Genome-wide screen for deficiencies modifying *Cyclin G*-induced developmental instability in *Drosophila melanogaster*

Valérie Ribeiro[1], Marco Da Costa[1], Delphine Dardalhon-Cuménal [1], Camille A. Dupont[1],

Jean-Michel Gibert[1], Emmanuèle Mouchel-Vielh[1], Hélène Thomassin[1], Neel B. Randsholt[1],

Vincent Debat[3*] and Frédérique Peronnet[1*]

[1] Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Inserm, Développement Adaptation et Vieillissement, Dev2A,

F75005 Paris, France

[2] Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Inserm, Institut de Biologie Paris-Seine, IBPS, F75005 Paris,

France

[3] Institut de Systématique, Evolution, Biodiversité (ISYEB), Muséum national d'Histoire

naturelle, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, EPHE, Université des Antilles CP 50, 57 rue Cuvier,

75005 Paris, France

* Correspondence:

Co-last and co-corresponding Author

frederique.peronnet@sorbonne-universite.fr

vincent.debat@mnhn.fr

Keywords: developmental instability, Drosophila, fluctuating asymmetry (FA),

Cyclin G, genetic screen.

ABSTRACT

In spite of long-lasting interest and research efforts, the genetic bases of developmental

stability – the robustness to developmental noise – and its most commonly used estimator,

fluctuating asymmetry (FA), remain poorly understood. The *Drosophila melanogaster*

Cyclin G gene (*CycG*) encodes a transcriptional cyclin that regulates growth and the cell

cycle. Over-expression of a potentially more stable isoform of the protein (deleted of a PEST-

rich domain, hereafter called CycG$^{\Delta P}$) induces extreme wing size and shape FA (*i.e.* high

developmental noise), indicating a major disruption of developmental stability. Previous
attempts to identify the genetic bases of FA have been impeded by developmental stability
itself, *i.e.* by the constitutively low level of developmental noise, strongly limiting the power to
detect any effect. Here, we leverage the extreme developmental instability induced by the
overexpression of $CycG^{\Delta P}$, to explore the genetic bases of FA with a considerably enhanced
power: we use this genetic context to perform a genome-wide screen for deficiencies that
enhance or reduce $CycG^{\Delta P}$-induced wing FA. 499 deficiencies uncovering about 90% of the
euchromatic genome were combined with a recombinant chromosome expressing $CycG^{\Delta P}$.
We identified 13 and 16 deficiencies that enhance and decrease FA, respectively. Analysis
of mutants for some genes located in these deficiencies shows that Cyclin G ensures
homogeneous growth of organs in synergy with the major morphogens of the wing, Dpp and
Wg, as well as the Hippo and InR/TOR pathways. They also reveal that $CycG^{\Delta P}$-induced FA
involves Larp, a potential direct interactor of Cyclin G, that regulates translation at the
mitochondrial membrane. This opens up new research perspectives for understanding
developmental stability, suggesting a significant role for mitochondrial activity in this process.

INTRODUCTION

Developmental noise results from the inherent variability of biological processes, that

operates independently of genetic or environmental factors (for a review on concepts see 1).

Developmental stability refers to the buffering of developmental noise. In bilaterians, an

estimate of developmental noise is provided by fluctuating asymmetry (FA), which refers to

slight, random deviations from perfect symmetry in paired structures, such as bilateral organs.

The genetic bases of developmental stability remain largely unknown. Investigation of

mandible size and shape FA in a population of mice has identified a few single-locus

Quantitative Loci Traits (QTLs) (2). In *Drosophila*, the wings, which have a highly stereotyped

organisation, are commonly used to measure FA, the variance in the difference between the

left and right wing in a population. Genome-wide deficiency mapping of regions responsible

for developmental noise has identified deficiencies associated with increased centroid size or

shape FA (3,4). However, the consistently low level of developmental noise - which greatly

limits the ability to detect any effects - likely explains why no attempts have been made to

identify the genes responsible for these effects within the QTLs or deficiencies.

