Genomic and molecular characterisation of a *Klebsiella pneumoniae* clinical isolate resistant to meropenem-vaborbactam, imipenem-relebactam, and ceftazidime-avibactam

Yu Wan[1,2,3], Joshua L. C. Wong[4], Julia Sanchez-Garrido[4], Wen Wen Low[4], Jane F. Turton[1,5], Fabio Morecchiato[6], Ilaria Baccani[6], Kirsty Dodgson[8], Gian Maria Rossolini[6,7], Neil Woodford[5], Gad Frankel[4], Elita Jauneikaite[2], Danièle Meunier[1,5], and Katie L. Hopkins[1,2,5*]

[1] AMR & HCAI Division, UK Health Security Agency, London, United Kingdom

[2] NIHR Health Protection Research Unit in Healthcare Associated Infections and Antimicrobial Resistance, Department of Infectious Disease, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom

[3] David Price Evans Global Health and Infectious Diseases Research Group, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom

[4] Department of Life Sciences, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom

[5] Public Health Microbiology Reference Microbiology Division, UK Health Security Agency, London, United Kingdom

[6] Department of Experimental and Clinical Medicine, University of Florence, Florence, Italy

[7] Careggi University Hospital, Florence, Italy

[8] Department of Medical Microbiology, Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, United Kingdom

Address correspondence to Katie L. Hopkins (katie.hopkins@ukhsa.gov.uk)

Abstract

This article reports an unusual *Klebsiella pneumoniae* clinical isolate, KpMVR1, resistant to meropenem-vaborbactam, imipenem-relebactam, and ceftazidime-avibactam, and investigates the underlying genetic alterations using comparative genomics and molecular experiments.

Resistance to carbapenems and third-generation cephalosporins is increasing in *K. pneumoniae* globally, restricting therapeutic options. The β-lactam/β-lactamase inhibitor combinations are widely used to circumvent β-lactamase-mediated resistance. In 2021, isolate KpMVR1 was recovered from a hospitalised patient in England. Two additional isolates with the same variable-number tandem-repeat profile—KpMVS1, collected from the same patient 42 days before KpMVR1, and KpMVS2, from another patient in the same hospital—were susceptible to meropenem-vaborbactam, imipenem-relebactam, and ceftazidime-avibactam. Illumina and nanopore whole-genome sequencing and hybrid genome assembly were conducted for these three isolates. Annotated genome assemblies were compared to identify genetic variation, and mutagenesis experiments were performed to verify predicted functional alterations.

All isolates belonged to a novel clone ST8134 and carried bla_{KPC-2}-like alleles (KpMVR1: $bla_{KPC-157}$; KpMVS1 and KpMVS2: bla_{KPC-2}) in presumptively conjugative plasmids. IS*Ec68* caused a frameshift mutation in KpMVR1's *ompK36* gene, reducing the meropenem-vaborbactam and imipenem-relebactam susceptibility. KPC-157 demonstrated decreased hydrolysis of imipenem and ceftazidime when compared with KPC-2. KpMVR1 also encoded a disrupted transcriptional repressor MarR and a destabilising mutation in AcrB, a component of the AcrAB-TolC multidrug efflux pump. In conclusion, KpMVR1 harboured complex resistance-associated genetic alterations, with evidence for *in vivo* emergence of antimicrobial resistance. Our study underlines routine screening for resistant pathogens in vulnerable patients to guide antimicrobial chemotherapy as well as the need to characterise underlying resistance mechanisms to help assess the potential for onward transmission.

Keywords

Klebsiella pneumoniae, antimicrobial resistance, combination antimicrobials, β-lactam antimicrobials, β-lactamase inhibitors, porins, medical microbiology, bioinformatics, comparative genomics, mutagenesis experiments

Data summary

Illumina and nanopore sequencing reads, hybrid genome assemblies, and anonymised metadata of isolates KpMVS1, KpMVR1, and KpMVS2 have been deposited in databases of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) under BioProject accession PRJNA1084250, with BioSample accessions SAMN46778009 (KpMVS1), SAMN46778010 (KpMVR1), and SAMN46778011 (KpMVS2). The genome assemblies of these isolates have also been deposited in Pasteur Institute's database for *K. pneumoniae* species complex (bigsdb.pasteur.fr/klebsiella/) under ids 75608 (KpMVS1), 75609 (KpMVR1), and 75610 (KpMVS2).

Impact statement

This is the first bla_{KPC}-positive *K. pneumoniae* isolate referred to the UK's national reference laboratory with resistance to three last-resort β-lactam/β-lactamase inhibitor combinations meropenem-vaborbactam, imipenem-relebactam, and ceftazidime-avibactam, implicating *in vivo* emergence of this unusual resistance profile during prolonged antimicrobial chemotherapy. This isolate belonged to a novel clone ST8134 and harboured a plasmid-borne bla_{KPC-2}-like allele $bla_{KPC-157}$. We identified complex genetic alterations in this isolate: chromosomal large deletions, point mutations, and an IS*Ec68*-induced loss-of-function truncation of the *ompK36* porin gene. We determined the impact of KPC-2, KPC-157, and the *ompK36* truncation on the susceptibility of *K. pneumoniae* to meropenem, meropenem-vaborbactam, imipenem, imipenem-relebactam, imipenem-avibactam, aztreonam, aztreonam-avibactam, ceftazidime, ceftazidime-avibactam, and cefiderocol.

69   Our work underscores the need to monitor emerging resistance to beta-lactam/beta-lactamase inhibitor

70   combinations in healthcare and to understand underlying resistance mechanisms for assessing the

71   potential of pathogen transmission.

Introduction

Meropenem and imipenem are broad-spectrum carbapenem antimicrobials parenterally administered to treat serious bacterial infections, such as those caused by Enterobacterales producing extended-spectrum β-lactamases (ESBLs) or AmpC-type cephalosporinases (AmpCs) [1–3]. Ceftazidime, a third-generation parenteral cephalosporin, is also widely used for treating severe bacterial infections, although it can be hydrolysed by ESBLs and AmpCs [4, 5]. In Gram-negative bacteria, carbapenems and cephalosporins diffuse through outer-membrane porins and enter the periplasm, where they inactivate penicillin binding proteins, disrupting cell-wall synthesis with a bactericidal effect [6–8].

Combining β-lactams with β-lactamase inhibitors in antimicrobial chemotherapy is a widely employed strategy to circumvent β-lactamase-mediated resistance in bacteria. Vaborbactam, relebactam, and avibactam are non-β-lactam inhibitors of Ambler class A β-lactamases, such as ESBLs and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemases (KPCs), as well as class C β-lactamases (AmpCs) [9]. Moreover, avibactam inhibits several class D β-lactamases such as OXA-48 and OXA-10 [10]. These inhibitors penetrate the outer membrane (OM) of Gram-negative bacteria via porins, blocking the active sites of β-lactamases in the periplasm [9, 11].

In the UK, meropenem-vaborbactam, imipenem-relebactam, and ceftazidime-avibactam are reserved for highly selected patients [12]. Resistance to one or more of these combination antimicrobials in clinical isolates of KPC-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* has been previously reported [13–15]. Underlying resistance mechanisms include overproduction of KPCs or the AcrAB-TolC multidrug efflux pump, as well as gain-of-function point mutations in the bla_{KPC} gene [9, 16–18]. In addition, the disruption or transcriptional downregulation of *ompK35* (*ompF*) and *ompK36* (*ompC*), which encode non-selective porins that facilitate the diffusion of β-lactams and β-lactamase inhibitors through the OM, has also been implicated [17–20].

Here, we report and characterise a clinically significant *K. pneumoniae* isolate exhibiting resistance to ceftazidime-avibactam, meropenem-vaborbactam, and imipenem-relebactam, analysed in the

context of closely related isolates recovered in the same hospital. This was the first such isolate referred

to the UK's national reference laboratory for characterisation.

Methods

Isolate collection and phenotyping

The *K. pneumoniae* isolate KpMVS1 was recovered from a lung biopsy specimen of an inpatient

(hereafter, Patient 1) admitted to an intensive care unit (ICU) in England in 2021. The second *K.*

pneumoniae isolate, KpMVR1, was recovered from a groin wound of the same patient 42 days later.

During this ICU stay, the patient received a broad range of antimicrobials, including meropenem-

vaborbactam, ciprofloxacin, and gentamicin; however, ceftazidime-avibactam and imipenem-

relebactam were not used.

Species identification of the isolates and carbapenemase gene screening were performed using

matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionisation-time of flight (MALDI-ToF) method and the GeneXpert

system (Cepheid, USA), respectively. Initial antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST) was conducted

by the hospital, with results interpreted according to the European Committee on Antimicrobial

Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) guidelines. Both isolates were referred to the Antimicrobial

Resistance and Healthcare Associated Infections (AMRHAI) Reference Unit of the UK Health

Security Agency (UKHSA) for variable number tandem repeat (VNTR) typing [21] and investigation

of unusual antimicrobial resistance (AMR). Furthermore, a bla_{KPC}-positive *K. pneumoniae* isolate

KpMVS2 — recovered from a rectal swab of another patient (Patient 2) in the same hospital in 2020

during an outbreak investigation and sharing the same VNTR profile as KpMVS1 and KpMVR1 —

was retrieved from AMRHAI's culture collection for comparison. These three isolates were subjected

to whole-genome sequencing (WGS) and AST for which minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs)

of 19 antimicrobials (Table 1) and diameters of cefiderocol inhibition zones were interpreted as per

EUCAST clinical breakpoints v15.0 [22].

Whole-genome sequencing

Genomic DNA of each isolate was extracted from an overnight culture using the GeneJET Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific, UK) as per the manufacturer's protocol. Short-read sequencing was conducted on a HiSeq 2500 system (Illumina, USA) by UKHSA's Colindale Sequencing Laboratory following its paired-end 101-bp protocol. Long-read sequencing was performed on MinION R9.4.1 flow cells (Oxford Nanopore Technologies [ONT], UK), with libraries prepared using the ONT Rapid Barcoding Kit SQK-RBK004.

