Autosomal Allelic Inactivation: Variable Replication and Dosage Sensitivity

Michael B. Heskett[1], Athanasios E. Vouzas[2], Brian Johnstone[3], Krister P. Freese[4], Phillip A. Yates[5], Philip F. Copenhaver[6], Paul T. Spellman[1], David M. Gilbert[2], Mathew J. Thayer[5],*.

[1]Division of Hematology Oncology
Departments of Medicine and Human Genetics
David Geffen School of Medicine
University of California, Los Angeles

[2]San Diego Biomedical Research Institute
3525 John Hopkins Court
San Diego, CA 92121

[3]Shriners Hospitals for Children—Portland
3101 SW Sam Jackson Park Rd,
Portland, Oregon, 97239

[4] Department of Orthopedics and Rehabilitation
[5]Department of Chemical Physiology and Biochemistry
[6]Department of Cell, Developmental and Cancer Biology
Oregon Health & Science University
3181 S. W. Sam Jackson Park Road
Portland, Oregon 97239

*Corresponding author.

ORCID: 0000-0001-6483-1661

TEL: (503) 494-2447

FAX: (503) 494-7368

Email:thayerm@ohsu.edu

Abstract

Autosomal monoallelic gene expression and asynchronous replication between alleles are well-established features of imprinted genes and genes regulated by allelic exclusion. Inactivation/Stability Centers (I/SCs) are recently described autosomal loci that exhibit epigenetic regulation of allelic expression and replication timing, with differences that can be comparable to those observed between the active and inactive X chromosomes [1]. Here we characterize hundreds of autosomal loci with allele-specific epigenetic regulation of replication timing and gene expression, defining them as I/SCs. I/SCs are approximately 1 megabase in size and can contain both protein-coding and noncoding genes. In different single cell derived clones, these genes may be expressed from a single allele, the opposite allele, both alleles, or not expressed at all. This stochastic, yet mitotically stable, pattern indicates that the choice of which allele is expressed is independent of parent of origin and independent of the expression status of the other allele. Similarly, alleles within I/SCs show varying replication timing, either earlier or later, that is also independent of the other allele. Additionally, we find corresponding I/SCs in the mouse genome that display conserved synteny with human I/SCs. This allele-restricted regulation creates extensive cellular mosaicism through a stable epigenetic mechanism. This mosaicism impacts numerous dosage-sensitive genes associated with human diseases such as Parkinson, epilepsy, deafness, and impaired intellectual development.

Introduction:

The relationship between monoallelic expression and asynchronous replication between alleles of autosomal genes, exemplified by genes subject to genomic imprinting or allelic exclusion, has been the focus of extensive research for over three decades [2-4]. In recent work, we employed haplotype-phased RNA expression and replication timing assays on multiple single-cell-derived Lymphoblastoid Cell Line (LCL) clones from two unrelated individuals to identify loci exhibiting allele-restricted expression and replication timing [1]. This clonal, allele-specific analysis across autosomes revealed an unexpected genomic feature at approximately 200 loci, exhibiting allele-restricted gene expression (including random monoallelic expression); and variable replication timing (including asynchronous replication between alleles). The magnitude of allelic differences in expression and replication timing observed in some of the clones was comparable to the large differences detected between the active and inactive X chromosomes. We have named these autosomal loci Inactivation/Stability Centers (I/SCs) [1,5-7].

I/SCs can encompass both coding and noncoding genes that may be expressed from a single allele in some clones, expressed from the opposite allele in other clones, biallelically expressed in other clones, or silent on both alleles in yet other clones. This pattern of allele-restricted expression indicates that each allele independently adopts either an expressed or silent state. Importantly, because these expression states are mitotically stable, allele-autonomous, and independent of parental origin, we refer to the choice of the expressed allele as stochastic [1]. To describe this phenomenon, we and others have used the term Allelic Expression Imbalance (AEI) to refer to the stochastic nature of autosomal random monoallelic expression [1,8-11]. Additionally, the replication timing profiles on autosomes are highly variable and clone-specific, exhibiting both

synchronous and asynchronous patterns in different clones. We refer to this as Variable

Epigenetic Replication Timing (VERT) [1].

Random monoallelic expression in the olfactory, immune, and central nervous

systems is well established and plays a critical role in generating cell-specific identities [12-

14]. However, increasing evidence indicates that autosomal genes outside these

systems also exhibit allele-specific expression, suggesting that AEI plays a broader role

across diverse cell types [4,13]. The functional diversity of these genes implies that AEI

contributes to a broad array of cellular processes and is not limited to specialized

systems.

In the present study, we aimed to determine whether I/SCs could be detected in

the genomes of clonal populations of human primary cells derived from a tissue distinct

from those previously examined. To this end, we employed articular cartilage progenitor

(ACP) cells as a second model system [15]. ACP cells are non-transformed yet are

particularly well-suited for clonal analysis due to several advantages: 1) they can be

readily isolated from amputated tissue of patients with polydactyly, 2) they exhibit high

proliferative capacity, and 3) they can be efficiently expanded from single cells [16]. We

identify an additional 163 VERT regions in the human genome, increasing the total

number of potential I/SCs to 363, which collectively cover approximately 12% of the

human genome. In addition, we found that many previously reported "random

monoallelic" genes, including those encoding olfactory and vomeronasal

receptors[3,17], antigen receptors[18], and homophilic cell adhesion proteins[12], are located

within VERT regions. Our findings confirm that these well-established "random

monoallelic" genes reside within genomic loci that exhibit the epigenetic hallmarks,

namely allele-restricted expression and variable replication timing, that define I/SCs.

The relationship between genotype and phenotype is central to understanding

human genetic disease. While most loss-of-function mutations are recessive,

indicating that a single normal allele is sufficient for typical function, dominantly inherited

disorders often result from gain-of-function mutations. However, a significant fraction of

dominant diseases arises through haploinsufficiency (i.e. heterozygous for a loss of

function mutation), where a single functional allele fails to maintain normal physiology.

Although haploinsufficiency may affect up to 40% of human genes [19-21], the underlying

molecular mechanisms remain poorly understood. Current models suggest that

insufficient gene dosage may arise from a lack of redundancy, critical expression

thresholds, stoichiometric imbalances, or toxicity associated with a lack of

overexpression buffering [22-25]. In contrast, insights from X-linked disease inheritance

illustrate how cellular mosaicism and cellular selection can shape phenotypic outcomes:

in XX individuals, differences in the survival or expansion of cells expressing either the

wild-type or mutant alleles can influence whether a disease manifests as recessive or

dominant [21,26,27].

The magnitude of AEI is often quantified using allelic expression ratios, which

represent the proportion of transcripts derived from each allele. Importantly, recent

findings have demonstrated that even modest allelic expression biases can

have functional consequences, particularly in disease contexts. One recent study directly

linked small but stable AEI to variable clinical outcomes among individuals carrying

the same pathogenic mutation, underscoring AEI as a potential modulator of disease

expressivity [28]. These observations highlight the importance of considering AEI as

a disease modifier that may shape phenotypic diversity and influence the penetrance of

genetic disorders.

Here, we demonstrate that numerous genes implicated in autosomal human

genetic diseases, such as Parkinson (DNAJC6, LRRK2 and SNCA), epilepsy (SCN1A,

GABR1, and SAMD12), deafness (ATP11A, EPS8, and MYO6), and neurodevelopmental

disorders (KCNA1, RETREG1, ROBO1, and TIAM1), are located within VERT regions

and display AEI. Furthermore, mapping I/SCs across all autosomes reveals 87 known

dominantly inherited single-gene disorders that map within VERT regions, where loss of

function mutations in most of these genes result in haploinsufficiency [29]. We propose

that epigenetically regulated stochastic AEI can contribute to pathogenic phenotypes in

heterozygous individuals by either reducing the number of functional cells below a critical

disease threshold, akin to X linked dominant disorders, or by disrupting the normal

mosaicism of gene expression within disease-relevant tissues.

Results

Here we employed articular cartilage progenitor (ACP) cells[15], as a distinct cell type from

those from our previous studies, as a second model system to identify I/SCs. For this

analysis, we isolated ACP cells from amputated digits of patients with polydactyly. In

addition, we sequenced genomic DNA from the parents of the patients with polydactyly

to generate phased genomes of the ACP cells. We analyzed two sets of ACP clones

isolated from two unrelated individuals, one female (ACP7) and one male (ACP6), for

haplotype-phased Repliseq and RNAseq (Fig. 1b). Analysis of the female ACP7 clones

allowed us to establish the statistical parameters for the assignment of VERT and AEI

present in the ACP clones by directly comparing the magnitude of autosomal allele-

specific replication timing and RNA expression to that of the active and inactive X

chromosomes present in each clone (Fig. 1c; and see Supplementary Tables 1, 2 and 3).

Taken together the combined VERT and AEI analysis allowed us to characterize

additional I/SCs in the human genome. An illustration of a hypothetical I/SC, with the

region of VERT shaded in gray and the expression of coding and noncoding genes

indicated as stippled or smooth rectangles, respectively, is shown in Figure 1d.

To identify genomic regions with epigenetic variation between alleles, we

calculated the standard deviation (SD) of replication timing across alleles for each 250 kb

genomic window. Windows with an SD value ≥ 2.5 standard deviations above the

genome-wide median were classified as outliers. This analysis identified 163 additional

VERT regions (Supplementary Table 1). Figure 1e illustrates this analysis for

both ACP (ACP6 and ACP7) and LCL (EB3-2 and GM12878) clones on Chromosome 1,

where windows are indicated as gray circles and outliers indicted in different colors.

