Microbiota/gut/neuron axis
promotes *Drosophila* ageing
via *Acetobacter, Tachykinin,* and *TkR99D*

Diana Marcu[1], David R Sannino[1], Anthony J Dornan[1,2], Rita Ibrahim[1], Atharv Kapoor[1], Miriam Wood[1], Adam J Dobson[1†]

1 - School of Molecular Biosciences, College of Medical Veterinary and Life Sciences, University of Glasgow, G12 8QQ, United Kingdom

2 - Cellular Analysis Facility - Shared Research Facilities, College of Medical Veterinary and Life Sciences, University of Glasgow, G12 8TA, United Kingdom

† For correspondence: adam.dobson@glasgow.ac.uk

Abstract

Gut microbiota exert an evolutionarily conserved influence on ageing, from invertebrates to humans. How do microbes that are physically confined to the gut lumen affect the systemic physiological process of ageing? In female *Drosophila*, we show that microbiota increase expression of the peptide hormone *Tachykinin (Tk)*, which corresponds to reduced lifespan. *Tk* is required for microbiota to shorten lifespan, with knockdown rendering flies constitutively long-lived even in the presence of an intact microbiota. This lifespan extension does not come with canonical costs to fecundity or feeding, but impacts on triacylglyceride (TAG) storage suggest adaptive functions in metabolic homeostasis. In flies with defined (gnotobiotic) microbiotas, we show that we can model *Tk*-dependent effects of microbiota on lifespan and TAG by monoassociation with *Acetobacter pomorum*. These effects require *Tk* in the midgut, and the cognate TK receptor *TkR99D* in neurons, implicating a microbiota-gut-neuron relay. This relay also appears to compromise gut barrier function in aged flies, indicating roles in healthspan as well as lifespan. However, the effect of *TkR99D* is independent of its reported role in insulin signalling and adipokinetic hormone signalling which, respectively, are canonical regulators of lifespan and TAG metabolism, suggesting a non-canonical role for *TkR99D* elsewhere in the nervous system. Altogether our results implicate a microbiota-gut-neuron axis in ageing, via a specific bacterium modulating activity of a specific and evolutionarily-conserved hormone.

Introduction

Gut microbiota influence lifespan and ageing across species. This effect appears to be evolutionarily conserved from the invertebrate models *Caenorhabditis elegans* and *Drosophila melanogaster* [1–7], through to vertebrates including fish and rodents [8–12]. Microbiota are also thought to play a role in human ageing [13,14], supported by mendelian randomisation analysis indicating a putatively causal role [15] (but see also [16]). The microbiota are physically confined to the gut lumen, yet they influence ageing at an organismal level. By what mechanisms do microbiota modulate these systemic processes?

Evolutionary theories of ageing lead us to expect that mechanisms of ageing should have adaptive functions early in life, i.e. processes under positive selection in early life have deleterious pleiotropic effects in later life, which manifest as ageing[17] . Microbiota conform to this expectation, with their impacts on ageing appearing to trade off against widespread benefits to host health early in life. For example, microbiota commonly promote host development time, even with the same species of bacteria demonstrated to benefit host development across different phyla of animals [18–20]. This motivates research to characterise how to decouple beneficial effects of microbiota early in life from deleterious effects in late life.

The capacity of microbiota to impact systemic processes, from a physically confined niche in the intestinal lumen, implicates endocrine signalling between organs. A role mediated by endocrine signalling is also consistent with the pleiotropic effects of microbiota, since hormones potently impact multiple traits. The relationship between microbiota and endocrine signalling may be bidirectional, with the microbiota altering how the gut - the biggest endocrine organ in animals - communicates lumen conditions to distal tissues, while distal tissues may direct how the gut manages the microbiota. Signalling in either direction has the potential to modulate ageing, with hormones serving as a key relay.

We chose to investigate these processes in the fruitfly *Drosophila melanogaster*. Flies can be reared axenic (i.e. without exogenous bacteria), and have a simple microbiota dominated by two families of bacteria (*Acetobacteraceae* and *Lactobacillaceae*). These bacteria can be cultured easily, and reintroduced to axenic fly eggs to generate gnotobiotic animals, enabling mapping of host phenotype to bacterial species. Fly genetics also enable tissue- and cell-type-specific genetic manipulations, e.g. expressing RNAi in specific locations, ideal for studying tissue-tissue signalling. We capitalised on these strengths to identify how defined bacteria modulate hormone signalling, and how ageing phenotypes are modulated by tissue-specific lesions in this signalling.

Results

A role for *Tk* in lifespan regulation by microbiota

First, we looked for evidence that microbiota modulate fly endocrine signalling. Hormone activity both

to and from the gut could be relevant to impact of microbiota, but the gut is the largest endocrine organ,

the site of physical contact with gut microbiota, and numbers of enteroendocrine cells (EEs) can vary

plastically in adults, which we reasoned could serve as a proxy for overall endocrine signalling capacity.

When we counted absolute numbers of EEs in whole guts (Figure 1A), we found more cells in guts of

conventionally-reared (CR) flies than in axenic flies, suggesting that microbiota enhance capacity for

endocrine signalling. Previous studies have shown that microbiota reduce the percentage of EEs [21,22],

which we suggest may have been driven by an even greater microbial promotion of other epithelial cell

populations, reducing proportion of EEs despite increased total numbers of EEs.

EEs express 14 peptide hormones. We asked whether microbiota promote expression of any of these

specifically, by reanalysing public transcriptome data [23] (Figure 1B). We were able to quantify

expression of 13 genes, and we analysed the fourteenth (*Burs*) by RT-qPCR (Figure S1). Four hormone

genes were differentially expressed. Of these four, Tachykinin (*Tk*) - which encodes orthologues of the

mammalian neuropeptides Substance P and Neurokinins A/B - appeared a particularly interesting

candidate, because published data indicated that *Tk* knockdown flies phenocopy the longer lifespan

and metabolic phenotypes observed in axenic flies [4,24–27]. Since the differential expression analysis was

performed on public data, we validated the impact of microbes on *Tk* expression in our lab stock

(*Dahomey*) by RT-qPCR (Figure 1C). This correlation between microbial promotion of *Tk* expression

and shortening of lifespan, coupled to phenocopying between axenic and *Tk* knockdown flies, led us to

predict that *Tk* knockdowns would be constitutively long-lived and their lifespan should no longer

respond to microbiota.

A. Endocrine cell count

B. Peptide hormone expression (RNAseq)

C. *Tk* RT-qPCR

D. Long-term axenic culture (56 day-old flies)

Figure 1. Basis for study: evidence that *Tk* responds to microbiota. A. Microbiota increase total number of enteroendocrine cells (EEs) in fly midgut. Number of GFP+ cells were scored in 5-day old *UAS-mCD8::GFP; Voila-Gal4* females. Wilcoxon test, W=25, P=0.008. **B.** Microbiota alter expression of peptide hormones in the *Drosophila* intestine. Transcriptome data from [23] were reanalysed. Expression of neuropeptide hormone gene transcripts are plotted, dendrograms show hierarchical clustering on Euclidian distance. Differentially expressed transcripts (DESeq2, FDR<0.1) are marked with an asterisk to right. Presence of microbiota increased expression of *Tachykinin* (top row). Software did not quantify *Burs* expression, so complementary RT-qPCR data were collected, showing no impact of microbiota (Figure S1). **C.** Confirmation that microbiota promote *Tk* expression. Expression was

quantified by RT-qPCR (using cDNA generated from midgut to complement RNA-seq data). Wilcoxon

test W=1, p=0.04. **D.** Confirmation of lifelong axenic culture without antibiotics. Photographs show

bacterial growth (or lack of) in homogenates of pools of 3 females, reared to day 56 of adulthood either

with bacteria or axenic, serial dilutions indicated at top.

We tested our prediction by assessing impact of *Tk* knockdown in flies reared with/without a microbiota.

We reared flies under axenic conditions from embryo until death, to avoid potential off-target effects on

the fly of antibiotic feeding [28–30], after confirming that we could maintain sterile culture conditions

throughout the lifespan (Figure 1D). To establish the genetic role of *Tk*, we first used a ubiquitous

knockdown, to avoid in the first instance the possibility that targeting one specific tissue may lead to

compensatory expression in another. We drove RNAi expression using an inducible ubiquitous driver

(*Daughterless-GeneSwitch* a.k.a. *DaGS)*, which we activated in 3-day-old adult females by feeding a

chemical inducer, RU486 (henceforth, RU), thereby avoiding any possible confounding impacts of

knockdown during development. In all experiments, we used female flies and a fully-factorial design.

Microbiota shortened lifespan relative to axenic controls (Figure 2A). However, Tk^{RNAi} blocked this

effect, rendering CR flies as long-lived as axenics. Cox Proportional Hazards analysis (CoxPH)

revealed a significant Tk^{RNAi}:microbiota interaction (p=0.05), and post-hoc tests (Fig 2b). We also used

EMMs to plot *post hoc* metrics of differences in survival, multiplied by -1 such that higher values

corresponded to extended lifespan: this metric further underlined the *Tk**microbiota interaction,

demonstrating a significant shortening of lifespan in controls but not with Tk^{RNAi} (Fig 2b). CR lifespan

was not extended by RU in the absence of the *UAS-Tk^{RNAi}* transgene (Figure S2), indicating that the

Tk:microbiota interaction was attributable to knockdown, not off-target effects of RU feeding. These

results indicated that *Tk* was required for microbiota to modulate lifespan.

Figure 2. Ubiquitous _Tk_ is required for microbiota to shorten lifespan and reduce TAG storage.
A. Kaplan-Meier plots showing survival of axenic and conventionally-reared (CR) _DaGS;UAS-Tk^RNAi_
flies, faceted by absence/presence (top/bottom) of transgene activation by RU486 (RU). Cox
Proportional Hazards analysis revealed an RU:microbiota interaction (p=0.05). **B.** Post-hoc analysis of
survival data shown in A. Facets show estimated marginal means of Cox Proportional Hazards model
(EMMs±95% confidence intervals (CI95)), multiplied -1*n to make higher values correspond to longer
lifespan. Pairwise tests (text on facets) revealed impact of microbiota only in absence of RU. **C-D.** _Tk^RNAi_
(RU+) does not block effect of microbiota on feeding rate (C) or fecundity (D). Feeding quantified as
average proboscis extension rate per vial (n=5 mated females/vial, 10 days old after 7 days feeding on
RU/vehicle.). Fecundity quantified as n. eggs laid per fly over 24h (n=5 females/vial). **E.** _Tk^RNAi_ (RU+)
blocks reduction of TAG by microbiota. Points give TAG μg mg^{-1} in pools of five flies. The result was
replicated with an independent RNAi construct (Figure S3). Panels A-E generated with RNAi construct
V330743. Panels A-B, n=135-136 flies per condition. Panels C-E, Statistics on brackets are from _post-_

hoc EMM analyses of linear models (C, logit-transformed data), when significant differences were

detected.