Bioinformatics analysis

Illumina reads were trimmed and filtered with Trimmomatic v0.39 for a minimum per-read quality of Phred Q30 and minimum length of 50 bp [23]. Fast-mode basecalling and de-multiplexing of nanopore reads was conducted by guppy v4 (ONT). Nanopore reads were then trimmed and filtered for a minimum per-read quality of Q10 and minimum length of 1 kbp using fastp v0.23.4 [24]. For species confirmation and contamination assessment, taxonomical profiling of processed Illumina and nanopore reads were performed using Kraken v2.1.3, bracken v2.8, and a standard Kraken database built in September 2023 [25, 26].

Genomes of KpMVR1 and KpMVS2, with estimated nanopore read depths of 185× and 243×, respectively, were assembled using hybracter v0.5.0 (assemblers: Flye v2.9.3 and plassembler v1.5.0; sequence re-orientator: dnaapler v0.5.1; long-read polisher: medaka v1.8.0, short-read polishers: pypolca v0.2.1 and polypolish v0.5.0) [27–32]. For KpMVS1, which had nanopore reads with an estimated depth of 64×, the chromosome and plasmid sequences were assembled using Raven v1.8.3 and plassembler, respectively, and polished with nanopore and Illumina reads as for KpMVR1 and KpMVS2. Evaluation of contamination and completeness of genome assemblies were conducted with CheckM2 v1.0.2 and its database Uniref100/KO [33]. The average fold-coverage of each contig was estimated from Illumina and nanopore reads, respectively, using mosdepth v0.3.9 [34].

The genome assemblies were annotated using bakta v1.9.2 and its standard database v5.1 [35].

Multi-locus sequence typing, serotype prediction, and virulence-factor detection were performed using

Kleborate v3.1.3, which incorporated Kaptive v3.1.0 [36, 37]. AMR genes were detected using

AMRFinderPlus v3.12.8 with a minimum query coverage of 80% [38]. Clustered regularly interspaced

short palindromic repeats (CRISPR) and CRISPR-associated (Cas) genes in chromosomes were

predicted using CRISPRCasFinder [39]. For plasmids, replicon types were determined at a minimum

of 80% nucleotide identity and coverage using PlasmidFinder v2.1 [40] and the mobility was predicted

using mob_typer of MOB-suite v3.1.8 [41]. The fold-coverage of each KPC-encoding plasmid was

divided by that of its host's chromosome to estimate the plasmid copy number. Transposons and

insertion sequences (ISs) were identified using TnCentral Blast (blastn) and ISFinder, respectively [42,

43].

Chromosome and plasmids of KpMVR1 and KpMVS2 were compared against those of KpMVS1

using minimap v2.26 [44]. Identified genetic variants were annotated using snpEff v5.2 [45]. Gene

Ontology terms were predicted from amino acid sequences using InterProScan v5.69-101.0 [46] with

sequence alignments filtered for ≥60% query coverages. Impacts of point mutations on protein stability

were predicted from wild-type protein structures in the UniProt database using Missense3D and

DDMut [47–49]. The three-dimensional structure of the plasmid-encoded donor OM protein TraN was

compared between KPC-encoding, IncFII-carrying plasmids pKpMVS1_1, pKpMVR1_1, and

pKpMVS2_1 following the approach developed by Low *et al* (Supplementary methods) to estimate

the impact of TraN alterations on the conjugation specificity and efficiency [50]. Comparison and

annotations of these three plasmids were visualised using BRIG v0.95 and Proksee [51, 52]. Gene

synteny was illustrated using R package gggenes [53]. Genetic alterations found in both KpMVR1 and

KpMVS2 were considered unlikely to confer the unique AMR profiles of KpMVR1 and were therefore

excluded from further investigation.

Functional assessment

To experimentally determine and compare the impacts of $bla_{\text{KPC-2}}$ and $bla_{\text{KPC-157}}$ on β-lactam susceptibility in *K. pneumoniae*, KpMVS1's KPC-2-encoding plasmid pKpMVS1_1, was introduced into the plasmid-free *K. pneumoniae* laboratory strain ICC8001 (MICs: meropenem, ≤0.06 mg/L; imipenem, 0.25 mg/L; aztreonam, ≤0.125 mg/L; ceftazidime and ceftazidime-avibactam, 0.25 mg/L) through conjugation, resulting in a transconjugant ICC8001$_{\text{KPC-2}}$ [54]. Transgenic isolates ICC8001$_{\text{KPC-157}}$ and KpMVS1$_{\text{KPC-157}}$ were derived from ICC8001$_{\text{KPC-2}}$ and KpMVS1, respectively, by substituting the $bla_{\text{KPC-2}}$ allele with $bla_{\text{KPC-157}}$. Moreover, isolates ICC8001$_{\text{KPC-2/}\Delta\text{ompK36}}$ and ICC8001$_{\text{KPC-157/}\Delta\text{ompK36}}$ were derived from ICC8001$_{\text{KPC-2}}$ and ICC8001$_{\text{KPC-157}}$, respectively, through seamless, markerless homologous recombination using mutagenesis vectors and a lambda-red based recombination system generated in previous work [54].

To predict the presence/absence of OmpK36 in the OM of KpMVR1, Sec-dependent signal peptides and their cleavage site in translated *ompK36* alleles were compared between KpMVS1 and KpMVR1 using SignalP v6.0 [55]. To validate the prediction, purification of OM proteins was performed by resuspending an overnight LB-Miller culture (VWR, USA) of each isolate in 1M HEPES (pH 7.4) and sonicating at 25% amplitude for 10 bursts of 10 seconds on, 15 seconds off each (Model 705 Sonic Dismembrator, Fisher Scientific). Isolates ICC8001 and its *ompK36*-knockout derivative, ICC8001$_{\Delta\text{ompK36}}$, were included as positive and negative controls, respectively. After separating cellular debris by centrifugation, OM proteins were obtained by centrifugation at 14,000×g for 30 mins and resuspended in 2% sarcosine/HEPES for 30 mins at room temperature. All steps were performed at 4°C on ice to preserve protein integrity unless otherwise indicated. For visualisation, 10 μg protein per isolate was separated by sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) using 12% acrylamide gels and was stained with Coomassie solution (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) and imaged on a ChemiDoc XRS+ (Bio-Rad, USA).

Both progenitor isolates (KpMVS1 and KpMVR1) and the five transgenic isolates (KpMVS1$_{KPC-157}$,

ICC8001$_{KPC-2}$, ICC8001$_{KPC-157}$, ICC8001$_{KPC-2/\Delta ompK36}$, and ICC8001$_{KPC-157/\Delta ompK36}$) were tested for

susceptibility to meropenem, meropenem-vaborbactam, imipenem, imipenem-relebactam, imipenem-

avibactam, ceftazidime, ceftazidime-avibactam, aztreonam, aztreonam-avibactam, and cefiderocol (in

iron-depleted medium) by the reference broth microdilution method as per the EUCAST guidance [56,

57]. Any MIC change above a two-fold difference between two isolates was considered notable.

Results

Phenotypes of isolates

Isolates KpMVS1 and KpMVR1, obtained 42 days apart from Patient 1 with recurrent *K. pneumoniae*

infections, and KpMVS2, obtained from Patient 2 in the same hospital, were identified as *K.*

pneumoniae by both MALDI-ToF and WGS. In the ICU where KpMVS1 and KpMVR1 were

recovered, all patients were screened for carriage of carbapenemase-producing Enterobacterales (CPE)

on admission using PCR, and the resistance profile of KpMVR1 was unique among all identified CPE

isolates from the ICU during Patient 1's stay.

Based on AST results from the AMRHAI Reference Unit (Table 1), KpMVR1 was resistant to

meropenem-vaborbactam (MIC>256 mg/L), ceftazidime-avibactam (MIC=16 mg/L), and

ciprofloxacin (MIC>4 mg/L), whereas KpMVS1 and KpMVS2 were susceptible to these antimicrobial

agents (MICs: meropenem-vaborbactam ≤0.064 mg/L; ceftazidime-avibactam 1 mg/L; ciprofloxacin

≤0.125 mg/L). Notably, KpMVS2 was resistant to cefiderocol. Further AST discovered that the

imipenem-relebactam MIC of KpMVR1 (512 mg/L, resistant) was 2048 times that of KpMVS1 (0.25

mg/L, susceptible) (Table 2). Moreover, KpMVR1 exhibited a >4-fold increase in the temocillin MIC

(>128 mg/L) and a >8-fold reduction in the cefepime MIC (4 mg/L, susceptible, increased exposure)

compared with KpMVS1 (temocillin: 32 mg/L; cefepime: >32 mg/L, resistant).

Table 1. Antimicrobial minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs; mg/L) determined by UKHSA's AMRHAI Reference Unit and susceptibility interpretations (as per EUCAST clinical breakpoints v15.0) of three *K. pneumoniae* clinical isolates. Abbreviations: MEM, meropenem; VAB, vaborbactam; IPM, imipenem; ETP, ertapenem; CET, ceftolozane; TZB, tazobactam; CFD, cefiderocol; FEP, cefepime; CAZ, ceftazidime; AVI, avibactam; CTX, cefotaxime; FOX, cefoxitin; TMC, temocillin; AMP, ampicillin; AMX, amoxicillin; CAV, clavulanate; PIP, piperacillin; ATM, aztreonam; AMK, amikacin; GEN, gentamicin; CST, colistin; CIP, ciprofloxacin; MB, monobactam. Susceptibility interpretations: R, resistant; I, susceptible, increased exposure; S, susceptible.