To detect coding genes with AEI in ACP clones, we defined AEI as differential

expression between alleles using recently established criteria [1,28]. Genes were

considered AEI-positive if they exhibited ≥80% allelic imbalance (AEI ≥ 0.80 or ≤ 0.20)

with FDR ≤ 0.05 in at least one clone. Additional analyses using more stringent thresholds

(AEI ≥ 0.90 or ≥ 0.95) are provided in Supplementary Table 2. To exclude imprinted

genes and effects due to genetic polymorphisms (i.e. expression Quantitative Trait Loci,

eQTL[30]) we required that each AEI gene also be biallelically expressed (AEI < 0.70) in at

least one clone from the same individual with ≥ 20 informative reads. Using these criteria,

we identified 256 (2.4% of expressed genes) autosomal coding genes exhibiting AEI

across the two ACP clone sets (Supplementary Table 2).

In addition, we also sought to identify AEI of extremely long noncoding RNAs (i.e.

ASAR lincRNAs [1,5,7,31]) expressed in the ACP clones. For this analysis we refer to regions

of the genome with >50 kb of contiguous transcription of noncoding DNA as Transcribed

<u>Loci</u> (TL) [1]. Using the same criteria used for the coding genes described above, we

identified 23 TLs exhibiting AEI across the two ACP clone sets (Supplementary Table 3).

Allelic Expression Imbalance and Variable Epigenetic Replication Timing define

Inactivation/Stability Centers.

By integrating the location of genes exhibiting AEI with genomic regions displaying VERT,

we identified overlapping loci that define I/SCs. Figures 1f and 1g illustrate a

representative I/SC located on chromosome 1 at approximately 240 Mb (marked by an

arrow in Fig. 1e). Notably, VERT was detected at this locus across both sets of ACP

clones (Fig. 1f and 1g). Figure 1h presents the corresponding UCSC Genome Browser

view of this locus, with genes showing AEI marked by asterisks (see Supplemental Table

2). Additional examples of I/SCs located on chromosomes 18, 6 and 9 are shown in Figure

2.

One of the primary objectives of this study was to determine whether different

tissues utilize distinct sets of I/SCs, as might be expected given that different cell types

express unique gene repertoires that could be subject to AEI. To explore this, we

performed hierarchical clustering analysis of the VERT regions identified in the two sets

of ACP clones and the two sets of LCL clones. Figure 1i shows this analysis and reveals

tissue-specific patterns with a relatively small degree of overlap in VERT regions across

the two tissue types: ACP6 clones share more VERT regions with ACP7 clones than with

either LCL clone sets, and the reverse is true for the LCL clones. These findings indicate

that while some I/SCs are shared across tissues, there is a large component of tissue-

specific epigenetic regulation of allelic replication timing.

At the molecular level, stochastic monoallelic expression contributes to cellular

individuality and introduces functional variability among individual cells within complex

biological systems [12-14]. This mechanism is particularly well characterized in the olfactory,

immune, and central nervous systems, where the expression of diverse receptor-type

molecules through random monoallelic expression underlies cell-specific functional

identities. To further investigate the functional characteristics of protein-coding

genes located within I/SCs, we annotated the genes residing within the VERT

regions identified across all four clonal datasets (ACP clones: ACP6 and ACP7; and LCL

clones: EB3-2, and GM12878; see Supplementary Table 4). To assess whether these

genes are functionally related, we performed a Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis.

This analysis revealed a significant enrichment for genes involved in plasma membrane

localization, cell-cell adhesion and neurodevelopmental processes, the most significant

hits for each set of clones is presented in Figure 1k (see full details in Supplementary

Table 5). This analysis suggests that I/SC-associated epigenetic regulation may play a

broader role in the establishment of cellular identity and tissue organization particularly in

the nervous system.

Gene clusters with AEI map to VERT regions.

In the olfactory, immune, and central nervous systems, random monoallelic expression of

gene family members, often organized in genomic clusters, plays a key role in

establishing cell-specific identities [12-14]. Consistent with these observations, we found

that VERT regions frequently coincide with gene clusters in the human genome (Table

1). For example, Figures 3a and 3b highlight a cluster of 11 olfactory receptor (OR)

genes located on human chromosome 19 at approximately 15 Mb. This region also

harbors a cluster of Cytochrome P450 (CYP4F family, n = 6) genes. The interspersed

genomic arrangement of the CYP4F genes among the OR genes, indicates that both

gene families reside within the same I/SC and therefore both may be subject to AEI.

A second example of a gene cluster is shown in Figures 3c and 3d, where

the major histocompatibility complex (MHC), comprising 16 HLA genes (which are known

to be subject to AEI [28,32]), lies within a VERT region. Notably, this locus also contains

a cluster of Lymphocyte Antigen 6 genes (LY6G family, n = 6), which are interspersed

among the HLA genes. This genome organization indicates that the LY6G genes, like

their neighboring HLA genes, are within the same I/SC and suggests that they too may

be subject to stochastic allelic expression.

Mapping mouse VERTs identifies known AEI gene clusters and syntenic I/SCs.

Much of our current understanding of stochastic autosomal allelic expression is derived

from studies conducted in the mouse [4,9-11,17,18,33-40]. To assess whether VERT

regions could be identified at expected loci in the mouse genome, specifically at sites of

known AEI, we analyzed previously published Repli-seq data from mouse pre-B cell

clones reported by Blumenfeld et al [41]. This analysis revealed 117 loci in the mouse

genome that display VERT (Supplemental Table 6).

Consistent with our findings in the human genome, the VERT regions detected in

the mouse genome frequently overlap with gene clusters, including 5 olfactory receptor

gene clusters located on four different autosomes (Table 1). Figure 4 presents three

examples: two olfactory receptor (Or) gene clusters on mouse chromosome 2 (Figs. 4a–

4c) and the protocadherin (Pcdh) gene cluster, which is known to generate cellular

individuality in the central nervous system through stochastic expression of different Pcdh

family members [12,42], located on mouse chromosome 18 (Figs. 4d–4e). In addition to the

gene clusters with VERT, we also detected immunoglobulin and T cell receptor gene loci

with VERT. As detailed in Supplemental Figure 1, we detected VERT at the T cell receptor

alpha (Tra) locus on mouse chromosome 14 at approximately 54 Mb. One striking feature

of this locus is that it also contains an olfactory receptor cluster, containing 37 Or genes,

and a vomeronasal receptor gene (Vmn2r88). All of these multigene families are

documented sites of AEI [3,12,17,42,43], highlighting the genomic organization and

conservation of I/SC-associated regulation at known AEI genes in the mouse. Gene

Ontology analysis of the mouse genes located within these regions showed significant

enrichment for biological processes related to the plasma membrane and cell-cell

adhesion (Fig. 1k), which is consistent with the human VERT gene dataset and reinforces

the conservation of this regulatory mechanism across species.

Previous studies analyzed a relatively small number of mouse AEI genes to model

their human counterparts with respect to autosomal-dominant disorders [38,44]. To further

investigate the conservation of I/SC regulation between the human and mouse genomes,

we identified VERT regions that map to syntenic loci across the two species. This analysis

revealed 21 loci where VERT is detected in both genomes, as detailed in Table 2. Figure

5 presents three representative examples of syntenic VERT regions: two loci on the short

arm of human chromosome 5 with corresponding regions on mouse chromosome 15

(Figs. 5a–5g), and a third locus on human chromosome 16 with its syntenic region on

mouse chromosome 16 (Figs. 5h–5k). Additional examples of syntenic loci showing AEI

and/or VERT, including mouse App (see Gimelbrant et al. [45] for AEI of human APP),

Mocos and Fhod3 (See Fig. 2A for VERT and AEI of human MOCOS and FHOD3), and

a cluster of 5 sodium channel genes, Scn1a, Scn2a, Scn3a, Scn7a and Scn9a (see Fig.

6a-c below for VERT and AEI of the syntenic human SCN gene cluster) are shown in

Supplemental Figure 2.

We point out that many of the mouse genes that are located within VERT regions

are associated with various human genetic diseases (Supplemental Table 7). One of the

loci highlighted in Figure 5a-5d labeled "hi", exhibits both VERT and AEI (Fig. 5c and [1]),

affecting the protein-coding gene SEMA5A, a gene implicated in autism and

neurodevelopmental disorders [46-48]. Notably, this locus also contains a syntenic pair of

taste receptor genes: TAS2R1 in humans and Tas2r119 in mice. These genes are part

of the taste receptor family, which are known to harbor chromatin marks characteristic of

AEI-regulated loci [49]. Consistent with this observation, we also detected VERT at a taste

receptor gene cluster (Tas2r) on mouse chromosome 6 (Table 1).

A second example of synteny is shown in Figure 5f-5g, where human RETREG1

and mouse Retreg1 are located within VERT regions. Human RETREG1 is a known AEI

gene [45], and mutations in RETREG1 cause sensory and autonomic neuropathy [29]. A third

example is shown in Figure 5h-5k, where both human GRIN2A and mouse Grin2a are

located within VERT regions, and loss of function mutations in GRIN2A are known to

cause autosomal dominant (i.e. haploinsufficiency) epilepsy [29,50]. These findings indicate

that I/SC-associated epigenetic regulation is conserved across species, affects

functionally related gene families, and may influence human genetic disease inheritance

patterns (see below).