In *Drosophila melanogaster,* the *Cyclin G* gene (*CycG*), which encodes a

transcriptional cyclin, has been implicated in developmental noise (5,6). Indeed,

overexpression of *CycG$^{\Delta P}$*, a modified version of Cyclin G that lacks the C-terminal PEST

domain and is therefore potentially more stable, induces an unprecedented high level of size

and shape FA (40-fold increase compared to control). The strongly destabilized system

induced by *CycG$^{\Delta P}$* overexpression offers a unique opportunity to investigate the genetic

bases of developmental stability. In this study we used *CycG$^{\Delta P}$* overexpression to perform a

genome-wide screen for deficiencies that enhance or reduce *CycG$^{\Delta P}$*-induced wing size FA.

495 deficiencies uncovering about 90% of the euchromatic genome were combined with a

recombinant chromosome expressing *CycG$^{\Delta P}$*. We thus identified 13 deficiencies that

enhance FA and 16 deficiencies that decrease it. Analysis of mutants for some genes located

in these deficiencies shows that Cyclin G ensures homogeneous growth of organs in synergy

with morphogens and growth control pathways. They also suggest an impact of mitochondrial

activity on developmental stability.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Genetics

Flies were raised in yeast-cornflour broth (yeast extract 75 g/L, cornflour 90 g/L, agar-

agar 10.7 g/L, methyl 4-hydroxybenzoate 5.5 g/L) at 25°C. Lines carrying deficiencies (*Df*)

were obtained from the Bloomington stock center (Supplementary Table 1). These lines have

been generated in two different w^{1118} genetic backgrounds (stocks BL-5905 and BL-6326)

that were used as negative controls (further called w^{5905} and w^{6326}, respectively). The mutant

alleles used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table 2. The *da-Gal4,UAS-CycG$^{\Delta P}$* third

chromosome, obtained by genetic recombination (5), was used as a positive control. Due to

high lethality and low fertility, this chromosome was maintained against the *TM6c,Sb*

balancer, in males, at 18°C.

In order to address the genetic interactions between *CycG* and each deficiency, 5

replicate crosses containing 6 deficiency or control females and 5 *da-Gal4*, *UAS-*

CycG$^{\Delta P}$/TM6c, Sb or *da-Gal4* males were performed. Series of experiments with up to 25

deficiencies were performed, each series including the appropriate positive and negative

controls. Care was taken to keep the crosses in standardized conditions: tubes used for a

given series were from the same batch, they were maintained at 25°C on the same incubator

floor, parents were transferred into new tubes each 48 h, three times, then discarded. The

offspring was counted and kept in 70 % ethanol. Thirty females of the desired genotype

(*Df/da-Gal4*, *UAS-CycG$^{\Delta P}$*, *+/da-Gal4*, *UAS-CycG$^{\Delta P}$*, *Df/da-Gal4* or *+/da-Gal4*) were

randomly sampled (Supplementary Figure 1).

Measurements and statistical analysis

The wings were mounted and scanned as described (5). The distance between

landmarks 3 and 13 was used to estimate wing length (5) (Supplementary Figure 1). Each

measurement was taken twice. Genetic interactions between individual genes and *CycG$^{\Delta P}$*

were tested using the same protocol.

FA of wing length was estimated using the FA10 index as previously described (5).

The nortest package was used to test normality of the difference between left- and right-wing

size (Shapiro-Wilk and Lilliefors tests) as well as skewness and kurtosis. The rare outliers

that perturbated normality, skewness (< -0.5 or > +0.5) or kurtosis (< -3 or > +3) were

eliminated from the sample (see number of individuals in Supplementary Table 3). F-tests

were performed to compare FA of the assays to the one of the series internal controls (*Df/da-*

Gal4, *UAS-CycG$^{\Delta P}$* compared to *+/da-Gal4*, *UAS-CycG$^{\Delta P}$*, *Df/da-Gal4* compared to *+/da-*

