The effects of thermal deterioration on the life history traits of *Daphnia magna*

Abstract

Adverse anthropogenic impacts on the Biosphere have produced environmental change at a

rate unprecedented in the Cenozoic, shifting the environmental conditions outside of the

physiological tolerance range of many species. Predicting the patterns of species' response to

climate change requires tracking the variation in fitness-related traits (i.e. life histories) during

exposure to thermally changing environments, because these traits may strongly correlate with

the probability of survival.

A growing body of experimental studies demonstrated that an increase of mean temperatures

significantly influences the response of several life history traits, namely reduction of

development time and body size at maturation. However, there are still significant gaps in our

understanding of how species respond to temperature change, as most research has focused

only on abrupt changes to thermal conditions. To better reflect ecological reality, we need

experimental designs that examine the effects of gradually and continuously changing thermal

environments over longer time scales, because the population genetics of adaptation to stressful

environments is strongly influenced by the rate of environmental change. Moreover, the extent to

which these trait changes result from phenotypic plasticity versus genetic adaptation remains

poorly understood.

To address these gaps, we conducted a controlled multigenerational evolutionary experiment

with a plankton crustacean, *Daphnia magna.* We subjected the clonal populations to gradually

increasing temperatures, a scenario more representative of ongoing climate change than abrupt

thermal shifts. We monitored their lifespan, fecundity, somatic growth rate, age at maturation,

body size, and extinction risk across generations, and used a reciprocal transplant experiment to

disentangle genetic adaptation from phenotypic plasticity.

Our results revealed the two distinctive patterns of the response in life history traits under

elevated temperatures. The adaptive response was manifested through an increase in fecundity

of high-temperature populations relative to control populations. We interpret reduction in both

their development time and mean adult body size as the maladaptive responses, given the

positive correlations found between adult body size and fecundity, and between development

time and adult body size.

However, we detected significant level of adaptive plasticity in our populations, as some clonal

lines exhibited a markedly different response to elevated temperatures, opposite the population-

level trend (increased development time under high temperature, leading to larger adult size and

ultimately higher fecundity). This suggests a strong genotype-by-environment interaction (G X

E), where genetic variation shapes the plastic response to environmental stress. The presence

of such adaptive variants amidst a generally maladaptive mean response underscores the

importance of intra-population variation in plasticity. This highlights the need to consider not only

average plastic responses but also the distribution and evolutionary potential of genotype-

specific trajectories in the face of climate change.

Key words: Temperature, deterioration, warming, Daphnia magna, adaptive plasticity

1. Introduction

Adverse anthropogenic impacts on the Biosphere have produced environmental change at a rate unprecedented in the Cenozoic (Barnosky et al., 2012). One of the most alarming consequences of the widely recognized issue of global change (Falkowski, 1994; Chivian and Bernstein, 2008; Barnosky et al., 2012) is the shifting of environmental conditions outside of the physiological tolerance range of many species (Parmesan, 2006). Recent studies predict the extinction of 14-35 % of extant species in the following decades (Thomas et al., 2004; Weins and Zelinka, 2024). Hence, understanding the factors which affect adaptation to changing environments has become one of the central problems of contemporary science, with the main goal to help mitigate the detrimental effects of global change. One of the most threatening aspects of global change is the continuous rise of temperature from the pre-industrial era (Hawkins et al., 2017) onwards, a trend predicted to accelerate within the next 50 years (Walther et al., 2002). Consequently, persistence of many extant species may be threatened due to the altered interspecies interactions (for example, food availability) and the limited physiological tolerances to high temperatures (Cahill et al., 2013). The latter factor is particularly pronounced in the lower latitudes, as many tropical species are already experiencing conditions close to their thermal optima (Deutsch et al., 2008).

Predicting the patterns of species' response to climate change requires tracking of the variation in fitness-related traits (i.e. life histories) during exposure to thermally changing environments, because these traits may strongly correlate with the probability of survival (Isaac, 2009). For example, slower life histories (long gestation and small litters) may enhance extinction risk due to the limited capacity of a population to recover from a decline caused by the natural or anthropogenically induced factors (Purvis et al., 2000). Moreover, these extinction-promoting traits are often negatively correlated with body size (McKinney

1997; Cardillo et al. 2005). Thus, in species with an intraspecific variation in body size, we

might expect a shift to a smaller body size due to a lower extinction risk (Isaac, 2009).

However, the opposite pattern can be predicted if an intraspecific variation in body size

positively correlates with competitiveness (Isaac, 2009), survival (Yoccoz & Mesnager 1998)

and fecundity (Du et al. 2005).

Given the complex interactions among life history traits, clarifying their relative contributions

to fitness and survival under rising temperatures requires experimental studies that

manipulate temperature and directly monitor trait changes. To date, most of these studies

have been carried out using insects as model organisms or various plankton species

cultivated in the outdoor mesocosms (Van Doorslaer et al., 2007; Van Doorslaer et al., 2010;

Musolin et al., 2010; Ciota et al., 2014; Geerts et al., 2015; Laughton et al., 2017;

Cambronero et al., 2018; Iltis et. al., 2019; de Leon et al., 2023; Albini et al., 2025).

Most experimental studies with insects revealed negative correlation of temperature with

lifespan, development time, and body size (e.g. Ciota et al., 2014; Laughton et al., 2017; Iltis

et. al., 2019). Importantly, these effects of an elevated temperature on the life history traits

may depend on the different phenological parameters such as season. For example, an

increase in temperature during the warmest part of the season was found to reduce

development and increase mortality in the heteropteran species *Nezara viridula*, while adults

showed a decline in body size as well as reduced life span and fecundity (Musolin et al.,

2010). The negative effects of elevated temperature on life history traits may also vary with

geographical distribution of species. In a study of four *Protaphorura* species (Collembola)

sampled across a latitudinal gradient, heat stress reduced fecundity in all species, but the

most severe effects were observed in the boreal species, which was the most heat-sensitive

(de Leon et al., 2023).

Another common experimental approach includes monitoring of freshwater populations

under semi-natural conditions (outdoor mesocosms). Mean body size of the plankton

species showed variation in response to the elevated temperatures, depending on their

cultivation environment. A consistent decline in mean body size has been observed under

temperature increases of up to 6°C compared to ambient conditions, including in both single-

species setups and more complex multispecies zooplankton communities (Cambronero et

al., 2018; Albini et al., 2025). However, increases in body size at maturity have also been

reported under moderate warming (+4°C), likely due to interactions between abiotic factors

(e.g., temperature) and biotic factors such as interspecific competition (Van Doorslaer et al.,

2010).