Neurodevelopmental disease genes map to I/SCs.

To further investigate the relationship between I/SC regulation and human disease genes,

we annotated the human protein-coding genes located within VERT regions (see

Supplemental Table 4). This analysis identified 979 genes overlapping VERT regions, of

which 272 are associated with single-gene disorders according to the OMIM database [29].

Among these genes, 155 are linked to autosomal recessive diseases, 87 to autosomal

dominant diseases, and 30 exhibit both dominant and recessive inheritance

patterns (Supplemental Table 8). Consistent with our GO analysis, which revealed an

enrichment for neurodevelopmental processes (see Fig. 1k), we found that various

neurodevelopmental disease genes were prominently represented among the disease-

associated genes (Table 3). Moreover, it was not unexpected to observe gene clusters

associated with neurodevelopmental disorders residing within VERT regions. For

example, Figures 6a–6c depict the location, I/SC profile, and genomic organization of a

cluster of sodium channel genes (SCN family, n = 5). Mutations in SCN1A, SCN2A,

SCN3A, and SCN9A genes are known to cause autosomal dominant developmental and

epileptic encephalopathies, with haploinsufficiency as a primary mechanism (Table 3 and

[29,51,52]). We also note that the syntenic locus in the mouse displays VERT, with the 5

syntenic mouse Scn genes residing within a VERT region on mouse chromosome 2

(Supplementary Fig. 2e-2f).

A second example of a cluster of epilepsy disease genes is shown in Figures 6d–

6e, where four members of the gamma-aminobutyric acid type A receptor gene family

(GABR family, n = 4) reside adjacent to a VERT region; notably, GABRA1 displays AEI

(Fig. 6e, and Supplementary Table 2), and mutations in GABRA1, GABRB2, and

GABRG2 cause autosomal dominant developmental and epileptic encephalopathies

[29,53,54]. We also note that a second GABR gene cluster (n = 4), where GABRA2 and

GABRB1 are also associated with autosomal dominant developmental and epileptic

encephalopathies, is adjacent to a VERT region on chromosome 4 (see Table 1). Two

additional examples are shown in Figures 6g–6j, where OXR1 and SAMD12 are located

in different VERT regions on chromosome 8, and mutations in each result in autosomal

dominant epilepsy [29].

Another prominent group of human disease genes located within I/SCs are those

associated with Parkinson disease (Table 3). As shown in Figure 7, four well-

characterized Parkinson disease genes, SNCA, LRRK2, DNAJC6, and PARKN, are

situated within or adjacent to VERT regions. Importantly, both SNCA and LRRK2 were

among the first genes identified as displaying AEI in the study by Gimelbrant et al. in 2007

[45]. In our own dataset, we found that DNAJC6 exhibits AEI in LCL clones [1]. We also

highlight that JAK1, a gene involved in immune signaling, lies within the same I/SC as

DNAJC6 (Fig. 7f). JAK1 is a known AEI gene [55,56], and mutations in

JAK1 cause autosomal dominant autoinflammation, immune dysregulation, and

eosinophilia (AIIDE). A recent study indicated that AEI of wild-type and mutant JAK1

alleles correlates with variable disease penetrance [28].

Taken together, these findings underscore the role of I/SCs in regulating disease-

relevant gene clusters and emphasize their conservation, synteny, and functional

relevance in both neurological and immune-related disorders. These examples highlight

how stochastic epigenetic regulation within I/SCs contributes to phenotypic variability and

disease risk.

Discussion:

The co-occurrence of random monoallelic expression and asynchronous replication

between alleles of autosomal genes is well documented [2-4], yet its developmental

potential and contribution to human disease have not been systematically investigated.

In this study, we expand on the discovery and characterization of Inactivation/Stability Centers (I/SCs), autosomal loci marked by AEI and VERT, by identifying an additional 163 VERT regions using clonal lines of primary ACP cells. This brings the total number of I/SCs to 363, covering approximately 12% of the human genome.

To assess the evolutionary conservation of I/SC regulation, we analyzed replication timing data from mouse pre-B cell clones, identifying 117 mouse VERT loci. Many of these regions overlap with known mouse AEI genes and gene clusters including olfactory, vomeronasal, and antigen receptors as well as protocadherin gene families. Notably, we identified 21 syntenic VERT regions shared between human and mouse genomes. These findings reinforce and extend previous observations indicating a high degree of conservation of AEI genes [4] and suggest that I/SCs are a fundamental and widespread feature of autosomal epigenetic regulation in mammals.

I/SC regulation imparts cell-type specific and tissue-relevant variation

Our clonal analysis of ACP and LCL cells, combined with haplotype-phased replication timing and expression profiling, enabled us to identify tissue-specific I/SCs, while also confirming a subset of I/SCs that are shared across tissues and conserved between species. These findings support a dual regulatory model in which certain I/SCs may serve ubiquitous functions and are utilized broadly across cell types, whereas others are selectively engaged based on the gene expression program and functional requirements of a given tissue. This model is supported by hierarchical clustering analysis of VERT regions, which showed greater overlap within tissue type (ACP6 vs. ACP7; EB3-2 vs. GM12878) than between tissues, suggesting that I/SC activity is tightly linked to cellular identity.

Importantly, we identified 68 VERT regions shared across two or more clonal sets,

representing high-confidence I/SCs that likely reflect core regulatory regions active across

individuals and cell types (Fig. 1i). As detailed in Supplemental Table 1, we also

identified VERT regions detected exclusively in single clone sets. These private VERT

regions may reflect inter-individual variability in epigenetic regulation, context-dependent

I/SC usage, but more likely reflect a limitation of sampling—i.e., regions that would be

detected in additional clones if a larger number were analyzed. Thus, while our findings

provide strong support for both shared and tissue specific I/SCs, they also underscore the

importance of clone number and diversity in defining the full landscape of autosomal

epigenetic regulation.

In the context of development, AEI introduces stochastic variability in gene

dosage across clonal populations, contributing to cellular heterogeneity during lineage

specification and tissue formation. Such heterogeneity could serve as a substrate

for cellular selection, enabling the developing tissue to fine-tune function or respond

adaptively to environmental cues. This model aligns with observations that AEI often

affects genes involved in cell adhesion, signal transduction, and neurodevelopment,

where even small variations in expression levels could significantly impact cell fate and

connectivity.

At the molecular level, I/SCs contribute to cellular individuality by

enabling stochastic monoallelic expression of specific genes. This mechanism is

particularly critical in biological systems that require diversity at the single-cell level, such

as the olfactory, vomeronasal, immune, and nervous systems. Consistent with this, many

I/SCs coincide with gene clusters, including olfactory, vomeronasal, and antigen receptor

genes, as well as HLA and protocadherin genes, all of which are known to undergo

stochastic monoallelic expression. We identified numerous additional gene clusters

residing within I/SCs, suggesting that these other gene families may also participate in

tissue mosaicism and/or cellular individuality. Our current model proposes that I/SC

regulation is integral to tissue development, where stochastic, epigenetically driven allelic

regulation introduces phenotypic   variability among   cells.   This   variability   may

promote functional diversity and selective advantage during development. Under this

model, each tissue would engage a distinct but partially overlapping set of I/SC-regulated

genes, aligned   with   its   unique transcriptional   and   functional   requirements.   This

framework positions I/SCs as key players in promoting cellular individuality, tissue

mosaicism, and developmental plasticity.

Many of the genes that reside within I/SCs are regulated by allelic exclusion. Allelic

exclusion is a regulatory mechanism by which only one allele of a gene is expressed while

the other is actively silenced, ensuring monoallelic expression in each cell. This process

is well characterized in the immune system, where it plays a critical role in B-cell and T-

cell receptor gene rearrangements, ensuring that each lymphocyte produces a single

antigen receptor [18]. Furthermore, functional monoallelic expression is achieved via a

secondary mechanism that involves product inhibition. For example, in developing B cells,

expression of a productive immunoglobulin heavy chain allele suppresses rearrangement

and expression of the second allele, maintaining clonal specificity [18]. Allelic exclusion has

also been observed in olfactory receptors, where product feedback ensures expression

of a single allele of only one member of a large gene family, ensuring that each olfactory

receptor neuron responds to a single odorant [2,33,57-60].

I/SC regulation acts through mechanisms distinct from X inactivation.

Numerous studies in both human and mouse cells support the notion that allele-specific

epigenetic regulation of autosomal protein-coding genes is widespread

[4,11,13,37,38,40,57,61,62]. Although stochastic monoallelic expression on autosomes may appear

similar to X chromosome inactivation (XCI), the mechanisms behind them are distinct:

First, XCI is initiated in preimplantation embryos, as early as the 4-8 cell stage in the

mouse and 8-16 cell stage in humans [63-67], and later becomes established during

differentiation of the inner cell mass of the blastocyst in both species [67,68]. In contrast,

autosomal stochastic monoallelic expression appears later in development, with allelic

biases that can be erased and reestablished during differentiation [10,38]. For example, a

recent study found that allele-specific autosomal inactivation is absent during early blood

lineage specification but detectable in hematopoietic stem cells and their differentiated

progeny [9]. Second, in XCI, there is strong coordination along the chromosomes: genes

on the active X chromosome share both expression and early replication profiles,

whereas genes on the inactive X show silencing and late replication. In contrast,

autosomal inactivation lacks such coordination: allelic expression and replication timing

are unlinked within a chromosome and independent across the genome [1,7,69]. Taken

together, these observations indicate that autosomal allelic inactivation operates via a

mechanism distinct from XCI [1,9].

