KiF1a-regulated neuronal infrastructures in sensory and prefrontal cortices essential for fear memory and anxiety

Jiayi Li, Bingchen Chen, Yun Zhang, Yang Xu, Lei Wang and Jin-Hui Wang*

College of Life Science, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing China

Running title: associative memory neurons for psychological fear

Key words: fear memory, anxiety, associative memory cell, cerebral cortex, synapse and neuron

Word counter: Abstract, 181; Text, 7567.

*Correspondents author:
Jin-Hui Wang, PhD and MD
Distinguished professor in neuroscience
University of Chinese Academy of Science
Beijing China 100049
wangjinhui@ucas.ac.cn

Abbreviations: AMN, associative memory neurons; mPFC, medial prefrontal cortex; VC, visual cortex; AC, auditory cortex; BS, battle sound; BI, battle image; Ob, observer; Con, control; KiF1a, kinesin family member-1a; RIP, resident/intruder paradigm; SIT, social interaction test, EPM, elevated-plus maze; LGB, lateral geniculate body; MGB, medial geniculate body; AP, anteroposterior; D-AP5, D-(-)-2-Amino-5-Phosphonopentanoic Acid; CNQX, 6-Cyano-7-Nitroquinoxaline-2,3-Dione.

Abstract (<200)

Stress in social activities induces fears. Cellular infrastructures and molecular profiles underlying fear

memory to psychological stress remain elusive for designing therapeutic strategies. With making mice

psychological trauma by watching fear scenes in a resident/intruder paradigm, we have studied the

features of neuronal infrastructures in visual, auditory and medial prefrontal cortices correlated to this

learned fear by the approaches of behavioral task, neural tracing, electrophysiology and molecular

biology. This psychological trauma causes observational mice fear memory specific to resident mouse.

This learned fear is associated with the formation of synapse interconnections among visual, auditory

and medial prefrontal cortices and the strengthening of synapse interconnections among intramodal

neurons. These cortical neurons receive new synapse innervations from their interconnected neurons

alongside innate synapse innervations and become to encode the stress signals including battle image

and battle sound inputted from these synapses. The *KiF1a* knockdown in the medial prefrontal cortex

precludes the onsets of the neuronal infrastructures and the learned fear. KiF1a-mediated intracellular

transportation in the medial prefrontal cortex is essential for the recruitment of associative memory

neurons that encode fear scenes and anxiety.

Highlight

1) Psychological stress induces fears and interconnections among sensory and prefrontal cortices.

2) Associative memory neurons in these cortices are recruited to encode fear scenes and anxiety.

3) KiF1a-mediated transportation essential for these cellular infrastructures relevant to learned fear.

Introduction

The stress in social activities leads to the fear based on memory to stressful signals. The learned fear endorses adaptative functions by activating defensive behaviors in the anticipation of dangerous outcomes and minimizing the impact of threats (*1-3*). On the other hand, the learned fear by severe persistent stress triggers posttraumatic stress disorder, obsessive-compulsive disorder and generalized anxiety (*4-12*). These affective disorders in turn suppress the immune and cardiovascular systems to cause secondary diseases (*13*). It is crucial to reveal the cellular and molecular mechanisms underlying stress-induced fears and their impacts, which is the primary goal for the maintenance of the moderate fear memory and the relief of the stress-induced pathological mood (*14, 15*). The comprehensive diagram of neural circuits and molecular profiles for learned fear and its impacts is expectedly figured to explore the adaptive and therapeutic strategies (*1, 14, 16-20*).

The learned fear may occur in the physical impairment by painful stresses and the psychological trauma by observing and/or listening stressful episodes (*1-3, 21*). The neural correlates for the learned fear based on fear memory have been studied by using the fear conditioning for rodents to physically receive electrical shocks to their feet with bell ring or contexture (*8, 22-25*). The amygdala, the nucleus accumbens and the medial prefrontal cortex are thought of as the critical regions in relevance to the learned fear (*1, 25-55*). In another model of the body injury, the learned fear is evoked in the social stress by resident/intruder paradigm (*7, 21, 56-63*). The stressful signals including somatic injury pain and battle sound are detected by sensory receptors, inputted by afferent nerves, encoded in sensory cortices and integrated in the association cortices, the medial prefrontal cortex and the amygdala, which are essential for fear responses (*21, 64, 65*). Studies by the gene screening have identified many molecules relevant to the fear based on physical impairments, including the

activity-dependent genes, neuronal structure molecules and intracellular signal cascades (*7, 60-62,*

66-70). As the body injury is associated with the pain, cellular and molecular mechanisms underlying

pain and pain-relevant fear may be extensively overlapped and complicated (*20, 71*).

In comparison with the somatic pain-associated fear, the learned fear more commonly occurs in

observing and listening stressful episodes that cause psychological traumas (*1-3, 72-74*). The neural

locations and molecular profiles for the fear induced by the psychological trauma have been studied

(*2, 7, 60, 61, 75-78*). In addition to the amygdala (*3, 74*), the auditory, visual and medial prefrontal

cortices may be involved, since these cortical areas encode stressful signals and fear memories (*21, 41,*

65, 79-85). However, it is largely unknown what are the basic features of neuronal infrastructures in

local cortical areas and the interconnections among cerebral cortices essential for the fear induced by

the psychological trauma, how the memory trace to store psychological fear is constructed in the

brain and how the cortical neurons are recruited to encode the stress signals and this type of the fear.

Taken all of these questions, we aimed to examine how the observation and the listening of the stress

episodes recruit the neuronal infrastructures correlated to the learned fear.

The strategies to address this question are given below. A C57 mouse as an observational subject

(observer) was placed in face to a cage of resident/intruder paradigm, in which a resident CD1 mouse

attacked an intruder C57 mouse, to induce the fear by the psychological trauma (*7, 56-59, 63, 86*).

The formation of the learned fear was assessed by a social interaction test (SIT) and an elevated-plus

maze test. The interconnections among the visual, auditory and medial prefrontal cortices were

examined by the neural tracing with adeno-associated virus (AAV) vectors that carried the genes of

encoding fluorescent proteins. Cellular infrastructures within these areas were investigated by the

neural tracing to track axon interconnections and synapse formations among these cortical neurons

as well as by *in vivo* electrophysiological recordings in these cortical neurons to analyze their encoding

of the stressful signals including sounds and images recorded from the battle scenes. The recruitment

of associative memory neurons was ensured when new and innate synapse contacts were detected

convergently onto dendritic spines of axon-targeted neurons (*21, 87-89*) and when such cortical

neurons expressed the increased spike-encoding capability in response to fear signals (*87, 90, 91*). An

essential role of associative memory neurons, whose recruitment required motor protein kinesin for

the intracellular transportation, in the learned fear was examined by using RNAi specific to silence

kinesin family member-1a (*KiF1a*).

Results

The cellular infrastructure in medial prefrontal, visual and auditory cortices correlated to the fear

induced by psychological traumas is presented in this section. The fear in C57 juvenile mice was onset

after witnessing the attacks of a resident mouse to an intruder mouse in a resident/intruder paradigm,

in which stress signals included scare images and sounds in their battle. The synapse interconnections

were morphologically studied by neural tracing based on AAV vectors. The recruitment of associative

memory neurons was identified by both *in vivo* electrophysiological recording and neural tracing. The

associative memory neurons were surely recruited when cortical neurons receive convergent synapse

innervations from the axon boutons of new and innate pathways and became to encode stress signals.

The essential role of KiF1a in these processes was examined by shRNA specific for *KiF1a* knockdown.

Psychological trauma by witnessing resident/intruder paradigm induces learned fear and anxiety

In face to the cage of resident/intruder paradigm once a day for twelve days (a timeline in Figure

1A), observational mice (observers) were assessed by the social interaction test to examine the onset

of fear memory specific to this resident mouse and by the elevated-plus maze test to examine anxiety.

Compared to control mice (left panel in Figure 1B), observers appear to stay away from this resident

mouse placed in a small interaction box (right panel). The stay durations in an interaction zone, or the

interaction time (seconds), in response to this resident mouse out of the total time (360 seconds) are

124.5 ±8.09 in observational mice (n=35) and 159.8±9 in controls (n=30, p=0.005, ANOVA, Figure 1C).

In comparison with control mice (left panel in Figure 1D), the observers appear to stay away from the

battle sound (right panel). The interaction time (seconds) in response to battle sounds is 100.3±5.25

in observers (n=36) and 123.9±6.61 in controls (n=27, p=0.007, ANOVA, Figure 1E). However, these

control and observational mice do not show fear to the resident mouse and battle sounds before the

experiments, and the observational mice appear not fear to mouse surrogates and the sound in their

living environment after seeing resident/intruder paradigms (Figure S1). These results indicate that

the mice of experiencing psychological traumas express fear memories specific to the resident mouse

and battle sounds. Moreover, in comparison with control mice (left panel in Figure 1F), observational

mice appear to avoid the open fields since they prefer to stay in the closed arms of the elevated-plus

maze, EPM (right panel). The percentages of the stay time in the open arms over the total time on the

EPM are 1.76±0.5% in observers (n= 16) and 7.87±1.6 % in controls (n=15, p=0.0008, ANOVA, Figure

1G), indicating that observational mice become more anxious. Therefore, psychological trauma leads

to fear memory and anxiety-like behaviors.

In terms of cellular infrastructure underlying the learned fear in observational mice, we assumed

that the auditory cortex was activated by battle sounds and the visual cortex was activated by battle

scenes in a resident/intruder paradigms simultaneously. Based on the principle of coactivity together

and interconnection together that recruits associative memory neurons (14, 91, 92), we further

assumed that the stress signal instigated the new synapse interconnections among auditory, visual

and prefrontal cortices to recruit these cortical neurons to be associative memory neurons that

specifically encode stressful signals and fears.

Psychological trauma recruits new synapses and associative memory neurons to encode fear signals

The synapse interconnections between auditory and visual cortices and the synapse innervations

from such cortices toward the medial prefrontal cortex were examined by the neural tracing with AAV

vectors. 0.3 µl AAV-CMV-tdTomato and 0.3 µl AAV-CMV-BFP were microinjected in the visual cortex

and the auditory cortex, respectively (Figure 2A). Three days after AAV injection, these mice observed

the attack of CD1 resident mouse to C57 intruder mouse in resident/intruder paradigm to experience

psychological trauma or stayed in a witness cage without C57 intruder mouse once a day for twelve

days. The brain slices including visual, auditory and medial prefrontal cortices from two groups were

scanned under a confocal microscope. In the visual cortex, the contacts of BFP-labelled axon boutons

on YFP-labelled dendritic spines were thought of as the synapses made by those axons crossmodally

projected from the auditory cortex, and the contacts of RFP-labelled axon boutons on YFP-labelled

dendritic spines were the synapses made by those axons among intramodal visual cortical neurons. In

the auditory cortex, the contacts of RFP-labelled axonal boutons on YFP-labelled dendritic spines were

the synapses made by the axons crossmodally from the visual cortex, and the contacts of BFP-labelled

axon boutons on YFP-labelled dendritic spines were the intramodal synapses. In the medial prefrontal

cortex, the contacts of BFP- and RFP-labelled axons on YFP-labelled dendritic spines were convergent

synapse innervations made by the axons crossmodally from the auditory cortex and the visual cortex.

When the neurons in visual, auditory and medial frontal cortices receive two sources of synapse

inputs, these cortical neurons are theoretically able to encode battle images and battle sounds in the

resident/intruder paradigm, i.e., they are recruited to be associative memory neurons in morphology

(*14, 21, 65*). Moreover, *in vivo* electrophysiological recordings in these cortices were conducted to

examine whether these cortical neurons become able to encode battle sounds and images. Spike

frequencies were an index of neuron-activity strength. The evoked spikes on the background of

spontaneous spikes were analyzed. Normalized spike frequency in response to one of stressful signals

was calculated to be the ratio of the frequency of evoked spikes to the frequency of spontaneous

spikes in 30 seconds before stimuli. If these ratios reached 1.5 or above, the recorded neurons were

deemed as the responses to these signals. The neurons responded to one of two signals were called as

active neurons. Auditory, visual and medial prefrontal cortical neurons in response to battle images

and battle sound were presumably associative memory neurons in function, similar to previous

studies (*14, 21, 65, 87, 91, 92*).

New synapses and associative memory neurons in the visual cortex: BFP-labelled axon boutons

from auditory cortex to the visual cortex and their synapse contacts on visual cortical neurons appear

more in observers with the fear memory (bottom panel in Figure 2B) than controls (top panel). Axon

boutons per mm^3 are $7.44 \times 10^5 \pm 0.73 \times 10^5$ in observers (n=34 cubes from 5 mice) and $5.34 \times 10^5 \pm 0.74 \times$

10^5 in control group (n=31 cubes from 5 mice, p<0.05, ANOVA, Figure 2C). Synapse contacts per 100

μm dendrite on visual cortical neurons are 2.58±0.3 in observers (n=28 dendrites from 5 mice) and

1.17±0.2 in controls (n=19 dendrites from 5 mice, p=0.0015, ANOVA, Figure 2D). Furthermore, to the

intramodal axon boutons and synapses in the visual cortex, the RFP- labelled axon boutons and their

synapse contacts appear more in observers with fear memory (bottom panel in Figure 2E) than in

controls (top panel). Axon boutons per mm^3 are $7.57 \times 10^5 \pm 1.41 \times 10^5$ in observers (n=14 cubes from 4

mice) and $2.45 \times 10^5 \pm 0.87 \times 10^5$ in controls (n=26 cubes from 4 mice, p=0.0024, ANOVA, Figure 2F).

Synapse contacts per 100 μm dendrite on visual cortical neurons are 2.48±0.4 in observers (n=12

dendrites from 4 mice) and 1.03 ±0.26 in controls (n=13 dendrites from 4 mice, p=0.0048, ANOVA,

Figure 2G). These data indicate that the synapse connections from the auditory cortex to the visual

cortex and the synapse interconnections among visual cortical neurons are formed and increased in

observational mice with the fear memory induced by psychological traumas. The formation of new

synapses is also supported by the upregulation of dendritic spines on visual cortical neurons from

observational mice (Figure S5).

In the recording of unitary discharges at visual cortical neurons, battle sound and battle image in

30 seconds were given sequentially to observational mice with fear memory and control mice (Figure

2H). Some visual cortical neurons appear responding to both battle sound and image in observational

mouse with fear memory (red trace in Figure 2I), but not control mouse (blue trace). The percentages

of associative memory neurons in total active neurons were 38.89 % in observers (n=21/54 from 19

mice) and 14.89% in controls (n=7/47 from 15 mice; χ^2=7.22, p=0.007, Chi-square test, Figure 2J). Such

visual cortical neurons in observers do not respond to sounds in their living environments (Figure S2).

