**Title:**   Impacts of changing ocean circulation, temperature, and food supply on larval

recruitment of purple sea urchins in Southern California: A biophysical modeling study

**Authors:** Rachel D. Simons[1*] and Daniel K. Okamoto[2]

[1*] Earth Research Institute, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA, USA

[2] Department of Integrative Biology, University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA

[*] Corresponding Author. Address: Email address: simons@eri.ucsb.edu

**Abstract:**

We evaluate the impact of changing ocean conditions on larval recruitment of purple sea

urchins in the Southern California Bight using 3D biophysical modeling with temperature,

surface chlorophyll, and recruitment data. The influence of circulation, temperature, and food

supply on larval recruitment is quantified using modeled variables, which include larval

dispersal distance, larval source location, larval food supply, and temperature exposure for

larvae and adults and are derived for 18 years and five larval recruitment sites. Sensitivity

testing of the variables to different plankton larval durations (PLDs), larval behaviors, and

nearshore retention is performed. All variables are found to be relatively insensitive to changes

in PLD greater than 26 days. Larval dispersal distance and source location, representing changes

in circulation, are found to be more sensitive to larval behavior and nearshore retention than

larval food supply and temperature exposure for larvae or adults. All variables are statistically

compared to recruitment field data. Temperature exposure for adults during the fall

reproductive season is found to be a strong driver of larval recruitment, but temperature

exposure for larvae during the spring recruitment season is not. Thus, we hypothesize that the

timing of species recruitment and reproduction is a critical factor in predicting the impact of

temperature on larval recruitment. Circulation is found to be a driver of larval recruitment if

larvae have behavior that reduces their dispersal distance, allowing them to come from source

sites near to the recruitment sites. Food supply is not found to be a driver of larval recruitment.

**1.0 Introduction**

Extreme changes in ocean conditions, such as temperature, circulation, and primary productivity, pose significant threats to marine ecosystems and are predicted to alter the distribution and productivity of marine species, in part by affecting larval recruitment (Doney et al. 2012; Sydeman et al. 2014). Improving our understanding of larval recruitment can explain and predict shifts in the dynamics of populations of fished and ecologically important species (Botsford et al. 1998; Myers 1998; Pineda et al. 2010; Stige et al. 2013). Thus, quantifying how ocean conditions shape spatial and temporal variation in larval dispersal and recruitment is critical to our understanding how climate drivers influence marine populations. In this study, we explore the impacts of changing ocean conditions on larval recruitment by investigating the relationship between larval recruitment of purple sea urchins and ocean conditions of circulation, temperature, and food supply in the Southern California Bight (SC Bight, Figure 1) using 3D biophysical modeling.

The SC Bight, the southern component of the California Current System, is a highly volatile transition zone lying at the interface between two very different oceanographic regimes, the cold nutrient-rich waters of the south-flowing California Current and the warm nutrient-poor equatorial waters of the north-flowing Southern California Countercurrent (Lynn and Simpson 1987; Hickey 1993; Winant et al. 2003; Dong et al. 2009). The meeting of these two regimes in the SC Bight creates a year-round temperature gradient with colder water in the north and warmer water in the south and a seasonal chlorophyll gradient with high levels in the north and low levels in the south during the spring and early summer (Otero and Siegel 2004; Henderikx Freitas et al. 2017; Simons and Catlett 2023). As a result, the SC Bight is particularly

sensitive to shifts in the California Current, which can create dramatic changes in ocean

circulation, temperature, and primary productivity in the SC Bight and are often correlated with

ENSO events (Lynn and Bograd 2002; Dong et al. 2009). Thus, making the SC Bight an ideal

system for studying the relationship between changing ocean conditions and larval recruitment.

In the California Current, changes in populations of the purple sea urchin,

*Strongylocentrotus purpuratus,* indirectly regulates the species assembly of nearshore rocky

coastlines through voracious herbivory on kelp (Tegner and Dayton 1991; Filbee-Dexter and

Scheibling 2014). A substantial body of research describes how predation and disease impact

adult sea urchin populations (Mann and Breen 1972; Estes and Duggins 1995; Lafferty 2004;

Filbee-Dexter and Scheibling 2014) and documents climate-related booms and busts of purple

urchins along the California Coast in recent years (Rogers-Bennett and Catton 2019; Okamoto et

al. 2020; Rogers-Bennett and Okamoto 2020; Shanks et al. 2020). However, despite the

widespread recognition of the importance of larval transport and recruitment in controlling

population fluctuations in many marine species (Morgan 2001; Underwood and Keough 2001;

Pineda et al. 2007; White et al. 2019), no studies have been conducted that evaluate how

changes in ocean conditions influence larval recruitment of purple urchins in the California

Current. The purple sea urchin (*S. purpuratus*) is used for this study because long-term, spatially

replicated recruitment data is available at six locations in the SC Bight and prior work suggests

that high temperatures and ENSO events in the SC Bight are linked to poor recruitment years

(Okamoto et al. 2020).

The main goal of this study is to assess the impacts of changes in circulation,

temperature and food supply on larval recruitment of purple sea urchins in the SC Bight. A

biophysical model of the SC Bight, an ocean circulation model with particle tracking, is used to

estimate larval recruitment at the recruitment sites where long-term urchin recruitment data

has been collected (Figure 1, Okamoto et al. 2020). To simulate larval transport to the

recruitment sites, the biophysical model is run in reverse time with particles released from the

recruitment sites and tracked backwards in time to their spawning location or source site.

Source sites in the SC Bight are identified by the presence of potential urchin habitat, which is

rocky reef substrate. Using the particle tracking data, temperature from the ocean circulation

model, and surface chlorophyll from satellite data, the impact of changing circulation,

temperature, and food supply on larval recruitment is quantified by deriving five variables,

termed "ocean conditions", for each recruitment site. Two ocean conditions represent

circulation, larval dispersal distance (LDD) and source zone strength (SZS). LDD is the distance a

particle travels from a source site to a recruitment site. SZS is the relative probability that larvae

would originate from nine coastal zones in the SC Bight (Figure 1). Two ocean conditions

represent temperature, larval temperature ($T_L$) and source site temperature ($T_S$). $T_L$ is the

temperature that larvae are exposed to as they are transported from the source sites to the

recruitment sites. $T_S$ is the temperature that adult urchins are exposed to at the spawning or

larval source sites during gametogenesis. One ocean condition represents the food availability

(Chl), which is estimated using surface chlorophyll concentrations from satellite data along the

particle tracks. The five ocean conditions are calculated for an 18-year modeling period from

1996-2013.

To accurately model larval transport and recruitment, the larval life history of the species

must be realistically represented in the biophysical model (Paris et al. 2007; Cowen and

Sponaugle 2009; Metaxas and Saunders 2009). However, empirical data on larval life history

traits, such as vertical migration behavior, of many species is not available, and thus many

studies do not include species-specific larval life history when modeling larval transport and

connectivity (Swearer et al. 2019). To address this problem, we evaluate the sensitivity of the

five ocean conditions to variations in three larval life history parameters, plankton larval

duration (PLD), larval behavior, and nearshore retention. Two types of PLD are simulated, a PLD

of 42 days, which is based literature values for purple sea urchins, and a temperature

dependent PLD based on experimental studies (Mustermann et al. 2025). Two types of larval

behavior are simulated passive drifting and diel vertical migration, which occurs when the larvae

migrate to the surface at night and to depth during the day. For passive drifting, particles are

moved by circulation only. Although evidence of vertical migration for echinoderms is very

limited (Metaxas 2020; Doll et al. 2022), diel vertical migration has been widely observed for

invertebrate larvae (Cohen and Forward 2009; Bandara et al. 2021) and is thus included in this

study. With a 1 km$^2$ horizontal scale, the ocean circulation model does not reproduce circulation

patterns that are smaller than 1 km, including nearshore circulation which has been

hypothesized to retain larvae (Morgan et al. 2009, 2018; Hagerty et al. 2018; Yamhure et al.

2021). Thus, a relationship is derived from the number of times a particle passes through a

source site to represent nearshore retention.

Using all possible combinations of the three larval life history parameters, 12 simulations

are conducted over the 18-year modeling period for each of five ocean conditions, LDD, SZS, $T_L$,

$T_S$ and Chl, determining how sensitive the ocean conditions are to variations in the larval life

history parameters. All ocean conditions are found to be relatively insensitive to static versus

dynamic PLDs, but showed varying levels of sensitivity to both nearshore retention and larval

behavior. LDD and SZS are found to be more sensitive to nearshore retention and larval behavior

than Chl, $T_S$, and $T_L$. To determine which ocean conditions are the most likely drivers of larval

recruitment in the SC Bight, all simulations of the ocean conditions are statistically compared to

a larval recruitment index derived from larval recruitment data (Okamoto et al. 2020). $T_S$ is

found to be the mostly likely driver to larval recruitment. LDD and SZS are found to potential

drivers of larval recruitment if larvae are conducting DVM and influenced by nearshore

retention, while $T_L$ and Chl are unlikely to be drivers of larval recruitment.

**2.0 Methods**

*2.1 Biophysical Model*

To simulate larval transport, a 3D biophysical model consisting of two parts, an ocean

circulation model (OCM) and a particle tracking model (PTM), was used. The OCM was a high-

resolution Regional Ocean Modeling System (ROMS) applied to the SC Bight region (Dong and

McWilliams 2007; Dong et al. 2009). The model domain, shown in Figure 1, contained the SC

Bight and had 1 km horizontal resolution with 40 vertical levels. The model was thoroughly

validated for mesoscale circulation (Dong et al. 2009) and has successfully reproduced upwelling

events in the SC Bight (Dong et al. 2011; Simons and Catlett 2023) and eddy circulation in the

Santa Barbara Channel (SBC, Simons et al. 2015). The temperature from the OCM was validated

using temperature data from 26 stations in the SC Bight (see Supplementary Information (SI)).

Six-hour averaged 3D flow fields and temperature solutions produced by the OCM from 1996-

2013 were used for this study.

Larval transport was simulated using the 3D PTM driven by 3D flow fields produced by

the OCM (Mitarai et al. 2009; Simons et al. 2013). For this project, the particle tracking was run

in reverse time, which was possible since the ROMS flow fields and temperature solutions were

stored offline. Reverse particle tracking was used to simulate the arrival of larvae at five

recruitment sites in the SC Bight, Gaviota, Ellwood, Stearns, Anacapa, and Scripps, where larval

recruitment has been recorded since 1993 (Figure 1, Schroeter et al. 2017; Okamoto et al.

2020). The PTM has been used extensively for larval transport in the SC Bight (Mitarai et al.

2009; Simons et al. 2016; Nishimoto et al. 2019; Page 2019), and validated against observational

data from drifter experiments (Ohlmann and Mitarai 2010).

Particles were released from 45 horizontal locations evenly spaced every square

kilometer around each recruitment site. This distribution of horizontal release points was

selected to capture the mesoscale circulation in the area surrounding the recruitment sites. At

each of the 45 horizontal release points, particles were released vertically every meter from 1 to

30 meters below the surface, the maximum depth where purple sea urchins are typically found.

By evenly distributing particles over the water column, the particle distribution reflected

average vertical flow conditions as recommended for robustness in biophysical models (Simons

et al. 2013). Particles were released every 6 hours from the 1,350 vertical and horizontal

locations at each recruitment site for 1996-2013 and tracked for 8 weeks. The tracking time of 8

weeks was selected because it is the maximum possible plankton larval duration under idealized

conditions (Mustermann et al. 2025). The location and temperature of every particle trajectory

was recorded every 6 hours. The particle release frequency was selected to meet the particle

number criteria for model robustness (Simons et al. 2013).

The particles arriving at the recruitment sites must originate from a source site in the

study area (Figure 1). Potential larval source sites in the SC Bight were identified by location of

suitable spawning habitat for sea urchins. Suitable spawning habitat for sea urchins consists of

rocky reef substrate located within kelp forests. Thus, the spatial distribution of larval source

sites in the SC Bight was derived from satellite observations of giant kelp canopy (Bell et al.

2015). Using the mean distribution of giant kelp canopy from 1984-2022, suitable spawning

habitat was found in 926 of the 1-km$^2$ model grid cells, which were designated as potential

larval source sites (Figure 1).

To represent the recruitment season of purple sea urchins, which runs from March to

June in the SC Bight (Okamoto et al. 2020), particles were released every 6 hours from March 1$^{st}$

to June 30$^{th}$ for every year of the modeling period (1996-2013) at the release locations for each

recruitment site. Based on the particle release locations and frequency, a total of 12 million

particles were released from each recruitment site over the 18-year modeling period.

To quantify the horizontal dispersal of particles, the 3D particle tracks were transformed

into 2D particle density maps (PDMs). Using the three-dimensional particle tracks for each

recruitment month and site, 2D monthly PDMs were produced by compiling the horizontal

locations of all particle tracks from the particles released over the month into a single dataset

and then summing the number of particle locations within each model grid cell of 1 km$^2$,

creating a 2D particle density matrix the same size as the model grid. Example PDMs for the

Gaviota and Scripps sites are shown in Figure 2(a-f).

*2.2 Larval life history parameters*

187  To accurately simulate the transport of purple sea urchin larvae in the SC Bight, larval life

188 history parameters, which include planktonic larval duration (PLD), larval behavior, and

189 nearshore retention, must be incorporated into the biophysical model. This section describes

190 how each of these parameters was modeled.

191  PLD is represented in the PTM by the length of time particles are tracked. Two types of

192 PLD were used for this study. The first PLD was 6 weeks, which was based on literature value

193 and was termed "static PLD". The second PLD was a temperature dependent PLD based on

194 experimental studies (Mustermann et al. 2025), which was termed "dynamic PLD". To model the

195 static PLD, the particle tracking time was set to six weeks or 42 days. To calculate the dynamic

196 PLD, the following two-step process was used. First, using all particle tracks for one recruitment

197 site and month of the modeling period, the mean particle temperature was calculated for daily

198 tracking times of 1 to 56 days. Second, the dynamic PLD was determined by the intersection of

199 two lines of temperature versus PLD, one line from model results and the other line from the

200 experimental data. This process was repeated for all recruitment sites and months of the 18-

201 year modeling period, 1996-2013. The dynamic PLDs ranged from 26 to 56 days depending on

202 the month, year, and recruitment site and are listed in Table S1.

