Replicated hybrid zones reveal genomic patterns of local adaptation and introgression in spruce

Gabriele Nocchi[1], Janek Sendrowski[2], Andy Shi[1,3], Brianne Boufford[4], Manuel Lamothe[5], Nathalie Isabel[5], Samuel Yeaman[1]

1. Department of Biological Sciences, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada
2. Bioinformatics Research Center (BiRC), Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark
3. Western Canada High School, Calgary, AB, Canada
4. Department of Forest Resources Management, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada
5. Natural Resources Canada, Canadian Forest Service, Laurentian Forestry Centre, Québec City, QC, Canada

Corresponding author: Samuel Yeaman (samuel.yeaman@ucalgary.ca)

Author contributions: GN analysed the data and wrote the manuscript. JS performed sampling and collected cambium for DNA extraction. AS helped assess snow cover data. BB generated snow cover data. ML and NI contributed to the development of genomic resources. SY conceived the project and secured funding, designed sampling and sequencing methods, provided overall supervision, and helped with writing the manuscript.

Abstract

Hybridization between species can occur along repeated zones of contact, providing a natural laboratory for studying the interplay between migration and selection, and identifying loci involved in adaptation and reproductive isolation. However, interpreting how evolutionary processes shape genomic patterns can be challenging: repeatability of genotype-environment association alone is not strong evidence for selection, as hybrid zones derived from the same parental species are not evolutionarily independent. Conversely, processes

that operate within the middle of each hybrid zone, such as selection driving directional

introgression, may be more evolutionarily independent, and therefore provide stronger

evidence of selection. Here we compared hybridization and local adaptation patterns

between two replicated regions within the western Canada interior spruce hybrid zone: a

broad latitudinal transect with gradual environmental variation and a narrow elevational

transect with substantial topographical and environmental variation. We discovered a

complex pattern of introgression, with strong differences in ancestry maintained even across

small spatial scales at several locations along the elevational transect. Despite differences in

their spatial scales, the elevational and latitudinal transects revealed strikingly similar

genome-wide patterns of differentiation and adaptation, and consistent patterns of directional

introgression. We explore the extent to which the evolutionary non-independence of these

hybrid zones allows inferences about the role of natural selection and drift in shaping these

patterns. Consistent with theory, we found longer genomic tracts in the elevational transect,

likely because the steeper environmental gradients over shorter distances limit the rate of

mixing by migration and recombination relative to drift and selection.

42 Introduction

Introgression, which is the incorporation of alleles from one species or population into the

gene pool of another through hybridization and repeated backcrossing, is a common and

long-recognized phenomenon in plants (Anderson 1953; Haselhorst and Buerkle 2013;

Harrison and Larson 2014), and has also been documented in many animal species

(Hedrick 2013; Aguillon et al. 2022). Hybridization and subsequent introgression can have

substantial impacts on adaptation, evolvability and speciation, with effects that may be

beneficial or deleterious depending on the interaction between genetics and ecology. On one

hand, hybridization may introduce genetic variants that enhance the fitness of the recipient

population (adaptive introgression), may increase standing genetic diversity boosting a

population's adaptive potential to environmental changes, and may lead to speciation if

hybrids become reproductively isolated from parental populations (Rieseberg et al. 2003;

Baack and Rieseberg 2007; Becker et al. 2013; Brennan et al. 2014; Hamilton and Miller

2015; Mallet 2015). On the other hand, hybridization may counteract local adaptation with

the introduction of maladapted alleles, may lead to unfit or unviable hybrids, and may

homogenize differences between populations with incomplete reproductive isolation

counteracting speciation (Barton and Hewitt 1985). In extreme circumstances, hybridization

may lead to extinction through the complete genetic assimilation of a rare species by a more

common congener, such as in the case of *Argyranthemum coronopifolium* in Tenerife

(Canary Islands), which was effectively replaced by hybrids and *A. frutescens* within just a

few decades from the initial contact (Levin et al. 1996). The impact of introgression therefore

depends upon how the hybridizing lineages are each adapted to their local environments,

and the extent to which they each carry deleterious load (that could be complemented and

relieved by introgression) and globally beneficial alleles (that could introgress by a selective

sweep).

Studying patterns of introgression across replicated hybrid zones can provide insights into

the importance of selection vs. drift (Rieseberg et al. 1999; Simon et al. 2020; Westram et al.

2021; McFarlane et al. 2024; Semenov et al. 2025): if similar genomic regions exhibit similar

patterns of introgression across multiple hybrid zones, this can suggest a consistent role for

selection rather than purely stochastic processes. Outside of hybrid zones, shared selection

pressures across repeated environmental gradients can lead to similar genotype-

environment associations (GEAs), whereas drift will result in differing patterns of association.

As long as the repeated gradients are evolutionarily independent, repeated GEA signals can

provide evidence of a gene having evolved in response to selection (Whiting et al. 2024).

However, if two previously isolated species come into repeated contact across multiple

hybrid zones, these zones are fundamentally non-independent, as they are repeatedly

derived from the same parental species pools. If the parental species diverged in allopatry

followed by secondary contact in repeated hybrid zones, loci that had differentiated by

genetic drift could exhibit repeated associations with the overall environmental gradient that

distinguishes the parental species. Thus, repeatability in GEA signals across multiple

repeated hybrid zones is not sufficient evidence to implicate natural selection (Lotterhos and

Whitlock 2014, 2015; Forester et al. 2018; Leigh et al. 2021; Booker et al. 2023). However, if

the regions of the genome that exhibit strong GEA signals also exhibit abnormal

introgression in the same direction across multiple hybrid zones, this would be unlikely to

occur due to the above-described non-independence, and would implicate natural selection

(Rieseberg et al. 1999; Buerkle and Lexer 2008; Gompert and Buerkle 2009; Bierne et al.

2013; Simon et al. 2020; Westram et al. 2021; Langdon et al. 2022). Our aim is to explore

the extent of similarity in genomic patterns of introgression in two hybrid zones spanning

regions with very different geography: one a broad plateau, and another a narrow and

rugged mountainous region. In both regions, introgression occurs between white spruce

(*Picea glauca*) and Engelmann spruce (*Picea engelmannii*), but in the former region, the

environment distinguishing the two parental species transitions slowly across space,

whereas in the latter region, the environmental transition is much narrower and includes

considerable fine-scale variation associated with elevation and slope aspect.

White spruce (*Picea glauca*) and Engelmann spruce (*Picea engelmannii*) are wind-

pollinated, long-lived commercially and aesthetically important forest tree species in Canada

(Rajora and Dancik 2000; De La Torre et al. 2013). White spruce is a subarctic boreal

species that can tolerate both low winter temperatures as well as summer drought but is

generally restricted to low elevations (Rajora and Dancik 2000; De La Torre et al. 2013).

Engelmann spruce is a high-altitude subalpine forest tree with a more westerly and southerly

distribution than white spruce, extending from Southern British Columbia and Alberta in the

north, up to Arizona and New Mexico in the south (Rajora and Dancik 2000; De La Torre et

al. 2013). Engelmann spruce exhibits low tolerance to elevated temperatures and drought

conditions, inhabits wetter environments and can withstand short growing season and high

snowfall (Rajora and Dancik 2000; De La Torre et al. 2013). White and Engelmann spruce

are closely related species and are thought to have diverged in allopatry during the Pliocene

(Lockwood et al. 2013; De La Torre et al. 2014). De La Torre et al. (2014) proposed that their

most recent contacts are likely to have started in the Rocky Mountains of southern Colorado

and Wyoming at the last glacial maximum (LGM), when both species were displaced south

of their current distribution. After the glacial retreat, both species started re-expanding,

causing the hybrid zone to gradually shift northwest, reaching British Columbia

approximately 14,000 years ago and continuing to expand until reaching its current extent in

the late Holocene (De La Torre et al. 2014).

Today, these two species hybridize extensively where their ranges overlap in western Alberta

and British Columbia, so that the complex of these two species and their hybrids is

commonly referred to as "interior spruce" (Rajora and Dancik 2000). As a result, it can be

difficult to distinguish these two species by observation except by the morphology of their

cones (Taylor 1959; Rajora and Dancik 2000). Hybrids display clinal genetic variation across

the hybrid zone and tend to occupy and exhibit higher fitness in habitats that are ecologically

intermediate between those of the parental species, suggesting that the interior spruce

hybrid zone in western Canada is maintained according to the bounded hybrid superiority

model (Horton et al. 1959; Rajora and Dancik 2000; Ledig et al. 2006; De La Torre et al.

2013, 2014). Local adaptation in interior spruce is well supported by common garden

experiments as well as genomic studies, which have also revealed strong differentiation

between *P. glauca* and *P. engelmannii* (De La Torre et al. 2013, 2014; Hornoy et al. 2015;

Yeaman et al. 2016; Capblancq et al. 2022). However, the axis of introgression aligns with

climatic gradients, making it unclear how much of the genomic divergence reflects a history

of selection versus neutral drift in the parental species prior to secondary contact (Horton et

al. 1959; Rajora and Dancik 2000; Ledig et al. 2006; De La Torre et al. 2013, 2014).

Here, to study hybridization and local adaptation we compare genomic patterns across two

datasets: a previously studied broad latitudinal gradient spanning > 1000km throughout

Alberta and British Columbia (Fig. 1a) (Yeaman et al. 2016), and a new dataset collected

across a narrower elevational zone spanning ~100km in the Canadian Rocky Mountains

(Fig. 1c). Based on casual observations of the elevational zone, forests on high north-facing

slopes tend to have moister soil and hold snow longer in the springtime than those on high

south-facing slopes and valley bottoms, so we expected this might generate some fine-

grained natural selection within each location. To test whether this relatively fine-scale

environmental variation could drive adaptation, at seven locations along the valleys along

the elevational zone, we sampled from three distinct environments: valley bottoms (< 1600

m), and high elevation north- and south-facing slopes (> 2000 m). To compare the latitudinal

and elevational datasets, we analyse F_{ST} to characterize patterns of genomic divergence,

conduct genotype-environment association (GEA) analyses to identify regions potentially

driving local adaptation, and perform genomic cline analyses to detect regions showing

abnormal patterns of introgression. We also explore patterns of chromosomal clustering of

these statistics in each of the datasets to test whether they are consistent with expectations

based on theory of hybrid zones and migration-selection balance. We aim to answer three

questions: 1) does local adaptation maintain species divergence across fine-scale

environmental variation within the hybrid zone; 2) how similar are genomic patterns of

divergence, environmental association, and directional introgression across these very

different geographies of hybridization?; and 3) to what extent can we parse evolutionary non-

independence of these hybrid zones to identify regions of the genome driving adaptive

divergence?