Gal4). Holm-Bonferroni correction was applied to each series. Inter-individual variance of
wing length represented the variance of the individual mean wing length in the same
population.
115
RESULTS
Genome-wide screen for deficiencies that modify *CycG*-induced fluctuating
asymmetry
To scan the whole genome for modification of *CycG^{ΔP}*-induced FA, we used a set of 499
deficiencies uncovering approximately 90% of the euchromatic genome (Supplementary
Table 1). Of these, 4 deficiencies were too weak to be crossed (Supplementary Table 1). A
primary screen was performed by crossing females of the remaining 495 deficiencies with *da-*
Gal4, UAS-CycG^{ΔP}/TM6c, Sb males (Supplementary Figure 1). As the FA values varied from
experiment to experiment, a positive control (*w* females crossed with *da-Gal4, UAS-*
CycG^{ΔP}/TM6c,Sb males) and a negative control (*w* females crossed with *da-Gal4/TM6c,Sb*
males) were systematically included in each experimental series to serve as intrinsic
standards. Forty-one deficiencies were lethal or sub-lethal when combined with the *da-*
Gal4, UAS-CycG^{ΔP} chromosome, preventing FA analyses (Supplementary Table 1). Two
deficiencies produced damaged wings when combined with *da-Gal4, UAS-CycG^{ΔP}*, rendered
them unmeasurable (Supplementary Table 1). A total of 16,200 fly wings were mounted and
analysed in this primary screen. Of the 452 deficiencies whose combination with *da-*
Gal4, UAS-CycG^{ΔP} produced viable flies with usable wings, most did not modify *CycG^{ΔP}-*
induced FA (neutral deficiencies). However, 39 deficiencies and 21 significantly decreased or
increased *CycG^{ΔP}*-induced FA, respectively (Supplementary Table 1). We then performed a
secondary screen by crossing females of these 60 deficiencies with *da-Gal4, UAS-*
CycG^{ΔP}/TM6c,Sb or *da-Gal4* males in order to replicate the primary screen while measuring
the FA of the deficiencies independently of *CycG^{ΔP}* overexpression. In addition, 8 neutral
deficiencies were randomly selected to confirm the absence of an effect. The results of this
secondary screen – a total of 4640 fly wings were mounted and analysed – are shown in
Supplementary Table 3. Of the 68 deficiencies tested, only three, *Df(2L)BSC17*,
Df(1)BSC582, and *Df(3L)BSC419*, increased FA when combined with *da-Gal4* in absence of
CycG^{ΔP} expression. None decreased it. Nevertheless, the increase was rather modest
compared to that observed in context of *CycG^{ΔP}* expression (3.3, 3.4 and 4.8 *versus* 28.5,
73.4 and 73.4 for the intrinsic positive controls, respectively). Interestingly,

Df(3L)BSC419 partially overlaps *Df(3L)ED4978*, which has already been shown to increase

wing shape and bristle FA (3,4). The absence of *CycG$^{\Delta P}$*-induced FA modification was verified

for the 8 neutral deficiencies. However, the secondary screen eliminated some deficiencies

whose effect on *CycG$^{\Delta P}$*-induced FA was no longer significant, probably due to the variability

of the internal control. Thus, the screen ended with 29 modifier deficiencies: 16 that

reproducibly decreased *CycG$^{\Delta P}$*-induced FA and 13 that reproducibly enhanced it, further

referred to as decreasing (D) and enhancing (E) deficiencies, respectively (Table 1 and Figure

1). We did not observe any significant correlation between the size of these deficiencies and

the amplitude of their effect on *CycG$^{\Delta P}$*-induced FA (Figure 2A).

Surprisingly, the 13 E deficiencies clustered on the second chromosome and the left

arm of the third chromosome whereas the 16 D deficiencies clustered on the X chromosome

and the right arm of the third chromosome (Table 1). The mean wing size of all *Df; da-*

Gal4, *UAS-CycG$^{\Delta P}$* flies was smaller than that of *Df; da-Gal4* flies, indicating that *CycG$^{\Delta P}$*

expression had a dominant effect on size reduction (Figure 2B and Supplementary Figure 2).

Lastly, we observed a positive correlation between FA and inter-individual variance (Figure

2C). As environmental conditions cannot be fully controlled and individuals are not genetically

perfectly identical, this inter-individual variance thus reflects minute genetic and

environmental differences. Whether organisms are simultaneously robust to developmental

noise, environmental and genetic effects is unclear. This positive correlation between FA and

inter-individual variance suggests a match between developmental stability and

environmental/genetic robustness.

