**A switch in kappa opioid receptor signaling from inhibitory to excitatory induced by stress in a subset of cortically-projecting dopamine neurons**

Elyssa B. Margolis[1*]

[1]UCSF Weill Institute for Neurosciences, Neuroscience Graduate Program, Department of Neurology, University of California, San Francisco, CA, United States

*Corresponding Author

Email: Elyssa.Margolis@ucsf.edu

Running title: Stress induced switch in KOR signaling

Keywords: kappa opioid, dopamine, stress, CRF, mPFC, HCN channel

**Abstract**

Background

The kappa opioid receptor (KOR) has shown potential as a therapeutic target for several
neuropsychiatric disorders including major depressive disorder, chronic pain, and substance
use disorder. Signaling of G protein coupled receptors (GPCRs) like the KOR is generally
thought to change in magnitude, not valence, with behavior states. Here we investigated KOR
modulation of ventral tegmental area (VTA) neurons following an acute, behaviorally aversive
manipulation.

Methods

KOR agonist responses were measured with whole cell recordings in acute brain slices
containing the VTA from male and female rats. Slices were made <1 hr, 3 days or 5 days after a
foot shock or sham session. Slices from untreated rats were used to determine the mechanism
of action. Recordings were also made in neurons labeled by the retrograde tracer DiI to
evaluate circuit specificity. Place conditioning to intra-VTA KOR agonist injections was
performed in sham vs shock rats.

Results

After acute stress KOR activation excited a subset of VTA dopamine neurons. In slices from
untreated animals, brief corticotrophin releasing factor (CRF) exposure *ex vivo* rapidly induced a
similar switch in KOR signaling. This effect was observed specifically in dorsal medial prefrontal
cortex (dmPFC) projecting VTA neurons, but not in other projections. Behaviorally, foot shock
stress produced a loss of conditioned place aversion to intra-VTA KOR activation.

Conclusions

After acute aversive stress, KOR activation in the VTA excites, rather than inhibits, dmPFC-
projecting VTA dopamine neurons. This change can be generated by activating the CRF system
and interferes with the aversiveness of VTA KOR activation.

**Introduction**

Activation of the KOR system mediates immediate processing of and long-lasting behavioral
changes in response to aversive stimuli. Pharmacological blockade or genetic inactivation of
KORs can decrease the acute aversiveness of stressors, including pain, and promote resilience
to the development of depressive-like behaviors including decreased food reward seeking,
decreased social interactions, and increased immobility in forced swim and social defeat
paradigms (1–4). Therefore, KOR antagonism has been proposed as a therapeutic approach to
neuropsychiatric disorders with symptom profiles that include aversive emotional and anhedonic
states, such as anxiety and depression, and to mitigate stress induced relapse to drug use (5).

The opioid receptor family of GPCRs commonly signals via inhibitory $G\alpha i/o$ activation (6).
Agonists at somatodendritic KORs hyperpolarize neurons in a variety of brain regions including
the dorsal raphe, nucleus raphe magnus, and ventral pallidum (7–9). In the VTA, KOR activation
inhibits a subset of dopamine neurons through G protein-gated inwardly rectifying $K^+$ channel
(GIRK) activation while non-dopamine neurons show no response(10). Behaviorally, KOR
activation in the VTA is aversive (11), and intra-VTA blockade of KORs prevents the
aversiveness of systemic KOR agonist administration (12). Intra-VTA KOR blockade also

prevents stress induced reinstatement of cocaine seeking (13). Paradoxically, aversive stress
blunts some physiological KOR responses, such as the KOR coupled GIRK conductance in
serotonergic dorsal raphe neurons (8). Understanding KOR function in relevant behavior states
will improve our ability to treat the target neuropsychiatric disorders.

Here we investigated postsynaptic KOR agonist responses in VTA neurons after an acute
aversive stressor in order to improve our understanding of what functionality KOR antagonism
might have in a therapeutically relevant state. Rather than a change in response magnitude,
acute aversive stress caused a change in the sign of the physiological response to KOR
activation in a subset of VTA neurons. Importantly, this change was specific to VTA dopamine
neurons projecting to the dmPFC, a site where dopamine function contributes to executive
function and reward processing (14–17).

**Methods**

**Animals.**
All experiments were performed in accordance with the guidelines of the National Institutes of
Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and the Institutional Animal Care and
Use Committees (IACUC) at the University of California San Francisco. Male and female
Sprague Dawley rats (p35 – p120 for electrophysiology; > 250g for behavior) were obtained
from Inotiv or Charles River Laboratories. Rats were allowed access to food and water ad
libitum and maintained on a reverse 12 h:12 h light/dark cycle. Rats were group housed except
those with cannula implants.
62
**Foot shock stress.**
Operant chambers equipped with a foot shock grid were used for administering aversive
stressors (physical boxes manufactured by Med. Associates with custom updated electronics
controlled by microcontroller (Arduino)). Foot shock or sham sessions were 10 min, delivering
10, 0.8 mA intensity, 0.5 s duration shocks. Intervals between shocks were randomized (10 –
110 sec) in order to avoid predictability. Foot shock stress moderately elevated blood CORT,
samples taken directly after foot shock session (Supplementary Fig. 1).
70
**Electrophysiology.**
Rats were deeply anesthetized with isoflurane, decapitated, and brains were quickly removed
and cooled with ice-cold artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF) consisting of (in mM): 119 NaCl, 2.5
KCl, 1.0 $NaH_2PO_4$, 26.2 $NaHCO_3$, 11 glucose, 1.3 $MgSO_4$, 2.5 $CaCl_2$, saturated with 95% $O_2$-5%
$CO_2$, with a measured osmolarity 310–320 mOsm/L. Horizontal sections (150 μm) containing
the VTA were cut with a Leica VT 1000 S or a Campden 7000smz-2 vibratome. Tissue
containing the DiI injection sites was drop fixed in 4% formaldehyde to confirm targeting, with
recordings in DiI labeled neurons made blind to injection target. Slices were incubated in
oxygenated aCSF at 33 °C and allowed to recover for at least one hour. A single slice was
placed in the recording chamber and continuously superfused with oxygenated aCSF (2
mL/min). Neurons were visualized with an upright microscope (Zeiss AxioExaminer.D1)
equipped with infrared-differential interference contrast, Dodt optics, and fluorescent
illumination. Whole-cell recordings were made at 34 °C using borosilicate
glass microelectrodes (3–5 MΩ) filled with K-gluconate internal solution containing (in mM): 123
K-gluconate, 10 HEPES, 8 NaCl, 0.2 EGTA, 2 MgATP, 0.3 $Na_3GTP$, and 0.1% biocytin (pH 7.2
adjusted with KOH; 275 mOsm/L). Liquid junction potentials were not corrected. Input
resistance was monitored throughout voltage clamp experiments with a −4 mV step
every 30 s.
Signals were recorded using an Axopatch 1D (Molecular Devices, San Jose, CA) or IPA (Sutter
Instruments, Novato, CA). Signals were filtered at 5 kHz and collected at 20 kHz using IGOR

