Achromobacter xylosoxidans isolates exhibit genome diversity, variable virulence, high levels

of antibiotic resistance and potential intrahost evolution.

Pooja Acharya[1], Cameron Lloyd[1], Ngoc Thien Lam[2], Jessica Kumke[1], Sreejana Ray[3], Zilia Yanira Muñoz

Ramirez[4], Sanchita Das [3] and Hanh Ngoc Lam[1]

[1] Department of Biological Sciences, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, Virginia, USA

[2] Faculty of Applied Sciences, Ton Duc Thang University, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

[3] Department of Laboratory Medicine, Clinical Center, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD.

[4] Facultad de Ciencias Químicas, Universidad Autónoma de Chihuahua, Campus II Circuito

Universitario s/n, Chihuahua, Mexico

Running Head: A. xylosoxidans: Pathogenic Traits & Intrahost change

#Address correspondence to Hanh Ngoc Lam, hanhlam@vt.edu

1.1   Keywords

Achromobacter xylosoxidans, antibiotic resistance, virulence, secretion system, genetic diversity,

intrahost evolution

2. Abstract

Achromobacter xylosoxidans is an emerging pathogen characterized by high levels of antibiotic resistance and increasing infection rates worldwide. This motile, opportunistic pathogen is widely distributed in the environment and can cause various infections, including pneumonia, bacteremia, endocarditis, meningitis, and others. In this study, we analyzed the population structure, antibiotic resistance profiles, and virulence factors of over 200 publicly available genomes. Core genome analysis revealed that *A. xylosoxidans* is highly adaptable, possessing a relatively small core genome. Antibiotic susceptibility testing of isolates from the United States revealed high resistance to multiple antibiotics. Our data show that imipenem/relebactam (IMR) is as effective against *A. xylosoxidans* as imipenem (IMI) alone, indicating that relebactam does not inhibit β-lactamase activity in *Achromobacter.* The species features multiple secretion systems, including the Type III secretion system (T3SS) of the YscN family, which is similar to those found in *Bordetella pertussis* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Isolates collected from the same patients showed changes in cytotoxicity, flagella motility, biofilm and antibiotic resistance suggesting its dynamic adaptation to host environment. Intra-host evolved isolates, NIH-010, NIH-016 and NIH-018 demonstrated the loss of flagellar motility and variable cytotoxicity while exhibiting increased antibiotic resistance and enhanced biofilm formation. Sequence analysis suggests that NIH-016-3 has tyrosine to histidine mutation at position 330 near the FlhF Guanosine triphosphate (GTP)-binding domain that may affect flagellar assembly. Interestingly, virulence assays showed significant variation in the ability of different *A. xylosoxidans* isolates to induce cell death in *in vitro* models, suggesting its dynamic adaptation to host environment.

3.   Importance

This study provides a comprehensive examination of *Achromobacter xylosoxidans*, an emerging pathogen of global concern due to its high antibiotic resistance and increasing clinical relevance. By analyzing over 200 genomes, we offer critical insights into the population structure, resistance mechanisms, and virulence factors of this species. The identification of a small core genome underscores its potential for genomic plasticity. The existence of multiple secretion systems highlights the great capacity of *A. xylosoxidans* as a pathogen. Variations in virulence among *A. xylosoxidans* isolates indicate the complexity of this pathogen underscoring the need for further studies on its virulence mechanisms. Evolution within the host includes the loss of motility-associated systems and enhanced antibiotic resistance and biofilm formation. This work showed that *A. xylosoxidans* are resistant to relebactam when combined with imipenem a combination effective in other bacteria. These findings emphasize the urgent need for targeted therapeutic strategies to combat this opportunistic pathogen.

4.   Introduction

The genus *Achromobacter* is a non-lactose fermenting, Gram-negative, motile bacterium recognized as an emerging pathogen in cystic fibrosis (CF) patients (1). It belongs to the β-proteobacteria class. While *Achromobacter* species are ubiquitously found in water and soil, and can grow in the plant rhizosphere, *A. xylosoxidans* isolates are primarily clinically derived (2). This bacterium was first isolated in 1971 from an ear infection (3). *A. xylosoxidans* can cause a wide range of infections, including pneumonia, bacteremia, endocarditis, meningitis, and others (4, 5). These infections, which predominantly affect immunocompromised individuals often lead to severe outcomes (4). Colonization with *A. xylosoxidans* is considered a marker of disease severity in CF patients (6) and is associated with a decline in respiratory function (7) and with a greater risk of death in lung transplant recipients (8). The persistence of *A. xylosoxidans* in both extreme environments and host organisms may be attributed to its genetic diversity and adaptability, although this aspect remains

poorly understood (9). Recent virulence studies have utilized various clinical isolates to explore its

pathogenic mechanisms (10-12). As of the time of this study, nearly 200 genomes of *A. xylosoxidans*

were available on NCBI. However, comprehensive analyses of the differences among isolates with

respect to their genomic content, virulence potential, and antibiotic resistance profiles are lacking.

Clinical isolates possess unique genomic features that differentiate them from environmental

isolates and contribute to virulence, such as the type III secretion system (T3SS), a polysaccharide

island, adhesion-related proteins, and several putative toxins (13). In addition to these genetic

elements, the Type VI Secretion System (T6SS) has been recently identified to contribute to virulence

alongside the T3SS (14, 15). The T3SS enables bacteria to deliver effector proteins directly into the

cytosol of animal or plant host cells (14). These systems have diversified into seven families,

spreading across Gram-negative bacteria through horizontal gene transfer (16). The T6SS facilitates

bacterial competition and functions as a virulence factor in various pathogenic bacteria (17, 18). In *A.*

xylosoxidans, the activity of the T6SS contributes to the internalization in immune cells wherein it

expresses cytotoxic effectors (11, 18). Some environmental isolates also contain phage regions

encoding components of type IV secretion systems (T4SS), and several genetic islands that may

enhance survival in host-free environments (19). In addition to secretion systems, motility is a major

virulence factor in pathogenic bacteria with *A. xylosoxidans* producing peritrichous flagella (20). Loss

of functional flagella is commonly associated with reduced virulence (21).

The genus *Achromobacter* is well-known for its inherent resistance to a broad range of antimicrobial

agents (22) and its ability to acquire resistance to commonly used treatments for respiratory

infections, such as fluoroquinolones, carbapenems or azithromycin (22, 23). *A. xylosoxidans* encodes

at least 50 drug resistance – associated genes, including β-lactamases, efflux pumps, and

transposons (24). Seventeen different efflux pumps have been identified in *A. xylosoxidans,* which

may contribute to its intrinsic resistance (24). However, to date, only four of these efflux pumps

have been characterized. Notably, the observed susceptibility profiles often poorly correlate with

the presence of putative resistance genes (24). These findings highlight the need to investigate the

prevalence and mechanisms of antibiotic resistance (AR) among *A. xylosoxidans* isolates (25-28).

In this work, we examined the genomic diversity of all available genome sequences of

Achromobacter xylosoxidans. Phylogenetic analysis was performed on a curated set of the available

genomes, totaling 178, including those from NCBI and our recently collected clinical isolates from

the NIH Clinical Center. Given the critical role of secretion systems and motility in virulence, we

analyzed the presence of all secretion systems (types I to IX) and flagellar system in clinical isolates.

Phylogenetic analysis was performed to classify the T3SS family of *A. xylosoxidans*. To examine the

heterogeneity of phenotypic traits in *A. xylosoxidans* across major virulence systems, we performed

assays to assess the ability of NIH clinical isolates to induce cytotoxicity, form biofilms, and exhibit

flagellar motility.

5. Results

Genomic diversity of *Achromobacter*

To examine the diversity among *A. xylosoxidans* isolates, we analyzed the whole-genome sequencing

data from 48 *Achromobacte*r spp. isolates (including 34 *A. xylosoxidans*, and other *Achromobacter*

species collected at the NIH clinical center in the previous study (29) referred to as NIH isolates) and

197 *A. xylosoxidans* genomes from NCBI (referred to as NCBI isolates). Although our focus was on *A.*

xylosoxidans, we included other *Achromobacter* species from our NIH isolates as references to

assess their clustering relative to *A. xylosoxidans*. The 197 NCBI isolates were predominantly from

the United States (n = 51), Germany (n = 39), Russia (n = 19), China (n = 12), and Australia (n = 11)

(Figure 1). In this dataset, *A. xylosoxidans* isolates were primarily collected from respiratory sources

(e.g. cystic fibrosis patients), followed by environmental samples (Figure 1A). Among the NIH

isolates, the majority of samples were collected from respiratory sources, with bloodstream isolates

being the second most common (Figure 1B).

From the NIH isolate sequence, genome assembly was performed and contigs greater than 500 bps

with coverage of 20 or more were retained. CheckM analysis identified 10 genomes with

contamination levels exceeding 5%, which were removed from further analysis (Table S1). We note

that the apparent "contamination" issue is likely due to sequencing errors. These isolates originated

from single colony collections and were identified as *A. xylosoxidans* based on NCBI taxonomy

analysis. Therefore, in later phenotypic analyses, we added back two isolates (NIH-016-1 and NIH-

018-3) to get a complete picture of the phenotypic changes of isolates collected from the same

patients. This resulted in 38 genomes from the NIH isolate dataset. For the NCBI genomes, those

with more than 300 contigs were excluded, and contigs shorter than 500 bps were removed, one

additional genome was further excluded from the NCBI dataset due to low genome completeness

(less than 70%) resulting in a set of 140 NCBI sequences (Table S2). This yielded a final dataset of 178

genomes for downstream analysis.

Phylogenomic analysis of all 178 isolates revealed significant diversity, with isolates largely being

nonclonal (Figure S1). NIH isolates were scattered among NCBI isolates, and clustering did not

correlate with geographical locations or sample sources. A group of environmental isolates formed a

distinct cluster (except for NIH-006-1), while others clustered with NIH clinical isolates, underscoring

the complexity of *A. xylosoxidans*. Non-*A. xylosoxidans* isolates clustered together, suggesting the

ability of this analysis to differentiate *Achromobacter* species. We note that two isolates classified as

A. xylosoxidans in the previous study - NIH-019-1 and NIH-021-1 (29) – were clustered with non-*A.*

xylosoxidans. A closer examination of the taxonomy analyses associated with the SRA sequences on

NCBI along with TYGS analysis (30), suggests that they are unlikely to be *A. xylosoxidans*. We

performed the average nucleotide identify (ANI) analysis and confirmed that the two isolates are not

A. xylosoxidans (Figure S2). Therefore, we excluded them from subsequent analyses involving *A.*

xylosoxidans. This resulted in a total of 22 *A. xylosoxidans* NIH isolates with the genome sequences.

In co-ancestry analysis, there are a few cases with some level of shared ancestry from environmental

samples. However, most isolates are unrelated to each other, suggesting that they are genetically

diverse and likely evolve independently (Figure S3). We then examined the core genome of all 162 *A.*

xylosoxidans isolates (140 NCBI and 22 NIH isolates). Using the Panaroo pipeline, we identified 2,216

genes present in at least 99% of the isolates, representing 34% of total genes in *A. xylosoxidans*, and

3,490 genes present in at least 95% of the isolates, representing 54% (Table 1). The remaining 66%

of genes were classified as accessory genes, highlighting the genomic plasticity of this species.

We also specifically looked at the phylogenetic analysis of the 22 *A. xylosoxidans* genomes from the

NIH isolates (Figure 1C). In this data, isolates collected from the same patient over time have the

same middle number. For example, NIH-016-2 and NIH-016-3 were from the same patients; or NIH-

010-3, NIH-010-4, NIH-010-6 were from the same patients. Initial phylogenetic analysis using two

methods, kSNP or Panaroo followed by RaxML (see Methods section for details) yielded identical

phylogenetic trees for the NIH isolates data. Using Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs), we built

a phylogenetic tree of these isolates based on their SNP differences (Figure 1C). This tree showed

the same relationships among isolates collected from the same patients as observed with the other

two methods. The analysis showed that isolates collected from the same patients clustered

together, indicating genetic similarity and suggesting persistence and evolution within the same host

over time. The only exception was NIH-005-4, which did not cluster with NIH-005-2 and NIH-005-3.