Taken together, these results confirmed that *CycG$^{\Delta P}$* expression strongly perturbs

developmental stability. In addition, we identified 29 deficiencies among the 452 analysed

that enhanced or decreased *CycG$^{\Delta P}$*-induced FA and could thus contain genes important for

developmental stability. These genes could therefore be partners of *CycG* in the mechanisms

that maintain developmental stability.

Identification of genes that modify *CycG$^{\Delta P}$*-induced fluctuating asymmetry

We next set out to identify partners of *CycG* in developmental stability within these

deficiencies. Interestingly, *Df(3R)ED6361*, which uncovers *CycG*, reduced the FA induced by

CycG$^{\Delta P}$ expression, suggesting that expression of *CycG$^{\Delta P}$* behaved as a dominant negative

mutant and that the deviation from symmetry it induced was dose-dependent. Taken together,

the E deficiencies contained 736 genes (576 protein-coding genes and 160 non-protein-

coding genes), and the D deficiencies 865 genes (715 protein-coding genes and 150 non-

protein-coding genes), making it impossible to test mutants of these genes individually. To

select which mutants to test, we focused on genes encoding direct protein partners of

Cyclin G or genes involved in the control of growth (Supplementary Table 2).

Although located in D deficiencies (Supplementary Table 3), mutants or RNAi lines for

Aladin (7), *CG9426* (FBgn0032485)*, E5* (8), *eEF2* (9), and *SAK* (10) which encode direct

Cyclin G physical interactors, significantly increased $CycG^{\Delta P}$-induced FA (Figure 3). Other

genes, or combinations of genes, in these deficiencies may be responsible for the observed

effects. On the other hand, two RNAi lines for *larp*, which is located in a D deficiency

(*Df(3R)BSC322*) and also encodes a direct Cyclin G physical interactor (11), significantly

reduced $CycG^{\Delta P}$-induced FA (Figure 3). Larp and Cyclin G may thus be direct partners in a

process important for developmental stability.

The Hippo signalling pathway plays a key role in controlling organ size (10). *Merlin*

(*Mer*), which encodes a positive regulator of this pathway that interacts directly with Cyclin G

(11), is located in the D deficiency *Df(1)BSC871*. Additionally, *hippo* (*hpo*), which encodes

the kinase of the pathway, is located in *Df(2R)ED3728*, which increased $CycG^{\Delta P}$-induced FA.

This prompted us to investigate the impact of other members of the Hippo pathway on

$CycG^{\Delta P}$-induced FA. Mutants for *Mer, hpo, ft*, *exp*, *wts* and *ban*, significantly increased it

(Figure 4A). Furthermore, *Mer* inactivation also increased FA independently of *CycG*

deregulation (Figure 4A). These results suggest that the Hippo pathway plays a role in

developmental stability.

The *mTor* gene, which encodes the central member of another key regulator of growth

(11), the InsulinR/TOR signalling pathway (InR/TOR) belongs to a deficiency that reduced

$CycG^{\Delta P}$-induced FA [*Df(2L)BSC277*]. Furthermore, Cyclin G interacts directly with three

actors of this pathway : Akt1, PP2A and Wdb (12,13). We thus tested the effect of *mTor* and

other members of the pathway for their effect on $CycG^{\Delta P}$-induced FA. Most of these,

particularly mutants for *Akt1*, *S6K*, *foxo*, *PTEN*, *mTor* and *wdb*, increased $CycG^{\Delta P}$-induced

FA. This suggests that the interaction between Cyclin G and the InR/TOR pathway is crucial

for developmental stability (Figure 4B).

Finally, two major morphogens of the wing, *dpp* and *wg* [for a review see (14)],

belonged to deficiencies that enhanced $CycG^{\Delta P}$-induced FA [*Df(2L)Exel7011* and

Df(2L)BSC291, respectively]. Mutants for both genes also increased $CycG^{\Delta P}$-induced FA,

indicating that we had identified at least one gene responsible for this effect within each

deficiency (Figure 4C).

