Development of an inhibitory TTC7B selective nanobody that blocks EFR3 recruitment of PI4KA

Sushant Suresh[1], Alexandria L Shaw[1,2], Damilola K Akintola[1], Martine Lunke[1], Calvin K Yip[2], Jennifer A Cobb[1], John E Burke[1,2,3]

[1] Department of Biochemistry and Microbiology, University of Victoria, Victoria, BC, V8W 2Y2, Canada

[2] Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, V6T 1Z3, Canada

[3] University of Victoria Genome BC Proteomics Centre, Victoria, BC, Canada

John E Burke jeburke@uvic.ca: Lead contact: John E Burke jeburke@uvic.ca

Abstract

Phosphatidylinositol 4 kinase IIIα (PI4KIIIα/PI4KA) is an essential lipid kinase that plays a critical role in regulating plasma membrane identity. PI4KA is primarily recruited to the plasma membrane through the targeted recruitment by the proteins, EFR3A and EFR3B, which bind to the PI4KA accessory proteins TTC7 (TTC7A/B) and FAM126 (FAM126A/B). Here we characterised how both EFR3 isoforms interact with all possible TTC7-FAM126 combinations and developed a nanobody that specifically blocked EFR3-mediated PI4KA recruitment in TTC7B containing complexes. Most EFR3-TTC7-FAM126 combinations show similar binding affinities, with the exception of EFR3A-TTC7B-FAM126A, which binds with a ~10-fold higher affinity. Moreover, we showed that EFR3B phosphorylation markedly decreased binding to TTC7-FAM126. Using a yeast display approach, we isolated a TTC7B selective nanobody that blocked EFR3 binding. Cryo-electron microscopy and hydrogen deuterium exchange mass spectrometry revealed that this nanobody sterically blocked EFR3 recruitment and showed an extended interface with both PI4KA and TTC7B. Collectively, these findings provide new insights into PI4KA regulation and provide a tool for manipulating PI4KA complexes, that may be valuable for therapeutic targeting.

Running title:

Allosteric targeting of the EFR3 binding site of the PI4KA complex

Keywords:

PI4KA, TTC7A, TTC7B, FAM126A, HDX-MS, Cryo-EM, PI4P, nanobody

Introduction

Phosphoinositides are critical mediators of many biological processes at the plasma membrane (PM), with the lipid phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate (PI4P) being an essential PM lipid, and it is generated by the lipid kinase type III phosphatidylinositol 4 kinase (referred to by its gene name PI4KA) (1–3). PI4P is essential for defining the lipid identity of the PM (4), and it is the precursor for the downstream signaling lipids, PIP$_2$ and PIP$_3$, which play crucial roles in multiple signaling processes (5). The generation of PI4P at the PM is essential for enrichment of phosphatidylserine (PS) as PI4P provides the energy necessary for transport of PS from the ER against its concentration gradient (6). The predominant form of PI4KA exists as a complex with its accessory proteins, TTC7 (two possible isoforms TTC7A and TTC7B) and FAM126 (two possible isoforms FAM126A and FAM126B). The PI4KA complex is a ~750 kDa dimer of heterotrimers, referred to here as the PI4KA complex (7, 8). Both TTC7 and FAM126 contribute towards complex stability, with FAM126 not directly interacting with PI4KA, but instead acting as a stabilizer of TTC7 (9). The PI4KA complex has to be recruited to the PM for its activity (10), primarily through interactions with palmitoylated EFR3 proteins (two isoforms EFR3A and EFR3B). This recruitment of the PI4KA complex to the plasma membrane is mediated by the C-terminus of EFR3 interacting with both TTC7 and FAM126 (11).

Misregulation of PI4KA and its regulatory partners have been heavily implicated in multiple human diseases (12, 13), with diseases driven by both loss-of-function and gain-of-function alterations. These alterations are often isoform and tissue specific. Loss-of-function mutations in TTC7A are found in patients with immunodeficiencies, intestinal

artesias and early onset inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) (14–17), and result in a
marked reduction in PI4KA activity. However, there are no known mutations in TTC7B
linked to disease. Similarly, disease linked mutations are only found in FAM126A and not
in FAM126B. Loss-of-function mutations in FAM126A cause hypomyelination and
congenital cataract (HCC), a disorder characterized by neurological impairment, cognitive
defects and peripheral neuropathy (18–20). Conversely, overactivation of PI4KA
signaling is also involved in disease. In Hepatitis C viral infection, the viral protein NS5A
activates PI4KA, leading to the formation of viral replication organelles enriched in PI4P
(21, 22). Increased PI4KA activity also plays a role in cancer, with overexpression of
PI4KA and EFR3A implicated in KRAS driven pancreatic cancers (23, 24). Interestingly,
EFR3A interacts with oncogenic KRAS promoting tumorigenic activity (23), with this
proposed to be mediated by increased PS levels at the PM (24). The role of PI4KA and
EFR3A in driving KRAS driven cancers has renewed enthusiasm in generating
pharmacological agents that turn off PI4KA signaling in combination with KRAS mutant
selective inhibitors.

PI4KA inhibitors were originally developed as anti-Hepatitis C viral inhibitors,
leading to development of multiple wall validated potent and selective PI4KA inhibitors
(25, 26). However, enthusiasm for these molecules as therapeutics was greatly
diminished as knockdown of PI4KA either genetically or pharmacologically caused rapid
death in mice driven by severe gastrointestinal side effects and dramatically decreased
PM PIP$_2$ levels (26). While there may be possibilities to target PI4KA signaling in EFR3A
driven tumors, the severe side effects of ATP competitive PI4KA inhibitors suggests there
could be advantages of novel allosteric PI4KA modulators. The development of molecules
that block EFR recruitment of PI4KA offers a potential avenue to design novel
therapeutics, and provides opportunities to further understand how PI4KA is regulated.
One of the most well validated tools to manipulate signaling proteins are nanobodies,
which bind to specific effector interfaces, leading to modulators of intracellular signaling
pathways. Nanobodies are composed of the variable domains (VHH) of heavy chain only
antibodies (HCAbs) that lack the light chain of conventional antibodies (27). The single
domain architecture results in a compact size (~15 kDa) that can be readily expressed in

bacteria with high yield, making them an attractive tool for therapeutic and diagnostic
applications (28).

Understanding regulation of the PI4KA complex requires insight into how different
isoforms of its accessory proteins influence plasma membrane recruitment, along with
the development of tools to selectively target these isoforms. Here, we have
systematically characterized all possible combinations of PI4KA accessory protein
isoforms and determined the EFR3A-TTC7B-FAM126A complex as the highest affinity
complex. Using a yeast surface display approach, we purified a TTC7B selective
nanobody that blocked EFR3 binding. Using a synergy of cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-
EM) and hydrogen-deuterium exchange mass spectrometry (HDX-MS), we identified the
binding epitopes of this nanobody on PI4KA, TTC7B and FAM126A and the
conformational dynamics upon nanobody binding. Overall, this study provides proof-of-
concept that PI4KA activity can be modulated with molecules outside the ATP binding
site and introduces a useful isoform-specific nanobody tool to dissect the functional roles
of PI4KA accessory proteins in controlling PI4KA activity.

Results

EFR3A binds with highest affinity towards TTC7B-FAM126A

The structure of EFR3A bound to the complex of PI4KA with TTC7B and FAM126A
suggested that there may be differential affinities of unique EFR3 isoforms to different
TTC7 and FAM126 isoforms. This was based on the C-terminal interacting region of
EFR3 binding to both TTC7 and FAM126 (11), with the interacting regions in EFR3, TTC7
and FAM126 being highly conserved, but not strictly conserved between isoforms. The
different isoforms of EFR3, TTC7, and FAM126 exhibit tissue-specific expression
patterns, suggesting distinct physiological roles. Although there are eight possible unique
EFR3-TTC7-FAM126 complexes, it remains unclear whether they differ in association.
To investigate potential differences in affinity between isoform complexes, we purified
different combinations of TTC7 and FAM126, as well as MBP tagged C-terminal
fragments of EFR3A (721-791) and EFR3B (716-787) (**Fig 1A**). The dimers of TTC7B

with FAM126A (1-308) or FAM126B (1-308) were purified from *E. coli,* with the dimers of

TTC7A with FAM126A/B purified from *Sf9* cells. All four dimers eluted from gel filtration

at sizes consistent with a heterodimer.

We performed bio-layer interferometry (BLI) experiments to measure binding

kinetics with His-tagged MBP-EFR3 immobilized on the biosensor tip and TTC7-FAM126

as the analyte. For all measurements we used MBP alone as a non-specific binding

control. The full binding parameters (K_d, K_{on} and K_{off}) are shown in Table S1. Most of the

isoform combinations generated BLI traces that fit well to a 1:1 binding model (**Fig 1B-**

D), with the only exception being EFR3B binding to TTC7A with either FAM126 isoform

(Fig S1). All heterodimers of TTC7 and FAM126 had dissociation constants indicative of

relatively similar affinities (~0.3 nM), with a single exception being EFR3A, which showed

almost a 10-fold reduction in binding to TTC7B-FAM126A (~0.04 nM) **(Fig. 1B)**. This

increased affinity of EFR3A for TTC7B-FAM126A was primarily driven by a decrease in

the k_{off} rate for EFR3A-TTC7B-FAM126A.

EFR3 isoforms primarily recruit TTC7 and FAM126 in the biologically relevant

complex also containing PI4KA, with each complex containing two possible EFR3 binding

sites due to PI4KA dimerization. We purified heterotrimers of PI4KA with either TTC7A-

FAM126A or TTC7B-FAM126B and tested their binding to the C-terminal fragment of

EFR3A. The binding affinity of EFR3A to the PI4KA-TTC7B-FAM126A (0.04 nM) and

PI4KA-TTC7A-FAM126A (340 nM) trimers was similar to that of the dimers, further

supporting that TTC7B binds to EFR3A more strongly than TTC7A. **(Fig. 1E)**. Overall,

these results show that *in vitro,* the highest affinity interaction between possible EFR3,

TTC7, and FAM126 isoforms occurs with the combination of EFR3A and TTC7B-

FAM126A.

Figure 1. Isoform differences in EFR3

(A) Cartoon representations of the different isoforms of EFR3, TTC7 and FAM126 used in this paper. (L-R) MBP-EFR3A (721-791), MBP-EFR3B (716-787), PI4KA-TTC7B-FAM126A (1-308), PI4KA-TTC7A-FAM126A (1-308), TTC7B-FAM126A (1-308), TTC7B-FAM126B (1-308), TTC7A-FAM126A (1-308), and TTC7B-FAM126B (1-308).

(B) (L) Cartoon of BLI experiment with EFR3A on the tip and TTC7B-FAM126A/B in solution. Raw BLI traces of EFR3A binding to TTC7B-FAM126A/B. The K_D was calculated using a 1:1 binding model.

(C) (L) Cartoon of BLI experiment with EFR3B on the tip and TTC7B-FAM126A/B in solution. Raw BLI traces of EFR3B binding to TTC7B-FAM126A/B. The K_D was calculated using a 1:1 binding model.