Results

Super-scaffold assembly

While the reference genome assembly of *Picea glauca* is highly fragmented (Warren et al.

2015), we used a previously constructed linkage map based on cDNA sequences to position

contigs onto chromosome scale scaffolds, to facilitate study of genome-wide patterns. To

maximize confidence, we identified the best scaffold match for each cDNA based on

sequence coverage and identity and discarded unmapped cDNA as well as those with low-

quality mapping or unresolved multi-mapping (see *Methods* for details). This process

retained 21,252 cDNAs, of which 11,914 were included in the available *P. glauca* linkage

map, including 14,727 genes spread across 12 linkage groups (LGs) (Pavy et al. 2017;

Gagalova et al. 2022). These 11,914 cDNAs mapped to 10,475 unique scaffolds of the *P.*

glauca reference genome, which we ordered along the 12 linkage groups according to the

cDNAs centimorgan (cM) positions in the linkage map. The resulting super-scaffold

assembly spanned 3,426,128,031 bp (~3.42 Gbp), representing approximately 13% of the

WS77111v2 *P. glauca* reference genome assembly (~26.5 Gbp). The final size of our

assembly is comparable to a recently published super-scaffold assembly for *P. glauca*, which

achieved a size of ~3.86 Gbp by using a less conservative cDNA mapping approach

(Gagalova et al. 2022).

Sampling and SNP Discovery

We sampled 331 spruce individuals, including white spruce (*Picea glauca*), Engelmann

spruce (*Picea engelmannii*), and their hybrids, from 21 populations within a narrow section of

the western Canada interior spruce hybrid zone which extends from central British Columbia

(B.C.) into western Alberta (Fig. 1c). Samples were collected from seven locations along the

Trans-Canada Highway going through Canmore, Banff, and Yoho. Each location included

three populations, with 14 to 16 individuals sampled per population (supplementary fig. S1,

Supplementary Material online). For each location, we sampled a north-facing high-altitude

population (N), a south-facing high-altitude population (S), and a valley population (V) (Fig.

1c; supplementary fig. S1, Supplementary Material online). Using a target sequencing

approach with a 10 Mb target, we identified a set of 119,664 SNPs (MAF > 0.05) following

post-processing. This set included only SNPs located on the scaffolds of the *P. glauca*

reference genome that we were able to anchor to the *P. glauca* linkage map (Gagalova et al.

2022) in our super-scaffold assembly construction. We termed this dataset the "elevational

dataset".

Additionally, we gathered available exome capture data for 575 spruce individuals from 249

populations, derived from previous studies (Suren et al. 2016; Yeaman et al. 2016). This

dataset spans both species' geographic ranges in B.C. and Alberta and encompasses the

entire western Canada interior spruce hybrid zone, with the exclusion of areas within

national parks (Fig. 1a; supplementary fig. S1, Supplementary Material online). After

applying the same SNP-calling pipeline and post-processing as for the elevational dataset to

ensure consistency, we identified a set of 265,655 SNPs (MAF > 0.05) located on the

scaffolds of our super-scaffold assembly. We termed this dataset the "latitudinal dataset".

Population structure, ancestry, and fine-scale adaptation

We analysed genome-wide variation in both elevational and latitudinal transects using

principal component analysis (PCA) and a variational Bayesian framework implemented in

fastSTRUCTURE (Raj et al. 2014). In both datasets, *fastSTRUCTURE* identified K=2 as the

number of clusters that maximizes the log marginal likelihood (K*ε) of the data (Figs. 1b and

d; supplementary fig. S2, Supplementary Material online). Concordantly, PCA's first principal

component (PC1) revealed two main clusters of individuals present in both datasets, while

capturing a large portion of the variation in the data (70% in the latitudinal dataset and 63%

in the elevational dataset) as indicated by the sharp drop in eigenvalue scores after PC1

(supplementary figs. S3 and S4, Supplementary Material online).

To assign the two clusters identified with *fastSTRUCTURE* and PCA to their respective

species, we added publicly available genomic data of a pure individual of *P. glauca* and one

of *P. engelmannii* to each dataset, retrieved from the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA)

(see *Methods* for details). By repeating the *fastSTRUCTURE* analysis at K=2, we could

clearly identify which species ancestry each cluster represented based on the pure

individuals admixture coefficients (supplementary fig. S5, Supplementary Material online).

Overall, PCA and *fastSTRUCTURE* effectively distinguished the different genomic

background of the two spruce species and produced highly concordant results: the

distribution of spruce individuals along PC1 reflected the arrangement of the individuals

based on the ancestry coefficients estimated with *fastSTRUCTURE* at K=2, with a nearly

perfect correlation (0.99) in both datasets (supplementary fig. S6, Supplementary Material

online). In PCA, the remaining principal components (PC2, PC3) explained considerably less

variation than PC1 in both the elevational and latitudinal transects and separated a few

second- and third-degree relatives found within some populations from the rest of the

individuals (supplementary figs. S3 and S4, Supplementary Material online). Overall,

genomic relatedness levels were low according to our estimation, with only two potential

half-sibling relationships (kin ~0.25) in the elevational dataset and ten in the latitudinal

dataset (supplementary fig. S7, Supplementary Material online). Both datasets showed few

third-degree relationships (supplementary fig. S7, Supplementary Material online).

The elevational transect exhibited two dominant patterns of covariation between ancestry

and environment: 1) ancestry varied from almost pure Engelmann to almost pure white

spruce along the broad NW to SE transect across the sampling region (spanning ~100 km of

distance along the valley); and 2) within several locations through the middle of the

elevational hybrid zone (particularly locations 4, 5, and 6; Fig. 1c), the proportion of

Engelmann ancestry was highest in high elevation N-facing sites (N), lowest in valleys (V),

and intermediate on high elevation S-facing sites (Figs. 1c and 1d; Fig. 2; supplementary fig.

S8, Supplementary Material online). Within each location, the N, S, and V sites were never

separated by more than 10km, and each location was typically separated by ~5-30 km.

Thus, instead of seeing a gradual transition in hybrid ancestry across the elevational transect

(with similar ancestry among N, S, and V within locations), we observed substantial fine-

grained local adaptation within locations (Fig. 2; supplementary fig. S8, Supplementary

Material online). Given that similar changes in ancestry are seen over ~500km in the

latitudinal transect, this represents a comparatively sharp transition in ancestry across micro-

environmental variations, maintained over several sampling locations.

For the latitudinal dataset, ancestry distribution aligned well with the geographical ranges of

the two species in western Canada (Figs. 1a and 1b) (Rajora and Dancik 2000; De La Torre

et al. 2013, 2014; Gagalova et al. 2022). Potentially pure *P. glauca* and *P. glauca*-dominant

hybrids were found predominant in the northern and eastern part of the sampling range

going into Alberta, while *P. engelmannii* ancestry appeared more prevalent in south-western

British Columbia. More balanced species density with varying admixture levels were

observed in central and western B.C. and along the southern B.C.-Alberta border, matching

with the extent of what is commonly referred to as the western Canada interior spruce hybrid

zone (Fig. 1a).

Population differentiation

We measured the extent of genetic differentiation between populations in each dataset using

the F_{ST} statistic on a per-SNP basis, implemented in *VCFtools* (Danecek et al. 2011). In the

elevational dataset, mean F_{ST} between the 21 populations sampled was 0.03 (median =

0.01, sd = 0.05), based on 119,664 SNPs (supplementary fig. S9, Supplementary Material

online). In the latitudinal dataset, mean F_{ST} between 249 populations was 0.07

(median=0.06, sd=0.07), based on 265,655 SNPs (supplementary fig. S9, Supplementary

Material online). For reference, mean F_{ST} between *P. glauca* and *P. engelmannii*, estimated

by selecting pure species individuals based on the *fastSTRUCTURE* admixture coefficient Q

(Q ≥ 0.95), was 0.10 (median = 0.04, sd = 0.15) in the elevational dataset and 0.14 (median

= 0.07, sd = 0.17) in the latitudinal dataset (supplementary fig. S9, Supplementary Material

online). Most SNPs were polymorphic within both pure species (Q ≥ 0.95) populations,

indicating substantial shared variation despite genetic differentiation. Specifically, in the

elevational dataset (119,664 SNPs), 96.7 % of SNPs (115,768 SNPs) were polymorphic in *P.*

glauca and 99.7 % (119,415 SNPs) in *P. engelmannii*; in the latitudinal dataset (265,655

SNPs), 98.6% of SNPs (262,023 SNPs) were polymorphic in *P. glauca* and 96% (255,500

SNPs) in *P. engelmannii*.

Comparison of the SNPs analysed in each dataset revealed that 31,667 SNPs were shared.

This shared set was relatively limited, primarily due to differences in the sequence capture

designs used for the two datasets. Moreover, small population sizes and missing data in the

latitudinal dataset prevented F_{ST} computation for several loci, restricting the cross-dataset

F_{ST} comparisons to 11,990 SNPs (out of the 31,667 shared SNPs). For this subset of 11,990

SNPs, the correlation of F_{ST} values was high (Pearson's r = 0.85, p < 2.2 × 10^{-16}; Kendall's

tau = 0.48, p < 2.2 × 10^{-16}), with little variation observed among linkage groups (Fig. 3).

Overall, the F_{ST} landscape between the elevational and latitudinal transects appears highly

repeated, with a visually concordant distribution of peaks and valleys in the resulting

Manhattan plots (Fig. 3a and c; supplementary fig. S10, Supplementary Material online).

Patterns of nucleotide diversity among parental populations (d_{xy}) were broadly concordant

with F_{ST}, and we observed relatively low correlation between nucleotide diversity within each

parental species (π) and F_{ST}, with only a slight tendency for the most extreme F_{ST} outliers to

have reduced diversity (supplementary figs. S11 and S12, Supplementary Material online).

This suggests that background selection reducing π is not the main driver of high F_{ST} (as per

Cruickshank and Hahn 2014).