DISCUSSION

In our efforts to identify deficiencies that modify $CycG^{\Delta P}$-induced FA, we found that 13

deficiencies out of 452 enhanced it (E deficiencies) while 16 deficiencies decreased it (D

deficiencies). Notably, these deficiencies were not evenly distributed across all

chromosomes. The D deficiencies were enriched on chromosomes X and 3R, whereas the E

deficiencies were concentrated on chromosomes 2 and 3L. This pattern suggests that

chromosomes 2 and 3L may have a general positive effect on developmental stability. In

addition, we observed that the vast majority of modifier deficiencies (65 out of the 68 tested)

does not affect FA on their own. Finally, the 13 deficiencies that enhance $CycG^{\Delta P}$-induced FA

represent only 4.1 % of the *Drosophila melanogaster* genome. Collectively, these results

indicate that under normal rearing conditions the control of growth is highly robust.

Overexpression of $CycG^{\Delta P}$ using the Gal4/UAS system provides an entry point to

discover genes and gene networks that are essential for growth homeostasis. Not

surprisingly, we found that the major morphogens (Dpp and Wg) and growth pathways

(InR/Tor and Hippo pathways) are essential for developmental stability. In line with our

findings, alternative splicing of *yki*, which encodes the transcription factor Yorkie, a target of

the Hippo pathway, has previously been shown to contribute to developmental stability (15).

Furthermore, Merlin that promotes assembly of a functional Hippo signaling complex at the

apical cell cortex has been shown to directly interact with Cyclin G (12). Beyond its specific

role, Cyclin G may be unique in that it sits at the crossroads of many signalling pathways. Our

previous systems biology approach has indeed shown that *CycG* is linked to many genes in

the wing imaginal disc (16). These new findings confirm that *CycG* may be a hub within a

complex genetic network important for the robustness of organ growth. We have previously

shown that expression of $CycG^{\Delta P}$ abolishes the strong negative correlation between cell size

and cell number observed in wild-type fly wings (5). Cyclin G may orchestrate growth

homeostasis by ensuring the proper coordination of growth and the cell cycle, which would

be critical for developmental stability. This central role may explain the spectacular effects of

its deregulation on fluctuating asymmetry.

Some potential direct Cyclin G partners are included in the deficiencies that modify

CycG^{ΔP}-induced FA. Strikingly, these partners belong to different gene ontology categories,

ranging from the aforementioned Merlin to transcription factors (*e.g.* E5), translation

regulators (*e.g.* Larp) and proteins involved in protein degradation (*e.g.* Cul2), reinforcing the

idea that Cyclin G may be involved in diverse cellular processes. Among the genes encoding

these potential direct partners, *larp* is the only one whose inactivation strongly reduces

CycG^{ΔP}-induced FA, suggesting that the effect of Cyclin G on FA requires this direct

interaction. *Larp* encodes an evolutionarily conserved RNA-binding protein that forms a

complex with the Poly(A) binding protein (PABP), a translation regulator (17,18). In addition,

Larp recognises mRNAs with a 5' Terminal OligoPyrimidine (5' TOP) motif, *i.e.* mRNAs

encoding proteins essential for protein synthesis such as ribosomal proteins or translation

factors (19). More recently, Larp has been shown to play a dual role as a translational

repressor and a stabiliser of 5' TOP mRNAs (20). In particular, when phosphorylated by

Pink1, Larp inhibits translation at the mitochondrial outer membrane suggesting that it may

have an effect on the production of new mitochondria(21). Interestingly, in wing imaginal discs

expressing *CycG^{ΔP}*, genes involved in translation are up-regulated and those involved in

metabolism and mitochondrial activity are down-regulated (16). Taken together, these data

support the idea that there may be a link between developmental stability and the regulation

of mitochondrial activity.

FIGURES

Figure 1: Secondary screen – Analysis of the 60 candidate deficiencies isolated from

the primary screen.

A – FA of 39 deficiencies that decreased *CycG^{ΔP}*-induced FA in the primary screen

normalised to the FA of *da-Gal4, UAS-CycG^{ΔP}* (red line). 16 of them reproduced the results

of the primary screen (orange).