This result indicates that the synapse innervations to visual cortical neurons from the auditory cortex

recruit them to encode battle sounds and battle images, or associative memory neurons, for the joint

storage of fear signals. This indication is granted by a result that the number of visual cortical neurons

in response to battle sounds is more in observers than in controls (χ^2=4.55, p=0.033, Figure 2K). In

addition, normalized spike frequencies at active visual cortical neurons in response to battle sounds

are 2.62 ±0.20 in observers (n=31 cells from 19 mice) and 1.96±0.15 in control (n=17 cells from 15

mice, p=0.026, ANOVA, Figure 2L), indicating that the synapse innervations from the auditory cortex

(Figure 2B-D) enhance the excitatory activity of visual cortical neurons. Normalized spike frequencies

at active visual cortical neurons in response to battle images are 2.57±0.22 in observers (n=44 cells

from 19 mice) and 1.87± 0.08 in controls (n=37 cells from 15 mice, p=0.006, ANOVA, Figure 2M),

indicating that the synapse innervations raised within intramodal visual cortex (Figure 2E-G) enhance

the excitatory activity of visual cortical neurons.

New synapses and associative memory neurons in auditory cortex: RFP-labelled axon boutons

from the visual cortex to the auditory cortex and their synapse contacts appear more in observational

mice with fear memory (bottom panel in Figure 3A) than controls (top panel). Axon boutons per mm^3

are $4.76x10^5± 0.66x10^5$ in observer (n=35 cubes from 5 mice) and $2.54x10^5±0.27 x10^5$ in control (n=25

cubes from 5 mice, p=0.008, ANOVA, Figure 3B). Synapse contacts per 100 μm dendrite on auditory

cortical neurons are 1.68±0.18 in observers (n=35 dendrites from 5 mice) and 0.83±0.18 in controls

(n=16 dendrites from 5 mice, p=0.0049, ANOVA, Figure 3C). Furthermore, to intramodal axon boutons

and synapses in the auditory cortex, BFP-labelled synapse contacts appear more in observers with

fear memory (bottom panel in Figure 3D) than in controls (top panel). Axon boutons per mm^3 are

$3.77x10^6±0.59x10^6$ in observers (n=26 cubes from 5 mice) and $3.26x10^6±0.35x10^6$ in controls (n=43

cubes from 6 mice, p=0.43, ANOVA, Figure 3E). Synapse contacts per 100 μm dendrite on auditory

cortical neurons are 2.61±0.33 in observers (n=24 dendrites from 9 mice) and 1.46±0.23 in controls

(n=31 dendrites from 5 mice, p=0.0049, ANOVA, Figure 3F). Thus, the synapse connections from the

visual cortex to the auditory cortex as well as the synapse interconnections among auditory cortical

neurons are formed and increased in observational mice with fear memory induced by psychological

trauma. The formation of new synapses is also granted by the change of dendritic spines on auditory

cortical neurons from observational mice (Figure S5).

The *in vivo* electrophysiological recordings in the auditory cortex were used to examine whether

auditory cortical neurons become able to encode battle sound and battle image (Figure 3G). Auditory

cortical neurons respond to both battle sounds and images in observational mouse with fear memory

(red trace in Figure 3H), but not in control mouse (blue trace). The percentages of associative memory

neurons in total active neurons were 45% in observers (n=27⁄60 from 19 mice) and 22% in controls

(n=9⁄41 from 14 mice; χ^2= 5.641, p=0.018, Chi- square test, Figure 3I). These auditory cortical neurons

in observers do not respond to flower images (Figure S2). This result indicates that the synapse inputs

to auditory cortical neurons from the visual cortex recruit them to encode battle sounds and images,

or associative memory neurons, for the joint storage of fear signals. This indication is supported by the

result that the number of auditory cortical neurons in response to battle images is more in observers

than in controls (χ^2=10.35, p=0.001, Figure 3J). Furthermore, normalized spike frequencies at active

auditory cortical neurons in response to battle sounds are 2.59±0.17 in observers (n=47 cells from 15

mice) and 2.04±0.11 in controls (n=36 cells from 14 mice, p=0.01, ANOVA, Figure 3K), indicating that

the synapse innervations raised in the intramodal auditory cortex (Figure 3D) enhance the excitatory

activity of auditory cortical neurons. However, normalized spike frequencies at active auditory cortical

neurons in response to battle images are 2.36±0.15 in observers (n=40 cells from 15 mice) and

2.4±0.37 in controls (blue bar, n=14 cells from 15 mice, p=0.92, ANOVA, Figure 3K), implying that the

synapse innervations increased from the cross-modal visual cortex (Figure 3A-C) are not sufficient to

enhance the excitatory activity of auditory cortical neurons.

   New synapses and associative memory neurons in the medial prefrontal cortex: As the medial

prefrontal cortex locates at the downstream of sensory cortices in neuronal signal flows **(93, 94)** and

prefrontal cortical neurons can be recruited as associative memory cells to encode physiological

signals (*64, 95, 96*), these medial prefrontal cortical neurons may be recruited as associative memory

neurons to encode the fear signals in psychological traumas based on receiving convergent synapse

innervations from visual and auditory cortices.

To study axon boutons and their synapse contacts in the medial prefrontal cortex crossmodally

from visual and auditory cortices, we microinjected 0.3 μl AAV-CMV-tdTomato in the visual cortex and

0.3 μl AAV-CMV-BFP in the auditory cortex (top panel in Figure 4A). RFP-labelled axon boutons, BFP-

labelled axon boutons and synapse contacts made by these axon inputs appear more in the medial

prefrontal cortex in observational mice with fear memory (bottom panel in Figure 4B) than in controls

(top and middle panels). Axon boutons per mm^3 in the medial prefrontal cortex are $1.82 \times 10^6 \pm 0.4 \times 10^6$

in observers (n=27 cubes from 5 mice) and $0.63 \times 10^6 \pm 0.14 \times 10^6$ in controls (n=36 cubes from 5 mice,

p=0.003, ANOVA, Figure 4C). Synapse contacts per 100 μm dendrite on medial prefrontal cortical

neurons are 2.18±0.2 in observers (n=31 dendrites from 5 mice) and 0.81±0.16 in controls (n=22

dendrites from 5 mice, p<0.0001, ANOVA, Figure 4D). Moreover, to examine intramodal axon boutons

and their synapse contacts in the medial prefrontal cortex, we microinjected 0.3 μl AAV-CMV-EGFP in

this area (bottom panel in Figure 4A). GFP-labelled intramodal axon boutons and the synapse contacts

appear more in observers with fear memory (bottom panel in Figure 4E) than in controls (top panel).

Axon boutons per mm^3 are $1.07 \times 10^7 \pm 0.39 \times 10^7$ in observers (n=12 cubes from 5 mice) and $0.27 \times 10^7 \pm$

0.1×10^7 in controls (n=16 cubes from 5 mice, p=0.035, ANOVA, Figure 4F). Synapse contacts per 100

μm dendrite on medial prefrontal cortical neurons are 4.42±0.43 in observers (n=10 dendrites from 4

mice) and 2.99±0.45 in controls (n=9 dendrites from 4 mice, p=0.036, ANOVA, Figure 4G). Therefore,

the convergent synapse innervations on medial prefrontal cortical neurons from visual and auditory

cortices and the synapse interconnections among medial prefrontal cortical neurons are formed and

increased in observational mice with fears.

The *in vivo* electrophysiological recordings in the medial prefrontal cortex were used to examine

whether medial prefrontal cortical neurons become able to encode stressful signals (Figure 4H). Some

medial prefrontal cortical neurons respond to battle sounds and images in observational mouse with

fear memory (red trace in Figure 4I), but not control one (blue trace). The percentages of associative

memory neurons in the total neurons were 19.77 % in observers (n=17/86 from 10 mice) and 3.17% in

controls (n=2/63 from 7 mice; χ^2= 9.0, p=0.003, Chi-square test, Figure 4J). Medial prefrontal cortical

neurons in observers do not respond to the environmental sound and flower image (Figure S2). These

data indicate that synapse innervations on medial prefrontal cortical neurons from visual and auditory

cortices recruit them to encode battle sound and battle image, or associative memory neuron, for the

learned fear induced by psychological traumas. This indication is granted by a result that the number

of medial prefrontal cortical neurons in response to battle image or battle sound is more in observers

than controls (χ^2=12.96, p=0.0003, Figure 4K). Furthermore, normalized spike frequencies at medial

prefrontal cortical neurons in response to battle sound are 1.61±0.14 in observers (n=86 cells from 10

mice) and 0.99±0.08 in controls (n=63 cells from 7 mice, p=0.0005, ANOVA, Figure 4L). Normalized

spike frequencies at medial prefrontal cortical neurons in response to battle images are 1.74±0.13 in

observers (n=86 cells from 10 mice) and 1.22±0.11 in controls (n=63 cells from 7 mice, p=0.0035,

ANOVA, Figure 4M). These data indicate that the synapse innervations on medial prefrontal cortical

neurons increased from cross-modal visual and auditory cortices (Figure 4B-D) and among intramodal

neurons (Figure 4E-G) strengthen the excitatory activity of medial prefrontal cortical neurons.

Both morphological and electrophysiological data validate that psychological traumas recruit the

associative memory neurons in visual, auditory and medial prefrontal cortices. The formation of new

synapse innervations in these cortices crossmodally from their interconnected areas in the mice with

fear memory recruits cortical neurons to be associative memory neurons that encode fear signals. The

synapse innervations from cross-modal cortical neurons as well as the synapse interconnection among

intramodal neurons strengthen the spike-encoding of associative memory neurons and active cortical

neurons.

Kinesin family member-1A is required for recruiting associative memory neurons to encode fears

In terms of the mechanism underlying the recruitment of associative memory neurons to encode

fear memory, the molecules related to the axon growth and transportation for the interconnection of

visual, auditory and medial prefrontal cortices are likely involved. Kinesins are motor proteins for the

intracellular transportation of vesicles in axons and receptors in dendrites as well as the function of

synapses (*97-108*), which are relevant to the learning and memory (*109, 110*). Kinesins are also highly

expressed in the medial prefrontal cortex of observational mice (Figure S3). We hypothesized that

kinesins might be required for the elongation of axons, the enlargement of dendritic spines and the

formation of new synapses to recruit associative memory neurons to encode fears. The knockdown of

kinesin family member-1a (KiF1a) in the medial prefrontal cortex was conducted to examine the

requirement of KiF1a for these processes. A rationale for using *KiF1a* knockdown to suppress the

recruitment of associative memory cells is based on the axon projection for their recruitment (*21, 65,*

87, 89 Wang, 2019 #38551, 91). AAV-carried RNAi specific to *KiF1a* (AAV-U6-shKiF1a-GFP) was

injected in medial prefrontal cortices of observational mice five days before facing to a

resident/intruder paradigm (bottom panel in Figure 5A). This shRNA has been proven to reduce KiF1a

expression in the medial prefrontal cortex (Figure S3). shRNA scramble control was injected in

another group of observational mice. The experiments in *KiF1a* knockdown (*KiF1a*-KD) were

conducted with behavioral tasks, neural tracing and electrophysiology *in vivo* used in Figures 1-4. The

essential roles of KiF1a in the onset of fear memory, the formation of new synapse innervations and

the recruitment of associative memory cells would be ensured if these processes were lowered in

observational mice with *KiF1a* knockdown.

AAV-CMV-tdTomato and AAV-CMV-BFP were microinjected in the visual cortex and the auditory

cortex, respectively, when AAV-U6-shKiF1a-GFP was injected in the medial prefrontal cortex (Figure

5A). RFP-labelled axon boutons from the visual cortex, BFP-labelled axon boutons from the auditory

cortex and such axon-made synapse contacts on dendritic spines of medial prefrontal cortical neurons

(yellow) appear lower in observational mice with *KiF1a*-KD (bottom panel in Figure 5B) than scramble

control mice (top panel). Axon boutons per mm^3 in the medial prefrontal cortex are $3.48 \times 10^5 \pm 0.65 \times$

10^5 in *KiF1a*-KD group (n=51 cubes from 5 mice) and $9.52 \times 10^5 \pm 0.76 \times 10^5$ in scramble controls (n=69

cubes from 5 mice, p<0.0001, ANOVA, Figure 5C). Synapse contacts per 100 μm dendrite on medial

prefrontal cortical neurons are 0.85±0.15 in *KiF1a*-KD (n=36 dendrites from 5 mice) and 2.22±0.21 in

scrambles (n=62 dendrites from 5 mice, p<0.0001, ANOVA, Figure 5D). Moreover, intramodal axon

boutons and their synapse contacts in the medial prefrontal cortex were examined by GFP-mediated

tracing (AAV-U6-shKiF1a-GFP, bottom panel in Figure 5A). GFP-labelled intramodal axon boutons and

their synapse contacts appear lower in observers with *KiF1a*-KD (bottom panel in Figure 5E) than in

scrambles (top panel). Axon boutons per mm^3 are $9.25 \times 10^5 \pm 1.16 \times 10^5$ in *KiF1a*-KD (n=46 cubes of 5

mice) and $17.74 \times 10^5 \pm 2.49 \times 10^5$ in scrambles (n=50 cubes from 9 mice, p<0.01, ANOVA, Figure 5F).

Synapse contacts per 100 μm dendrite on medial prefrontal cortical neurons are 2.42±0.17 in *KiF1a*-

KD (n=38 dendrites from 5 mice) and 3.68±0.32 in scrambles (n=19 dendrites from 5 mice, p=0.0003,

ANOVA, Figure 5G). KiF1a is required for the formation of new cross-modal and intramodal synapse

innervations and for the recruitment of associative memory neurons in the medial prefrontal cortex

induced by psychological traumas.

The *in vivo* electrophysiological recordings in the medial prefrontal cortex were done to examine

the functional effectiveness of KiF1a on the recruitment of associative memory neurons in the medial

prefrontal cortex (Figure 5H). Medial prefrontal cortical neurons respond to battle sound and images

in scramble control mouse (blue trace in Figure 5I), but not observer with *KiF1a*-KD (red trace). The

percentages of associative memory neurons in all neurons were 4.08% in *KiF1a*-KD (n=2/49 from 7

mice) and 25.37% in scramble control (n=17/67 from 7 mice; χ^2= 9.37, p=0.002, Chi-square test, Figure

5J). The downregulation of synapse innervations on medial prefrontal cortical neurons from visual and

auditory cortices precludes them to encode battle sound and battle images in fear memories induced

by psychological traumas. This indication is granted by the data that the number of medial prefrontal

cortical neurons in response to battle images or battle sounds is more in scrambles than in *KiF1a*-KD

(χ^2=7.41, p=0.007, Figure 5K). Moreover, normalized spike frequencies at medial prefrontal cortical

neurons in response to battle sound are 1.05±0.13 in *KiF1a*-KD (n=49 cells from 7 mice) and 1.71±0.17

in scrambles (n=67 cells from 7 mice, p=0.0047 ANOVA, Figure 5L). Normalized spike frequencies at

medial prefrontal cortical neurons in response to battle images are 0.96±0.12 in *KiF1a*-KD (n=49 cells

from 7 mice) and 1.75±0.19 in scrambles (n=67 cells from 7 mice, p=0.002, ANOVA, Figure 5M). Thus,

the downregulation of synapse innervations from cross-modal and intramodal cortices to the medial

prefrontal cortical neurons that encode battle sound and battle images for fear memory weakens the

excitatory activity of medial prefrontal cortical neurons.