Pro (Wavemetrics) and custom data acquisition routines or collected at 10 kHz using
SutterPatch software (Sutter Instruments).
Baseline hyperpolarization-activated cation currents ($I_h$) were recorded in each neuron by
voltage clamping cells at -60 and stepping to -40, -50, -70, -80, -90, -100, -110, and -120 mV.
Recordings were then made in current clamp mode (I = 0 pA). Changes in $I_h$ properties were
measured in voltage clamp, alternating between two step series (Supplementary Fig. 2) with
one series commencing each 2.17 min.
After recordings, slices were drop fixed in 4% PFA for at least 2 h at 4 °C and processed
for tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) immunocytochemistry and biocytin labeling. Recording were made
from throughout the VTA.
101
**Immunocytochemistry.**
Slices were preblocked for 2 h at room temperature in PBS with 0.3% Tween20 and 5% normal
goat serum, then incubated at 4°C with a rabbit anti-TH polyclonal antibody (1:400; EMD
Millipore, RRID: AB_390204). Slices were then washed thoroughly in PBS with 0.3% Tween20
before being agitated overnight at 4°C with Cy5 anti-rabbit secondary antibody (1:1000; Jackson
ImmunoResearch, RRID: AB_2534032) and FITC streptavidin (1:200; Jackson
ImmunoResearch, AB_2337236). Tween20 was excluded in slices with DiI. Sections were
rinsed and mounted on slides using VECTASHIELD® Antifade Mounting Media (Vector
Laboratories) and visualized with an Axioskop FS2 Plus (Zeiss) with an Axiocam MRm (Zeiss)
running Neurolucida (MBF Biosciences).
112
**CORT ELISA.**
Tail vein blood samples were collected immediately after foot shock or sham sessions from
behavior animals. Trunk blood was collected at time of slice preparation for electrophysiology
animals. Samples were centrifuged, then serum was frozen and stored at -80°C. ELISA kits
were used as directed (DetectX Corticosterone Enzyme Immunoassay Kit (product # K014-H1);
Arbor Assays).
119
120    **Stereotaxic surgeries.**
121    *Tracer injections.* Rats weighing 275–300 g were anesthetized with 3–5% isoflurane (Henry
122    Schein) via inhalation and secured in a stereotaxic frame. Bilateral craniotomies were created
123    with a dental drill above the injection site.
124
*Tracer injections*. Bilateral injections of DiI (7% in ethanol; Biotium, Hayward, CA) were made in
the dmPFC (2.0 mm AP, $\pm$ 0.6 mm ML, -2.8 mm V), vmPFC (2.0 mm AP, $\pm$ 0.6 mm ML, -4.8
mm V), NAc (1.2 mm AP, $\pm$ 0.8 mm ML, -7.4 mm V), or basolateral amygdala (-3.3 mm AP, $\pm$
5.0 mm ML, -8.4 mm V) using a Nanoject II (Drummond Scientific, Broomall, PA). 50 – 300 nL
was injected in each hemisphere over ~5 min. The injector tip was left in place for at least 2
minutes after injection to decrease backflow and spread to tissue dorsal to the injection site.
Recordings were made 7-8 days later.
132
133    *Cannulation.* For microinjections into the VTA, bilateral guide cannulae were implanted 1 mm
134    above the VTA (−5.8 mm AP, ±0.5 mm ML,−7.2 mm DV). A dummy stylet was inserted to
135    maintain patency of the cannulae. Cannulae were anchored with flat point screws and dental
136    cement.
137
138    *Analgesia and recovery*. Rats were treated with subcutaneous Carprofen (5 mg/kg, Zoetis) and
139    topical 2% lidocaine (Phoenix Pharmaceutical, Inc.) during the surgery for pain control. After
140    surgery, animals had access to liquid Tylenol (~1:40) in their drinking water for 3–5 days or

141 were administered Meloxicam (s.c. 2 mg/kg, Pivetal) once per day for two days. All DiI and
142 cannulae placements were histologically verified postmortem.
143
**Conditioned place preference.**
Rats were allowed to recover for 1–2 weeks prior to behavioral testing. First, all rats were tested
for bias in the conditioning boxes. The conditioning boxes (physical boxes purchased from Med.
Associates, Georgia, VT, USA with custom updated electronics (Arduino; IR beam breaks
(Adafruit)) and software have three divisions: two conditioning chambers (25 cm × 21 cm × 21
cm) with distinct visual (horizontal vs. vertical stripes) and textural (thick vs. thin mesh flooring)
cues, separated by a smaller gray neutral chamber (12 cm × 21 cm × 21 cm). Animals were
allowed up to three opportunities to show neutrality across the chambers during 20-min baseline
sessions. Rats that displayed a consistent baseline preference (>65% of time spent in one
chamber) were excluded from the study. Rats were pseudorandomly assigned to receive
U69,593 in one of the conditioning chambers, and assignments were counter-balanced for each
cohort.
The paradigm commenced with a foot shock or sham treatment as described above, completed
in a different room from the conditioning chambers. Starting the next day, conditioning pairings
(20 min) occurred once daily for 4 consecutive days alternating U69,593 (0.2 mM) and vehicle
(0.5 mL/side). This 5 day series (including foot shock/sham) was repeated a second time for a
total of 4 pairings for U69,593 and vehicle, each. Finally, on test day, rats were drug free and
had access to all three chambers for 20 min. Difference score was defined as (Time
spent in U69,593 paired chamber)−(Time spent in vehicle paired chamber).
163
164 *Microinjections*. Rats were lightly restrained in a cloth wrap for intracranial microinjections. A
165 bilateral 33 G microinjector (PlasticsOne) that extended 1 mm ventrally beyond the guide
166 cannula was inserted to deliver drug. Hamilton syringes were driven by a dual syringe pump to
167 deliver the injection volume over 2 min. Injectors were left in place for 1 min after injection.
168
*Brain removal and histochemistry*. To check cannulae placements, rats were deeply
anesthetized with an intraperitoneal injection of Euthasol (0.1 mg/kg, Virbac Animal Health) after
0.3 µL of Chicago Sky Blue (in 2% PBS) was injected through the cannulae to mark injection
locations. After becoming unresponsive to noxious stimuli, the rats were transcardially perfused
with 400 mL of saline, followed by 400 mL of 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in 0.1 M phosphate
buffer. The brains were extracted and immersion-fixed in PFA for 2 h at room temperature (RT),
washed two times with PBS to remove excess PFA, and stored in 1X PBS at 4°C.
Perfused brains and drop fixed DiI containing tissue were sectioned (50 µm) using a vibratome
(Leica VT 1000S). Alternating slices were Nissl stained. Sections were mounted on slides using
VECTASHIELD® mounting medium (Vector Laboratories). Microinjection locations for rats
included in the behavior study are summarized in Supplementary Fig. 3.
180
**Data analysis.**
The magnitude of U69,593 induced changes in $V_m$ were quantified by comparing the mean
values over the last 4 min of U69,593 application to the 4 minutes of baseline just prior to
starting the drug application. Responses were tested for significance by statistically comparing
30 sec bins during these two time periods (unpaired Student's t-test). $I_h$ magnitude was
measured as the difference between the initial capacitive response to a voltage step from −60 to
−120 mV and the final current during the same step. Reported baseline Ri and firing rate are the
average measurements over the first 2 min of $I_{clamp}$ recording. For AP duration measurements,
at least 8 waveforms were averaged together and duration was calculated from threshold on the
ascending phase to recrossing threshold on the falling phase. Threshold was identified as the

first datapoint where the derivative of the waveform exceeds 10 V/s. For evaluation of KOR
agonist induced changes in $I_h$ properties, see measurements described in Supplementary Fig. 2.
Population statistics were performed in R. Where datasets failed tests of normality or
homogeneity of variance, non-parametric permutation analyses (https://github.com/eb-margolis-
neuroscience-lab/R-general-Margolislab/tree/main) were used to test for statistical significance.
For behavior data, mixed measures two-way ANOVA was used with Bonferroni correction for
multiple comparisons.
198