	Carbapenem				Cephalosporin							Penicillin				MB	Aminoglycoside		Others	
Isolate	MEM-VAB	MEM	IPM	ETP	CET-TZB	CFD*	FEP	CAZ	CAZ-AVI	CTX	FOX‡	TMC‡	AMP	AMX-CAV	PIP-TZB	ATM	AMK‡	GEN‡	CST‡	CIP
KpMVR1	>256 (R)	>16 (R)	>128 (R)	>4 (R)	16 (R)	(S)	4 (I)	256 (R)	16 (R)	8 (R)	>64	>128	>32 (R)	>32 (R)	>64 (R)	16 (R)	2	0.5	≤0.5	>4 (R)
KpMVS1	0.064 (S)	>16 (R)	64 (R)	>4 (R)	>16 (R)	(S)	>32 (R)	128 (R)	1 (S)	64 (R)	>64	32	>32 (R)	>32 (R)	>64 (R)	>32 (R)	≤1	≤0.25	≤0.5	≤0.125 (S)
KpMVS2	0.032 (S)	16 (R)	16 (R)	>4 (R)	>16 (R)	(R)	>32 (R)	64 (R)	1 (S)	16 (R)	32	8	>32 (R)	>32 (R)	>64 (R)	>32 (R)	≤1	≤0.25	1	≤0.125 (S)

* CFD susceptibility was determined using disc diffusion. ‡ Interpretations were not available according to EUCAST guidelines.

223 **Table 2.** Minimum inhibitory concentrations of beta-lactam antimicrobials and susceptibility interpretations (as per EUCAST clinical breakpoints v15.0, where
224 applicable) of progenitor and transgenic *K. pneumoniae* isolates determined in the experiments for functional assessment. Subscripts in isolate names indicate
225 transgenic isolates and corresponding genotypes. Abbreviations: MEM, meropenem; VAB, vaborbactam; IPM, imipenem; REL, relebactam; ATM, aztreonam;
226 AVI, avibactam; CAZ, ceftazidime; CFD, cefiderocol, tested in iron-depleted Mueller Hinton broth; NT, not tested. Interpretations of antimicrobial susceptibility:
227 R, resistant; I, susceptible upon increased antimicrobial exposure; S, susceptible. Notations: *ompK36*fs, frameshifted *ompK36*; Δ*ompK36*, deletion of *ompK36*.

Isolate	Genotype		Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (mg/L) and interpretation									
			MEM	MEM-VAB	IPM	IPM-REL	IPM-AVI	ATM	ATM-AVI	CAZ	CAZ-AVI	CFD
KpMVR1	$bla_{KPC-157}$	*ompK36*fs	512 (R)	256 (R)	512 (R)	512 (R)	NT	16 (R)	4 (S)	8 (R)	8 (S)	0.5 (S)
KpMVS1	bla_{KPC-2}	*ompK36*	32 (R)	≤0.06 (S)	32 (R)	0.25 (S)	≤0.5	512 (R)	0.25 (S)	32 (R)	0.5 (S)	0.25 (S)
KpMVS1$_{KPC-157}$	$bla_{KPC-157}$	*ompK36*	16 (R)	≤0.06 (S)	8 (R)	8 (R)	≤0.5	2 (I)	0.25 (S)	1 (S)	0.25 (S)	≤0.06 (S)
ICC8001$_{KPC-2}$	bla_{KPC-2}	*ompK36*	16 (R)	≤0.06 (S)	16 (R)	0.25 (S)	≤0.5	512 (R)	0.125 (S)	32 (R)	0.25 (S)	0.25 (S)
ICC8001$_{KPC-157}$	$bla_{KPC-157}$	*ompK36*	16 (R)	≤0.06 (S)	4 (I)	4 (R)	≤0.5	1 (S)	0.125 (S)	0.5 (S)	0.125 (S)	≤0.06 (S)
ICC8001$_{KPC-2/ΔompK36}$	bla_{KPC-2}	Δ*ompK36*	256 (R)	2 (S)	256 (R)	2 (S)	NT	>1024 (R)	0.25 (S)	16 (R)	0.5 (S)	0.25 (S)
ICC8001$_{KPC-157/ΔompK36}$	$bla_{KPC-157}$	Δ*ompK36*	256 (R)	4 (S)	256 (R)	128 (R)	NT	4 (I)	0.25 (S)	1 (S)	0.5 (S)	0.25 (S)

230 **Table 3.** Genetic characteristics of the three *K. pneumoniae* clinical isolates. Abbreviation: AMR, antimicrobial resistance. Each hit of plasmid replicons covered
231 the full length of its reference sequence in the PlasmidFinder database.

Isolate	Sequence	Category	Length (bp)	Plasmid type	Nucleotide identity to template	Plasmid mobility	AMR gene
KpMVS1	KpMVS1	Chromosome	5,404,514				bla_{SHV-36}, *fosA10*
	pKpMVS1_1	Plasmid	111,397	IncFII/repB(R1701)	IncFII(pKP91): 100%; repB(R1701): 99.52%	Conjugative	bla_{KPC-2}
	pKpMVS1_2	Plasmid	41,868	IncFII(pMET)	IncFII(pMET): 98.09%	Non-mobilisable	
	pKpMVS1_3	Plasmid	4,809	Col(pHAD28)	Col(pHAD28): 92.37%	Non-mobilisable	
	pKpMVS1_4	Plasmid	4,439	Col(pHAD28)/Col440II	Col(pHAD28): 93.13%; Col440II: 97.52%	Mobilisable	
	pKpMVS1_5	Plasmid	3,258	Unknown	Not detected	Non-mobilisable	
	pKpMVS1_6	Plasmid	1,917	Col(pHAD28)	Col(pHAD28): 100%	Mobilisable	
KpMVR1	KpMVR1	Chromosome	5,292,801				bla_{SHV-36}, *fosA10*
	pKpMVR1_1	Plasmid	111,174	IncFII/repB(R1701)	IncFII(pKP91): 100%, repB(R1701): 99.52%	Conjugative	$bla_{KPC-157}$
	pKpMVR1_2	Plasmid	41,868	IncFII(pMET)	IncFII(pMET): 98.09%	Non-mobilisable	
	pKpMVR1_3	Plasmid	4,809	Col(pHAD28)	Col(pHAD28): 92.37%	Non-mobilisable	
	pKpMVR1_4	Plasmid	4,439	Col(pHAD28)/Col440II	Col(pHAD28): 93.13%; Col440II: 97.52%	Mobilisable	
	pKpMVR1_5	Plasmid	3,258	Unknown	Not detected	Non-mobilisable	
	pKpMVR1_6	Plasmid	1,917	Col(pHAD28)	Col (pHAD28): 100%	Mobilisable	
KpMVS2	KpMVS2	Chromosome	5,354,507				bla_{SHV-36}, *fosA10*
	pKpMVS2_1	Plasmid	116,795	IncFII/IncR	IncFII(pKP91): 100%; IncR: 99.6%	Conjugative	bla_{KPC-2}
	pKpMVS2_2	Plasmid	41,868	IncFII(pMET)	IncFII(pMET): 98.09%	Non-mobilisable	
	pKpMVS2_3	Plasmid	4,808	Col(pHAD28)	Col (pHAD28): 92.37%[*]	Non-mobilisable	
	pKpMVS2_4	Plasmid	4,187	Col(pHAD28)	Col (pHAD28): 92.37%[*]	Non-mobilisable	
	pKpMVS2_5	Plasmid	3,258	Unknown	Not detected	Non-mobilisable	
	pKpMVS2_6	Plasmid	1,917	Col(pHAD28)	Col (pHAD28): 100%	Mobilisable	
	pKpMVS2_7	Plasmid	240,297	IncFII(pKP91)/IncFIB(K)	IncFII(pKP91): 84.98%; IncFIB(K): 98.93%	Conjugative	

232 [*] Two hits of the Col(pHAD28) template sequence in the PlasmidFinder database differed between pKpMVS2_3 and pKpMVS2_4 by seven nucleotide
233 substitutions (95% nucleotide identity) despite their same percent identity to the template.

Table 4. Chromosomal genetic variation in isolate KpMVR1 identified via comparison against its progenitor KpMVS1. Coordinates refer to locations in the reference sequence of the KpMVS1 chromosome. Variants shared by both KpMVR1 and KpMVS2 against their common reference sequence of KpMVS1 are indicated by asterisks following the coordinates. The "^" sign indicates an insertion between two consecutive bases in the reference sequence. Abbreviations: CRISPR, clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats; Cas: CRISPR-associated genes; Del, deletion; Ins, insertion; fs, frameshift.

