# Rewiring amino acid metabolism to boost nonnatural polyketide production in *Yarrowia lipolytica*

Jinpeng Wang[1,2#], Yuxiang Hong[1,3#], Zizhao Wu[1,4], Ayelet Fishman[2], Peng Xu[1,4, 5*]

[1]Department of Chemical Engineering, Guangdong Technion-Israel Institute of Technology (GTIIT), Shantou, Guangdong 515063, China

[2]Department of Biotechnology and Food Engineering, Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, 3200003, Israel

[3]The Ruth and Bruce Rappaport Faculty of Medicine, Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 320002, Israel

[4]Department of Chemical Engineering, Technion – Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 32000, Israel

[5]Center for Lipid Engineering, Muyuan Laboratory, Zhengzhou, Henan 450016, China

*Corresponding author. P.X. (peng.xu@gtiit.edu.cn or peng.xu@technion.ac.il)

[#]These authors contribute equally to this article.

**Abstract**

Malonyl-CoA is a central precursor involved in the synthesis of various bio-based

chemicals, including polyketides, fatty acids and flavonoids. However, the production

of these chemicals is often limited by the availability of malonyl-CoA. Based on

retrosynthesis principles, we designed two thermodynamically favorable malonyl-

CoA pathways using L-glutamate and L-aspartate as substrates. The novel pathways

leverage oxidative deamination and decarboxylation reactions and efficiently channel

metabolic flux toward malonyl-CoA, leading to increased triacetic acid lactone (TAL)

production beyond the capability of the native acetyl-CoA carboxylase. We also

discovered a new-to-nature polyketide (4-hydroxy-6-hydroxyethyl-2-pyrone) derived

from the side activity of TAL pathway, reaching 5.4 g/L. This work highlights the utility

of the novel malonyl-CoA pathways in enhancing polyketide production, and the

possibility of using abundant amino acids or protein waste as feedstock to produce

high-value nonnatural polyketides.

**Keywords:** Polyketides, Malonyl-CoA, L-Glutamate, L-Aspartate, Metabolic

engineering, *Yarrowia lipolytica*

**Significance Statement**

We engineered two thermodynamically favorable malonyl-CoA pathways in *Yarrowia lipolytica* using L-glutamate and L-aspartate as substrates. These pathways circumvent ATP dependency by leveraging oxidative deamination and decarboxylation reactions, offering a thermodynamically-favorable routes for bioproduction from abundant amino acids and waste proteins. The novel pathways outperformed the canonical acetyl-CoA carboxylase pathway in polyketide production, achieving up to moe than 3.7 g/L of triacetic acid lactone and a new-to-nature polyketide, 4-hydroxy-6-hydroxyethyl-2-pyrone (HHEP), at 5.4 g/L in bioreactor. The discovery of HHEP, a structural analog of kojic acid (a strong antimicrobial and skincare ingredient), underscores the potential of microbial cells for generating novel bioactive molecules. Our work highlights the interplay between pathway thermodynamics, enzyme kinetics, and metabolic flux redirection.

## 1. Introduction

Malonyl-CoA is the $C_2$ building block in the biosynthetic pathway of fats ([1, 2]), oleochemicals ([3]), and polyketides ([4-6]) in nature. As such, it sits at the heart of central metabolism in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes and underpins a vast range of biochemical transformations. Beyond its well-known role in fatty acid biosynthesis, malonyl-CoA is also integral to the biosynthesis of numerous high-value compounds including flavonoids ([7, 8]) and many pharmaceutically relevant polyketides ([9-13]). Consequently, boosting intracellular malonyl-CoA availability has become a central goal in metabolic engineering ([14, 15]).

Given malonyl-CoA's central importance, the quest for efficient and feasible malonyl-CoA pathways has long been a focus of the metabolic engineering community. The canonical route relies on pyruvate dehydrogenase (PDH) converting pyruvate into acetyl-CoA, followed by acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC) converting acetyl-CoA into malonyl-CoA ([16]). Although widely employed in industrial microorganisms, this PDH–ACC pathway carries a moderate overall negative Gibbs free energy ($\Delta_r G^{m\prime} \approx -51$ kJ/mol) yet also entails high ATP consumption. A second strategy uses malonyl-CoA synthetase (MCS) ([17-19]) to directly activate malonate into malonyl-CoA ($\Delta_r G^{m\prime} \approx -53.4$ kJ/mol), avoiding the carboxylation step and offering kinetic advantages due to simpler enzyme regulation. However, the cost of malonate is economically prohibitive. This route also imposes the cells high consumption of ATP. Recently, an alternative "transaminase–malonyl-CoA reductase" route was introduced to improve polyketide synthesis ([20]) in *E. coli*, wherein malonate semialdehyde (arising via transamination from β-alanine) is converted to malonyl-CoA by a specialized malonyl-CoA reductase. Although Gibbs energy for this emerging pathway ($\Delta_r G^{\circ\prime} \approx -16$ kJ/mol for the enzyme cascade) can be favorable under standard conditions (species concentration of 1 M), the standard Gibbs free energy under physiologically-relevant conditions ($\Delta_r G^{m\prime} \approx +0.6$ kJ/mol, species concentration of 1 mM) is thermodynamically unfavorable. In addition, existing enzyme pairs of transaminase and reductase demonstrated mediocre $K_m$ and $k_{cat}$ values. This necessitates us to find alternative malonyl-CoA pathways to improve microbial production of important metabolites.

An ideal malonyl-CoA pathway should offer greater thermodynamic favorability, improved catalytic constants, or less stringent cofactor and ATP requirements. In this study, we addressed this challenge by retro-synthetically designing and implementing

two novel malonyl-CoA routes, starting from the abundant amino acids L-glutamate
and L-aspartate. Both pathways leverage malonate semialdehyde as the intermediate
and malonyl-CoA reductase as a key step. Using the simplest polyketide TAL as a test
molecule, we experimentally validated that these new pathways efficiently redirect
carbon flux toward malonyl-CoA, and boosted TAL and a new-to-nature (4-hydroxy-6-
hydroxyethyl-2-pyrone) polyketide production in *Y. lipolytica*. Our re-designed
malonyl-CoA pathways expanded the biotechnological repertoire of high-value
polyketides from low-cost and abundant amino acids.

## 2. Results and discussion

### 2.1 Designing novel oxygen-dependent malonyl-CoA pathways from two abundant amino acids

**Fig. 1** Novel $O_2$-dependent malonyl-CoA pathways derived from L-glutamate (upper panel, ILM route) and L-aspartate (lower panel, AMM route). IboH: 2-oxoglutarate-dependent L-glutamate dioxygenase; LtaE: aldolase; ADC: aspartate decarboxylase, MAO: monoamine oxidase; MCR: malonyl-CoA reductase; ALDH: CoA-acylating aldehyde dehydrogenase; MalSA: malonate semialdehyde; MalCoA: malonyl-CoA.