Evidence for pleiotropic roles of *Tk* between lifespan and metabolism, but not canonical

tradeoffs with ageing

Tachykinins are highly pleiotropic peptides [31], and interventions that extend lifespan are commonly

associated with pleiotropic trade-offs early in life. For example, lifespan is expected to anticorrelate

reproduction in early life [32], while feeding behaviour, naturally selected to optimise growth and

reproduction, is expected to be deleterious for ageing [33–36]. We therefore hypothesised that *Tk^RNAi* might

block beneficial effects of microbiota on reproduction or feeding in early life. We measured steady-state

feeding behaviour (proboscis extension) and a point measure of fecundity (egg laying over 24h), after

one week of *Tk^RNAi* induction in both axenic and CR flies. As expected, microbiota did indeed increase

feeding and egg laying in CR flies relative to axenics (Fig 2C-D), but *Tk^RNAi* did not reduce either

fecundity or feeding. Thus, longevity in CR *Tk^RNAi* flies appears not to be associated with canonical

costs.

We looked for alternative pleiotropic traits. Microbiota have evolutionarily-conserved effects on host

metabolism, with increased lipid storage observed in axenic hosts [27,37,38]. Since *Tk* is also implicated in

mobilising fly triglyceride (TAG) stores [25], we anticipated a potential tradeoff with lifespan. Without

Tk^RNAi, microbiota reduced TAG storage as expected, but *Tk^RNAi* blocked this effect in two replicate

experiments with distinct *Tk^RNAi* constructs (Figure 2E, Figure S3). These results suggested a pleiotropic

role for *Tk* in managing metabolic responses to microbiota in early life, with deleterious impacts in late

life.

***Acetobacter pomorum,* but not *Levilactobacillus brevis*, interacts with *Tk* to determine lifespan**

and TAG

Can the interaction between microbiota and *Tk* be attributed to particular gut bacteria? The fly

microbiota, while relatively simple, still contains numerous taxa, which vary among strains and

environments, but tend to contain families *Acetobacteraceae and Lactobacillaceae* [39–43]. We

characterised the fly microbiota in our lab background by 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing, and identified

amplicon sequencing variants (ASVs). Most ASVs were assigned to the family *Acetobacteraceae*

(Figure 3a), and *Lactobacillaceae* were also present (among other families). *Acetobacter pomorum* and

Levilactobacillus brevis are two well-studied strains that can be considered representatives of their

respective genera, on the basis of genome content [44] and phenotypic impacts [45] . We asked whether

either *A. pomorum* or *L. brevis* alone recapitulated impacts of a CR microbiota on lifespan and TAG,

and whether they interacted equivalently with *Tk^RNAi*.

We generated gnotobiotic flies, monoassociated with either *A. pomorum* (DmCS004) or *L. brevis*

(DmCS003), along with axenic controls, and tested whether lifespan impacts were blocked by

ubiquitous Tk^{RNAi} (Figure 3B-C). Without Tk^{RNAi}, axenic flies were longest-lived, flies associated with *A.*
pomorum (henceforth *Ap*-flies) were shortest-lived, and flies associated with *L. brevis* (*Lb*-flies) were
intermediate. However, Tk^{RNAi} induction rendered flies constitutively long-lived, independent of
microbiota association and equivalent to axenic controls. Thus, Tk^{RNAi} blocked variation in lifespan
caused by synthetic variation in the microbiota, and this effect was strongest in *Ap*-flies.

We quantified *Tk* expression by RT-qPCR among axenic flies, *Lb*-flies and *Ap*-flies, and asked whether
change in expression upon knockdown (*DaGS, UAS-Tk^{RNAi}*) correlated lifespan outcome (Figure S4).
Tk expression was lowest in axenics, highest in *Ap*-flies, and intermediate in *Lb*-flies (Figure S4A), but
RU feeding arrested *Tk* expression across all three microbiota conditions - confirming universally
efficient knockdown - and this expression level was equivalent to control axenic flies (Figure S4A).
Plotting *Tk* expression against statistical coefficients (EMMs) of the corresponding survival data,
revealed a correlation across conditions between *Tk* expression values and lifespan (Figure S4B).
Thus, microbial modulation of lifespan appears to correspond to organismal expression of *Tk*.

We then asked whether specific bacteria and *Tk* interactively modulated TAG levels in youth (Figure
3E). We found reduced TAG in *Ap*-flies relative to axenic flies, and this effect was reversed by Tk^{RNAi},
consistent with the pleiotropic tradeoff we had observed in CR flies. However no interaction was
apparent between *L. brevis* and Tk^{RNAi}. Thus, *A. pomorum* was sufficient to modulate TAG in interaction
with *Tk*, but *L. brevis* was not.

Altogether, these data demonstrated that *A. pomorum* is sufficient to fully recapitulate the impacts of a
complete microbiota on both lifespan and TAG, and interacts equivalently with ubiquitous Tk^{RNAi}. By
comparison the intermediate effects in *Lb*-flies argues against *Lactobacillaceae* playing a leading role
in the *Tk*-dependent regulation of lifespan and TAG.

Figure 3. Phenotypic impact of a complete microbiota and interaction with ubiquitous *TkRNAi* is recapitulated fully by *Acetobacter pomorum*, but only partially by *Levilactobacillus brevis*. A. 16s rRNA amplicon sequencing reveals microbiota dominated by family *Acetobacteraceae* in the *Dahomey* fly background. Plot shows proportion ASVs per sample assigned to given families. "low abundance taxa" denotes a bin of all ASVs not assigned to one of the given families. ~170k reads per sample, n=10 samples. **B.** Kaplan-Meier plots showing survival of *DaGS;UAS-TkRNAi* flies (RNAi construct V330743), cultured either under axenic or gnotobiotic conditions. Gnotobiotic flies were cultured in monoassociation with either *Acetobacter pomorum* (*Ap*-flies) or *LeviLevilactobacillus brevis* (*Lb*-flies). Plots are faceted by microbial condition. Cox Proportional Hazards analysis revealed an RU:microbiota interaction (p=0.02). **C.** Post-hoc analysis of survival curves shown in B. Plots show a survival coefficient, calculated from estimated marginal means of Cox Proportional Hazards model (EMMs±95% confidence intervals (CI95)), multiplied -1*n such that higher values correspond to longer

lifespan. Pairwise tests (text on facets) revealed effect of Tk^{RNAi} induction (+RU) was largest in *Ap*-flies,

intermediate in *Lb*-flies, and no effect was observed in axenics. **D.** *A. pomorum,* but not *L. brevis,*

recapitulates effects of a complete microbiota on TAG. Points give TAG μg mg^{-1} in pools of five flies

(n=9). Significant interaction of microbiota and *TkRNAi* (RU) was detected (ANOVA F=6.96, df=2,48,

p=0.002). Statistics on brackets are from *post-hoc* EMM analyses of linear models, when significant

differences were detected.

A. pomorum modulates *Tk* expression in midgut but not CNS.

Our initial experiments employed ubiquitous Tk^{RNAi}. From which specific tissue does *Tk* knockdown

mediate effects of the microbiota? Plotting steady-state (microbiota-independent) *Tk* expression, using

FlyAtlas2 data [46], revealed that *Tk* is enriched in neuronal and midgut tissues (Figure 4A). We therefore

characterised metrics of Tachykinin activity from these two tissues in axenic flies, *Lb*-flies and *Ap*-flies.

We examined *Tk* expression by RT-qPCR of RNA from heads and on dissected midguts. *A. pomorum*

increased *Tk* expression in midgut, but not in heads; while *L. brevis* did not impact *Tk* expression in

either tissue (Figure 4B). We used reporters to confirm intestinal modulation of *Tk* expression.

Acetobacter are thought to promote Tk signalling epigenetically, by promoting histone lysine acetylation

in *Tk+* cells [47]. We confirmed that lysine acetylation was labile in *Tk+* EEs by feeding axenic flies with

a histone deacetylase inhibitor, trichostatin-A, and quantifying staining in *Tk+* cells in both anterior and

posterior regions of the midgut (Figure 4C-D). We then examined effects of microbiota, finding

increased acetyl-lysine in *Tk+* cells of *Ap*-flies, but not *Lb*-flies (Figure 4D). We asked whether this

increase corresponded to elevated *Tk* expression, and indeed *Tk* reporter expression (*Tk-T2A-*

Gal4>UAS-GFP) was elevated in the anterior midgut (Figure 4E). However, reporter activity was not

increased in posterior midgut (Figure 4E). Together, these results confirm previous observations that

A. pomorum increases lysine acetylation in *Tk+* EEs, suggesting possible epigenetic regulation or

priming of *Tk* regulation, but correspondence between lysine acetylation and *Tk* reporter expression

appears specific to certain regions of the midgut.

Having shown that *Tk* expression was activated specifically by *A. pomorum* in the midgut, but not by *L.*

brevis, we focussed specifically on *A. pomorum* in subsequent experiments.

A. Steady-state *Tk* expression

B. Gut and head - microbial regulation of *Tk* expression

C. TK+ EEs - GFP & ac-K

D. TK+ EE - lysine acetylation

E. TK>mCD8::GFP

Figure 4. *A. pomorum* modulates intestinal *Tk* expression. A. *Tk* expression is enriched in nervous system and midgut tissues (data from FlyAtlas2). **B.** RT-qPCR of *Tk* in dissected midguts and heads of axenic and gnotobiotic flies indicates that expression is increased specifically in the midgut by *A. pomorum,* but not in the head; while *L. brevis* affects expression in neither tissue. White point and error bars show mean±SD. Statistics on brackets are from *post-hoc* EMM analyses of linear models, when significant differences were detected. **C.** Quantifying lysine acetylation and *Tk* promoter activity in *Tk*+ cells. Cartoon shows regions quantified in *Tk-Gal4/UAS-mCD8::GFP* flies with acetyl-lysine staining.

Scale bars=50μm. **D.** Lysine acetylation is increased specifically by *A. pomorum* in *Tk+* enteroendocrine

cells. Each point represents average fluorescence intensity for acetyl-lysine staining of ≥3 cells (marked

by *Tk>GFP*) per gut, in anterior and posterior regions. The HDAC inhibitor Trichostatin-A was fed to

axenic flies to confirm that increased histone acetylation is detectable by total acetyl-lysine staining.

Statistics from one-way ANOVA, showing comparisons relative to axenic control condition. **E.** *A.*

pomorum increases *Tk* promoter activity (*Tk-T2A-Gal4>UAS-GFP*) in anterior but not posterior midgut.

Each point represents average GFP intensity of ≥3 cells per gut, in anterior and posterior regions.

Statistics from one-way ANOVA, showing comparisons relative to axenic control condition.

Intestine-directed *Tk* knockdown abrogates lifespan impact of *A. pomorum*

Next we asked whether *Tk* knockdown specifically in the gut blocked the shortened lifespan of *Ap*-flies,

using the *Gal4-UAS* system. In the midgut, EEs are marked by *voila-Gal4*, but this construct is also

expressed in some neurons [48,49], posing a challenge for fully parsing effects of neurons versus midgut

EEs. We used a recently-developed intersectional strategy, in which *ChAT-Gal80* represses neuronal

voila-Gal4 [50], directing Gal4 to the midgut while sparing CNS. After confirming that this system was

effective in our lab's genetic background (Figure S5), we tested whether intestine-directed *Tk^{RNAi}*

abrogated impact of *A. pomorum* on lifespan, including every possible combination of *Voila-Gal4*,

ChAT-Gal80, and *UAS-Tk^{RNAi}* in a fully-factorial design (Figure 5A-B). *Ap*-flies were shorter-lived without

Tk^{RNAi}, but gut-directed *Tk^{RNAi}* abrogated this effect on lifespan. *A. pomorum* had no effect on lifespan

in flies expressing *Tk^{RNAi}* in all *voila+* cells (without *ChAT-Gal80*). Adding *ChAT-Gal80* restored a small

effect of microbiota, but the magnitude of effect (Z-score) was diminutive, only ~27-40% of that

observed in controls. A second CoxPH analysis of the same data confirmed an overall 4-way interaction

of *A. pomorum* and the three transgenes, confirming that the impact of *A. pomorum* was contingent on

activation of *Tk^{RNAi},* and the tissues in which it was activated (p=0.0005). These analyses indicated that

Tk in *Voila+* cells was obligately required for *A. pomorum* to modulate lifespan, and that the majority of

this effect was explained by the intestine.