NIH-005-4 was collected from sputum in 2014, whereas NIH-005-2 and NIH-005-3 were collected

from the perirectal region and bronchoalveolar lavage, respectively, in 2016.

Antibiotic resistance in *Achromobacter*

Antibiotic susceptibility data of NIH isolates revealed a prevalence of multidrug-resistant

Achromobacter spp. among the 12 antibiotic tested, including CZA (Ceftazidime/Avibactam), C/T

(Ceftolozane/Tazobactam), IMR (Imipenem/Relebactam), MERO (Meropenem), AZT/ATM

(Aztreonam), FEP (Cefepime), TAZ (Ceftazidime), CIP (Ciprofloxacin), IMI (Imipenem), LEVO

(Levofloxacin), PT4/TZP (Piperacillin/Tazobactam), and SXT (Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole) ((29),

Figure 2A). Many AR genes were identified in the NIH isolates using the Comprehensive Antibiotic

Resistance Database (CARD database); however, these genes could not fully explain the AR in certain

isolates (29). Two notable isolates, NIH-016-2 and NIH-016-3, were collected from the same patient.

However, NIH-016-3 was resistant to 11/12 antibiotics (all antibiotic tested except SXT), while NIH-

016-2 was resistant to only 3/12. Antimicrobial Resistance analysis using CZ ID website (31) showed

that NIH-016-2 and NIH-016-3 isolates carried similar sets of AR systems, including efflux pumps

(AdeFGH, AxyXY-OprZ, MexAB-OprM, MuxABC–OpmB, MexGHI-OpmD, MexCD-OprJ, MexJK-OprM,

MexPQ-OpmE), OXA-114h β-lactamase, AXC β-lactamase, and CatB. Thus, we re-sequenced both

NIH-016-2 and NIH-016-3, as well as NIH-016-1, which has the same level of antibiotic resistance as

NIH-016-3 and was collected after NIH-016-3. Using both short-read and long-read sequences, we

were able to assemble complete genomes of the three isolates. Then, variant analysis was done

using NIH-016-2 as a reference (Table 3). Interestingly, the variant analysis identified a T>G single

nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) at position 29 of *axyZ*, resulting in a nonsynonymous mutation

(V29G) in both NIH-016-3 and NIH-016-1. AxyZ is a negative regulator of AxyXY-OprZ RND efflux

pump. This mutation (V29G) is associated with overexpression of AxyXY-OprZ efflux pump, which

may be involved in resistance against multiple antibiotics including tobramycin, gentamicin,

cefepime, ofloxacin, and levofloxacin (32). SNPs that may be involved in antibiotic resistance were

identified in NIH-016-03 and NIH-016-01, and among these, three were of particular interest (Table

3). A T>A SNP at position 695 of *mutL* resulted in a non-synonymous mutation (L232Q). MutL and

MutS are components of the mismatch repair (MMR) system, and mutations in these genes,

especially MutL, are associated with a hypermutator phenotype and increased antibiotic resistance

in *P. aeruginosa* (33). Another variant, T>C at position 2228 in the *mfd* gene (ACP6EQ_07680) caused

an L743P substitution. *mfd* has been linked to elevated mutagenesis rate and the development of

antibiotic resistance in several bacterial species (34). Finally, a C>T SNP at position 592 of a gene

encoding an ABC transporter substrate-binding protein (ACP6EQ_16040) produced an R198C. ABC

transporters play important roles in regulating the uptake and efflux of various substrates, including

antibiotics (35). Overall, NIH-016-3 and NIH-016-1 appear to be hypermutator strains that allow the

accumulation of additional mutations, thereby enhancing the antibiotic resistance of these isolates.

Secretion systems in *Achromobacter xylosoxidans* clinical isolates

Previous studies have shown that *A. xylosoxidans* can express multiple virulence factors, including

secretion systems and flagella (14, 23). We performed a genome scan for secretion systems and

appendages using MacSyFinder to identify secretion systems and flagella in the 22 *A. xylosoxidans*

NIH isolates (Table 2). The reference genome (FDAARGOS_1091) and two additional clinical isolates

(GN050 and GN008) were included for comparison (Table 2). All isolates possessed T1SS, T2SS, T5SS,

and bacterial Tight adherence Secretion System (TadSS). T6SS was present in all isolates, and T4SS

was present in all isolates except NIH-009-1. Interestingly, although a number of isolates were

collected from the same patients over time, most of them still carry key flagella and T3SS genes.

Only one isolate, NIH-023-1 lost both flagellar and T3SS genes.

The type III secretion system is an important virulence mechanism contributing to cytotoxicity in *A.*

xylosoxidans (11, 14). Phylogenetic analysis showed that the T3SS in *A. xylosoxidans* belongs to the

Ysc T3SS family (Figure 3A), which includes T3SS from other pathogens such as *P. aeruginosa*,

Yersinia pseudotuberculosis, and *Bordetella pertussis*. Structural modeling of the APTase YscN from

A. xylosoxidans showed high similarity to that of *P. aeruginosa* PA14, with a root-mean-square

deviation (RMSD) of 0.488 A^o (Figure 3B, C). This structural similarity further supports the

classification of *A. xylosoxidans* T3SS within the Ysc family.

Cytotoxicity in *Achromobacter*

To assess the cytotoxicity of the NIH isolates, we infected J774a.1 macrophages with each isolate at

an MOI of 7 and measured cell death over time. We included two clinical isolates, GN050 and

GN008, which were previously reported to exhibit differential cytotoxicity, as controls (14). The

result showed that the 24 isolates have variable cytotoxicity levels with NIH-018-1 being the most

cytotoxic, exceeding that of GN050 (Figure 4 A,B). Among clinical isolates no measurable difference was detected between the isolate source. Among the isolates collected from the same patients, NIH-010 and NIH-016 had minimal cytotoxicity, while all members of the NIH-025 series are cytotoxic. In the NIH-018 series, the bacterial isolates appeared to have increased cytotoxicity over time, as isolates collected later in the infection were more severe.

To further understand the basis of cytotoxicity, we examined the adherence and uptake of *A. xylosoxidans* in macrophages. For the adherence assay, infected J774a.1 cells were washed, lysed and plated for colony forming unit (CFU) counts (Figure 4C). For the uptake assay, the experiment was performed similarly to the adherence assay, with an additional step of adding polymyxin B after one hour of infection (Figure 4 D). Overall, the most cytotoxic isolates are the those that adhered most efficiently. The NIH-018 series demonstrated the highest levels of adhesion and internalization rate among our isolates, agreeing with its overall high cytotoxicity relative to all other strains. In contrast, several of the least cytotoxic strains (e.g., NIH-05-2, NIH-010-4, NIH-010-3, NIH-014-1, NIH-016-3, NIH-016-1, NIH-022-1 and NIH-026-1) exhibited consistently low adherence and internalization in J774a.1 cells. To further assess whether cytotoxicity depend directly on bacterial uptake, we performed the cell death assay in the presence of cytochalasin D on cytotoxic isolates (Figure 4E). Indeed, cytochalasin D completely abolished the cell death induced by these isolates. Together, these results demonstrate that internalization is a key determinant of *A. xylosoxidans* cytotoxicity across clinical isolates.

Biofilm

The capacity of a bacterium to form biofilms is a strong indicator of virulence and can be tied to the expression of other virulence factors (36-38). Additionally, biofilm formation improves the resistance of the organism to antibiotic and environmental pressures (39). Static biofilms serve as an effective

method for measuring the overall capacity of a bacteria to produce biofilms and have been utilized in *A. xylosoxidans* previously (40). We therefore set out to examine biofilm formation in static culture of *A xylosoxidans* to characterize the differences between clinical isolates. *P. aeruginosa* PA01 Δ*wspF*Δ*pelA*Δ*pslBCD* was used as negative control and PA01 Δ*wspF* as a positive control (41, 42) for biofilm formation. GN050 showed robust biofilm formation compared to the control (Figure 5). Most NIH isolates demonstrated a low level of biofilm production. Only NIH-016-1, and NIH-025s displayed substantial biofilm forming capabilities over the negative control. These isolates were from sputum (NIH-016-1), blood or lung (NIH-025s), suggesting that the source of isolation does not determine whether the bacteria can form biofilm. The intra-host series, NIH-010, NIH-016 and NIH-018 do show a trend of increasing biofilm production although it's not statistically significant from the *P. aeruginosa* negative control.

Achromobacter Flagellar Motility

Flagellar motility is an important virulence factor which bacteria employ to move to the site of infection and is often coordinated with the expression of other virulence genes (43-45). During prolonged infection, mutation in flagellar genes can accumulate resulting in an overall loss of flagellar production (46). We decided to investigate the flagellar motility of our *A. xylosoxidans* clinical isolates to determine if our strains demonstrate any changes in motility. Our results demonstrated substantial differences in flagellar motility between strains even from isolates collected from the same patients (Figure 5A). The most motile isolates are NIH-003-1, NIH-005-4, NIH-014-1, NIH-016-2, NIH-018-3, and NIH-026-1. Among all 14 respiratory isolates (NIH-005-3, NIH005-4, NIH009-1, NIH010-3, NIH-010-4, NIH-014-1, NIH016-2, NIH016-3, NIH018-1, NIH018-2, NIH022-1, NIH023-1, and NIH025-6) 7 were identified as non-motile representing 53.8% of the group. Additionally, among all non-motile isolates, 80% were isolated from a respiratory source. Comparing strains isolated at different times from the same patient, NIH-005, NIH-010, NIH-016, and NIH-018 series, most demonstrated a loss of motility whereas NIH-018 series showed reduced

motility. In NIH-005 series, isolates 2 and 3 were collected in the same year, two years after isolate 4.

The isolates 2 and 3 don't move while isolate 4 demonstrates high motility among all isolates. In

isolates NIH-010 series, isolate 6 was more motile than isolates 3 and 4, which were isolated after

isolate 6. In series NIH-018, NIH-018-3 is one of the best swimmers. The motility reduced about 50%

after one and two years in the subsequent isolates, but they are still motile. These observations

indicate a preference for a reduction of flagellar function in the respiratory environment.

The NIH-016 series demonstrated some of the most substantial differences in motility between

isolates (Figure 6A). In order to determine what factors may be contributing to this, we investigated

the genomic differences present among genomes of NIH-016 series. Multiple sequence alignment

between known flagellar genes in NIH-016-2 compared to the genome of NIH-016-3 showed near

perfect identity between the isolates except for two identified point mutations in *fliO* and *flhF*

(supplemental table 1)*.* The point mutation in *fliO* when translated results in a synonymous

mutation. However, a T>G single nucleotide polymorphism was identified in *flhF* which resulted in a

Y330H mutation FlhF (Figure 6B). This mutation also exists in NIH-016-1 which was collected after

NIH-016-3. FlhF is a GTPase known to be important for the initial insertion of flagellar components in

the membrane of the bacteria. Deletion or mutations of key regions of this protein are known to

result in non-flagellated bacteria and thus a loss of motility (47, 48).