In addition, numerous studies indicate that the effects of elevated temperature on fecundity

vary with latitude. While boreal species suffered a reduction of fecundity at higher

temperatures (Giovannini et al., 2023), this correlation was positive for temperate-origin

species (Van Doorslaer et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2023; but see Cambronero et al., 2018). In

contrast, the response of age at maturation to elevated temperatures appears to be relatively

uniform regardless of the environmental conditions, with experimental studies repeatedly

reporting earlier maturation at higher temperatures (Van Doorslaer et al., 2007; Cambronero

et al., 2018; Giovannini et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2023).

Despite substantial experimental research, significant gaps remain in our understanding of

how life history traits respond to temperature change, particularly because most studies have

focused on abrupt shifts in temperature, typically involving a single-step increase. To better

reflect ecological reality, we need experimental designs that examine the effects of gradually

and continuously changing thermal environments over longer time scales. This is important

for two reasons. First, the rate and therefore the impact of global warming varies across

regions, showing positive correlation with both latitude (Root et al., 2003; Deutsch et al.,

2008) and altitude (Pepin et al., 2022). Second, experimental studies have shown that the

adaptive dynamics of populations to stressful environments are strongly influenced by the

rate of environmental change (Collins and de Meaux, 2009; Lindsey et al., 2013). Because

eco-evolutionary dynamics are shaped by the rate of environmental change, life history traits

may respond differently under gradual shifts than they do under the abrupt changes typically

modeled in experimental studies.

Experimental studies with microbial systems highlight the importance of warming rate for

adaptive dynamics. For example, populations exposed to gradual temperature increases are

more likely to persist and evolve higher thermal tolerance, consistent with predictions of

evolutionary rescue (Killeen and Kaltz, 2017). Similarly, slower environmental change can

promote growth at high temperatures but may come at a cost to long-term survival due to

correlated selection (Liukkonen et al., 2021). These findings underscore how the pace of

environmental change can shape evolutionary outcomes. However, such studies have

primarily focused on growth rate in unicellular organisms. We still lack a clear understanding

of how more complex life history traits, such as body size, fecundity, and age at maturation,

respond to gradual thermal change, particularly in multicellular species. Most experimental

work on these traits has been based on abrupt or one-step temperature increases, limiting

ecological relevance.

In addition, the extent to which these trait changes result from phenotypic plasticity versus

genetic adaptation remains poorly understood. Organisms may respond to thermal shifts

through adaptive plasticity, adjusting trait expression without genetic change (Lalejini et al.,

2021). For example, *Daphnia magna* populations can modify hemoglobin expression in

response to temperature (Yampolsky et al., 2014). Yet, genetic responses to warming have

also been observed in *D. magna*, including short-term adaptation in laboratory populations

(Seefeldt and Ebert, 2019) and long-term responses in the wild (Geerts et al., 2015).

Importantly, plasticity itself can evolve (Schaum et al., 2022), making it difficult to disentangle

the roles of plasticity and genetic change in trait evolution. Properly distinguishing between

these mechanisms requires experiments that track life history traits under common garden

conditions. To date, studies that have attempted such distinctions have almost exclusively

focused on abrupt temperature shifts (for example, Van Doorslaer et al., 2010), leaving the

effects of gradual temperature deterioration largely unexplored.

To address these gaps, we conducted a controlled multigenerational evolutionary experiment

with three clonal lines of *D. magna* to test how multiple life-history traits respond to gradually

increasing temperatures, a scenario more representative of ongoing climate change than

abrupt thermal shifts. Populations were propagated through non-overlapping generations

under either constant conditions (control) or a warming regime that increased by 1 °C every

4–6 generations. We monitored fecundity, somatic growth rate, age at maturation, body size,

and extinction risk across generations, and used a reciprocal transplant experiment to

disentangle genetic adaptation from phenotypic plasticity. This design allowed us to evaluate

both the pace and nature of trait change under sustained warming. Our results provide

insight into how gradual thermal deterioration can alter life-history strategies in a key model

species, informing predictions of population responses to ongoing climate change.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Experimental procedures

Model Organism and Culture Conditions

Daphnia magna Straus (1820) is a planktonic freshwater crustacean (class Phyllopoda) that

reproduces via cyclic parthenogenesis, producing clutches of up to 100 eggs after each adult

molt (Ebert, 2005). Eggs develop directly into juveniles (neonates), bypassing a resting

stage. The generation time is temperature-dependent, with the first clutch typically produced

5–10 days after birth at the optimal temperature of 20°C (Ebert, 2005). Due to its clonal

reproduction and tractable life cycle, *D. magna* is a widely used model for studying

phenotypic plasticity and local adaptation (Yampolsky et al., 2014).

Three clonal lines were used: HU-HO-2 and TR-EG-1 (University of Basel), and An (Middle

East Technical University, Ankara). Cultures were maintained in 250 ml glass beakers with

150 ml of ADaM medium (Klüttgen et al., 1994), replenished weekly. All populations were

kept under a 16:8 h light:dark cycle and fed with *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* at 50,000

cells/ml three times per week. Temperature treatments varied according to experimental

design.

Preliminary Thermal Performance Assay

Before the main experiment, we conducted a baseline thermal tolerance assay following

Seefeldt and Ebert (2019) to evaluate the performance limits of the three *D. magna* clones

and to inform temperature treatment design.

Five replicate populations per clone (HU-HO-2, TR-EG-1, An) containing five adults were

maintained at 22°C in 100 ml beakers containing ADaM medium, replenished weekly.

Populations were acclimated to increasing thermal conditions by raising the temperature to

25°C in week one and to 28°C in week two. Juvenile females (1–3 days old) were then

sampled from each replicate and individually exposed to one of four test temperatures (30°C,

31°C, 32°C, or 33°C) in 60 ml jars. Each temperature was replicated at least three times per

clone. Over two weeks, individuals were monitored daily for evidence of reproduction

(presence of eggs in brood chambers or neonates in the medium). This assay established

the upper thermal limits for each clone and confirmed elevated extinction risk beyond 30°C

(see Results section).

Experimental Design and Temperature Treatments

A total of 24 experimental populations were established, comprising 12 treatment and 12

control lines (3 clones × 4 replicates each). Each population consisted of 15 individuals per

generation. Non-overlapping generations were maintained by transferring the first 15

neonates to a fresh beaker of medium at each generation (see Figure 1 for more details

regarding the experimental design and transfer procedures).

In the treatment group, temperature increased gradually over time, beginning at 26°C.

Temperature was raised by 1°C every 4 generations until it reached 29°C, and then by 1°C

every 6 generations thereafter. This slowing of the rate beyond 29°C was intended to

minimize heat-induced extinction risk, based on prior findings (Mitchell and Lampert, 2000)

and the results of our baseline assay.

Control populations were maintained at a constant 26°C for the duration of the experiment.

