanning the menstrual cycle, avoiding hormonal

interventions, and incorporating pristine controls, we were able to minimize potential sources of

bias and better isolate disease-specific signals.

As we have shown previously[64], we established that the menstrual cycle phase

influences the epigenomic and transcriptomic endometrial landscapes. Herein, we have found

that specific cell types, such as fibroblasts and epithelial cells, contribute to endometrial

pathophysiology associated with endometriosis through mechanisms involving oxidative stress

and aberrant apoptosis signaling. We also demonstrated that alteration of immune cell

communication contributes to these processes. This study provides a valuable map of biological

pathways that characterize endometriosis in the eutopic endometrium across menstrual cycle

phases.

Building on this rationale, our study suggests that the differences between endometriosis

and controls are heavily dependent on cycle phase. Ours and other studies have demonstrated

that endometrial samples cluster more strongly by menstrual cycle phase than disease status at

molecular and epigenetic levels[61,65,66]. Consistent with these findings, our PCA revealed distinct

clustering related to the menstrual cycle phase independent of disease status. Furthermore, we

also showed consistent phase-specific differences at the pathway and transcriptomic levels

between endometriosis cases and fibroid controls, and pristine controls.

This phase-specific response is further supported by our observations of an increased

expression of heat shock protein (HSPs), especially HSP70-related isoforms[67], in secretory-

phase endometrial samples. HSP70 is considered a marker of oxidative stress in endometriosis

in lesions and in the eutopic endometrium[19,68]. HSPs influence inflammation pathways, resulting

in intrinsic apoptosis[69]. In fact, single-cell technology has identified HSPA6-related fibroblasts

with a pro-inflammatory phenotype in various tissues[25].

Several studies indicate elevated levels of HSPs in both eutopic and ectopic

endometrial tissues compared to controls[68,70–72], although the relative increase between these

tissues requires further research. The phase-specificity of this increase also remains

contentious, with some research suggesting phase-independent elevation, while others report a

rise specifically during the secretory phase[71,72]. Furthermore, differing mechanisms may underlie

this increase, depending on whether the tissue is eutopic or ectopic, and perhaps patient-level

factors that are unique to their history of environmental/medication exposures[70]. This pattern of

high HSP expression could be explained by the elevated reactive oxygen species, lower

antioxidant levels, and disrupted iron metabolism that occurs the in endometriosis disease

process. Endometrium from cases revealed that stromal fibroblasts and unciliated epithelia in

the secretory phase had elevated metal ion-related processes, accompanied by reduced

apoptosis signals, suggesting that endometrial cells may regulate ferroptosis susceptibility

through oxidative pathways to support growth and proliferation, as previously observed in

ectopic lesions [70,73,74].

Elevated oxidative expression was also present in specific cell types, including WFDC2+

fibroblasts, uterine NK cells, macrophages and ciliated cells. Previous studies have

demonstrated that macrophages secrete HSP70-inducing proinflammatory cytokines *in vitr*o in

the context of other diseases[75], which was also observed in our results. Furthermore, this

oxidative pattern was consistent with *TXNIP* expression in uterine NK and CD8$^+$ T cells in

endometriosis samples. This expression is also required for the cleavage of HSP90[76],

potentially contributing to the pro-inflammatory microenvironment of endometrium in patients

with endometriosis[53,77,]. Another HSP-related response was the upregulation of CRYAB, which

was consistently upregulated in endometriosis across all broad cell populations in the secretory

phase. This gene has been implicated in cellular stress and also in decidualization[78,79].

Interestingly, therapies targeting oxidative stress have been proposed for the treatment

of endometriosis. Notably, TNFR1 signaling has emerged as a potential therapeutic

approach[70,80]. This is particularly compelling given our findings of TNFR1 downregulation in

endometriosis cases, as revealed by our cell–cell communication analysis.

The accumulation of HSPs in endometriosis also suggests cellular proliferation,

promoting the survival and implantation of cells at ectopic sites[81]. This pathologic implantation is

aided by reduced apoptosis in endometrial cells, allowing these cells to evade clearance

mechanisms and continue growing in ectopic lesions[82,83]. This is also confirmed by our finding of

increased negative regulation of cell death among fibroblasts, VSM and CE.

Besides enhanced cellular proliferation and reduced cell death, increased cell migration

is another potential mechanism for disease establishment originating in the eutopic

endometrium and continued growth of endometrial-like tissue ectopically. Notably, the aberrant

activation of Wnt/β-catenin pathway in the menstrual endometrium has been documented in

endometriosis patients and it may worsen the disease by increasing cell migration and invasion.

In our results we observed a consistent downregulation of *SFRP4* and *SFRP1*. These genes

inhibit Wnt signaling by preventing the interaction with WNT ligands[84]. The downregulation of

these inhibitors supports the abnormal activation of this pathway[22]. We also showed that WNT

regulation is decreased at the pathway level in EMT1 cells.

In our analysis, we identified two distinct EMT populations. One cell population exhibited

mesenchymal and epithelial markers (EMT1). By contrast, the second EMT population showed

expression of *VIM+* as a marker (EMT2). Notably, during the secretory phase, EMT1 population

showed increased cell migration, locomotion, cell motility, and cell adhesion in endometriosis.

These findings align with previous research indicating that EMT is an important pathogenic

mechanism, by losing its polarized cytoskeletal organization and cell-to-cell connections and

increasing motility[85,86]. EMTs are stimulated by estrogen levels and hypoxia signals in eutopic

and ectopic endometrium[86,87], consistent with our finding of high oxidative stress. Additionally, a

key regulation of EMT mobility, vimentin, was downregulated in this population. This

encourages further exploration, as previous studies have reported conflicting results regarding

the significance of VIM and other key EMT markers[86,88–90], showing differences between eutopic

and ectopic endometrium for master EMT genes[88].

Cell migration is significantly influenced by the dynamic interactions between cells and

their extracellular matrix (ECM)[91]. Aberrant expression of ECM-related factors was shown in our

cell-cell communication analysis, with ECM interactions upregulated in VSM, including ligands

for collagen and FN1. Furthermore, in the proliferating fibroblast population, we observed an

upregulation in CCN2, which coordinates key components of the ECM, tissue repair, and growth

factors[92]. This highlights the significance of ECM-related genes in endometriosis[45,93,94]. This was

also shown by the upregulation of *MMP7* in glandular epithelia. MMPs in endometriosis could

influence processes such as invasion, angiogenesis, inflammation and fibrosis, and are

exacerbated by oxidative stress[89,95].

The role of immune cells in endometriosis is pivotal for the disease's progression, for

example, through their interactions with non-immune tissue types. This was also observed in

endothelial cells, where leukocyte migration was upregulated, along with genes related to this

process for example *ICAM1*[96]. This process is essential for the induction, maintenance, and

regulation of immune responses and can be influenced by cytokine expression, which affects

the functionality of other cell types in preparing for and sustaining pregnancy, as well as

maintaining overall tissue homeostasis.

Another critical component in the progression and clinical management of endometriosis

is immune surveillance and regulating inflammatory response. Immune recognition is influenced

by MHC class II molecules, expressed by antigen-presenting cells like macrophages, which are

encoded by HLA genes that bind various peptides[97]. Our results show a reduction in *HLA-DR*

expression in the macrophage and cDC populations in cases, indicating a functional impairment

in antigen-presenting cells. This reduction was also found in previous studies of women with

infertility, both with and without endometriosis[98]. Further research is needed regarding the role

of the HLA system in this disease[99]. We also observed downregulation of chemokine *XCL1* in

CD8+ T cells and NK cells in the proliferative phase, which play a critical role in recruiting other

immune cell types such as DCs[100].