(D) (L) Cartoon of BLI experiment with EFR3A on the tip and TTC7A-FAM126A/B in solution. Raw

BLI traces of EFR3A binding to TTC7A-FAM126A/B. The K_D was calculated using a 1:1 binding

model.

(E) (L) Cartoon of BLI experiment with EFR3A on the tip and PI4KA complex in solution. (R) Raw

BLI traces of EFR3A binding with PI4KA-TTC7A/B-FAM126A (2.5 nM, 5 nM). The K_D was

calculated using a 1:1 binding model.

EFR3 recruitment to TTC7-FAM126 can be altered by phosphorylation

162   Alterations in the affinity of EFR3 isoforms binding TTC7-FAM126 led us to

interrogate possible differences between them. We first analyzed the EFR3 C-terminus

for potential changes in post-translational modifications that may regulate PI4KA

recruitment. In yeast, phosphorylation of Efr3 weakens its interaction with Ypp1 (homolog

of TTC7) and inhibits the recruitment of Stt4 (homolog of PI4KA) to the membrane (29).

There are several reported EFR3A and EFR3B phosphorylation sites that are located at

the interface with TTC7 and FAM126, including Y723 in EFR3B and S738 in EFR3A (30).

Y723 in EFR3B lies at the predicted interface with TTC7, where its phosphorylation may

disrupt binding due to the proximity of the hydroxyl group to a conserved glutamic acid

residue in both TTC7A and TTC7B **(Fig. 2A)**. We used kinase motif analysis to identify

possible kinases that may phosphorylate Y723, with it being identified as a likely substrate

of multiple Src family kinases (top five scoring kinases: BLK, HCK, FRK, LYN, and LCK)

(31). We treated EFR3B with an active construct of the tyrosine kinase LCK, which

resulted in predominantly singly phosphorylated species at pY723 (~92%) as determined

by MS analysis **(Fig. 2B-C)**. We next performed BLI to measure the binding of phospho-

EFR3B to TTC7B-FAM126A and observed a ~90% decrease in binding upon Y723

phosphorylation **(Fig. 2D)**. These results demonstrate that phosphorylation of specific

EFR3 isoforms may provide another modality to regulate isoform-specific interactions and

PI4KA recruitment and activation at the PM.

Figure 2. EFR3B phosphorylation decreases TTC7-FAM126 binding

(A) Y723 on EFR3B can be phosphorylated by the Src family kinases. Here, we used LCK, a Src family kinase to phosphorylate Y723 (red) on EFR3B.

(B) (L) Cartoon schematic of EFR3B-TTC7B-FAM126A with Y723 highlighted with a red star. (R) Alphafold3 cartoon of EFR3B-TTC7B-FAM126A showing phosphorylated Y723 on EFR3B and E721 on TTC7B.

(C) Top: Peptide spectra of the unphosphorylated (L) and phosphorylated (R) EFR3B peptide with Y723 highlighted red in the sequence. Bottom: Extracted Ion Chromatograms (EIC) of the EFR3B peptide for unphosphorylated (Black) and phosphorylated (Red).

(D) Raw BLI traces of EFR3B WT (blue) or pY723 (red) binding to TTC7B-FAM126A (L). Maximum BLI response of EFR3B WT and pY723 (R). Error is shown as SD (n = 3) with p value indicated.

Screening of putative inhibitors of PI4KA

Extensive biochemical screening of small molecule libraries resulted in the development of multiple potent, and highly selective, PI4KA inhibitors, although early testing in mouse models revealed severe toxicity (25, 26). More recently, however, it has been proposed that there may be a therapeutic window when these compounds are used in combination with RAS-targeting inhibitors (23, 24). This prompted us to determine whether the two TTC7 isoforms differentially affect complex inhibition in PI4KA-TTC7A/B-FAM126A assemblies. We also tested the FDA approved molecule simeprevir, which has

been putatively described as a PI4KA inhibitor (24, 32), although, importantly the published *in vitro* inhibition data did not seem to show profiles consistent with ATP competitive inhibition (32).

PI4KA kinase assays were carried out with the three chemical modulators, GSK-A1, GSK-F1, and simeprevir for both PI4KA-TTC7A-FAM126A and PI4KA-TTC7B-FAM126A in the presence of lipid vesicles composed of 100% PI vesicles. Both complexes were inhibited by the GSK-A1 and GSK-F1 compounds, however, we detected no inhibition by simeprevir at concentrations up to 10 μM (**Fig 3**). These results indicate that the two TTC7 isoforms do not differentially affect PI4KA complex inhibition by ATP competitive inhibitors and suggest that previous reports proposing simeprevir as a PI4KA inhibitor should be interpreted with caution.

Figure 3. Simeprevir does not inhibit PI4KA activity

(A) IC$_{50}$ curves of (L) PI4KA/TTC7A/FAM126A and (R) PI4KA/TTC7B/FAM126A when treated with increasing concentrations of GSK-A1 (red) and GSK-F1 (blue), compared to PI4KA activity when treated with increasing concentrations of simeprevir (black). Assays were carried out with 100% PI vesicles at a final concentration of 0.25 mg/ml in the presence of 100 μM ATP. PI4KA concentration was 5 nM. Error is shown as standard deviation (n=3).

Generation of a nanobody that blocks EFR3 recruitment of TTC7B-FAM126A

As the TTC7B-FAM126A isoform showed the highest affinity for EFR3 recruitment,

and PI4KA inhibitors showed no differences in inhibition between PI4KA complexes, we

sought to develop a tool for selectively targeting unique PI4KA complexes. To address

this, we aimed to isolate a nanobody that specifically blocked EFR3 binding to the TTC7B-

FAM126A complex. For this purpose, we screened a yeast surface display synthetic

library of >5 x 10^8 nanobodies for binders to the EFR3 binding site of TTC7B-FAM126A

(33). We used the TTC7B - FAM126A (1-308) heterodimer as our antigen **(Fig. 4A)** and

performed multiple rounds of magnetic-activated cell sorting (MACS) and fluorescence-

activated cell sorting (FACS) to obtain a library of nanobodies that bound TTC7B-

FAM126A **(Fig. 4B)**. To specifically obtain nanobodies that bind at the EFR3 binding site

on TTC7B-FAM126A, we purified TTC7B in complex with a chimeric fusion construct

comprising FAM126A (1-308) fused to the C-terminus of EFR3A (681-791). The gel

filtration elution profile indicated the formation of a heterodimer **(Fig. 4A+C)**. We used this

construct to counter-select against our original nanobody library **(Fig. 4B)**, resulting in the

isolation of a nanobody that bound TTC7B-FAM126A but not the TTC7B-EFR3A-

FAM126A chimera. We named this nanobody, F3IN (E**FR3-I**nterfering **N**anobody). BLI

confirmed that F3IN binds TTC7B-FAM126A with high affinity, while showing significantly

reduced binding to the TTC7B-EFR3A-FAM126A chimera **(Fig. 4D)**.

The F3IN nanobody bound to the TTC7B-FAM126A dimer with a K_D of 3.0 ± 0.8

nM **(Fig. 4E)**, and showed similar binding to dimers of TTC7B-FAM126B **(Fig. 4F)**. In

contrast, F3IN showed no detectable binding to TTC7A-FAM126A dimers, indicating

isoform selectivity towards TTC7B **(Fig. 4G).** The most physiologically relevant binding

interaction of F3IN would be with the PI4KA complex, and we performed BLI experiments

with PI4KA trimer in solution. Surprisingly, we found that the F3IN nanobody bound with

a ~100-fold increased affinity to the PI4KA-TTC7B-FAM126A complex compared to the

TTC7B-FAM126A dimer (K_D of 0.030 ± 0.002 nM to the trimer) **(Fig. 4H)**. In alignment

with dimer results, we observed a ~30-fold decrease in affinity of F3IN for PI4KA-TTC7A-

FAM126A (0.030 nM for TTC7B versus 1.3 nM for TTC7A complex). Overall, this showed

that the F3IN nanobody is specific for TTC7B over TTC7A and binds with higher affinity

to the PI4KA heterotrimer over the TTC7-FAM126 dimer.

Figure 4. TTC7B selective nanobody generation using yeast surface display

(A) Domain schematics of PI4KA-TTC7B-FAM126A (1-308) (referred to as PI4KA complex),

EFR3A (721-791) and Chimeric EFR3A-FAM126A constructs used in this paper.

(B) Schematic of the nanobody selection process using yeast surface display. Antigen is shown

with a fluorescent tag (red star). Nanobodies with affinity to antigen are selected using MACS and

FACS. Counter selection was performed against chimeric TTC7B-EF3A-FAM126A using MACS

to obtain TTC7B-FAM126A specific nanobody (named F3IN).

(C) Size exclusion chromatography traces and corresponding SDS-PAGE gels of F3IN, TTC7B-

FAM126A and chimeric TTC7B-EFR3A-FAM126A.

(D) Cartoon of BLI experiment with F3IN on the tip and TTC7B-FAM126A or TTC7B-chimera

EFR3A-FAM126A in solution. BLI traces of F3IN against TTC7B-FAM126A and chimeric TTC7B-

EFR3A-FAM126A.

(E) Cartoon of BLI experiment with F3IN on the tip and TTC7B-FAM126A in solution. Raw BLI

traces of F3IN (100 nM) binding to TTC7B-FAM126A (5 nM, 10 nM, 15 nM). The K_D was

calculated using a 1:1 binding model.

(F) Raw BLI traces for the binding of F3IN (100 nM) to TTC7B-FAM126A (50 nM) and TTC7B-

FAM126B (15 nM). The K_D was calculated using a 1:1 binding model.

(G) Raw BLI traces for the binding of F3IN (100 nM) to TTC7B-FAM126A (15 nM) and TTC7A-

FAM126A (15 nM). The K_D was calculated using a 1:1 binding model.

(H) Cartoon (L) of the BLI experiment. Raw BLI traces of F3IN (100 nM) binding to PI4KA-TTC7B-

FAM126A (2.5 nM, 5 nM, 10 nM). The K_D was calculated using a 1:1 binding model.

(I) Raw BLI traces for the binding of F3IN (100 nM) to PI4KA-TTC7B-FAM126A (5 nM) and PI4KA-

TTC7A-FAM126A (5 nM). The K_D was calculated using a 1:1 binding model.

Cryo-EM analysis of F3IN bound to PI4KA-TTC7B-FAM126A

To identify the binding interface of F3IN with the PI4KA complex, we generated a

3D reconstruction of the PI4KA-TTC7B-FAM126A-F3IN nanobody complex, using cryo-

EM, at a nominal resolution of 3.54 Å (from 282,982 particles **(Fig 5A-B, S2A-F, Table**

S2). Samples for cryo-EM analysis were prepared by brief treatment with a crosslinker to

stabilize the PI4KA complex, and similar to our previous cryo-EM analysis of PI4KA

regulatory complexes (11, 34). Using a combination of manual model building and

AlphaFold3 (35), we were able to generate a molecular model of F3IN binding to the

PI4KA-TTC7B-FAM126A trimer with the density allowing for manual building of the CDR

loops mediating interaction with the trimer **(Fig S2G)**. Local masked refinement centered

on the F3IN-TTC7B interface enabled unambiguous determination of the residues

mediating the interaction between F3IN and the PI4KA complex. The structure revealed

that F3IN included an additional extended beta strand within the CDR3 loop, which orients

it into the cavity normally occupied by the α3 helix of EFR3A **(Fig 5C-D)**. This orientation

suggests that F3IN sterically blocks EFR3A binding by occluding its binding with the
CDR3 loop.