We began by applying a retrosynthetic framework to identify possible malonyl-
CoA pathways from two abundant amino acids, L-glutamate and L-aspartate. Both
proposed pathways generate malonate semialdehyde (MalSA) as an intermediate
and then employ malonyl-CoA reductase (MCR) to convert MalSA to malonyl-CoA
(**Fig. 1**). In the L-glutamate route, L-glutamate dioxygenase (IboH) (*21*) hydroxylates L-
glutamate to form 3-hydroxy-L-glutamate, which subsequently undergoes an aldol

cleavage (*22*), yielding MalSA and glycine. In the L-aspartate route, aspartate decarboxylase (ADC) converts L-aspartate to β-alanine (*23*), then β-alanine undergoes an oxidative deamination to form MalSA by a monoamine oxidase (MAO) (*24*), generating hydrogen peroxide ($H_2O_2$) as byproduct. Both enzymes rely on oxidative deamination and decarboxylation steps releasing $CO_2$, amine (or glycine) or $H_2O_2$, proceeding with increased entropy or disorder, signifying a strong driving force or large negative Gibbs energy change.

With an AI-powered kinetic data prediction tool UniKP (*25*) including reported kinetic data, and biochemical reaction thermodynamic calculator *eQuilibrator 3.0* (*26*), we retrieved the kinetic and thermodynamic information of the novel malonyl-CoA pathways. Compared to the canonical PDH-ACC and MCS routes, both the L-glutamate and L-aspartate pathways exhibit reduced ATP demand (**Table 1**), thereby impose less cellular energy cost. Thermodynamic and kinetic analyses (**Table 2**) indicate that although both pathways rely on MCR — known to exhibit marginal thermodynamic favorability on its own, the large driving force by coupling with oxidative deamination and decarboxylation steps will thermodynamically benefit MCR. Specifically, IboH-aldolase ($\Delta_r G^{m'} \approx$ -466.6 kJ/mol) or ADC-MAO ($\Delta_r G^{m'} \approx$ -146.3 kJ/mol) reactions each display large negative Gibbs free energy changes (**Table 2**), which collectively drive the overall pathway into a highly exergonic regime even when the MCR step by itself is relatively less favorable (*27-29*). This thermodynamic synergy sets our proposed pathways apart from the previously reported transaminase-MCR approach (*20*), whose net Gibbs energy under physiological conditions becomes slightly positive ($\Delta_r G^{m'} \approx$ +0.6 kJ/mol). Moreover, preliminary $K_m$ and $k_{cat}$ values for IboH, aldolase, MAO, and MCR, fall within ranges better suitable for metabolic engineering (**Table 2**), than the transaminase-MCR route (*20*).

**2.2 2PS produces TAL and an unknown metabolite from malonyl-CoA**

**Fig. 2** Testing the functionality of the novel malonyl-CoA pathways. (**A**) The simplest polyketide pathway encoded by 2-pyrone synthase (2-PS) was used as a reporter molecule; (**B**) Three TAL pathways, TAL-ACC, TAL-ILM and TAL-AMM tested in this work; (**C**) TAL titer and specific TAL yield from the engineered strains in deep-well plates. Experiments were performed in triplicate and the data is reported as mean ± SD. ** indicates statistically highly significant ($p < 0.01$), * indicates statistically significant ($p < 0.05$); (**D**) HPLC profile of TAL and an unknown by-product from the engineered strains; (**E**) LC-MS spectra of the unknown byproduct from the TAL-AMM strain.

We next validated whether the novel malonyl-CoA pathways can enhance polyketide production in *Y. lipolytica*. Using the simplest polyketide (triacetic acid lactone) as the test molecule, we integrated a codon-optimized 2-pyrone synthase (*Gh*2PS) from *Gerbera hybrida* (*30, 31*) into the *lip1* locus of *Y. lipolytica* Po1fk. This enzyme catalyzes the condensation of malonyl-CoA with acetyl-CoA (**Fig. 2A**) to form triacetic acid lactone (TAL), serving as a direct reporter for the newly designed malonyl-CoA pathways. To compare the performance of the novel pathways, we constructed three different strains (**Fig. 2B**) by integrating either ACC1 (canonical pathway), the ILM pathway (IboH-LtaE-MCR, using L-glutamate as substrate, **Fig. 1**),

or the AMM pathway (ADC-MAO-MCR, using L-aspartate as substrate, **Fig. 1**) into the
*DGA1* locus under well-defined promoters (Fig. 2B).

TAL production in deep-well plate cultures (**Fig. 2C**) indicates that both ILM and
AMM pathways outperformed the canonical ACC1 route in terms of titer and specific
yield (mgTAL/gDCW). Specifically, the strain carrying the ILM pathway achieved a TAL
titer of 2638.8 mg/L, while the AMM pathway led to a slightly higher TAL titer of
2669.6 mg/L (**Fig. 2C**), both exceeding the ACC1 control (2431.1 mg/L). This suggests
that the oxidative deamination and decarboxylation steps in the novel pathways (**Fig.**
**1**) effectively converted the amino acid precursors into malonyl-CoA, overcoming the
inherent limitations of ATP-dependent carboxylation in the ACC1 pathway (**Table 1**).
The higher TAL yield observed in the AMM pathway could be attributed to a more
favorable kinetic profile of the ADC-MAO cascade (**Table 2**). However, in baffled
flasks, AMM strain produced less TAL than the strain carrying ILM pathway
(**supplementary Fig. S1A**), possibly due to the accumulation of hydrogen peroxide
($H_2O_2$) in the AMM pathway (**Fig. 1**).

Interestingly, HPLC analysis revealed an unknown by-product in the strain
carrying the AMM pathway (**Fig. 2D**). This unknown peak persists in the shaking flask
culture (**supplementary Fig. S1B**). This byproduct is likely derived from the β-alanine
or MalSA intermediates. We next identified the structure of the unknown peak (**Fig.**
**2D**) observed in the AMM strain. LC–MS analysis (**Fig. 2E**) revealed a major species
eluting at 1.26 min (**supplementary Fig. S2**), with a molecular weight of 157 Dalton
and characteristic fragmentation ions at *m/z* = 124, 112, 102, 84 and 72 under
positive (M+1) ionization modes. By comparing these fragmentation patterns against
standard databases and predicted mass spectra (**supplementary Fig. S3**), we
characterized this molecule as 4-hydroxy-6-hydroxyethyl-2-pyrone (HHEP) with a
chemical formula $C_7H_8O_4$.