Interestingly, we found contradictory expressions of specific cytotoxic molecules in NK

cells, including upregulation of *GNLY* in the secretory phase and phase-specific expression of

GZMB in samples from cases versus controls. The change in expression of these genes in

endometriosis has been debated, with evidence for both downregulation and upregulation[101,102].

The observed upregulation of *GNLY* could be due to the inflammatory conditions of the

endometrium. Conversely, the downregulation of *GZMB* and *GZMA* could suggest blunted NK

cell activity, which may facilitate the progression of endometriosis. In general, this result

highlights how endometriosis involves a complex interplay of inhibitors and activators.

An unexpected finding was the upregulation of *PAEP* in endometriosis samples, also

known as glycodelin (Gd) in endometrial epithelial cells. Gd is thought to be involved in

establishing endometrial receptivity and is considered a biomarker of the window of

implantation[103,104]. Single-cell analysis further associated *PAEP* expression with a distinct

epithelial sub-cluster found exclusively in control samples, opening the window of implatantion[15].

However, studies have also shown mixed results regarding Gd levels, with some reporting

elevated serum levels linked to disease infiltration, while others found only minor differences in

expression between control and endometriosis[105–108]. In our analysis, this gene was persistently

upregulated in endometriosis samples in both proliferative and secretory phases in the

unciliated epithelial population. We propose that this acyclic, constitutive expression may reflect

dysregulation of this receptivity marker and immune modulator in the eutopic endometrium,

making it unfavorable for embryo implantation. Discrepancies among multiple studies may be

attributed to variations in sampling time points. Gd expression fluctuates throughout the

menstrual cycle, peaking during implantation. However, in endometriosis, altered progesterone

response could lead to a different pattern of Gd expression, potentially causing fertility issues[109].

Future research focusing on more precise time points of the menstrual cycle could help answer

these questions.

In this study, we present a wide-ranging analysis of patients with endometriosis,

alongside patients with uterine fibroids and pristine controls. Although our pristine controls

(ovum donors not undergoing ovarian stimulation) did not undergo surgical confirmation to rule

out endometriosis, it is important to note that they had no history of gynecologic disorders or

symptoms of endometriosis.

While we expand upon previous studies by including samples from both the proliferative

and secretory phases, the current study's limited sample size may restrict the ability to detect

subtle phase-specific changes. Including additional time points to create a more continuous map

of the menstrual cycle, as well as a better representation of the late and early proliferative and

secretory phases, would strengthen our findings. We found limited detection of differential

abundance across cell types. We suggest increasing the sample size, especially for these time

points not captured, which would provide greater statistical power. Additionally, while the

inclusion of multiple endometriosis stages could help characterize the disease, the

heterogeneity of sample stages and types may complicate the identification of specific

differences between endometriosis variants.

Overall, our results highlight the importance of oxidative stress, apoptosis, and

extracellular matrix organization as potential contributors to the pathophysiology of endometrial

dysfunction in endometriosis patients. Additionally, we have identified key players such as EMT

cells, specific endometrial fibroblast populations, and altered communication in NK cells and

macrophages in this disease. These discoveries enhance our understanding of the complex

interplay in the eutopic endometrial microenvironment in patients with endometriosis. Moreover,

we provide multiple comparative analyses, examining not only the differences between

endometriosis and healthy controls but also considered various stages of endometriosis and

fibroid controls. We observed a notable similarity between fibroids and endometriosis,

underscoring the critical importance of utilizing robust control groups.

Furthermore, understanding these eutopic alterations is crucial not only for the

pathogenesis of lesions but also for helping patients experiencing fertility problems. Identifying

transcriptomic signatures in eutopic endometrium could pave the way for less invasive

diagnostic approaches to endometriosis. For example, our data could contribute to novel

diagnostic strategies through transcriptomic prediction and drug interaction studies, and it could

be leveraged for the development of targeted mono- or multi-modal therapies. We believe this

study represents a valuable resource that serves as an atlas to better understand the impact of

endometriosis, particularly on the eutopic endometrium.

Methods and Materials

Subject details

This study was conducted in accordance with the Institutional Review Board (IRB) guidelines of

the University of California, San Francisco under an approved IRB protocol (#10-02786) and in

accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed written consent was obtained from all

subjects before endometrial tissue sampling. Subjects were 20-45 years old with regular

menstrual cycles (28–30 days) and body mass index 19–29 kg m2 (inclusive) (**STable 1**).

Cases included subjects with rASRM stages I-IV, endometriomas, superficial, and/or deep

infiltrating disease diagnosed at surgery and confirmed histologically. Controls included samples

from subjects without endometriosis but undergoing surgery for symptomatic uterine fibroids/

leiomyoma, a common condition of reproductive aged women[110] ("pathologic controls") and from

healthy ovum donor volunteers ("pristine controls"). Subjects were excluded if they had used

hormonal contraception or GnRH analogue less than 3 months prior to sampling, had polycystic

ovary syndrome, and reproductive tract infections or malignancies. Some endometriosis cases

additionally presented adenomyosis, fibroids, or uterine polyps (**STable1**). Cycle phase was

determined by endometrial histology[111], serum estradiol and progesterone levels, and last

menstrual period. Biospecimens were obtained and processed according to the UCSF Uterine

Disorders Tissue Biorepository standard operating procedures[112].

Endometrium tissue dissociation, cryopreservation, and epithelial cell enrichment.

A two-stage dissociation protocol was used to dissociate endometrial tissue and separate it into

stromal- and epithelial-enriched single-cell suspensions. Undissociated endometrial tissue was

rinsed with PBS (Sigma) to remove blood and mucus. Rinsed tissue was minced into the

smallest possible pieces (~1×1×1 mm) with disposable scalpels on a petri dish and dissociated

in collagenase I or IV (Sigma) at 37 °C for 1hr in a 15 ml Falcon tube with constant agitation on

a Nutator. This primary enzymatic step dissociates stromal cells into single cells while leaving

epithelial glands and lumen mostly undigested. At this stage, the epithelial portion was either

separated from the stromal cells via a 40-μm cell strainer or left undigested within the stromal

cells. If present, red blood cells were lysed with 1× RBC Lysis Buffer (Invitrogen). The resulting

suspensions were then cryopreserved using DMSO-based cryoprotectant (80% DMEM, 10%

serum, 10% DMSO) following standard protocol.

The supernatant was therefore collected as the stromal fibroblast-enriched suspension. The

epithelial pellet was dissociated in 1 ml Accutase (Millipore) for 30 min at 37 °C, during which

homogenization was performed every 15 minutes via intermittent pipetting using a 1mL pipette.

DNaseI (Roche) was then added to the solution to a final concentration of 0.2mg/mL to digest

extracellular genomic DNA and was quenched with 9 ml of DMEM after 1 min of incubation at

room temperature. The resulting cell suspension was pipetted, filtered through a 40-µm cell

strainer, and centrifuged at 300 RFC for 5 min. The pellet was resuspended as the epithelium-

enriched suspension.

Sample multiplexing and randomization

615   Multiplexing via natural genetic variants[113] was used for single-cell capture, cDNA generation,

616   and library preparation. Briefly, samples from 4 different individuals were pooled and loaded

617   onto a single well of the Chromium Next GEM Chip G (10x Genomics). For demultiplexing, an

618   aliquot of each sample was analyzed separately by bulk RNAseq to associate high-confidence

619   genetic variation with individual samples of origin.