## Results

We performed *ex vivo* whole cell recordings in rat VTA neurons after a single session of
aversive foot shock stress, making slices 30-60 min after the stressor. All statistics are
described in Table 1. In addition to VTA neurons that responded to the KOR selective agonist
U69,593 (1 $\mu$M) with a hyperpolarization or no change in membrane potential similar to prior
observations in untreated animals (10,18,19), we unexpectedly observed depolarizations in a
distinct subset of neurons from both male and female rats (Fig. 1A-D). This includes neurons
confirmed as dopaminergic with immunocytochemistry (Fig. 1B, Supplementary Fig. 4A;
recording locations in Supplementary Fig. 4B). Depolarizations were still observed 3 (4/18
neurons), but not 5 (0/7 neurons), days after the foot shock stress session (Fig 1d). Action
potential (AP) threshold was more depolarized in neurons from foot shock stressed rats
compared to shams, but the stressor did not alter other basal properties of VTA neurons
including baseline input resistance (Ri), AP duration, AP peak, h current magnitude, or
spontaneous firing rate (Supplementary Fig. 5). In the recordings from stressed animals, initial
Ri was greater in neurons that subsequently showed a depolarization in response to U69,593
compared to neurons that subsequently showed a hyperpolarization (Supplementary Fig. 6). No
other differences were detected in baseline physiological properties across VTA neurons
grouped by their U69,593 responses (Supplementary Fig. 6). One possibility is that the aversive
stressor decreases GIRK function in a subset of neurons, unmasking the observed depolarizing
effect of U69,593. However, when we blocked GIRKs in slices from control, untreated animals
with $BaCl_2$ (100 $\mu$M), the distribution of responses to U69,593 was clearly different from those
from stressed animals with no depolarization > 0.8 mV (Fig. 1D,E). Dopamine D2 receptor
activation hyperpolarizes a subset of VTA neurons through GIRK channel activation (20). If
acute, aversive stress decreases GIRK function in VTA neurons rather than change KOR
signaling, we would expect to observe a shift in responses to the D2R agonist quinpirole (1 $\mu$M)
as well. The means and distributions of quinpirole responses were not different between VTA
neurons from sham and foot shocked rats (Fig. 1F).

*Figure 1. Following a single foot shock session, a subset of VTA neurons depolarize in response to KOR activation. (A) Rats experienced a single intermittent foot shock session (10 min) prior to ex vivo whole cell recordings. (B) Example recording from a VTA neuron (biocytin fill: red) that was confirmed as dopaminergic with TH immunocytochemistry (green), scale bar 10 $\mu$m. In whole cell current clamp configuration (I = 0 pA) bath application of the KOR agonist U69,592 (1 $\mu$M) caused a depolarization. Inset: $I_h$ measured in voltage clamp, scale bars 200 pA and 200 ms. (C) Mean time course of responses in neurons that were significantly depolarized by U69,593. (D) Summarized data, each circle is a neuron, from same day sham, same day shock, 3 days after shock, and 5 days after shock treated rats. Circles and triangles indicate VTA neurons from male and female rats, respectively. Permutation analysis comparing means of U69,593 responses in sham vs shock, p = 0.02 (Table 1) (E) Summarized U69,593 responses in VTA neurons from naïve rats, and from naïve rats where U69,593 responses were measured in BaCl$_2$ (100 $\mu$M). Permutation analysis comparing variances (SDs; $ indicates p<0.05), p = 0.04. (F) Summarized responses to the dopamine D2 receptor agonist quinpirole (1 $\mu$M) in VTA neurons from naïve, sham, and same day foot shocked rats. One way ANOVA sham vs shock, p = 0.9.*

The corticotrophin releasing factor (CRF) system is upstream of the KOR system in encoding the aversiveness of stressful experiences (21), and blocking KORs can prevent CRF-induced anxiety-like behaviors (22,23). As foot shock stress drives CRF release in the VTA (24), we tested the hypothesis that incubating VTA slices from control animals with no behavioral manipulations in CRF would produce similar changes in KOR signaling to the *in vivo* aversive stressor exposure. In fact, in VTA slices incubated in 200 nM CRF for 5 min, a subset of neurons responded to subsequent U69,593 application with a depolarization (Fig. 2A). Next we tested whether neurons excited by KOR activation after CRF were the same neurons inhibited by KOR activation under control conditions or a previously KOR-insensitive population. All neurons that showed a depolarization in response to KOR activation after CRF showed a hyperpolarization to KOR activation before CRF (Fig. 2B,C). In fact, for neurons that showed a depolarization after CRF, there was a clear inverse correlation (n = 5 neurons; coeff. = -0.9) between the magnitudes of KOR responses before and after CRF application (Fig. 2C).

*Figure 2. ex vivo exposure to CRF can switch KOR signaling from inhibitory to excitatory. (A) Summarized data, each circle is a neuron. Slices were incubated for 5 min with CRF (200 nM), CRF was washed out for at least 5 min, then U69,593 (1 $\mu$M) was bath applied for 5 min. Statistical comparison to U69,593 responses in VTA neurons from naïve rats (Fig. 1E left), Permutation analysis of means, p = 0.00004. (B) Left, U69,593 (1 $\mu$M) was applied once, washed out, then reapplied (paired t-test p = 1.0). Right, U69,593 (1 $\mu$M) was applied once, washed out, CRF was washed on (5 min, 200 nM), washed out, then U69,593 was reapplied (paired t-test p = 0.08). (C) Data from (B) graphed as magnitude of response to first U69,593 application to second application.*

We previously reported that VTA dopamine neurons that project to the mPFC and basolateral
amygdala (BLA), but not the nucleus accumbens (NAc), are inhibited by somatodendritic KOR
activation in rat (18,19). To test whether the signaling switch happens in a projection selective
manner, we recorded from retrogradely labeled VTA neurons that project to dmPFC (including
prelimbic and anterior cingulate cortices), ventral mPFC (infralimbic cortex), medial NAc, and
BLA blind to injection site. Most distinctly, dmPFC-projecting VTA neurons showed the strongest
depolarizations after CRF exposure while none of the vmPFC-projecting neurons depolarized
(Fig. 3). NAc- and BLA-projecting showed no and minimal depolarizations, respectively (Fig. 3).
Thus, the switch in KOR signaling from inhibitory to excitatory occurs in a specific subpopulation
of dmPFC-projecting VTA neurons.

**Figure 3. CRF switches KOR signaling in a subpopulation of dmPFC-projecting VTA neurons.** *U69,593 responses were recorded in retrogradely labeled neurons after slices were incubated in CRF (5 min, 200 nM). Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test p = 0.006, pairwise comparisons listed in Table 1.*

Next we probed the signaling mechanism underlying the KOR mediated excitations triggered by
CRF exposure. To test whether the KOR excitations are due to indirect effects involving local
connectivity in the slice we measured KOR agonist responses while blocking AMPARs (10 $\mu$M
DNQX), GABA$_A$Rs (20 $\mu$M gabazine), and action potential activity (500 nM TTX). This did not
prevent U69,593 induced depolarizations (Fig. 4A). To block G protein signaling in the recorded
neuron we included GDP-$\beta$-s in the intracellular solution (500 $\mu$M), and broke in to whole cell
configuration only after CRF application was completed. This G protein block eliminated both
depolarizations and hyperpolarizations induced by U69,593 (Fig. 4A). Together these data show
that the KOR induced depolarizations are not local circuit dependent, and because the G protein
blockade was limited to the recorded neuron the KORs in question appear to be expressed in
the recorded neuron. GPCR activation could cause depolarization by closing GIRKs (25),
however blocking GIRKs with BaCl$_2$ (100 $\mu$M) did not prevent U69,593 induced depolarizations
(Fig. 4A). We previously reported that mu and delta opioid receptors (MORs and DORs) on VTA
neurons can drive depolarizations that require Ca$^{2+}$ channel conductances (26,27), however in
the present study, blocking Ca$^{2+}$ channels with bath application of CdCl$_2$ (100 $\mu$M) did not
prevent U69,593 induced depolarizations (Fig. 4A). A Na$^+$ leak current contributes to the
maintenance of a depolarized potential and spontaneous AP firing in VTA neurons (28)$\cdot$(29) that
can be inhibited by G$\alpha$i/o protein coupled receptors (30). Blocking this conductance did not
prevent U69,593 induced depolarizations (Fig. 4A).