203  Three types of larval behavior were simulated with the PTM, passive drifting and diel

204 vertical migration to 20 m depth (DVM20) and 40 m depth (DVM40). To simulate passive

205 drifting, particles were moved only by 3D currents. Diel vertical migration occurs when larvae

206 migrate to the surface at night and to depth during the day (Cohen and Forward 2009). As the

207 vertical movement or distribution of sea urchin larvae has not been studied in the SC Bight,

208 depths of 20 m and 40 m were randomly selected to test this behavior. DVM20 and DVM40

were modeled by particles remaining at near-surface depths (~3 m) at night (18:00 hr to 06:00

hr) and at deeper depths of 20 m or 40 m during the day (06:00 hr to 18:00 hr). A basic random

walk was used to vertically distribute the particles in a gaussian pattern around the set depths,

near-surface, 20 m, and 40 m.

To quantify the effect of nearshore circulation on larval retention, two contrasting

simulations, one without nearshore retention and one with nearshore retention, were

produced. The simulation without nearshore retention assumed that particles travelled

continuously from a source site to a recruitment site during their PLD and were not retained in a

source site even if they passed through a source site during their PLD. As no information exists

on the probability of larvae being retained along the shoreline, the simulations with nearshore

retention assumed that the number of particles released during a recruitment month that

passed through a source site at any time during their PLD was directly proportional to the

probability of particles being retained within the source site. Thus, the simulations with

nearshore retention contained all particle tracks that passed through a source site at any time

during their PLD. If a particle track did not originate from a source site at the beginning of their

PLD, the particle track was truncated at the first source site it entered, and the particle was then

assumed to be retained within this source site for the portion of the PLD that it was not being

transported to the recruitment site. Consequently, the PDMs without nearshore retention were

created using only the particle tracks that start at a source site at the beginning of the their PLD,

while the PDMs with nearshore retention were created using particle tracks that start a source

site anytime during their PLD. This means that PDMs with nearshore retention include many

particle tracks that are shorter than the PLD and assume that these particles are retained within

the source site at the beginning of their PLD until they are transported to the recruitment site.

*2.3 Ocean conditions*

This section describes how the five ocean conditions, larval dispersal distance, source

zone strength, larval food supply, larval temperature, and temperature at the source sites, were

derived from particle tracking results. All ocean conditions are calculated on a monthly time-

scale for the modeling period of 1996-2013. Larval dispersal distance, source zone strength,

larval food supply, and larval temperature are calculated for the recruitment period of March to

June. Temperature at the source sites is calculated for the reproductive period of September to

November. For the larval life history parameters described in the previous section, only

nearshore retention changed the particle tracking times to less than the PLD. This change is

particle tracking times affected how source zone strength and larval temperature were

calculated with and without nearshore retention and is explained in this section.

Larval Dispersal Distance (LDD)

To compare larval dispersal between simulations, a monthly LDD for each recruitment

month and site was produced. The LDD was derived by calculating the distance between the

source site and recruitment site for each particle and then averaging this distance for all

particles tracked over the month. Thus, a monthly time-series of LDD for the recruitment period

was produced for each recruitment site in units of kilometers. To derive a mean LDD for the

entire 18-year study period, the LDD was averaged over all months and years of the study

period and is termed "mean LDD".

Source Zone Strength (SZS)

Source site strength is defined as the relative probability that a larva spawned at a

source site will arrive at a recruitment site (Mitarai et al. 2009; Watson et al. 2010). Source site

strength for the recruitment period was derived by summing the number of particles that

originated from or passed through a source site for one month of the modeling period at each

recruitment site. Thus, the number of particles at each of the 926 source sites represents the

relative probability or strength of the source sites. Without nearshore retention, source site

strength was calculated using only the particles that originated at a source site at the beginning

of the PLD and by counting each particle one time at its source site. With nearshore retention,

source site strength was calculated using particles that originated at a source site anytime

during the PLD and by counting the particle every time its track passed through a source site.

Thus, the method for implementing nearshore retention resulted in particles being counted

multiple times for different source sites. The source sites were geographically grouped into nine

source zones (Figure 1). The nine source zones consisted of (1) the mainland of the SBC, (2) the

north side of the North Channel Islands, (3) the south side of the North Channel Islands, (4-7)

groups of contiguous source sites along the central and southern mainland of the SC Bight, (8)

Catalina Island, and (9) three South Channel Islands. For each recruitment site and month, the

percentage of particles in each zone was calculated, producing a monthly time-series of source

zone strength (SZS) for each zone and recruitment site over the recruitment period.

Larval Food Availability (Chl)

To estimate food availability during larval transport, surface chlorophyll was used as a

proxy for phytoplankton, which is what urchin larvae use for food. Surface chlorophyll for the SC

Bight was calculated from satellite-derived 5-day composites of surface chlorophyll-a

concentrations (Kahru et al. 2012, 2015, https://spg-satdata.ucsd.edu) and was available from

1998 to 2013, 16 years of 18-year modeling period. As the satellite data is two-dimensional and

does not represent the chlorophyll in the sub-surface, we recognize that this is a limitation of

our analysis. However, surface chlorophyll has been shown to reflect the overall seasonal and

interannual changes in chlorophyll in the SC Bight (Otero and Siegel 2004; Henderikx Freitas et

al. 2017; Simons and Catlett 2023) and can provide some insight into the correlation between

food availability and larval recruitment. In addition, satellite data was the only source of

chlorophyll data that provided the spatial and temporal coverage needed to use with the

particle tracking model results.

The 5-day composites of surface chlorophyll were averaged over each recruitment

month of March-June to produce monthly surface chlorophyll of the SC Bight from 1998-2013.

For each recruitment month and site, particle exposure to surface chlorophyll was calculated by

first interpolating the model grid onto the monthly surface chlorophyll and then multiplying the

surface chlorophyll in each model grid cell by the corresponding fraction of particles in the grid

cell derived from the PDMs. Using this method, monthly time-series of larval exposure to

chlorophyll (Chl) for each recruitment site was derived in units of mg m$^{-3}$.

<u>Larval Temperature ($T_L$)</u>

Larval temperature ($T_L$) represents the temperature that larvae are exposed to during

their PLD. $T_L$ was derived from two sources of temperature produced by the OCM for the

recruitment period. The first source was the particle temperature, which was recorded every 6

hours along the particle track. The second source was the temperature at the source sites,

which was calculated every six hours at 5 m depth in the model grid cell where the source site

was located. A depth of 5 m was selected because it is the average depth of sea urchins in the

SC Bight (Okamoto, D., personal observation). For simulations without nearshore retention, only

particle temperature was used to calculate $T_L$ as particles were tracked for the entire duration of

the PLD. In this case, $T_L$ was calculated by first averaging the particle temperature for each

particle track, producing a mean particle temperature, and then averaging the mean particle

temperatures for all the particles from one recruitment site and one month of the modeling

period, producing a monthly time-series of $T_L$ for each recruitment site in units of °C for the

recruitment period. For simulations with nearshore retention, both particle temperature and

temperature at the source sites were used to calculate $T_L$ as many particles were retained at a

source site for part of the PLD before being transported to a recruitment site. For the particles

that were retained at a source site, the mean particle temperature was calculated by averaging

the temperature at the source site for the time that the particle was retained there and the

particle temperature for the time that the particle was tracked from the source site to the

recruitment site. For the particles that were not retained at a source site, the mean particle

temperature for particles was calculated the same way as the simulations without nearshore

retention. Using both particles that were and were not retained at a source site, $T_L$ for

simulations with nearshore retention was derived by averaging the mean particle temperatures

from one recruitment site and one month of the modeling period, producing a monthly time-

series of $T_L$ for each recruitment site in units of °C for the recruitment period.

<u>Source Site Temperature ($T_S$)</u>

The reproductive period for purple urchins in the SC Bight occurs from September to

November. To assess the impact of temperature on adult urchins during the reproductive

period, temperature at the source sites ($T_S$), the location of the adult urchins, was needed. $T_S$

was calculated using the following four-step method. First, the monthly mean temperature at 5

m below the surface was calculated from the OCM at each of 926 source sites for the

reproductive period from 1996-2013. Second, the monthly source site strength for the each of

the 926 source sites was averaged over the recruitment period (March-June) for each year,

producing yearly source site strength for each of the 18 years of the modeling period and each

source site. Third, the yearly source site strength for each site was then divided by the sum of

the yearly source site strength for all source sites, producing a fraction for each source site and

year. As reproduction occurs prior to recruitment, the $T_S$ during the reproductive period was

calculated from the source site strength for the following year and thus, limited to a 17-year

modeling period of 1996-2012. Fourth, using the fractions derived from source site strength and

temperature at the source sites, a weighted mean temperature or $T_S$ was calculated for each

recruitment site and month of the reproductive period, producing a 17-year time-series of

monthly $T_S$ for each recruitment site in units of °C.

*2.5 Sensitivity study of larval life history parameters*

Sensitivity of the five ocean conditions, LDD, SZS, Chl, $T_L$, and $T_S$ to variations in three

larval life history parameters of PLD, larval behavior, and nearshore retention was tested. PLD

had two options, static and dynamic. Behavior had three options, passive drifting, DVM20, and

DVM40. Nearshore retention had two options, with retention and without retention. For each

ocean condition, 12 simulations were created using all possible combinations of the three

parameters and are listed in Table 1. Simulation 1 with passive drifting behavior, no nearshore

retention, and a static PLD was designated as the baseline simulation as it represents how larval

transport is simulated for many other biophysical modeling studies (Swearer et al 2019).

| Simulation Number | Larval Life History Parameters | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Larval Behavior | Nearshore Retention | PLD |
| 1 | Passive Drifting | No | Static |
| 2 | Passive Drifting | No | Dynamic |
| 3 | Passive Drifting | Yes | Static |
| 4 | Passive Drifting | Yes | Dynamic |
| 5 | DVM20 | No | Static |
| 6 | DVM20 | No | Dynamic |
| 7 | DVM20 | Yes | Static |
| 8 | DVM20 | Yes | Dynamic |
| 9 | DVM40 | No | Static |
| 10 | DVM40 | No | Dynamic |
| 11 | DVM40 | Yes | Static |
| 12 | DVM40 | Yes | Dynamic |

343     Table 1: Simulations created for each of the five ocean conditions, LDD, SZS, Chl, $T_L$, and $T_S$, with

344     three larval life history parameters of larval behavior, nearshore retention, and PLD.

345
346           For each ocean condition, simulations were compared using two statical measurements,

root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) and Pearson's correlation coefficient ($r$). The RMSD was

used to assess the absolute magnitude of deviation between the simulations. The $r$ was used to

assess the linear correlation between the simulations. To calculate RMSD and $r$ for two

simulations, the ocean conditions must be in the form of a 1D time-series, which is the case for

LDD, $T_L$, Chl, and $T_S$. However, the SZS has 9 1D time-series, with each time-series corresponding

to one zone. In order to calculate RMSD and $r$ for two SZS simulations, the 9 SZS time-series for

each simulation were arranged into a 2D matrix with zone versus time and then linearized into a

1D vector.

To test each parameter, RMSD and *r* were calculated for two simulations where one

parameter varied while the other two parameters were held constant. The two simulations are

termed a 'simulation pair'. To test the sensitivity of an ocean condition to PLD, the RMSD and *r*

was calculated for 6 simulations pairs (simulations 1 and 2, simulations 3 and 4, simulations 5

and 6, simulations 7 and 8, simulations 9 and 10, simulations 11 and 12), where one simulation

had a static PLD and the other had a dynamic PLD while the choice of larval behavior and

nearshore retention were the same in each pair. With two options, nearshore retention was

tested with six simulation pairs (simulations 1 and 3, simulations 2 and 4, simulations 5 and 7,

simulations 6 and 8, simulations 9 and 11, simulations 10 and 12). Larval behavior with three

options was tested with 12 simulations pairs (simulations 1 and 5, simulations 2 and 6,

simulations 3 and 7, simulations 4 and 8, simulations 1 and 9, simulations 2 and 10, simulations

3 and 11, simulations 4 and 12, simulations 5 and 9, simulations 6 and 10, simulations 7 and 11,

simulations 8 and 12).

*2.5 Correlation of ocean conditions to larval recruitment index*

To determine which ocean conditions were most likely to be driving larval recruitment in

the SC Bight, the ocean conditions were compared to an annual larval recruitment index that

was derived from larval recruitment data.  Recruitment indexes in the form of standardized

larval settlement anomaly were available for the 5 recruitment sites including an additional site,

Ocean Beach, which was located close to the Scripps recruitment site (Okamoto et al. 2020). A

larval recruitment index was calculated for the northern SC Bight by averaging the indexes from

the four SBC recruitment sites, Gaviota, Ellwood, Stearns, and Anacapa, and for southern SC

Bight by averaging the indexes from the recruitment sites for Scripps and Ocean Beach sites.

Northern and southern SC Bight recruitment indexes were developed due to the synchronous

patterns of recruitment at the northern versus the southern recruitment sites observed by

Okamoto et al. (2020), indicating that forces driving recruitment are on a regional versus a local

scale. As the recruitment indexes were on an annual time scale, the monthly time-series' of the

ocean conditions were averaged over the recruitment period for LDD, SZS, Chl, and $T_L$, and over

reproductive period for $T_S$ for each year to produce annual time-series'. The annual time-series

of the ocean conditions were then compared to the northern recruitment index for the Gaviota,

Ellwood, Stearns, and Anacapa recruitment sites and the southern recruitment index for the

Scripps recruitment site by calculating *r* for the 12 simulations. LDD, $T_L$, Chl, and $T_S$ were in the

form 1D time-series and could be used directly to calculate *r*, but SZS was a 2D time-series and

had to be converted into a 1D time-series to compare to the 1D larval recruitment index. To do

this, 1D SZS for each simulation and site was calculated by summing SZS over all possible

combination of one to three zones. For the 9 zones, 129 combinations of 1 to 3 zones were

used, producing 129 1D SZS time-series for each simulation and site. Then *r* was calculated

between the 129 1D SZS time-series and the larval recruitment index, and the zones producing

the largest positive and negative *r* values were used for the analysis. To indicate that any of the

five ocean conditions may be driving recruitment, *r* would have to be of a similar high

magnitude and sign across all recruitment sites for a single simulation.