B – FA of 21 deficiencies that enhanced *CycG^{ΔP}*-induced FA in the primary screen normalised

to the FA of *da-Gal4, UAS-CycG^{ΔP}* (red line). 13 of them reproduced the results of the primary

screen (green).

*$p<0.05$, **$p<0.01$, ***$p<0.001$

Figure 2: Secondary screen - Relationship between fluctuating asymmetry and
deficiency length, mean wing size and inter-individual variance.

A – FA (fold change) of the 16 decreasing (D) deficiencies (orange), the 13 enhancing (E)
deficiencies (green) and 8 neutral deficiencies (grey) versus deficiency length. No significant
correlation was observed between the two parameters (Pearson coefficient, R= -0.15).

B - FA of the 16 decreasing (D) deficiencies (orange) and the 13 enhancing (E) deficiencies
(green) combined with *da-Gal4, UAS-CycG$^{\Delta P}$* (filled circles) or not (empty circles) versus
mean wing size. Filled grey square: *+/da-Gal4, UAS-CycG$^{\Delta P}$*; empty grey square: *+/da-Gal4*.
A strong negative correlation was observed between these parameters (R= -0.65).

C – FA (log10) of the 16 decreasing (D) deficiencies (orange) and the 13 enhancing (E)
deficiencies (green) combined with *da-Gal4, UAS-CycG$^{\Delta P}$* (filled circles) or not (empty circles)
versus inter-individual variance (log10). Filled grey square: *+/da-Gal4, UAS-CycG$^{\Delta P}$*; empty
grey square: *+/da-Gal4*. A strong positive correlation was observed between the two
parameters (R= +0.67).

Figure 3: Candidate gene analyses – Genes encoding direct protein partners of
Cyclin G located in deficiencies that modify *CycG$^{\Delta P}$*-induced FA.

In green, alleles that significantly increased *CycG$^{\Delta P}$*-induced FA**.** In orange, alleles that
significantly decreased *CycG$^{\Delta P}$*-induced FA. In light grey, positive control *(+/da-Gal4, UAS-*
CycG$^{\Delta P}$). In dark grey, alleles that did not modify *CycG$^{\Delta P}$*-induced FA. Several alleles were
lethal (⚕) when combined to *+/da-Gal4* or *+/da-Gal4, UAS-CycG$^{\Delta P}$*.

*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001

Figure 4: Candidate gene analyses – Genes encoding members of the Hippo pathway
(A), the InR/TOR pathway (B) and the Dpp and Wg morphogens (C).

In green, alleles that significantly increased *CycG$^{\Delta P}$*-induced FA**.** In grey, alleles that did not
modify *CycG$^{\Delta P}$*-induced FA.

*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001

Supplementary Figure 1:

A - Position of the 15 landmarks on the wing. Landmarks 3 and 13 (red) were used to measure

wing length.

B – Diagrams of primary and secondary screens.

Df: deficiency; *w^n*: *w^{5905}* or *w^{6326}*; *Bal*: balancer chromosome; N: neutral deficiencies; D:

deficiency that decreased *CycG^{ΔP}*-induced fluctuating asymmetry; E: deficiency that

increased *CycG^{ΔP}*-induced fluctuating asymmetry.

Supplementary Figure 2: Secondary screen – Mean wing size of flies heterozygous for

the deficiencies associated with *da-Gal4* (top) or *da-Gal4, UAS-CycG^{ΔP}* (down).

Box-plots showing mean wing size. In grey, mean wing size of *+/da-Gal4* (top) or *+/da-*

Gal4, UAS-CycG^{ΔP} flies. In orange, mean wing size of deficiencies that decreased *CycG^{ΔP}*-

induced FA combined with *da-Gal4* (top) or *da-Gal4, UAS-CycG^{ΔP}* (down). In green, mean

wing size of deficiencies that increased *CycG^{ΔP}*-induced FA combined with *da-Gal4* (top) *or*

da-Gal4, UAS-CycG^{ΔP} (down).

