Title:

Tumor-draining lymph node derived CD8+ T cells sustain durable systemic immunity after

neoadjuvant IL-15 and PD-1 blockade

Authors:

†Tatiana Delgado Cruz[1], †Rachel Honigsberg[1,2,4], Geoffrey J. Markowitz[1], Dicle Özge Özgenel[1],
Arshdeep Singh[1], Meixian Stephanie Tang[1], Stella A Martomo[6], Liron Yoffe[3], Yongfeng He[1], Yang
Bai[1], Jeegar Patel[7], Marissa M. Michael[1], Mitchell Martin[1], Timothy E. McGraw[5,1], Olivier Elemento[2],
Nasser K Altorki[1], Vivek Mittal[1] & Jonathan Villena-Vargas[1*].
Afiliations:

1. Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, NY, USA
2. Englander Institute for Precision Medicine, Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, NY, USA
3. Department of Radiation Oncology, Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, NY, USA
4. Meinig School of Biomedical Engineering, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA
5. Department of Biochemistry, Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, NY, USA
6. EvolveImmune Therapeutics, Branford, CT, USA
7. Evommune, Palo Alto, CA, USA.

17
18
† Co-first authors

Weill Cornell Medicine

525 East 68th Street

New York, New York 10065, USA

* Correspondence: jov9069@med.cornell.edu and tac4002@med.cornell.edu

Keywords: Lung cancer, immunotherapy, single-cell sequencing, T cells

Competing interests: All authors declare no potential conflicts.

One sentence summary:

Neoadjuvant IL-15 with PD-1 blockade expands lymph node clonal diversity and preserves stem-like

CD8$^+$ T cell features, yielding superior antitumor efficacy, durable memory, and reduced metastatic

recurrence.

Abstract:

The tumor-draining lymph node (tdLN) serves as a critical reservoir of tumor-reactive T cells, yet its

contribution to preventing metastatic recurrence remains poorly understood. In this study, we investigate

CD8$^+$ T cell clonal dynamics and memory responses induced by neoadjuvant anti–PD-1 therapy. We

demonstrate that PD-1 blockade promotes the emergence of protective CD8$^+$ T cell memory, enriched

with tumor-relevant clones that persist in the lymph nodes of long-term survivors. Furthermore, we show

that combination of a neoadjuvant IL-15 superagonist with PD-1 blockade significantly increases clonal

diversity, cytotoxicity, and clonal persistence in non-draining lymph nodes. These changes result in

enhanced systemic antitumor immunity and durable memory that prevents tumor relapse. Our findings

establish the tdLN as a critical immunologic hub that can be therapeutically targeted by IL-15 to augment

PD-1 blockade efficacy and support a rationale for a phase II neoadjuvant combination immunotherapy

trial in early-stage NSCLC.

Main Text:

Introduction

PD-1/PD-L1 immune checkpoint blockade (ICB) reinvigorates a population of exhausted CD8$^+$ T cells in

the primary tumor, but these cells possess limited functional capacity[1]. Moreover, clinical and

preclinical evidence indicates that PD-1 ICB relies heavily on a progenitor-like, TCF1$^+$PD-1$^+$CD8$^+$ T cell

subset[2]. Preclinical studies in cancer and chronic antigen models demonstrate that tumor draining lymph

nodes (tdLNs) harbor stem-like CD8+ T cells (TCF1+, PD-1+), and acquire distinct transcriptional profiles and functional states upon tumor infiltration[3, 4]. Furthermore, local PD-L1 blockade at the tdLN level enhances tumor seeding by CD8+ T cells in melanoma[5]. However, therapeutic strategies that simultaneously reinvigorate pre-existing tumor-reactive T cells and generate new, functionally potent T cell responses remain an area of active investigation.

Surgically resectable non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is an aggressive disease in which most patients receive multimodality therapy yet still face metastatic relapse rates of approximately 55%. Most patients do not respond to anti-PD-1 therapy (aPD-1) alone or in combination with chemotherapy [6], prompting strong interest in novel combination therapies to enhance T cell function through immunomodulation. [7]. Cytokines such as IL-2 are of significant interest as they act as T cell growth factors that provide strong positive signals for anti-tumor activity [8]. IL-15 and IL-2 share common receptor subunits and overlap in several biological functions, including proliferation of activated T cells, and promotion of cytolytic effector CD8+ T cell differentiation[9]. Unlike IL-2, IL-15 uniquely supports memory formation and persistence of CD8+ T cells[10] and promotes self-renewal of precursor exhausted CD8+ T cell subsets rather than rescuing terminally differentiated effectors[11]. We reasoned that generating a pool of long-lived, tumor-specific memory T cells with IL-15 agonism could provide superior protection against post-surgical metastatic relapse, which accounts for the majority disease-related mortality after definitive resection.

In this work we define the role of lymph node–derived CD8+ T cells as primary mediators of therapeutic efficacy in resectable NSCLC. PD-1 ICB depends on tdLNs CD8+ T cells for both primary antitumor activity and the generation of functional memory. The addition of a neoadjuvant IL-15 superagonist augments this response through a lymph node–directed "effector upgrade" that increases clonal diversity of the CD8+ T cell repertoire, enhances primary tumor clearance, sustains systemic T cell persistence, and

prevents late metastatic relapse at distant sites as well as systemic tumor rechallenge. This cell fate

programming originates in the tdLN, and the lymph node response is essential for optimal efficacy. These

findings provide a strong rationale for lymph node preservation during ICB in surgically resectable disease

and identify the tdLN as a critical therapeutic target for immunomodulation in this setting.

RESULTS

Critical role of tdLN in mediating responses to neoadjuvant PD-1 blockade as evident in a murine

model of NSCLC with metastatic recurrence following curative-intent resection of primary tumor

To assess the functional role of CD8+ T cells in primary tumor control and the prevention of metastatic

recurrence following surgery, we employed a murine model of metastatic NSCLC encompassing primary

tumor resection and subsequent metastatic relapse by using the highly metastatic 344SQ ($Kras^{G12D}$

$p53^{R172H\Delta G}$) cell line[12]. Primary tumors were established in the right flank of 129sv mice to allow for

resection through survival surgery, along with resection of their draining lymph nodes and/or non draining

lymph nodes (ndLNs) on the opposite flank/axilla (**Fig. 1a**). Fluorescence-guided mapping identified

ipsilateral axillary and inguinal LNs as tdLNs [13], whereas contralateral and distant LNs in either the

opposite inguinal, axillary or in the mediastinum were designated as ndLNs. Flow cytometry of tdLNs

during primary tumor growth revealed a distinctive population of PD-1^{+}CD8^{+} T cells displaying an

effector/effector-memory (T E/EM; CD62L^{-}CD44^{+}) phenotype with increased interferon-γ and Ki67

expression relative to ndLNs (**Fig. 1b, supplementary Fig. 1a, b**). These findings support the notion of a

localized, tumor-driven immunological response within the tdLN compartment.

This model exhibited heterogeneous responses to neoadjuvant PD-1 blockade, similar to other animal

models of NSCLC [14, 15] and mirroring the variability observed in clinical settings[16]. Around a third

of treated mice demonstrated a measurable reduction in primary tumor burden below control levels as

assessed by bioluminescence imaging (BLI) prior to surgical resection (**Supplementary Fig. 1c**), where aPD-1 responders had an increased accumulation of IL-7Rα$^+$, and Ly6c$^+$ E/EM T cell populations(**Supplementary Fig. 1d, e**). Markers associated with CD8 T$^+$ cell subsets in the lymph node critical for tumor remission after immunotherapy or surgical resection[17, 18] and TCM compartmentalization into lymph nodes during homing [19]. This model enabled BLI monitoring of primary tumor dynamics and metastatic dissemination following surgery (**Fig. 1c, d**). Necropsy confirmed metastatic disease with lesions found in the lung, chest wall, liver, and spine (**Fig. 1e**), consistent with the metastatic patterns observed in NSCLC patients[20], establishing this model as a clinically relevant platform for studying tumor progression and therapeutic response.

To define the functional contribution of the lymph node and assess whether tdLN-derived T cell migration was required for therapeutic efficacy, anti–PD-1–treated mice received the sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P) receptor antagonist FTY720, starting six days before the first anti–PD-1 dose and continuing until primary tumor resection to restrict T cell egress from tdLNs (**Fig. 1f**). Inhibition of T cell trafficking fully abrogated the therapeutic benefit of neoadjuvant PD-1 blockade (**Fig. 1g**). These mice exhibited markedly reduced infiltration of PD-1^{lo}CD8$^+$ T cells in the tumor (**Fig. 1h**), while there was a higher proportion of PD-1$^+$ E/EM CD8$^+$ T cells within the tdLNs, suggesting that FTY720 inhibited the migration of this subpopulation to the tumor. These findings demonstrate that the efficacy of neoadjuvant PD-1 blockade is critically dependent on tdLN-derived CD8$^+$ T cell migration to the primary tumor site.

We next investigated whether CD8$^+$ T cells were required for long-term immunological memory and protection against metastatic recurrence. While all untreated mice developed metastatic disease by day 60 post-resection, approximately 15–20% of those treated with neoadjuvant anti–PD-1 remained metastasis-free beyond this time point in multiple independent experiments (**Fig. 1i**). To determine whether CD8$^+$ T cells were necessary for durable immunity, long-term responder mice (**Fig. 1j**) were depleted of CD8$^+$ T

cells prior to intravenous rechallenge with 344SQ tumor cells via tail vein injection (**Fig. 1k**). Peripheral blood analysis confirmed efficient CD8^{+} T cell depletion (**Supplementary Fig. 1f**). Critically, CD8^{+} T cell depletion abrogated tumor rejection upon systemic rechallenge showing similar tumor growth than naïve age-matched controls. In contrast, non-depleted mice, eradicated tumor cells within a week with 100% of survival after rechallenge (**Fig. 1l, m**), establishing the requirement for memory CD8^{+} T cells in maintaining immune surveillance and controlling metastatic outgrowth.

Together, these findings demonstrate that the therapeutic efficacy of neoadjuvant PD-1 blockade in this model is critically dependent on LN-derived CD8^{+} T cells. Migration of these cells to the primary tumor is required for primary tumor response, while CD8^{+} T cell memory is essential for long-term immunity and protection against metastatic relapse.