In the experiment of behavior tasks, the observational mice with KiF1a-KD appear not to avoid

the resident mouse (right panel in Figure 6A), compared with scramble control mice (left panel). The

interaction time (seconds) in response to a resident mouse is 125.6±8.3 in *KiF1a*-KD mice (n=16) and

88.96±8.53 in scrambles (n=14; p=0.0047, ANOVA, Figure 6B). Furthermore, the interaction time in

response to battle sound appears lower in scramble controls (left panel in Figure 6C) than *KiF1a*-KD

mice (right panel). The interaction time (second) in response to battle sound is 121.1±8.62 in

KiF1a-KD mice (n=17) and 88.26±5.52 in scramble mice (n=16, p<0.01, ANOVA, Figure 6D). It is

noteworthy that the mice with injections of AAV-U6-sh*KiF1a*-GFP and scramble controls in medial

prefrontal cortices do not show fear to CD1 resident mouse, battle sound, housing environment sound

and mouse surrogate before the experiments as well as not fear to housing environment sound and

mouse surrogates after the psychological trauma (Figure S4). Therefore, *KiF1a* knockdown in the

medial prefrontal cortices of observational mice precludes the retrieval of fear memories to resident

mice and battle sounds.

The influence of *KiF1a* knockdown on anxiety-like behaviors of observational mice was examined

by using elevated-plus maze (EPM). Stay time on the plate of open arms in the EPM appears longer in

the observational mice with *KiF1a*-KD (right panel in Figure 6E) than scramble control mice (left panel).

The ratios of the stay time on the plate of the open arms to the total time in the EPM are 8.22±1.61%

in *KiF1a*-KD mice (n=9) and 0.96±0.31% in scramble control mice (n=9, p=0.0004, ANOVA, Figure 6F).

The *KiF1a* knockdown in medial prefrontal cortices of observational mice precludes their anxiety-like

behaviors induced by psychological traumas. These data indicate that KiF1a in the medial prefrontal

cortex plays an essential role in stress-induced fear memory and anxiety.

Associative memory neurons in the medial prefrontal cortex are secondary in nature

Psychological traumas recruit associative memory neurons that encode stressful signals in visual,

auditory and medial prefrontal cortices. As the medial prefrontal cortex anatomically and functionally

locates at the downstream of visual and auditory cortices, the associative memory neurons in sensory

cortices might be primary, and the associative memory neurons in the medial prefrontal cortex might

be secondary (*64, 95, 96*). The assumption can be proven if the encoding of stress signals at

associative memory neurons in the medial prefrontal cortex is prevented by downregulating the

recruitment of associative memory neurons in sensory cortices as well as blocked by inhibiting the

activity of sensory cortical neurons. The prevention of recruiting the associative memory neurons in

visual and auditory cortices was conducted by the *KiF1a* knockdown in these areas. The activity

blockage of visual and auditory cortical neurons was conducted by CNQX and D-AP5, the antagonists

of inotropic glutamate receptors, in these areas.

The KiF1a knockdown in visual and auditory cortices of observational mice (Figure 7A) appears to

prevent the responses of medial prefrontal cortical neurons to battle sounds and battle images (red

trace in Figure 7B), in comparison with scramble controls (blue trace). The percentages of associative

memory neurons in total neurons were 7.46% in KiF1a-KD group (n=5/67 from 4 mice) and 24.1% in

scramble group (n=13/54 from 4 mice; χ^2= 6.52, p= 0.01, Chi-square test, Figure 7C). The percentages

of active neurons in total neurons were 40.3% in KiF1a-KD group (n=27/67 from 4 mice) and 62.96% in

scramble controls (n= 34/54 from 4 mice; χ^2= 6.14, p=0.01, Chi-square test, Figure 7D). Moreover, the

blockages of ionotropic glutamate receptors in the visual and auditory cortices of observational mice

by 10 μM CNQX and 40 μM D-AP5 (Figure 7E) appear to attenuate the responses of medial prefrontal

cortical neurons to battle sounds and images (Figure 7F). The ratios of normalized spike frequencies in

responses to battle sounds (Figure 7G) and battle images (Figure 7H) are attenuated to less 1.5. These

suppressed responses of associative memory neurons to stress signals in the medial prefrontal cortex

by downregulating the activities of visual and auditory cortices indicate that the associative memory

neurons in sensory cortices are primary and the associative memory neurons in the medial prefrontal

cortex are secondary in nature.

Discussion

The psychological trauma by watching a resident/intruder paradigm causes the fear memory

specific to stressful scenes and anxiety-like behaviors (Figure 1). In the mice with this learned fear,

the synapse interconnections between visual and auditory cortices and their convergent synapses

on medial prefrontal cortical neurons are newly formed and functionally active. Some neurons in

these cortical areas become able to encode the stressful signals including battle sound and battle

images (Figures 2-4). That is, psychological trauma recruits associative memory neurons in neural

pathways from the visual and auditory cortices to the medial prefrontal cortex (Figures 2-4) that

encode fear signal. The recruitment of associative memory neurons and the onset of learned fear

are precluded by KiF1a knockdown, one of motor proteins (Figures 5-6). Therefore, psychological

trauma recruits visual, auditory and medial prefrontal cortical neurons as the associative memory

neurons that encode the learned fear by KiF1a-mediated transportation of intracellular molecules

and organelles.

In terms of the mechanisms for the recruitment of associative memory neurons in auditory,

visual and medial prefrontal cortices as well as the onset of learned fear, our interpretations are

given below. The stress signals in the battle scenes of resident/intruder paradigm were dissected

and sensed by the visual and auditory sensory systems, which activate visual and auditory cortical

neurons. Based on the principle of coactivity together and interconnection together (*14, 91, 92*),

the simultaneously intensive activities of these cortical neurons instigate the biophysical and

biochemical cascades from higher frequency action potentials to epigenetic events (*14, 87-90*), which

in turn trigger the axon elongation (*14, 87, 89*) and enhance KiF1a-mediated intracellular

transportations (*97-103, 105*). These neural events facilitate the projection of elongated axons to their

active target areas as well as the transportation of presynaptic vesicles and construction molecules for

axon innervations to their target neurons (*97, 99, 102*)(Figures 2-4). The biophysical and biochemical

cascades in coactive postsynaptic neurons may induce the expansion of dendritic arbors, the

transportation of dendritic molecules (*105-108, 111, 112*) and the increase of dendritic spines (*21*)

(Figure S5). The upregulations of presynaptic axonal arbors and postsynaptic dendritic spines

coordinately work for the formation of new synapses. When presynaptic boutons and postsynaptic

spines contact, these new synapses need be anchored and fixed by synapse-linkage proteins, e.g.,

neuroligins and neurexin (*21, 65, 113-116*). Whether the expansion of dendrites and their spines

requires a KiF1a-mediated intracellular transportation about postsynaptic receptors and

synapse-building molecules remains to be examined. New axon projection, spine increase and

synapse formation endorse cortical neurons to interconnect synaptically along with receiving their

previously formed synapses, such that the associative memory neurons are recruited in neural

pathways from sensory cortices to the medial prefrontal cortex that encode fear memory and anxiety.

Our data reveal that the psychological trauma induces the fear memory specific to stress signals

in battle scenes and the anxiety-like behaviors by the recruitment of associative memory neurons in

memory traces based on the KiF1a-mediated intracellular transportation. The downregulation of

KiF1a expression in the medial prefrontal cortex relieves fear memory and anxiety-like behaviors,

such that KiF1a-mediated process may be one of the targets for the relief of severe and persistent

fear memory that may cause posttraumatic stress disorder, obsessive-compulsive disorder and

generalized anxiety (*4-12*). On the other hand, the learned fear may endorse the adaptive functions

by activating the defensive behaviors in the anticipation of dangerous outcomes and minimizing the

impact of threats (*1-3*). It is crucial to further study the quantitative knockdown of KiF1a in order to

find its borderline level for maintaining moderate fear memory versus for relieving pathological

moods induced by severe and persistent stress (*14, 15*).

The previous studies about the neural correlates for the learned fear or fear memory have been

conducted by using the fear conditioning for rodents to physically receive the electrical shocks to their

feet with bell rings or contexture (*8, 22-25*). As the current of electrical shocks may spread to the

entire body and activate all of the neurons in the nervous system (*14, 21, 59, 63, 65, 86*), the

coactivity of these neurons may cause the neural circuits in relevance to this electrical stress to be

broad interconnections. The learned fear is also studied by the body impairment in resident/intruder

paradigm (*7, 21, 56-61, 63*). The stressful signals including somatic injury pain and battle sounds are

detected by multiple sensory systems, encoded in the sensory cortices and integrated in the medial

prefrontal cortex and amygdala (*21, 64, 65*). As the body injuries are accompanied by pain, the

cellular and molecular mechanisms for the pain and the pain-relevant fear are overlapped (*20, 71*).

The neuronal circuits correlated to these stressful paradigms may not specifically represent the

features of cellular infrastructure in the learned fear. On the other hand, compared with somatic

pain-associated fear, the learned fear more commonly occurs in observing and listening the stress

episodes that cause psychological trauma (*1-3, 72-74*). Thus, the neural allocations and molecular

profiles for the fear induced by the psychological trauma should be studied (*2, 7, 75-78*). In addition

to the amygdala (*3, 74*), the visual, auditory and medial prefrontal cortices are likely involved, since

these cortices encode the stressful signals and fear memories (*21, 41, 65, 79-85*). In present study, we

have looked through the basic features of neuronal infrastructures in visual, auditory and medial

prefrontal cortices that are correlated to the fear induced by psychological trauma. In those mice with

the fear memory specific to the stressful scenes induced by the psychological trauma, the synapse

interconnections emerge among visual, auditory and medial prefrontal cortices as well as among

intramodal neurons. Some neurons in these cortices become able to encode the stressful signals.

All of these changes for the recruitment of associative memory neurons rely on KiF1a-mediated

intracellular transportation. Our data provide new insights for cellular and molecular mechanisms

underlying fear memory and anxiety.

The auditory cortex and the visual cortex presumably encode associative learning and memory

(*81, 85, 117-126*). The medial prefrontal cortex is correlated to the integration of the associative

memories including cognition-relevant memory and fear memory (*37, 50, 64, 79, 127-132*). The

neural circuits among these cortices, the cellular infrastructure and the mechanisms correlated to the

associative memory remain unclear. Our results indicate that the emergence of the fear memory

induced by psychological trauma is coupled with the KiF1a-mediated synapse interconnections among

these cortical areas and among their intramodal neurons, which are recruited as the associative

memory neurons to encode the stressful signals and learned fear. These associative memory neurons

to encode psychological processes in pathology functionally differ from those associative memory

neurons to encode the physiological signals for the cognitive activity (*87, 88, 91, 92, 133, 134*). The

molecular profiles for the recruitment of associative memory neurons that encode the physiological

signals and the molecular profiles for the recruitment of associative memory neurons to encode

pathological signals should be addressed in order to selectively manipulate these two groups of

associative memory neurons, which may benefit to maintain physiological memories and expunge

pathological memories. It is noteworthy that the molecular markers for engrams have been thought

of immediate early genes (*135-137*). However, these genes are nonspecific in those overly active

neurons, e.g., excitotoxicity cells, epileptic cells and pain-relevant cells (*138-144*). A partial

colocalization of associative memory neurons and *cFos*-labelled neurons indicates that such two

populations are not identical, or not mutually represented (*65*).

In summary, the psychological traumas by the formation of new synapse interconnections among

cross-modal visual, auditory and medial prefrontal cortices and among the intramodal neurons recruit

them to be associative memory neurons that encode stress signals and fear. The associative memory

neurons of encoding psychological behaviors are primary in visual and auditory cortices and secondary

in the medial prefrontal cortex. The stress-induced psychological activities and cellular infrastructure

changes are based upon KiF1a-mediated intracellular transportation. The coactivity of cortical neurons

induced by the psychological trauma towards the KiF1a-mediated processes and their featured cellular

infrastructures are illustrated in Figure 8.

Materials and Methods

Studies approved in mice and animal's feeding: Experiments were conducted in accordance with

the guidelines and regulations by the Administration Office of Laboratory Animals in Beijing China. All

experimental protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee in Beijing

China (B10831).

Two species of mice have been utilized in our experiments. C57BL/6JThy1-YFP mice (Jackson Lab,

JAX stock #003782, USA) were used, whose glutamatergic neurons in the cerebral brain were labelled

genetically by the yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) (*145, 146*). These C57BL/6JThy1-YFP male and

female mice were randomly divided into control group and observational group in face to the

resident/intruder paradigm (RIP). This observational group also included the two subgroups in faces to

RIP plus *KiF1a* knockdown and to RIP plus shRNA scramble control. CD1 mice above three months

with more aggression were used as resident mice. The well-developed C57 mice on postnatal days

(PND) 21 were selected and placed into an observation cage neighboring to the cage used for

resident/intruder paradigm. These two cages were separated by a transparent and punctured wall

(Figure 1A). When C57 mice were faced to a cage including resident mouse and intruder mouse, they

were observational mice (observers). When C57 mice were faced to a cage without intruder mice,

they were control mice. Before facing to a resident/intruder paradigm, observational mice and control

mice were examined by the social interaction test (SIT) to identify their fear memory specific to a CD1

mouse and by the elevated-plus maze (EPM) test to assess their anxious state (*7, 21*). The two groups

of mice show no statistical differences in these tests (Figure S1). These mice were accommodated in

the specific pathogen-free facility with the circadian of twelve hours for night and day plus self-help

feeding. The face to resident/intruder paradigms and the behavioral tests were conducted during the

daytime of this circadian.

