Fungal pathogen activity and stress-dependent responses of grapevine

wood to esca and drought

Marie Chambard [1], Dario Cantù [2,3], Giovanni Bortolami [1,4], Ninon Dell'Acqua [1], Nathalie Ferrer

[1], Gregory A. Gambetta [5], Jadran F. Garcia [2], Pierre Gastou [1,6], Mélanie Massonnet [2], Samuele

Moretti [1], Adam Rochepeau [7,8], Pierre Pétriacq [7,8], Marie Foulongne-Oriol [9], and Chloé E. L.

Delmas [1].

[1] INRAE, ISVV, Bordeaux Sciences Agro, Santé et Agroécologie du Vignoble, 33140

Villenave d'Ornon, France

[2] Department of Viticulture and Enology, University of California, Davis, CA, 95616, United

States

[3] Genome Center, University of California, Davis, CA, 95616, United States

[4] Plant Ecology Research Laboratory PERL, School of Architecture, Civil and Environmental

Engineering ENAC, EPFL, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland.

[5] EGFV, Bordeaux-Sciences Agro, INRAE, Université de Bordeaux, ISVV, 210 chemin de

Leysotte, 33882 Villenave d'Ornon, France

[6] Département Sciences de l'Environnement, Univ. Bordeaux, F-33405 Talence, France

[7] University of Bordeaux, INRAE, UMR 1332 BFP, Villenave d'Ornon 33140, France

[8] Bordeaux Metabolome, MetaboHUB, PHENOME-EMPHASIS, Villenave d'Ornon 33140,

France

[9] INRAE, MYCSA, 33882 Villenave d'Ornon, France

Author for correspondence: Chloé E. L. Delmas (chloe.delmas@inrae.fr)

Key words (5-8 key words): esca, grapevine wood, metabolomics, metatranscriptomics,

pathogenic fungi, *Vitis vinifera*, water deficit.

Word counts: introduction = 1096 ; mat. and methods = 2553 ; results = 2279 ; discussion =

2938. **Number of figures:** 8 colored figures

Summary

● Biotic and abiotic stresses alter the physiology of perennial plants, with consequences

for fungal endophytes and disease expression. In grapevine, one of the world's most

valuable crops, drought inhibits esca disease expression, but the underlying molecular

interactions between plant and fungi are unknown.

● We combined wood metatranscriptomics, metabolomics, and metabarcoding to

investigate these interactions in 30-year-old grapevines and eight wood-pathogenic

fungi under conditions of drought or esca leaf symptom expression.

● Both esca and drought decreased grapevine transpiration, but with different

transcriptomic and metabolic signatures. Similar pathways were also activated,

including the phenylpropanoid and stilbenoid synthesis pathways. These stress

responses could potentially confer cross-tolerance, and elicit different fungal molecular

responses. The levels of putative fungal virulence factors increased significantly under

both stresses. Under drought, only the relative abundance of *Phaeomoniella*

chlamydospora and gene expression involved in anti-oxidative mechanisms, growth,

and reproduction increased. Under esca expression conditions, only the relative

abundance of *Fomitiporia mediterranea* and gene expression involved in wood

degradation, competition, detoxification, and growth increased.

● The grapevine defense mechanisms induced by drought coupled with a low transpiration

rate and a low abundance and virulence of *F. mediterranea* may account for esca leaf

symptom inhibition upon water deficit.

Introduction

It has been predicted that global warming will increase the abiotic and biotic stresses experienced by crops, including drought (Vicente-Serrano *et al.*, 2022) and fungal pathogen pressure (Chaloner *et al.*, 2021). These predictions are of particular concern for perennial plants, such as forest trees (Anderegg *et al.*, 2015; McDowell *et al.*, 2022; Petek-Petrik *et al.*, 2023) and grapevines (van Leeuwen et al. 2024), which accumulate stresses over several decades. Plants host diverse microbiomes that colonize external surfaces and internal tissues (Trivedi *et al.*, 2020). Under certain abiotic and biotic conditions, the microorganisms within these microbial populations may shift to a pathogenic state (Kabbage *et al.*, 2015; Mishra *et al.*, 2021). Such plant-environment-microorganism interactions are known as pathobiomes and lead to disease development (Vayssier-Taussat *et al.*, 2014; Mina *et al.*, 2020; Lv *et al.*, 2023). The composition of plant fungal communities has been shown to shift in response to biotic stresses, with changes in root fungal communities during pine wilt disease (Chu *et al.*, 2016), and in wood fungal communities associated with eutypa dieback, esca and wood canker in grapevine (Morales-Cruz *et al.*, 2018; Nerva *et al.*, 2022). Abiotic stresses, such as drought, can also alter microbiomes, as shown in drought-affected soils (Preece *et al.*, 2019), and *in planta*, in grapevine root (Carbone *et al.*, 2021) and wood (Gastou *et al.* 2025), and in grassland root fungal communities (Fu *et al.*, 2022). These shifts may be driven directly by the effects of stress on endophytic microbiota and indirectly, through stress-induced changes in plant physiological status (Desprez-Loustau *et al.*, 2006). Indeed, biotic stresses trigger plant defense responses, such as PAMP-triggered immunity (Jones and Dangl, 2006), in which hormonal signaling and reactive oxygen species (ROS) production (Yu *et al.*, 2024) restrict pathogen invasion. However, the endophyte community may perceive these defense responses as stresses (Hamilton *et al.*, 2012). Drought decreases both plant water potential and photosynthesis, resulting in a lower sugar content (Martínez-Vilalta and Garcia-Forner, 2017; Zargar *et al.*,

2017; Bortolami *et al.*, 2021). Such physiological changes affect the composition and behavior

of wood fungal pathogen communities, as shown in grapevine (Goodell, 2020; Leal *et al.*, 2024;

Gastou *et al.*, 2025). Improvements in our understanding of the ways in which altered plant

physiological status, driven by abiotic or biotic stress, shapes the pathobiome at the molecular

level in both plant and pathogen would enhance our knowledge of the complex interplay

between plant physiology, microbial communities, and biotic and abiotic stresses.

Such issues have been little studied in perennial systems, for which grapevine and complex

multifactorial diseases like esca constitute a particularly pertinent model for exploring these

interactions. Esca is characterized by foliar scorching, internal wood necrosis, and a brown

stripe along the outer xylem of the trunk (Lecomte *et al.*, 2024). It is associated with yield

decline and vine mortality (Li *et al.*, 2017; Dewasme *et al.*, 2022). Multiple factors influence

symptom expression, including plant genotype (i.e. grapevine cultivar; Etienne *et al.*, 2024;

Gastou *et al.*, 2024), environmental conditions (Dell'Acqua *et al.*, 2025; Etienne *et al.*, 2025;

Monod *et al.*, 2025), and the extent of trunk necrosis (Maher *et al.*, 2012; Ouadi *et al.*, 2019;

Gastou *et al.*, 2025). Interestingly, an experiment on mature grapevines in which drought was

combined with the monitoring of esca leaf symptom development showed that both water

deficit (WD) and esca leaf symptom expression reduced plant transpiration and carbon

assimilation, but through different mechanisms (Bortolami *et al.*, 2021). In drought-stressed

plants, low predawn water potential ($\Psi_{PD,}$ around -1 MPa) led to a lower leaf stomatal

conductance and lower levels of whole-plant transpiration. By contrast, predawn water potential

was unaffected in plants with esca symptoms, which instead presented lower levels of leaf gas

exchange and whole-plant transpiration, attributed to a smaller functional canopy area and the

lower total chlorophyll content of leaves expressing esca symptoms. This study also revealed

an antagonism between drought and esca leaf symptom expression (Bortolami *et al.*, 2021);

30% of well-watered plants developed esca leaf symptoms (as commonly observed in the field),

whereas none of the drought-stressed plants expressed symptoms over two consecutive years.

This unique experimental framework provided new opportunities for exploring the effects of

plant physiological status on the activity of fungal pathogens of wood and for determining

whether metatranscriptomic changes can explain the antagonistic interaction between drought

and disease expression.

We investigated this aspect by analyzing wood samples collected at the end of the Bortolami *et*

al. (2021) study, consisting of 30-year-old grapevines naturally infected with esca and exposed

for two consecutive seasons to three sets of conditions: control (asymptomatic well-watered

plants), WD, and esca leaf symptom expression. Internal wood necrosis and the associated

Ascomycota community were studied in this experiment (Gastou *et al.*, 2025). Apparently

healthy wood was more abundant in control conditions, and necrosis was characterized by a

lower fungal diversity but a higher abundance of pathogens than healthy wood. The pathogens

identified included various Ascomycota (Botryosphaeriaceae, Phaeomoniellaceae,

Diaporthaceae, Togniniaceae, Diatrypaceae). In particular, the well-known grapevine trunk

pathogens *Phaeomoniella chlamydospora*, *Phaeoacremonium minimum, Neofusicoccum*

parvum, *Diplodia seriata*, *Diaporthe ampelina*, *Eutypa lata*, and *Botryosphaeria dothidea* were

found in both healthy wood and necrotic tissues (Gastou *et al.*, 2025). However, basidiomycetes

(Hymenochaetaceae), including *Fomitiporia mediterranea* in particular, are usually involved

in esca pathogenesis (Moretti et al. 2021). This aspect was not studied by Gastou et al. (2025).

As drought-stressed plants did not develop esca symptoms (Bortolami *et al*., 2021) but had a

high abundance of ascomycota wood pathogens (Gastou et al. 2025), we hypothesized that

wood pathogen activity might be directly inhibited by WD and/or indirectly affected by stress-

induced changes in host physiology. We also hypothesized that the basidiomycete *Fomitiporia*

mediterranea might play a key role in esca pathogenesis and esca-drought antagonism. We

investigated the mechanisms underlying this esca-drought antagonism through a multi-omics

approach combining metatranscriptomics, metabolomics and metabarcoding. Grapevine

transcriptomes and metabolomes were analyzed across control, WD, and esca conditions. We

then assessed the effects of these different physiological states on fungal community

composition and the expression of virulence-associated genes in the eight grapevine trunk

pathogens mentioned above. This study provides new insight into the interaction between plant

physiological status resulting from biotic and abiotic stresses and the pathobiome. We show

that WD and esca symptom expression induce different and overlapping metabolic pathways,

some of which may confer cross-tolerance (Perincherry *et al.*, 2021), potentially providing a

better explanation for the observed antagonism between drought and esca than fungal activity

itself. Importantly, fungal gene expression responses in healthy wood tissue appeared to be

limited and species-specific: *F. mediterranea* responded primarily to esca symptoms, whereas

Phaeom. chlamydospora responded to drought.

Materials and methods

Plant material and ecophysiological status

We sampled 21 *Vitis vinifera* cv. Sauvignon blanc plants from the experimental design

described by Bortolami *et al.* (2021) combining WD and esca leaf symptom expression. Briefly,

30-year-old plants naturally infected with esca and monitored for leaf symptoms for seven years

were uprooted from the vineyard in 2018 and planted in 20 L pots in a greenhouse. Plants were

irrigated with a nutrient solution (0.1 mM $NH_4H_2PO_4$, 0.187 mM NH_4NO_3, 0.255 mM KNO_3,

0.025 mM $MgSO_4$, 0.002 mM Fe, and oligoelements [B, Zn, Mn, Cu, and Mo]). Over two

experimental seasons (2018 and 2019), we checked each plant for the presence of esca leaf

symptoms twice weekly from June 2018 to October 2019). On 1 July 2018 and 2019, we

stopped watering the plants and maintained plants under WD conditions for a period of three

months (from July to October 2018 and 2019), with a target weekly mean Ψ_{PD} between 0.6 and

1.7 MPa. None of the plants subjected to WD developed esca leaf symptoms. We therefore split

these plants (*n*=51) into three treatment groups at the end of the experiment: asymptomatic WD

plants, well-watered plants with esca symptoms, and well-watered asymptomatic plants

(hereafter referred to as control plants). Plants in different treatment groups displayed

contrasting physiological states (Fig. 1). Only WD plants presented low water potentials (mean

Ψ_{PD} of -1.11 MPa over the season), whereas both the control plants and the plants with esca

symptoms remained unstressed (mean Ψ_{PD} of -0.12 MPa and -0.11, respectively, over the

season). However, both WD and esca-symptomatic plants displayed a decrease in whole-plant

stomatal conductance (Gs) after the start of the stress (from a mean Gs in control plants of

141.18 mmol.m^{-2}.s^{-1} to 17.7 mmol.m^{-2}.s^{-1} under WD conditions and 70.8 mmol.m^{-2}.s^{-1} on

average at the onset of esca leaf symptoms). The physiological differences between these

treatments are presented in detail elsewhere (Bortolami *et al.*, 2021).