Characterization of the protein for domains by InterProScan (49) revealed a predicted SRP54-type

protein, GTPase domain which spans from 329 to 520. SRP54-type proteins represent signal

recognition particles which bind GTP and are important for trafficking to the plasma membrane (48,

50). This observation is in line with the predicted function of FlhF as a GTP binding protein and its

function in nucleating flagellar assembly in other bacteria (51, 52). Prediction of the FlhF protein

overall via modeling in alphafold (53) revealed four distinct structures. A structure ranging from 268

to 520 comprised a GTPase domain. Alphafold modeling of NIH-016-2 FlhF and NIH-016-3 FlhF and

subsequent alignment revealed a structural abnormality in NIH16-3's FlhF which resulted in a

predicted displacement of a valine at position 329 (Figure 6C). FlhF is known to homodimerize

following binding to GTP (50) and therefore we generated an additional model to visualize plausible

homodimer structures of NIH-0016-2 FlhF. Y330 was observed on the outside of the predicted

model, indicating that the mutation may not be causing any structural disruptions directly

responsible for dimerization (Figure 6D). An alternative hypothesis is the mutation may be disrupting

the GTP binding domain. An alignment of the predicted *Achromobacter* FlhF structure to the known

crystal structure of FlhF in *B. subtilis* showed a R^2 of 2.082, demonstrating they are structurally

similar. The known location of the GTP binding domain of *B. subtilis* FlhF is in close proximity to the

β-sheet containing the Y330H mutation (Figure 6E). Using observed differences in flagellar motility

we were able to identify a mutation in NIH-016-3 and NIH-016-1 which may be contributing to a loss

of flagellar motility possibly by the disruption of GTP binding.

6. Discussion

A. xylosoxidans is an emerging pathogen that has garnered increasing attention in recent years.

Accurate identification of *Achromobacter* species has been challenging due to limited knowledge,

reliance on traditional and labor-intensive phenotypic identification methods, and the lack of

comprehensive spectra from representative species within MALDI-TOF databases (54). Advances in

sequencing technology and the decreasing cost of sequencing have made whole genome sequencing

a feasible approach for identifying *A. xylosoxidans*. Mining these whole genome sequencing data can

provide insights into the genetic diversity, antibiotic resistance mechanisms, and virulence potential

of these isolates. In this study, we analyzed publicly available sequences from NCBI and our data

from isolates collected at the NIH Clinical Center representing various genetic backgrounds.

Our core genome analysis revealed that *A. xylosoxidans* possesses a relatively small core genome

(34–54% of its total genes when defined as being present in 99-95% of the genomes). This is smaller

than the core genomes of other opportunistic pathogens such as *P. aeruginosa* and *Helicobacter pylori*. For instance, *P. aeruginosa* has approximately 40-62% core genes - 2,503 core genes (present in 100% of genomes) out of an average of 6175 genes (55), or 3,769 core genes (present in 98% of genomes) out of 6103 genes (56) . Similarly, *Helicobacter pylori* has 1,227 core genes present in at least 95% of the genomes (57) out of ~1,500 total genes (58), making up 81% of its genome. The core genome phylogenetic tree of *A. xylosoxidans* appears to be non-clonal and isolates from the same geographic locations or sample sources did not cluster together. The lack of population structure and co-ancestry suggests that *A. xylosoxidans* isolates are likely evolving independently, possibly under selective pressures in different environments or hosts. Such variations may arise from diverse soil or water environments (where *Achromobacter* are usually found), or from differences in antibiotics treatment regimens, underlying host diseases, or other health conditions. It is also worth noting that we do observe an exception of a few environmental isolates that do show some level of shared ancestry. Most isolates collected from the same patients clustered together and shared co-ancestry (Figures 1C and S3) suggesting that they evolved from the same infection. However, an exception was observed with NIH-005-4, which did not cluster with NIH-005-3 and NIH-005-2, indicating that NIH-005-2 and NIH-005-3 likely represent a case of reinfection rather than a persistent infection.

The multidrug resistance of *A. xylosoxidans* is common and concerning (Figure 2). This bacterium encodes several efflux pumps, although a few have been validated. The resistance-nodulation-cell division (RND)-type multidrug efflux pump, AxyABM, showed some level of resistant to cephalosporins (except cefepime), aztreonam, nalidicic acid, fluoroquinolones and chloramphenicol (59). Recently, a novel RND-type multidrug efflux pump, AxyXY-OprZ, was identified, which expels antibiotics such as cefepime, fluoroquinolones, tetracycline or aminoglycosides including kanamycin, gentamicin, and tobramycin (60). Additionally, a recent study discovered the presence of another narrow-spectrum RND-type multidrug efflux pump, AxyEF-OprN, which pumps out fluoroquinolones, tetracyclines, and carbapenems (40). An additional study identified AxySUV in some of the *A.*

xylosoxidans genomes that export fluoroquinolones (ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin), carbapenems

(doripenem), tetracycline (doxycycline, minocycline), and chloramphenicol (61). In addition to the

presence of various efflux pumps, intrahost evolution may contribute to increasing antibiotic

resistance over time. One interesting case is the NIH-016 series. NIH-016-3, collected seven months

after NIH-016-2 from the same patient, exhibits higher AR and enhanced biofilm formation

compared to NIH-016-2. Phylogenetic analysis confirms their close relationship, suggesting that NIH-

016-3 evolved from NIH-016-2. While both isolates carry similar sets of resistance genes identified

from the CARD database and CZ ID website, NIH-016-3 demonstrates greater resistance to

antibiotics. Comparing single nucleotide polymorphism of all three isolates in the series suggest that

the later collected isolates accumulated mutations DNA mismatch repair systems and in several

efflux pump. Hypermutators may exhibit greater resistance because their elevated mutation rate

leads to additional mutations in genes that contribute to antibiotic resistance. It is possible that the

hypermutator emerged first, followed by mutations in efflux pumps and transporters, ultimately

resulting in broad resistance to multiple antibiotics.

In our NIH isolates, 21% of isolates are resistant to IMI while 34% isolates are resistant to IMR.

Relebactam, a wide-spectrum $\beta-$lactamase inhibitor against class A (extended-spectrum

$\beta-$lactamases KPC) and class C (AmpC) $\beta-$lactamases, does not seem to work in *Achromobacter*. In

fact, all NIH isolates that were resistant to IMI were also resistant to IMR. In other bacteria such as

Pseudomonas and *Klebsiella*, IMR was more effective than IMI (62, 63). This could be because *A.*

xylosoxidans possesses other $\beta-$lactamases classes. NIH-016s possess AXC family carbapenem-

hydrolyzing class A $\beta-$lactamase and OXA-114 family class D $\beta-$lactamase. The OXA-114 may help

Achromobacter to escape relebactam when used in combination with imipenem. These findings

highlight that *A. xylosoxidans* employ multiple AR genes and strategies, which require further

research.

As secretion systems are key virulent factors in bacteria, we investigated the presence of all possible

secretion systems in the NIH isolates. T1SS, T2SS, T5SS, and bacterial Tight adherence Secretion

System (TadSS) were identified in all isolates. T1SS is capable of transporting unfolded substrates,

including digestive enzymes (proteases and lipases), adhesins, heme-binding proteins, and proteins

with repeats-in-toxins (RTX) motifs (64). T2SS, a secretion machinery prevalent in many Gram-

negative bacteria, facilitates the secretion of virulence factors such as proteases, toxins, lipases, and

carbohydrate-degrading enzymes, which aid the survival of both pathogenic and environmental

bacteria (65). T5SS is involved in the secretion of virulence proteins critical to pathogenesis in several

bacteria, including immunoglobulin A protease of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae (66)*, IcsA protein of

Shigella flexneri (67), and YadA of *Yersinia enterocolitica (68)*. TadSS is an important secretion system

that supports infection, cell adherence, competence, and biofilm formation in many pathogenic

bacteria, such as *P. aeruginosa*, *Bordetella pertussis*, *Burkholderia pseudomallei*, and *Mycobacterium*

tuberculosis (69). The presence of these secretion systems in all isolates suggests that they all have

the potential to be pathogenic.

Most isolates have T3SS, T4SS, T6SS and flagella. T3SS is associated with the cytotoxicity of the

isolates (14). AxoU is the only known T3SS substrate that is responsible for host cell cytotoxicity in *A.*

xylosoxidans. All cytotoxic isolates carried both T3SS and AxoU. T4SS is primarily involved in

horizontal genetic transfer (HGT) between different microorganisms, which may contribute to

pathogenesis and antibiotic resistance (15). T6SS is a multiprotein complex that uses a spring-like

mechanism to deliver effector proteins into target cells (70). In *A. xylosoxidans,* T6SS plays a role in

targeting competing bacteria and is crucial for the internalization of the pathogen into lung epithelial

cells (15). Flagella, which are evolutionarily related to T3SS, play roles in motility, surface adherence

and biofilm formation (71). Interestingly, while most isolates encode flagellar genes, only 59% of

them are motile, suggesting an adaptation strategy of the isolates that are isolated over a long

period of time from a patient indicating chronic carriage/infection. A study on the genotypic and

phenotypic evolution of the *A.xylosoxidans* isolates collected from CF patients over time showed a

decrease in swimming motility, an increase in antibiotic resistance, and enhanced biofilm formation

in evolved strains (72).

Phylogenetic analysis indicates that the T3SS of *A. xylosoxidans* structurally belongs to the Ysc family

of T3SS systems, which includes *Bordetella* spp. (alpha-proteobacteria), *Yersinia* spp., *P. aeruginosa*,

Aeromonas spp., *Photorhabdus luminescens*, and *Vibrio* spp. (gamma-proteobacteria) (Figure 4). In

Bordetella spp., *Yersinia* spp., and *Photorhabdus luminescens,* the T3SS confers resistance to the

innate immune response by inhibiting phagocytosis, leading to extracellular localization of the

pathogens (73-75). While *P. aeruginosa* is known as an extracellular pathogen, it can replicate

intracellularly where T3SS and its effectors (mainly ExoS) play critical roles in internalization, vacuole

escape, evasion of inflammasome and autophagy as well as bleb formation prior to detachment (76).

Similarly, T3SS is important for *Aeromonas* and *Vibrio* to maintain its intracellular niches (77, 78). In

A. xylosoxidans, survival within macrophages for several hours is independent of T3SS; however,

cytotoxicity depends on the presence of T3SS (11, 12). AxoU is the only known T3SS substrate linked

to host cell lysis (14), although other T3SS effectors may be secreted during infection, as disrupting

the T3SS (e.g., *sctV*) prevents cell death, whereas disruption of *axoU* alone does not (11).

Interestingly, T3SS and *axoU* are expressed shortly after infection (within 30 minutes), but are

subsequently turned off (11). Whether T3SS is reactivated when the bacteria escape the vacuole and

lyse the host cells remain to be tested. Additional characterization of the T3SS and other virulence

systems are required to identify other effectors and their role in infection and survival.

Our clinical isolates demonstrate substantial heterogeneity in their cytotoxicity (Figure 4). *A.*

xylosoxidans cytotoxicity is conducted through its T3SS with the major effector known to cause cell

death being AxoU, a homologue of ExoU found in *P. aeruginosa* (79). While most isolates carry T3SS

and AxoU, more than 50% of isolates were non-cytotoxic, suggesting a complex regulation of T3SS

expression. Multiple sequence alignment of promoter regions of T3SS genes suggests a loss of the

sctB promoter in both GN008 and NIH-016-2. *sctB* encodes a T3SS translocon protein required for

effector injection into host cells (80); therefore, its absence is expected to reduce T3SS - dependent

cytotoxicity. Recent work showed that transcription of *axoU* was upregulated in GN050, but not in

GN008, shortly after phagocytosis (14). Together these observations suggest that transcriptional

regulation may be one mechanism controlling T3SS expression in these isolates.