Life History Trait Assays

To quantify evolutionary responses to gradually deteriorating (increasing) temperature, life

history traits were measured at five timepoints: 26°C, 27°C, 28°C, 29°C, and 30°C,

corresponding to generations 4, 8, 12, 18, and 24 in the treatment populations.

At each timepoint, the first three mature individuals (i.e., individuals with eggs visible in the

brood chamber) were isolated from each population and placed individually in 60 ml jars

containing ADaM at the population's current temperature. Each female's first and second

clutches were counted to estimate fecundity. The first clutch was discarded after hatching to

avoid size bias, as first-clutch offspring tend to be smaller (Ebert, 1991). After release of the

second clutch, the mother was removed.

Six neonates from the second clutch were randomly selected and reared individually in the

same jars. Pilot tests had shown that higher densities could trigger unwanted male

production or diapause (ephippia) formation. Neonates were photographed at birth and again

at maturation using brightfield microscopy (BRESSER Advance ICD Stereo Microscope with

Full HD eyepiece camera at 10x magnification). Body size was measured via gut length (GL)

of the relaxed animals in ImageJ and converted to dry mass (DM) using the equation: DM =

$0.00679 \times GL^{2.75}$ (Fossen et al., 2018).

Neonates were monitored daily for development and survival. Age at maturation was defined

as time when the first eggs were visible in their brood chambers. Lifespan was defined as

the number of days from birth until death. Somatic growth rate was calculated as the

difference in dry mass between birth and maturation, divided by the number of days between

the two measurements. The clutch interval (time between first and second clutches) was also

recorded.

Extinction Monitoring

Each population was visually inspected daily. Populations were recorded as extinct when no

live individuals remained in the beaker.

Reciprocal Transplant Assay

To disentangle the effects of phenotypic plasticity and genetic adaptation, a reciprocal

transplant was conducted at generation 25. Three mature individuals were sampled from

each control and treatment population. From each adult, six second-clutch neonates were

reared in the environment opposite to their lineage's ancestral condition.

Control-derived neonates were raised at 30°C, representing novel heat exposure. Treatment-

derived neonates were raised at 26°C, representing a return to ancestral temperature. These

individuals were reared until maturation, and their adult body size and somatic growth rate

were quantified using the same methods as described above.

Figure 1 – Transfer procedure and data collection regime of experimental populations;
(A) 15 neonates per beaker were cultivated until they reached maturation (B), defined as the
time when the first eggs appeared in their brood chambers (note that both neonates and adults
are downscaled relative to the beakers for an easier representation); C) after each round of
temperature increase, 3 adults were sampled for the main assays for quantification of life
history traits; D) hatching (the release of the newborn neonates from the brood chambers)
resulted in formation of pool of both adults and neonates (note that here, for simplicity, the
ratio of newborns vs adults is drawn as 1:1; typically, a larger number of neonates is present);
E) after each new generation of neonates appeared, 15 individuals were sampled and
transferred to a new beaker (i.e. the next generation of an experimental population has been
initiated.

2.2 Data Analysis

2.2.1 Baseline Heat Tolerance (Preliminary Thermal Performance Assay)

To assess the baseline thermal tolerance of ancestral clones, we fit a generalized linear

mixed model (GLMM) with a binomial error distribution. The presence or absence of offspring

(eggs or neonates) was treated as a binary response variable. Clone identity was included

as a fixed categorical predictor, and temperature was included as a continuous covariate.

2.2.2 Life History Trait Assays

The datasets containing life history trait values from the main assays were analyzed using

linear mixed models (LMMs). The models included "treatment" and "clone" as categorical

fixed effects, "generation" as a continuous fixed effect, and "population" and "individual" as

categorical random effects to account for hierarchical structure. Each of the seven life history

traits was modeled separately as a continuous response variable. Given the limited sample

sizes within each dataset, model parameters were estimated using restricted maximum

likelihood (REML). The potential covariation among life history traits in the experimental

populations was examined using Pearson's correlation test, based on pairwise comparisons

between traits.

Span between the clutches

Two extreme values were identified as potential outliers using Grubbs' test ($p < 0.05$) and

were excluded from model comparison.

Neonate body size

Four extreme values were identified as potential outliers using Grubbs' test ($p < 0.05$) and

were excluded from model. Model selection was based on a comparison of AIC values and a

likelihood ratio test. A simplified model excluding interaction terms (AIC = -1274.3) was

favored over a more complex model that included the interactions (AIC = -1263.1), as the

later did not significantly improve model fit according to a likelihood ratio test (χ^2, P = 0.9).

Adult body size

A single extreme value was identified as potential outliers using Grubbs' test ($p < 0.05$) and was excluded from model.

The development time

Two extreme values were identified as potential outliers using Grubbs' test ($p < 0.05$) and were excluded from model comparison. A simplified model excluding interaction terms (AIC = 1198.7) was favored over the full interaction model (AIC = 1208.5; LRT P = 0.77).

Somatic growth rate

Model selection was based on a comparison of AIC values and a likelihood ratio test. A simplified model excluding interaction terms (AIC = - 107.35) was favored over a more complex model that included the interactions (AIC = -97.86), as the later did not significantly improve model fit according to a likelihood ratio test (χ^2, P = 0.72).

2.2.3 Extinction dynamics

The extinction dynamics of each treatment group were analysed by fitting Weibull regression model with two fixed factors: 'treatment' and 'clone'. The interaction between the factors was included in the model. The best fit was obtained using extreme hazard function.

2.2.4 Reciprocal Transplant Assay

The dataset from the reciprocal transplant assay was analyzed using linear mixed models (LMMs). Fixed effects included "environment" (ancestral or novel), "treatment" (control or thermal deterioration), and "clone" (all categorical). Random effects accounted for hierarchical structure by including "population" and "individual" as categorical variables. Each of the two monitored life history traits—age at maturation and growth rate—was modeled separately as a continuous response variable. Given the limited number of observations in

each dataset, model parameters were estimated using restricted maximum likelihood

(REML).

All the analyses were carried out using RStudio (version 2024.12.1; R Core Team, 2017).

The packages: 'gplots' (v3.1.3; Warnes et al., 2022), 'ggplot2' (v3.4.2; Wickham, 2016),

'plothrix' (v3.8.2; Lemon, 2006), 'RColorBrewer' (v1.1-3; Neuwirth, 2022) were used for

visualization of the datasets: the high-temperature tolerance of the ancestors; the main

assays; the reciprocal transplant experiment. The package 'plyr' (v1.8.8; Wickham, 2011)

was used for summarizing the data prior to visualization. 'Survival' (v3.2-13; Therneau, 2021)

and 'survminer' (v0.4.9; Kassambara et al., 2021) were used for the analysis and

visualization, respectively, of extinction dynamics. For fitting of the mixed models, the

packages lme4 (Bates et al., 2014) and lmerTest (Kuznetzova et al., 2017) were used.