Location	Locus	Product	Variant type	DNA change	Protein change
455179	*rrl*	23S rRNA	Substitution	G>T	
1050491 – 1070213	Multiple	Type I-E CRISPR-Cas system, *etc.* (Figure S3, Table S3)	Deletion	Deletion of 19,723 bp	Loss of production
1113441 – 1113446	*flhA*	Formate hydrogenlyase transcriptional activator	Deletion	Deletion of 6 bp	L367Del, T368Del
1218110^1218111 *	*rrl*	23S rRNA	Insertion	Insertion of base G	
1578603	*gyrA*	DNA topoisomerase (ATP-hydrolyzing) subunit A	Substitution	248C>A	S83Y
1588108^1588109	*ompK36*	Outer membrane porin OmpK36	Insertion	Insertion of IS*Ec68*	Amino acid substitutions
1724677^1724678 *	*xylB*	Xylulose kinase	Insertion	Insertion of base G	I236fs
1792900	*rfbD*	UDP-galactopyranose mutase	Substitution	578T>A	M193K
1819375^1819376	Intergenic		Insertion	Insertion of base C	
2183835^2183836 *	Intergenic		Insertion	Insertion of base T	
2183837 *	Intergenic		Substitution	A>T	
2201799–2256547	Multiple	Multiple products including transporters (Figure 1, Table S2)	Deletion	Deletion of 54,749 bp	Loss of production
2759671–2759685	*marR*	Multiple-AMR (Mar) transcriptional repressor MarR	Deletion	Deletion of bases 263–277	P88–D92Del, K93Q
3157221^3157222 *	Intergenic		Insertion	Insertion of base C	
3189754 – 3223285 *	Multiple	Multiple products (Figure S4, Table S4)	Deletion	Deletion of 33,532 bp	Loss of production
3274833	*phoQ*	Two-component system sensor histidine kinase	Substitution	C>T	T156I
3580334 – 3585227 *	Multiple	IS*3H* composite transposon (Figure S5, Table S5)	Deletion	Deletion of 4,894 bp	Loss of production
4104884	*acrB*	Multidrug efflux RND transporter permease subunit AcrB	Substitution	T>G	L667R
4262704^ 4262705	*ecpR*	Regulator protein EcpR	Insertion	Insertion (2 bp)	I115fs
4723928	*rrl*	23S ribosomal RNA	Deletion	Deletion of base C	
5349457	*rrl*	23S ribosomal RNA	Deletion	Deletion of base C	

Genetic characteristics of isolates

All three isolates belonged to *K. pneumoniae* clone ST8134, a novel single-locus variant of ST240,

and were predicted to share the O1αβ,2β O-antigen type and K62 capsular polysaccharide type.

Sequence lengths, plasmid replicons, and AMR genes determined in hybrid genome assemblies are

summarised in Table 3. KpMVR1 and KpMVS1 shared the same plasmid types IncFII/repB(R1701),

IncFII(pMET), Col(pHAD28), and Col(pHAD28)/Col440II, whereas KpMVS2 possessed unique

plasmid types IncFII/IncR and IncFII(pKP91)/FIB(K).

KpMVS1 carried bla_{KPC-2} on the 111.4 kbp IncFII(pKP91)/repB(R1701) plasmid pKpMVS1_1. A

plasmid of the same type was identified in KpMVR1 (pKpMVR1_1, 111.2 kbp) and carried $bla_{KPC-157}$,

which differed from bla_{KPC-2} by a single missense mutation (392A>G) resulting in an N131S amino

acid substitution within the enzyme's active site [58], where N131 bounds to relebactam, avibactam,

and vaborbactam through a hydrogen bond [59–61]. Notably, pKpMVR1_1 differed from

pKpMVS1_1 by 285 nucleotide substitutions, 14 deletions, and three insertions. These variants were

concentrated in two genomic regions involved in plasmid transfer and maintenance (Figure S1),

suggesting recombination between plasmids. Another plasmid type, IncFII(pKP91)/IncR, in KpMVS2

harboured bla_{KPC-2}. All these KPC-encoding plasmids were predicted to be conjugative (relaxase type:

MOBF; mating pair formation type: MPF_F), and each carried a variant of the Tn*4401a* transposon

harbouring bla_{KPC-2} or $bla_{KPC-157}$, with 1–2 SNPs between each pair of transposons (Table S1, Figure

S1). The comparison between fold-coverages of contigs suggested that each of these three isolates

carried a single copy of the KPC-encoding plasmid. Other AMR genes detected in these isolates were

chromosomal β-lactamase gene bla_{SHV} (variant bla_{SHV-36}) and fosfomycin resistance gene *fosA10*,

which are both intrinsic to *K. pneumoniae* [62–64].

The chromosome of KpMVR1 differed from that of KpMVS1 by six single-nucleotide

polymorphisms (SNPs), seven insertions, and eight deletions (including four large deletions illustrated

in Figures 1 and S2–5). Seven of these genetic variants were also identified in KpMVS2 (Table 4),

which differed from KpMVS1 by 129 SNPs, 13 insertions, and seven deletions. Two large deletions (19.7 kbp and 4.9 kbp) in KpMVR1 could be attributed to an IS-mediated deletion that had previously been observed in *Escherichia coli* (Figures S3 and S5) [65]. Notably, KpMVR1 exhibited deletion of a 54.7-kbp region that comprised operons producing an AcrAB-like multidrug efflux pump and an additional ABC-type Fe^{3+}-siderophore transport system in both KpMVS1 and KpMVS2 (Figure 1 and Table S2). Each of the three isolates carried a single copy of the *acrRAB* operon and *tolC* gene, which combine to produce the AcrAB-TolC multidrug efflux pump. However, the permease AcrB in KpMVR1 differed from that in KpMVS1 and KpMVS2 by a destabilising mutation L667R outside the protein's transmembrane domains.

As for the biosynthesis of siderophores and transport of the iron-siderophore complex, which facilitate cefiderocol to penetrate the OM [66], KpMVS1, KpMVR1, and KpMVS2 were predicted to possess complete enterobactin production and iron-enterobactin transport systems, while none of the yersiniabactin, colibactin, aerobactin, or salmochelin loci were detected, corresponding to a Kleborate virulence score of zero. All three isolates shared the same 19-kbp chromosomal region harbouring a cluster of enterobactin-synthesising genes *entA–F and entH*, enterobactin-exporter gene *entS*, and iron-enterobactin transporter genes *fepA–D* and *fepG*.

Compared with the ciprofloxacin-susceptible isolates KpMVS1 and KpMVS2, KpMVR1 harboured a nucleotide substitution 248C>A in the DNA gyrase gene *gyrA*, resulting in the GyrA mutation S83Y, which is known to reduce ciprofloxacin susceptibility [67]. The three isolates also carried a single copy of the *marRAB* operon. However, KpMVR1 exhibited a unique 15-bp in-frame deletion in the non-essential transcriptional repressor gene *marR* within the *marRAB* operon, causing a loss of five amino acids and an amino acid substitution within the DNA-binding region of MarR (Table 4) [68].

Seven bases at the 5' end of *ompK36* in KpMVR1 were truncated by an additional copy of insertion sequence IS*Ec68* (three copies in KpMVS1 and KpMVS2, respectively), resulting in a frameshift

mutation that replaced the first three amino acids at the N-terminal of OmpK36 with 12 amino acids

(Figure 2). The native 21 N-terminal amino acids of OmpK36 encode a Sec-dependent signal sequence

(UniProtKB accession: A0A0H3H0Y2) that is required for translocating this protein to the inner

membrane of *K. pneumoniae* (Figure 2B) [69]. The signal sequence is subsequently cleaved and

OmpK36 is then folded and inserted into the OM (where the protein is functionally active as a porin)

in a Bam-complex dependent fashion, a process facilitated by a C-terminal recognition sequence [70].

Whilst *ompK36* from KpMVS1 and KpMVS2 is predicted to encode a complete sec-dependent signal

sequence, the 12 amino acids insertion combined with the deletion of three amino acids in OmpK36

from KpMVR1 is predicted to hinder this protein's translocation to the OM according to the disrupted

signal sequence (Figure S6). These predictions were confirmed by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis

of OM preparations, followed by Coomassie staining, that a band corresponding to OmpK36 was

present in KpMVS1 but absent in KpMVR1 (Figure 2C). Therefore, the disruption of the Sec-

dependent signal sequence of OmpK36 is functionally equivalent to deletion of *ompK36*.

Regarding the plasmid-encoded TraN proteins, $TraN_{pKpMVR1\_1}$ and $TraN_{pKpMVS2\_1}$ were identical

(NCBI protein accession: WP_049192820.1) and differed from $TraN_{pKpMVS1\_1}$ (WP_436914186.1) by

six amino acid substitutions (Table S6). Phylogenetic analysis revealed that these proteins belonged to

the specialist TraNβ group (Figure S7), which has a narrow host range [50, 71]. Pairwise structural

comparison between $TraN_{pKpMVR1\_1}$ ($TraN_{pKpMVS2\_1}$), $TraN_{pKpMVS1\_1}$, and the prototype TraNβ protein

$TraN_{pKpQIL}$ showed high consistency (Figures S8), and no amino acid substitution occurred in the

characteristic distal β-hairpin (Figure S9), suggesting that the variation in TraN sequences across

plasmids pKpMVR1_1, pKpMVS1_1, pKpMVS2_1, and pKpQIL is unlikely to affect the conjugation

specificity [72].

Impact of genetic alterations on antimicrobial resistance

The substitution of bla_{KPC-2} with $bla_{KPC-157}$ in KpMVS1 ($KpMVS1_{KPC-157}$) and the transconjugant

$ICC8001_{KPC-2}$ ($ICC8001_{KPC-157}$) did not affect the susceptibility to meropenem, meropenem-

vaborbactam, or imipenem-avibactam but led to a fourfold reduction in the imipenem MIC and a 16-

to 32-fold increase in imipenem-relebactam MIC (Table 2). Moreover, this allelic substitution resulted

in a 256- to 512-fold reduction in the aztreonam MIC, a 32- to 64-fold reduction in the ceftazidime

MIC, and a ≥4-fold reduction in the cefiderocol MIC, but had no effect on the MICs of aztreonam-

avibactam or ceftazidime-avibactam. Notably, imipenem and imipenem-relebactam MICs of each

KPC-157-producing isolate were identical (Table 2). These findings suggest that KPC-157 has a

weaker capacity to hydrolyse imipenem, aztreonam, ceftazidime, and cefiderocol than KPC-2, and

that—unlike vaborbactam and avibactam, which inhibit both KPC variants—relebactam inhibits KPC-

2 but not KPC-157, which is consistent with a previous report [59].

Knocking out *ompK36* from the ICC8001 chromosome (ICC8001$_{KPC-2/\Delta ompK36}$ and ICC8001$_{KPC-157/\Delta ompK36}$)

led to a 16-fold increase in the MICs of both meropenem and imipenem, a >33-fold increase

in the meropenem-vaborbactam MIC, an 8- to 32-fold increase in the imipenem-relebactam MIC, and

a more than twofold increase in the aztreonam MIC (Table 2). These findings are consistent with the

role of OmpK36 as an entry route for β-lactams and β-lactamase inhibitors to penetrate the OM [73].