The ability of *A. xylosoxidans* to adhere to host cells and undergo phagocytosis is known to be

integral to its cytotoxic activity (11, 14). Multiple virulence factors have been implicated in

contributing to this phenotype, including the T6SS and the adhesin ArtA (12, 15). Consistent with

previous findings, our data showed that cytotoxicity across isolates required bacterial uptake (Figure

4). There was no observable relationship between overall flagellar motility and cytotoxicity but an

association with biofilm production and cytotoxicity (Figure S4). In NIH-018 series, there was an

increase in cytotoxicity from isolates collected after the others (Table 2). This is interesting, as in

other bacteria, the bacteria tend to get less virulent when they colonized the host for a long time

(81, 82). It is possible that *in vitro* cytotoxicity is not fully correlated with *in vivo* virulence, which

remains to be tested.

Biofilm production is another major aspect of the bacterial lifestyle. Production of biofilm is

important in promoting bacterial resistance to environmental pressures and defending against

immune cells during infection (39, 83). Biofilm formation can contribute significantly to antibiotic

resistance, as the biofilm matrix provides a protective environment that limits antibiotic penetration

and promotes the survival of persistent cells (84). While many methods for observing biofilm

formation exist, we chose to adopt static biofilm formation as it allowed for the high throughput

monitoring of our available strains. Additional methods such as flow cell and micro biofilm formation

are available and provide additional context for development and maintenance of biofilms, however

as a diagnostic tool static biofilms are commonly used to monitor the overall production of biofilms

in bacteria, and indeed in *A. xylosoxidans* (85). The NIH isolates produce low level of biofilm in

general (Figure 6). We observed a slight increase in biofilm production in the intrahost isolates, NIH-

010s, NIH-016s and NIH-018s although the difference was not significant. GN050 collected from ear

effusion (14), is the only isolate that produced robust biofilm. It could be that because most of our

isolates were from respiratory tract, they don't form as much biofilm. Alternatively, biofilm was

tightly regulated, and we didn't assay the bacteria in the desired condition for biofilm formation in

these particular isolates. Biofilm production was not correlated with motility or antibiotic resistance

(Figure S4), although the strong biofilm former are moderately motile.

Flagellar motility in bacteria is commonly associated with increased disease severity and

pathogenesis. Multiple related species such as *Bordetella* and *Pseudomonas* are known to have the

expression of virulence systems such as the T3SS modulated by the expression of the flagella (45, 86)

. Additionally, bacteria with dysfunctional flagella are known to have reduced pathogenicity *in vivo*

due to the inability to reach the site of infection (44). Bacteria isolated from the lungs are known to

preferentially gain mutations in the flagella resulting in non-motile genotypes as compared to other

sites of infection (37, 46). We identified a mutation in *flhF* which we hypothesize could result in a

loss of flagellar production. We observed no correlation between overall motility and cytotoxicity

(Figure S4). Interestingly, isolates that are the most cytotoxic are motile, but the most motile isolates

are not cytotoxic. It could be possible that the most motile isolates can escape phagocytosis in our *in*

vitro infection model. Strains that experience a loss of either flagellar motility or cytotoxicity do not

experience a relative loss in the other. It is unclear what role the flagella of *A. xylosoxidans* plays

during infection. In multiple bacterial species the function of the flagella is highly correlated with the

activity of virulence mechanisms. The regulatory relationship between motility and virulence varies

substantially between organisms. *Bordetella spp.* display an inverse relationship (86), whereas

Pseudomonas aeruginosa displays a positive relationship between T3SS production and flagellar

motility (45). *Salmonella spp.* also demonstrate a positive relationship with the SPI-1 T3SS but not

the SPI-2 T3SS (87). These observations indicate a general positive correlation between flagella and

virulence factor expression in extracellular pathogens. In *A. xylosoxidans,* T3SS expression seemed to

increase shortly after the bacteria are phagocytosed by macrophage but dampened quickly.

Whether T3SS is shut off and then gets re-activated at later stage of infection or it remains

functional to some extend without making more apparatus and elevated level of substrate

production requires further investigations.

In summary, this study highlights the genetic and phenotypic diversity among *A. xylosoxidans*

isolates, as evidenced by the high accessory genome content and variability in secretion systems.

Significant differences in antibiotic resistance and virulence mechanisms underscore the adaptability

of this bacterial species. These findings emphasize the need for further mechanistic studies to

elucidate the pathogenicity and antibiotic resistance mechanisms of *A. xylosoxidans*.

7. Methods

Data used in this work:

Raw sequence data from Bio Project ID PRJNA1148967, referred to as NIH data with 38

Achromobacter xylosoxidans, *A. denitrificans, A. ruhlandii, A. mucicolens and A. insolitus* genomes.

197 genomes of *Achromobacter xylosoxidans* from NCBI

(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/datasets/genome/?taxon=85698&assembly_level=1:3) as of

September 2024, referred as NCBI data.

Sources of Bacterial Strains

All NIH strains used in phenotypic studies were obtained from the NIH Clinical Center and were

published in a recent work (29). GN050 and GN008 were provided by Dara Frank and have been

previously published (14). PA01 Δ*wspF*Δ*pelA*Δ*pslBCD* and PA01 Δ*wspF* were previously published

(88).

Genome assembly

For all NIH isolates: Adapters were trimmed using Trimmomatic version V0.39 (89) with default

parameters, except the last 10 bases were removed. FastQC (v0.11.9) (90) was used to visualize read

quality, which showed an average base sequence quality greater than 28 across the reads. Genome

assembly was performed using SPAdes (91) in careful mode. The quality of the assemblies was

evaluated using QUAST (v5.2.0) (92), which provided metrics such as number of contigs, length, and

N50.

Genome filtering

For NIH data, contigs shorter than 500 base pairs or with coverage below 20x were removed. For

NCBI data, genomes with more than 300 contigs were excluded, and contigs shorter than 500 base

pairs were removed. Potential sample contamination was assessed using CheckM (v1.2.3) (93).

Genomes with contamination levels exceeding 5% or completeness below 90% were excluded from

subsequent analyses.

Average Nucleotide Identity (ANI)

The complete genome sequences of *A. xylosoxidans* strains GCA_013282235.1 (GN050) and

GCA_013282255.1 (GN008) were included for comparison. ANI analysis was performed using the

ANIm method described previously (94) and implemented in the Python

module pyANI (tps://github.com/widdowquinn/pyani). ANI values were calculated for all 24 NIH

genomes and the two reference strains using pyANI version 0.3.0-alpha with MUMmer-based

alignments and graphical output enabled. ANI percentage identity was used to define the different

clusters.

Core genome analysis

Assembled genomes were annotated with Prokka (1.14.6) (95) with the annotation from assembly

ASM1672882v1 (strain FDAARGOS_1091) used as a reference database. Core genome analysis was

performed using the Panaroo pipeline version 1.5.0 (96) with a protein sequence identity threshold

of 90%, gene length coverage cutoff of 75%, and a core genome sample threshold of 0.95.

Initial phylogenetic analysis of *Achromobacter xylosoxidans*

Phylogenetic analysis of NIH and NCBI data was performed using kSNP4 (97). The optimal k-mer size

was determined using Kchooser. kSNP4 was run with the selected k-mer size, and a parsimony tree

was generated based on SNP loci present in at least 95% of the genomes. For NIH data, in addition to

kSNP4, RaxML (98) was used to construct the phylogenetic tree. Briefly, core genes identified by

Panaroo were used as input. RAxML v8.2.12 (98) was utilized to build a rooted maximum likelihood

tree using the general time-reversible (GTR) substitution model with gamma correction to account

for rate variation among sites. Since the phylogenetic trees generated by kSNP4 and RAxML were

identical, only one tree was reported. The final tree was visualized using iTOL (99).

Phylogenetic analysis with SNPs

To determine the phylogenetic relationships among the *A.xylosoxidans* isolates, the core genome

alignment generated by Panaroo was used to identify core genome SNPs with SNP-sites (100). SNP

alignment was then used to construct a maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree with IQ-TREE version

2.4 (101), employing ModelFinder Plus for model selection, ultrafast bootstrap analysis with 1000

replicates, and the approximate likelihood ratio test with 1000 replicates. The final tree was

visualized and annotated using iTOL (https://itol.embl.de/).

Population structure analysis

The genome-wide haplotype data were calculated as described previously (102). SNP calling was

performed for core genes identified by the panaroo pipeline, and imputation for polymorphic sites

with a missing frequency of less than <1% was conducted using BEAGLE v.3.3.2 (103). This genome-

wide haplotype dataset contained 144,290 SNPs, which was used to define isolate populations and

subpopulations based on haplotype profile similarity. fineSTRUCTURE analysis (104) was performed

with 200,000 iterations of both the burn-in and Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method to

cluster individuals based on the coancestry matrix, as previously described (105). The results were

visualized as a heat map, with each cell representing the proportion of DNA a recipient receives from

each donor.

Variant calling

The variants were called with Snippy v4.4.0 (https://github.com/tseemann/snippy), with default

option. NIH-016-2, collected first in the NIH-016 series, was used as a reference.

Secretion system analysis

Secretion systems and appendages were identified using MacSyFinder 2.1.3 (106). TXSScan was run

to search for all types of secretion systems and appendages, including T1SS, T2SS, T3SS, T4SS, T5SS,

T6SS, Flagellum, Tad pilus, and T9SS. CONJScan was run to detect conjugative and mobilizable

elements, which include T4SSs. The "ordered replicon" option was used for our complete genome

sequences.

For genomes in which the secretion systems were not found by MacSyFinder, we manually looked

up for key genes of the systems in the annotated genomes, as it is possible that the segmentation of

the sequences that feed into MacSyFinder interferes with the gene search. These are also key genes

used by MacSyFinder. A system was noted as absent when none of the genes were found.

Phylogenetic analysis of T3SS

Amino acid sequences of SctN or its homologs were extracted from Uniprot and NCBI. Multiple

sequence alignment of SctN sequences was performed using MAFFT (107) with default parameters.

A rooted maximum likelihood tree was constructed using RAxML v8.2.12 (98) with the general time-

reversible (GTR) substitution model and gamma correction for rate variation among sites. The

phylogenetic tree was visualized using iTOL (99).

Structural Modeling of YscN ATPase

The amino acid sequence of the T3SS ATPase from *A. xylosoxidans* was obtained from NCBI with

sequence ID of WP_020925211.1. Structure of YscN was predicted using AlphaFold via the website:

https://alphafoldserver.com/. The predicted structure of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PA14 was

downloaded from https://alphafold.ebi.ac.uk/entry/A0A2U2Y0I4 with accession number of AF-

A0A0H2Z9F1-F1. Structural alignment of the two proteins was performed using Pymol (The PyMOL

Molecular Graphics System, Version 3.0 Schrödinger, LLC).

Antibiotic susceptibility testing

Broth microdilution testing was carried out using two commercial Sensititre™ panels: the Gram-

Negative Non-Fermenters MIC Plate and the Gram Negative MDRGN2F AST Plate (Thermo Fisher

Scientific). Each isolate was adjusted to a 0.5 McFarland standard in Sensititre demineralized water

and then transferred into Sensititre™ Mueller–Hinton Broth with TES. The panels were inoculated

using the Sensititre™ AIM™ automated inoculation system and incubated in the Sensititre™ ARIS

HiQ™ instrument according to the manufacturer's instructions. After incubation, plates were

automatically read by the system and then manually confirmed using the Sensititre™ Vizion™ Digital

MIC Viewer. Quality control strains included *E. coli* ATCC 25922 and ATCC 35218, *P. aeruginosa* ATCC

27853, and *K. pneumoniae* ATCC 700603. MIC values were interpreted using CLSI breakpoints for

Other Non-Enterobacterales (CLSI M100, 33rd edition, 2023).

Biofilm

Bacterial cultures were initiated in glass culture tubes by inoculating bacteria from LB agar plates into 1 mL of LB broth at a starting OD600 of 0.1. The cultures were then incubated statically at 37°C for 3 days. On the third day, media was removed by pipetting, and biofilms were washed with water and dried overnight at 37°C. The following day, a 1% crystal violet solution was used to stain biofilms for 5 minutes, followed by additional washes with water and overnight drying at 37°C. Biofilms were solubilized with 100% DMSO, and absorbance was measured at 595 nm using a BioTek plate reader.