620   For multiplexing, stratified randomization[114] was used to create groups of 4 individuals to

balance the influence of the following covariates: conditions (pristine controls, pathological

controls, stage I-II endometriosis, stage III-IV) and menstrual cycle phase (proliferative,

secretory). Briefly, samples were classified into categories based on the combination of the

covariates above. A random number between 1~n (n=desired number of groups) was assigned

to each sample in each of the categories, with 4 being the maximum number of samples per

group, such that each sample was assigned to n groups of 4 samples.

Single-cell sequencing

Single-cell capture, cDNA generation, and library preparation via Chromium 10x platform and chemistry. A total of ~60,000 cells from four individuals (~15,000 cells/each) were loaded onto a single well of the Chromium Next GEM Chip G (10x Genomics). GEM generation and barcoding, reverse transcription, cDNA generation, and library construction were performed following the manufacturer's protocol (Single cell 3′ reagent kit v.3.1, 10x Genomics). Specifically, 11 PCR cycles were used for cDNA generation, whereas 11-13 cycles were used for PCR during library preparation, depending on the amount of cDNA input and the manufacturer's guidelines.

Bulk RNA extraction, cDNA generation, and library preparation. For each sample, bulk RNA extraction was performed on ~100,000 cells using AllPrep DNA/RNA Microkit and protocol Simultaneous Purification of Genomic DNA and Total RNA from Animal and Human cells (Qiagen). The resulting RNA was diluted and quantified via Nanodrop and Fragment analyzer. 1.5ng total RNA with RIN>8 was used for cDNA generation using SMARTseq v4 chemistry (Takara) and library prepped using Nextera DNA Flex Library Preparation Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA).

Sequencing. Single-cell libraries were sequenced to a depth of ~25,000 reads per cell on a Novaseq. Bulk RNAseq libraries were sequenced to a depth of ~30M reads per sample on a HiSeq.

Single-cell data processing and filtering

Thirteen libraries were independently processed using the Cell Ranger[115] software pipeline (version 3.1.0). Reads were mapped to the transcriptome using the human hg38 version, and UMI counts were calculated using default parameters. The samples were demultiplexed using

Freemuxlet[113] using the number of samples per pool and a list of valid barcodes. We processed

each UMI count matrix using the R package Seurat (v.4.0.3)[116]. Using Freemuxlet cells were

classified according to their UMI content and doublets, and ambiguous cells were filtered, while

singlets were retained. Further filtering per each pool included removing cells with high

mitochondrial content (>20%), high ribosomal content (>50%), high RNA count (50,000>), low

feature (<200) and low RNA count (<300). We performed normalization and scaling. We

calculated variable features, principal component analysis (PCA), and ran UMAP and clustering

(Leiden). To assign demultiplexed cells to the sample of origin, genetic profiles between

demultiplexed cells and bulk RNA profiles were compared using bcftools (v.1.10). Before

integration we performed a second filtering step, we removed clusters which top markers were

mitochondrial genes. We employed Seurat to combine the data from the 13 pools into a single

object. During this process, mitochondrial, ribosomal, and cell cycle scores were regressed out

during scaling. To correct batch effects, we utilized Harmony[117] to perform pool integration.

Subsequently, we conducted PCA on the variable genes (n=3000), and it was used as input for

an unsupervised cell clustering approach using the Louvain algorithm. Dimensionality reduction

and visualization were performed using UMAP. One individual using hormonal medication was

removed after clustering. Following cell filtering, the single-cell dataset consisted of 228,021

cells with 29,001 genes expressed. (**STable 1**).

668 Identification of cell types

Broad cell types were classified through a combination of manual and automated annotation.

Cell type-specific markers were identified using the FindMarkers function in Seurat with default

parameters. Additionally, clusters exhibiting high mitochondrial gene expression among their top

10 markers were excluded from further analysis. Subsequently, the remaining cells were re-

clustered. This process was repeated until the top 10 markers for every cluster were not part of

the mitochondrial family.

For reference annotations, a previous dataset of the human endometrium was utilized[118].

This dataset was downloaded, checked for quality, and their labels verified. This dataset was

then used as reference using SingleR[119] for automated annotation of our broad main cell types.

This annotation was cross-referenced with the top gene markers.

Identification of fine cell types. Epithelial, stromal, and immune subpopulations were

identified using a tailored approach with Seurat's FindNeighbors and FindClusters functions.

Each cell type was analyzed at a resolution parameter adjusted according to its heterogeneity.

Identification of specific markers was subsequently performed using the FindMarkers function in

Seurat with default parameters. Clusters expressing mitochondrial genes (MT) among their top

10 markers, or immune-specific genes in non-immune cell types, were excluded. The cells were

then re-clustered. This process was repeated multiple times for epithelial cells until no clusters

with high MT expression remained. Manual annotation of the identified clusters was conducted

based on markers described in the literature. The result of these subsets were consequentially

used for downstream analysis, for broad and specific cell types.

Fibroblast Fine Annotation: After re-clustering, we obtained seven fibroblast clusters and

the previously reported vascular smooth muscle (VSM) cluster. We identified Cluster 0 (C0) with

marker S100A4, described as an activated fibroblast[120]; C1 with IGF1+ expression, indicating

decidualization[121]; C2 with HSP markers, suggesting stress; C3 with SFRP4+ expression, a

previously described cluster[23]; Cluster C4 with matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) genes related to

the extracellular matrix[122]; C5 with TOP2A+ expression, a proliferative marker[123,124]; C6

expressing VSM markers; and C7 with WAP four-disulfide core domain 2 (WFC2) expression.

Epithelial Fine annotation: After re-clustering, we obtained eight epithelial clusters. We

identified C1 assigned as EMT epithelia with expression of collagen markers[125,126], C3 with

expression of vimentin (VIM) and SOX4[127,128]; C4 with ciliated epithelial markers PIFO+, and C7

with expression of MUC5B+/TFF3+ indicating progenitor cells[14].

Differential abundance, PCA composition, differential gene expression

and pathway analysis

Differential abundance in each specific cell type was assessed using t-test and

compare_means, provided by the package ggpubr (v 0.6.0) resulting in adjusted p-values per

each menstrual phase or disease comparison.

PCA composition was obtained by calculating the ratio of each specific cell type

compared to the corresponding broad cell type for every sample. This was done for stromal,

epithelial and immune subsets.

Differential gene expression analyses were conducted using the FindMarkers function in

Seurat with the method MAST[129] (v. 1.18). The covariates associated with de-identified patient

ID and library prep were included, and p-values were adjusted using the Benjamini-Hochberg

method. DGE between endometriosis and pristine controls was performed in cell populations

with two or more controls. Broad cell types and specific cell types were analyzed, using single-

cell objects after filtering and sub-setting (see above) for immune, stromal fibroblast, VSM,

ciliated and unciliated epithelial cells. Endothelia cells analysis was performed based on object

containing all cells.

For pathway analysis, genes with an adjusted p-value less than 0.05 were selected. To

calculate enrichment in GSEA we used clusterProfiler[130], using "BP" as ontology, with pathways

chosen based on a Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted p-value threshold less than 0.05.

