Genome-wide and gene expression analyses of the hagfish olfactory receptors

illuminate lineage-specific diversification of olfaction in basal vertebrates

Hirofumi Kariyayama[1,2†], Ooi Yusuke[3†], Hiromu Kashima[4†], Taiki Nakanowatari[5], Riho

Harada[3], Yoko Yamaguchi[6], Daichi G. Suzuki[7]*

[1] Graduate School of Comprehensive Human Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba,

Japan

[2] Laboratory for AI Biology, RIKEN Center for Biosystems Dynamics Research, Kobe,

Japan

[3] Graduate School of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba,

Japan

[4] Graduate School of Natural Science and Technology, Shimane University, Japan

[5] School of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan

[6] Institute of Agricultural and Life Sciences, Academic Assembly, Shimane University, Japan

[7] Institute of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan

*For correspondence: suzuki.daichi.gp@u.tsukuba.ac.jp

†These authors contributed equally to this work.

Graphical abstract

Hagfish olfactory receptors identified

Olfactory epithelium   **48 mORs, 2 V1Rs**
135 V2Rs, 0 TAARs

Eptatretus burgeri

V2Rs intensely diversified   **Hagfish**
TAARs lost
V2Rs lost
Lamprey

(true) V2Rs originated?

mORs, V1Rs, **V2Rs**, TAARs originated   **Gnathostomes**

Highlights

- Genomic and expression analyses reveal hagfish olfactory receptor repertoire.

- V2Rs are extensively diversified in hagfish.

- The olfactory function of V2Rs is traced back to the common ancestor of vertebrates.

- The olfactory receptor repertoires of basal vertebrates underwent mosaic evolution.

Summary

Olfactory receptors (ORs) of vertebrates have undergone remarkable diversification, but the

ancestral repertoire remains incompletely understood. Here, we present a comprehensive

genome-wide survey and gene expression analyses of OR genes in hagfish, a cyclostome

group that typically inhabits the deep sea with a well-developed olfactory system. We

identified 48 main olfactory receptors (mORs), two vomeronasal type 1 receptors (V1Rs),

135 typical vomeronasal type 2 receptors (V2Rs), and no trace amine-associated receptors

(TAARs) in the inshore hagfish (*Eptatretus burgeri*). Notably, V2Rs were highly clustered on

a single scaffold, suggesting tandem gene duplications as the main mechanism of

diversification. Transcriptome analysis confirmed that most of these OR genes are expressed

predominantly in the olfactory organ. Using *in situ* hybridization, we further confirmed that

at least some of these genes are expressed in olfactory receptor cells. These gene expression

analyses support the role of these genes as functional ORs. Our findings challenge the

prevailing view that typical olfactory V2Rs originated in gnathostomes and instead suggest

that they were present in the common ancestor of all vertebrates but were lost in lampreys.

The extensive diversification of V2Rs in hagfish likely reflects adaptations to life in low-light

and chemically complex environments. This study highlights the evolution of vertebrate

sensory systems in a mosaic manner, underscoring the importance of hagfish as a key animal

for reconstructing the evolutionary history of early vertebrates.

Introduction

Olfaction is a vital sense modality for animals. In particular, it plays an even more important

role in darkness because visual information is limited in such environments. It is suggested

that our mammalian ancestors experienced nocturnal life, in which they heavily relied on

olfaction, accompanied by enlargement of the olfactory bulb and cerebral cortex (Rowe et al.

2011). Along with this brain evolution, their olfactory receptors diversified explosively

(Hughes et al. 2018).

A similar evolutionary trend may have occurred to hagfish, which belongs to the

basal-most lineage of vertebrates (i.e., the cyclostomes). Hagfish typically live in light-

decaying deep water and have a degenerate visual system (Fernholm and Holmberg 1975).

Instead, these animals possess a highly developed olfactory bulb and telencephalon (the brain

region homologous to the mammalian cerebrum), suggesting that their capacity for olfaction

is correspondingly elaborated (Suzuki 2021). However, the repertoire of hagfish olfactory

receptors remains largely unknown.

Apart from such habitat-specific diversification of olfaction, hagfish may provide

crucial information for the early evolution of the vertebrate olfactory receptors (ORs). Within

vertebrates, there are four major OR families: main olfactory receptors (mORs, or ORs in the

narrow sense), type 1 vomeronasal receptors (V1Rs), type 2 vomeronasal receptors (V2Rs),

and trace amine-associated receptors (TAARs). Previous research has shown that the lamprey

genome contains 27 mORs (*Petromyzon marinus*; Libants et al. 2009), six V1Rs (*P. marinus*

and *Lethenteron camtschaticum*; Kowatschew and Korsching 2022), one (*P. marinus*) or two

(*L. camtschaticum*) V2R-like proteins, and 51 (*P. marinus*) or 32 (*L. camtschaticum*) TAARs

(Dieris et al. 2021). However, Kowatschew and Korsching (2022) report that the *P. marinus*

V2R-like gene is not expressed in the olfactory epithelium. Bi et al. (2021) identified more

than 50 V2R-like sequences in hagfish. Still, Zhang et al. (2022) suggest that no typical V2Rs

exist in extant agnathans (i.e., lampreys and hagfish) because they did not form a clade with

known V2Rs in their phylogenetic analysis. To understand the ancestral repertoire of

vertebrate ORs, it is therefore necessary to investigate hagfish ORs in a more thorough and

detailed manner.

In this study, we conducted a comprehensive genome-wide survey and gene

expression analyses of hagfish ORs. We first identified 48 mOR, two V1R, 137 V2R, but no

TAAR candidate sequences in the inshore hagfish (*Eptatretus burgeri*) genome. Then,

phylogenetic analysis revealed that all mOR candidates are type 1 mOR genes and that the

two V1R candidates are also true vertebrate V1Rs. Regarding V2Rs, 135 among

137candidates were estimated to be typical V2Rs. We next explored the genomic distribution

of the identified OR genes and found that phylogenetically close genes tend to be clustered in

the genome. Transcriptome analysis indicated that most of these ORs are expressed in the

olfactory organ. Using *in situ* hybridization, we further confirmed that at least some of these

genes are expressed in olfactory receptor cells. These results reveal the comprehensive

olfactory receptor repertoire of hagfish, suggesting that the common ancestor of vertebrates

had functional olfactory V2Rs and that both lampreys and hagfish have experienced lineage-

specific diversification of olfactory receptors.

Results

Gene identification and phylogenetic analysis of the hagfish ORs

mORs

In osteichthyes, including tetrapods, mORs are the biggest gene family among olfactory

receptors (see Table 1). We explored hagfish gene models and genome data, and then found

48 mOR candidates (for the list of the identified hagfish OR genes, including these mOR

candidates, see Supplementary File 1). We also identified 62 lamprey mOR genes that are

predicted to be functional from the newest version (Timoshevskaya et al., 2023) of the sea

lamprey genome (for the list of the lamprey OR genes identified in this study, see

Supplementary File 2). Phylogenetic analysis revealed that all of these hagfish genes form

two groups, both belonging to the type 1 mOR subfamily (Fig. 1A). Each group contained

hagfish genes alone, showing monophyly with a high support value (100%). One of them

(hagfish Group A) included 37 genes, belonging to a larger group with a monophyletic

lamprey clade (lamprey Group A) supported by a high bootstrap value. The other (hagfish

Group B) consisted of 11 genes and formed a monophyletic group with a sea lamprey gene,

with a relatively low support value. No type 2 genes were identified from hagfish, although

some lamprey genes were included in this subfamily as previous research suggested (Niimura

2009).