Infection of *Achromobacter* in cell lines

J774a.1 cells were seeded at a density of $6x10^4$ cells in 100 μL DMEM media in a tissue culture-treated black flat-clear bottomed 96-well plate. On the day of infection, cells were washed with PBS and incubated for one hour with OPTI-MEM media containing 200 ng/mL propidium iodide (PI) and 1.45μM Hoechst 33342. *Achromobacter* inoculum was then prepared by resuspending bacteria in OPTI-MEM. Cells were infected with the bacteria at multiplicity of infection of 7 and spun down at 750 rpm for 5 minutes to synchronize infection. The 96-well plate was then inserted into a stage incubator supplied with CO_2 and maintained at 37^oC in a Nikon AXR confocal fluorescent microscope. Three images of cells were taken at arbitrary locations within each well and observed every hour for up to five hours. Cytotoxicity was measured by the ratio of PI^+ signal to $Hoechst^+$ signal (dead cells/total cells) expressed in percentage using ImageJ v 1.54g. PI^+ and $Hoechst^+$ cells were processed using background subtraction, followed by gamma adjustment and median filtering. Then, Otsu method was applied for thresholding (108), and cell counts were obtained using the Analyze Particles function.

Adhesion and Internalization assays

J774a.1 cells were put down onto a cell culture treated 96 well plate at $6x10^4$cells/well in 100μL DMEM to grow overnight. At the day of infection, the media from the wells was replaced with media

containing 1μL DMSO/mL DMEM or 2μg/mL cytochalasin D as a negative control. After 1 hour of

incubation bacterial strains grown at 37^0C then room temperature for one day each were inoculated

onto the cells at an MOI of 7. After 1 hour of incubation at 37^0C the media was removed, and the

cells were washed twice with 100μL PBS. Cells which were treated with 1μL DMSO/mL DMEM had

media replaced with either 1μL DMSO/mL DMEM (adherence) or with 1μL DMSO+50μg Polymyxin

B/mL DMEM (internalized bacteria). Cells treated with 2μg/mL cytochalasin D had media replaced

with 2μg cytochalasin D + 50μg Polymyxin B /mL (negative control). After one hour of incubation at

37^0C the media was removed and 100μL 0.1% SDS was added and incubated at room temperature

for 15 minutes. The resulting bacterial suspension was serially diluted and CFUs were measured by

spot plating 5μL of dilutions onto LBA.

Swimming motility in *Achromobacter* strains

Bacteria were inoculated and grown overnight in 1mL LB broth from single colonies on LB agar

plates. Overnight growth was measured by OD600 and concentrated to an OD600 of 10 in 100μL.

2μL of the resulting suspension was injected via pipette into 0.25% agarose 2% tryptone plates.

Plates were incubated at 37^oC for 4 hours and imaged with a Chemidoc[tm] Imaging System using the

stain free blot procedure with 3 second exposure time. The resulting images were exported to

ImageJ. Images were converted to an 8-bit format, and a brightness/contrast correction step was

performed. The zone of motility was then selected using the circle tool and resulting area in Pixels

was exported. Pixel count was converted to mm^2 using the formula: mm^2 = (Pixels)/(5000 Pixels

/((3.5mm/2)^2*3.14159)).

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed in GraphPad Prism version 10. Data were assessed for

normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test. For comparisons among multiple groups, one-way ANOVA

with Dunnett's post hoc test was used for normally distributed data, while the nonparametric

Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn's multiple comparisons test was used for nonparametric data.

Data are presented as mean ± SD unless otherwise stated.

8.　Author statements

8.1　Author contributions

632　Pooja Acharya (Formal Analysis, Data Curation, Methodology, Writing), Cameron Lloyd (Formal

633　Analysis, Methodology, Writing), Ngoc Thien Lam (Formal Analysis, Writing), Jessica Kumke (Formal

634　Analysis), Sreejana Ray (Resources), Zilia Yanira Muñoz Ramirez (Formal Analysis), Sanchita Das

635　(conceptualization, resources) and Hanh Ngoc Lam (Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal

636　Analysis, Funding Acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Writing)

8.2　Conflicts of interest

639　*The author(s) declare that there are no conflicts of interest*

8.3　Funding information

This work is supported by NIH R00AI139281.

This research was supported [in part] by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institutes

of Health (NIH). The contributions of the NIH author(s) were made as part of their official duties as

NIH federal employees, are in compliance with agency policy requirements, and

are considered Works of the United States Government. However, the findings and conclusions

presented in this paper are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the NIH

or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

8.4 Acknowledgements

We thank Dara Frank (medical College of Wisconsin) for sharing the GN050 and GN008 strains.

9. References

1.   Veschetti L, Boaretti M, Saitta GM, Passarelli Mantovani R, Lleo MM, Sandri A, et al. Achromobacter spp. prevalence and adaptation in cystic fibrosis lung infection. Microbiol Res. 2022;263:127140.

2.   Jeukens J, Freschi L, Vincent AT, Emond-Rheault JG, Kukavica-Ibrulj I, Charette SJ, et al. A Pan-Genomic Approach to Understand the Basis of Host Adaptation in Achromobacter. Genome Biol Evol. 2017;9(4):1030-46.

3.   Yabuuchi E, Oyama A. Achromobacter xylosoxidans n. sp. from human ear discharge. Jpn J Microbiol. 1971;15(5):477-81.

4.   Swenson CE, Sadikot RT. Achromobacter respiratory infections. Ann Am Thorac Soc. 2015;12:252-8.

5.   Jakobsen TH, Hansen MA, Jensen PØ, Hansen L, Riber L, Cockburn A, et al. Complete Genome Sequence of the Cystic Fibrosis Pathogen Achromobacter xylosoxidans NH44784-1996 Complies with Important Pathogenic Phenotypes. PLoS ONE. 2013;8(7):e68484.

6.   Esposito S, Pisi G, Fainardi V, Principi N. What is the role of Achromobacter species in patients with cystic fibrosis? Front Biosci (Landmark Ed). 2021;26(12):1613-20.

7.   Tetart M, Wallet F, Kyheng M, Leroy S, Perez T, Le Rouzic O, et al. Impact of Achromobacter xylosoxidans isolation on the respiratory function of adult patients with cystic fibrosis. ERJ Open Res. 2019;5(4).

8.   Somayaji R, Stanojevic S, Tullis DE, Stephenson AL, Ratjen F, Waters V. Clinical Outcomes Associated with Achromobacter Species Infection in Patients with Cystic Fibrosis. Ann Am Thorac Soc. 2017;14(9):1412-8.

9.   Nielsen SM, Penstoft LN, Nørskov-Lauritsen N. Motility, Biofilm Formation and Antimicrobial Efflux of Sessile and Planktonic Cells of Achromobacter xylosoxidans. Pathogens. 2019;8(1):14.

10.   Wills BM, Garai P, Riegert MO, Sanchez FT, Pickrum AM, Frank DW, et al. Identification of Virulence Factors Involved in a Murine Model of Severe Achromobacter xylosoxidans Infection. Infect Immun. 2023;91(7):e0003723.

11.   Turton K, Parks HJ, Zarodkiewicz P, Hamad MA, Dwane R, Parau G, et al. The Achromobacter type 3 secretion system drives pyroptosis and immunopathology via independent activation of NLRC4 and NLRP3 inflammasomes. Cell Rep. 2023;42(8):113012.

12.   Pickrum AM, Riegert MO, Wells C, Brockman K, Frank DW. The In Vitro Replication Cycle of Achromobacter xylosoxidans and Identification of Virulence Genes Associated with Cytotoxicity in Macrophages. Microbiol Spectr. 2022;10(4):e0208322.

13.   Li X, Hu Y, Gong J, Zhang L, Wang G. Comparative genome characterization of Achromobacter members reveals potential genetic determinants facilitating the adaptation to a pathogenic lifestyle. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. 2013;97(14):6413-25.

14.   Pickrum AM, DeLeon O, Dirck A, Tessmer MH, Riegert MO, Biller JA, et al. Achromobacter xylosoxidans Cellular Pathology Is Correlated with Activation of a Type III Secretion System. Infect Immun. 2020;88(7).

15.   Le Goff M, Vastel M, Lebrun R, Mansuelle P, Diarra A, Grandjean T, et al. Characterization of the Achromobacter xylosoxidans Type VI Secretion System and Its Implication in Cystic Fibrosis. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2022;12:859181.

16.   Troisfontaines P, Cornelis GR. Type III secretion: more systems than you think. Physiology (Bethesda). 2005;20:326-39.

17.   Weyrich LS, Rolin OY, Muse SJ, Park J, Spidale N, Kennett MJ, et al. A Type VI secretion system encoding locus is required for Bordetella bronchiseptica immunomodulation and persistence in vivo. PLoS One. 2012;7(10):e45892.

18.   Nolan LM, Allsopp LP. Antimicrobial Weapons of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2022;1386:223-56.

19.   Li X, Hu Y, Gong J, Zhang L, Wang G. Comparative genome characterization of
Achromobacter members reveals potential genetic determinants facilitating the adaptation to a
pathogenic lifestyle. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology. 2013;97(14):6413-25.
20.   Aggarwal S, Garcia-Telles N, Aggarwal G, Lavie C, Lippi G, Henry BM. Clinical features,
laboratory characteristics, and outcomes of patients hospitalized with coronavirus disease 2019
(COVID-19): Early report from the United States. Diagnosis (Berl). 2020;7(2):91-6.
21.   Lorenz A, Preusse M, Bruchmann S, Pawar V, Grahl N, Pils MC, et al. Importance of flagella in
acute and chronic Pseudomonas aeruginosa infections. Environ Microbiol. 2019;21(3):883-97.
22.   Isler B, Kidd TJ, Stewart AG, Harris P, Paterson DL. Achromobacter Infections and Treatment
Options. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2020;64(11).
23.   Swenson CE, Sadikot RT. Achromobacter respiratory infections. Ann Am Thorac Soc.
2015;12(2):252-8.
24.   Hu Y, Zhu Y, Ma Y, Liu F, Lu N, Yang X, et al. Genomic insights into intrinsic and acquired drug
resistance mechanisms in Achromobacter xylosoxidans. Antimicrob Agents Chemother.
2015;59(2):1152-61.
25.   Khaledi A, Weimann A, Schniederjans M, Asgari E, Kuo TH, Oliver A, et al. Predicting
antimicrobial resistance in Pseudomonas aeruginosa with machine learning-enabled molecular
diagnostics. EMBO Mol Med. 2020;12(3):e10264.
26.   Hyun JC, Kavvas ES, Monk JM, Palsson BO. Machine learning with random subspace
ensembles identifies antimicrobial resistance determinants from pan-genomes of three pathogens.
PLoS Comput Biol. 2020;16(3):e1007608.
27.   Kavvas ES, Catoiu E, Mih N, Yurkovich JT, Seif Y, Dillon N, et al. Machine learning and
structural analysis of Mycobacterium tuberculosis pan-genome identifies genetic signatures of
antibiotic resistance. Nat Commun. 2018;9(1):4306.
28.   Nguyen M, Long SW, McDermott PF, Olsen RJ, Olson R, Stevens RL, et al. Using Machine
Learning To Predict Antimicrobial MICs and Associated Genomic Features for Nontyphoidal
Salmonella. J Clin Microbiol. 2019;57(2).
29.   Ray S, Flemming LK, Scudder CJ, Ly MA, Porterfield HS, Smith RD, et al. Comparative
phenotypic and genotypic antimicrobial susceptibility surveillance in Achromobacter spp. through
whole genome sequencing. Microbiol Spectr. 2025;13(4):e0252724.
30.   Meier-Kolthoff JP, Carbasse JS, Peinado-Olarte RL, Goker M. TYGS and LPSN: a database
tandem for fast and reliable genome-based classification and nomenclature of prokaryotes. Nucleic
Acids Res. 2022;50(D1):D801-7.
31.   Kalantar KL, Carvalho T, de Bourcy CFA, Dimitrov B, Dingle G, Egger R, et al. IDseq-An open
source cloud-based pipeline and analysis service for metagenomic pathogen detection and
monitoring. Gigascience. 2020;9(10).
32.   Bador J, Neuwirth C, Grangier N, Muniz M, Germe L, Bonnet J, et al. Role of AxyZ
Transcriptional Regulator in Overproduction of AxYXY-OprZ Multidrug Efflux System in
Achromobacter Species Mutants Selected by Tobramycin. Antimicrob Agents Chemother.
2017;61(8).
33.   Rees VE, Deveson Lucas DS, Lopez-Causape C, Huang Y, Kotsimbos T, Bulitta JB, et al.
Characterization of Hypermutator Pseudomonas aeruginosa Isolates from Patients with Cystic
Fibrosis in Australia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2019;63(4).
34.   Ragheb MN, Thomason MK, Hsu C, Nugent P, Gage J, Samadpour AN, et al. Inhibiting the
Evolution of Antibiotic Resistance. Mol Cell. 2019;73(1):157-65 e5.
35.   Davidson AL, Chen J. ATP-binding cassette transporters in bacteria. Annu Rev Biochem.
2004;73:241-68.
36.   Chen L, Zou Y, She P, Wu Y. Composition, function, and regulation of T6SS in Pseudomonas
aeruginosa. Microbiol Res. 2015;172:19-25.
37.   Holban AM, Gregoire CM, Gestal MC. Conquering the host: Bordetella spp. and
Pseudomonas aeruginosa molecular regulators in lung infection. Front Microbiol. 2022;13:983149.