Neoadjuvant anti-PD-1 with IL-15 receptor alpha agonist enhances anti-tumor efficacy

Augmentation of progenitor-like, TCF1^{+}PD-1^{+}CD8^{+} T cells has been shown to be critical for efficacy of PD-1 blockade. Combination therapies to achieve durable responses have shown promising results, specially, the use of cytokines that provide positive signals for T cells[21]. IL-15 is integral for T cell survival[22], furthermore it has also been shown that it can promote self-renewal of precursor-exhausted CD8^{+} T cells[11]. We hypothesized that combining neoadjuvant PD-1 blockade with an IL-15 agonist would potentiate antitumor immunity by reprogramming CD8^{+} T cells in the tdLN. To test this hypothesis, we utilized an IL-15 superagonist KD033, a fusion immunocytokine that comprises a high-affinity anti–PD-L1 antibody linked to the IL-15/IL-15Rα sushi domain complex. This design facilitates IL-15 trans-presentation from PD-L1 expressing immune cells, increasing cytokine half-life and bioavailability while minimizing antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC)[23]. KD033 characterization showed that antitumor efficacy in a colon carcinoma mouse model relied on CD8^{+} T cells with a unique phenotype

characterized by upregulation of genes associated with cytotoxicity in contrast with untreated controls. It

was also shown that   IL-15 component of KD033 was responsible for most of this phenotype, as changes

in gene signatures by this superagonist were recapitulated in a non-targeting variant (nt-KD033) only

comprising the IL15/15Rα fraction.

Neoadjuvant administration of a single IL-15Rα agonist dose, either alone or in combination with three

doses of anti–PD-1, significantly reduced primary tumor burden relative to anti–PD-1 monotherapy or

isotype control. By days 7–10 post-treatment, >90% of mice receiving combination therapy exhibited

reduced BLI signaling below control levels, compared with 30-50% under PD-1 blockade alone and 80%

with IL-15Rα agonist monotherapy (**Fig. 2a, b**). Longitudinal imaging over 200 days revealed that, IL-

15Rα in combination with PD-1 blockade substantially diminished metastatic recurrence (**Fig. 2c**) and

improved overall survival, with the majority of mice remaining alive at day 200 and in contrast with single

IL-15Rα or aPD-1 single treated groups were only 14% and 18% of the mice survived respectively (**Fig.**

2d).

Neoadjuvant IL-15 superagonist with anti-PD-1 drives cytotoxic, polyclonal CD8 T-cell responses from

an effector/effector-memory precursor subset.

To study how IL-15 agonism combined with aPD-1 results in higher antitumor efficacy and lower

metastatic recurrence compared to aPD-1 monotherapy, we first assessed $CD8^+$ T cell populations across

compartments at time of surgery using flow cytometry. Within the tdLN IL-15Rα, in combination with

PD-1 blockade, increased the proportion of $CD8^+$ T cells from total $CD3^+$ T cells from approximately 20%

to 50%, and proportionally expanded a population of effector/effector-memory IL-7Rα⁺ T cells exhibiting

cytotoxic features, evidenced by increased IFN-γ expression (22% vs. 7%) when compared to PD-1

blockade alone. Similar changes were observed in the tumor, where $CD8^+$ T cells were more

proportionally expanded and exhibited increased granzyme B expression (90% vs. 40%), reduced PD-1

levels, and increased IL-7R expression (**Fig. 3a, b, supplementary Fig. 2a-d**).

To further understand CD8$^+$ T cell tumor specific responses induced by treatment, we performed paired

single-cell T cell receptor (TCR) and RNA sequencing following prior published methods[24, 25]. We

sorted CD45$^+$CD3$^+$CD8$^+$ T cells from tdLNs and tumors from mice treated with IgG, anti–PD-1, IL-15Rα

agonist, or combination therapy at the time of surgery (**Fig. 3c**). By leveraging TCR CDR3 sequences we

could identify tumor relevant expanded clones (n>2) present in the tdLN by TCR match or tumor matched

clones (TM), and study differences in CD8+ T cell clonal dynamics induced by treatment. TM clones

constituted approximately 23% of all CD8$^+$ T cells (total n = 20,223 TM clones), indicating a substantial

degree of clonal overlap between the tumor-and tdLN repertoires **(supplementary Fig. 3a)**.

Unsupervised UMAP clustering of expanded TM clones resolved eight transcriptionally distinct subsets

spanning memory-like to exhausted-like states (**Fig. 3d**). Memory-enriched clusters were characterized

by high expression of trafficking and homeostatic genes (*Sell, Ccr7, Klf2, Tcf7*)[25] and minimal

expression of cytotoxic or inhibitory receptor genes. In contrast, activated and exhausted-like subsets

upregulated cytolytic mediators (*Gzmb, Gzmf, Prf1, Ifitm 1, Ifitm 2, Ifitm 3, Nkg7, Klrk1*)[26] and

inhibitory receptors (*Tox, Havcr2, Pdcd1, Entpd1, Lag3, Ctla4*)[27]. These transcriptional patterns were

consistent with established T cell differentiation signatures.

TM clones exhibited marked tissue-dependent transcriptional clustering. Within the tdLN, 72% resided

within a single memory-like cluster, whereas in the tumor, clones were more widely distributed, with 47%

localized within activated clusters (clusters 0, 2, 4, 6, 7) (**Fig. 3e**). Differential expression analysis revealed

that tdLN TM T cells preferentially expressed genes linked to early differentiation and memory formation

(*Tcf7, Klf2, Il7r, Ly6c2*)[28], whereas tumor-infiltrating T cells upregulated transcripts associated with

activation, cytotoxicity, and inhibitory receptor engagement (*Gzmb, Prf1, Ifng, Havcr2, Pdcd1, Ctla4*),

(**Fig. 3f**)[29]. Modular scoring confirmed these transcriptional differences, with tdLN TM clones

displaying a naïve/central memory-like signature, while their tumor-infiltrating counterparts exhibited

increased effector and terminal exhaustion scores (**Supplementary Fig. 3b, c**). Consistent with these

observations, tdLN TM clones expressed *Tcf7* and *Il7r*, markers of long-lived memory, while tumor-

infiltrating clones displayed high *Pdcd1* and *Havcr2* expression, indicative of progressive differentiation

and exhaustion.

Examining CD8^{+} T cell distribution following neoadjuvant therapy revealed significant treatment-

dependent shifts. Within the primary tumor, IL-15Rα agonist treatment, alone or in combination with PD-

1 blockade, enriched clones within a cytotoxic cluster (cluster 0) characterized by elevated expression

of *Gzma, Id2,* and *Eomes*, whereas PD-1 blockade or IgG alone predominantly localized clones to cluster

2, which exhibited high inhibitory receptor expression (*Tigit, Tox, Lag3, Pdcd1*)[30]. Within the tdLN, all

treatment regimens showed most TM clones primarily within the memory-like cluster 1 while also

increasing representation in clusters 3 and 5, which were enriched for chemotaxis- and proliferation-

associated gene programs (**Fig. 3g, h**). Notably, IL-15Rα agonism and PD-1 blockade induced distinct

transcriptional programs in the tdLN, suggesting divergent mechanisms of immune modulation. PD-1

blockade preferentially upregulated AP-1 family transcription factors and memory-associated genes such

as *Lef1, Ccr7,* and *Sell*. In contrast, IL-15Rα agonist therapy drove a transcriptional profile marked by

heightened cytotoxicity, activation, and migratory potential, with increased expression of KLR-associated

transcripts (*Klrk1, Ifitm 1, Ifitm 2, Ifitm 3*)[31] within both the tdLN and tumor and *Gzmb* in clones within

the tumor (**Fig. 3i).**

Collectively, these findings demonstrate that neoadjuvant therapy profoundly shapes the differentiation

trajectory of TM CD8^{+} T cells across tissue compartments. IL-15Rα agonist therapy, alone or in

combination with PD-1 blockade, drives a highly cytotoxic and activated phenotype, whereas PD-1

blockade alone preferentially enriches for clones with high inhibitory receptor expression. Furthermore,

clones within the tdLN retain a progenitor-like, memory-like associated state, serving as a reservoir for

differentiated, cytotoxic effectors within the tumor—a process that is further potentiated by IL-15Rα

agonism.

Treatment related CD8+ T cell clone diversity and cell fate originates in the tdLN

To determine how neoadjuvant interventions influence CD8$^+$ T cell clonal diversity, we assessed the

impact of treatment on unique expanded TM clones. Mice receiving anti–PD-1 or IL-15Rα agonist therapy

exhibited increased TM clonal diversity relative to isotype controls (14 clones), averaging 22 and 45 TM

clones, respectively, while combination therapy yielded 43 TM clones **(Fig. 4a)**. These findings indicate

that while both PD-1 blockade and IL-15Rα broaden the CD8+ T cell repertoire, IL-15Rα notably

enhances clonal diversity. Further analysis revealed that memory-enriched cluster 1 exhibited the greatest

increase in clonal diversity, with 90% of tdLN-localized TM clones residing within this subset. IL-15Rα-

treated and combination-treated mice exhibited 28 and 24 unique clones, respectively, compared to only

3 and 5 in IgG and aPD-1 treated mice **(Fig. 4b, c)**. These data suggest that IL-15Rα expands clonal

diversity primarily within a progenitor-like subset in the lymph node with stem-like features.

To further dissect the heterogeneity within the memory cluster, we identified its constituent subclusters.

Differential expression analyses revealed that tdLN clones within this memory state exhibited a

progenitor-like phenotype (*Klf2, Il7r, Tcf7*), whereas tumor-infiltrating clones expressed genes associated

with cytotoxicity and activation (*Gzmb, Pdcd1, Ifngr1*) (**Fig. 4d**). Memory T cells were further subdivided

into five transcriptional subclusters (MC) based on the highest relative genes expressed: early cytotoxic

effector/ effector memory-like (MC0), Il7r-high (MC1), glycolysis-associated (MC2), naïve/central

memory/progenitor-like (MC3), and Klf2-high trafficking/activation memory-like (MC4) (**Fig. 4e**).Most

tdLN T cells were concentrated in early differentiation states (MC1, MC3, MC4), while T cell clones in

tumor concentrated in clusters MC0, MC2, MC5 and MC4 (**Fig. 4f**). Differential expression analysis

between PD-1 and combination therapy within tdLN T cells revealed that PD-1 blockade upregulated

progenitor/exhausted-like genes (*Sell, Tcf7, Tox, Slamf6*), whereas combination therapy enriched for

cytolytic markers (*Ifitm1, Ifitm2, Ifitm3, Gzma, Klrk1*) (**Fig. 4g**), with IL-15Rα treatment preferentially

increasing the proportion of MC1 T cell clones (**Fig. 4h, i**) and significantly increasing the KILRK-1/IL-

7Ra (KILR Effector) modular score. By contrast, PD-1-treated and IgG-treated memory T cells remained

predominantly in MC3 and exhibited a progenitor-like transcriptional signature [32](**Fig. 4j**).

