CRK2 modulates flowering in *Arabidopsis* together with GLYCINE-
RICH RNA BINDING PROTEIN 7 (GRP7)

Francisco J. Colina[1#], Julia Krasensky-Wrzaczek[1], Alegría Pérez-Guillén[1#], Michael
Wrzaczek[1,2*].

[1]Institute of Plant Molecular Biology, Biology Centre, Czech Academy of Sciences, 370 05
České Budějovice, Czech Republic.
[2]Faculty of Science, University of South Bohemia, 370 05 České Budějovice, Czech Republic.
[#]Current affiliation: Estación Experimental del Zaidín, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones
Científicas (EEZ-CSIC), 18008 Granada, Spain.
([*]Author for correspondence: email michael.wrzaczek@umbr.cas.cz)
ORCID
Francisco J. Colina: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3168-9336
Julia Krasensky-Wrzaczek: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5989-9984
Alegría Pérez-Guillén: https://orcid.org/0009-0000-0818-8173
Michael Wrzaczek: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5946-9060

Keywords: Arabidopsis, CRK2, GRP7, gibberellin, flowering

Summary

Timely flowering is critical for the reproductive success of plants. Plants adjust
flowering time through integration of multiple internal and environmental cues. A
complex signalling system lies behind this integration, including multiple proteins like
the GLYCINE-RICH RNA-BINDING PROTEIN 7 (GRP7), linked to the modulation
of stress and flowering in Arabidopsis. Available data show the interaction between
GRP7 and the receptor-like kinase CYSTEINE-RICH RECEPTOR-LIKE KINASE 2
(CRK2). Like GRP7, CRK2 plays multifaceted roles in the coordination of stress
responses and development, but its role in development remains unclear. Phenotypic
analyses of the *crk2* and *grp7-1* single mutants as well as the *crk2/grp7-1* double mutant
supported the interaction between CRK2 and GRP7. Moreover, exogenous gibberellic
acid did not recover the rosette phenotype of *crk2* mutants but as in sensitive plants,
inhibited GA-biosynthesis transcripts. The pseudo insensitivity of *crk2* mutant was
recovered in the *crk2/grp7-1* line pointing to a common role of CRK2 and GRP7 in the
modulation of gibberellin signalling. Together, CRK2 and GRP7 integrate hormonal
and spatial signals required for floral transition. These insights highlight a previously
unrecognized layer of regulation in GA signalling and open new avenues to understand

how membrane-localized kinases and RNA-binding proteins intersect to control

developmental timing.

Introduction

Timely flowering is crucial for plants, as it ensures production of viable seeds and thereby the next generation. Plants adjust flowering time through integration of multiple internal and environmental cues, including energy status, temperature, photoperiod, in order to optimize plant reproductive output in a changing environment (Andrés & Coupland, 2012; Wahl *et al.*, 2013; Song *et al.*, 2015; Yu *et al.*, 2015; Kazan & Lyons, 2016).

Arabidopsis thaliana, a facultative long day (LD) plant, has been widely used to dissect the molecular mechanisms underlying flowering time regulation. Photoperiod plays a major role in controlling flowering time in Arabidopsis, (Andrés & Coupland, 2012; Song *et al.*, 2015). In addition, flowering in Arabidopsis is fine-tuned by vernalization, gibberellin (GA) and the autonomous pathway (AP) (Andrés & Coupland, 2012; Bao *et al.*, 2020). The vernalization pathway responds to prolonged exposure to cold by suppressing expression of *FLOWERING LOCUS C* (*FLC*), a central repressor of flowering, thereby enabling floral induction (Andrés & Coupland, 2012). In parallel, GA and AP convey internal signals and adjust flowering time either by directly influencing the expression of flowering integrators and meristem identity genes (GA) or by modulating the expression of *FLC* (AP) (Andrés & Coupland, 2012; Xiao *et al.*, 2015; Bao *et al.*, 2020). Similar to vernalization, the AP acts through repression of *FLC* (Xiao *et al.*, 2015). Because both pathways repress *FLC*, the flowering delay seen in mutants with a defective AP can be reversed by exposing them to prolonged cold, which activates the vernalization pathway (Streitner *et al.*, 2008; Steffen *et al.*, 2019). AP components, including GLYCINE-RICH RNA-BINDING PROTEIN 7 (GRP7), modulate *FLC* but frequently also have roles in stress responses in plants (Nicaise *et al.*, 2013; Wang *et al.*, 2020). Intriguingly, GRP7 also participates in gibberellic acid (GA) signalling (Kim *et al.*, 2008; Löhr *et al.*, 2014; Wang *et al.*, 2020), which is tightly linked to stress and development (Colebrook *et al.*, 2014). GRP7 is phosphorylated and regulated by the receptor-like protein kinase (RLK) FERONIA (FER) (Wang *et al.*, 2020). Signals perceived by the RLK control GRP7 activity and, in turn, regulate the splicing-mediated maturation of numerous stress- and development-related transcripts (Wang *et al.*, 2020). GRP7 also interacts with other RLKs (Nicaise *et al.*, 2013) but the functional significance of those interactions remains to be clarified.