Behavioral study: C57 mice were fetched to the laboratory to accommodate experimenters and

the training apparatus for two days and were randomly assigned into observational group and control

group. The resident/intruder paradigm is introduced by the following references (*7, 21, 56-59, 63*) and

Figure 1A. In the meantime of doing resident/intruder paradigm, one observational mouse was placed

in a neighboring cage with the transparent and perforated glass- plate to separate two cages (Figure

1A). The times, duration and period for observational mice facing to the attacks of a CD1 mouse to an

intruder mouse in a resident/intruder paradigm were set as once a day for 30-90 seconds and twelve

days in total (Figure 1A). The protocol of this psychological trauma was also modified in the stressful

intensity daily during twelve-day training period, in order to reduce the habituation of observational

mice to the stresses. The battle image in the attacks of CD1 mouse to C57 mouse in resident/intruder

paradigm and the motional tracks of observational and control mice in the social interaction box were

recorded by the video camera located above these open fields. The battle sound was recorded by a

tiny audio recorder. That is, the complete procedure in the attacks of CD1 mice to C57 mice and their

battle sound were recorded at the same time for the subsequent uses in the social interaction test

and electrophysiological studies. The observational mice were sent back to their home-cage and

housed individually. In control procedures, C57 mice were placed in the cage neighboring the cage for

resident/intruder paradigm in the absence of intruder mouse.

The social interaction test was conducted in open field box (50 cm x 50 cm) that included small

transparent and perforated box (10 cm x 10 cm) showed in Figure 1A. A CD1 mouse, mouse surrogate

model or tiny audio recorder that broadcasted battle sound was placed in this small box, respectively,

about six minutes to test the avoidance behaviors of observational mice and control mice as an index

of the fear memories specific to CD1 mouse or battle sound. During this test, the battle sounds about

one minute were given three times with one-minute intervals, which was controlled by the Bluetooth.

The area within five centimeters around this small box was defined to be an interaction zone (Figure

1A, B and D). The stay duration of C57 mice within the interaction zone was named as the interaction

time. The EPM consisted of two open arms (30 cm x 5 cm) crossly opposite to two closed arms (30cm

× 5cm × 15.25 cm). These arms extended from a central platform (5 cm × 5 cm). The EPM was located

40 cm above the floor. The mice were placed in this central platform of the EPM in face to open arms

for the test of their anxious state. The measurements of C57 mouse behavioral tests about the time in

open arms and the interaction time were analyzed by a Matlab. The detail methods were described in

our previous studies (*7, 21, 61, 62, 70*). The timeline for our experiments is presented in Figure 1A.

Anterograde neural tracing: To trace the formations and changes of neural circuits in control and

observational mice with fear memory induced by psychological traumas, we applied adeno-associated

viruses (AAV) as a vector that carried genes of encoding fluorescent proteins for an anterograde neural

tracing. AAV2/8-CMV-tdTomato-WPRE, AAV2/8-CMV-EBFP2-WPRE and AAV2/8-CMV-EGFP-3xFLAG-

WPRE were ordered from OBiO Technology (Shanghai, China) to track RFP-labelled, BFP-labelled and

GFP-labelled axons, respectively. The titers of these viral vectors were in a range of 5-6x10^{12} genome

copies/ml. All of viral vectors had been aliquoted into 200 µl EP tubes and stored at -80℃ until they

were used. The locations for the microinjection of these AAVs as the original areas of neuronal axons

and for the confocal scanning of cellular images as the target areas were based on a mouse brain map

(*147*).

In tracing axon and synapse interconnections between the visual cortex and the auditory cortex

in observational and control mice, 0.3 µL AAV-CMV-tdTomato was microinjected into the visual cortex

(2.50 mm posterior to the bregma, 2.50 mm lateral to the middle line and 0.35 mm depth away from

the cortical surface), and 0.3 µL AAV -CMV-BFP was microinjected into the auditory cortex (2.10 mm

posterior to the bregma, 3.80 mm lateral to the middle line and 0.65 mm depth away from the cortical

surface) in observers three days before they witnessed the resident/intruder paradigm and in controls

before they were placed neighboring the resident-included cage as well as three weeks before neural

tracing was done under the confocal microscopy. The microinjections of AAVs in cerebral cortices were

conducted by using glass pipettes. The microinjection quantities and durations were controlled by the

microsyringe system held with three-dimensional stereotaxic apparatus (RWD Life Science, Shenzhen,

China). Glass pipettes with low interior pressure were reserved at the injection sites no less than 25

minutes before withdrawing from mouse brains. In the principle, the injected AAV-CMV-tdTomato or

AAV-CMV- BFP in cerebral cortices was uptaken by the somata of these cortical neurons to express red

or blue fluorescent proteins, and subsequently transported toward their axonal terminals and boutons,

so that the somata of neurons as the original areas and their projected axons as the target areas were

tracked in an anterograde manner. The axon boutons and the contacts between the axon boutons and

the dendritic spines of visual or auditory cortical neurons in target areas indicate the axons projected

and the synapses formed. The new synapses plus the innate synapses convergently onto auditory and

visual cortical neurons indicate that these neurons are recruited as the associative memory neurons to

encode battle images and sounds. It is noteworthy that the transfection efficiency of AAVs in cerebral

cortices is sufficient for neural tracing. The averaged percentage of AAV transfected neurons is similar

to the averaged percentage of cFos-labelled neurons, implying AAV transfections to the active neurons

(*65*).

The microinjection of AAV-CMV-tdTomato and AAV-CMV-BFP in the visual cortex and the auditory

cortex, respectively, was also used to track the convergent synapse innervations by their axon boutons

on the dendritic spines of prefrontal cortical neurons. AAV-vectors transfected neurons in the injection

areas expressed their carried-genes and fluorescent proteins. Subsequently, the fluorescent proteins

produced in neuronal somata were transported over entire axons in an anterograde manner, such that

their axon boutons and terminals labelled by these fluorescent proteins were detected in target areas.

The contacts between the axon boutons and the dendritic spines of medial prefrontal cortical neurons

were deemed as the synapse contacts. The raised contacts in experiments included the newly formed

synapse contacts. Those medial prefrontal cortical neurons with the convergent synapses newly from

the visual cortex and the auditory cortex were presumably associative memory neurons (*21, 65, 87-89,*

91).

To track the axon boutons and their innervated synapses in intramodal cortices, 0.3 µL AAV- CMV

-tdTomato, 0.3 µL AAV-CMV-BFP and 0.3 µL AAV-CMV-GFP were microinjected in the visual cortex (the

location above), the auditory cortex (the location above) and the medial prefrontal cortex (1.70 mm

anterior to the bregma, 0.30 mm lateral to the middle line and 1.30 mm depth away from the dorsal

cortical surface), respectively. In the scanning by a confocal microscopy, the RFP-labelled axon boutons

and their innervated contacts in the visual cortex, the BFP-labelled axon boutons and their innervated

contacts in the auditory cortex, and the GFP-labelled axon boutons and their innervated contacts in

the medial prefrontal cortex were thought to be intramodal in nature (*111*).

The face to a resident/intruder paradigm or the control was conducted three days after surgical

operations and microinjections in order to allow C57 mice recovery from operations. In the next two

weeks, the fluorescent proteins expressed in neuronal somata were transported to entire axons, axon

boutons/terminals along with stress-induced axon elongations. At last, the mice were anesthetized by

the intraperitoneal injections of pentobarbital sodium (60 mg/kg) and perfused through left ventricle

with 25 ml 0.01M phosphate buffer solution (PBS) followed by 25 ml 4% paraformaldehyde until their

bodies were rigid. The brains were quickly isolated and soaked in 4% paraformaldehyde for a fixation

about 24 hours. The cerebral brains were sliced by the vibratome in a series of coronal sections with a

thickness of 100 µm in the PBS. These slices were air-dried and cover-slipped with 50% glycerin in the

PBS. The images about neurons, dendrites, spines and axonal boutons were taken and collected at a

60X lens for high magnification under the confocal microscope (Nikon A1R plus, Japan). The anatomic

images of the cerebral brain were taken by a 4X lens for a low magnification under this confocal

microscope.

In C57BL/6JThy1-YFP mice, postsynaptic neuron dendrites and spines were labelled by the YFP.

The presynaptic axon boutons whose somata were infected AAV were labelled by BFP, RFP or GFP. The

wavelength of the excitation laser-beam 561 nm was utilized to activate the RFP. The wavelength of

the excitation laser-beam 488 was applied to activate the GFP. The wavelength of the excitation laser-

beam 405 nm was used to activate the BFP. The wavelengths of the emission spectra of the BFP, GFP,

YFP and RFP are 412-482 nm, 492-512 nm, 522-552 nm and 572-652 nm, respectively. Those contacts

between yellow dendritic spines and red, blue or green axonal boutons with less than 0.1 μm space

cleft were presumed to be the chemical synapses. The images of dendritic spines, axon boutons and

synapse contacts were analyzed quantitatively by ImageJ and Imaris (*87-89, 91*). Associative memory

neurons were accepted by detecting two sources of boutons on the dendritic spines of YFP-labelled

cortical neurons.

Electrophysiology *in vivo*: Before the electrophysiological recording of visual, auditory or medial

prefrontal cortical neurons *in vivo*, the mice in control group or observer group were anesthetized by

intraperitoneal injections of pentobarbital sodium (60 mg/kg) for surgical operations after the control

period or the training paradigms had been conducted. The body temperature was kept at 37 °C by a

computer-controlled heating blanket. The craniotomy (1 mm in diameter) was done on the mouse

skull above the left sides of the visual cortex (2.50 mm posterior to the bregma and 2.50 mm lateral to

the midline), the auditory cortex (2.10 mm posterior to the bregma and 3.8 mm lateral to the midline)

or the medial prefrontal cortex (1.7 mm anterior to the bregma and 0.3 mm lateral to a midline). The

locations for recordings were based on mouse brain map (*147*). Electrophysiological recordings to the

cortical neurons *in vivo* were conducted in the mice under light anesthetic condition with a withdrawal

reflex by pinching, the eyelid blinking reflex by air-puffing and the muscle relax. The electrical

discharges of unitary cortical neurons were recorded in layers IV-V of these cortices by using the glass

pipettes filled with the standard solution (150 mM NaCl, 3.5 mM KCl and 5 mM HEPES). The resistance

of the recording pipettes was 40-50MΩ. The electrical signals from these cortical neurons in their

spontaneous spikes and their evoked spikes in response to battle sounds or battle images were

recorded and acquired by an AxoClamp-2B amplifier and a Digidata 1322A, and were analyzed by the

pClamp 10 system (Axon Instrument Inc. CA, USA). These spiking signals were digitized at 20 kHz and

filtered by a low-pass at 5 kHz. A 100-3000 Hz band-pass filter and a second-order Savitzky-Golay filter

were used to isolate spike signals. Spike frequencies were quantitatively analyzed (*87-89, 91*). Battle

images and battle sounds in a resident/ intruder paradigm, housing environment sounds and

floral-pattern images were given for 30 seconds. The visual stimulus apparatus was an 8 cm x 16 cm

LCD monitor (BOE Beijing, China) with 2400 x 1080 pixels in the resolution, the refresh rate of 60 Hz

and the mean luminance of 30 cd/m^2. The screen was positioned 12 cm from the left eye occupying

~36˚ x 68˚ degrees of visual space. The auditory stimulus was delivered by a speaker (Huawei,

Shenzhen, China) in a range of sound intensity from 60-80 dB and a range of frequencies at 220 Hz-22

kHz. The speaker was positioned 25 cm from the left ear.

Normalized spike frequency in response to one of stimuli was the number of the spike frequency

in response to the stimulus in 30 seconds divided by spontaneous discharge frequency in 30 seconds

before the stimulus. When the ratio reached 1.5 or above, these cortical neurons were deemed as the

response to this stimulus. Associative memory neurons (AMN) were accepted by detecting a situation

that those cortical neurons responded to both stimulations. The identification of associative memory

neurons versus those active neurons in response to a stimulus is presented in Figure S6.

KiF1a knockdown by shRNA carried by AAV: In the study of the role of axon transportation in

the elongation of axons and the formation of new synapse innervations, KiF1a, one of the motor

proteins for axon transportation (*97-99, 101-103*) was knocked down in the medial prefrontal cortex

by microinjecting short-hairpin RNA (shRNA) specific to KiF1a mRNA that was carried by AAV vectors

(AAV-U6-sh*KiF1a*-GFP) into these cortical areas in one subgroup of observational mice. The piece of

scramble sequence carried by AAV (AAV-U6-scramble-EGFP) as the control was injected in the medial

prefrontal cortex in another subgroup of observational mice. These virus vectors were designed by

BrainVTA (Wuhan, China). The microinjections were operated five days before training protocols for

effective AAV transfection and gene knockdown (Figure S3). This approach suppresses the expression

of KiF1a mRNA and protein in the medial prefrontal cortical neurons, the formation of new synapse

innervations from visual and auditory cortices and the recruitment of associative memory neurons in

the medial prefrontal cortex.

With the approach of KiF1a knockdown in the medial prefrontal cortex (Figure S3), the

behavioral tests, AAV-mediated neural tracing and electrophysiological recording *in vivo* were done to

assess the effects of the KiF1a knockdown on fear memory, synapse formation and associative

memory neuron recruitment in the medial prefrontal cortex. Specifically, the encoding ability of its

neurons in response to battle sounds and the battle images were analyzed and compared in two

subgroups. The effects of shRNA specific to the KiF1a knockdown on new synapse formation and

associative memory neuron recruitment were ensured if the numbers of new synapse contacts and

associative memory neurons in KiF1a-knockdown mice were lowered significantly in comparison with

scramble control mice.

RNA extraction and quantitative real-time PCR analysis of KiF1a: The mPFC regions in the brain

were isolated and harvested from control mice and observational mice twelve days after treatments.

mPFC tissues in observational mice in the subgroups of KiF1a-KD and scramble control were harvested

about three weeks after the bilateral injections with AAV2/9-U6-shRNA(KiF1a)-CMV-EGFP-SV40 pA or

AAV2/9-U6-shRNA(scramble)-CMV-EGFP-SV40 pA (0.3 µl per side). Total RNA was isolated by using the

FastPure Cell/Tissue Total RNA Isolation Kit V2 (Vazyme, Nanjing, China). The HiScript IV RT SuperMix

for qPCR kit (Vazyme, Nanjing, China) was used to reversely transcribe RNAs to complementary DNAs

(cDNA). qRT-PCR was performed on Applied Biosystems® QuantStudio™ 7 Flex (ABI, USA) with ChamQ

Universal SYBR qPCR Master mix (Vazyme, Nanjing, China). The primer and amplicon specificity were

ensured to be effective by analyzing melt curves and blasting products, DNA sequence. With *GADPH*

as the internal reference, the method of 2-ΔΔCT was used to determine the relative values of mRNA

expression of *KiF1a* gene. The sequences of PCR primers were 5'- CACCACTATTGTCAACCCCAAA-3' and

5'- CCCCAATGTCCCTGTAGACCT-3' for *KiF1a* as well as 5'-AGGTCGGTGTGAACGGATTTG-3' and

5'-TGTAGACCATGTAGTTGAGGTCA-3' for GADPH.