Genome-environment association analysis

To identify the particular combination of environments that covaries most strongly with

variation in ancestry, we performed redundancy analysis (RDA) by regressing the individual

trees' hybrid indexes, computed with *fastSTRUCTURE*, against a set of environmental

variables considered important in describing the niches of the two parental species. This

approach involves a specific type of multiple regression aimed at identifying the linear

combination of environmental variables that accounts for the largest portion of variation in

the hybrid index, and therefore best explains the introgression patterns that we observed.

RDA1 (the first axis of RDA variation i.e. the linear combination of predictors explaining most

hybrid index variance) was then used as a predictor in genome-environment association

analyses (GEAs). For the elevational dataset, we included six weakly correlated (Pearson's

correlation coefficient < 0.7) environmental variables: aspect (the compass direction that a

terrain faces), slope (the steepness of the terrain), air temperature at 2 meters, diffuse

horizontal irradiation (DIF), predicted photovoltaic electricity output (PVOUT), and snow melt

day (supplementary fig. S13, Supplementary Material online). For the latitudinal dataset, we

used the same variables except snow melt day. The latter, being high-resolution data, was

not feasible to generate for the vast geographic area covered by the latitudinal dataset.

In the elevational dataset, the first RDA axis (RDA1) explained 73% of the variation in the

hybrid index, with snow melt day and PVOUT being the most important variables, followed

by air temperature at 2 meters, slope, aspect, and DIF (supplementary fig. S14,

Supplementary Material online). In contrast, in the latitudinal dataset RDA1 explained 56% of

the total hybrid index variation. The most influential variables were slope and PVOUT,

followed by air temperature at 2 meters and DIF (supplementary fig. S14, Supplementary

Material online). In this dataset, aspect contributed negligibly to the introgression patterns

observed across latitude, in strong contrast with its contribution in the elevational dataset

(supplementary fig. S14, Supplementary Material online).

We used the resulting RDA1 axes as predictors in the GEA, conducting separate analyses

for the elevational and latitudinal datasets. This analysis should detect candidates driving

local adaptation in the parental species, but it also may pick up any regions of the genome

that happened to evolve strong differentiation due to drift or intrinsic reproductive isolating

mechanisms. The elevational dataset analysis included 119,664 SNPs, while the latitudinal

included 265,655 SNPs (MAF > 0.05). For each SNP, we performed a likelihood-ratio test

comparing two models: a null model including only the intercept, where the SNP frequency

was predicted using only the mean frequency; and a predictor model which used RDA1 as

the environmental predictor to infer SNP frequency. The likelihood-ratio test was used to

compare the goodness of fit between the predictor model and the intercept-only model. A

significantly better fit by the predictor model results in a low p-value, reflecting the predictor's

importance. Conversely, if the null model is supported by the observed data, the likelihoods

of the two models would not differ beyond the sampling error, resulting in higher p-values.

Similar to the F_{ST} landscape, the GEA landscape between the elevational and latitudinal

transects appeared highly repeated, with a visually strikingly concordant distribution of peaks

and valleys in the Manhattan plots derived from the two GEAs (Fig. 4a and c; supplementary

fig. S15, Supplementary Material online).

Between the SNPs analysed in each dataset, 31,667 were shared, exhibiting very high

correlation in p-values between the two GEA analyses (Pearson's correlation: 0.84, p < 2.2 ×

10^{-16}; Kendall's tau = 0.58, p < 2.2 × 10^{-16}), with little variation between linkage groups

(Fig.4b; supplementary fig. S16, Supplementary Material online). We also tested whether the

inclusion of the snow melt day variable in the elevation environmental set but not in the

latitudinal set contributed to our results, but found minimal impact (supplementary Text S1

and supplementary fig. S17, Supplementary Material online).

Concordant with the F_{ST} analysis, we assessed correlations between GEA p-values and

nucleotide diversity within and between parental species in each dataset. We observed

strong correlations with d_{xy} and low correlations with nucleotide diversity within parental

species, with only a slight tendency for the most extreme GEA outliers to have reduced

diversity (supplementary figs. S11 and S12, Supplementary Material online).

The above results do not include any correction for population structure, so we explored the

impact of adding a structure correction using PC1 from our PCA analysis (see *Methods* for

details). We found that the resulting p-values appear entirely uncorrelated between the

elevational and latitudinal analyses with almost no shared outliers, in strong contrast with

those of our GEA results without population structure correction (supplementary fig. S18,

Supplementary Material online). These observations are consistent with the expected

behaviour of the methods: GEA with structure correction should appropriately control the

proportion of false positives at the cost of low power to detect true positives, whereas GEA

without structure correction should be more sensitive to detecting true positives at the cost of

a large number of false positives (Lotterhos 2019; Booker et al. 2023). Both issues are

expected to be particularly pronounced when the axis of population structure is confounded

with environmental variation driving local adaptation, as is the case in this study. Given that

previous studies have shown considerable signatures of local adaptation, it seems likely that

GEA without correction (Fig. 4a and c; supplementary fig. S15, Supplementary Material

online) is capturing some true signals, along with an unknown proportion of false positives.

Chromosomal clustering of F_{ST} and GEA signatures

To examine chromosomal clustering of signatures of divergence and environmental

association, we calculated Moran's I index of spatial autocorrelation on chromosomal

distance. For clustering of F_{ST} we used 11,990 shared SNPs for each scaffold and linkage

group (see *Methods* for details). Although not statistically significant (scaffolds paired t-test

p-value = 0.49, n = 27; LGs paired t-test p-value = 0.22; n = 12), this analysis showed that

F_{ST} chromosomal autocorrelation tended to be slightly higher in the elevational than in the

latitudinal transects when assessed by LG (Elevational scaffolds Moran's I - Latitudinal

scaffolds Moran's I mean and median: 0.007 and 0.005; Elevational LGs Moran's I -

Latitudinal LGs Moran's I mean and median: 0.006 and 0.002) (supplementary fig. S19,

Supplementary Material online). However, the sample size of only 12 LGs doesn't allow for

much power, and no strong trend was detected in the scaffolds (supplementary fig. S19,

Supplementary Material online). We repeated this analysis on the GEA p-values from the

31,667 shared SNPs for each scaffold and linkage group. Levels of GEA p-values

chromosomal autocorrelation within scaffolds and LGs were significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher

(scaffolds paired t-test p-value = 7.48×10^{-5}, n = 77; LGs paired t-test p-value = 0.01, n =

12) in the elevational than latitudinal dataset (Elevational scaffolds Moran's I - Latitudinal

scaffolds Moran's I mean and median: 0.037 and 0.028; Elevational LGs Moran's I -

Latitudinal LGs Moran's I mean and median: 0.01 and 0.01) (supplementary fig. S20,

Supplementary Material online). Additionally, we tested whether the differences in GEA p-

values spatial autocorrelation could be driven by the distinct sampling schemes used in the

elevational and latitudinal datasets (15-16 individuals per population vs. 2 individuals per

population), and found no evidence that variation in populations sampling intensity

contributed to these differences (supplementary Text S2 and supplementary fig. S21,

Supplementary Material online).

Genomic clines analysis of aberrant patterns of introgression

Genomic clines analysis is used to infer the movement of ancestry blocks into different

genomic backgrounds and to assess patterns of introgression in hybrid zones, by modelling

the ancestry probabilities of SNPs as a function of the hybrid index. Here, we aim to identify

regions of the genome exhibiting extreme patterns of introgression, which might occur if

some loci are more strongly introgressed into one species or the other due to their adaptive

effect (hereafter, directional), or if some loci experience unusually steep or gradual

transitions across the hybrid index compared to the genome-wide average (hereafter,

steepness). Directional introgression (α) occurs when an allele moves from one parental

species into the other more often than expected based on genome-wide patterns, which

typically happens when a locus experiences uniform directional selection across both

species and hybrids (e.g. a classic selective sweep). On the other hand, steepness (β)

reflects how rapidly ancestry changes across the hybrid index and can arise from selection

favouring heterozygotes (i.e. via complementation of deleterious recessive alleles) or

disfavouring heterozygotes (i.e. due to reproductive incompatibilities), resulting in loci that

are introgressed more slowly or more rapidly than the genome-wide average (Gompert and

Buerkle 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012). We applied genomic clines analyses to assess the

patterns of introgression between *P. glauca* and *P. engelmannii* in the western Canada

interior spruce hybrid zone. We fit genomic clines using two approaches: a Bayesian

genomic clines model implemented in *BGC* (Gompert and Buerkle 2011, 2012) and a logistic

regression-based model implemented in *introgress* (Gompert and Buerkle 2010). We used

introgress to have a source of comparison and verify the consistency of *BGC*, but only

results from *BGC* were analysed further.

In order to define parental pure species populations for the genomic clines model, we

classified the sampled individuals as either *P. glauca*, *P. engelmannii* or hybrids according to

the admixture coefficient computed with *fastSTRUCTURE* (see *Methods* for details). We

performed two separate genomic clines analyses, one for the elevational and one for the

latitudinal dataset respectively and included in the models only SNPs with an allele

frequency differential between parental "pure" species populations greater than 0.6. Limiting

the number of SNPs tested in *BGC* was necessary, as using the full SNP set would lead to

infeasible run times due to the computational demands of its Bayesian framework.

Therefore, we opted to include only ancestry-informative SNPs (those with substantial

parental frequency differentials - 0.6), rather than a random subset that might contain many

uninformative loci, as suggested in other studies (Knief et al. 2019; Chaturverdi et al. 2020;

Del-Rio et al. 2021; Walsh et al. 2023) and following recommendations in Martin et al.

(2021). In our *BGC* analysis, positive α values indicate excess *P. glauca* ancestry compared

to the genome-wide average, while negative α values indicate excess *P. engelmannii*

ancestry (directional introgression patterns). On the other hand, positive β values indicate a

steeper cline and selection against introgression resulting in a faster rate of allelic-frequency

transition along the hybrid index, whereas negative β values indicate the reverse.

In the elevational dataset, pre-processing resulted in a set of 3,256 SNPs for genomic clines

analysis, which in turn led to the identification of 300 SNPs exhibiting excess ancestry (148

positive α and 152 negative α SNPs). These loci represent SNPs with introgression patterns

different from the genome-wide average, with a 95% equal-tail probability interval (ETPI) for the posterior probability distribution of α that did not overlap with zero. No SNP showing unusual rates of allelic-frequency transition along the hybrid index was detected in the elevational dataset genomic clines analysis (β excess) (supplementary figs. S22 and S23, Supplementary Material online).