RLKs, such as the CYSTEINE-RICH RECEPTOR-LIKE KINASE 2 (CRK2), play multifaceted roles in the coordination of stress responses and development (Bourdais *et al.*, 2015). The *crk2* mutant combines altered sensitivity to biotic (Kimura *et al.*, 2020) and abiotic (Hunter *et al.*, 2019) stress with developmental phenotypes, including late flowering and small rosette size (Bourdais *et al.*, 2015). While the molecular role of CRK2 in controlling Arabidopsis development remains unclear, some of its known functions may point to possible mechanisms. CRK2 regulates apoplastic reactive oxygen species (ROS) production via phosphorylation of RESPIRATORY BURST OXIDASE HOMOLOG D (RBOHD) during immune responses (Kimura *et al.*, 2020). ROS also contribute to shoot apical meristem (SAM) maintenance (Zeng *et al.*, 2017; Foyer & Noctor, 2020). Tomato knockout lines for *SlRBOH1/2* show accelerated flowering transition linked to ROS-mediated modulation of the transcription factor TERMINATING FLOWERING (TMF) (Huang *et al.*, 2021). CRK2 has also been linked to regulation of callose deposition (Hunter *et al.*, 2019). Callose has important roles in controlling plasmodesmal permeability and has been linked to regulating transport of mobile flowering signals like the flowering promotor FLOWERING LOCUS T (FT) to the SAM (Murata *et al.*, 2025). In addition, CRK2 has previously been associated with GA signaling. Exogenous gibberellic acid (GA$_3$) treatment reduces *CRK2* transcript abundance in the GA biosynthesis deficient *ga1-3* mutant (Bassel *et al.*, 2011) and DELLAs, repressors of GA responses, positively regulate *CRK2* transcript levels (Cao *et al.*, 2006). Moreover, the abundance of *CRK2* correlates with the GA biosynthesis-related transcripts *GIBBERELLIN 3-BETA-DIOXYGENASE 1* (*GA3ox1*) and *GIBBERELLIN 20-BETA-DIOXYGENASE 1* (*GA20ox1*) (Bassel *et al.*, 2011). Interestingly, GRP7 has been identified as an *in planta* interaction partner for CRK2 (Hunter *et al.*, 2019). Although no direct link is currently known between callose, ROS and GRP7, GRP7 is present in plasmodesmata-enriched fractions (Fernandez-Calvino *et al.*, 2011) and phloem exudates (Batailler *et al.*, 2012), suggesting a possible role in symplastic communication. These data also point towards a link between CRK2 and GRP7 in the regulation of flowering pathways.

Here we explored the involvement of CRK2 in the modulation of flowering time in Arabidopsis. Through analyses of *crk2*, *grp7-1* and the *crk2/grp7-1* double mutant, we show that CRK2 modulates flowering time in Arabidopsis through photoperiod-independent pathways, including the GA-mediated pathway. Based on our findings, we

propose a model in which CRK2 impacts flowering time regulation in Arabidopsis by

acting as a negative regulator of GRP7, thus integrating signals perceived by the RLK in

the modulation of flowering time.