**2.3 3-HP-CoA serves as the priming unit for the nonnatural polyketide HHEP**

**Fig. 3**. Deciphering the biosynthetic route of the nonnatural polyketide HHEP. (**A**) Biosynthetic route of TAL by 2PS. (**B**) Generation of 3HP and 3HP-CoA from endogenous amino acid metabolism (upper panel) and β-alanine from L-aspartate decarboxylation (lower panel). BAPAT: β-alanine-pyruvate aminotransferase; MAO: monoamine oxidase; HBD1: 3-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase; ACS2/EHD3: 3-hydroxypropanoyl-CoA synthetase; POX1-6: Fatty-acyl-CoA oxidase; MFE2: Multifunctional β-oxidation enzyme, hydratase/dehydrogenase; ECH2: Enoyl-CoA hydratase. (**C**) 3HP-CoA serves as a priming molecule to synthesize HHEP by 2PS. Spontaneous lactonization of triketide intermediates leads to the formation of triacetic acid lactone (**D**) and 4-hydroxy-6-hydroxyethyl-2-pyrone (**E**).

Interestingly, we found HHEP was synthesized in all the strains expressing 2PS (**Fig. 2D**, **supplementary Fig. S4** for ILM-TAL strain and **supplementary Fig. S5** for TAL strain), albeit HHEP was mostly accumulated in the AMM strain (**supplementary Fig. S1B** and **Fig. S2**). The non-exclusive production of HHEP from all the tested strains suggests that HHEP was derived from the downstream reactions of β-alanine.

Previously, the β-alanine pathway has been identified as the most economically-
attractive route to synthesize 3-hydroxypropionate (3HP) (*32*). Inspection of TAL
biosynthetic mechanism (**Fig. 3A**) indicated that HHEP was indeed generated as a
polyketide by-product when 3-hydroxypropanoyl-CoA (3HP-CoA) was used as the
priming molecule for 2-pyrone synthase (2PS) (**Fig. 3B** and **3C**) (*33*). In the AMM
strain, β-alanine generated from aspartate decarboxylase (ADC) can be oxidized to
form MalSA, which further is reduced to 3HP by host enzyme HBD1 encoding 3-
hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase (**Fig. 3B** lower panel). In fact, 3HP-CoA is also the
degradation product of methionine, threonine, leucine and valine (**Fig. 3A** upper
panel) from the media containing YPD and peptone. When we blasted the genome of
*Y. lipolytica*, we identified that at least one gene (YALI0D06325) encodes an enzyme
highly conserved with BAPAT encoding alanine aminotransferase which converts β-
alanine to MalSA (**supplementary Fig. S6**); and likewise, YALI0C08811 and
YALI0F05962/YALI0D06215g are highly conserved with HBD1 encoding 3-hydroxyacyl-
CoA dehydrogenase, and ACS2/EHD3 encoding 3-hydroxypropanoyl-CoA synthetase
(**supplementary Fig. S7-S9**), respectively. When 2PS encounters 3HP-CoA as a
priming unit, it will condense with two molecules of malonyl-CoA to form a
hydroxymethyl triketide intermediate (**Fig. 3C**). This intermediate, undergoes a series
of intramolecular nucleophilic substitutions and spontaneous lactonization,
ultimately cyclizes and rearranges to yield the more stable lactone 4-hydroxy-6-
hydroxyethyl-2-pyrone (HHEP) (**Fig. 3E**) following a similar pattern as 4-hydroxy-6-
methyl-2-pyrone (TAL) (**Fig. 3D**) does.

**Fig. 4** Validating 3-HP as an intermediate for HHEP synthesis. (**A**) HPLC profile of 3-HP

in the TAL-ACC strain with feeding of 34 mM β-alanine; (**B**) LC-MS characterization of 3HP in the TAL-ACC strain; (**C**) Production of 3HP in the TAL-ACC strain with or without feeding of 34 mM β-alanine in shaking flasks; (**D**) TAL and HHEP production in the TAL-A and TAL-AMM strain after 48 hours of fermentation in deep-well plates. TAL-A is the strain with expression of 2PS and ADC. TAL-AMM is the strain with expression of 2PS and the entire AMM pathway.

To validate that HHEP is derived from 3HP, we next fed 34 mM β-alanine to the TAL-ACC strain and observed a substantially increased level of 3HP in deep-well plates (Fig. **4A** and **supplementary Fig. S10A**) and HHEP (**supplementary Fig. S10B**). The presence of 3HP was also confirmed by LC-MS analysis (**Fig. 4B**). For example, TAL-ACC strain produced 3042.0 mg/L of 3HP with feeding of 34 mM β-alanine, more than 3-fold higher than the same strain without feeding of β-alanine (831.8 mg/L) (**Fig. 4C** and **supplementary Fig. S10A**). These facts confirm that endogenous BAPAT (YALI0D06325) and HBD1 (YALI0C08811) can convert β-alanine to 3HP (**Fig. 3B**). When only ADC was expressed, the TAL-A strain produced basal level of TAL (751.0 mg/L) and HHPE (542.4 mg/L) (**Fig. 4D** and **supplementary Fig. S10C**). However, when the entire AMM pathway was expressed, the TAL-AMM strain produced 6-fold more HHPE (3415.1 mg/L) compared to the TAL-A strain (**Fig. 4D** and **Supplementary Fig. S10C**). This result showcases the critical roles of monoamine oxidase and MCR to boost intracellular malonyl-CoA and improve HHEP synthesis (**Fig. 3B** and **Fig. 3C**). The discrepancy of TAL and HHEP titer enhancement indicates the intracellular scarcity of acetyl-CoA relative to 3-hydroxypropanoyl-CoA in the TAL-AMM strain. Taken together, these results confirm that HHEP arises as a nonnatural polyketide by-product when 3HP-CoA participates in the 2-PS condensation reaction (**Fig. 3B** and **Fig. 3C**).

**2.4 Feeding amino acids to boost polyketide production in shaking flask**

**(A)**

| Titer (mg/L) | TAL | HHEP | 3-HP |
|---|---|---|---|
| TAL-ILM | 2211.4 | 99.9 | 1726.2 |
| TAL-ILM + 34 mM Glu | 2772.7 | 113.9 | 2221.0 |

**(C)**

| Titer (mg/L) | TAL | HHEP | TAL+HHEP | 3-HP |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| TAL-AMM | 1182.8 | 3140.9 | 4323.7 | 1130.4 |
| TAL-AMM + 34 mM Asp | 1388.5 | 3601.1 | 4989.6 | 1757.4 |

**(E)**

| | ACC | ILM | AMM | ILM + 34 mM Glu | AMM + 34 mM Asp |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ΔLip1::Gh2PS ΔHBD1 | + + | + + | + + | + + | + + |
| ΔDGA1::ACC1 | + | | | | |
| ΔDGA1::IboH-LtaE-MCR-C | | + | | + | |
| ΔDGA1::ADC-MAO-MCR-C | | | + | | + |
| TAL titer (mg/L) | 2368.9 | 2401.4 | 2310.4 | 2822.6 | 2893.4 |
| scale | 250 mL baffled-flask | | | | |

**Fig. 5**. Feeding L-glutamate or L-aspartate to improve polyketide production in TAL-ILM or TAL-AMM strain. (**A**) TAL, HHEP and 3-HP production in TAL-ILM strain with or without feeding of 34 mM L-glutamate in 250 mL baffled-flask. (**B**) Growth fitness of HHEP-producing strain (TAL-AMM) is reduced compared to other TAL-producing strain; (**C**) TAL, HHEP and 3-HP production in TAL-AMM strain with or without feeding of 34 mM L-aspartate in 250 mL baffled-flask; (**D**) HPLC profile of TAL and HHEP from the engineered strains after knocking out HBD1; (**E**) TAL titer and specific TAL yield from the engineered strains with or without amino acid feeding in 250 mL baffled-flask. Experiments were performed in triplicate and the data is reported as mean ± SD. *** indicates statistically highly significant (p < 0.001), * indicates statistically significant ($p < 0.05$), ns indicates statistically significant (p > 0.05).