The two red lines correspond to the mean wing size of *+/da-Gal4* (1161) and *+/da-Gal4, UAS-*

CycG^{ΔP} (1014) flies.

*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001

Table 1: Deficiencies modifying *CycG^{ΔP}*-induced FA.

Supplementary Table 1: Deficiencies used in this study.

Supplementary Table 2: Alleles used in this study to identify genes that modify *CycG^{ΔP}*-

induced fluctuating asymmetry.

Supplementary Table 3: Secondary screen for deficiencies which modify *CycG^{ΔP}*-

induced FA.

REFERENCES

1. Debat V. Mapping phenotypes: canalization, plasticity and developmental stability.
Trends Ecol Evol. 2001;16:555–61.

2. Leamy L, Klingenberg C, Sherratt E, Wolf J, Cheverud J. The Genetic Architecture of
Fluctuating Asymmetry of Mandible Size and Shape in a Population of Mice: Another
Look. Symmetry. 2015;7(1):146–63.

3. Takahashi KH, Okada Y, Teramura K, Tsujino M. Deficiency mapping of the genomic
regions associated with effects on developmental stability in Drosophila melanogaster.
Evolution. 2011;65:3565–77.

4. Takahashi KH, Okada Y, Teramura K. Genome-Wide Deficiency Mapping of the Regions
Responsible for Temporal Canalization of the Developmental Processes of Drosophila
melanogaster. J Hered. 2011;102(4):448–57.

5. Debat V, Bloyer S, Faradji F, Gidaszewski N, Navarro N, Orozco-Terwengel P, et al.
Developmental Stability: A Major Role for Cyclin G in Drosophila melanogaster. PLoS
Genet. 2011;7(10):e1002314.

6. Debat V, Peronnet F. Asymmetric flies: The control of developmental noise in Drosophila.
Fly Austin. 2013;7(2):1–8.

7. Carvalhal S, Ribeiro SA, Arocena M, Kasciukovic T, Temme A, Koehler K, et al. The
nucleoporin ALADIN regulates Aurora A localization to ensure robust mitotic spindle
formation. Zheng Y, editor. Mol Biol Cell. 2015 Oct;26(19):3424–38.

8. Dalton D, Chadwick R, McGinnis W. Expression and embryonic function of empty
spiracles: a Drosophila homeo box gene with two patterning functions on the anterior-
posterior axis of the embryo. Genes Dev. 1989 Dec 1;3(12a):1940–56.

9. Marygold SJ, Attrill H, Lasko P. The translation factors of Drosophila melanogaster. Fly
Austin. 2017;11(1):65–74.

10.   Bettencourt-Dias M, Giet R, Sinka R, Mazumdar A, Lock WG, Balloux F, et al.
Genome-wide survey of protein kinases required for cell cycle progression. Nature.
2004;432(7020):980–7.

11.   Grewal SS. Insulin/TOR signaling in growth and homeostasis: a view from the fly
world. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 2009;41(5):1006–10.

12.   Oughtred R, Rust J, Chang C, Breitkreutz B, Stark C, Willems A, et al. The BIOGRID
database: A comprehensive biomedical resource of curated protein, genetic, and
chemical interactions. Protein Sci. 2021 Jan;30(1):187–200.

13.   Fischer P, Preiss A, Nagel AC. A triangular connection between Cyclin G, PP2A and
Akt1 in the regulation of growth and metabolism inDrosophila. Fly (Austin). 2016;1–8.

14.   Tabata T, Takei Y. Morphogens, their identification and regulation. Development.
2004 Feb 15;131(4):703–12.

15.   Srivastava D, Toledo M, Manchon L, Tazi J, Juge F. Modulation of Yorkie activity by
alternative splicing is required for developmental stability. EMBO J. (2021); 40:e104895

16.   Dardalhon-Cuménal D, Deraze J, Dupont CA, Ribeiro V, Coléno-Costes A, Pouch J,
et al. Cyclin G and the Polycomb Repressive complexes PRC1 and PR-DUB cooperate
for developmental stability. PLoS Genet. 2018;14(7):e1007498.