38.   Warrier A, Satyamoorthy K, Murali TS. Quorum-sensing regulation of virulence factors in
bacterial biofilm. Future Microbiol. 2021;16:1003-21.
39.   Yin R, Cheng J, Wang J, Li P, Lin J. Treatment of Pseudomonas aeruginosa infectious biofilms:
Challenges and strategies. Front Microbiol. 2022;13:955286.
40.   Nielsen SM, Penstoft LN, Norskov-Lauritsen N. Motility, Biofilm Formation and Antimicrobial
Efflux of Sessile and Planktonic Cells of Achromobacter xylosoxidans. Pathogens. 2019;8(1).
41.   Passos da Silva D, Matwichuk ML, Townsend DO, Reichhardt C, Lamba D, Wozniak DJ, et al.
The Pseudomonas aeruginosa lectin LecB binds to the exopolysaccharide Psl and stabilizes the
biofilm matrix. Nat Commun. 2019;10(1):2183.
42.   Starkey M, Hickman JH, Ma L, Zhang N, De Long S, Hinz A, et al. Pseudomonas aeruginosa
rugose small-colony variants have adaptations that likely promote persistence in the cystic fibrosis
lung. J Bacteriol. 2009;191(11):3492-503.
43.   Kazmierczak BI, Schniederberend M, Jain R. Cross-regulation of Pseudomonas motility
systems: the intimate relationship between flagella, pili and virulence. Curr Opin Microbiol.
2015;28:78-82.
44.   Feldman M, Bryan R, Rajan S, Scheffler L, Brunnert S, Tang H, et al. Role of flagella in
pathogenesis of Pseudomonas aeruginosa pulmonary infection. Infect Immun. 1998;66(1):43-51.
45.   Soscia C, Hachani A, Bernadac A, Filloux A, Bleves S. Cross talk between type III secretion and
flagellar assembly systems in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J Bacteriol. 2007;189(8):3124-32.
46.   Planet PJ. Adaptation and Evolution of Pathogens in the Cystic Fibrosis Lung. J Pediatric
Infect Dis Soc. 2022;11(Supplement_2):S23-S31.
47.   Schniederberend M, Abdurachim K, Murray TS, Kazmierczak BI. The GTPase activity of FlhF is
dispensable for flagellar localization, but not motility, in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J Bacteriol.
2013;195(5):1051-60.
48.   Lloyd CJ, Klose KE. The Vibrio Polar Flagellum: Structure and Regulation. Adv Exp Med Biol.
2023;1404:77-97.
49.   Blum M, Andreeva A, Florentino LC, Chuguransky SR, Grego T, Hobbs E, et al. InterPro: the
protein sequence classification resource in 2025. Nucleic Acids Res. 2025;53(D1):D444-D56.
50.   Bange G, Petzold G, Wild K, Parlitz RO, Sinning I. The crystal structure of the third signal-
recognition particle GTPase FlhF reveals a homodimer with bound GTP. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
2007;104(34):13621-5.
51.   Zhang K, He J, Cantalano C, Guo Y, Liu J, Li C. FlhF regulates the number and configuration of
periplasmic flagella in Borrelia burgdorferi. Mol Microbiol. 2020;113(6):1122-39.
52.   Balaban M, Joslin SN, Hendrixson DR. FlhF and its GTPase activity are required for distinct
processes in flagellar gene regulation and biosynthesis in Campylobacter jejuni. J Bacteriol.
2009;191(21):6602-11.
53.   Jumper J, Evans R, Pritzel A, Green T, Figurnov M, Ronneberger O, et al. Highly accurate
protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. Nature. 2021;596(7873):583-9.
54.   Papalia M, Figueroa-Espinosa R, Steffanowski C, Barberis C, Almuzara M, Barrios R, et al.
Expansion and improvement of MALDI-TOF MS databases for accurate identification of
Achromobacter species. J Microbiol Methods. 2020;172:105889.
55.   Mosquera-Rendon J, Rada-Bravo AM, Cardenas-Brito S, Corredor M, Restrepo-Pineda E,
Benitez-Paez A. Pangenome-wide and molecular evolution analyses of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa
species. Bmc Genomics. 2016;17:45.
56.   Abram KZ, Jun SR, Udaondo Z. Pseudomonas aeruginosa Pangenome: Core and Accessory
Genes of a Highly Resourceful Opportunistic Pathogen. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2022;1386:3-28.
57.   Thorell K, Muñoz-Ramírez ZY, Wang D, Sandoval-Motta S, Boscolo Agostini R, Ghirotto S, et
al. The Helicobacter pylori Genome Project: insights into H. pylori population structure from analysis
of a worldwide collection of complete genomes. Nature Communications. 2023;14(1).
58.   Salama NR, Shepherd B, Falkow S. Global transposon mutagenesis and essential gene
analysis of Helicobacter pylori. J Bacteriol. 2004;186(23):7926-35.

59.   Bador J, Amoureux L, Duez J-M, Drabowicz A, Siebor E, Llanes C, et al. First Description of an
RND-Type Multidrug Efflux Pump in Achromobacter xylosoxidans, AxyABM. Antimicrobial Agents and
Chemotherapy. 2011;55(10):4912-4.
60.   Bador J, Amoureux L, Blanc E, Neuwirth C. Innate Aminoglycoside Resistance of
Achromobacter xylosoxidans Is Due to AxyXY-OprZ, an RND-Type Multidrug Efflux Pump.
Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy. 2013;57(1):603-5.
61.   Magallon A, Bador J, Garrigos T, Demeule C, Chapelle A, Varin V, et al. Description of Two
Resistance-Nodulation-Cell Division Efflux Systems Involved in Acquired Antibiotic Resistance:
AxySUV in Achromobacter xylosoxidans and AinCDJ in Achromobacter insuavis. Antibiotics (Basel).
2025;14(6).
62.   Ventero MP, Haro-Moreno JM, Molina-Pardines C, Sanchez-Bautista A, Garcia-Rivera C, Boix
V, et al. Role of Relebactam in the Antibiotic Resistance Acquisition in Pseudomonas aeruginosa: In
Vitro Study. Antibiotics (Basel). 2023;12(11).
63.   Palomba E, Comelli A, Saluzzo F, Di Marco F, Matarazzo E, Re NL, et al. Activity of
imipenem/relebactam against KPC-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae and the possible role of
Ompk36 mutation in determining resistance: an Italian retrospective analysis. Ann Clin Microbiol
Antimicrob. 2025;24(1):23.
64.   Holland IB, Schmitt L, Young J. Type 1 protein secretion in bacteria, the ABC-transporter
dependent pathway (review). Mol Membr Biol. 2005;22(1-2):29-39.
65.   Korotkov KV, Sandkvist M. Architecture, Function, and Substrates of the Type II Secretion
System. EcoSal Plus. 2019;8(2):227-44.
66.   Pohlner J, Halter R, Meyer TF. Neisseria gonorrhoeae IgA protease. Secretion and
implications for pathogenesis. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek. 1987;53(6):479-84.
67.   Brandon LD, Goehring N, Janakiraman A, Yan AW, Wu T, Beckwith J, et al. IcsA, a polarly
localized autotransporter with an atypical signal peptide, uses the Sec apparatus for secretion,
although the Sec apparatus is circumferentially distributed. Mol Microbiol. 2003;50(1):45-60.
68.   Roggenkamp A, Ackermann N, Jacobi CA, Truelzsch K, Hoffmann H, Heesemann J. Molecular
analysis of transport and oligomerization of the Yersinia enterocolitica adhesin YadA. J Bacteriol.
2003;185(13):3735-44.
69.   Tassinari M, Rudzite M, Filloux A, Low HH. Assembly mechanism of a Tad secretion system
secretin-pilotin complex. Nature Communications. 2023;14(1).
70.   Cherrak Y, Flaugnatti N, Durand E, Journet L, Cascales E. Structure and Activity of the Type VI
Secretion System. Microbiology Spectrum. 2019;7(4):329-42.
71.   Zhang X, Zeng W, Kong J, Huang Z, Shu H, Tang M, et al. The prevalence and mechanisms of
heteroresistance to ceftazidime/avibactam in KPC-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae. J Antimicrob
Chemother. 2024;79(8):1865-76.
72.   Khademi SMH, Gabrielaite M, Paulsson M, Knulst M, Touriki E, Marvig RL, et al. Genomic and
Phenotypic Evolution of Achromobacter xylosoxidans during Chronic Airway Infections of Patients
with Cystic Fibrosis. mSystems. 2021;6(3).
73.   Seabaugh JA, Anderson DM. Pathogenicity and virulence of Yersinia. Virulence.
2024;15(1):2316439.
74.   Yuk MH, Harvill ET, Cotter PA, Miller JF. Modulation of host immune responses, induction of
apoptosis and inhibition of NF-kappaB activation by the Bordetella type III secretion system. Mol
Microbiol. 2000;35(5):991-1004.
75.   Brugirard-Ricaud K, Duchaud E, Givaudan A, Girard PA, Kunst F, Boemare N, et al. Site-
specific antiphagocytic function of the Photorhabdus luminescens type III secretion system during
insect colonization. Cell Microbiol. 2005;7(3):363-71.
76.   Resko ZJ, Suhi RF, Thota AV, Kroken AR. Evidence for intracellular Pseudomonas aeruginosa.
J Bacteriol. 2024;206(5):e0010924.
77.   de Souza Santos M, Orth K. Intracellular Vibrio parahaemolyticus escapes the vacuole and
establishes a replicative niche in the cytosol of epithelial cells. mBio. 2014;5(5):e01506-14.

78.   Guerra RM, Maleno FD, Figueras MJ, Pujol-Bajador I, Fernandez-Bravo A. Potential
Pathogenicity of Aeromonas spp. Recovered in River Water, Soil, and Vegetation from a Natural
Recreational Area. Pathogens. 2022;11(11).