**3.0 Results**

396    Our results are presented in two parts; first, the sensitivity of ocean conditions to the

397  larval life history parameters and second, the correlation of ocean conditions to the larval

398  recruitment index. The five ocean conditions fall into three categories: (1) ocean circulation

399  represented by DD and SZS, (2) food supply represented by Chl and (3) temperature

400  represented by $T_L$ and $T_S$. The larval life history parameters include PLD, nearshore retention,

401  and behavior. PLD has two options, a static PLD of 42 days, and a dynamic PLD, which is as a

402  function of temperature. Nearshore retention has two options, included or not included in the

403  simulation.  Behavior has three options, passive drifting, DVM with daytime depth of 20m

404  (DVM20), and DVM with a daytime depth of 40m (DVM40).  Using all possible combinations of

405  these larval life history parameters, 12 particle tracking simulations, shown in Table 1, are

406  produced for each of the ocean conditions and the recruitment sites over the 18-year modeling

407  period of 1996-2013.  Figures of the five ocean conditions for the 12 simulations are included in

408  the SI.

410  3.1 Sensitivity of ocean conditions to larval life history parameters

411  <u>Larval Dispersal Distance</u>

412    Larval dispersal distance (LDD) represents the mean distance that the larvae have

413  traveled from the source sites to the recruitment sites and reflects changes in circulation. For

414  the baseline simulation of passive drifting behavior, a static PLD, and no nearshore retention,

415  LDD is shown in Figure 3(a) for the recruitment period of March-June for the five recruitment

416  sites. For the baseline simulation, LDD is extremely variable with each recruitment site ranging

417  an order of magnitude over the modeling period. Baseline LDD for the modeling period

averages 79.5 km $\pm$ 51.6 km (mean$\pm$1 standard deviation) for Gaviota, 84.8 km $\pm$ 39.6 km for

Ellwood, 99.7 km $\pm$ 30.8 km for Stearns, 71.1 km $\pm$ 25.3 km for Anacapa, and 88.4 km $\pm$ 47.8 km

for Scripps. Baseline LDD is similar between the SBC sites of Gaviota, Ellwood, Sterns, and

Anacapa, with RMSD from 24.5 to 30.7 km and *r* from 0.73 to 0.89, and distinctly different

between the SBC sites and Scripps with RMSD from 73.0 to 94.2 km and *r* from -0.41 to -0.79.

To examine the sensitivity of LDD to PLD, the six simulations pairs with static versus

dynamic PLD are compared and show very strong agreement for all recruitment sites. For all

recruitment sites, RMSD ranges 1.8 -16.9 km, and *r* ranges from 0.95-0.99. These results suggest

that LDD is mostly insensitive to changes in PLD.

For nearshore retention, the sensitivity testing of LDD for all recruitment sites shows a

moderately strong linear correlation between all simulation pairs with and without nearshore

retention for all sites, resulting in a *r* ranging from 0.73-0.96 with a mean of 0.88, but displays a

large range of agreement in magnitude among the simulation pairs. For the SBC sites of Gaviota,

Ellwood, Stearns, and Anacapa, the weakest agreement for LDD is observed for the two passive

drifting simulation pairs with Gaviota, Ellwood, and Stearns showing weaker agreement than

Anacapa. The two simulation pairs with passive drifting have RMSD ranging from 33.5 - 44.1 km

for Gaviota, Ellwood, and Stearns and from 19.2-19.8 km for Anacapa. By calculating the mean

error for the passive drifting simulation pairs, LDD is observed to decrease by 28.2-34.6 km for

Gaviota, Ellwood, and Stearns and by 14.0-14.5 km for Anacapa when nearshore retention is

included in the simulation (Figure 3(a,b)). For SBC sites, stronger agreement is observed for the

four simulation pairs with DVM20 or DVM40 than the two simulations pairs with passive

drifting. The simulation pairs with DVM20 and DVM40 have RMSD ranging from 11.7-25.6 km

for Gaviota, Ellwood, and Stearns and 11.4-18.8 km for Anacapa. By calculating the mean error

between DVM20 and DVM40 simulations pairs for the SBC sites, LDD is observed to decrease by

4.6-10.9 km when nearshore retention is included, which is smaller than the passive drifting

pairs. For Scripps, LDD shows similar magnitudes of agreement for all six simulation pairs with

RMSD ranging from 55.7 – 67.0 km. By calculating the mean error between simulations pairs for

Scripps, the simulation pairs with passive drifting and DVM20 show a decrease of 44.9-54.0 km

in LDD when nearshore retention is included, while simulations with DVM40 show an increase

of 19.2-21.8 km in LDD when nearshore retention is included.

In summary for nearshore retention, LDD from passive drifting is more sensitive to

nearshore retention than LDD from DVM for the SBC sites. Among the SBC sites, LDD for

Gaviota, Ellwood, and Stearns is more sensitive to nearshore retention than Anacapa for both

passive drifting and DVM. For Scripps, LDD from passive drifting and DVM appears to be

similarly sensitive to nearshore retention and more sensitive to nearshore retention than the

SBC sites. For all sites, both passive drifting and DVM simulations produce a smaller LDD when

nearshore retention is present.

For larval behavior, a clear pattern is observed for the SBC mainland sites of Gaviota,

Ellwood, and Stearns with the weakest agreement in LDD for the four simulation pairs

comparing passive drifting behavior to DVM20 or DVM40 without nearshore retention, the

second weakest agreement in LDD for the four simulation pairs comparing passive drifting

behavior to DVM20 or DVM40 with nearshore retention, and the strongest agreement in LDD

for the four simulation pairs comparing DVM20 to DVM40 with and without nearshore

retention. For the SBC mainland sites, the four simulation pairs comparing passive drifting

behavior to DVM20 or DVM40 without nearshore retention have the largest RMSD ranging from

60.2-74.3 km and the four simulation pairs comparing passive drifting behavior to DVM20 or

DVM40 with nearshore retention have smaller RMSD ranging from 27.9-42.9 km. For these

eight simulation pairs, $r$ ranges widely from 0.30 and 0.69 with a mean of 0.49, and the strength

of correlation is not associated with a specific larval behavior. By calculating the mean error for

these eight simulations pairs, LDD decreases from 17.8-54.6 km with a mean of 38.6 km when

DVM is included in the simulation. For the SBC mainland sites, the four simulation pairs

comparing DVM20 to DVM40 with and without nearshore retention have the smallest RMSD

ranging from 14.8-32.4 km and highest $r$ ranging from 0.71-0.91. The mean error between these

four simulations pairs is close to zero, indicating neither a significant increase or decrease in LDD

between DVM20 and DVM40.

For larval behavior, LDD for Anacapa shows similar agreement for all simulations pairs

with RMSD ranging from 20.1-28.7 km and $r$ ranging from 0.56-0.75. LDD for Anacapa also

displays the strongest agreement for all recruitment sites. In addition, the mean error for all

simulation pairs is small, ranging from -10 to 15.4 km with a mean of 2.9 km, indicating no

consistent increase or decrease in LDD associated with a specific behavior. Of the five

recruitment sites, the Scripps site shows a largest range of agreement for the simulation pairs.

The four simulation pairs comparing passive drifting to DVM20 or DVM40 with nearshore

retention have the weakest agreement with RMSD ranging from 115-150 km and $r$ ranging from

0.29-0.42. The four simulation pairs comparing passive drifting to DVM20 or DVM40 without

nearshore retention have stronger agreement with RMSD ranging from 55-79 km and $r$ ranging

from 0.49-0.63. The four simulation pairs comparing DVM20 to DVM40 with and without

nearshore retention have the strongest agreement with RMSD ranging from 27-40 km and $r$

ranging from 0.79-0.88. The mean error for Scripps shows an increase in LDD of 20-120 km for

all simulation pairs, which means that LDD is larger for simulations with DVM20 or DVM40 than

passive drifting and for simulations with DVM40 than DVM20 whether or not nearshore

retention is included.

In summary for larval behavior, very different patterns of sensitivity are observed

between the SBC mainland sites, Anacapa, and Scripps. For the SBC mainland sites, LDD is

shows the weakest agreement between the passive drifting and DVM simulations without

nearshore retention. Also, for the SBC mainland sites, the DVM simulations produce smaller

LDDs than the passive drifting simulations, and this difference in LDD is greater when nearshore

retention is not present. For Anacapa, LDD shows similarly strong agreement for all simulation

pairs. For Scripps, LDD shows the weakest agreement between the passive drifting and DVM

simulations with nearshore retention. Also, for Scripps, the addition of DVM decreases LDD

when compared to passive drifting, which is the opposite of the SBC mainland sites.

<u>Larval Source Zone Strength</u>

Larval source zone strength (SZS) is defined as the probability that larvae have originated

from a specified zone and represents how ocean circulation drives spatial and temporal

variation in SZS. The nine source zones are identified in the SC Bight (Figure 1). The units for SZS

are the percentage of particles per zone, which is directly proportional to SZS. SZS for the

baseline simulation of passive drifting, a static PLD, and no nearshore retention is shown for the

recruitment period of March-June in Figure 4(a-e) for all recruitment sites. For each recruitment

site, baseline SZS is extremely variable with no clear patterns in time over the recruitment

period or space over the 9 zones. Baseline SZS for Gaviota is similar to Ellwood, Stearns, and

Anacapa with RMSD ranging from 5-9% and *r* ranging from 0.72-0.90, but is different from

Scripps with a RMSD of 18% and a *r* of 0.02. For the baseline simulation, the maximum SZS per

month for Gaviota averages 36%±18% and is primarily associated with Zones 1-3 and 8-9. For

Scripps, the maximum SZS per month for averages 40%±20% standard deviation and is primarily

associated with Zones 6-7.

The sensitivity testing of SZS to PLD shows strong agreement for all simulation pairs with

a static versus a dynamic PLD for all recruitment sites.  For all simulation pairs and recruitment

sites, the RMSD ranges 1-9%, and the *r* ranges from 0.88-1.0.  These results suggest that SZS is

largely insensitivity to differences in PLD.

For nearshore retention, patterns of agreement between SZS simulation pairs are similar

for the SBC mainland sites of Gaviota, Ellwood, and Stearns. SZS for the SBC mainland sites

shows the weakest agreement for the two simulation pairs comparing passive drifting with and

without nearshore retention for, producing RMSD ranging from 12-21% and *r* ranging from 0.21-

0.44. As shown in Figure 2(g,h) for Gaviota, the addition of nearshore retention to the

simulation with passive drifting results in the highest SZS occurring in the Zone 1, where the

recruitment sites are located. For Zone 1, the mean SZS over the modeling period increases

from 24% to 71% for Gaviota, 18% to 61% for Ellwood, and 11% to 58% for Stearns when

nearshore retention is included in the passive drifting simulation. For the SBC mainland sites,

SZS shows the strongest agreement for the four simulation pairs comparing DVM20 or DVM40

with and without nearshore retention, producing RMSD ranging from 12-15% and *r* ranging

from 0.73-0.91. Similar to the passive drifting simulation with nearshore retention, DVM20 and

DVM40 simulations with and without nearshore retention for the SBC mainland sites show the

highest SZS consistently occurring in the Zone 1, explaining the strong agreement between

these simulation pairs. For the SBC mainland sites, the mean SZS over the modeling period for

Zone 1 ranges from 65-94% for DVM simulations with and without nearshore retention.

For nearshore retention, SZS for Anacapa and Scripps display different patterns of

agreement from each other and the SBC mainland sites. For Anacapa, SZS shows similar

agreement in magnitude for all simulation pairs with a RMSD ranging from 11-14%. The SZS for

Anacapa shows a weaker linear correlation for the two passive drifting simulation pairs of $r$ from

0.43-0.44 than the four DVM20 and DVM40 simulation pairs of $r$ from 0.73-0.81. The increase in

$r$ between the passive drifting and DVM simulation pairs is similar to, but not as large as, the

SBC mainland sites. The difference in SZS for the passive drifting simulation pairs is due to the

consistent increase in SZS for Zone 2, where Anacapa is located, when nearshore retention is

present. For the passive drifting simulations, the mean SZS in Zone 2 for Anacapa increases from

13% to 41% when nearshore retention is added. However, adding nearshore retention to the

DVM simulations does not change the SZS significantly for Anacapa. For the DVM simulations

with and without nearshore retention, the highest SZS for Anacapa is located in Zones 1-3, the

three zones closest to Anacapa, and only increases from 64% to 74% for these zones when

nearshore retention is added. For Scripps, the magnitude and linear correlation of SZS is very

similar for all simulations pairs with RMSD ranging from 18-20% and $r$ ranging from 0.47-0.64.

This suggests that the addition of nearshore retention changes the linear correlation and

magnitude of SZS about the same amount for both passive drifting and DVM. For all

simulations, the highest SZS for Scripps is located in Zones 6, 7, and 9, the three zones closest to

the Scripps site, which increases when nearshore retention is added to the simulation (Figure

2(j,k)). The addition of nearshore retention increases the mean SZS over the modeling period

for Zones 6, 7, and 9 from 67% to 95% for the passive drifting simulations and from 55% to 87%

for the DVM simulations.

In summary for nearshore retention, SZS shows different patterns of sensitivities

depending on the recruitment site. For the SBC mainland sites, SZS shows the weakest

agreement between the passive drifting simulation pairs, which is due to the increase in Zone 1,

where the SBC mainland sites are located, when nearshore retention is present. For Anacapa,

SZS shows similarly small differences in magnitude for both passive drifting and DVM simulation

pairs, but a range of linear correlations. Based on $r$, SZS for Anacapa is most sensitive to the

passive drifting simulation pairs as nearshore retention produces an increase in SZS in Zone 2,

where Anacapa is located. For Scripps, SZS shows similarly weak agreement for all simulation

pairs as the presence of nearshore retention for both passive drifting and DVM increases the

SZS in Zones 6, 7 and 9, which are nearest to Scripps.

The sensitivity testing of SZS to behavior shows the weakest agreement for the four

simulation pairs comparing passive drifting to DVM20 or DVM40 without nearshore retention

for the SBC mainland sites of Gaviota, Ellwood, and Stearns, producing RMSD ranging from 22-

26% and $r$ ranging from 0.10-0.36. For the SBC mainland sites, the passive drifting simulations

without nearshore retention produce similarly low levels of SZS across all zones (Figure 2(g)),

while the DVM20 or DVM40 simulations without nearshore retention produce the highest SZS in

Zone 1, the zone where the recruitment site is located (Figure 2(i)). For Zone 1, the mean SZS

over the modeling period increases from 19% to 63-71% for Gaviota, 15% to 60-66% for

Ellwood, and 11% to 59-62% for Stearns for the passive drifting versus the DVM simulations

without nearshore retention. The sensitivity testing of SZS displays the strongest agreement

between the eight simulation pairs comparing DVM20 to DVM40 with and without nearshore

retention and passive drifting to DVM20 or DVM40 with nearshore retention for the SBC

mainland sites, producing a RMSD ranging from 4-13% and a $r$ ranging from 0.90-99.  For the

SBC mainland sites, the mean SZS in Zone 1 over the modeling period is greater than 60% for all

eight simulations.