17.   Blagden SP, Gatt MK, Archambault V, Lada K, Ichihara K, Lilley KS, et al. Drosophila
Larp associates with poly(A)-binding protein and is required for male fertility and syncytial
embryo development. Dev Biol. 2009 Oct;334(1):186–97.

18.   Deragon J, Bousquet-Antonelli C. The role of LARP1 in translation and beyond.
WIREs RNA. 2015 Jul;6(4):399–417.

19.   Cockman E, Anderson P, Ivanov P. TOP mRNPs: Molecular Mechanisms and
Principles of Regulation. Biomolecules. 2020 Jun 27;10(7):969.

20.   Berman AJ, Thoreen CC, Dedeic Z, Chettle J, Roux PP, Blagden SP. Controversies
around the function of LARP1. RNA Biol. 2021 Feb 1;18(2):207–17.

21.   Zhang Y, Wang ZH, Liu Y, Chen Y, Sun N, Gucek M, et al. PINK1 Inhibits Local
Protein Synthesis to Limit Transmission of Deleterious Mitochondrial DNA Mutations. Mol
Cell. 2019 Mar;73(6):1127-1137.e5.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Table 1: Deficiencies modifying *Cyclin G*-induced fluctuating asymmetry.
The fluctuating asymmetry fold-change corresponds to the ratio between fluctuating asymmetry of the deficiency combined to *da-Gal4,UAS-CycG$^{\Delta P}$* and fluctuating asymmetry of *da-Gal4,UAS-CycG$^{\Delta P}$*.

Chromosome arm	Deficiency name	Cytological location	Fluctuating asymmetry fold-change	Adjusted p-value	Length (kb)
X	Df(1)BSC530	1A5;1B12	0.37329	4.68E-02	259
	Df(1)M38-C5	8B;8E	0.24024	2.86E-03	716
	Df(1)BSC722	10B3;10E1	0.25570	6.72E-03	404
	Df(1)FDD-0024486	14C4;14D1	0.12722	2.58E-06	40
	Df(1)BSC643	15F9;16F1	0.28609	7.33E-03	615
	Df(1)BSC405	16D5;16F6	0.37682	4.68E-02	262
	Df(1)BSC871	18D7;18F2	0.32513	2.55E-02	171
2L	Df(2L)Exel7011	22E1;22F3	3.67005	4.34E-03	129
	Df(2L)BSC354	26D7;26E3	4.32949	1.32E-03	92
	Df(2L)BSC291	27D6;27F2	2.69177	3.96E-02	323
	Df(2L)Exel7034	28E1;28F1	3.92670	2.69E-03	134
	Df(2L)ED629	29B4;29E4	6.02764	5.81E-05	317
	Df(2L)BSC277	34A1;34B2	0.39515	2.82E-02	425
	Df(2L)ED1473	39B4;40A5	3.37401	7.77E-03	578
	Df(2L)lt109	h35;h35	2.87894	2.34E-02	823
2R	Df(2R)BSC880	49A9;49E1	3.36810	9.85E-04	397
	Df(2R)ED3728	56D10;56E2	2.79286	2.50E-02	264
3L	Df(3L)ED201	61B1;61C1	9.14411	6.11E-07	224
	Df(3L)ED4457	67E2;68A7	3.77715	3.03E-03	762
	Df(3L)BSC12	69F6;70A2	7.46921	5.43E-06	183
	Df(3L)ED4710	74D1;75B11	5.14760	1.95E-04	652
	Df(3L)BSC419	78C2;78D8	0.20077	5.30E-04	380
3R	Df(3R)BSC549	83A6;83B6	0.28288	9.98E-03	114
	Df(3R)ED5623	87E3;88A4	0.32086	1.65E-02	724
	Df(3R)ED5705	88E12;89A5	0.33282	1.69E-02	502
	Df(3R)ED6255	97D2;97F1	0.27386	5.53E-03	483
	Df(3R)BSC322	98C3;98D7	0.33719	3.21E-02	301
	Df(3R)BSC749	100B1;100C1	0.39540	9.04E-03	299
	Df3R)ED6361	100C7;100E3	0.40559	1.17E-02	469