4. Results

4.1 Preliminary thermal performance assay

The reproductive ability of the ancestral clones depended on the test temperature

(Generalised Linear Mixed Model; df = 1; $F_{1,247}$ = 11.76; P < 0.001). While the average

successful reproduction rate at the temperature range between 30°C and 32°C was 28%,

only a single individual (0.01%) successfully reproduced at 33°C (Figure 2A). We detected a

significant effect of the genetic background of tested individuals (Generalised Linear Mixed

Model; df = 2; $F_{2,246}$ = 6.7; P = 0.001) (Figure 2B), with the Tr clone achieving 2.66 times

higher percentage of successfully reproduced individuals relative to the other two clones

combined (z = 3.154; P = 0.002).

Figure 2 – Assay of the ancestral clones for the high-temperature tolerance; A) Percentage of successfully reproduced individuals per test temperature; **B)** Percentage of successfully reproduced individuals per ancestral clone.

4.2 Main evolutionary experiment

Population extinction dynamics

Populations in the warming treatment tended to go extinct earlier than controls, although this effect was marginal (Weibull regression; df = 1; χ^2 = 3.04; P = 0.08) (Figure 3A). Clone identity had a similarly marginal effect on extinction rates (Weibull regression; df = 2; χ^2 = 5.02; P = 0.08) (Figure 3B).

Figure 3 – Extinction dynamics of experimental populations: per treatment group (A); per clone (B); extinctions are plotted against the generations upon each extinction event; 95% confidence interval for each Kaplan-Meier survival curve is represented with the dotted lines (upper and lower boundary).

Life-history trait responses

Fecundity

We saw significant overall decline in fecundity of the populations (LMM, df = 1; F = 41.33; P

< 0.001) (Figure 4A). The average fecundity of experimental populations was significantly

higher (by 12%) relative to that of the control populations (LMM, df = 1; F = 8.3; P = 0.004)

(Figure 4B). While we did not detect the significant main effect of the genetic component (i.e.

clone) (LMM, df = 2; F = 0.02; P = 0.98), there was significant clone-by-treatment interaction

(LMM; df = 2; F = 4.06; P = 0.02) (Figure 4D). We detected the relative advantage of Hu and

Tr clones with respect to An clone, manifested through their higher fecundity in the

deteriorating environment (An vs Hu, t = 2.58, P = 0.01; An vs Tr, t = 2.35, P = 0.02). We

detected a significant generation-by-treatment interaction (LMM; df = 1; F = 4.59; P = 0.03).

The fecundity of the experimental group was consistently higher than that of the control

group, except during the 24th generation – assay (which corresponded to the temperature of

30°C), during which we detected a 34% lower fecundity in the experimental group relative to

that of the control group (Figure 4C).

Span between the clutches

We saw no overall change in span between clutches (LMM, df = 1; F = 1.33; P = 0.25). While

neither the treatment nor the genetic background showed a significant main effect, we

detected a marginally significant clone-by-treatment interaction (LMM, df = 2; F = 2.96; P =

0.05) on the span between the clutches. A pairwise comparison indicated a significant

decline of the span between clutches of Tr clone when subjected to the deteriorating vs

control conditions (t = 2.29, P =0.02) (Figure 5A).

Neonate body size

We saw no overall change in mean neonate body size (LMM, df = 1; F = 1.27; P = 0.26).

Likewise, neither the treatment (LMM, df = 1; F = 1.84; P = 0.18) nor the genetic background

(LMM, df = 2; F = 1.22; P = 0.3) have affected the mean neonate body size.

Adult body size

We saw a significant overall decline in mean body size (LMM, df = 1; F = 17.63; P < 0.001)

(Figure 5B), which was not affected by the treatment (LMM, df = 1; F = 1.48; P = 0.23). We

detected a significant effect of the genetic background (LMM, df = 2; F = 3.37; P = 0.04) on

the mean adult body size, reflected in a higher decline in An clone relative to the other two

clones (Figure 5C). We detected a significant clone-by-treatment interaction (LMM, df = 2; F

= 3.12; P = 0.047) (Figure 5D), as the relative advantage of Tr clone with respect to the other

two clones (higher mean body size) increased in the deteriorating environment (Tr vs Hu, t =

2.29, P = 0.02; Tr vs An, t = 1.99, P = 0.048).

The development time

We detected a significant overall increase in development time (LMM, df = 1; F = 12.56; P =

0.005) (Figure 6A). The development time of the populations depended on the treatment.

The experimental populations developed 3 days earlier on average relative to the control

populations (23% difference) (LMM, df = 1; F = 7.66; P = 0.006) (Figure 6B). While the

overall effect of the genetic background on development time was only marginally significant

(LMM, df = 2; F = 2.93; P = 0.05), post hoc comparison revealed a significant difference

between An and Tr clone (t = 2.41, P = 0.02) (Figure 5C).

Somatic growth rate

We detected a significant overall decline of somatic growth rate (LMM, df = 1; F = 23.05; P <

0.001) (Figure 6D). The somatic growth rate of the populations depended on the treatment.

The experimental populations had a 14% faster somatic growth rate relative to that of the

control populations (LMM, df = 1; F = 6.23; P = 0.01) (Figure 6E). We detected a marginally

significant effect of the genetic background on somatic growth rate (LMM, df = 2; F = 2.43; P

= 0.09). However, pairwise comparison indicates a significant difference between the growth

rate of An and Tr clones (t = - 2.14, P =0.03) (Figure 6F).

Lifespan

We saw a significant overall decline of the lifespan (LMM, df = 1; F = 35.59; P < 0.001)

(Figure 7A). The elevated temperatures significantly reduced the lifespan of experimental

populations (by 21%) relative to that of control (LMM, df = 1; F = 24.92; P < 0.001) (Figure

7B). We detected a significant interaction between the treatment and generation (LMM, df =

1; F = 10.21; P = 0.002), manifested through the highest difference in the lifespan between

the treatment groups during the 12th generation assay (28°C) (Figure 7C). We detected a

significant main effect of the genetic component (LMM, df = 2; F = 7.43; P < 0.001), reflected

in the longer lifespan of An clone relative to that of both Tr clone (t = - 3.83; P < 0.001) and

Hu clone (t = - 3.16; P = 0.002) (Figure 7D).

Figure 4 – Average fecundity (the number of neonates within the first two clutches) of the populations: per generation (A); per treatment group (B); per treatment group during each assay (C): the X axis contains the number of generations that each assayed population underwent, with the temperature degree that the experimental populations experienced during the assay stated below; per clone, per treatment group (D); the bars represent standard error of the mean.

416　**Figure 5 – Average span between the first two clutches (day) of the populations (A);**
417　**Average adult body size (mg od DM) of the populations (B-D)**: per generation (B); per clone
418　(C); per clone per treatment group; the bars represent standard error of the mean.