*Figure 4. KOR mediated depolarizations require $I_h$. (A) Signaling mechanism(s) that contribute to U69,593 (1 $\mu$M) induced depolarizations were investigated after exposing slices ex vivo to CRF (5 min, 200 nM). TTX (500 nM), DNQX (10 $\mu$M), and gabazine (20 $\mu$M) blocked synaptic transmission; intracellular GDP-$\beta$-s (500 $\mu$M) blocked intracellular G protein signaling; ZD7288 (10 $\mu$M) blocked HCN channels ($I_h$); BaCl$_2$ (100 $\mu$M) blocked K$^+$ channels; CdCl$_2$ (100 $\mu$M) blocked Ca$^{2+}$ channels; replacement of Na$^+$ with NMDG (151 mM, replacing NaCl) in aCSF blocked Na$^+$ leak current; SB203580 (10 $\mu$M) blocked p38/MAPK signaling. (B) $I_h$ magnitude was measured during U69,593 (1 $\mu$M) application in voltage clamp, stepping from -60 mV to -120 mV, in control slices or slices pretreated with CRF (5 min, 200 nM). (C) p38/MAPK was blocked with SB203580 application during both CRF and U69,593 application.*

$I_h$ is a hyperpolarization activated, non-selective cation conductance that we found is prominent in mPFC-projecting VTA neurons (19). In nodose ganglion neurons MOR activation inhibits $I_h$ (31), and in the nucleus raphe magnus (NRM) KOR activation augments $I_h$ (32). The $I_h$ blocker ZD7288 prevented U69,593 induced depolarizations (Fig. 4A). Given that the reversal potential for $I_h$ is depolarized compared to typical membrane potentials, the simplest possibility is that KOR activation augments $I_h$ conductance. Consistent with this, we found that U69,593 increased $I_h$ amplitude in a subset of neurons recorded in slices pretreated with CRF, and this response that was not observed in control slices (Fig. 4B). CRF pretreatment did not impact other $I_h$ properties measured during U69,593 application (Supplementary Fig. 2).

Bruchas and colleagues reported that P38 MAPK contributes to some KOR mediated behavioral changes following aversive stressors *in vivo* (33). Here we tested for a contribution of p38 to this KOR switch or KOR signaling. Incubating slices from control rats with the p38 blocker SB203580 prior to CRF application prevented subsequent U69,593 induced depolarizations (Fig. 4C). However, when slices were exposed to CRF prior to incubation in SB203580, U69,593 induced depolarizations persisted (Fig. 4A). We conclude that CRF receptor activation alters the signaling of a subset of KORs in the VTA through a p38 dependent signaling pathway, but KORs are not signaling through p38 directly to depolarize neurons.

Finally, we tested for a behavioral consequence of this change in KOR signaling. Decreases in dopamine neuron activity canonically encode punishment and aversion, and therefore intra-VTA KOR agonist induced aversion is widely thought to be due to inhibition of dopamine neurons. In the context of this model, a switch in KOR signaling in dopamine neurons from inhibitory to excitatory would be predicted to diminish conditioned place aversion (CPA) to intra-VTA KOR agonist microinjection. Since we found the switch in signaling sign to last between 3 and 5 days, we designed a paradigm where the animals received foot shock on days 1 and 6 in order to maintain stress-induced changes to KOR signaling. Pairings of either intra-VTA U69,593 (0.2 mM, 0.5 mL/side) or vehicle once per day were performed on non-stress days, for a total of four pairings per side (Fig. 5A), in order to minimize the formation of a direct association between the foot shock stress and the place preference manipulation. Indeed, foot shock stress pretreatment eliminated the development of CPA to intra-VTA U69,593 (Fig. 5B, placements in Supplementary Fig. 3).

**Figure 5. Foot shock stress eliminates intra-VTA KOR mediated aversion.** *(A) Experiment timeline where rats were trained in a conditioned place preference paradigm, to intra-VTA U69,593 (0.2 mM, 0.5 mL/side) or vehicle. Rats were pretreated with sham handling or foot shock stress on non-pairing days. (B) Baseline vs post training test for place conditioning. Two way mixed measures ANOVA) Pairwise comparisons: Sham, baseline vs post test: 0.0004 Shock, baseline vs post test: 0.6 (Bonferroni corrected).*

## Discussion

Together these data show that a single stressful event can dramatically change the signaling
sign of a modulatory GPCR system. The change occurs in a specific neural circuit and can
persist for days. This stress induced switch at KORs can be induced by the stress-associated
CRF neuropeptide-GPCR system in the same brain region, and while the signaling is still G
protein mediated, it appears that the key conductance involved is $I_h$, a switch from GIRK
coupling (Fig. 6).

**Figure 6. Summary: Aversive stress switches KOR signaling from GIRK mediated inhibitions to HCN channel mediated depolarizations.** *This switch specifically occurs in dmPFC-projecting VTA dopamine neurons.*

The contributions of VTA dopamine neurons to the processing of aversive experiences
encompass motivational and learning components and are circuit-specific (34). *In vivo*
electrophysiology studies show that while many midbrain putative and opto-tagged dopamine
neurons decrease their firing when a predicted reward is omitted (i.e., an aversive outcome)
(35,36), some putative and directly identified dopamine neurons increase their firing in response
to an aversive sensory stimulus (37,38).  We previously demonstrated that in control rats, KOR
activation inhibits VTA dopamine neurons that project to the mPFC and BLA, but not the NAc
(18,19). With microdialysis, we confirmed that *in vivo* VTA KOR activation decreases dopamine
release in mPFC but not in the NAc (19). Here we show a switch from inhibitory to excitatory
signaling in a subpopulation of dmPFC-projecting VTA neurons after CRF exposure. This
excitatory KOR effect may contribute to the reported stress induced activation of dmPFC-
projecting VTA dopamine neurons (39,40). The loss of intra-VTA KOR agonist induced CPA
after the stress induced switch in KOR signaling also implicates the dopaminergic projection to

dmPFC in value signaling. Consistent with this, MOR activation in the VTA causes an increase
in dopamine release in the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC, included in the dmPFC in this study),
and lesioning dopamine terminals there decreases the magnitude of intra-VTA MOR agonist
induced conditioned place preference (15). Also, genetic deletion of KOR from TH neurons and
stress induced augmentation of cocaine CPP (41). The transfer function of dopamine activity in
the mPFC has been extensively studied, but a synthesized understanding of its contributions to
mPFC processing of value remains somewhat elusive (42–44).