Nevertheless, when comparing MICs of ceftazidime, ceftazidime-avibactam, and cefiderocol before

and after knocking out *ompK36* from ICC8001$_{KPC-2}$ and ICC8001$_{KPC-157}$, only two pairs of MICs

exhibited notable increases (from 0.125 mg/L to 0.5 mg/L for ceftazidime-avibactam, and from ≤0.06

mg/L to 0.25 mg/L for cefiderocol), while the others showed no appreciable changes, suggesting

alternative routes of avibactam's entry. More generally, the comparison between β-lactam MICs with

and without β-lactamase inhibitors for isolates KpMVR1, ICC8001$_{KPC-2/\Delta ompK36}$, and ICC8001$_{KPC-157/\Delta ompK36}$

in Table 2 indicates that these inhibitors penetrated the OM via routes other than OmpK36,

effectively inhibiting β-lactamases.

The chromosomes of KpMVR1, KpMVS1, and ICC8001 derivatives harboured the same cluster

of *ent* and *fep* genes within a 19-kbp region encoding an ABC-type Fe^{3+}-siderophore transporter

associated with the cefiderocol susceptibility [66]. These isolates did not exhibit any notable difference

in cefiderocol MICs despite KpMVR1's loss of the 54.7-kbp chromosomal region harbouring *fep*-like

genes (Table S2), suggesting alternative entry routes of cefiderocol.

Discussion

In the UK, meropenem-vaborbactam and imipenem-relebactam are recommended for treating adult

patients (≥18 years of age) with severe multidrug-resistant infections where therapeutic options are

limited, and ceftazidime-avibactam is recommended as an alternative when the disease-causing

bacterium produces class D carbapenemase (*e.g.*, OXA-48) [74–76]. Prevalence of resistance in

Enterobacterales to any of these three combination antimicrobials was 1–5% across the globe as of

2022 despite regional variation [17, 77–81]. Therefore, the discovery of *K. pneumoniae* isolate

KpMVR1, which exhibited unusual resistance to meropenem-vaborbactam, imipenem-relebactam,

and ceftazidime-avibactam, in a seriously ill patient is particularly worrisome. The small number of

chromosomal SNPs (n=6) and indels (n=10; ≤15 bp each) identified in KpMVR1 when compared with

KpMVS1, Patient 1's exposure to meropenem, meropenem-vaborbactam, and fluoroquinolones, and

the unique antibiogram of KpMVR1 in the ICU altogether support the suspected *in vivo* emergence of

meropenem-vaborbactam, ceftazidime-avibactam, imipenem-relebactam, and ciprofloxacin resistance

in the same *K. pneumoniae* strain during this patient's hospital stay. A similar shift in the ceftazidime-

avibactam susceptibility profile of *K. pneumoniae* has been reported during treatment using

meropenem followed by ceftazidime-avibactam [82].

KPC-2 is known to confer carbapenem resistance in Gram-negative bacteria but can be effectively

inhibited by vaborbactam, avibactam, and relebactam [83]. Here, we have experimentally determined

the effect of carbapenemase KPC-157 on the susceptibility to carbapenems and cephalosporins with

or without β-lactamase inhibitors. Our results indicate that KPC-157 does not differ from KPC-2 in its

interaction with meropenem or meropenem-vaborbactam. Therefore, the presence of *bla*$_{KPC-157}$ in the

single-copy plasmid pKpMVR1_1 alone cannot explain the high-level meropenem-vaborbactam

resistance observed in KpMVR1. Notably, KPC-157 appears less capable of hydrolysing imipenem,

aztreonam, ceftazidime, and cefiderocol than KPC-2, and is inhibited by vaborbactam and avibactam

but not by relebactam.

Isolate KpMVR1 showed genetic changes that may alter the antimicrobial permeability of its OM

when compared with isolate KpMVS1. Resulting from an IS-induced sequence disruption, the

hindered translocation of OmpK36 to the OM is predicted to hamper the influx of β-lactams and β-

lactamase inhibitors into the periplasm, hence elevated MICs of carbapenems and cephalosporins

tested in Table 2 with and without β-lactamase inhibitors. Such hampered antimicrobial and inhibitor

influx might be further compromised by a decreased expression of *ompK35* in KpMVR1 as a result of

the in-frame, presumptively inactivating deletion within the repressor gene *marR* and the consequent

upregulation of the *marA* gene [84]. Moreover, the inactivation of *marR* is known to increase the

production of the AcrAB-TolC efflux pump, conferring low-level cross-resistance to antimicrobials

including β-lactams and ciprofloxacin [85]. However, this upregulation of AcrAB-TolC in KpMVR1

might not alter its antimicrobial susceptibility owing to the possibly destabilised AcrB. Therefore, the

high-level ciprofloxacin resistance in KpMVR1 could be primarily driven by the combination of the

gyrA mutation S83Y and the absence of OmpK36 in this isolate's OM [67, 86].

This study is limited to three *K. pneumoniae* isolates belonging to the same clone, with only one

isolate (KpMVR1) exhibiting elevated MICs of meropenem-vaborbactam, imipenem-relebactam,

aztreonam-avibactam, and ceftazidime-avibactam. KpMVR1 harboured multiple AMR-associated

genetic alterations. Broader surveillance of genetic variants similar to those identified in KpMVR1 is

needed to assess the prevalence and clinical relevance of these putative resistance mechanisms.

Although we experimentally validated the individual contributions of $bla_{KPC-157}$ and $\Delta ompK36$ to

antimicrobial susceptibility, the genetically reconstructed isolates could not fully replicate the same

level of MIC increments as KpMVR1, suggesting that other genetic or regulatory mechanisms may be

involved, which remain to be elucidated. Transcriptomic and proteomic profiling could be performed

in the future to determine whether regulatory mechanisms also contribute to the observed AMR in KpMVR1.

At the nation level, a review of routine surveillance and reference laboratory samples from 2016-2020 revealed only low levels of resistance to ceftazidime-avibactam in the UK [81]. However, it remains essential that emerging resistance to ceftazidime-avibactam and novel β-lactam/β-lactamase inhibitor combinations is promptly identified and reported through UKHSA's Second Generation Surveillance System and referral of such isolates to the AMRHAI Reference Unit. Additionally, our study highlights the importance of monitoring the evolving antimicrobial susceptibility profiles of bacterial pathogens within patients during antimicrobial therapy.

Funding information

This work was mainly funded by the UKHSA. YW is a research fellow funded by the David Price Evans Endowment (grant number: UGG10057) at the University of Liverpool and was an Imperial Institutional Strategic Support Fund Springboard Research Fellow, funded by the Wellcome Trust and Imperial College London (grant number: PSN109). YW, EJ, DM, and KLH are affiliated with the National Institute for Health and Care Research Health Protection Research Unit in Healthcare Associated Infections and Antimicrobial Resistance at Imperial College London in partnership with the UKHSA, in collaboration with, Imperial Healthcare Partners, University of Cambridge and University of Warwick (grant number: NIHR200876). The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the National Institute for Health Research, or the Department of Health and Social Care.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the Colebrook Laboratory, a facility supported by the NIHR Imperial Biomedical Research Centre (BRC), for providing bioinformatics resources. Part of the bioinformatics analysis

411   was performed on equipment purchased as part of MRC CARP fellowship award MR/T005254/1. We

412   also thank the Institut Pasteur teams for the curation and maintenance of BIGSdb-Pasteur databases at

413   http://bigsdb.pasteur.fr.

Author contributions

415   Conceptualisation: KLH and YW; Resources: KLH, JT, GF, FM, NW, and GMR; Methodology: KLH,

416   YW, JLCW, and EJ; Data curation: YW; Investigation and formal analysis: YW, JLCW, JSG, WWL,

417   JT, FM, GMR, KD, IB, GF, EJ, DM, and KLH; Visualisation: YW; Writing – original draft: YW,

418   JLCW, JSG, WWL, GMR, and KLH; Writing – review and editing: all authors.

Conflicts of interest

420   Authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Consent to publish

422   No sensitive information is disclosed in this manuscript.

Ethical statement

424   National surveillance of communicable diseases and outbreak investigation work at UKHSA does not

425   require individual patient consent as per Regulation 3 of The Health Service (Control of Patient

426   Information) Regulations 2002.

References

1. **Baldwin CM, Lyseng-Williamson KA, Keam SJ**. Meropenem. *Drugs* 2008;68:803–838.

2. **Meini S, Tascini C, Cei M, Sozio E, Rossolini GM**. AmpC β-lactamase-producing
Enterobacterales: what a clinician should know. *Infection* 2019;47:363–375.

3. **Livermore DM**. Defining an extended-spectrum β-lactamase. *Clinical Microbiology and*
Infection 2008;14:3–10.

4. **Tooke CL, Hinchliffe P, Bragginton EC, Colenso CK, Hirvonen VHA, *et al.*** β-Lactamases and
β-Lactamase Inhibitors in the 21st Century. *Journal of Molecular Biology* 2019;431:3472–3500.

5. **Jacoby GA**. AmpC β-Lactamases. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews* 2009;22:161–182.

6. **Jorgensen SCJ, Rybak MJ**. Meropenem and Vaborbactam: Stepping up the Battle against
Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae. *Pharmacotherapy: The Journal of Human*
Pharmacology and Drug Therapy 2018;38:444–461.

7. **Rodloff AC, Goldstein EJC, Torres A**. Two decades of imipenem therapy. *Journal of*
Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 2006;58:916–929.

8. **Prajapati JD, Kleinekathöfer U, Winterhalter M**. How to Enter a Bacterium: Bacterial Porins
and the Permeation of Antibiotics. *Chem Rev* 2021;121:5158–5192.