For further validation we conducted a second lifespan experiment, using independent genetic tools [24]

to direct *Tk^{RNAi}* specifically to *Tk+* EEs, with *Gal4* expressed from the *Tk* locus (*Tk-T2A-Gal4*),

recombined with a transgene expressing *Gal80* under control of a fragment of *nSyb* promoter (*R57C10-*

Gal80), which is expected to lead to pan-neuronal *Gal80* expression as another means to attenuate

neuronal Gal4 activity [24,51,52]. We again detected an *A. pomorum*-by-genotype effect (Figure 5C-D), with

the impact of *A. pomorum* attenuated by *Tk* knockdown, and post-hoc tests showing only a marginal

effect of *A. pomorum* on lifespan upon *Tk^{RNAi}* induction (p=0.08). Independent *Gal4* and *Gal80*

transgenes therefore corroborated the finding that intestinal *Tk* is required for the majority of *A.*

pomorum's lifespan-shortening effect.

Figure 5. Gut-directed *Tk^RNAi* abrogates lifespan shortening by *A. pomorum*. A. Kaplan-Meier survival plots of the indicated genotypes in presence/absence of *A. pomorum*, with knockdown activated by *Voila-Gal4,* in presence/absence of *ChAT-Gal80*. Statistics from *post-hoc* tests of CoxPH model. Genotypes indicated above panels. See Figure S5 for tissue-specificity of transgene combinations. **B.** *Post-hoc* analysis of survival model reveals attenuated lifespan response to *A. pomorum* with midgut-directed *Tk^RNAi* (Genotypes as in A). Text indicates differences between *A. pomorum* and axenic flies in the given genotype. CoxPH analyses detected significant interactions both for microbiota*genotype (p<2.2e-16) and for Microbiota:*Voila-Gal4:ChAT-Gal80:UAS-TkRNAi* (p=0.0005). **C.** Kaplan-Meier survival plots of the indicated genotypes in presence/absence of *A. pomorum*, with knockdown activated

by *Tk-T2A-Gal4,* and neuronal activity suppressed by *R57C10-Gal80*. **D.** *Post-hoc* analysis of survival

model reveals attenuated lifespan response to *A. pomorum* with *Tk^RNAi* directed to midgut by *Tk-T2A -*

Gal4 and *R57C10-Gal80*. Statistics from *post-hoc* tests of CoxPH model, indicating differences between

Ap-flies and axenic flies in the given genotype. CoxPH detected overall genotype-by- *A. pomorum*

interaction (p=2.46e-5).

Role for neuronal *TkR99D^RNAi* in host ageing effects of *A. pomorum*

We asked which receptors might mediate the *Tk*-dependent response to *A. pomorum*, and from which

tissues. The fly genome expresses two cognate Tk receptors (TkRs) - *TkR86C* and *TkR99D* - which

have different affinities for the six peptides encoded by *Tk* [53], and distinct physiological roles. Plotting

steady-state (microbiota-independent) *TkR86C* and *TkR99D* expression, again using FlyAtlas2 data [46],

revealed that both TkRs were most strongly expressed in CNS (Figure 6A). We expected that knocking

down either one or both TkRs should extend lifespan in *Ap*-flies, mimicking effects of *Tk* knockdown.

We tested the lifespan impacts of adult-onset TkR manipulation. RNAi constructs were developmentally

lethal when crossed to ubiquitous drivers (*DaGS* and *ActGS*), even in the absence of inducer (RU), so

instead we established lifespan experiments using the pan-neuronal driver, *Elav-GS*. RNAi against

each TkR interacted with the lifespan effect of *A. pomorum*, but in notably distinct ways. *TkR86C^RNAi*

did not impact lifespan in *Ap*-flies, but axenics were no longer long-lived (Figure 6B-C): this reveals an

interaction with *A. pomorum* but does not recapitulate that of *Tk^RNAi,* suggesting that TkR86C likely does

not signal downstream of the *A. pomorum-Tk* relay. By contrast, *TkR99D^RNAi* rendered *Ap*-flies flies

long-lived, equivalent to axenics (Figure 6D-E). The most parsimonious explanation of these results is

an *Acetobacter*-Tk-TkR99D relay, which shortens lifespan.

In fly midgut, TkR99D reportedly plays a role in mobilising lipid stores in enterocytes of the fly midgut

[25], prompting us to ask whether it may play an additional role in lifespan from these cells. However,

expressing *TkR99D^RNAi* with the *Mex-GS* driver did not extend *Ap*-flies lifespan, suggesting that the *A.*

pomorum-Tk relay signals gut-nonautonomously (Figure S6).

Finally, we asked whether lifespan extension in axenics and upon knockdown of *TkR99D* was

accompanied by improved health in older flies (i.e. healthspan). Fly microbiota compromise healthspan

by promoting gut barrier dysfunction in aged animals, characterised by permeability which shortly

precedes death [54–57] . We therefore tested whether *A. pomorum* promoted gut barrier dysfunction, and

whether this could be rescued by *TkR99D^RNAi* induction. We aged axenic and *Ap*-flies, with *TkR99D^RNAi*

from day 3 of adulthood onwards, before feeding on a blue dye to report gut permeability after 56 days

(Figure 6F). *Ap*-flies indeed exhibited a higher rate of gut permeability than axenics, but *TkR99D^RNAi*

rescued the pathology to a level that was not significantly different from axenics. Thus, *TkR99D^RNAi* can

ameliorate deleterious impacts of *A. pomorum* on late-life health, suggesting that the putative *A.*
pomorum-Tk-TkR99D relay limits late-life health.

347
Figure 6. Pan-neuronal *TkR99D^RNAi* abrogates lifespan impact of *A. pomorum*. A. FlyAtlas data
showing expression of the two cognate *Drosophila* Tk receptors, *TkR86C* and *TkR99D*, among adult
female tissues. **B.** Kaplan-Meier survival plots of *Elav-GS; UAS-TkR86C^RNAi* flies in presence/absence
of *A. pomorum*, with knockdown activated by RU486 feeding (bottom facet). CoxPH detected significant
microbiota*RU interaction (p=0.0005). **C.** Post-hoc analysis of data from B showing that *TkR86C^RNAi*
induction locks axenic flies into a shortened lifespan. Text indicates differences between *A. pomorum*

and axenic flies in each given RU condition. **D.** Kaplan-Meier survival plots of *Elav-GeneSwitch; UAS-TkR99D^{RNAi}* flies in presence/absence of *A. pomorum*, with knockdown activated by RU486 feeding (bottom facet). CoxPH detected significant microbiota*RU interaction (p=1.362e-6). **E.** Post-hoc analysis of data from B showing that *TkR99D^{RNAi}* induction blocks shortening of lifespan by *A. pomorum*. Text indicates differences between *A. pomorum* and axenic flies in each given RU condition. **F.** Neuronal *TkR99D^{RNAi}* blocks onset of gut permeability ("smurf" phenotype) in aged flies. Bars show relative frequencies of flies with blue dye appearing to permeate through all tissues ("full smurf") and through abdomen/thorax ("partial smurf"). χ^2=16.126, DF=6, p=0.013. P-values on figure from chi-square tests of each given pair of columns, shown when differences were observed (otherwise omitted).

Lifespan and metabolic impacts of *A. pomorum* are not explained by *TkR99D* in insulin-producing cells

What happens downstream of the putative *A. pomorum*-Tk-TkR99D relay? Reduced insulin signalling promotes healthy ageing across species, with lifespan and other benefits reported in flies, nematodes and rodents, and genetic associations indicating potential conservation in humans [58–61]. *A. pomorum* is reported to activate insulin signalling [62], while *TkR99D* expression is reported in insulin-producing cells (IPCs) in the fly brain [63], ablation of which extends lifespan [64,65]. We therefore hypothesised that Tk-TkR99D signalling impacts ageing by serving as a relay between the gut microbiota and IPCs (Figure 7A).

We tested whether insulin signalling was required for lifespan to respond to *A. pomorum*. The forkhead box-O transcription factor dFOXO, encoded by the *dFoxO* locus, is obligately required for longevity upon reduced insulin signalling [66,67]. We recombined a dFOXO null mutation (*dFoxO^{Δ94}*) with transgenes to knock down *Tk* ubiquitously, performed lifespan assays, and used Cox proportional hazards analysis to test for an *A. pomorum*Tk*dFoxO* interaction (Figure 7B-C). We observed a significant three-way interaction (CoxPH p=5.384e-05) – indicating that *dFoxO^{Δ94}* modulated the microbiota-*Tk* interaction – and then used post-hoc tests to dissect the co-dependence of the three experimental variables (Table 1). Without *Tk* knockdown, *dFoxO^{Δ94}* flies exhibited a diminished but still-significant shortening of lifespan by *A. pomorum*. Without *dFoxO^{Δ94}*, *Tk* knockdown blocked lifespan response by rendering *Ap*-flies long-lived. In the *dFoxO^{Δ94}* background, *Tk* knockdown did not extend lifespan, in either *Ap*-flies or axenics. However, unexpectedly, *Tk^{RNAi}* ceased to block the effect of *A. pomorum* in the *dFoxO^{Δ94}* background. Thus, in summary, (A) *dFoxO* is partially required for lifespan effect of *A. pomorum*, (B) *dFoxO* is required for lifespan extension upon *Tk* knockdown, and (C) *dFoxO* is required for *A. pomorum* and *Tk* knockdown to have an interactive effect on lifespan. We interpret that *dFoxO* potentiates the putative *A. pomorum*-Tk-TkR99D relay.