Here we discovered a convergence of two neuropeptide systems, with CRF changing the sign
of the physiological response of KORs in a specific neural circuit. There are at least a dozen
possible sources of CRF to the VTA including the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis, dorsal
raphe, parabrachial nucleus, lateral dorsal tegmentum, and local VTA neurons (45,46) any of
which might be activated by aversive stressors. A subset of VTA neurons express CRF receptor
1 (CRF-R1) and the CRF binding protein, but not CRF receptor 2 (47,48). Blocking CRF-R1 in
the VTA decreases foot shock stress induced reinstatement of cocaine seeking (39). Intra-VTA
KOR blockade (13) and intra-dmPFC dopamine antagonists also mitigate stress induced
reinstatement of cocaine seeking (39,49), a provocative parallel to the neuromodulatory circuit
identified here.

We found that blocking $I_h$ prevented KOR agonist induced depolarizations. Even though $I_h$ is
generated by hyperpolarization activated, cyclic nucleotide-gated (HCN) channels (50,51) and
thus opioid receptors might interact with them though cAMP modulation, in the NRM KOR
activation in tissue from control rats augments $I_h$ through a cAMP-independent signaling
pathway that involves intracellular release of $Ca^{2+}$ (32). In these neurons, cAMP activation
causes a positive shift in the voltage dependence of $I_h$, but does not change the maximum $I_h$
amplitude. In the VTA, the adenylyl cyclase activator forskolin causes a shift in the $I_h$ $V_{1/2}$ to a
more depolarized potential (52). The isoform HCN3, which is expressed in the vast majority of
VTA dopamine neurons and in many more VTA neurons than isoforms 1, 2 or 4 (52), is
relatively insensitive to cAMP (50,53). Here, KOR activation after CRF did not alter $I_h$ $V_{1/2}$,
consistent with a cAMP-independent effect.

An increase in HCN channel function in the VTA has been implicated in behavioral changes
induced by aversive stressors. For instance, after social defeat stress in mice, $I_h$ magnitude is
larger in VTA neurons of susceptible mice compared to those of control mice (54). Blocking $I_h$ in
the VTA (54,55) and systemically (56) reverse the social avoidance induced by social defeat.
Our data suggest that *in vivo* activity at KORs in the VTA after stress increases $I_h$ function in
dmPFC-projecting dopamine neurons. Thus decreasing $I_h$ function in the VTA may be one
common therapeutic target, be it through direct HCN blockade or KOR antagonism.

What are the *in vivo* consequences of this switch in KOR signaling? At the circuit level, this
switch means that the change in VTA dmPFC-projecting dopamine neuron firing will have an
opposite sign when an endogenous KOR ligand is released into the VTA. That is, the
peptidergic inputs to the VTA may have the same behavior-related firing patterns as before an
aversive stressor, but the impact on dmPFC dopamine release will be the inverse. In addition to
contributing to value encoding, dopamine function in the dmPFC also contributes to executive
function (16,17). For instance, dopamine lesions in in the ACC impairs attentional set shifting
(57) and dopamine receptor antagonists delivered to the prelimbic cortex degrade decision
making (58). These and other studies suggest that ongoing or increases in dopamine release in
the dmPFC contribute to saliency processing, and support working memory (59) which are
particularly advantageous to engage following an aversive stressor. Following chronic stress
that induces anhedonia, activating mPFC-projecting VTA dopamine neurons reverses immobility

and loss of sucrose preference (60) and an increase in dopamine signaling in dmPFC also
relieves ongoing pain (61). Provocatively, stress induced analgesia is also blocked by systemic
administration of the KOR selective antagonist norBNI (2), which in the context of our results
would prevent an increase in dopamine release in the dmPFC, although it is not yet known if
dmPFC-projecting VTA dopamine neurons contribute to this phenomenon. Together, these
studies raise the possibility that this switch in VTA KOR function is adaptive, with an optimal
concentration of dopamine in the mPFC facilitating learning. Learning about acutely aversive
experiences, and how to avoid them, is critical to survival.

403
**Acknowledgements**
I thank Joseph R. Driscoll, Thomas J. Cirino, Peter Fong, Aashka K. Popat, Ben J. Ngu,
Benjamin Snyder, Kasra Mansorian, and Madelyn Moulton for technical assistance.  This work
was supported by National Institutes of Health grants R01DA030529, R01DA042025 and
R01DA008863, and funds from the State of California for medical research on alcohol and
substance use. Manuscript was previously posted on BioRxiv
(https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.08.09.669424). Data are available from OSF
(doi:10.17605/OSF.IO/8FRHJ)

412
413 **Author Contributions**
414 E.B.M. conceived of the research, designed the study, performed all electrophysiology and data
415 analysis, generated the figures, and composed the manuscript.

416
417 **Disclosures**
418 E.B.M. received consulting fees from Neumora Therapeutics, Inc.

419
**Supplementary Figure Captions**

**Supplementary Figure 1.** CORT measured (ELISA) from trunk blood samples collected from
same day foot shock and sham animals during brain slice preparation. * $p$ = 0.05

**Supplementary Figure 2.** $I_h$ measurements. (A) top, schematic of V step commands from V=-
40 mV to quantify $V_{1/2}$ and activation $\tau$. Bottom, example response. (B) top, schematic of V step
commands from V = -40 mV to quantify reversal V. Bottom, example response from the same
neuron as in (A). (C) Example single exponential fit to data in (A). (D) Schematic of experiment
design. Step series shown in (A) and (B) were applied to each neuron for a "baseline"
measurement and again during U69,593 application. (E) Difference between $V_{1/2}$ measured at
baseline and in U69,593. Grey is no pretreatment, Orange is CRF pretreatment. (F) Difference
between $V_{reverse}$ measured at baseline and in U69,593. Grey is no pretreatment, Orange is CRF
pretreatment. (G) $I_h$ activation $\tau$ at baseline vs in U69,593. Grey is no pretreatment, Orange is
CRF pretreatment.

**Supplementary Figure 3.** Microinjection sites for intra-VTA place conditioning experiments in
Figure 5. Modified from (62).

**Supplementary Figure 4.** (A) Summary of responses to U69,593 in neurons identified at TH(+)
with immunocytochemistry. These are a subset of the data in Fig. 1D. (B) Locations of VTA
neurons recorded from same day foot shock stress rats. Color indicates change in Vm in
response to U69,593.

**Supplementary Figure 5.** Physiological properties recorded in VTA neurons from foot shock
(same day) or sham rats. Quantifications of data collected during the first 150 s immediately

after whole cell access is achieved. (A) Ri, (B) AP duration, (C) AP threshold, (D) AP peak, (E)
$I_h$ magnitude (F) spontaneous firing rate. **$p < 0.01$

**Supplementary Figure 6.** Foot shock rat data from Supplementary Figure 2, sorted by the type
of response to U69,593. (A) Ri, (B) AP duration, (C) AP threshold, (D) AP peak, (E) $I_h$
magnitude *$p < 0.05$

**References**

1. Varlinskaya EI, Spear LP, Diaz MR (2018): Stress alters social behavior and sensitivity to

pharmacological activation of kappa opioid receptors in an age-specific manner in

Sprague Dawley rats. *Neurobiol Stress* 9: 124–132.

2. McLaughlin JP, Li S, Valdez J, Chavkin TA, Chavkin C (2006): Social defeat stress-induced

behavioral responses are mediated by the endogenous kappa opioid system.

*Neuropsychopharmacology* 31: 1241–8.

3. Massaly N, Copits BA, Wilson-Poe AR, Hipólito L, Markovic T, Yoon HJ, *et al.* (2019): Pain-

Induced Negative Affect Is Mediated via Recruitment of The Nucleus Accumbens

Kappa Opioid System. *Neuron*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2019.02.029

4. Groblewski PA, Zietz C, Willuhn I, Phillips PEM, Chavkin C (2015): Repeated stress

exposure causes strain-dependent shifts in the behavioral economics of cocaine in

rats. *Addict Biol* 20: 297–301.