423 **Figure 6 – Average development time (day) of the populations (A - C)**: per generation (A); per
424 treatment group (B); per clone (C); **Average growth rate (per day) of the population (D - F)**: per
425 generation (D); per treatment group (E); per clone (F); the bars represent standard error of the
426 mean.

Figure 7 – Average lifespan (day) of experimental populations: per generation (A); per treatment group (B); per treatment group during each assay (C): the X axis contains the number of generations that each assayed population underwent, with the temperature degree that the experimental populations experienced during the assay stated below; per clone (D); the bars represent standard error of the mean.

Covariation between the life history traits of experimental populations

We detected the difference in the relative contribution of relative growth (size increment) and development time on somatic growth rate. Pearson's correlation test revealed a strong negative correlation between somatic growth rate and development time (r = - 0.86; t (60) = - 13.41; P < 0.001) (Figure 7B), and no significant correlation between somatic growth rate and relative growth (r = -0.05; t (60) = -0.38; P = 0.7) (Figure 7A).

We detected significant negative correlation between somatic growth rate and neonate body size

(r = - 0.31; t (60) = - 2.52; P = 0.01) (Figure 7C), and a significant negative correlation between

somatic growth rate and adult body size (r = - 0.49; t (60) = - 4.38; P < 0.001) (Figure 7D).

We detected significant positive correlation between development time and adult body size (r =

0.64; t (60) = 6.42; P < 0.001) (Figure 7E). Likewise, we saw a positive correlation between

fecundity and adult body size (r = 0.43; t (67) = 3.92; P = 0.002) (Figure 7F).

We found no significant correlation between lifespan and development time (r = - 0.04; t (79) = -

0.34; P = 0.73); lifespan and somatic growth rate (r = 0.02; t (33) = 0.09; P = 0.93); lifespan and

fecundity (r = 0.06; t (69) = 0.52; P = 0.61); fecundity and span between clutches (r = - 0.28; t

(272) = -0.29; P = 0.78); adult body size and span between clutches (r = - 0.03; t (67) = - 0.26; P

= 0.79); somatic growth rate and fecundity (r = - 0.08; t (60) = - 0.6; P = 0.55).

4.3 The reciprocal transplant experiment

Mean adult body size of the populations depended on the treatment (LMM, df = 1; F = 9.05; P =

0.004). The tested control populations had a 17% larger mean body size relative to that of

experimental populations. The size difference did not depend on the environment, as we

detected no environment-by-treatment interaction (LMM, environment X treatment; df = 1; F =

0.32; P = 0.57) (Figure 8A).

By contrast, the mean growth rate of the populations did not depend on the treatment (LMM, df

= 1; F = 0.05; P = 0.82). We detected no significant environment-by-treatment interaction (LMM,

environment X treatment; df = 1; F = 0.96; P = 0.33) (Figure 8B).

Figure 7 – Significant correlations between the pairs of the life history traits of experimental populations; A) Somatic growth rate (per day) plotted against relative growth (mg of DM); **B)** Somatic growth rate (per day) plotted against development time (day); **C)** Somatic growth rate (per day) plotted against adult body size (mg of DM); **D)** Somatic growth rate (per day) plotted against neonate body size (mg of DM); **E)** Adult body size (mg of DM) plotted against development time (day); **F)** Fecundity (number of neonates within the first two clutches) plotted against adult body size (mg of DM).

Figure 8 – The reciprocal transplant experiment; A) Mean adult size of control and
experimental populations kept in their ancestral and novel environment; **B)** Mean growth rate of
control and experimental populations kept in their ancestral and novel environment; the bars
represent standard error of the mean.

Discussion

The main objective of our study was to quantify the responses of the seven life history traits

under the gradually deteriorating thermal conditions, and to identify the mechanism(s)

underlying these responses. Overall, experimental populations showed increased fecundity,

matured earlier and showed a faster growth rate, whilst suffering a reduction in their

lifespans. The populations showed the plastic response (manifested through variation of the

trait values among the clones in the deteriorating vs control environment) with respect to

fecundity, adult body size and span between clutches.

The assay of the ancestral clones for the high-temperature tolerance revealed the

detrimental effect of the temperatures between 30°C and 32°C on the reproductive ability of

the clones, constituting their upper tolerance limit. This corroborates the results of Seefeldt

and Ebert (2019), who found that the maximal reproduction temperature of 123 *D. magna*

clones tested ranged between 26 and 32°C. The extinction rate of our populations during the

main experiment parallels the results of the high-temperature tolerance assay. Two thirds of

our populations went extinct whilst being subjected to temperatures of 30°C and 31°C.

Furthermore, the initial difference in the high salt tolerance detected among the three clones

was not a good predictor of their extinction rate in the main experiment. Taken together,

these results confirm that elevated temperatures entailed a stressful effect on our

populations, manifested through the decline in both reproductive output and survival

probability.

Experimental populations increased fecundity at elevated temperatures relative to the control

populations, except at the highest temperature of 30°C, characterized by the shift of the

advantage between the treatment groups. The positive correlation of the number of eggs or

hatched offspring with the temperature has been reported in a number of studies, including

the ones using both insects (Musolin et al., 2010; Ciota et al., 2014; de Leon et al., 2023;)

and plankton species (Van Doorslaer et al., 2007; Giovannini et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2023)

as model organisms (but see Cambronero et al., 2018, for the opposite pattern detected). In

natural conditions, an increase in fecundity in *D. magna* with temperature is advantageous

as it maximizes fitness in a resource rich window (where high temperature correlates with

food availability) (Lampert, 2011). Moreover, of all life history traits monitored, phenotypic

plasticity in fecundity (clone X treatment interaction) was the most pronounced, as two

clones showed an increase in the relative advantage under elevated temperature.

The main effect of an increasing temperature on the span between clutches was limited (a

7% reduction relative to the control populations). This contrasts with previous studies which

detected a significant decline of an interclutch span as a response to elevated temperatures

(Betini et al., 2019; Bowman and Post, 2023). However, these studies did not directly

compare the effect of a temperature across multiple clones. In our study, we detected a

significant clone-by-treatment interaction, as a single clone (Tr) has significantly reduced the

span between clutches in elevated temperatures. This indicates that a decrease in the span

between clutches might be a relevant response in the context of a temperature increase,

however additional studies using a higher number of clones are required.