For Anacapa, testing the sensitivity of SZS to behavior shows the strongest agreement

for the four simulations pairs comparing DVM20 to DVM40, producing RMSD ranging from 8-

12% and $r$ ranging from 0.80-0.88.  For Anacapa, DVM20 and DVM40 simulations with and

without retention produce a pattern where the highest total SZS is spread over Zones 1 and 3,

which the two zones nearest the Zone 2 where the site is located. For Anacapa, the mean SZS

for Zones 1 and 3 over the modeling period ranges from 55-60% for the DVM20 and DVM40

simulations. SZS for Anacapa shows similarly weaker agreement for the eight simulations pairs

comparing passive drifting to DVM20 or DVM40, producing RMSD ranging from 14-18% and $r$

ranging from 0.35-0.60.  For the passive drifting simulations, the mean SZS for Zones 1 and 3

over the modeling period is lower than the DVM20 and DVM40 simulations, ranging from 28-

29%.

For Scripps, the sensitivity testing of SZS shows the weakest agreement for the four

simulations pairs comparing passive drifting to DVM20 or DVM40 without nearshore retention,

producing RMSD ranging from 16-18% and $r$ ranging from 0.43-0.50.  SZS shows stronger

agreement of similar magnitude for the six simulations pairs comparing passive drifting to

DVM20 or DVM40 with nearshore retention and DVM20 to DVM40 without nearshore

retention, producing RMSD ranging from 11-13% and *r* ranging from 0.78-0.90. SZS shows the

strongest agreement for the two simulations pairs comparing DVM20 to DVM40 with nearshore

retention, producing RMSD ranging from 4-5% and *r* ranging from 0.97-0.98. For Scripps, the

passive drifting and DVM simulations without nearshore retention do not produce a pattern

with high SZS occurring in specific zones, while the passive drifting and DVM simulations with

nearshore retention produce a pattern with the highest total SZS occurring in Zones 6 and 7,

which are nearest the Scripps site.  For example, the highest mean SZS over the modeling period

is 31% in Zone 7 for the passive drifting simulation without nearshore retention and 22% in

Zone 6 for the DVM20 simulation without nearshore retention. In contrast, both the passive

drifting and DVM20 simulations with nearshore retention produce a total SZS of 95% and 88%

for Zones 6 and 7 respectively.

In summary for larval behavior, SZS displays a range of sensitivities as a function of

recruitment site. For the SBC mainland sites, SZS shows the weakest agreement for the passive

drifting and DVM simulation pairs without nearshore retention, which is driven by DVM

increasing SZS in Zone 1, where the sites are located. For the SBC mainland sites, the remaining

simulation pairs had similarly strong agreement due to both nearshore retention and DVM

increasing SZS in Zone 1. For Anacapa, SZS shows the weakest agreement for the passive drifting

and DVM simulations pairs with and without nearshore retention, which is driven by an increase

in SZS for Zones 1 and 3, adjacent to the Zone where Anacapa is located. SZS for Scripps shows

the weakest agreement for the passive drifting and DVM simulation pairs without nearshore

retention, which is driven by no consistent pattern of the highest SZS occurring in specific zones.

 Larval Food Availability

Larval food is represented by surface chlorophyll (Chl), which is available from 1998-

2013, 16 years of the modeling period. For the five recruitment sites, Chl for the baseline

simulation of passive drifting behavior, a static PLD, and no nearshore retention, is shown in

Figure 3(c) for the recruitment period of March-June. Baseline Chl is most similar between the

Gaviota site and the Ellwood and Stearns sites with a RMSD of 0.70 mg m$^{-3}$ and 0.75 mg m$^{-3}$ and

a $r$ of 0.94 and 0.90 respectively and least similar between the Gaviota site and the Anacapa and

Scripps sites with a RMSD of 1.24 mg m$^{-3}$ and 1.57 mg m$^{-3}$ and a $r$ of 0.88 and 0.74 respectively.

Averaged over the modeling period, baseline mean Chl is 2.95 mg m$^{-3}$, 2.65 mg m$^{-3}$, 2.74 mg m$^{-3}$,

2.06 mg m$^{-3}$, and 1.84 mg m$^{-3}$ for Gaviota, Ellwood, Stearns, Anacapa, and Scripps respectively.

The baseline mean Chl is similar between the SBC mainland sites, Gaviota, Ellwood, and Stearns,

and shows a decrease from Gaviota to Anacapa to Scripps, reflecting the north-south gradient

of Chl in the SC Bight (Figure 5(a)).

The sensitivity testing of Chl to PLD shows strong agreement between the simulation

pairs with a static PLD versus a dynamic PLD.  For the six simulation pairs tested, the RMSD

ranges 0.10-0.37 mg m$^{-3}$, and the $r$ ranges from 0.98-1.0. These results suggest that Chl is

relatively insensitive to PLD for all recruitment sites.

For nearshore retention, the sensitivity testing of Chl for all recruitment sites shows a

strong linear correlation between all simulation pairs with and without nearshore retention,

resulting in an $r$ ranging from 0.91-1.0, but displays a range of agreement in magnitude among

the simulation pairs. For all recruitment sites, Chl shows a weaker agreement in magnitude for

the two passive drifting simulations pairs with RMSD ranging 0.49-0.80 mg m$^{-3}$ with a mean of

0.61 mg m$^{-3}$ (Figure 3(c,d)) than the four DVM20 and DVM40 simulation pairs with RMSD

ranging 0.29-0.66 mg m$^{-3}$ with a mean of 0.43 mg m$^{-3}$. The passive drifting simulations with

nearshore retention have a higher mean Chl of 0.31-0.68 mg m$^{-3}$ over the modeling period than

the passive drifting simulations without nearshore retention. In contrast, mean Chl is similar in

magnitude for DVM simulations with and without nearshore retention. These results suggest

that Chl for all recruitment sites is more sensitive to the addition of nearshore retention to

passive drifting behavior than to DVM20 or DVM40 behavior.

For larval behavior, Chl shows strong linear correlations for the 12 simulation pairs for all

recruitment sites with $r$ ranging from 0.80 – 0.99, but a range of differences in magnitude. For

the SBC sites of Gaviota, Ellwood, Stearns, and Anacapa, Chl shows the weakest agreement for

the eight simulation pairs comparing passive drifting to DVM20 or DVM40 with a RMSD ranging

from 0.67-1.62 mg m$^{-3}$. For these eight simulation pairs, the mean Chl for the DVM simulations

is greater by an average of 0.51 mg m$^{-3}$ than the mean Chl for the passive drifting simulations.

For the SBC sites, Chl shows the strongest agreement for the four simulation pairs comparing

DVM20 and DVM40 with a RMSD ranging from 0.04-0.38 mg m$^{-3}$ and very similar mean Chl with

an average difference of 0.02 mg m$^{-3}$. For Scripps, Chl shows a range of agreement for the 12

simulations pairs, but the magnitude of agreement is not correlated with larval behaviors. For

Scripps, Chl shows a RMSD ranging from 0.27-0.74 mg m$^{-3}$.  Thus, for larval behavior, Chl for the

SBC sites shows more sensitivity between passive drifting and DVM20 or DVM40 than between

DVM20 and DVM40. Chl for Scripps is inconsistently sensitive to specific larval behaviors.

<u>Larval Temperature</u>

Larval temperature ($T_L$) is defined as the temperature that larvae are exposed to during

the PLD. $T_L$ for the baseline simulation of passive drifting behavior, a static PLD, and no

nearshore retention is shown in Figure 3(e) for the recruitment period of March-June for the

five recruitment sites. For the baseline simulation, $T_L$ for all months and recruitment sites is

below 16°C and ranges from 9.7-15.6°C. For all recruitment sites, the yearly change in baseline

$T_L$ over the recruitment period averages 1.5°C with a maximum of 2.8°C and does not show a

consistent pattern of warming or cooling. Baseline $T_L$ is very similar between mainland SBC sites

of Gaviota, Ellwood, and Stearns. Comparing baseline $T_L$ at Gaviota to Ellwood and Stearns,

RMSD and *r* range from 0.28-0.45°C and 0.92-0.96 respectively. Greater differences in baseline

$T_L$ are observed between Gaviota and Anacapa with a RMSD of 0.75°C and a *r* of 0.86 and

between Gaviota and Scripps with a RMSD of 1.4°C and a *r* of 0.59. Averaging over the modeling

period, baseline mean $T_L$ is 12.4°C, 12.9°C, and 13.5°C for Gaviota, Anacapa, and Scripps

respectively, reflecting the north-south temperature gradient in the SC Bight (Figure 5(b)).

The sensitivity testing of $T_L$ to PLD shows strong agreement between the six simulation

pairs comparing static versus dynamic PLD. For the six simulation pairs and all recruitment sites,

the RMSD ranges 0.13-0.36°C with a mean of 0.17°C, and the *r* ranges from 0.96-0.99 with a

mean of 0.99. These results imply that $T_L$ is largely insensitive to changes in PLD.

The sensitivity testing of $T_L$ to nearshore retention shows strong linear correlations for all

simulation pairs and recruitment sites with *r* ranging from 0.83-0.98 with a mean of 0.93.

However, differences in magnitude of $T_L$ are observed with the weakest agreement for the

simulation pairs with passive drifting (Figure 3(e,f)and the strongest agreement for the

simulation pairs with DVM20 or DVM40 for all recruitment sites. $T_L$ for the two simulation pairs

with passive drifting have a RMSD ranging from 0.54-0.80°C with a mean of 0.63°C for the SBC

sites of Gaviota, Ellwood, Stearns, and Anacapa and a RMSD ranging from 0.87-1.0°C with a

mean of 0.93°C for Scripps. In contrast, the four simulation pairs with DVM20 or DVM40 have a

RMSD ranging from 0.21-0.44°C with a mean of 0.30°C for the SBC sites and a RMSD ranging

from 0.55-0.82°C with a mean of 0.65°C for Scripps. Thus, $T_L$ for all recruitment sites is more

sensitive to the addition of nearshore retention to passive drifting simulations than to DVM20

or DVM40 simulations.

The sensitivity testing of $T_L$ to behavior shows strong linear correlations for all simulation

pairs and recruitment sites with *r* ranging from 0.85-0.99 with a mean of 0.93, but a range of

agreement in magnitude. For the SBC mainland sites, $T_L$ shows the weakest agreement for the

four simulation pairs comparing passive drifting to DVM20 or DVM40 without nearshore

retention, which have a RMSD ranging from 0.44-0.91°C with a mean of 0.64°C, and the

strongest agreement for the four simulation pairs comparing DVM20 to DVM40 with or without

nearshore retention, which had a RMSD ranging from 0.23-0.35°C with a mean of 0.29°C. For

Anacapa and Scripps, $T_L$ has the weakest agreement for the two simulation pairs comparing

passive drifting to DVM20 without nearshore retention, which had a RMSD ranging from 0.49-

0.54°C and 0.71-0.80°C respectively, but shows similarly stronger agreement for the ten other

simulations pairs, which had a RMSD ranging from 0.24-0.49°C with a mean of 0.35°C for

Anacapa and from 0.34-0.53°C with a mean of 0.42°C for Scripps. Thus, $T_L$ for the SBC mainland

sites shows weaker agreement between DVM and passive drifting simulations when nearshore

retention is absent than when nearshore retention is present and strong agreement between

DVM20 and DVM40 regardless if nearshore retention is present. For Anacapa and Scripps, $T_L$

shows the weakest agreement between passive drifting and DVM20 simulations without

nearshore retention.

<u>Source Site Temperature</u>

Source site temperature ($T_S$) is defined as the temperature that adult urchins at the

source sites are exposed to during the reproductive period of September to November. Since

the reproductive period occurs in the fall while spawning and recruitment occurs in the

following spring, the modeling period for $T_S$ is limited to 17 years from 1996-2012. $T_S$ for the

baseline simulation of passive drifting, a static PLD, and no nearshore retention is shown in

Figure 3(g) for all five recruitment sites.  For all months and recruitment sites, $T_S$ for the baseline

simulation is above 14°C and ranges from 14.2-20.5°C. For all years except 1998, the baseline $T_S$

decreases over the reproductive period with the change in $T_S$ ranging from 0.5°C to 4°C and

averaging 2.4°C. When baseline $T_S$ at Gaviota is compared to the other recruitment sites, $T_S$ is

found to have a strong linear correlation for all sites with *r* ranging from 0.97 to 1.0. Baseline $T_S$

at Gaviota is similar in magnitude to the three the SBC sites of Ellwood, Stearns, and Anacapa

with RMSD ranging from 0.14-0.25°C. The largest difference in magnitude of baseline $T_S$ is

between Gaviota and Scripps with a RMSD of 0.49°C, reflecting the north-south temperature

gradient in the SC Bight (Figure 5(c)).

The sensitivity testing of $T_S$ to PLD shows strong agreement for simulation pairs with

static and dynamic PLD for all recruitment sites. For the six simulation pairs tested for PLD, the

RMSD ranges from 0.02-0.22°C with a mean of 0.11°C, and the *r* ranges from 0.99-1.00 with a

mean of 1.00. These results suggest that $T_S$ is largely insensitive to PLD.

Testing the sensitivity of $T_S$ to nearshore retention shows strong linear correlations for all

simulation pairs and recruitment sites with $r$ ranging from 0.91-1.00 with a mean of 0.97, but a

range of differences in magnitude. For the SBC mainland sites of Gaviota, Ellwood, and Stearns,

the sensitivity testing of $T_S$ to nearshore retention shows the weakest agreement in magnitude

for the two passive drifting simulation pairs, which produce RMSD ranging from 0.68-1.1°C with

a mean of 0.85°C, and the strongest agreement in magnitude for the four DVM20 and DVM40

simulation pairs, which produce RMSD ranging from 0.19-0.45°C with a mean of 0.29°C.  For

Anacapa, the sensitivity testing of $T_S$ to nearshore retention shows a similar but less pronounced

pattern as the SBC mainland sites with the two passive drifting simulation pairs producing RMSD

ranging from 0.43-0.45°C and the four DVM20 and DVM40 simulation pairs producing RMSD

ranging from 0.17-0.27°. For Scripps, sensitivity testing of $T_S$ to nearshore retention does not

show changes in magnitude that correlate with behavior and produces RMSD ranging from

0.38-0.52°C for all simulation pairs. Thus, $T_S$ for the SBC mainland sites and Anacapa is more

sensitive to nearshore retention for passive drifting than DVM. For Scripps, $T_S$ shows similar

levels of sensitivity to nearshore retention for passive drifting and DVM.