Cell communication analysis

In silico communication analysis was performed with CellChat[54] (v1.6.1) using the CellChatDB.human as the database and populations as previously defined as broad non-immune (Stromal Fibroblast, VSM, Unicliated Epithelia, Ciliated Epithelia, and Endothelia) and broad immune. Each category (proliferative endometriosis, proliferative control, secretory endometriosis, and secretory control) was processed independently. Following standard procedures, we computed Communication Probability and filtered cell-cell communication with less than 10 cells. Cell objects were then merged and compared, stratifying by menstrual cycle. Data for visualization was retrieved by using the function subsetCommunication.

Data Availability

Data available in CZ cellxgene (https://cellxgene.cziscience.com/). ScRNA-seq datasets to reproduce all figures, can be accessed and downloaded through the web portal.

Code availability

The code for clustering, annotation, downstream analyses (including differential gene expression, differential abundance, and pathway enrichment), as well as generating figures, is available on GitHub https://github.com/almonteloya/Endometriosis_sc

References

1.   Giudice, L. C. & Kao, L. C. Endometriosis. *The Lancet* **364**, 1789–1799 (2004).

2.   Zondervan, K. T., Becker, C. M. & Missmer, S. A. Endometriosis. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **382**, 1244–1256 (2020).

3.   Zondervan, K. T. *et al.* Endometriosis. *Nat. Rev. Dis. Primer* **4**, 9 (2018).

4.   Giudice, L. C., Oskotsky, T. T., Falako, S., Opoku-Anane, J. & Sirota, M. Endometriosis in

the era of precision medicine and impact on sexual and reproductive health across the

lifespan and in diverse populations. *FASEB J.* **37**, e23130 (2023).

5.   Dymanowska-Dyjak, I. *et al.* Immune Dysregulation in Endometriomas: Implications for

Inflammation. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **25**, 4802 (2024).

6.   The World Bank. Population ages 15- 64 (% of population). (2017).

7.   Simoens, S. *et al.* The burden of endometriosis: costs and quality of life of women with

endometriosis and treated in referral centres. *Hum. Reprod.* **27**, 1292–1299 (2012).

8.   Taylor, H. S., Kotlyar, A. M. & Flores, V. A. Endometriosis is a chronic systemic disease:

clinical challenges and novel innovations. *The Lancet* **397**, 839–852 (2021).

9.   Giudice, L. C., Irwin, J. C. & Liu, B. Endometriosis and adenomyosis unveiled through

single-cell glasses. *Press* (2024).

10. Ponnampalam, A. P., Weston, G. C., Trajstman, A. C., Susil, B. & Rogers, P. A. W.

Molecular classification of human endometrial cycle stages by transcriptional profiling.

MHR Basic Sci. Reprod. Med. **10**, 879–893 (2004).

11. Talbi, S. *et al.* Molecular Phenotyping of Human Endometrium Distinguishes Menstrual

Cycle Phases and Underlying Biological Processes in Normo-Ovulatory Women.

Endocrinology **147**, 1097–1121 (2006).

12. Garcia-Alonso, L. *et al.* Mapping the temporal and spatial dynamics of the human

endometrium in vivo and in vitro. *Nat. Genet.* **53**, 1698–1711 (2021).

13. Ma, J. *et al.* Single-cell transcriptomic analysis of endometriosis provides insights into

fibroblast fates and immune cell heterogeneity. *Cell Biosci.* **11**, 125 (2021).

14. Fonseca, M. A. S. *et al.* Single-cell transcriptomic analysis of endometriosis. *Nat. Genet.*

55, 255–267 (2023).

15.   Huang, X. *et al.* Single-cell transcriptome analysis reveals endometrial immune

microenvironment in minimal/mild endometriosis. *Clin. Exp. Immunol.* **212**, 285–295

(2023).

16.   Shih, A. J. *et al.* Single-cell analysis of menstrual endometrial tissues defines phenotypes

associated with endometriosis. *BMC Med.* **20**, 315 (2022).

17.   Tan, Y. *et al.* Single-cell analysis of endometriosis reveals a coordinated transcriptional

programme driving immunotolerance and angiogenesis across eutopic and ectopic tissues.

Nat. Cell Biol. **24**, 1306–1318 (2022).

18.   American Society For Reproductive Medicine. Revised American Society for Reproductive

Medicine classification of endometriosis: 1996. *Fertil. Steril.* **67**, 817–821 (1997).

19.   Lambrinoudaki, I. V. *et al.* Measurable serum markers of oxidative stress response in

women with endometriosis. *Fertil. Steril.* **91**, 46–50 (2009).

20.   Ahn, S. H., Singh, V. & Tayade, C. Biomarkers in endometriosis: challenges and

opportunities. *Fertil. Steril.* **107**, 523–532 (2017).

21.   Hu, C. *et al.* Heat shock proteins: Biological functions, pathological roles, and therapeutic

opportunities. *MedComm* **3**, e161 (2022).

22.   Matsuzaki, S., Botchorishvili, R., Pouly, J. L. & Canis, M. Targeting the Wnt/β-catenin

pathway in endometriosis: a potentially effective approach for treatment and prevention.

Mol. Cell. Ther. **2**, 36 (2014).

23.   Wu, B. *et al.* SFRP4+ stromal cell subpopulation with IGF1 signaling in human endometrial

regeneration. *Cell Discov.* **8**, 95 (2022).

24.   Yildiz, S. *et al.* Adenomyosis: single-cell transcriptomic analysis reveals a paracrine

mesenchymal–epithelial interaction involving the WNT/SFRP pathway. *Fertil. Steril.* **119**,

869–882 (2023).

25.   Gao, Y. *et al.* Cross-tissue human fibroblast atlas reveals myofibroblast subtypes with

distinct roles in immune modulation. *Cancer Cell* **42**, 1764-1783.e10 (2024).

26.   Cheong, M.-L., Lai, T.-H. & Wu, W.-B. Connective tissue growth factor mediates

793   transforming growth factor β-induced collagen expression in human endometrial stromal

794   cells. *PLOS ONE* **14**, e0210765 (2019).

795   27.   Zhang, X., Zhang, X., Huang, W. & Ge, X. The role of heat shock proteins in the regulation

796   of fibrotic diseases. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* **135**, 111067 (2021).

797   28.   Yang, D., Liu, J., Qian, H. & Zhuang, Q. Cancer-associated fibroblasts: from basic science

798   to anticancer therapy. *Exp. Mol. Med.* **55**, 1322–1332 (2023).

799   29.   Sun, C. *et al.* Transgelin promotes lung cancer progression via activation of cancer-

800   associated fibroblasts with enhanced IL-6 release. *Oncogenesis* **12**, 18 (2023).

801   30.   Chen, S.-T. *et al.* Embryo-derive TNF promotes decidualization via fibroblast activation.

802   *eLife* **12**, e82970 (2023).

803   31.   Shi, J., Jing, W., He, Y. & Huang, Y. Decreased expression of KLF6 in ectopic endometrial

804   stromal cells contributes to endometriosis progression by targeting CTNNB1. *Cell. Signal.*

805   **120**, 111230 (2024).

806   32.   Sureshbabu, A. *et al.* IGFBP-5 induces epithelial and fibroblast responses consistent with

807   the fibrotic response. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* **37**, 882–885 (2009).

808   33.   McTavish, N., Copeland, L. A., Saville, M. K., Perkins, N. D. & Spruce, B. A. Proenkephalin

809   assists stress-activated apoptosis through transcriptional repression of NF-κB- and p53-

810   regulated gene targets. *Cell Death Differ.* **14**, 1700–1710 (2007).