These transcriptional differences extended to tumor-infiltrating T cells, where IL-15Rα therapy increased

the representation of MC0 and significantly elevated the KILR Effector score relative to non-IL-15Rα-

treated mice. Notably, PD-1 blockade or IgG treatment failed to enhance KILR effector function from

tdLN to tumor, whereas IL-15Rα treatment facilitated this transition, aligning with the findings of our

flow cytometry data **(Fig. 3b, c).** This data suggest that IL-15Rα agonism drives an effector memory

phenotype with cytotoxic features that initiates in the tdLN ultimately shaping tumor effector function

upon tumor infiltration.

CD8 T-cell clonal persistence is enhanced by IL-15Rα agonist

Having established that IL-15Rα agonist therapy significantly prolongs median survival compared to IgG

or PD-1 blockade alone, we next investigated the systemic persistence and phenotype of CD8$^+$ T cell

across lymphoid compartments by phenotyping ndLNs (contralateral inguinal) of recurrence-free mice

between 30 and up to 120 days post-treatment **(Fig. 5a)**. These surviving, tumor-free mice demonstrated

a higher proportion of central memory-like (TCM; CD62L$^+$CD44$^+$) CD8$^+$ T cells within ndLNs. IL-15Rα

agonist in combination with PD-1 blockade significantly increased the percentage of CD8$^+$ T cells (37%

vs. 25%) and TCM subsets (20% vs. 14%) compared to PD-1 blockade alone. Additionally, combination

therapy markedly enhanced the proportion of IL-7Rα expressing T cells within the TCM subset, which

uniformly expressed high levels of TCF-1 (**Fig. 5b, supplementary Fig. 4a-d**).

Given the distinct clonotypic and differentiation profiles across treatments we observed at time of tumor

resection and to evaluate persistence of tumor specific clones more than 30 days after surgery, we

conducted scTCR and RNA sequencing in ndLNs (mediastinal or contralateral inguinal) from mice

previously sequenced, thus using initially identified TCRs to tumor-match clones in the ndLN. UMAP

analyses encompassing clones from initial tumor, tdLN and 30+ days ndLN, revealed close proximity

between ndLN and tdLN clones **(Fig. 5c),** an observation consistently evident at the level of individual

persistent clones (**Supplementary Fig. 5a-c**). While tumor clones exhibited decreased expression of

memory-associated genes, including *Tcf7*, *Il7r*, and *Ly6c2* , TM clones in the tdLN and ndLNs showed

higher expression of these markers**.** Clonal-average score showed tumor-infiltrating clones had relative

reduced quiescence and memory signature and increased cytotoxic effector markers compared to ndLN

and tdLN counterparts **(Fig. 5d)**.

Clonotypic tracking by treatment groups demonstrated that neoadjuvant therapy markedly enhanced the

persistence of tumor-matched clones in ndLNs beyond day 30, with IL-15Rα agonist alone or in

combination with PD-1 blockade doubling the proportion of persistent clones compared to PD-1 blockade

monotherapy and in contrast to IgG control where almost no persistent clones were found (**Fig. 5e**).

Importantly, persistent clones in IL-15Rα and combination therapy groups displayed heightened

expression of IL7Rα compared to PD-1 blockade alone as well as showed an enhanced KILRK1/IL-7R

(KILR effector) signature within tdLN T cells at the time of resection. (**Supplementary Fig. 5d, e**).

IL-15Rα agonism depends on the tdLN for establishment of primary antitumor response and memory,

which relies on both CD8+ and CD4+ T cells

To evaluate the role of the tdLN in primary antitumor efficacy and systemic memory in IL-15Rα+aPD-1

treated mice, we assessed combination treated mice that received FTY720 treatment or tdLN resection

prior to neoadjuvant therapy. TdLN resection significantly decreased the infiltration of IL-7Rα+ EM into

the tumor at time of resection (**Fig. 6a**) and the primary anti-tumor efficacy (**Supplementary Fig. 6a**).

Evaluation of memory subsets at the ndLN +30 days after primary tumor resection in mice that received

FTY720 during neoadjuvant treatment (**Fig. 6b**) did not affect overall CD8+ T cell proportions but

significantly impaired TCM proportion in tumor-free mice treated with either PD-1 blockade or

combination therapy at day 50 (**Fig. 6c**), suggesting a critical role for lymphoid trafficking during

neoadjuvant therapy in establishing persistent CD8+ TCM populations in ndLNs.

Finally, to explore memory response in long-term survivors after combination therapy, we depleted

different subsets of immune populations and rechallenged combination treated mice more than 30 days

after primary tumor resection via tail vein injection. We found that memory response did not require NK

cells, a known effector after IL-15Rα agonism. We also found that single depletion of CD4+ or CD8+ T

cells did not compromise tumor control. However, simultaneous depletion of both CD4+ and CD8+ T cell

populations abrogated protection (**Fig. 6d, e, supplementary Fig. 6 c, d**). Based on these findings, we

investigated whether CD4+ T cells were also required during tumor rechallenge in long-term responders

to aPD-1. We found that CD4+ T cells were indeed necessary for antitumor rejection(**Supplementary Fig.**

6e). This suggest that long-term aPD-1 responders develop an interdependent memory that requires CD8+

and CD4+ T cells for effective tumor eradication, with loss of protection upon depletion of either of these

subsets. These findings are consistent with more recent observations in other animal models exploring

aPD-1 memory[33]. Overall, our results shows that IL-15Rα relies on the tdLN for primary antitumor

efficacy and induces a distinct form of functional memory that differs from interdependent anti-tumor

efficacy observed in aPD-1 long-term responders, where only combined ablation results in loss of

protection from systemic rechallenge.

310 *Clonal linkage mapping in early-stage NSCLC patients reveals distinct tumor draining lymph node*

311 *CD8$^+$ T cell response following neoadjuvant PD-1 blockade*

To investigate the CD8$^+$ T cell compartment within tdLNs in humans, we used our groups established

expertise in harvesting, isolating CD8+ T cells in NSCLC patients who underwent neoadjuvant PD-1

blockade [34]. Surgically resected pathologically benign hilar lymph nodes (stations 11–12) from NSCLC

patients undergoing curative-intent lobectomy (**Fig. 7a**). These nodes were selected based on their high

likelihood of draining the tumor and their frequent involvement as initial metastatic sites in regional

disease[35]. Flow cytometric analysis revealed an enriched population of PD-1$^+$ CD8$^+$ T cells within

tdLNs relative to peripheral blood (5–10% vs. ~2%) but markedly lower than in the tumor itself (>40%).

Phenotypically, tdLN-derived CD8$^+$ T cells exhibited a higher frequency of effector/effector memory-like

subsets (CD45RA$^-$CD62L$^-$) relative to peripheral blood (30–50% vs. <20%), though this proportion

remained lower than in intratumoral CD8$^+$ T cells (>70%) (**Fig. 7b**). Across all three compartments, the

majority of PD-1$^+$ CD8$^+$ T cells resided within the CD62L$^-$CD45RA$^-$ population, suggesting a more

effector like phenotype.

Having identified a potentially tumor-reactive CD8$^+$ T cell subset within tdLNs, we next investigated how

anatomical compartmentalization of CD8$^+$ T cell responses in the tdLN influences response to aPD-1. To

do so, we performed paired scRNA/TCR-seq of flow sorted CD45$^+$CD3$^+$CD8$^+$ T cells from matched tdLN

and tumor from four patients, one that did not received treatment and three patients that received

neoadjuvant aPD-1 plus chemotherapy of whom CM816 treatment displayed heterogenous primary tumor

responses. [36] (**Fig. 7c**). One patient (WCM2050b) achieved a partial response by RECIST 1.1 criteria

and complete pathological response (0% RVT), one (WCM1962) exhibited stable disease (SD) by

RECIST, and 30% RVT at resection, another patient (WCM1906) displayed SD by RECIST, but with an

increase in SUV (9.8 to 14.1) prior to resection and no pathological regression (>80% RVT). Another

patient (WCM1906), with a comparable stage (IIb) that did not receive treatment (**Fig. 7d**). Patients were

clinically matched by stage and tumor PD-L1 expression of <1% [37].

Using previously described methodologies [24, 34, 38], we identified CD8$^+$ TM expanded clones (n >9)

present in both the tumor and tdLN after removal of published viral epitopes. Tumor reactivity was further

validated using a NeoTCR score[39] and a stem-like/quiescence score[40] This confirmed that TM clones

in both the tumor and tdLN exhibited relatively high tumor-reactivity and low quiescence

(**Supplementary Fig. 7a**). On average, each patient's TM CD8$^+$ T cell repertoire contained approximately

60 expanded T cell clones, except for patient WCM1927, who harbored over 90 expanded clones. UMAP-

based clustering of expanded TM T cells, encompassing a total of 14,464 CD8$^+$ TM T cells derived from

8 samples (including lymph nodes and tumors) identified nine transcriptionally distinct clusters spanning

a spectrum from quiescent, memory-like populations to terminally differentiated, exhausted phenotypes

(**Fig. 7e**). Notably, TM clones within the tdLNs were predominantly enriched within quiescent, memory-

like clusters (clusters 1, 2, 4, and 9) that expressed relatively higher levels of *TCF7, CCR7, SELL*, and

IL7R irrespective of treatment, and aligned with previously defined progenitor/quiescent transcriptional

signatures. In contrast, tumor-infiltrating clones were generally preferentially positioned in more

activated, effector-like, and exhausted clusters characterized by enhanced expression of cytotoxic

mediators (*GZMB, PRF1*) and inhibitory receptors (*TIGIT, PDCD1, HAVCR2, TOX*) recapitulating the

phenotype characterized in our murine model (**Supplementary Fig. 7b, c**).

To remove bias that may be associated with clonal dominance, we tracked individual TM clones in both

tissues. In tdLN TM clones were generally maintained in a more naïve- and memory-like transcriptional

state, often coupled with gene expression signatures favoring lymph node homing, central memory and

stem-like memory states. In contrast, their tumor clonal counterparts frequently acquired a cytotoxic,

effector, and terminally differentiated phenotype. Nevertheless, these patterns were not uniform across all

clones, indicating heterogeneity in differentiation trajectories (**Fig. 7f, supplementary Fig. 7d**).

To gain insight into the continuum of clonal differentiation states between tissues, we performed

pseudotime trajectory analysis[36] to reconstruct the progression of TM clones from the tdLN to the tumor

and assess whether intratumoral clones undergo a shift toward terminal differentiation or exhaustion. This

revealed a linear trajectory from a tdLN-associated, memory-like state toward a more terminally

differentiated, exhausted, and cytotoxic phenotype within the tumor microenvironment, characterized by

the loss of *TCF1*, *SELL*, and *LEF1* expression and a concomitant increase in cytotoxicity-associated

markers such as *GZMB*, as well as activation and markers associated with dysfunction and exhaustion

including *PDCD1* and *CTLA4* irrespective of treatment or pathological response (**Fig. 7g, supplementary**

Fig. 7e). Notably, this progressive effector-like differentiation was observed at the individual clone level,

affecting both large and small clones across multiple patients, highlighting a conserved pattern of

functional adaptation upon tumor infiltration.