Testing the sensitivity of $T_S$ to behavior shows strong linear correlations for all simulation

pairs and recruitment sites with $r$ ranging from 0.86-0.99 with a mean of 0.97. Sensitivity testing

of $T_S$ to behavior shows the weakest agreement for the four simulation pairs comparing passive

drifting to DVM20 or DVM40 without nearshore retention for the SBC mainland sites, producing

RMSD ranging from 0.97-1.2°C with a mean of 1.1°C. In comparison, $T_S$ for the SBC mainland

sites show the strongest agreement for the eight simulation pairs comparing DVM20 to DVM40

with and without nearshore retention and passive drifting to DVM20 or DVM40 with nearshore

retention, producing RMSD ranging from 0.07-0.47 °C and a mean of 0.28 °C. For Scripps and

Anacapa, $T_S$ follows the same pattern as the SBC mainland sites, but shows overall stronger

agreement. $T_S$ for the four simulation pairs comparing passive drifting to DVM20 or DVM40

without nearshore retention produce RMSD ranging from 0.60-0.67°C with a mean of 0.63°C for

Anacapa and from 0.28-0.33°C with a mean of 0.31°C for Scripps. $T_S$ for the eight simulation

pairs comparing DVM20 to DVM40 with and without nearshore retention and passive drifting to

DVM20 or DVM40 with nearshore retention produce smaller RMSD ranging from 0.13-0.35°C

and a mean of 0.28°C for Anacapa and from 0.05-0.22°C and a mean of 0.15°C for Scripps. For

all sites, $T_S$ shows the weakest agreement for passive drifting and DVM simulation pairs when

nearshore retention is not present and the strongest agreement for passive drifting and DVM

simulations pairs when nearshore retention is present and for DVM20 and DVM40 simulation

pairs with and without nearshore retention.  $T_S$ for the SBC mainland sites displays higher levels

of sensitivity than for Anacapa and Scripps.

*3.2 Comparison of ocean conditions to larval recruitment index*

To determine the influence of the ocean conditions on larval recruitment, the 12

simulations for each ocean condition are linearly correlated to a larval recruitment index for all

recruitment sites. A meaningful linear correlation between an ocean condition and the larval

recruitment index should have strong agreement or high values of *r*.  A northern SC Bight

recruitment index is used for the Gaviota, Ellwood, Stearns, and Anacapa sites, which has five

poor recruitment years of 1998 and 2004-2007 and 13 average or above average recruitment

years.  A southern SC Bight recruitment index is used for the Scripps site, which has five average

and above-average recruitment years of 1996 and 1999-2002 and 13 poor recruitment years.

768   When $T_L$ and Chl are compared to the larval recruitment index for all simulations and

769 recruitment sites, only a weak and inconsistent linear correlation is found. For $T_L$, $r$ ranges from -

770 0.35 to 0.29 with a mean of -0.13 for all simulations and recruitment sites. For Chl, $r$ ranges

771 from -0.52 to 0.05 with a mean of -0.13 for all simulations and recruitment sites. These results

772 suggest that that larval temperature and larval food supply are not likely drivers of larval

773 recruitment of purple sea urchins in the SC Bight.

774   The strength of correlation between LDD simulations and the larval recruitment index

775 varies as a function of larval behavior, nearshore retention, and recruitment site. For the SBC

776 sites of Gaviota, Ellwood, Stearns, and Anacapa, the strength of correlation is related to the

777 larval behavior. For passive drifting simulations, LDD for SBC sites has the weakest correlations

778 with $r$ ranging from -0.23 to 0.24 and averaging -0.04.  For DVM20 simulations, LDD for Gaviota,

779 Ellwood, and Stearns has stronger correlations than the passive drifting simulations with $r$

780 ranging from -0.15 to -0.28 and averaging -0.23, but LDD for Anacapa has similarly weak

781 correlations as the passive drifting simulations with $r$ ranging from -0.07 to 0.0 and averaging -

782 0.02. For DVM40 simulations, LDD has the highest correlations with $r$ ranging from -0.22 to -

783 0.54 and averaging -0.33 for Gaviota, Ellwood and Stearns and with $r$ ranging from -0.18 to -0.30

784 and averaging -0.25 for Anacapa.  For Scripps, LDD has similarly strong correlations for all

785 simulations with $r$ ranging from -0.48 to -0.73 and a mean of -0.61. The negative $r$ indicates that

786 LDD is inversely proportional to the larval recruitment index, meaning that the shorter LDD is

787 correlated with higher recruitment and vice versa.

788   To display the strongest linear correlation between LDD and larval recruitment index,

789 Figure 6(a,b) shows plots of LDD versus the larval recruitment index for the simulation with

DVM40, nearshore retention, and a static PLD for Gaviota and Scripps. Gaviota and Scripps are

shown because they are recruitment sites that are farthest apart and represent the northern

versus southern ocean conditions in the SC Bight. Gaviota and Scripps have a similar $r$ of -0.53

and -0.64 respectively for this simulation, but the LDD is much larger and more variable for

Scripps with an average LDD of 151 km ± 93 km (mean ± 1 standard deviation) than for Gaviota

with an average LDD of 19 km ± 6 km. For Gaviota shown in Figure 6(a), the four poor

recruitment years (2004-2007) have larger LDDs of 28.4-31.1 km than the thirteen average to

above-average recruitment years (1996-1997, 1999-2003, 2008-2013), which have LDDs of 12.7-

17.8 km. Only 1998 deviates significantly from correlation with the smallest LDD of 10.3 km and

a very low recruitment index. The linear regression model for Gaviota, shown in Figure 6(a), is $RI$

= -0.105*LDD+2.077 where $RI$ is the larval recruitment index and LDD is in km. For Scripps

shown in Figure 6(b), all five average to above-average recruitment years (1996, 1999-2002)

correlate with small LDDs of 33-71 km. The ten show poor recruitment years (2004-2013) have

very large LDDs ranging from 210-248 km.  Three years (1997, 1998, 2003) significantly deviate

from correlation with small LDDs of 71-85 km and poor recruitment.  The linear regression

model for Scripps, shown in Figure 6(b), is $RI$ = -0.006*LDD+0.8641 where $RI$ is the larval

recruitment index and LDD is in km.

For all sites and simulations, SZS displays a positive $r$ ranging from 0.27-0.76 and

averaging 0.45 and negative $r$ ranging from -0.31 to -0.79 and averaging -0.52. The zones

optimized to produce the highest positive and negative $r$ values for all sites and simulations are

show in Table S2. The simulations with DVM20 behavior, no nearshore retention, and a static or

dynamic PLD had the highest positive and negative $r$ values, ranging from 048 to 0.70 and from -

0.53 to -0.71. To display the linear correlation between SZS and the larval recruitment index,

Figure 6(c-f) shows plots of SZS for positive and negative *r* values versus larval recruitment index

for the simulation with DVM20, no nearshore retention, and a static PLD for Gaviota and

Scripps.

For Gaviota, this simulation has a positive *r* value of 0.55 for Zones 1 and 3, which are

located along the SBC mainland and the south coast of the Northern Channel Islands, and a

negative *r* value of -0.58 for Zones 4, 8, and 9, which are located along the central SC Bight

mainland and the Southern Channel Islands (Figure 1). As shown in Figure 6(c) for Gaviota,

81%±11% (mean ± 1 standard deviation) of the particles come from zones near the SBC, where

the Gaviota site is located, in average and above-average recruitment years. In poor recruitment

years, only 50%±19% of particles come from these zones near the SBC and instead come from

the zones south of the SBC. One year, 1998, does not follow this pattern with very poor

recruitment, but a high percentage of particles coming from the zones near the SBC.  For

Gaviota, the linear regression model shown in Figure 6(c) is $RI = 0.0348*SZS-2.5005$ where $RI$ is

the larval recruitment index and $SZS$ is the SZS for positive *r* in percentage and in Figure 6(d) is

$RI = -0.0406*SZS+0.8190$ where $RI$ is the larval recruitment index and $SZS$ is the SZS for negative

*r* in percentage.

For Scripps, the simulation with DVM20, no nearshore retention, and a static or dynamic

PLD has a positive *r* value of 0.70 for Zones 6 and 7, which are located along the southern SC

Bight mainland (Figure 1). The Scripps site is located in Zone 7 and Zone 6 is directly north of

Zone 7 along the mainland coast. The simulation with DVM20, no nearshore retention, and a

static PLD for Scripps has a negative *r* value of -0.71 using Zones 4, 5, and 9, which are located in

along the central SC Bight mainland and the South Channel Islands (Figure 1). For Scripps,

80%±15 of particles come from zones on the southern SC Bight mainland, where the Scripps site

is located, in average and above-average recruitment years. In a poor recruitment year, only

35%±15% of larvae come from these zones and instead come from the zones in central SC Bight

mainland and the South Channel Islands. The linear regression model for Scripps, shown in

Figure 6(e,f), is $RI = 0.0219*SZS-1.2055$ where $RI$ is the larval recruitment index and $SZS$ is the

SZS for positive $r$ in percentage and $RI = -0.0463*SZS-0.9907$ where $RI$ is the larval recruitment

index and $SZS$ is the SZS for negative $r$ in percentage.

Out of all the ocean conditions, $T_S$ shows the highest correlation with the larval

recruitment index, producing a mean $r$ of -0.69 with a standard deviation of 0.08 for all sites and

all simulations. The negative $r$ means that high $T_S$ produces to low recruitment and that low $T_S$

produces high recruitment. For the SBC mainland sites, $T_S$ simulations that have DVM and/or

nearshore retention produce a higher correlation with $r$ ranging from -0.66 to -0.78 and

averaging -0.74 than the $T_S$ simulations that have passive drifting without nearshore retention

with $r$ ranging from -0.44 to -0.55. For Anacapa and Scripps, $T_S$ produces correlations with $r$

ranging from -0.56 to -0.80 and averaging -0.68 and where correlation strength is not connected

to a larval behavior or nearshore retention.

To display the linear correlation between $T_S$ and larval recruitment index, Figure 6(g,h)

shows plots of $T_S$ versus the larval recruitment index for the simulation with passive tracking,

nearshore retention, and a static PLD for Gaviota and Scripps. Gaviota and Scripps have a similar

$r$ of -0.73 and -0.72 for this simulation. For Gaviota, the 13 years with average to above-average

recruitment (1996-1997, 1999-2003, 2008-2013) correlate with lower $T_S$ ranging from 14.9-

856 16.6°C and averaging 16.0°C.  Three of the poor recruitment years, (1998, 2005, 2007) correlate

857 with higher $T_S$ of 17.8-18.0°C, but the poor recruitment years of 2004 and 2006 deviate from

858 the linear correlation with $T_S$ of 16.4°C and 16.5°C respectively. The linear regression model for

859 Gaviota, show in 7(g), is *RI* = -0.839\*$T_S$+13.696 where *RI* is the larval recruitment index and $T_S$ is

860 in °C. For Scripps, the 13 poor recruitment years (1997, 2003-2013) have higher $T_S$, ranging from

861 17.6-19.9°C and averaging 18.2°C. The four years (1999-2002) with average to above-average

862 recruitment have lower $T_S$ ranging from 15.9-17.6 °C and averaging 17.1°C. The linear regression

863 model for Scripps, shown in Figure 7(h), is *RI* = -0.587\*$T_S$+10.294 where *RI* is the larval

864 recruitment index and $T_S$ is in °C.

865 **4.0 Discussion**

866  The goal of this study is to assess the impacts of changes in circulation, temperature, and

867 food supply on larval recruitment of purple sea urchins. Changes in circulation are quantified

868 using LDD and SZS, which are calculated directly from particle tracks. Temperature is quantified

869 through $T_L$ and $T_S$, which are calculated from the OCM's temperature and particle tracks. Food

870 supply is quantified using Chl, which is calculated from surface chlorophyll from satellite data

871 and particle tracks. To accurately model larval recruitment, the larval life history of purple sea

872 urchins must be accurately represented in the biophysical model. Although some aspects of the

873 larval life history of purple sea urchins are known, such as the spawning and reproductive

874 periods and potential source sites, uncertainty remains for three important aspects of larval life

875 history, PLD, nearshore retention, and larval behavior.  To address this uncertainty, sensitivity of

876 the five ocean conditions to these three larval life history parameters is discussed in Section 4.1.

877 Derived from the 12 simulations with all possible combinations of larval life history parameters,

each ocean condition is then compared to a larval recruitment index to identify the potential

drivers of larval recruitment, which is discussed in Section 4.2.

*4.1 Sensitivity of ocean conditions to larval life history parameters*

PLD, the first larval life history parameter, shows very strong agreement between the

simulation pairs with static and dynamic PLDs for all five ocean conditions. This result initially

appears surprising as the static PLD at 42 days is significantly different from the dynamic PLD,

which ranges from 26-57 days with a mean of 37 days (SI Table S1). However, Simons et al.

(2013) found that particle dispersal in the SC Bight is much more sensitive to changes in particle

tracking times or PLDs when PLDs are greater than ~20 days and concluded that the changes in

particle dispersal patterns decrease with increasing PLD because the particles become more

evenly mixed over increasingly larger areas. To determine if this may be true for this study, $r$ is

calculated between the PDMs with a PLD of 42 days and the PDMs with PLDs of 1-56 days for

each month, simulation, and recruitment site, producing a total of 4,320 vectors of daily $r$ from

1-56 days. As PDMs are 2D particle density matrices, the PDMs are vectorized for a grid cell to

grid cell calculation of $r$ following the methods in Simons et al. (2013). Figure 7 displays mean $r$

$\pm$ 1 standard deviation versus PLD for all $r$ vectors and shows that there is minimal difference

between the PDMs with a 42-day PLD and PDMs with PLDs greater than ~25 days. As all ocean

conditions are derived from the particle tracks, which are used to create the PDMs, this

similarity between the PDM with a PLD of 42-days and PDMs with PLDs greater than ~25 days

explains why the ocean conditions are relatively insensitive to the static PLD of 42 days versus

the dynamic PLD of 26-57 days.  Based on these results, the following discussion of sensitivity to

nearshore retention and larval behavior does not distinguish between the simulations with

static PLD and dynamic PLD.