Table 1. *dFoxO* is partially required for microbiota*Tk interaction for lifespan: post-hoc pairwise comparisons (EMMeans)

contrast	estimate	SE	z.ratio	p.value
(Ax WT RU-) - (Ap WT RU-)	-1.12	0.13	-8.76	6.38E-14
(Ax WT RU-) - (Ax dFoxOΔ RU-)	-0.92	0.12	-7.51	1.75E-12
(Ax WT RU-) - (Ap dFoxOΔ RU-)	-1.51	0.13	-11.87	0
(Ax WT RU-) - (Ax WT RU+)	-0.02	0.12	-0.15	0.9999999
(Ax WT RU-) - (Ap WT RU+)	-0.21	0.12	-1.77	0.63954118
(Ax WT RU-) - (Ax dFoxOΔ RU+)	-0.85	0.13	-6.79	3.21E-10
(Ax WT RU-) - (Ap dFoxOΔ RU+)	-1.48	0.13	-11.65	0
(Ap WT RU-) - (Ax dFoxOΔ RU-)	0.2	0.12	1.66	0.71153814
(Ap WT RU-) - (Ap dFoxOΔ RU-)	-0.39	0.12	-3.16	0.03369588
(Ap WT RU-) - (Ax WT RU+)	1.1	0.13	8.6	7.16E-14
(Ap WT RU-) - (Ap WT RU+)	0.91	0.13	7.27	1.00E-11
(Ap WT RU-) - (Ax dFoxOΔ RU+)	0.27	0.13	2.13	0.39332695
(Ap WT RU-) - (Ap dFoxOΔ RU+)	-0.36	0.12	-2.96	0.06098085
(Ax dFoxOΔ RU-) - (Ap dFoxOΔ RU-)	-0.59	0.12	-4.99	1.70E-05
(Ax dFoxOΔ RU-) - (Ax WT RU+)	0.9	0.12	7.34	5.87E-12
(Ax dFoxOΔ RU-) - (Ap WT RU+)	0.71	0.12	5.93	8.56E-08
(Ax dFoxOΔ RU-) - (Ax dFoxOΔ RU+)	0.06	0.12	0.54	0.99943704
(Ax dFoxOΔ RU-) - (Ap dFoxOΔ RU+)	-0.57	0.12	-4.77	5.07E-05
(Ap dFoxOΔ RU-) - (Ax WT RU+)	1.49	0.13	11.7	0
(Ap dFoxOΔ RU-) - (Ap WT RU+)	1.3	0.12	10.45	7.78E-14
(Ap dFoxOΔ RU-) - (Ax dFoxOΔ RU+)	0.65	0.12	5.35	2.43E-06
(Ap dFoxOΔ RU-) - (Ap dFoxOΔ RU+)	0.02	0.12	0.19	0.99999961
(Ax WT RU+) - (Ap WT RU+)	-0.19	0.12	-1.61	0.74370666
(Ax WT RU+) - (Ax dFoxOΔ RU+)	-0.84	0.13	-6.63	9.28E-10
(Ax WT RU+) - (Ap dFoxOΔ RU+)	-1.47	0.13	-11.49	0
(Ap WT RU+) - (Ax dFoxOΔ RU+)	-0.64	0.12	-5.23	4.63E-06
(Ap WT RU+) - (Ap dFoxOΔ RU+)	-1.27	0.12	-10.23	6.75E-14
(Ax dFoxOΔ RU+) - (Ap dFoxOΔ RU+)	-0.63	0.12	-5.14	7.52E-06

To assess directly the role of tissues reported to express *TkR99D*[63], we turned to the IPCs. We first

tested whether ablating these cells by expressing the pro-apoptotic factor *Rpr* would block lifespan

response to *A. pomorum*. Adult-onset *Rpr* expression, using the *Dilp2-GS* driver, extended lifespan in

Ap-flies but not axenics, which again rendered *Ap*-flies as long-lived as axenics (Figure 7D-E), and we

detected an overall microbiota*RU interaction (CoxPH p=0.003), indicating a role for IPCs in lifespan

shortening by *A. pomorum*. To test whether *TkR99D* directly regulated IPC function, we expressed

TkR99D[RNAi] under control of *Dilp2-GS* and assayed expression of the three insulin-like peptides

expressed in IPCs (*Ilp2, Ilp3, Ilp5*) by qRT-PCR of heads (Figure 7F, Table 2). *Ilp2* exhibited no

response to *A. pomorum* or *TkR99D*[RNAi].(linear model, microbiota:RU interaction $F_{1,24}$=0.424, p=0.5)

However, for *Ilp3* and *Ilp5*, *A. pomorum* promoted expression, and this effect was blocked by

TkR99D[RNAi] induction (linear models, microbiota:RU interactions *Ilp3* $F_{1,24}$=23.404, p=0.0001, *Ilp5*

$F_{1,24}$=29.261, p<0.0001.) Specifically, *Ilp3* and *Ilp5* expression levels were increased in *Ap*-flies, but

these effects were absent upon *TkR99D*[RNAi] induction (Table 2).

Table 2. *TkR99D*[RNAi] in insulin-producing cells blocks increased expression of *dilp3* and *dilp5*, but not *dilp2*, by *A. pomorum*: *post-hoc* tests.

Transcript	contrast	estimate	SE	df	t.ratio	p.value
dilp2	(axenic -) - (ap -)	0.34	0.82	24	0.42	0.98
	(axenic -) - (axenic +)	0.05	0.82	24	0.06	1
	(axenic -) - (ap +)	1.15	0.82	24	1.4	0.51
	(ap -) - (axenic +)	-0.29	0.82	24	-0.35	0.98
	(ap -) - (ap +)	0.81	0.82	24	0.98	0.76
	(axenic +) - (ap +)	1.1	0.82	24	1.34	0.55
dilp3	(axenic -) - (ap -)	-3.9	0.82	24	-4.74	0.0004
	(axenic -) - (axenic +)	-2.67	0.82	24	-3.24	0.02
	(axenic -) - (ap +)	-0.93	0.82	24	-1.13	0.67
	(ap -) - (axenic +)	1.23	0.82	24	1.5	0.45
	(ap -) - (ap +)	2.97	0.82	24	3.6	0.01
	(axenic +) - (ap +)	1.73	0.82	24	2.1	0.18
dilp5	(axenic -) - (ap -)	-4.18	0.82	24	-5.07	0.0002
	(axenic -) - (axenic +)	-3.32	0.82	24	-4.03	0.003
	(axenic -) - (ap +)	-1.19	0.82	24	-1.45	0.48
	(ap -) - (axenic +)	0.86	0.82	24	1.04	0.73
	(ap -) - (ap +)	2.98	0.82	24	3.62	0.01
	(axenic +) - (ap +)	2.13	0.82	24	2.58	0.07

Finally, we tested whether *TkR99D^RNAi* in IPCs restored axenic-like longevity in *Ap*-flies (Figures 7G-

H). As expected, *TkR99D^RNAi* induction in IPCs did not affect axenic lifespan, and *Ap*-flies were shorter-

lived than axenics without *TkR99D^RNAi*, but, surprisingly, the lifespan-shortening effect of *A. pomorum*

was exaggerated by *TkR99D^RNAi* induction (Figure 7H). The lifespan-shortening effect of *A. pomorum*

therefore seemingly cannot be explained by activating signalling through TkR99D in IPCs. We also

tested whether *TkR99D^RNAi* in IPCs altered effects of *A. pomorum* on TAG levels in young flies (Figure

7I): no interaction was apparent (though we detected independent effects of microbiota (ANOVA

$F=14.95$, $Df=1,37$, $p=0.0004$) and RNAi induction ($F=4.32$, $Df=1,37$, $p=0.04$)), suggesting that

interactive effects of *A. pomorum* and Tk peptides are not mediated by *TkR99D* in IPCs.

Altogether, these data outline involvement of insulin signalling in responses to *A. pomorum* and *Tk*, but

they do not suggest an obligate requirement for insulin signalling directly downstream of *A. pomorum*-

Tk-TkR99D signalling.

424
Figure 7. Insulin signalling is involved in lifespan response to *A. pomorum* and *TkR99D*

knockdown, but not obligately required. A. Hypothetical model of role for IIS. In presence of

microbiota (left), Tk peptides are released from gut and contact TkR99D in IPCs/brain. IPCs are

released into circulation, activating insulin signalling in peripheral tissues and leading to nuclear

exclusion of dFOXO. In absence of microbiota (right), extracellular signalling is diminished, reducing

downstream insulin signalling and consequent activation of lifespan-extending gene expression

program by dFOXO. **B.** dFOXO connects lifespan responses to *A. pomorum* and ubiquitous *Tk^{RNAi}*.

Facets show Kaplan-Meier plots in axenic and *A. pomorum* conditions, with/without RNAi induction

(RU), and with/without *dFoxO^{Δ94}* null mutation. Overall microbiota*RU*Foxo interaction p=5.384e-05

(CoxPH) **C.** Post-hoc comparisons (EMmeans), showing impact of *A. pomorum* under specified

conditions of *TkRNAi* induction and *dFoxO* deletion. *A. pomorum* shortens wild-type lifespan, but this effect is diminished by *dFoxO$^{\Delta 94}$*. *Tk^{RNAi}* induction blocks lifespan shortening by *A. pomorum* in wild-type background, but not in *dFoxO$^{\Delta 94}$* background; altogether suggesting that *dFoxO* is required for *A. pomorum* to influence longevity via Tk modulation. **D-E.** Insulin-producing cells (IPCs) are required for *A. pomorum* to influence lifespan (CoxPH p=0.003). Post-hoc tests (**E**) confirm that lifespan effect of *A. pomorum* (-RU) is absent following IPC ablation (*Rpr* expression, +RU). **F.** *A. pomorum* increases expression of *Dilp3* and *Dilp5,* but not *Dilp2*, in a *TkR99D* -dependent manner. Panels show expression (RT-qPCR) in heads of axenic and *Ap*-flies ±*TkR99D^{RNAi}* in IPCs (*Dilp2-GS/UAS-TkR99D^{RNAi}*). by Microbiota*RU interactions were tested for with linear models: *Dilp2* $F_{1,24}=0.424$, p=0.5, *Dilp3* $F_{1,24}=23.404$, p=0.0001, *Dilp5* $F_{1,24}=29.261$, p<0.0001. **G-H.** *TkR99D^{RNAi}* in IPCs worsens lifespan impact of *A. pomorum*. (**I**) Post-hoc tests indicate accentuated shortening of lifespan by *A. pomorum* following *TkR99D^{RNAi}* induction (+RU). **I.** *TkR99D^{RNAi}* in IPCs does not modulate metabolic impact of *A. pomorum* on fly TAG. The two experimental factors each had significant effects on TAG (ANOVA, *A. pomorum* F=14.95, Df=1,37, p=0.0004; RU F=4.32, Df=1,37, p=0.04), but no interaction was detected.

No evidence of role for *TkR99D* in Akh-producing cells in lifespan and metabolic response to *A. pomorum*

In insects, adipokinetic hormone (Akh) is released from *corpora cardiaca* (cc) to promote lipid mobilisation in response to heightened energy demand, analogous to glucagon in mammals[68–70] . Having observed *Tk*-dependent effects of microbiota on TAG storage, and following recent evidence that *TkR99D* in Akh-producing cells limits lifespan[24], we tested whether it may also mediate lifespan impact of *A. pomorum*.

First, to test whether Akh peptide levels in CC were *A. pomorum*-dependent, we quantified Akh with antibody staining. Akh staining levels were significantly higher in CC dissected from *Ap*-flies than axenics (Figure 8A), suggesting microbial regulation of Akh signalling.

We then tested directly whether TkR99D was required in Akh-producing cells for *A. pomorum* to shorten lifespan. Expressing *TkR99D^{RNAi}* under control of *Akh-Gal4* did indeed extend lifespan relative to controls (noting background effects, despite backcrossing immediately before experimentation), but RNAi did not alter the lifespan-shortening effect of *A. pomorum* (Figure 8B-C). These data corroborate a recent report that *TkR99D* knockdown in CC extends lifespan[24], but indicate that effects of *A. pomorum* are independent of these signalling changes. We also tested whether RNAi against Akh receptor (*AkhR*) in adipose (fat body) altered response to *A. pomorum*, driving expression with *S106-GS*, but again no interaction was apparent (Figure S7). Finally, we tested whether *TkR99D* in Akh-producing cells interacted with effects of *A. pomorum* on TAG storage. *TkR99D^{RNAi}* induction did not block response to *A. pomorum*, with *Ap*-flies storing less lipid than axenics independent of fly genotype (Figure 8D), indicating that *A. pomorum*'s influence on TAG does not depend on TkR99D in CC.