811   34.   Chathuranga, W. A. G. *et al.* Gadd45β is critical for regulation of type I interferon signaling

812   by facilitating G3BP-mediated stress granule formation. *Cell Rep.* **42**, 113358 (2023).

813   35.   National Center for Biotechnology Information. TCIM transcriptional and immune response

814   regulator [Homo sapiens (human)].

815   36.   Moon, Y.-M. *et al.* The Fos-Related Antigen 1–JUNB/Activator Protein 1 Transcription

816   Complex, a Downstream Target of Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription 3,

Induces T Helper 17 Differentiation and Promotes Experimental Autoimmune Arthritis.

Front. Immunol. **8**, 1793 (2017).

37. Younesi, F. S., Miller, A. E., Barker, T. H., Rossi, F. M. V. & Hinz, B. Fibroblast and

myofibroblast activation in normal tissue repair and fibrosis. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **25**,

617–638 (2024).

38. Łuszczyński, K. *et al.* Surface Molecular Markers for the Isolation of Viable Fibroblast

Subpopulations in the Female Reproductive Tract: A Comprehensive Review. *Int. J. Mol.*

Sci. **26**, 233 (2024).

39. Zhan, Y. *et al.* Human epididymis protein 4 aggravates airway inflammation and

remodeling in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Respir. Res.* **23**, (2022).

40. LeBleu, V. S. *et al.* Identification of human epididymis protein-4 as a fibroblast-derived

mediator of fibrosis. *Nat. Med.* **19**, 227–231 (2013).

41. Dong, L., Li, Y., Song, X., Sun, C. & Song, X. SFRP1 mediates cancer-associated

fibroblasts to suppress cancer cell proliferation and migration in head and neck squamous

cell carcinoma. *BMC Cancer* **24**, 1165 (2024).

42. Saegusa, M., Hashimura, M. & Kuwata, T. Sox4 functions as a positive regulator of β-

catenin signaling through upregulation of TCF4 during morular differentiation of

endometrial carcinomas. *Lab. Invest.* **92**, 511–521 (2012).

43. Zutautas, K. B. *et al.* The dysregulation of leukemia inhibitory factor and its implications for

endometriosis pathophysiology. *Front. Immunol.* **14**, 1089098 (2023).

44. Figula, J., Baran, R. M. & Jach, R. Osteopontin as a marker of endometriosis — the

current state of knowledge. *Ginekol. Pol.* VM/OJS/J/86232 (2023) doi:10.5603/gpl.86232.

45. Ke, J., Ye, J., Li, M. & Zhu, Z. The Role of Matrix Metalloproteinases in Endometriosis: A

Potential Target. *Biomolecules* **11**, 1739 (2021).

46.   Kutsukake, M. *et al.* Circulating IGF-binding protein 7 (IGFBP7) levels are elevated in

842   patients with endometriosis or undergoing diabetic hemodialysis. *Reprod. Biol. Endocrinol.*

843   **6**, 54 (2008).

844   47.   Chen, L., Hui, L. & Li, J. The multifaceted role of insulin-like growth factor binding protein 7.

845   *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* **12**, 1420862 (2024).

846   48.   Vargas, E. *et al.* The mid-secretory endometrial transcriptomic landscape in endometriosis:

847   a meta-analysis. *Hum. Reprod. Open* **2022**, hoac016 (2022).

848   49.   Nothnick, W. B. *et al.* Macrophage Migration Inhibitory Factor Receptor, CD74, is

849   Overexpressed in Human and Baboon (Papio Anubis) Endometriotic Lesions and

850   Modulates Endometriotic Epithelial Cell Survival and Interleukin 8 Expression. *Reprod. Sci.*

851   **25**, 1557–1566 (2018).

852   50.   Zhang, T., De Carolis, C., Man, G. C. W. & Wang, C. C. The link between immunity,

853   autoimmunity and endometriosis: a literature update. *Autoimmun. Rev.* **17**, 945–955

854   (2018).

855   51.   Vallvé-Juanico, J., Houshdaran, S. & Giudice, L. C. The endometrial immune environment

856   of women with endometriosis. *Hum. Reprod. Update* **25**, 565–592 (2019).

857   52.   Chu, Y. *et al.* Pan-cancer T cell atlas links a cellular stress response state to

858   immunotherapy resistance. *Nat. Med.* **29**, 1550–1562 (2023).

859   53.   Choi, E.-H. & Park, S.-J. TXNIP: A key protein in the cellular stress response pathway and

860   a potential therapeutic target. *Exp. Mol. Med.* **55**, 1348–1356 (2023).

861   54.   Jin, S. *et al.* Inference and analysis of cell-cell communication using CellChat. *Nat.*

862   *Commun.* **12**, 1088 (2021).

863   55.   Wu, M. & Ho, H. The Role of Cytokines in Endometriosis*. *Am. J. Reprod. Immunol.* **49**,

864   285–296 (2003).

865   56.   Rojas-Cartagena, C., Appleyard, C. B., Santiago, O. I. & Flores, I. Experimental Intestinal

866   Endometriosis Is Characterized by Increased Levels of Soluble TNFRSF1B and

Downregulation of Tnfrsf1a and Tnfrsf1b Gene Expression1. *Biol. Reprod.* **73**, 1211–1218

(2005).

57. D'Hooghe, T. M. *et al.* Recombinant Human TNFRSF1A (r-hTBP1) Inhibits the

Development of Endometriosis in Baboons: A Prospective, Randomized, Placebo- and

Drug-Controlled Study1. *Biol. Reprod.* **74**, 131–136 (2006).

58. Colgrave, E. M. *et al.* Distribution of smooth muscle actin and collagen in superficial

peritoneal endometriotic lesions varies from the surrounding microenvironment. *Reprod.*

Biomed. Online **48**, 103610 (2024).

59. Benagiano, G., Brosens, I. & Habiba, M. Structural and molecular features of the

endomyometrium in endometriosis and adenomyosis. *Hum. Reprod. Update* **20**, 386–402

(2014).

60. Liu, H. & Lang, J. H. Is abnormal eutopic endometrium the cause of endometriosis? The

role of eutopic endometrium in pathogenesis of endometriosis. *Med. Sci. Monit.* **17**, RA92–

RA99 (2011).

61. Houshdaran, S. *et al.* Aberrant Endometrial DNA Methylome and Associated Gene

Expression in Women with Endometriosis. *Biol. Reprod.* **95**, 93–93 (2016).

62. Kuan, K. K. W., Gibson, D. A., Whitaker, L. H. R. & Horne, A. W. Menstruation

Dysregulation and Endometriosis Development. *Front. Reprod. Health* **3**, 756704 (2021).

63. Chantalat, E. *et al.* Estrogen Receptors and Endometriosis. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **21**, 2815

(2020).

64. Houshdaran, S., Zelenko, Z., Irwin, J. C. & Giudice, L. C. Human Endometrial DNA

Methylome Is Cycle-Dependent and Is Associated With Gene Expression Regulation. *Mol.*

Endocrinol. **28**, 1118–1135 (2014).

65. Mortlock, S. *et al.* Global endometrial DNA methylation analysis reveals insights into mQTL

regulation and associated endometriosis disease risk and endometrial function. *Commun.*

Biol. **6**, 780 (2023).

66.   Saare, M. *et al.* The influence of menstrual cycle and endometriosis on endometrial

methylome. *Clin. Epigenetics* **8**, 2 (2016).