In the latitudinal dataset, pre-processing resulted in a set of 10,154 SNPs for genomic clines analysis, which in turn led to the identification of 3,845 SNPs with a 95% ETPI for the posterior probability of α that did not overlap 0 (1,906 positive α and 1,939 negative α SNPs), indicating excess ancestry (supplementary figs. S23 and S24, Supplementary Material online). Additionally, the latitudinal dataset analysis pinpointed 120 SNPs exhibiting unusual rates of allele frequency transitions in the hybrid population (52 negative β and 68 positive β SNPs) (supplementary fig. S24, Supplementary Material online). Similarly to SNPs exhibiting excess ancestry (α excess SNPs), these SNPs reported a 95% ETPI for the posterior probability distribution of β that did not overlap with zero (β excess). Among the SNPs that reported α or β excess in the latitudinal dataset analysis, 76 were in common, indicating loci exhibiting both excess ancestry as well as unusual rates of allelic-frequency transitions along the hybrid index gradient (supplementary fig. S24, Supplementary Material online).

Furthermore, we performed two additional *BGC* analyses on subsets of the latitudinal dataset, referred to as Subset 1 and Subset 2, by down sampling the data to a similar number of individuals and parental population composition as in the elevational dataset (supplementary fig. S25, Supplementary Material online). This was done to determine whether the high number of α-excess SNPs observed in the latitudinal dataset, relative to the total number of SNPs tested, was a statistical artifact of their differing sample sizes, or indicated a stronger biological signal in the latitudinal transects compared to the elevational transects. Both downsampled analyses revealed a pattern closely resembling that of the full dataset analysis, identifying 3,258 and 3,268 α-excess SNPs, respectively (supplementary

fig. S26, Supplementary Material online), suggesting a stronger biological signal in the

latitudinal dataset. Furthermore, the Pearson's correlation between α values from the full

latitudinal dataset analysis and those from the subset analyses was very high, at 0.91 and

0.90. For comparison, α correlation between the two subset analyses was even higher, at

0.97.

Comparing across datasets, the elevational and latitudinal dataset clines analyses shared a

total of 982 SNPs. These showed significant correlation of α values between the elevational

and latitudinal dataset analyses (Pearson's correlation: 0.32, p-value < 2.2×10^{-16}; Kendall's

tau: 0.21, p-value < 2.2×10^{-16}) (Fig. 4d). In more detail, within the 982 shared SNPs, 438

exhibited excess ancestry in the latitudinal dataset analysis and 83 in the elevational -

between these, 53 reported excess ancestry across both analyses, with 49 (92%) exhibiting

excess ancestry in the same direction of introgression. Overall, *BGC* α values were

generally in agreement with the p-value obtained with *introgress* (between *introgress* p-

values and *BGC* absolute α values) for both datasets (supplementary fig. S27,

Supplementary Material online).

By visually inspecting Manhattan plots derived from our genomic clines analyses, it is

apparent that some of the strongest GEA peaks comprising our top candidate for

environmental association show asymmetric introgression from one parental species to the

other (excess ancestry) (Fig. 4a and c; supplementary fig. S28, Supplementary Material

online). This pattern is further confirmed by the relationship between GEA p-values and *BGC*

α-values, with numerous α-outlier SNPs exhibiting low GEA p-values (supplementary fig.

S23, Supplementary Material online). This could indicate local adaptation associated with

the genomic region's ancestry, even though we cannot totally exclude stable genetic

differentiation (i.e. genetic drift). SNPs with these asymmetric patterns tend to be organized

into large blocks that all tend to be introgressed in the same direction (Fig. 4a and c;

supplementary fig. S28, Supplementary Material online).

Candidate Genes for Local Adaptation

Given that common garden experiments have shown these species are strongly locally

adapted (Jaramillo-Correa et al. 2001; De La Torre et al. 2013; Liepe et al. 2016), regions of

the genome harbouring extreme GEA signatures likely harbour many causal drivers of local

adaptation. However, given the covariation between structure and environment, it is difficult

to know what proportion of these regions are under selection vs. drift. Furthermore, repeated

GEA patterns between the latitudinal and elevational transects are non-independent, so it is

inappropriate to use statistical tests of whether repeated patterns exceed random

expectations. Designating any SNP with a GEA p-value in the bottom 1st percentile as a

"GEA outlier" and any SNP with excess ancestry in the genomic clines analysis as an

"ancestry outlier", we present results showing the overlap in outlier SNPs and genes

harbouring outliers, both among analyses and across the elevation and latitudinal datasets in

the Supplementary Material (supplementary figs. S29 and S30, Supplementary Material

online). It is more likely that the patterns of directional introgression are more evolutionarily

independent (see Discussion), so overlap of extreme signatures here is more informative. A

total of 18 genes were identified as candidates by all four analyses (both GEAs and both

cline analyses), exceeding the random expectation (expected 9.7 genes; p = 0.0007)

(supplementary Table S1 and supplementary fig. S29, Supplementary Material online).

Although this set of 18 candidate genes requires further study and validation, it comprises

several protein families with key roles in plant growth and environmental stress responses

(supplementary Text S3 and Table S1, Supplementary Material online), according to the

available annotation (Warren et al. 2015; Gagalova et al. 2022). More broadly, the less

stringent set of 638 candidates identified by either of our analyses includes several genes

previously reported to contribute to adaptation in other genomic studies of North American

conifers (supplementary Text S4 and Table S2, Supplementary Material online). Additionally,

we examined differences between the candidates identified in the latitudinal and elevational

analyses to highlight two distinct groups of SNPs: one putatively associated with elevational

507 but not latitudinal environmental pressures, and the other showing the opposite trend

508 (supplementary Text S3, Supplementary Material online).

Discussion

Here, we assessed local adaptation, hybridization, and introgression patterns in two regions

of the Western Canada interior spruce hybrid zone: a latitudinal gradient spanning ~1000 km

of gradual environmental change and a narrower elevational contact zone spanning

~100km, including many regions with dramatic changes in environment over short spatial

scales of < 10km. We observed dramatic changes in the proportion of white vs. Engelmann

ancestry across different elevation/aspect sampling sites within several valley locations (Fig.

1c; Fig. 2). There are two main categories of explanation for the maintenance of highly

differentiated populations across such small spatial scales: strong divergent natural selection

and/or limited gene flow. Local adaptation between white and Engelmann spruce is well-

established (De La Torre et al. 2013, 2014; Hornoy et al. 2015; Yeaman et al. 2016;

Capblancq et al. 2022), but it is also possible that phenological barriers prevent mating

between sites within locations, given that flowering time is known to respond plastically to

temperature cues (Howe et al. 2003; De La Torre et al. 2013, 2014). Further study with

transplant experiments and analysis of phenological barriers to reproduction would be

needed to resolve this question. It is also unclear whether these repeated patterns of

covariation between ancestry and environment represent a single colonization of all N-

slopes by Engelmann and all S-slopes by white spruce, or initial colonization of the valleys

followed by repeated expansion into the alpine areas by each species.

Our analyses revealed that patterns in the genomic basis of introgression are broadly similar

between the elevational and latitudinal transects. We found strong repeatability in our F_{ST}

and GEA analyses, with high correlation of the resulting F_{ST} and GEA p-values and a visually

concordant distribution of peaks and valleys in the resulting Manhattan plots (Fig. 3; Fig. 4;

supplementary figs. S10 and S15, Supplementary Material online). While some repeatability

is expected given the non-independence involved when two hybrid zones arise from the

same parental species, the extent to which the patterns observed at broad latitudinal scales

(~1000 km) are repeated at the very narrow spatial scales of the elevational transect (<100

km) is remarkable (Fig. 3; Fig. 4). From these results alone, however, it is not possible to

ascertain whether highly divergent regions of the genome were driven by selection or drift,

but they do provide a set of candidate regions that likely include many of the causal drivers

of local adaptation, which has been well-studied at the phenotypic level (Jaramillo-Correa et

al. 2001; De La Torre et al. 2013; Liepe et al. 2016).

The picture becomes clearer with patterns of directional introgression, which are unlikely to

be repeated under a pure drift model if the populations in the middle of one transect are

evolving independently of those in the other. Our observation of extensive repeatability of

directional introgression (Figure 4d; supplementary fig. S28) is therefore more strongly

suggestive of a role for natural selection in shaping genomic patterns. However, it is not

possible from the data included here to conclusively test whether these populations are

evolving independently, as the dominant signal of relatedness is driven by ancestry

proportion, leaving little power to assess fine-scale patterns of gene flow. While average

dispersal distances in trees tend to be under 1 km per generation (Austerlitz et al. 2004),

both pollen and seed are known to travel long distances in conifers, which also exhibit high

degrees of outcrossing (O'Connell et al. 2007). It does seem unlikely, however, that gene

flow between populations in the middle of the two transects (most of which are separated by

> 100km; Fig. 1 and supplementary fig. S25, Supplementary Material online) could be high

enough to entrain their allele frequencies to drive repeated patterns, while also being limited

enough to allow the dramatic divergence we see within several locations in the elevation

transect (< 10km). Although further study is required to conclusively assess this evidence,

the most likely explanation for observed repeated patterns of directional introgression is

natural selection.

Our analysis also highlights that correcting for population structure in GEAs can be

counterproductive, particularly when there is strong collinearity between the environmental

gradient and the axis of introgression (supplementary fig. S18, Supplementary Material

online). Methods that include structure correction may fail to detect true adaptive loci in such

cases, as previously suggested (Lotterhos 2019; Booker et al. 2023); however, not

accounting for population structure is very likely to lead to elevated false-positive rates,

making this a particularly challenging problem without a clear solution. When the goal is to

identify a long list of candidates for future study, maximizing sensitivity while allowing for

inclusion of false positives is preferable to using structure correction to strictly control the

false positive rate and fail to detect genomic regions driving selection.