5. Carlezon Jr. WA, Krystal AD (2016): Kappa-Opioid Antagonists for Psychiatric Disorders:

From Bench to Clinical Trials. *Depress Anxiety* 33: 895–906.

6. Reeves KC, Shah N, Muñoz B, Atwood BK (2022): Opioid Receptor-Mediated Regulation

of Neurotransmission in the Brain. *Front Mol Neurosci* 15: 919773.

7. Ji M, Gao Z, Yang J, Cai J, Li K, Wang J, *et al.* (2022): Dynorphin promotes stress-induced

depressive behaviors by inhibiting ventral pallidal neurons in rats. *Acta Physiol* 236.

https://doi.org/10.1111/apha.13882

8. Lemos JC, Roth CA, Messinger DI, Gill HK, Phillips PEM, Chavkin C (2012): Repeated

stress dysregulates κ-opioid receptor signaling in the dorsal raphe through a p38α

MAPK-dependent mechanism. *J Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci* 32: 12325–12336.

9. Pan ZZ, Tershner SA, Fields HL (1997): Cellular mechanism for anti-analgesic action of

agonists of the kappa-opioid receptor. *Nature* 389: 382–385.

10. Margolis EB, Hjelmstad GO, Bonci A, Fields HL (2003): Kappa-opioid agonists directly

inhibit midbrain dopaminergic neurons. *J Neurosci* 23: 9981–6.

11. Bals-Kubik R, Ableitner A, Herz A, Shippenberg TS (1993): Neuroanatomical sites

mediating the motivational effects of opioids as mapped by the conditioned place

preference paradigm in rats. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 264: 489–95.

12. Ehrich JM, Messinger DI, Knakal CR, Kuhar JR, Schattauer SS, Bruchas MR, *et al.* (2015):

Kappa Opioid Receptor-Induced Aversion Requires p38 MAPK Activation in VTA

Dopamine Neurons. *J Neurosci* 35: 12917–31.

13. Graziane NM, Polter AM, Briand LA, Pierce RC, Kauer JA (2013): Kappa opioid receptors

regulate stress-induced cocaine seeking and synaptic plasticity. *Neuron* 77: 942–

954.

14. Vijayraghavan S, Major AJ, Everling S (2017): Neuromodulation of Prefrontal Cortex in

Non-Human Primates by Dopaminergic Receptors during Rule-Guided Flexible

Behavior and Cognitive Control. *Front Neural Circuits* 11: 91.

15. Narita M, Matsushima Y, Niikura K, Narita M, Takagi S, Nakahara K, *et al.* (2010):

Implication of dopaminergic projection from the ventral tegmental area to the

anterior cingulate cortex in μ-opioid-induced place preference. *Addict Biol* 15: 434–

447.

16. Tichelaar JG, Sayalı C, Helmich RC, Cools R (2023): Impulse control disorder in

Parkinson's disease is associated with abnormal frontal value signalling. *Brain J*

*Neurol* 146: 3676–3689.

17. Cools R, Froböse M, Aarts E, Hofmans L (2019): Dopamine and the motivation of

cognitive control. *Handb Clin Neurol* 163: 123–143.

18. Margolis EB, Mitchell JM, Ishikawa J, Hjelmstad GO, Fields HL (2008): Midbrain

dopamine neurons: projection target determines action potential duration and

dopamine D(2) receptor inhibition. *J Neurosci* 28: 8908–13.

19. Margolis EB, Lock H, Chefer VI, Shippenberg TS, Hjelmstad GO, Fields HL (2006):

Kappa opioids selectively control dopaminergic neurons projecting to the prefrontal

cortex. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U A* 103: 2938–42.

20. Seutin V, Johnson SW, North RA (1994): Effect of dopamine and baclofen on N-methyl-

D-aspartate-induced burst firing in rat ventral tegmental neurons. *Neuroscience* 58:

201–206.

21. Land BB, Bruchas MR, Lemos JC, Xu M, Melief EJ, Chavkin C (2008): The dysphoric

component of stress is encoded by activation of the dynorphin kappa-opioid

system. *J Neurosci* 28: 407–14.

22. Bruchas MR, Land BB, Lemos JC, Chavkin C (2009): CRF1-R activation of the

dynorphin/kappa opioid system in the mouse basolateral amygdala mediates

anxiety-like behavior. *PloS One* 4: e8528.

23. Van't Veer A, Yano JM, Carroll FI, Cohen BM, Carlezon WA (2012): Corticotropin-

releasing factor (CRF)-induced disruption of attention in rats is blocked by the κ-

opioid receptor antagonist JDTic. *Neuropsychopharmacol Off Publ Am Coll*

*Neuropsychopharmacol* 37: 2809–2816.

24. Wang B, Shaham Y, Zitzman D, Azari S, Wise RA, You ZB (2005): Cocaine experience

establishes control of midbrain glutamate and dopamine by corticotropin-releasing

factor: a role in stress-induced relapse to drug seeking. *J Neurosci* 25: 5389–96.

25. Xia Y-F, Margolis EB, Hjelmstad GO (2010): Substance P inhibits GABAB receptor

signalling in the ventral tegmental area. *J Physiol* 588: 1541–1549.

26. Margolis EB, Hjelmstad GO, Fujita W, Fields HL (2014): Direct bidirectional μ-opioid

control of midbrain dopamine neurons. *J Neurosci* 34: 14707–16.

27. Margolis EB, Fujita W, Devi LA, Fields HL (2017): Two delta opioid receptor subtypes are

functional in single ventral tegmental area neurons, and can interact with the mu

opioid receptor. *Neuropharmacology* 123: 420–432.

28. Cobb-Lewis DE, Sansalone L, Khaliq ZM (2023): Contributions of the Sodium Leak

Channel NALCN to Pacemaking of Medial Ventral Tegmental Area and Substantia

Nigra Dopaminergic Neurons. *J Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci* 43: 6841–6853.

29. Khaliq ZM, Bean BP (2010): Pacemaking in dopaminergic ventral tegmental area

neurons: depolarizing drive from background and voltage-dependent sodium

conductances. *J Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci* 30: 7401–7413.

30. Philippart F, Khaliq ZM (2018): Gi/o protein-coupled receptors in dopamine neurons

inhibit the sodium leak channel NALCN. *eLife* 7: e40984.

31. Ingram SL, Williams JT (1994): Opioid inhibition of Ih via adenylyl cyclase. *Neuron* 13:

179–186.

32. Pan ZZ (2003): κ-Opioid receptor-mediated enhancement of the hyperpolarization-

activated current (Ih) through mobilization of intracellular calcium in rat nucleus

raphe magnus. *J Physiol* 548: 765–775.

33. Bruchas MR, Land BB, Aita M, Xu M, Barot SK, Li S, Chavkin C (2007): Stress-induced

p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase activation mediates kappa-opioid-dependent

dysphoria. *J Neurosci* 27: 11614–23.

34. Morales M, Margolis EB (2017): Ventral tegmental area: cellular heterogeneity,

connectivity and behaviour. *Nat Rev Neurosci*.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn.2016.165

35. Cohen JY, Haesler S, Vong L, Lowell BB, Uchida N (2012): Neuron-type-specific signals

for reward and punishment in the ventral tegmental area. *Nature* 482: 85–8.