We next tested whether feeding of L-glutamate or L-aspartate to the ILM and AMM strains will boost TAL and HHEP titers. We initially tested the feeding experiment in 24-well plates, and both pathways failed to improve TAL or HHEP production. We speculated that oxygen availability may fall below the threshold necessary to drive efficient hydroxylation of L-glutamate or the oxidative deamination of β-alanine as L-glutamate or L-aspartate was fed to the deep-well culture. To improve oxygen supply, we cultivated the ILM strain in shaking flasks. After feeding 34 mM L-glutamate to the TAL-ILM strain, both TAL and HHEP titer were increased in the shaking flasks (**Fig. 5A**). TAL and 3HP yield were increased by 25%

and 29%, respectively, compared to the same strain without L-glutamate feeding (**Fig. 5A**, supplementary **Fig. S11A** and **Fig. S11C**). This result indicates the critical role of $O_2$ to boost malonyl-CoA pools and polyketide synthesis in the TAL-ILM strain. HHEP instead decreased after 72 hours (**supplementary Fig. S11B**), indicating the possible degradation of HHEP by the host.

While cell fitness in the TAL-ILM, TAL-ACC and AMM strains remain unchanged, the TAL-AMM strain exhibits pronounced growth-retardation (**Fig. 5B**), possibly due to the inhibitory effect of HHEP. Using TAL as an internal standard, we determined that TAL-AMM strain produced 3140.9 mg/L of HHEP (**Fig. 5C**). After feeding 34 mM L-aspartate to TAL-AMM strain, the production of TAL, HHEP and 3HP increased by 17.4%, 14.7% and 55.5%, respectively (**Fig. 5C** and **Fig. S12B**). And the total polyketide (both TAL and HHEP) was boosted to 5.0 g/L (**Fig. 5C**), which is more than 1.9-fold of the total polyketides (TAL and HHEP) than the ACC pathway (Fig. S1A). HHEP is a structural analog of the natural product Kojic acid, which is a well-known whitening and skincare natural product. This is the first report on the synthesis of the nonnatural polyketide HHEP, and the biological activity of HHEP is yet to be discovered.

We next deleted HBD1, to eliminate interference from the endogenous 3-HP-CoA-related pathways (**Fig. 3B** and **3C**). Compared to TAL-AMM strain, the TAL-ΔHBD1-AMM strain exhibited significantly lower HHEP production (**Fig. 5D** and supplementary **Fig. S13A**). However, HHEP was still detectable in all the HBD1 knockout strain (**Fig. 5D**), suggesting that the precursor of HHEP, 3-hydroxypropanoyl-CoA, may also be derived from the endogenous branched-chain amino acid degradation (**Fig. 3B**). This highlights the complexity of metabolic networks and the existence of alternative routes contributing to HHEP biosynthesis. Cell fitness analysis (**Fig. S13B**) further revealed that deletion of HBD1 restored normal growth in the TAL-AMM strain, indicating that HHEP may indeed act as a novel antimicrobial compound. Thus, removal of HBD1 has enabled us to have a clear assessment of ILM and AMM pathway performance.

To assess the efficiency of different malonyl-CoA pathways, we next constructed three engineered strains based on HBD1-deleted chassis, the TAL-ΔHBD1-ACC strain produced 2368.9 mg/L TAL, while the ILM and AMM strains yielded 2401.4 mg/L and 2310.4 mg/L, respectively. These results indicate that the ILM and AMM pathways provide malonyl-CoA at a level comparable to that of ACC pathway (**Fig. 5E**). We next fed 34 mM L-glutamate to the ILM strain, and TAL production was increased from

2401.4 mg/L to 2822.6 mg/L. Similarly, feeding 34 mM L-aspartate to the AMM strain raised TAL titer from 2310.4 mg/L to 2893.4 mg/L, corresponding to a 25.2% increase (**Fig. 5D** and **Fig. 5E**). These results confirm that the new amino acid-derived malonyl-CoA pathways effectively boosted polyketide production in *Y. lipolytica*.

**2.5 Feeding L-aspartate to boost polyketide production in bioreactor**

**Fig. 6** Feeding L-aspartate to improve polyketide production in TAL-AMM strain in a 1.0 L mini-bioreactor. TAL+HHEP(**A**), HHEP(**B**), TAL(**C**) and 3-HP(**D**) production in TAL-AMM strain with or without feeding of 100 mM L-aspartate in a 1L bioreactor; (**E**) Optical density OD600 during fermentation in a 1L bioreactor; (**F**) Amount of glucose consumed during fermentation in a 1L bioreactor.

To evaluate the effect of L-aspartate feeding on polyketide production at larger scale, we conducted a 1 L bioreactor fermentation using the TAL-AMM strain, with or without the addition of 100 mM L-aspartate. The combined titer of TAL and HHEP in the L-aspartate-fed culture reached a peak of 6955.2 mg/L at 108 h, which is a 26.4% increase compared to the maximum titer of 5500.5 mg/L in the control strain without L-aspartate feeding (**Fig. 6A**). This substantial improvement suggests that L-aspartate feeding enhances malonyl-CoA supply toward polyketide biosynthesis. With L-Asp feeding, HHEP reached a peak of 5368.6 mg/L, representing a 49.2% increase compared to the control group (3597.3 mg/L) (**Fig. 6B**). Notably, HHEP reached 5113.0 mg/L by 60 hours of fermentation, when only 70 g/L of glucose was consumed (**Fig. 6F**). HHEP accumulation slows down after 60 hours, despite continued glucose consumption. This may indicate a product feedback inhibition of the HHEP pathway.

Interestingly, TAL production was decreased in the L-aspartate-fed culture

compared to the control, particularly after 48 hours (**Fig. 6C**). This suggests that with excess L-aspartate, a greater portion of the precursor malonyl-CoA is channeled toward HHEP biosynthesis rather than TAL accumulation. The increased availability of L-aspartate likely facilitates the formation of the HHEP precursor 3-hydroxypropionyl-CoA (3-HP-CoA), thereby leading to substantial increase of HHEP. This shift in product distribution reinforces the role of L-aspartate as a flux-enhancing agent that drives carbon through the AMM pathway. Furthermore, the 3-HP titer in the L-aspartate-fed culture peaked at 3119.0 mg/L, equivalent to 3152.2 mg/L maximum in the control strain. Notably, 3-HP production gradually decreased after 60 hours, regardless of whether or not L-aspartate was fed (**Fig. 6D**). This suggests a shift in metabolic flux from 3-HP to HHEP: 3-HP was converted to 3-HP-CoA promoting an increased flux toward HHEP.