Fig. 1 Schematic diagram of the experimental design. *Vitis vinifera* cv. Sauvignon blanc plants

(*n*=51) were uprooted from the vineyard and placed in pots in a greenhouse for the study of

interactions between WD and esca described by Bortolami *et al*. (2021). At the end of this

experiment, wood samples were collected from 21 plants, seven from each of the three

treatment groups: control, WD and esca leaf symptom expression. These treatments resulted in

three different plant physiological states according to predawn water potential (Ψ_{PD}) and whole-

plant stomatal conductance (G_S) (significant differences from control plants are indicated in red

and the full datasets are provided by Bortolami *et al*. 2021).

Wood sample collection

At the end of the 2019 season, in early October, a 2 cm transverse section was cut 15 cm from

the top of the trunk of 21 plants (*n* = 7 control plants, *n* = 7 WD plants, *n* = 7 plants with esca

symptoms, Table S1). We used a sterilized chisel a sterile field (radius 30 cm) to sample the

different types of wood separately. We obtained samples from apparently healthy wood, black

necrotic woody tissue and wood with white rot. Metatranscriptomic, untargeted metabolomic

and fungal metabarcoding analyses were performed on the same wood samples (*n*=21).

RNA extraction

Frozen wood samples were ground in liquid nitrogen with a Tissue Lyser. RNA was extracted

by the Transcriptome facility (University of Bordeaux, INSERM, PUMA, Neurocentre

Magendie, Bordeaux, France) from 0.5 g tissue, according to the modified protocol described

by Blanco-Ulate *et al.*, 2013. Each sample was mixed with 100 µL mercaptoethanol and 5 mL

extraction buffer (2% CTAB, 2% PVP, 100 mM Tris, 2 M NaCl, 25 mM EDTA, 0.05 g/mL

spermidine). Samples were vortexed and incubated at 65°C for 10 minutes, then vortexed again.

An equal volume of CIA (chloroform:IAA 24:1) was added and the samples were centrifuged

at 2575 g for 30 min at 4°C. The supernatant was transferred to a fresh 15 mL Falcon tube, placed on ice and an equal volume of CIA was added. Samples were centrifuged at 2575 g for 30 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant was transferred to new tubes and a volume of 10 mM LiCl equivalent to ¼ of the sample volume was added. Samples were incubated at -20°C for 1 hour. They were then centrifuged at 4°C and 2575 g for 45 minutes. The pellet was dried and resuspended in 100 µL RNase-free water. The RNA Cleanup Kit (Qiagen) was then used according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA quality was assessed with a fragment analyzer (Agilent).

The RNA of black necrosis and white rot samples appeared to be degraded (RNA concentration < 40 ng/µL and RIN < 7.5) and it was not possible to obtain high-quality samples from these tissues. In total, 21 high-quality samples corresponding to apparently healthy wood samples (n=7 for each group: control, WD, and esca-symptomatic plants) were selected for RNA sequencing.

RNA sequencing

RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) was performed at the GeT-PlaGe core facility, INRAE Toulouse, France. RNA-seq libraries were prepared in accordance with the Illumina protocol, with the Illumina TruSeq Stranded mRNA sample preparation kit for mRNA analysis. In brief, mRNA was selected with poly-T beads and fragmented to generate double-stranded cDNAs, to which adaptors were ligated for sequencing. Libraries were amplified by 11 PCR cycles. Library quality was assessed with an Advanced Analytical Fragment Analyzer (Agilent) and libraries were quantified by quantitative PCR on a QuantStudio 6 device (Applied Biosystems, Thermo Fisher Scientific) with the KAPA Library Quantification Kit (Roche, KK4824). Sequencing was performed on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 to generate paired-end reads of 2x150 bp with the corresponding kits.

Metatranscriptomic, bioinformatic, and data analyses

The primary-scaffold genomes available for *Vitis vinifera* cv. Sauvignon blanc (Urra *et al.*, 2023) and grapevine trunk-associated fungi were concatenated and used as a multi-species reference. Fungal genomes were selected according to their pathogenicity in grapevine wood. Eight fungi were selected from the NCBI and Grapegenomics databases (Table S2):

- *Phaeom. chlamydospora, Phaeoa. minimum* and *F. mediterranea*, three fungi often associated with trunk necrosis that occur simultaneously with the expression of esca leaf symptoms (Claverie *et al.*, 2020; Moretti *et al.*, 2021).
- *B. dothidea, Dip. seriata, N. parvum*, three Botryosphaeriaceae species associated with *Botryosphaeria* dieback (Belair *et al.*, 2022).
- *Dia. ampelina*, responsible for *Phomopsis* dieback (Gonzalez-Dominguez *et al.*, 2022) and *Phomopsis* cane and leaf spot (Lawrence *et al.*, 2015).
- *E. lata*, responsible for *Eutypa* dieback (Rolshausen *et al.*, 2014).

RNA sequencing generated a mean of 93.34 ± 32.25 M reads for control samples, 82.61 ± 13.27 M reads for WD samples and 104.27 ± 31.35 M for esca samples. FASTQ files were trimmed with Trimmomatic v0.39 (Bolger *et al.*, 2014) with the *slidingwindow* and *illuminaclip* options and fastp v0.23.2 (Chen *et al.*, 2018), and their quality was assessed with FASTQC v0.12.1 (Andrews, 2010). Trimmed files contained a mean of 92.53 ± 32.09 M reads for control samples, 81.75 ± 13.13 M reads for WD samples and 103.28 ± 31.10 M for esca samples, with a mean Phred score above 35 for each base and no adapter sequences.

A first pre-alignment against concatenated genomes of *Vitis vinifera* cv. Sauvignon blanc (Urra *et al.*, 2023) and grapevine trunk-associated fungi as a multi-species reference was performed with Hisat2 v2.2.1 (Kim *et al.*, 2015), resulting in a mean overall alignment rate of 99.15%. Samtools v1.20 (Danecek *et al.*, 2021) was then used to retain only high-quality alignments (-

q 20) with a CIGAR score above 50 (-m 50) and to remove unaligned sequences (-F 4). This high-quality read alignment contained a mean of 100 M reads per sample. Alignment files were then filtered with the Samtools view and grep commands, to separate the grapevine and fungal sequences. These sequences were then converted to FASTQ sequences and pseudoaligned against grapevine trunk-associated fungal transcriptomes and genomes or the *Vitis vinifera* cv. Sauvignon blanc primary genome and transcriptome with Salmon v1.10.0 (Patro *et al.*, 2017). The number of transcripts per million (TPM) and estimated counts were obtained from Salmon quant files.

Differential expression analyses were performed on fungal and grapevine genes with the DESeq2 R package v4.2.2 (Love *et al.*, 2014). As the numbers of detected genes and counts were low for some fungi, particularly in control conditions, a pseudocount of 1 was added to the fungal count matrix for the analysis of differential expression between the esca and WD samples without the use of controls. Lists of grapevine DEG (differentially expressed genes) were used for statistical tests to assess functional category enrichment with the Vitisnet annotation of PN40024 protein-coding genes (Grimplet *et al.*, 2009). Gene-count normalization (median of ratios method) was used with DESeq2. Volcano plots, sparse partial least squares discriminant analysis (sPLS-DA) and other analyses were performed with R (R Core Team, 2023), using the ggplot2 v4.2.3 and mixomics v6.22.0 packages (Wickham, 2011; Rohart *et al.*, 2017). The mixomics perf() function was used to assess the sPLS-DA model performance and error rate (ER). The ER value, which ranges from 0 to 0.5, indicates the quality of the separation between groups. A value close to 0 indicates good separation, while a value close to 0.5 indicates separation that is almost random.

Genome annotation

We used BLASTP v.2.2.28+ to align the predicted proteins of the primary contigs of Sauvignon blanc with PN40024 proteins (V1 annotation, Jansen *et al.*, 2006). Alignments with an identity greater than 80% and a reciprocal reference:query coverage between 80% and 120% were retained. The alignments with the highest product of identity, query coverage, and reference coverage were selected to identify pairs of homologous genes. The table of correspondence between Sauvignon blanc and PN40024 was used to assign functional categories from Vitisnet to Sauvignon blanc genes. We then assessed functional category enrichment with Fisher's tests performed in R.

Fungal genomes were annotated for conserved virulence factor domains and homology with carbohydrate-active enzymes, secondary metabolites, transporters, peroxidases and cytochromes, with the dbCAN3 (Zheng *et al.*, 2023), AntiSMASH (Blin *et al.*, 2023), TCDB (Saier *et al.*, 2020), fPoxDB (Choi *et al.*, 2014) and CYPED (Fischer *et al.*, 2007) databases with HMMER. An sPLS-DA analysis was performed with the sum of all read counts with the same virulence factor annotation per fungus as an input.

Untargeted metabolomics: extraction, mass spectrometry and data analysis

Metabolomic analysis was performed as previously described (Dell'Acqua *et al.*, 2025). Semipolar compounds, including primary and secondary metabolites, were extracted with automated high-throughput ethanol extraction procedures at the MetaboHUB-Bordeaux Metabolome (https://metabolome.u-bordeaux.fr/) facility, using 10 mg of freeze-dried grapevine wood material, according to published protocols (Luna *et al*., 2020). Untargeted metabolic profiling was performed by UHPLC-LTQ-Orbitrap mass spectrometry (LCMS) as previously described (Dussarrat *et al*., 2022; Martins *et al*., 2022) on an Ultimate 3000 ultra high-pressure liquid chromatography (UHPLC) system coupled to an LTQ-Orbitrap Elite mass

spectrometer interfaced with an electrospray ionization source (ESI, Thermo Scientific, Bremen, Germany) operating in negative ion mode, as previously described (Luna *et al*., 2020). LCMS analyses of wood samples were run in a random sequence, together with extraction blanks (prepared without plant material and used to rule out potential contaminants detected by untargeted metabolomics), and quality control (QC) samples prepared by mixing 20 µL of each sample. QC samples were injected every 10 runs and were used i) to correct signal drift during analysis, and ii) to calculate coefficients of variation (CV) for each metabolomic feature such that only the most robust (CV below 30%) were retained for chemometrics (Broadhurst *et al*., 2018). Briefly, MS1 full-scan acquisitions were performed at high resolution (240k) on QC samples and the various standards for the determination of exact mass, and all samples were subjected to MS2 data-dependent analysis (DDA, 30 k resolution) to generate fragmentation information for further annotation (Dell'Acqua et al. 2025).

Raw LCMS data were processed with MS-DIAL v 4.9 (Tsugawa *et al*., 2015). We also implemented retention time correction in MS-DIAL, and metabolomic signals were normalized according to the LOWESS method, based on the QC samples. The data were curated according to the blank check method, and thresholds were set at a signal-to-noise ratio (SN) > 10, and a coefficient of variation for quality controls < 30%. The final 3,184 features retained for predictive metabolomics annotations were annotated with an in-house chemical library and the FragHUB database (Dablanc *et al*., 2024). The putative annotation of differentially expressed metabolites, thus, resulted from MS-DIAL screening of the MS1 detected exact HR *m/z* and MS2 fragmentation patterns (Tsugawa *et al*., 2015). In addition, the InChiKeys of annotated features were used within ClassyFire for the automatic generation of a structural ontology for chemical entities (Djoumbou Feunang *et al.*, 2016). Putative metabolites unlikely to be found in plants (*e.g.* human drugs) were considered to be misassigned and were therefore reassigned as "unknown".

Normalization against the sample median, square root data transformation and Pareto scaling

were applied to metabolomic data with Metaboanalyst 6.0 (Pang *et al.*, 2024). Volcano plots

were generated in R, using Metaboanalyst fold change and t-tests. Thresholds were set at a FDR

(false-discovery rate) < 0.05 (Welch's *t*-test and Benjamini–Hochberg correction) and a fold

change (FC) threshold > 1.5. Pathway enrichment *p*-values and pathway impact (combining the

number of metabolites in the pathway and their importance) were calculated from differentially

abundant metabolite lists with the Metaboanalyst pathway analysis tool and the *Arabidopsis*

thaliana set. In addition to performing independent analyses, we used the transcriptomic and

metabolomic datasets to explore alternative potential markers of each stress response. We did

this by using the MixOmics R package (Rohart *et al.*, 2017) (i) to perform independent sPLS-

DA analyses, (ii) to assess the correlation between the transcriptomic and metabolomic

components, and (iii) to generate a heatmap visualization of the top variables of each type of

data among the first two components.