Immunofluorescence: The mice were anesthetized by the intraperitoneal injections of urethane

(1.5g/kg) and perfused via the left ventricle with ice-cold 25 ml 0.1M phosphate buffer solution (PBS,

pH=7.4) followed by 25 ml 4% paraformaldehyde until their bodies were rigid. The brains of such mice

were postfixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 12 hours and then kept in the PBS. The cerebral brains

were sliced by a vibratome in a series of coronal slices with 30 µm thickness of in the PBS. The slices

were washed in the PBS, blocked by a buffer solution containing 5% goat serum and 0.3% Triton X-100

for 2 hours at the room temperature (22-25ºC), incubated with the buffer solution that contained the

primary antibody (Anti-KiF1a, Proteintech, 24841-1-AP in China) in 1% bull serum albumin (free for

KiF1a staining) and 0.3% Triton X-100 at 4°C for overnight. After rinsed three times in the PBS, these

slices were incubated with the secondary antibody for two hours and then, if necessary, incubated

with 4',6-diamidino-2- phenylindole for 5 minutes at room temperature. The secondary antibody for

the staining was goat anti-rabbit antibody conjugated with Alexa Fluor 647 (CST, 4414). These slices

were lastly rinsed three times, mounted on microscope slides, air-dried and cover-slipped with 50%

glycerin in the PBS. The number of fluorescent protein-labelled neurons was counted by Image Fiji.

The neurons in different channels were selected by a "threshold" tool. The selected ROI regions were

added to ROI manager. An "analyze particles" (size from 1-infinity) tool was used to count the number

of those neurons labelled by the KiF1a motor protein and the GFP (lower threshold level at 50, upper

threshold level at 255). Images were captured under a confocal laser-scanning microscope (Nikon A1R

plus, Japan). The colocalization analysis and merged images were done based on our previous studies

(*87-89, 91*).

Statistics: All data are presented to be arithmetic mean±SEM. The statistical analyses of our data

were conducted by using GraphPad Prism 9 (a public software). The one-way ANOVA was used for the

statistical comparison of the changes in behaviors, morphology and neuronal activities between those

control and observer groups as well as between KiF1a-knockdown and scramble control subgroups in

observers. A paired *t*-test was used to compare the activities of associative memory neurons before

and after drug application, as well as the encoding ability of associative memory neurons in response

to two signals. Chi-square test was used for the statistic comparison of the changes in the number and

the percentage of associative memory neurons as well as active neurons in electrophysiological study

among these groups. The P values equally and above 0.05 in the comparisons among the groups were

set to be no statistical difference, or vice versa. One asterisk, two asterisks, three asterisks and four

asterisks were presented to be P values less than 0.05, 0.01, 0.001 and 0.0001, respectively.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate: Experiments were done in accordance with guidelines

and regulations by the Administration Office of Laboratory Animal in Beijing China. All experiment

protocols were approved by Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee in this office at Beijing China

(B10831).

Consent for publication: In the publication of this manuscript, no individual' data, such as personal

details, images, videos and voice are included. All necessary consent for publication has been secured.

Availability of data, code, and material: The datasets used and analyzed in the current study are

available from the corresponding author based on the request without commercial purpose.

Competing interests: All authors declare no competing interest. All authors have read and approved

the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements: This study is funded by the Natural Science Foundation of China (81971027 and

U2241209) to Jin-Hui Wang.

Authors Contributions: Jiayi Li, Yu Guo, Bingchen Chen, Yun Zhang and Lei Wang contributed to the

experiments and data analyses. Jin-Hui Wang contributed to the concept, project design, funding

acquisition and paper writing.

References

1.   S. Battaglia, Neurobiological advances of learned fear in humans. *Adv Clin Exp Med* **31**, 217-221 (2022).

2.   A. Olsson, K. I. Nearing, E. A. Phelps, Learning fears by observing others: the neural systems of social fear transmission. *Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci* **2**, 3-11 (2007).

3.   A. Olsson, E. A. Phelps, Social learning of fear. *Nat Neurosci* **10**, 1095-1102 (2007).

4.   E. Baldi, C. Bucherelli, Brain sites involved in fear memory reconsolidation and extinction of rodents. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* **53**, 160-190 (2015).

5.   L. Coutellier, T. B. Usdin, Enhanced long-term fear memory and increased anxiety and depression-like behavior after exposure to an aversive event in mice lacking TIP39 signaling. *Behav Brain Res* **222**, 265-269 (2011).

6.   A. Desmedt, A. Marighetto, P. V. Piazza, Abnormal Fear Memory as a Model for Posttraumatic Stress Disorder. *Biol Psychiatry* **78**, 290-297 (2015).

7.   K. Du, W. Lu, Y. Sun, J. Feng, J. H. Wang, mRNA and miRNA profiles in the nucleus accumbens are related to fear memory and anxiety induced by physical or psychological stress. *J Psychiatr Res* **118**, 44-65 (2019).

8.   I. Izquierdo, C. R. Furini, J. C. Myskiw, Fear Memory. *Physiol Rev* **96**, 695-750 (2016).

9.   S. R. Makkar, S. Q. Zhang, J. Cranney, Behavioral and neural analysis of GABA in the acquisition, consolidation, reconsolidation, and extinction of fear memory. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **35**, 1625-1652 (2010).

10.   C. A. Orsini, S. Maren, Neural and cellular mechanisms of fear and extinction memory formation. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* **36**, 1773-1802 (2012).

11.   R. G. Parsons, K. J. Ressler, Implications of memory modulation for post-traumatic stress and fear disorders. *Nat Neurosci* **16**, 146-153 (2013).

12.   D. M. Warthen, B. J. Wiltgen, I. Provencio, Light enhances learned fear. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S*

A **108**, 13788-13793 (2011).

13.   G. Thrall, D. Lane, D. Carroll, G. Y. Lip, A systematic review of the effects of acute psychological

stress and physical activity on haemorheology, coagulation, fibrinolysis and platelet reactivity:

Implications for the pathogenesis of acute coronary syndromes. *Thromb Res* **120**, 819-847

(2007).

14.   J. H. Wang, Searching basic units in memory traces: associative memory cells. *F1000Res* **8**,

457 (2019).

15.   R. Zeitlin *et al.*, Cotinine enhances the extinction of contextual fear memory and reduces

anxiety after fear conditioning. *Behav Brain Res* **228**, 284-293 (2012).

16.   D. de Quervain, L. Schwabe, B. Roozendaal, Stress, glucocorticoids and memory: implications

for treating fear-related disorders. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **18**, 7-19 (2017).

17.   A. Flores, M. A. Fullana, C. Soriano-Mas, R. Andero, Lost in translation: how to upgrade fear

memory research. *Mol Psychiatry* **23**, 2122-2132 (2018).

18.   S. Maren, Seeking a spotless mind: extinction, deconsolidation, and erasure of fear memory.

Neuron **70**, 830-845 (2011).

19.   R. M. Shansky, E. M. Greiner, A covert cortical ensemble for learned fear suppression.

Neuropsychopharmacology **49**, 1949-1950 (2024).

20.   J. Sandkuhler, J. Lee, How to erase memory traces of pain and fear. *Trends Neurosci* **36**,

343-352 (2013).

21.   B. Chen *et al.*, Associative memory cells of encoding fear signals and anxiety are recruited by

neuroligin-3-mediated synapse formation. *Commun Biol* **7**, 1464 (2024).

22.   R. Marek, C. Strobel, T. W. Bredy, P. Sah, The amygdala and medial prefrontal cortex: partners

in the fear circuit. *J Physiol* **591**, 2381-2391 (2013).

23.   S. Maren, Pavlovian fear conditioning as a behavioral assay for hippocampus and amygdala

function: cautions and caveats. *Eur J Neurosci* **28**, 1661-1666 (2008).

24.   G. E. Schafe, K. Nader, H. T. Blair, J. E. LeDoux, Memory consolidation of Pavlovian fear
810   conditioning: a cellular and molecular perspective. *Trends Neurosci* **24**, 540-546 (2001).

811   25.   G. P. Shumyatsky *et al.*, Identification of a signaling network in lateral nucleus of amygdala
812   important for inhibiting memory specifically related to learned fear. *Cell* **111**, 905-918 (2002).

813   26.   T. Agren *et al.*, Disruption of reconsolidation erases a fear memory trace in the human
814   amygdala. *Science* **337**, 1550-1552 (2012).

815   27.   S. K. Barot, A. Chung, J. J. Kim, I. L. Bernstein, Functional imaging of stimulus convergence in
816   amygdalar neurons during Pavlovian fear conditioning. *PLoS ONE* **4**, e6156 (2009).

817   28.   H. T. Blair, G. E. Schafe, E. P. Bauer, S. M. Rodrigues, J. E. LeDoux, Synaptic plasticity in the
818   lateral amygdala: a cellular hypothesis of fear conditioning. *Learn Mem* **8**, 229-242 (2001).

819   29.   R. J. Davidson, Anxiety and affective style: one of prefrontal cortex and amygdala. *Biological*
820   *Psychiatry* **51**, 68-80 (2002).

821   30.   C. W. Butler, Y. M. Wilson, J. M. Gunnersen, M. Murphy, Tracking the fear memory engram:
822   discrete populations of neurons within amygdala, hypothalamus, and lateral septum are
823   specifically activated by auditory fear conditioning. *Learn Mem* **22**, 370-384 (2015).

824   31.   D. C. Choi *et al.*, Prelimbic cortical BDNF is required for memory of learned fear but not
825   extinction or innate fear. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **107**, 2675-2680 (2010).

826   32.   I. Ehrlich *et al.*, Amygdala inhibitory circuits and the control of fear memory. *Neuron* **62**,
827   757-771 (2009).

828   33.   M. Davis, The role of the amygdala in fear and anxiety. *Annual Review of Neuroscience* **15**,
829   353-375 (1992).

830   34.   A. E. Dityatev, V. Y. Bolshakov, Amygdala, long-term potentiation, and fear conditioning.
831   *Neuroscientist* **11**, 75-88 (2005).

832   35.   S. Duvarci, D. Pare, Amygdala microcircuits controlling learned fear. *Neuron* **82**, 966-980
833   (2014).

36. J. P. Fadok, M. Darvas, T. M. K. Dickerson, R. D. Palmiter, Long-Term Memory for Pavlovian

Fear Conditioning Requires Dopamine in the Nucleus Accumbens and Basolateral Amygdala.

Plos One **5**, (2010).

37. G. E. Fenton, D. M. Halliday, R. Mason, T. W. Bredy, C. W. Stevenson, Sex differences in learned

fear expression and extinction involve altered gamma oscillations in medial prefrontal cortex.

Neurobiol Learn Mem **135**, 66-72 (2016).

38. M. S. Fanselow, G. D. Gale, The amygdala, fear, and memory. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* **985**, 125-134

(2003).

39. E. J. Kim *et al.*, Dorsal periaqueductal gray-amygdala pathway conveys both innate and

learned fear responses in rats. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110**, 14795-14800 (2013).

40. N. C. Ferrara, S. Trask, S. E. Pullins, F. J. Helmstetter, Regulation of learned fear expression

through the MgN-amygdala pathway. *Neurobiol Learn Mem* **185**, 107526 (2021).

41. G. Giannotti, J. A. Heinsbroek, A. J. Yue, K. Deisseroth, J. Peters, Prefrontal cortex neuronal

ensembles encoding fear drive fear expression during long-term memory retrieval. *Sci Rep* **9**,

10709 (2019).

42. O. B. Kwon *et al.*, Dopamine Regulation of Amygdala Inhibitory Circuits for Expression of

Learned Fear. *Neuron* **88**, 378-389 (2015).

43. C. Herry, R. Garcia, Prefrontal cortex long-term potentiation, but not long-term depression, is

associated with the maintenance of extinction of learned fear in mice. *J Neurosci* **22**, 577-583

(2002).

44. M. Heshmati *et al.*, Mefloquine in the nucleus accumbens promotes social avoidance and

anxiety-like behavior in mice. *Neuropharmacology* **101**, 351-357 (2016).

45. T. Isosaka *et al.*, Htr2a-Expressing Cells in the Central Amygdala Control the Hierarchy

between Innate and Learned Fear. *Cell* **163**, 1153-1164 (2015).

46. R. Lamprecht, C. R. Farb, S. M. Rodrigues, J. E. LeDoux, Fear conditioning drives profilin into

amygdala dendritic spines. *Nat Neurosci* **9**, 481-483 (2006).

47.   L. Levita, J. W. Dalley, T. W. Robbins, Nucleus accumbens dopamine and learned fear revisited:

861   a review and some new findings. *Behav Brain Res* **137**, 115-127 (2002).

862   48.   L. Levita, R. Hoskin, S. Champi, Avoidance of harm and anxiety: A role for the nucleus

863   accumbens. *Neuroimage* **62**, 189-198 (2012).

864   49.   S. Li, J. H. Kim, R. Richardson, Differential involvement of the medial prefrontal cortex in the

865   expression of learned fear across development. *Behav Neurosci* **126**, 217-225 (2012).

866   50.   M. Maroun, Medial prefrontal cortex: multiple roles in fear and extinction. *Neuroscientist* **19**,

867   370-383 (2013).

868   51.   K. McFarland, S. B. Davidge, C. C. Lapish, P. W. Kalivas, Limbic and motor circuitry underlying

869   footshock-induced reinstatement of cocaine-seeking behavior. *J Neurosci* **24**, 1551-1560

870   (2004).

871   52.   J. D. Runyan, A. N. Moore, P. K. Dash, A role for prefrontal cortex in memory storage for trace

872   fear conditioning. *J Neurosci* **24**, 1288-1295 (2004).

873   53.   D. Pare, Mechanisms of Pavlovian fear conditioning: has the engram been located? *Trends*

874   *Neurosci* **25**, 436-437; discussion 437-438 (2002).

875   54.   J. A. Silvers *et al.*, An exploration of amygdala-prefrontal mechanisms in the intergenerational

876   transmission of learned fear. *Dev Sci* **24**, e13056 (2021).

877   55.   R. C. Twining, J. E. Vantrease, S. Love, M. Padival, J. A. Rosenkranz, An intra-amygdala circuit

878   specifically regulates social fear learning. *Nat Neurosci* **20**, 459-469 (2017).

879   56.   O. Berton *et al.*, Essential role of BDNF in the mesolimbic dopamine pathway in social defeat

880   stress. *Science* **311**, 864-868 (2006).

881   57.   K. Bjorkqvist, Social defeat as a stressor in humans. *Physiol Behav* **73**, 435-442 (2001).

882   58.   C. Hammels *et al.*, Defeat stress in rodents: From behavior to molecules. *Neurosci Biobehav*

883   *Rev* **59**, 111-140 (2015).

59.   M. Martinez, A. Calvo-Torrent, M. A. Pico-Alfonso, Social Defeat and Subordination as Models

of Social Stress in Laboratory Rodents: A Review. *Aggressive Behavior* **24**, 241-256 (1998).