V1Rs

V1R or olfactory receptor class A (ORA) genes are known to be diversified in

sarcopterygians, including tetrapods, in which these receptors are thought to be involved in

pheromone detection (Nikaido 2019). We found two V1R candidates in the hagfish genome,

while more V1Rs (eight genes) were identified in the sea lamprey genome. It is suggested

that vertebrate V1Rs are divided into six subfamilies (ORA1–6; Saraiva and Korsching

2007). Through phylogenetic analysis, we found that the hagfish V1R1 candidate forms a

monophyletic clade with gnathostome ORA3/4 along with a sea lamprey V1R, supported by

a high bootstrap value (99%; Fig. 1B). The hagfish V1R2 candidate was placed as the sister

group of the ORA5 family of gnathostomes with a high bootstrap value (97%). In addition,

some sea lamprey V1Rs constructed a clade with gnathostome ORA1/2 with a relatively high

support value, although the phylogenetic positions of other sea lamprey genes were unclear

due to their relatively low support values.

V2Rs

V2Rs are chemoreceptors targeting amino acids and peptide pheromones in gnathostomes

(Leinders-Zufall et al. 2004; Kimoto et al. 2005; Speca 1999; Koide et al., 2009; Sato et al.,

2005). We identified 137 V2R candidates in hagfish. In contrast, only one V2R-like gene was

found in the sea lamprey genome, consistent with previous reports (Kowatschew and

Korsching 2022). Phylogenetic analysis revealed that one hagfish V2R candidate forms a

clade with lamprey and gnathostome calcium-sensing receptor (CaSR) genes, another

grouped with the lamprey V2R-like genes, and the remaining 135 genes forms a

monophyletic clade together with high bootstrap value (96%), being situated in a basal

position to gnathostome V2Rs more closely than the cyclostome V2R-like clade (Fig. 1C).

Based on these results, we estimated the first and second candidate gene as hagfish CaSR and

V2R-like, respectively, and the last group containing135 candidates as typical V2Rs.

TAARs

Previous studies have reported that TAARs are diversified in lamprey (Libants et al. 2009;

Dieris et al. 2021). To find hagfish TAARs, we first performed a reciprocal BLAST search

against hagfish gene models, but no plausible candidates were found. For further

confirmation, we performed phylogenetic analysis of top hit hagfish sequences and known

TAARs. Consequently, these hagfish genes did not show affinity to TAARs but to various

groups of GPCRs, including the 5-hydroxytryptamine receptor 4 (5-HT4 or HTR4),

adrenoceptor beta 1, and dopamine receptor family (Supplementary File 7). These results

suggest that hagfish have no TAAR homologs, consistent with previous research (Guo et al.,

2022).

Genomic distribution of the hagfish ORs

To clarify the diversification pattern of hagfish ORs, we then examined the genomic

distribution of the identified genes using the following analyses.

First, we defined phylogenetically related clades for mORs and V2Rs (See Materials

and Methods). We categorized three major clades for mORs; Group A corresponded entirely

to Clade 1, while Group B was subdivided into Clade 2 and 3 (see Supplementary Fig. 1). For

V2Rs, nine major clades (Clade 1–9) were distinguished, and the remaining unclassified

genes were categorized into "Else" (see Supplementary Fig. 2).

Next, we mapped all identified OR genes onto the genome (Fig. 2A). As the current

E. burgeri genome is not assembled at the telomere-to-telomere level, we arranged scaffolds

(> 0.1 Mbp) from longer to shorter. As an overall pattern, the OR genes exhibited a patchy

distribution. For example, mORs in the same clade tended to co-occur on the same scaffold.

Even in each scaffold, phylogenetically related genes tended to be neighboring closely in the

genome as follows. We calculated phylogenetic and genomic distances between each

pairwise combination of the identified genes, and then made a scatter plot between these two

distances (Fig. 2C). As a result, we found that a higher portion of intraclade pairs (32.2%;

140/435 pairs) were located within a shorter genomic distance (<10 Mbp), compared to the

interclade pairs (1.0%; 7/693 pairs).

V2Rs also exhibited a patchy genomic distribution, and most of them (91.1%;

123/135 were concentrated on a single scaffold (FYBX03000002.1). In this scaffold,

phylogenetically close genes were arranged in clusters along the genome (Fig. 2B). In

addition, scatter plotting between phylogenetic and genomic distances (Fig. 2D) showed that

most of the intraclade pairs (69.8%; 273/391 pairs) were located within a shorter genomic

distance (<10 Mbp), but fewer interclade pairs (21.5%; 1862/8652 pairs) were within such a

close distance.

Organ-specific gene expression of the hagfish ORs

For the examination of whether the hagfish olfactory receptor candidates are indeed

expressed in the olfactory organ, we performed organ-specific gene expression analyses using

bulk RNA-seq data (the olfactory organ, brain, pituitary gland, gill, intestine, kidney, liver,

testis, and ovary). As a result, we confirmed that most of these candidate genes were highly

expressed in the olfactory organ.

First, 47 genes among 48 mOR candidates showed high expression levels in the

olfactory organ (44 genes showed the highest expression levels in the olfactory organ among

the nine tested organs), suggesting their function as true olfactory receptors (Fig. 3). Notably,

many (19 genes) are also found to be expressed at a certain level in the testis and/or ovary.

Second, we confirmed that the two V1R candidates were also expressed at a relatively

high level (TPM > 1) in the olfactory organ (Fig. 4A). The Ebu-V1R1 gene was also

expressed in the brain at the same expression level, and was slightly weakly expressed in the

liver and kidney. The Ebu-V1R2 gene showed the highest expression in the olfactory organ,

with lower expression levels in other organs.

Last, we detected expression of all 135 hagfish putative V2R genes in the olfactory

organ (Fig. 4B). Moreover, almost all of them (134 genes except V2R22) showed the highest

expression in this organ. Impressively, expression of these genes was also frequently detected

in the testis and/or ovary. In contrast, neither the hagfish CaSR nor V2R-like was expressed

in the olfactory organ; instead, the former was expressed in all the other organs tested

(especially in the kidney and testis), while expression of the latter was detected highly in the

intestine and testis, and weakly in the pituitary gland.

Gene expression patterns of the hagfish ORs in the olfactory epithelium

To investigate whether the olfactory receptor candidates are specifically expressed in olfactory receptor cells, we performed *in situ* hybridization analysis for hagfish mOR (mOR5), V1R (V1R1), and V2R (V2R33), selected based on their expression levels and predicted sequence lengths.

The hagfish olfactory organ, or the nasal basket, is situated anterior to the brain (Fig. 5A; Marinelli and Strenger 1956; Muramatsu et al., 2024). It consists of a series of seven olfactory lamellae, oriented parallel to the body axis and attached to the dorsal roof of the olfactory cavity (Fig. 5B; Døving 1998).

By histological analysis, we first confirmed that the hagfish olfactory lamella exhibits a laminar structure (Fig. 5C), in a manner similar to that of the teleost fish (Hara 1975). Each lamella consists of a medial fibrous layer called the central core and the peripheral olfactory epithelium, which is further subdivided into three layers: from proximal to distal, the basal cell (BC) layer, the olfactory receptor cell (ORC) layer, and the apical cilia/microvilli layer.

Based on this observation, we then examined gene expression patterns of hagfish *mOR5*, *V1R1*, and *V2R33*. As a result, we found that all of them were expressed in olfactory cells in a scattered pattern (Fig. 5D–F). Negative controls using sense probes showed no such expression patterns (Supplementary Fig. 3). Among these genes, V1R1-positive cells showed a distinctive pattern, being broadly and relatively densely distributed in the ORC layer (Fig. 5E). In addition, some *V1R1*-positive cells were also observed in the BC layer. In contrast, the olfactory cells expressing the other two (*mOR5* and *V2R33*) were more sparsely distributed and found in a relatively superficial part of the ORC layer (Fig. 5D, F).