Cell growth slightly improved with L-aspartate supplementation, reaching a final OD600 of 49.2, compared to 43.4 in the control (**Fig. 6E**). Despite differences in product profiles, glucose consumption was identical between both conditions, with a total consumption of ~120 g/L at the end of fermentation (**Fig. 6F**). This indicates that the observed differences in product titers were not due to changes in glucose uptake but rather due to increased supply of malonyl-CoA through the amino-acid-derived malonyl-CoA pathways.

Together, these results demonstrate that L-aspartate feeding is an effective strategy to enhance high-value polyketide production in engineered *Y. lipolytica*. Both L-aspartate and L-glutamate are among the most abundant amino acids in food waste. Different from the reported transaminase-MCR route (*20*), our re-designed malonyl-CoA pathway leverages hydroxylation (in the case ILM) or oxidative deamination (in the case AMM) with oxygen consumption, and therefore it provides a large driving force to compensate the relatively inefficient MCR step. When coupled together, the novel ILM and AMM pathway efficiently converted L-glutamate or L-aspartate to malonyl-CoA and improved the production of both TAL and HHEP. These novel pathways are particularly useful to produce malonyl-CoA-derived compounds under aerobic conditions, potentially benefiting large-scale fermentations. Our results established a promising and scalable polyketide production platform from low-cost amino acid precursors.

## 3. Conclusions

Herein we have designed and validated two malonyl-CoA biosynthetic pathways in *Y. lipolytica*, utilizing L-glutamate and L-aspartate as substrates. These pathways

provide an efficient and ATP-independent alternative for metabolic engineering applications, which expands nature's capacity to produce malonyl-CoA-derived chemicals in *Y. lipolytica*. By leveraging oxidative deamination and decarboxylation reactions, both pathways effectively redirected metabolic flux toward malonyl-CoA, significantly enhancing the biosynthesis of triacetic acid lactone (TAL) and a new-to-nature polyketide HHEP. The strain with AMM pathway produced a novel polyketide HHEP, at 5.4 g/L, highlighting the superior metabolic versatility of *Y. lipolytica*. The total polyketide production reached 7.0 g/L in a 1L bioreactor. Our findings demonstrated that low-cost amino acids or protein wastes could be used as efficient raw materials to manufacture high value polyketides. The study also reveals thermodynamic coupling could be used to overcome inefficient enzymatic steps. Overall, this work represents an important step toward sustainable and cost-effective production of polyketides by rewiring the amino acid metabolism in microbes.

## 4. Materials and methods

### 4.1 Strains and cultural conditions

All engineered *Y. lipolytica* strains in this research were derived from Po1fk. *Escherichia coli* DH5α was cultured in LB medium supplemented with 100 mg/mL ampicillin for plasmid construction and extraction. *Y. lipolytica* strains were cultured in YPD medium for genomic DNA extraction or linearized plasmid transformation. Engineered strains were fermented in YPD to produce triacetic acid lactone. SD-Ura and SD-Leu plates were used to isolate positive *Y. lipolytica* transformants. Cre-loxP system was used to eliminate the URA3 selection marker (*34*). To conduct 24-deep-well plates or 250 mL shake-flask fermentation, the strain was grown in 3 mL YPD medium for 1 day to prepare a seed culture. A portion of the seed culture was utilized to inoculate 3.5 mL or 25 mL of YPD medium with 80 g/L glucose and additional glutamic acid or aspartic acid, with the aim of achieving an initial OD600 value of 0.2, followed by fermentation at 30°C and 250 rpm for 96 h. All engineered strains utilized in fermentation were prototrophic. The formulations of LB medium, YPD medium, SD-Ura plate, SD-Leu plate, and YPD-5-FOA plate were outlined in the **supplementary materials**.

### 4.2 Genetic engineering and strain construction

In this research, expression cassette plasmids for individual genes were generated by Gibson assembly and verified by DNA sequencing. Subsequently, we assembled multiple expression cassettes on an integrative vector using a subcloning

method of digestion and ligation, following YaliBrick assembly protocols (*35, 36*). All plasmids constructed are listed in the **supplementary Table S1**. The plasmid (*35*) used for gene integration consists of two 1~1.5 kb homology arms, which correspond to sequences 5'-upstream and 3'-downstream of the integration site, and a loxP-URA3-loxP cassette, the latter of which was used to recover the URA3 screening marker by using Cre-loxP recombination system. The plasmid used for gene integration was inserted into the *Y. lipolytica* chromosome for gene overexpression. Homology arms of integration sites, as well as terminators and promoters, were amplified by PCR using the genomic DNA from strain Po1fk or other constructed plasmids as templates. The exogenous gene coding sequences were codon-optimized and synthesized by Genewiz (Suzhou, China). *Y. lipolytica* endogenous gene *Yl*ACC1 (YALI0C11407g) devoid of intron sequence was amplified through PCR utilizing the genomic DNA of strain Po1fk as the template. The gene sequences that have been codon optimized are provided in the **supplementary Table S2**. All cloning primers and check primers are listed in **supplementary Table S3**.

### 4.3 *Y. lipolytica* transformation and strain verifications

The strain to be transformed was cultured in YPD medium for 24 hours, after which the yeast cells were collected and resuspended in PBS buffer to wash away the YPD medium. Subsequently, 50% PEG4000, 2 M lithium acetate, salmon sperm DNA, and a linearized plasmid were added for homologous recombination transformation (*37*). Positive clones were selected on CSM-Ura plates, and genomic DNA from the clones was extracted using the Lysis Buffer with Microorganism Direct PCR Kit (Takara, Beijing) for PCR-based identification. A single positive clone identified by PCR was then inoculated in YPD medium for 24 hours and transformed with the pYLXP'-Cre plasmid to eliminate the URA3 marker gene. The resulting colonies were streaked on both YPD-5-FOA and CSM-Ura plates. Colonies that grew on YPD-5-FOA plates but failed to grow on CSM-Ura plates were selected for PCR analysis to confirm the successful elimination of the URA3 marker gene. Strains lacking the URA3 marker gene were then subjected to another round of linearized plasmid transformation and screening. All strains constructed in this work are listed in **supplementary Table S4**.

### 4.4 Dry cell weight quantification and triacetic acid lactone extraction

The cell growth was monitored by measuring the optical density at 600 nm (OD600) with a UV–vis spectrophotometer that could also be converted to dry cell weight (DCW) according to the calibration curve (DCW: OD600 = 0.33:1 (g/L). The fermentation broth was centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 3 min and the culture was

used for analyzing the concentration of TAL. For complete extraction of TAL, 100 μL fermentation culture was resuspended in 700 μL of ultrapure water containing plastic particles as abrasives. The cell culture was grinded using a cryo-grinding machine at -20 °C for 20 cycles of 40 s each, followed by centrifugation at 12,000 rpm for 3 min. After the mixture was centrifuged, the supernatant was taken for high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analysis.