The TTC7B-F3IN interface is composed of 415 Å^2 buried surface area. The
interface is mainly mediated by three TTC7B α helices, spanning residues 535-545, 546-
563, and 564-578. These helices interact directly with the extended β-strand in CDR3 of
F3IN. Although TTC7A and TTC7B have a sequence similarity of 49.7% with a highly
conserved C-terminus. Our structure revealed possible insight for why F3IN was
selective to TTC7B containing heterodimers. The most prominent difference is a glycine
residue in TTC7B (G546) that is not conserved in TTC7A, where it is replaced by a lysine
(K563). In the cryo-EM structure, G546 lies in close spatial proximity to R105 in the CDR3
of F3IN. This positioning suggests that the corresponding lysine in the TTC7A isoform
would result in a charge-charge repulsion with R105, potentially preventing nanobody
binding. Intriguingly, F3IN also interacts with the N-terminus of PI4KA resulting in 280 Å^2
of buried surface area. This interface is mediated by the N-terminus of F3IN and the N-
terminal horn of PI4KA, comprising a β-strand (residues 67-73) and a loop (residues 58-
66). This additional interface likely explains the increased affinity of F3IN with the PI4KA
complex, and why there was weak affinity for the PI4KA-TTC7A-FAM126A complex, but
not the TTC7A-FAM126A dimer.

Figure 5. Cryo-EM analysis of F3IN binding to the PI4KA complex

(A) Cryo-EM density map of the PI4KA complex bound to F3IN.

(B) Molecular model of F3IN bound at the interface of TTC7B and the PI4KA horn domain.

(C) Zoomed in cartoon of the C terminus of EFR3A bound to the PI4KA complex. PDB: 9BAX)

(D) Zoomed in cartoon of F3IN bound at the interface of TTC7B and PI4KA.

(E) Overlay of the PI4KA-EFR3A (PDB: 9BAX) and PI4KA-F3IN models depicting binding of F3IN and EFR3A at the same TTC7B helix (represented as a black box with a red cross).

HDX-MS validation of epitopes on PI4KA and TTC7B

To further understand the dynamics of the interaction between PI4KA and F3IN, and we used HDX-MS on the PI4KA complex and PI4KA-F3IN complex. HDX-MS is a powerful technique to identify binding epitopes and investigate dynamic conformational changes. It measures the exchange of backbone amide hydrogens with deuterium (36, 37), with the rate of exchange being primarily dependent on secondary structure (38). HDX-MS experiments were performed comparing the PI4KA-TTC7B-FAM126A complex

alone and PI4KA-TTC7B-FAM126A complex bound to F3IN. We incubated the samples

with D_2O buffer at 4 different timepoints (3s, 30s, 300s, 3000s at 18°C) with significant

differences between the two conditions defined as changes >0.4 Da, 5% at any given

time point and an unpaired t-test value of p<0.01. Upon F3IN binding, we observed a

significant decrease in deuterium incorporation at the N-terminus of the PI4KA horn in the

region 51-83 **(Fig. 6A-C)**, showing a clear epitope on PI4KA and supporting our cryo-EM

model. Surprisingly, we saw no clear decrease in exchange on TTC7B and instead

observed an increase in HDX in 539-545 in TTC7B.

In the absence of PI4KA, the TTC7B-FAM126A dimer also showed F3IN binding

with high affinity, therefore we wanted to determine whether there were conformational

differences of the nanobody binding to the dimer. We used HDX-MS to map the epitope

and investigate the differences in conformational dynamics of TTC7B-FAM126A upon

F3IN binding. HDX-MS experiments were performed to compare TTC7B-FAM126A in the

presence and absence of F3IN at 5 different timepoints (3, 30, 300, 3000, 1000s at 18°C).

We observed a significant decrease in TTC7B in the peptide 539-544 consistent with the

interface observed in our cryo-EM analysis. We also measured a significant increase in

HDX in the subsequent peptide 545-554 **(Fig. 6D-F)**. Overall, this suggests that nanobody

binding induces a shift in dynamics in TTC7B, which may provide another mechanism for

selectivity compared to TTC7A.

Figure 6. HDX-MS analysis of the interaction of F3IN with PI4KA and TTC7B

(A) HDX differences (significance defined as >5%, 0.4 Da, and P< 0.01 in an unpaired two-tailed

t test at any time point) upon PI4KA complex binding to F3IN mapped on PI4KA-F3IN structure.

(B) Sum of the deuteron difference of the PI4KA complex upon binding to F3IN across the entire

time course. Each point represents a single peptide. Peptides that met the significance criteria

described in (A) are colored red. Error is shown as the sum of SDs across all time points (n=3).

(C) % Deuterium incorporation in PI4KA peptides showing significant changes in the presence

(red) and absence (black) of F3IN.

(D) % Deuterium incorporation in TTC7B peptides showing significant changes in the presence

(red) and absence (black) of F3IN.

(E) Sum of the deuteron difference of TTC7B-FAM126A upon binding to F3IN across the entire

time course. Peptides that met the significance criteria described in (A) are colored red. Error is

shown as the sum of SDs across all time points (n=3).

(F) HDX differences upon TTC7B-FAM126A binding to F3IN mapped on PI4KA-F3IN structure.

Nanobody F3IN competitively inhibits EFR3A binding

The cryo-EM structure and HDX-MS results indicated that F3IN forms a dual

interface with both TTC7B and PI4KA. To investigate whether F3IN is a competitive

inhibitor of EFR3 for the TTC7B binding site, we developed a competition BLI assay.

Here, F3IN was loaded onto the biosensor and dipped into solution containing either 50

nM of TTC7B-FAM126A or 50 nM of TTC7B-FAM126A with increasing concentrations of

EFR3A (15 nM to 240 nM). We found that EFR3A robustly inhibited the binding of F3IN

to TTC7B-FAM126A until saturation at 240 nM of EFR3A **(Fig. 7A)**. This indicates that

both EFR3A and F3IN compete for the same binding site on TTC7B validating the cryo-

EM and HDX-MS analysis.

However, due to the unexpected interaction of F3IN with the horn region of PI4KA,

it was important to perform a BLI competition assay with the full PI4KA complex to

determine the degree of inhibition with the physiologically relevant complex. In this assay,

we used the same above-mentioned conditions with 10 nM PI4KA rather than the TTC7B-

FAM126A dimer. As expected, we observed a decrease in F3IN-PI4KA binding with

increasing concentration of EFR3A until saturation at 240 nM of EFR3A **(Fig. 7B)**.

Altogether, these results indicate that the F3IN nanobody competes with EFR3A for

binding to TTC7B and further validates its usefulness as a tool to specifically inhibit

TTC7B containing complexes of PI4KA.

Figure 7. EFR3A competitively inhibits binding to F3IN for both the TTC7B-FAM126A dimer and the PI4KA-TTC7B-FAM126A trimer

(A) Cartoon (L) of BLI experiment with immobilized F3IN dipping into TTC7B-FAM126A solution with increasing concentrations of EFR3A. Raw association and dissociation curves (M) for the binding of F3IN (100 nM) to TTC7B-FAM126A (50 nM) at varying concentrations of EFR3A. (R) Dose response curve showing decrease in F3IN binding upon increase in EFR3A concentrations. (B) Cartoon (L) of BLI experiment with immobilized F3IN dipping into PI4KA complex solution with increasing concentrations of EFR3A. Raw association and dissociation curves (M) for the binding of F3IN (100 nM) to PI4KA complex (10 nM) at varying concentrations of EFR3A. (R) Dose response curve showing decrease in F3IN binding upon increase in EFR3A concentrations.

Discussion

Dysregulation of PI4KA and its regulatory partners is involved in multiple human diseases, arising from both gain- and loss-of-function alterations in PI4KA and its accessory proteins EFR3A, TTC7A, and FAM126A. The isoforms TTC7A/B, FAM126A/B, and EFR3A/B are widely expressed, however, there are distinct tissues that show enrichment for specific variants. Clinical mutations in several PI4KA accessory proteins have been identified. For example, patient-derived mutations in TTC7A result in severe intestinal developmental disorders and immunological deficiencies (17), and mutations in

FAM126A lead to hypomyelination and congenital cataract (9). However, no diseases are
yet known to be caused by mutations in TTC7B and FAM126B. Although the structure of
the C-terminus of EFR3A bound to the PI4KA-TTC7B-FAM126A complex (11) revealed
the possibility for distinct association between EFR3 with TTC7-FAM126 isoforms,
determining the differences in recruitment of different PI4KA complexes by EFR3 to the
PM remained important for defining potential isoform-specific roles. Here we find that
most EFR3 isoforms bind to most TTC7-FAM126 complexes with similar affinity (~0.3
nM), with the excpetion of EFR3A, which binds with a significantly higher affinity as its
interaction with TTC7B-FAM126A had a very slow k_{off} rate. This enhanced affinity for one
isoform complex may indicate a more stable PI4KA PM membrane recruitment and
activation, and could be important for PI4KA regulatory subunit specific signaling.

The C-terminus of EFR3 has 67% sequence identity between EFR3A and EFR3B.
There is differential expression of these isoforms, as EFR3A is highly expressed in testis,
fibroblasts, and adipocytes, and EFR3B is enriched in the brain and eye, and its
expression in fibroblasts and adipocytes is 30- to 100-fold lower than EFR3A (39, 40).
This differential expression suggests distinct tissue specific physiological roles for the two
isoforms. The N-terminus of the EFR3 proteins can be palmitoylated at cysteine residues,
with a palmitoylation code governing the spatial organisation at the PM (41, 42), further
diversifying their regulatory potential. Phosphorylation of Efr3 in yeast disrupts Stt4/Ypp1
(orthologs of PI4KA/TTC7) membrane recruitment, and therefore Stt4 activity (29).
Similarly, multiple phosphorylation sites map on mammalian EFR3A and EFR3B at the
TTC7-FAM126 interface. The location of these sites is divergent between the two
isoforms, suggesting other possible isoform-specific regulation of PI4KA complexes. We
determined *in vitro* phosphorylation of EFRB-Y723 by the Src family tyrosine kinase, LCK,
markedly decreased binding with TTC7B-FAM126A. However, determining whether this
is the physiological kinase requires further work, whilst also underscoring the need to
screen other Src family kinases as potential regulators of EFR3B, and, by extension,
regulators of PI4KA activity. Interestingly, Y723 in EFR3B is not conserved in EFR3A,
which instead contains a phenylalanine at the corresponding position (F728). This

divergence suggests a potential mechanism for differential regulation of the PI4KA

complex, possibly influenced by the distinct expression patterns and tissue specificity of

EFR3 isoforms. There is precedence for this type of regulation as phosphorylation of

EFR3A at S738 by serine/threonine kinases may modulate binding to TTC7B-FAM126A,

however, its impact on affinity remains unclear. The top ranked kinase for this site is polo

like kianse 1 (PLK1), which plays an important role in regulating cell division. Therefore,

phosphorylation of EFR3A may similarly play an important role in controlling PI4KA

membrane recruitment and activity. Further investigation on how EFR3 phosphorylation

is controlled in cells by the specific kinases and phosphatases will be key to

understanding PI4KA regulation.