Discussion

Hagfish OR repertoire

In this study, we have investigated the olfactory receptor repertoire in hagfish. By combining genome-wide and gene expression analyses, we identified putatively functional olfactory receptor genes as follows (see also Table 1 and Fig. 6).

mORs

We identified 48 hagfish mOR genes, all of which fall into type 1 mORs (Fig. 1A). In addition, almost all of them (47 genes) are highly expressed in the olfactory organ (Fig. 2), and at least one of them (*mOR5*) in olfactory receptor cells (Fig. 5D), suggesting that these genes encode functional (i.e., "true") mORs. As these hagfish mORs form a monophyletic group together, these genes are considered to have duplicated through hagfish-specific diversification.

Nevertheless, no hagfish mOR genes were classified as type 2 subfamily. In contrast, the lamprey has both type 1 and type 2 subfamilies (this study; Niimura 2009), suggesting that the common ancestor of all vertebrates had both types, but hagfish has lost type 2 mORs.

V1Rs

In this study, we found only two hagfish V1R genes, which show high affinity to the ORA3/4 subfamily and ORA5 subfamily, respectively (Fig. 1B). We also demonstrated that these genes are expressed in the olfactory organ, and at least one of them (*V1R1*) is expressed particularly in olfactory receptor cells (Fig. 4A, 5E). These results suggest that hagfish have true V1Rs.

Still, we identified more V1Rs (eight genes) from the latest version (Timoshevskaya et al., 2023) of the sea lamprey genome. These genes include two additional ones that were

unreported in previous research (Kowatschew and Korsching 2022). Among them, two

(lamprey V1R1 and V1R2) show affinity to the gnathostome ORA1/2, two (lamprey V1R3

and V1R7) to the gnathostome ORA3/4, and one (lamprey V1R6) to ORA6. The remaining

three (lamprey V1R4, V1R5, and V1R8) were placed in the basal position of the gnathostome

ORA5/6, despite showing low support values. Combining these results with previous

research (Kowatschew and Korsching 2022), it is suggested that the common ancestor of all

vertebrates had at least three subtypes of V1Rs, ORA1/2, ORA3/4, and ORA5/6, and that the

hagfish has lost the ORA1/2 gene(s).

V2Rs

Most notably, we revealed that V2Rs show the highest diversification among hagfish

olfactory receptors. We identified typical V2Rs (135 genes) that form a monophyletic clade

basal to the gnathostome V2Rs. As the closest outgroup to these V2Rs, we found a pair of

V2R-like genes in hagfish and lamprey. Consistent with a previous suggestion that lamprey

V2Rs do not function as olfactory receptors (Kowatschew and Korsching 2022), our

transcriptome analysis indicates that the hagfish V2R-like gene is not expressed in the

olfactory organ at a functional level (Fig. 3B).

In contrast, we confirmed that all of the true V2Rs are expressed in the olfactory

organ (Fig. 3B), particularly and at least one of them (*V2R33*) in olfactory receptor cells (Fig.

5F), suggesting that these genes do serve as olfactory receptors. This finding challenges the

conventional view that the olfactory function of the V2Rs was established in the gnathostome

lineage (Kowatschew and Korsching 2022; Zhang et al. 2022). Instead, we suggest that true

V2Rs had already been acquired in the common ancestor of all vertebrates; these genes

underwent extensive diversification in hagfish, but they have been lost specifically in the

lamprey lineage.

 TAARs

We found no TAAR gene candidates in the current version of the hagfish genome, consistent

with previous research (Guo et al., 2022). In contrast, previous studies (Libants et al. 2009;

Dieris et al. 2021) reported that the lamprey has dozens of TAARs. Therefore, it is suggested

that TAARs can be traced back to the common ancestor of all vertebrates and that they have

been lost specifically in the hagfish lineage.

Importance of assembly quality for comparative genomics

To obtain a more complete gene list for comparison, we re-surveyed lamprey ORs using the

latest version (Timoshevskaya et al., 2023) of the sea lamprey genome. As a result, we

identified a greater number of mOR and V1R genes than previously reported (Table 1),

suggesting that better genome assemblies provide more reliable information for comparative

genomics.

As the current version of the *E. burgeri* genome used in this study is not completely

assembled at the telomere-to-telomere level (Yu et al., 2024), future high-quality assemblies

may reveal additional OR genes that are not detected in this study. In addition, if a complete

genome assembly were available, our analysis of the genomic distribution of hagfish OR

genes would be more precise. Still, the overall trends in OR gene diversification, loss, and

genomic distribution are clearly demonstrated.

Gene duplication of hagfish ORs

Our analysis of the genomic mapping of hagfish OR genes revealed that phylogenetically

close mOR and V2R genes tend to reside close in the hagfish genome. Particularly in the

scaffold FYBX03000002.1, phylogenetically close V2R genes were organized in clusters

along the genome. However, a given genomic cluster often contains genes from different

clades (Fig. 2B). This distribution pattern suggests that these genes have been diversified

through tandem gene duplications and chromosomal rearrangements, as has been observed in

human OR gene evolution (Niimura and Nei 2005).

It is suggested that whole-genome duplication (WGD) may contribute to the genetic

diversity of the ORs (Wang et al. 2021). Recently, the cyclostomes were proposed to have

experienced lineage-specific WGD or triplication (Marlétaz et al., 2024; Yu et al., 2024).

Nevertheless, this event appears to have had no or a small contribution to the evolution of

ORs, especially V2Rs. In fact, the scaffold FYBX03000002.1 includes most of the hagfish

V2R genes (91%), indicating that these genes have duplicated at the intrachromosomal level.

Another possible mechanism is retrotransposon-mediated duplication. However, this

scenario is unlikely in the diversification of hagfish V2Rs because retrotransposon-encoded

reverse transcriptase typically generates intronless genes (Lallemand 2020), and all hagfish

V2Rs contain introns except for a few exceptions whose domains were only partially

predicted (Supplementary File 1).

Taken together, the most plausible mechanism for the extensive diversification of

hagfish V2Rs is tandem gene duplications followed by chromosomal rearrangements.

Expression specificity of the ORs

In mammals, it is widely believed that each olfactory receptor neuron expresses only one OR

gene (Bystrova & Kolesnikov, 2021). This "one neuron–one receptor" hypothesis was

originally proposed based on studies in mice and rats (e.g., Chess et al., 1994; Malnic et al.,

1999). Nevertheless, it has yet to be conclusively demonstrated (Mombaerts, 2004). In fact, a

previous study showed that two functional odor receptors are expressed in one neuron in the

fruit fly (Goldman et al., 2005).

Particularly, whether the "one neuron–one receptor" rule also applies to basal

vertebrates remains an open question. The broad expression pattern of the V1R gene in the

hagfish olfactory epithelium (Fig. 5E) imply the possibility that V1Rs are coexpressed with

other ORs in a single receptor neuron. To test this hypothesis in cyclostomes, further

analyses—such as single-cell RNA-seq—are necessary.

Cell morphology and distribution of the OR-expressing neurons

Certain vertebrates exhibit lineage-specific distribution patterns of olfactory receptor cells.