Results and Discussion

CRK2 is involved in photoperiod-independent flowering regulation

To determine the flowering pathways regulated by CRK2 and whether CRK2 acts in

concert with GRP7, we analysed the flowering phenotypes of *crk2*, *grp7-1*, the

crk2/grp7-1 double mutant, and complementation lines (*crk2/CRK2p::CRK2-YFP* #1-

14 and #1-22; Kimura *et al.*, 2020) in comparison to Col-0. Under LD conditions, *crk2*

exhibits a late flowering phenotype (Bourdais *et al.*, 2015). Surprisingly, *crk2* showed a

more severe flowering delay in short day (SD) (Figure S1; Table S1) suggesting that

CRK2 could be involved in photoperiod-independent flowering pathways. In SD-grown

Arabidopsis, flowering transition relies on endogenous pathways, including the AP and

GA pathways. Mutants in these pathways, including *grp7-1*, frequently show more

severe delay in flowering under SD compared to LD (Macknight *et al.*, 2002; Ausín *et*

al., 2004; Streitner *et al.*, 2008; Steffen *et al.*, 2019), suggesting that CRK2 might act

via similar mechanisms. In SD, *crk2* flowered later than *grp7-1* and *crk2/grp7-1*, which

flowered later than Col-0 (Figure 1a, Table S2a). In addition, *crk2* showed fewer rosette

leaves than Col-0 at the time of bolting (Figure 1b, Table S2b). This suggested internal

factors, including age or carbohydrate levels, rather than external cues in the regulation

of flowering time in *crk2*. The rosette size of *crk2* was consistently smaller compared to

Col-0 while rosettes of *grp7-1* and *crk2/grp7-1* showed a similar size to Col-0 (Figure

1c). This partial rescue of the *crk2* developmental phenotypes by *grp7-1* suggested that

GRP7 and CRK2 interact functionally or converge at common regulatory processes.

The identification of GRP7 as a component in CRK2-mediated flowering regulation

functionally links CRK2 with the GA and AP pathways, which are both modulated by

GRP7 (Kim *et al.*, 2008; Löhr *et al.*, 2014; Xiao *et al.*, 2015; Wang *et al.*, 2020). The

AP and vernalization pathways converge at the repression of the major flowering

repressor *FLC*. Therefore, the flowering delay of AP mutants is reversed by

vernalization (Streitner *et al.*, 2008; Steffen *et al.*, 2019). Vernalization at 4ºC for 45

days rescued the flowering delay of *grp7-1* and *crk2/grp7-1*, but only partially for *crk2*

(Figure 1d; Table S3). Interestingly, Col-0 and *crk2* showed similar *FLC* abundance in

3 and 4-week-old plants (Figure S2a, b; Table S4) and vernalization reduced *FLC*

abundance similarly in Col-0 and *crk2* (Figure S2b; Table S4b). By contrast, the

crk2/grp7-1 double mutant showed high *FLC* abundance similar to *grp7-1* (Figure S2a;

Table S4a). Together with the similar flowering phenotypes of *grp7-1* and *crk2/grp7-1*

(Figure 1; Table S2-3) this suggests that GRP7 modulates *FLC* independently of CRK2.

CRK2 may regulate flowering through alternative GRP7-dependent pathways, e.g. GA,

suggesting a partial functional overlap between both proteins.

CRK2 regulates GA signaling in Arabidopsis via GRP7

CRK2 and GRP7 have previously been linked to GA biosynthesis and signaling (Cao *et*

al., 2006; Kim *et al.*, 2008; Bassel *et al.*, 2011; Löhr *et al.*, 2014; Wang *et al.*, 2020)

suggesting that CRK2 could potentially regulate GA signaling via GRP7. GA plays

central roles in Arabidopsis development and growth, including flowering induction and

cell elongation. Exogenous GA_3 treatment promotes flowering and increases rosette size

and internode length, due to enhanced cell elongation (Ribeiro *et al.*, 2012; Löhr *et al.*,

2014). Treatment with GA_3 increased the rosette size of Col-0 but not in *crk2* compared

to mock treatment (Figure 2a). By contrast, GA_3 treatment increased rosette size in

grp7-1 and *crk2/grp7-1*, similar to Col-0 (Figure 2a) suggesting an involvement of

CRK2 and GRP7 in GA signaling.

GRP7 overexpression plants (GRP7-OX) are sensitive to exogenous GA_3 but have been

shown to contain reduced levels of GA, and reduced transcript abundance of GA

biosynthesis and response genes like *ENT-KAURENE SYNTHASE* (*GA2*) and

GIBBERELLIC ACID STIMULATED ARABIDOPSIS 9 (*GASA9*), respectively (Löhr *et*

al., 2014). This suggests that GRP7 acts as negative regulator of GA signaling. The *crk2*

mutant exhibited reduced transcript abundance of *GASA9* compared to complementation

lines following mock treatment (Figure 2b, Table S5a). Addition of GA_3 reduced

GASA9 abundance in Col-0 as previously described (Zhang & Wang, 2008), but not in

crk2 (Figure 2b; Table S5a) indicating altered transcriptional responses to GA in *crk2*.