Notably, although all TM CD8$^+$ T cell in the LN maintained a more memory-like cluster distribution, the

only pathologic complete responder (cPR) exhibited a higher representation within clusters 4 and 2,

showing overall the highest enrichment of *IL7R*-defined clusters within the tdLN. This pattern was also

reflected in the matched clones present withing the primary tumor of this patient, where there was major

expansion of IL7R expressing subpopulations overall. Although the partial responder also displayed an

expansion of cluster 2 in the tdLN, clones present in the primary tumor mostly displayed a cytotoxic

signature, suggesting ongoing effector activity towards residual tumor cells (**Fig. 7h**). These findings align

with our mouse model data and our previous findings, where significant clonal expansion of tumor-

relevant clones in the tdLN of neoadjuvant-treated patients was observed compared to untreated

patients[34]. These findings further suggest that despite this clonal expansion, T cell differentiation within

the tdLN might dictate clinical responses to neoadjuvant aPD-1.

Discussion

Using a clinically relevant murine model of metastatic relapse following neoadjuvant PD-1 blockade and

surgical resection, along with clinical correlative data from NSCLC patients treated with neoadjuvant PD-

1 therapy, we provide a framework linking tdLN-derived progenitor cells to tumor-specific effector

responses and long-term immunity.

First, we show that tdLNs are drivers of antitumor efficacy and that aPD-1 long term survivors develop a

CD8$^+$ dependent memory response, with tumor relevant clones that can be found persisting in distant

lymph nodes. Second, our study provides direct evidence that IL-15Rα agonism in combination with

neoadjuvant aPD-1 promotes CD8$^+$ T cell expansion and increases clonal diversity of tumor relevant T

cells, changing their phenotype into effector memory precursors with increased cytotoxic features that

start at the tdLN level resulting in enhanced primary anti-tumor efficacy. Third, we show that after

treatment, IL-15 agonism promotes higher clonal persistence and lower metastatic recurrence. Finally,

exploration of differences in memory responses during tumor rechallenge showed that an IL-15R agonist

not only reprograms CD8$^+$ T cells but may also have a lasting effect in CD4$^+$ T cells. Investigation into

the populations required for IL-15R agonism-induced protective immunity demonstrated that while

neither CD8$^+$ nor CD4$^+$ T cell depletion alone compromised tumor control during rechallenge, dual

depletion resulted in complete abrogation of protection. These findings align with previous work exploring

the effect of different ICBs inducing distinct types of memory dependent on $CD4^+$ and $CD8^+$ T cells with different antitumor activity[33], which demonstrates that memory can be reprogrammed for superior recall responses. Our work suggests that IL-15 impacts both T cell populations to induce robust antitumor memory and motivates future phenotypic characterization of memory and functional features in $CD4^+$ T cells.

Our results align with a growing body of evidence showing that the efficacy of PD-1 ICB relies on a progenitor-like T cell subset for long-term maintenance of T cell responses[41], and that tdLNs are a reservoir of tumor specific $CD8^+$ T cells[42] that are precursors of T cells in the tumor [43] maintained by continuous migration from the lymph node[3, 4]. With surgical resection of tdLNs resulting in loss of therapy-induced tumor regression[44]. This suggest that PD-1 blockade in the surgical setting for NSCLC requires special considerations, as regional benign hilar and mediastinal are routinely resected as part of standard surgical management—often before or during immunotherapy—despite their potential role in sustaining systemic antitumor immunity.

IL-15 immunomodulation has emerged as a safe and potent strategy to enhance endogenous anti-tumor immunity. The phase I clinical trial of KD033 in patients with metastatic and locally advanced disease (NCT04242147) reported no dose-limiting toxicities and remains ongoing[45]. The IL-15 superagonist N-803 now FDA-approved for BCG-unresponsive early-stage bladder cancer, achieved a complete response in 71% of patients through direct activation of $CD8^+$ T cells[46]. It is currently under active investigation in ICB refractory advanced-stage NSCLC in combination with PD-1 blockade. To date, IL-15Rα agonism has not been tested in the neoadjuvant setting . Here, we show that combining an IL-15R agonist with PD-1 blockade elicits potent tumor-directed cytolytic activity and sustains long-lived, tumor-specific T cells whose generation depends on the tumor-draining lymph node. These findings provide a

strong rationale for neoadjuvant IL-15/PD-1 combination strategy to achieve durable clinical benefit,

forming the basis for our planned phase II clinical trial in early-stage NSCLC.

Materials and methods

Animal work

Animal work was performed in accordance with an animal protocol approved by the institutional Animal

Care and Use Committee at Weill Cornell Medical College (protocol no. 0806-762A). Female 129SvlmJ

were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory (Cat.No 002448).

Culturing of cell lines

344SQ lung cancer cell line was kindly provided from Dr. Jonathan Kurie's lab (MD Anderson Cancer

Center, Houston, TX). Tumor cells were obtained from subcutaneous tumors from $p53^{R172H\Delta g/+}$ K-

$ras^{LA1/+}$ mice. These cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, L-glutamine 10mM and

100 U ml^{-1} penicillin with 100 μg ml^{-1} streptomycin. mCherry-luciferase stable expression was achieved

using lentiviral transduction.

Flank injection of tumor cells in syngeneic (129SvlmJ)

We used immune-competent 129Sv (Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME USA 04609) female thar were

at least 8-12 weeks old for our *in vivo* studies. 1e^6 344SQ expressing mCherry-luciferase cells were

harvested at 50-70% confluence and resuspended in 100 ul of PBS and injected in the right flank. Tumor

growth in vivo was evaluated at day 3 after injection and once weekly via BLI using a Xenogen IVIS

system. To cohort mice for different treatments BLI data was collected 1 day before treatment and mice

were group such that similar mean tumor burden was present in each group. All treatment groups started

therapy after 3 weeks of tumor growth on same day. For treatment with aPD-1 or IgG2a mice were injected

intraperitoneally with 250ug of anti-PD-1 or IgG2a as previously reported[15] on days 1,4,7 of treatment.

Mice treated with IL-15 superagonist (KD033) received a single dose by tail vein injection (3mg/kg) at

same time of first dose of aPD-1. Mice that received combination therapy received IL-15 superagonist

single dose at day 1 of treatment and aPD-1, two additional doses of aPD-1 on days 4 and 7 of treatment.

3 days after last dose of treatment all mice underwent survival surgery at the same time.

For FTY720 experiments, FTY720 was resuspended in saline at a concentration of 30ug in 100 ul through

IP injection starting 2 or 6 days prior first dose of aPD-1 and was continued every other day during

treatment and until surgical resection timepoint. After this mouse were follow and posteriorly ntdLN

(contralateral, left inguinal) was resected more than 30 days after primary tumor resection for phenotyping.

FTY 720 depletion was confirmed by flow cytometry of blood from jawbleeds.

For depletion studies matched cohorts of mice that underwent different neoadjuvant treatments and

completed at least 30 days after survival surgery received CD4,CD8 and/or NK depleting antibodies

depending on the depletion group with the following doses: 400 μg anti-CD4 (clone GK1.5, BioXCell),

400 μg anti-CD8a (clone 2.43, BioXCell), and 50 ul of anti asialo antibody (GM1). Respective controls

were also used depending on the depletion group compensating for antibody load in respective groups.

For rechallenge experiments 700.000 344sq Mcherry expressing cells were injected through tail vein

injection. Efficacy of depleting antibodies was confirmed by flow cytometry of blood from jaw bleeds.

Survival surgery

The animals were anesthetized utilizing isoflurane. An injection of buprenorphine extended release (Ethiqa XR) SC was given for pre-emptive analgesia immediately after the animal were anesthetized. Depth of anesthesia was monitored at least every 15 minutes throughout the procedure. Following confirmation that a suitable anesthetic plane was attained, sterile eye lubricant was applied to both eyes to prevent corneal drying during surgery, alternative scrubs of ethanol 70% and chlorhexidine were given 3 times in the area for surgical incision. Animals were covered with a sterile drape with a hole for access to the incision and kept warm using a heating pad. Fresh sharp scissors were used to make an incision size of up to twice the diameter of the tumor through the skin directly overlaying the mass. Sterile hemostats were used to bluntly dissect the tumor and or tdLN (inguinal) away from the underlying normal tissue. During blunt dissection, blood vessels feeding or draining the tumor were ligated. Once the tumor was separated from the surrounding tissue, it was carefully removed using sterile forceps for grasping the mass and sterile scissors to facilitate removal. Skin edges were apposed and closed with sterile wound clips. Mice were monitored during recovery from anesthesia and were follow up twice at 24-, 48- and 72-hour timepoints for signs of post-surgical complications or pain and treated accordingly to protocol. Sutures were removed between day 7 and day 14.

Mouse tissue harvest

At specific timepoints tissues were collected during survival surgery, kept on supplemented culture media at 4 °C, then tumors were ground through a 140 -μm wire mesh (Cell Screen/100mesh, Bellco Glass) then filtered through 70-μm filters. Lymph nodes were dissected and filtered through 70-μm filters. Red blood cell lysis into single cell suspension was achieved by using ACK lysing Buffer and cell pellets were washed and resuspended in FACS buffer.

Flow cytometry staining

For surface staining samples were incubated with a fixable live/dead viability dye (Zombie Aqua,

BioLegend), stained with primary antibodies in the presence of Fc blockers, washed with FACS buffer,

fixed with 1% formaldehyde, washed with FACS buffer and resuspended in FACS buffer.

Transcription factor staining: Samples were incubated with Zombie Aqua fixable live/dead dye, stained

with primary antibodies in the presence of Fc blockers, washed with FACS buffer, fixed with

Fixation/Permeabilization Buffer (eBioscience), washed with Permeabilization Buffer (eBioscience),

stained with primary antibodies for intracellular markers in Permeabilization Buffer, washed with

Permeabilization Buffer and resuspended in FACS buffer.

Cytokine staining: Single cell suspension obtained after ACK lysis buffer was incubated a $37\,°C$ in a

humidified incubator for 5 hours using golgi blockers brefeldin A (Biolegend) and monensin (Biolegend),

and cytokine stimulants PMA and Ionomycin. After incubation cells were stained for surface and

intracellular markers as described above.

Sorting of T cells for single cell TCR sequencing analysis.