67.   Stricher, F., Macri, C., Ruff, M. & Muller, S. HSPA8/HSC70 chaperone protein: Structure,

function, and chemical targeting. *Autophagy* **9**, 1937–1954 (2013).

68.   Matsuzaki, S. & Schubert, B. Oxidative stress status in normal ovarian cortex surrounding

ovarian endometriosis. *Fertil. Steril.* **93**, 2431–2432 (2010).

69.   Ikwegbue, P., Masamba, P., Oyinloye, B. & Kappo, A. Roles of Heat Shock Proteins in

Apoptosis, Oxidative Stress, Human Inflammatory Diseases, and Cancer. *Pharmaceuticals*

11, 2 (2017).

70.   Clower, L., Fleshman, T., Geldenhuys, W. J. & Santanam, N. Targeting Oxidative Stress

Involved in Endometriosis and Its Pain. *Biomolecules* **12**, 1055 (2022).

71.   Tabibzadeh, S., Kong, Q. F., Satyaswaroop, P. G. & Babaknia, A. Heat shock proteins in

human endometrium throughout the menstrual cycle. *Hum. Reprod.* **11**, 633–640 (1996).

72.   Ota, H., Igarashi, S., Hatazawa, J. & Tanaka, T. Distribution of heat shock proteins in

eutopic and ectopic endometrium in endometriosis and adenomyosis. *Fertil. Steril.* **68**, 23–

28 (1997).

73.   Scutiero, G. *et al.* Oxidative Stress and Endometriosis: A Systematic Review of the

Literature. *Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev.* **2017**, 7265238 (2017).

74.   Li, Y. *et al.* Double-edged roles of ferroptosis in endometriosis and endometriosis-related

infertility. *Cell Death Discov.* **9**, 306 (2023).

75.   Smith, K. J. *et al.* Heat shock protein 70B' (HSP70B') expression and release in response

to human oxidized low density lipoprotein immune complexes in macrophages. *J. Biol.*

Chem. **285**, 15985–15993 (2010).

76.   Park, S. *et al.* Contribution of HSP90 Cleavage to the Cytotoxic Effect of Suberoylanilide

Hydroxamic Acid *In Vivo* and the Involvement of TXNIP in HSP90 Cleavage. *Biomol. Ther.*

32, 115–122 (2024).

77. Mohamed, I. N., Li, L., Ismael, S., Ishrat, T. & El-Remessy, A. B. Thioredoxin interacting

protein, a key molecular switch between oxidative stress and sterile inflammation in cellular

response. *World J. Diabetes* **12**, 1979–1999 (2021).

78. Zuo, R.-J. *et al.* Crystallin αB acts as a molecular guard in mouse decidualization:

Regulation and function during early pregnancy. *FEBS Lett.* **588**, 2944–2951 (2014).

79. Niu, W. *et al.* Single-Cell Profiling Uncovers the Roles of Endometrial Fibrosis and

Microenvironmental Changes in Adenomyosis. *J. Inflamm. Res.* **Volume 16**, 1949–1965

(2023).

80. Barra, F. *et al.* Endometriosis Classification and The Role of Tumor Necrosis Factor-Alpha

Polymorphisms as A Therapeutic Target. *Int. J. Fertil. Steril.* **14**, (2020).

81. Tosti, C., Pinzauti, S., Santulli, P., Chapron, C. & Petraglia, F. Pathogenetic Mechanisms

of Deep Infiltrating Endometriosis. *Reprod. Sci.* **22**, 1053–1059 (2015).

82. Huang, E., Wang, X. & Chen, L. Regulated Cell Death in Endometriosis. *Biomolecules* **14**,

142 (2024).

83. Xie, Z.-W., He, Y., Feng, Y.-X. & Wang, X.-H. Identification of programmed cell death-

related genes and diagnostic biomarkers in endometriosis using a machine learning and

Mendelian randomization approach. *Front. Endocrinol.* **15**, 1372221 (2024).

84. Nathan, E. & Tzahor, E. sFRPs: a declaration of (Wnt) independence. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **11**,

13–13 (2009).

85. Albertsen, H. M. & Ward, K. Genes Linked to Endometriosis by GWAS Are Integral to

Cytoskeleton Regulation and Suggests That Mesothelial Barrier Homeostasis Is a Factor in

the Pathogenesis of Endometriosis. *Reprod. Sci.* **24**, 803–811 (2017).

86. Yang, Y.-M. & Yang, W.-X. Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition in the development of

endometriosis. *Oncotarget* **8**, 41679–41689 (2017).

87. Cannito, S. *et al.* Redox mechanisms switch on hypoxia-dependent epithelial–

mesenchymal transition in cancer cells. *Carcinogenesis* **29**, 2267–2278 (2008).

88.   Wu, R.-F. *et al.* High expression of ZEB1 in endometriosis and its role in 17β-estradiol-

946   induced epithelial-mesenchymal transition. *Int. J. Clin. Exp. Pathol.* **11**, 4744–4758 (2018).

947   89.   Liu, H. *et al.* Hypoxia-inducible factor-1α promotes endometrial stromal cells migration and

948   invasion by upregulating autophagy in endometriosis. *Reproduction* **153**, 809–820 (2017).

949   90.   Konrad, L. *et al.* Similar Characteristics of Endometrial and Endometriotic Epithelial Cells.

950   *Reprod. Sci.* **26**, 49–59 (2019).

951   91.   Pally, D. & Naba, A. Extracellular matrix dynamics: A key regulator of cell migration across

952   length-scales and systems. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* **86**, 102309 (2024).

953   92.   Shi-Wen, X., Leask, A. & Abraham, D. Regulation and function of connective tissue growth

954   factor/CCN2 in tissue repair, scarring and fibrosis. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* **19**, 133–

955   144 (2008).

956   93.   Cook, C. J., Wiggin, N. & Fogg, K. C. Characterizing the Extracellular Matrix Transcriptome

957   of Endometriosis. *Reprod. Sci.* **31**, 413–429 (2024).

958   94.   Nanda, A. *et al.* Cytokines, Angiogenesis, and Extracellular Matrix Degradation are

959   Augmented by Oxidative Stress in Endometriosis. *Ann. Lab. Med.* **40**, 390–397 (2020).

960   95.   Xiong, W., Zhang, L., Xiong, Y., Liu, H. & Liu, Y. Hypoxia Promotes Invasion of

961   Endometrial Stromal Cells via Hypoxia-Inducible Factor 1α Upregulation-Mediated β-

962   Catenin Activation in Endometriosis. *Reprod. Sci.* **23**, 531–541 (2016).

963   96.   Bui, T. M., Wiesolek, H. L. & Sumagin, R. ICAM-1: A master regulator of cellular responses

964   in inflammation, injury resolution, and tumorigenesis. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* **108**, 787–799 (2020).

965   97.   Neefjes, J., Jongsma, M. L. M., Paul, P. & Bakke, O. Towards a systems understanding of

966   MHC class I and MHC class II antigen presentation. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **11**, 823–836

967   (2011).

968   98.   Jiang, L. *et al.* Down-regulation of HLA-DRs and HLA-DPs reflects the deficiency of

969   antigen-presenting cells in endometrium from infertile women with and without ovarian

970   endometriosis. *Hum. Fertil.* **25**, 716–727 (2022).

99.   Ishii, K. Associations between patients with endometriosis and HLA class II; the analysis of

HLA-DQB1 and HLA-DPB1 genotypes. *Hum. Reprod.* **18**, 985–989 (2003).