473   Combined with our investigation of insulin signalling, our studies of Akh suggest that the impact of

474   *Acetobacter* on ageing is mediated by non-canonical mechanisms that depend on *Tk* and *TkR99D.*

476
Figure 8. *A. pomorum* modulation of host lifespan and TAG is independent of *TkR99D* in Akh-

producing cells. A. Antibody staining of Akh in dissected Corpora Cardiaca (CC) is increased in *Ap-*

flies (Ap) relative to axenics (Ax). (t-test: t = -2.36, p-value = 0.04). **B.** Microbial shortening of lifespan

is independent of *TkR99D* signalling in Akh+ cells. Facets show Kaplan-Meier survival curves for axenic

and *Ap*-flies when *TkR99D* is knocked down (middle facet) and in each control genotype. No significant

interaction of genotype and *A. pomorum* was detected (CoxPH p=0.58). **C.** Post-hoc comparisons

(EMmeans) confirm equivalent lifespan shortening by *A. pomorum*, independent of

*TkR99D^RNAi^*. Combination of transgenes indicated above facets. **D.** *A. pomorum* modulation of host

TAG is independent of *TkR99D^RNAi^* in Akh+ cells. Boxplots show TAG levels are reduced independent

of *TkR99D^RNAi^* induction. No significant interaction of genotype and *A. pomorum* detected.

487
488

Discussion

Microbiota impact ageing across animals [1,4,8,10,12,71,72], and connections between gut and the nervous system are key to health throughout the lifecourse [73–75] . Here we have connected microbial regulation of ageing with tissue-specific regulation of endocrine signalling genes in gut and neurons. Our *Drosophila* results show that (A) *A. pomorum* is sufficient to modulate *Tk* in the midgut, (B) knocking down the peptide in midgut blocks the lifespan response to the bacteria, and (C) neuronal knockdown of the receptor *TkR99D* recapitulates these effects. We are struck by the specificity of this circuit, with knockdown of a single peptide-encoding locus in a specific tissue ablating the microbial impact on lifespan, despite the wide array of host functions that the microbiota affect. This specific requirement can likely be explained by the highly pleiotropic functions of Tachykinins [31,53], suggesting that *Tk* knockdown reprograms organismal physiology to a state in which lifespan can no longer be modulated by microbiota. Given the evolutionary conservation of tachykinins, it will be interesting in future work to ask whether this family of peptides can also modulate ageing in other species.

Our work indicates that the influence of the microbiota-*Tk/TkR99D* relay is partially independent of better-studied lifespan assurance mechanisms, i.e. insulin signalling, and phenotypes associated with ageing. The lifespan benefit of *Tk* knockdown was not accompanied by costs to fecundity or feeding rate in CR flies, but instead knockdown altered metabolic impact of microbiota. Furthermore, knocking down *TkR99D* in major signalling hubs associated with ageing and TAG metabolism (IPCs and Akh+ cells, respectively) did not block lifespan or TAG response to *A. pomorum*, suggesting that yet-uncharacterised neuroendocrine mechanisms are at play. We interpret the partial requirement for *dFoxO*, connecting *A. pomorum* to *Tk^{RNAi}* modulation of lifespan, as suggesting that insulin potentiates downstream responsiveness, without necessarily being involved directly by *TkR99D* in IPCs.

Our work was performed entirely in females. We chose to study the sex that tends to exhibit more robust response to anti-ageing interventions[76] , because of the labour-intensive and technically challenging nature of performing lifespan experiments under lifelong axenic conditions. Having established this the *A. pomorum-Tk-TkR99D* connection, it will be interesting in future work to ask whether males also enjoy a lifespan benefit of diminishing the activity of this circuit.

Tk is one of a number of signalling circuits implicated downstream of the microbiota, for example JAK-STAT and JNK signalling[77] , IMD[47,78] , and the neuropeptide CNMamide [79]. We do not propose that Tachykinins alone are sufficient to explain all physiological effects of the microbiota: rather we expect that the interplay of multiple signalling pathways collectively orchestrate the host organismal response to microbiota. Indeed our transcriptome (re)analysis (Figure 1B) suggested that a community of bacteria co-regulate expression of the neuropeptides *ITP, AstC* and *NPF* alongside *Tk.* We note that *Tk* and *NPF* are co-expressed in a number of the same EE populations[80], and related functions on nutrient-

specific appetite, physiology and Akh signalling are reported[51,81], suggesting closely coupled functions

for Tk and NPF which may also be relevant to integrating responses to microbiota. Further work should

aim to identify the broader signalling networks that respond to the microbiota, and the extent to which

the constituents and structure of those networks mirror what is known in other contexts (e.g. responses

to diet or pathogenic infection).

A key element to better understanding how signalling networks at large respond to microbiota will be to

better understand what properties of the microbiota the signals convey. Signalling is selected to convey

information about environmental, nutritional, and physiological state: which of these parameters do the

microbiota impact? Impacts on metabolism could be mediated by direct provision of nutrients (e.g.

short-chain fatty acids, B-vitamins[4,26,82]), and/or competition with the host by removal of nutrients from

diet[83]. Immunity may be modulated by bacterial titre, to prevent pathological overgrowth of otherwise

mutualistic bacteria. Our results indicate that the interplay between bacteria and *Tk* knockdown is

bacteria-specific, suggesting in turn that more complex mixes of bacteria that co-occur with the fly may

elicit distinct signalling network topologies. Furthermore, the previous finding that fly transcriptional

networks are structured by microbiota[84] lead to a question of whether other host networks - such as

endocrine signalling - may also be structured by microbiota, in which case signalling in the presence of

one bacterium might elicit quite different physiological responses than in the presence of a distinct

bacterium.

The two biggest mechanistic questions arising from this work are (A) from where in the nervous system

does *TkR99D* inhibition extend lifespan? and (B) by what mechanism does inhibition extend lifespan?

We tested two neuroendocrine tissues, the IPCs and the Akh+ cells, finding that *TkR99D^RNAi* in either

did not block shortened lifespan or TAG phenotypes in *Ap*-flies (though bacteria-independent effects of

TkR99D^RNAi in *Akh+* cells were apparent). These data suggest that we can reject a role for TkR99D in

IPCs and *Akh+* cells, and instead that an elusive population of *TkR99D+* neurons is at play downstream

of the *Acetobacter-Tk* relay. With respect to future mechanistic understanding, we suggest it will be

important to note that pan-neuronal *TkR99D^RNAi* also mitigated gut permeability (smurfing) in aged *Ap*-

fies, because the smurf phenotype is underpinned by increased immune system activity[56,85], and

activation of *Tk* cells by *Acetobacter* is dependent on immune (IMD) signalling[47]. Together these

observations suggest close links between *Tk* signalling and immunity, outlining a possible role for

Acetobacter/Tk/TkR99D in "inflammaging".

The biggest comparative question arising from our work is whether our findings are relevant in other

animals, potentially including mammals. Flies have a track record of revealing mechanisms of ageing

that are conserved in other systems[58–60,86–90]. Tachykinins are conserved from invertebrates to

mammals, raising the question of whether their functions in ageing are also conserved. The next step

would most likely be to assess whether Tachykinin knockdown has anti-ageing effects in rodents, and/or

short-lived vertebrates like the African turquoise killifish. Such studies may pave the way for drug

repurposing, as some small-molecule inhibitors of human TkRs have already been developed and are
licenced in some jurisdictions for specific purposes. Such interest is timely since the therapeutic role of
TkRs is receiving renewed attention for potential metabolic therapies[91].

In conclusion, our study establishes a mechanistic connection between the microbiota and ageing via
gut/neuron signalling. Microbial lifespan effects depend on *Tk/TkR* signalling, which can be explained
by *Acetobacter* activating intestinal *Tk* and, downstream, neuronal *TkR99D*. The findings hint at effects
which may be conserved in other systems, which may ultimately help to explain mechanistically why
microbiota exert a conserved influence on animal health and ageing.

Materials and methods

Flies, bacteria, husbandry and culturing

Stocks used are detailed in Table 3. All stocks (except for recombinant *R57C10-Gal80;;Tk-T2A-Gal4,*
gift from Kim Rewitz) were backcrossed into the Dahomey background (originally isolated from Benin
in the 1970s), bearing the *w1118* mutation and confirmed *Wolbachia*-negative. Presence of *dFoxO^{Δ94}*
in recombinant flies was confirmed by PCR of mothers of single-fly crosses.

All flies were maintained at 25°C with a 12-12-hour light- dark cycle on SYA medium, comprising 5%
sucrose (MP Biomedicals BP220), 10% yeast (MP Biomedicals, 903312, lot no. S7760), and 1.5% agar
(Sigma-Aldrich A7002)[92,93]. Preservatives (0.3% nipagin, 0.3% propionic acid) were incorporated into
media for stock maintenance. Crosses were performed on juice agar in egg laying cages (per litre: 20g
agar, 26g sucrose, 52g glucose, 7g yeast, 88.8ml organic clementine or grape juice). To generate
axenic flies, eggs were collected and washed 2x in alternating 10% bleach for (3-5 min) and sterile
ddH2O for (1 min), with an optional additional 1 min wash in EtOH, before being allowed to pellet by
gravity in sterile PBS. 20 μl of egg pellet was aspirated with wide-mouthed pipette tips into T75 flasks
containing 50mL SYA. Preservatives were included during fly development only in CR experiments. We
made gnotobiotic embryos as as previously [93]. M9+lactate media comprised 200ml 5x M9 salts, 2ml
1M $MgSO_4$, 1ml 1M $CaCl_2$, 25ml 20% (v/v) lactate, made up to 1l in ddH_2O and autoclaved. YPD media
comprised 10g yeast extract (VWR 84601.0500), 20g peptone (VWR 84620.0500), 20g dextrose
(Fisher Life Sci G/0500/53). *Acetobacter pomorum* (DmCS004) was streaked and grown on M9+lactate
plates (1.5% agar), before growing single colonies in liquid M9+lactate at 30°C with shaking (225 rpm)
for 2 days. (DmCS004). *L. brevis* (DmCS003) was streaked on YPD (1.5% agar), then grown in liquid
YPD for two days at 30C in static culture. Cultures were pelleted, washed, resuspended and diluted in
sterile PBS to an OD_{600} of 0.2. 200 μL of bacterial suspensions were added to T75 flasks containing
freshly-prepared axenic eggs.

Newly emerged flies transferred to fresh media using sterile technique in a laminar flow hood, (preservatives as per development) for the first three days of adulthood before gentle anaesthesia on CO_2. Males were removed and females allocated to SYA containing preservatives in sterile glass *Drosophila* vials, using aseptic technique in a laminair flow hood with a sterile paint brush and sterile petri dish on ice. In experiments using RU486 (Mifepristone, Cayman Chemical, CAY10006317), 2ml l^{-1} of 100mM RU486 stock solution in EtOH was incorporated into media at $200\mu M$ final concentration, and fed from day 3 adulthood onwards. 2ml EtOH was incorporated as vehicle control in RU-free controls.