Metabarcoding: DNA extraction, amplification and sequencing

For the metabarcoding analysis, the P16 control sample did not generate a library of sufficient

quality and was discarded, resulting in the retention of only 20 ($n = 6$ control plants, $n = 7$ WD

plants, $n = 7$ esca plants) of the 21 initial samples for analysis. We used the experimental

procedure described by Dell'Acqua *et al.*, (2025). Briefly, we extracted DNA from 60 mg of

frozen sample with the DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's

protocol. All samples (n=20) were diluted to obtain a maximum DNA concentration of 15

ng/µL. A negative extraction control was included in the process. The internal transcribed

spacer 1 (ITS1) region of the fungal ITS ribosomal DNA gene (Schoch *et al.*, 2012) was

amplified with the ITS1F-ITS2 primer pair. Universal sequences were added to the specific

sequences to facilitate the hybridization of adapters and sequencing indices during 2nd PCR

(i.e.   mb-ITS1F: 5'

TCGTCGGCAGCGTCAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAG**CTTGGTCATTTAGAGGAAGT**

AA-3'   and   mb-ITS2:   5'

GTCTCGTGGGCTCGGAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAG**GCTGCGTTCTTCATCGATGC**

-3', with the specific sequences shown in bold). The first PCR mixture and cycles were as

described by Dell'Acqua *et al.*, (2025). The second PCR and amplicon sequencing (Illumina

V3 kit: 2×300 bp paired-end reads) were performed by the Genome Transcriptome Facility of

Bordeaux according to standard protocols.

Metabarcoding: bioinformatic and data analyses

Bioinformatic analyses were performed as described by Dell'Acqua *et al.*, (2025), with the

FROGS tools hosted by the Galaxy platform (Escudié *et al.*, 2018). Clustering, chimera

removal, OTU (operational taxonomic unit) filtering, ITS sequence selection and affiliation

tools (blast analyses on the ITS UNITE Fungi 8.3 database) from the FROGS software were

used to generate the abundance table. The MetabaR package (Zinger *et al.*, 2021), implemented

in R v.4.2.1 was used to decontaminate the dataset and remove putatively contaminant OTUs

and low-quality samples (highly contaminated or with a low sequencing depth) based on

extraction and PCR blanks. OTU affiliations were checked by additional blast analyses against

the NCBI (nr/nt) nucleotide collection (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast. cgi) and

trunkdiseaseID.org, a database specific for grapevine trunk disease pathogens.

Statistical analyses were performed with R v4.2.1. Alpha and beta diversity metrics were

calculated with R phyloseq package v1.34.0 (McMurdie and Holmes, 2013). The Shannon and

Simpson alpha diversity indices of the trunk fungal communities were compared between

treatments by ANOVA followed by Tukey's post-hoc tests. A permutational analysis of

variance (PERMANOVA) was performed on CLR-transformed data, with R package vegan

v2.6-4 (Oksanen *et al.,* 2022), to assess the effect of plant status on fungal community structure.

The relative abundances of eight wood pathogen species included in the RNA-seq analysis were

compared between treatments by ANOVA followed by Tukey's post-hoc tests.

Results

Effect of grapevine ecophysiological status on wood gene expression profiles

We assessed grapevine wood responses to esca and WD by transcriptomic profiling using RNA-

seq. In total, 2,817 genes were identified as differentially expressed in response to the

expression of esca leaf symptoms during the summer preceding sampling in the fall (Fig. 2a;

Table S3). These DEGs consisted of 1,816 genes upregulated in the presence of esca symptoms

and 1,001 genes downregulated in the presence of esca symptoms. WD induced the differential

expression of a smaller number of genes, 2,347 in total: 1,370 upregulated and 977

downregulated (Fig. 2b; Table S4). We identified 808 genes that were upregulated and 363 that

were downregulated in both the WD and esca samples.

We investigated the biological mechanisms and pathways affected by both treatments and those

affected by only one of the treatments, by performing an analysis of functional category

enrichment among DEGs. The functional categories displaying enrichment among the genes

upregulated in both the drought and esca groups included "protein kinase", "hormone

signaling", "phenylpropanoid biosynthesis", "phytoalexin biosynthesis", "stilbenoid

biosynthesis" and "cell wall metabolism" (Fig. 2c). By contrast, for some functional categories,

enrichment was detected only among the genes most strongly expressed in response to a single

treatment. For the WD response, categories such as "oxidative stress response", "nitrogen and

sulfur metabolism" and "aromatic amino acid metabolism" were found to be enriched (Fig. 2c).

Genes encoding proteins involved in ascorbic acid metabolism, glutathione S-transferases and

thioredoxins from these functional categories were identified. In response to esca symptoms,

functional categories such as "plant-pathogen interactions", "transcription factors", "starch and

sucrose metabolism", and "transport overview" were found to be enriched (Fig. 2c). The

overexpressed genes from these categories included genes annotated as PR (pathogenesis-

related) proteins, and transcription factors (TF), such as AP2 (Apetala2), WRKY, zinc finger

proteins and NAC (NAM, ATAF1/2, CUC2) in particular, all of which have been linked to the

grapevine response to pathogens and the induction of disease resistance. A large number of

genes encoding stilbene synthases (STS) — key enzymes in stilbene synthesis — and certain

phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL) genes were also found to be overexpressed in response to

esca leaf symptom expression (17 STS and 3 PAL genes). Tethering factors, coat proteins and

ABC multidrug transporters were the most frequently identified protein transport-related genes.

Fig. 2 Volcano plots showing the genes differentially expressed (FC ≥ 1.5, *p*-value ≤ 0.01) (a,

b) and metabolic features (d, e) of *V. vinifera* cv. Sauvignon blanc (a, d) differing between the

esca and control groups and (b, e) between the WD and control groups. The downregulated

genes and metabolic features are represented as blue dots, and the upregulated genes and

metabolic features are represented as pink (esca) and yellow (WD) dots. (c) Functional

categories displaying enrichment among the genes upregulated in grapevine in response to esca

leaf symptoms and WD conditions. (f) Pathways with a *p* value ≤ 0.05 (enrichment test) and a

pathway impact > 0 from the lists of metabolic features displaying enrichment in response to

esca and WD. FC = Fold change.

Effect of grapevine ecophysiological status on wood metabolites

We also investigated the response of grapevine to WD and esca leaf symptom expression at the

metabolomic level in healthy trunk samples. A volcano plot analysis identified metabolomic

features with differential abundances (DAM; Table S5) in response to esca (Fig. 2d) and WD

(Fig. 2e). WD condition induced a larger number of DAM (332 overabundant and 340

underabundant) than esca (184 overabundant and 272 underabundant). Based on the list of

overabundant metabolomic features, we were able to detect an enrichment in certain metabolic

pathways (Fig. 2f). "Glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism", "flavonoid biosynthesis" and

"citrate cycle" were significantly affected in both conditions (esca and WD), with impact scores

ranging from 0.06 (flavonoid biosynthesis, WD and esca) to 0.31 (citrate cycle, WD). "Pyruvate

metabolism" and "glycine, serine and threonine metabolism" were found to be significantly

affected only by esca symptom expression, with impact scores of 0.21 and 0.30, respectively.

Only one of the overabundant metabolites was recognized as belonging to the stilbene class

(WPPYDDRXZLFEFL-VOTSOKGWSA-N). This metabolite was frequently detected in the

esca and WD groups, with fold changes of 1.95 (WD, FDR = 0.04) and 2.38 (esca, FDR = 0.02).

A large number of phenylpropanoid compounds were also detected in plants subjected to stress

(41 WD, 22 esca), and 15 of these compounds were common to both stresses. The highest

pathway impact score was that for "linoleic acid metabolism" in WD conditions. For this

pathway, two of four metabolic features were found to be overabundant: linoleic acid and 13(S)-

HPODE (hydroperoxyoctadeca-dienoic acid). This pathway had an impact of 1 in the presence

of esca symptoms, corresponding to a non-significant p-value, and only one metabolic feature

was detected (linoleic acid). Finally, "pyruvate metabolism", and "glycine, serine and threonine

metabolism", were specifically enriched in response to esca leaf symptom expression.

Integration of grapevine transcriptome and metabolome data

According to the sPLS-DA, transcriptomic data (Fig. 3a) were unable to discriminate between

samples from the esca and WD groups, whereas samples from control and stressed (esca leaf

symptoms or WD) conditions were distinguished moderately well by the second component

(ER = 0.38; Fig. 3a). The first component seemed to be related to differences between

individuals rather than conditions (ER = 0.61; Fig. 3a). Metabolomic data clearly distinguished

the three physiological states of the plants, with the first component tending to differentiate

between the control and stressed conditions (ER = 0.48), whereas the second component

differentiated between WD, control and esca conditions (ER = 0.09; Fig. 3b). The latent

components of each block (the grapevine transcriptome and metabolome datasets) were highly

correlated (Pearson's correlation r = 0.96).

For each type of data and for the first two components, selection of the 20 variables with the

highest loading was the best option for classifying the samples with a heatmap (Fig. 3c, table

S6 and S7). These variables clearly separated the three sets of experimental conditions,

demonstrating the existence of different responses to WD and esca. Four main groups were

visible on the heatmap: (i) a group of genes displaying enrichment in WD conditions and

depletion in the presence of esca symptoms, principally composed of genes encoding a MYND

family transcription factor, a protein involved in pyrimidine metabolism, an RNA transporter

and a protein involved in plastid organization and biogenesis, together with metabolites such as

trehalose and an isoflavonoid (probably ononin); (ii) a group of genes displaying a high degree

of enrichment in control conditions, including a fatty acid transporter gene, and the genes

encoding 2-heptylhexanedioic acid and 9,12,13-trihydroxyoctadec-10-enoic acid; (iii) a group

of metabolic features overabundant in both stress conditions (WD and esca) including two

phenylpropanoids, a benzenoid, a derivative of quinic acid (probably 4,5-dicaffeoylquinic

acid), 7-O-methylbavachin, and a terpene lactone; (iv) a group of genes and metabolic features

displaying enrichment in the esca group relative to the control and WD groups: three flavonoids

recognized as catechins, two benzophenones, a transcription factor from the jasmonate-

mediated signaling pathway, and a gene involved in phenylalanine biosynthesis and the

oxidative stress response.

Fig. 3 Multi-omic dataset overview of the responses of *V. vinifera* cv. Sauvignon blanc to esca leaf symptom expression and WD. sPLS-DA (sparse partial least squares discriminant analysis) of (a) grapevine transcriptomic (Comp. 1 ER = 0.61; Comp. 2 ER = 0.38) and (b) metabolomic (Comp. 1 ER = 0.48; Comp. 2 ER = 0.09) data. (c) Heatmap of 21 individual plants and 40 variables selected with the MixOmics R package for each dataset (components 1 and 2) as best explaining the differences between conditions. Values were scaled and trimmed to the range [- 3, 3] for visualization, with lower values shown in blue and higher values in red.

   Effect of ecophysiological status on the trunk fungal community, as assessed by metabarcoding

In total, 934,497 reads were generated and assigned to 342 fungal operational taxonomic units

(OTUs) in apparently healthy trunk samples. The decontaminated dataset (without untargeted

and putatively contaminant OTUs) contained 789,458 reads across 20 environmental samples

affiliated to 306 OTUs. The decontaminated OTU table is provided in Table S8, the numbers

of reads and OTUs per sample are provided in Table S9.

The fungal communities differed between biological replicates (Fig. 4a) and were composed of

80% Ascomycota, with three dominant species: *Phaeom. chlamydospora* (Eurotiomycetes,

29.6% of the total community), *Phaeoa. minimum* (Sordariomycetes, 7.4%) and *Pleosporales*

sp. (Dothideomycetes, 5.3%). Basidiomycota accounted for 16.4% of fungal OTUs, the three

most abundant species being *F. mediterranea* (Agaricomycetes, 5.0%), *Peniophora* sp.

(Agaricomycetes, 3.7%) and *Phellinus rhamni* (Agaricomycetes, 3.2%). Only 2.1% of OTUs

were unaffiliated at the class level.

Neither the observed richness nor the diversity metrics (i.e. Shannon and Simpson indices) of

fungal communities from trunk healthy wood samples differed significantly between plant

physiological states ($P = 0.21$, $P = 0.51$ and $P = 0.98$, respectively; Fig. 4b). However, there

was a trend towards fungal diversity being lower in plants expressing esca leaf symptoms, and

even lower in WD plants, than in controls. PCA and PERMANOVA analyses revealed that

plant physiological status accounted for 16.1% of the variance of healthy wood fungal

communities ($P = 0.001$; Fig. 4c). The structure and composition of these fungal communities

differed significantly between esca and control plants, and between WD plants and the plants

of the other two groups.