100. Böttcher, J. P. *et al.* NK Cells Stimulate Recruitment of cDC1 into the Tumor

Microenvironment Promoting Cancer Immune Control. *Cell* **172**, 1022-1037.e14 (2018).

101. Feng, X. *et al.* Analysis of differences in the transcriptomic profiles of eutopic and ectopic

endometriums in women with ovarian endometriosis. *PeerJ* **9**, e11045 (2021).

102. Islimye Taskin, M. *et al.* Granzyme B levels and granzyme B polymorphisms in peripheral

blood of patients with endometriosis: a preliminary study. *J. Obstet. Gynaecol.* **41**, 94–99

(2021).

103. Burmenskaya, B. O. V. *et al.* Search for personalized implantation window markers in

women in an in vitro fertilization program by identifying the transcriptional profile of genes.

Akush. Ginekol. (Sofiia) **5_2017**, 72–80 (2017).

104. Altmäe, S. *et al.* Meta-signature of human endometrial receptivity: a meta-analysis and

validation study of transcriptomic biomarkers. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 10077 (2017).

105. Kocbek, V., Vouk, K., Bersinger, N. A., Mueller, M. D. & Lanišnik Rižner, T. Panels of

Cytokines and Other Secretory Proteins as Potential Biomarkers of Ovarian Endometriosis.

J. Mol. Diagn. **17**, 325–334 (2015).

106. Kocbek, V., Vouk, K., Mueller, M. D., Rižner, T. L. & Bersinger, N. A. Elevated glycodelin-A

concentrations in serum and peritoneal fluid of women with ovarian endometriosis.

Gynecol. Endocrinol. **29**, 455–459 (2013).

107. Meola, J. *et al.* Glycodelin expression in the endometrium of healthy women and in the

eutopic and ectopic endometrium of women with endometriosis. *Fertil. Steril.* **91**, 1676–

1680 (2009).

108. Nisenblat, V. *et al.* Blood biomarkers for the non-invasive diagnosis of endometriosis.

Cochrane Database Syst. Rev. **2016**, CD012179 (2016).

109. Focarelli, R. *et al.* Dysregulation of GdA Expression in Endometrium of Women With

Endometriosis: Implication for Endometrial Receptivity. *Reprod. Sci.* **25**, 579–586 (2018).

110. Marsh, E. E. *et al.* Burden, Prevalence, and Treatment of Uterine Fibroids: A Survey of

U.S. Women. *J. Womens Health 2002* **27**, 1359–1367 (2018).

111. Noyes, R. W., Hertig, A. T. & Rock, J. Dating the endometrial biopsy. *Am. J. Obstet.*

Gynecol. **122**, 262–263 (1975).

112. Sheldon, E. *et al.* Biobanking human endometrial tissue and blood specimens: standard

operating procedure and importance to reproductive biology research and diagnostic

development. *Fertil. Steril.* **95**, 2120-2122.e12 (2011).

113. Kang, H. M. *et al.* Multiplexed droplet single-cell RNA-sequencing using natural genetic

variation. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **36**, 89–94 (2018).

114. Kang, M., Ragan, B. G. & Park, J.-H. Issues in Outcomes Research: An Overview of

Randomization Techniques for Clinical Trials. *J. Athl. Train.* **43**, 215–221 (2008).

115. Zheng, G. X. Y. *et al.* Massively parallel digital transcriptional profiling of single cells. *Nat.*

Commun. **8**, 14049 (2017).

116. Butler, A., Hoffman, P., Smibert, P., Papalexi, E. & Satija, R. Integrating single-cell

transcriptomic data across different conditions, technologies, and species. *Nat. Biotechnol.*

36, 411–420 (2018).

117. Korsunsky, I. *et al.* Fast, sensitive and accurate integration of single-cell data with

Harmony. *Nat. Methods* **16**, 1289–1296 (2019).

118. Wang, W. *et al.* Single-cell transcriptomic atlas of the human endometrium during the

menstrual cycle. *Nat. Med.* **26**, 1644–1653 (2020).

119. Aran, D. *et al.* Reference-based analysis of lung single-cell sequencing reveals a

transitional profibrotic macrophage. *Nat. Immunol.* **20**, 163–172 (2019).

120. Sandberg, T. P. *et al.* Increased expression of cancer-associated fibroblast markers at the

invasive front and its association with tumor-stroma ratio in colorectal cancer. *BMC Cancer*

19, 284 (2019).

121. Shi, J.-W. *et al.* An IGF1-expressing endometrial stromal cell population is associated with

human decidualization. *BMC Biol.* **20**, 276 (2022).

122. Queckbörner, S. *et al.* Stromal Heterogeneity in the Human Proliferative Endometrium—A

Single-Cell RNA Sequencing Study. *J. Pers. Med.* **11**, 448 (2021).

123. Yang, Y., Liu, S. & Xiao, X. TOP2A Promotes Proliferation, Migration, and Inflammatory

Response in M5-Treated Keratinocytes by Binding CTBP1 to Activate Wnt/β-Catenin

Signaling. *Cell Biochem. Biophys.* (2024) doi:10.1007/s12013-024-01620-2.

124. Xie, T. *et al.* Single-Cell Deconvolution of Fibroblast Heterogeneity in Mouse Pulmonary

Fibrosis. *Cell Rep.* **22**, 3625–3640 (2018).

125. Wu, B. *et al.* Cell atlas of human uterus. Preprint at https://doi.org/10.1101/267849 (2018).

126. Seccia, T. M. *et al.* Endothelin-1 Drives Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition in Hypertensive

Nephroangiosclerosis. *J. Am. Heart Assoc.* **5**, e003888 (2016).

127. Lourenço, A. R. & Coffer, P. J. SOX4: Joining the Master Regulators of Epithelial-to-

Mesenchymal Transition? *Trends Cancer* **3**, 571–582 (2017).

128. Castro-Muñozledo, F., Meza-Aguilar, D. G., Domínguez-Castillo, R., Hernández-Zequinely,

V. & Sánchez-Guzmán, E. Vimentin as a Marker of Early Differentiating, Highly Motile

Corneal Epithelial Cells. *J. Cell. Physiol.* **232**, 818–830 (2017).

129. Finak, G. *et al.* MAST: a flexible statistical framework for assessing transcriptional changes

and characterizing heterogeneity in single-cell RNA sequencing data. *Genome Biol.* **16**,

278 (2015).

130. Yu, G., Wang, L.-G., Han, Y. & He, Q.-Y. clusterProfiler: an R Package for Comparing

Biological Themes Among Gene Clusters. *OMICS J. Integr. Biol.* **16**, 284–287 (2012).

1045

Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by NIH NICHD [P50 HD055764]. F.V. discloses support for the

research of this work from Carlos III Institute of Health grant [PI21/00528 and PI24/01784],

Spanish State Research Agency [CNS2022-135696], Conselleria de Innovacion, Universidad y

Ciencia [CIAICO 2022/242], F.V and C.S disclose support from the European Commission

Grant Agreement [101080219-2].

 We thank INCLIVA Biobank (Hospital Clínico de Valencia) for providing subset of the samples

used in this project.

The authors thank all members of the Sirota and Fragiadakis Lab for useful comments and

feedback. We also thank Jessica Neely MD, Camilla Wibrand and Emily Teets for helpful

advice.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization by A.A, W.W, S.H, U.K, C.P, T.T.O, D.H, J.I, A.C, M.S, G.F, L.G. Data

Curation A.A, S.H, W.W. Formal Analysis A.A, W.W, E.T. Funding Acquisition S.H, C.S, F.V,

L.G. Sample Collection S.H, C.S, F.V, C.N. Sample Processing S.H, D.K, K.C.V, A.C.