For lifespan assays, mated females were allocated to sterile glassware in groups of 15, and transferred to fresh food every 2–3 days. At each transfer, deaths and censors were scored until all flies were dead. For axenic and gnotobiotic lifespans, fly tipping was performed in a sterile laminar flow hood with aseptic technique.

Table 3. *Drosophila* stocks used in this study.

Genotype	Abbreviation	Source		
		BDSC	VDRC	Other
***UAS* lines**				
UAS-TK-shRNAi			v330743	
UAS-TK-RNAi		B25800		
UAS-TK-RNAi			v103662	
UAS-TkR99D-RNAi			v44369	
UAS-TkR86C-RNAi			v107090	
UAS-Reaper				Cathy Slack, U. Warwick
UAS-AkhR-RNAi				Kenneth Halberg, U. Copenhagen
UAS-MCD8::GFP				Julia Cordero, U. Glasgow
***Gene Switch* drivers**				
Daughterless-GeneSwitch;	***DaGS***			Cathy Slack, U. Warwick
Dilp2-GeneSwitch	***Dilp-GS***			Nathan Woodling, U. Glasgow
S106-GeneSwitch	***S106-GS***			Cathy Slack, U. Warwick
Elav-GeneSwitch	***Elav-GS***			Nathan Woodling, U. Glasgow
Mex-GeneSwitch	***Mex-GS***			Jean-René Martin, CNRS Université Paris-Saclay
***GAL4* drivers**				
Voila-GAL4				Julia Cordero, U. Glasgow
Akh-GAL4				Kenneth Halberg, U. Copenhagen
TK-T2A-GAL4	***TK-GAL4***			Kim Rewitz, U. Copenhagen
Chat-Gal80				Julia Cordero, U. Glasgow
R57C10-Gal80				Kim Rewitz, U. Copenhagen
Other				

| FOXOO [a] | | Cathy Slack, U. Warwick |
| White Dahomey-20 | **wD⁻²⁰** | Enric Ureña & Nazif Alic, UCL. |

Feeding and egg laying assays

Feeding rate was measured by quantifying average proboscis extension rate (PER) over 3-4 hours.
Vials containing 5 mated females each were arranged on a benchtop in a 25ºC room the night before
behavioural observations to allow acclimation. Experimenters were blinded to vial ID and experimental
condition. The following morning, PER was recorded by iteratively counting the instantaneous number
of flies feeding per vial for 3-4 hours. The average of these measurements per each given vial was
taken as that vial's feeding rate. At the end of the experiment, flies were disposed, and vials frozen. The
next day eggs were counted from the same frozen vials.

TAG assay

Female flies were collected and divided into groups of 5, 3 days post eclosion. Each group was weighed
on a Thermo microbalance to an accuracy of .01 mg. Weighed females were added to a sterile 2mL
screw-cap tube containing sterile 1.4mm ceramic beads and 125 μL of TET buffer (10mM Tris, 1mM
EDTA, pH 8.0, 0.1% (v/v) Triton-X-100). Samples were homogenised for 30s at max speed. After
homogenisation, samples were incubated at 72°C for 15 min in a dry bath to inactivate lipases and
other enzymes. Then samples were centrifuged at max speed for 5 min at 4°C. From each sample 3
μL of the supernatant was added to a clear, flat bottom 96 well plate. Standard curves were generated
using a glycerol stock ranging from 1-0 ug/ul. Triglyceride levels were assayed by the method of Fossati
and Prencipe[94] , with absorbance measured at 540 nm in a Thermo MultiSkan FC plate reader.

RNA extraction

RNA was extracted from whole flies by the addition of 10 female flies per sample to sterile 2 mL screw
cap tubes containing 600 μL of TRIzol (Ambion by Life technologies) and homogenised in a Ribolyzer
and running at 6.5 (max) for 10 seconds. 200 μL chloroform was added, briefly vortexed, and incubated
at RT for 3 min. Samples were centrifuged 12,000rpm for 15m at 4°C, 250μl of isopropanol was added
to supernatants, vortexed, and incubated at RT for 10 minutes. Samples were then centrifuged at
12,000rpm for 10m at 4°C. The liquid was removed and discarded, while the pellet containing the RNA
was washed with 1mL of 75% ethanol. Samples were centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 10m at 4ºC. The
liquid was removed without disturbing the pellet, and the tubes were dried at RT with caps opened.
Pellets were reconstituted in DEPC water (10μl/fly) and stored at -80ºC.

16s amplicon sequencing
DNA was extracted from whole guts, dissected from 3 day-old wild-type stock females (i.e.
conventionally-reared and bearing a complete microbiota, 5 per sample, 10 samples total) after 1

minute surface-sterilisation in 70% EtOH. Guts were deposited immediately into TRIzol and

homogenised in a ribolyser. 300μl chloroform was added and inverted followed by 3 mins incubation at

room temperature, 15 minutes centrifugation at 12000g. Aqueous layer was removed before 500μl back

extraction buffer (4M Guanidine thiocyanate, 50 mM sodium citrate, 1M Tris base) was added and

mixed by inverting. Samples were spun again at 12000g for 30 minutes and aqueous phase transferred

to new tubes with 400 μl isopropanol. Samples were mixed by inversion, centrifuged 15 minutes at

12000g. Pellets were washed in 70% ethanol, dried, and resuspended in 50 μl TE buffer.

Library prep was performed by the University of Glasgow MVLS Shared Research Facility from 12.5ng

input DNA per sample, with an initial PCR using Accustart PCRToughMix and 0.5μl 10mM primers

against   16s   V3/V4   region   (FWD

TCGTCGGCAGCGTCAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAGCCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG,   REV

GTCTCGTGGGCTCGGAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAGGACTACHVGGGTATCTAATCC),   using   25

cycles at an annealing temperature of 60°C, followed by barcoding with Illumina Nextera XT 1 primers,

cleanup with 0.9X Spri Select beads (Beckman Coulter), and washing in 80% EtOH. Libraries were

quantified by Qubit HS DNA assay and size distributions checked on an Agilent Bioanalyser. Pooled

libraries were sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq in paired end mode (300bp) using a 600 cycle cartridge

kit, 5% PhiX, to an average depth of 170K reads per sample.

RT-qPCR

RNA samples were quantified by spectrophotometry using a Nanodrop 1000 UV-Vis spectrophotometer

(ThermoScientific). First strand synthesis of cDNA was performed using the SuperScriptTM Reverse

Transcriptase (RT) kit (Invitrogen), following the manufacturer's protocol (using 2μg of RNA). The PCR

profile was as follows: 95°C for 2 minutes; 94°C denaturation for 30 seconds, 60°C annealing for 30

seconds, 72°C extension for 30 seconds each for 40 cycles, with a final extension at 72°C for 5 minutes.

The amplicon was migrated through a 1% (w/v) agarose gel. To test for relative gene expression levels,

RT-qPCR was performed. Each well contained 2 μl cDNA, 1.5 μl 10 mM forward primer, 1.5 μl 10 mM

reverse primer, 3 μl water, 10 μl SYBR green PCR master mix, and 2 ul ROX (Qiagen, UK). The

following PCR profile was used: 95°C for 5 minutes; 94°C for 30 seconds, 60°C for 30 seconds, 72°C

for 30 seconds each for 40 cycles, and a final extension at 72°C for 5 minutes. Mean cycle threshold

(Ct) values for duplicates of each of the studied genes were derived by subtracting the combined mean

Ct value of constitutively expressed housekeeping genes *alcohol dehydrogenase* (*ADH*) or *tubulin*.

Primers shown in Table 4.

 Table 4 Primers for RT-qPCR

Target	Forward	Reverse
TK	TTCATATGCGCCCTCTGAGCG	ATGAAGCTGGACGTGGGCGC
Bursicon	CGCCACGAGAACAACAAGGT	CATCCAGGATACTGGAGCAC
ADH	GCTAACGAGTACTTGCATCTCTTC	AGACCGGCAACGAAAATCAC
Tubulin	TCGCCGTCACCGGAGTCCAT	TGGGCCCGTCTGGACCACAA
Dilp2	ATGGTGTGCGAGGAGTATAATCC	TCGGCACCGGGCATG
Dilp3	AGAGAACTTTGGACCCCGTGAA	TGAACCGAACTATCACTCAACAGTCT
Dilp5	GAGGCACCTTGGGCCTATTC	CATGTGGTGAGATTCGGAGCTA

Antibody staining and imaging

Whole guts were extracted from 10-day old female flies (axenic, gnotobiotic, and axenic on 1μM TSA), and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 30m in a 12 well plate. Guts were then incubated in 1 mL of PBST (1X PBS with 0.1% triton X-100) overnight with slight shaking at 4°C. PBST was carefully aspirated from sample wells, and guts were then washed with 1 mL of Blocking buffer (10% 1X PBS, 1% triton X-100, 2.5% Normal Goat Serum) at 4°C overnight. Blocking buffer was removed, and 0.5 mL of acetyl-lysine antibody (Cell Signaling Technologies 9441) diluted 1/800 in blocking buffer was added to the wells, and incubated overnight at 4°C with slight shaking. Guts were then washed 3X with PBST for 10 minutes, followed by a 1 hr RT PBST wash with slight shaking. 0.5 mL of secondary antibody secondary antibodies diluted 1/200 in PBST was added to the guts, and incubated overnight at 4°C. Guts were washed 3X in PBST for 10 minutes. Guts were then mounted in Vectashield for imaging.

Corpora cardiaca were dissected, fixed and washed as above, stained with anti-Akh (gift from Kenneth Halberg) diluted 1:1000, and stained with secondary antibodies as above.

Confocal microscopy

Imaging was performed on a Zeiss LSM 880 confocal microscope and processed using Zeiss Zen Blue (v3.8) and NIH ImageJ software 1.53a prior to transfer to Adobe Photoshop and Illustrator (Creative Cloud 2025; CA, USA) for final presentation.

Data analysis

All enumerated data were plotted and analysed in R 4.4.2. [95]. Kaplan-Meier curves were plotted with survminer::ggsurvplot_facet. Other quantitative data were plotted with ggplot2. Survival data were analysed with CoxPH models (survival::coxph). Fecundity data were analysed with negative binomial

models (lme4::glmer.nb). All other data were analysed with stats::lm (after logit transformation for
proportion flies feeding). Post-hoc tests were performed with emmeans::joint_tests and
emmeans::pairs, coefficients were extracted from emmeans::emmip. Survival indices were generated
by applying emmeans::emmip to coxph models, multiplied by -1, such that higher values corresponded
to longer lifespan.

Published transcriptome data [23] were reanalysed by FastQC and quantified with Salmon. The
previous publication by Bost et al[23] presented bulk RNAseq on midguts of flies reared under axenic
conditions or with a 5-species gnotobiotic microbiota comprising *Acetobacter* and *Levilactobacillus*
species. The original analysis presented excluded expression of ~70% of genes in the fly genome,
and hormones were largely excluded. We reanalysed the raw data using Salmon [96] to quantify
expression, which quantified expression of 10,290 genes, including 14 of the 15 enteroendocrine
hormone genes (except *bursicon*). We tested for differential expression using DESeq2, identifying 470
differentially expressed genes (FDR ≤ 0.01, ≥2-fold difference in mean expression). These 470 genes
included four peptide hormones: *Tachykinin (Tk), Allostatin C (AstC), Ion Transport Peptide (ITP), and*
Neuropeptide F (NPF). Since *Burs* was not quantified by the Salmon algorithm, we tested
independently whether its expression was modulated by microbiota by extracting RNA from midguts
of CR and axenic flies.