79.   Tessmer MH, Anderson DM, Pickrum AM, Riegert MO, Frank DW. Identification and
Verification of Ubiquitin-Activated Bacterial Phospholipases. J Bacteriol. 2019;201(4).

80.   Dey S, Chakravarty A, Guha Biswas P, De Guzman RN. The type III secretion system needle,
tip, and translocon. Protein Sci. 2019;28(9):1582-93.

81.   Vanderwoude J, Fleming D, Azimi S, Trivedi U, Rumbaugh KP, Diggle SP. The evolution of
virulence in Pseudomonas aeruginosa during chronic wound infection. Proc Biol Sci.
2020;287(1937):20202272.

82.   Didelot X, Walker AS, Peto TE, Crook DW, Wilson DJ. Within-host evolution of bacterial
pathogens. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2016;14(3):150-62.

83.   Uruen C, Chopo-Escuin G, Tommassen J, Mainar-Jaime RC, Arenas J. Biofilms as Promoters of
Bacterial Antibiotic Resistance and Tolerance. Antibiotics (Basel). 2020;10(1).

84.   Yan J, Bassler BL. Surviving as a Community: Antibiotic Tolerance and Persistence in Bacterial
Biofilms. Cell Host Microbe. 2019;26(1):15-21.

85.   Sandri A, Veschetti L, Saitta GM, Passarelli Mantovani R, Carelli M, Burlacchini G, et al.
Achromobacter spp. Adaptation in Cystic Fibrosis Infection and Candidate Biomarkers of
Antimicrobial Resistance. Int J Mol Sci. 2022;23(16).

86.   Kurushima J, Kuwae A, Abe A. The type III secreted protein BspR regulates the virulence
genes in Bordetella bronchiseptica. PLoS One. 2012;7(6):e38925.

87.   Singer HM, Kuhne C, Deditius JA, Hughes KT, Erhardt M. The Salmonella Spi1 virulence
regulatory protein HilD directly activates transcription of the flagellar master operon flhDC. J
Bacteriol. 2014;196(7):1448-57.

88.   Colvin KM, Irie Y, Tart CS, Urbano R, Whitney JC, Ryder C, et al. The Pel and Psl
polysaccharides provide Pseudomonas aeruginosa structural redundancy within the biofilm matrix.
Environ Microbiol. 2012;14(8):1913-28.

89.   Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data.
Bioinformatics. 2014;30(15):2114-20.

90.   Andrews S. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. 2010.

91.   Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, et al. SPAdes: a new
genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J Comput Biol.
2012;19(5):455-77.

92.   Gurevich A, Saveliev V, Vyahhi N, Tesler G. QUAST: quality assessment tool for genome
assemblies. Bioinformatics. 2013;29(8):1072-5.

93.   Parks DH, Imelfort M, Skennerton CT, Hugenholtz P, Tyson GW. CheckM: assessing the
quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and metagenomes. Genome Res.
2015;25(7):1043-55.

94.   Richter M, Rossello-Mora R. Shifting the genomic gold standard for the prokaryotic species
definition. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009;106(45):19126-31.

95.   Seemann T. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. Bioinformatics.
2014;30(14):2068-9.

96.   Tonkin-Hill G, MacAlasdair N, Ruis C, Weimann A, Horesh G, Lees JA, et al. Producing
polished prokaryotic pangenomes with the Panaroo pipeline. Genome Biol. 2020;21(1):180.

97.   Hall BG, Nisbet J. Building Phylogenetic Trees From Genome Sequences With kSNP4. Mol
Biol Evol. 2023;40(11).

98.   Stamatakis A. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large
phylogenies. Bioinformatics. 2014;30(9):1312-3.

99.   Letunic I, Bork P. Interactive Tree of Life (iTOL) v6: recent updates to the phylogenetic tree
display and annotation tool. Nucleic Acids Res. 2024;52(W1):W78-W82.

100. Page AJ, Taylor B, Delaney AJ, Soares J, Seemann T, Keane JA, et al. SNP-sites: rapid efficient extraction of SNPs from multi-FASTA alignments. Microb Genom. 2016;2(4):e000056.

101. Minh BQ, Schmidt HA, Chernomor O, Schrempf D, Woodhams MD, von Haeseler A, et al. IQ-TREE 2: New Models and Efficient Methods for Phylogenetic Inference in the Genomic Era. Mol Biol Evol. 2020;37(5):1530-4.

102. Yahara K, Didelot X, Ansari MA, Sheppard SK, Falush D. Efficient inference of recombination hot regions in bacterial genomes. Mol Biol Evol. 2014;31(6):1593-605.

103. Browning BL, Browning SR. A unified approach to genotype imputation and haplotype-phase inference for large data sets of trios and unrelated individuals. Am J Hum Genet. 2009;84(2):210-23.

104. Lawson DJ, Hellenthal G, Myers S, Falush D. Inference of population structure using dense haplotype data. PLoS Genet. 2012;8(1):e1002453.

105. Yahara K, Furuta Y, Oshima K, Yoshida M, Azuma T, Hattori M, et al. Chromosome painting in silico in a bacterial species reveals fine population structure. Mol Biol Evol. 2013;30(6):1454-64.

106. Abby SS, Cury J, Guglielmini J, Neron B, Touchon M, Rocha EP. Identification of protein secretion systems in bacterial genomes. Sci Rep. 2016;6:23080.

107. Katoh K, Standley DM. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. Mol Biol Evol. 2013;30(4):772-80.

108. Otsu N. A Threshold Selection Method from Gray-Level Histograms. IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics. 1979;9(1):62-6.

109. Jones P, Binns D, Chang HY, Fraser M, Li W, McAnulla C, et al. InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function classification. Bioinformatics. 2014;30(9):1236-40.

10. Figures and tables

Figure 1. Geographic distribution, collection sources, and phylogenetic relationships of *Achromobacter xylosoxidans* isolates used in this study. (A) Number of isolates collected from different countries worldwide and their respective collection sources in the NCBI dataset. (B) Collection sources of *A. xylosoxidans* NIH isolates included in this study. Respiratory isolates include both cystic fibrosis (CF) and non-CF patient samples. (C) Phylogenetic tree of 22 curated sequences of *A. xylosoxidans* NIH isolates.

Figure 2: Frequency of antibiotic resistance in NIH isolates. (A) Antibiotic resistance in NIH clinical isolates: CZA (Ceftazidime/Avibactam), C/T (Ceftolozane/Tazobactam), IMR (Imipenem/Relebactam), MERO (Meropenem), AZT/ATM (Aztreonam), FEP (Cefepime), TAZ (Ceftazidime), CIP (Ciprofloxacin), IMI (Imipenem), LEVO (Levofloxacin), PT4/TZP (Piperacillin/Tazobactam), and SXT

(Trimethoprim/Sulfamethoxazole). Black: resistance, gray: intermediate, white: susceptible. (B)

Percentage of isolates resistance to each antibiotic was shown.

Figure 3: Type III secretion system (T3SS) phylogeny and structure. (A) Phylogenetic tree of T3SS

families constructed from aligned amino acid sequences of SctN and its homologs. (B) Predicted

structure of YscN from *Achromobacter xylosoxidans*. (C) Superimposed predicted structures of *A.*

xylosoxidans YscN (orange) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PA14 PscN (blue).

Figure 4: Cytotoxicity, adherence and uptake of *A. xylosoxidans* clinical isolates in macrophage.

(A) Cell death of J774A.1 macrophages infected with selected *A. xylosoxidans* clinical isolates over

time. (B) Cell death of J774A.1 macrophages infected with different *A. xylosoxidans* clinical isolates

at 5 hours after infection (hpi). (C) Adherence and (D) internalization of selected *A.*

xylosoxidans isolates. Percent of inoculum is shown. (E) Cell death of J774A.1 macrophages infected

with cytotoxic isolates in the presence or absence of cytochalasin D. Percent cell death, calculated as

the ratio of dead to total cells, is shown. Different symbol shapes represent independent biological

replicates. One-way ANOVA with multiple comparison test was used. **** P-val <0.0001, *** P-val

<0.0002, ** P-val <0.002, *P-val <0.05.

Figure 5: Biofilm formation by *A. xylosoxidans* clinical isolates. PA01 Δ*wspF*Δ*pelA*Δ*pslBCD* was used

as a negative control and PA01 Δ*wspF* was used as a positive control. Different symbol shapes

represent independent biological replicates. The experiment was done in at least three technical

replicates. Kruskal-Wallis test was done followed by Dunn's multiple comparisons to correct for

multiple comparison. **** P-val <0.0001, *** P-val <0.0002, ** P-val <0.002, *P-val <0.05.

Figure 6: *A. xylosoxidans* motility. (A) *A. xylosoxidans* demonstrates substantial differences in

flagellar motility among clinical isolates. (B) FlhF is a 937 amino acid (aa) protein that contains a FlhF

family domain (aa 190-415) and an SRP54 domain (aa 327-522), based on InterProscan (109). In

isolate NIH-016-3, FlhF carries a point mutation at position 330, resulting in a Y330H substitution

within the SRP54 domain. (C) Mutation Y330H results in a predicted displacement of V229 (Green) as

determined by modeling in Alphafold (Blue: NIH-016-2 FlhF, Red: NIH-016-3 FlhF). (D) Alignment of

monomeric NIH-016-2 FlhF (Blue) to homodimerized NIH-016-2 FlhF (Yellow). (E) Alignment of NIH-

016-2 (Blue) to *B. subtilis* FlhF (Pink) with bound GTP (Red).

Table 1: Core genes in *Achromobacter xylosoxidans*

* Rare genes that occurs in less than 15% of isolates are not counted in the total

genes to avoid underestimate the core gene for each genome.

Description	counts
Core genes (99% <= strains <= 100%)	2216
Soft core genes (95% <= strains < 99%)	1274
Shell genes (15% <= strains < 95%)	2943
Cloud genes (0% <= strains < 15%)	22279
Total genes *(15% <= strains <= 100%)	6433

Table 2: Summary of secretion system, motility, cytotoxicity, and biofilm of *A. xylosoxidans*

isolates. Three intra-host isolate series were highlighted in different colors. NIH-016-1 and NIH-018-

3 were included in the table for the analysis of intra-host samples, while the genome sequences

were omitted in the phylogenetic analysis due to contamination issue.