In our analysis of the genomic distribution of regions of high differentiation between species,

chromosomal autocorrelation of the resulting F_{ST} and GEA p-values shows that differences in

the length of chromosomal tracts of introgression between latitudinal and elevational

datasets are broadly consistent with expectations based on the theory of hybridization

(Barton 1983; Sedghifar et al. 2016). In the elevational transect, there is less opportunity

(fewer generations) for recombination across the hybrid zone, because of the abrupt

transition across shorter spatial distances (Shchur et al. 2020), which results in larger blocks

of ancestry around the loci with strong patterns of differentiation and association with

environment (supplementary figs. S19 and S20, Supplementary Material online). Given that

common garden experiments show substantial evidence for local adaptation between the

parental species, some of these regions may be shaped by strong divergent selection, which

would further contribute to these patterns (Shchur et al. 2020). Unfortunately, the power of

this analysis was limited by the restricted overlap among genomic regions targeted by the

different sequence capture panels used for the two datasets. In addition, the fragmented

state of the white spruce genome assembly and the limited resolution of the linkage map

required us to rely on the rank order of SNPs within scaffolds anchored along the LGs of our

super-scaffold assembly rather than on physical or recombinational (cM) distances, reducing

spatial precision. Therefore, further exploration of this hypothesis is warranted once a more

contiguous reference genome becomes available.

Our results also echo previous work suggesting that the interior spruce hybrid zone is

maintained by a bounded hybrid superiority model, where hybrids exhibit higher fitness than

parental species in intermediate environments (De La Torre et al. 2013, 2014). In our case,

this may be represented by high south-facing slopes in the middle of the elevational dataset

(locations 3, 4, 5, and 6; Fig. 1c), which combine features of the longer, dry summers that

favour *P. glauca* and the higher precipitation that favours *P. engelmannii*. Consistent with

this, south-facing slopes reported a higher frequency of balanced hybrids (hybrids close to

50:50 ratio), a balanced distribution of *P. glauca* dominant and *P. engelmannii* dominant

hybrids as well as lower frequencies of putative pure *P. glauca* individuals compared to

valleys, and putative pure *P. engelmannii* individuals compared to north-facing slopes (Fig. 2;

supplementary fig. S8, Supplementary Material online). The intermediate habitats provided

by south-facing slopes may allow hybrids to outperform both parental species by combining

traits such as faster growth with earlier bud setting and greater tolerance to snow load (De

La Torre et al. 2013, 2014).

Loci that repeatedly exhibit high differentiation, strong evidence of adaptation, and consistent

directional introgression constitute the strongest candidates for local adaptation and adaptive

introgression (Rieseberg et al. 1999; Buerkle and Lexer 2008; Gompert and Buerkle 2009;

Bierne et al. 2013; Simon et al. 2020; Westram et al. 2021; Langdon et al. 2022). The 18

strongest candidate adaptive loci identified here included several genes previously reported

for their central role in plant growth and environmental adaptation (supplementary Text S3

and supplementary Table S1, Supplementary Material online). Furthermore, several of the

genes present within our broader set of candidates (638 genes identified by either of our

analyses), were also reported to contribute to adaptation in similar studies of local adaptation

in other North American conifers (Hornoy et al. 2015; Yeaman et al. 2016; Depardieu et al.

2021; Capblancq et al. 2022) (supplementary Text S4 and Table S2, Supplementary Material

online). Additionally, differences in candidate loci between the elevational and latitudinal

transects revealed several genes potentially involved in the response to environmental

factors specific to latitude such as photoperiod and seasonality, as well as others potentially

involved in regulating factors more specific to elevational changes, such as oxygen levels,

UV radiation, temperature, snow and precipitation levels (supplementary Text S3,

Supplementary Material online). However, we note that our candidates for local adaptation

require further validation, and it is likely that this broader set of 638 genes includes false

positives due to the difficulty in completely disentangling environmentally driven variation

from neutral population structure effects. One way we tried to address this was through the

analysis of genomic clines, as directional introgression replicated across hybrid zones

characterized by different environmental contrasts would not be expected under a neutral

drift model (Rieseberg et al. 1999; Buerkle and Lexer 2008; Gompert and Buerkle 2009;

Bierne et al. 2013; Simon et al. 2020; Westram et al. 2021; Langdon et al. 2022). This is

what we observed: directional introgression occurred largely in the same genomic regions

and often in the same direction between the elevational and latitudinal transects, as reflected

by the significant correlation of *BGC* alpha scores and overall highly concordant genome-

wide patterns of directional introgression (Fig. 4; supplementary fig. S28, Supplementary

Material online). This supports the idea that the 18 strongest candidate genes identified by

all four analyses form a robust set of adaptive loci (supplementary Table S1 and fig. S29,

Supplementary Material online), unlikely to be generated by drift.

Materials and Methods

Super-scaffold assembly construction

We built a super-scaffold assembly of the WS77111v2 white spruce (*P. glauca*) genome to

use in subsequent analyses, by conservatively anchoring scaffolds to the latest publicly

available *P. glauca* linkage map including 14,727 cDNAs (Gagalova et al. 2022). For this

process, we retrieved the sequences of an exhaustive set of 27,720 cDNAs, stemming from

the catalogue of spruce expressed genes assembled by Rigault et al. (2011), which we

filtered to retain only the sequences of the 14,727 cDNAs present in the *P. glauca* linkage

map (Gagalova et al. 2022). We then used *GMAP* (Wu et al. 2016) to align the retained

cDNAs sequences to the scaffolds of the WS77111v2 white spruce reference genome, and

the output was processed according to the following criteria: mapped cDNAs with coverage

above 50% and sequence identity above 90% were retained, while anything else was

discarded to ensure retention of only high confidence hits. Then, for each cDNA that satisfied

these mapping criteria, we extracted its best scaffold match based on the highest sequence

identity. In case a cDNA best mapping scaffold could not be determined as it mapped equally

well to multiple locations in the genome, it was discarded. This conservative approach

ensured the removal of difficult to resolve multi-mapped cDNAs. Finally, the scaffolds with

mapped cDNAs were ordered along the 12 spruce linkage groups according to the cDNAs

centimorgan (cM) positions in the linkage map.

Sampling and sequencing

We collected cambium tissue from 331 mature spruce individuals, including *P. glauca*, *P.*

engelmannii and their hybrids, from seven locations along the Trans-Canada highway going

through Canmore, Banff and Yoho in the summer of 2022 (Fig. 1c, elevational transect).

Each location included three distinct populations subjected to contrasting environmental

pressures: a north-facing high-altitude population, a south-facing high-altitude population,

and a valley population (Fig. 1c). Within each population, we sampled between 14 and 16

individuals (supplementary fig. S1, Supplementary Material online). Cambium tissue

samples were sent to Rapid Genomics LLC (Gainesville, Florida) for DNA extraction, library

preparation, and sequencing using a 10 Mb target approach.

We assembled a second dataset by downloading raw exome capture data for 575 mature

spruce individuals from across 249 populations distributed throughout western Canada (Fig.

1a, latitudinal transects), derived from previous studies (Suren et al. 2016; Yeaman et al.

2016). This data is publicly available in the Short Read Archive (SRP071805;

PRJNA251573).

SNP calling

We applied a uniform SNP calling pipeline to both datasets for consistency. First, *fastp*

(Chen et al. 2018) was used to remove sequencing adapters and for trimming raw paired

end FASTQ files, using default settings. Processed reads were then mapped to the latest

publicly available *P. glauca* reference genome (WS77111v2,

https://www.bcgsc.ca/downloads/btl/Spruce/) (Warren et al. 2015) using *BWA-mem* (Li and

Durbin 2009). Following mapping, we used *samtools* (Li et al. 2009) to convert the alignment

files generated from SAM to sorted BAM formats and discarded any alignment with mapping

quality below 10. *Picard* tools (available at https://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/) was then

used to remove potential PCR duplicates and to assign read groups, followed by indel

realignment with *GATK 3.8* (DePristo et al. 2011). Finally, SNP calling was performed using

BCFtools mpileup and *BCFtools call* (Danecek et al. 2021), based only on alignments with a

minimum mapping quality of 5 (−q 5). We filtered raw VCF files with *VCFtools* (Danecek et

al. 2011) to retain only biallelic SNPs with the following characteristics: genotyped in at least

70% of the individuals, quality above 30 (--minQ 30), individuals genotype quality above 20

(--minGQ 20), minimum read depth above 5 (--minDP 5) and minor allele frequency greater

than 0.05. Additionally, we filtered the VCF files of both datasets (latitudinal and elevational)

to retain only the SNPs located on the scaffolds that we were able to anchor to the available

linkage map (Gagalova et al. 2022) in our super-scaffold assembly construction.

Population structure assessment

We used *fastSTRUCTURE* (Raj et al. 2014) to assess population structure in both datasets, using a simple prior and testing Ks ranging from 1 to 10, and then selected the K model that maximizes the log-marginal likelihood lower bound (LLBO) of the data (K*ε). To determine which species each *fastSTRUCTURE* cluster represented, we added whole genome sequencing data of two pure species individuals cultivated at the arboretum of the Horsholm University of Copenhagen (Denmark) and repeated the *fastSTRUCTURE* inference. This data was retrieved from ENA under accessions SAMEA51442168 (*P. glauca*) and ERX1862345 (*P. engelmannii*). Furthermore, we classified individuals as either pure *P. glauca*, pure *P. engelmannii* or hybrid according to the value of the admixture coefficient (Q) computed with *fastSTRUCTURE* at the chosen model complexity (K=2): q ≥ 0.95 for either pure species, while individuals with 0.05 < q < 0.95 were classified as hybrids. Population structure was further assessed using principal component analysis (PCA), implemented in *Plink* version 2.0 (Chang et al. 2015). Marker-based realized genomic relatedness was computed between all possible pairs of individuals according to the formula by VanRaden (2008), implemented in the kin function of the R package *synbreed* (Wimmer et al. 2012).

Population differentiation

Weir and Cockerham F_{ST} (Weir and Cockerham 1984) was calculated on a per-SNP basis among the 21 populations of the elevational dataset and the 249 populations of the latitudinal dataset using the *VCFtools* function *weir-fst-pop* (Danecek et al. 2011), which reports the average F_{ST} of all pairwise population comparisons for each SNP. Additionally, F_{ST} between *P. glauca* and *P. engelmannii* was calculated within each dataset, selecting pure species individuals based on the admixture coefficient computed with *fastSTRUCTURE* (q ≥ 0.95). Using this assignment, nucleotide diversity π (Nei and Li 1979) was also computed

within each parental species (π) and between parental species (*dxy*) using piawka (Scott et

al. 2025).