Although the TTC7 isoforms are broadly expressed in mammals, there are key

differences. While TTC7B is expressed predominantly in the brain, TTC7A is highly

expressed in the gut and thymus with loss-of-function mutations in TTC7A causing severe

gastrointestinal diseases (17). Many of these mutations, including S539L, A551D, and

H570R, reside in helices that either bind the α3-helix of EFR3A or pack against the

interfacial helices. These diseases, caused by lack of PI4KA function, shed insight for

why PI4KA inhibitors have severe toxicity in animal models (26). Recently, it has been

proposed that PI4KA inhibitors may have a therapeutic window for treating some cancers

when used in combination with PI3K- or RAS-targeting inhibitors (23, 24). We

demonstrated that both PI4KA complexes are equally sensitive to established PI4KA

inhibitors, while simeprevir, which was previously reported as a direct inhibitor of PI4KA

(24, 32), showed no inhibitory activity, even at high concentrations. While opportunities

may still exist for using PI4KA inhibitors, the development of isoform-specific

biomolecules offer a more refined approach. We reason that blocking EFR3 mediated

plasma membrane recruitment of PI4KA could represent a useful approach to regulate

its activity. Indeed, modulating PI4KA activity, without fully inhibiting it, could potentially

reveal a novel therapeutic strategy .

In recent years, nanobodies have emerged as tools in stabilizing and disrupting

protein-protein interactions (43). For example, nanobodies binding to the spike protein of

SARS-CoV2 alter its conformational dynamics disrupting binding with host receptors (44).

Similarly, in the context of RAS signaling, nanobodies bind to SOS1 and competitively

inhibits SOS1-RAS signaling (45). Therefore, there is sufficient evidence for the use of

the nanobodies as complex disruptors. Using a yeast display approach, we isolated and

characterized a nanobody we called F3IN that binds specifically to TTC7B and

competitively inhibits the EFR3-TTC7B interaction. Our cryo-EM and HDX-MS analyses

showed that F3IN binds at the interface of PI4KA and TTC7B and induced a

conformational change in TTC7B upon binding. The specificity of F3IN for TTC7B is of

particular importance in TTC7B-enriched tissues, as EFR3A has stronger affinity for this

isoform compared to TTC7A. From a physiological perspective, the ability to selectively

inhibit EFR3 mediated PI4KA recruitment will provide additional insight on PI4KA

regulation. Future experiments will need to address the functional role of blocking EFR3

mediated PI4KA recruitment in specific tissues in vivo.

In conclusion, our study provides a detailed molecular framework for

understanding isoform-specific regulation of PI4KA and introduces a nanobody-based

approach to selectively modulate PI4KA membrane recruitment. These findings lay the

foundation for future efforts aimed at the development of more targeted, less toxic

strategies to modulate PI4KA function in disease.

Experimental procedures

Plasmids

Plasmids containing genes for full-length SSH-PI4KA-TTC7B-FAM126A (1-308) were

cloned into the MultiBac vector, pACEBac1 (Geneva Biotech) as described in (8).

Plasmids containing genes for full-length SSH-PI4KA-TTC7A-FAM126A (1-308), SSH-

TTC7A-FAM126A, and SSH-TTC7A-FAM126B were cloned in the pBIG1a biGBac vector

using the biGbac cloning method (46). Final pACEbac1 and pBIG1a constructs were

transformed into DH10EmBacY cells (Geneva Biotech), with white colonies indicating

successful generation of bacmids containing our genes of interest. Plasmid encoding

TTC7B and FAM126A (1 to 308) was subcloned into a pOPT vector containing an N-

terminal 10x histidine tag, followed by a 2x Strep tag, followed by a tobacco etch virus

(TEV) protease cleavage site (47). EFR3A (721 to 791) was subcloned into a pOPT

vectors containing an N-terminal MBP tag in addition to the 10x histidine tag and 2x Strep

tag. Nanobody F3IN was cloned into the pET22b vector for inducible expression in the

periplasm.

Protein Expression

Plasmid containing the coding sequences for MBP-EFR3A (721-791) was expressed in

BL21 DE3 C41 *Escherichia coli* and induced with 0.5 mM IPTG and grown at 37 °C for

4 h. The TTC7B-FAM126A (1-308), TTC7B-FAM126B (1-308) and chimeric TTC7B-

EFR3A-FAM126A constructs were expressed in BL21 DE3 C41 cells and induced with

0.1mM IPTG and grown at 21 °C for 20 hours. The F3IN construct was expressed in BL21

DE3 C41 cells and induced with 1mM IPTG and grown at 25°C for 20 hours. Cells were

then harvested and centrifuged at 15,000*g*. Pellets were washed with PBS before being

snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen, followed by storage at –80 °C.

Bacmid harboring TTC7A-FAM126A (1-308), TTC7A-FAM126B (1-308), PI4KA-

TTC7B-FAM126A (1-308) and PI4KA-TTC7A-FAM126A (1-308) were transfected into

Spodoptera frugiperda (Sf9) cells, and viral stocks amplified for one generation to acquire

a P2 generation final viral stock. Final viral stocks were added to *Sf9* cells in a 1/100 virus

volume to cell volume ratio. Constructs were expressed for 65-72 hours before harvesting

of the infected cells. Cell pellets were washed with PBS, flash frozen in liquid nitrogen,

and stored at –80 °C.

Protein Purification

F3IN purification: Nanobody F3IN was isolated from cell pellets by osmotic shock. Cell

pellets were resuspended in 20 ml of TES buffer (0.2 M Tris pH 8.0, 0.5 mM

ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), 0.5 M sucrose) with Protease Inhibitor Cocktail

Set III and rotated for 45 min at 4°C. Twice the volume of TES/4 buffer was added to the

cells and rotated for 45 min at 4°C, followed by centrifugation at 14,000 rpm for 20 min at

4°C in a JA-20 rotor. The periplasmic extract was loaded onto a His-Trap HP column

(Cytiva) equilibrated with Ni–NTA A buffer (20 mM Tris [pH 8.0], 100 mM NaCl, 20 mM

imidazole [pH 8.0], 5% [v/v] glycerol, and 2 mM βME). The column was washed with 4

CV of high salt buffer (20 mM Tris [pH 8.0], 1 M NaCl, 5% [v/v] glycerol, and 2 mM bME),

then 3-4 CV of Ni–NTA A buffer, followed by 3-4 CV of 6% Ni–NTA B buffer (20 mM Tris

[pH 8.0], 100 mM NaCl, 200 mM imidazole [pH 8.0], 5% [v/v] glycerol, and 2 mM bME)

before being eluted with 3-4 CV of 100% Ni–NTA B buffer. The protein elution was then

buffer exchanged into PI4KA GFB (20 mM Imidazole [pH 7.0], 150 mM NaCl, 5% [v/v]

glycerol and 0.5 mM Tris (2-carboxyethyl) phosphine (TCEP) and concentrated in a 10

kDa MWCO concentrator (Millipore Sigma). The concentrated protein was loaded onto

the Superdex 75 Increase 10/300 GL (Cytiva) pre-equilibrated in GFB. Protein fractions

were collected and concentrated in a 10 kDa MWCO concentrator (Millipore Sigma), flash

frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at –80 °C.

MBP-EFR3A purification: Cell pellets were lysed by sonication for 5 min in lysis

buffer (20 mM Tris [pH 8.0], 100 mM NaCl, 5% [v/v] glycerol, 20 mM imidazole, 2 mM β-

mercaptoethanol [bME]), and protease inhibitors [Millipore Protease Inhibitor Cocktail Set

III, EDTA free]). Triton X-100 was added to 0.1% v/v, and the solution was centrifuged for

45 min at 20,000g at 1 °C (Beckman Coulter J2-21, JA-20 rotor). The supernatant was

then loaded onto a 5 ml HisTrap column (Cytiva) that had been equilibrated in nickel–

nitrilotriacetic acid (Ni–NTA) A buffer (20 mM Tris [pH 8.0], 100 mM NaCl, 20 mM

imidazole [pH 8.0], 5% [v/v] glycerol, and 2 mM βME). The column was washed with 4

CV of high salt buffer (20 mM Tris [pH 8.0], 1 M NaCl, 5% [v/v] glycerol, and 2 mM βME),

then 3-4 CV of Ni–NTA A buffer, followed by 3-4 CV of 6% Ni–NTA B buffer (20 mM Tris

[pH 8.0], 100 mM NaCl, 200 mM imidazole [pH 8.0], 5% [v/v] glycerol, and 2 mM βME)

before being eluted with 3-4 CV of 100% Ni–NTA B. The eluate was then loaded onto a

5 ml StrepTrapHP column (Cytiva) and washed with 3-4 CV of high salt gel filtration buffer

(GFB) (20 mM HEPES [pH 7.5], 500 mM NaCl, 5% [v/v] glycerol and 1 mM Tris(2-

carboxyethyl) phosphine [TCEP]), then 1 CV of GFB containing 2 mM ATP, 10 mM MgCl$_2$,

and 150 mM KCl, followed by 4 CV of GFB (20 mM HEPES [pH 7.5], 150 mM NaCl, 5%

[v/v] glycerol and 1 mM Tris (2-carboxyethyl) phosphine [TCEP]). Protein was eluted with

3 CV of GFB containing 2.5 mM desthiobiotin and concentrated in a 10 kDa MWCO

concentrator (Millipore Sigma). Concentrated protein was loaded onto the Superdex 75

Increase 10/300 GL (Cytiva) or the Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL (Cytiva) pre-

equilibrated in GFB. Protein fractions were collected and concentrated in a 10 kDa

MWCO concentrator (Millipore Sigma), flash frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored

at −80 °C.