We specifically addressed the effect of plant physiological status on the relative abundance of

the eight pathogens included in the RNA-seq analysis. Overall, they accounted for

approximately 20% of the fungal community in control conditions and 55% in the esca and WD

groups. Plant physiological status significantly modified the relative abundance of two of the

eight species considered: *F. mediterranea* ($P = 10^{-5}$) and *Phaeom. chlamydospora* ($P = 0.005$).

F. mediterranea was more abundant in the healthy wood of esca plants than in that of control

and WD plants (Fig. 4d). *Phaeom. chlamydospora* was more abundant in the wood of plants

from the two stressed groups than in that of control plants (Fig. 4d). No clear differences were

observed in the relative abundances of (i) the 20 most abundant OTUs (Fig. 4a) (ii) or the other

six species included in the RNA-seq analysis (Fig. 4d).

Fig. 4 Fungal metabarcoding of healthy wood samples from *V. vinifera* cv. Sauvignon blanc

for three treatments: control, WD and esca leaf symptom expression. (a) Relative abundance of

the top 20 OTUs per plant sample detected in the control, esca leaf symptom expression and

WD groups (*x*-axis labels). (b) Fungal alpha diversity indices for the control, esca and WD

499   groups. (c) Principal component analysis (beta diversity). (d) Relative abundances of the eight

500   targeted fungal wood pathogens in the three sets of conditions.

Effect of ecophysiological status on grapevine trunk pathogen gene expression

Metatranscriptomic alignments revealed substantial variation in the number of reads mapping

to each fungal species across biological replicates within treatment groups, indicating high

biological variability (Fig. 5). Across all conditions, the dominant fungal transcripts

corresponded to *Phaeom. chlamydospora*, *F. mediterranea*, and *Phaeoa. minimum*. Fungal

transcript abundance was markedly lower in control samples (2×10^2 reads; Fig. 5a) than in the

two sets of stress conditions (10^4 reads; Fig. 5b,c), suggesting that fungal activity increases in

response to WD and esca stress. In control conditions, *Phaeom. chlamydospora* and *Phaeoa.*

minimum accounted for the majority of fungal reads. In plants with esca symptoms, transcript

levels were highest for *F. mediterranea* and *Phaeom. chlamydospora*. Under WD conditions,

transcript levels were consistently highest for *Phaeom. chlamydospora* across all samples.

Fig. 5 Number of reads aligned with the eight fungal genomes per healthy wood sample (expressed in $\log_{10}$), in control (a), esca-symptomatic (b) and WD (c) *Vitis vinifera* cv. Sauvignon blanc plants. Bars are color-coded according to the percentage of total reads corresponding to each species.

We annotated putative virulence factors in the fungal genomes of plants grown in the three sets of conditions (Fig. 6a). For *B. dothidea* and *Dip. seriata*, which had the lowest read counts, only a few virulence factor genes (fewer than 100 total mean normalized counts) were found to be expressed in any of the conditions considered. In control conditions, putative transporter and cytochrome P450 virulence factors were commonly detected in the other six fungi: *N. parvum*, *Dia. ampelina*, *E. lata*, *Phaeom. chlamydospora*, *Phaeoa. minimum*, and *F. mediterranea*,

albeit at lower levels (Fig. 6a). A few putative peroxidase virulence factors were also detected

for these six fungi, but with low total counts. Putative CAZyme virulence factors were detected

in *N. parvum*, *E. lata*, *Phaeom. chlamydospora*, *Phaeoa. minimum*, and *F. mediterranea*.

Secondary metabolite virulence factors were detected principally in *N. parvum* and *Dia.*

ampelina, and in *Phaeom. chlamydospora* and *F. mediterranea*. In WD conditions, these

putative virulence factors were expressed more strongly in *E. lata*, *Phaeom. chlamydospora*,

and *Phaeoa. minimum*. In response to esca leaf symptom expression, putative virulence factor

expression increased in *F. mediterranea*, *Phaeoa. minimum*, *Phaeom. chlamydospora*, and *N.*

parvum and decreased strongly in *Dia. ampelina* (Fig. 6a). However, a large significant increase

(x 6.6, *p*-value = 2.10^{-7}) in putative peroxidase gene expression was observed in *F.*

mediterranea in the esca group relative to the other conditions.

The sPLS-DA analysis of virulence factor expression distinguished three groups of samples

corresponding to the three sets of conditions (control, WD and esca; Fig. 6b). The first

component clearly separated the control group from the two stressed groups, whereas the

second component tended to separate the WD and esca groups. Further analysis showed that

the variables separating the three sets of conditions (20 highest loadings for each component,

Table S10) were all virulence factors from *F. mediterranea* and *Phaeom. chlamydospora*.

Transporters (9 from *F. mediterranea* and 3 from *Phaeom. chlamydospora*) were the principal

elements separating control and stressed samples. According to the second component of the

sPLS-DA, *F. mediterranea* virulence factor genes were associated with esca samples whereas

Phaeom. chlamydospora virulence factor genes were associated with WD samples. The

putative *F. mediterranea* virulence factor genes associated with esca samples were mostly

transporter genes (9 of 13 genes). They included genes encoding a protein involved in the

eisosome complex, an mRNA transporter and a protein from the nuclear pore complex. Two

genes recognized as encoding CAZymes and, more specifically, glucoside hydrolases (GH18

and GH128), a peroxidase (linoleate diol synthase) and a secondary metabolism gene (terpene

pathway) were also found. Most of the putative *Phaeom. chlamydospora* virulence factor genes

associated with WD samples were also transporter genes (5 of 8 genes), including genes

encoding a lipid transporter (PbgA) and three ATPases. A CAZyme gene from the auxiliary

activity 4 (AA4) family, a cytochrome P450 gene (sfid 149) and a gene involved in secondary

metabolism (type 1 polyketide synthase) were also identified.

Fig. 6 Read counts for putative virulence factors in each of the fungal genomes in control, WD

and esca-symptomatic *Vitis vinifera* cv. Sauvignon blanc plants. (a) Normalized expression

levels of putative fungal virulence factor genes determined separately for each fungus with the

DESeq2 R package. (b) Sparse partial least squares discriminant analysis (sPLS-DA) of the

putative virulence genes of all fungi.

The responses of grapevine trunk pathogens to WD and the expression of esca leaf symptoms

were compared in a differential analysis. Too few fungal genes were detected in control

samples, which were therefore excluded from the analysis. The differential expression analysis

therefore compared the effects of the two stresses (WD vs. esca) on gene expression in each

fungus (Fig. 7). The total number of genes per fungus differentially expressed (FC $\geq$ 1.5, FDR

$\leq$ 0.05) between WD and esca conditions (Fig. 7a) was low. Only two of the eight fungal

grapevine trunk pathogen studies presented DEG: *F. mediterranea* (31 DEG) and *Phaeom.*

chlamydospora (9 DEG). No DEG were identified in any of the other fungi. Further

investigation of these DEG showed that all the DEG of *F. mediterranea* identified were

overexpressed only in esca samples, whereas those of *Phaeom. chlamydospora* were

overexpressed only in WD samples (Table S11). The *F. mediterranea* DEG overexpressed in

esca samples relative to WD samples included genes encoding an ABC transporter, a glucan

phosphorylase, a glycosyl hydrolase, an aspartyl protease and a peroxidase. The *Phaeom.*

chlamydospora genes overexpressed in WD conditions encoded an arylsulfotransferase, an

OPT oligopeptide transporter, an SSH4 protein and a calcium-transporting ATPase.

Fig. 7 Analysis of differential expression between esca and WD conditions. **(a)** Total DEG (FC ≥ 1.5, FDR ≤ 0.05) per fungus identified in the differential expression analysis comparing drought-stressed and esca-symptomatic plants. Volcano plot representation of **(b)** *F. mediterranea* and **(c)** *Phaeom. chlamydospora* genes differentially expressed (FC ≥ 2, FDR ≤ 0.05) between WD (yellow) and esca expression (pink) conditions, with WD as the reference.

Discussion

Plant physiological status can be altered by various stresses, with consequences for plant interactions with pathogens. The objective of this study was to unravel the molecular responses

of the pathobiome to induced abiotic and biotic stresses in grapevine, using *Vitis vinifera,* esca

disease and drought as a model system. In particular, as drought inhibits the expression of esca

leaf symptoms (Bortolami *et al.*, 2021), this study aimed to decipher the molecular interaction

underlying this antagonism. We first explored the molecular responses of grapevine and wood

pathogens to esca leaf symptom expression and WD. We then tested various hypotheses

concerning the antagonism between drought and esca symptoms: (i) differences in the

composition of the wood fungal community, (ii) differences in fungal activity, and (iii)

differences in grapevine metabolism induced by WD.

Esca leaf symptom expression induces a biotic stress response in grapevine wood

We previously showed that, in the plants studied here, esca leaf symptom expression induced

decreases in transpiring green leaf area, leaf chlorophyll content, photosynthesis, water use

efficiency, and total stem nonstructural carbohydrate content (Bortolami *et al*. 2021). Several

weeks after symptom onset (sampling in early fall), we demonstrated that these physiological

changes led to changes in the wood transcriptome and metabolome. As expected in the case of

fungal diseases of grapevine (Adrian *et al.*, 2012, 2024), esca-symptomatic plants

overexpressed genes and overproduced metabolites associated with biotic stress responses and

grapevine defense responses. We identified overexpressed genes encoding R proteins

(resistance) and involved in effector detection and the activation of defense mechanisms (Dangl

and McDowell, 2006), and important actors in grapevine defense responses, such as PR proteins

and transcription factors (Yu *et al.*, 2013, 2016; Yacoub *et al.*, 2020). Furthermore, esca

symptom expression induced the biosynthesis of phenylpropanoids, phytoalexins, stilbenoids

and flavonoids, all these functional categories displaying enrichment in the wood of plants with

esca symptoms. Phenylpropanoids are specialist metabolites involved in plant defenses against

biotic and abiotic stresses (Savoi *et al.*, 2016; Ramaroson *et al.*, 2022). Stilbenes have

antioxidant activity (Wei *et al.*, 2016; Biais *et al.*, 2017) and play a key role in grapevine

responses to trunk pathogens (Amalfitano *et al.*, 2000; Rusjan *et al.*, 2017; Stempien *et al.*, 2017; Billet *et al.*, 2020; Jeandet *et al.*, 2023). They accumulate less rapidly and to lower levels in grapevine cultivars highly susceptible to inoculation with fungal pathogens of wood (Lambert *et al.*, 2013). Flavonoids, and catechins in particular, have been shown to be associated with grapevine defense mechanisms in response to the expression of esca leaf symptoms (Fontaine *et al.*, 2016; Rusjan *et al.*, 2017). Furthermore, their synthesis has already been demonstrated in grapevine leaves submitted to an abiotic stress (nitrogen deficiency), in which flavonoid levels were negatively correlated with esca leaf symptom expression (Dell'Acqua *et al.*, 2025). Flavonoids also have antioxidant activity (Shen *et al.*, 2022), as do terpenes (Baccouri and Rajhi, 2021), which also accumulated in response to the expression of esca symptoms. The accumulation of such defense-associated metabolites in response to esca may protect against stresses occurring after the expression of esca leaf symptoms.

Many genes involved in macromolecule transport, such as protein and multidrug transporters, were also detected in the specific response of grapevine to esca, suggesting a hypothetical transport of defense-associated molecules into the wood. The proteins encoded by these genes are involved in vesicle docking and fusion (Sztul and Lupashin, 2009), the formation of small coated vesicles (Bonifacino and Lippincott-Schwartz, 2003), detoxification, ion flux regulation, plant growth processes and stilbene transport (Martinoia *et al.*, 2002; Çakır *et al.*, 2023; Martínez Márquez *et al.*, 2024, Preprint). They are often associated with plant immunity (Yun and Kwon, 2017).

Interestingly, a significant enrichment in the "starch and sucrose metabolism" functional category, and particularly genes involved in starch catabolism, was observed in the wood in response to esca leaf symptom expression. Accordingly, esca was found to alter this metabolism, inducing a decrease in stem sucrose and starch content in our plants and altering

leaf photosynthesis (Bortolami *et al.*, 2021). Other studies have also reported an effect on starch

metabolism in leaves displaying esca symptoms (Ouadi *et al.*, 2021).

F. mediterranea is the fungal pathogen responding most strongly to esca leaf symptom

expression

Despite the observed increase in the total number of fungal reads in plants with esca symptoms,

driven principally by *F. mediterranea* and *Phaeom. chlamydospora*, only *F. mediterranea*

genes differentiated significantly between esca and the other conditions. Metabarcoding also

supported this pattern, with a significant increase in the relative abundances of *Phaeom.*

chlamydospora and *F. mediterranea* and a marginal increase in *Phaeoa. minimum* abundance

in plants with esca symptoms. Increases in the levels of putative virulence factors of *Phaeom.*

chlamydospora, *Phaeoa. minimum* and *Dia. ampelina* (Morales-Cruz *et al.*, 2018) and *F.*

mediterranea (Nerva *et al.*, 2022) have been documented in plants expressing esca symptoms.