Moran's I indices of spatial autocorrelation based on F_{ST} scores were computed using the R

package *moranfast* (https://github.com/mcooper/moranfast), using SNP position along both

scaffold and linkage group. To measure clustering of F_{ST} values along the genome, we

calculated Moran's I using SNP index positions, assigning each SNP a sequential number

(1, 2, 3, ...) based on its genomic order in our super-scaffold assembly. This approach treats

SNPs as equally spaced and captures how long similar values persist along scaffolds and,

more broadly, along linkage groups. It is intended to reflect the blockiness of the signal,

which may be related to haplotype structure. We used ordinal position of SNPs rather than

genomic positions because base-pair distances between scaffolds are unknown, due to the

highly fragmented reference genome. Additionally, estimation of Moran's I using genomic

position would be lower if a given set of SNPs were spread across long physical distances.

As it is expected that SNP density would be lower in regions with slow LD decay and longer

LD tracts, using genomic distances would erroneously bias the measurement downwards in

these regions. Ideally, this analysis would use recombination units (cM), but the available

linkage map lacks the resolution necessary to permit this.

Genome environment association analysis

We downloaded elevation data at 90-meter resolution from the NASA Shuttle Radar

Topography Mission (SRTM) (https://doi.org/10.5069/G9445JDF) and used it to compute

slope and aspect using the *terrain* function of the *raster* R package (Hijmans and Etten

2012). Additionally, we downloaded seven solar variables from the Global Solar Atlas

(https://globalsolaratlas.info): photovoltaic electricity output (PVOUT), global horizontal

irradiation (GHI), diffuse horizontal irradiation (DIF), direct normal irradiation (DNI), optimum

tilt-angle (OPTA), air temperature at 2 meters (TEMP) and global tilted irradiation (GTI).

After extracting measurements for this set of 11 variables for all our samples, we selected

environmental variables with Pearson's correlation coefficient < 0.7 in order to avoid

overfitting. The following five variables were retained: slope, aspect, DIF, PVOUT and TEMP.

To describe the selected solar variables, DIF measures the scattered sunlight that reaches a

horizontal surface, excluding direct solar beams. It serves as an indicator of indirect light

availability, which can influence plant adaptation in shaded or cloudy environments. In

contrast, PVOUT represents the potential electricity generated by solar panels and acts as a

proxy for total solar irradiance, integrating both direct and indirect light. As a result, PVOUT

provides a measure of the total environmental solar energy available at a given location.

Moreover, we generated high-resolution (30 meter) snow-cover data for the region covered

by the elevational dataset using the R package *SnowWarp* (Berman et al. 2018; Laurin et al.

2022), which fuses 30-meter Landsat optical imagery with 500-meter MODIS (Moderate

Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer) snow cover data using the Google Earth Engine

platform (Gorelick et al. 2017). Daily fractional snow-covered area (fSCA) was computed for

the time period spanning 2000 to 2021 and was used to derive the yearly date of snowmelt

for each 30-meter pixel, which was calculated as the first date in the second half of a snow

year where at least 15 of the previous 30 days were snow-free (Berman et al. 2019). Finally,

the mean snowmelt date was obtained by averaging snowmelt dates from 2000 to 2021 for

each of the 21 populations in the elevational dataset. For each population, before calculating

the yearly average snowmelt date, we applied a spatial smoothing step by averaging the

snowmelt dates of each pixel together with its neighbouring pixels, rather than using the

exact value of each population location pixel alone. This approach aimed to capture a more

representative local signal around each population. It was not feasible to generate this high-

resolution data for the vast area covered by the latitudinal dataset, therefore we only

included snowmelt day for the elevational dataset GEA, as we deemed it particularly well

suited to discriminate between north-facing slopes, south-facing slopes and valleys

(supplementary fig. S13, Supplementary Material online).

We then used redundancy analysis (RDA) (Capblancq and Forester 2021) in the R package

vegan to identify the linear combination of the retained environmental variables that explains

the greatest variation in the hybrid index. This was performed independently for the

elevational (six environmental variables including snow melt) and latitudinal dataset (five

environmental variables). Finally, we used R to perform the genotype environment

association analyses. These involved a likelihood-ratio test for each SNP, comparing a null

intercept-only model to a predictor model which used the first axis of variation (RDA1) from

RDA to predict SNP frequency. In a likelihood-ratio test, a low p-value is obtained when a

significantly better fit is obtained with the predictor model and it reflects the importance of the

predictor: the smaller the p-value, the more important the predictor.

Following the same approach used in the F_{ST} analysis, we computed Moran's I indexes of

spatial autocorrelation based on GEA p-values using the R package *moranfast*

(https://github.com/mcooper/moranfast). To provide a comparison, we repeated the log-

likelihood ratio test-based GEA analysis, this time incorporating structure correction using

the first principal component (PC1) from our PCA. In this analysis, we compared a null

model, where SNP frequency was predicted by PC1 alone, to a predictor model that

included both PC1 and the first axis of variation from RDA (RDA1 * PC1) to predict SNP

frequency.

Genomic clines analysis

We performed a genomic clines analysis to identify SNP loci exhibiting excess ancestry from

either parental species relative to the average genomic background, as well as to identify

SNP loci with unusual rates of allelic-frequency transitions along the hybrid index. For this

analysis we used a Bayesian genomic clines model implemented in *BGC* (Gompert and

Buerkle 2011, 2012) and a logistic regression-based model implemented in *introgress*

(Gompert and Buerkle 2010). In *introgress*, we used both permutation and parametric tests

to compute p-values. We restricted each analysis to SNP loci with a frequency differential

between pure *P. glauca* and pure *P. engelmannii* of at least 0.6. Parental pure species and

hybrid populations were defined for both datasets according to the admixture coefficient

computed with *fastSTRUCTURE* (Q ≥ 0.95). This resulted in the following setups: 82 pure *P.*

engelmannii, 163 pure *P. glauca*, and 330 admixed individuals in the latitudinal dataset, and

99 pure *P. engelmannii*, 40 pure *P. glauca*, and 192 admixed individuals in the elevational

dataset. Furthermore, we conducted two additional *BGC* analyses on the latitudinal dataset,

using the same SNPs but down sampling the data to obtain a similar number of individuals

and parental population composition as in the elevational dataset, to allow for better

comparison. Subset 1 included 70 pure *P. engelmannii*, 86 pure *P. glauca*, and 200 admixed

individuals, while Subset 2 included 70 pure *P. engelmannii*, 45 pure *P. glauca*, and 194

admixed individuals. In our subsampling strategy, we prioritized removing individuals from

the latitudinal dataset which were located near the area sampled in the elevational dataset,

as well as populations from western British Columbia (supplementary fig. S25,

Supplementary Material online), to avoid possible signals of admixture with Sitka spruce

(Hamilton et al. 2012). In *BGC* we used 100,000 MCMC generations with 50,000 burn-in and

retained every 24th iteration. After assessing convergence, SNPs showing excess ancestry

or unusual rates of allelic-frequency transitions along the hybrid population were identified as

those for which the 99% equal-tail probability interval (ETPI) for the posterior probability

distribution of the clines parameters α or β did not overlap zero. In *introgress*, we used

default settings and ran both the parametric and permutation tests (Gompert and Buerkle

2010). Both tools were run within the *ClineHelpR* R package (Martin et al. 2021).

Identification of candidate genes and overlap with other studies

We used bedtools (Quinlan and Hall 2010) to identify the genes intersected by our top

candidate SNPs from the GEA and genomic clines analyses. We expanded the gene

sequences by adding 5000 bp flanks on either side, to include possible regulatory elements.

We used the gene models provided for the WS77111v2 *P. glauca* reference genome

(https://www.bcgsc.ca/downloads/btl/Spruce/). We used *Orthofinder2* (Emms and Kelly

2019) on each species proteome to identify orthology between white and red spruce, and

between white spruce and lodgepole pine. For white spruce (*P. glauca*), we used the

proteome for WS77111v2, available at

https://www.bcgsc.ca/downloads/btl/Spruce/Pglauca_WS77111/genome_annotation/WS7711

1v2/. As red spruce was mapped to Norway spruce (*P. abies*) in the study by Capblancq et

al. (2022), we used the proteome available for *P. abies* version 1 reference genome

(https://treegenesdb.org/org/Picea-abies). For lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta*), we first

retrieved the entire transcriptome analysed in Yeaman et al. (2016) and used TransDecoder

(https://github.com/TransDecoder/TransDecoder) to identify the longest open reading frames

for each transcript and then translate them into protein sequences. Finally, for each species

proteome we selected a single primary transcript per gene according to the longest isoform,

and then *Orthofinder2* was run using default settings and independently for white spruce

versus Norway spruce and white spruce versus lodgepole pine.

Data Availability

Sequence data are deposited in the Short Read Archive under BioProject IDs PRJNA251573

(latitudinal dataset) and PRJNA1294281 (elevational dataset). Additional resources are

available on Zenodo (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17474986), including the order of

anchored scaffolds in the linkage map used to arrange the VCFs according to our super-

scaffold assembly, VCF files for the elevational and latitudinal datasets, and metadata with

individual coordinates and populations information.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Sally Aitken for many conversations that helped inspire this work, Ian

MacLachlan, Jason Mogilefsky, Vashti Dunham, and Tim McCready for help obtaining

funding and overall planning of the project, and staff at the Canadian and Alberta parks

systems for help with securing permits. Thanks also to Michael Williamson for help

brainstorming the methods, to members of the Yeaman lab for feedback, and to Janek's

brother, Grant Scully, and Sai Yeaman for help with field work. This project was funded by an

NSERC Alliance and FRIAA funds contributed by Weyerhauser and West Fraser Timber,

with computational support from the Digital Research Alliance of Canada.

Competing Interests

857 The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1. Aguillon SM, Dodge TO, Preising GA, Schumer M. 2022. Introgression. *Current Biology* 32.
2. Anderson E. 1953. Introgressive hybridization. *Biological Reviews* 28:280–307.
3. Austerlitz F, Dick CW, Dutech C, Klein EK, Oddou-Muratorio S, Smouse PE, Sork VL. 2004. Using genetic markers to estimate the pollen dispersal curve. *Molecular Ecology* 13:937–954.
4. Baack EJ, Rieseberg LH. 2007. A genomic view of introgression and hybrid speciation. *Current Opinion in Genetics & Development* 17:513–518.
5. Barton NH, Hewitt GM. 1985. Analysis of hybrid zones. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 16:113–148.
6. Barton NH. 1983. Multilocus Clines. *Evolution* 37:454.
7. Becker M, Gruenheit N, Steel M, Voelckel C, Deusch O, Heenan PB, McLenachan PA, Kardailsky O, Leigh JW, Lockhart PJ. 2013. Hybridization may facilitate in situ survival of endemic species through periods of climate change. *Nature Climate Change* 3:1039–1043.
8. Berman EE, Bolton DK, Coops NC, Mityok ZK, Stenhouse GB, Moore RD (Dan). 2018. Daily estimates of landsat fractional snow cover driven by Modis and dynamic time-warping. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 216:635–646.
9. Berman EE, Coops NC, Kearney SP, Stenhouse GB. 2019. Grizzly Bear Response to fine spatial and temporal scale spring snow cover in western Alberta. *Plos One* 14.
10. Bierne N, Gagnaire P-A, David P. 2013. The geography of introgression in a patchy environment and the Thorn in the side of ecological speciation. *Current Zoology* 59:72–86.