An alternative model for haploinsufficiency

The relationship between genotype and phenotype is fundamental to understanding

human genetic disease. At the scale of the whole genome, loss of function alleles are

generally not deleterious when heterozygous and are therefore haplosufficient [21,24]. Not

surprisingly, most of the loss of function mutations in single genes in humans are

recessive [21,29]. Therefore, for most human disease genes a single normal allele is

sufficient to achieve the typical phenotype. Dominantly inherited disorders comprise a

diverse group of conditions that are often severe and poorly understood, except in cases

of gain-of-function mutations, where dominant negative or interfering activities induce the

abnormal phenotype. In addition, many dominantly inherited diseases result from copy

number changes, either gain or loss of wild-type alleles [29,70]. Haploinsufficiency, predicted

to apply to as much as 40% of the human genome [19,20], refers to a heterozygous deletion

or complete loss-of-function mutation that results in an altered phenotype, indicating that

a single normal allele is insufficient. The underlying molecular mechanisms responsible

for most haploinsufficient human disease genes remain largely unknown.

The existence of two distinct populations of cells in XX individuals, one expressing

genes from the maternally derived X chromosome and the other from the paternally

derived X, has profound implications for both development and the manifestation of X-

linked diseases, where both dominant and recessive inheritance patterns are observed

in females [29]. A key factor influencing these inheritance patterns is the presence or

absence of cellular selection during development. In certain X-linked disorders, strong

selection favors the survival or expansion of cells in which the mutant allele is on the

inactive X chromosome, resulting in a predominance of wild-type cells and a clinical

presentation consistent with X-linked recessive inheritance [21,26]. In contrast, when cellular

selection is absent, heterozygous females typically retain a ~50:50 mosaic of wild-type

and mutant-expressing cells. The presence of mutant-expressing cells, essentially null

cells, is thought to underlie X-linked dominant phenotypes [27,71]. In such

cases, heterozygous loss of function mutations leads to a condition of haploinsufficiency,

in which the presence of a single normal allele is insufficient to maintain normal function.

Interestingly, although single X-linked alleles are sufficient in males, the mosaic

expression pattern in females unmasks phenotypic consequences that are otherwise

hidden. This highlights an underappreciated mechanism of haploinsufficiency: the cellular

mosaicism inherent to X inactivation can reduce effective gene dosage below functional

thresholds in individuals with heterozygous mutations, particularly in tissues where cells

expressing the mutant (null) allele persist.

One of the most striking implications of I/SC regulation is its relevance to human

genetic disease, particularly those involving haploinsufficiency. Among the 979

genes mapped within human VERT regions, 272 are associated with monogenic

diseases, including 87 with autosomal dominant inheritance, many of which are classified

as haploinsufficient [29]. Our findings offer a new mechanistic model for autosomal

haploinsufficiency: in the absence of compensatory upregulation and/or cellular selection

mechanisms, stochastic allelic inactivation results in or expands a functionally null cell

population, even when one allele is wild type. This mirrors the situation observed in X-

linked dominant disorders with loss of function mutations, where the absence of cellular

selection results in the persistence of null cells. This model expands upon prior theories

of haploinsufficiency that assume strict biallelic expression, which attribute dominant

phenotypes to factors such as threshold-dependent expression, stoichiometric imbalance

in multiprotein complexes, or inability to buffer expression noise [22-25]. In addition, our

model fits nicely with a previous study that modeled stochastic gene expression of

disease genes and proposed "haploinsufficiency syndromes might result from an

increased susceptibility to stochastic delays of gene initiation or interruptions of gene

expression" [72]. Our data suggest that epigenetically regulated stochastic AEI can

contribute to pathogenic phenotypes in heterozygous individuals by either reducing the

number of functional cells below a critical disease threshold or by disrupting the normal

mosaicism of gene expression within disease-relevant tissues. This expands the

conceptual framework of haploinsufficiency to include epigenetic, rather than purely

genetic, sources of dosage reduction.

Limitations of our study.

While our findings provide substantial evidence for the existence and functional relevance

of I/SCs, several important limitations remain to be addressed. First, the number of

clones analyzed in our studies, while sufficient to identify robust patterns of AEI and

VERT, limits our ability to fully capture the full spectrum of stochastic epigenetic states

across the genome. For example, we frequently observe AEI of genes located adjacent

to VERT regions, indicating that the boundaries of the epigenetic mechanisms functioning

at I/SCs remain undefined. This raises important questions about how far the influence of

I/SC-associated regulation extends beyond the VERT region. In addition, the specific

epigenetic marks, including DNA methylation, histone modifications, and chromatin

accessibility, at key regulatory elements such as replication origins, enhancers,

and promoters within I/SCs have yet to be mapped. Furthermore, the higher-order

chromatin organization of I/SCs, including their relationship to topologically associating

domains (TADs) and A/B compartments [49,73], remains largely unexplored and may hold

critical insights into their regulatory architecture and functional constraints. Second, our

analysis was conducted in a restricted number of cell types, namely LCLs and ACPs, and

therefore does not represent the full diversity of I/SC usage across human tissues. Third,

our study was limited to a small number of individuals, which may constrain the

identification of inter-individual variability in I/SC regulation. Fourth, the developmental

timing of I/SC establishment remains unresolved; it is unclear when during lineage

commitment or differentiation these regions acquire their allele-specific states. A related

and equally important question is whether I/SCs can be reset during reprogramming into induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) and subsequently reestablished upon differentiation. This issue is particularly relevant given the widespread use of iPSCs in human disease modeling and regenerative medicine [74-76]. Understanding how I/SCs behave during cellular reprogramming and lineage specification will be critical for interpreting AEI-related phenotypes in iPSC-derived systems.

An additional open question is how the magnitude of stochastic AEI influences disease states, particularly in the context of variable penetrance and expressivity. For example, a recent study employed allelic expression ratios to identify functionally relevant AEI in genes associated with inborn errors of immunity, showing that individuals with the same pathogenic mutation can exhibit divergent clinical outcomes depending on the degree of allelic imbalance [28]. These findings suggest that AEI can modulate disease severity, acting as an epigenetic layer of regulation that determines whether a given mutation manifests clinically. We anticipate that the biological significance of AEI will vary depending on the function of the gene, its dosage sensitivity, and the cellular context in which it is expressed. Addressing these questions will be essential for achieving a comprehensive understanding of the formation, function, and disease relevance of I/SCs in human biology.

Conclusion

In conclusion, our work extends the catalog of I/SCs in the human genome and supports a model in which stochastic, allele-specific epigenetic regulation at autosomal loci contributes to cellular diversity and disease susceptibility. Moreover, by identifying syntenic I/SCs in the mouse genome, our findings greatly enhance the potential utility of mouse models for mechanistic studies of disease-causing mutations at

conserved loci. Our findings present a potential mechanism for incomplete

penetrance, variable expressivity, and tissue-specific vulnerability, particularly in

disorders caused by haploinsufficiency. In such cases, stochastic silencing of the wild-

type allele in a subset of cells may lead to functional null states, even in heterozygous

individuals, reducing the effective number of functional cells below a critical threshold.

This may be especially relevant in the nervous system, where precise gene dosage is

often essential for maintaining neuronal function. Moreover, I/SC regulation at disease

genes raises the possibility that stochastic epigenetic regulation could act as

an epigenetic modifier of disease risk, helping to explain why some individuals carrying

pathogenic mutations remain asymptomatic. Understanding the full scope of I/SC

regulation in both normal development and disease pathogenesis will be essential for

identifying novel regulatory mechanisms and potential therapeutic targets. As tools for

single-cell and allele-resolved epigenomics continue to advance, I/SCs may emerge as

key elements in both basic biology and precision medicine.

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Mathew Thayer (thayerm@ohsu.edu)

Materials availability statement

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in the manuscript and supporting files.

Acknowledgments:

M.J.T. was supported by NIH (R01GM130703, and RF1NS142814).
M.B.H was supported by NIH NCI 4K00CA245677-03
DMG was supported by NIH R01GM083337

Author Contribution Statement

MBH, MJT, and DMG conceived of the experimental design for the RNA expression and replication timing assays. KF performed the surgeries to obtain the amputed digits. BJ and PAY performed the ACP cultures and clone generation. MBH and AEV carried out the RNA-seq assays, including data analysis. MBH and AEV carried out the Repli-seq assays, including data analysis. PFC and PTS provided advice during preparation of the manuscript. MBH, DMG and MJT wrote the manuscript.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Literature Cited:

1. Heskett, M.B., Vouzas, A.E., Smith, L.G., Yates, P.A., Boniface, C., Bouhassira, E.E., Spellman, P.T., Gilbert, D.M., and Thayer, M.J. (2022). Epigenetic control of chromosome-associated lncRNA genes essential for replication and stability. Nature communications *13*, 6301. 10.1038/s41467-022-34099-7.
2. Goldmit, M., and Bergman, Y. (2004). Monoallelic gene expression: a repertoire of recurrent themes. Immunol Rev *200*, 197-214.
3. Chess, A., Simon, I., Cedar, H., and Axel, R. (1994). Allelic inactivation regulates olfactory receptor gene expression. Cell *78*, 823-834. 10.1016/s0092-8674(94)90562-2.
4. Chess, A. (2016). Monoallelic Gene Expression in Mammals. Annu Rev Genet *50*, 317-327. 10.1146/annurev-genet-120215-035120.
5. Stoffregen, E.P., Donley, N., Stauffer, D., Smith, L., and Thayer, M.J. (2011). An autosomal locus that controls chromosome-wide replication timing and mono-allelic expression. Hum Mol Genet *20*, 2366-2378.
6. Thayer, M.J. (2012). Mammalian chromosomes contain cis-acting elements that control replication timing, mitotic condensation, and stability of entire chromosomes. Bioessays *34*, 760-770.
7. Donley, N., Smith, L., and Thayer, M.J. (2015). ASAR15, A cis-Acting Locus that Controls Chromosome-Wide Replication Timing and Stability of Human Chromosome 15. PLoS Genet *11*, e1004923. 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004923.
8. Crowley, J.J., Zhabotynsky, V., Sun, W., Huang, S., Pakatci, I.K., Kim, Y., Wang, J.R., Morgan, A.P., Calaway, J.D., Aylor, D.L., et al. (2015). Analyses of allele-specific gene expression in highly divergent mouse crosses identifies pervasive allelic imbalance. Nat Genet *47*, 353-360. 10.1038/ng.3222.
9. Kubasova, N., Alves-Pereira, C.F., Gupta, S., Vinogradova, S., Gimelbrant, A., and Barreto, V.M. (2022). In Vivo Clonal Analysis Reveals Random Monoallelic Expression in Lymphocytes That Traces Back to Hematopoietic Stem Cells. Frontiers in cell and developmental biology *10*. 10.3389/fcell.2022.827774.
10. Marion-Poll, L., Forêt, B., Zielinski, D., Massip, F., Attia, M., Carter, A.C., Syx, L., Chang, H.Y., Gendrel, A.V., and Heard, E. (2021). Locus specific epigenetic modalities of random allelic expression imbalance. Nature communications *12*, 5330. 10.1038/s41467-021-25630-3.
11. Zwemer, L.M., Zak, A., Thompson, B.R., Kirby, A., Daly, M.J., Chess, A., and Gimelbrant, A.A. (2012). Autosomal monoallelic expression in the mouse. Genome Biol *13*, R10. 10.1186/gb-2012-13-2-r10.
12. Yagi, T. (2013). Genetic basis of neuronal individuality in the mammalian brain. Journal of neurogenetics *27*, 97-105. 10.3109/01677063.2013.801969.
13. Savova, V., Chun, S., Sohail, M., McCole, R.B., Witwicki, R., Gai, L., Lenz, T.L., Wu, C.T., Sunyaev, S.R., and Gimelbrant, A.A. (2016). Genes with monoallelic expression contribute disproportionately to genetic diversity in humans. Nat Genet *48*, 231-237. 10.1038/ng.3493.
14. Savova, V., Vigneau, S., and Gimelbrant, A.A. (2013). Autosomal monoallelic expression: genetics of epigenetic diversity? Curr Opin Genet Dev *23*, 642-648. 10.1016/j.gde.2013.09.001.
15. Johnstone, B., Stoddart, M.J., and Im, G.I. (2020). Multi-Disciplinary Approaches for Cell-Based Cartilage Regeneration. J Orthop Res *38*, 463-472. 10.1002/jor.24458.

622   16.   Pattappa, G., Reischl, F., Jahns, J., Schewior, R., Lang, S., Zellner, J.,
623   Johnstone, B., Docheva, D., and Angele, P. (2021). Fibronectin Adherent Cell
624   Populations Derived From Avascular and Vascular Regions of the Meniscus
625   Have Enhanced Clonogenicity and Differentiation Potential Under Physioxia.
626   Front Bioeng Biotechnol *9*, 789621. 10.3389/fbioe.2021.789621.
627   17.   Rodriguez, I., Feinstein, P., and Mombaerts, P. (1999). Variable patterns of
628   axonal projections of sensory neurons in the mouse vomeronasal system. Cell
629   *97*, 199-208. 10.1016/s0092-8674(00)80730-8.
630   18.   Mostoslavsky, R., Singh, N., Tenzen, T., Goldmit, M., Gabay, C., Elizur, S., Qi,
631   P., Reubinoff, B.E., Chess, A., Cedar, H., and Bergman, Y. (2001).
632   Asynchronous replication and allelic exclusion in the immune system. Nature
633   *414*, 221-225.
634   19.   Backman, J.D., Li, A.H., Marcketta, A., Sun, D., Mbatchou, J., Kessler, M.D.,
635   Benner, C., Liu, D., Locke, A.E., Balasubramanian, S., et al. (2021). Exome
636   sequencing and analysis of 454,787 UK Biobank participants. Nature *599*, 628-
637   634. 10.1038/s41586-021-04103-z.
638   20.   Jurgens, S.J., Wang, X., Choi, S.H., Weng, L.C., Koyama, S., Pirruccello, J.P.,
639   Nguyen, T., Smadbeck, P., Jang, D., Chaffin, M., et al. (2024). Rare coding
640   variant analysis for human diseases across biobanks and ancestries. Nat Genet
641   *56*, 1811-1820. 10.1038/s41588-024-01894-5.
642   21.   Zschocke, J., Byers, P.H., and Wilkie, A.O.M. (2023). Mendelian inheritance
643   revisited: dominance and recessiveness in medical genetics. Nat Rev Genet *24*,
644   442-463. 10.1038/s41576-023-00574-0.
645   22.   Huang, N., Lee, I., Marcotte, E.M., and Hurles, M.E. (2010). Characterising and
646   predicting haploinsufficiency in the human genome. PLoS Genet *6*, e1001154.
647   10.1371/journal.pgen.1001154.
648   23.   Morrill, S.A., and Amon, A. (2019). Why haploinsufficiency persists. Proc Natl
649   Acad Sci U S A *116*, 11866-11871. 10.1073/pnas.1900437116.
650   24.   Wilkie, A.O. (1994). The molecular basis of genetic dominance. J Med Genet *31*,
651   89-98. 10.1136/jmg.31.2.89.
652   25.   Veitia, R.A., Zschocke, J., and Birchler, J.A. (2025). Gene Dosage Sensitivity and
653   Human Genetic Diseases. J Inherit Metab Dis *48*, e70058. 10.1002/jimd.70058.
654   26.   Minks, J., Robinson, W.P., and Brown, C.J. (2008). A skewed view of X
655   chromosome inactivation. J Clin Invest *118*, 20-23. 10.1172/jci34470.
656   27.   Boone, P.M., Buenaventura, T., King, J.W.D., and Merkenschlager, M. (2025). X-
657   linked competition - implications for human development and disease. Nat Rev
658   Genet. 10.1038/s41576-025-00840-3.
659   28.   Stewart, O., Gruber, C., Randolph, H.E., Patel, R., Ramba, M., Calzoni, E.,
660   Huang, L.H., Levy, J., Buta, S., Lee, A., et al. (2025). Monoallelic expression can
661   govern penetrance of inborn errors of immunity. Nature *637*, 1186-1197.
662   10.1038/s41586-024-08346-4.
663   29.   McKusick, V. (2025). Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man, OMIM®.
664   30.   Gilad, Y., Rifkin, S.A., and Pritchard, J.K. (2008). Revealing the architecture of
665   gene regulation: the promise of eQTL studies. Trends Genet *24*, 408-415.
666   10.1016/j.tig.2008.06.001.
667   31.   Heskett, M.B., Smith, L.G., Spellman, P., and Thayer, M.J. (2020). Reciprocal
668   monoallelic expression of ASAR lncRNA genes controls replication timing of
669   human chromosome 6. RNA (New York, N.Y.) *26*, 724-738.
670   10.1261/rna.073114.119.