However, *Fomitiporia mediterranea*, which specifically causes white rot in wood (Moretti *et*

al., 2021*)*, is increasingly seen as a key player in esca pathogenesis (Fischer and Kassemeyer,

2003; Claverie *et al.*, 2020). Here, we used only healthy non-necrotic wood tissue. Our results

indicate that this basidiomycete can actively affect healthy wood, this effect coinciding with

the activation of plant defense mechanisms in plants with esca symptoms.

We found that the *F. mediterranea*-specific responses to esca expression and subsequent

grapevine physiological status involved four different mechanisms. We demonstrated an

overexpression of genes associated with growth and developmental processes, such as chitin

and cell wall biosynthesis, transporters involved in hyphal growth and reproduction (Xie *et al.*,

2019), a chitinase involved in nutrient acquisition and the defense of territorial boundaries

(Lindahl and Finlay, 2006; Karlsson *et al.*, 2016), and the terpene synthesis involved in fungal

competition and antifungal activity (El Ariebi *et al.*, 2016). Moreover, we identified genes involved in the detoxification of the microenvironment (Zhu *et al.*, 2020; Li *et al.*, 2021; Cai *et al.*, 2023), such as a cytochrome b5, suggesting an ability of this cytochrome to maintain growth and development in wood. Genes encoding CAZymes and peroxidases involved in wood degradation and, more specifically, in lignin and cellulose degradation (Sigoillot *et al.*, 2012; Janusz *et al.*, 2013; Liu *et al.*, 2017; Qin *et al.*, 2020), were also shown to be overexpressed. This is not surprising as this white rot fungus has a complete decay arsenal associated with esca wood symptoms (Moretti *et al.*, 2021). The degradation of healthy wood may underlie the activation of grapevine defense responses described above. An overexpression of certain *F. mediterranea* genes involved in the mediation of plant stress responses was also observed in response to this activation of plant defenses. These genes include genes encoding a glycoside hydrolase 128 that has been shown to increase plant susceptibility by inhibiting the ROS burst and callose deposition (Gu *et al.*, 2024), an ABC transporter involved in the efflux of plant defense metabolites (Coleman and Mylonakis, 2009) and a peptidase that can degrade plant proteins (Krishnan *et al.*, 2018).

The activation of these four different mechanisms (growth, detoxification, wood degradation, and plant stress response mediation) in healthy wood from plants expressing esca leaf symptoms suggests that *F. mediterranea* has a high potential to take the upper hand in the competition between fungi upon esca leaf symptom expression (i.e. a specifically altered plant physiological state). The expression of esca leaf symptoms stimulated *F. mediterranea* growth and territorial competition in the healthy wood of the trunk, probably leading to the onset of white rot, degrading the wood. This constitutes a paradigm shift in our understanding of esca pathogenesis, as it is generally thought that *F. mediterranea* plays a key role in leaf symptom expression (Moretti *et al.*, 2021) rather than that the physiological changes induced by esca leaf symptoms favor *F. mediterranea*. However, there is growing evidence that trunk surgery or

curettage to remove white rot decay due to *F. mediterranea* can decrease both the re-expression

of esca symptoms and the abundance of *F. mediterranea* (Bruez *et al.*, 2021; Pacetti *et al.*,

2021; Lecomte *et al.*, 2022), suggesting that a high abundance of *F. mediterranea* in necrotic

wood (i.e. a high abundance of white rot tissue) may contribute to esca leaf symptom

expression, in addition to being favored by the expression of esca symptoms on leaves. There

is probably a threshold *F. mediterranea*/white rot abundance associated with esca expression at

specific grapevine phenological stages (Maher *et al.*, 2012), as observed for latent pathogenic

fungi switching to a pathogenic mode (Mishra *et al.*, 2021), fungal quorum sensing events

(Padder *et al.*, 2018), and interactions with the bacterial community (Bruez *et al.*, 2020; Haidar

et al., 2024).

Water deficit induces a typical drought-stress response in grapevine wood

We previously showed in the plants used here that drought stress induced a significant decrease

in water potential, whole-plant and leaf gas exchanges, and stem and leaf total nonstructural

carbohydrate content (Bortolami *et al.* 2021). The molecular response specific to drought

observed in grapevine at the end of the season was similar to that reported for other drought

stresses, including the oxidative stress response and hormonal regulation (Carvalho *et al.*, 2015;

Tombesi *et al.*, 2015; MacAllister *et al.*, 2019; Braidotti *et al.*, 2024). Several genes or

metabolites responsive to abiotic stress have been described as drought response markers,

including genes involved in steroid hormone metabolism (Zeng *et al.*, 2024) and in transcription

regulation (Mittler *et al.*, 2006). One of these metabolites, trehalose, was identified here. It is

involved in stomatal movements and the drought stress response (Gamm *et al.*, 2015; Morabito

et al., 2021), consistent with the decrease in stomatal conductance observed. An enrichment in

functional categories associated with the drought stress response, such as the "oxidative stress

response" and "stilbenoid biosynthesis", was observed in WD conditions. We identified genes

encoding proteins involved in the scavenging of reactive oxygen species, as previously

described in responses to oxidative and drought stresses in grapevine (Carvalho *et al.*, 2015;

Haider *et al.*, 2017; da Fonseca-Pereira *et al.*, 2019). An enrichment in genes and metabolites

involved in the phenylpropanoid, phytoalexin, and stilbenoid biosynthesis functional categories

was observed in both the WD and esca groups. In total, 15 STS and 2 PAL genes were found

to be overexpressed in response to WD, suggesting that stilbene compounds, which have

already been shown to be associated with the plant response to drought (Valletta *et al.*, 2021),

were synthesized.

Phaeom. chlamydospora is the fungal pathogen most responsive to drought

Trunk fungal community richness and diversity were decreased slightly by drought stress, this

decrease being correlated with an increase in the relative abundance *Phaeom. chlamydospora*

in WD samples, as previously reported (Leal *et al.*, 2024; Gastou *et al.*, 2025). However, the

relative abundances of other fungal pathogens of wood studied, including *F. mediterranea*,

were similar in control and WD samples, both of which were free from esca symptoms. This

result suggests that drought stress may have favored *Phaeom. chlamydospora* over the other

fungal species in healthy wood under WD conditions. Furthermore, expression of the putative

virulence factors of *Phaeom. chlamydospora*, *E. lata* and *Phaeoa. minimum* increased in

response to WD. However, only the putative virulence factor genes of *Phaeom. chlamydospora*

drove the difference between esca and WD samples. These putative virulence factors included,

in particular (i) transporters known to be involved in nutrition, the formation and germination

of spores, pathogenesis and posttranslational regulation (Adachi *et al.*, 2003; Mandujano-

González *et al.*, 2016), (ii) carbohydrate-active enzymes involved in growth and virulence (Pan

et al., 2021), and (iii) enzymes known to be involved in toxin synthesis (Choquer *et al.*, 2005;

Baker *et al.*, 2006), and antioxidant mechanisms (Castaño *et al.*, 2022). Investigations of the

genes differentially expressed between WD and esca conditions highlighted the role of *Phaeom.*

chlamydospora genes involved in growth and reproduction (Masloff *et al.*, 2002; Menotta *et*

al., 2008; Yang *et al.*, 2008; Cuperlovic-Culf and Culf, 2014). However, as wood decay-associated genes did not appear to be important in our analysis, we can hypothesize that the wood-degrading activity of *Phaeom. chlamydospora* is not affected by WD. *Phaeom. chlamydospora* may, therefore, be able to grow and degrade wood at with a low water content, as reported for other fungal species, such as *Coniophora sp.* (Brischke and Alfredsen, 2020). Furthermore, as WD increases *Phaeom. chlamydospora* activity and abundance in the absence of esca leaf symptom expression, this fungus may not be directly linked to esca expression, contrary to the hypotheses put forward in previous studies (Mondello *et al.*, 2018; Claverie *et al.*, 2020; Hrycan *et al.*, 2020).

Exploring the mechanisms underlying the antagonism between WD and esca

The first hypothesis we considered was that the antagonism between WD and esca leaf symptom expression might be due to a decrease in transpiration in the plant potentially preventing the transport of fungal toxins or plant elicitors from the wood to the leaves, as previously hypothesized (Bortolami *et al.*, 2021). This hypothesis is difficult to test due to the short-lived nature of the signal and its low concentration in sap or plant tissue. Here, we investigated putative fungal virulence factor genes in eight trunk pathogens that could be used in future studies of the transport of fungal virulence factors through the xylem in the context of esca pathogenesis.

A second hypothesis was that WD might alter the composition of the wood fungal community, preventing the expression of esca symptoms on leaves. We tested this hypothesis with healthy wood samples including functional sapwood, in which water and carbon balances are directly affected by drought, resulting in a low water potential) and a lower NSC. Metabarcoding analysis revealed significant differences in the beta-diversity metric between symptomatic (esca) and asymptomatic (WD and control) plants, supporting this second hypothesis. In

particular, the relative abundance of *F. mediterranea* was significantly lower under WD

conditions than in plants with esca symptoms, reaching levels similar to those in control

conditions. Thus, the two physiological states in which the plants did not express esca

symptoms (control and WD) were associated with a lower relative abundance of *F.*

mediterranea than in plants with esca symptoms.

The third hypothesis we tested was that WD might have a negative effect on the activity of

fungal wood pathogens, inhibiting their virulence factors and, thus, esca pathogenesis. The total

number of fungal reads was greater in stressed conditions, suggesting that WD actually

increased overall fungal activity, contrary to this third hypothesis. Furthermore, expression of

the putative virulence factors of the three fungi most frequently reported to be associated with

esca symptom expression was not inhibited or decreased by WD. However, one important

difference between WD and esca conditions was the increase in *Phaeom. chlamydospora*

virulence in response to WD, whereas the virulence of *F. mediterranea* increased in response

to esca leaf symptom expression. One possible explanation for the antagonism between WD

and esca leaf symptom expression is the inhibition of *F. mediterranea* activity by WD (before

the onset of esca expression), inhibiting a possible switch to the pathogenic mode in *F.*

mediterranea, resulting in the low relative abundance of this species observed in healthy wood

in WD plants. Indeed, *F. mediterranea* may need to switch to the pathogenic mode (Mishra *et*

al., 2021) before symptom onset (early summer or even before during active growth of the

grapevine), subsequently inducing esca leaf symptom expression and an increase in *F.*

mediterranea abundance at a later time point.

The final hypothesis we considered is that the molecular response of the grapevine to WD might

have inhibited the expression of esca leaf symptoms. Grapevines responded to WD and esca by

the induction of overlapping but different molecular signatures at the transcriptome and

metabolome levels, with the activation of some common stress response pathways. Indeed, the

plant stress response involves the activation of pathways regulating the tolerance of the plant to

both biotic and abiotic stressors, which is described as cross-tolerance (Nadeem *et al.*, 2023).

In particular, an overexpression of genes involved in stress responses was observed in both esca

and WD conditions. Auxin signaling, which has been reported to be involved in drought-stress

tolerance (Braidotti *et al.*, 2024) and interactions with biotic stress responses (Kazan and

Manners, 2009), was the only hormone-related pathway found to respond to both esca and WD.

Specialist metabolites involved in both biotic and abiotic stress tolerance (stilbenoids) were also

detected in both WD and esca conditions. The activation of these biotic and abiotic stress-

response pathways may have played a key role in the protection of grapevine against esca leaf

symptom expression upon drought stress.

Fig. 8 Overview of the underlying molecular mechanisms potentially accounting for the

observed antagonism between water deficit and esca leaf symptom expression.

In conclusion, our findings reveal the molecular responses of grapevine to WD and esca leaf

symptom expression include common and specific pathways. The plant physiology underlying

biotic and abiotic stresses did not affect all wood fungal pathogens in the same way. Some

pathogens did not respond to either stress, whereas others did, suggesting a role of fungal

ecology and competition for resources. Our findings also suggest that the inhibition of esca leaf

symptom expression under drought conditions may result from the priming of plant defenses

coupled with low rates of transpiration, and an abundance and putative virulence of *F.*

mediterranea similar to those in control plants (Fig. 8). We also found that *Phaeom.*

chlamydospora might not be involved in the expression of esca symptoms on leaves, as this

fungus was highly abundant and active despite the lack of leaf symptoms under WD conditions.