GASA9 transcript abundance did not change in *grp7-1* following GA_3 treatment, similar

to *crk2* (Figure 2b; Table S5a). However, *crk2* plants showed higher abundance of

GA3ox1 and *GA2*, which encode GA biosynthesis enzymes, compared to Col-0 under

mock conditions (Figure 2c, Figure S3, Table S5b, Table S6). GA3ox1, involved in the

final steps of bioactive GA synthesis, is subject to feedback repression by GA (Chiang

et al., 1995). Under mock treatment conditions, transcript abundance of *GA3ox1* was

higher in *crk2* than in Col-0 (Figure 2c, Figure S3b, Table S5b, Table S6b).

Surprisingly, while GA$_3$ treatment did not affect *crk2* rosette size, transcript abundance
of *GA3ox1* was reduced following GA$_3$ treatment in *crk2* similar to Col-0 (Figure 2c;
Table S5b), suggesting that GA signaling in *crk2* is impaired downstream of perception.
Transcript abundance of *GA3ox1* in *grp7-1* and *crk2/grp7-1* was similar to Col-0.
Notably, the *crk2/grp7-1* double mutant also displayed restored rosette size and GA$_3$
responsiveness (Figure 2a-c; Table S5).

The dwarf and late flowering phenotype of *crk2* resembles GA insensitive mutants such
as *gai*, which also display small rosette size and partially vernalization-reversible late
flowering (Wilson *et al.*, 1992), similar to *crk2*. Similar to mutants like *gai*, treatment
with paclobutrazol (PCL), a GA-biosynthesis inhibitor, show enhanced stability of
DELLAs, resulting in reduced growth and late flowering (Serrano-Mislata *et al.*, 2025).
Interestingly, a positive correlation between *DELLA* and *CRK2* abundance (Cao *et al.*,
2006) and reduced PCL sensitivity of *crk2* have been described (Bassel *et al.*, 2011).
Thus, we speculate that CRK2 might function downstream of DELLAs and modulate
GA responses partially through GRP7 (Fig. 2d). Available transcriptomic data suggest
that GRP7 targets multiple *GASA* transcripts (Meyer *et al.*, 2017; Wang *et al.*, 2020).
Therefore, it is tempting to speculate that CRK2 controls GRP7 and thereby the
maturation of GA signaling transcripts.

Symplastic transport is essential for developmental regulators like FT (Murata *et al.*,
2025) and possibly for GA-dependent signaling components. For example, the mRNA
of the DELLA protein *GAI*, has been shown to move through the phloem and induce
dwarfism in distal tissues (Haywood *et al.*, 2005). CRK2 has been proposed to control
callose deposition at plasmodesmata (Hunter *et al.*, 2019) and GRP7 has been identified
in phloem exudates (Batailler *et al.*, 2012) and plasmodesmata enriched fractions
(Fernandez-Calvino *et al.*, 2011). The flowering phenotype of *crk2* plants, along with its
genetic interaction with *grp7-1*, suggest that CRK2 could influence mobility and
processing of mobile transcripts. CRK2 might modulate GRP7 function to coordinate
RNA processing and intercellular transport, thereby integrating hormonal, spatial, and
post-transcriptional regulatory layers essential for developmental transitions such as
flowering.

In summary, our results position CRK2 as a key modulator of GA signaling, acting
partly through GRP7 to control development (Fig. 2d). It will be interesting in the future

to elucidate the molecular mechanism of how CRK2, together with GRP7, regulate GA
responses and flowering time control.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Sunita Jindal, María Carbó and Adam Zeiner (**Institute**
of Plant Molecular Biology, Biology Centre, Czech Academy of Sciences, Czechia) for
critical comments on the article. This work was supported by the Czech Academy of
Sciences (startup grant to MW) and by the Czech Science Foundation (grant 23-
04866S). FC was funded by EMBO (award number: ALTF 1115-2021; 2022-2024) and
the Czech Ministry of Youth and Education MSCA Fellowships Interfellows (Project
number: CZ.02.01.01/00/22_010/0003414; 2024-2025). This work was carried out with
the support of the Growth Facility BC Core Facilities, Institute for Plant Molecular
Biology, Biology Centre CAS.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author contribution

FC and MW conceived and designed the project. FC, AP, and JKW carried out
experiments. FC analyzed the data. FC, JKW and MW wrote the article. All authors
read and contributed to the final article.