Three main trends are observed in the sensitivity testing that apply to both nearshore

retention and larval behavior. The first trend is that the ocean conditions representing

circulation, LDD and SZS, show much higher sensitivity than the ocean conditions representing

food supply, Chl, and temperature, $T_L$, and $T_S$, for all simulations and recruitment sites. The

second trend is that simulation pairs show similar levels of sensitivity for all ocean conditions for

the SBC mainland sites, but not for the Anacapa and Scripps sites. The third trend is that two

simulation pairs, one for nearshore retention and one for larval behavior, show the highest

sensitivity for all ocean conditions. For nearshore retention, the simulations pair is the passive

drifting simulation without nearshore retention versus the passive drifting simulation with

nearshore retention. For larval behavior, the simulation pair is the passive drifting simulation

without nearshore retention versus the DVM20 simulation without nearshore retention.

In order to compare the level of sensitivity between ocean conditions, which have

different units, RMSD for each ocean condition is normalized by dividing the RMSD by the mean

ocean condition for both simulations in the pair, producing a RMSD percentage for each

simulation pair. RMSD percentage is calculated for the nearshore retention and larval behavior

simulation pairs with the highest sensitivity and the five ocean conditions. SZS has the highest

RMSD percent for all sites and both simulation pairs, ranging from 110-210% with a mean of

174%. LDD for all sites and both simulation pairs has the next highest RMSD percent, ranging

from 30-110% with a mean of 68%. For all sites and both simulation pairs, $T_L$ and $T_S$ have the

lowest RMSD percent by 1-2 order of magnitudes compared SZS, LDD, and Chl, ranging from 2-

7% with a mean of 5%. RMSD percent for Chl falls in the middle of the ocean conditions, ranging

from 19-52% with a mean of 34%. In general, the larval behavior simulation pair has a higher

RMSD percent than the nearshore retention simulation pair.

Our results suggest that these trends are driven by the difference in circulation,

temperature, and surface chlorophyll in the northern versus the southern SC Bight. Figure 5(d)

shows the mean velocity from the OCM over the top 50 m of the water column in the SC Bight

for the recruitment period of March-June and modeling period. The top 50 m of the water

column is selected because an average of ~70% of the particles with passive drifting remain the

top 50 m of the water column during their PLD. Figure 5(a-c) displays the mean temperature

from the OCM averaged over the top 50 m of the water column for the reproductive and

recruitment periods and the mean chlorophyll from satellite data averaged over the recruitment

period. As shown in Figure 5, the circulation, temperature, and surface chlorophyll for the

regions near each recruitment site are described below.

In the northern SC Bight, the mean circulation in the SBC, where the SBC mainland sites

are located, is dominated by a cyclonic eddy, which has the strongest mean velocities in the SC

Bight up to 21 cm s$^{-1}$ and penetrates throughout the top 100 m of the water column (Dong et al.

2009; Brokaw et al. 2024). The strong eddy circulation creates a region of high residence times

and particle accumulation in the SBC (Simons et al. 2015; Simons and Catlett 2023).  The SBC is

the coldest region in the SC Bight with mean temperatures 4-5°C lower than the region east of

the Scripps site (Figure 5(b,c)). The mean temperature immediately surrounding the SBC are

~1.5°C higher than the mean temperature within the SBC. Driven by spring upwelling and high

residence times in the SBC (Simons and Catlett 2023; Brokaw et al. 2024), the mean surface

chlorophyll in the SBC is the highest in SC Bight with a maximum of 2.7 mg m$^{-3}$ and drops to low

levels of 0.1 - 1 mg m$^{-3}$ outside of the SBC.

Located on Anacapa Island on the edge of the SBC, the Anacapa site is surrounded by

the weakest mean velocities, ~3 cm s$^{-1}$, of the five recruitment sites and sits at the junction

between flows entering the SBC and the large cyclonic eddy in the central SC Bight, which both

influence transport in the region of the Anacapa site. The Anacapa site is located in the

transition zone between the SBC and the central and southern SC Bight, where strong gradients

in mean temperature and surface chlorophyll are present.

In the southern SC Bight, the circulation near the Scripps site shows southward flow

along the coastline with mean velocities up to 10 cm s$^{-1}$ and which decreases an order of

magnitude to the north and east. Unlike the SBC which has consistent mean circulation with

depth, mean circulation along the southern coastline varies with depth, displaying stronger

southward flows in the top 50 m of the water column and weaker northward flows from 50-100

m depth (Dong et al. 2009). Defined by an open coastline with a narrow shelf, circulation in the

region near the Scripps site produces shorter residence times and greater offshore dispersal

than the SBC (Dong et al. 2012).  Except for ~5 km adjacent to the coastline, the southern SC

Bight displays smaller north-south mean temperature gradients of 0.1-0.2°C per 10 km than the

area surrounding the SBC of 0.3-0.4°C per 10 km. The mean surface chlorophyll throughout the

southern SC Bight is very low from 0.1-1 mg m$^{-3}$.

To understand why the ocean conditions show different levels of sensitivity, we evaluate

the connection between circulation and particle dispersal. To quantify particle dispersal, mean

PDMs representing the three simulations used in the nearshore retention and larval behavior

simulation pairs with the highest sensitivity are displayed in Figure 2 for the Gaviota and Scripps

sites. The three simulations are (1) passive drifting without nearshore retention (Figure 2(a,d)),

(2) passive drifting with nearshore retention (Figure 2(b,e)), and (3) DVM20 without nearshore

retention (Figure 2(c,f)). All simulations shown have a static PLD. The Gaviota and Scripps sites

are selected because they reflect the different circulation in the northern SBC versus the

southern SC Bight.

When nearshore retention is included in a simulation, the number particles coming from

source sites near the recruitment site automatically increases as simulations with nearshore

retention includes all particle tracks from a source site to a recruitment site that are at or below

the PLD, e.g. PLDs less than or equal to 42 days. In comparison, simulations without nearshore

retention only include particle tracks from a source site to a recruitment site at the exact PLD,

e.g. PLDs equal 42 days. The increase in particle concentrations near the Goleta and Scripps

sites when nearshore retention is applied to the passive drifting simulation is shown in Figure

2(a-b,d-e). As particles with shorter tracking times have a shorter dispersal distance, the LDD

decreases by an average of 47% for all sites when nearshore retention is applied to the passive

drifting simulation. For the same reason, the number particles in the source zones where

recruitment sites are located increases when nearshore retention is applied to the passive

drifting simulation. As a results, the pattern of SZS changes for all sites from being more evenly

spread throughout the 9 source zones (Figure 2(g,j)) to being dominated by the zone where the

recruitment site is located (Figure 2(h,k)). In the zone where the recruitment sites is located, the

SZS increases by an average of 44% for all sites.

When DVM is included in a simulation, particle dispersal changes as new particle tracks

are produced and as function of recruitment site location. Compared to the passive drifting

simulation, DVM decreases particle dispersal for the SBC mainland sites of Gaviota, Elwood, and

Stearns, which results in a decrease in the LDD by an average of 82% for these sites. The

decrease in particle dispersal for the Gaviota site can be seen in Figure 2(a,c). The long

residence times and containment by the topography in the SBC appears to limit particle

dispersal for particle tracks with DVM versus those with passive drifting for the SBC mainland

sites. This decrease in particle dispersal produces an increase in particle concentrations near the

SBC mainland sites and an increase in SZS by an average of 46% in Zone 1 where the

recruitment sites are located, a similar result as nearshore retention. In contrast, DVM increases

particle dispersal for the Scripps site compared to passive drifting, which results in an increase

in LDD by an average of 20%. This increases particle dispersal with DVM appears to be driven by

the open coastline and short retention times of the southern SC Bight. The increase in particle

dispersal for the Scripps site can be seen in Figure 2(d,f).  For the Scripps site, the increase in

particle dispersal from DVM decreases the SZS for the Zone 7 where the Scripps site is located

by an average of 16% and increases the SZS for Zones 6 and 8, which are adjacent to Zone7, by

an average of 5% and 6% respectively. Unlike the other recruitment sites, the Anacapa site

shows similar particle dispersal and consequently LDD and SZS for passive drifting and DVM

simulations. Compared to the other recruitment sites, the circulation in the region of the

Anacapa Island, where the Anacapa site is located, has the lowest velocities and shallowest

bathymetry, which may be restricting particle dispersal regardless of the larval behavior.

The high sensitivity of LDD and SZS to nearshore retention and larval behavior have

important implications for the use of larval connectivity calculated from biophysical models for

scientific research as well as policy decisions for environmental protection (Cowen and

Sponaugle 2009; Metaxas and Saunders 2009; Swearer et al. 2019). These results show that

selection of larval life history parameters can dramatically change the location of predicted

larval source sites by 100s of kilometers. Thus, larval connectivity predictions that are based on

a single set of larval life history parameters could provide misleading information for policy

decisions, such as those for marine protected areas. For this reason, our study shows the

importance of sensitivity studies for larval life history parameters and validating larval transport

and connectivity with field observations, such as larval recruitment.

The ocean conditions representing temperature, $T_L$ and $T_S$, show the lowest sensitivity to

nearshore retention and larval behavior. $T_L$ is calculated from particle tracks and OCM

temperature, while $T_S$ is calculated from SZS and OCM temperature. Although both nearshore

retention and larval behavior produce significant changes in particle dispersal, temperature is

relatively consistent over the horizontal scale of the changes in particle dispersal. This can be

seen by comparing the areas of high particle concentrations in the mean PDMs (Figure 2(a-f))

with the mean temperature for the recruitment and reproductive periods in Figure 5(b,c)).  As a

result, RMSD for $T_L$ and $T_S$ for all recruitment sites is relatively small, ranging from 0.49-0.99°C

and 0.31-1.1°C respectively for the simulation pairs comparing passive drifting with and without

nearshore retention and passive drifting and DVM20 without nearshore retention. It is

important to note that although the RMSD for $T_L$ and $T_S$ are small relative to their absolute

values, a difference of ~1°C could have be important if the temperature is near a threshold that

is detrimental for larval development or survival.

The ocean condition representing food supply, Chl, shows higher sensitivity than $T_L$ and

$T_S$ and lower sensitivity than SZS and LDD for all recruitment sites. Chl is calculated directly from

particle tracks and surface chlorophyll from satellite data. The highest overall sensitivity for Chl

comes from the Gaviota, Ellwood, and Stearns sites for simulation pair comparing passive

drifting to DVM20 without nearshore retention. As the highest Chl in the SC Bight is confined to

the SBC (Figure 5(a)), Chl will increase when DVM limits particle dispersal to the SBC region for

Gaviota, Ellwood, and Stearns sites (Figure 2(c)). In contrast, Chl will be much less sensitive to

changing particle dispersal in southern SC Bight where Chl is low throughout the region.

In summary, the higher sensitivity of LDD and SZS to nearshore retention and

larval behavior than $T_L$, $T_S$, and Chl (trend 1) appears to be driven by the fact that circulation and

subsequently particle dispersal in the SC Bight are much more horizontally variable than

temperature and surface chlorophyll. As LDD and SZS are derived directly from particle tracks

driven by circulation, they are more sensitive to the spatial changes in circulation than $T_L$, $T_S$,

and Chl, which are derived from particle tracks and temperature and surface chlorophyll. All

ocean conditions show similar levels of sensitivity for the SBC mainland sites of Gaviota,

Ellwood, and Stearns (trend 2). This trend appears to be driven by the strong consistent

circulation in the SBC. When nearshore retention or DVM is included in the simulation for the

SBC mainland sites, the circulation creates a region of high particle concentrations in the SBC,

which produces similar changes in ocean conditions for all three recruitment sites. Due to the

variable circulation and retention near the Anacapa and Scripps sites, the addition of nearshore

retention or DVM to the simulation for these sites does not produce changes in ocean

conditions consistent with the other recruitment sites. All ocean conditions appear to be the

most sensitive to the two simulation pairs comparing passive drifting without retention to

passive drifting with retention and to DVM20 without retention (trend 3). This result is driven by

changes in particle dispersal, which are much greater when nearshore retention or DVM is

added to simulations with passive drifting behavior and no nearshore retention than when both

simulations have nearshore retention and/or DVM behavior.

*4.2 Identifying the ocean conditions that drive of larval recruitment*

Circulation, food supply, and temperature are discussed as potential drivers of larval

recruitment of purple sea urchins in the SC Bight. Ocean conditions are considered potential

drivers of interannual changes in larval recruitment if a strong correlation is found between the

ocean condition and the larval recruitment index for one or more simulations and recruitment

sites. We found that $T_S$ had the strongest correlation for all simulations, LDD and SZS had the

second strongest correlation for a subset of simulations, and Chl and $T_L$ had no correlation for all

simulations.

The ocean conditions representing circulation, LDD and SZS, displayed both weak and

strong correlations with the larval recruitment index depending on the simulation. The

simulations with the strongest correlations for LDD and SZS include DVM and/or nearshore

retention. For these strongly correlated simulations, LDD is found to be inversely proportional to

the larval recruitment index for all recruitment sites, which implies that larval dispersal occurs

over a smaller area in the good recruitment years than in the poor recruitment years. For SZS,

the strongly correlated simulations show that a higher percentage of larvae come from the

zones containing and adjacent to the recruitment site in the good recruitment years than the

poor recruitment years. From these results, we hypothesize that circulation in the SC Bight is

different between the good versus poor recruitment years, but that larval recruitment is only

connected to the circulation when the larvae have behavior, such as DVM, that limits their

dispersal to the region near the recruitment sites. Although this study only compares passive

drifting to two simple DVM patterns, urchin larvae in the SC Bight may performing a different

type of behavior that also reduces larval dispersal.

Chl is used as a proxy for larval food supply in the SC Bight because it represents amount

of phytoplankton present, which is food source for sea urchin larvae. The correlation between

Chl and the recruitment index is very low and inconsistent in both magnitude and sign across all

simulations, implying that Chl is not driving the interannual changes in larval recruitment.  For

all sites, the lowest values of Chl occur during both a very high recruitment year (2000) and a

very low recruitment years (1998), implying that larvae are not food limited in the SC Bight even

in years with lower primary production. It is important to note that of the five ocean conditions

studied, Chl has the most uncertainty as it is based on surface chlorophyll and does not account

for vertical changes chlorophyll.