For example, mammals have two distinctive olfactory organs: the main olfactory organ and

the vomeronasal organ. The former consists of mOR- and TAAR-expressing ciliated cells,

while the latter is specialized for pheromone detection and contains V1R- and V2R-

expressing—and additionally in rodents (Boillat et al., 2021), formyl peptide receptor (FPR)-

expressing—microvillous cells (Poncelet and Shimeld, 2020). In teleost fish, there are four

types of olfactory receptor cells: mOR- and TAAR-expressing ciliated cells, V1R- and V2R-

expressing microvillous cells, V1R-expressing crypt neurons, and kappe neurons with

unidentified receptors (Poncelet & Shimeld, 2020). Among them, the mOR-expressing

ciliated cells are found in the deep layer of the olfactory epithelium, while the V1R/V2R-

expressing microvillous cells, along with crypt neurons, are located in the superficial layer

(Hansen et al., 2004; Sato et al., 2005).

In hagfish, ciliated and microvillous cell types have been reported to be present

(Døving, 1998). However, the relationship between these cell types and OR expression

patterns, as well as the spatial distribution of olfactory receptor cells, remain unresolved.

Although our gene expression analysis suggests that both mOR- and V2R-expressing cells

are located in the relatively superficial layer (Fig. 5D, F), further detailed analyses, including

spatial RNA-seq, would provide key information for these questions.

Lineage-specific diversification of olfaction in early vertebrates

One of the most notable findings of this study is that functional V2Rs are present and

extensively diversified in the cyclostome hagfish. While V2Rs are reported to bind to water-

soluble molecules such as the peptide ligands of MHC class I molecules and exocrine gland

peptides (ESPs) in mammals (Leinders-Zufall et al. 2004; Kimoto et al. 2005), they are also

expected to detect amino acids and their derivatives, eliciting feeding behaviors in teleosts

(Speca 1999; Koide et al., 2009; Sato et al., 2005). The massive diversification of hagfish

V2Rs may thus reflect an adaptation to scavenging life in low-light environments, with

various functions such as the detection of food sources and mating partners. To determine the

functions of hagfish V2Rs, it is necessary to examine these receptors from multiple

disciplinary perspectives, including ligand binding assays, electrophysiological recordings,

and behavioral experiments using candidate odorants.

Furthermore, our phylogenetic analyses revealed that the OR repertoires in basal

vertebrates have evolved in a mosaic manner (Fig. 6). On the one hand, hagfish have

experienced extensive diversification of V2Rs and loss of TAARs. On the other hand,

lampreys appear to have lost true V2Rs. As previous studies have relied on lampreys only, it

has been mistakenly suggested that true V2Rs originated in the common ancestor of

gnathostomes. However, this study pushes the evolutionary origin of the V2Rs back to the

common ancestor of all vertebrates. This finding calls attention to the importance of hagfish,

which has often been overlooked, yet holds significant potential to illuminate critical aspects

of early vertebrate evolution.

Conclusion

In this study, we conducted a comprehensive genome-wide survey and gene expression

analyses of ORs in hagfish, a basal vertebrate that typically inhabits the deep sea. We

identified 48 mORs, two V1Rs, 135 typical V2Rs, and no TAARs in the inshore hagfish (*E.*

burgeri). Phylogenetic analysis revealed that all mORs belong to the type 1 subfamily. The

two V1Rs form a clade with known vertebrate ORA subfamilies, and the 135 V2Rs form a

distinct monophyletic clade basal to gnathostome V2Rs. Genomic mapping revealed large

clusters of the hagfish V2Rs on a single scaffold, likely resulting from tandem gene

duplications and chromosome rearrangements. Gene expression profiling confirmed that

nearly all of these receptors are specifically expressed in the olfactory organ, and *in situ*

hybridization showed that at least some are localized in olfactory receptor cells.

These findings revise the current evolutionary scenario of vertebrate olfactory

systems. While lampreys lack typical V2Rs, our data indicate that these receptors were

already present in the common ancestor of vertebrates and were subsequently lost in the

lamprey lineage. The large-scale expansion of V2Rs in hagfish likely reflects ecological

adaptation to their scavenging niche in dark environments. Our results elucidate the

previously unrecognized evolutionary diversity in the olfactory system of basal vertebrates,

highlighting hagfish as a key animal for understanding the early vertebrate evolution.

Acknowledgment

We thank Drs. Hiroshi Wada and Yoshiaki Morino for their valuable comments, and Dr.

Haruka Ozaki for providing computer resources. We appreciate Susumu Hatanaka (Shinsho

Maru; Fujisawa, Kanagawa, Japan) and Masanori Nishizaki and Dr. Masa-aki Yoshida (Oki

Marine Biological Station, Shimane University) for providing the *E. burgeri* specimens.

Computations were partially performed on the NIG supercomputer at ROIS National Institute

of Genetics. This work was supported by the Grant-in-Aid for the Japan Society for the

Promotion of Science (JSPS; Grant Numbers JP20K15855, JP22K15164, JP24K09556, and

JP24H01538 to D.G.S, and JP19K16178 to Y.Y.) and by the Sasakawa Scientific Research

Grant from The Japan Science Society (Grant Number 2023-4098 to H.K.).

Materials and methods

Animals

Inshore hagfish (*E. burgeri*) specimens, both male and female, were collected from Sagami

Bay, Kanagawa Prefecture in 2021–2025 or from Kamo Bay near Oki Marine Biological

Station, Shimane University (Oki, Shimane, Japan) in 2021. As for the animals from Sagami

Bay, they were transported to the laboratory at the University of Tsukuba, Japan, where they

were kept in 160 L tanks filled with circulating artificial seawater at 12°C ± 0.5 °C before

dissection. As for the animals from Kamo Bay, they were transported to a laboratory at

Matsue campus, Shimane University (Matsue, Shimane, Japan). They were maintained in a

260 L tank filled with circulating artificial seawater at 15 ± 0.5 °C and sacrificed for tissue

sampling. All procedures in this study were performed in compliance with the guidelines for

animal use of the Animal Care Committees at the University of Tsukuba and Shimane

University (specific approval is not required for experimentation on fishes under the Japanese

law, Act on Welfare and Management of Animals). During the investigation, every effort was

made to minimize suffering and to reduce the number of animals used.

Tissue sampling

For RNAseq of the olfactory organ, the tissue was collected from the decapitated animal

following ice anesthesia, and was frozen using liquid nitrogen and kept at −80 °C until

analyzed. For molecular cloning, histological analysis, and *in situ* hybridization, animals

were deeply anesthetized with MS-222 (100 mg/L; Sigma, A5040) and euthanized by

decapitation. Then, the decapitated heads were dissected to harvest the olfactory organs,

which were served for each experiment described below.

RNAseq and differential gene expression analysis

RNA-seq of the olfactory organ was newly performed in this study, following the method for

other organs described previously (Yamaguchi et al., 2023). Total RNAs were extracted from

the frozen tissue from a single individual (total length 55 cm, weight 226.3 g, male; as for

other tissues, the pituitary glands from five individuals of mixed sexes and sizes were pooled,

while other tissues were collected from a single individual, as reported by Yamaguchi et al.,

2023). Raw RNA-Seq data are available at the public repository (BioProject accession ID:

PRJDB35770, DRR Run: DRR707969–DRR707972, DRR707975-DRR707977,

DRR707979, and DRR707980).