60.   Y. Lu, J. Yang, J. Sun, W. Lu, J. H. Wang, mRNA and miRNA profiles in the nucleus accumbens

are associated with psychological stress-induced susceptible and resilient mice. *Pharmacol*

Biochem Behav **199**, 173062 (2020).

61.   J. Sun *et al.*, mRNA and microRNA Profiles in the Amygdala Are Relevant to Susceptibility and

Resilience to Psychological Stress Induced in Mice. *J Mol Neurosci* **70**, 1771-1796 (2020).

62.   Y. Sun, W. Lu, K. Du, J. H. Wang, microRNA and mRNA profiles in the amygdala are relevant to

fear memory induced by physical or psychological stress. *J Neurophysiol* **122**, 1002-1022

(2019).

63.   N. M. Tsankova *et al.*, Sustained hippocampal chromatin regulation in a mouse model of

depression and antidepressant action. *Nat Neurosci* **9**, 519-525 (2006).

64.   J. H. Wang, *Associative memory cells: basic units of memory trace.* J. H. Wang, Ed., Associative

memory cells: basic units of memory trace (Springer Nature, Springer, ed. the first, 2019).

65.   Y. Zhang *et al.*, Auditory cortical neurons are recruited to encode fear signals and anxiety by

neuroligin-3-mediated synapse formation. *Transl Psychiatry* **15**, 140 (2025).

66.   R. Lamprecht, S. Dracheva, S. Assoun, J. E. LeDoux, Fear conditioning induces distinct patterns

of gene expression in lateral amygdala. *Genes Brain Behav* **8**, 735-743 (2009).

67.   L. A. Gouty-Colomer *et al.*, Arc expression identifies the lateral amygdala fear memory trace.

Mol Psychiatry **21**, 364-375 (2016).

68.   H. C. Pape, O. Stork, Genes and mechanisms in the amygdala involved in the formation of fear

memory. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* **985**, 92-105 (2003).

69.   I. B. Zovkic, J. D. Sweatt, Epigenetic mechanisms in learned fear: implications for PTSD.

Neuropsychopharmacology **38**, 77-93 (2013).

70.   J. Yang *et al.*, Correction to: mRNA and microRNA profiles are associated with stress

susceptibility and resilience induced by psychological stress in the prefrontal cortex.

Psychopharmacology (Berl) **237**, 3095 (2020).

71.   A. Meulders, Fear in the context of pain: Lessons learned from 100 years of fear conditioning

912   research. *Behav Res Ther* **131**, 103635 (2020).

913   72.   J. Debiec, A. Olsson, Social Fear Learning: from Animal Models to Human Function. *Trends*

914   *Cogn Sci* **21**, 546-555 (2017).

915   73.   A. Olsson, E. A. Phelps, Learned fear of "unseen" faces after Pavlovian, observational, and

916   instructed fear. *Psychol Sci* **15**, 822-828 (2004).

917   74.   A. Olsson, E. Knapska, B. Lindstrom, The neural and computational systems of social learning.

918   *Nat Rev Neurosci* **21**, 197-212 (2020).

919   75.   A. Burgos-Robles, K. M. Gothard, M. H. Monfils, A. Morozov, A. Vicentic, Conserved features

920   of anterior cingulate networks support observational learning across species. *Neurosci*

921   *Biobehav Rev* **107**, 215-228 (2019).

922   76.   Y. Pan, M. C. Vinding, L. Zhang, D. Lundqvist, A. Olsson, A Brain-To-Brain Mechanism for Social

923   Transmission of Threat Learning. *Adv Sci (Weinh)* **10**, e2304037 (2023).

924   77.   A. Louzolo *et al.*, Enhanced Instructed Fear Learning in Delusion-Proneness. *Front Psychol* **13**,

925   786778 (2022).

926   78.   I. Undeger, R. M. Visser, A. Olsson, Neural Pattern Similarity Unveils the Integration of Social

927   Information and Aversive Learning. *Cereb Cortex* **30**, 5410-5419 (2020).

928   79.   L. Dixsaut, J. Graff, The Medial Prefrontal Cortex and Fear Memory: Dynamics, Connectivity,

929   and Engrams. *Int J Mol Sci* **22**,   (2021).

930   80.   I. Hasegawa, Neural mechanisms of memory retrieval: role of the prefrontal cortex. *Rev*

931   *Neurosci* **11**, 113-125 (2000).

932   81.   Y. Huang, A. Matysiak, P. Heil, R. Konig, M. Brosch, Persistent neural activity in auditory cortex

933   is related to auditory working memory in humans and nonhuman primates. *Elife* **5**,   (2016).

934   82.   S. J. D. Lawrence *et al.*, Laminar Organization of Working Memory Signals in Human Visual

935   Cortex. *Curr Biol* **28**, 3435-3440 e3434 (2018).

83.   E. Likhtik, J. M. Stujenske, M. A. Topiwala, A. Z. Harris, J. A. Gordon, Prefrontal entrainment of
amygdala activity signals safety in learned fear and innate anxiety. *Nat Neurosci* **17**, 106-113
(2014).

84.   J. H. Yoon, C. E. Curtis, M. D'Esposito, Differential effects of distraction during working
memory on delay-period activity in the prefrontal cortex and the visual association cortex.
Neuroimage **29**, 1117-1126 (2006).

85.   L. Yu *et al.*, The causal role of auditory cortex in auditory working memory. *Elife* **10**,   (2021).

86.   M. Vasconcelos, D. J. Stein, R. M. de Almeida, Social defeat protocol and relevant biomarkers,
implications for stress response physiology, drug abuse, mood disorders and individual stress
vulnerability: a systematic review of the last decade. *Trends Psychiatry Psychother* **37**, 51-66
(2015).

87.   J. Feng *et al.*, Barrel cortical neuron integrates triple associated signals for their memory
through receiving epigenetic-mediated new synapse innervations. *Cerebral Cortex* **27**,
5858-5871 (2017).

88.   Z. Gao *et al.*, Coactivations of barrel and piriform cortices induce their mutual synapse
innervations and recruit associative memory cells. *Brain Res*, 146333 (2019).

89.   Z. Lei *et al.*, Synapse innervation and associative memory cell are recruited for integrative
storage of whisker and odor signals in the barrel cortex through miRNA-mediated processes. .
Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience **11**, 1-11 (2017).

90.   R. Wu, S. Cui, J. H. Wang, miRNA-324/-133a essential for recruiting new synapse innervations
and associative memory cells in coactivated sensory cortices. *Neurobiol Learn Mem* **172**,
107246 (2020).

91.   Y. Xu, T. L. Cui, J. Y. Li, B. Chen, J. H. Wang, Associative memory neurons of encoding
multi-modal signals are recruited by neuroligin-3-mediated new synapse formation. *Elife* **12**,
(2023).

92.   D. Wang *et al.*, Neurons in the barrel cortex turn into processing whisker and odor signals: a

cellular mechanism for the storage and retrieval of associative signals. *Front Cell Neurosci* **9**,

320 (2015).

93.   P. G. Anastasiades, A. G. Carter, Circuit organization of the rodent medial prefrontal cortex.

Trends Neurosci **44**, 550-563 (2021).

94.   E. H. Yeterian, D. N. Pandya, F. Tomaiuolo, M. Petrides, The cortical connectivity of the

prefrontal cortex in the monkey brain. *Cortex* **48**, 58-81 (2012).

95.   J.-H. Wang, J. Feng, W. Lu, H. Xiao, Prefrontal Cortical Neurons Are Recruited As Secondary

Associative Memory Cells for Associative Memory and Cognition. *Biophysical Journal* **114**,

155a (2018).

96.   J. H. Wang, J. Feng, H. Xiao, Y. Xu, Secondary associative memory cells and their plasticity in

the prefrontal cortex. *Biophysical Journal* **116**, 427a (2019).

97.   N. Hirokawa, R. Nitta, Y. Okada, The mechanisms of kinesin motor motility: lessons from the

monomeric motor KIF1A. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **10**, 877-884 (2009).

98.   J. R. Lee *et al.*, Characterization of the movement of the kinesin motor KIF1A in living cultured

neurons. *J Biol Chem* **278**, 2624-2629 (2003).

99.   R. Nitta, M. Kikkawa, Y. Okada, N. Hirokawa, KIF1A alternately uses two loops to bind

microtubules. *Science* **305**, 678-683 (2004).

100.   S. Niwa *et al.*, Autoinhibition of a Neuronal Kinesin UNC-104/KIF1A Regulates the Size and

Density of Synapses. *Cell Rep* **16**, 2129-2141 (2016).

101.   Y. Okada, H. Yamazaki, Y. Sekine-Aizawa, N. Hirokawa, The neuron-specific kinesin superfamily

protein KIF1A is a unique monomeric motor for anterograde axonal transport of synaptic

vesicle precursors. *Cell* **81**, 769-780 (1995).

102.   Y. Okada, N. Hirokawa, A processive single-headed motor: kinesin superfamily protein KIF1A.

Science **283**, 1152-1157 (1999).

103.   Y. Okada, H. Higuchi, N. Hirokawa, Processivity of the single-headed kinesin KIF1A through

biased binding to tubulin. *Nature* **424**, 574-577 (2003).

104. D. Oriola, S. Roth, M. Dogterom, J. Casademunt, Formation of helical membrane tubes around

microtubules by single-headed kinesin KIF1A. *Nat Commun* **6**, 8025 (2015).

105. M. Rierola, N. I. Trushina, M. Holtmannspotter, R. Kurre, L. Bakota, Lattice light-sheet

microscopy and evaluation of dendritic transport in cultured hippocampal tissue reveal high

variability in mobility of the KIF1A motor domain and entry into dendritic spines. *Brain Res*

Bull **194**, 13-22 (2023).

106. H. Shin *et al.*, Association of the kinesin motor KIF1A with the multimodular protein

liprin-alpha. *J Biol Chem* **278**, 11393-11401 (2003).

107. R. Stucchi *et al.*, Regulation of KIF1A-Driven Dense Core Vesicle Transport: Ca(2+)/CaM

Controls DCV Binding and Liprin-alpha/TANC2 Recruits DCVs to Postsynaptic Sites. *Cell Rep* **24**,

685-700 (2018).

108. Y. V. Zhang *et al.*, The KIF1A homolog Unc-104 is important for spontaneous release,

postsynaptic density maturation and perisynaptic scaffold organization. *Sci Rep* **7**, 38172

(2017).

109. M. Kondo, Y. Takei, N. Hirokawa, Motor protein KIF1A is essential for hippocampal

synaptogenesis and learning enhancement in an enriched environment. *Neuron* **73**, 743-757

(2012).

110. Y. Tanaka *et al.*, The Molecular Motor KIF1A Transports the TrkA Neurotrophin Receptor and

Is Essential for Sensory Neuron Survival and Function. *Neuron* **90**, 1215-1229 (2016).

111. J. Y. Li, Y. Xu, D. G. Wang, J. H. Wang, The interconnection and function of associative memory

neurons are upregulated for memory strengthening. *Front Neural Circuits* **17**, 1189907

(2023).

112. F. Yan *et al.*, Coordinated plasticity between barrel cortical glutamatergic and GABAergic

neurons during associative memory. *Neural Plasticity* **2016**, 1-20 (2016).

113. A. M. Craig, Y. Kang, Neurexin-neuroligin signaling in synapse development. *Curr Opin*

Neurobiol **17**, 43-52 (2007).

114. M. F. Lise, A. El-Husseini, The neuroligin and neurexin families: from structure to function at
the synapse. *Cell Mol Life Sci* **63**, 1833-1849 (2006).

115. T. C. Sudhof, Synaptic Neurexin Complexes: A Molecular Code for the Logic of Neural Circuits.
Cell **171**, 745-769 (2017).

116. M. Uchigashima, A. Cheung, K. Futai, Neuroligin-3: A Circuit-Specific Synapse Organizer That
Shapes Normal Function and Autism Spectrum Disorder-Associated Dysfunction. *Front Mol*
Neurosci **14**, 749164 (2021).

117. J. Bigelow, B. Rossi, A. Poremba, Neural correlates of short-term memory in primate auditory
cortex. *Front Neurosci* **8**, 250 (2014).

118. M. Colombo, M. R. D'Amato, H. R. Rodman, C. G. Gross, Auditory association cortex lesions
impair auditory short-term memory in monkeys. *Science* **247**, 336-338 (1990).

119. C. Gilbert, M. Ito, M. Kapadia, G. Westheimer, Interactions between attention, context and
learning in primary visual cortex. *Vision Res* **40**, 1217-1226 (2000).

120. Y. Gottlieb, E. Vaadia, M. Abeles, Single unit activity in the auditory cortex of a monkey
performing a short term memory task. *Exp Brain Res* **74**, 139-148 (1989).

121. H. Nomura *et al.*, Memory formation and retrieval of neuronal silencing in the auditory cortex.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A **112**, 9740-9744 (2015).

122. P. Phylactou, A. Traikapi, M. Papadatou-Pastou, N. Konstantinou, Sensory recruitment in
visual short-term memory: A systematic review and meta-analysis of sensory visual cortex
interference using transcranial magnetic stimulation. *Psychon Bull Rev* **29**, 1594-1624 (2022).

123. H. Super, Cognitive processing in the primary visual cortex: from perception to memory. *Rev*
Neurosci **13**, 287-298 (2002).

124. V. van de Ven, A. T. Sack, Transcranial magnetic stimulation of visual cortex in memory:
cortical state, interference and reactivation of visual content in memory. *Behav Brain Res* **236**,
67-77 (2013).

125. M. Tsanov, D. Manahan-Vaughan, Synaptic plasticity from visual cortex to hippocampus:

systems integration in spatial information processing. *Neuroscientist* **14**, 584-597 (2008).

126.   N. M. Weinberger, Specific long-term memory traces in primary auditory cortex. *Nature*

Reviews of Neuroscience **5**, 279-290 (2004).

127.   C. L. Beeman, P. S. Bauer, J. L. Pierson, J. J. Quinn, Hippocampus and medial prefrontal cortex

contributions to trace and contextual fear memory expression over time. *Learn Mem* **20**,

336-343 (2013).

128.   O. Y. Chao, M. A. de Souza Silva, Y. M. Yang, J. P. Huston, The medial prefrontal cortex -

hippocampus circuit that integrates information of object, place and time to construct

episodic memory in rodents: Behavioral, anatomical and neurochemical properties. *Neurosci*

Biobehav Rev **113**, 373-407 (2020).

129.   J. Courtin, T. C. Bienvenu, E. O. Einarsson, C. Herry, Medial prefrontal cortex neuronal circuits

in fear behavior. *Neuroscience* **240**, 219-242 (2013).

130.   D. R. Euston, A. J. Gruber, B. L. McNaughton, The role of medial prefrontal cortex in memory

and decision making. *Neuron* **76**, 1057-1070 (2012).