In prior work, Okamoto et al. (2020) analyzed 27-year larval recruitment datasets of

purple sea urchins in the northern and southern SC Bight and found that recruitment was

negatively correlated with sea surface temperature at the recruitment sites and positively

correlated with the multivariate ENSO index (MEI), which tracks El Niño and La Niña events in

the Pacific Ocean. Throughout the SC Bight, high temperatures and El Niño events were

observed to correspond with low larval recruitment.  Okamoto et al. (2020) found that

recruitment was highly synchronous within the northern and southern SC Bight regions,

implying that recruitment was being driven by regional trends in oceanography and not by local

conditions at the recruitment sites.

The ocean conditions that represent temperature are $T_L$ and $T_S$. Although Okamoto et al

(2020) found that sea surface temperature was a linearly correlation with larval recruitment, $T_L$

and $T_S$ show extremely different correlations. $T_L$ is not correlated with the larval recruitment

index for all sites and simulations, while $T_S$ shows a very strong correlation with the larval

recruitment index for all sites and simulations. These results imply that $T_S$ is likely driving the

interannual changes in larval recruitment, but $T_L$ is not. To understand why $T_L$ and $T_S$ produce

divergent results, $T_L$ and $T_S$ are compared to documented thresholds for larval survival and

reproduction for the purple sea urchin in the SC Bight.

In the SC Bight, the threshold temperature for lethal conditions of purple sea urchin

larvae is ~18°C (Mustermann et al. 2025). $T_L$ for all simulations and recruitment sites is below

this lethal threshold of 18°C.  For the modeling period of 1996-2013, the highest recorded

temperatures in the SC Bight occurred during a 1997-1998 El Niño event (Lynn and Bograd

2002; McClatchie et al. 2016). However, during the 1998 recruitment period, $T_L$ did not come

close to the lethal level during this time with maximum $T_L$ of 15.9°C for all simulations and

recruitment sites. Figure 8 shows the mean seasonal temperature cycle at the Gaviota and

Scripps recruitment sites using temperatures from the OCM averaged over the 18-year

modeling period. These recruitment sites represent temperature extremes in SC Bight with

Gaviota in the cool northern region and Scripps in the warm southern region. The recruitment

period of March through June shows mean monthly temperatures at Gaviota ranging from 12.0-

14.3°C and Scripps ranging from 14.4-16.6°C. The dashed lines from March-June in Figure 8

represent the temperatures at Gaviota and Scripps during the 1998 El Niño event, which range

from 12.9-16.6°C and show that even during a strong El Niño event, the temperatures during

the recruitment period do not vary significantly from the mean annual cycle. Thus, strong El

Niño events, although known for producing high temperatures, appear to have minimal effect

on temperatures in the SC Bight during the recruitment period. In addition, $r$ calculated

between $T_L$ and MEI for the recruitment period ranges from 0.07-0.27 with a mean for 0.18 for

all simulations and recruitment sites, showing that ENSO events in general are not strongly

correlated with temperature in the SC Bight during the recruitment period. Since warming

produced by marine heatwaves during the recruitment period does not raise $T_L$ above the lethal

limit for urchin larvae, $T_L$ is most likely not driver of larval recruitment for purple sea urchins in

the SC Bight.

Okamoto et al. (2025) evaluated the impact of temperature on the reproduction of

purple sea urchins and found that temperatures greater than about 18°C suppressed female

reproduction.  For the simulations with DVM and/or nearshore retention, $T_S$ for SBC mainland

displays colder values clearly below the threshold of 18°C for good recruitment years, averaging

15.5-16.3°C per simulation, and warmer values close to the threshold for the poor recruitment

years, averaging 17.2-17.8°C per simulation. For Anacapa and Scripps, the difference $T_S$ between

the good and poor recruitment years is smaller, which average 16.3-17.2°C and 17.6-18.4°C per

simulation respectively, but still shows that the good recruitment years are colder below

threshold of 18°C and the poor recruitment years are warmer near or above the threshold.

These results imply that $T_S$ is a likely driver of larval recruitment and that recruitment along the

SBC mainland is more strongly tied to $T_S$ than recruitment near Anacapa Island and the southern

SC Bight mainland.

The SBC mainland sites display the strongest correlation between $T_S$ and the larval

recruitment index for the simulations with DVM and/or nearshore retention. DVM and

nearshore retention both increase the concentrations of particles in Zone 1, where the SBC

mainland sites are located, which consequently increases the SZS for Zone 1 and more heavily

weights $T_S$ by the temperature close to the recruitment sites. This result implies that for the SBC

mainland sites, larval sources in near the recruitment sites are more likely driving recruitment at

these sites than larval sources in zones farther away from the recruitment sites. For the

Anacapa and Scripps sites, the inclusion of DVM and nearshore retention in the simulations did

not produce a higher correlation between $T_S$ and the larval recruitment index.  As DVM and

nearshore retention did not produce consistently higher concentrations of particles near the

Anacapa and Scripps sites, this suggests that the larval sources in the zone nearest these sites

are not more important in driving larval recruitment than the larval sources in zones farther

from these sites.

Okamoto et al. (2020) also found that the larval recruitment index correlated strongly

with MEI. To explore this correlation, $r$ is calculated for $T_S$ and mean MEI for the reproductive

period. $T_S$ and mean MEI are found have a strong positive linear relationship with $r$ ranging from

0.63-0.82 with a mean of 0.81 for all simulations and sites. These results suggests that El Niño

events, represented by a positive MEI, are correlated with high $T_S$ and poor recruitment. The

strongest El Niño event of the modeling period occurred from July 1997 to June 1998 with a

mean MEI of 2.1. In Figure 8, the dashed lines from September to November represent the

temperatures at the Gaviota and Scripps sites during the 1997 reproductive period and show

that the temperatures in the SC Bight were significantly higher than the annual average. Figure

9(a) shows the larval recruitment index as a function of $T_S$ versus MEI for the simulation with

passive drifting, nearshore retention, and a static PLD for all recruitment sites.  The data in

Figure 9(a) suggests that $T_S$ greater than ~17.5°C and MEI greater than ~-0.5 are likely to

produce low larval recruitment. Figure 9(b) shows the larval recruitment index as a function of

$T_S$ versus SZS for the zones that are nearest the recruitment size for the simulation with DVM20,

no nearshore retention, and a static PLD for all recruitment sites.  The data in Figure 9(b)

suggests that for good recruitment, $T_S$ is less than ~17°C, and the SZS for the zones nearest the

recruitment site is greater than ~60%. In Figure 9(b), 1998 for the SBC mainland sites is an

outlier as it is a poor recruitment year, but shows high SZS for the zones near the recruitment

sites. $T_S$ in 1997 is the highest temperature of the 18-yr modeling period, ranging from 18.3-

19.5°C, and the only year where $T_S$ does not decrease over the reproductive period. Thus for

1998, we hypothesize that although the circulation in the SBC correlated with good recruitment,

the high temperatures during the 1997 reproductive period reduced larval supply in 1998 to the

extent that circulation is no longer a factor in recruitment.

1176                    In summary, $T_S$ is hypothesized to be a primary driver of the interannual variation

in larval recruitment of purple sea urchins in the SC Bight. Our results suggest that mean $T_S$ over

the three-month reproductive period greater than 17-18°C produces poor recruitment by

suppressing reproduction in adult sea urchins at the source sites, which is supported by

experimental data from Okamoto et al. (2025). Circulation, through LDD and SZS, is found to be

a potential driver of larval recruitment if the larvae have behavior that allows them to remain

within an average distance of ~25 km from a recruitment site in the SBC and an average

distance of ~100 km from a recruitment site in the southern SC Bight. As $T_S$ also had a stronger

correlation with larval recruitment for the simulations that included larval life history

parameters that limited dispersal distance, we hypothesize that urchin larvae in the SC Bight are

likely behaving in a way which reduces their dispersal distance and consequently that urchin

larvae are more likely to come from sources near the recruitment sites. According to Swearer et

al. (2019), more than half the studies published over the past 15 years used passive drifting

behavior with no species-defining larval life history and the many of the remaining studies used

only one larval life history trait.  As much of the larval life history of marine species is unknown,

our study shows that testing the sensitivity of biophysical models to larval life history

parameters can help determine which parameters most influence the results and should be

further studied.

In addition, we found that $T_S$ during the reproductive period of September to November

is likely an important driver of larval recruitment but $T_L$ during the recruitment period of March

to June is not, even in years with strong El Nino events. This suggests that the timing of species

recruitment and reproduction is a critical factor in predicting the impact of temperature on

larval recruitment. Also, we hypothesize that marine heatwaves which occur during time of year

when temperatures are at their annual maximum are more likely to produce temperatures high

enough to negatively impact recruitment and reproduction than those which occur during the

time of year when temperatures are at their annual minimum. Species that recruit or reproduce

during the time of year when the system temperatures are at their annual maximum are more

susceptible to marine heat waves than those that recruit or reproduce at other times of the

year.  As the frequency of marine heatwaves increase (Sydeman et al. 2014; Di Lorenzo and

Mantua 2016; Bograd et al. 2023), understanding the relationship between the timing of marine

heatwaves and species recruitment and reproduction becomes even more important.

1207

1208    **Acknowledgements**

1209    This research was supported by the National Science Foundation Biological Oceanography

1210    Program (OCE-2023693).

1211

**References**

Bandara, K., Ø. Varpe, L. Wijewardene, V. Tverberg, and K. Eiane. 2021. Two hundred years of

zooplankton vertical migration research. Biol. Rev. **96**: 1547–1589.

doi:10.1111/brv.12715

Bell, T. W., K. C. Cavanaugh, D. C. Reed, and D. A. Siegel. 2015. Geographical variability in the

controls of giant kelp biomass dynamics. J. Biogeogr. **42**: 2010–2021.

doi:10.1111/jbi.12550

Bograd, S. J. and others. 2023. Climate change impacts on Eastern Boundary Upwelling Systems.

Ann Rev Mar Sci **15**: 303–328. doi:10.1146/annurev-marine-032122-021945

Botsford, L. W., S. R. Wing, and J. L. Largier. 1998. Population dynamics and management

implications of larval dispersal. South Afr. J. Mar. Sci. **19**: 131–142.

doi:10.2989/025776198784126845

Brokaw, R. J., D. A. Siegel, and L. Washburn. 2024. Physical Drivers of Surface Water Retention in

the Santa Barbara Channel. J. Geophys. Res. Oceans **129**: e2024JC021246.

doi:10.1029/2024JC021246

Cohen, J. H., and R. B. Forward. 2009. Zooplankton diel vertical migration — a review of

proximate control, p. 77–110. *In* J.D.M. Gordon, R.J.A. Atkinson, and R.N. Gibson [eds.],

Oceanography and marine biology: an annual review: Volume 47. Taylor & Francis.

Cowen, R. K., and S. Sponaugle. 2009. Larval dispersal and marine population connectivity. Annu

Rev Mar Sci **1**: 443–466. doi:10.1146/annurev.marine.010908.163757

Di Lorenzo, E., and N. Mantua. 2016. Multi-year persistence of the 2014/15 North Pacific marine

heatwave. Nat. Clim. Change **6**: 1042–1047. doi:10.1038/nclimate3082

Doll, P. C., C. F. Caballes, A. S. Hoey, S. Uthicke, S. D. Ling, and M. S. Pratchett. 2022. Larval

Settlement in Echinoderms: A Review of Processes and Patterns, p. 433–494. *In*

Oceanography and Marine Biology: An Annual Review, Volume 60. CRC Press.

Doney, S. C. and others. 2012. Climate Change Impacts on Marine Ecosystems. Annu. Rev. Mar.

Sci. **4**: 11–37. doi:10.1146/annurev-marine-041911-111611

Dong, C. M. and others. 2012. Three-dimensional oceanic eddy analysis in the Southern

California Bight from a numerical product. J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans **117**.

doi:10.1029/2011jc007354

Dong, C. M., E. Y. Idica, and J. C. McWilliams. 2009. Circulation and multiple-scale variability in

the Southern California Bight. Prog. Oceanogr. **82**: 168–190.

doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2009.07.005

Dong, C. M., and J. C. McWilliams. 2007. A numerical study of island wakes in the Southern

California Bight. Cont. Shelf Res. **27**: 1233–1248. doi:10.1016/j.csr.2007.01.016

Dong, C. M., J. C. McWilliams, A. Hall, and M. Hughes. 2011. Numerical simulation of a synoptic

event in the Southern California Bight. J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans **116**: C05018.

doi:10.1029/2010jc006578

Estes, J. A., and D. O. Duggins. 1995. Sea Otters and Kelp Forests in Alaska: Generality and

Variation in a Community Ecological Paradigm. Ecol. Monogr. **65**: 75–100.

doi:10.2307/2937159

Filbee-Dexter, K., and R. Scheibling. 2014. Sea urchin barrens as alternative stable states of

collapsed kelp ecosystems. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. **495**: 1–25. doi:10.3354/meps10573

Hagerty, M., N. Reyns, and J. Pineda. 2018. Constrained nearshore larval distributions and

thermal stratification. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. **595**: 105–122. doi:10.3354/meps12561

Henderikx Freitas, F., D. A. Siegel, S. Maritorena, and E. Fields. 2017. Satellite assessment of

particulate matter and phytoplankton variations in the Santa Barbara Channel and its

surrounding waters: Role of surface waves. J. Geophys. Res. Oceans **122**: 355–371.

doi:10.1002/2016JC012152

Hickey, B. M. 1993. Chapter 2. Physical Oceanography, p. 19–70. *In* M.D. Dailey, D.J. Reish, and

J.W. Anderson [eds.], Ecology of the Southern California Bight. University of California

Press.

Kahru, M., R. M. Kudela, C. R. Anderson, and B. G. Mitchell. 2015. Optimized merger of ocean

chlorophyll algorithms of MODIS-Aqua and VIIRS. IEEE Geosci. Remote Sens. Lett. **12**:

2282–2285. doi:10.1109/LGRS.2015.2470250

Kahru, M., R. M. Kudela, M. Manzano-Sarabia, and B. Greg Mitchell. 2012. Trends in the surface

chlorophyll of the California Current: Merging data from multiple ocean color satellites.