Finally, our results also open up new perspectives in *F. mediterranea* biology, suggesting that

white rot might be a response to esca physiology stimulating the growth of *F. mediterranea* in

healthy wood. Overall, this integrative study highlights the importance of studying both plant

and fungal physiology if we are to unravel the mechanisms underlying perennial plant dieback

in the context of global changes.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Thierry Leste-Lasserre from the Transcriptome Facility (University of

Bordeaux, INSERM, PUMA, Neurocentre Magendie, Bordeaux, France) for advice and for his

technical contribution to RNA extraction. This work was performed in collaboration with the

GeT core facility, Toulouse, France (GeT, https://doi.org/10.15454/1.5572370921303193E12),

and was supported by *France Génomique*, a national infrastructure funded as part of the

"*Investissement d'avenir*" program managed by the *Agence Nationale pour la Recherche*

(contract ANR-10-INBS-09). We specifically thank Jérôme Lluch and Sophie Valière from the

GeT core facility.

This work received financial support from the French government in the framework of the IdEX

Bordeaux University "Investments for the Future" program / GPR Bordeaux Plant Sciences,

and the MetaboHUB infrastructure funded by the *Agence Nationale de la Recherche* under the

France 2030 program (MetaboHUB ANR-11-INBS-0010; MetEx+ ANR-21-ESRE-0035;

MetaboHUB (JVCE) ANR-24-INBS-0012). It was also supported by the PHYSIOPATH

(22001150) and ESCAPADE (22001436) projects ("*Plan National Dépérissement du*

Vignoble" program, FranceAgriMer/CNIV), and the Champion program framework INRAE—

UC Davis. Research in the Cantu lab was supported by the California Department of Food and

Agriculture, the California Fruit Tree, Nut Tree, and Grapevine Improvement Advisory Board

(Grant# 20-1062-000-SA; 21-0427000-SA; 22-1588-000-SA; 23-0706-000-SA) and by the

Ray Rossi Endowment.

Competing interests

833 The authors have no competing interests to declare.

Author contributions

C.E.L.D. and M.F.O designed this study, obtained the funding and jointly supervised the

research. C.E.L.D., G.A.G. and G.B. conceived the greenhouse experiment; G.B. and N.F.

sampled and ground tissues; M.C. designed and implemented the RNAseq bioinformatics

pipeline under the supervision of C.E.L.D., M.F.O. and D.C.; J.F.G. and M.M. contributed to

the metatranscriptomic analysis. N.F. performed the DNA extraction and PCR for

metabarcoding; P.G. analyzed the metabarcoding datasets. S.M. contributed to the

interpretation of functional category analyses on fungi. A.R., P.P. and the MetaboHUB-

Bordeaux team performed untargeted metabolomic measurements (extraction and MS-DIAL

analysis); N.D.A. prepared the samples for metabolomics; M.C. and N.D.A. analyzed the

metabolomic dataset. M.C. integrated the different datasets, produced the figures and wrote the

first version of the paper under the supervision of C.E.L.D. All authors were involved in the

various stages of the project, contributed to scientific discussion and critically revised and

approved the final version of the manuscript.

ORCIDs

Marie Chambard : 0000-0003-0455-613X

Dario Cantù : 0000-0002-4858-1508

Giovanni Bortolami : 0000-0001-7528-9644

Ninon Dell'Acqua : 0009-0004-2119-5529

Nathalie Ferrer : NA

Gregory A. Gambetta : 0000-0002-8838-5050

Jadran F. Garcia : 0000-0002-5883-4820

Pierre Gastou : 0009-0000-9486-9986

Mélanie Massonnet : 0000-0003-0993-9148

Samuele Moretti : 0000-0002-0731-5836

Adam Rochepeau : 0009-0003-1563-0419

Pierre Pétriacq : 0000-0001-8151-7420

Marie Foulongne-Oriol : 0000-0002-5668-5286

Chloé E. L. Delmas : 0000-0003-3568-605X

Data availability

All sequencing datasets (RNAseq and ITS metabarcoding) are available from the ENA database under accession number PRJEB76229. Metabolomics data are available from the MetaboLights database under accession number REQ20250521210653.

Bibliography

Adachi K, Nelson GH, Peoples KA, DeZwaan TM, Skalchunes AR, Heiniger RW, Shuster JR, Hamer L, Tanzer MM. 2003. Sequence analysis and functional characterization of the dialkylglycine decarboxylase gene DGD1 from Mycosphaerella graminicola. Current Genetics **43**, 358–363.

Adrian M, Corio-Costet M-F, Calonnec A, Cluzet S, Poinssot B, Trouvelot S, Wiedemann-Merdinoglu S, Viaud M. 2024. Grapevine defence mechanisms when challenged by pathogenic fungi and oomycetes. Advances in Botanical Research. Academic Press.

Adrian M, Trouvelot S, Gamm M, Poinssot B, Héloir M-C, Daire X. 2012. Activation of Grapevine Defense Mechanisms: Theoretical and Applied Approaches. In: Mérillon JM, Ramawat KG, eds. Plant Defence: Biological Control. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands, 313–331.

Amalfitano C, Evidente A, Mugnai L, Tegli S, Bertelli E, Surico G. 2000. Phenols and Stilbene Polyphenols in the Wood of Esca-Diseased Grapevines. Phytopathologia mediterranea. APRIL, 2000, 1000–1006.

Anderegg WRL, Flint A, Huang C, Flint L, Berry JA, Davis FW, Sperry JS, Field CB. 2015. Tree mortality predicted from drought-induced vascular damage. Nature Geoscience **8**,

367–371.

Andrews S. 2010. Babraham Bioinformatics - FastQC A Quality Control tool for High

Throughput Sequence Data.

Baccouri B, Rajhi I. 2021. Potential Antioxidant Activity of Terpenes. Terpenes and

Terpenoids - Recent Advances. IntechOpen.

Baker SE, Kroken S, Inderbitzin P, Asvarak T, Li B-Y, Shi L, Yoder OC, Turgeon BG.

2006. Two Polyketide Synthase-Encoding Genes Are Required for Biosynthesis of the

Polyketide Virulence Factor, T-toxin, by Cochliobolus heterostrophus. Molecular Plant-

Microbe Interactions **19**, 139–149.

Belair M, Grau AL, Chong J, Tian X, Luo J, Guan X, Pensec F. 2022. Pathogenicity

Factors of Botryosphaeriaceae Associated with Grapevine Trunk Diseases: New

Developments on Their Action on Grapevine Defense Responses. Pathogens **11**, 951.

Biais B, Krisa S, Cluzet S, Da Costa G, Waffo-Teguo P, Mérillon J-M, Richard T. 2017.

Antioxidant and Cytoprotective Activities of Grapevine Stilbenes. Journal of Agricultural and

Food Chemistry **65**, 4952–4960.

Billet K, Malinowska MA, Munsch T, *et al.* 2020. Stilbenoid-Enriched Grape Cane Extracts

for the Biocontrol of Grapevine Diseases. In: Mérillon J-M, Ramawat KG, eds. Plant

Defence: Biological Control. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 215–239.

Blanco-Ulate B, Vincenti E, Powell AL, Cantu D. 2013. Tomato transcriptome and mutant

analyses suggest a role for plant stress hormones in the interaction between fruit and Botrytis

cinerea. Frontiers in Plant Science **4**, 142.

Blin K, Shaw S, Augustijn HE, *et al.* 2023. antiSMASH 7.0: new and improved predictions

for detection, regulation, chemical structures and visualisation. Nucleic Acids Research **51**,

W46–W50.

Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina

sequence data. Bioinformatics **30**, 2114–2120.

Bonifacino JS, Lippincott-Schwartz J. 2003. Coat proteins: shaping membrane transport.

Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology **4**, 409–414.

Bortolami G, Gambetta GA, Cassan C, Dayer S, Farolfi E, Ferrer N, Gibon Y, Jolivet J,

Lecomte P, Delmas CEL. 2021. Grapevines under drought do not express esca leaf

symptoms. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **118**.

Braidotti R, Falchi R, Calderan A, Pichierri A, Vankova R, Dobrev PI, Griesser M,

Sivilotti P. 2024. Multi-hormonal analysis and aquaporins regulation reveal new insights on

drought tolerance in grapevine. Journal of Plant Physiology **296**, 154243.

Brischke C, Alfredsen G. 2020. Wood-water relationships and their role for wood

susceptibility to fungal decay. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology **104**, 3781–3795.

Bruez É, Cholet C, Thibon C, Redon P, Lacampagne S, Martignon T, Giudici M,

Darriet P, Gény L. 2021. Influence of curettage on Esca-diseased *Vitis vinifera* L. cv.

Sauvignon blanc plants on the quality of musts and wines. OENO One **55**, 171–182.

Bruez E, Vallance J, Gautier A, Laval V, Compant S, Maurer W, Sessitsch A, Lebrun

M-H, Rey P. 2020. Major changes in grapevine wood microbiota are associated with the

onset of esca, a devastating trunk disease. Environmental Microbiology **22**, 5189–5206.

Cai E, Jia H, Feng R, Zheng W, Li L, Zhang L, Jiang Z, Chang C. 2023. Cytochrome c-

peroxidase modulates ROS homeostasis to regulate the sexual mating of Sporisorium

scitamineum. Microbiology Spectrum **11**, e02057-23.

Çakır B, Jalili H, Turgay G. 2023. Genome-wide analysis of the ABCB gene family in Vitis

vinifera: its expression patterns in berries and its responses to iron and heavy metal stresses.

The Journal of Horticultural Science and Biotechnology **98**, 591–607.

Carbone MJ, Alaniz S, Mondino P, Gelabert M, Eichmeier A, Tekielska D, Bujanda R,

Gramaje D. 2021. Drought Influences Fungal Community Dynamics in the Grapevine

Rhizosphere and Root Microbiome. Journal of Fungi **7**, 686.

Carvalho LC, Vidigal P, Amâncio S. 2015. Oxidative stress homeostasis in grapevine (Vitis

vinifera L.). Frontiers in Environmental Science **3**.

Castaño JD, Muñoz-Muñoz N, Kim YM, Liu J, Yang L, Schilling JS. 2022. Metabolomics

Highlights Different Life History Strategies of White and Brown Rot Wood-Degrading Fungi.

(K McMahon, Ed.). mSphere **7**, e00545-22.

Chaloner TM, Gurr SJ, Bebber DP. 2021. Plant pathogen infection risk tracks global crop

yields under climate change. Nature Climate Change **11**, 710–715.

Chen S, Zhou Y, Chen Y, Gu J. 2018. fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor.

Bioinformatics **34**, i884–i890.

Choi J, Détry N, Kim K-T, Asiegbu FO, Valkonen JP, Lee Y-H. 2014. fPoxDB: fungal

peroxidase database for comparative genomics. BMC Microbiology **14**, 117.

Choquer M, Dekkers KL, Chen H-Q, Cao L, Ueng PP, Daub ME, Chung K-R. 2005. The

CTB1 Gene Encoding a Fungal Polyketide Synthase Is Required for Cercosporin

Biosynthesis and Fungal Virulence of Cercospora nicotianae. Molecular Plant-Microbe

Interactions® **18**, 468–476.

Chu H, Wang C, Wang H, Chen H, Tang M. 2016. Pine wilt disease alters soil properties

and root-associated fungal communities in Pinus tabulaeformis forest. Plant and Soil **404**,

237–249.

Claverie M, Notaro M, Fontaine F, Wery J. 2020. Current knowledge on Grapevine Trunk

Diseases with complex etiology: a systemic approach. Phytopathologia Mediterranea **59**, 29–

53.

Coleman JJ, Mylonakis E. 2009. Efflux in Fungi: La Pièce de Résistance. PLOS Pathogens

5, e1000486.

Cuperlovic-Culf M, Culf AS. 2014. Role of Histone Deacetylases in Fungal

Phytopathogenesis: A Review. International Journal of Modern Botany *in press*.

Danecek P, Bonfield JK, Liddle J, *et al.* 2021. Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools.

GigaScience **10**, giab008.

Dangl JL, McDowell JM. 2006. Two modes of pathogen recognition by plants. Proceedings

of the National Academy of Sciences **103**, 8575–8576.

Dell'Acqua N, Gambetta GA, Comont G, Ferrer N, Rochepeau A, Pétriacq P, Delmas

CEL. 2025. Nitrogen nutrition impacts grapevine esca leaf symptom incidence, physiology,

and metabolism. Journal of Experimental Botany, eraf172.

Desprez-Loustau M-L, Marçais B, Nageleisen L-M, Piou D, Vannini A. 2006. Interactive

effects of drought and pathogens in forest trees. Annals of Forest Science **63**, 597–612.