32. Fu, R., Qin, P., Zou, X., Hu, Z., Hong, N., Wang, Y., and Jin, W. (2021). A
Comprehensive Characterization of Monoallelic Expression During
Hematopoiesis and Leukemogenesis via Single-Cell RNA-Sequencing. Frontiers
in cell and developmental biology *9*, 702897. 10.3389/fcell.2021.702897.
33. Zakharova, I.S., Shevchenko, A.I., and Zakian, S.M. (2009). Monoallelic gene
expression in mammals. Chromosoma *118*, 279-290.
34. Xu, J., Carter, A.C., Gendrel, A.V., Attia, M., Loftus, J., Greenleaf, W.J.,
Tibshirani, R., Heard, E., and Chang, H.Y. (2017). Landscape of monoallelic
DNA accessibility in mouse embryonic stem cells and neural progenitor cells. Nat
Genet *49*, 377-386. 10.1038/ng.3769.
35. Nag, A., Savova, V., Fung, H.L., Miron, A., Yuan, G.C., Zhang, K., and
Gimelbrant, A.A. (2013). Chromatin signature of widespread monoallelic
expression. eLife *2*, e01256. 10.7554/eLife.01256.
36. Li, S.M., Valo, Z., Wang, J., Gao, H., Bowers, C.W., and Singer-Sam, J. (2012).
Transcriptome-wide survey of mouse CNS-derived cells reveals monoallelic
expression within novel gene families. PLoS One *7*, e31751.
37. Gendrel, A.V., Marion-Poll, L., Katoh, K., and Heard, E. (2016). Random
monoallelic expression of genes on autosomes: Parallels with X-chromosome
inactivation. Semin Cell Dev Biol. 10.1016/j.semcdb.2016.04.007.
38. Gendrel, A.V., Attia, M., Chen, C.J., Diabangouaya, P., Servant, N., Barillot, E.,
and Heard, E. (2014). Developmental dynamics and disease potential of random
monoallelic gene expression. Dev Cell *28*, 366-380.
10.1016/j.devcel.2014.01.016.
39. Eckersley-Maslin, M.A., Thybert, D., Bergmann, J.H., Marioni, J.C., Flicek, P.,
and Spector, D.L. (2014). Random monoallelic gene expression increases upon
embryonic stem cell differentiation. Dev Cell *28*, 351-365.
10.1016/j.devcel.2014.01.017.
40. Barreto, V.M., Kubasova, N., Alves-Pereira, C.F., and Gendrel, A.V. (2021). X-
Chromosome Inactivation and Autosomal Random Monoallelic Expression as
"Faux Amis". Frontiers in cell and developmental biology *9*, 740937.
10.3389/fcell.2021.740937.
41. Blumenfeld, B., Masika, H., Farago, M., Yehuda, Y., Halaseh, L., Vardi, O.,
Rapoport, R., Levin-Klein, R., Cedar, H., Bergman, Y., and Simon, I. (2021).
Chromosomal coordination and differential structure of asynchronous replicating
regions. Nature communications *12*, 1035. 10.1038/s41467-021-21348-4.
42. Mountoufaris, G., Chen, W.V., Hirabayashi, Y., O'Keeffe, S., Chevee, M.,
Nwakeze, C.L., Polleux, F., and Maniatis, T. (2017). Multicluster Pcdh diversity is
required for mouse olfactory neural circuit assembly. Science *356*, 411-414.
10.1126/science.aai8801.
43. Williams, D.L., Sikora, V.M., Hammer, M.A., Amin, S., Brinjikji, T., Brumley, E.K.,
Burrows, C.J., Carrillo, P.M., Cromer, K., Edwards, S.J., et al. (2021). May the
Odds Be Ever in Your Favor: Non-deterministic Mechanisms Diversifying Cell
Surface Molecule Expression. Frontiers in cell and developmental biology *9*,
720798. 10.3389/fcell.2021.720798.
44. Savova, V., Vinogradova, S., Pruss, D., Gimelbrant, A.A., and Weiss, L.A.
(2017). Risk alleles of genes with monoallelic expression are enriched in gain-of-
function variants and depleted in loss-of-function variants for
neurodevelopmental disorders. Mol Psychiatry *22*, 1785-1794.
10.1038/mp.2017.13.

45.   Gimelbrant, A., Hutchinson, J.N., Thompson, B.R., and Chess, A. (2007).
721   Widespread monoallelic expression on human autosomes. Science *318*, 1136-
722   1140.
723   46.   Ito, H., Morishita, R., and Nagata, K.I. (2017). Autism spectrum disorder-
724   associated genes and the development of dentate granule cells. Med Mol
725   Morphol *50*, 123-129. 10.1007/s00795-017-0161-z.
726   47.   Lin, L., Lesnick, T.G., Maraganore, D.M., and Isacson, O. (2009). Axon guidance
727   and synaptic maintenance: preclinical markers for neurodegenerative disease
728   and therapeutics. Trends Neurosci *32*, 142-149. 10.1016/j.tins.2008.11.006.
729   48.   Mosca-Boidron, A.L., Gueneau, L., Huguet, G., Goldenberg, A., Henry, C., Gigot,
730   N., Pallesi-Pocachard, E., Falace, A., Duplomb, L., Thevenon, J., et al. (2016). A
731   de novo microdeletion of SEMA5A in a boy with autism spectrum disorder and
732   intellectual disability. European journal of human genetics : EJHG *24*, 838-843.
733   10.1038/ejhg.2015.211.
734   49.   Richer, S., Tian, Y., Schoenfelder, S., Hurst, L., Murrell, A., and Pisignano, G.
735   (2023). Widespread allele-specific topological domains in the human genome are
736   not confined to imprinted gene clusters. Genome Biol *24*, 40. 10.1186/s13059-
737   023-02876-2.
738   50.   Reutlinger, C., Helbig, I., Gawelczyk, B., Subero, J.I., Tönnies, H., Muhle, H.,
739   Finsterwalder, K., Vermeer, S., Pfundt, R., Sperner, J., et al. (2010). Deletions in
740   16p13 including GRIN2A in patients with intellectual disability, various
741   dysmorphic features, and seizure disorders of the rolandic region. Epilepsia *51*,
742   1870-1873. 10.1111/j.1528-1167.2010.02555.x.
743   51.   Ding, J., Li, X., Tian, H., Wang, L., Guo, B., Wang, Y., Li, W., Wang, F., and Sun,
744   T. (2021). SCN1A Mutation-Beyond Dravet Syndrome: A Systematic Review and
745   Narrative Synthesis. Front Neurol *12*, 743726. 10.3389/fneur.2021.743726.
746   52.   Wolff, M., Johannesen, K.M., Hedrich, U.B.S., Masnada, S., Rubboli, G.,
747   Gardella, E., Lesca, G., Ville, D., Milh, M., Villard, L., et al. (2017). Genetic and
748   phenotypic heterogeneity suggest therapeutic implications in SCN2A-related
749   disorders. Brain *140*, 1316-1336. 10.1093/brain/awx054.
750   53.   Hernandez, C.C., Hu, N., Shen, W., and Macdonald, R.L. (2023). Epileptic
751   Encephalopathy GABRB Structural Variants Share Common Gating and
752   Trafficking Defects. Biomolecules *13*. 10.3390/biom13121790.
753   54.   Hamdan, F.F., Myers, C.T., Cossette, P., Lemay, P., Spiegelman, D., Laporte,
754   A.D., Nassif, C., Diallo, O., Monlong, J., Cadieux-Dion, M., et al. (2017). High
755   Rate of Recurrent De Novo Mutations in Developmental and Epileptic
756   Encephalopathies. Am J Hum Genet *101*, 664-685. 10.1016/j.ajhg.2017.09.008.
757   55.   Gruber, C.N., Calis, J.J.A., Buta, S., Evrony, G., Martin, J.C., Uhl, S.A., Caron,
758   R., Jarchin, L., Dunkin, D., Phelps, R., et al. (2020). Complex Autoinflammatory
759   Syndrome Unveils Fundamental Principles of JAK1 Kinase Transcriptional and
760   Biochemical Function. Immunity *53*, 672-684.e611.
761   10.1016/j.immuni.2020.07.006.
762   56.   Ross, S.H., and Cantrell, D.A. (2020). Of Mosaicism and Mechanisms: How
763   JAK1 Goes Awry. Immunity *53*, 481-484. 10.1016/j.immuni.2020.08.010.
764   57.   Khamlichi, A.A., and Feil, R. (2018). Parallels between Mammalian Mechanisms
765   of Monoallelic Gene Expression. Trends Genet *34*, 954-971.
766   10.1016/j.tig.2018.08.005.

58. Dalton, R.P., Lyons, D.B., and Lomvardas, S. (2013). Co-opting the unfolded
protein response to elicit olfactory receptor feedback. Cell *155*, 321-332.
10.1016/j.cell.2013.09.033.

59. Lyons, D.B., Allen, W.E., Goh, T., Tsai, L., Barnea, G., and Lomvardas, S.
(2013). An epigenetic trap stabilizes singular olfactory receptor expression. Cell
154, 325-336. 10.1016/j.cell.2013.06.039.

60. Pourmorady, A.D., Bashkirova, E.V., Chiariello, A.M., Belagzhal, H., Kodra, A.,
Duffié, R., Kahiapo, J., Monahan, K., Pulupa, J., Schieren, I., et al. (2024). RNA-
mediated symmetry breaking enables singular olfactory receptor choice. Nature
625, 181-188. 10.1038/s41586-023-06845-4.

61. Chess, A. (2012). Mechanisms and consequences of widespread random
monoallelic expression. Nat Rev Genet *13*, 421-428.

62. Reinius, B., and Sandberg, R. (2015). Random monoallelic expression of
autosomal genes: stochastic transcription and allele-level regulation. Nat Rev
Genet *16*, 653-664. 10.1038/nrg3888.

63. Brockdorff, N., and Turner, B.M. (2015). Dosage compensation in mammals.
Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol *7*, a019406. 10.1101/cshperspect.a019406.

64. Dossin, F., and Heard, E. (2022). The Molecular and Nuclear Dynamics of X-
Chromosome Inactivation. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol *14*.
10.1101/cshperspect.a040196.

65. Galupa, R., and Heard, E. (2018). X-Chromosome Inactivation: A Crossroads
Between Chromosome Architecture and Gene Regulation. Annu Rev Genet *52*,
535-566. 10.1146/annurev-genet-120116-024611.

66. Payer, B., and Lee, J.T. (2008). X chromosome dosage compensation: how
mammals keep the balance. Annu Rev Genet *42*, 733-772.
10.1146/annurev.genet.42.110807.091711 [doi].

67. van den Berg, I.M., Galjaard, R.J., Laven, J.S., and van Doorninck, J.H. (2011).
XCI in preimplantation mouse and human embryos: first there is remodelling….
Hum Genet *130*, 203-215. 10.1007/s00439-011-1014-9.

68. van den Berg, I.M., Laven, J.S., Stevens, M., Jonkers, I., Galjaard, R.J., Gribnau,
J., and van Doorninck, J.H. (2009). X chromosome inactivation is initiated in
human preimplantation embryos. Am J Hum Genet *84*, 771-779.
10.1016/j.ajhg.2009.05.003.