Acknowledgments
We thank Julia Cordero for helpful discussion, reagents and training; Nathan Woodling and Colin
Selman for comments on the manuscript; and Kim Rewitz, Kenneth Halberg, Jean-René Martin and
Peter Newell for reagents. The authors gratefully acknowledge the Cellular Analysis Facility at the
University of Glasgow – particularly David McGuinness – for support and assistance in this work. This
work was funded by a UKRI Future Leaders Fellowship (MR/S033939/1 and MR/Y019660/1, AD),
BBSRC (BB/W510658/1, DRS and AD), a University of Glasgow Lord Kelvin Adam Smith Fellowship
(AD), a University of Glasgow Lord Kelvin Adam Smith Scholarship (RI), and funds from the University
of Glasgow Wellcome Trust Institutional Strategic Support award (RI and AD).

Bibliography

1. Cabreiro, F. *et al.* Metformin Retards Aging in C. elegans by Altering Microbial Folate and
Methionine Metabolism. *Cell* **153**, 228–239 (2013).

2. Pryor, R. *et al.* Host-Microbe-Drug-Nutrient Screen Identifies Bacterial Effectors of Metformin
Therapy. *Cell* (2019) doi:10.1016/j.cell.2019.08.003.

3. Houthoofd, K. *et al.* Axenic growth up-regulates mass-specific metabolic rate, stress resistance,
and extends life span in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Experimental gerontology* **37**, 1371–8 (2002).

4. Sannino, D. R., Dobson, A. J., Edwards, K., Angert, E. R. & Buchon, N. The Drosophila
melanogaster Gut Microbiota Provisions Thiamine to Its Host. *mBio* **9**, (2018).

5. Brummel, T., Ching, A., Seroude, L., Simon, A. F. & Benzer, S. Drosophila lifespan enhancement
by exogenous bacteria. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*
America **101**, 12974–12979 (2004).

6. Matthews, M. K. *et al.* Genetic Influences of the Microbiota on the Life Span of Drosophila
melanogaster. *Appl Environ Microb* **86**, (2020).

7. Obata, F., Fons, C. O. & Gould, A. P. Early-life exposure to low-dose oxidants can increase
longevity via microbiome remodelling in Drosophila. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 975 (2018).

8. Smith, P. *et al.* Regulation of life span by the gut microbiota in the short-lived African turquoise
killifish. *eLife* **6**, (2017).

9. Valenzano, D. *et al.* The African Turquoise Killifish Genome Provides Insights into Evolution and
Genetic Architecture of Lifespan. *Cell* **163**, 1539–1554 (2015).

10. Snyder, D., Pollard, M., Wostmann, B. & Luckert, P. Life span, morphology, and pathology of diet-
restricted germ-free and conventional Lobund-Wistar rats. *Journal of gerontology* **45**, B52-8 (1990).

11. Gordon, H. A., Bruckner-Kardoss, E. & Wostmann, B. S. Aging in germ-free mice: life tables and
lesions observed at natural death. *Journal of gerontology* **21**, 380–7 (1966).

12. Tazume, S. *et al.* Effects of germfree status and food restriction on longevity and growth of mice.
Jikken dobutsu. Experimental animals **40**, 517–22 (1991).

13. OToole, P. & Jeffery, I. Gut microbiota and aging. *Science* **350**, 1214–1215 (2015).

14. Johansen, J. *et al.* Centenarians have a diverse gut virome with the potential to modulate
metabolism and promote healthy lifespan. *Nat. Microbiol.* **8**, 1064–1078 (2023).

15. Liu, X. *et al.* Mendelian randomization analyses reveal causal relationships between the human
microbiome and longevity. *Sci. Rep.* **13**, 5127 (2023).

16. Gagnon, E. *et al.* Impact of the gut microbiota and associated metabolites on cardiometabolic
traits, chronic diseases and human longevity: a Mendelian randomization study. *J. Transl. Med.* **21**,
60 (2023).

17. Williams, G. C. Pleiotropy, natural selection, and the evolution of senescence. . *Evolution* **11**,
(1957).

18. Schwarzer, M. *et al.* Lactobacillus plantarum strain maintains growth of infant mice during chronic
undernutrition. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* **351**, 854–7 (2016).

19. Storelli, G. *et al.* Lactobacillus plantarum promotes Drosophila systemic growth by modulating
hormonal signals through TOR-dependent nutrient sensing. *Cell metabolism* **14**, 403–14 (2011).

20. Wong, A., Dobson, A. J. & Douglas, A. E. Gut microbiota dictates the metabolic response of
Drosophila to diet. *The Journal of Experimental Biology* **217**, 1894–1901 (2014).

21. Liu, X. *et al.* Microbes affect gut epithelial cell composition through immune-dependent regulation
of intestinal stem cell differentiation. *Cell Reports* **38**, 110572 (2022).

22. Broderick, N. A., Buchon, N. & Lemaitre, B. Microbiota-induced changes in drosophila
melanogaster host gene expression and gut morphology. *mBio* **5**, e01117-14 (2014).

23. Bost, A. *et al.* How gut transcriptional function of Drosophila melanogaster varies with the
presence and composition of the gut microbiota. *Mol Ecol* **27**, 1848–1859 (2018).

24. Rewitz, K. *et al.* Protein-responsive gut hormone Tachykinin directs food choice and impacts
lifespan in Drosophila. (2024) doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-3837414/v1.

25. Song, W., Veenstra, J. A. & Perrimon, N. Control of Lipid Metabolism by Tachykinin in Drosophila.
Cell Reports (2014) doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2014.08.060.

26. Wong, A. C.-N., Dobson, A. J. & Douglas, A. E. Gut microbiota dictates the metabolic response of
Drosophila to diet. *J Exp Biology* **217**, 1894–1901 (2014).

27. Dobson, A. J. *et al.* Host genetic determinants of microbiota-dependent nutrition revealed by
genome-wide analysis of Drosophila melanogaster. *Nature communications* **6**, 6312 (2015).

28. Moullan, N. *et al.* Tetracyclines Disturb Mitochondrial Function across Eukaryotic Models: A Call
for Caution in Biomedical Research. *Cell Rep.* **10**, 1681–1691 (2015).

29. Chatzispyrou, I. A., Held, N. M., Mouchiroud, L., Auwerx, J. & Houtkooper, R. H. Tetracycline
Antibiotics Impair Mitochondrial Function and Its Experimental Use Confounds Research. *Cancer*
Res. **75**, 4446–4449 (2015).

30. Ronayne, C. T. *et al.* Tetracyclines activate mitoribosome quality control and reduce ER stress to
promote cell survival. *EMBO Rep.* **24**, e57228 (2023).

31. Nässel, D. R. What Drosophila can tell us about state-dependent peptidergic signaling in insects.
Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol. 104275 (2025) doi:10.1016/j.ibmb.2025.104275.

32. Partridge, L., Gems, D. & Withers, D. J. Sex and Death: What Is the Connection? *Cell* **120**,
(2005).

33. Flatt, T. Ageing: Diet and longevity in the balance. *Nature* **462**, 989–990 (2009).

34. Good, T. & Tatar, M. Age-specific mortality and reproduction respond to adult dietary restriction in
Drosophila melanogaster. (2001).

35. Grandison, R. C., Piper, M. D. & Partridge, L. Amino-acid imbalance explains extension of lifespan
by dietary restriction in Drosophila. *Nature* **462**, 1061–1064 (2009).

36. Mair, W., Piper, M. D. & Partridge, L. Calories Do Not Explain Extension of Life Span by Dietary
Restriction in Drosophila. *PLoS Biology* **3**, e223 (2005).

37. Turnbaugh, P. J. *et al.* An obesity-associated gut microbiome with increased capacity for energy
harvest. *Nature* **444**, 1027 (2006).

38. Vijay-Kumar, M. *et al.* Metabolic Syndrome and Altered Gut Microbiota in Mice Lacking Toll-Like
Receptor 5. *Science* **328**, 228–231 (2010).

39. Wong, A. C.-N., Chaston, J. M. & Douglas, A. E. The inconstant gut microbiota of Drosophila
species revealed by 16S rRNA gene analysis. *ISME J.* **7**, 1922–1932 (2013).

40. Wang, Y. & Staubach, F. Individual variation of natural D.melanogaster-associated bacterial
communities. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* **365**, fny017 (2018).

41. Brown, J. J. *et al.* Microbiome structure of a wild Drosophila community along tropical elevational
gradients and comparison to laboratory lines. *bioRxiv* 2021.07.28.454263 (2023)
doi:10.1101/2021.07.28.454263.

42. Staubach, F., Baines, J. F., Kuenzel, S., Bik, E. M. & Petrov, D. A. Host species and
environmental effects on bacterial communities associated with Drosophila in the laboratory and in
the natural environment. *arXiv* (2012) doi:10.48550/arxiv.1211.3367.

43. Chandler, J. A., Lang, J. M., Bhatnagar, S., Eisen, J. A. & Kopp, A. Bacterial Communities of
Diverse Drosophila Species: Ecological Context of a Host–Microbe Model System. *PLoS Genet.* **7**,
e1002272 (2011).

44. Newell, P. D. *et al.* In vivo function and comparative genomic analyses of the Drosophila gut
microbiota identify candidate symbiosis factors. *Front. Microbiol.* **5**, 576 (2014).

45. Newell, P. D. & Douglas, A. E. Interspecies Interactions Determine the Impact of the Gut
Microbiota on Nutrient Allocation in Drosophila melanogaster. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **80**, 788–796
(2014).

46. Leader, D. P., Krause, S. A., Pandit, A., Davies, S. A. & Dow, J. A. T. FlyAtlas 2: a new version of
the Drosophila melanogaster expression atlas with RNA-Seq, miRNA-Seq and sex-specific data.
Nucleic Acids Res. **46**, D809–D815 (2018).

47. Jugder, B.-E., Kamareddine, L. & Watnick, P. I. Microbiota-derived acetate activates intestinal
innate immunity via the Tip60 histone acetyltransferase complex. *Immunity* **54**, 1683-1697.e3 (2021).

48. Scopelliti, A. *et al.* Local Control of Intestinal Stem Cell Homeostasis by Enteroendocrine Cells in
the Adult Drosophila Midgut. *Current Biology* **24**, (2014).

49. Lin, H.-H. *et al.* A nutrient-specific gut hormone arbitrates between courtship and feeding. *Nature*
602, 632–638 (2022).

50. Medina, A. B. *et al.* Neuroendocrine Control of Intestinal Regeneration Through the Vascular
Niche in Drosophila. *bioRxiv* 2024.09.10.612352 (2024) doi:10.1101/2024.09.10.612352.

51. Malita, A. *et al.* A gut-derived hormone suppresses sugar appetite and regulates food choice in
Drosophila. *Nat. Metab.* **4**, 1532–1550 (2022).

52. Kubrak, O. *et al.* The gut hormone Allatostatin C/Somatostatin regulates food intake and
metabolic homeostasis under nutrient stress. *Nat Commun* **13**, 692 (2022).

53. Nässel, D. R., Zandawala, M., Kawada, T. & Satake, H. Tachykinins: Neuropeptides That Are
Ancient, Diverse, Widespread and Functionally Pleiotropic. *Front. Neurosci.* **13**, 1262 (2019).