9. **Lomovskaya O, Sun D, Rubio-Aparicio D, Nelson K, Tsivkovski R, *et al.*** Vaborbactam:
Spectrum of Beta-Lactamase Inhibition and Impact of Resistance Mechanisms on Activity in
Enterobacteriaceae. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* 2017;61:10.1128/aac.01443-17.

10. **Ehmann DE, Jahić H, Ross PL, Gu R-F, Hu J, *et al.*** Kinetics of Avibactam Inhibition against
Class A, C, and D β-Lactamases. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 2013;288:27960–27971.

11. **Carcione D, Siracusa C, Sulejmani A, Leoni V, Intra J**. Old and New Beta-Lactamase Inhibitors:
Molecular Structure, Mechanism of Action, and Clinical Use. *Antibiotics* 2021;10:995.

12. **UK Health Security Agency**. UK Access, Watch, Reserve, and Other classification for antibiotics
(UK-AWaRe antibiotic classification). https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/uk-aware-
antibiotic-classification/uk-access-watch-reserve-and-other-classification-for-antibiotics-uk-
aware-antibiotic-classification (2025).

13. **Sun D, Rubio-Aparicio D, Nelson K, Dudley MN, Lomovskaya O**. Meropenem-Vaborbactam
Resistance Selection, Resistance Prevention, and Molecular Mechanisms in Mutants of KPC-
Producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* 2017;61:e01694-17.

14. **Gaibani P, Bovo F, Bussini L, Lazzarotto T, Amadesi S, *et al.*** Dynamic evolution of
imipenem/relebactam resistance in a KPC-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* from a single patient
during ceftazidime/avibactam-based treatments. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*
2022;77:1570–1577.

15. **Gaibani P, Re MC, Campoli C, Viale PL, Ambretti S**. Bloodstream infection caused by KPC-
producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* resistant to ceftazidime/avibactam: epidemiology and genomic
characterization. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection* 2020;26:516.e1-516.e4.

16. **Satapoomin Naphat, Dulyayangkul Punyawee, Avison Matthew B.** *Klebsiella pneumoniae*
Mutants Resistant to Ceftazidime-Avibactam Plus Aztreonam, Imipenem-Relebactam,
Meropenem-Vaborbactam, and Cefepime-Taniborbactam. *Antimicrobial Agents and*
Chemotherapy 2022;66:e02179-21.

17. **Gaibani P, Giani T, Bovo F, Lombardo D, Amadesi S,** *et al.* Resistance to
Ceftazidime/Avibactam, Meropenem/Vaborbactam and Imipenem/Relebactam in Gram-Negative
MDR Bacilli: Molecular Mechanisms and Susceptibility Testing. *Antibiotics* 2022;11:628.

18. **Gaibani Paolo, Bianco Gabriele, Amadesi Stefano, Boattini Matteo, Ambretti Simone,** *et al.*
Increased *bla*$_{KPC}$ Copy Number and OmpK35 and OmpK36 Porins Disruption Mediated
Resistance to Imipenem/Relebactam and Meropenem/Vaborbactam in a KPC-Producing
Klebsiella pneumoniae Clinical Isolate. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*
2022;66:e00191-22.

19. **Wilson WR, Kline EG, Jones CE, Morder KT, Mettus RT,** *et al.* Effects of KPC Variant and
Porin Genotype on the In Vitro Activity of Meropenem-Vaborbactam against Carbapenem-
Resistant Enterobacteriaceae. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*
2019;63:10.1128/aac.02048-18.

20. **Humphries Romney M., Hemarajata Peera**. Resistance to Ceftazidime-Avibactam in *Klebsiella*
pneumoniae Due to Porin Mutations and the Increased Expression of KPC-3. *Antimicrobial Agents*
and Chemotherapy 2017;61:10.1128/aac.00537-17.

21. **Turton JF, Payne Z, Coward A, Hopkins KL, Turton JA,** *et al.* Virulence genes in isolates of
Klebsiella pneumoniae from the UK during 2016, including among carbapenemase gene-positive
hypervirulent K1-ST23 and 'non-hypervirulent' types ST147, ST15 and ST383. *Journal of*
Medical Microbiology 2018;67:118–128.

22. **The European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing**. Clinical breakpoints (v
15.0).
https://www.eucast.org/fileadmin/src/media/PDFs/EUCAST_files/Breakpoint_tables/v_15.0_Bre
akpoint_Tables.xlsx (2025).

23. **Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B**. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data.
Bioinformatics 2014;30:2114–2120.

24. **Chen S, Zhou Y, Chen Y, Gu J**. fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor.
Bioinformatics 2018;34:i884–i890.

25. **Wood DE, Lu J, Langmead B**. Improved metagenomic analysis with Kraken 2. *Genome Biology*
2019;20:257.

26. **Lu J, Breitwieser FP, Thielen P, Salzberg SL**. Bracken: Estimating species abundance in
metagenomics data. *PeerJ Computer Science* 2017;2017:e104.

27. **Bouras G, Houtak G, Wick RR, Mallawaarachchi V, Roach MJ,** *et al.* Hybracter: Enabling
Scalable, Automated, Complete and Accurate Bacterial Genome Assemblies.
2023;2023.12.12.571215.

28. **Bouras G, Sheppard AE, Mallawaarachchi V, Vreugde S**. Plassembler: an automated bacterial
plasmid assembly tool. *Bioinformatics* 2023;39:btad409.

29. **Bouras G, Grigson SR, Papudeshi B, Mallawaarachchi V, Roach MJ**. Dnaapler: A tool to
reorient circular microbial genomes. *Journal of Open Source Software* 2024;9:5968.

30. Medaka. github.com/nanoporetech/medaka.

31. **Bouras G**. pypolca. https://github.com/gbouras13/pypolca (2024, accessed 29 February 2024).

32. **Wick RR, Holt KE**. Polypolish: Short-read polishing of long-read bacterial genome assemblies.
PLOS Computational Biology 2022;18:e1009802.

33. **Chklovski A, Parks DH, Woodcroft BJ, Tyson GW**. CheckM2: a rapid, scalable and accurate
tool for assessing microbial genome quality using machine learning. *Nature Methods*
2023;20:1203–1212.

34. **Pedersen BS, Quinlan AR**. Mosdepth: quick coverage calculation for genomes and exomes.
Bioinformatics 2018;34:867–868.

35. **Schwengers O, Jelonek L, Dieckmann MA, Beyvers S, Blom J, *et al.*** Bakta: rapid and
standardized annotation of bacterial genomes via alignment-free sequence identification.
Microbial Genomics 2021;7:000685.

36. **Lam MMC, Wick RR, Watts SC, Cerdeira LT, Wyres KL, *et al.*** A genomic surveillance
framework and genotyping tool for *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and its related species complex. *Nature
Communications* 2021;12:4188.

37. **Wyres KL, Wick RR, Gorrie C, Jenney A, Follador R, *et al.*** Identification of *Klebsiella* capsule
synthesis loci from whole genome data. *Microbial Genomics* 2016;2:e000102.

38. **Feldgarden M, Brover V, Gonzalez-Escalona N, Frye JG, Haendiges J, *et al.*** AMRFinderPlus
and the Reference Gene Catalog facilitate examination of the genomic links among antimicrobial
resistance, stress response, and virulence. *Sci Rep* 2021;11:12728.

39. **Couvin D, Bernheim A, Toffano-Nioche C, Touchon M, Michalik J, *et al.*** CRISPRCasFinder,
an update of CRISRFinder, includes a portable version, enhanced performance and integrates
search for Cas proteins. *Nucleic Acids Research* 2018;46:W246–W251.

40. **Carattoli A, Zankari E, García-Fernández A, Voldby Larsen M, Lund O, *et al.* *In Silico***
Detection and Typing of Plasmids using PlasmidFinder and Plasmid Multilocus Sequence Typing.
Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 2014;58:3895–3903.

41. **Robertson J, Nash JHE**. MOB-suite: software tools for clustering, reconstruction and typing of
plasmids from draft assemblies. *Microbial Genomics*;4. Epub ahead of print 2018. DOI:
https://doi.org/10.1099/mgen.0.000206.

42. **Ross Karen, Varani Alessandro M., Snesrud Erik, Huang Hongzhan, Alvarenga Danillo
Oliveira, *et al.*** TnCentral: a Prokaryotic Transposable Element Database and Web Portal for
Transposon Analysis. *mBio* 2021;12:10.1128/mbio.02060-21.

43. **Siguier P, Perochon J, Lestrade L, Mahillon J, Chandler M**. ISfinder: the reference centre for
bacterial insertion sequences. *Nucleic Acids Research* 2006;34:D32–D36.

44. **Li H**. Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics* 2018;34:3094–
3100.

45. **Cingolani P, Platts A, Wang LL, Coon M, Nguyen T, *et al.*** A program for annotating and
predicting the effects of single nucleotide polymorphisms, SnpEff. *Fly* 2012;6:80–92.

46. **Jones P, Binns D, Chang H-Y, Fraser M, Li W, *et al.*** InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function classification. *Bioinformatics* 2014;30:1236–1240.

47. **Ittisoponpisan S, Islam SA, Khanna T, Alhuzimi E, David A, *et al.*** Can Predicted Protein 3D Structures Provide Reliable Insights into whether Missense Variants Are Disease Associated? *Journal of Molecular Biology* 2019;431:2197–2212.

48. **The UniProt Consortium**. UniProt: a worldwide hub of protein knowledge. *Nucleic Acids Research* 2019;47:D506–D515.

49. **Zhou Y, Pan Q, Pires DEV, Rodrigues CHM, Ascher DB**. DDMut: predicting effects of mutations on protein stability using deep learning. *Nucleic Acids Research* 2023;51:W122–W128.