At specific timepoints tissues were collected during survival surgery, kept on supplemented culture media

at $4\,°C$, tissues were collected, processed and ACK lysed as described above, single cell suspension was

stained using primary antibodies in the presence of Fc blockers, washed and resuspended in FACS buffer

and stained with CD3, CD8, CD45, CD62L and CD45RA (Human samples) or CD44 (mouse

experiments) and DAPI 0.2 ug ml^{-1}. Sorting strategy used was CD45+,CD3+,CD8+, CD62L+ and

CD45RA/CD44 was used to verify phenotypes during sorting.

Patient sample collection and use

All patient specimens were collected following consent from the Cardiothoracic Surgery Department,

Weill Cornell Medical College. Specimens were collected after obtaining written informed consent before

undergoing any study-specific procedures in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Patient identity

for pathological specimens remained anonymous in the context of this study. Patient sample collection

was approved by the institutional review board of Weill Cornell Medical College: Thoracic Surgery

Biobank protocol no. 23-04025976.

scRNASeq and scTCRSeq and demultiplexing and read processing

To align raw single cell RNA Seqeuncing and single cell V(D)J sequencing data we used Cell Ranger

version 3.0.2 multi. The GEX mm10-2020-A mouse reference genome was used for transcriptional

reference along with GRCm38 mouse reference for V(D)J. Aligned transcriptional data is the processed

using Read10X using R version 3.6.1. Seurat version 3 was used to create a seurat object with the

following parameters: min.cells set to 3 and min.features parameter set to 200. TCR V(D)J data was then

processed by combining the filtered_contig_annotations.csv and clonotypes.csv dataset to get the cdr3

alpha and beta amino acid sequence for each cell, which was then added as meta data to the Seurat object.

Single-cell TCR sequencing quality control and clonal analysis

CD8 T cells were filtered to have at least both one α and one β chain sequenced. Distinct TCR clones were

determined by the exact combination of α and one β chain amino acid sequences. Clone size was then

calculated as the number of cells within the same TCR α and one β chain sequenced. Only expanded

clones, clones with at least three cells as mentioned in literature, were used in all downstream clonal

analysis. Clones were categorized into two categories of clonal matching: non-tissue-matched (non-tm)

and tissue-matched (tm). If all cells within a clone were found in single tissue it was denoted as non-tm.

If a clone in the tdLN had a paired TCR clone found in the tumor, it was categorized as tissue matched

(tm) clone. Persisting clones, a subcategory of tm clones, were categorized as clones with T cells found

in both tdLN and tumor and then also in the ndLN after tumor resection. Each T cell was labeled as being

part of a non-tm or tm clone as well as the clone ID. After unexpanded clones were removed, the clonality

of a tissue for each treatment was analyzed in three ways: clonal diversity, the percent of total tm T cell,

and a normalized weighted expansion score. The clonal diversity of a group was calculated to be the total

number of clones within that group.

For patient samples to reduce likelihood of tumor bystander T cells, extra filtering steps were taken. The

https://vdjdb.cdr3.net/ antigen-specific TCR amino acid sequence data base was then used to determine

viral clones. These clones were then filtered out. Following this, unexpanded clones (n<10) were also

filtered out. To validate our methods of reducing by standing CD8 T cells, we applied a tumor-reactive

signature from the literature, comparing non-tm cells, tm viral, non-viral unexpanded tm cells, and

expanded tm cells within the tdLN and tumor.

Single-cell RNA computational processing

ScRNA sequencing data was preprocessed following the steps instructed by the Seurat tutorial vignettes.

All cells with a percentage of mitochondrial genes, high or low number of features, were removed as

quality control. Cell's transcriptional data was normalized by using Seurat's *NormalizeData* with the

LogNormalized method and a scale factor of 10000. The 2000 most variable features, as suggested by

Seurat, were found via *FindVariableFeatures* using the vst method. PCA's were calculated using the

runPCA function. The number of dimensions used in *RunUMAP* was based on the elbow plot of PCA

dimensions. To remove non-CD8 T cell from the dataset, all cells without TCR sequencing were removed.

In addition, cell expression for CD8 and Cd3 (*Cd3g, Cd3e, Cd3d, Cd8a, Cd8b, Cd8b2*) was tested.

Common cell types that were also filtered were epithelial cells, B cells, CD4 T+ CD8- T cells, although

after removed cells without TCR sequencing the need to remove other cell types minimized. Meta data

about each of the mouse's treatment, response, and recurrence status was also added and later used for

differential expression to compare functional changes.

Two mice cohort experiments were sequenced and were integrated by cohort to remove sequencing bias.

Cells were integrated using *RunHarmoney* based on cohort to remove any cohort-specific or sequence-

specific bias. When analysis was preformed to directly compare experiments, a new Seurat object with all

samples was created and clustered using the same preprocessing and clustering procedure. T cells from

sequenced mice had ndLN resected 30 days after initial resection to trace TCR clone longitudinally. Mice

that did not recure or die by the 30 days after initial tumor resection were selected and persisting clones

were determined by tracing which tm clones were also found in the ndLN. A new UMAP was then

generated using persisting clones. Patient samples were integrated using *RunHarmoney* based on patient

ID to remove any patient-specific or sequence-specific bias.

Transcriptional signature analysis with TCR clonal matching category

To compare T cell functionality between TCR matching groups, relative functional scores were generated

using Seurat's *addModuleScore*, which were then centered and scaled. Markers to create scores were

taking from literature. For example, *Sell, Tcf7, Ccr7, Il7r, S1pr1,* and *Klf2* are naïve and central memory

positive markers described by Pauken et al. based on Pauken et al, an effector and effector memory gene

score included *Klrg1, Cx3cr1, S1pr5, Tnf, Ifng, Gzmb,* and *Prf1*. A cytotoxic score was generated by

combining known cytotoxic genes *Prf1, Ifng, Nkg7, Gzmb, Gzma, Gzmm, Klrk1, Klrb1a, Klrb1c, Klrd1,*

Ctsw, and *Cst7[24].* The migration score was determined from Hashimoto et al to include *Cxcr3, S1pr1,*

Klf2, Itgb1, Cd44, and *Ly6c2[7].*

Scores were then compared between meta data (matching category, tissue, treatment, recurrence, etc.) or

a combination of meta data by using boxplots and statistically compared using t test. To determine how

tissue and treatment affect T cell subtypes, proportion of Seurat cluster distributions were created using

ggplot. Lastly, in order to understand how T cell relative function changes within a clone in different

tissues and presence of antigen, functional scores were averaged per tissue per clone. Each clonal average

was then plotted using *geom_point* and each clone was followed via a connected line. Clones were colored

based on tissue and a paired T-test was used to determine changes. To compare functional score and gene

changes across all mice, tissues, and scores, each score was transformed into a Z-score, where the average

was zero and the standard deviation was one. Differential expression was preformed using *FindMarkers*

or *FindAllMarkers* to determine changes between clusters, tissues, or treatments, where min.pct and

logfc.threshld were 0.25. *EnhancedVolcano* plot was used to demonstrate the differentially expressed

genes, with a pCutOff of 0.05 and FCcutoff of 0.5.

Single-cell RNA pseudotime analysis

Monocle3 was used to perform a pseudotime analysis to study persistant clone-specific and treatment-

specific T cell trajectories. T cells were first filtered to include only persisting clones. A new UMAP was

created in Seurat to classify T cell subtypes using the functional annotations, differential expression using

FindAllMarkers, and functional scores using *addmodulescore*. The Seurat object along with its metadata

was then converted to a large cell_data_set object. This object was then preprocessed, aligned by cohort

and then *reduce_dimensions* was run, as suggested by Monocle3. Following this method, *cluster_cells*

was used using the Louvain method, and the a trajectory was determined via *learn_graph*. The earliest

principal node was determined by the earliest principal node in the tdLN. The location of the earliest

principal node was then check with biological standards of known less differentiated markers. The

pseudotime trajectory was then compared with previously annotate T cell subtypes, tissue type, and

functional scores.

626   References

1.   Lee, A.H., et al., *Neoadjuvant PD-1 blockade induces T cell and cDC1 activation but fails to overcome the immunosuppressive tumor associated macrophages in recurrent glioblastoma.* Nature Communications, 2021. **12**(1).

2.   Im, S.J., et al., *Defining CD8+ T cells that provide the proliferative burst after PD-1 therapy.* Nature, 2016. **537**(7620): p. 417-421.

3.   Connolly, K.A., et al., *A reservoir of stem-like CD8 [+] T cells in the tumor-draining lymph node preserves the ongoing antitumor immune response.* Science Immunology, 2021. **6**(64).

4.   Prokhnevska, N., et al., *CD8+ T cell activation in cancer comprises an initial activation phase in lymph nodes followed by effector differentiation within the tumor.* Immunity, 2023. **56**(1): p. 107-124.e5.

5.   Dammeijer, F., et al., *The PD-1/PD-L1-Checkpoint Restrains T cell Immunity in Tumor-Draining Lymph Nodes.* Cancer Cell, 2020. **38**(5): p. 685-700.e8.

6.   Forde, P.M., et al., *Overall Survival with Neoadjuvant Nivolumab plus Chemotherapy in Lung Cancer.* New England Journal of Medicine, 2025.

7.   Hashimoto, M., et al., *PD-1 combination therapy with IL-2 modifies CD8+ T cell exhaustion program.* Nature, 2022. **610**(7930): p. 173-181.

8.   Rochman, Y., R. Spolski, and W.J. Leonard, *New insights into the regulation of T cells by γc family cytokines.* Nature Reviews Immunology, 2009. **9**(7): p. 480-490.

9.   Waldmann, T.A., *The Shared and Contrasting Roles of IL2 and IL15 in the Life and Death of Normal and Neoplastic Lymphocytes: Implications for Cancer Therapy.* Cancer Immunology Research, 2015. **3**(3): p. 219-227.

10.   Yang, Y. and A. Lundqvist, *Immunomodulatory Effects of IL-2 and IL-15; Implications for Cancer Immunotherapy.* Cancers, 2020. **12**(12): p. 3586.

11.   Lee, J., et al., *IL-15 promotes self-renewal of progenitor exhausted CD8 T cells during persistent antigenic stimulation.* Frontiers in Immunology, 2023. **14**.

12.   Gibbons, D.L., et al., *Contextual extracellular cues promote tumor cell EMT and metastasis by regulating miR-200 family expression.* Genes & Development, 2009. **23**(18): p. 2140-2151.

13.   Knopf, P., et al., *Preclinical Identification Of Tumor-Draining Lymph Nodes Using a Multimodal Non-invasive In vivo Imaging Approach.* Molecular Imaging and Biology, 2023. **25**(3): p. 606-618.

14.   Horton, B.L., et al., *Lack of CD8 [+] T cell effector differentiation during priming mediates checkpoint blockade resistance in non–small cell lung cancer.* Science Immunology, 2021. **6**(64).