54. Rera, M., Clark, R. & Walker, D. Intestinal barrier dysfunction links metabolic and inflammatory
markers of aging to death in Drosophila. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **109**,
(2012).

55. Zane, F. *et al.* Smurfness-based two-phase model of ageing helps deconvolve the ageing
transcriptional signature. *Aging Cell* **22**, e13946 (2023).

56. Clark, R. I. *et al.* Distinct Shifts in Microbiota Composition during Drosophila Aging Impair
Intestinal Function and Drive Mortality. *Cell reports* **12**, 1656–67 (2015).

57. Regan, J. C. *et al.* Sex difference in pathology of the ageing gut mediates the greater response of
female lifespan to dietary restriction. *eLife* **5**, e10956 (2016).

58. Clancy, D. J. *et al.* Extension of Life-Span by Loss of CHICO, a Drosophila Insulin Receptor
Substrate Protein. *Science* **292**, 104–106 (2001).

59. Kenyon, C., Chang, J., Gensch, E., Rudner, A. & Tabtiang, R. A C. elegans mutant that lives twice
as long as wild type. *Nature* **366**, 461 (1993).

60. Selman, C. *et al.* Evidence for lifespan extension and delayed age–related biomarkers in insulin
receptor substrate 1 null mice. *Faseb J* **22**, 807–818 (2008).

61. Slagboom, P. E., Berg, N. van den & Deelen, J. Phenome and genome based studies into human
ageing and longevity: An overview. *Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta Mol Basis Dis* **1864**, 2742–2751
(2017).

62. Shin, S. *et al. Drosophila* Microbiome Modulates Host Developmental and Metabolic Homeostasis
via Insulin Signaling. *Science* **334**, 670–674 (2011).

63. Birse, R. T., Söderberg, J. A., Luo, J., Winther, Å. M. & Nässel, D. R. Regulation of insulin-
producing cells in the adult Drosophila brain via the tachykinin peptide receptor DTKR. *The Journal of*
Experimental Biology **214**, 4201–4208 (2011).

64. Broughton, S. J. *et al.* Longer lifespan, altered metabolism, and stress resistance in Drosophila
from ablation of cells making insulin-like ligands. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of*
the United States of America **102**, 3105–3110 (2005).

65. Alic, N. *et al.* Cell-nonautonomous effects of dFOXO/DAF-16 in aging. *Cell reports* **6**, (2014).

66. Slack, C., Giannakou, M. E., Foley, A., Goss, M. & Partridge, L. dFOXO-independent effects of
reduced insulin-like signaling in Drosophila. *Aging Cell* **10**, 735–748 (2011).

67. Slack, C. *et al.* The Ras-Erk-ETS-Signaling Pathway Is a Drug Target for Longevity. *Cell* **162**, 72–
83 (2015).

68. Musselman, L. P., Kühnlein, R. P., Suarez, R. K. & Hoppeler, H. H. Drosophila as a model to
study obesity and metabolic disease. *J. Exp. Biol.* **221**, jeb163881 (2018).

69. Gäde, G. & Marco, H. G. The Adipokinetic Peptides of Hemiptera: Structure, Function, and
Evolutionary Trends. *Front. Insect Sci.* **2**, 891615 (2022).

70. Toprak, U., Hegedus, D., Doğan, C. & Güney, G. A journey into the world of insect lipid
metabolism. *Arch. Insect Biochem. Physiol.* **104**, e21682 (2020).

71. Seidel, J. & Valenzano, D. The role of the gut microbiome during host ageing. *F1000Research* **7**,
F1000 Faculty Rev-1086 (2018).

72. Cui, R. *et al.* Relaxed Selection Limits Lifespan by Increasing Mutation Load. *Cell* (2019)
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2019.06.004.

73. Qansuwa, E. M. *et al.* Handbook of Neurodegenerative Disorders. 17–41 (2024) doi:10.1007/978-
981-99-7557-0_2.

74. Boehme, M., Guzzetta, K. E., Wasén, C. & Cox, L. M. The gut microbiota is an emerging target for
improving brain health during ageing. *Gut Microbiome* **4**, e2 (2022).

75. Dinan, T. G. & Cryan, J. F. Gut instincts: microbiota as a key regulator of brain development,
ageing and neurodegeneration. *J. Physiol.* **595**, 489–503 (2017).

76. Regan, J. C. & Partridge, L. Gender and longevity: Why do men die earlier than women?
Comparative and experimental evidence. *Best Pr. Res. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **27**, 467–479 (2013).

77. Buchon, N., Broderick, N. A., Chakrabarti, S. & Lemaitre, B. Invasive and indigenous microbiota
impact intestinal stem cell activity through multiple pathways in Drosophila. *Genes & development* **23**,
2333–44 (2009).

78. Watnick, P. I. & Jugder, B.-E. Microbial Control of Intestinal Homeostasis via Enteroendocrine Cell
Innate Immune Signaling. *Trends Microbiol.* **28**, 141–149 (2020).

79. Kim, B. *et al.* Response of the microbiome–gut–brain axis in Drosophila to amino acid deficit.
Nature **593**, 570–574 (2021).

80. Guo, X. *et al.* The Cellular Diversity and Transcription Factor Code of Drosophila Enteroendocrine
Cells. *Cell Reports* **29**, 4172-4185.e5 (2019).

81. Ahrentløv, N. *et al.* Protein-responsive gut hormone tachykinin directs food choice and impacts
lifespan. *Nat. Metab.* **7**, 1223–1245 (2025).

82. Shin, S. C. *et al. Drosophila* Microbiome Modulates Host Developmental and Metabolic
Homeostasis via Insulin Signaling. *Science* **334**, 670–674 (2011).

83. Huang, J.-H. & Douglas, A. E. Consumption of dietary sugar by gut bacteria determines
Drosophila lipid content. *Biology Letters* **11**, 20150469 (2015).

84. Dobson, A. J., Chaston, J. M. & Douglas, A. E. The Drosophila transcriptional network is
structured by microbiota. *BMC genomics* **17**, 975 (2016).

85. Clark, R. I. & Walker, D. W. Role of gut microbiota in aging-related health decline: insights from
invertebrate models. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences* (2017) doi:10.1007/s00018-017-2671-1.

86. Bjedov, I., Toivonen, J., Kerr, F., Slack, C. & Jacobson, J. Mechanisms of life span extension by
rapamycin in the fruit fly Drosophila melanogaster. (2010).

87. Zhang, A. *et al.* Characterization of Effects of mTOR Inhibitors on Aging in Caenorhabditis
elegans. *J. Gerontol., Ser. A: Biol. Sci. Méd. Sci.* **79**, glae196 (2024).

88. Miller, R. A. *et al.* Rapamycin-mediated lifespan increase in mice is dose and sex dependent and
metabolically distinct from dietary restriction. *Aging Cell* **13**, 468–477 (2014).

89. Wilkinson, J. E. *et al.* Rapamycin slows aging in mice: Rapamycin slows aging in mice. *Aging Cell*
11, 675–682 (2012).

90. Harrison, D. E. *et al.* Rapamycin fed late in life extends lifespan in genetically heterogeneous
mice. *Nature* **460**, 392–395 (2009).

91. Sass, F. *et al.* NK2R control of energy expenditure and feeding to treat metabolic diseases.
Nature **635**, 987–1000 (2024).

92. Bass, T. M. *et al.* Optimization of Dietary Restriction Protocols in Drosophila. *Journals*
Gerontology Ser **62**, 1071–1081 (2007).

93. Sannino, D. R. & Dobson, A. J. Acetobacter pomorum in the Drosophila gut microbiota buffers
against host metabolic impacts of dietary preservative formula and batch variation in dietary yeast.
Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **89**, e00165-23 (2023).

94. Fossati, P. & Prencipe, L. Serum triglycerides determined colorimetrically with an enzyme that
produces hydrogen peroxide. *Clin Chem* **28**, 2077–2080 (1982).

95. Team, R. C. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. (2024).

96. Patro, R., Duggal, G., Love, M. I., Irizarry, R. A. & Kingsford, C. Salmon provides fast and bias-
aware quantification of transcript expression. *Nat. Methods* **14**, 417–419 (2017).

938
939

Supplementary figure legends

Figure S1. Microbiota do not alter *Burs* expression. Expression was quantified by RT-qPCR (using cDNA generated from midgut to complement RNA-seq data) because transcripts were not quantified from RNA-seq data (Figure 1). No significant difference in expression was detected (t=-1.65, p=0.15).

Figure S2. Lifespan extension by feeding RU to CR *DaGS;UAS-Tk^RNAi* flies is not attributable to off-target effects of RU or GeneSwitch activation. Kaplan-Meier plot shows impact of feeding RU to *DaGS/+* flies reared with complete microbiota. No effect of RU was detected (Cox Proportional Hazards p=0.5).

Figure S3. Interactive effect of *TkRNAi* and microbiota on TAG levels confirmed with independent RNAi construct. The experiment presented in Figure 2E (which used construct V330743) was repeated with an independent construct (V103668). The same result was replicated.

Violin plots show density of datapoints, mean±CI95 given in white. ANOVA (Type 3 tests),

microbiota:RU $F_{1,26}=37.86$, p=1.66e-06. Statistics on brackets are from *post-hoc* EMM analyses of

linear model, when significant differences were detected.

Figure S4. Modulation of *Tk* expression by microbiota correlates lifespan outcome. A. RT-qPCR

indicates elevated *Tk* expression in *Ap*-flies above levels in axenics and *Lb*-flies, and above levels in

all conditions expressing *Tk^RNAi^*. Expression in *Lb*-flies was significantly greater than in conditions

expressing *Tk^RNAi^*, but not significantly greater than axenics without *Tk^RNAi^* expression. Statistics on

brackets are from *post-hoc* EMM analyses of linear model, when significant differences were detected.

B. *Tk* expression differences across microbiota and *Tk^RNAi^* conditions correlate lifespan differences. *Tk*

expression from panel A is plotting against survival coefficients of lifespan experiment shown in Figure

3c-d.

Figure S5. Intersectional Gal80 strategy to direct Gal4 activity to enteroendocrine cells, sparing CNS. As per Medina et al [50], we tested the capacity of Gal80 expressed under control of the *ChAT* promoter to repress Gal4 expressed under control of the *Voila* promoter, assayed by expression of *UAS-mCD8::GFP.* **A.** Representative confocal micrographs of CNS, and **B.** Quantification of Voila>GFP intensity in brain and CNS, in presence/absence of *Voila Gal80*. **C.** Representative confocal micrographs of midgut (region 4). **D.** Number of GFP+ cells/ROI in presence/absence of *Voila Gal80.*

Figure S6. *TkR99D^RNAi* **induction in enterocytes does not block impact of** *A. pomorum* **on lifespan.** Expression was driven with *Mex1-GeneSwitch*. Statistics in panel from Cox proportional hazards model, reporting post-hoc (EMM) analysis for effect of RU per microbiota condition.

Figure S7. *AkhR^RNAi* **induction in fat body (and gut) does not block impact of** *A. pomorum* **on lifespan.** Expression was driven with *S106-GeneSwitch*. Statistics in panel from Cox proportional hazards model, reporting post-hoc (EMM) analysis for effect of RU per microbiota condition.