Data availability

Data from this manuscript is available from the authors upon reasonable request.

References

Andrés F, Coupland G. **2012**. The genetic basis of flowering responses to seasonal
cues. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **13**: 627–639.

Ausín I, Alonso-Blanco C, Jarillo JA, Ruiz-García L, Martínez-Zapater JM. **2004**.
Regulation of flowering time by FVE, a retinoblastoma-associated protein. *Nature*
Genetics **36**: 162–166.

Bao S, Hua C, Shen L, Yu H. **2020**. New insights into gibberellin signaling in
regulating flowering in *Arabidopsis*. *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology* **62**: 118–131.

Bassel GW, Glaab E, Marquez J, Holdsworth MJ, Bacardit J. **2011**. Functional
network construction in *Arabidopsis* using rule-based machine learning on large-scale
data sets. *The Plant Cell* **23**: 3101–3116.

Batailler B, Lemaître T, Vilaine F, Sanchez C, Renard D, Cayla T, Beneteau J,
Dinant S. 2012. Soluble and filamentous proteins in *Arabidopsis* sieve elements. *Plant,*
Cell & Environment **35**: 1258–1273.

Bourdais G, Burdiak P, Gauthier A, Nitsch L, Salojärvi J, Rayapuram C,
Idänheimo N, Hunter K, Kimura S, Merilo E, *et al.* 2015. Large-scale phenomics
identifies primary and fine-tuning roles for CRKs in responses related to oxidative
stress (NM Springer, Ed.). *PLOS Genetics* **11**: e1005373.

Cao D, Cheng H, Wu W, Soo HM, Peng J. 2006. Gibberellin Mobilizes Distinct
DELLA-Dependent Transcriptomes to Regulate Seed Germination and Floral
Development in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiology* **142**: 509–525.

Chiang HH, Hwang I, Goodman HM. 1995. Isolation of the Arabidopsis GA4 locus.
The Plant Cell **7**: 195–201.

Colebrook EH, Thomas SG, Phillips AL, Hedden P. 2014. The role of gibberellin
signalling in plant responses to abiotic stress (SA Davies, JAT Dow, and K Lukowiak,
Eds.). *Journal of Experimental Biology* **217**: 67–75.

Fernandez-Calvino L, Faulkner C, Walshaw J, Saalbach G, Bayer E, Benitez-
Alfonso Y, Maule A. 2011. Arabidopsis plasmodesmal proteome (JD Hoheisel, Ed.).
PLoS ONE **6**: e18880.

Foyer CH, Noctor G. 2020. Redox Homeostasis and Signaling in a Higher-CO_2 World.
Annual Review of Plant Biology **71**: 157–182.

Haywood V, Yu T, Huang N, Lucas WJ. 2005. Phloem long‐distance trafficking of
GIBBERELLIC ACID‐INSENSITIVE RNA regulates leaf development. *The Plant*
Journal **42**: 49–68.

Huang X, Chen S, Li W, Tang L, Zhang Y, Yang N, Zou Y, Zhai X, Xiao N, Liu
W, *et al.* 2021. ROS regulated reversible protein phase separation synchronizes plant
flowering. *Nature Chemical Biology* **17**: 549–557.

Hunter K, Kimura S, Rokka A, Tran HC, Toyota M, Kukkonen JP, Wrzaczek M.
2019. CRK2 Enhances Salt Tolerance by Regulating Callose Deposition in Connection
with PLD *α* 1. *Plant Physiology* **180**: 2004–2021.

Kazan K, Lyons R. 2016. The link between flowering time and stress tolerance.
Journal of Experimental Botany **67**: 47–60.

Kim JS, Jung HJ, Lee HJ, Kim KA, Goh C-H, Woo Y, Oh SH, Han YS, Kang H.
2008. Glycine-rich RNA-binding protein7 affects abiotic stress responses by regulating
stomata opening and closing in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *The Plant Journal* **55**: 455–466.