TTC7-FAM126 (1-308) purification: Cell pellets were lysed by sonication for 5 min

in lysis buffer (20 mM imidazole [pH 8.0], 100 mM NaCl, 5% [v/v] glycerol, 2 mM bME),

and protease inhibitors [Millipore Protease Inhibitor Cocktail Set III, animal-free]. Triton

X-100 was added to 0.1% v/v, and the solution was centrifuged for 45 min at 20,000g at

1 °C. The supernatant was then loaded onto a 5 ml HisTrap column (Cytiva) that had

been equilibrated in nickel–nitrilotriacetic acid (Ni–NTA) A buffer (20 mM Tris [pH 8.0],

100 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole [pH 8.0], 5% [v/v] glycerol, and 2 mM βME). The column

was washed with 3-4 CV Ni-NTA A buffer (20 mM imidazole [pH 8.0], 100 mM NaCl, 5%

[v/v] glycerol, and 2 mM βME) followed by 3-4 CV of 6% Ni–NTA B buffer (450 mM

Imidazole [pH 8.0], 100 mM NaCl, 5% [v/v] glycerol, and 2 mM βME) before being eluted

with 3-4 CV of 100% Ni–NTA B. The eluate was then loaded onto a 5 ml StrepTrapHP

column (Cytiva) and then washed with 3-4 CV of GFB (20 mM Imidazole [pH 7.0], 150 mM

NaCl, 5% [v/v] glycerol and 0.5 mM Tris (2-carboxyethyl) phosphine (TCEP)). The His-

strep tag was cleaved with tobacco etch virus protease containing a stabilizing lipoyl

domain (Lip-TEV). TEV cleavage proceeded overnight following which the protein was

eluted with GFB. Protein eluate was further concentrated in a 50 kDa MWCO concentrator

(Millipore Sigma). Concentrated protein was loaded onto the Superdex 200 Increase

10/300 GL (Cytiva) or the Superose 6 Increase 10/300 GL (Cytiva) pre-equilibrated in

GFB. Protein fractions from a single peak were collected and concentrated in 50 kDa

MWCO concentrator (Millipore Sigma), flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C

until further use.

PI4KA-TTC7B-FAM126A (1-308) purification: Sf9 pellets were resuspended in

lysis buffer [20 mM imidazole pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol, 2 mM βMe, protease

(Protease Inhibitor Cocktail Set III, Sigma)] and lysed by sonication. Triton X-100 was

added to 0.1% v/v final, and lysate was centrifuged for 45 min at 20,000×g at 1 °C. The

supernatant was then loaded onto a 5 ml HisTrap column (Cytiva) that had been

equilibrated in nickel–nitrilotriacetic acid (Ni–NTA) A buffer (20 mM Tris [pH 8.0], 100 mM

NaCl, 20 mM imidazole [pH 8.0], 5% [v/v] glycerol, and 2 mM bME). The column was

washed with 3-4 CV of Ni-NTA A buffer (20 mM imidazole [pH 8.0], 100 mM NaCl, 5%

[v/v] glycerol, and 2 mM βME) followed by 3-4 CV of 6% Ni–NTA B buffer (27 mM

Imidazole [pH 8.0], 100 mM NaCl, 5% [v/v] glycerol, and 2 mM βME) before being eluted

with 3-4 CV of 100% Ni–NTA B. Eluted protein was loaded onto a 5 ml StrepTrapHP

column (Cytiva) pre-equilibrated GFB (20 mM imidazole pH 7.0, 150 mM NaCl, 5%

glycerol [v/v], 0.5 mM TCEP). The His-strep tag was cleaved with Lip-TEV, and cleavage

proceeded overnight. Cleaved protein was eluted with GFB and concentrated in a 50 kDa

MWCO concentrator (MilliporeSigma). Concentrated protein was loaded onto the

Superose 6 Increase 10/300 GL (Cytiva) pre-equilibrated in GFB. Protein fractions from

a single peak were collected and concentrated in 50 kDa MWCO concentrator (Millipore

Sigma), flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C until further use.

The raw SDS-PAGE gel images for all purified proteins are shown in the source

data.

Phosphorylation analysis

For the phosphorylation of EFR3B, (20 µM) of protein was mixed with 1mM of ATP, 5mM

of $MgCl_2$, and 13 ug of LCK kinase. An unphosphorylated control containing equivalent

amount of GFB (20 mM HEPES [pH 7.5], 150 mM NaCl, 5% [v/v] glycerol and 0.5 mM

TCEP) instead of LCK kinase was also prepared. Reactions were incubated overnight on

ice at 4°C. Subsequently, the protein mixtures were loaded onto a Superdex 200 10/300

GL (Cytiva) pre-equilibrated in GFB. Protein fractions from a single peak were collected

and concentrated in 30 kDa MWCO concentrator (Millipore Sigma), flash-frozen in liquid

nitrogen and stored at -80 °C until further use.

Phosphorylation of all proteins was confirmed using mass spectrometry and FragPipe
v18.0 analysis. The LC-MS analysis of these samples was carried out using the same
pipeline as used in the HDX-MS section below. The phosphorylated and non-
phosphorylated peptide ratios were determined by generating extracted ion
chromatograms (EIC) in the Thermo Xcalibur qual browser. The full analysis with EIC and
MS data is provided in the source data.

Lipid Vesicle Preparation

For inhibitor response assays of the PI4KA complexes, lipid vesicles containing 100% PI
were prepared by mixing the lipid dissolved in organic solvent. The solvent was
evaporated in a stream of argon following which the lipid film was desiccated in a vacuum
for 1 hour. The lipids were resuspended in lipid buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 100 mM
KCl and 0.5 mM EDTA) and the solution was sonicated for 15 minutes. The vesicles were
subjected to ten freeze thaw cycles and passed through an extruder (Avanti Research)
with a 100 nm filter 21 times. The extruded vesicles were aliquoted and stored at −80°C.

Lipid Kinase Assays

All lipid kinase activity assays employed the Transcreener ADP2 Fluorescence Intensity
(FI) Assay (Bellbrook labs) which measures ADP production. For assays measuring
inhibitor response, the final concentration of 100% PI vesicles was 0.25 mg/mL, ATP was
100 µM ATP and inhibitors were 10 µM, 2 µM, 400 nM, 80 nM, 16 nM, or 3.2 nM (GSK-
A1 only) (1% DMSO final). Two µL of PI4KA complex solution at 2X final concentration
(10 nM final) was mixed with 2 µL substrate solution containing ATP, vesicles and
inhibitors and the reaction was allowed to proceed for 60 min at 20°C. The reaction was
stopped with 4 µL of 2X stop and detect solution containing Stop and Detect buffer, 8 nM
ADP Alexa Fluor 594 Tracer and 93.7 µg/mL ADP2 Antibody IRDye QC-1 and incubated
for 60 minutes. The fluorescence intensity was measured using an Agilent BioTek
synergy H1 plate reader at excitation 585 nm and emission 626 nm. This data was
normalised against a 0–100% ADP window made using conditions containing either 100
µM ATP or ADP with kinase buffer.

Isolation of nanobodies from the yeast surface display library

The nanobodies were isolated from a yeast display library obtained from Dr. A.C.

Kruse's laboratory at Harvard Medical School and described in (48). The detailed

protocol to isolate nanobodies from the yeast display library can be obtained from the

Kruse laboratory website at:

([https://kruse.hms.harvard.edu/sites/kruse.hms.harvard.edu/files/files/Nanobody_protoc](https://kruse.hms.harvard.edu/sites/kruse.hms.harvard.edu/files/files/Nanobody_protocol_0.pdf)

[ol_0.pdf](https://kruse.hms.harvard.edu/sites/kruse.hms.harvard.edu/files/files/Nanobody_protocol_0.pdf))

The yeast cultures were grown in a selective dropout medium without tryptophan

at 30°C. Nanobody expression was induced before each step by growing the cells in a

dropout medium without tryptophan and with 2% galactose at 25°C for 48 to 72 hours.

The library screening involved two rounds of magnetic-activated cell sorting (MACS)

followed by two rounds of fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) and a final round of

MACS with a counter-selection step. The protein antigens (TTC7B-FAM126A (1-308) or

chimeric TTC7B-EFR3A-FAM126A) were labelled using the Fluoreporter FITC protein

labeling kit (Invitrogen F6434), the AlexaFluor-488 antibody labeling kit (Invitrogen

A20181) or the Alexa Fluor 647 antibody labeling kit (Invitrogen A20186).

For MACS1, 1.1×10^{10} induced yeast cells were washed and re-suspended in

4.5ml selection buffer (20mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150mM sodium chloride, 0.1% (w/v) bovine

serum albumin, 5mM maltose) and incubated with 500µl anti-FITC microbeads (Miltenyi

130-048-701) at 4°C for 40 minutes. After incubation with the magnetic beads, the cells

were spun down and the excess unbound beads were removed with the supernatant. The

cells were then resuspended in buffer and passed through a LD column (Miltenyi 130-

042-901) to eliminate any yeast clones expressing nanobodies that bind non-specifically

to the magnetic beads. The flow through from the LD column was spun down and stained

in a solution of 1µM FITC-labelled TTC7B-FAM126A (1-308) in selection buffer for 1 hour

at 4°C, spun down and re-suspended in 4.5ml selection buffer and 500µl anti-FITC

microbeads, incubated for 20 minutes at 4°C and loaded on a LS column (Miltenyi 130-

042-401). The cells binding to the column were eluted and grown for the next round of

selection. The same protocol was used for the second round of MACS, except that we

started with 2.5 x 10^9 induced cells, the antigen was 38nM Alexa Fluor 647-labeled

TTC7B-FAM126A (1-308) and anti-Alexa Fluor 647 microbeads were used (Miltenyi 130-

091-395).

663   FACS selection was performed using a Cytoflex SRT cell sorter (Beckman

664 Coulter). The induced cells were labeled with 1:200 AF647-labeled anti-HA antibody

665 (Invitrogen 26183-A647) and 17nM FITC-labeled TTC7B-FAM126A (1-308) (FACS1) or

666 10nM AF488-labeled TTC7B-FAM126A (1-308) (FACS2) in selection buffer. Cells

667 staining for both fluorophores were collected.

668   A final round of magnetic selection (MACS3) was performed after FACS2 to enrich

for nanobodies that bind the TTC7B-FAM126A (1-308) at the EFR3A-binding interface.

1.5 x 10^8 induced cells were stained with 20nM AlexaFluor647-labeled chimeric TTC7B-

EFR3A-FAM126A and anti-AlexaFluor647 microbeads as described above and loaded

on a LD column. The flow through was collected and stained with 20nM AlexaFluor647-

labeled TTC7B-FAM126A (1-308) and anti-AlexaFluor647 microbeads and loaded on a

LS column. The cells bound to the column were eluted and plated to isolate single

colonies. The colonies were picked, grown and induced in a 96-well plate format for

analysis by flow cytometry using a Cytoflex instrument (Beckman Coulter). 2 x 10^6

induced cells from each colony were stained with 1:1000 AF488-anti-HA antibody to

monitor nanobody expression levels and either 10nM AF647-labeled TTC7B-FAM126A

(1-308) or 10nM AF647-labeled chimeric TTC7B-EFR3A-FAM126A. Clones that bound

well to the TTC7B-FAM126A (1-308) but not the chimeric TTC7B-EFR3A-FAM126A were

kept for subcloning and further analysis.