Dewasme C, Mary S, Darrieutort G, Roby J-P, Gambetta GA. 2022. Long-Term Esca

Monitoring Reveals Disease Impacts on Fruit Yield and Wine Quality. Plant Disease **106**,

3076–3082.

Djoumbou Feunang Y, Eisner R, Knox C, *et al.* 2016. ClassyFire: automated chemical

classification with a comprehensive, computable taxonomy. Journal of Cheminformatics **8**,

61.

El Ariebi N, Hiscox J, Scriven SA, Müller CT, Boddy L. 2016. Production and effects of

volatile organic compounds during interspecific interactions. Fungal Ecology **20**, 144–154.

Escudié F, Auer L, Bernard M, Mariadassou M, Cauquil L, Vidal K, Maman S,

Hernandez-Raquet G, Combes S, Pascal G. 2018. FROGS: Find, Rapidly, OTUs with

Galaxy Solution. (B Berger, Ed.). Bioinformatics **34**, 1287–1294.

Etienne L, Fabre F, Martinetti D, Frank E, Michel L, Bonnardot V, Guérin-Dubrana L,

Delmas CEL. 2024. Exploring the role of cultivar, year and plot age in the incidence of esca

and Eutypa dieback: Insights from 20 years of regional surveys in France. Plant Pathology **73**,

2344–2358.

Etienne L, Martinetti D, Frank E, Bonnardot V, Michel L, Guerin Dubrana L, Delmas

CEL. 2025. Identification of ecoclimatic indicators of esca disease through 20 years of large-

scale vineyard monitoring in France. Plant Disease doi: 10.1094/PDIS-01-25-0228-RE.

Fischer M, Kassemeyer H-H. 2003. Fungi associated with Esca disease of grapevine in

Germany. Vitis **42**, 109–116.

Fischer M, Knoll M, Sirim D, Wagner F, Funke S, Pleiss J. 2007. The Cytochrome P450

Engineering Database: a navigation and prediction tool for the cytochrome P450 protein

family. Bioinformatics **23**, 2015–2017.

da Fonseca-Pereira P, Daloso DM, Gago J, *et al.* 2019. The Mitochondrial Thioredoxin

System Contributes to the Metabolic Responses Under Drought Episodes in Arabidopsis.

Plant and Cell Physiology **60**, 213–229.

Fontaine F, Pinto C, Vallet J, Clément C, Gomes AC, Spagnolo A. 2016. The effects of grapevine trunk diseases (GTDs) on vine physiology. European Journal of Plant Pathology **144**, 707–721.

Fu W, Chen B, Jansa J, *et al.* 2022. Contrasting community responses of root and soil dwelling fungi to extreme drought in a temperate grassland. Soil Biology and Biochemistry **169**, 108670.

Gamm M, Héloir M-C, Adrian M. 2015. Trehalose and trehalose-6-phosphate induce stomatal movements and interfere with ABA-induced stomatal closure in grapevine. OENO One **49**, 165–171.

Gastou P, Bortolami G, Ferrer N, Gambetta GA, Moretti S, Vallance J, Delmas CEL. 2025. Differential impacts of drought and esca expression on Ascomycota fungi in the trunks and young organs of mature grapevines. bioRxiv, 2025.07.03.663063.

Gastou P, Irvine AD, Arcens C, Courchinoux E, This P, Leeuwen C van, Delmas C. 2024. Large gradient of susceptibility to esca disease revealed by long-term monitoring of 46 grapevine cultivars in a common garden vineyard. OENO One **58**.

Gonzalez-Dominguez E, Caffi T, Paolini A, Mugnai L, Latinović N, Latinović J, Languasco L, Rossi V. 2022. Development and Validation of a Mechanistic Model That Predicts Infection by Diaporthe ampelina, the Causal Agent of Phomopsis Cane and Leaf Spot of Grapevines. Frontiers in Plant Science **13**.

Goodell B. 2020. Fungi Involved in the Biodeterioration and Bioconversion of Lignocellulose Substrates. In: Benz JP, Schipper K, eds. Genetics and Biotechnology. Cham: Springer

International Publishing, 369–397.

Grimplet J, Cramer GR, Dickerson JA, Mathiason K, Van Hemert J, Fennell AY. 2009.

VitisNet: "Omics" Integration through Grapevine Molecular Networks. (NJ Provart, Ed.).

PLoS ONE **4**, e8365.

Gu X, Cao Z, Li Z, Yu H, Liu W. 2024. Plant immunity suppression by an β-1,3-glucanase

of the maize anthracnose pathogen Colletotrichum graminicola. BMC Plant Biology **24**, 339.

Haidar R, Compant S, Robert C, *et al.* 2024. Two Paenibacillus spp. strains promote

grapevine wood degradation by the fungus Fomitiporia mediterranea: from degradation

experiments to genome analyses. Scientific Reports **14**, 15779.

Haider MS, Zhang C, Kurjogi MM, Pervaiz T, Zheng T, Zhang C, Lide C, Shangguan

L, Fang J. 2017. Insights into grapevine defense response against drought as revealed by

biochemical, physiological and RNA-Seq analysis. Scientific Reports **7**, 13134.

Hamilton CE, Gundel PE, Helander M, Saikkonen K. 2012. Endophytic mediation of

reactive oxygen species and antioxidant activity in plants: a review. Fungal Diversity **54**, 1–

10.

Hrycan J, Hart M, Bowen P, Forge T, Úrbez-Torres JR. 2020. Grapevine trunk disease

fungi: their roles as latent pathogens and stress factors that favour disease development and

symptom expression. Phytopathologia Mediterranea **59**, 395–424.

Jansen RK, Kaittanis C, Saski C, Lee S-B, Tomkins J, Alverson AJ, Daniell H. 2006.

Phylogenetic analyses of Vitis (Vitaceae) based on complete chloroplast genome sequences:

effects of taxon sampling and phylogenetic methods on resolving relationships among rosids.

BMC evolutionary biology **6**, 32.

Janusz G, Kucharzyk KH, Pawlik A, Staszczak M, Paszczynski AJ. 2013. Fungal laccase,

manganese peroxidase and lignin peroxidase: Gene expression and regulation. Enzyme and

Microbial Technology **52**, 1–12.

Jeandet P, Trotel-Aziz P, Jacquard C, Clément C, Mohan C, Morkunas I, Khan H, Aziz

A. 2023. Use of Elicitors and Beneficial Bacteria to Induce and Prime the Stilbene

Phytoalexin Response: Applications to Grapevine Disease Resistance. Agronomy **13**, 2225.

Jones JDG, Dangl JL. 2006. The plant immune system. Nature **444**, 323–329.

Kabbage M, Yarden O, Dickman MB. 2015. Pathogenic attributes of *Sclerotinia*

sclerotiorum: Switching from a biotrophic to necrotrophic lifestyle. Plant Science **233**, 53–60.

Karlsson M, Stenlid J, Lindahl B. 2016. Functional differentiation of chitinases in the

white-rot fungus *Phanerochaete chrysosporium*. Fungal Ecology **22**, 52–60.

Kazan K, Manners JM. 2009. Linking development to defense: auxin in plant–pathogen

interactions. Trends in Plant Science **14**, 373–382.

Kim D, Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2015. HISAT: a fast spliced aligner with low memory

requirements. Nature Methods **12**, 357–360.

Krishnan P, Ma X, McDonald BA, Brunner PC. 2018. Widespread signatures of selection

for secreted peptidases in a fungal plant pathogen. BMC Evolutionary Biology **18**, 7.

Lambert C, Khiook ILK, Lucas S, Télef-Micouleau N, Mérillon J-M, Cluzet S. 2013. A

Faster and a Stronger Defense Response: One of the Key Elements in Grapevine Explaining

Its Lower Level of Susceptibility to Esca? Phytopathology® **103**, 1028–1034.

Lawrence DP, Travadon R, Baumgartner K. 2015. Diversity of Diaporthe species

associated with wood cankers of fruit and nut crops in northern California. Mycologia **107**, 926–940.

Leal C, Bujanda R, Carbone MJ, Kiss T, Eichmeier A, Gramaje D, Maldonado-González MM. 2024. Drought Influences the Structure, Diversity, and Functionality of the Fungal Community Inhabiting the Grapevine Xylem and Enhances the Abundance of Phaeomoniella chlamydospora. Phytobiomes Journal **8**, 529–539.

Lecomte P, Bénétreau C, Diarra B, Meziani Y, Delmas C, Fermaud M. 2024. Logistic modeling of summer expression of esca symptoms in tolerant and susceptible cultivars in Bordeaux vineyards. OENO One **58**.

Lecomte P, Cholet C, Bruez E, *et al.* 2022. Recovery after curettage of grapevines with esca leaf symptoms. Phytopathologia Mediterranea **61**, 473–489.

Li S, Bonneu F, Chadoeuf J, Picart D, Gégout-Petit A, Guérin-Dubrana L. 2017. Spatial and Temporal Pattern Analyses of Esca Grapevine Disease in Vineyards in France. Phytopathology® **107**, 59–69.

Li W, Li P, Zhou X, Situ J, Lin Y, Qiu J, Yuan Y, Xi P, Jiang Z, Kong G. 2021. A Cytochrome B5-Like Heme/Steroid Binding Domain Protein, PlCB5L1, Regulates Mycelial Growth, Pathogenicity and Oxidative Stress Tolerance in Peronophythora litchii. Frontiers in Plant Science **12**.

Lindahl BD, Finlay RD. 2006. Activities of chitinolytic enzymes during primary and secondary colonization of wood by basidiomycetous fungi. New Phytologist **169**, 389–397.

Liu Y, Jiang X, Guan D, Zhou W, Ma M, Zhao B, Cao F, Li L, Li J. 2017. Transcriptional analysis of genes involved in competitive nodulation in Bradyrhizobium diazoefficiens at the

presence of soybean root exudates. Scientific Reports **7**, 10946.

Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion

for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biology **15**, 550–571.

Lv T, Zhan C, Pan Q, Xu H, Fang H, Wang M, Matsumoto H. 2023. Plant pathogenesis:

Toward multidimensional understanding of the microbiome. iMeta **2**, e129.

MacAllister S, Mencuccini M, Sommer U, Engel J, Hudson A, Salmon Y, Dexter KG.

2019. Drought-induced mortality in Scots pine: opening the metabolic black box. Tree

Physiology **39**, 1358–1370.

Maher N, Piot J, Bastien S, Vallance J, Rey P, Guérin-Dubrana L. 2012. Wood necrosis

in esca-affected vines: types, relationships and possible links with foliar symptom expression.

OENO One **46**, 15–27.

Mandujano-González V, Villa-Tanaca L, Anducho-Reyes MA, Mercado-Flores Y. 2016.

Secreted fungal aspartic proteases: A review. Revista Iberoamericana de Micología **33**, 76–

82.

Martínez Márquez A, Martins V, Selles S, Gerós H, Corchete P, Bru-Martinez R. 2024.

The grapevine ABC transporter B family member 15 (VvABCB15) is a transresveratrol

transporter out of grapevine cells. Frontiers doi: 10.3389/fpls.2024.1450638. [Preprint].

Martínez-Vilalta J, Garcia-Forner N. 2017. Water potential regulation, stomatal behaviour

and hydraulic transport under drought: deconstructing the iso/anisohydric concept. Plant, Cell

& Environment **40**, 962–976.

Martinoia E, Klein M, Geisler M, Bovet L, Forestier C, Kolukisaoglu Ü, Müller-Röber

B, Schulz B. 2002. Multifunctionality of plant ABC transporters – more than just detoxifiers.

Planta **214**, 345–355.

Masloff S, Jacobsen S, Pöggeler S, Kück U. 2002. Functional analysis of the C6 zinc finger

gene *pro1* involved in fungal sexual development. Fungal Genetics and Biology **36**, 107–116.

McDowell NG, Sapes G, Pivovaroff A, *et al.* 2022. Mechanisms of woody-plant mortality

under rising drought, CO2 and vapour pressure deficit. Nature Reviews Earth & Environment

3, 294–308.

McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. 2013. phyloseq: An R Package for Reproducible Interactive

Analysis and Graphics of Microbiome Census Data. (M Watson, Ed.). PLoS ONE **8**, e61217.

Menotta M, Amicucci A, Basili G, Polidori E, Stocchi V, Rivero F. 2008. Molecular and

functional characterization of a Rho GDP dissociation inhibitor in the filamentous fungus

Tuber borchii. BMC Microbiology **8**, 57.

Mina D, Pereira JA, Lino-Neto T, Baptista P. 2020. Impact of plant genotype and plant

habitat in shaping bacterial pathobiome: a comparative study in olive tree. Scientific Reports

10, 3475.