36. Schultz W (1998): Predictive reward signal of dopamine neurons. *J Neurophysiol* 80: 1–

27.

37. Brischoux F, Chakraborty S, Brierley DI, Ungless MA (2009): Phasic excitation of

dopamine neurons in ventral VTA by noxious stimuli. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U A* 106:

4894–9.

38. Bromberg-Martin ES, Matsumoto M, Hikosaka O (2010): Dopamine in motivational

control: rewarding, aversive, and alerting. *Neuron* 68: 815–34.

39. Vranjkovic O, Van Newenhizen EC, Nordness ME, Blacktop JM, Urbanik LA, Mathy JC, *et*

*al.* (2018): Enhanced CRFR1-Dependent Regulation of a Ventral Tegmental Area to

Prelimbic Cortex Projection Establishes Susceptibility to Stress-Induced Cocaine

Seeking. *J Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci* 38: 10657–10671.

40. Deutch AY, Lee MC, Gillham MH, Cameron DA, Goldstein M, Iadarola MJ (1991): Stress

selectively increases fos protein in dopamine neurons innervating the prefrontal

cortex. *Cereb Cortex N Y N 1991* 1: 273–292.

41. Abraham AD, Casello SM, Land BB, Chavkin C (2022): Optogenetic stimulation of

dynorphinergic neurons within the dorsal raphe activate kappa opioid receptors in

the ventral tegmental area and ablation of dorsal raphe prodynorphin or kappa

receptors in dopamine neurons blocks stress potentiation of cocaine reward.

*Addict Neurosci* 1: 100005.

42. Sheynikhovich D, Otani S, Bai J, Arleo A (2023): Long-term memory, synaptic plasticity

and dopamine in rodent medial prefrontal cortex: Role in executive functions. *Front*

*Behav Neurosci* 16: 1068271.

43. Durstewitz D, Seamans JK (2008): The dual-state theory of prefrontal cortex dopamine

function with relevance to catechol-o-methyltransferase genotypes and

schizophrenia. *Biol Psychiatry* 64: 739–749.

44. Robinson SE, Sohal VS (2017): Dopamine D2 Receptors Modulate Pyramidal Neurons

in Mouse Medial Prefrontal Cortex through a Stimulatory G-Protein Pathway. *J*

*Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci* 37: 10063–10073.

45. Soden ME, Yee JX, Cuevas B, Rastani A, Elum J, Zweifel LS (2022): Distinct Encoding of

Reward and Aversion by Peptidergic BNST Inputs to the VTA. *Front Neural Circuits*

16: 918839.

46. Grieder TE, Herman MA, Contet C, Tan LA, Vargas-Perez H, Cohen A, *et al.* (2014): VTA

CRF neurons mediate the aversive effects of nicotine withdrawal and promote

intake escalation. *Nat Neurosci* 17: 1751–1758.

47. Van Pett K, Viau V, Bittencourt JC, Chan RKW, Li H-Y, Arias C, *et al.* (2000): Distribution

of mRNAs encoding CRF receptors in brain and pituitary of rat and mouse. *J Comp*

*Neurol* 428: 191–212.

48. Wang H-L, Morales M (2008): Corticotropin-releasing factor binding protein within the

ventral tegmental area is expressed in a subset of dopaminergic neurons. *J Comp*

*Neurol* 509: 302–318.

49. McFarland K, Kalivas PW (2001): The circuitry mediating cocaine-induced

reinstatement of drug-seeking behavior [no. 21]. *J Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci* 21:

8655–8663.

50. Combe CL, Gasparini S (2021): Ih from synapses to networks: HCN channel functions

590           and modulation in neurons. *Prog Biophys Mol Biol* 166: 119–132.

591    51. Monteggia LM, Eisch AJ, Tang MD, Kaczmarek LK, Nestler EJ (2000): Cloning and

592           localization of the hyperpolarization-activated cyclic nucleotide-gated channel

593           family in rat brain. *Mol Brain Res* 81: 129–139.

594    52. Mu L, Liu X, Yu H, Vickstrom CR, Friedman V, Kelly TJ, *et al.* (2023): cAMP-mediated

595           upregulation of HCN channels in VTA dopamine neurons promotes cocaine

596           reinforcement. *Mol Psychiatry* 28: 3930–3942.

597    53. Mistrík P, Mader R, Michalakis S, Weidinger M, Pfeifer A, Biel M (2005): The Murine

598           HCN3 Gene Encodes a Hyperpolarization-activated Cation Channel with Slow

599           Kinetics and Unique Response to Cyclic Nucleotides. *J Biol Chem* 280: 27056–

600           27061.

601    54. Cao J-L, Covington HE, Friedman AK, Wilkinson MB, Walsh JJ, Cooper DC, *et al.* (2010):

602           Mesolimbic dopamine neurons in the brain reward circuit mediate susceptibility to

603           social defeat and antidepressant action. *J Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci* 30: 16453–

604           16458.

605    55. Cai M, Zhu Y, Shanley MR, Morel C, Ku SM, Zhang H, *et al.* (2023): HCN channel

606           inhibitor induces ketamine-like rapid and sustained antidepressant effects in

607           chronic social defeat stress model. *Neurobiol Stress* 26: 100565.

608    56. Teichman EM, Hu J, Lin H, Fisher-Foye RL, Blando A, Hu X, *et al.* (2025): Design and

609           validation of novel brain-penetrant HCN channel inhibitors to ameliorate social

stress-induced susceptible phenotype. *Mol Psychiatry*.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-025-02972-8

57. Mk C, Cs P, Ja M (2024): Dopaminergic lesions of the anterior cingulate cortex of rats

increase vulnerability to salient distractors. *Eur J Neurosci* 59.

https://doi.org/10.1111/ejn.16352

58. Jenni NL, Larkin JD, Floresco SB (2017): Prefrontal Dopamine D1 and D2 Receptors

Regulate Dissociable Aspects of Decision Making via Distinct Ventral Striatal and

Amygdalar Circuits. *J Neurosci* 37: 6200–6213.

59. Ott T, Nieder A (2019): Dopamine and Cognitive Control in Prefrontal Cortex. *Trends*

*Cogn Sci* 23: 213–234.

60. Liu D, Tang Q-Q, Wang D, Song S-P, Yang X-N, Hu S-W, *et al*. (2020): Mesocortical

BDNF signaling mediates antidepressive-like effects of lithium.

*Neuropsychopharmacology* 45: 1557–1566.

61. K L, C Q, E N, F P, P S (2021): Decreased dopaminergic inhibition of pyramidal neurons

in anterior cingulate cortex maintains chronic neuropathic pain. *Cell Rep* 37.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2021.109933

62. Paxinos G, Watson C (1997): *The Rat Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates, Compact*, 3rd

ed. San Diego: Academic Press.