*motility in mm^2

#cytotoxicity: percent cell death at 5 hours after infection

$ biofilm: Absorbance 595nm of crystal violet stained biofilm

**AR: Total number of antibiotics that each isolate is resistant to out of 12 tested

BAL: Bronchoalveolar lavage, AXL: Autopsy of the lung

Isolate	T1SS	T2SS	T3SS	T4SS	T5SS	T6SS	Tad	flagella	motility*	cytotoxicity#	presence of AxoU	Biofilm$	AR**	collection date	Source##
NIH-003-1	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	16.9	5.9	yes	0.39	2	12/17/17	Wound
NIH-005-4	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	17.2	9.7	yes	0.34	2	10/10/14	Sputum
NIH-005-3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	1.9	5.6	yes	0.11	9	10/30/16	BAL
NIH-005-2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	2.1	3.9	yes	0.12	10	11/10/16	Perirectal
NIH-009-1	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	9.5	2.8	yes	0.29	2	8/29/16	BAL
NIH-010-6	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	6.7	3.5	yes	0.20	5	9/4/12	Skin lesion
NIH-010-4	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	0.6	9.8	yes	0.19	5	7/26/13	BAL
NIH-010-3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	0.7	1.8	yes	0.31	7	7/5/14	Sputum
NIH-014-1	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	15.1	3.1	yes	0.31	3	11/16/15	Autopsy lung

NIH-016-2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	13.5	7.5	yes	0.31	3	7/15/14	Sputum
NIH-016-3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	0.7	2.5	yes	0.34	11	2/11/15	Sputum
NIH-016-1	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	0.6805	5.4	yes	0.52	11	2/24/16	Sputum
NIH-017-1	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	0.6	5.7	yes	0.30	2	1/8/15	Nephrostomy urine
NIH-018-3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	17.4	15.5	Yes	0.26	6	12/13/12	BAL
NIH-018-2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	8.4	26.8	yes	0.21	6	11/8/13	Sputum
NIH-018-1	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	9.3	45.3	yes	0.47	6	1/22/14	Sputum
NIH-022-1	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	2.7	11.7	yes	0.25	2	12/7/12	Sputum
NIH-023-1	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	0.5	4.9	no	0.31	3	3/22/13	BAL
NIH-025-1	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	8.9	29.9	yes	0.38	8	11/12/13	Blood
NIH-025-3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	7.1	20.1	yes	0.32	9	11/20/13	Blood
NIH-025-4	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	5.1	28.8	yes	0.35	9	11/22/13	axl
NIH-025-5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	5.5	11.8	yes	0.38	8	11/10/13	Blood
NIH-025-6	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	7.1	29.6	yes	0.51	9	11/9/13	BAL
NIH-026-1	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	20.6	4.7	yes	0.32	2	5/1/16	Wound (l heel)
GCA_016728825.1 (reference)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	ND	ND	yes	ND	ND	4/30/18	Soil

| GCA_0132 82235.1 (GN050) | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | 8.0 | 36.7 | yes | 0.74 | ND | 7/12/10 | Ear effusion |
| GCA_0132 82255.1 (GN008) | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | Y | 0.4 | 3.6 | yes | 0.35 | ND | 6/29/10 | Cystic fibrosis sputum |

Table 3: Changes of the genome between NIH-016-2 and NIH-016-1, NIH-016-3. NIH-016-2 was used as a reference. The mutations listed are the common mutations between NIH-016-3 and NIH-016-1, compared to NIH-016-2.

CHROM	POS	REF	ALT	EFFECT	LOCUS_TAG	PRODUCT
CP188777	36790	A	G	missense_variant c.41A>G p.Asp14Gly	ACP6EQ_00165	AraC family transcriptional regulator
CP188777	306544	C	T	missense_variant c.106C>T p.Arg36Cys	ACP6EQ_01455	ornithine cyclodeaminase
CP188777	444497	A	G	missense_variant c.472A>G p.Ser158Gly	ACP6EQ_02080	Bug family tripartite tricarboxylate transporter substrate binding protein
CP188777	497968	A	G	missense_variant c.74T>C p.Val25Ala	ACP6EQ_02315	c-type cytochrome
CP188777	642598	C	T	missense_variant c.733G>A p.Glu245Lys	ACP6EQ_03095	bifunctional hydroxymethylpyrimidine kinase/phosphomethylpyrimidine kinase
CP188777	755449	C	G	missense_variant c.700G>C p.Gly234Arg	ACP6EQ_03575	DUF342 domain-containing protein
CP188777	785311	A	G	missense_variant c.1313T>C p.Leu438Pro	ACP6EQ_03715	ribonuclease catalytic domain-containing protein
CP188777	896554	T	C	missense_variant c.443T>C p.Val148Ala	ACP6EQ_04250	MFS transporter
CP188777	1117935	C	T	missense_variant c.32G>A p.Gly11Asp	ACP6EQ_05315	polynucleotide adenylyltransferase PcnB
CP188777	1123581	A	T	missense_variant c.695T>A p.Leu232Gln	ACP6EQ_05345	DNA mismatch repair endonuclease MutL
CP188777	1351955	T	G	missense_variant c.700A>C p.Thr234Pro	ACP6EQ_06450	tyrosine-type recombinase/integrase

CP188777	1381223	A	G	missense_variant c.511A>G p.Thr171Ala	ACP6EQ_06610	glycosyltransferase family 9 protein
CP188777	1587091	T	G	missense_variant c.86T>G p.Val29Gly	ACP6EQ_07535	multidrug efflux transcriptional repressor AxyZ
CP188777	1620551	A	G	missense_variant c.2228T>C p.Leu743Pro	ACP6EQ_07680	transcription-repair coupling factor
CP188777	1704773	C	T	missense_variant c.848G>A p.Arg283His	ACP6EQ_08075	Bug family tripartite tricarboxylate transporter substrate binding protein
CP188777	1828128	A	G	missense_variant c.4874A>G p.Tyr1625Cys	ACP6EQ_08585	hemagglutinin repeat-containing protein
CP188777	1924181	G	A	missense_variant c.98G>A p.Gly33Asp	ACP6EQ_09000	1,2-phenylacetyl-CoA epoxidase subunit PaaA
CP188777	2069911	A	AC	frameshift_variant c.278dupC p.His94fs	ACP6EQ_09695	glycolate oxidase subunit GlcE
CP188777	2107651	C	T	missense_variant c.146C>T p.Ser49Leu	ACP6EQ_09910	aromatic amino acid DMT transporter YddG
CP188777	2194430	A	G	missense_variant c.299T>C p.Val100Ala	ACP6EQ_10305	DUF3053 domain-containing protein
CP188777	2395874	A	G	missense_variant c.133A>G p.Thr45Ala	ACP6EQ_11165	DUF5339 family protein
CP188777	2494682	G	A	missense_variant c.553G>A p.Gly185Ser	ACP6EQ_11675	copper-containing nitrite reductase
CP188777	2510615	G	C	missense_variant c.88G>C p.Val30Leu	ACP6EQ_11745	SDR family oxidoreductase
CP188777	2516449	C	T	missense_variant c.451C>T p.Arg151Cys	ACP6EQ_11765	class I SAM-dependent DNA methyltransferase
CP188777	2676682	C	T	missense_variant c.1264G>A p.Val422Met	ACP6EQ_12500	heavy metal translocating P-type ATPase

CP188777	3110891	T	C	missense_variant c.278A>G p.Tyr93Cys	ACP6EQ_14500	Bug family tripartite tricarboxylate transporter substrate binding protein
CP188777	3111709	A	G	missense_variant c.422A>G p.Tyr141Cys	ACP6EQ_14505	LysR family transcriptional regulator
CP188777	3172298	A	G	missense_variant c.415T>C p.Cys139Arg	ACP6EQ_14805	GMC family oxidoreductase
CP188777	3444638	G	A	missense_variant c.592C>T p.Arg198Cys	ACP6EQ_16040	ABC transporter substrate-binding protein
CP188777	3770678	A	G	missense_variant c.1001T>C p.Val334Ala	ACP6EQ_17480	D-cysteine desulfhydrase family protein
CP188777	3793425	AG	GC	missense_variant c.46_47delCTinsGC p.Leu16Ala	ACP6EQ_17565	cystathionine gamma-synthase family protein
CP188777	4560728	G	GC	frameshift_variant c.839dupG p.Val281fs	ACP6EQ_20870	molybdopterin cofactor-binding domain-containing protein
CP188777	4914304	T	C	missense_variant c.1876A>G p.Thr626Ala	ACP6EQ_22475	TonB-dependent receptor
CP188777	4918789	T	C	missense_variant c.230T>C p.Val77Ala	ACP6EQ_22490	response regulator transcription factor
CP188777	4951892	G	GC	frameshift_variant c.73dupG p.Ala25fs	ACP6EQ_22700	PBSX family phage terminase large subunit
CP188777	5023271	T	C	missense_variant c.464A>G p.Tyr155Cys	ACP6EQ_23155	4-hydroxythreonine-4-phosphate dehydrogenase PdxA
CP188777	5074135	A	G	missense_variant c.818T>C p.Val273Ala	ACP6EQ_23405	ABC transporter substrate-binding protein
CP188777	5144580	A	G	missense_variant c.74T>C p.Val25Ala	ACP6EQ_23735	acetyl-CoA hydrolase/transferase family protein

CP188777	5147082	G	GC	frameshift_variant c.1181dupC p.Pro395fs	ACP6EQ_23745	DUF3772 domain-containing protein
CP188777	5368021	A	G	missense_variant c.358A>G p.Thr120Ala	ACP6EQ_24820	protein translocase subunit SecD
CP188777	5525409	T	C	missense_variant c.1396A>G p.Thr466Ala	ACP6EQ_25620	TonB-dependent siderophore receptor
CP188777	5614531	A	G	missense_variant c.10T>C p.Cys4Arg	ACP6EQ_26035	Bug family tripartite tricarboxylate transporter substrate binding protein
CP188777	5749106	G	GC	frameshift_variant c.1721dupG p.Ala575fs	ACP6EQ_26625	autotransporter domain-containing protein
CP188777	5968107	A	G	missense_variant c.179A>G p.Asn60Ser	ACP6EQ_27535	prolyl aminopeptidase
CP188777	5994882	T	C	missense_variant c.439A>G p.Ser147Gly	ACP6EQ_27665	RNA polymerase sigma factor
CP188777	6041085	G	GC	frameshift_variant c.25dupC p.Leu9fs	ACP6EQ_27890	response regulator
CP188777	6213780	T	C	missense_variant c.1043T>C p.Val348Ala	ACP6EQ_28685	30S ribosomal protein S12 methylthiotransferase RimO
CP188777	6348240	C	T	missense_variant c.104G>A p.Gly35Asp	ACP6EQ_29305	alpha/beta fold hydrolase
CP188777	6398223	T	C	missense_variant c.688T>C p.Tyr230His	ACP6EQ_29565	N-acetyl sugar amidotransferase
CP188777	6419572	A	ACG	frameshift_variant c.481_482dupGC p.Tyr162fs	ACP6EQ_29660	dTDP-4-amino-4,6-dideoxygalactose transaminase
CP188777	6486625	CG	C	frameshift_variant c.421delG p.Glu141fs	ACP6EQ_29965	HIT family protein
CP188777	6585839	C	T	missense_variant c.1195G>A p.Glu399Lys	ACP6EQ_30490	catalase

A

Isolate/antibiotic	CZA	C/T	IMR	MERO	AZT/ATM	FEP	TAZ	CIP	IMI	LEVO	PT4/TZP	SXT
NIH-003-1												
NIH-005-4												
NIH-005-3												
NIH-005-2												
NIH-009-1												
NIH-010-6												
NIH-010-4												
NIH-010-3												
NIH-014-1												
NIH-016-2												
NIH-016-3												
NIH-017-1												
NIH-018-2												
NIH-018-1												
NIH-022-1												
NIH-023-1												
NIH-025-6												
NIH-025-5												
NIH-025-1												
NIH-025-3												
NIH-025-4												
NIH-026-1												

B

A

FliI E. coli K12

Dvul *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* DP4

YscN *Chlamydia trachomatis*

YscN *Chlamydophila pneumoniae*

HrcN *Pseudomonas syringae*

HrcN *Erwinia amylovora*

HrcN *Pantoea agglomerans*

EsaN *Edwardsiella tarda*

SsaN *Salmonella typhimurium* LT2

YscN *Sodalis glossinidius*

YsaN *Yersinia enterocolitica*

SpaL *Shigella flexneri*

InvC *Chromobacterium violaceum* ATCC 12472

InvC *Salmonella typhimurium* LT2

SctN *Ralstonia solanacearum*

SctN *Burkholderia pseudomallei*

HrcN *Xanthomonas campestris pv campestris*

SctN *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*

fliI *Mesorhizobium loti*

YscN *Rhizobium* sp. L43

BscN *Bordetella pertussis*

YscN *Achromobacter xylosoxidans* GN050

VscN *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*

PscN *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PA14

LscN *Photorhabdus luminescens*

AscN *Aeromonas salmonicida* strainA449

YscN *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis*

YscN *Yersinia pestis* CO92

T3SS families key

Flagellum

Chlamydiales

Hrc 1

Ssa-Esc

Inv-Mxi-Spa

Hrc 2

Rhizobiales

Ysc

B

C