The adaptor sequences and low-quality sequences were then removed using

Trimmomatic version 0.38 (Bolger et al., 2014). We used FastQC version 0.11.9 (Andrews,

2010) to evaluate the sequence data quality. The gene models of *E. burgeri* were retrieved

from Ensembl Release 104 and were used as a reference for calculating expression levels. To

prepare a more comprehensive reference dataset, we added sequences identified from the

genome by TBLASTN searches. The expression amount was quantified as transcripts per

kilobase million (TPM) using Salmon version 1.10.3 (Patro et al., 2017). For visualizing the

expression amount of olfactory receptor genes, we used the tidyverse and ggplot2 packages in

R. TPMs were log10-transformed after adding a pseudocount of 0.001.

Gene search

To identify main olfactory receptor (mOR) gene candidates, we performed BLASTP searches
on the hagfish and sea lamprey (*P. marinus*) gene models using mOR sequences of
gnathostomes as queries. The gnathostomes included the following species: human, mouse,
zebrafish, and African clawed frog. We retrieved hagfish gene models and genome sequences
from ENSEMBL (Release 104). As a new version of the sea lamprey genome was reported
recently (Timoshevskaya et al., 2023), we re-surveyed mORs of this animal. The amino acid
(AA) sequences of the gnathostomes were retrieved from Niimura and Nei (2005). We
extracted hagfish and sea lamprey sequences from the BLAST search result with E values <
1E-3 and an alignment length of ≥ 250 AA. To confirm the homology, we also conducted
BLASTP searches against the human, zebrafish, and African clawed frog gene models
(ENSEMBL release 104) using the hagfish and sea lamprey sequences as queries with the
same thresholds. For gene extraction, we used SeqKit ver. 2.8.2 (Shen et al., 2024). To
narrow down the list to more plausible sequences, we performed a domain search using
HMMER 3 (ver. 3.2.1) with the Pfam database (ver. 34.0). As a result, we found 35 genes
from the hagfish and 61 genes from the sea lamprey gene models.

Recently, a new version of the *E. burgeri* genome was published (Yu et al., 2024), but
gene models based on this genome data are not officially provided. To extract potential mOR
gene candidates that were missed in the gene models based on the old version, we searched
for mOR candidate genes directly from the new one. To identify potential mOR gene
candidates, TBLASTN was performed on the hagfish genome version 4.0
(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/GCA_900186335.3/). We used the same
query sequences as the analysis using gene models. The threshold for homologous sequences
was E value < 1E-10 and alignment length ≥ 250AA as described in the previous research
(Niimura and Nei, 2005). To confirm their homology, we also conducted BLASTP searches

as described above. The coding sequences were predicted from TBLASTN hit regions with

900 bp of flanking sequences using GeneWise (version 2.4.1) with default parameters. We

used the same query sequences for this TBLASTN search as those used for the BLASTP

search described above. Next, the possibly functional sequences were extracted from the

predicted sequences using HMMER 3 and the Pfam database. 29 sequences were matched to

the mOR candidate genes extracted from the gene models, and 13 sequences were newly

identified from the genome sequences.

We collected candidate sequences of hagfish V1R and V2R genes in the same way as

for mORs. In the reciprocal BLAST search of gene models, homologous genes of V2R,

CaSR, taste receptor type 1 (TAS1R), and G protein-coupled receptor family C group 6

(GPRC6) were extracted as the candidate sequences for V2R. The plausible sequences were

extracted with at least 200 AA of a 7-transmembrane domain (PF00003, TM7_3) by domain

searches for V2R. As a result of BLAST searches and domain searches against the gene

models, two and 38 gene models were identified as candidates of V1R and V2R, respectively.

The one and 99 additional candidate sequences of V1R and V2R were found from the hagfish

genome in a similar way to identify mOR sequences. To predict coding sequences by

GeneWise, we used sequences found by TBLASTN against the TM7 domain. As V2Rs

contain another domain in their N-terminal region, we also included this domain and the

intron sequences for prediction if a TBLASTN hit sequence is found to contain it (on the

same strand and intersequences between the TBLASTN hits < 100,000 bp). In the other case,

that is, even if a TBLASTN hit sequence does not include the N-terminal region, we also

used any such sequence for our analysis because there is a possibility that its N-terminal

domain is just not detected. In addition, we identified 11 more plausible sequences that

correspond to the gene models. Thus, we used 110 predicted genes, including 11 sequences

for downstream analysis.

For lamprey V1R genes, we initially identified four genes from the sea lamprey (*P.*

marinus) gene models. While three genes were previously reported (Kowatschew and

Korsching, 2022), the other one (Pma-V1R7) was newly identified. Because the detected

genes were fewer than V1Rs reported in the previous study, we further conducted a

TBLASTN search against the sea lamprey genome. As a result, we detected seven V1R

candidate sequences (six known V1R genes and Pma-V1R7). Additionally, we searched V1R

sequences against the arctic lamprey (*L. camtschaticum*) genome and gene models. We

detected the seven genes, of which five correspond to the genes identified in the previous

research. Although another gene corresponded to Pma-V1R7, it was truncated. One of the

seven genes (Lca-V1R8) was newly identified, and we also found the orthologous gene

(Pma-V1R8) from the sea lamprey genome. In summary, we identified eight lamprey V1R

genes for the phylogenetic analysis.

Phylogenetic analysis

We retrieved mOR sequences of the gnathostomes and the amphioxus from Niimura (2009),

V1R and TAS2R sequences from the ENSEMBL database and previous research

(Kowatschew and Korsching, 2022, Sharma et al., 2019, Zapilko and Korsching, 2016, Date-

Ito et al., 2008), and V2R, TAS1R, GPRC6, CaSR, glutamate receptor metabotropic (GRM),

and gamma-aminobutyric acid type B receptor (GABBR) sequences from previously

published papers and the ENSEMBL database (Zhang et al., 2022, Kowatschew and

Korsching, 2022). These sequences were aligned using MAFFT version 7.481 (Katoh and

Standley 2013) with the default option and trimmed to poorly aligned regions using TrimAL

version 1.4. rev15 (Capella-Gutiérrez, Silla-Martínez, and Gabaldón 2009) with the

"gappyout" option. Phylogenetic analysis was conducted using RAxML version 8.2.12

(Stamatakis 2014) with the options of "-f a -x 12345 -p 12345 -# 500 -m

PROTGAMMAAUTO --auto-prot=aic -T 16."

Genomic mapping and distribution analysis

To classify subgroups of mOR genes and V2R genes, we focused on clades containing at

least five genes with their bootstrap value $\geq$ 85%. We aimed to obtain three or more clades

for mORs and V2Rs, respectively, trying to define as larger clades as possible at the same

time. Consequently, we obtained three clades for mORs and nine clades for V2Rs

(Supplementary Fig.1 and 2). The V2R genes that were not classified into any of these clades

were categorized into "Else" (Supplementary 2). We then visualized the genomic distribution

of the OR genes using ggbio version 1.46.0 within R version 4.2.2 (R Center For Statistical

Computing, Vienna, Austria), based on this classification.

For scatter plotting, we calculated the phylogenetic and genomic distances for all

interclade and intraclade pairs of the mOR genes and of the V2R genes, respectively, based

on the clade classification. We included the pairs of "Else" V2R genes into the interclade

pairs. The phylogenetic distances were obtained using the "cophenetic.phylo" function in the

ape version 5.7.1. Accordingly, we visualized the relationship between the genomic and

phylogenetic distances using the tidyverse version 2.0.0 and ggplot2 version 3.5.2.

Molecular cloning and probe synthesis

Total RNA was extracted from the harvested olfactory organs of adult *E. burgeri* using

TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen, 15596026). These RNAs were reverse transcribed into cDNAs

using PrimeScript II 1st strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Takara, 6210A) and were used as

templates in the polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Hagfish genes of interest were amplified

by PCR using the primers listed in Supplementary Table 1. We used reverse primers

including the T3 promoter sequence (20 bp) to synthesize DIG-labeled RNA probes for *in*

situ hybridization. As a negative control, we instead used forward primers including the T7

promoter sequence (20 bp) to synthesize DIG-labeled RNA probes for *in situ* hybridization

(sequences not shown). DIG-labeled RNA probes were transcribed from PCR products using

T3 and T7 RNA polymerase (Roche, 11031163001 and 12352204, respectively).