Kimura S, Hunter K, Vaahtera L, Tran HC, Citterico M, Vaattovaara A, Rokka
A, Stolze SC, Harzen A, Meißner L, *et al.* 2020. CRK2 and C-terminal
phosphorylation of NADPH oxidase RBOHD regulate reactive oxygen species
production in *Arabidopsis*. *The Plant Cell* **32**: 1063–1080.

Löhr B, Streitner C, Steffen A, Lange T, Staiger D. **2014**. A glycine-rich RNA-
binding protein affects gibberellin biosynthesis in Arabidopsis. *Molecular Biology*
Reports **41**: 439–445.

Macknight R, Duroux M, Laurie R, Dijkwel P, Simpson G, Dean C. **2002**.
Functional Significance of the Alternative Transcript Processing of the Arabidopsis
Floral Promoter *FCA*. *The Plant Cell* **14**: 877–888.

Meyer K, Köster T, Nolte C, Weinholdt C, Lewinski M, Grosse I, Staiger D. **2017**.
Adaptation of iCLIP to plants determines the binding landscape of the clock-regulated
RNA-binding protein AtGRP7. *Genome Biology* **18**: 204.

Murata Y, Nagata K, Abe M. **2025**. Cell-to-cell translocation of florigen is inhibited
by low ambient temperature through abscisic acid signaling in *Arabidopsis*
thaliana. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **122**.

Nicaise V, Joe A, Jeong B, Korneli C, Boutrot F, Westedt I, Staiger D, Alfano JR,
Zipfel C. **2013**. Pseudomonas HopU1 modulates plant immune receptor levels by
blocking the interaction of their mRNAs with GRP7. *The EMBO Journal* **32**: 701–712.

Ribeiro DM, Araújo WL, Fernie AR, Schippers JHM, Mueller-Roeber B. **2012**.
Action of Gibberellins on Growth and Metabolism of Arabidopsis Plants Associated
with High Concentration of Carbon Dioxide. *Plant Physiology* **160**: 1781–1794.

Serrano-Mislata A, Hernández-García J, De Ollas C, Blanco-Touriñán N, Jurado-
García S, Úrbez C, Gómez-Cadenas A, Sablowski R, Alabadí D, Blázquez MA.
2025. Growth arrest is a DNA damage protection strategy in Arabidopsis. *Nature*
Communications **16**.

Song YH, Shim JS, Kinmonth-Schultz HA, Imaizumi T. **2015**. Photoperiodic
Flowering: Time Measurement Mechanisms in Leaves. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*
66: 441–464.

Steffen A, Elgner M, Staiger D. **2019**. Regulation of Flowering Time by the RNA-
Binding Proteins AtGRP7 and AtGRP8. *Plant and Cell Physiology* **60**: 2040–2050.

Streitner C, Danisman S, Wehrle F, Schöning JC, Alfano JR, Staiger D. **2008**. The
small glycine-rich RNA binding protein *At* GRP7 promotes floral transition in
Arabidopsis thaliana: At *GRP7 and the floral transition in* A. thaliana. *The Plant*
Journal **56**: 239–250.

Wahl V, Ponnu J, Schlereth A, Arrivault S, Langenecker T, Franke A, Feil R,
Lunn JE, Stitt M, Schmid M. **2013**. Regulation of Flowering by Trehalose-6-
Phosphate Signaling in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Science* **339**: 704–707.

Wang L, Yang T, Wang B, Lin Q, Zhu S, Li C, Ma Y, Tang J, Xing J, Li X, *et al.*
2020. RALF1-FERONIA complex affects splicing dynamics to modulate stress
responses and growth in plants. *Science Advances* **6**: eaaz1622.

Wilson RN, Heckman JW, Somerville CR. **1992**. Gibberellin Is Required for
Flowering in *Arabidopsis thaliana* under Short Days. *Plant Physiology* **100**: 403–408.

Xiao J, Li C, Xu S, Xing L, Xu Y, Chong K. **2015**. AtJAC1 Regulates Nuclear
Accumulation of GRP7, Influencing RNA Processing of FLC Antisense Transcripts and
Flowering Time in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiology*: pp.00801.2015.

Yu S, Lian H, Wang J-W. **2015**. Plant developmental transitions: the role of
microRNAs and sugars. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **27**: 1–7.