Cryo-EM sample preparation and data collection

The PI4KA complex and F3IN were thawed and mixed at a 1:3 molar ratio and left to

incubate on ice for 15 minutes. BS^3 crosslinker was added with a final concentration of

0.25 mM and left to incubate on ice for 15 minutes followed by the addition of TRIS pH 7

for a final concentration of 25 mM to quench the reaction and incubated on ice for at least

15 minutes. C-Flat 2/1-3Cu-T-50 grids were glow-discharged for 25 s at 15 mA using a

Pelco easiGlow glow discharger. 3 µL of the PI4KA complex and F3IN solution were

applied to the grids at 0.75 mg/mL and vitrified using a Vitrobot Mark IV (Thermo Fisher)

by blotting for 1.5 s at a blot force of −5 at 4°C and 100% humidity. Grids were screened

at the UBC High-Resolution Macromolecular Cryo-EM (HRMEM) facility on a 200kV

Glacios microscope (Thermo Fisher) equipped with a Falcon 3 camera (Thermo Fisher)

to determine suitability for further data collection. Data was collected at the UBC High-

Resolution Macromolecular Cryo-EM (HRMEM) facility on a 300kV Krios G2 microscope

(Thermo Fisher) equipped with a Falcon 4i camera (Thermo Fisher) and Selectris energy

filter (Thermo Fisher), using EPU software (Thermo Fisher). Data were collected at

165,000x magnification with a pixel size of 0.77 Å and a total dose of 50 e^{-}/Å^{2}, using a

defocus range of −0.5 to −2.0 µm.

Cryo-EM image processing

All data processing was carried out using cryoSPARC v4.5.2. Movies were imported with

default settings. Patch motion correction output F-crop factor set to 1/2 was applied to all

movies to align the frames. The contrast transfer function (CTF) of the resulting

micrographs was estimated using the patch CTF estimation job with default settings. Final

particle stack was manually curated to contain only micrographs with a CTF fit resolution

less than or equal to 10.

To generate initial 3D models, 587,975 particles were picked from 4021 micrographs

using a templates generated from a 3D reconstruction of the PI4KA complex from an

independent dataset (without F3IN) lowpass filtered to 20 Å. Particles were extracted with

a box size of 560 pixels and subjected to two rounds of 2D classification, removing

duplicate particles, with 40 online-EM iterations, to remove junk and ice contamination.

The resulting 69,526 particles underwent ab-initio reconstruction with two classes.

The complete dataset was then template picked as above (3,176,029 particles) and

inspected with the inspect pics job, removing particles picking ice contamination.

2,262,222 particles were extracted with a box size of 560 pixels and subjected to two

rounds of 2D classification with 40-online EM iterations. The remaining 657,836 particles

underwent heterogenous refinement using the two ab-initio reconstructions from the small

dataset. 329,699 particles from the class resembling the PI4KA complex underwent two

rounds of homogeneous refinement followed by particle orientation rebalancing

(rebalance percentile 80) prior to homogeneous refinement with C2 symmetry enforced.

282,982 particles then underwent C2 symmetry expansion followed by two rounds of

masked local refinement with a mask covering the entire molecule. This generated a

global reconstruction with an overall resolution of 3.54 Å based on the Fourier shell

correlation (FSC) 0.143 criterion. To improve the quality of the TTC7B-F3IN interface,

mask bases containing either TTC7B-NB-6C11 were generated in ChimeraX and

uploaded to cryoSPARC to generate masks used for masked local refinement and particle

subtraction. The masked local refinements had their fulcrums centered at the TTC7B-

F3IN interface, and generated refinements with an overall resolution of 3.66 Å (Local

Refinement A) and 3.77 Å (Local Refinement B) based on the Fourier shell correlation

(FSC) 0.143 criterion. A composite map was then generated using the global

reconstruction and local refinement A and B in ChimeraX. The local resolutions of the

three maps were determined with an FSC threshold of 0.143. The workflow to generate

the final cryo-EM map is shown in Fig S2.

HDX-MS sample preparation

HDX reactions examining TTC7B-FAM126A (1-308) in the presence and absence of F3IN

were carried out in 10 μL reaction volumes containing 15 pmol of TTC7B-FAM126A (1.5

μM TTC7B-FAM126A) and 30 pmol of F3IN (3 μM F3IN in the bound state). The

exchange reactions were initiated by the addition of 8.4 μL of D$_2$O buffer (20 mM

Imidazole pH 7, 150 mM NaCl) to 1.6 μL of protein (final D$_2$O concentration of 78.9%

[v/v]). Reactions proceeded for 3s, 30s, 300s, 3000s, and 10,000s at 18°C before being

quenched with ice cold acidic quench buffer, resulting in a final concentration of 0.6M

guanidine HCl and 0.9% formic acid.

HDX reactions examining the PI4KA complex in the presence and absence of F3IN

were carried out in 10 μL reaction volumes containing 15 pmol of protein (1.5 μM PI4KA

complex, 1.5 μM F3IN in the bound state). The exchange reactions were initiated by the

addition of 7.5 μL of D$_2$O buffer (20 mM Imidazole pH 7, 150 mM NaCl) to 2.5 μL of protein

(final D$_2$O concentration of 70.2 % [v/v]). Reactions proceeded for 3s, 30s, 300s and

3000s, at 18°C before being quenched with ice cold acidic quench buffer, resulting in a

final concentration of 0.6M guanidine HCl and 0.9% formic acid post quench.

749  All conditions and timepoints were created and run in independent triplicate. All

samples were flash frozen immediately after quenching and stored at -80°C.

Protein digestion and MS/MS data collection

Protein samples were rapidly thawed and injected onto an integrated fluidics system

containing a HDx-3 PAL liquid handling robot and climate-controlled (2°C)

chromatography system (Trajan), a Dionex Ultimate 3000 UHPLC system, as well as an

Impact HD QTOF Mass spectrometer (Bruker). The full details of the automated LC

system are described in (49). The TTC7B-FAM126A and PI4KA complex samples were

run over one immobilized pepsin column (Trajan; ProDx protease column, 2.1 mm x 30

mm PDX.PP01-F32) at 200 μL/min for 3 minutes at 10°C, and the F3IN samples were

run over two immobilized pepsin columns (Waters; Enzymate Protein Pepsin Column,

300Å, 5μm, 2.1 mm X 30 mm) at 350 μL/min for 3 minutes at 2°C. The resulting peptides

were collected and desalted on a C18 trap column (ACQUITY UPLC BEH C18 1.7mm

column (2.1 mm x 5 mm); Waters 186004629). The trap was subsequently eluted in line

with an ACQUITY 1.7 μm particle, 100 mm × 2.1 mm C18 UPLC column (Waters;

186003686), using a gradient of 3-35% B (Buffer A 0.1% formic acid; Buffer B 100%

acetonitrile) over 11 minutes immediately followed by a gradient of 35-80% over 5

minutes. Mass spectrometry experiments acquired over a mass range from 150 to 2200

m/z using an electrospray ionization source operated at a temperature of 200°C and a

spray voltage of 4.5 kV.

Peptide identification

Peptides were identified from non-deuterated samples using data-dependent acquisition

following tandem MS/MS experiments (0.5s precursor scan from 150-2000 m/z; twelve

0.25s fragment scans from 150-2000 m/z). MS/MS datasets were analysed using

FragPipe v18.0 and peptide identification was carried out by using a false discovery-
based approach using a database of purified proteins and known contaminants (50, 51).
MSFragger was used, and the precursor mass tolerance error was set to -20 to 20ppm.
The fragment mass tolerance was set at 20ppm. Protein digestion was set as nonspecific,
searching between lengths of 4 and 50 aa, with a mass range of 400 to 5000 Da.

Mass Analysis of Peptide Centroids and Measurement of Deuterium Incorporation

HD-Examiner Software (Sierra Analytics) was used to automatically calculate the level of
deuterium incorporation into each peptide. All peptides were manually inspected for
correct charge state, correct retention time, appropriate selection of isotopic distribution,
etc. Deuteration levels were calculated using the centroid of the experimental isotope
clusters. Results are presented as relative levels of deuterium incorporation, and the only
control for back exchange was the level of deuterium present in the buffer (78.9% or
70.2%). Differences in exchange in a peptide were considered significant if they met all
three of the following criteria: ≥5% change in exchange, ≥0.4 Da difference in exchange,
and a p value <0.01 using a two tailed student t-test. The entire HDX-MS dataset with all
the values and statistics are provided in the source data. Samples were only compared
within a single experiment and were never compared to experiments completed at a
different time with a different final D_2O level. The data analysis statistics for all HDX-MS
experiments are provided in the source data according to the guidelines of (52). The HDX-
MS proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium via the
PRIDE (53) partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD066213.

Bio-layer interferometry (BLI)

The BLI measurements were conducted using a Fortebio (Sartorius) K2 Octet using fiber
optic biosensors according to the protocols of (54). Anti–penta-His biosensors were
loaded using purified F3IN, which had a 6x His tag on the C-terminus. The biosensor tips
were preincubated in the BLI buffer (20 mM HEPES [pH 7.5], 150 mM NaCl, 0.01%,
bovine serum albumin, and 0.002% Tween-20) for 10 min before experiments began. The
sequence of steps in each assay was regeneration, custom, loading, baseline,

association, and dissociation. Every experiment was done at 25 °C with shaking at

1000 rpm. Technical replicates were performed by using the same fiber tip and repeating

the steps outlined previously. Regeneration was performed by exposing the tips to

regeneration buffer (Glycine pH 1.5) for 5s and BLI buffer for 5s and repeating the

exposure for 6 cycles. BLI buffer was used for the custom, baseline, and dissociation

steps; these steps were performed in the same well for a given sample. To determine

affinity constants, F3IN was diluted in BLI buffer to 100 nM and was loaded onto the anti

penta-His biosensor tips. TTC7B-FAM126A or PI4KA complex were also diluted in BLI

buffer and added to the appropriate association wells. Non-specific association was

controlled by loading 100 nM of His-MBP onto the biosensor tips and subtracting its

responses from the responses measured with F3IN. The data were fit to a 1:1 model

using the "full (assoc and dissoc) setting". Reported kinetic binding constants (K_D, K_{ON},

and K_{OFF}) values were calculated by taking the geometric mean of binding constants

calculated for individual conditions and replicates.

For the competition experiments, F3IN was diluted in BLI buffer to 100 nM and

TTC7B-FAM126A or PI4KA complex was diluted in BLI buffer to 50 nM or 10 nM

respectively. MBP-EFR3A was added to the association wells in increasing

concentrations (0, 15, 30, 60, 120, 240 nM). All BLI experiments were performed using

two technical replicates.

Data availability

The EM data have been deposited in the EM data bank with accession numbers EMD-

70826 (Composite map), EMD-70822 (Consensus map), EMD-70824 (Local Refinement

A map), and EMD-70825 (Local Refinement B map), and the associated structural model

has been deposited to the PDB with accession number (PDB: 9O6T) (Extended PDB ID:

pdb_00009O6T). The MS proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange

Consortium via the PRIDE partner repository with the dataset identifier PXD066213 (53).

All raw data in all figures are available in the source data excel file. All data needed to

evaluate the conclusions in the paper are present in the paper and/or the supporting
information.

Supporting information

This article contains supporting information.