Supervision A.C, M.S, G.F, L.G. Validation X.T, E.T. Writing Original Draft, A.A, X.T, B.L.

Manuscript Editing and Reviewing: All authors.

Competing interest

The authors declare no competing interests.

1065

Figure legends

Figure 1: Data and preprocessing.

A. Patient cohort and number of samples p srofiled. Classified by disease status and

menstrual cycle phase.

B. Experimental and bioinformatic processing workflow summary.

Figure 2: Single-cell analysis of endometrial tissue to examine the molecular differences

in endometriosis patients across disease states and menstrual cycle phase.

A. Global UMAP visualization of all sequenced cells, depicting six major broad cell types.

B. UMAP plot colored by the menstrual cycle phase of the samples.

C. UMAP plot displaying samples by disease status: endometriosis, pristine controls, and

fibroid controls.

D. PCA composition colored by menstrual cycle phase.

E. PCA composition colored by disease status.

F. Study design for differential gene expression analysis. Samples were stratified by phase

to compare pristine controls with endometriosis samples. Differential expression was

assessed with $P<0.05$ and log2 fold change (log2 FC) > 0.25. Analyses were performed

using MAST, with p values adjusted.

G. Differentially expressed genes in stratified proliferative samples across major cell types.

Upregulated genes in endometriosis are shown in red (log2 FC > 0.25), while

downregulated genes are shown in blue (log2 FC < -0.25). An UpSet plot illustrates the

overlap of genes exhibiting expression changes across different cell types.

H. Differentially expressed genes in stratified secretory samples across major cell types

1088

1089 **Figure 3: Phase-specific expression in stromal fibroblasts and vascular smooth muscle**

1090 **cells reveals stress, apoptosis, and immune response pathways in endometriosis**

1091 **patients.**

A. UMAP visualization of stromal cell subtypes, color-coded by major tissue type classes (as shown in Fig. 1A).

B. Log2FoldChange of DEG in the secretory and proliferative phase, comparing pristine controls and endometriosis samples. Samples were stratified by menstrual phase. DGE was assessed using a threshold of p-adj.<0.05 and |log2FC| > 0.25.

C. Upregulated pathways in all stromal populations in secretory phase. Showing Normalized Enrichment Score (NES), p-adj <0.05.

D. UMAP visualization of 8 stromal cell subtypes, color-coded by subtype identity.

E. Top marker genes for each of the 8 stromal cell subtypes.

F. Boxplot showing differential abundance of stromal cell types across samples. Statistical significance was determined using a t-test and corrected p-values.

G. Number of DEGs comparing control and endometriosis samples for each stromal subtype, stratified by menstrual phase. Red, upregulated in endometriosis, blue downregulated in endometriosis.

H. Differentially regulated genes between pristine controls and endometriosis samples, stratified by menstrual phase. Bolded genes are involved in selected pathways of stress, apoptosis, and immune signaling.

I. Pathway analysis of upregulated biological processes in stromal subpopulations, stratified by proliferative and secretory. Showing Normalized Enrichment Score (NES), p-adj <0.05 with selected pathways highlighted in bold.

Figure 4: Epithelial subpopulations show upregulation of epithelium migration in

endometriosis patients.

A. UMAP visualization of epithelial cell subtypes, color-coded by major tissue populations

(as shown in Fig. 1A).

B. Log2FoldChange of DEG in the secretory and proliferative phase unciliated epithelia,

comparing pristine controls and endometriosis samples. Samples were stratified by

menstrual phase. DGE was assessed using a threshold of p-adj.<0.05 and |log2FC| >

0.25.

C. Upregulated pathways in all unciliated epithelial populations in the secretory phase.

Showing Normalized Enrichment Score (NES), p-adj <0.05.

D. UMAP visualization of epithelial cell subtypes, color-coded by specific tissue type

classes.

E. Top marker genes for epithelial subtype.

F. Number of DEGs comparing control and endometriosis samples per epithelial population

stratified by menstrual phase. Red, upregulated in endometriosis, blue downregulated in

endometriosis

G. Differentially regulated genes between pristine controls and endometriosis samples,

stratified by menstrual phase. Bolded genes are involved in selected pathways of cell

death, locomotion, migration and adhesion.

H. Pathway analysis of upregulated biological processes in epithelial subpopulations.

Showing Normalized Enrichment Score (NES), p-adj <0.05 with selected pathways

highlighted in bold.

Figure 5: Uterine NK cells demonstrate augmented activity during endometriosis.

A. UMAP overview depicting fine-grained immune subtypes.

B. Selected marker genes for each subpopulation.

C. Number of DEGs comparing pristine control and endometriosis samples per immune

population, stratified by menstrual phase. Red, upregulated in endometriosis, blue

downregulated in endometriosis.

D. Differentially regulated genes in macrophages, NK cells, and CD8+ T-cells, between

pristine controls and endometriosis samples, stratified by menstrual phase.

E. Pathway analysis of upregulated biological processes in NK cells. Showing Normalized

Enrichment Score (NES), p-adj <0.05.

Figure 6: In-silico prediction shows upregulated communication in extracellular matrix

and inflammatory pathways in disease

A. Summary of differential predicted pathways stratified by phase, color by log2-fold

change and stratified by menstrual phase.

B. Pathway differences in the proliferative phase. P-value < 0.05, top 10% of interactions.

Red represents increase in endometriosis compared to pristine controls; blue represents

decrease.

C. Probability of communication with Innate lymphoid cells as the source in the proliferative

phase, colored by Log2FC differences between endometriosis and controls.

D. Pathway differences in the secretory phase. P-value <05, top 10% of interactions. Red

represents increase in endometriosis compared to pristine controls; blue represents

decrease.

E. Probability of communication with VSM cells as the source in the secretory phase,

colored by Log2FC differences between endometriosis and pristine controls.

Figure 1. Data and preprocessing

A.

	Proliferative phase (n)	Secretory phase (n)	Total (n)
Endometriosis I - II	5	8	25
Endometriosis III - IV	5	7	
Fibroid controls	4	6	10
Pristine controls	5	3	8
Total (n)	19	24	43

B.

Experimental processing → **Data processing** → **Downstream analysis**

Sample collection, tissue preparation.
↓
Pooling multiple patients
↓
Single cell encapsulation and sequencing

Demultiplexing and quality filtering
↓
Batch correction
↓
Dimensionality reduction and clustering

Cell type annotation
↓
Pristine controls vs Endometriosis
↓
Differential abundance
↓
Differential gene expression
↓
Pathway enrichment and in-silico communication

Figure 2. Single-cell analysis of endometrial tissue to examine the molecular differences in endometriosis patients across disease states and menstrual cycle phase.

Figure 3. Phase-specific expression in stromal fibroblasts and vascular smooth muscle cells reveals stress, apoptosis, and immune response pathways in endometriosis patients.

Figure 4. Epithelial subpopulations show upregulation of epithelium migration in endometriosis patients.

Figure 5. Uterine NK cells demonstrate augmented activity during endometriosis.

iction shows upregulated communication and inflammatory pathways in disease.

Proliferative
Differential interaction strength

Secretory
Differential interaction strength

C. Innate Lymphoid as source in Proliferative

E. V.S.M as source in Secretory