Hematoxylin-eosin (HE) staining and section *in situ* hybridization

To perform histological analysis and *in situ* hybridization, the harvested olfactory organs

were fixed with 4% PFA/PBS for 1 hour at room temperature (RT) or overnight at 4 C°. The

fixed specimen was then rinsed with PBS, dehydrated in a graded methanol series (25%,

50%, 75%, and 100%), and stored at −20 °C.

For cryosectioning, the stored samples were rehydrated in a graded methanol series

(75%, 50%, and 25% in PBS) and PBS. They were replaced with 10% and then 30% sucrose.

Subsequently, the specimens were embedded in Tissue-Tek Optimum Cutting Temperature

(O.C.T.) Compound (Sakura Finetek Japan, 4583). Frozen sections in 20 μm were prepared

using a cryostat (Leica, CM1860) and mounted on MAS-coated slide glasses (Matsunami

Glass Ind., SMAS-01).

HE staining was performed according to the conventional method after sections were

washed with PBS to remove the O.C.T. compound. Namely, the sections were incubated in

Mayer's hematoxylin solution (Wako 131-09665) for 15 min and then rinsed with running tap

water for 30 min. Afterward, the sections were incubated in 1% Eosin Y solution (Wako 051-

06515). The stained sections were dehydrated in a graded methanol series (25%, 50%, 75%,

95%, and 100%) and xylene-equivalent solvent G-NOX (Genostuff, GN04), and mounted

using xylene-free mounting medium PARAmount-D (Falma, 308-500-1).

For *in situ* hybridization, the sections were first washed with PBS to remove the

O.C.T. compound and postfixed for 20 min with 4% PFA/PBS and rinsed with PBS.

Afterward, they were prehybridized in hybridization buffer (50% Formamide, 5× SSC, 5×

Denhardt's solution, 50 µg/ml yeast tRNA, and 50 µg/ml heparin in deionized-distilled H_2O)

and then incubated in the hybridization buffer with 0.1 mg/ml probe overnight at 60 °C.

Subsequently, the specimens were washed with the following solutions: 50% Formamide and

2× SSC (for 30 min at 60 °C, twice), 2× SSC (for 30 min at 60 °C, twice), 0.2× SSC (for 30

min at 60 °C, twice), and PBS (5 min at RT). For signal detection, the specimens were

blocked with 0.5% blocking reagent (Roche, 11277073910) in PBS for 1 hour at RT and then

incubated with 0.5 µl/ml alkaline phosphatase (AP)-conjugated anti-digoxigenin Fab

fragments (diluted in 0.5% blocking reagent/PBS; Roche, 11093274910) overnight at 4 °C.

After washing with tris-buffered saline (TBS) four times for 30 min each at RT, alkaline

phosphatase signals were detected with 20 µl/ml NBT/BCIP in color development buffer

(100 mM Tris HCl pH 9.5, 100 mM NaCl, and 50 mM $MgCl_2$). The stained specimens were

postfixed in 4% PFA/PBS, dehydrated in a graded methanol series (25%, 50%, 75%, 95%,

and 100%) and G-NOX, and mounted using PARAmount-D. The prepared slides were

examined under a microscope (Nikon, ECLIPSE Ni) and photographed using a microscope

digital camera (Nikon, DS-Ri1).

Reference

Andrews S (2010) FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data.

579   Available online at: http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc

580   Bi X, Wang K, Yang L, Pan H, Jiang H, Wei Q, Fang M, Yu H, Zhu C, Cai Y, He Y, Gan X,

581   Zeng H, Yu D, Zhu Y, Jiang H, Qiu Q, Yang H, Zhang YE, Wang W, Zhu M, He S,

582   Zhang G (2021) Tracing the genetic footprints of vertebrate landing in non-teleost

583   ray-finned fishes. *Cell* **184**(5):1377–1391.e14. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2021.01.046.

584 Boillat M, Carleton A, Rodriguez I (2021) From immune to olfactory expression:

585   neofunctionalization of formyl peptide receptors. *Cell Tissue Res* **383**(1):387–393.

586   doi:10.1007/s00441-020-03393-5.

587 Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B (2014) Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina

588   sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**(15):2114–2120. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170.

589 Bystrova MF, Kolesnikov SS (2021) The "one neuron–one receptor" rule in the physiology

590   and genetics of olfaction. *Neurosci Behav Physiol* **51**:1008–1017.

591   doi:10.1007/s11055-021-01159-2.

592 Chess A, Simon I, Cedar H, Axel R (1994) Allelic inactivation regulates olfactory receptor

593   gene expression. *Cell* **78**(5):823–834. doi:10.1016/s0092-8674(94)90562-2.

594 Date-Ito A, Ohara H, Ichikawa M, Mori Y, Hagino-Yamagishi K (2008) Xenopus V1R

595   vomeronasal receptor family is expressed in the main olfactory system. *Chem Sense*

596   **33**(4):339–346. doi:10.1093/chemse/bjm090.

597 Dieris M, Kowatschew D, Korsching SI (2021) Olfactory function in the trace amine-

598   associated receptor family (TAARs) evolved twice independently. *Sci Rep* **11**:7807.

599   doi:10.1038/s41598-021-87236-5.

600 Døving KB (1998). The Olfactory System of Hagfishes. In: Jørgensen JM, Lomholt JP,

601   Weber RE, Malte H (eds.) *The Biology of Hagfishes.* Springer, pp. 533–540.

602   doi:10.1007/978-94-011-5834-3_33.

603 Fernholm B, Holmberg K (1975) The eyes in three genera of hagfish (*Eptatretus*,

604   *Paramyxine* and *Myxine*)⁠—a case of degenerative evolution. *Vision Res* **15**(2):253–

605   259. doi:10.1016/0042-6989(75)90215-1.

Goldman AL, Van der Goes van Naters W, Lessing D, Warr CG, Carlson JR. Coexpression

of two functional odor receptors in one neuron. *Neuron* **45**(5):661–666.

doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2005.01.025.

Guo L, Dai W, Xu Z, Liang Q, Miller ET, Li S, Gao X, Baldwin MW, Chai R, Li Q (2022)

Evolution of brain-expressed biogenic amine receptors into olfactory trace amine-

associated receptors. *Mol Biol Evol* 2022 **39**(3):msac006. doi:

10.1093/molbev/msac006. Erratum in: *Mol Biol Evol* **39**(5):msac072.

doi:10.1093/molbev/msac072.

Hansen A, Anderson KT, Finger TE (2004) Differential distribution of olfactory receptor

neurons in goldfish: structural and molecular correlates. *J Comp Neurol* **477**(4):347–

359. doi:10.1002/cne.20202.

Hara TJ (1975) Olfaction in fish. *Prog Neurobiol* **5**(4):271–335. doi:10.1016/0301-

0082(75)90014-3.

Hughes GM, Boston ESM, Finarelli JA, Murphy WJ, Higgins DG, Teeling EC (2018) The

birth and death of olfactory receptor gene families in mammalian niche adaptation.

Mol Biol Evol **35**(6):1390–1406. doi:10.1093/molbev/msy028.

Hussain A, Saraiva LR, Korsching SI (2009) Positive Darwinian selection and the birth of an

olfactory receptor clade in teleosts. *PNAS* **106**(11):4313–4318.

doi:10.1073/pnas.0803229106.