Mishra S, Bhattacharjee A, Sharma S. 2021. An Ecological Insight into the Multifaceted

World of Plant-Endophyte Association. Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences **40**, 127–146.

Mittler R, Kim Y, Song L, Coutu J, Coutu A, Ciftci-Yilmaz S, Lee H, Stevenson B, Zhu

J-K. 2006. Gain- and Loss-of-Function Mutations in Zat10 Enhance the Tolerance of Plants

to Abiotic Stress. FEBS letters **580**, 6537–6542.

Mondello V, Songy A, Battiston E, Pinto C, Coppin C, Trotel-Aziz P, Clément C,

Mugnai L, Fontaine F. 2018. Grapevine Trunk Diseases: A Review of Fifteen Years of

Trials for Their Control with Chemicals and Biocontrol Agents. Plant Disease **102**, 1189–

1217.

Monod V, Wilhelm M, Viret O, Gindro K, Croll D, Zufferey V, Hofstetter V. 2025.
Identifying the pedoclimatic conditions most critical in the susceptibility of a grapevine
cultivar to esca disease. OENO One **59**.

Morabito C, Secchi F, Schubert A. 2021. Grapevine TPS (trehalose-6-phosphate synthase)
family genes are differentially regulated during development, upon sugar treatment and
drought stress. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry **164**, 54–62.

**Morales-Cruz A, Allenbeck G, Figueroa-Balderas R, Ashworth VE, Lawrence DP,
Travadon R, Smith RJ, Baumgartner K, Rolshausen PE, Cantu D**. 2018. Closed-
reference metatranscriptomics enables *in planta* profiling of putative virulence activities in the
grapevine trunk disease complex. Molecular Plant Pathology **19**, 490–503.

Moretti S, Pacetti A, Pierron R, *et al.* 2021. Fomitiporia mediterranea M. Fisch., the
historical Esca agent: a comprehensive review on the main grapevine wood rot agent in
Europe. Phytopathologia Mediterranea **60**, 351–379.

Nadeem H, Khan A, Gupta R, Hashem M, Alamri S, Siddiqui MA, Ahmad F. 2023.
Stress combination: When two negatives may become antagonistic, synergistic or additive for
plants? Pedosphere **33**, 287–300.

Nerva L, Garcia JF, Favaretto F, *et al.* 2022. The hidden world within plants:
metatranscriptomics unveils the complexity of wood microbiomes. (M Gifford, Ed.). Journal
of Experimental Botany **73**, 2682–2697.

Ouadi L, Bruez E, Bastien S, Vallance J, Lecomte P, Domec J-C, Rey P. 2019.
Ecophysiological impacts of Esca, a devastating grapevine trunk disease, on Vitis vinifera L.

PLOS ONE **14**, e0222586.

Ouadi L, Bruez E, Bastien S, Yacoub A, Coppin C, Guérin-Dubrana L, Fontaine F,

Domec J-C, Rey P. 2021. Sap Flow Disruption in Grapevine Is the Early Signal Predicting

the Structural, Functional, and Genetic Responses to Esca Disease. Frontiers in Plant Science

12, 695846.

Pacetti A, Moretti S, Pinto C, Compant S, Farine S, Bertsch C, Mugnai L. 2021. Trunk

Surgery as a Tool to Reduce Foliar Symptoms in Diseases of the Esca Complex and Its

Influence on Vine Wood Microbiota. Journal of Fungi **7**, 521.

Padder SA, Prasad R, Shah AH. 2018. Quorum sensing: A less known mode of

communication among fungi. Microbiological Research **210**, 51–58.

Pan S, Tang L, Pan X, Qi L, Yang J. 2021. A member of the glycoside hydrolase family 76

is involved in growth, conidiation, and virulence in rice blast fungus. Physiological and

Molecular Plant Pathology **113**, 101587.

Pang Z, Lu Y, Zhou G, *et al.* 2024. MetaboAnalyst 6.0: towards a unified platform for

metabolomics data processing, analysis and interpretation. Nucleic Acids Research **52**,

W398–W406.

Patro R, Duggal G, Love MI, Irizarry RA, Kingsford C. 2017. Salmon provides fast and

bias-aware quantification of transcript expression. Nature Methods **14**, 417–419.

Perincherry L, Stępień Ł, Vasudevan SE. 2021. Cross-Tolerance and Autoimmunity as

Missing Links in Abiotic and Biotic Stress Responses in Plants: A Perspective toward

Secondary Metabolic Engineering. International Journal of Molecular Sciences **22**, 11945.

Petek-Petrik A, Petrík P, Lamarque LJ, Cochard H, Burlett R, Delzon S. 2023. Drought

survival in conifer species is related to the time required to cross the stomatal safety margin.

Journal of Experimental Botany **74**, 6847–6859.

Preece C, Verbruggen E, Liu L, Weedon JT, Peñuelas J. 2019. Effects of past and current

drought on the composition and diversity of soil microbial communities. Soil Biology and

Biochemistry **131**, 28–39.

Qin J, Li B, Zhou S. 2020. A novel glycoside hydrolase 74 xyloglucanase *CvGH74A* is a

virulence factor in *Coniella vitis*. Journal of Integrative Agriculture **19**, 2725–2735.

R Core Team. 2023. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical.

Ramaroson M-L, Koutouan C, Helesbeux J-J, Le Clerc V, Hamama L, Geoffriau E,

Briard M. 2022. Role of Phenylpropanoids and Flavonoids in Plant Resistance to Pests and

Diseases. Molecules **27**, 8371.

Rohart F, Gautier B, Singh A, Cao K-AL. 2017. mixOmics: An R package for 'omics

feature selection and multiple data integration. PLOS Computational Biology **13**, e1005752.

Rolshausen PE, Baumgartner K, Travadon R, Fujiyoshi P, Pouzoulet J, Wilcox WF.

2014. Identification of Eutypa spp. Causing Eutypa Dieback of Grapevine in Eastern North

America. Plant Disease **98**, 483–491.

Rusjan D, Persic M, Likar M, Biniari K, Mikulic-Petkovsek M. 2017. Phenolic Responses

to Esca-Associated Fungi in Differently Decayed Grapevine Woods from Different Trunk

Parts of 'Cabernet Sauvignon'. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry **65**, 6615–6624.

Saier MH, Reddy VS, Moreno-Hagelsieb G, *et al.* 2020. The Transporter Classification

Database (TCDB): 2021 update. Nucleic Acids Research **49**, D461–D467.

Savoi S, Wong DCJ, Arapitsas P, Miculan M, Bucchetti B, Peterlunger E, Fait A,

Mattivi F, Castellarin SD. 2016. Transcriptome and metabolite profiling reveals that

prolonged drought modulates the phenylpropanoid and terpenoid pathway in white grapes

(Vitis vinifera L.). BMC Plant Biology **16**, 67.

Shen N, Wang T, Gan Q, Liu S, Wang L, Jin B. 2022. Plant flavonoids: Classification,

distribution, biosynthesis, and antioxidant activity. Food Chemistry **383**, 132531.

Sigoillot J-C, Berrin J-G, Bey M, Lesage-Meessen L, Levasseur A, Lomascolo A, Record

E, Uzan-Boukhris E. 2012. Chapter 8 - Fungal Strategies for Lignin Degradation. In:

Jouanin L, Lapierre C, eds. Lignins. Advances in Botanical Research. Academic Press, 263–

308.

Stempien E, Goddard M-L, Wilhelm K, Tarnus C, Bertsch C, Chong J. 2017. Grapevine

Botryosphaeria dieback fungi have specific aggressiveness factor repertory involved in wood

decay and stilbene metabolization. PLOS ONE **12**, e0188766.

Sztul E, Lupashin V. 2009. Role of vesicle tethering factors in the ER–Golgi membrane

traffic. FEBS Letters **583**, 3770–3783.

Tombesi S, Nardini A, Frioni T, Soccolini M, Zadra C, Farinelli D, Poni S, Palliotti A.

2015. Stomatal closure is induced by hydraulic signals and maintained by ABA in drought-

stressed grapevine. Scientific Reports **5**, 12449.

Trivedi P, Leach JE, Tringe SG, Sa T, Singh BK. 2020. Plant–microbiome interactions:

from community assembly to plant health. Nature Reviews Microbiology **18**, 607–621.

Urra C, Sanhueza D, Pavez C, *et al.* 2023. Identification of grapevine clones via high-

throughput amplicon sequencing: a proof-of-concept study. G3 Genes|Genomes|Genetics **13**,

jkad145.

Vayssier-Taussat M, Albina E, Citti C, *et al.* 2014. Shifting the paradigm from pathogens to

pathobiome: new concepts in the light of meta-omics. Frontiers in Cellular and Infection

Microbiology **4**.

Vicente-Serrano SM, Peña-Angulo D, Beguería S, Domínguez-Castro F, Tomás-

Burguera M, Noguera I, Gimeno-Sotelo L, El Kenawy A. 2022. Global drought trends and

future projections. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical

and Engineering Sciences **380**, 20210285.

Wei Y-J, Zhao S-R, Li J-M, Xue B. 2016. Stilbene profiles in different tissues of Vitis

vinifera L. cv. Cabernet Sauvignon and a comparison of their antioxidant activity. Australian

Journal of Grape and Wine Research **22**, 226–231.

Wickham H. 2011. ggplot2. WIREs Computational Statistics **3**, 180–185.

Xie Q, Chen A, Zhang Y, *et al.* 2019. ESCRT-III accessory proteins regulate fungal

development and plant infection in Fusarium graminearum. Current Genetics **65**, 1041–1055.

Yacoub A, Magnin N, Gerbore J, Haidar R, Bruez E, Compant S, Guyoneaud R, Rey P.

2020. The Biocontrol Root-Oomycete, Pythium Oligandrum, Triggers Grapevine Resistance

and Shifts in the Transcriptome of the Trunk Pathogenic Fungus, Phaeomoniella

Chlamydospora. International Journal of Molecular Sciences **21**, 6876.

Yang Y, El-Ganiny AM, Bray GE, Sanders DAR, Kaminskyj SGW. 2008. *Aspergillus*

nidulans hypB encodes a Sec7-domain protein important for hyphal morphogenesis. Fungal

Genetics and Biology **45**, 749–759.

Yu Y-H, Li X-Z, Wu Z-J, Chen D-X, Li G-R, Li X-Q, Zhang G-H. 2016. VvZFP11, a

Cys2His2-type zinc finger transcription factor, is involved in defense responses in Vitis

vinifera. Biologia Plantarum **60**, 292–298.

Yu X-Q, Niu H-Q, Liu C, Wang H-L, Yin W, Xia X. 2024. PTI-ETI synergistic signal

mechanisms in plant immunity. Plant Biotechnology Journal **22**, 2113–2128.

Yu Y, Xu W, Wang J, Wang L, Yao W, Yang Y, Xu Y, Ma F, Du Y, Wang Y. 2013. The

Chinese wild grapevine (Vitis pseudoreticulata) E3 ubiquitin ligase Erysiphe necator-induced

RING finger protein 1 (EIRP1) activates plant defense responses by inducing proteolysis of

the VpWRKY11 transcription factor. New Phytologist **200**, 834–846.

Yun HS, Kwon C. 2017. Vesicle trafficking in plant immunity. Current Opinion in Plant

Biology **40**, 34–42.

Zargar SM, Gupta N, Nazir M, Mahajan R, Malik FA, Sofi NR, Shikari AB, Salgotra

RK. 2017. Impact of drought on photosynthesis: Molecular perspective. Plant Gene **11**, 154–

159.

Zeng G, Wan Z, Xie R, Lei B, Li C, Gao F, Zhang Z, Xi Z. 2024. 24-epibrassinolide

enhances drought tolerance in grapevine (Vitis vinifera L.) by regulating carbon and nitrogen

metabolism. Plant Cell Reports **43**, 219.

Zheng J, Ge Q, Yan Y, Zhang X, Huang L, Yin Y. 2023. dbCAN3: automated

carbohydrate-active enzyme and substrate annotation. Nucleic Acids Research **51**, W115–

W121.

Zhu Z, Yang M, Bai Y, Ge F, Wang S. 2020. Antioxidant-related catalase CTA1 regulates

development, aflatoxin biosynthesis, and virulence in pathogenic fungus Aspergillus flavus.

Environmental Microbiology **22**, 2792–2810.

Zinger L, Lionnet C, Benoiston A-S, Donald J, Mercier C, Boyer F. 2021. metabaR: An r

package for the evaluation and improvement of DNA metabarcoding data quality. Methods in

Ecology and Evolution **12**, 586–592.