We detected an overall reduction in mean adult body size with the temperature, observed in

a number of studies using various invertebrate species (for example, Musolin et al., 2010;

Laughton et al., 2017; Walczynska et al., 2017), including *D. magna* (for example,

Cambronero et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2023; Albini et al., 2025), However, we saw no

difference between the treatment groups. A plausible explanation is that this temperature

window (the 26 - 30°C range) lies near the upper end of the thermal performance curve for

Daphnia (Seefeldt and Ebert, 2019; Wang et al., 2023), where body size plasticity may

already be constrained. Furthermore, there is evidence that resource limitations become

stronger at higher temperatures and larger body size, causing growth to decelerate at a

smaller size at warmer temperatures (Hoefnagel et al., 2018).

Despite an overall reduction in mean adult body size in both treatment groups, there is

evidence that a larger body size was advantageous at elevated temperatures, through its

effect on fecundity (a strong positive correlation). Moreover, we detected a significant plastic

response in both traits, manifested through a simultaneous increase of both mean body size

and fecundity of Tr clone when subjected to the elevated temperature.

We saw an overall increase in mean development time and a decline in growth rate of the

populations. However, experimental populations showed both a significant decline of

development time and acceleration of somatic growth rate relative to those in control

populations. The combination of these two responses at elevated temperatures has been

detected in several studies (for example, Henning-Lucass et al., 2016; Hoefnagel et al.,

2018). Moreover, these traits showed a high negative correlation, driven by the difference in

the response of An clone (a decrease in development time and acceleration of growth rate)

vs Tr clone (an increase in development time and a decline in growth rate)

We saw a significant positive correlation between development time and adult body size; a

significant negative correlation between somatic growth rate and adult body size. This

suggests an advantage of a delayed reproduction, as the clone which reached maturation

later (Tr) not only had a higher mean fecundity (via increase in body size), but also a shorter

span between clutches. By contrast, the clone with the fastest growth rate (An) showed the

slowest development, coupled with the lowest average body size and fecundity.

While elevated temperature elicited a general shift in life history traits – namely reduced

development time, accelerated somatic growth rate, and smaller adult body size – these

changes appear maladaptive – given the positive correlations between adult body size and

fecundity, and between development time and adult body size. However, not all clones

(genotypes) followed this population-level trend. One clone, in particular (Tr), exhibited a

markedly different response, with increased development time under high temperature,

leading to larger adult size and ultimately higher fecundity. This suggests a strong genotype-

by-environment interaction (G X E), where genetic variation shapes the plastic response to

environmental stress (Via and Lande, 1985; Nussey et al., 2007). The presence of such

adaptive variants amidst a generally maladaptive mean response underscores the

importance of intra-population variation in plasticity (Ghalambor et al., 2007; Murren et al.,

2015). Moreover, it points to the potential for evolutionary rescue (Gomulkiewicz and Holt,

1995) through selection on genotypes whose trait responses align more closely with fitness

optima under novel environmental conditions (Chevin et al., 2010). This highlights the need

to consider not only average plastic responses but also the distribution and evolutionary

potential of genotype-specific trajectories in the face of climate change.

The elevated temperature has significantly reduced the lifespan of experimental populations

relative to control populations. Moreover, we saw a significant effect of the genetic

background on the lifespan. The negative correlation between temperature and longevity has

previously been reported (for example, Musolin et al., 2007; Ciota et al, 2014; Giovaninni et

al., 2023), including the studies with *D. magna* (for example, MacArthur and Baillie, 1929;

Hoefnagel et al., 2018). Hoefnagel et al. (2018) demonstrated a marked temperature-

dependent decline in *D. magna* lifespan, with mean values decreasing from approximately

90 days at 10°C to around 15 days at 30°C. While they reported lifespan data across a

temperature gradient, only the extreme values were published, limiting the resolution for

accessing changes at intermediate temperatures. In contrast, our study provided detailed

lifespan data across a narrower but ecologically critical thermal window (26 - 30°C),

capturing a sharp decline relative to control populations during the first three assays (26 -

28°C), followed by the equalization of mean longevity between the two treatment groups at

the two highest temperatures.

The detected patterns of a response of fecundity and lifespan to elevated temperatures

might indicate a phenotypic canalization or a trade-off between these traits, the latter having

been reported in previous studies (for example, Myatake, 1997). During the first three assays

(26 - 28°C), we detected a clear advantage in fecundity of experimental populations relative

to control populations, which diminished during the subsequent assays at the highest

temperatures. Simultaneously, the control populations had a clear advantage in lifespan

during the same temperature window, which diminished during the final two assays.

However, we saw no significant covariation of fecundity and the lifespan within experimental

populations. In addition, we did not detect significant covariation of their lifespan and both

development time and somatic growth rate. This indicates that reduction in the lifespan

detected in our experimental populations can be attributed to the stressful effect of the

temperature alone, rather than the trade-offs with the other life history traits.

The reciprocal transplant experiment revealed no evidence of a long-term adaptation of

experimental populations (genetic change), as both experimental and control populations

retained the same values of the traits in their respective novel environments. The results

indicate that a single step of a temperature change imposed during this experiment (from 26

to 30°C for the control populations; from 30 to 26°C for the experimental populations)

between the two consecutive generations had no significant effect on the life history traits

tested. In addition, a limited number of generations that the populations underwent prior to

the reciprocal transplant experiment (25) may have been insufficient to detect an

evolutionary response.

Taken together, our results demonstrate that gradual thermal deterioration may entail the two

distinctive patterns of the response in life history traits: adaptive and maladaptive. Moreover,

it highlights the importance of adaptive plasticity which may countereffect the maladaptive

response in development time, somatic growth rate and adult body size, through an increase

in reproductive output. To the best of our knowledge, this pattern of interactions among the

life history traits has not been reported in experimental studies which involved an abrupt

temperature increase. It is possible that a slower pace of environmental change, coupled

with a wider range of stressful temperatures (closer to the upper limit of *D.magna*'s

temperature tolerance) used in our study, allowed for a more efficient acclimatization and/or

plastic response, previously undetected under a faster rate of thermal increase. The

limitations of our study include low genetic diversity and short timeframe in terms of the

number of generation that our populations underwent. Investigating whether adaptive

plasticity can potentially influence adaptive evolution requires an increase in standing genetic

variation and a longer-term experiment.

References

Albini, D., Ransome, E., Dumbrell, A.J. *et al.* 2025. Warming alters plankton body-size distributions in a large field experiment. *Commun Biol* 8, 162.

Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, Walker S. 2015. Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 67(1), 1–48

Barnosky, A. D., Hadly, E. A., Bascompte, J., Berlow, E. L., Brown, J. H., Fortelius, M., Getz, W. M., Harte, J., Hastings, A., Marquet, P. A., Martinez, N. D., Mooers, A., Roopnarine, P., Vermeij, G., Williams, J. W., Gillespie, R., Kitzes, J., Marshall, C., Matzke, N., Mindell, D. P., Revilla, E., and Smith, A. B. 2012. Approaching a state shift in Earth's biosphere. *Nature* 486 (7401):52-8.