Acknowledgements

Grids were prepared and data collected at the High Resolution Macromolecular Electron
Microscopy (HRMEM) facility at the University of British Columbia
(https://cryoem.med.ubc.ca). We thank Claire Atkinson, Amy Wo, Barathy Deivanayaga,
Liam Worrall and Natalie Strynadka.

Funding and additional information

J.E.B. is supported by the Canadian Institute of Health Research (PJT-195808). A.L.S.
was supported by a CIHR CGS-D scholarship. C.K.Y. is supported by CIHR (PJT-
168907) and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada
(NGPIN-2024-04139). HRMEM is funded by the Canadian Foundation for Innovation and
the British Columbia Knowledge Development Fund. J.A.C is supported by the Natural
Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NGPIN-41822) and the
Canadian Institute of Health Research (PJT-175120 AND PJT-175136).

Conflict of interest

J.E.B. reports research contracts from Calico Life Sciences and OmniAB therapeutics.
The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest with the contents of this article.

References

1. Balla, T. (2013) Phosphoinositides: Tiny Lipids With Giant Impact on Cell
Regulation. *Physiological Reviews*. **93**, 1019–1137

2. Burke, J. E., Triscott, J., Emerling, B. M., and Hammond, G. R. V. (2023) Beyond
PI3Ks: targeting phosphoinositide kinases in disease. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*. **22**,
357–386

3.   Hammond, G. R. V., and Burke, J. E. (2020) Novel roles of phosphoinositides in

signaling, lipid transport, and disease. *Current Opinion in Cell Biology*. **63**, 57–67

4.   Batrouni, A. G., and Baskin, J. M. (2021) The chemistry and biology of

phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate at the plasma membrane. *Bioorganic and*

Medicinal Chemistry. **40**, 116190

5.   de Rubio, R. G., Ransom, R. F., Malik, S., Yule, D. I., Anantharam, A., and Smrcka,

A. V. (2018) Phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate is a major source of GPCR-stimulated

phosphoinositide production. *Sci Signal*. **11**, eaan1210

6.   Chung, J., Torta, F., Masai, K., Lucast, L., Czapla, H., Tanner, L. B.,

Narayanaswamy, P., Wenk, M. R., Nakatsu, F., and De Camilli, P. (2015)

PI4P/phosphatidylserine countertransport at ORP5- and ORP8-mediated ER–

plasma membrane contacts. *Science*. **349**, 428–432

7.   Lees, J. A., Zhang, Y., Oh, M. S., Schauder, C. M., Yu, X., Baskin, J. M., Dobbs, K.,

Notarangelo, L. D., De Camilli, P., Walz, T., and Reinisch, K. M. (2017) Architecture

of the human PI4KIIIα lipid kinase complex. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*

Sciences of the United States of America. **114**, 13720–13725

8.   Dornan, G. L., Dalwadi, U., Hamelin, D. J., Hoffmann, R. M., Yip, C. K., and Burke,

J. E. (2018) Probing the Architecture, Dynamics, and Inhibition of the

PI4KIIIα/TTC7/FAM126 Complex. *Journal of Molecular Biology*. **430**, 3129–3142

9.   Baskin, J. M., Wu, X., Christiano, R., Oh, M. S., Schauder, C. M., Gazzerro, E.,

Messa, M., Baldassari, S., Assereto, S., Biancheri, R., Zara, F., Minetti, C.,

Raimondi, A., Simons, M., Walther, T. C., Reinisch, K. M., and De Camilli, P. (2016)

The leukodystrophy protein FAM126A (hyccin) regulates PtdIns(4)P synthesis at the

plasma membrane. *Nature Cell Biology*. **18**, 132–138

10.   Nakatsu, F., Baskin, J. M., Chung, J., Tanner, L. B., Shui, G., Lee, S. Y.,

Pirruccello, M., Hao, M., Ingolia, N. T., Wenk, M. R., and De Camilli, P. (2012)

Ptdins4P synthesis by PI4KIIIα at the plasma membrane and its impact on plasma

membrane identity. *Journal of Cell Biology*. **199**, 1003–1016

11.   Suresh, S., Shaw, A. L., Pemberton, J. G., Scott, M. K., Harris, N. J., Parson, M.

A. H., Jenkins, M. L., Rohilla, P., Alvarez-Prats, A., Balla, T., Yip, C. K., and Burke,

J. E. (2024) Molecular basis for plasma membrane recruitment of PI4KA by EFR3.

Science Advances. **10**, eadp6660

12.   Barlow-Busch, I., Shaw, A. L., and Burke, J. E. (2023) PI4KA and PIKfyve:

Essential phosphoinositide signaling enzymes involved in myriad human diseases.

Current Opinion in Cell Biology. **83**, 102207

13.   Suresh, S., and Burke, J. E. (2023) Structural basis for the conserved roles of

PI4KA and its regulatory partners and their misregulation in disease. *Advances in*

Biological Regulation. **90**, 100996

14.   Chen, R., Giliani, S., Lanzi, G., Mias, G. I., Lonardi, S., Dobbs, K., Manis, J., Im,

H., Gallagher, J. E., Phanstiel, D. H., Euskirchen, G., Lacroute, P., Bettinger, K.,

Moratto, D., Weinacht, K., Montin, D., Gallo, E., Mangili, G., Porta, F., Notarangelo,

L. D., Pedretti, S., Al-Herz, W., Alfahdli, W., Comeau, A. M., Traister, R. S., Pai, S.-

Y., Carella, G., Facchetti, F., Nadeau, K. C., Snyder, M., and Notarangelo, L. D.

(2013) Whole Exome Sequencing Identifies TTC7A Mutations for Combined

Immunodeficiency with Intestinal Atresias. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. **132**, 656-664.e17

15.   Agarwal, N. S., Northrop, L., Anyane-Yeboa, K., Aggarwal, V. S., Nagy, P. L.,

and Demirdag, Y. Y. (2014) Tetratricopeptide Repeat Domain 7A (TTC7A) Mutation

in a Newborn with Multiple Intestinal Atresia and Combined Immunodeficiency. *J*

Clin Immunol. **34**, 607–610

16.   Yang, W., Lee, P. p. w., Thong, M.-K., Ramanujam, T. m., Shanmugam, A., Koh,

M.-T., Chan, K.-W., Ying, D., Wang, Y., Shen, J. j., Yang, J., and Lau, Y. l. (2015)

Compound heterozygous mutations in TTC7A cause familial multiple intestinal

atresias and severe combined immunodeficiency. *Clinical Genetics*. **88**, 542–549

17.   Jardine, S., Dhingani, N., and Muise, A. M. (2019) TTC7A: Steward of Intestinal

Health. *Cellular and Molecular Gastroenterology and Hepatology*. **7**, 555–570

18.   Zara, F., Biancheri, R., Bruno, C., Bordo, L., Assereto, S., Gazzerro, E., Sotgia,

F., Wang, X. B., Gianotti, S., Stringara, S., Pedemonte, M., Uziel, G., Rossi, A.,

Schenone, A., Tortori-Donati, P., van der Knaap, M. S., Lisanti, M. P., and Minetti,

C. (2006) Deficiency of hyccin, a newly identified membrane protein, causes

hypomyelination and congenital cataract. *Nat Genet*. **38**, 1111–1113

19.   Biancheri, R., Zara, F., Rossi, A., Mathot, M., Nassogne, M. C., Yalcinkaya, C.,

Erturk, O., Tuysuz, B., Di Rocco, M., Gazzerro, E., Bugiani, M., van Spaendonk, R.,

Sistermans, E. A., Minetti, C., van der Knaap, M. S., and Wolf, N. I. (2011)

Hypomyelination and Congenital Cataract: Broadening the Clinical Phenotype.

Archives of Neurology. **68**, 1191–1194

20.   Gazzerro, E., Baldassari, S., Giacomini, C., Musante, V., Fruscione, F., La

Padula, V., Biancheri, R., Scarfì, S., Prada, V., Sotgia, F., Duncan, I. D., Zara, F.,

Werner, H. B., Lisanti, M. P., Nobbio, L., Corradi, A., and Minetti, C. (2012) Hyccin,

the Molecule Mutated in the Leukodystrophy Hypomyelination and Congenital

Cataract (HCC), Is a Neuronal Protein. *PLoS ONE*. **7**, e32180

21.   Berger, K. L., Cooper, J. D., Heaton, N. S., Yoon, R., Oakland, T. E., Jordan, T.

X., Mateu, G., Grakoui, A., and Randall, G. (2009) Roles for endocytic trafficking

and phosphatidylinositol 4-kinase III alpha in hepatitis C virus replication. *Proc. Natl.*

Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **106**, 7577–7582

22.   Berger, K. L., Kelly, S. M., Jordan, T. X., Tartell, M. A., and Randall, G. (2011)

Hepatitis C virus stimulates the phosphatidylinositol 4-kinase III alpha-dependent

phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate production that is essential for its replication. *J.*

Virol. **85**, 8870–8883

23.   Adhikari, H., Kattan, W. E., Kumar, S., Zhou, P., Hancock, J. F., and Counter, C.

M. (2021) Oncogenic KRAS is dependent upon an EFR3A-PI4KA signaling axis for

potent tumorigenic activity. *Nat Commun*. **12**, 5248

24.   Kattan, W. E., Liu, J., Montufar-Solis, D., Liang, H., Brahmendra Barathi, B., van

der Hoeven, R., Zhou, Y., and Hancock, J. F. (2021) Components of the

phosphatidylserine endoplasmic reticulum to plasma membrane transport

mechanism as targets for KRAS inhibition in pancreatic cancer. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*

U S A. **118**, e2114126118

25.   Waring, M. J., Andrews, D. M., Faulder, P. F., Flemington, V., McKelvie, J. C.,

Maman, S., Preston, M., Raubo, P., Robb, G. R., Roberts, K., Rowlinson, R., Smith,

J. M., Swarbrick, M. E., Treinies, I., Winter, J. J. G., and Wood, R. J. (2014) Potent,

selective small molecule inhibitors of type III phosphatidylinositol-4-kinase α- but not

β-inhibit the phosphatidylinositol signaling cascade and cancer cell proliferation.

Chem. Commun. (Camb.). **50**, 5388–5390

26.   Bojjireddy, N., Botyanszki, J., Hammond, G., Creech, D., Peterson, R., Kemp, D.

C., Snead, M., Brown, R., Morrison, A., Wilson, S., Harrison, S., Moore, C., and

Balla, T. (2014) Pharmacological and genetic targeting of the PI4KA enzyme reveals

its important role in maintaining plasma membrane phosphatidylinositol 4-phosphate

and phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate levels. *J Biol Chem.* **289**, 6120–6132

27.   Hamers-Casterman, C., Atarhouch, T., Muyldermans, S., Robinson, G.,

Hammers, C., Songa, E. B., Bendahman, N., and Hammers, R. (1993) Naturally

occurring antibodies devoid of light chains. *Nature.* **363**, 446–448

28.   Jin, B.-K., Odongo, S., Radwanska, M., and Magez, S. (2023) NANOBODIES®:

A Review of Diagnostic and Therapeutic Applications. *Int J Mol Sci.* **24**, 5994

29.   Wu, X., Chi, R. J., Baskin, J. M., Lucast, L., Burd, C. G., DeCamilli, P., and

Reinisch, K. M. (2014) Structural Insights into Assembly and Regulation of the

Plasma Membrane Phosphatidylinositol 4-Kinase Complex. *Developmental Cell.* **28**,

19–29

30.   Hornbeck, P. V., Zhang, B., Murray, B., Kornhauser, J. M., Latham, V., and

Skrzypek, E. (2015) PhosphoSitePlus, 2014: mutations, PTMs and recalibrations.