Kimoto H, Haga S, Sato K, Touhara K (2005) Sex-specific peptides from exocrine glands

stimulate mouse vomeronasal sensory neurons. *Nature* **437**:898–901.

doi:10.1038/nature04033.

Koide T, Miyasaka N, Morimoto K, Asakawa K, Urasaki A, Kawakami K, Yoshihara Y

(2009) Olfactory neural circuitry for attraction to amino acids revealed by transposon-

630  mediated gene trap approach in zebrafish. *PNAS* **106**(24):9884–9889.

631  doi:10.1073/pnas.0900470106.

632 Kowatschew D, Korsching SI (2022) Lamprey possess both V1R and V2R olfactory

633  receptors, but only V1Rs are expressed in olfactory sensory neurons. *Chem Senses*

634  **47**:bjac007. doi:10.1093/chemse/bjac007.

635 Lallemand T, Leduc M, Landès C, Rizzon C, Lerat E (2020) An overview of duplicated gene

636  detection methods: why the duplication mechanism has to be accounted for in their

637  choice. *Genes* **11**(9):1046. doi:10.3390/genes11091046.

638 Libants S, Carr K, Wu H, Teeter JH, Chung-Davidson Y-W, Zhang Z, Wilkerson C, Li W

639  (2009) The sea lamprey *Petromyzon marinus* genome reveals the early origin of

640  several chemosensory receptor families in the vertebrate lineage. *BMC Evol Biol*

641  **9**:180. doi:10.1186/1471-2148-9-180.

642 Leinders-Zufall T, Brennan P, Widmayer P, S PC, Maul-Pavicic A, Jäger M, Li XH, Breer H,

643  Zufall F, Boehm T (2004) MHC class I peptides as chemosensory signals in the

644  vomeronasal organ. *Science* **306**(5698):1033–1037. doi: 10.1126/science.1102818.

645 Malnic B, Hirono J, Sato T, Buck LB. Combinatorial receptor codes for odors. *Cell*

646  **96**(5):713–723. doi:10.1016/s0092-8674(00)80581-4.

647 Marinelli W, Strenger A. (1956). *Vergleichende Anatomie und Morphologie der Wirbeltiere,*

648  *Band 2. Myxine glutinosa.* Franz Deuticke.

649 Marlétaz F, Timoshevskaya N, Timoshevskiy VA, Parey E, Simakov O, Gavriouchkina D,

650  Suzuki M, Kubokawa K, Brenner S, Smith JJ, Rokhsar DS. The hagfish genome and

651  the evolution of vertebrates. *Nature* **627**(8005):811–820. doi:10.1038/s41586-024-

652  07070-3.

Mombaerts P (2004) Odorant receptor gene choice in olfactory sensory neurons: the one

receptor-one neuron hypothesis revisited. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* **14**(1):31–36.

doi:10.1016/j.conb.2004.01.014.

Muramatsu B, Suzuki DG, Suzuki M, Higashiyama H (2024) Gross anatomy of the Pacific

hagfish, *Eptatretus burgeri*, with special reference to the coelomic viscera. *Anat Rec*

307(1):155–171. doi:10.1002/ar.25208.

Niimura Y (2009) On the origin and evolution of vertebrate olfactory receptor genes:

comparative genome analysis among 23 chordate species. *Genome Biol Evol* **1**:34–44.

doi:10.1093/gbe/evp003.

Niimura Y, Nei M (2005) Evolutionary changes of the number of olfactory receptor genes in

the human and mouse lineages. *Gene* **346**:23–28. doi:10.1016/j.gene.2004.09.027.

Nikaido M (2019) Evolution of V1R pheromone receptor genes in vertebrates: diversity and

commonality. *Genes Genet Syst* **94**(4):141–149. doi:10.1266/ggs.19-00009.

Patro R, Duggal G, Love M, Irizarry RA, Kingsford C (2017) Salmon provides fast and bias-

aware quantification of transcript expression. *Nat Methods* **14**:417–419.

doi:10.1038/nmeth.4197.

Poncelet G, Shimeld SM (2020) The evolutionary origins of the vertebrate olfactory system.

J Comp Neurol **528**(13):2239–2253. doi:10.1002/cne.24887.

Rowe TB, Macrini TE, Luo Z-X (2011) Fossil evidence on origin of the mammalian brain.

Science **332**:955–957. doi:10.1126/science.1203117.

Saraiva LR, Korsching SI (2007) A novel olfactory receptor gene family in teleost fish.

Genome Res **17**(10):1448–1457. doi:10.1101/gr.6553207.

Sato Y, Miyasaka N, Yoshihara Y (2005) Mutually exclusive glomerular innervation by two

distinct types of olfactory sensory neurons revealed in transgenic zebrafish. *J*

Neurosci **25**(20):4889–4897. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0679-05.2005.

Shen W, Sipos B, Zhao L (2024) SeqKit2: A Swiss army knife for sequence and alignment

processing. *Imeta* **3**(3):e191. doi: 10.1002/imt2.191.

Sharma K, Syed AS, Ferrando S, Mazan S, Korsching SI (2019) The chemosensory receptor

repertoire of a true shark is dominated by a single olfactory receptor family. *Genome*

Biol Evol **11**(2):398–405. doi:10.1093/gbe/evz002.

Speca DJ, Lin DM, Sorensen PW, Isacoff EY, Ngai J, Dittman AH (1999) Functional

identification of a goldfish odorant receptor. *Neuron* **23**(3):487–498. doi:

10.1016/s0896-6273(00)80802-8.

Suzuki DG (2021) Consciousness in jawless fishes. *Frontiers Syst Neurosci* **15**:751876.

doi:10.3389/fnsys.2021.751876.

Timoshevskaya N, Eşkut KI, Timoshevskiy VA, Robb SMC, Holt C, Hess JE, Parker HJ,

Baker CF, Miller AK, Saraceno C, Yandell M, Krumlauf R, Narum SR, Lampman

RT, Gemmell NJ, Mountcastle J, Haase B, Balacco JR, Formenti G, Pelan S, Sims Y,

Howe K, Fedrigo O, Jarvis ED, Smith JJ (2023) An improved germline genome

assembly for the sea lamprey Petromyzon marinus illuminates the evolution of

germline-specific chromosomes. *Cell Rep* **42**(3):112263.

doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2023.112263.

Wang H, Chen L, Dong C, Chen B, Li B, Li X, Xu P (2021) Genome-wide identification and

characterization of olfactory receptor genes in common carp (Cyprinus carpio). *Gene*

777:145468. doi:10.1016/j.gene.2021.145468.

Yamaguchi Y, Takagi W, Kaiya H, Konno N, Yoshida MA, Kuraku S, Hyodo S (2023)

Phylogenetic and functional properties of hagfish neurohypophysial hormone

receptors distinct from their jawed vertebrate counterparts. *Gen Comp Endocrinol*

336:114257. doi:10.1016/j.ygcen.2023.114257.

Young JM, Massa HF, Hsu L, Trask BJ (2009) Extreme variability among mammalian V1R

gene families. *Genome Res* **20**(1):10–18. doi:10.1101/gr.098913.109.