Betini GS, Wang X, Avgar T, Guzzo MM, Fryxell JM. 2019. Food availability modulates temperature-dependent effects on growth, reproduction, and survival in *Daphnia magna*. *Ecol Evol*. Dec 27;10(2):756-762.

Bowman, Jr., L.L.; Post, D.M. 2023. The Evolution of Life History Traits and Their Thermal Plasticity in Daphnia. *Hydrobiology*. 2, 55–74.

Cahill A.E., Aiello-Lammens M.E., Fisher-Reid M.C., Hua X., Karanewsky C.J., Yeong Ryu H., Sbeglia G.C., Spagnolo F., Waldron J.B., Warsi O., Wiens J.J. 2013. How does climate change cause extinction? *Proc R Soc B*. 280: 20121890.

Cuenca Cambronero, M., Marshall, H., De Meester, L. *et al.* 2018. Predictability of the impact of multiple stressors on the keystone species *Daphnia*. *Sci Rep* **8**, 17572.

Cardillo M, Mace GM, Jones KE, Bielby J, Bininda-Emonds OR, Sechrest W, Orme CD, Purvis A. 2005. Multiple causes of high extinction risk in large mammal species. *Science*. Aug 19;309(5738):1239-41.

Chivian, E. and Bernstein, A. 2008. *Sustaining Life: How Human Health Depends on Biodiversity*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

Ciota AT, Matacchiero AC, Kilpatrick AM, Kramer LD. 2014. The effect of temperature on life history traits of Culex mosquitoes. *J Med Entomol.* Jan;51(1):55-62.

Collins, S. and de Meaux, J. 2009. Adaptation to different rates of environmental change in Chlamydomonas. *Evolution* 63 (11):2952-65.

Martínez-De León, Gerard & Marty, Arianne & Holmstrup, Martin & Thakur, Madhav. 2024. Population resistance and recovery after an extreme heat event are explained by thermal effects on life-history traits. *Oikos*. 10.1111/oik.10023.

Deutsch C.A., Tewksbury J.J., Huey R.B., Sheldon K.S., Ghalambor C.K., Haak D.C., Martin P.R. 2008. Impacts of climate warming on terrestrial ectotherms across latitude. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 105(18):6668-72.

Du WG, Ji X, Zhang YP, Xu XF, Shine R. 2005. Identifying sources of variation in reproductive and life-history traits among five populations of a Chinese lizard (Takydromus septentrionalis, Lacertidae). *Biol J Linn Soc* 85:443–453

Ebert, D. 1991. The effect of size at birth, maturation threshold and genetic differences on
the life-history of Daphnia magna. *Oecologia*. Apr;86(2):243-250.

Ebert, D. 2005. *Ecology, Epidemiology, and Evolution of Parasitism in Daphnia*. Bethesda
(MD): National Center for Biotechnology Information (US).

Falkowski, P. G. 1994. The role of phytoplankton photosynthesis in global biogeochemical
cycles. *Photosynthesis Research* 39 (3):235-58.

Fossen, E.I.F., Pélabon, C., Einum, S. 2018. An empirical test for a zone of canalization in
thermal reaction norms. J. *Evol. Biol.*, 31: 936-943.

Geerts, A., Vanoverbeke, J., Vanschoenwinkel, B., Van Doorslaer, W., Feuchtmayr, H.,
Atkinson D., Moss, B., Davidson, T. A., Sayer, C. D., De Meester, L. 2015. Rapid evolution of
thermal tolerance in the water flea *Daphnia*. *Nature Clim Change*. 5, 665–668.

Ghalambor, C.K., McKay, J.K., Carroll, S.P. and Reznick, D.N. 2007. Adaptive versus non-
adaptive phenotypic plasticity and the potential for contemporary adaptation in new
environments. *Functional Ecology*. 21: 394-407.

Chevin L-M, Lande R, Mace GM. 2010. Adaptation, Plasticity, and Extinction in a Changing
Environment: Towards a Predictive Theory. *PLoS Biol*. 8(4): e1000357.

Giovannini I, Manfrin C, Greco S, Vincenzi J, Altiero T, Guidetti R, Giulianini P and Rebecchi
L. 2023. Increasing temperature-driven changes in life history traits and gene expression of
an Antarctic tardigrade species. *Front. Physiol*. 14:1258932

Gomulkiewicz, R. and Holt, R. D. 1995. When does evolution by natural selection prevent
extinction?. *Evolution* 49 (1):201-7.

Hawkins, E., Ortega, P., Suckling, E., Schurer, A., Hegerl, G., Jones, P., Joshi, M., Osborn, T.
J., Masson-Delmotte, V., Mignot, J., Thorne, P., van Oldenborgh, G. J. 2017. Estimating
Changes in Global Temperature since the Preindustrial Period. *Bulletin of the American*
Meteorological Society. 98(9), 1841-1856.

Henning-Lucass, N., Cordellier, M., Streit, B. and Schwenk, K. 2016. Phenotypic plasticity in
life-history traits of *Daphnia galeata* in response to temperature – a comparison across clonal
lineages separated in time. *Ecol Evol*. 6: 881-891.

Hoefnagel KN, de Vries EHJ, Jongejans E, Verberk WCEP. 2018. The temperature-size rule
in Daphnia magna across different genetic lines and ontogenetic stages: Multiple patterns and
mechanisms. *Ecol Evol*.;8:3828–3841

Iltis C., Louâpre P., Pecharová K., Thiéry D., Zito S., Bois B., Moreau J. 2019. Are life-history
traits equally affected by global warming? A case study combining a multi-trait approach with
fine-grain climate modeling. *J Insect Physiol*. 117:103916.

Isaac J.L. 2009. Effects of climate change on life history: implications for extinction risk in
mammals. *Endang Species Res* 7:115-123.

Kassambara, A., Kosinski, M., & Biecek, P. 2021. Survminer: Drawing survival curves using
"ggplot2". R Package Version, 0(4), 9

Killeen, J., Gougat-Barbera, C., Krenek, S., Kaltz, O. 2017. Evolutionary rescue and local
adaptation under different rates of temperature increase: a combined analysis of changes in
phenotype expression and genotype frequency in *Paramecium* microcosm. *Mol Ecol*, 26:
1734-1746.

Klüttgen, B., Dülmer, U., Engels, M., Ratte, H.T. 1994. ADaM, an artificial freshwater for the c
ulture of zooplankton. *Water Res*. 28:743–746.

Kuznetsova A, Brockhoff PB, Christensen RHB. 2017. lmerTest Package: Tests in Linear
Mixed Effects Models. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 82(13), 1–26.