69. Donley, N., Stoffregen, E.P., Smith, L., Montagna, C., and Thayer, M.J. (2013).
Asynchronous Replication, Mono-Allelic Expression, and Long Range Cis-Effects
of ASAR6. PLoS Genet *9*, e1003423.

70. Rehm, H.L., Berg, J.S., Brooks, L.D., Bustamante, C.D., Evans, J.P., Landrum,
M.J., Ledbetter, D.H., Maglott, D.R., Martin, C.L., Nussbaum, R.L., et al. (2015).
ClinGen--the Clinical Genome Resource. N Engl J Med *372*, 2235-2242.
10.1056/NEJMsr1406261.

71. Giovenino, C., Trajkova, S., Pavinato, L., Cardaropoli, S., Pullano, V., Ferrero,
E., Sukarova-Angelovska, E., Carestiato, S., Salmin, P., Rinninella, A., et al.
(2023). Skewed X-chromosome inactivation in unsolved neurodevelopmental
disease cases can guide re-evaluation For X-linked genes. European journal of
human genetics : EJHG *31*, 1228-1236. 10.1038/s41431-023-01324-w.

72. Cook, D.L., Gerber, A.N., and Tapscott, S.J. (1998). Modeling stochastic gene
expression: implications for haploinsufficiency. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *95*,
15641-15646. 10.1073/pnas.95.26.15641.

73. Pope, B.D., Ryba, T., Dileep, V., Yue, F., Wu, W., Denas, O., Vera, D.L., Wang,
816   Y., Hansen, R.S., Canfield, T.K., et al. (2014). Topologically associating domains
817   are stable units of replication-timing regulation. Nature *515*, 402-405.
818   10.1038/nature13986.
819   74. Li, Y., and Darabi, R. (2022). Role of epigenetics in cellular reprogramming; from
820   iPSCs to disease modeling and cell therapy. J Cell Biochem *123*, 147-154.
821   10.1002/jcb.30164.
822   75. Janiszewski, A., Talon, I., Chappell, J., Collombet, S., Song, J., De Geest, N., To,
823   S.K., Bervoets, G., Marin-Bejar, O., Provenzano, C., et al. (2019). Dynamic
824   reversal of random X-Chromosome inactivation during iPSC reprogramming.
825   Genome Res *29*, 1659-1672. 10.1101/gr.249706.119.
826   76. Tcw, J. (2019). Human iPSC application in Alzheimer's disease and Tau-related
827   neurodegenerative diseases. Neurosci Lett *699*, 31-40.
828   10.1016/j.neulet.2019.01.043.
829   77. Pattappa, G., Markway, B.D., Docheva, D., and Johnstone, B. (2023). Physioxic
830   Culture of Chondrogenic Cells. Methods Mol Biol *2598*, 45-63. 10.1007/978-1-
831   0716-2839-3_5.
832   78. Li, H., and Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with
833   Burrows-Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) *25*, 1754-1760.
834   10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324.
835   79. Garrison, E.a.M., G (2024). Haplotype-based variant detection from short-read
836   sequencing. arXiv *1207.3907v2*.
837   80. Martin, M., Ebert, P., and Marschall, T. (2023). Read-Based Phasing and
838   Analysis of Phased Variants with WhatsHap. Methods Mol Biol *2590*, 127-138.
839   10.1007/978-1-0716-2819-5_8.
840   81. Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G.,
841   Abecasis, G., and Durbin, R. (2009). The Sequence Alignment/Map format and
842   SAMtools. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) *25*, 2078-2079.
843   10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352.
844   82. Marchal, C., Sasaki, T., Vera, D., Wilson, K., Sima, J., Rivera-Mulia, J.C.,
845   Trevilla-García, C., Nogues, C., Nafie, E., and Gilbert, D.M. (2018). Genome-
846   wide analysis of replication timing by next-generation sequencing with E/L Repli-
847   seq. Nat Protoc *13*, 819-839. 10.1038/nprot.2017.148.
848   83. Dobin, A., Davis, C.A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., Batut,
849   P., Chaisson, M., and Gingeras, T.R. (2013). STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq
850   aligner. Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) *29*, 15-21.
851   10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635.
852
853

Methods

Cell culture

All human tissue samples were obtained in accordance with protocols approved by the Oregon Health & Science University Institutional Review Board (OHSU IRB# 00019018) and the Western Institutional Review Board (WIRB# 20193303). Informed consent was obtained from all donors or their legal guardians. ACP cells were grown in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium low glucose (Life Technologies) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Hyclone). Single cell clones were isolated by plating individual cells in 6 well dishes, and were expanded for >20 population doublings [77]. All cells were grown in a humidified incubator at 37°C in a 5% carbon dioxide atmosphere.

Haplotype Phasing of ACP cells

Short-read whole genome sequencing was obtained from two parent/child trios with a range of ~7-14x genome coverage. BWA [78] was used for alignment, and FreeBayes [79] used to call putative germline variants jointly for each trio. Variants were filtered to remove sites with Genotype Quality score of less than 30, and sites with missing genotypes for any member of the trio were removed. WhatsHap [80] was then used to phase variants in the child utilizing mendelian inheritance patterns and reads containing multiple variants. 1,679,207 high-confidence sites were phased in ACP7, and 837,323 sites were phased in ACP6.

Allele-specific Repli-Seq

Short read sequence data was aligned with BWA with standard parameters. BAM files were then deduplicated and sorted with SAMtools [81]. BCFtools mpileup [81] was then used to produce allele-specific read counts at phased heterozygous loci. Library size

normalization was performed to produce counts per million informative reads. Read

counts were then grouped into 250kb sliding genomic windows with no overlap. E/L Repli-

Seq values were generated by taking the Log base 2 of early versus late values [82].

Quantile normalization was performed on each group of subclones within each individual

sample to allow for comparison among subclones. For each sample, variability of allele-

specific RT among subclones was defined as the Standard Deviation (degrees of freedom

= 1) of repli-seq at each 250kb window. Genome-wide std. dev. values generated a log-

normal distribution, and we set a threshold of $Z>=2.25$ on the log-normal to identify

regions with highly variable allele-specific RT among subclones.

Allele-specific RNA-seq

Nuclei were isolated by centrifugation for 0.5 minutes from ACP clones following lysis in

0.5% NP40, 140 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), and 1.5 mM $MgCl_2$. Nuclear RNA

was isolated using Trizol reagent using the manufacturer's instructions, followed by

DNase treatment to remove possible genomic DNA contamination. Briefly, ribosomal

RNAs were removed using the Ribo-Zero kit (Illumina), RNA was fragmented into 250-

300bp fragments, and cDNA libraries were prepared using the Directional RNA Library

Prep Kit (NEB). Paired end sequencing was done on a NovaSeq 6000 at the OHSU

MPSSR core facility. Strand specific short read sequence data was aligned with STAR [83].

SAMtools [81] was used to remove duplicates and sort BAM files. Strand-specific, allele-

specific reads at heterozygous phased SNPs were counted using BCFtools mpileup [81]

and grouped together for all SNPs within each gene. Allelic expression imbalance was

calculated as the fraction of reads derived from the maternal vs the paternal allele. For

example, completely balanced allelic expression is 50% AEI, and completely imbalanced

AEI is 100%. A threshold of AEI>= 70%, and FDR corrected binomial p-value<=0.05 was

used to classify AEI in each individual subclone. Standard deviation (degrees of

freedom=1) was used for each gene to generate a metric of AEI variability among

subclones. A genome-wide distribution of std. dev. was generated across all genes, and

the top 2.5% of variable genes were classified as highly variable among subclones.

Figure Legends:

Figure 1: Genome wide variable replication-timing and expression. a) Single cell
derived ACP clones (color coded) are isolated, expanded and processed for Repliseq
and RNAseq. The maternal and paternal haplotypes were used to analyze allele-specific
replication timing and expression. b) The top panel illustrates the expected replication
timing profile in multiple clones across an autosomal region. The regions that display
VERT are highlighted by shading. The bottom panel illustrates the expected expression
variability in different clones from the same individual. c) We define AEI as an allelic bias
that is ≥80% allelic imbalance (AEI ≥ 0.80 or ≤ 0.20). d) Illustration of an
Inactivation/Stability Center showing the clonal and allele-restricted variability in
replication timing and expression of both protein coding and noncoding genes. e) The
standard deviation (Std. Dev.) in 250 kb windows (circles) across human chromosome 1.
Outlier windows from all four sets of clones (ACP6, ACP7, EB3-2, and GM12878) are
highlighted in different colors as shown. The top panel illustrates the G-banding (blue
shading) pattern for human chromosome 1, with 23 VERT regions highlighted in red. The
arrow marks the VERT region shown in panels f-h below. f-h) I/SC located between 239
and 242 mb of chromosome 1 (see panel e). f) VERT (shaded region) and AEI of genes
(rectangles) detected in the ACP6 clones, each clone was color coded as shown. For
replication, the solid lines represent the maternal (Mat.) allele and the dotted line
represents the paternal (Pat.) allele. g) VERT (shaded region) and AEI of genes
(rectangles) detected in the ACP7 clones, each clone was color coded as shown. h)
UCSC Genome Browser view of the genomic region in panels f and g. The shaded area
highlights the VERT region. i) Hierarchical clustering of the VERT regions that are shared
between 2 or more clone sets showing both ACP and LCL clones. j) Gene Ontology (GO)
enrichment analysis of the genes located within the VERT regions, the most significant
hits for each set of clones, organized by cell type, are shown. GO analysis of the mouse
genes located within the pre-B cell clone VERT regions are also shown.