Yu D, Ren Y, Uesaka M, Beavan AJS, Muffato M, Shen J, Li Y, Sato I, Wan W, Clark JW,

Keating JN, Carlisle EM, Dearden RP, Giles S, Randle E, Sansom RS, Feuda R,

Fleming JF, Sugahara F, Cummins C, Patricio M, Akanni W, D'Aniello S, Bertolucci

C, Irie N, Alev C, Sheng G, de Mendoza A, Maeso I, Irimia M, Fromm B, Peterson

KJ, Das S, Hirano M, Rast JP, Cooper MD, Paps J, Pisani D, Kuratani S, Martin FJ,

Wang W, Donoghue PCJ, Zhang YE, Pascual-Anaya J. (2024) Hagfish genome

elucidates vertebrate whole-genome duplication events and their evolutionary

consequences. *Nat Ecol Evol* **8**(3):519–535. doi:10.1038/s41559-023-02299-z.

Zapilko V, Korsching SI (2016) Tetrapod V1R-like ora genes in an early-diverging ray-

finned fish species: the canonical six ora gene repertoire of teleost fish resulted from

gene loss in a larger ancestral repertoire. *BMC Genomics* **17**:83. doi:10.1186/s12864-

016-2399-6.

Zhang Z, Sakuma A, Kuraku S, Nikaido M (2022) Remarkable diversity of vomeronasal type

2 receptor (OlfC) genes of basal ray-finned fish and its evolutionary trajectory in

jawed vertebrates. *Sci Rep* **12**:6455 (2022). doi:10.1038/s41598-022-10428-0.

Figure Legend

Figure 1. Phylogenetic analysis of mOR, V1R, and V2R genes. (A) Phylogenetic tree of

mORs. White triangles indicate clades of type 1 mOR subgroups of gnathostomes, type 2

mOR subgroups of gnathostomes, amphioxus mORs, and outgroup genes. (B) Phylogenetic

tree of V1R. White triangles indicate subgroups of gnathostome V1R genes and outgroup

genes. (C) Phylogenetic tree of V2R. White triangles indicate subgroups of gnathostome V2R

genes, gnathostome CaSR genes, gnathostome TAS1R/GPRC6 genes, Ambulacraria CaSR

genes, GRMs, and outgroup genes. For all trees, red triangles and edges denote clades of

hagfish genes, while blue triangles and edges mark clades of lamprey genes. The range of

bootstrap support values is shown as the circles at each node (black > 75, 75 ≥ gray ≥ 50,

white < 50). The numbers described on some nodes indicate exact bootstrap values. Scale bar

represents the number of amino acid substitutions per site. For the list of genes used, see

Supplementary File 3. For more detailed trees, see Supplementary File 4–6.

Figure 2. Genomic distribution of the hagfish OR genes. (A, B) Genomic mapping of the OR

genes to the scaffolds larger than 0.1 Mbp (A) and to a single scaffold, FYBX03000002.1

(B). Each clade of mOR and V2R genes (for identification of clades, see text) is differently

colored. Scale interval: 10 Mbp for (A). (C, D) Scatter plots between phylogenetic and

genomic distances, which are calculated between each pairwise combination of the identified

genes: mORs (C) and V2Rs (D).

Figure 3. Gene expression profile of mOR candidates across various organs. Expression

levels are shown as TPM values, log-transformed. Genes on the y-axis are ordered according

to the phylogenetic tree shown in Fig. 1. The mOR gene used for *in situ* hybridization

analysis (hagfish mOR5, abbreviated as Ebu-mOR5) is boldfaced.

Figure 4. Gene expression profile of organ-specific differential expression analysis for V1R

and V2R candidates across various organs. Expression levels are shown as TPM values, log-

transformed. Genes on the y-axis are ordered according to the phylogenetic tree shown in Fig.

1. The genes used for *in situ* hybridization analysis (hagfish V1R1 and V2R33, abbreviated as

Ebu-V1R1 and Ebu-V2R33, respectively) are boldfaced.

Figure 5. Histological analysis of the hagfish olfactory organ and gene expression patterns of

olfactory receptor candidates. (A) Overview of the hagfish olfactory organ and brain, dorsal

view. The skin and dorsal roof of the braincase are removed. The section plane for (B) is

indicated by the dashed line. (B) Cross-section of the olfactory organ, hematoxylin-eosin

(HE) staining. (C) Magnified image of (B), showing the layered structure of the olfactory

lamina. (D–E) Gene expression patterns of hagfish *mOR5* (D), *V1R1* (E), and *V2R33* (F).

Abbreviations: BC, basal cells; Die, diencephalon; Mes, mesencephalon; ORC, olfactory

receptor cell; Rho, rhombencephalon; Tel, telencephalon. The scale bar for (C–F) is shown in

(C).

Figure 6. Diversification of ORs in vertebrate evolution. Silhouettes from Phylopic (public

domain).

Supplementary Figure 1. Classification for mOR genes into Clade 1–3.

Supplementary Figure 2. Classification for V2R genes into Clade 1–9.

Supplementary Figure 3. Negative controls for *in situ* hybridization using sense probes of

mOR5 (A), *V1R1* (B), and *V2R33* (C). The scale bar for (A–C) is shown in (A).

Table

Table 1. Comparison of OR repertoires in vertebrates.

	mOR	V1R	V2R(-like)	TAAR
Inshore hagfish (*Eptatretus burgeri*)	48[a]	2[a]	135[a] (1[a])	0[a]
Sea lamprey (*Petromyzon marinus*)	62[a]/27[b]	8[a]/4[b]/6[c]	0[b] (1[a,c])	28[b]/23[d]
Elephant shark (*Callorhinchus milii*)	8[e]	6[e]	30[f]	5[d]
Zebrafish (*Danio rerio*)	154[g]	7[h]	72[f]	18[d]
Western clawed frog (*Xenopus tropicalis*)	824[g]	15[h]	691[f]	5[i]
Mouse (*Mus musculus*)	1035[g]	239[j]	154[f]	9[i]

774 *Source:* (a) this study; (b) Libants et al. (2009); (c) Kowatschew & Korsching (2022); (d)

775 Dieris et al. (2021); (e) Sharma et al. (2019); (f) Zhang et al. (2022); (g) Niimura (2009); (h)

776 Saraiva & Korsching (2007); (i) Hussain et al. (2009); (j) Young et al. (2010).

778 **Supplementary Table 1**. Primer sequences for RNA probes.

Gene	Primers Forward	Reverse + T3 (in lowercase)	Ensembl ID
mOR5	CGATGTATTCTTCCTCAAAA CCATGTTCCA	attaaccctcactaaagggaATGGGGTTGCTGA TAGGAGG	ENSEBUT00000003975
V1R1	GATCATGCACCTGGCGTTGG TAAATCTGGT	attaaccctcactaaagggaCCGAGAGGCTTCA CATCTCC	ENSEBUT00000028488
V2R33	GCATGGAAGTATCGAACAA TCACAAAGCAT	attaaccctcactaaagggaAAATGAAGCACAG ACCTTGC	ENSEBUT00000023919

Supplementary Files

Supplementary File 1. Details of identified hagfish genes.

Supplementary File 2. Details of identified sea lamprey genes.

Supplementary File 3. Gene list used for phylogenetic analysis.

Supplementary File 4. Detailed phylogenetic tree of mOR genes.

Supplementary File 5. Detailed phylogenetic tree of V1R genes.

Supplementary File 6. Detailed phylogenetic tree of V2R genes.

Supplementary File 7. Phylogenetic tree of known TAAR genes with their close GPCR

families, including the 5-hydroxytryptamine receptor 4 (5-HT4 or HTR4), adrenoceptor beta

1, and dopamine receptor genes.

A — Eye, Nasal duct, Olfactory organ, B, Olfactory bulb, Tel, Die, Mes, Rho

B — Lamellae, C, HE, 1 mm

C — central core, BC, ORC, cilia/microvilli, 50 µm

D — *mOR5*

E — *V1R1*

F — *V2R33*