| Experiment | Figure | # Neurons; # Outliers; # Extreme outliers | Shapiro-Wilk test of Normality Statistic; p val | Test for Homogeneity of Variances Statistic; p val (Test used) | Parametric test Statistic; p val (Test used) | Non-parametric test Statistic and/or p val (Test used) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | **Table 1 – Assumption testing and statistical comparisons for summary data** | | |
| U69,593 response (mV), acute stress vs sham | 1d | 67; 3; 0 | 0.9; 0.00008 | 7.5; 0.008 (Levene's) | n/a | 0.02 (Permutation, mean) |
| U69,593 response in $BaCl_2$ vs acute stress | 1d,e | 49; 4; 0 | 0.9; 0.0007 | 3.0; 0.09 (Levene's) | n/a | 0.04 (Permutation, SD) |
| Quinpirole response (mV), acute stress vs sham | 1f | 27; 2; 1 | 1.0; 0.6 | 1.4; 0.2 (Levene's) | 0.02; 0.9 (one way ANOVA) | n/a |
| U69.593 response naïve vs after CRF | 1e, 2a | 78; 2; 0 | 1.0; 0.02 | 0.9; 0.4 (Levene's) | n/a | 0.00004 |
| U69,593, first application vs second application | 2b, left | 6; 0; 0 | 0.9; 0.6 | 0.02; 0.9 | 0.0003; 1.0 (paired t-test) | |
| U69,593 responses pre- vs post- CRF | 2b, right | 10; 0; 0 | 1.0; 0.7 | 2.0; 0.2 | -2.0; 0.08 (paired t-test) | |
| U69,593, first application vs second application | 2c | 6; 0; 0 | | | 5.1; 0.007 (Pearson's product moment correlation, coeff = 0.9) | |
| U69,593 responses pre- vs post- CRF, in neurons with a post-CRF U69,593 induced depolarization | Subset in 2c | 5 | | | -3.7; 0.03 (Pearson's product moment correlation, coeff = -0.9) | |
| U69,593 responses differ by projection target | 3 | 48; 6; 3 | 0.8; 0.0000001 | 1.4; 0.3 | n/a | 12.4; 0.006 (Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test) Pairwise comparisons (p adjustment method BH): dmPFC-BLA: 0.05 dmPFC-NAc: 0.05 dmPFC-vmPFC: 0.03 BLA-NAc: 0.4 BLA-vmPFC: 0.4 NAc-vmPFC: 0.2 |
| U69,593 after CRF vs after CRF with GDP-$\beta$-s | 4a | 34; 1; 0 | 0.9; 0.007 | 4.4; 0.05 | n/a | 0.01 (Permutation, SD) |
| U69,593 after CRF vs after CRF with ZD7288 | 4a | 39; 2; 1 | 0.9; 0.06 | 2.5; 0.1 | 0.7; 0.4 (one way ANOVA) | |
| U69,593 after CRF vs after CRF with TTX, DNQX, gabazine | 4a | 47; 4; 0 | 0.9; 0.005 | 1.2; 0.3 | n/a | 0.3 (Permutation, mean) |
| U69,593 after CRF vs after CRF with $BaCl_2$ | 4a | 38; 1; 0 | 0.9; 0.01 | 2.5; 0.1 | n/a | 0.5 (Permutation, mean) |
| U69,593 after CRF vs after CRF with $CdCl_2$ | 4a | 33; 1; 0 | 0.9; 0.007 | 0.01; 0.9 | n/a | 0.6 (Permutation, mean) |
| U69,593 after CRF vs after CRF with NMDG | 4a | 31; 1; 0 | 0.9; 0.01 | 1.1; 0.3 | n/a | 0.2 (Permutation, mean) |
| U69,593 after CRF vs after CRF with SB203580 (only during U69,593) | 4a | 36; 1; 0 | 0.9; 0.00008 | 7.5; 0.008 | n/a | 0.4 (Permutation, mean) |
| $I_h$ magnitude after U69,593, with vs without CRF pretreatment | 4b | 18; 2; 0 | 0.9; 0.02 | 1.7; 0.2 | n/a | 0.3 (Permutation, mean) |
| U69,593 after CRF vs after CRF with SB203580 (applied | 4a,c | 34; 2; 0 | 1.0; 0.2 | 0.0007; 1.0 | 3.8; 0.06 | |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| during CRF and U69,593) | | | | | | |
| Intra-VTA U69,593 place conditioning, sham- vs shock-treated rats | 5b | 20 rats; 0; 0 | Base-sham: 1.0; 0.8 Base-shock: 0.9; 0.6 Sham-test: 0.9; 0.5 Shock-test: 0.9; 0.4 | Sham: 0.9; 0.4 Shock: 3.5; 0.08 | Pretreatment-U69,593 interaction: 9.0; 0.008 (Two way mixed measures ANOVA) Pairwise comparisons: Sham, Base vs test: 0.0004 Shock, Base vs test: 0.6 (Bonferroni corrected) | |
| Baseline Ri in neurons from stress vs sham animals | Supp 2 | 139; 0; 0 | 1.0; 0.01 | 0.5; 0.5 | | 0.2 (Permutation, mean) |
| Spontaneous AP duration in neurons from stress vs sham animals | Supp 2 | 81; 1; 0 | 0.9; 0.00002 | 0.9; 0.3 | | 0.8 (Permutation, mean) |
| Spontaneous AP threshold in neurons from stress vs sham animals | Supp 2 | 81; 0; 0 | 1.0; 0.8 | 0.9; 0.3 | 9.5; 0.003 (one way ANOVA) | |
| Spontaneous AP peak in neurons from stress vs sham animals | Supp 2 | 81; 1; 0 | 1.0; 0.09 | 1.5; 0.2 | 0.2; 0.7 (one way ANOVA) | |
| $I_h$ magnitude in neurons from stress vs sham animals | Supp 2 | 129; 17; 10 | 0.6; <0.000001 | 0.5; 0.5 | | 0.4 (Permutation, mean) |
| Baseline spontaneous firing rate in neurons from stress vs sham animals | Supp 2 | 60; 5; 3 | 0.8; <0.000001 | 0.4; 0.5 | | 0.3 (Permutation, mean) |
| Proportion of spontaneously active neurons from stress vs sham animals | | | | | | 0.9 (two tailed Fisher exact test) |
| Baseline Ri in neurons from stress animals grouped by U69,593 response type | Supp 3 | 46; 0; 0 | 1.0; 0.4 | 0.2; 0.8 | 3.2; 0.04 (one way ANOVA) Pairwise comparisons: Inhib-excit: 0.04 Inhib-no resp: 0.2 Excit-no resp: 0.9 | |
| AP duration in neurons from stress animals grouped by U69,593 response type | Supp 3 | 27; 0; 0 | 0.9; 0.1 | 4.4; 0.02 | | 3.1; 0.2 (Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test) |
| AP threshold in neurons from stress animals grouped by U69,593 response type | Supp 3 | 27; 0; 0 | 1.0; 0.4 | 1.5; 0.2 | 0.3; 0.7 (one way ANOVA) | |
| AP peak in neurons from stress animals grouped by U69,593 response type | Supp 3 | 27; 1; 0 | 0.9; 0.1 | 0.6; 0.5 | 0.8 (one way ANOVA) | |

| CORT from recording animals, foot shock vs sham | Supp 6 | 16 rats; 1; 0 | 0.9; 0.2 | 0.09; 0.8 | 0.05 (one way ANOVA) | |

## Supplementary Figure 1

A

B

200 pA
500 ms

C

exp fit

D

Baseline          U69,593
        Time

CRF     Baseline        U69,593
            Time

E

Change in $I_h$ $V_{1/2}$ (mV)

20
10
0
−10
−20
−30

F

Change in $I_h$ $V_{reverse}$ (mV)

−10

−20

G

$I_h$ activation $\tau$ (ms)

baseline   U69,593        baseline   U69,593

Supplementary Figure 3

Sham

Bregma -5.52 mm

Bregma -5.76 mm

N = 11

Bregma -6.24 mm

Foot shock

Bregma -5.52 mm

Bregma -5.76 mm

N = 11

Bregma -6.24 mm

# Supplementary Figure 4

**A**

TH(+) neurons

Foot shock:  sham    same    3 days    5 days
                     day     earlier   earlier

**B**

# Supplementary Figure 5

Supplementary Figure 6