Nucleic Acids Res. **43**, D512-20

31.   Yaron-Barir, T. M., Joughin, B. A., Huntsman, E. M., Kerelsky, A., Cizin, D. M.,

Cohen, B. M., Regev, A., Song, J., Vasan, N., Lin, T.-Y., Orozco, J. M., Schoenherr,

C., Sagum, C., Bedford, M. T., Wynn, R. M., Tso, S.-C., Chuang, D. T., Li, L., Li, S.

S.-C., Creixell, P., Krismer, K., Takegami, M., Lee, H., Zhang, B., Lu, J., Cossentino,

I., Landry, S. D., Uduman, M., Blenis, J., Elemento, O., Frame, M. C., Hornbeck, P.

V., Cantley, L. C., Turk, B. E., Yaffe, M. B., and Johnson, J. L. (2024) The intrinsic

substrate specificity of the human tyrosine kinome. *Nature.* **629**, 1174–1181

32.   Kwon, J., Kim, D. H., Park, J. M., Park, Y. H., Hwang, Y. H., Wu, H.-G., Shin, K.

H., and Kim, I. A. (2016) Targeting Phosphatidylinositol 4-Kinase IIIα for

Radiosensitization: A Potential Model of Drug Repositioning Using an Anti-Hepatitis

C Viral Agent. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* **96**, 867–876

33.   McMahon, C., Baier, A. S., Pascolutti, R., Wegrecki, M., Zheng, S., Ong, J. X.,

Erlandson, S. C., Hilger, D., Rasmussen, S. G. F., Ring, A. M., Manglik, A., and

Kruse, A. C. (2018) Yeast surface display platform for rapid discovery of

conformationally selective nanobodies. *Nat Struct Mol Biol.* **25**, 289–296

34.   Shaw, A. L., Suresh, S., Parson, M. A. H., Harris, N. J., Jenkins, M. L., Yip, C. K.,

and Burke, J. E. (2024) Structure of calcineurin bound to PI4KA reveals dual

interface in both PI4KA and FAM126A. *Structure.* **32**, 1973-1983.e6

35.   Abramson, J., Adler, J., Dunger, J., Evans, R., Green, T., Pritzel, A.,

Ronneberger, O., Willmore, L., Ballard, A. J., Bambrick, J., Bodenstein, S. W.,

Evans, D. A., Hung, C.-C., O'Neill, M., Reiman, D., Tunyasuvunakool, K., Wu, Z.,

Žemgulytė, A., Arvaniti, E., Beattie, C., Bertolli, O., Bridgland, A., Cherepanov, A.,

Congreve, M., Cowen-Rivers, A. I., Cowie, A., Figurnov, M., Fuchs, F. B., Gladman,

H., Jain, R., Khan, Y. A., Low, C. M. R., Perlin, K., Potapenko, A., Savy, P., Singh,

S., Stecula, A., Thillaisundaram, A., Tong, C., Yakneen, S., Zhong, E. D., Zielinski,

M., Žídek, A., Bapst, V., Kohli, P., Jaderberg, M., Hassabis, D., and Jumper, J. M.

(2024) Accurate structure prediction of biomolecular interactions with AlphaFold 3.

Nature. 10.1038/s41586-024-07487-w

36.   James, E. I., Murphree, T. A., Vorauer, C., Engen, J. R., and Guttman, M. (2022)

Advances in Hydrogen/Deuterium Exchange Mass Spectrometry and the Pursuit of

Challenging Biological Systems. *Chem Rev.* **122**, 7562–7623

37.   Masson, G. R., Jenkins, M. L., and Burke, J. E. (2017) An overview of hydrogen

deuterium exchange mass spectrometry (HDX-MS) in drug discovery. *Expert Opin*

Drug Discov. **12**, 981–994

38.   Skinner, J. J., Lim, W. K., Bédard, S., Black, B. E., and Englander, S. W. (2012)

Protein hydrogen exchange: testing current models. *Protein Sci.* **21**, 987–995

39.   Bojjireddy, N., Guzman-Hernandez, M. L., Reinhard, N. R., Jovic, M., and Balla,

T. (2015) EFR3s are palmitoylated plasma membrane proteins that control

responsiveness to G-protein-coupled receptors. *J Cell Sci.* **128**, 118–128

40.   Koester, A. M., Geiser, A., Laidlaw, K. M. E., Morris, S., Cutiongco, M. F. A.,

Stirrat, L., Gadegaard, N., Boles, E., Black, H. L., Bryant, N. J., and Gould, G. W.

(2022) EFR3 and phosphatidylinositol 4-kinase IIIα regulate insulin-stimulated

glucose transport and GLUT4 dispersal in 3T3-L1 adipocytes. *Bioscience Reports*.

42, BSR20221181

41.   Chung, J., Nakatsu, F., Baskin, J. M., and De Camilli, P. (2015) Plasticity of

PI4KIIIα interactions at the plasma membrane. *EMBO reports*. **16**, 312–320

42.   Batrouni, A. G., Bag, N., Phan, H. T., Baird, B. A., and Baskin, J. M. (2022) A

palmitoylation code controls PI4KIIIα complex formation and PI(4,5)P2 homeostasis

at the plasma membrane. *J Cell Sci*. **135**, jcs259365

43.   Beghein, E., and Gettemans, J. (2017) Nanobody Technology: A Versatile Toolkit

for Microscopic Imaging, Protein-Protein Interaction Analysis, and Protein Function

Exploration. *Front Immunol*. **8**, 771

44.   Koenig, P.-A., Das, H., Liu, H., Kümmerer, B. M., Gohr, F. N., Jenster, L.-M.,

Schiffelers, L. D. J., Tesfamariam, Y. M., Uchima, M., Wuerth, J. D., Gatterdam, K.,

Ruetalo, N., Christensen, M. H., Fandrey, C. I., Normann, S., Tödtmann, J. M. P.,

Pritzl, S., Hanke, L., Boos, J., Yuan, M., Zhu, X., Schmid-Burgk, J. L., Kato, H.,

Schindler, M., Wilson, I. A., Geyer, M., Ludwig, K. U., Hällberg, B. M., Wu, N. C.,

and Schmidt, F. I. (2021) Structure-guided multivalent nanobodies block SARS-

CoV-2 infection and suppress mutational escape. *Science*. **371**, eabe6230

45.   Fischer, B., Uchański, T., Sheryazdanova, A., Gonzalez, S., Volkov, A. N.,

Brosens, E., Zögg, T., Kalichuk, V., Ballet, S., Versées, W., Sablina, A. A., Pardon,

E., Wohlkönig, A., and Steyaert, J. (2024) Allosteric nanobodies to study the

interactions between SOS1 and RAS. *Nat Commun*. **15**, 6214

46.   Weissmann, F., Petzold, G., VanderLinden, R., Huis In't Veld, P. J., Brown, N.

G., Lampert, F., Westermann, S., Stark, H., Schulman, B. A., and Peters, J. M.

(2016) BiGBac enables rapid gene assembly for the expression of large multisubunit

protein complexes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United

States of America*. **113**, E2564–E2569

47.   Gibson, D. G., Young, L., Chuang, R. Y., Venter, J. C., Hutchison, C. A., and

Smith, H. O. (2009) Enzymatic assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred

kilobases. *Nature Methods*. **6**, 343–345

48.   McMahon, C., Baier, A. S., Pascolutti, R., Wegrecki, M., Zheng, S., Ong, J. X.,
Erlandson, S. C., Hilger, D., Rasmussen, S. G. F., Ring, A. M., Manglik, A., and
Kruse, A. C. (2018) Yeast surface display platform for rapid discovery of
conformationally selective nanobodies. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*. **25**, 289–296
49.   Stariha, J. T. B., Hoffmann, R. M., Hamelin, D. J., and Burke, J. E. (2021)
Probing Protein-Membrane Interactions and Dynamics Using Hydrogen-Deuterium
Exchange Mass Spectrometry (HDX-MS). *Methods Mol Biol*. **2263**, 465–485
50.   Dobbs, J. M., Jenkins, M. L., and Burke, J. E. (2020) Escherichia coli and Sf9
Contaminant Databases to Increase Efficiency of Tandem Mass Spectrometry
Peptide Identification in Structural Mass Spectrometry Experiments. *J Am Soc Mass*
Spectrom. **31**, 2202–2209
51.   Kong, A. T., Leprevost, F. V., Avtonomov, D. M., Mellacheruvu, D., and
Nesvizhskii, A. I. (2017) MSFragger: ultrafast and comprehensive peptide
identification in mass spectrometry-based proteomics. *Nat Methods*. **14**, 513–520
52.   Masson, G. R., Burke, J. E., Ahn, N. G., Anand, G. S., Borchers, C., Brier, S.,
Bou-Assaf, G. M., Engen, J. R., Englander, S. W., Faber, J., Garlish, R., Griffin, P.
R., Gross, M. L., Guttman, M., Hamuro, Y., Heck, A. J. R., Houde, D., Iacob, R. E.,
Jørgensen, T. J. D., Kaltashov, I. A., Klinman, J. P., Konermann, L., Man, P.,
Mayne, L., Pascal, B. D., Reichmann, D., Skehel, M., Snijder, J., Strutzenberg, T.
S., Underbakke, E. S., Wagner, C., Wales, T. E., Walters, B. T., Weis, D. D., Wilson,
D. J., Wintrode, P. L., Zhang, Z., Zheng, J., Schriemer, D. C., and Rand, K. D.
(2019) Recommendations for performing, interpreting and reporting hydrogen
deuterium exchange mass spectrometry (HDX-MS) experiments. *Nat. Methods*. **16**,
595–602
53.   Perez-Riverol, Y., Bai, J., Bandla, C., García-Seisdedos, D., Hewapathirana, S.,
Kamatchinathan, S., Kundu, D. J., Prakash, A., Frericks-Zipper, A., Eisenacher, M.,
Walzer, M., Wang, S., Brazma, A., and Vizcaíno, J. A. (2022) The PRIDE database
resources in 2022: a hub for mass spectrometry-based proteomics evidences.
Nucleic Acids Res. **50**, D543–D552

54.   Bates, T. A., Gurmessa, S. K., Weinstein, J. B., Trank-Greene, M., Wrynla, X. H.,

Anastas, A., Anley, T. W., Hinchliff, A., Shinde, U., Burke, J. E., and Tafesse, F. G.

(2025) Biolayer interferometry for measuring the kinetics of protein-protein

interactions and nanobody binding. *Nat Protoc.* **20**, 861–883

1071