50. **Low WW, Wong JLC, Beltran LC, Seddon C, David S, *et al.*** Mating pair stabilization mediates bacterial conjugation species specificity. *Nature Microbiology* 2022;7:1016–1027.

51. **Alikhan N-F, Petty NK, Ben Zakour NL, Beatson SA**. BLAST Ring Image Generator (BRIG): simple prokaryote genome comparisons. *BMC Genomics* 2011;12:402.

52. **Grant JR, Enns E, Marinier E, Mandal A, Herman EK, *et al.*** Proksee: in-depth characterization and visualization of bacterial genomes. *Nucleic Acids Research* 2023;51:W484–W492.

53. **Wilkins D, Kurtz Z**. gggenes. wilkox.org/gggenes.

54. **Wong JLC, Romano M, Kerry LE, Kwong H-S, Low W-W, *et al.*** OmpK36-mediated Carbapenem resistance attenuates ST258 *Klebsiella pneumoniae in vivo*. *Nature Communications* 2019;10:3957.

55. **Teufel F, Almagro Armenteros JJ, Johansen AR, Gíslason MH, Pihl SI, *et al.*** SignalP 6.0 predicts all five types of signal peptides using protein language models. *Nat Biotechnol* 2022;40:1023–1025.

56. **The European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing**. MIC determination of non-fastidious and fastidious organisms. https://www.eucast.org/ast_of_bacteria/mic_determination.

57. **The European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing**. Guidance document on broth microdilution testing of cefiderocol. https://www.eucast.org/fileadmin/src/media/PDFs/EUCAST_files/Guidance_documents/Cefiderocol_MIC_testing_EUCAST_guidance_document_January_2024.pdf (2024).

58. **Ke W, Bethel CR, Thomson JM, Bonomo RA, van den Akker F**. Crystal Structure of KPC-2: Insights into Carbapenemase Activity in Class A β-Lactamases. *Biochemistry* 2007;46:5732–5740.

59. **Gato E, Guijarro-Sánchez P, Alonso-García I, Pedraza-Merino R, Conde A, *et al.*** *In vitro* development of imipenem/relebactam resistance in KPC-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* involves multiple mutations including OmpK36 disruption and KPC modification. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents* 2023;62:106935.

60. **Krishnan NP, Nguyen NQ, Papp-Wallace KM, Bonomo RA, van den Akker F**. Inhibition of *Klebsiella* β-Lactamases (SHV-1 and KPC-2) by Avibactam: A Structural Study. *PLOS ONE* 2015;10:e0136813.

61. **Pemberton Orville A., Tsivkovski Ruslan, Totrov Maxim, Lomovskaya Olga, Chen Yu**.
Structural Basis and Binding Kinetics of Vaborbactam in Class A β-Lactamase Inhibition.
Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 2020;64:10.1128/aac.00398-20.

62. **Holt KE, Wertheim H, Zadoks RN, Baker S, Whitehouse CA, *et al.*** Genomic analysis of
diversity, population structure, virulence, and antimicrobial resistance in *Klebsiella pneumoniae*,
an urgent threat to public health. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. Epub ahead
of print 2015. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1501049112.

63. **Tsang KK, Lam MMC, Wick RR, Wyres KL, Bachman M, *et al.*** Diversity, functional
classification and genotyping of SHV β-lactamases in *Klebsiella pneumoniae*.
2024;2024.04.05.587953.

64. **Huang Y, Lin Q, Zhou Q, Lv L, Wan M, *et al.*** Identification of *fosA10*, a Novel Plasmid-
Mediated Fosfomycin Resistance Gene of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* Origin, in *Escherichia coli*. *IDR*
2020;13:1273–1279.

65. **Wan Y, Sabnis A, Mumin Z, Potterill I, Jauneikaite E, *et al.*** IS*1*-related large-scale deletion of
chromosomal regions harbouring the oxygen-insensitive nitroreductase gene *nfsB* causes
nitrofurantoin heteroresistance in *Escherichia coli*. *Microbial Genomics* 2023;9:001102.

66. **Sato T, Yamawaki K**. Cefiderocol: Discovery, Chemistry, and *In Vivo* Profiles of a Novel
Siderophore Cephalosporin. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2019;69:S538–S543.

67. **Chen F-J, Lauderdale T-L, Ho M, Lo H-J**. The Roles of Mutations in *gyrA*, *parC*, and *ompK35*
in Fluoroquinolone Resistance in *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. *Microbial Drug Resistance* 2003;9:265–
271.

68. **Praski Alzrigat L, Huseby DL, Brandis G, Hughes D**. Fitness cost constrains the spectrum of
marR mutations in ciprofloxacin-resistant *Escherichia coli*. *Journal of Antimicrobial*
Chemotherapy 2017;72:3016–3024.

69. **Mori H, Ito K**. The Sec protein-translocation pathway. *Trends in Microbiology* 2001;9:494–500.

70. **Malinverni Juliana C., Silhavy Thomas J.** Assembly of Outer Membrane β-Barrel Proteins: the
Bam Complex. *EcoSal Plus* 2011;4:10.1128/ecosalplus.4.3.8.

71. **Frankel G, David S, Low WW, Seddon C, Wong JLC, *et al.*** Plasmids pick a bacterial partner
before committing to conjugation. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2023;51:8925–8933.

72. **Low Wen Wen, Seddon Chloe, Beis Konstantinos, Frankel Gad**. The Interaction of the F-Like
Plasmid-Encoded TraN Isoforms with Their Cognate Outer Membrane Receptors. *Journal of*
Bacteriology 2023;205:e00061-23.

73. **Rocker A, Lacey JA, Belousoff MJ, Wilksch JJ, Strugnell RA, *et al.*** Global Trends in Proteome
Remodeling of the Outer Membrane Modulate Antimicrobial Permeability in *Klebsiella*
pneumoniae. *mBio* 2020;11:10.1128/mbio.00603-20.

74. **National Institute for Health and Care Excellence**. Antimicrobial prescribing: meropenem with
vaborbactam (Evidence summary [ES21]). *National Institute for Health and Care Excellence*
(NICE). https://www.nice.org.uk/advice/es21/chapter/Key-messages (2019).

75. **National Institute for Health and Care Excellence**. Ceftazidime with avibactam for treating severe drug-resistant gram-negative bacterial infections (Health technology evaluation [HTE1]). *National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE)*. https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/hte1/chapter/1-Recommendations (2022).

76. **National Institute for Health and Care Excellence**. Antimicrobial prescribing: imipenem with cilastatin and relebactam (Evidence summary [ES30]). *National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE)*. https://www.nice.org.uk/advice/es30/evidence (2020).

77. **Xu T, Guo Y, Ji Y, Wang B, Zhou K**. Epidemiology and Mechanisms of Ceftazidime–Avibactam Resistance in Gram-Negative Bacteria. *Engineering* 2022;11:138–145.

78. **Sader HS, Mendes RE, Duncan L, Kimbrough JH, Carvalhaes CG, *et al.*** Ceftazidime-avibactam, meropenem-vaborbactam, and imipenem-relebactam activities against multidrug-resistant Enterobacterales from United States Medical Centers (2018–2022). *Diagnostic Microbiology and Infectious Disease* 2023;106:115945.

79. **Hernández-García Marta, García-Castillo María, Bou Germán, Cercenado Emilia, Delgado-Valverde Mercedes, *et al.*** Imipenem-Relebactam Susceptibility in Enterobacterales Isolates Recovered from ICU Patients from Spain and Portugal (SUPERIOR and STEP Studies). *Microbiology Spectrum* 2022;10:e02927-22.

80. **Shoulders BR, Casapao AM, Venugopalan V**. An Update on Existing and Emerging Data for Meropenem-Vaborbactam. *Clinical Therapeutics* 2020;42:692–702.

81. **Guy RL, Hopkins KL, Budd EL, Wilson K, Fountain H, *et al.*** The importance of monitoring a new antibiotic: ceftazidime/avibactam usage and resistance experience from England, 2016 to 2020. *Eurosurveillance* 2025;30:2400399.

82. **Sauerborn E, Corredor NC, Reska T, Perlas A, Vargas da Fonseca Atum S, *et al.*** Detection of hidden antibiotic resistance through real-time genomics. *Nature Communications* 2024;15:5494.

83. **Theuretzbacher U, Carrara E, Conti M, Tacconelli E**. Role of new antibiotics for KPC-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* 2021;76:i47–i54.

84. **Sulavik MC, Gambino LF, Miller PF**. The MarR Repressor of the Multiple Antibiotic Resistance (*mar*) Operon in *Escherichia coli*: Prototypic Member of a Family of Bacterial Regulatory Proteins Involved in Sensing Phenolic Compounds. *Mol Med* 1995;1:436–446.

85. **Randall LP, Woodward MJ**. The multiple antibiotic resistance (*mar*) locus and its significance. *Research in Veterinary Science* 2002;72:87–93.

86. **Martínez-Martínez L, Hernández-Allés S, Albertí S, Tomás J M, Benedi V J, *et al.*** *In vivo* selection of porin-deficient mutants of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* with increased resistance to cefoxitin and expanded-spectrum-cephalosporins. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* 1996;40:342–348.

Figures

Figure 1. Genetic structure of a 54.7-kbp region in KpMVS1 that was deleted in KpMVR1 (Table 4). Labels "start" and "end" indicate boundaries of the deleted
region. Genes without known names are not labelled. Each asterisk indicates an allele from a named gene family.