**4.5 Characterization and quantification of TAL, 3-HP, and unknown peak**

An Agilent 1260 Infinity II instrument was utilized for HPLC analysis, with a variable wavelength detector (VWD) and a C18 column (4.6 mm × 100 mm). The HPLC analysis was carried out using a mobile phase consisting of solvent A (0.1% formic acid in water) and solvent B (methanol). A gradient elution was applied starting with 10% B, increasing to 100% B over 20 minutes, followed by 3 minutes at 100% B. The flow rate was 1.0 mL/min, with a column temperature of 30°C. Detection was performed at 280 nm, and the injection volume was 10 μL (*38*). Retention time of triacetic acid lactone is about 5.2 min. The HPLC analysis for 3-hydroxypropionate (3-HP) was carried out using a single mobile phase (0.1% formic acid in water - methanol (95:5, v/v) mixture), and the total elution time was 10 minutes. The flow rate was 1.0 mL/min, with a column temperature of 30°C. Detection was performed at 210 nm for 3-HP, and the injection volume was 10 μL. Retention time of 3-HP is about 3.0 min. TAL and 3-HP standard was purchased from Macklin, China.

The unknown compound was characterized by using a Thermo Scientific Q Extractive mass spectrometer equipped with an electrospray ionization (ESI) source operated in both positive and negative ionization mode. Full-scan mass spectra were acquired over a range of m/z 50–500. ESI parameters were optimized as follows: the spray voltage 3500 V, capillary temperature 350 °C, sheath gas 30 Arb, aux gas 10 Arb, probe heater temp 325 °C. Chromatographic separation was achieved using a C18 column (2.1 mm × 100 mm) with a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min and an injection volume of 5 μL, following the same mobile phase composition and gradient elution program as the HPLC method.

Statistical analysis of metabolite titer and content was conducted using Student's t-test, and the significances were defined as: $P > 0.05$ (ns), $P < 0.05$ (*), $P < 0.01$ (**), $P < 0.001$ (***). Each value represents the mean ± standard deviation from at least three independent experiments ($n > 3$).

## Author contributions

Jinpeng Wang: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing - original draft, Visualization. Yuxiang Hong: Data curation, Visualization, Investigation. Zizhao Wu: Methodology, Investigation, Data analysis. Ayelet Fishman: Writing - review & editing. Peng Xu: Conceptualization, Writing - review & editing, Project administration, Funding acquisition.

## Data availability

Data will be made available on reasonable request.

## Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (22378083), Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Materials and Technologies for Energy Conversion (MATEC), and Muyuan laboratory (Program ID: 12106022401).

**Table 1**. Summary of the reaction components of canonical (**a**, **b**), noncanonical (**c**) and the new predicted malonyl-CoA (**d** and **e**) pathways.

| Pathway | | Reaction | Cofactor | ATP consume | $CO_2$ release | Redox balance | Enzyme | EC | Source |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Canonical | a | Pyruvate + CoASH + NAD <=> Acetyl-CoA + NADH + CO2 | CoASH,NAD+ | 0 | 1 | NADH | PDH | 1.2.1.51 | Tong, L. (2005) (*16*) |
| | | Acetyl-CoA + ATP + CO2 + H2O <=> ADP + Malonyl-CoA + Pi | NA | 1 | 0 | 0 | ACC | 6.4.1.2 | |
| | b | Malonate + CoASH + ATP +H2O <=> AMP + Malonyl-CoA + 2Pi | CoASH | 2 | 0 | 0 | MS | 6.2.1.76 | Wakil, S. J. (1958) (*19*) |
| Noncanonical | c | beta-Alanine + Pyruvate <=> alpha-Alanine + Malonate semialdehyde | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | TA(BauA) | 2.6.1.18 | Li, J., et al. (2024) (*20*) |
| | | Malonate semialdehyde + CoASH + NADP <=> Malonyl-CoA + NADPH | CoASH,NADP+ | 0 | 0 | NADPH | MCR-C | 1.2.1.75 | |
| Predicted | d | L-glutamate + 2-oxoglutarate + O2 <=> 3-hydroxy-L-glutamate + succinate + CO2 | NA | 0 | 1 | 0 | IboH | 1.14.11.76 | Obermaier, S., et al. (2020)(*21*), Nie, M., et al. (2024) (*22*) |
| | | 3-Hydroxy-L-glutamate <=> Malonate semialdehyde + Glycine | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | Aldolase | 4.1.2.48 | |
| | | Malonate semialdehyde + CoASH + NADP <=> Malonyl-CoA + NADPH | CoASH,NADP+ | 0 | 0 | NADPH | MCR | 1.2.1.75 | |
| | e | Aspartate <=> beta-Alanine + CO2 | NA | 0 | 1 | 0 | ADC | 4.1.1.11 | Cronan, J., et al. (1980)(*23*), Yamashita, M., et al. (1996) (*24*) |
| | | beta-Alanine + H2O+ O2 <=> Malonate semialdehyde + H2O2 + NH3 | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | MAO | 1.4.3.21 | |
| | | Malonate semialdehyde + CoASH + NADP <=> Malonyl-CoA + NADPH | CoASH,NADP+ | 0 | 0 | NADPH | MCR | 1.2.1.75 | |

Table 2. Summary of thermodynamic and kinetic parameters of canonical, noncanonical and the novel malonyl-CoA pathways.