937
938

Figure 2. Examples of I/SCs. a, d and g) VERT regions on human chromosomes 18, 6
and 9, respectively. The top panels illustrate the G-banding (blue shading) pattern for
each human chromosome, with VERT regions highlighted in red. The standard deviation
(Std. Dev.) in 250 kb windows (circles) across each human chromosome is shown. Outlier
windows from all four sets of clones (ACP6, ACP7, EB3-2, and GM12878) are highlighted
in different colors as shown. The arrows and red boxes mark the VERT regions shown in
panels b, e, and h. b, e and h) I/SCs highlighted in panels a, d, and g. For replication, the
solid lines represent the maternal (Mat.) allele and the dotted line represents the paternal
(Pat.) allele. The regions with VERT are shaded and the AEI is shown for each clone in
a different color. c, f and i) UCSC Genome Browser views of the genomic regions in
panels b, e and h. The shaded areas highlight the VERT regions. Genes with AEI are
marked with +, *, or #. b and c) The + marks MOCOS, which shows AEI in ACP cells
(Supplemental Table 2) and ++ marks FHOD3, which shows AEI in LCLs [1]. e and f) The
* marks from DNAH8, ** marks transcripts from KCNK5, and *** marks non-coding
transcripts from ENSG00000293066 (also named: TL:6-39.9_1 [1]). All three of these
genes show AEI in LCL clones [1]. h and i) The # marks transcripts from DAPK1, ## marks
transcripts from SPIN1. Both genes show AEI in LCL clones [1].

Figure 3. Human gene clusters with AEI map to VERT regions. a and c) VERT regions on human chromosome 9 and 6. The top panels illustrate the G-banding (blue shading) pattern for each human chromosome, with VERT regions highlighted in red. The standard deviation (Std. Dev.) in 250 kb windows (circles) across each human chromosome is shown. Outlier windows from all four sets of clones (ACP6, ACP7, EB3-2, and GM12878) are highlighted in different colors as shown. The arrows and red boxes mark the VERT regions shown in panels b, e, and h. The red box and arrow identify the I/SC in panel b. b) UCSC genome browser view illustrating the genomic location highlighted in panel a. The shaded area highlights the VERT region. The red lines mark 11 olfactory receptor genes, and the green lines mark 6 cytochrome P450 family (CYP4F) genes. d) UCSC Genome Browser view illustrating the genomic location highlighted in panel c. The shaded area highlights the VERT region. The red lines mark 16 HLA genes, and the green lines mark 6 Lymphocyte antigen 6 family (LY6G) genes.

Figure 4. Mouse gene clusters with AEI map to VERT regions. a and d) VERT regions on mouse chromosome 2 and 18. The standard deviation (Std. Dev.) in 50 kb windows (circles) across each mouse chromosome are shown. Outlier windows from pre-B cell clones are highlighted in orange. The red boxes and arrows identify the VERT regions in panels b (i) and c (ii). b and c) UCSC genome browser views illustrating the genomic locations represented by i and ii in panel a. b) Genome Browser view of the VERT region showing the location of 29 olfactory receptor genes (Or genes). The shaded area represents the VERT region. c) Genome Browser view of the VERT region in ii above, showing the location of 242 olfactory receptor genes (Or genes). The shaded area represents the VERT region. e) Genome Browser view of the VERT region in d above, showing the location of clustered Protocaderhin genes (15 Pcdha, 23 Pcdhb, and 22 Pcdhg genes). The shaded area represents the VERT region.

Figure 5. Human and Mouse syntenic regions display VERT. a and b) The short arm of human chromosome 5, with 11 VERT regions (panel a) and the centromeric region of mouse chromosome 15, with 4 VERT regions (panel b) are shown. a) Two human VERT regions are highlighted, hi and hii, and are syntenic with locations on mouse chromosome 15, mi and mii, that also show VERT (panel b). Note that the gene order in both syntenic regions are inverted with respect to the telomeres when comparing the human and mouse loci. c) Illustration of the I/SC on human chromosome 5 between 7.75 and 10.5 mb (hi). The shaded area marks the VERT region, and AEI of the coding gene SEMA5A in different clones is highlighted. For replication, the maternal (Mat.) and paternal (Pat.) alleles are indicated. d) UCSC Genome Browser view of the human VERT region (shaded) in hi, showing the location of SEMA5A and a taste receptor gene (TAS2R1). e) UCSC Genome Browser view of the mouse VERT region (shaded) in mi, showing the location of Sema5a and a taste receptor gene (Tas2r119). f) UCSC Genome Browser view of the human VERT region (shaded) in hii above, highlighting the location of the AEI gene RETREG1 [45]. g) UCSC Genome Browser view of the mouse VERT region (shaded) in mii, showing the synteny between human and mouse for the protein coding genes in panel f, including Retreg1. h) VERT regions on human chromosome 16. The standard deviation (Std. Dev.) in 250 kb windows (circles) across human chromosome 16 is shown. Outlier windows are highlighted in different colors as shown. The red box and arrow mark

the genomic location in panel i. i) UCSC Genome Browser view illustrating the genomic
location represented in panel h, showing the location of GRIN2A (red box). j) VERT
regions on mouse chromosome 16. The standard deviation in 50 kb windows (circles) is
shown. Outlier windows from pre-B cell clones are highlighted in orange. k) UCSC
Genome Browser view illustrating the genomic location of the VERT region (shaded area)
represented in panel j above, with the location of Grin2a highlighted with a red box.
1011
1012
Figure 6. Epilepsy genes map to I/SCs. a, d, and g) VERT regions on human chromosomes
2, 5, and 21. The top panels illustrate the G-banding (blue shading) pattern for each human
chromosome, with VERT regions highlighted in red. The standard deviation (Std. Dev.) in
250 kb windows (circles) across each human chromosome is shown. Outlier windows from
all four sets of clones (ACP6, ACP7, EB3-2, and GM12878) are highlighted in different colors
as shown. The arrows and red boxes mark the VERT regions shown in panels b, c, e, f, h, i,
j and k. b, e, h, j) Illustrations of I/SCs on chromosomes 2, 5, and 8. The shaded areas mark
the VERT regions. Each clone was color coded to illustrate the VERT and AEI in different
clones. The maternal (Mat.) and paternal (Pat.) alleles are indicated for replication and
expression. c) UCSC Genome Browser view illustrating the genomic location of the VERT
region (shaded area) represented in panels a and b, with the location of 5 sodium channel
genes (SCN3A, SCN2A, SCN1A, SCN9A, and SCN7A) highlighted with a red line. e)
Illustration of an I/SC on chromosome 5. The shaded area marks the VERT region. AEI of
GABRA1 is shown. f) UCSC Genome Browser view illustrating the genomic location of the
VERT region (shaded area) represented in panels d and e above, with the location of 4 GABA
receptor genes (GABRB2, GABRBA6, GABRA1, and GABRG2) highlighted with a red line.
h) Illustration of a I/SCs (A) on chromosome 8. Each ACP6 clone was color coded to illustrate
the VERT and AEI in different clones. The maternal (Mat.) and paternal (Pat.) alleles are
indicated for replication and expression. The shaded area marks the VERT region. The
location and expression of OXR1 is indicated. i) UCSC Genome Browser view illustrating the
genomic location of the VERT region (shaded area) represented in panels g (A) and h above,
with the location of the OXR1 gene highlighted with a red line. We note that ANGPT1 (marked
with *), located within this I/SC displays AEI (see Supplementary Table 2). j) Illustration of an
I/SC (B) on chromosome 8. AEI of SAMD12 is indicated. k) UCSC Genome Browser view
illustrating the genomic location of the VERT region (shaded area) represented in panels g
(B) and j above, with the location of the SAMD12 highlighted with a red line.
1039
Figure 7. Parkinson disease genes map to I/SCs. a, c, e, and g) VERT regions on human
chromosomes 4, 12, 19, and 20, respectively. The top panels illustrate the G-banding (blue
shading) pattern for each human chromosome, with VERT regions highlighted in red. The
standard deviation (Std. Dev.) in 250 kb windows (circles) across each human chromosome
is shown. Outlier windows from all four sets of clones (ACP6, ACP7, EB3-2, and GM12878)
are highlighted in different colors as shown. The arrows and red boxes mark the VERT
regions shown in panels b, d, f, and h, respectively. b) UCSC Genome Browser view
illustrating the genomic location of the VERT region (shaded area) represented in panel a,
with the location of the SNCA gene highlighted with a red line. d) UCSC Genome Browser
view illustrating the genomic location of the VERT region (shaded area) highlighted in panel
c, with the location of the LRRK2 gene highlighted with a red line. f) UCSC Genome Browser
view illustrating the genomic location of the VERT region (shaded area) represented in panel
e, with the location of the DNAJC6 gene highlighted with a red line; also highlighted with a
green line is the JAK1 gene. h) UCSC Genome Browser view illustrating the genomic location

of the VERT region (shaded area) represented in panel g, with the location of the PRKN gene
highlighted with a red line.
1056

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Source data are provided with this paper. Further information and requests for resources
and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Mathew
Thayer (thayerm@ohsu.edu).

Materials availability statement

All reagents generated in this study will be made freely available upon request.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7