Lalejini A, Ferguson AJ, Grant NA and Ofria C. 2021. Adaptive Phenotypic Plasticity Stabilizes
Evolution in Fluctuating Environments. *Front. Ecol. Evol*. 9:715381

Lampert, W. 2011. Daphnia: Development of a Model Organism in Ecology and Evolution.
Excellence in Ecology. 21. 1-275.

Laughton A.M., O'Connor C.O., Knell R.J. 2017. Responses to a warming world: Integrating
life history, immune investment, and pathogen resistance in a model insect species. *Ecol Evol*.
7(22):9699-9710.

Lemon, J. 2006. Plotrix: A package in the red light district of R. R-News., 6(4), 8–12.

Lindsey, H. A., Gallie, J., Taylor, S., and Kerr, B. 2013. Evolutionary rescue from extinction is
contingent on a lower rate of environmental change. *Nature*. 494 (7438):463-7.

Liukkonen M, Kronholm I, Ketola T. 2021. Evolutionary rescue at different rates of
environmental change is affected by trade-offs between short-term performance and long-term
survival. *J Evol Biol*.;34:1177–1184.

MacArthur, J.W. and Baillie, W.H.T. 1929. Metabolic activity and duration of life. I. Influence of
temperature on longevity in Daphnia magna. *J. Exp. Zool*., 53: 221-242.

McKinney, M. L. 1997. Extinction vulnerability and selectivity: combining ecological and
paleontological views. *A. Rev. Ecol. System*. 28, 495^516.

Mitchell, S.E. and Lampert, W. 2000. Temperature adaptation in a geographically widespread
zooplankter, *Daphnia magna*. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*. 13: 371-382.

Murren, C., Auld, J., Callahan, H. *et al.* 2015. Constraints on the evolution of phenotypic
plasticity: limits and costs of phenotype and plasticity. *Heredity* **115**, 293–301.

Musolin, D.L., Tougou, D., Fujisaki, K. 2010. Too hot to handle? Phenological and life-history
responses to simulated climate change of the southern green stink bug *Nezara*
viridula (Heteroptera: Pentatomidae). *Global Change Biology*. 16: 73-87.

Miyatake, T. 1997. Genetic trade-off between early fecundity and longevity in *Bactrocera*
Cucurbitae (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Heredity* 78, 93–100.

Neuwirth, E. 2022. RColorBrewer: ColorBrewer palettes. R package version 1.1-3.

Nussey DH, Wilson AJ, Brommer JE. 2007. The evolutionary ecology of individual phenotypic
plasticity in wild populations. *J Evol Biol.* May;20(3):831-44.

Parmesan, C. 2006. Ecological and Evolutionary Responses to Recent Climate Change.
Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution and Systematics. 37 (1):637-669.

Pepin, N. C., Arnone, E., Gobiet, A., Haslinger, K., Kotlarski, S., Notarnicola, C., et al. 2022.
Climate changes and their elevational patterns in the mountains of the world. *Reviews of*
Geophysics, 60, e2020RG000730.

Purvis A, Gittleman JL, Cowlishaw G, Mace GM. 2000. Predicting extinction risk in declining
species. *Proc Biol Sci*. Oct 7;267(1456):1947-52.

R Core Team. 2017. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation
for Statistical Computing http://www.R-proje ct.org/.

Root, T., Price, J., Hall, K. *et al.* 2003. Fingerprints of global warming on wild animals and
plants. *Nature*. 421, 57–60.

Schaum C-E, Buckling A, Smirnoff N, Yvon-Durocher G. 2022. Evolution of thermal tolerance
and phenotypic plasticity under rapid and slow temperature fluctuations. *Proc. R. Soc. B*. 289:
20220834.

Seefeldt, L. and Ebert, D. 2019. Temperature- versus precipitation-limitation shape local
temperature tolerance in a Holarctic freshwater crustacean. *Proc. R. Soc. B.* 286:
20190929.20190929.

Therneau, T. 2021. A package for survival analysis in R. R package version 3.2-13.

Thomas C.D., Cameron A., Green R.E., Bakkenes M., Beaumont L.J., Collingham Y.C.,
Erasmus B.F., De Siqueira M.F., Grainger A., Hannah L., Hughes L., Huntley B., Van Jaarsveld
A.S., Midgley G.F., Miles L., Ortega-Huerta M.A., Peterson A.T., Phillips O.L., Williams S.E.
2004. Extinction risk from climate change. *Nature*. 427(6970):145-8.

Van Doorslaer, W., Stoks, R., Jeppesen, E., De Meester, L. 2007. Adaptive microevolutionary
responses to simulated global warming in *Simocephalus vetulus*: a mesocosm study. *Global*
Change Biology, 13: 878-886.

Van Doorslaer, W., Stoks, R., Swillen, I., Feuchtmayr, H., Atkinson, D., Moss, B., Meester, L.
2010. Experimental thermal microevolution in community-embedded Daphnia
populations''. *Climate Research.* 43, 81-89.

Via, S. and Lande, R. 1985. Genotype-Environment Interaction and the Evolution of
Phenotypic Plasticity. *Evolution*. *39*(3), 505–522.

Walczyńska, A., Franch-Gras, L., Serra, M. 2017. Empirical evidence for fast temperature-
dependent body size evolution in rotifers. Hydrobiologia. 796, 191–200.

Walther GR, Post E, Convey P, Menzel A, Parmesan C, Beebee TJ, Fromentin JM, Hoegh-
Guldberg O, Bairlein F. 2002. Ecological responses to recent climate change. *Nature*. Mar
28;416(6879):389-95.

Wang Y-J, Tüzün N, De Meester L, Feuchtmayr H, Sentis A, Stoks R. 2023. Rapid evolution
of unimodal but not of linear thermal performance curves in *Daphnia magna*. *Proc. R. Soc*. B
290: 20222289.

Warnes, G. R., Bolker, B., Bonebakker, L., Gentleman, R., Huber, W., Liaw, A., Lumley, T.,
Maechler, M., Magnusson, A., Moeller, S., Schwartz, M., & Venables, B. 2022. gplots: Various
R programming tools for plotting data. R package version 3.1.3.

Wickham, H. 2011. The Split-apply-combine strategy for data analysis. *Journal of Statistical*
Software. 40(1), 1–29.

Wickham, H. 2016. ggplot2: Elegant graphics for data analysis. Springer-Verlag.

Wiens, J.J. and Zelinka, J. 2024. How many species will Earth lose to climate change?. *Global*
Change Biology. 30, e17125

Yampolsky, L.Y., Schaer, T.M.M., Ebert, D. 2014. Adaptive phenotypic plasticity and local
adaptation for temperature tolerance in freshwater zooplankton. *Proc. R. Soc. B*. 281:
20132744

Yoccoz NG and Mesnager, S. 1998. Are alpine bank voles larger and more sexually dimorphic
because adults survive better?. *Oikos* 82:85–98