| Pathway | | Reaction | eQuilibrator 3.0 | | | | | BRENDA | | UniKP (Prediction) | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | $\Delta_r G'^m$ [kJ/mol] | $\Delta_r G'^m$(total) [kJ/mol] | $\Delta_r G'^o$ [kJ/mol] | $\Delta_r G'^o$(total) [kJ/mol] | $K'_{eq}$ | $K_m$ (mM) | $k_{cat}$(1/s) | $K_m$(mM) | $k_{cat}/K_m$(1/mMs) |
| Canonical | a | Pyruvate + CoA-SH + NAD <=> Acetyl-CoA + NADH + CO2 | -34.2 ± 6.3 | -51.3 | -34.2 ± 6.4 | -51.3 | $1.0 \times 10^6$ | 0.027 - 0.21 | 7.08 | 0.91 | 7.77 |
| | | Acetyl-CoA + ATP + CO2 + H2O <=> ADP + Malonyl-CoA + Pi | -17.1 ± 6.2 | | -17.1 ± 6.3 | | $1.0 \times 10^3$ | 0.0002 - 1.2 | 4.90 | 0.04 | 123.00 |
| | b | Malonate + CoA-SH + ATP +H2O <=> AMP + Malonyl-CoA + 2Pi | -53.4 ± 2.9 | -53.4 | -36.3 ± 2.9 | -36.3 | $2.3 \times 10^6$ | 0.0368 - 8.7 | 5.53 | 0.38 | 14.53 |
| Noncanonical | c | beta-Alanine + Pyruvate <=> alpha-Alanine + Malonate semialdehyde | -3.7 ± 5.7 | 0.6 | -3.7 ± 5.7 | -16.5 | 4 | 1.1 - 14 | 0.96 | 3.47 | 0.28 |
| | | Malonate semialdehyde + CoA-SH + NADP <=> Malonyl-CoA + NADPH | 4.3 ± 8.8 | | -12.8 ± 8.8 | | $1.8 \times 10^2$ | NA | 2.96 | 0.57 | 5.17 |
| Predicted | d | L-glutamate + 2-oxoglutarate + O2 <=> 3-hydroxy-L-glutamate + succinate + CO2 | -444.0 ± 10.2 | -466.6 | -444.0 ± 10.2 | -466.6 | $6.7 \times 10^{77}$ | NA | 5.08 | 0.86 | 5.90 |
| | | 3-Hydroxy-L-glutamate <=> Malonate semialdehyde + Glycine | -26.9 ± 9.2 | | -9.8 ± 9.2 | | 50 | NA | 2.41 | 0.71 | 3.38 |
| | | Malonate semialdehyde + CoA-SH + NADP <=> Malonyl- | 4.3 ± 8.8 | | -12.8 ± 8.8 | | $1.8 \times 10^2$ | NA | 2.96 | 0.57 | 5.17 |

CoA + NADPH

| | Reaction | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Aspartate <=> beta-Alanine + CO2 | -34.0 ± 11.7 | | -16.9 ± 11.7 | | 9.1 × 10^2 | 0.151 - 3.18 | 6.90 | 0.57 | 12.09 |
| e | beta-Alanine + H2O+ O2 <=> Malonate semialdehyde + H2O2 + NH3 | -116.6 ± 10.1 | -146.3 | -99.5 ± 10.1 | -129.2 | 2.8 × 10^17 | 0.00088 - 12.8 | 12.70 | 0.64 | 19.82 |
| | Malonate semialdehyde + CoASH + NADP <=> Malonyl-CoA + NADPH | 4.3 ± 8.8 | | -12.8 ± 8.8 | | 1.8 × 10^2 | NA | 2.96 | 0.57 | 5.17 |

## References

1.   Z. Xue *et al.*, Production of omega-3 eicosapentaenoic acid by metabolic

engineering of Yarrowia lipolytica. *Nature biotechnology* **31**, 734-740 (2013).

2.   K. Qiao, T. M. Wasylenko, K. Zhou, P. Xu, G. Stephanopoulos, Lipid production in

Yarrowia lipolytica is maximized by engineering cytosolic redox metabolism. *Nature*

*biotechnology* **35**, 173-177 (2017).

3.   P. Xu, K. Qiao, W. S. Ahn, G. Stephanopoulos, Engineering Yarrowia lipolytica as a

platform for synthesis of drop-in transportation fuels and oleochemicals.

*Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **113**, 10848–10853 (2016).

4.   L. Milke, J. Marienhagen, Engineering intracellular malonyl-CoA availability in

microbial hosts and its impact on polyketide and fatty acid synthesis. *Applied*

*microbiology and biotechnology* **104**, 6057-6065 (2020).

5.   Z. Tan, J. M. Clomburg, S. Cheong, S. Qian, R. Gonzalez, A polyketoacyl-CoA

thiolase-dependent pathway for the synthesis of polyketide backbones. *Nature*

*Catalysis* **3**, 593-603 (2020).

6.   K. A. Markham *et al.*, Rewiring Yarrowia lipolytica toward triacetic acid lactone for

materials generation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **115**, 2096-

2101 (2018).

7.   P. Xu, S. Ranganathan, Z. L. Fowler, C. D. Maranas, M. A. G. Koffas, Genome-

scale metabolic network modeling results in minimal interventions that cooperatively

force carbon flux towards malonyl-CoA. *Metabolic engineering* **13**, 578-587 (2011).

8.   Y. Lv, M. Marsafari, M. Koffas, J. Zhou, P. Xu, Optimizing Oleaginous Yeast Cell

506  Factories for Flavonoids and Hydroxylated Flavonoids Biosynthesis. *ACS synthetic*

507  *biology* **8**, 2514-2523 (2019).

508 9. Q. Dan *et al.*, A polyketide-based biosynthetic platform for diols, amino alcohols and

509  hydroxy acids. *Nature Catalysis* **8**, 147-161 (2025).

510 10. N. Lee *et al.*, Retrobiosynthesis of unnatural lactams via reprogrammed polyketide

511  synthase. *Nature Catalysis* **8**, 389-402 (2025).

512 11. W. Wang *et al.*, Harnessing the intracellular triacylglycerols for titer improvement of

513  polyketides in Streptomyces. *Nature biotechnology* **38**, 76-83 (2020).

514 12. X. Luo *et al.*, Complete biosynthesis of cannabinoids and their unnatural analogues

515  in yeast. *Nature* **567**, 123-126 (2019).

516 13. J. Ma, Y. Gu, P. Xu, Biosynthesis of cannabinoid precursor olivetolic acid in

517  genetically engineered Yarrowia lipolytica. *Communications Biology* **5**, 1239 (2022).

518 14. W. Zha, S. B. Rubin-Pitel, Z. Shao, H. Zhao, Improving cellular malonyl-CoA level in

519  Escherichia coli via metabolic engineering. *Metabolic engineering* **11**, 192-198

520  (2009).

521 15. A. O. Johnson *et al.*, Design and application of genetically-encoded malonyl-CoA

522  biosensors for metabolic engineering of microbial cell factories. *Metabolic*

523  *engineering* **44**, 253-264 (2017).

524 16. L. Tong, Acetyl-coenzyme A carboxylase: crucial metabolic enzyme and attractive

525  target for drug discovery. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences CMLS* **62**, 1784-1803

526  (2005).

527 17. S. H. Klass *et al.*, Engineering controllable alteration of malonyl-CoA levels to

528  enhance polyketide production. *Nature Chemical Biology* **21**, 1214-1225 (2025).

529 18. C. E. Bowman, M. J. Wolfgang, Role of the malonyl-CoA synthetase ACSF3 in

530  mitochondrial metabolism. *Advances in Biological Regulation* **71**, 34-40 (2019).

531 19. S. J. Wakil, A MALONIC ACID DERIVATIVE AS AN INTERMEDIATE IN FATTY

532  ACID SYNTHESIS. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **80**, 6465-6465 (1958).

533 20. J. Li *et al.*, A non-carboxylative route for the efficient synthesis of central metabolite

534  malonyl-CoA and its derived products. *Nature Catalysis* **7**, 361-374 (2024).