Deep Sea Res Part II Top Stud Ocean. **77–80**: 89–98. doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2012.04.007

Lafferty, K. D. 2004. Fishing for lobsters indirectly increases epidemics in sea urchins. Ecol. Appl.

**14**: 1566–1573. doi:10.1890/03-5088

Lynn, R. J., and S. J. Bograd. 2002. Dynamic evolution of the 1997–1999 El Niño–La Niña cycle in

the southern California Current System. Prog. Oceanogr. **54**: 59–75. doi:10.1016/S0079-

6611(02)00043-5

Lynn, R. J., and J. J. Simpson. 1987. The California Current system: The seasonal variability of its

physical characteristics. J. Geophys. Res. **92**: 12947. doi:10.1029/JC092iC12p12947

Mann, K. H., and P. A. Breen. 1972. The Relation Between Lobster Abundance, Sea Urchins, and

Kelp Beds. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. **29**: 603–605. doi:10.1139/f72-104

McClatchie, S., M. G. Jacox, M. D. Ohman, L. M. Sala, and R. Goericke. 2016. State of the

California Current 2015–16: Comparisons with the 1997–98 El Nino. Calif. Coop. Fish.

Invest. Rep. **57**: 5–61.

Metaxas, A. 2020. Larval ecology of echinoids, p. 77–93. *In* Developments in Aquaculture and

Fisheries Science. Elsevier.

Metaxas, A., and M. Saunders. 2009. Quantifying the "Bio-" components in biophysical models

of larval transport in marine benthic invertebrates: advances and pitfalls. Biol. Bull. **216**:

257–272. doi:10.2307/25548159

Mitarai, S., D. A. Siegel, J. R. Watson, C. Dong, and J. C. McWilliams. 2009. Quantifying

connectivity in the coastal ocean with application to the Southern California Bight. J.

Geophys. Res.-Oceans **114**: C10026. doi:10.1029/2008JC005166

Morgan, S. G. 2001. The larval ecology of marine communities, p. 159–181. *In* M.D. Bertness,

S.D. Gaines, and M.E. Hay [eds.], Marine community ecology. Sinauer Associates.

Morgan, S. G., J. L. Fisher, S. H. Miller, S. T. McAfee, and J. L. Largier. 2009. Nearshore larval

retention in a region of strong upwelling and recruitment limitation. Ecology **90**: 3489–

3502. doi:10.1890/08-1550.1

Morgan, S. G., S. H. Miller, M. J. Robart, and J. L. Largier. 2018. Nearshore Larval Retention and

Cross-Shelf Migration of Benthic Crustaceans at an Upwelling Center. Front. Mar. Sci. **5**:

161. doi:10.3389/fmars.2018.00161

Mustermann, M. J., S. Karelitz, R. Ferraro, L. Rogers-Bennett, R. D. Simons, and D. K. Okamoto.

2025. Food limitation erodes the thermal tolerance of larvae in an ecosystem engineer.

Ecology **In Review**.

Myers, R. A. 1998. When do environment–recruitment correlations work? Rev. Fish Biol. Fish. **8**:

285–305. doi:10.1023/A:1008828730759

Nishimoto, M. M., R. D. Simons, and M. S. Love. 2019. Offshore oil production platforms as

potential sources of larvae to coastal shelf regions off southern California. Bull. Mar. Sci.

**95**: 535–558. doi:10.5343/bms.2019.0033

Ohlmann, J. C., and S. Mitarai. 2010. Lagrangian assessment of simulated surface current

dispersion in the coastal ocean. Geophys. Res. Lett. **37**: L17602.

doi:10.1029/2010GL044436

Okamoto, D. K., S. C. Schroeter, and D. C. Reed. 2020. Effects of ocean climate on spatiotemporal

variation in sea urchin settlement and recruitment. Limnol. Oceanogr. **65**: 2076–2091.

doi:10.1002/lno.11440

Okamoto, D. K., N. B. Spindel, B. Collicutt, M. J. Mustermann, S. Karelitz, and Gimenez. 2025.

Thermal suppression of gametogenesis explains historical collapses in larval recruitment.

Ecology **In Review**.

Otero, M., and D. Siegel. 2004. Spatial and temporal characteristics of sediment plumes and

phytoplankton blooms in the Santa Barbara Channel. Deep Sea Res. Part II Top. Stud.

Oceanogr. **51**: 1129–1149. doi:10.1016/S0967-0645(04)00104-3

Page, H. 2019. Distribution and potential larval connectivity of the non-native Watersipora

(Bryozoa) among harbors, offshore oil platforms, and natural reefs. Aquat. Invasions **14**:

615–637. doi:10.3391/ai.2019.14.4.04

Paris, C., L. Chérubin, and R. Cowen. 2007. Surfing, spinning, or diving from reef to reef: effects

on population connectivity. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. **347**: 285–300. doi:10.3354/meps06985

Pineda, J., J. Hare, and S. Sponaugle. 2007. Larval transport and dispersal in the coastal ocean

and consequences for population connectivity. Oceanogr **20**: 22–39.

doi:10.5670/oceanog.2007.27

Pineda, J., F. Porri, V. Starczak, and J. Blythe. 2010. Causes of decoupling between larval supply

and settlement and consequences for understanding recruitment and population

connectivity. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. **392**: 9–21. doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2010.04.008

Rogers-Bennett, L., and C. A. Catton. 2019. Marine heat wave and multiple stressors tip bull kelp

forest to sea urchin barrens. Sci. Rep. **9**: 15050. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-51114-y

Rogers-Bennett, L., and D. Okamoto. 2020. Mesocentrotus franciscanus and Strongylocentrotus

purpuratus, p. 593–608. *In* Developments in Aquaculture and Fisheries Science. Elsevier.

Schroeter, S. C., J. D. Dixon, T. Ebert, and J. Richards. 2017. SBC LTER: Time series of settlement

of urchins and other

invertebrates.doi:10.6073/PASTA/A7BA12263D75BE25CE526A2E20B0E6F3

Shanks, A. L. and others. 2020. Marine heat waves, climate change, and failed spawning by

coastal invertebrates. Limnol. Oceanogr. **65**: 627–636. doi:10.1002/lno.11331

Simons, R. D., and D. Catlett. 2023. Regulation of a surface chlorophyll hotspot by wind-driven

upwelling and eddy circulation in the Santa Barbara Channel, Southern California. Prog.

Oceanogr. **217**: 103096. doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2023.103096

Simons, R. D., M. M. Nishimoto, L. Washburn, K. S. Brown, and D. A. Siegel. 2015. Linking

kinematic characteristics and high concentrations of small pelagic fish in a coastal

mesoscale eddy. Deep Sea Res Part Ocean. Res Pap. **100**: 34–47.

doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2015.02.002

Simons, R. D., H. M. Page, S. Zaleski, R. Miller, J. E. Dugan, D. M. Schroeder, and B. Doheny. 2016.

The effects of anthropogenic structures on habitat connectivity and the potential spread

of non-native invertebrate species in the offshore environment M. (Gee) G. Chapman

[ed.]. PLOS ONE **11**: e0152261. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0152261

Simons, R. D., D. A. Siegel, and K. S. Brown. 2013. Model sensitivity and robustness in the

estimation of larval transport: A study of particle tracking parameters. J. Mar. Syst. **119**:

19–29. doi:10.1016/J.Jmarsys.2013.03.004

Stige, L., M. Hunsicker, K. Bailey, N. Yaragina, and J. Hunt GL. 2013. Predicting fish recruitment

from juvenile abundance and environmental indices. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. **480**: 245–261.

doi:10.3354/meps10246

Swearer, S. E., E. A. Treml, and J. S. Shima. 2019. A Review of Biophysical Models of Marine

Larval Dispersal, p. 325–356. *In* S.J. Hawkins, A.L. Allcock, A.E. Bates, L.B. Firth, I.P. Smith,

S.E. Swearer, and P.A. Todd [eds.], Oceanography and Marine Biology. CRC Press.

Sydeman, W. J., M. García-Reyes, D. S. Schoeman, R. R. Rykaczewski, S. A. Thompson, B. A. Black,

and S. J. Bograd. 2014. Climate change and wind intensification in coastal upwelling

ecosystems. Science **345**: 77–80. doi:10.1126/science.1251635

Tegner, M., and P. Dayton. 1991. Sea urchins, El Ninos, and the long term stability of Southern

California kelp forest communities. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. **77**: 49–63.

doi:10.3354/meps077049

Underwood, A. J., and M. J. Keough. 2001. Supply-side ecology: the nature and consequences of

variations in recruitment of intertidal organisms, p. 183–200. *In* M.D. Bertness, S.D.

Gaines, and M.E. Hay [eds.], Marine community ecology. Sinauer Associates.

Watson, J. R., S. Mitarai, D. A. Siegel, J. E. Caselle, C. Dong, and J. C. McWilliams. 2010. Realized

and potential larval connectivity in the southern California bight. Mar Ecol Prog Ser **401**:

31–48. doi:10.3354/meps08376

White, J. W. and others. 2019. Connectivity, Dispersal, and Recruitment: Connecting Benthic

Communities and the Coastal Ocean. Oceanography **32**: 50–59.

doi:10.5670/oceanog.2019.310

Winant, C. D., E. P. Dever, and M. C. Hendershott. 2003. Characteristic patterns of shelf

circulation at the boundary between central and southern California. J. Geophys. Res.

Oceans **108**: 3021. doi:10.1029/2001JC001302

Yamhure, G. M., N. Reyns, and J. Pineda. 2021. High Larval Concentrations and Onshore

Transport of Barnacle Cyprids Associated With Thermal Stratification. Front. Mar. Sci. **8**:

748389. doi:10.3389/fmars.2021.748389

1379

1380

**Figures**

1382

1383
Figure 1:  Southern California Bight: study area and model domain.  Black diamonds are the 5
larval recruitment sites: Gaviota, Ellwood, Stearns, Anacapa, and Scripps.  Green and yellow
coastline locations are the nine source zones, which are identified by the black numbers: (1)
mainland of the Santa Barbara Channel, (2) north side of the North Channel Islands, (3) south
side of the North Channel Islands, (4-7) groups of contiguous source sites along the central and
southern mainland of the Southern California Bight, (8) Catalina Island, and (9) three South
Channel Islands
1391
1392
1393

1394
Figure 2: (a-f) Mean particle density maps (PDMs) and (g-l) mean source zone strength (SZS) for
three following simulations with static PLDs: (1) passive drifting without nearshore retention for
Gaviota (a,g) and for Scripps (d,j), (2) passive drifting with nearshore retention for Gaviota (b,h)
and Scripps (e,k), and (3) DVM20 without nearshore retention for Gaviota (c,f) and for Scripps
(f,l). PDMs and SZS have been averaged over all months of the modeling period (1996-2013).
PDMs are plotted on a log scale.
1401

1402

Figure 3:  Ocean conditions for the simulation with passive drifting behavior, no nearshore

retention, and static PLD (S1) and the simulation with passive drifting behavior, nearshore

retention, and static PLD (S2):  (a) LDD (km) for S1, (b) LDD (km) for S2, (c) Chl (mg m$^{-3}$) for S1,

(d) Chl (mg m$^{-3}$) for S2, (e) $T_L$ (°C) for S1, (f) $T_L$ (°C) for S2, (g) $T_S$ (°C) for S1, and (h) $T_S$ (°C) for S2.

LDD, Chl, and $T_L$ are shown for the larval recruitment period of March-June.  $T_S$ is shown for the

reproductive period of September-November.  Gaviota is the yellow line.  Ellwood is the dark

blue line. Stearns is the red line.  Anacapa is the light blue line.  Scripps is the black line.

1410

1411

1412

1413

1414

1415

Figure 4: SZS for two simulations. The simulation with passive drifting behavior, no nearshore retention, and a static PLD for (a) Gaviota, (b) Ellwood, (c) Stearns, (d) Anacapa, and (e) Scripps, and the simulation with passive drifting behavior, nearshore retention, and a static PLD for (f) Gaviota, (g) Ellwood, (h) Stearns, (i) Anacapa, and (j) Scripps. SZS is shown for the recruitment period of March-June. Units for SZS is in percentage of particles. Zones 1-9 are shown in Figure 1.

1429
Figure 5: (a) Mean surface chlorophyll-a (mg m$^{-3}$) from satellite data for the recruitment period,
(b) mean temperature (°C) averaged over top 50 m of water column for the recruitment period,
(c) mean temperature (°C) averaged over top 50 m of water column for the reproductive period,
and (d) mean velocity (cm s$^{-1}$) averaged over the top 50 m of the water column for the
recruitment period.  All data is averaged over the modeling period of 1996-2013.  The
recruitment period is from March to June.  The reproductive period is from September to
November.
1437

1438
Figure 6: LDD (km) versus the larval recruitment index for the simulation with DVM40 behavior,
nearshore retention, and a static PLD for (a) Gaviota and (b) Scripps. SZS (%) versus the larval
recruitment index for the simulation with DVM20 behavior, no nearshore retention, and a static
PLD for positive $r$ for (c) Gaviota and (e) Scripps and for negative $r$ for (d) Gaviota and (f) Scripps.
$T_S$ (°C) versus the larval recruitment index for the simulation with passive drifting behavior,
nearshore retention, and a static PLD for (g) Gaviota and (h) Scripps.  Each solid black circle
represents one year.  The solid black line shows the linear regression for all years.

1446
1447 Figure 7: Pearson's *r* versus PLD (days). *r* is calculated between the particle density map (PDM)
1448 with a PLD of 42 days and the PDMs with PLDs of 1-56 days for all simulations, recruitment sites,
1449 and months of the modeling period.
1450

1451
1452 Figure 8: Mean annual temperature cycle ± 1 standard deviation (°C) at 5m depth for Gaviota
1453 (red) and Scripps (blue). Dashed lines represent the reproductive period (September-
1454 November) for 1997 and the recruitment period (March-June) for 1998. Temperature comes
1455 from the ocean circulation model and is averaged over the modeling period of 1996-2013.
1456
1457
1458

1459
1460 Figure 9: Larval recruitment index as a function of (a) $T_S$ (°C) and MEI for the simulation with
1461 passive drifting behavior, nearshore retention, and a static PLD and (b) $T_S$ (°C) and total SZS (%)
1462 for the three zones nearest the recruitment site for the simulation with DVM20 behavior, no
1463 nearshore retention, and a static PLD. A circle represents one year. Data from all recruitment
1464 sites are displayed.
1465
1466
1467