131.   M. R. Milad, G. J. Quirk, Neurons in medial prefrontal cortex signal memory for fear extinction.

Nature **420**, 70-74 (2002).

132.   J. F. Morici, P. Bekinschtein, N. V. Weisstaub, Medial prefrontal cortex role in recognition

memory in rodents. *Behav Brain Res* **292**, 241-251 (2015).

133.   Z. Gao *et al.*, Associations of unilateral whisker and olfactory signals induce synapse

formation and memory cell recruitment in bilateral barrel cortices: cellular mechanism for

unilateral training toward bilateral memory. *Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience* **10**, 1-16

(2016).

134.   Y. Liu *et al.*, Piriform cortical glutamatergic and GABAergic neurons express coordinated

plasticity for whisker-induced odor recall. *Oncotarget* **8**, 95719-95740 (2017).

135.   S. A. Josselyn, S. Kohler, P. W. Frankland, Finding the engram. *Nat Rev Neurosci* **16**, 521-534

(2015).

136.   S. A. Josselyn, S. Tonegawa, Memory engrams: Recalling the past and imagining the future.

1067   *Science* **367**,   (2020).

1068   137.   J. I. Kim, H. Y. Cho, J. H. Han, B. K. Kaang, Which Neurons Will Be the Engram - Activated

1069   Neurons and/or More Excitable Neurons? *Exp Neurobiol* **25**, 55-63 (2016).

1070   138.   H. Abe, T. S. Nowak, Jr., Induced hippocampal neuron protection in an optimized gerbil

1071   ischemia model: insult thresholds for tolerance induction and altered gene expression

1072   defined by ischemic depolarization. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* **24**, 84-97 (2004).

1073   139.   P. M. Bokesch, J. E. Marchand, C. S. Connelly, W. H. Wurm, R. M. Kream, Dextromethorphan

1074   inhibits ischemia-induced c-fos expression and delayed neuronal death in hippocampal

1075   neurons. *Anesthesiology* **81**, 470-477 (1994).

1076   140.   M. Kiessling, P. Gass, Immediate early gene expression in experimental epilepsy. *Brain Pathol*

1077   **3**, 381-393 (1993).

1078   141.   M. Kiessling *et al.*, Differential transcription and translation of immediate early genes in the

1079   gerbil hippocampus after transient global ischemia. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* **13**, 914-924

1080   (1993).

1081   142.   B. S. Meldrum, Concept of activity-induced cell death in epilepsy: historical and

1082   contemporary perspectives. *Prog Brain Res* **135**, 3-11 (2002).

1083   143.   M. Simonato *et al.*, Differential expression of immediate early genes in the hippocampus in

1084   the kindling model of epilepsy. *Brain Res Mol Brain Res* **11**, 115-124 (1991).

1085   144.   X. Wang, X. Song, L. Wu, J. V. Nadler, R. Z. Zhan, Persistent Hyperactivity of Hippocampal

1086   Dentate Interneurons After a Silent Period in the Rat Pilocarpine Model of Epilepsy. *Front Cell*

1087   *Neurosci* **10**, 94 (2016).

1088   145.   G. Feng *et al.*, Imaging neuronal subsets in transgenic mice expressing multiple spectral

1089   variants of GFP. *Neuron* **28**, 41-51 (2000).

1090   146.   G. Zhang, Z. Gao, S. Guan, Y. Zhu, J. H. Wang, Upregulation of excitatory neurons and

1091   downregulation of inhibitory neurons in barrel cortex are associated with loss of whisker

1092   inputs. *Mol Brain* **6**, 2 (2013).

1093   147.   G. Paxinos, C. Watson, *The Mouse Brain: in stereotaxic coordinates*. G. Paxinos, C. Watson,

1094   Eds., The Rat Brain: in stereotaxic coordinates (Elsevier Academic Press, 2005).

1095

1096

Figures and legends

Figure 1. Psychological stress induces fear memory and anxiety-like behavior in mice. A) Experiment

timeline and diagrams for mice to witness resident/intruder paradigm and to be examined by social

interaction test, elevated-plus maze test and cellular plasticity. **B)** Heat maps illustrate the social

interaction test for C57 control (Con) and observational (Ob) mice in face to a CD1 resident mouse. **C)**

A bar graph with samples' dots show the interaction time of C57 mice with CD1 resident. **D)** Heat

maps illustrate the social interaction test for C57 mice in response to battle sound. **E)** A bar graph with

samples' dots shows the interaction time of C57 mice with the battle sound. **F)** Heat maps illustrate

the elevated-plus maze test for control and observational mice. **G)** A bar graph with sample dots

shows the time spent on open arms in the total time on the elevated-plus maze. Abbreviations: PND,

postnatal day; SIT, social interaction test; EPM, elevated plus maze; Ob, observer; Con, control; BS,

battle sound. Data are presented as mean ± SEM and analyzed by one-Way ANOVA. Three asterisks,

$p < 0.001$; two asterisks, $p < 0.01$.

1111

1112

Figure 2. Psychological stress elevates the number of synaptic contacts and associative memory neurons in the VC. A) Confocal image illustrates the injection sites of AAV2/8-CMV-BFP in AC and AAV2/8-CMV-tdTomato in VC. **B)** BFP-labeled AC axonal boutons and their contacts on YFP-labeled dendritic spines of VC neurons from Con mouse (top panel) and Ob mouse (bottom panel). Images in white dash-line boxes show the amplification of synaptic contacts (1.68X) pointed by white arrows that denote synaptic contacts. **C)** The statistical analyses of axon boutons per mm³ in the VC projected from the AC in Con and Ob mice (one-way ANOVA). **D)** The statistical analyses of synaptic contacts per

100 μm dendrite made between AC axon boutons and dendritic spines on VC neurons (one-way

ANOVA). **E)** RFP-labeled VC axonal boutons and their contacts on YFP-labeled dendritic spines of VC

neurons from Con mouse (top panel) and Ob mouse (bottom panel). Images in white dash-line boxes

show the amplification of synaptic contacts (2.0X) pointed by white arrows that denote synaptic

contacts. **F)** The statistical analyses of axon boutons per mm^3 in the VC projected from the VC in Con

and Ob mice (one-way ANOVA). **G)** The statistical analyses of synaptic contacts per 100 μm dendrite

made between VC axon boutons and dendritic spines on VC neurons. **H)** A scheme shows *in vivo*

electrophysiological recordings in VC as well as stimulations by battle image (BI) and battle sound (BS).

I) The spikes at VC neurons spontaneously and evoked by BS and BI from Con mouse (blue) and Ob

mouse (red). **J)** The statistical analyses about the numbers of associative memory neurons (AMNs, red

in bar) that encode both BI and BS as well as of active neurons that encode either BI or BS (non-AMNs,

white in bar) in the visual cortex from Con and Ob mice (chi-square test). **K)** The statistical analyses

about the numbers of BS-activated neurons (blue in bar) and BI-activated neurons (orange in bar) in

the visual cortex from Con and Ob mice (chi-square test). **L)** The statistical analyses about normalized

spike frequencies in response to BS in VC neurons from Con and Ob mice (one-way ANOVA). **M)** The

statistical analyses about normalized spike frequencies in response to BI in VC neurons from Con and

Ob mice (one-way ANOVA). Abbreviations: AP: Anteroposterior; VC, visual cortex; AC, auditory cortex;

BS: battle sound; BI: battle image. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Two asterisks, $p < 0.01$; one

asterisk, $p < 0.05$.

1139

1140

Figure 3. Psychological stress elevates the number of synaptic contacts and associative memory

neurons in the AC. A) RFP-labeled VC axon boutons and their contacts on YFP-labeled dendritic spines

of AC neurons from Con mouse (top panel) and Ob mouse (bottom panel). Images in white dash-line

boxes show the amplification of synaptic contacts (1.68X) pointed by white arrows that denote

synaptic contacts. **B)** The statistical analyses of axon boutons per mm^3 in the AC projected from the VC

in Con and Ob mice (one-way ANOVA). **C)** The statistical analyses of synaptic contacts per 100 μm

dendrite made between VC axon boutons and dendritic spines on AC neurons (one-way ANOVA). **D)**

BFP-labeled AC axon boutons and their contacts on YFP-labeled dendritic spines of AC neurons from

Con mouse (top panel) and Ob mouse (bottom panel). Images in white dash-line boxes show the

amplification of synaptic contacts (2.0X) pointed by white arrows that denote synaptic contacts. **E)** The

statistical analyses of axon boutons per mm^3 in the AC projected from the AC in Con and Ob mice

(one-way ANOVA). **F)** The statistical analyses of synaptic contacts per 100 μm dendrite made between

AC axon boutons and dendritic spines on AC neurons. **G)** A scheme shows *in vivo* electrophysiological

recordings in AC as well as stimulations by battle image (BI) and battle sound (BS). **H)** The spikes at AC

neurons spontaneously and evoked by BS and BI from Con mouse (blue) and Ob mouse (red). **I)** The

statistical analyses about the numbers of associative memory neurons (AMNs, red in bar) that encode

both BI and BS as well as of active neurons that encode either BI or BS (non-AMNs, white in bar) in the

auditory cortex from Con and Ob mice (chi-square test). **J)** The statistical analyses about the numbers

of BI-activated neurons (orange in bar) and BS-activated neurons (blue in bar) in the auditory cortex

from Con and Ob mice (chi-square test). **K)** The statistical analyses about normalized spike frequencies

in response to BS in AC neurons from Con and Ob mice (one-way ANOVA). **L)** The statistical analyses

about normalized spike frequencies in response to BI in AC neurons from Con and Ob mice (one-way

ANOVA). Abbreviations: AP: Anteroposterior; VC, visual cortex; AC, auditory cortex; BS: battle sound;

BI: battle image. Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Two asterisks, $p < 0.01$; one asterisk, $p < 0.05$; ns,

$p > 0.05$.

1166

1167

Figure 4. Psychological stress elevates the number of synaptic contacts and associative memory

neurons in the mPFC. A) Confocal image demonstrates the injection sites of AAV2/8-CMV-BFP in the

AC, AAV2/8- CMV-tdTomato in the VC (top panel) and AAV2/8-CMV-GFP in mPFC (bottom panel). **B)**

RFP-labeled VC axon boutons, BFP-labeled AC boutons and their contacts on YFP-labeled dendritic

spines of mPFC neurons from Con mouse (top panel and middle panel) and Ob mouse (bottom panel).

Images in white dash-line boxes show the amplification of synaptic contacts (2.0X) pointed by white

arrows that denote synaptic contacts. **C)** The statistical analyses of axon boutons per mm^3 in the mPFC

projected from the AC and VC in Con and Ob mice (one-way ANOVA). **D)** The statistical analyses of

synaptic contacts per 100 μm dendrite made among AC/VC axon boutons and dendritic spines on

mPFC neurons (one-way ANOVA). **E)** GFP-labeled mPFC axon boutons and their contacts on

YFP-labeled dendritic spines of mPFC neurons from Con mouse (top panel) and Ob mouse (bottom

panel). Images in white dash-line boxes show the amplification of synaptic contacts (2.0X) pointed by

white arrows that denote synaptic contacts. **F)** The statistical analyses of axon boutons per mm^3 in the

mPFC projected from the mPFC in Con and Ob mice (one-way ANOVA). **G)** The statistical analyses of

synaptic contacts per 100 μm dendrite made between mPFC axon boutons and dendritic spines on

mPFC neurons. **H)** A scheme shows *in vivo* electrophysiological recordings in mPFC as well as

stimulations by battle image (BI) and battle sound (BS). **I)** The spikes at mPFC neurons spontaneously

and evoked by BS and BI from Con mouse (blue) and Ob mouse (red). **J)** The statistical analyses about

the numbers of associative memory neurons (AMNs, red in bar) that encode both BI and BS as well as

of non-associative memory neurons (non-AMNs, white in bar) that encode BI, BS or neither signals in

the mPFC from Con and Ob mice (chi-square test). **K)** The statistical analyses about the numbers of

activated neurons (red in bar) and inactivated neurons that encode neither BI nor BS (grey in bar) in

the mPFC from Con and Ob mice (chi-square test). **L)** The statistical analyses about normalized spike

frequencies in response to BS in mPFC neurons from Con and Ob mice (one-way ANOVA). **M)** The

statistical analyses about normalized spike frequencies in response to BI in mPFC neurons from Con

and Ob mice (one-way ANOVA). Abbreviations: AP: Anteroposterior; mPFC: medial prefrontal cortex;

VC, visual cortex; AC, auditory cortex; BS: battle sound; BI: battle image. Data are presented as mean ±

SEM. Four asterisks, $p < 0.0001$; three asterisks, $p < 0.001$; two asterisks, $p < 0.01$; one asterisk, $p <$

0.05.

1198

Figure 5. *KiF1a* RNAi in mPFC precludes the stress-induced recruitments of axon projection, synapse

formation and associative memory neurons. A) Confocal image illustrates the injection sites of

AAV2/8-CMV-BFP in AC, AAV2/8-CMV-tdTomato in VC (top panel) and AAV2/9-U6-shRNA-GFP in mPFC

(bottom panel). **B)** RFP-labeled VC axon boutons, BFP-labeled AC boutons and their contacts on

YFP-labeled dendritic spines of mPFC neurons from scramble mouse (top panel) and *KiF1a*-KD mouse

(bottom panel). Images in white dash-line boxes show the amplification of synaptic contacts (1.2X)

pointed by white arrows that denote synaptic contacts. **C)** The statistical analyses of axon boutons per

mm^3 in the mPFC projected from the AC and VC in scramble and *KiF1a*-KD mice (one-way ANOVA). **D)**

The statistical analyses of synaptic contacts per 100 μm dendrite made among AC, VC axon boutons

and dendritic spines on mPFC neurons (one-way ANOVA). **E)** GFP-labeled mPFC axon boutons and

their contacts on YFP-labeled dendritic spines of mPFC neurons from scramble mouse (top panel) and

KiF1a-KD mouse (bottom panel). Images in white dash-line boxes show the amplification of synaptic

contacts (2.3X) pointed by white arrows that denote synaptic contacts. **F)** The statistical analyses of

axon boutons per mm^3 in the mPFC projected from the mPFC in scramble and *KiF1a*-KD mice (one-way

ANOVA). **G)** The statistical analyses of synaptic contacts per 100 μm dendrite made between mPFC

axon boutons and dendritic spines on mPFC neurons. **H)** A scheme shows *in vivo* electrophysiological

recordings in mPFC as well as stimulations by battle image (BI) and battle sound (BS). **I)** The spikes at

mPFC neurons spontaneously and evoked by BS and BI from scramble mouse (blue) and *KiF1a*-KD

mouse (red). **J)** The statistical analyses about the numbers of associative memory neurons (AMNs, red

in bar) that encode both BI and BS as well as of non-associative memory neurons (non-AMNs, white in

bar) that encode BI, BS or neither signals in the mPFC cortex from scramble and *KiF1a*-KD mice

(chi-square test). **K)** The statistical analyses about the numbers of active neurons (red in bar) and

inactive neurons that encode neither BI nor BS (grey in bar) in the mPFC cortex from scramble and

KiF1a-KD mice (chi-square test). **L)** The statistical analyses about normalized spike frequencies in

response to BS in mPFC neurons from scramble and *KiF1a*-KD mice (one-way ANOVA). **M)** The

statistical analyses about normalized spike frequencies in response to BI in mPFC neurons from

scramble and *KiF1a*-KD mice (one-way ANOVA). Abbreviations: AP: Anteroposterior; mPFC: medial

prefrontal cortex; VC, visual cortex; AC, auditory cortex; BS: battle sound; BI: battle image. Data are

presented as mean ± SEM. our asterisks, p < 0.0001; three asterisks, p < 0.001; two asterisks, p < 0.01.