Zeng J, Dong Z, Wu H, Tian Z, Zhao Z. **2017**. Redox regulation of plant stem cell
fate. *The EMBO Journal* **36**: 2844–2855.

Zhang S, Wang X. **2008**. Expression pattern of GASA, downstream genes of DELLA,
in Arabidopsis. *Science Bulletin* **53**: 3839–3846.

 Figure legends

 Figure 1. CRK2 and GRP7 modulate Arabidopsis flowering time under non
 inductive conditions. (a) Flowering time (in days) of *Arabidopsis thaliana* Col-0, *crk2*,
 grp7-1, *crk2/grp7-1* double mutant, and two complementation lines (*CRK2p::CRK2-*
 YFP/crk2 #1-14 and #1-22) grown under short-day (SD) conditions. Statistical
 significance was assessed by Kruskal–Wallis followed by Wilcoxon post hoc test.
 Letters indicate statistically significant differences between genotypes ($p < 0.05$). **(b)**
 Number of rosette leaves at flowering for the same genotypes under SD conditions.
 Statistical significance was assessed by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's post
 hoc test. Letters indicate statistically significant differences between genotypes ($p <$
 0.05). **(c)** Representative rosettes of 75-day-old plants grown under SD conditions. **(d)**
 Ratio of flowering time (in days) for each genotype with and without vernalization
 treatment under SD conditions. Pairwise comparisons were performed within each
 genotype using Wilcoxon rank-sum test. P-values were adjusted for multiple testing
 using the Benjamini–Hochberg (BH) method (** $p<0.05$, *** $p<0.01$). **(a, b, d)** Data
 are presented as boxplots showing the median, interquartile range (IQR), and whiskers
 extending to 1.5×IQR. Individual data points are overlaid.

 Figure 2. Effect of GA₃ treatment. (a) Representative rosettes of two-month-old plants
 grown under SD conditions and under weekly spraying with mock or GA_3 containing
 solutions. **(b)** Abundance of GA-responsive transcript *GASA9*. Pairwise comparisons
 were performed within each genotype using Wilcoxon rank-sum test. P-values were
 adjusted for multiple testing using the Benjamini–Hochberg (BH) method (* $p<0.1$). **(c)**
 Abundance of GA-biosynthesis transcript *GA3ox1* Pairwise comparisons were
 performed within each genotype using Wilcoxon rank-sum test. P-values were adjusted
 for multiple testing using the Benjamini–Hochberg (BH) method (* $p<0.1$, ** $p<0.05$).
 (b, c) Data are presented as boxplots showing the median, interquartile range (IQR), and
 whiskers extending to 1.5×IQR. Individual data points are overlaid. **(d)** Schematic
 model for the CRK2-GRP7-based modulation of flowering time in Arabidopsis. CRK2
 acts as a flowering modulator in Arabidopsis. In this role, the RLK acts over the GA
 signaling pathway, partially via the inhibition of GRP7, a known modulator of GA
 signaling. CRK2 might also modulate GA signaling independently of GRP7 and
 flowering results suggest that the regulation of *FLC* by GRP7 does not involve CRK2.

Supplementary figure legends

Figure S1. The flowering delay of the *crk2* mutant is more severe under SD.

Figure S2. The *crk2* mutant does not show increased *FLC* expression.

Figure S3. Expression of the GA biosynthesis genes *GA2* and *GA3ox1* is altered in
crk2.

Supplementary table legends

Table S1. Photoperiod experiment. Raw data and statistical analysis.

Table S2. Flowering time and rosette leaf number at flowering. Raw data and statistical
analysis.

Table S3. Vernalization experiment. Normalized data and statistical analysis.

Table S4. Relative transcript abundance of *FLC*. Raw data and statistical analysis.

Table S5. Relative transcript abundance of *GASA9* & *GA3ox1*. Raw data and statistical
analysis.

Table S6. Expression of GA biosynthesis-related genes. Raw data and statistical
analysis.

Table S7. List of oligonucleotides used for gene expression analysis.

(a)

Mock

GA

Col-0 | *crk2* | CRK2 1-14 | CRK2 1-22 | *grp7-1* | *crk2/grp7-1*

(b) *GASA9*

(c) *GA3ox1*

(d)

Apo

PM

Cyto

CRK2

GRP7 — GA signaling

AP pathway

GRP7 ⊣ *FLC* ⊣ Flowering