883   11. Booker T, Yeaman S, Whitlock M. 2023. The WZA: A window-based method for
884   characterizing genotype-environment association. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 24(2):e13768.
885   12. Brennan A, Woodward G, Seehausen OL, Muñoz-Fuentes V, Moritz C, Guelmami A,
886   Abbott R, Edelaar P. 2014. Hybridization due to changing species distributions:
887   Adding problems or solutions to conservation of biodiversity during global change?
888   *Evolutionary ecology research* 16:475-491.
889   13. Buerkle CA, Lexer C. 2008. Admixture as the basis for genetic mapping. *Trends in
890   Ecology & Evolution* 23:686–694.
891   14. Capblancq T, Forester BR. 2021. Redundancy analysis: A Swiss Army Knife for
892   Landscape Genomics. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 12:2298–2309.
893   15. Capblancq T, Lachmuth S, Fitzpatrick MC, Keller SR. 2022. From common gardens
894   to candidate genes: Exploring local adaptation to climate in Red Spruce. *New
895   Phytologist* 237:1590–1605.
896   16. Chang CC, Chow CC, Tellier LC, Vattikuti S, Purcell SM, Lee JJ. 2015. Second-
897   generation PLINK: Rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. *Gigascience*
898   4.
899   17. Chaturvedi S, Lucas LK, Buerkle CA, Fordyce JA, Forister ML, Nice CC, Gompert Z.
900   2020. Recent hybrids recapitulate ancient hybrid outcomes. *Nature Communications*
901   11.
902   18. Chen S, Zhou Y, Chen Y, Gu J. 2018. Fastp: An ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ
903   preprocessor. *Bioinformatics* 34:884–890.
904   19. Cruickshank TE, Hahn MW. 2014. Reanalysis suggests that genomic islands of
905   speciation are due to reduced diversity, not reduced gene flow. *Molecular Ecology*
906   23:3133–3157.
907   20. Danecek P, Auton A, Abecasis G, Albers CA, Banks E, DePristo MA, Handsaker RE,
908   Lunter G, Marth GT, Sherry ST, et al. 2011. The variant call format and VCFtools.
909   *Bioinformatics* 27:2156–2158.
910   21. Danecek P, Bonfield JK, Liddle J, Marshall J, Ohan V, Pollard MO, Whitwham A,
911   Keane T, McCarthy SA, Davies RM, et al. 2021. Twelve years of SAMtools and
912   BCFtools. *GigaScience* 10.
913   22. De La Torre AR, Roberts DR, Aitken SN. 2014. Genome-wide admixture and
914   ecological niche modelling reveal the maintenance of species boundaries despite
915   long history of interspecific gene flow. *Molecular Ecology* 23:2046–2059.
916   23. De La Torre AR, Wang T, Jaquish B, Aitken SN. 2013. Adaptation and exogenous
917   selection in apicea glauca×picea engelmanniihybrid zone: Implications for forest
918   management under climate change. *New Phytologist* 201:687–699.
919   24. Del-Rio G, Rego MA, Whitney BM, Schunck F, Silveira LF, Faircloth BC, Brumfield
920   RT. 2021. Displaced clines in an avian hybrid zone (thamnophilidae:rhegmatorhina)
921   within an Amazonian Interfluve. *Evolution* 76:455–475.
922   25. DePristo MA, Banks E, Poplin R, Garimella KV, Maguire JR, Hartl C, Philippakis AA,
923   del Angel G, Rivas MA, Hanna M, et al. 2011. A framework for variation discovery
924   and genotyping using next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Nature Genetics*
925   43:491–498.
926   26. Emms DM, Kelly S. 2019. Orthofinder: Phylogenetic orthology inference for
927   comparative genomics. *Genome Biology* 20.
928   27. Forester BR, Lasky JR, Wagner HH, Urban DL. 2018. Comparing methods for
929   detecting multilocus adaptation with multivariate genotype–environment associations.
930   *Molecular Ecology* 27:2215–2233.
931   28. Gagalova KK, Warren RL, Coombe L, Wong J, Nip KM, Yuen MM, Whitehill JG,
932   Celedon JM, Ritland C, Taylor GA, et al. 2022. Spruce giga-genomes: Structurally

similar yet distinctive with differentially expanding gene families and rapidly evolving genes. *The Plant Journal* 111:1469–1485.

29. Gompert Z, Buerkle CA. 2009. A powerful regression-based method for admixture mapping of isolation across the genome of hybrids. *Molecular Ecology* 18:1207–1224.

30. Gompert Z, Buerkle CA. 2010. introgress: A software package for mapping components of isolation in hybrids. *Mol Ecol Resour*. 10:378–384.

31. Gompert Z, Buerkle CA. 2011. Bayesian estimation of Genomic Clines. *Molecular Ecology* 20:2111–2127.

32. Gompert Z, Buerkle CA. 2012. BGC: Software for bayesian estimation of Genomic Clines. *Mol Ecol Resour*. 12:1168–1176.

33. Gorelick N, Hancher M, Dixon M, Ilyushchenko S, Thau D, Moore R. 2017. Google Earth Engine: Planetary-scale geospatial analysis for everyone. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 202:18–27.

34. Hamilton JA, Lexer C, Aitken SN. 2012. Differential introgression reveals candidate genes for selection across a spruce (*Picea sitchensis x P. glauca*) hybrid zone. *New Phytologist* 197:927–938.

35. Hamilton JA, Miller JM. 2015. Adaptive introgression as a resource for management and genetic conservation in a changing climate. *Conservation Biology* 30:33–41.

36. Harrison RG, Larson EL. 2014. Hybridization, introgression, and the nature of Species Boundaries. *Journal of Heredity* 105:795-809.

37. Haselhorst MS, Buerkle CA. 2013. Population genetic structure of Picea engelmannii, P. glauca and their previously unrecognized hybrids in the central Rocky Mountains. *Tree Genetics & Genomes* 9:669–681.

38. Hedrick PW. 2013. Adaptive introgression in animals: Examples and comparison to new mutation and standing variation as sources of adaptive variation. *Molecular Ecology* 22:4606–4618.

39. Hijmans RJ. 2010. Raster: Geographic Data Analysis and modeling. CRAN: Contributed Packages.

40. Hornoy B, Pavy N, Gérardi S, Beaulieu J, Bousquet J. 2015. Genetic adaptation to climate in white spruce involves small to moderate allele frequency shifts in functionally diverse genes. *Genome Biology and Evolution* 7:3269–3285.

41. Horton, KW 1959. Characteristics of subalpine spruce in Alberta. Canada Department of Northern Affairs, Natural Resources, Forest Research Division, *Technical Note* 76.

42. Howe GT, Aitken SN, Neale DB, Jermstad KD, Wheeler NC, Chen TH. 2003. From genotype to phenotype: Unraveling the complexities of cold adaptation in Forest Trees. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 81:1247–1266.

43. Jaramillo-Correa JP, Beaulieu J, Bousquet J. 2001. Contrasting evolutionary forces driving population structure at expressed sequence tag polymorphisms, allozymes and quantitative traits in white spruce. *Molecular Ecology* 10:2729–2740.

44. Knief U, Bossu CM, Saino N, Hansson B, Poelstra J, Vijay N, Weissensteiner M, Wolf JB. 2019. Epistatic mutations under divergent selection govern phenotypic variation in the Crow Hybrid Zone. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* 3:570–576.

45. Langdon QK, Powell DL, Kim B, Banerjee SM, Payne C, Dodge TO, Moran B, Fascinetto-Zago P, Schumer M. 2022. Predictability and parallelism in the contemporary evolution of hybrid genomes. *PLOS Genetics* 18: e1009914.

46. Laurin GV, Francini S, Penna D, Zuecco G, Chirici G, Berman E, Coops NC, Castelli G, Bresci E, Preti F, et al. 2022. SnowWarp: An open science and open data tool for daily monitoring of Snow Dynamics. *Environmental Modelling & Software* 156:105477.