1228

Figure 6. *KiF1a* RNAi in mPFC attenuates stress-induced fear memory and anxiety-like behavior. **A)**

Heat maps illustrate the social interaction test for C57 scramble and *KiF1a*-KD mice in face to a CD1

resident mouse. **B)** A bar graph with samples' dots shows the interaction time of Ob plus *KiF1a*-KD and

scramble mice with CD1 resident. **C)** Heat maps show the social interaction test for Ob plus *KiF1a*-KD

and scramble mice in response to battle sound. **D)** A bar graph with samples' dots shows the

interaction time of Ob plus *KiF1a*-KD and scramble mice with battle sound. **E)** Heat maps illustrate the

elevated-plus maze test for Ob plus scramble and *KiF1a* KD mice. **F)** A bar graph with samples' dots for

show the time spent on open arms in the total time on the elevated-plus maze. Abbreviations: KD:

knock down; BS, battle sound. Data are presented as mean ± SEM and analyzed by one-Way ANOVA.

Three asterisks, p<0.001; two asterisks, p<0.01

1241

Figure 7 The inhibition of VC and AC neurons impairs the activity of associative memory neurons in

mPFC. A) A scheme shows *in vivo* electrophysiological recordings in the mPFC and the stimulations of

battle sound (BS) and battle image (BI). Green dashed circles on the tope of mouse head show

AAV-shRNA (scramble) or AAV-sh*KiF1α* are simultaneously injected into VC and AC. **B)** The spikes at

mPFC associative memory neurons spontaneously and evoked by BS and BI from scramble mouse

(blue) and *KiF1α*-KD mouse (red). **C)** The statistical analyses about the numbers of associative memory

neurons (AMNs, red in bar) that encode both BI and BS as well as of non-associative memory neurons

(non-AMNs, white in bar) that encode BI, BS or neither signals in the mPFC from scramble and

KiF1α-KD mice (chi-square test). **D)** The statistical analyses about the numbers of active neurons (red

in bar) and inactive neurons that encode neither BI nor BS (grey in bar) in the mPFC from scramble

and *KiF1α*-KD mice (chi-square test). **E)** A diagram shows *in vivo* electrophysiological recordings in the

mPFC and stimulations of battle sound (BS) and battle image (BI). Blue dashed circles on the top of

mouse head show VC and AC blockade by CNQX and DAP5. **F)** The spikes at mPFC associative memory

neurons spontaneously and evoked by BS and BI before and after AC and VC blockade. **G)** The

statistical analyses about normalized spike frequencies in response to BS in mPFC associative memory

neurons before and after AC and VC blockade (paired *t*-test).   **H)** The statistical analyses about

normalized spike frequencies in response to BI in mPFC associative memory neurons before and after

AC and blockade (paired *t*-test). Abbreviation: KD: knock down; BS: battle sound; BI: battle image. One

asterisk, $p < 0.05$.

1261

1262

Figure 8 Cellular infrastructure in the auditory cortex, visual cortex and the medial prefrontal cortex correlated to the fear induced by psychological stress. A) The stressful signals, in which battle images (BI) are inputted to the visual cortex (VC) and battle sounds (BS) are inputted to the auditory cortex (AC), induce the synapse interconnections between auditory and visual cortical neurons as well as the convergent synapse innervations onto the neurons in the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) in the mice of witnessing the resident/intruder paradigm. **B)** Battle images (BI) are transmitted to the visual cortex via the axons of the lateral geniculate body (LGB). Battle sounds are transmitted to the auditory cortex via the axons of the medial geniculate body (MGB). The neurons in the visual cortex and the auditory cortex are interconnected through *en passant* synapses, or the contacts between presynaptic boutons and postsynaptic spines, after their coactivity, such that they encode battle images and battle sound, i.e., they are recruited as the primary associative memory neurons (pAMN). The neurons in the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) receive the convergent synapse innervations from the VC and the AC after their coactivity, such that they encode battle images and battle sound, i.e., they are recruited as the secondary associative memory neurons (sAMN). In the meantime, the intramodal interconnections via collateral axons and *en passant* synapses are strengthened among the neurons within the VC, the AC and the mPFC. After associative memory neurons are recruited, their activity strength is upregulated. **C)** KIF1A in axons and dendrites fulfills the intracellular transportations of synaptic vesicles, receptors and synapse-building elements to coordinate the axon projection, spine expansion and new synapse formation, which are essential for fear memory and anxiety-like behaviors induced by psychological traumas.

1283

1285

1286

Extended Data Figure 1. Psychological stress promotes fear memory formation specific to trauma-associated contexts in mice. A) Experimental timeline for mice to witness resident/intruder paradigm and to be conducted by social interaction test, elevated-plus maze test. **B)** Heat maps illustrate the social interaction test for C57 control (Con) and observational (Ob) mice in face to a CD1 resident mouse at PND 19. **C)** The bar graph with dots for each of samples show the interaction time of C57 mice with CD1 resident mouse at PND 19. **D)** Heat maps illustrate the social interaction test for C57 mice in response to battle sound at PND 19. **E)** The bar graph with dots for each of examples show the interaction time of C57 mice with the battle sound at PND 19. **F)** Heat maps illustrate the social

interaction test for C57 mice in face to an artificial mouse model at PND 19. **G)** The bar graph with dots for each of samples show the interaction time of C57 mice with artificial mouse model at PND 19. **H)** Heat maps illustrate the social interaction test for C57 mice in response to housing environmental sound at PND 19. **I)** The bar graph with dots for each of examples show the interaction time of C57 mice with the housing environmental sound at PND 19. **J)** Heat maps illustrate the elevated-plus maze test for control and observational mice at PND 20. **K)** The bar graph with dots for each of samples show the time spent on open arms in the total time on the elevated-plus maze at PND 20. **L)** Heat maps illustrate the social interaction test for C57 mice in face to an artificial mouse model at PND 33. **M)** The bar graph with dots for each of samples show the interaction time of C57 mice with artificial mouse model at PND 33. **N)** Heat maps illustrate the social interaction test for C57 mice in response to housing environmental sound at PND 33. **O)** The bar graph with dots for each of examples show the interaction time of C57 mice with the housing environmental sound at PND 33. Abbreviations: PND: postnatal days; BS: battle sound; ES: housing environmental sound. ns means no statistic significant, $p > 0.05$.

Extended Data Figure 2. Neurons preferentially encode trauma-related information under

psychological stress. **A)** The traces of spikes at VC neurons are spontaneously and evoked by BS, BI

and ES from Con mouse (blue) and Ob mouse (red). **B)** The traces of spikes at AC neurons are

spontaneously and evoked by BS, BI and FI from Con mouse (blue) and Ob mouse (red). **C)** Statistical

comparisons about normalized spike frequencies in response to ES in VC neurons from Con and Ob

mice (one-way ANOVA). **D)** Statistical comparisons about normalized spike frequencies in response to

BS and ES in VC neurons (paired *t*-test). **E)** Statistical comparisons about normalized spike frequencies

in response to FI in AC neurons from Con and Ob mice (one-way ANOVA). **F)** Statistical comparisons

about normalized spike frequencies in response to BI and FI in AC neurons (paired *t*-test). **G)** The

traces of spikes at mPFC neurons spontaneously and evoked by BS, BI, ES and FI from Con mouse (blue)

and Ob mouse (red). **H)** Statistical comparisons about normalized spike frequencies in response to ES

in mPFC neurons from Con and Ob mice (one-way ANOVA). **I)** Statistical comparisons about

normalized spike frequencies in response to FI in mPFC neurons from Con and Ob mice (one-way

ANOVA). **J)** Statistical comparisons about normalized spike frequencies in response to BS and ES in

mPFC neurons (paired *t*-test) **K)** Statistical comparisons about normalized spike frequencies in

response to BI and FI in mPFC neurons (paired *t*-test). Abbreviations: PND: postnatal days; BS: battle

sound; ES: housing environmental sound; BI: battle image; FI: flower image. Two asterisks, $p < 0.01$;

one asterisk, $p < 0.05$; ns, $p > 0.05$.

1331

1334 **Extended Data Figure 3. Psychological stress upregulates *KiF1a* expression in the mPFC and is**

1335 **suppressed by AAV-sh*KiF1a*-GFP knockdown. A)** *KiF1a* gene relative expression in mPFC of Con and

1336 Ob mice (one-way ANOVA). **B)** Experimental timeline for RNAi performing and behavioral tests. **C)** The

1337 transcriptional level of *KiF1a* are analyzed following AAV-mediated knockdown of *KiF1a* (one-way

1338 ANOVA). **D)** Confocal image illustrates the injection sites of AAV-shRNA (scramble)-GFP. **E)**

1339 Representative confocal images of KiF1a protein level in mPFC of scramble mice. GFP labeled cells are

1340 infected with AAV-shRNA (scramble)-GFP. Magenta labeled cells are KiF1a positive cells. DAPI labeled

1341 cell nucleus. **F)** Confocal image illustrates the injection sites of AAV-shRNA (*KiF1a*)-GFP. **G)**

1342 Representative images of KiF1a protein level in mPFC of knockdown group mice. GFP labeled cells are

1343 infected with AAV- shRNA (*KiF1a*)-GFP. Magenta labeled cells are KiF1a positive cells. DAPI labeled cell

nucleus. **H)** Statistic of integrated density of GFP in mPFC of scramble group mice and knockdown group mice (one-way ANOVA). **I)** Statistic of relative density of KiF1a in mPFC of scramble group mice and knockdown group mice. The data are calculated as the fluorescence intensity ratio of KiF1a to DAPI (one-way ANOVA). **J)** Statistic of the number of KiF1a and GFP double-labeled cellular particles in mPFC of scramble group mice and knockdown group mice (one-way ANOVA). The data are calculated as the ratio of KiF1a/GFP double-labeled to GFP-labeled cellular particles. Abbreviations: Con: control; Ob: observer; mPFC: medial prefrontal cortex. All data are shown as mean ± SEM. Four asterisks, $p < 0.0001$; three asterisks, $p < 0.001$; two asterisks, $p < 0.01$; one asterisk, $p < 0.05$; ns, $p > 0.05$.

1353

1354

1355 **Extended Data Figure 4. KiF1a knockdown does not affect interaction with neutral cues or**

1356 **locomotion. A)** Heat maps illustrate the social interaction test for C57 scramble and *KiF1a* KD mice in

1357 face to a CD1 resident mouse at PND 21. **B)** The bar graphs with dots for each of samples show the

1358 interaction time of C57 mice with CD1 resident mice at PND 21. **C)** Heat maps illustrate the social

interaction test for C57 scramble and *KiF1a* KD mice in response to battle sound at PND 21. **D)** The bar graphs with dots for each of examples show the interaction time of C57 mice with the battle sound at PND 21. **E)** Heat maps illustrate the social interaction test for C57 scramble and *KiF1a* KD mice in face to an artificial mouse model at PND 21. **F)** The bar graphs with dots for each of samples show the interaction time of C57 mice with artificial mouse model at PND 21. **G)** Heat maps illustrate the social interaction test for C57 mice in response to housing environmental sound at PND 21. **H)** The bar graphs with dots for each of examples show the interaction time of C57 mice with the housing environmental sound at PND 21. **I)** Locomotion traces of PND 21 scramble and *KiF1a* KD mice in the social interaction test. **J)** The bar graphs with dots for each of samples show the total movement trajectory length of C57 mice at PND 21. **K)** Heat maps illustrate the elevated-plus maze test for scramble and *KiF1a* KD mice at PND 22. **L)** The bar graphs with dots for each of samples show the time spent on open arms in the total time on the elevated-plus maze at PND 22. **M)** Heat maps illustrate the social interaction test for C57 scramble and *KiF1a* KD mice in face to an artificial mouse model at PND 35. **N)** The bar graphs with dots for each of samples show the interaction time of C57 mice with artificial mouse model at PND 35. **O)** Heat maps illustrate the social interaction test for C57 mice in response to housing environmental sound at PND 35. **P)** The bar graphs with dots for each of examples show the interaction time of C57 mice with the housing environmental sound at PND 35. **Q)** Locomotion traces of PND 35 scramble and *KiF1a* KD mice in the social interaction test. **R)** The bar graphs with dots for each of samples show the total movement trajectory length of C57 mice at PND 35. All data are shown as mean ± SEM and analyzed by one-way ANOVA. Blue symbols show the data of scramble group mice. Red symbols show the data of *KiF1a* KD group mice. Abbreviations: PND: postnatal days; BS: battle sound; ES: housing environmental sound. ns, $p > 0.05$.

1386 **Extended Data Figure 5: Spine morphology changes in control, Ob, scramble, and *KiF1a* RNAi groups.**

A) Statistic on the number of spines in VC of Con and Ob mice. B) Statistic on the width of spine in VC of Con and Ob mice. C) Statistic on the total length of spine in VC of Con and Ob mice. D) Statistic on the number of spines in AC of Con and Ob mice. E) Statistic on the width of spine in AC of Con and Ob mice. F) Statistic on the total length of spine in AC of Con and Ob mice. G) Statistic on the number of spines in mPFC of Con and Ob. H) Statistic on the width of spine in mPFC of Con and Ob mice. I) Statistic on the total length of spine in mPFC of Con and Ob mice. J) Statistic of the number on spines in mPFC of scramble and *KiF1a* KD mice. K) Statistic on the width of spine in mPFC of scramble and *KiF1a* KD mice. L) Statistic on the length of spine in mPFC of scramble and *KiF1a* KD mice.

Abbreviations: Con: control; Ob: observer; VC: visual cortex; AC: auditory cortex; KD: knock down.

Data are shown as mean ± SEM and analyzed by one-way ANOVA. Four asterisks, $p < 0.0001$; three asterisks, $p < 0.001$; two asterisks, $p < 0.01$; ns, $p > 0.05$.