Figure 2. IS*Ec68*-mediated disruption of *ompK36* in isolate KpMVR1. (**A**) Genetic environment of the disrupted *ompK36* in KpMVR1. The arrow labelled
"*ompK36**" denotes the upstream-shifted open reading frame caused by the insertion of IS*Ec68*. Abbreviations: CDS: coding sequence; IS, insertion sequence;
ncRNA: non-coding RNA. (**B**) Comparison of predicted OmpK36 sequences using Clustal Omega (www.ebi.ac.uk/jdispatcher/msa/clustalo). Mismatches are
highlighted in red, and the 22 N-terminal amino acids signal sequence of OmpK36 are indicated by the yellow shade. (**C**) Coomassie-stained polyacrylamide gel
electrophoresis of outer membrane proteins to confirm the absence of OmpK36 in KpMVR1 and the *ompK36*-knockout isolate ICC8001_{ΔompK36}.

Supplementary methods

Genomic and molecular characterisation of a *Klebsiella pneumoniae* clinical isolate resistant to meropenem-vaborbactam, imipenem-relebactam, and ceftazidime-avibactam

Yu Wan, Joshua L. C. Wong, Julia Sanchez-Garrido, Wen Wen Low, Jane F. Turton, Fabio Morecchiato, Ilaria Baccani, Kirsty Dodgson, Gian Maria Rossolini, Neil Woodford, Gad Frankel, Elita Jauneikaite, Danièle Meunier, and Katie L. Hopkins

August 2025

Comparative structural analysis of TraN

Amino acid sequences of $TraN_{pKpMVS1\_1}$, $TraN_{pKpMVR1\_1}$, and $TraN_{pKpMVS2\_1}$ were extracted from the sequence annotations of plasmids pKpMVS1_1 (locus tag: WAS92_RS00545), pKpMVR1_1 (ACNQKT_RS26595), and pKpMVS2_1 (ACNQKS_RS28350), respectively. To contextualise these three proteins, the previously described TraN variants [1] $TraN_{pKpQI}$ (NCBI protein accession: ARQ19727.1), $TraN_{MV2}$ (BAS44060.1), $TraN_{R100-1}$ (ABD60034.1), $TraN_{pSLT}$ (AAL23498.1), $TraN_F$ (WP_000821835.1), $TraN_{MV1}$ (ANZ89826.1), $TraN_{MV3}$ (WP_001398575.1) were downloaded from the NCBI Protein database (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/protein). These 10 amino acid sequences were aligned with the ClustalW algorithm [2], and subsequently, a neighbour-joining phylogenetic tree was generated from the multi-sequence alignment, with the Poisson correction method as implemented in MEGA11 [3]. The phylogenetic tree was visualised using iTOL v7.2 [4].

Three-dimensional structures of $TraN_{pKpMVS1\_1}$, $TraN_{pKpMVR1\_1}$ (identical to $TraN_{pKpMVS2\_1}$), and $TraN_{pKpQI}$ were predicted using AlphaFold 3 [5] with its default parameters on AlphaFold Server (alphafoldserver.com). The top-ranked (model 0) structural models of TraN proteins were visualised using UCSF ChimeraX v1.9 [6]. Superimposition analysis of these models was performed with ChimeraX's Matchmaker tool using default settings, including the use of the "best-aligning" or "bb" chain-pairing method, the Needleman-Wunsch alignment algorithm, and the BLOSUM-62 similarity matrix.

References

1. **Low Wen Wen, Seddon Chloe, Beis Konstantinos, Frankel Gad**. The Interaction of the F-Like Plasmid-Encoded TraN Isoforms with Their Cognate Outer Membrane Receptors. *Journal of Bacteriology* 2023;205:e00061-23.
2. **Thompson JD, Gibson TobyJ, Higgins DG**. Multiple Sequence Alignment Using ClustalW and ClustalX. *Current Protocols in Bioinformatics* 2003;00:2.3.1-2.3.22.
3. **Tamura K, Stecher G, Kumar S**. MEGA11: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 11. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 2021;38:3022–3027.
4. **Letunic I, Bork P**. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL): an online tool for phylogenetic tree display and annotation. *Bioinformatics* 2007;23:127–128.
5. **Abramson J, Adler J, Dunger J, Evans R, Green T, *et al.*** Accurate structure prediction of biomolecular interactions with AlphaFold 3. *Nature* 2024;630:493–500.
6. **Meng EC, Goddard TD, Pettersen EF, Couch GS, Pearson ZJ, *et al.*** UCSF ChimeraX: Tools for structure building and analysis. *Protein Science* 2023;32:e4792.

Supplementary figures

Genomic and molecular characterisation of a *Klebsiella pneumoniae* clinical isolate resistant to meropenem-vaborbactam, imipenem-relebactam, and ceftazidime-avibactam

Yu Wan, Joshua L. C. Wong, Julia Sanchez-Garrido, Wen Wen Low, Jane F. Turton, Fabio Morecchiato, Ilaria Baccani, Kirsty Dodgson, Gian Maria Rossolini, Neil Woodford, Gad Frankel, Elita Jauneikaite, Danièle Meunier, and Katie L. Hopkins

August 2025

Table of contents

Figure S1. Alignment of plasmids pKpMVR1_1 and pKpMVS2_1 against plasmid pKpMVS1_1 using nucleotide BLAST as implemented in Proksee. Plasmids pKpMVR1_1 and pKpMVS1_1 belonged to replicon type IncFII(pKP91)/repB(R1701), and pKpMVS2_1 belonged to IncFII(pKP91)/IncR. The innermost ring represents the number of genetic variants per kbp (calculated using VCFtools v0.1.17) [1] in pKpMVR2_1 compared with pKpMVS1_1. The two middle rings display genes, insertion sequences, and transposons identified in the reference sequence pKpMVS1_1, with arrows indicating orientations of these genetic features (Table S1). Genes without known names are not labelled. The two outer rings show regions of pKpMVR_1 (pink) and pKpMVS2_1 (blue) aligned to pKpMVS1, respectively. This figure was created using Proksee (proksee.ca). "Δ" in gene labels represents a truncated or interrupted feature, and each asterisk represents a variant of an insertion sequence or transposon. Abbreviations: CDS, coding sequence; IS, insertion sequence.

Figure S2. A BRIG diagram comparing chromosomes of KpMVR1 and KpMVS2 with that of KpMVS1. Parameters for BLASTn sequence alignment: "-task megablast -ungapped -qcov_hsp_perc 0.8". Four large deletions (>4 kbp) in the chromosome of KpMVR1 when compared to that of KpMVS1 (Table 3) are denoted by digits in filled circles. Genetic structures of these deleted regions are illustrated in Figure 1 and supplementary Figures S3–5.

Figure S3. Genetic structure of a 19.7-kbp region in KpMVS1 that was deleted in KpMVR1 (Table 4). Labels "start" and "end" indicate boundaries of the deleted region. Genes without known names are not labelled. "IS*1X2**" denotes a variant of the IS*1*-family insertion sequence IS*1X2* (98% nucleotide identity and 100% query coverage). "CRISPR" indicates an array of clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats. See Table S3 for detailed annotations of this region.

Figure S4. Genetic structure of a 33.5-kbp region in KpMVS1 that was deleted in KpMVR1 (Table 4). Labels "start" and "end" indicate boundaries of the deleted region. Genes without known names are not labelled. See Table S4 for detailed annotations of this region.

Figure S5. Genetic structure of a 4.9-kbp region in KpMVS1 that was deleted in KpMVR1 (Table 4). Labels "start" and "end" indicate boundaries of the deleted region. Genes without known names are not labelled. "IS*3H**" denotes a variant of the IS*3*-family insertion sequence IS*3H* (79% nucleotide identity and 100% query coverage). There were nine copies of this variant in the KpMVS1 chromosome and eight copies in the KpMVR1 chromosome, which is consistent with the content of the 4.9-kbp deletion (Table S5).

Figure S6. Prediction of signal peptides and cleavage site in the wild type OmpK36 (KpMVS1) and its frameshifted variant (KpMVR1), respectively, using the slow model mode of SignalP v6.0. "N", "H", and "C" regions in each protein sequence denote the N-terminal region (Sec/SPI n), centre hydrophobic region (Sec/SPI h), and C-terminal region (Sec/SPI c) of the signal peptide, respectively, whereas "O" denotes the non-signal peptide region (OTHER). The cleavage site (CS) is indicated by the red vertical dashed line. The probability of each amino acid to be part of each peptide region is indicated by a coloured solid curve throughout the protein sequence.

Figure S7. Midpoint-rooted neighbour-joining phylogenetic tree of 10 TraN amino acid sequences, where TraN$_{\text{pKpMVR1\_1}}$ was identical to Tra$_{\text{pKpMVS2\_1}}$. The sequences are named after source plasmids. The structural groups (TraNα, TraNβ, TraNγ, and TraNδ) [2] of TraN are indicated by shaded boxes and labelled. The scale bar represents the number of amino acid substitutions per residue.

Figure S8. Comparison of predicted TraN structures for plasmids pKpQIL, pKpMVS1_1, and pKpMVR1_1. Amino acid chains are represented by ribbons. (**A**) Predicted 3D structures with residues coloured by scores from the predicted local distance difference test (pLDDT). The pLDDT was performed by AlphaFold3 to evaluate the per-residue local confidence of the predicted 3D structure. (**B**) Pairwise structural comparison through the super-imposition analysis. Dashed boxes indicate the tip/sensor domains of TraN [2].

Figure S9. Locations of amino acid variation in the tip/sensor domains of TraN from plasmids pKpQIL, pKpMVS1_1, and pKpMVR1_1, with amino acid chains represented by ribbons in the superimposition view. The variable sites are highlighted in yellow, blue, and magenta. In comparison with Figure S8B, the proteins are arbitrarily rotated around the vertical axis to expose all variable sites.

Reference

1. **Danecek P, Auton A, Abecasis G, Albers CA, Banks E, *et al.*** The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics* 2011;27:2156–2158.

2. **Frankel G, David S, Low WW, Seddon C, Wong JLC, *et al.*** Plasmids pick a bacterial partner before committing to conjugation. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2023;51:8925–8933.