47. Ledig FT, Hodgskiss PD, Johnson DR. 2006. The structure of genetic diversity in
engelmann spruce and a comparison with blue spruce. *Canadian Journal of Botany*
84:1806–1828.
48. Leigh D, Lischer H, Guillaume F, Grossen C, Günther T. 2021. Disentangling
adaptation from drift in bottlenecked and reintroduced populations of Alpine ibex. *Mol.*
Ecol. Resour. 21(7):2350–2363.
49. Levin DA, Francisco-Ortega J, Jansen RK. 1996. Hybridization and the extinction of
rare plant species. *Conservation Biology* 10:10–16.
50. Li H, Durbin R. 2009. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler
transform. *Bioinformatics* 25:1754–1760.
51. Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G,
Durbin R. 2009. The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*
25:2078–2079.
52. Liepe KJ, Hamann A, Smets P, Fitzpatrick CR, Aitken SN. 2016. Adaptation of
lodgepole pine and interior spruce to climate: Implications for reforestation in a
warming world. *Evolutionary Applications* 9:409–419.
53. Lockwood JD, Aleksić JM, Zou J, Wang J, Liu J, Renner SS. 2013. A new phylogeny
for the genus picea from plastid, mitochondrial, and nuclear sequences. *Molecular*
Phylogenetics and Evolution 69:717–727.
54. Lotterhos KE, Whitlock MC. 2014. Evaluation of demographic history and neutral
parameterization on the performance of fst outlier tests. *Molecular Ecology* 23:2178–
2192.
55. Lotterhos KE, Whitlock MC. 2015. The relative power of genome scans to detect
local adaptation depends on sampling design and statistical method. *Molecular*
Ecology 24:1031–1046.
56. Lotterhos KE. 2019. The effect of neutral recombination variation on genome scans
for selection. G3 Genes|Genomes|Genetics 9:1851–1867.
57. Mallet J. 2005. Hybridization as an invasion of the genome. *Trends in Ecology &*
Evolution 20:229–237.
58. Martin BT, Chafin TK, Douglas MR, Douglas ME. 2021. ClineHelpR: An R package
for genomic cline outlier detection and visualization. *BMC Bioinformatics* 22.
59. McFarlane SE, Jahner JP, Lindtke D, Buerkle CA, Mandeville EG. 2024. Selection
leads to remarkable variability in the outcomes of hybridisation across replicate
hybrid zones. *Molecular Ecology* 33(11): e17359.
60. Nei M, Li WH. 1979. Mathematical model for studying genetic variation in terms of
restriction endonucleases. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*
76:5269–5273.
61. O'Connell LM, Mosseler A, Rajora OP. 2007. Extensive long-distance pollen
dispersal in a fragmented landscape maintains genetic diversity in white spruce.
Journal of Heredity 98:640–645.
62. Pavy N, Lamothe M, Pelgas B, Gagnon F, Birol I, Bohlmann J, Mackay J, Isabel N,
Bousquet J. 2017. A high-resolution reference genetic map positioning 8.8 K genes
for the conifer white spruce: Structural genomics implications and correspondence
with physical distance. *The Plant Journal* 90:189–203.
63. Quinlan AR, Hall IM. 2010. BEDTools: A flexible suite of utilities for comparing
genomic features. *Bioinformatics* 26:841–842.
64. Raj A, Stephens M, Pritchard JK. 2014. FastSTRUCTURE: Variational inference of
population structure in large SNP data sets. *Genetics* 197:573–589.
65. Rajora OP, Dancik BP. 2000. Population genetic variation, structure, and evolution in
Engelmann Spruce, white spruce, and their natural hybrid complex in Alberta.
Canadian Journal of Botany 78:768–780.

66. Rieseberg LH, Raymond O, Rosenthal DM, Lai Z, Livingstone K, Nakazato T, Durphy JL, Schwarzbach AE, Donovan LA, Lexer C. 2003. Major ecological transitions in wild sunflowers facilitated by hybridization. *Science* 301:1211–1216.

67. Rieseberg LH, Whitton J, Gardner K. 1999. Hybrid zones and the genetic architecture of a barrier to gene flow between two sunflower species. *Genetics* 152:713–727.

68. Rigault P, Boyle B, Lepage P, Cooke JEK, Bousquet J, MacKay JJ. 2011. A white spruce gene catalog for Conifer Genome Analyses. *Plant Physiology* 157:14–28.

69. Scott AD, Kolesnikova UK, Glushkevich A, Steinmann L, Tikhomirov NP, Pfordt U, Bohutínská M, Burns R, Seregin AP, Kolar F, et al. 2025. Multiple autopolyploid arabidopsis lyrata populations stabilized by long-range adaptive introgression across Eurasia. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 42.

70. Sedghifar A, Saelao P, Begun DJ. 2016. Genomic patterns of geographic differentiation in drosophila simulans. *Genetics* 202:1229–1240.

71. Semenov G, Kenyon H, Funk E, Anderson W, McQuillan M, Spinelli J, Russell A, Martinez N, Van Huynh A, Alexander A, et al. 2025. Replicate geographic transects across a hybrid zone reveal parallelism and differences in the genetic architecture of reproductive isolation. *Evolution Letters*: qraf009.

72. Shchur V, Svedberg J, Medina P, Corbett-Detig R, Nielsen R. 2020. On the distribution of tract lengths during Adaptive Introgression. *G3 Genes|Genomes|Genetics* 10:3663–3673.

73. Simon A, Fraïsse C, El Ayari T, Liautard-Haag C, Strelkov P, Welch JJ, Bierne N. 2020. How do species barriers decay? concordance and local introgression in mosaic hybrid zones of Mussels. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 34:208–223.

74. Suren H, Hodgins KA, Yeaman S, Nurkowski KA, Smets P, Rieseberg LH, Aitken SN, Holliday JA. 2016. Exome capture from the spruce and pine giga-genomes. *Mol Ecol Resour*. 16:1136–1146.

75. Taylor, TMC. 1959. The taxonomic relationship between Picea glauca (Moench) Voss and Picea engelmannii Parry. *Madrono* 15: 111–115.

76. VanRaden PM. 2008. Efficient methods to compute genomic predictions. *Journal of Dairy Science* 91:4414–4423.

77. Walsh J, Billerman SM, Butcher BG, Rohwer VG, Toews DP, Vila-Coury V, Lovette IJ. 2023. A complex genomic architecture underlies reproductive isolation in a North American oriole hybrid zone. *Communications Biology* 6.

78. Warren RL, Keeling CI, Yuen MM, Raymond A, Taylor GA, Vandervalk BP, Mohamadi H, Paulino D, Chiu R, Jackman SD, et al. 2015. Improved white spruce (picea glauca) genome assemblies and annotation of large gene families of conifer terpenoid and phenolic defense metabolism. *The Plant Journal* 83:189–212.

79. Weir BS, Cockerham CC. 1984. Estimating F-statistics for the analysis of Population Structure. *Evolution* 38:1358.

80. Westram AM, Faria R, Johannesson K, Butlin R. 2021. Using replicate hybrid zones to understand the genomic basis of adaptive divergence. *Molecular Ecology* 30:3797–3814.

81. Whiting JR, Booker TR, Rougeux C, Lind BM, Singh P, Lu M, Huang K, Whitlock MC, Aitken SN, Andrew RL, et al. 2024. The genetic architecture of repeated local adaptation to climate in distantly related plants. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* 8:1933–1947.

82. Wimmer V, Albrecht T, Auinger H-J, Schön C-C. 2012. Synbreed: A framework for the analysis of genomic prediction data using R. *Bioinformatics* 28:2086–2087.

83. Wu TD, Reeder J, Lawrence M, Becker G, Brauer MJ. 2016. GMAP and GSNAP for genomic sequence alignment: Enhancements to speed, accuracy, and functionality. *Methods in Molecular Biology* 1418:283–334.

84. Yeaman S, Hodgins KA, Lotterhos KE, Suren H, Nadeau S, Degner JC, Nurkowski KA, Smets P, Wang T, Gray LK, et al. 2016. Convergent local adaptation to climate in distantly related conifers. *Science* 353:1431–1433.

Figures

Fig. 1. Geographic locations of populations from the (a) latitudinal and (c) elevational datasets in Canada. Pie size reflects the number of individuals per population. Grey indicates *P. glauca* ancestry; green indicates *P. engelmannii* ancestry. The small overview map in (a) shows the location of the latitudinal transect samples in Canada. The red square in (a) marks the location of the elevational transect samples within the broader latitudinal hybrid zone. Panels (b) and (d) show ancestry bar plots based on fastSTRUCTURE results for the latitudinal and elevational datasets, respectively. Individual bars in (b) and (d) are ordered by population longitude.

Fig. 2. Distribution of individual's ancestry category across locations and environments in the elevational datasets (N: north-facing slopes, S: south-facing slopes, V: valleys). Individuals were classified by ancestry according to the admixture coefficient computed with *fastSTRUCTURE*, using the following criteria: *P. glauca* ($q \geq 0.95$), *P. glauca* dominant hybrid ($0.60 \leq q < 0.95$), balanced hybrid ($0.40 < q < 0.60$), *P. engelmannii* dominant hybrid ($0.05 \leq q \leq 0.40$), *P. engelmannii* ($q < 0.05$).

Fig. 3. Genomic patterns of among population divergence (F_{ST}). Manhattan plots of F_{ST} values along LG01 in the (a) elevational and (c) latitudinal dataset. (b) Genome-wide correlation in F_{ST} between the shared SNPs of the elevational and latitudinal datasets. (d) Correlation in F_{ST} by linkage group (LG). Note that the significance of the p-value in (b) is overestimated as an assumption of the test is violated due to non-independence among linked SNPs.

Fig. 4. Genomic patterns of Genotype-Environment Association (GEA) and genomic clines. Manhattan plots of GEA p-values along LG08 in the (a) elevational and (c) latitudinal dataset. SNPs showing ancestry excess in the genomic clines (*BGC*) analyses are represented by triangles, coloured as follows: dark green indicates *P. engelmannii* ancestry excess, and grey indicates *P. glauca* ancestry excess. Yellow circles represent SNPs tested in the *BGC* analysis (parental frequency differential > 0.6) but not showing ancestry excess. Small pale-azure circles denote SNPs included only in the GEA analysis but not in the *BGC* analysis. (b) Genome-wide correlation of GEA p-values between the shared SNPs of the elevational and latitudinal datasets. (d) Genome-wide correlation of *BGC* α values between the shared SNPs of the elevational and latitudinal datasets. Note that the significance of p-values in panels (b) and (d) is overestimated as an assumption of the test is violated due to non-independence among linked SNPs.

(a)

Elevation (m)
3000
2000
1000
0

300 km

(b)

Proportion
1.00
0.50
0.00

(c)

1.S 2.S 2.V
Lake Louise
1.V 1.N 2.N
3.S
3.V
3.N
5.N
4.S
4.N 5.S
4.V 5.V
Banff
Canmore
6.S
6.V 7.S
6.N 7.V
7.N
Kananaskis Village

50 km

(d)

Proportion
1.00
0.50
0.00

1.N 1.S 1.V 2.N 2.S 2.V 3.N 3.S 3.V 4.N 4.S 4.V 5.N 5.S 5.V 6.N 6.S 6.V 7.N 7.S 7.V

Species by population (Q > 0.95)

classification

1. P. glauca

2. *P. glauca* dominant hybrid

3. Balanced hybrid

4. *P. engelmannii* dominant hybrid

5. P. engelmannii

(a) **Elevational dataset LG01**

(b) **Fst correlation**

Pearson's correlation = 0.85, p < 2.2e-16; Kendall's tau = 0.48, p < 2.2e-16

(c) **Latitudinal dataset LG01**

(d) **Fst correlation by LG**

(a) Elevational dataset LG08

LG08

(b) GEA p-values correlation

Pearson's correlation: 0.84, p < 2.2e-16
Kendall''s tau: 0.58, p < 2.2e-16

(c) Latitudinal dataset LG08

LG08

(d) BGC alpha correlation

Pearson's correlation: 0.32, p-value < 2.2e-16
Kendall's tau: 0.21, p-value < 2.2e-16