15.   Markowitz, G.J., et al., *Immune reprogramming via PD-1 inhibition enhances early-stage lung cancer survival.* JCI Insight, 2018. **3**(13).

16.   Oh, M.S., et al., *Tumor Heterogeneity and the Immune Response in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer: Emerging Insights and Implications for Immunotherapy.* Cancers, 2025. **17**(6): p. 1027.

17.   Micevic, G., et al., *IL-7R licenses a population of epigenetically poised memory CD8 [+] T cells with superior antitumor efficacy that are critical for melanoma memory.* Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 2023. **120**(30).

18.   Herndler-Brandstetter, D., et al., *KLRG1+ Effector CD8+ T Cells Lose KLRG1, Differentiate into All Memory T Cell Lineages, and Convey Enhanced Protective Immunity.* Immunity, 2018. **48**(4): p. 716-729.e8.

19.   Hänninen, A., et al., *Ly6C supports preferential homing of central memory CD8^{+} T cells into lymph nodes.* European Journal of Immunology, 2011. **41**(3): p. 634-644.

20.   Williams, B.A., et al., *Predicting Postrecurrence Survival Among Completely Resected Nonsmall-Cell Lung Cancer Patients.* The Annals of Thoracic Surgery, 2006. **81**(3): p. 1021-1027.

21.   Boersma, B., H. Poinot, and A. Pommier, *Stimulating the Antitumor Immune Response Using Immunocytokines: A Preclinical and Clinical Overview.* Pharmaceutics, 2024. **16**(8): p. 974.

22.   Lee, H., S.-H. Park, and E.-C. Shin, *IL-15 in T-Cell Responses and Immunopathogenesis.* Immune Network, 2024. **24**(1).

23. Martomo, S.A., et al., *Single-Dose Anti–PD-L1/IL-15 Fusion Protein KD033 Generates Synergistic Antitumor Immunity with Robust Tumor-Immune Gene Signatures and Memory Responses.* Molecular Cancer Therapeutics, 2021. **20**(2): p. 347-356.

24. Pauken, K.E., et al., *Single-cell analyses identify circulating anti-tumor CD8 T cells and markers for their enrichment.* Journal of Experimental Medicine, 2021. **218**(4).

25. Andreatta, M., et al., *Interpretation of T cell states from single-cell transcriptomics data using reference atlases.* Nature Communications, 2021. **12**(1).

26. Ayers, M., et al., *IFN-γ–related mRNA profile predicts clinical response to PD-1 blockade.* Journal of Clinical Investigation, 2017. **127**(8): p. 2930-2940.

27. Miller, B.C., et al., *Subsets of exhausted CD8+ T cells differentially mediate tumor control and respond to checkpoint blockade.* Nature Immunology, 2019. **20**(3): p. 326-336.

28. Kaech, S.M., et al., *Molecular and Functional Profiling of Memory CD8 T Cell Differentiation.* Cell, 2002. **111**(6): p. 837-851.

29. Doering, T.A., et al., *Network Analysis Reveals Centrally Connected Genes and Pathways Involved in CD8+ T Cell Exhaustion versus Memory.* Immunity, 2012. **37**(6).

30. Chihara, N., et al., *Induction and transcriptional regulation of the co-inhibitory gene module in T cells.* Nature, 2018. **558**(https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0206-z).

31. Tsyklauri, O., et al., *Regulatory T cells suppress the formation of potent KLRK1 and IL-7R expressing effector CD8 T cells by limiting IL-2.* Elife, 2023.

32. Yao, C., et al., *Single-cell RNA-seq reveals TOX as a key regulator of CD8+ T cell persistence in chronic infection.* Nature Immunology, 2019. **20**(7): p. 890-901.

33. Mok, S., et al., *Anti-CTLA-4 generates greater memory response than anti-PD-1 via TCF-1.* Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 2025. **122**(2).

34. Honigsberg, R., et al., *Tumor-specific draining lymph node CD8 T cells orchestrate an anti-tumor response to neoadjuvant PD-1 immune checkpoint blockade.* 2025, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory.

35. Gilmore, D.M., et al., *Identification of metastatic nodal disease in a phase 1 dose-escalation trial of intraoperative sentinel lymph node mapping in non–small cell lung cancer using near-infrared imaging.* The Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, 2013. **146**(3): p. 562-570.

36. Hou, W., et al., *A statistical framework for differential pseudotime analysis with multiple single-cell RNA-seq samples.* Nature Communications, 2023. **14**(1).

37. Forde, P.M., et al., *Neoadjuvant Nivolumab plus Chemotherapy in Resectable Lung Cancer.* New England Journal of Medicine, 2022. **386**(21): p. 1973-1985.

38. Szabo, P.A., et al., *Single-cell transcriptomics of human T cells reveals tissue and activation signatures in health and disease.* Nature Communications, 2019. **10**(1).

39. Lowery, F.J., et al., *Molecular signatures of antitumor neoantigen-reactive T cells from metastatic human cancers.* Science, 2022. **375**(6583): p. 877-884.

40. Krishna, S., et al., *Stem-like CD8 T cells mediate response of adoptive cell immunotherapy against human cancer.* Science, 2020. **370**(6522): p. 1328-1334.

41. Siddiqui, I., et al., *Intratumoral Tcf1+PD-1+CD8+ T Cells with Stem-like Properties Promote Tumor Control in Response to Vaccination and Checkpoint Blockade Immunotherapy.* Immunity, 2019. **50**(1): p. 195-211.e10.

42. Liu, Q., et al., *Tumor-specific memory CD8+ T cells are strictly resident in draining lymph nodes during tumorigenesis.* Cellular & Molecular Immunology, 2023. **20**(4): p. 423-426.

43. Rahim, M.K., et al., *Dynamic CD8+ T cell responses to cancer immunotherapy in human regional lymph nodes are disrupted in metastatic lymph nodes.* Cell, 2023. **186**(6): p. 1127-1143.e18.

44. Fransen, M.F., et al., *Tumor-draining lymph nodes are pivotal in PD-1/PD-L1 checkpoint therapy.* JCI Insight, 2018. **3**(23).

45. Luke, J.J., et al., *Phase I dose escalation of KD033, a PDL1-IL15 bispecific molecule, in advanced solid tumors.* JOURNAL OF CLINICAL ONCOLOGY, 2021. **39**.

46. Chamie, K., et al., *Final clinical results of pivotal trial of IL-15RαFc superagonist N-803 with BCG in BCG-*
unresponsive CIS and papillary nonmuscle-invasive bladder cancer (NMIBC). JOURNAL OF CLINICAL
ONCOLOGY, 2022. **40**.
47. Ciecko, A.E., et al., *Self-Renewing Islet TCF1+ CD8 T Cells Undergo IL-27–Controlled Differentiation to*
Become TCF1– Terminal Effectors during the Progression of Type 1 Diabetes. The Journal of
Immunology, 2021. **207**(8): p. 1990-2004.
48. Wang, C., et al., *Clinicopathological variables influencing overall survival, recurrence and post-*
recurrence survival in resected stage I non-small-cell lung cancer. BMC Cancer, 2020. **20**(1).
49. Zhang, S., et al., *Immunosequencing identifies signatures of T cell responses for early detection of*
nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Cancer Cell, 2025.
50. Li, H., et al., *Distinct CD8+ T cell dynamics associate with response to neoadjuvant cancer*
immunotherapies. Cancer Cell, 2025. **43**(4): p. 757-775.
51. Galletti, G., et al., *Two subsets of stem-like CD8+ memory T cell progenitors with distinct fate*
commitments in humans. Nature Immunology, 2020. **21**(12): p. 1552-1562.
52. Tsui, C., et al., *MYB orchestrates T cell exhaustion and response to checkpoint inhibition.* 2022.
53. Yossef, R., et al., *Phenotypic signatures of circulating neoantigen-reactive CD8+ T cells in patients with*
metastatic cancers. cancer cell, 2023. **41**: p. 2154–2165.

Acknowledgements: We thank J. McCormick, T. Baumgartner, P. Byrne and M. Romero of the Flow

Cytometry Core Facility, J. Xiang of the Genomics Resources Core Facility. We thank P. Adusumilli or

helpful discussions and critical manuscript review. We thank S. B. Lee for animal colony management.

Author contribution: T.D.C. and J.V.V conceptualized the project and designed the experiments.

T.D.C. performed the mouse experiments with A.S. and M.T. assistance. R.H. performed single cell

sequence data analysis and interpreted the data along J.V., T.D.C. and O.E., V.M. and N.K.A. provided

project oversight and support. T.D.C. and J.V.V wrote the manuscript. T.D.C. and J.V.V edited the

manuscript with input from the authors. All authors discussed the results and conclusions drawn from the

studies.

Competing interests: Authors have declared no financial or other competing interest.

Data and materials availability: All data, code, and materials used in the analysis were sourced from

common established methodologies and publicly available packages on R. We described the pipeline of

the analysis in the methods section and have affirmed that the code is available to readers upon reasonable

request.

Fig. 1. Surgical murine model displays tdLN CD8+ T cell dependent response to neoadjuvant aPD-1.

Fig. 1. Surgical murine model displays tdLN CD8$^+$ T cell dependent response to neoadjuvant aPD-1. **a.** Experimental design for tumor, tumor draining lymph node (tdLN) and non-tumor draining lymph node (ndLN) characterization, **b.** Flow cytometry quantification of CD8$^+$ T cells in tumor, tdLN and ndLN, showing counts of CD8$^+$PD-1$^+$ T cells, memory subpopulations determined by CD44 and CD62L expression, IFNγ and KI67 expression between tissues was also evaluated (total of 6 biological replicates per tissue *(n=6))*, **c.** representative bioluminescence (BLI) images of flank tumor burden of an aPD-1 responder mouse on days -2,7,9 of treatment, **d.** Experimental design of neoadjuvant experiments using aPD-1, **e.** Representative bioluminescence images and necropsy pictures of metastatic disease developed after primary tumor resection showing common sites of metastasis, **f.** Schematic for FTY720 experiments, **g.** BLI plots of tumor growth kinetics during treatment with IgG control (gray), aPD-1 (orange) and aPD-1+FTY720 (denoted by black arrow), **h.** Flow cytometry quantification of CD8$^+$ T cell populations in the tumor and tdLN of mice receiving aPD-1 or aPD-1+FTY720, **i.** Overall survival of mice treated with aPD-1 or IgG, **j.** Kaplan Meier survival plots of mice that develop recurrence (dotted line) VS no recurrence (long term responders), **k.** Experimental design of rechallenge/depletion experiments, **l.** BLI plots of tumor burden of tumor rechallenge of long-term responders receiving CD8 depletion (red), IgG control (orange) or naïve age match mice (black), **m.** Kaplan Meier survival plots for experimental groups in depletion experiment. Statistics used were one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) **(b.) (h.)**, log-rank Mantel–Cox test **(i.)(m.)**.