535 21. S. Obermaier, M. Müller, Ibotenic Acid Biosynthesis in the Fly Agaric Is Initiated by

536  Glutamate Hydroxylation. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **59**, 12432-

537  12435 (2020).

538 22. M. Nie, J. Wang, K. Zhang, Engineering a Novel Acetyl-CoA Pathway for Efficient

539  Biosynthesis of Acetyl-CoA-Derived Compounds. *ACS synthetic biology* **13**, 358-369

540  (2024).

541 23. J. E. Cronan, Beta-alanine synthesis in Escherichia coli. *Journal of Bacteriology* **141**,

542  1291-1297 (1980).

543 24. M. Yamashita *et al.*, maoB, a gene that encodes a positive regulator of the

544  monoamine oxidase gene (maoA) in Escherichia coli. *Journal of Bacteriology* **178**,

545  2941-2947 (1996).

546 25. H. Yu, H. Deng, J. He, J. D. Keasling, X. Luo, UniKP: a unified framework for the

547  prediction of enzyme kinetic parameters. *Nature communications* **14**, 8211 (2023).

548 26. M. E. Beber *et al.*, eQuilibrator 3.0: a database solution for thermodynamic constant

549  estimation. *Nucleic Acids Research* **50**, D603-D609 (2022).

550    27.    B. Niebel, S. Leupold, M. Heinemann, An upper limit on Gibbs energy dissipation

551           governs cellular metabolism. *Nature Metabolism* **1**, 125-132 (2019).

552    28.    X. Yang *et al.*, Physical bioenergetics: Energy fluxes, budgets, and constraints in

553           cells. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **118**, e2026786118 (2021).

554    29.    E. Schrödinger, *What is life?: The physical aspect of the living cell*.  (University

555           Press, Dhaka, Bangladesh, 1945), pp. 1 online resource (viii, 91 pages, IV plates on

556           92 leaves illustrations).

557    30.    S. Eckermann *et al.*, New pathway to polyketides in plants. *Nature* **396**, 387-390

558           (1998).

559    31.    J. M. Jez *et al.*, Structural control of polyketide formation in plant-specific polyketide

560           synthases. *Chemistry & Biology* **7**, 919-930 (2000).

561    32.    I. Borodina *et al.*, Establishing a synthetic pathway for high-level production of 3-

562           hydroxypropionic acid in Saccharomyces cerevisiae via β-alanine. *Metabolic*

563           *engineering* **27**, 57-64 (2015).

564    33.    A. J. Winter *et al.*, Programmed Iteration Controls the Assembly of the Nonanoic

565           Acid Side Chain of the Antibiotic Mupirocin. *Angewandte Chemie International*

566           *Edition* **61**, e202212393 (2022).

567    34.    Y. Lv, H. Edwards, J. Zhou, P. Xu, Combining 26s rDNA and the Cre-loxP System

568           for Iterative Gene Integration and Efficient Marker Curation in Yarrowia lipolytica.

569           *ACS synthetic biology* **8**, 568-576 (2019).

570    35.    L. Wong, J. Engel, E. Jin, B. Holdridge, P. Xu, YaliBricks, a versatile genetic toolkit

571           for streamlined and rapid pathway engineering in Yarrowia lipolytica. *Metabolic*

572           *Engineering Communications* **5**, 68-77 (2017).

573    36.    L. Wong, B. Holdridge, J. Engel, P. Xu, in *Microbial Metabolic Engineering: Methods*

574           *and Protocols,* C. N. S. Santos, P. K. Ajikumar, Eds. (Springer New York, New York,

575           NY, 2019), pp. 155-177.

576    37.    D. C. Chen, J. M. Beckerich, C. Gaillardin, One-step transformation of the dimorphic

577           yeast Yarrowia lipolytica. *Applied microbiology and biotechnology* **48**, 232-235

578           (1997).

579    38.    H. Liu, M. Marsafari, F. Wang, L. Deng, P. Xu, Engineering acetyl-CoA metabolic

580           shortcut for eco-friendly production of polyketides triacetic acid lactone in Yarrowia

581           lipolytica. *Metabolic engineering* **56**, 60-68 (2019).

**(A)**

Acetyl-CoA + Malonyl-CoA × 2 → (*2-Pyrone Synthase, 2-PS*) → Triacetic acid lactone, TAL

**(B)**

ACC: P_TEFin — ACC1 — T_Xpr2

TAL: P_TEFin — Gh2PS — T_Xpr2

ILM: P_TDH1 — IboH — T_Mig1 — P_FBA — LtaE — T_Pex20 — P_TEFin — MCR-C — T_Xpr2

AMM: P_FBA — ADC — T_Pex20 — P_TDH1 — MAO — T_Mig1 — P_TEFin — MCR-C — T_Xpr2

**(C)**

| | TAL | TAL-ACC | TAL-ILM | TAL-AMM |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| ΔLip1::**Gh2PS** | + | + | + | + |
| ΔDGA1::**ACC1** | | + | | |
| ΔDGA1::**IboH-LtaE-MCR-C** | | | + | |
| ΔDGA1::**ADC-MAO-MCR-C** | | | | + |
| TAL titer (mg/L) | 1621.3 | 2431.1 | 2638.8 | 2669.6 |
| Scale | 24 deep-well plate | | | |

**(D)**

Unknown byproduct

TAL standard 100 mg/L
Po1fk-TAL
Po1fk-TAL-ACC
Po1fk-TAL-ILM
Po1fk-TAL-AMM

**(E)**

Sample: TAL-AMM
RT: 1.23
T: + c ESI [M+H]+

157.07
102.19
124.07
72.14
112.09
83.12
84.13

HHEP
[M+H]+ = 157.05

**(A)**

**(B)**

**(C)**

**(D)**

**(E)**

**(A)**

| Titer (mg/L) | TAL | HHEP | 3-HP |
|---|---|---|---|
| TAL-ILM | 2211.4 | 99.9 | 1726.2 |
| TAL-ILM + 34 mM Glu | 2772.7 | 113.9 | 2221.0 |

**(C)**

| Titer (mg/L) | TAL | HHEP | TAL+HHEP | 3-HP |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| TAL-AMM | 1182.8 | 3140.9 | 4323.7 | 1130.4 |
| TAL-AMM + 34 mM Asp | 1388.5 | 3601.1 | 4989.6 | 1757.4 |

**(E)**

| | ACC | ILM | AMM | ILM + 34 mM Glu | AMM + 34 mM Asp |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ΔLip1::**Gh2PS** **ΔHBD1** | + + | + + | + + | + + | + + |
| ΔDGA1::**ACC1** | + | | | | |
| ΔDGA1::**IboH-LtaE-MCR-C** | | + | | + | |
| ΔDGA1::**ADC-MAO-MCR-C** | | | + | | + |
| TAL titer (mg/L) | 2368.9 | 2401.4 | 2310.4 | 2822.6 | 2893.4 |
| scale | 250 mL baffled-flask | | | | |