Fig. 2. Neoadjuvant IL-15Rα agonist in combination with PD-1 blockade displays robust efficacy in primary tumor and protection from metastatic relapse.

Fig. 2. Neoadjuvant IL-15Rα agonist in combination with PD-1 blockade displays robust efficacy in

primary tumor and protection from metastatic relapse. **a.** Schematic of experimental design (left) and BLI

plots of primary tumor burden kinetics during treatment with IgG (gray), aPD-1 (orange),IL15Rα (pink)

or   aPD-1+IL15Rα (blue),   **b.** Quantification   of   responder   mice   per   treatment   n=6-13   per

group, **c.** Schematic design of recurrence follow up showing past experimental timepoints until surgery in

gray (left) and BLI plots of metastatic disease after primary tumor resection (right), asterisks denote

mortality during follow up, **d.** Kaplan Meier survival plots of mice from different treatments after primary

tumor resection up to 200 days. Statistics used were one Way ANOVA **(b.)**, and siddaks log-rank Mantel–

Cox test **(d.)**.

Fig. 3. IL-15Rα directs tdLN CD8+ clones towards an IL-7R α effector memory subset.

Fig. 3. IL-15Rα directs tdLN CD8+ clones towards an IL-7R α effector memory subset. **a.** Representative

flow cytometry plots of CD8$^+$ T cells from tumor and tdLNs resected at time of survival surgery timepoint

from mice treated with aPD-1 or aPD-1+IL-15Rα for the expression of KLRG1,IL7Rα, interferon gamma

(Ifnγ) and Granzyme b (Grb), **b.** Flow cytometry quantification of CD8$^+$ T cell subsets for experiment in

b. *(n= 3-5 per group),* **c.** Experimental design for single cell RNA sequencing (scRNAseq) experiments,

d. Clustering and UMAP visualization of CD8 tumor match (TM) clones from tumor and tdLNs at day of

surgical resection *(n=249 clones)* integrated from 9 mice from two different experiments, color denote

transcriptional clusters, labeled with functional annotations, **e.** UMAP showing cluster distribution of

tumor match clones from tumor and tdLN (left), and cluster distribution for each cluster in figure 4e. in

respective tissues (right), **f.** UMAP showing relative expression of naïve/central memory (CM)Pauken,

Shahid [24], terminal differentiation (Term. Diff) Ciecko, Schauder [47], activation & migration Wang,

Wu [48] and cytotoxic gene signatures Zhang, Zhou [49], **g.** UMAP showing clone distribution per

treatment, **h.** Cluster distribution for each cluster in figure 4e. per treatment and tissue, **i.** Heatmap for

genes grouped by function (Memory, dysfunctional, cytotoxic/effector, migration & adhesion, co-

stimulation (Co-Stim) and AP-1) and Z score average by tissue and treatment for each gene. Statistics

used were one way ANOVA **(b.).**

Fig. 4. Il-15Rα induced IL-7R α effector memory CD8^{+} T cell differentiation begins in the tdLN.

Fig. 4. Il-15Rα induced IL-7Rα effector memory CD8$^+$ T cell differentiation begins in the tdLN. **a.**

Quantification of number of unique TCR clones per mouse and treatment, each dot represents one mouse

(n=9), **b.** Bar plots showing clonal diversity in the tumor (top) and the tumor draining lymph node(bottom)

per cluster depicted in figure 4.e, **c.** Number of unique TCR clones in memory cluster (cluster 1) per

treatment in tumor (top) and tumor draining lymph node (bottom), **d.** Volcano plot of differentially

expressed genes between tumor and tdLN T cells in cluster 1, **e.** UMAP of memory subclusters from

memory cluster 1 in figure 4e., labeled by top genes within the cluster, **f.** UMAP showing distribution of

tumor (red) and tdLN (blue) T cell clones on memory subclusters, **g.** Volcano plot of differentially

expressed genes of tdLN memory T cells from mice treated with aPD-1 Vs aPD-1+IL15Rα, **h.** Clone

distribution in memory subclusters by treatment, **i.** Bar plots showing cluster distribution in memory

subclusters by tissue and treatment, **j.** Z score for KILR Effector Tsyklauri, Chadimova [31] and

progenitor Yao, Sun [32] signature for clones in tumor and tdLN from different treatments. Statistics used

were t-test **(j.)**.

929   \

Fig. 5. CD8$^+$ T cell clonal response in tdLN directly contributes to systemic persistence.

Fig. 5. CD8$^+$ T cell clonal response in tdLN directly contributes to systemic persistence. **a**. Experimental

design, past experimental timepoints until surgery are shown in gray, **b.** Flow cytometry quantification of

CD8$^+$ T cells from inguinal non draining lymph node resected more than 30 days after primary tumor

resection from mice under neoadjuvant aPD-1 or combination therapy showing percentages of central

memory (CD62L$^+$CD44$^+$) CD8$^+$ T cells expressing IL7Rα and TCF1, **c.** Clustering and UMAP

visualization integrating paired CD8T cell clones from tumor, tdLN and ndLN *(n=9321 cells, 52 TM*

clones) integrated from 9 mice (26 tissues total), colors denote transcriptional clusters, labeled with

functional annotations (top), UMAPs showing cluster location of clones coming from tumor (red), tdLN

(blue) and ndLN (green) (bottom), **d.** Violin plots showing clonal average score for Naïve/CM Pauken,

Shahid [24], as well as relative expression of IL7R, PDCD1 and TCF7 genes, each line traces and connects

matching clones in the tumor (red), tdLN (blue) and non-draining lymph node (ndLN) (green), **e.** Clonal

persistence in ndLN more than 30 days post resection of primary tumor (left) from total tumor relevant

clones initially identified at moment of surgery per treatment, Z score for IL7R expression in persistent

clones (right). Statistics used were *t-test* **(b.), (d.), (e.)**.

Fig. 6. Neoadjuvant Il-15Rα + aPD-1 relies on the tdLN for primary tumor control and induces a robust

antitumor protection that is only lost upon simultaneous CD8+ and CD4+ T cell depletion.

Fig. 6. Neoadjuvant Il-15Rα + aPD-1 relies on the tdLN for primary tumor control and induces a robust

antitumor protection that is only lost upon simultaneous CD8$^+$ and CD4$^+$ T cell depletion **a.** Experimental

design of tdLN resection experiments (left) and quantification of CD8+ T cells and percentage of IL7R

expressing CD8 T cells in the primary tumor **b.** Experimental design for FTY720 experiments, **c.** Flow

cytometry quantification of CD8$^+$ T cells from the non-draining lymph node resected 30 days after primary

tumor resection from mice receiving neoadjuvant aPD-1 or combination therapy +/- FTY720 showing

percentages of CD8$^+$ T cells as well as subsets of central memory T cells, **d.** Schematic design of

depletion/tumor rechallenge experiments for combination treated long term responders (left), and BLI

plots of tumor burden to the chest after tail-vein injection of combination treated mice with no depletion

(blue), or receiving different depleting antibodies:CD4 (yellow), CD8 (purple) CD4/CD8 (green), and

naïve age match mice(black), **e.** Overall survival for experimental groups in e. Statistics used were *t-test*

(a.),(c.), and siddaks log-rank Mantel–Cox test **(e.)**.

1014

1015

1016

1017

1018

1019

1020

1021

1022

1023

Fig. 7. CD8^{+} T cells in tumor draining lymph nodes of NSCLC patients have a distinct clonal response to

neoadjuvant aPD-1 treatment.

Fig. 7. CD8$^+$ T cells in tumor draining lymph node of NSCLC patients have a distinct clonal response to

neoadjuvant aPD-1 treatment. **a.** Schematic representation of tumor and nodal stations, **b.** Representative

flow cytometry plots of CD8$^+$ T cells in the tumor, tumor draining lymph node (tdLN) and peripheral

blood (PB) showing expression of CD62L,CD45RA and PD-1, **c.** Experimental design for single cell RNA

sequence (scRNAseq) experiments, **d.** Schematic representation and relevant clinical features of three

patients treated with neoadjuvant aPD-1 therapy with complete response (CR), partial response (PR), no

response (NR) and a non-treated patient (NT) selected for single cell sequencing, response is defined by

residual viable tumor (RVT) **e.** Clustering and UMAP visualization of tumor matched clones from paired

tumor and tdLN integrated from 4 patients (total of 8 samples 14,464 tm expanded T cells). Colors denote

transcriptional clusters, labeled with functional annotations (left), UMAP showing tumor (red) and tdLN

(blue) clonal distribution (top right), and quiescent Lowery, Krishna [39] and exhausted signature Li, Dan

P. Zandberg [50] relative expression (bottom right), **f.** Violin plots showing Z score for T cells for central

memory (TCM) Galletti, De Simone [51] & stem central memory (TSCM) Zhang, Zhou [49], Cytotoxic-

like and terminal differentiation (Term. diff.) Ciecko, Schauder [47], each line traces and connects

matching clones in the tumor (red) and tdLN (blue), **g.** UMAP showing pseudo-time analysis (left) and Z

score for expression of specific markers: *GZMB, PDCD1, CTLA4, TCF7, SELL, LEF1* as function of

pseudo-time progression (right), **h.** UMAPs showing relative expression of *TCF7, IL7R, TIGIT, GZMB*

(top), and cluster distribution of tumor match clones in clusters defined in **Figure 7.e** per patient in tumor

and tdLN (bottom). Statistics used were paired t-test (f.).

1065

1066

1067

Extended data fig. 1 Characterization of tumor and tumor draining lymph node CD8^{+} T cells and response

to neoadjuvant aPD-1

Extended data Fig. 1 Characterization of tumor and tumor draining lymph node CD8$^+$ T cells and

response to neoadjuvant aPD-1 **a.** Representative flow cytometry plots of CD8$^+$ T cells from tumor, tdLN

and ndLN at time of tumor resection, **b.** quantification of percentages and counts of CD8$^+$ T cells from

flow cytometry data in a. (*n=6 per* group/tissue*),* **c.** Tumor burden fold change of untreated mice (IgG),

responders and non-responders to aPD-1, **d.** Experimental design (top left), and representative flow plots

of effector memory CD8$^+$ effector memory T cells from tdLNs from responder and non-responder mice

showing expression of IL7Rα, KLRG1 (left), CD62L and Ly6C (right), and percentages of CD8$^+$ effector

memory T cells from responder (orange) and non-responder mice (black) expressing IL7Rα and Ly6c, **e.**

Heatmap showing mean gene expression of CD8+ T cells in tdLN from aPD-1 responder, aPD-1 non

responder and untreated (IgG) mouse (total of 3 mice), **f.** quantification of CD8$^+$ T cells in peripheral blood

from long term responders to neoadjuvant aPD-1 therapy treated mice after 2 doses of depleting

antibody(white) or control(IgG) treatment(orange). Statistics used were One Way ANOVA **(b.),** t-test

(c.)(d.) and **(f.)**.

1104

1105

1106

1107

1108

1109

1110

1111

1112

1113

Extended data fig. 2 IL15Ra induces an effector memory CD8+ T cells phenotype in the tumor draining lymph node changing their differentiation trajectory and increasing clonal diversity.

Extended data Fig. 2 IL15Rα induces an effector memory precursor CD8$^+$ T cells phenotype in the tumor

draining lymph node changing their differentiation trajectory and increasing clonal diversity. **a.**

Representative flow cytometry plots of CD8 T cells from tumor and tdLNs resected at time of survival

surgery from mice treated with aPD-1 or aPD-1+IL-15Rα for the expression of transcription factors

(TCF1,TOX), Cytokine expression (IFN-γ,Grb) and surface markes (PD-1,CD44,C62L,IL7Rα,KLRG1),

b., c. Quantification of flow cytometry data by percentage and counts, (*n= 3-5 per group),* **d.**

quantification of central memory populations in the tdLN at time of tumor resection showing expression

of IL7Ra, Statistics used were one way ANOVA **(b), (c), (d)**.

1144

1145

1146

1147

1148

1149

1150

1151

1152

1153

1154

1155

1156

1157

1158   **Extended data Fig. 3** Single cell sequencing shows differences in CD8^{+}T cell repertoire dynamics

1159   induced by treatment **.**

1160   a.

1164   b.

1172   c.

Extended data Fig. 3 Single cell sequencing shows differences in CD8^{+}T cell repertoire dynamics

induced by treatment **a.** Venn diagram showing number of clones from tumor (red) , tdLN (blue) and

overlapping clones between both tissues (tumor matched clones) from different treatments, **b.** UMAP

showing relative expression of memory[24, 47], differentiated[24, 47], cytolytic[24, 49] and

trafficking[48, 52] signatures, **c.** Z score for cytotoxic[49], KILR effector [31], activation & migration[48],

IL7R and Gzmb (Granzyme B) for tumor match clones in the tumor and the tdLN from different

treatments. Statistical analysis were t-test **(c.)**.

1187

1188

1189

1190

1191

1192

1193

1194

1195

1196

1197

1198

1199

1200

1201

1202

Extended data Fig. 4 IL15Ra increases the proportion of central memory CD8 T cells that express IL7Ra.

1225   **Extended data Fig. 4** IL-15Rα increases the proportion of central memory CD8$^+$ T cells that express

1226   IL7Rα **a**. Experimental scheme, **b.** Representative flow cytometry plots of CD8+ T cells from tumor and

1227   tdLN of aPD-1 and aPD-1+IL15Rα treated mice showing expression of surface markers

1228   (CD62L,CD44,KLRG1,IL7Ra), and transcription factors (TOX, TCF1), **c.** and **d.** quantification of flow

1229   cytometry data by counts and percentages statistics used were t test **(c), (d).**

1230

1231

1232

1233

1234

1235

1236

1237

1238

1239

1240

1241

1242

1243

1244

1245

1246

1247

Extended data Fig. 5 pseudotime analysis shows differences in CD8^{+}T cell fates.

Extended data Fig. 5 pseudotime analysis shows differences in CD8$^+$T cell fates **a.** UMAP showing

pseudotime progression per treatment integrating TM clones from tumor, tdLN and ndLN and Z score

for expression of specific markers as function of pseudo-time progression, **b.** UMAP localization of TM

clones per tissue and treatment and quantification of counts of clones through pseudotime progression

(left), as well as per treatment largest clone UMAP localization and quantification of counts of clone

through pseudotime progression (center), **c**. Z score for Naïve and terminal differentiation signatures for

largest clone per treatment in b., **d.** Clonal expansion by clonal persistence showing persistent and non-

persistent clones (top) and Z score of *Pdcd1* and IL7R expression in the tdLN (bottom), **e.** Z score for

Memory subcluster KILR effector for persisting clones versus non persisting per treatment and further

stratified by tdLN and tumor only T cells (left), Received operative characteristic (ROC) curve for tumor

and tdLN derived clones. Statistical analysis were t-test **(d)**, **(e), (g).**

1281

1282

1283

1284

1285

1286

1287

1288

1289

1290

1291

1292

Extended data Fig. 6 Neoadjuvant IL-15Rα agonist relies in the tdLN for primary antitumor response

while long term memory depends on CD8+ and CD4+ T cell memory protection.

Extended data Fig. 6 Neoadjuvant IL-15Rα agonist relies in the tdLN for primary antitumor response

while long term memory depends on CD8$^+$ and CD4$^+$ T cell memory protection. **a.** Bioluminescence

imaging plots of combination therapy treated mice that received either FTY720 starting 6 days prior first

dose of therapy (left) or tdLN resection (right), **b.** Experimental design, **c.** quantification of different

immune populations in peripheral blood from mice under treatment with different depletion antibodies for

tumor rechallenge experiments (total of 2 experiments 3-7 mice per group). **d.** Bioluminescence imaging

plots showing tumor burden of combination therapy long term responders during tumor tail-vein

rechallenge under depletion of different subsets of immune cells, **e.** Experimental design and BLI plots of

tumor burden during tumor rechallenge in long-term responders to aPD-1 receiving CD4 depletion (red)

or IgG control (orange).

1326

1327

1328

1329

1330

1331

1332

1333

1334

1335

1336

1337

 Extended data Fig. 7 Tumor and tumor draining lymph node transcriptional signatures of patients treated

 with neoadjuvant aPD-1 therapy

Extended data Fig. 7 Tumor and tumor draining lymph node transcriptional signatures of patients treated

with neoadjuvant aPD-1 therapy. **a.** Neo-TCR Yossef, Krishna [53] and quiescent (Kirshna et al.)[40]

signature score by tissue sample in Non tumor match (Non-TM), viral, TM unexpanded, TM expanded,

Tumor and tumor draining lymph node transcriptional signatures of patients treated with neoadjuvant

aPD-1 therapy**, b.** plot of differentially expressed genes (DEG) between tumor and tdLN T cells, **c.** UMAP

showing overlap of tdLN and tumor T cells as well as expression of progenitor, quiescent, exhausted

signatures and genes (top) , and expression of specific genes (bottom), **d.** Average Z score for Tcm &

Tscm (Galletti et al.)[51], Migration & LN Homing (Tsui et al.)[52], Term. Diff (Ciecko et al.)[47],

Cytotoxic-like (Zhang et al.)[49], Effector (Pauken et al.), IFN-stimulated (Pauken et al.) signatures per

clone[24], each line traces and connects matching clones in the tumor (red) and tdLN (blue), **e.** UMAP

visualization of tumor match CD8+ T cell clones from neoadjuvant aPD-1 Colors denote transcriptional

clusters, labeled with functional annotations from figure 1e (top left), tumor, and tdLN clone localization

on UMAP (top middle, left), pseudotime progression though UMAP (top middle, right) and counts of

clones through pseudotime progression (top right), UMAP localization of largest clone in memory cluster

2 (C2) (left column), largest clone in progenitor exhausted cluster 1 (C1) (left middle column) and

representative small clone (right middle column) from aPD-1 treated patients (NR, PR, CR), clones are

color coded for tissue of origin (tumor=red, tdLN=blue), quantification of counts of clones through

pseudotime progression are also shown (right column), **f.** Clonal average Z scores for inhibitory receptor,

granzyme-like, and IFN-stimulated (Pauken et al.)[24] signatures for patients without treatment (NT) or

receiving neoadjuvant aPD-1 with different responses (NR= non-responder, PR=partial responder,

CR=complete responder), **g.** Representative flow cytometry plots from tumor and tdLN (level 11) from

pathological complete responder (WCM 2050b) at moment of surgery showing CD8+T cell subsets

expression of CD62L,CD45RA and IL7Rα markers, **h.** Bar plot showing percentage of persistent CD8+ T

cell clones from total expanded clones in blood in complete responder patient at 6 months follow up.

Statistics used were t-test **(a.)**,**(f.)**,and paired t-test**(d.)**.

a.

b.

CD62L⁺CD44⁺

$P < 0.0001$

$P = 0.0030$

$P = 0.0054$

$P = 0.5712$

% of live CD8⁺ T cells

% CD62L⁺CD44⁺

%IL7Rα⁺

%TCF1⁺

☐ aPD-1   ☐ aPD-1+ IL15Rα

c.

Umap
Clonally Persistent T cells

Cytolytic

Cxcr3+ Cytolytic

Inhib. Recept. Hi

Effector/Em

Tox+ Effector

Trafficking

Il7r Memory

CM-Naïve-Like

n = 26 samples, 9321 t cells, 52 TM clones

Tumor   tdln   ndLN

d.

Clonal average score

Naïve/CM Pauken et al.   *IL7R*   *PDCD1*   *TCF7*

● Tumor   ● tdLN   ● ndLN

e.

Clonal persistence in ndLN 30+ days post resection

IL7R Expression

% persistent tumor relevant clones

Z score

☐ IgG   ☐ aPD-1   ☐ IL15Rα   ☐ aPD-1+ IL15Rα

a.

aPD1+ IL15Rα

Tumor

CD62L-CD44+

IL15rα + aPD-1 → Surgery → Tumor

tdLN resection 6 days prior to therapy

% CD8+ of live cells — *P=0.0004*

% IL7Rα + — *P=0.0131*

■ Mock tdLN surgery
□ tdLN resection surgery

b.

↑ FTY720 every other day*

Neoadjuvant treatment → Surgery → 30+ days

344Sq *Kras^{G12D}p53^{R172HΔG}*

Tumor tdLN   ndLN

c.

ndLN

% of live CD8+ T cells

P = 0.0018
P = 0.9990
P = 0.5519
P = 0.9953

% of CD8 T cells CD62L+CD44+ T cells

P = 0.0031
P = 0.0010

■ IgG
■ aPD-1
■ aPD-1+ FTY720
■ aPD-1+ IL15Rα
■ aPD-1+ IL15Rα+FTY720

d.

Tumor outgrowth

IL15Rα/IL15 +aPD-1 responders

depletion ↓   Tumor tail vein rechallenge

Photons/sec/cm²/sr

Time (d) following tumor rechallenge

■ No depletion ■ αCD4 ■ αCD8 ■ αCD4+αCD8 ■ Naïve

e.

Probability of survival

Time(d) following tumor rechallenge

αCD4
αCD8   *P=0.99*
Naïve
αCD8+αCD4

P=0.0024
P=0.0024
P=0.0024
P=0.0012